

ULTRAMETRIC-PRESERVING FUNCTIONS AS MONOID ENDOMORPHISMS

OLEKSIY DOVGOSHEY

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ and let $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ be the set of all endomorphisms of the monoid (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) . The set $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a monoid with respect to the operation of the function composition $g \circ f$. It is shown that $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is pseudoultrametric-preserving iff $g \in \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. In particular, a function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is ultrametrics-preserving iff it is an endomorphism of (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) with kernel consisting only the zero point. We prove that a given $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a submonoid of (\mathbf{End}, \circ) iff there is a class \mathbf{X} of pseudoultrametric spaces such that \mathbf{A} coincides with the set of all functions which preserve the spaces from \mathbf{X} . An explicit construction of such \mathbf{X} is given.

1. INTRODUCTION. ULTRAMETRICS AND PSEUDOUTRAMETRICS

Let \mathbb{R}^+ denote the set of all nonnegative real numbers.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a nonempty set. A *metric* on a set X is a function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (i) $(d(x, y) = 0) \iff (x = y)$, the *positivity property*;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, the *symmetry property*;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, the *triangle inequality*.

A metric $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is an *ultrametric* on X if the *strong triangle inequality*

- (iv) $d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}$

holds for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 1.2. Following [4] we define a mapping $d^+ : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as

$$(1.1) \quad d^+(p, q) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p = q, \\ \max\{p, q\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then d^+ is an ultrametric on \mathbb{R}^+ .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A30, Secondary 54E35, 20M20.

Key words and phrases. Endomorphism of monoid, pseudoultrametric, pseudoultrametric-preserving function, ultrametric, ultrametric-preserving function.

Different properties of ultrametric spaces have been studied in [1, 4, 5, 8–13, 15–19, 21, 23–33, 35, 36].

The useful generalization of the concept of ultrametric is the concept of pseudoultrametric.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a nonempty set and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a symmetric function such that $d(x, x) = 0$ holds for every $x \in X$. The function d is a *pseudoultrametric* on X if it satisfies the strong triangle inequality.

If d is a pseudoultrametric on X , then we will say that (X, d) is a *pseudoultrametric space*.

Every ultrametric space is a pseudoultrametric space but not conversely. In contrast to ultrametric spaces, pseudoultrametric spaces can contain some distinct points with zero distance between them.

Example 1.4. Let (X, d) be an ultrametric space and let $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then the mapping $d_k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$d_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} d(x, y), & \text{if } d(x, y) > k \\ 0, & \text{if } d(x, y) \leq k \end{cases}$$

is a pseudoultrametric on X .

The following concept was introduced in [29].

Definition 1.5. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is *ultrametric-preserving* if $f \circ d$ is an ultrametric for every ultrametric space (X, d) .

Remark 1.6. Here and what follows we write $f \circ d$ for the mapping

$$X \times X \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{R}^+ \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}^+.$$

The next definition is an extension of Definition 1.5 to pseudoultrametric spaces.

Definition 1.7. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is *pseudoultrametric-preserving* if $f \circ d$ is a pseudoultrametric for every pseudoultrametric space (X, d) .

We denote by \mathbf{U} the class of all ultrametric spaces and by \mathbf{PU} the class of all pseudoultrametric spaces. We also will use the designations $\mathbf{P_U}$ and $\mathbf{P_{PU}}$ for the sets of all ultrametric-preserving functions and, respectively, for the set of all pseudometric-preserving functions. The next definition is a pseudoultrametric modification of the concept introduced by Jacek Jachymski and Filip Turoboś in [20].

Definition 1.8. Let \mathbf{X} be a subclass of the class \mathbf{PU} . Let us denote by $\mathbf{P_X}$ the set of all functions $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $f \in \mathbf{P_X}$ holds if and only if we have $(Y, f \circ \rho) \in \mathbf{X}$ whenever $(Y, \rho) \in \mathbf{X}$.

The main goal of the present paper is to give new characterizations of the sets $\mathbf{P_U}$ and $\mathbf{P_{PU}}$ and find conditions under which the equation

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{P_X} = \mathbf{A}$$

has a solution for given $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{P_{PU}}$.

The paper is organized as follows. The next section contains some definitions and facts from semigroup theory and some results related to ultrametric-preserving functions and pseudoultrametric-preserving ones.

The main results of the paper are proved in Section 3. In Theorem 3.2 we prove that the pseudoultrametric-preserving functions coincide with endomorphisms of the monoid (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) . Theorem 3.4 contains a characterization of endomorphisms of (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) belonging to $\mathbf{P_U}$. Theorem 3.6 shows that equation (1.2) has a solution $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{PU}$ iff \mathbf{A} is a submonoid of $\mathbf{P_{PU}}$. An explicit solution to equation (1.2) is described in Propositions 3.7 and 3.12.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON ULTRAMETRIC-PRESERVING FUNCTIONS AND SEMIGROUPS

Recall that $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is *increasing* if

$$(a \geq b) \implies (f(a) \geq f(b))$$

holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be *amenable* if $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$.

The present paper is mainly motivated by the following result by P. Pongsriiam and I. Termwuttipong [29].

Theorem 2.1. *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is ultrametric-preserving if and only if f is amenable and increasing.*

The next extension of Theorem 2.1 was given in [6].

Proposition 2.2. *The following conditions are equivalent for every function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$.*

- (i) *f is increasing and $f(0) = 0$ holds.*
- (ii) *f is pseudoultrametric-preserving.*

Let us recall some basic concepts of semigroup theory, see, for example, “Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory” by John M. Howie [14].

A *semigroup* is a pair $(S, *)$ consisting of a nonempty set S and an associative operation $* : S \times S \rightarrow S$ which is called the *multiplication* on S . A semigroup $S = (S, *)$ is a *monoid* if there is $1_S \in S$ such that

$$1_S * s = s * 1_S = s$$

for every $s \in S$.

Let us give now an example of a monoid, which will be basic for the next section of the paper.

Example 2.3. Let us define the binary operation \vee on the set \mathbb{R}^+ as

$$x \vee y = \max\{x, y\}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) is a monoid with the identity element

$$(2.1) \quad 1_{\mathbb{R}^+} = 0.$$

To see that (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) really is a monoid and (2.1) holds, it suffices to note that the equalities

$$\max\{\{x, y\}, z\} = \max\{x, y, z\} = \max\{x, \{y, z\}\}$$

and

$$\max\{x, 0\} = x$$

are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Definition 2.4. Let $S = (S, \cdot, 1_S)$ and $T = (T, *, 1_T)$ be monoids with identity elements 1_S and 1_T respectively. A mapping $\Phi : S \rightarrow T$ is called a *homomorphism* if, for all $x, y \in S$, we have

$$\Phi(x \cdot y) = \Phi(x) * \Phi(y)$$

and the equality

$$\Phi(1_S) = 1_T$$

holds.

A homomorphism from S to S is called an *endomorphism* of S .

Proposition 2.5. Let $S = (S, *, 1_S)$ be a monoid and let \mathbf{End}_S be the set of all endomorphisms $S \rightarrow S$. Then \mathbf{End}_S is a monoid with respect to the operation of the function composition $f \circ g$. The function $\text{Id}_S : S \rightarrow S$, $\text{Id}_S(s) = s$ for each $s \in S$, is the identity of the monoid (\mathbf{End}_S, \circ) ,

$$1_{\mathbf{End}_S} = \text{Id}_S.$$

Proof. The composition of mappings is associative and

$$f = \text{Id}_S \circ f = f \circ \text{Id}_S$$

for every $f : S \rightarrow S$. Thus (\mathbf{End}_S, \circ) is a monoid if we have

$$(2.2) \quad f \circ g \in \mathbf{End}_S$$

whenever

$$f, g \in \mathbf{End}_S.$$

Suppose that given $f : S \rightarrow S$ and $g : S \rightarrow S$ belong to \mathbf{End}_S . Let x and y be arbitrary elements of S . Then

$$(2.3) \quad g(x * y) = g(x) * g(y)$$

holds by Definition 2.4. Since $g(x)$ and $g(y)$ belong to S and $f \in \mathbf{End}_S$, Definition 2.4 and equality (2.3) imply

$$f(g(x * y)) = f(g(x) * g(y)) = f(g(x)) * f(g(y)).$$

Now again using Definition 2.4, we see that (2.2) holds. The proof is completed. \square

Remark 2.6. Hereinafter we use the symbol $f \circ g$ to denote the mapping

$$S \xrightarrow{g} S \xrightarrow{f} S.$$

Let us recall now the definition of submonoid.

Definition 2.7. Let $(S, *)$ be a semigroup and $\emptyset \neq T \subseteq S$. Then T is a *subsemigroup* of S if $a, b \in T \implies a * b \in T$. If $(S, *)$ is a monoid with the identity 1_S , then T is a *submonoid* of S if T is a subsemigroup of S and $1_S \in T$.

Example 2.8. It follows directly from Definitions 1.5 and 1.7 that \mathbf{P}_U and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}U}$ are monoids with respect to the operation of function composition. Moreover using Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 we see that $\mathbf{P}_U \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}U}$ and that the identical function $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(x) = x \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

is the common identity of the monoids (\mathbf{P}_U, \circ) and $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}U}, \circ)$,

$$(2.4) \quad 1_{\mathbf{P}_U} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+} = 1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}U}}.$$

Thus (\mathbf{P}_U, \circ) is a submonoid of the monoid $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}U}, \circ)$ by Definition 2.7.

The *kernel* of a monoid homomorphism $F : S \rightarrow T$ is the set

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Ker}(F) := \{s \in S : F(s) = 1_T\}.$$

Proposition 2.9. Let $S = (S, \cdot, 1_S)$ and $T = (T, *, 1_T)$ be monoids. Then $\text{Ker}(F)$ is a submonoid of the monoid S for every homomorphism $F : S \rightarrow T$.

Proof. Let $F : S \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism. By Definition 2.4 we have the equality

$$F(1_S) = 1_T.$$

Thus the membership

$$(2.6) \quad 1_S \in \text{Ker}(F)$$

is valid. Hence, by Definition 2.7, $\text{Ker}(F)$ is a submonoid of S if

$$(2.7) \quad x \cdot y \in \text{Ker}(F)$$

for all $x, y \in \text{Ker}(F)$. Let us consider arbitrary $x, y \in \text{Ker}(F)$. Then we have

$$(2.8) \quad F(x) = 1_T = F(y)$$

by (2.5).

Moreover, since F is a homomorphism, (2.8) implies

$$F(x \cdot y) = 1_T * 1_T = 1_T,$$

i.e., (2.7) follows. \square

Proposition 2.10. *Let $S = (S, *, 1_S)$ be a monoid and let (\mathbf{End}_S, \circ) be the monoid of all endomorphisms $S \rightarrow S$. Then*

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbf{In}_S := \{F \in \mathbf{End}_S : \text{Ker}(F) = \{1_S\}\}$$

is a submonoid of (\mathbf{End}_S, \circ) , where $\{1_S\}$ is the singleton set having only the element 1_S .

Proof. In Proposition 2.5 it was noted that the equality

$$1_{\mathbf{End}_S} = \text{Id}_S$$

holds, where Id_S is the identical mapping on the set S . In particular, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \text{Id}_S(1_S) = 1_S$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \text{Id}_S(x) \neq 1_S$$

whenever $x \in S$ and $x \neq 1_S$. Now using (2.5) we see that (2.10) and (2.11) imply

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Id}_S) = \{1_S\}.$$

Hence the membership

$$\text{Id}_S \in \mathbf{In}_S$$

is valid by (2.9). Thus

$$1_{\mathbf{End}_S} \in \mathbf{In}_S$$

holds.

Let us consider now arbitrary $F, \Phi \in \mathbf{In}_S$. To complete the proof it suffices to show that

$$(2.12) \quad F \circ \Phi \in \mathbf{In}_S.$$

To prove (2.12) it is suitable to rewrite the equalities

$$\text{Ker}(F) = \{1_S\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ker}(\Phi) = \{1_S\}$$

as

$$(2.13) \quad \{1_S\} = F^{-1}[\{1_S\}]$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \{1_S\} = \Phi^{-1}[\{1_S\}],$$

where $F^{-1}[\{1_S\}](\Phi^{-1}[\{1_S\}])$ is the inverse image of the singleton $\{1_S\}$ under mapping F (mapping Φ).

Now using (2.13), (2.14) and the well-known formula

$$(F \circ \Phi)^{-1} = \Phi^{-1} \circ F^{-1}$$

(see, for example [22], page 18) we obtain

$$(F \circ \Phi)^{-1}[\{1_S\}] = \Phi^{-1}(F^{-1}[\{1_S\}]) = \Phi^{-1}[\{1_S\}] = \{1_S\}.$$

Thus (2.12) holds. The proof is completed. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

The first our goal is to show that the set $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}$ coincides with the set $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ of all endomorphisms of the monoid (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) .

The following lemma is simple, and we omit its proof here.

Lemma 3.1. *The equivalence*

$$(a \leq b) \iff (a \vee b = b)$$

is valid for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

The next theorem is the first main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.2. *The sets $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}$ are the same,*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}.$$

Proof. Let us prove the inclusion

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+} \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}.$$

Suppose that $f \in \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ be arbitrary. By Proposition 2.2 the membership

$$(3.3) \quad f \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}$$

holds if and only if f is increasing and

$$(3.4) \quad f(0) = 0.$$

Let us consider arbitrary $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying

$$(3.5) \quad a \leq b.$$

Using Lemma 3.1 we see that (3.5) holds iff

$$(3.6) \quad a \vee b = b.$$

Since f belongs to $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, equality (3.6) implies

$$(3.7) \quad f(b) = f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b).$$

Now applying Lemma 3.1 to (3.7) we obtain the inequality

$$f(a) \leq f(b).$$

Thus f is increasing. To prove equality (3.4) we note that

$$(3.8) \quad f(1_{\mathbb{R}^+}) = 1_{\mathbb{R}^+}$$

holds by Definition 2.4. The last equality and (2.1) imply (3.4). Inclusion (3.2) follows.

Let us now turn to the proof of the inclusion

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}} \subseteq \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}.$$

Let us consider arbitrary $f \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}$. To prove (3.9), it is enough to show that

$$(3.10) \quad f \in \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}.$$

The last membership holds iff

$$(3.11) \quad f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b),$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and f satisfies (3.8). By Proposition 2.2,

$$f \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}$$

implies that f is increasing and satisfies

$$f(0) = 0.$$

The last equality and (2.1) imply (3.8). Thus to complete the proof of (3.9) it suffices to show that (3.11) holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us do it.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that (3.5) holds. By Lemma 3.1, inequality (3.5) holds iff

$$a \vee b = b.$$

Hence we have

$$(3.12) \quad f(a \vee b) = f(b).$$

Since f is increasing, we also obtain the inequality

$$f(a) \leq f(b),$$

that implies

$$(3.13) \quad f(b) \vee f(a) = f(b)$$

by Lemma 3.1. Now (3.11) follows from (3.12) and (3.13). Membership relation (3.10) is proven. Inclusion (3.9) follows.

Since (3.9) and (3.2) imply (3.1), the proof is completed. \square

Proposition 2.5 implies that the set $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ together with the operation of function composition is a monoid. The same operation is the multiplication on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}$. Thus we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.3. *The monoids $(\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}, \circ)$ and $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}, \circ)$ are the same.*

The next result is an analog of Corollary 3.3 for the monoid $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{U}}, \circ)$. Let us denote by $(\mathbf{In}_{\mathbb{R}^+}, \circ)$ the submonoid of $(\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}, \circ)$ consisting of all endomorphisms $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the equality

$$\text{Ker}(F) = \{1_{\mathbb{R}^+}\}.$$

Theorem 3.4. *The monoids $(\mathbf{In}_{\mathbb{R}^+}, \circ)$ and $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{U}}, \circ)$ are the same.*

Proof. It was noted in Example 2.3 that $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{U}}, \circ)$ is a submonoid of the monoid $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}, \circ)$. Similarly, by Proposition 2.10, $(\mathbf{In}_{\mathbb{R}^+}, \circ)$ is a submonoid of the monoid $(\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}, \circ)$. Moreover, the equality

$$(3.14) \quad (\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}, \circ) = (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}, \circ)$$

holds by Corollary 3.3. Thus it suffices to show that the sets $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{U}}$ and $\mathbf{In}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ are the same,

$$(3.15) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{In}_{\mathbb{R}^+}.$$

Let us consider an arbitrary function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 imply that g is ultrametric-preserving iff g is pseudoultrametric-preserving and amenable.

Hence the equality

$$(3.16) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{U}} = \{f \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}} : f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}\}$$

holds. Equality (2.1) implies that

$$(3.17) \quad \{0\} = \{1_{\mathbb{R}^+}\}.$$

Now using (3.14) and (3.17) we can rewrite (3.16) as

$$(3.18) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{U}} = \{f \in \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+} : f^{-1}(1_{\mathbb{R}^+}) = \{1_{\mathbb{R}^+}\}\}.$$

It follows from (2.5) with $S = (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) = T$ that the equality

$$f^{-1}(1_{\mathbb{R}^+}) = \{1_{\mathbb{R}^+}\}$$

holds if and only if

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{1_{\mathbb{R}^+}\}.$$

Hence (3.18) is equivalent to the equality

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{U}} = \{f \in \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+} : \text{Ker}(f) = \{1_{\mathbb{R}^+}\}\}.$$

Equality (2.9) with $S = (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee)$ gives us

$$(3.20) \quad \mathbf{In}_{\mathbb{R}^+} = \{f \in \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+} : \text{Ker}(f) = \{1_{\mathbb{R}^+}\}\}.$$

Now (3.15) follows from (3.19) and (3.20). The proof is completed. \square

The set $\mathbf{P_U}$ of ultrametric-preserving functions was also studied in [2, 3, 7] and [34]. In particular, it was proved in Theorem 31 of [2] that for every submonoid \mathbf{A} of the monoid $(\mathbf{P_U}, \circ)$ there is a subclass \mathbf{X} of the class \mathbf{U} of all ultrametric spaces such that $\mathbf{P_X} = \mathbf{A}$, where $\mathbf{P_X}$ is the set introduced in Definition 1.8. The next our goal is to generalize this result on the submonoids of the monoid $(\mathbf{P_{PU}}, \circ)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{PU}$ be nonempty. Then $\mathbf{P_X}$ is a monoid with respect to the operation of function composition and, in addition, the identical mapping $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is the identify of the monoid $(\mathbf{P_X}, \circ)$,*

$$(3.21) \quad 1_{\mathbf{P_X}} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+}.$$

Proof. It follows from Definition 1.8. Indeed, let us consider arbitrary $f, g \in \mathbf{P_X}$ and $(Y, \rho) \in \mathbf{X}$. Then we have

$$(Y, g \circ \rho) \in \mathbf{X}$$

by Definition 1.8. Applying this definition again we obtain

$$(Y, f \circ (g \circ \rho)) \in \mathbf{X}.$$

Since $(f \circ g) \circ \rho = f \circ (g \circ \rho)$ holds, Definition 1.8 also implies $(f \circ g) \in \mathbf{P_X}$. It is also easy to see that

$$(Y, \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+} \circ \rho) = (Y, \rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+} \circ f = f \circ \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+} = f.$$

Thus $(\mathbf{P_X}, \circ)$ is a monoid and equality (3.21) holds. The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let \mathbf{A} be a nonempty subset of $\mathbf{P_{PU}}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) \mathbf{A} is a submonoid of $(\mathbf{P_{PU}}, \circ)$.
- (ii) \mathbf{A} is a submonoid of $(\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{R}^+}, \circ)$.
- (iii) There is a nonempty $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{PU}$ that satisfies

$$(3.22) \quad \mathbf{P_X} = \mathbf{A}.$$

Proof. The validity of the equivalence $(i) \iff (ii)$ follows from Corollary 3.3.

Let us prove the validity of the implication $(i) \implies (iii)$.

Suppose that \mathbf{A} is a submonoid of the monoid $(\mathbf{P_{PU}}, \circ)$. Let d^+ be the ultrametric on \mathbb{R}^+ defined in Example 1.2. Then using formula (1.1) it is easy to see that d^+ is a surjective mapping on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$(3.23) \quad \mathbb{R}^+ = \{d(p, q) : p, q \in \mathbb{R}^+\}.$$

Since \mathbf{A} is a submonoid of $(\mathbf{P_{PU}}, \circ)$, the inclusion

$$\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{P_{PU}}$$

holds. Consequently, for every $f \in \mathbf{A}$, $(\mathbb{R}^+, f \circ d^+)$ is a pseudoultrametric space by Definition 1.7.

Write

$$(3.24) \quad \mathbf{X} := \{(\mathbb{R}^+, g \circ d^+) : g \in \mathbf{A}\}.$$

Since (\mathbb{R}^+, d^+) belongs \mathbf{U} and $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}$, we have

$$\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{PU}.$$

We claim that \mathbf{X} satisfies equation (3.22).

To prove the claim we must show that for each $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ the equivalence

$$(3.25) \quad (f \in \mathbf{A}) \iff ((\mathbb{R}^+, f \circ (g \circ d^+)) \in \mathbf{X})$$

is valid for every $g \in \mathbf{A}$. (See Definition 1.8).

Let us consider an arbitrary $f \in \mathbf{A}$. Then $f \circ g \in \mathbf{A}$ holds for every $g \in \mathbf{A}$ because \mathbf{A} is a submonoid of $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}, \circ)$. Thus, for every $g \in \mathbf{A}$,

$$(3.26) \quad (\mathbb{R}^+, (f \circ g) \circ d^+) \in \mathbf{X}$$

holds by (3.24). Since the function composition is associative, we may rewrite (3.26) as

$$(\mathbb{R}^+, f \circ (g \circ d^+)) \in \mathbf{X}.$$

Consequently, the implication

$$(f \in \mathbf{A}) \implies ((\mathbb{R}^+, f \circ (g \circ d^+)) \in \mathbf{X})$$

is valid for every $g \in \mathbf{A}$.

Let us consider now an arbitrary $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and suppose that the membership

$$(3.27) \quad (\mathbb{R}^+, f \circ (g \circ d^+)) \in \mathbf{X}$$

is valid for every $g \in \mathbf{A}$. We must show that

$$(3.28) \quad f \in \mathbf{A}.$$

To prove the validity of (3.28) we note the identical mapping $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ belongs to \mathbf{A} by (2.4). Consequently (3.27) with $g = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ implies

$$(3.29) \quad (\mathbb{R}^+, (f \circ d^+)) \in \mathbf{X}.$$

It follows from (3.29) and (3.24) that there is $g \in \mathbf{A}$ such that

$$g \circ d^+ = f \circ d^+.$$

The last equality and (3.23) imply the equality $g = f$. Consequently (3.28) is valid. Thus equivalence (3.25) is valid for every $g \in \mathbf{A}$ as required.

Let us prove the validity of the implication $(iii) \implies (i)$.

Suppose that there is a nonempty $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{PU}$ satisfying (3.22). We must show that \mathbf{A} is a submonoid of $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}, \circ)$.

Lema 3.5 and equality (3.35) imply that \mathbf{A} is a monoid. Using Definition 2.7, equality (3.21) and the inclusion $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}$ we obtain that \mathbf{A} is a submonoid of $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}, \circ)$.

The proof is completed. \square

Analysing the above proof, we get the following.

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathbf{A} be a submonoid of the monoid $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}$ and let*

$$\mathbf{X} := \{(\mathbb{R}^+, g \circ d^+) : g \in \mathbf{A}\}.$$

Then the equality

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A}$$

holds.

In the remainder of this section we will formulate some analogies to Proposition 3.7.

Definition 3.8. A nonempty subset R of a semigroup $(S, *)$ is called a *right ideal* of $(S, *)$ if

$$r * s \in R$$

holds whenever $r \in R$ and $s \in S$.

Definition 3.9. Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $(S, *)$ be a semigroup and let A be a nonempty subset of S . Then

$$(3.30) \quad [A]_S := \{x_1 * x_2 * \dots * x_n : x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a subsemigroup of $(S, *)$, called the *subsemigroup generated by A* .

Definition 3.9, in particular, shows that $[A]_S$ is the smallest subsemigroup of $(S, *)$ containing the set A .

In the following lemma we describe the largest right ideal \overline{R} of $[A]_S$ containing in A .

Lemma 3.10. *Let $(S, *)$ be a semigroup and let $A \subseteq S$ be nonempty. Denote by R_A the set of all right ideals R of $[A]_S$ satisfying*

$$(3.31) \quad R \subseteq A.$$

Then the set

$$(3.32) \quad \overline{R} := \bigcup_{R \in R_A} R,$$

is either empty

$$(3.33) \quad \overline{R} = \emptyset$$

or it is a right ideal of the semigroup $[A]_S$.

Proof. If $R_A = \emptyset$, then (3.33) evidently holds. Suppose that $R_A \neq \emptyset$, then the set \overline{R} is also nonempty,

$$\overline{R} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let us consider arbitrary $r \in \overline{R}$ and $s \in S$. We must show that

$$(3.34) \quad r * s \in \overline{R}.$$

In order to do this, it is enough to note that $r \in \overline{R}$ implies that $r \in R$ for some $R \in R_A$ by (3.32). Hence

$$r * s \in R \quad \text{and} \quad R \subseteq \overline{R},$$

hold, that implies (3.34). Thus \overline{R} is a right ideal of the semigroup $[A]_S$. \square

If a semigroup $(S, *)$ has no identity element then it is easy to adjoin an element $1 \neq S$ to form a monoid S^1 . (See, for example, [14] page 2). Below we use a special modification of this procedure.

Let $M = (M, *, 1_M)$ be a monoid and let $S \subseteq M$ be either empty or be a subsemigroup of the monoid M . We can expand S to a smallest submonoid S^{1_M} of M as

$$(3.35) \quad S^{1_M} := \begin{cases} S, & \text{if } 1_M \in S, \\ S \cup \{1_M\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, the equality

$$S^{1_M} = \{1_M\}$$

holds if and only if we have either $S = \{1_M\}$ or $S = \emptyset$. It should be also noted here that, in general, $S^{1_M} \neq S^1$ even if S is a monoid.

Example 3.11. Let $A = \{a\}$ be an one-point subset of \mathbb{R}^+ . Then $A = (A, \vee)$ is a monoid and this monoid is a subsemigroup of the monoid (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) but Definition 2.7 implies that A is a submonoid of (\mathbb{R}^+, \vee) if and only if $a = 0$. Indeed, using (3.35) it is easy to see that

$$A^{1_{\mathbb{R}^+}} = \{0, a\} \neq \{a\} = A^1$$

whenever $a > 0$.

The next proposition is an equivalent to Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 3.12. *Let \mathbf{A} be a subset of the monoid $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}} = (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}, \circ, 1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}})$,*

$$\mathbf{X} := \{(\mathbb{R}^+, g \circ d^+) : g \in \mathbf{A}\}$$

and let $R_{\mathbf{A}}$ be the set of all right ideals of $[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{PU}}}$. Write

$$\overline{R} := \bigcup_{R \in R_{\mathbf{A}}} R.$$

If

$$(3.36) \quad 1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}} \in \mathbf{A} \cap \overline{R},$$

then the equality

$$(3.37) \quad \overline{R}^{1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}$$

holds.

Proof. Let (3.36) hold. We must prove equality (3.37).

We claim that

$$(3.38) \quad \overline{R} = \overline{R}^{1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}}}.$$

Indeed, (3.36) implies that \overline{R} is a nonempty set. Consequently \overline{R} is a right ideal of $[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}}$ by Lemma 3.10. Since every right ideal of a semigroup is a subsemigroup of this semigroup, \overline{R} is a subsemigroup of $[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}}$. The semigroup $[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}}$ is a subsemigroup of the monoid $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}$. Hence \overline{R} also is a subsemigroup of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}$. Now (3.38) follows from (3.35) with

$$S = \overline{R} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}.$$

Using (3.31) with $A = \mathbf{A}$ we see that \overline{R} is a subset of the set \mathbf{A} ,

$$\overline{R} \subseteq \mathbf{A}.$$

In addition, condition (3.36) and Lemma 3.10 imply

$$\mathbf{A} \subseteq \overline{R}.$$

Thus we have the equality

$$(3.39) \quad \mathbf{A} = \overline{R}.$$

Let us prove equality (3.37). It is shown above that \overline{R} is a submonoid of $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}, \circ, 1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}})$. Hence \mathbf{A} also is a submonoid of $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}, \circ, 1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}})$ by (3.39). Now using Proposition 3.7 we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A},$$

that implies (3.37) by (3.38) and (3.39). \square

We conclude the paper by following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.13. (Prove or disprove) *Let \mathbf{A} be a subset of the monoid $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}} = (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}, \circ, 1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}})$, $\mathbf{X} := \{(\mathbb{R}^+, g \circ d^+) : g \in \mathbf{A}\}$, and let $R_{\mathbf{A}}$ be the set of all right ideals of $[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}}$. Write*

$$\overline{R} := \bigcup_{R \in R_{\mathbf{A}}} R.$$

If $1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}}$ belongs to \mathbf{A} , then the equality

$$\overline{R}^{1_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{U}}}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}$$

holds.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

FUNDING

The author was supported by grant 359772 of the Academy of Finland.

REFERENCES

- [1] BEYRER, J., AND SCHROEDER, V. Trees and ultrametric möbius structures. *p-adic Numbers Ultramet. Anal. Appl.* 9, 4 (2017), 247–256.
- [2] BILET, V., AND DOVGOSHEY, O. On monoid of metric preserving functions. *arXiv:2404.13280v1* (2024).
- [3] BILET, V., DOVGOSHEY, O., AND SHANIN, R. Ultrametric preserving functions and weak similarities of ultrametric spaces. *p-adic Numbers Ultramet. Anal. Appl.* 13, 3 (2021), 186–203.
- [4] DELHOMMÉ, C., LAFLAMME, C., POUZET, M., AND SAUER, N. Indivisible ultrametric spaces. *Topology and its Applications* 155, 14 (2008), 1462–1478.
- [5] DOVGOSHEY, O. Combinatorial properties of ultrametrics and generalized ultrametrics. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* 27, 3 (2020), 379–417.
- [6] DOVGOSHEY, O. On ultrametric-preserving functions. *Math. Slovaca* 70, 1 (2020), 173–182.
- [7] DOVGOSHEY, O. Strongly ultrametric preserving functions. *Topology and its Applications* 351 (2024), 108931.
- [8] DOVGOSHEY, O., AND DORDOVSKIY, D. Ultrametricity and metric betweenness in tangent spaces to metric spaces. *p-adic Numbers Ultramet. Anal. Appl.* 2, 2 (2010), 100–113.
- [9] DOVGOSHEY, O., AND MARTIO, O. Blow up of balls and coverings in metric spaces. *Manuscripta Math.* 127 (2008), 89–120.
- [10] DOVGOSHEY, O., AND MARTIO, O. Products of metric spaces, covering numbers, packing numbers and characterizations of ultrametric spaces. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures. Appl.* 54, 5-6 (2009), 423–439.
- [11] DOVGOSHEY, O., AND PETROV, E. Subdominant pseudoultrametric on graphs. *Sb. Math* 204, 8 (2013), 1131–1151.
- [12] GOMORY, R. E., AND HU, T. C. Multi-terminal network flows. *SIAM* 9, 4 (1961), 551–570.
- [13] GROOT, J. D. Non-Archimedean metrics in topology. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7, 5 (1956), 948–953.
- [14] HOWIE, J. M. *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, vol. 12 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [15] IBRAGIMOV, Z. Möbius maps between ultrametric spaces are local similarities. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 37 (2012), 309–317.
- [16] ISHIKI, Y. An embedding, an extension, and an interpolation of ultrametrics. *p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl.* 13, 2 (2021), 117–147.

- [17] ISHIKI, Y. Constructions of Urysohn universal ultrametric spaces. *p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl.* 15, 4 (2023), 266–283.
- [18] ISHIKI, Y. Simultaneous extensions of metrics and ultrametrics of high power. *Topology Appl.* 336 (2023), 37. Id/No 108624.
- [19] ISHIKI, Y. Uniqueness and homogeneity of non-separable Urysohn universal ultrametric spaces. *Topology Appl.* 342 (2024), 11. Id/No 108762.
- [20] JACHYMSKI, J., AND TUROBOŚ, F. On functions preserving regular semimetrics and quasimetrics satisfying the relaxed polygonal inequality. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM* 114, 3 (2020), 159.
- [21] KIRK, W. A., AND SHAHZAD, N. Some fixed point results in ultrametric spaces. *Topology Appl.* 159 (2012), 3327–3334.
- [22] KURATOWSKI, K. *Topology*, vol. I. New edition, revised and augmented. Academic Press, New York–London, 1966.
- [23] LEMIN, A. J. On isosceles metric spaces. *Functional Analysis and its Applications* (1984), 26–31. (in Russian).
- [24] LEMIN, A. J. On the stability of the property of a space being isosceles. *Russ. Math. Surveys* 39, 5 (1984), 283–284.
- [25] LEMIN, A. J. Proximity on isosceles spaces. *Russ. Math. Surveys* 39, 1 (1984), 169–170.
- [26] LEMIN, A. J. Isometric embedding of isosceles (non-Archimedean) spaces in Euclidean spaces. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 32, 3 (1985), 740–744.
- [27] LEMIN, A. J. An application of the theory of isosceles (ultrametric) spaces to the Trnkova-Vinarek theorem. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* 29, 3 (1988), 427–434.
- [28] LEMIN, A. J. The category of ultrametric spaces is isomorphic to the category of complete, atomic, tree-like, real graduated lattices **LAT**^{*}. *Algebra Universalis* 50, 1 (2003), 35–49.
- [29] PONGSRIHAM, P., AND TERMWUTTIPONG, I. Remarks on ultrametrics and metric-preserving functions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014 (2014), 1–9.
- [30] QIU, D. Geometry of non-Archimedean Gromov–Hausdorff distance. *p-adic Numbers Ultrametr. Anal. Appl.* 1, 4 (2009), 317–337.
- [31] QIU, D. The structures of Hausdorff metric in non-Archimedean spaces. *p-adic Numbers Ultrametr. Anal. Appl.* 6, 1 (2014), 33–53.
- [32] SAMPHAVAT, S., KHEMARATCHATAKUMTHORN, T., AND PONGSRIHAM, P. Remarks on *b*-metrics, ultrametrics, and metric-preserving functions. *Mathematica Slovaca* 70, 1 (2020), 61–70.
- [33] SAMPHAVAT, S., AND PRINYASART, T. On ultrametrics, *b*-metrics, *w*-distances, metric-preserving functions, and fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory Algorithms Sci. Eng.*, 9 (2024).
- [34] VALLIN, R. W., AND DOVGOSHEY, O. A. P-adic metric preserving functions and their analogues. *Math. Slovaca* 71, 2 (2021), 391–408.
- [35] VAUGHAN, J. E. Universal ultrametric spaces of smallest weight. *Topology Proc.* 24 (1999), 611–619.
- [36] VESTFRID, I. On the universal ultrametric space. *Ukrainian Math. J.* 46, 12 (1994), 1890–1898.

OLEKSIY DOVGOSHEY

DEPARTMENT OF THEORY OF FUNCTIONS

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS OF NASU

SLOVYANSK, UKRAINE,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

UNIVERSITY OF TURKU, TURKU, FINLAND

Email address: `oleksiy.dovgoshey@gmail.com`, `oleksiy.dovgoshey@utu.fi`