

# SEMICLASSICAL ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS ON THE UNIT CIRCLE: A RIEMANN–HILBERT PERSPECTIVE

AMÍLCAR BRANQUINHO<sup>1</sup>, ANA FOULQUIÉ-MORENO<sup>2</sup>, AND KARINA RAMPAZZI<sup>3</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** In this work we apply Riemann–Hilbert problem to study two families of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, named of modified Jacobi and Bessel. We derive first and second order differential equations, for these families of orthogonal polynomials and functions of second kind, as well as nonlinear equations that the Verblunsky coefficients satisfy.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this work is to explore the connection between the theories of the Riemann–Hilbert problem and of the orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle defined in terms of Hölder type weights. Furthermore, we will show how Riemann–Hilbert analysis could help in deriving analytical properties for sequences of orthogonal polynomials associated with specific weight functions.

A weight function,  $w$ , on the unit circle,  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ , is called semiclassical if it satisfies the equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{d}{d\theta} (A(e^{i\theta})w(\theta)) = B(e^{i\theta})w(\theta), \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi],$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are Laurent polynomials and  $A(e^{i\theta}) = 0$  at the singular points of  $1/w$ . This definition is due to Magnus [22]. The equation (1) will be called a Pearson-type differential equation. In [7] the Laguerre-Hahn families on the unit circle are treated, which include the semiclassical weight functions as particular cases.

For orthogonality on the unit circle, the classification problem of semiclassical orthogonal polynomials was studied and divided into classes in [9] considering linear functionals that satisfy a Pearson-type equation. This approach can be adapted to the equation (1) considering that, if  $\deg A(z) = p$  and  $\max\{p - 1, \deg((p - 1)A(z) + iB(z))\} = q$ , then  $w$  belongs to the class  $(p, q)$  of semiclassical weight functions.

A well known example of a semiclassical weight function on the unit circle is

$$w(\theta) = e^{\ell \cos(\theta)}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \quad \text{with} \quad \ell > 0,$$

see [21]. The associated sequence of orthogonal monic polynomials,  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , on the unit circle satisfy the structure relation

$$(2) \quad \Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_{n-1}(z) + \frac{\ell \kappa_{n-2}^2}{2 \kappa_n^2} \Phi_{n-2}(z), \quad n \geq 2.$$

From the structure relation, it is possible to show the nonlinear difference equation

$$(3) \quad \alpha_n(\ell) + \alpha_{n-2}(\ell) = -\frac{2n}{\ell} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}(\ell)}{1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2(\ell)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

where  $\alpha_{n-1} = -\overline{\Phi_n(0)}$  are known as Verblunsky coefficients. This equation was presented by Periwál and Shevitz [24]. It corresponds to a discrete Painlevé  $dP_{II}$  equation, see [27]. Studies on semiclassical weight functions on the unit circle can also be found in [8, 22]. In [2] the equation (3) was found using an approach known as the Riemann–Hilbert Problem, which is a tool that has been widely used when it comes to analyzing the asymptotic behavior of functions.

The Riemann–Hilbert method is of great importance in the theory of boundary value problems for holomorphic functions. The main objective is to find a function that is holomorphic in a certain region, taking into account certain jump relations between the values of its limits over the points of a given contour. This approach to orthogonal polynomials was formulated by Fokas, Its, and Kitaev in [19], and a method for calculating asymptotics with Riemann–Hilbert problems was presented by Deift and Zhou in [18], first in the context of integrable systems. The most comprehensive application to orthogonal polynomials occurred in 1999, thanks to the work of Deift, Kriecherbauer, McLaughlin, Venakides, and Zhou, see [13, 16, 17]. These discoveries were strongly influenced by the relationship between orthogonal polynomials and the theory of random matrices [12, 15].

This technique has been widely used in the theory of orthogonal polynomials to obtain asymptotic and differential properties. In this work we find first and second order differential equations for orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, using the Riemann–Hilbert problem. We also show that some of the first-order differential equations are equivalent to structure relations found in [4]. More details about the Riemann–Hilbert Problem can be found in [1, 3, 14]. For more recent results on this theory see [5, 6, 10, 11].

This work is structured as follows. In Section 2 we start with the definition of orthogonality on the unit circle and present the Szegő recurrence satisfied by these polynomials. Next we state the Riemann–Hilbert problem and a technical lemma necessary for the development of the work. In Section 3 we present the structure and fundamental matrices associated with the Bessel modified and Jacobi modified weight functions, as well as we determine the zero curvature formulas and find difference equations satisfied by Verblunsky coefficients. We end this work, with Section 4, where by using the Riemann–Hilbert approach, we construct the first and second order differential operators for orthogonal

polynomials and functions of second kind associated with these two considered weight functions on the unit circle.

## 2. RIEMANN–HILBERT PROBLEM

Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ , absolutely continuous, with respect to the Lebesgue measure, i.e.

$$d\mu(z) = \frac{\nu(z)}{iz} dz, \quad \text{with} \quad w(\theta) = \nu(e^{i\theta}), \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

The corresponding sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials,  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_n(z) \overline{\Phi_m(z)} d\mu(z) = \kappa_n^{-2} \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

with  $\kappa_n > 0$ , or equivalently,

$$(4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_n(z) \overline{\Phi_m(z)} \frac{\nu(z)}{iz} dz = \kappa_n^{-2} \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Studies about orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle can be found in [21, 26]. These polynomials satisfy the following relation, known as Szegő recurrence, see [26],

$$(5) \quad \Phi_n(z) = z\Phi_{n-1}(z) - \overline{\alpha_{n-1}}\Phi_{n-1}^*(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\Phi_0(z) = 1$ ,  $\Phi_n^*(z) = z^n \overline{\Phi_n(1/\bar{z})}$  is a reciprocal polynomial and  $\alpha_{n-1} = -\overline{\Phi_n(0)}$ , are the Verblunsky coefficients. Note that if the Verblunsky coefficients are known, then the relation (5) generates the sequence of polynomials  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ .

In terms of the powers of  $z$ , and the sequence of polynomials  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{\Phi_n^*\}_{n \geq 0}$ , the orthogonality relations (4) read as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{-k} \Phi_n(z) d\mu(z) &= 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 & \text{and} & \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{-n} \Phi_n(z) d\mu(z) = \kappa_n^{-2}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{-k} \Phi_n^*(z) d\mu(z) &= 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n & \text{and} & \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_n^*(z) d\mu(z) = \kappa_n^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently, as

$$(6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_n(z) \frac{\nu(z)}{z^j} dz = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_n^*(z) \frac{\nu(z)}{z^{j+1}} dz = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$(7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_n(z) \frac{\nu(z)}{iz^{n+1}} dz = \kappa_n^{-2}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_n^*(z) \frac{\nu(z)}{iz} dz = \kappa_n^{-2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From Szegő recurrence, we have the following equations

$$(8) \quad \Phi_{n+1}^*(z) = \Phi_n^*(z) - \alpha_n z \Phi_n(z), \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$\Phi_{n+1}^*(z) = (1 - |\alpha_n|^2)\Phi_n^*(z) - \alpha_n\Phi_{n+1}(z), \quad n \geq 0,$$

and  $\kappa_n^2/\kappa_{n+1}^2 = 1 - |\alpha_n|^2$ , see [26]. We denote the monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle as

$$(9) \quad \Phi_n(z) = z^n + \Phi_1^n z^{n-1} + \Phi_2^n z^{n-2} + \cdots + \Phi_{n-1}^n z + \Phi_n^n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Applying the Szegő recurrence (5), it is easy to see that the coefficients  $\Phi_1^n$  in (9) are given in terms of the Verblunsky coefficients as

$$\Phi_1^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{\alpha}_j \alpha_{j-1}, \quad n \geq 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_{-1} = -1.$$

Let  $\nu$  be a Hölder type weight on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ , oriented in the positive direction. Then, it can be seen (cf. [14, 23]), that the unique solution of the Riemann–Hilbert problem, which consists in the determination of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix complex function such that:

**(RH1)**  $Y_n$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T}$ ;

**(RH2)**  $Y_n$  satisfies the jump condition for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$

$$(Y_n)_+(t) = (Y_n)_-(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu(t)/t^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $Y_n$  has continuous boundary values  $Y_+(z)$  as  $z$  approaches the unit circle from the inside, and  $Y_-(z)$  from the outside;

**(RH3)**  $Y_n$  has the following asymptotic behavior at infinity

$$Y_n(z) = \left( I_2 + O(z^{-1}) \right) \begin{bmatrix} z^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & z^n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{as} \quad z \rightarrow \infty;$$

(the  $I_2$  is the order 2 identity matrix) is given by

$$Y_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_n(z) & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n(t) \nu(t)}{t-z} \frac{1}{it^n} dt \\ -2\pi\kappa_{n-1}^2 \Phi_{n-1}^*(z) & -\kappa_{n-1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_{n-1}^*(t) \nu(t)}{t-z} \frac{1}{it^n} dt \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, it is also proved that  $\det Y_n = 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We will write,  $Y_n$ , in the form

$$(10) \quad Y_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_n(z) & G_n(z) \\ -b_{n-1} \Phi_{n-1}^*(z) & -b_{n-1} G_{n-1}^*(z) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$(11) \quad b_{n-1} = 2\pi\kappa_{n-1}^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$(12) \quad G_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n(t)}{t-z} \frac{\nu(t)}{t^n} dt, \quad G_{n-1}^*(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_{n-1}^*(t)}{t-z} \frac{\nu(t)}{t^n} dt,$$

will be called second kind functions associated with the orthogonal polynomials,  $\Phi_n$  and weight function  $\nu$  on the unit circle. Again, since  $\det Y_n = 1$ , we can write

$$(13) \quad Y_n^{-1}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} -b_{n-1}G_{n-1}^*(z) & -G_n(z) \\ b_{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}^*(z) & \Phi_n(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, we will work on the second kind functions  $G_n$  and  $G_{n-1}^*$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $d\mu(z) = \frac{\nu(z)}{iz} dz$  be a measure defined on the unit circle. Then their sequences of functions of second kind defined by (12) satisfies the following recurrence relations,*

$$(14) \quad G_n(z) = G_{n-1}(z) - \bar{\alpha}_{n-1}G_{n-1}^*(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(15) \quad zG_n^*(z) = G_{n-1}^*(z) - \alpha_{n-1}G_{n-1}(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* To show the equation (14) we multiply (5) in  $t$  variable by  $\frac{\nu(t)}{2\pi i t^{n+1}(t-z)}$  and then take integral in  $\mathbb{T}$  with respect to the variable  $t$ , i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_{n+1}(t)\nu(t)}{t^{n+1}(t-z)} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t\Phi_n(t)\nu(t)}{t^{n+1}(t-z)} dt - \bar{\alpha}_n \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n^*(t)\nu(t)}{t^{n+1}(t-z)} dt$$

which is equivalent to (14). To show (15), we proceed in the same way as before, now using the relation (8) in  $t$  variable and multiplying by  $\frac{\nu(t)}{2\pi i t^n(t-z)}$ , i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n^*(t)\nu(t)}{t^n(t-z)} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t\Phi_{n-1}(t)\nu(t)}{t^n(t-z)} dt - \alpha_{n-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t\Phi_{n-1}(t)\nu(t)}{t^n(t-z)} dt.$$

Now, taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n^*(t)\nu(t)}{t^n(t-z)} dt &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t-z+z}{t-z} \frac{\Phi_n^*(t)\nu(t)}{t^{n+1}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n^*(t)\nu(t)}{t^{n+1}} dt + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n^*(t)\nu(t)}{t^{n+1}(t-z)} dt \quad \text{and by (6)} \\ &= \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n^*(t)\nu(t)}{t^{n+1}(t-z)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain (15).  $\square$

Using orthogonality properties, in the next result we present the asymptotic expansion of  $G_n$  and  $G_{n-1}^*$  about  $\infty$ .

**Lemma 1** (Technical). *Let  $d\mu(z) = \frac{\nu(z)}{iz} dz$  be a measure defined on the unit circle. Then,*

$$(16) \quad G_n(0) = \frac{1}{b_n}, \quad G_{n-1}^*(0) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{b_{n-1}},$$

and

$$(17) \quad G_n(z) = -\frac{\bar{\alpha}_n}{b_n} \frac{1}{z^{n+1}} + \left( \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n}{b_n} \Phi_1^{n+1} - \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}} \right) \frac{1}{z^{n+2}} + \dots, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(18) \quad G_{n-1}^*(z) = \frac{1}{b_{n-1}} \left( -\frac{1}{z^n} + \frac{\Phi_1^n}{z^{n+1}} - \frac{\Phi_1^n \Phi_1^{n+1} - \Phi_2^{n+1}}{z^{n+2}} + \dots \right), \quad n \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Taking  $z = 0$  in (12), we have

$$G_n(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n(t)}{t^{n+1}} \nu(t) dt.$$

Therefore, using (7) and (11) we get the first identity in (16). Now, taking  $z = 0$  in the second identity in (16) and using (8) together with the orthogonality properties, we get

$$G_{n-1}^*(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n^*(t) + \alpha_{n-1} t \Phi_{n-1}(t)}{t^{n+1}} \nu(t) dt.$$

Therefore, using (7), (6) and (11) we get the second identity in (16). To prove equation (18), we take into account the second identity in (12) in order to get

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n-1}^*(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_{n-1}^*(t) \nu(t)}{t^n(t-z)} dt \\ &= -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^j \Phi_{n-1}^*(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it^n} dt \quad \text{and by (6)} \\ &= -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+n}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^j \Phi_{n-1}^*(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (7) we get that the coefficient of the  $(-n)$ -th  $z$  power is  $-b_{n-1}^{-1}$ . To determine the coefficient of the  $(-n-1)$ -th  $z$  power, we begin by identify

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_{n-1}^*(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{i} dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^n \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt.$$

Hence plugging into the orthogonal relation the expression of  $\Phi_n$  given in (9)

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_n(t) \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} (t^n + \Phi_1^n t^{n-1} + \Phi_2^n t^{n-2} + \dots) \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = 0$$

we arrive, taking into account the orthogonality condition (4), to the equation

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t^n \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt + \Phi_1^n \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{n-1} \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = 0,$$

and so the coefficient of the  $(-n-1)$ -th  $z$  power is  $\Phi_1^n b_{n-1}^{-1}$ . To determine the third coefficient,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t \Phi_{n-1}^*(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{i} dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{n+1} \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt.$$

Again, using the same argument, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_{n+1}(t) \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} (t^{n+1} + \Phi_1^{n+1} t^n + \Phi_2^{n+1} t^{n-1} + \dots) \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = 0,$$

we arrive, taking into account the orthogonality condition (4), to the equation

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{n+1} \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = -\Phi_1^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^n \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt - \Phi_2^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{n-1} \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt$$

and so the coefficient of the  $(-n-2)$ -th  $z$  power is  $(\Phi_1^{n+1} \Phi_1^n - \Phi_2^{n+1}) b_{n-1}^{-1}$ . Therefore, in general, the coefficients of the  $z$  powers in the expansion (18) are given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{n+j} \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = -\sum_{k=1}^{j+1} \Phi_k^{n+j} \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{n-k+j} \overline{\Phi_{n-1}(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

From (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n(t) \nu(t)}{it^n(t-z)} dt = -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^j \Phi_n(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it^n} dt \\ &= -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{n+j+1}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{j+1} \Phi_n(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt. \end{aligned}$$

For the first coefficients we observe that

$$(19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} t \Phi_n(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n}{\kappa_n^2}.$$

In fact, using the Szegő recurrence (5), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t \Phi_n(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_{n+1}(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt + \bar{\alpha}_n \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi_n^*(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt,$$

and taking into account (6) and (7) we arrive to (19). Now, proceeding as before departing by

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t^2 \Phi_n(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} t \Phi_{n+1}(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt + \bar{\alpha}_n \int_{\mathbb{T}} t \Phi_n^*(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt,$$

and using previous calculations, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t^2 \Phi_n(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{n+1}}{\kappa_{n+1}^2} - \Phi_1^{n+1} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_n}{\kappa_n^2}.$$

Therefore, in general, we have the relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{j+1} \Phi_n(t) \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{n+j}}{\kappa_{n+j}^2} - \bar{\alpha}_n \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{n+j} \overline{\Phi_n(t)} \frac{\nu(t)}{it} dt.$$

In this way we get the asymptotic expansion for  $G_n$  at infinity (17).  $\square$

Now, we apply the Riemann–Hilbert problem to derive recurrence relations for orthogonal polynomials and functions of second kind.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $Y_n$  be the solution of the Riemann–Hilbert problem, then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$(20) \quad Y_{n+1}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} = T_n(z) Y_n(z), \quad \text{where} \quad T_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} z + \bar{\alpha}_n \alpha_{n-1} & \bar{\alpha}_n / b_n \\ \alpha_{n-1} b_n & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* The matrices  $Y_n$  and  $Y_n^{-1}$  are holomorphic at  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T}$ , then the matrix function

$$T_n(z) = Y_{n+1}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} Y_n^{-1}(z)$$

is holomorphic at  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T}$ . However,  $T_n$  has no jump on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_n)_+(z) &= (Y_{n+1})_+(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} (Y_n^{-1})_+(z) \\ &= (Y_{n+1})_-(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu(z)/z^{n+1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\nu(z)/z^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (Y_n^{-1})_-(z) \\ &= (Y_{n+1})_-(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} (Y_n^{-1})_-(z) = (T_n)_-(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $T_n$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  and it is an entire function. Moreover, from the representation of  $Y_n$  and  $Y_n^{-1}$  given by (10) and (13), respectively, for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(z) &= \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{n+1}(z) & G_{n+1}(z) \\ -b_n \Phi_n^*(z) & -b_n G_n^*(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -b_{n-1} G_{n-1}^*(z) & -G_n(z) \\ b_{n-1} \Phi_{n-1}^*(z) & \Phi_n(z) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} b_{n-1}(z \Phi_{n-1}^*(z) G_{n+1}(z) - \Phi_{n+1}(z) G_{n-1}^*(z)) & -\Phi_{n+1}(z) G_n(z) + z \Phi_n(z) G_{n+1}(z) \\ b_n b_{n-1} (\Phi_n^*(z) G_{n-1}^*(z) - z \Phi_{n-1}^*(z) G_n^*(z)) & b_n (\Phi_n^*(z) G_n(z) - z \Phi_n(z) G_n^*(z)) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$



Now, using (17) and (18), and taking into account the behavior at infinity, and using Liouville's Theorem, we get the explicit expression for  $T_n$  in (20).  $\square$

As a direct consequence from equation (20) we obtain the recurrence relations for the entries in  $Y_n$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $Y_n$  be the solution of the Riemann–Hilbert problem, then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{n+1}(z) &= (z + \bar{\alpha}_n \alpha_{n-1})\Phi_n(z) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} \bar{\alpha}_n \Phi_{n-1}^*(z), \\ -b_n \Phi_n^*(z) &= \alpha_{n-1} b_n \Phi_n(z) - b_{n-1} \Phi_{n-1}^*(z), \\ z G_{n+1}(z) &= (z + \bar{\alpha}_n \alpha_{n-1})G_n(z) - \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} \bar{\alpha}_n G_{n-1}^*(z), \\ -b_n z G_n^*(z) &= \alpha_{n-1} b_n G_n(z) - b_{n-1} G_{n-1}^*(z).\end{aligned}$$

### 3. FUNDAMENTAL MATRICES FROM RIEMANN–HILBERT PROBLEM

We introduce the constant jump fundamental matrix,  $Z_n$ , which will be instrumental in what follows

$$(21) \quad Z_n(z) = Y_n(z)C_n(z), \quad \text{where} \quad C_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z^{-n/2}}{(\nu(z))^{-1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{z^{n/2}}{(\nu(z))^{1/2}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $Y_n$  be the solution of the Riemann–Hilbert problem, then*

$$\sqrt{z} Z_{n+1}(z) = T_n(z) Z_n(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $T_n$  is defined in (20).

*Proof.* It follows from direct computations, using (20) and (21).  $\square$

Now, we introduce the structure matrix given in terms of the logarithmic derivatives of the fundamental matrix defined in (21)

$$(22) \quad M_n(z) = Z'_n(z)Z_n^{-1}(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We study, the analytic properties of the functions just defined, for some specific weights on the unit circle, namely the modified Bessel and Jacobi weights.

**3.1. Modified Bessel case.** Here we consider the semiclassical weight function

$$w(\theta) = e^{\ell \cos(\theta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(z) = e^{\ell(z+z^{-1})/2}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi],$$

where  $\ell > 0$  is a real parameter. In this case, the Verblunsky coefficients are real and depending of  $\ell$ . This is the weight function related to the modified Bessel polynomials [21].

The next result presents some properties satisfied by the matrix  $Z_n$  defined in (21) related to the modified Bessel weight function.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\nu(z) = e^{\ell(z+z^{-1})/2}$ , then the matrix function  $Z_n$  is such that

- i)  $Z_n$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\})$ ;
- ii)  $Z_n$  satisfies the constant jump condition

$$(Z_n)_+(t) = (Z_n)_-(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T};$$

- iii)  $Z_n$  has the following asymptotic behavior at infinity

$$Z_n(z) = \left( I_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \begin{bmatrix} z^{-n/2} \nu^{-1/2}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & z^{n/2} \nu^{1/2}(z) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{as} \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* i) Since  $C_n$  is a matrix of entire functions in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\})$  and  $Y_n$  is a holomorphic matrix in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T}$ , then  $Z_n$  is holomorphic at  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\})$ .

- ii) For  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_n)_+(t) &= (Y_n)_+(t) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{t^{-n/2}}{\nu^{-1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t^{n/2}}{\nu^{1/2}} \end{bmatrix} = (Y_n)_-(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\nu(t)}{t^n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{t^{-n/2}}{\nu^{-1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t^{n/2}}{\nu^{1/2}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (Y_n)_-(t) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{t^{-n/2}}{\nu^{-1/2}} & \frac{\nu^{1/2}}{t^{n/2}} \\ 0 & \frac{t^{n/2}}{\nu^{1/2}} \end{bmatrix} = (Y_n)_-(t) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{t^{-n/2}}{\nu^{-1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t^{n/2}}{\nu^{1/2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (Z_n)_-(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

- iii) It follows from the asymptotic behavior of the matrix  $Y_n$  at infinity. □

Now we will study the analytic character for the structure matrix associated with orthogonal polynomials in relation to the *modified Bessel* weight function.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\nu(z) = e^{\ell(z+z^{-1})/2}$ . Then, the corresponding structure matrix  $M_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , given in (22), is a holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  with a pole of order 2 at  $z = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $Z_n$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\})$ , then  $M_n(z) = Z'_n(z)Z_n^{-1}(z)$  is also holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\})$ . Due to the fact that  $Z_n$  has a constant jump on  $\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\}$ , the matrix function  $Z'_n$  has the same constant jump on  $\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\}$ , so the matrix  $M_n$  has no jump on  $\mathbb{T} \cup \{0\}$ , and it follows that at the origin  $M_n$  has an isolated singularity at  $z = 0$ . Note that

$$M_n(z) = Z'_n(z)Z_n^{-1}(z) = Y'_n(z)Y_n^{-1}(z) + Y_n(z)C'_n(z)C_n^{-1}(z)Y_n^{-1}(z).$$

Multiplying both sides of the last equation by  $z^2$  we get

$$z^2 M_n(z) = z^2 Y'_n(z)Y_n^{-1}(z) + z^2 Y_n(z)C'_n(z)C_n^{-1}(z)Y_n^{-1}(z).$$

Therefore, using (16) we obtain

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^2 M_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\ell}{4}(b_{n-1}b_n^{-1} - \alpha_{n-1}^2) & -\frac{\ell}{2}b_n^{-1}\alpha_{n-1} \\ -\frac{\ell}{2}b_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1} & -\frac{\ell}{4}(b_{n-1}b_n^{-1} - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \end{bmatrix} \neq \mathbf{0}_2,$$

where  $\mathbf{0}_2$  is the zero matrix. It follows that  $M_n$  has a pole of order two at  $z = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *In the hypothesis of the Theorem 5 we have that  $\tilde{M}_n = z^2 M_n$ , is, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an entire matrix function.*

Now, we will explicitly determine the  $\tilde{M}_n$  just defined.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\nu(z) = e^{\ell(z+z^{-1})/2}$  and  $M_n$  the matrix defined in (22). Then  $\tilde{M}_n$  is given by*

$$(23) \quad \tilde{M}_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\ell}{4}z^2 + \frac{n}{2}z + \frac{\ell}{4}(b_{n-1}b_n^{-1} - \alpha_{n-1}^2) & -\frac{\ell}{2}b_n^{-1}(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n z) \\ -\frac{\ell}{2}b_{n-1}(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}z) & -\frac{\ell}{4}z^2 - \frac{n}{2}z - \frac{\ell}{4}(b_{n-1}b_n^{-1} - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* We know that  $\tilde{M}_n(z) = z^2 Y_n'(z) Y_n^{-1}(z) + z^2 Y_n(z) C_n'(z) C_n^{-1}(z) Y_n^{-1}(z)$ . From (10), (13), and (21), and using (17) and (18), we obtain the asymptotic behavior for  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\tilde{M}_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\ell z^2}{4} + \frac{nz}{2} - \frac{b_{n-1}b_n^{-1}\alpha_n\alpha_{n-2} + \ell + 4\Phi_1^n}{4} & \frac{\alpha_n}{b_n} \left( \frac{\ell z}{2} + n + 1 + \frac{\ell}{2}(\Phi_1^n - 1) \right) + \frac{\ell\alpha_{n-1}}{2b_{n+1}^{-1}} \\ b_{n-1} \left( \left( \frac{\ell z}{2} + n - 1 - \frac{\ell}{2}\Phi_1^n \right) \alpha_{n-2} + \overline{\Phi_{n-2}^{n-1}} \right) & -\frac{\ell z^2}{4} - \frac{nz}{2} - \frac{b_{n-1}b_n^{-1}\alpha_n\alpha_{n-2} - \ell - 4\Phi_1^n}{4} \end{bmatrix} + O(z^{-1}).$$

Therefore, since  $\tilde{M}_n$  is an entire matrix function, the Liouville Theorem implies that

$$(24) \quad \tilde{M}_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\ell}{4}z^2 + \frac{n}{2}z - \frac{1}{4}(b_{n-1}b_n^{-1}\alpha_n\alpha_{n-2} + \ell + 4\Phi_1^n) & b_n^{-1} \frac{\ell}{2} \alpha_n z + b_n^{-1} \alpha_n (n+1 + \frac{\ell}{2}(\Phi_1^n - 1)) + \frac{\ell}{2} b_{n+1}^{-1} \alpha_{n-1} \\ b_{n-1} \left( \frac{\ell}{2} \alpha_{n-2} z + b_{n-1} (n-1 - \frac{\ell}{2} \Phi_1^n) \alpha_{n-2} + \overline{\Phi_{n-2}^{n-1}} \right) & -\frac{\ell}{4}z^2 - \frac{n}{2}z - \frac{1}{4}(b_{n-1}b_n^{-1}\alpha_n\alpha_{n-2} - \ell - 4\Phi_1^n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that  $\tilde{M}_n$  in (24) is a polynomial function of degree 2, that is, we can write it as  $\tilde{M}_n(z) = \tilde{F}_n^2 z^2 + \tilde{F}_n^1 z + \tilde{F}_n^0$ , where  $\tilde{F}_n^2$ ,  $\tilde{F}_n^1$ , and  $\tilde{F}_n^0$  are constants and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \tilde{M}_n(z) = \tilde{F}_n^0$ . Therefore, using the Lemma 1, we get

$$\tilde{F}_n^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\ell}{4}(b_{n-1}b_n^{-1} - \alpha_{n-1}^2) & -\frac{\ell}{2}b_n^{-1}\alpha_{n-1} \\ -\frac{\ell}{2}b_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1} & -\frac{\ell}{4}(b_{n-1}b_n^{-1} - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, from (24), we have

$$\tilde{F}_n^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2} & \frac{\ell}{2}b_n^{-1}\alpha_n \\ \frac{\ell}{2}b_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2} & -\frac{n}{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{F}_n^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\ell}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\ell}{4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, when  $z \rightarrow 0$ , we arrive to (23).  $\square$

The zero curvature formula is important for finding nonlinear difference equations satisfied by Verblunsky coefficients.

**Theorem 7.** *The matrix function,  $\tilde{M}_n$ , defined in (23) satisfies*

$$(25) \quad z^2 T_n' + T_n \tilde{M}_n - \frac{z}{2} T_n - \tilde{M}_{n+1} T_n = \mathbf{0}_2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 3 we know that  $\sqrt{z} Z_{n+1} = T_n Z_n$ , then taking derivative, multiplying by  $z^2$  and again using Theorem 3, we obtain

$$\left\{ z^2 T'_n + T_n \tilde{M}_n - \frac{z}{2} T_n - \tilde{M}_{n+1} T_n \right\} Z_n = \mathbf{0}_2.$$

Therefore, since  $Z_n$  is invertible, we get the zero curvature formula (25).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $T_n$  and  $\tilde{M}_n$  given by (20) and (23), respectively, then*

$$(26) \quad \alpha_n(\ell) + \alpha_{n-2}(\ell) = -\frac{2n}{\ell} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}(\ell)}{1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2(\ell)}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* It is an easy consequence of (25).  $\square$

The equation (26) corresponds to the discrete Painlevé equation (dP<sub>II</sub>).

**Corollary 4.** *In the conditions of Theorem 7, we get*

$$\tilde{M}_{n+1} \left\{ z^2 T'_n - \frac{z}{2} T_n \right\} + \left\{ z^2 T'_n - \frac{z}{2} T_n \right\} \tilde{M}_n = \tilde{M}_{n+1}^2 T_n - T_n \tilde{M}_n^2.$$

*Proof.* Multiplying on the left of (25) by  $\tilde{M}_{n+1}$  we get

$$(27) \quad \tilde{M}_{n+1} z^2 T'_n - \tilde{M}_{n+1} \frac{z}{2} T_n = \tilde{M}_{n+1}^2 T_n - \tilde{M}_{n+1} T_n \tilde{M}_n$$

and on the other hand, multiplying on the right by  $\tilde{M}_n$  we obtain

$$(28) \quad z^2 T'_n \tilde{M}_n - \tilde{M}_{n+1} \frac{z}{2} T_n \tilde{M}_n = \tilde{M}_{n+1}^2 T_n \tilde{M}_n - \tilde{M}_{n+1} T_n \tilde{M}_n^2.$$

Adding (27) and (28), we have the desired equation.  $\square$

**3.2. Jacobi modified case.** We consider here the semiclassical weight function, studied in [25], namely

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b) e^{-\eta\theta} \left( \sin^2(\theta/2) \right)^\lambda, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi],$$

where

$$\eta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda > -1/2, \quad b = \lambda + i\eta \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(b) = \frac{e^{\pi\eta} 2^{b+\bar{b}} |\Gamma(b+1)|^2}{2\pi\Gamma(b+\bar{b}+1)}.$$

Since  $z = e^{i\theta}$ , we can write the weight function as

$$\nu(z) = \frac{\tau(b)}{2^{b+\bar{b}}} (-z)^{-\bar{b}} (1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

For simplicity, in this work we consider  $\nu(z) = (-z)^{-\bar{b}} (1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , which coincides up to a constant with the above weight.

The next result presents some properties satisfied by the matrix  $Z_n$  defined in (21) for this weight function.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\nu(z) = (-z)^{-\bar{b}}(1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}$ , then their matrix function  $Z_n$  is such that

- i)  $Z_n$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{T} \cup \{0, 1\})$ ;
- ii)  $Z_n$  satisfies the constant jump condition

$$(Z_n)_+(t) = (Z_n)_-(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T};$$

- iii) About infinity has the following asymptotic

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} Z_n(z) \begin{bmatrix} z^{-n/2} \nu^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{n/2} \nu^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} = I_2.$$

*Proof.* i) Since  $C_n$  is a matrix of entire functions in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{T} \cup \{0, 1\})$  and  $Y_n$  is a holomorphic matrix in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T}$ , then  $Z_n$  is holomorphic at  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{T} \cup \{0, 1\})$ .

- ii) The result follows by analogous arguments as the one used in the proof of the case ii) of Theorem 4.

- iii) It follows from the asymptotic of the matrix  $Y_n$ . □

We will study the analytic character for the structure matrix associated with these *modified Jacobi* orthogonal polynomials.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $\nu(z) = (-z)^{-\bar{b}}(1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}$ , then the structure matrix  $M_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  with a simple pole at  $z = 0$  and a removable singularity or a simple pole at  $z = 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $M_n(z) = Z'_n(z)Z_n^{-1}(z)$ , then it follows that  $M_n$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{T} \cup \{0, 1\})$ . Due to the fact that  $Z_n$  and  $Z'_n$  has the same constant jump on  $\mathbb{T} \cup \{0, 1\}$ , so the matrix  $M_n$  has no jump on  $\mathbb{T} \cup \{0, 1\}$ , and it follows that  $M_n$  has isolated singularities at  $z = 0$  and  $z = 1$ . Multiplying  $M_n$  by  $z$  we have

$$zM_n = zY'_n Y_n^{-1} + zY_n C'_n C_n^{-1} Y_n^{-1}.$$

Note that, using (16) we get

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} zM_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{b} + n)(2|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 - 1) & -b_n^{-1}(\bar{b} + n)\bar{\alpha}_{n-1} \\ -b_{n-1}(\bar{b} + n)\alpha_{n-1} & \frac{1}{2}(\bar{b} + n)(2|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 - 1) \end{bmatrix} \neq \mathbf{0}_2.$$

Therefore, by direct calculation, we can state that  $M_n$  has a simple pole at  $z = 0$ .

To prove the statement related to the singularity at the point  $z = 1$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 M(z) = \mathbf{0}_2$ . At the point  $z = 1$ , using [20, Ch. 8.3] and [1, Lemma 7.2.2], we can state that

$$G_n(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(1), & b + \bar{b} = \beta + i\gamma, \quad \beta > 0, \\ \mathcal{O}(\log(z-1)), & b + \bar{b} = i\gamma, \\ \mathcal{O}((z-1)^\beta), & b + \bar{b} = \beta + i\gamma, \quad -1 < \beta < 0, \end{cases}$$

and analogously

$$G_n^*(z) = \begin{cases} O(1), & b + \bar{b} = \beta + i\gamma, \quad \beta > 0, \\ O(\log(z-1)), & b + \bar{b} = i\gamma, \\ O((z-1)^\beta), & b + \bar{b} = \beta + i\gamma, \quad -1 < \beta < 0. \end{cases}$$

It also follows that  $G_n'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n(t)}{(t-z)^2} \frac{\nu(t)}{t^n} dt$ . So, taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} (z-1)G_n'(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(z-1)\Phi_n(t)}{(t-z)^2} \frac{\nu(t)}{t^n} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Phi_n(t)}{t-z} \frac{\nu(t)}{t^n} dt + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(t-1)\Phi_n(t)}{(t-z)^2} \frac{\nu(t)}{t^n} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and using integration by parts, and again the behavior of the Cauchy integral at the power singularity  $(1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}$  we get that

$$(z-1)G_n'(z) = \begin{cases} O(1), & b + \bar{b} = \beta + i\gamma, \quad \beta > 0, \\ O(\log(z-1)), & b + \bar{b} = i\gamma, \\ O((z-1)^\beta), & b + \bar{b} = \beta + i\gamma, \quad -1 < \beta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Analogously,

$$(z-1)G_n^{*'}(z) = \begin{cases} O(1), & b + \bar{b} = \beta + i\gamma, \quad \beta > 0, \\ O(\log(z-1)), & b + \bar{b} = i\gamma, \\ O((z-1)^\beta), & b + \bar{b} = \alpha + i\gamma, \quad -1 < \beta < 0. \end{cases}$$

So, it holds in any case that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)G_n(z) &= 0, & \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)G_n^*(z) &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 G_n'(z) &= 0, & \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 G_n^{*'}(z) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and, using that  $\det Y_n = 1$ , we arrive to

$$Y_n'(z) = \begin{bmatrix} O(1) & o\left(\frac{1}{(z-1)^2}\right) \\ O(1) & o\left(\frac{1}{(z-1)^2}\right) \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_n^{-1}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} o\left((z-1)^{-1}\right) & o\left((z-1)^{-1}\right) \\ O(1) & O(1) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \rightarrow 1.$$

From these we successively get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 (Y_n)' (Y_n)^{-1} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 \begin{bmatrix} o\left((z-1)^{-1}\right) + o\left((z-1)^{-2}\right) & o\left((z-1)^{-1}\right) + o\left((z-1)^{-2}\right) \\ o\left((z-1)^{-2}\right) + o\left((z-1)^{-1}\right) & o\left((z-1)^{-2}\right) + o\left((z-1)^{-1}\right) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-2}) & \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-2}) \\ \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-2}) & \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-2}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 Y_n C_n' C_n^{-1} Y_n^{-1} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n+\bar{b}}{2z} + \frac{b+\bar{b}}{2(z-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n+\bar{b}}{2z} - \frac{b+\bar{b}}{2(z-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) & \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) & \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) \\ \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) & \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) \mathcal{O}((z-1)^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_2. \end{aligned}$$

By combining previous results we obtain  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)^2 M_n = \mathbf{0}_2$  as we wanted to prove.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *In the hypothesis of the Theorem 9 we have that  $\tilde{M}_n = z(1-z)M_n$  is an entire matrix function.*

Now, we will explicitly determine the  $\tilde{M}_n$  just defined.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $\nu(z) = (-z)^{-\bar{b}}(1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}$  and  $M_n$  be the associated structure matrix defined by (22). Then,  $\tilde{M}_n = z(1-z)M_n$  is given by*

$$(29) \quad \tilde{M}_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(b+n)z + (\bar{b}+n)(2|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 - 1)}{2} & -b_n^{-1}(\bar{b}+n)\bar{\alpha}_{n-1} \\ -b_{n-1}(\bar{b}+n)\alpha_{n-1} & \frac{(b+n)z + (\bar{b}+n)(2|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 - 1)}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* We know that

$$z(1-z)M_n = z(1-z)Y_n'Y_n^{-1} + z(1-z)Y_n C_n' C_n^{-1} Y_n^{-1}.$$

From (10), (13), and (21), then by (17) and (18) we obtain the following asymptotic behavior about infinity,

$$\tilde{M}_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{(b+n)z + (\bar{b}-n)}{2} + \Phi_1^n & -(b+n+1)b_n^{-1}\bar{\alpha}_n \\ -(b+n-1)b_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2} & \frac{(b+n)z + (\bar{b}-n)}{2} - \Phi_1^n \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}).$$

Using the Liouville Theorem, it follows that

$$(30) \quad \tilde{M}_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}((b+n)z + (\bar{b}-n)) + \Phi_1^n & -(b+n+1)b_n^{-1}\bar{\alpha}_n \\ -(b+n-1)b_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2} & \frac{1}{2}((b+n)z + (\bar{b}-n)) - \Phi_1^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that,  $\tilde{M}_n(z) = \tilde{F}_n^1 z + \tilde{F}_n^0$ , where  $\tilde{F}_n^1$ , and  $\tilde{F}_n^0$  are constants and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z(1-z)M_n = \tilde{F}_n^0$ .

Therefore, applying the Lemma 1, we get

$$\tilde{F}_n^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{b}+n)(2|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 - 1) & -b_n^{-1}(\bar{b}+n)\bar{\alpha}_{n-1} \\ -b_{n-1}(\bar{b}+n)\alpha_{n-1} & \frac{1}{2}(\bar{b}+n)(2|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 - 1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, from  $\tilde{M}_n$  in (30), we have

$$\tilde{F}_n^1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}(b+n) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(b+n) \end{bmatrix},$$

and so we arrive to (29).  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *In the hypothesis of Theorem 10 the matrix  $M_n$  has a simple pole at  $z = 1$  with residue matrix*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1)M_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(b+n) + (\bar{b}+n)(2|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 - 1)}{2} & b_n^{-1}(\bar{b}+n)\bar{\alpha}_{n-1} \\ b_{n-1}(\bar{b}+n)\alpha_{n-1} & -\frac{(b+n) + (\bar{b}+n)(2|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 - 1)}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $\nu(z) = (-z)^{-\bar{b}}(1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}$  then*

$$(31) \quad \Phi_1^n = \bar{b} - (\bar{b}+n)|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_n = \frac{b+n}{\bar{b}+n+1}\alpha_{n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* Comparing the (1, 1) and (1, 2) entries in the representation of  $\tilde{M}_n$  given by (29) and (30) we obtain (31).  $\square$

The expression (31) for the  $\alpha_n$  was obtained in [25]. The next result presents the zero curvature formula associated with this case.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $\nu(z) = (-z)^{-\bar{b}}(1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}$  and the matrix (29). Then,  $T_n$  satisfy*

$$(32) \quad z(1-z)T'_n + T_n\tilde{M}_n - \frac{1-z}{2}T_n - \tilde{M}_{n+1}T_n = \mathbf{0}_2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Equation (32) is known as a zero curvature formula for  $T_n$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 3 we have  $\sqrt{z}Z_{n+1} = T_nZ_n$ , then taking derivative, multiplying by  $z(1-z)$  and applying again Theorem 3 we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{(1-z)}{2}T_n + \tilde{M}_{n+1}T_n - z(1-z)T'_n - T_n\tilde{M}_n \right\} Z_n = \mathbf{0}_2.$$

Since  $Z_n$  is invertible, we get the desired equation.  $\square$

From zero curvature formula (32) we recover (31).

**Corollary 8.** *In the conditions of Theorem 11, we have the equation*

$$\tilde{M}_{n+1} \left\{ z(1-z)T'_n - \frac{(1-z)}{2}T_n \right\} + \left\{ z(1-z)T'_n - \frac{(1-z)}{2}T_n \right\} \tilde{M}_n = \tilde{M}_{n+1}^2 T_n - T_n \tilde{M}_n^2.$$



*Proof.* From (32), we have  $z(1-z)T'_n - \frac{1-z}{2}T_n = \tilde{M}_{n+1}T_n - T_n\tilde{M}_n$ . Multiplying on the left side of the last equation by  $\tilde{M}_{n+1}$ , we get

$$(33) \quad \tilde{M}_{n+1}z(1-z)T'_n - \tilde{M}_{n+1}\frac{1-z}{2}T_n = \tilde{M}_{n+1}^2T_n - \tilde{M}_{n+1}T_n\tilde{M}_n$$

and on the other hand multiplying on the right by  $\tilde{M}_n$ , we obtain

$$(34) \quad z(1-z)T'_n\tilde{M}_n - \frac{1-z}{2}T_n\tilde{M}_n = \tilde{M}_{n+1}T_n\tilde{M}_n - T_n\tilde{M}_n^2.$$

Adding (33) and (34), we have the desired equation.  $\square$

#### 4. DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONS FROM THE RIEMANN–HILBERT PROBLEM

We are interested in the differential equations fulfilled by the orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Here we use the Riemann–Hilbert problem approach in order to derive these differential relations.

**4.1. Bessel modified case.** Here we consider  $\tilde{M}_n(z) = z^2M_n(z)$  as a matrix of entire functions (cf. Corollary 2).

**Theorem 12** (First order differential equation for the matrix  $Y_n$ ). *Let  $\nu = e^{\ell(z+z^{-1})/2}$ . Then  $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies*

$$(35) \quad z^2Y'_n = \tilde{M}_nY_n - z^2Y_nC'_nC_n^{-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* The equation (35) follows from the definition of the matrix  $M_n$  in (22).  $\square$

Now, we will see that the matrix equation (35) encode the first order differential equations for  $\Phi_n$ ,  $G_n$ ,  $\Phi_{n-1}^*$  and  $G_{n-1}^*$ .

**Corollary 9.** *The first order matrix differential equation (35) split into the following differential relations*

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} z^2\Phi'_n(z) &= \left\{nz + \frac{\ell}{2} - \frac{\ell}{2}\alpha_{n-1}^2\right\}\Phi_n(z) + \frac{\ell}{2}b_{n-1}b_n^{-1}(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n z)\Phi_{n-1}^*(z), \\ z^2G'_n(z) &= \left\{\frac{\ell}{2}z^2 - \frac{\ell}{2}\alpha_{n-1}^2\right\}G_n(z) + \frac{\ell}{2}b_{n-1}b_n^{-1}(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n z)G_{n-1}^*(z), \\ z^2(\Phi_{n-1}^*)'(z) &= \frac{\ell}{2}(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}z)\Phi_n(z) + \left\{-\frac{\ell}{2}z^2 + \frac{\ell}{2}\alpha_{n-1}^2\right\}\Phi_{n-1}^*(z), \\ z^2(G_{n-1}^*)'(z) &= \frac{\ell}{2}(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}z)G_n(z) + \left\{-nz - \frac{\ell}{2} + \frac{\ell}{2}\alpha_{n-1}^2\right\}G_{n-1}^*(z). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Is a direct consequence of the Theorem 12.  $\square$

Note that with some manipulations, it can be shown that equation (36) is equivalent to the structure relation

$$(37) \quad z\Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_n(z) + \frac{\ell}{2} \frac{\kappa_{n-1}^2}{\kappa_n^2} (\Phi_{n-1}(z) - \bar{\alpha}_n \Phi_{n-1}^*(z))$$

presented in [4]. Furthermore, doing  $z = 0$  in (37) and some more calculations, it can be shown that (36) coincides with equation (2).

**Theorem 13** (Second order differential equation for the matrix  $Y_n$ ). *Let  $\nu = e^{\ell(z+z^{-1})/2}$ . Then  $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies*

$$(38) \quad z^2 Y_n'' + 2Y_n'(z^2 C_n' C_n^{-1} + z I_2) + Y_n(z^2 C_n'' C_n^{-1} + 2z C_n' C_n^{-1}) = \left( \tilde{M}'_n + \frac{\tilde{M}_n^2}{z^2} \right) Y_n.$$

*Proof.* Taking derivative on  $z^2 Z'_n = \tilde{M}_n Z_n$  we arrive to

$$2z Z'_n + z^2 Z''_n = \tilde{M}'_n Z_n + \tilde{M}_n Z'_n.$$

Again using  $z^2 Z'_n = \tilde{M}_n Z_n$  and multiplying for  $Z_n^{-1}$  we get

$$(39) \quad z^2 Z''_n Z_n^{-1} + 2z Z'_n Z_n^{-1} = \tilde{M}'_n + \frac{\tilde{M}_n^2}{z^2}.$$

On the other hand, we have  $Z_n = Y_n C_n$ , i.e.,

$$Z'_n = Y'_n C_n + Y_n C'_n, \quad Z''_n = Y''_n C_n + 2Y'_n C'_n + Y_n C''_n.$$

Therefore, multiplying on the right by  $Z_n^{-1}$  we arrive to

$$\left( z^2 Y_n'' + 2Y_n'(z^2 C_n' C_n^{-1} + z I_2) + Y_n(z^2 C_n'' C_n^{-1} + 2z C_n' C_n^{-1}) \right) Y_n^{-1} = \tilde{M}'_n + \frac{\tilde{M}_n^2}{z^2}$$

and finally get (38).  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *The second order matrix differential equation (38) split into the following differential relations*

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 \Phi_n''(z) + \left( \frac{\ell}{2} z^2 + (2-n)z - \frac{\ell}{2} \right) \Phi_n'(z) + \left( -\frac{\ell n}{2} z - \frac{\ell^2}{4} - n \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\ell^2}{4} ((1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \alpha_n \alpha_{n-2} - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \right) \Phi_n(z) = -\frac{\ell}{2} (1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \alpha_n \Phi_{n-1}^*(z), \\ z^2 G_n''(z) + \left( -\frac{\ell z^2}{2} + (n+2)z + \frac{\ell}{2} \right) G_n'(z) - \left( \ell \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) z + \frac{\ell^2}{4} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\ell^2}{4} ((1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \alpha_n \alpha_{n-2} - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \right) G_n(z) = -\frac{\ell}{2} (1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \alpha_n G_{n-1}^*(z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
z^2(\Phi_{n-1}^*)''(z) + \left(\frac{\ell z^2}{2} + (2-n)z - \frac{\ell}{2}\right)(\Phi_{n-1}^*)'(z) - \left(\ell\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)z + \frac{\ell^2}{4}\right. \\
\left. + \frac{\ell^2}{4}((1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2)\alpha_n\alpha_{n-2} - \alpha_{n-1}^2)\right)\Phi_{n-1}^*(z) = -\frac{\ell}{2}\alpha_{n-2}\Phi_n(z), \\
z^2(G_{n-1}^*)''(z) + \left(-\frac{\ell z^2}{2} + (n+2)z + \frac{\ell}{2}\right)(G_{n-1}^*)'(z) - \left(\frac{\ell n}{2}z + \frac{\ell^2}{4} - n\right. \\
\left. + \frac{\ell^2}{4}((1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2)\alpha_n\alpha_{n-2} - \alpha_{n-1}^2)\right)G_{n-1}^*(z) = -\frac{\ell}{2}\alpha_{n-2}G_n(z).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Is a direct consequence of the Theorem 13 and of the representation of  $\tilde{M}_n$  given in (23). In fact, from (23) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tilde{M}_n^2}{z^2} &= \left(\frac{\ell^2}{16}z^2 + \frac{\ell n}{4}z + \frac{\ell^2}{8} + \frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{\ell^2}{4}\alpha_{n-1}^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{4}(1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2)\alpha_{n-2}\alpha_n + \frac{\ell n}{4z} + \frac{\ell^2}{16z^2}\right)I_2, \\
\tilde{M}'_n &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\ell}{2}z + \frac{n}{2} & \frac{\ell}{2}b_n^{-1}\alpha_n \\ \frac{\ell}{2}b_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2} & -\frac{\ell}{2}z - \frac{n}{2} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, taking into account the definition of  $C_n$  in (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
z^2C_n''C_n^{-1} + 2zC_n'C_n^{-1} &= \left(\frac{\ell^2}{16}z^2 - \frac{\ell n}{4}z - \frac{\ell^2}{8} + \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{\ell n}{4z} + \frac{\ell^2}{16z^2}\right)I_2 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\ell}{2}z - \frac{n}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\ell}{2}z + \frac{n}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \\
z^2C_n'C_n^{-1} + zI_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\ell}{4}z^2 + \left(1 - \frac{n}{2}\right)z - \frac{\ell}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\ell}{4}z^2 + \left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right)z + \frac{\ell}{4} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting all these matrices into (38), we obtain the desired equations.  $\square$

**4.2. Jacobi modified case.** Here we consider,  $\tilde{M}_n(z) = z(1-z)M_n(z)$ , as a matrix of entire functions (cf. Corollary 5).

**Theorem 14** (First order differential equation for the matrix  $Y_n$ ). *Let  $\nu$  be the weight function,  $\nu(z) = (-z)^{-\bar{b}}(1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}$ . Then  $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies*

$$(40) \quad z(1-z)Y'_n = \tilde{M}_n Y_n - z(1-z)Y_n C_n' C_n^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* The equation (40) follows from the definition of the matrix  $M_n$  in (22).  $\square$

Now, we write entriwise the matrix equations (40).

**Corollary 11.** *The first order matrix differential equation (40) split into the following differential relations*

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \quad z(1-z)\Phi'_n(z) &= (-nz + (\bar{b} + n)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2))\Phi_n(z) + (\bar{b} + n)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}^*(z), \\
z(1-z)G'_n(z) &= (-bz - (\bar{b} + n)|\alpha_{n-1}|^2)G_n(z) + (\bar{b} + n)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}G_{n-1}^*(z), \\
z(1-z)(\Phi_{n-1}^*)'(z) &= (bz + (\bar{b} + n)|\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\Phi_{n-1}^*(z) + (\bar{b} + n)\alpha_{n-1}\Phi_n(z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$z(1-z)(G_{n-1}^*)'(z) = (nz - (\bar{b} + n)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2))G_{n-1}^*(z) + (\bar{b} + n)\alpha_{n-1}G_n(z).$$

*Proof.* Is a direct consequence of the Theorem 14.  $\square$

Note that with some manipulations, it can be shown that equation (41) is equivalent to the structure relation

$$(z-1)\Phi_n'(z) = -(\bar{b} + n)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\Phi_{n-1}(z) + n\Phi_n(z)$$

presented in [4].

**Theorem 15** (Second order differential equation for the matrix  $Y_n$ ). *Let  $\nu$  be the weight function,  $\nu(z) = (-z)^{-\bar{b}}(1-z)^{b+\bar{b}}$ . Then  $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies*

$$(42) \quad z(1-z)Y_n'' + Y_n'[2z(1-z)C_n' C_n^{-1} + (1-2z)I_2] \\ + Y_n[z(1-z)C_n'' C_n^{-1} + (1-2z)C_n' C_n^{-1}] = \left( \tilde{M}_n' + \frac{\tilde{M}_n^2}{z(1-z)} \right) Y_n.$$

*Proof.* Taking derivative on  $z(1-z)Z_n' = \tilde{M}_n Z_n$  we arrive to

$$(1-2z)Z_n' + z(1-z)Z_n'' = \tilde{M}_n' Z_n + \tilde{M}_n Z_n'.$$

Again using  $z(1-z)Z_n' = \tilde{M}_n Z_n$  and multiplying  $Z_n^{-1}$ , we get

$$(43) \quad z(1-z)Z_n'' Z_n^{-1} = \left\{ \tilde{M}_n' + \frac{\tilde{M}_n^2}{z(1-z)} - (1-2z)\frac{\tilde{M}_n}{z(1-z)} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, we have  $Z_n = Y_n C_n$ , i.e,  $Z_n'' = Y_n'' C_n + 2Y_n' C_n' + Y_n C_n''$ . Therefore

$$z(1-z)Z_n'' Z_n^{-1} Y_n = z(1-z)Y_n'' + 2Y_n' z(1-z)C_n' C_n^{-1} + Y_n z(1-z)C_n'' C_n^{-1}.$$

Then, taking into account (43), it follows that

$$z(1-z)Y_n'' + 2Y_n' z(1-z)C_n' C_n^{-1} + Y_n z(1-z)C_n'' C_n^{-1} = \left( \tilde{M}_n' + \frac{\tilde{M}_n^2}{z(1-z)} - (1-2z)\frac{\tilde{M}_n}{z(1-z)} \right) Y_n.$$

We finally get (42).  $\square$

**Corollary 12.** *The second order matrix differential equation (42) split into the following differential relations*

$$\begin{aligned} z(1-z)\Phi_n''(z) + [(n-b-2)z + (1-n-\bar{b})]\Phi_n'(z) + n(1+b)\Phi_n(z) &= 0, \\ z(1-z)G_n''(z) + [(b-n-2)z + (1+n+\bar{b})]G_n'(z) + b(1+n)G_n(z) &= 0, \\ z(1-z)(\Phi_{n-1}^*)''(z) + ((n-b-2)z + (1-n-\bar{b}))(\Phi_{n-1}^*)'(z) + b(n-1)\Phi_{n-1}^*(z) &= 0, \\ z(1-z)(G_{n-1}^*)''(z) + ((b-n-2)z + (1+n+\bar{b}))G_{n-1}^*(z) + n(b-1)G_{n-1}^*(z) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It is a consequence of the Theorem 15 and of the representation of  $\tilde{M}_n$  given in (29). In fact, from (29) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{M}_n^2 &= (z|\alpha_{n-1}|^2(n+b)(\bar{b}+n) + \frac{1}{4}(\bar{b}-z(n+b)+n)^2)\mathbf{I}_2, \\ \tilde{M}'_n &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n+b}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n+b}{2} \end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

and by definition of  $C_n$  in (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}2z(1-z)C'_n C_n^{-1} + (1-2z)\mathbf{I}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1-n(1-z)-(2+b)z-\bar{b} & 0 \\ 0 & 1+n+(b-2-n)z+\bar{b} \end{bmatrix}, \\ z(1-z)C''_n C_n^{-1} + (1-2z)C'_n C_n^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4}(n-b-2)(n-b) & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4}(n-b)(n-b+2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{(\bar{b}+n)^2}{4z}\mathbf{I}_2 + \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b)^2}{1-z}\mathbf{I}_2.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it can be seen that

$$\frac{\tilde{M}_n^2}{z(1-z)} = \left( -\frac{1}{4}(n+b)^2 + \frac{(\bar{b}+n)^2}{4z} + \frac{-\operatorname{Im}(b)^2 + (b+n)(\bar{b}+n)|\alpha_{n-1}|^2}{1-z} \right) \mathbf{I}_2$$

Now, from the second identity in (31) it can be proven that

$$(n+b)(\bar{b}+n)|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 = |b|^2,$$

and so, substituting all these matrices into (42), we obtain the desired equations.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- AB acknowledges Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra (funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MCTES, DOI: 10.54499/UIDB/00324/2020).
- AF acknowledges the CIDMA Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (University of Aveiro) and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) for their support within projects, DOI: 10.54499/UIDB/04106/2020 & DOI: 10.54499/UIDP/04106/2020.
- KR acknowledges the CAPES and PROPG/UNESP for support by doctoral sandwich students grants. The work was done while Karina Rampazzi were visiting Ana Foulquié at the Department of Mathematics of University of Aveiro (UA). Their stay in UA, for a period of six months during 2023. This author is extremely grateful to UA for receiving all the necessary support to undertake this research.

## DECLARATIONS

- 1) **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 2) **Ethical approval:** Not applicable.
- 3) **Contributions:** All the authors have contribute equally.
- 4) **Data availability:** This paper has no associated data.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ablowitz and A. Fokas, *Complex Variables: Introduction and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [2] J. Baik, Riemann–Hilbert problems for last passage percolation, McLaughlin, Kenneth D. T-R (ed.) et al., *Recent developments in integrable systems and Riemann–Hilbert problems*, Proceedings of the AMS special session, University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL, USA, *Contemp. Math.* 326 (2003) 1–21.
- [3] P. Bleher and A. Its, Double scaling limit in the random matrix model: the Riemann–Hilbert approach, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 56 (2003) 433–516.
- [4] C. F. Bracciali, K. S. Rampazzi, and L. L. Silva Ribeiro, On semi-classical weight functions on the unit circle, *J. Approx. Theory*, 295 (2023) 105957.
- [5] A. Branquinho, A. Foulquié-Moreno, A. Fradi, and M. Mañas, Matrix Jacobi biorthogonal polynomials via Riemann–Hilbert problem, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* (2022) 193–208.
- [6] A. Branquinho, A. Foulquié-Moreno, A. Fradi, and M. Mañas, Matrix orthogonal polynomials: a Riemann–Hilbert approach, *Coimbra Mathematical Texts* (2024) accepted, arXiv.2305.19954.
- [7] A. Branquinho and M. N. Rebocho, Distributional equation for Laguerre–Hahn functionals on the unit circle, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 233(3) (2009) 634–642.
- [8] A. Branquinho and M. N. Rebocho, Structure relations for orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 436 (2012) 4296–4310.
- [9] A. Cachafeiro and C. Suárez, About semiclassical polynomials on the unit circle corresponding to the class (2,2), *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 85 (1997) 123–144.
- [10] G. Cassatella-Contra and M. Mañas, Riemann–Hilbert problem and matrix discrete Painlevé II systems, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 143(3) (2019) 272–314.
- [11] G. A. Cassatella-Contra and M. Mañas, Matrix biorthogonal polynomials in the unit circle: Riemann–Hilbert problem and matrix discrete Painlevé II system, arXiv.1601.07236.
- [12] T. Claeys, A. B. J. Kuijlaars, and M. Vanlessen, Multi-critical unitary random matrix ensembles and the general Painlevé II equation, *Ann. of Math.* 168 (2008) 601–642.
- [13] P. Deift, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann–Hilbert Approach*, Courant Lectures Notes 3, New York University, 1999.
- [14] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, Asymptotics of Toeplitz, Hankel, and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities, *Ann. Math.*, 174 (2011) 1243–1299.
- [15] P. Deift, A. R. Its, and X. Zhou, A Riemann–Hilbert approach to asymptotic problems arising in the theory of random matrix models and also in the theory of integrable statistical mechanics, *Ann. of Math.* 146 (1997) 149–235.
- [16] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 52 (12) (1999) 1491–1552.

- [17] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Uniform asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 52 (12) (1999) 1335–1425.
- [18] P. A. Deift and X. Zhou, A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann–Hilbert problems. Asymptotics for the MKdV equation, *Ann. Math.*, 137 (1993) 295–368.
- [19] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, and A.V. Kitaev, The isomonodromy approach to matrix models in 2D quantum gravity, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 147 (1992) 395–430.
- [20] F. D. Gakhov, *Boundary Value Problems*, Pergamon Press, 1966.
- [21] M.E.H. Ismail, *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 98, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [22] A. P. Magnus, *Special Topics in Approximation Theory: semi-classical orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle*, MAPA 3072A, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium, 2013 (manuscript).
- [23] A. Martínez Finkelshtein, Szegő polynomials: a view from the Riemann–Hilbert window, *Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal.*, 25 (2006) 369–392.
- [24] V. Periwal, and D. Shevitz, Unitary-matrix models as exactly solvable string theories, *Phys. Rev. Letters*, 64 (1990) 1326–1329.
- [25] A. Sri Ranga, Szegő polynomials from hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138 (2010) 4259–4270.
- [26] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle. Part 1. Classical Theory*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.*, vol. 54, part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [27] W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Painlevé Equations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2018.

<sup>1</sup>CMUC, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL  
*Email address:* <sup>1</sup>ajplb@mat.uc.pt

<sup>2</sup>CIDMA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE AVEIRO, 3810-193 AVEIRO, PORTUGAL  
*Email address:* <sup>2</sup>foulquie@ua.pt

<sup>3</sup>DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, IBILCE, UNESP-UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL PAULISTA, 15054-000, SÃO JOSÉ DO RIO PRETO, SP, BRASIL  
*Email address:* <sup>3</sup>karina.rampazzi@unesp.br