Quantum Corner Symmetry: Representations and Gluing

Luca Ciambelli,¹ Jerzy Kowalski-Glikman,^{2,3} and Ludovic Varrin³

¹Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline St. N., Waterloo ON, Canada, N2L 2Y5*

²Faculty of Physics and Astronomy, University of Wroclaw, Pl. Maksa Borna 9, 50-204 Wroclaw[†]

³National Centre for Nuclear Research, Pasteura 7, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland[‡]

(Dated: June 12, 2024)

The corner symmetry algebra organises the physical charges induced by gravity on codimension-2 corners of a manifold. In this letter, we initiate a study of the quantum properties of this group. We first describe the central extensions and how the quantum corner symmetry group arises. We then classify the Casimirs and the induced unitary irreducible representation. We finally discuss the gluing of corners, achieved identifying the maximal commuting sub-algebra. This is a concrete implementation of the gravitational constraints at the quantum level, via the entangling product.

Thanks to Noether's second theorem, gauge symmetries can acquire a non-vanishing Noether charge on codimension-2 surfaces called "corners", thereby rendering these symmetries physical. The physical symmetries and associated charges then form an algebra, known as corner symmetry algebra. One convenient way to interpret this result is the introduction of new degrees of freedom forming a representation of this algebra [1]. These fields are the *edge modes* and play an important role in quantum entanglement of spatial subregions, holography and quantum gravity [2–17]. For gravity, further investigations revealed that diffeomorphisms realize a universal symmetry algebra on corners, independently of any (peudo)-Riemannian structure in the bulk [18]. This *universal corner symmetry* algebra is given by

$$\mathfrak{ucs} = (\mathrm{Diff}(S) \in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R}))^S \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^S, \tag{1}$$

where S denotes the corner. This result is at the core of the Corner Proposal [19–21] which is an approach to (quantum) gravity centred on symmetries. In a similar fashion to the Poincaré group in quantum field theory, one expects the full representation theory of the UCS to provide insight into the fundamental structure of quantum gravity. This program of taking symmetries as the guiding principle is part of a bottomup approach which aims to unlock some fundamental characteristics of quantum gravity, anchored to a controllable classical limit. While far from a full quantum gravity theory, the idea is to constraint the final theory without having to give a complete description of it from ad hoc considerations. More concretely, any complete theory should account for the corner symmetries and their representation thereof. For generic corners at finite distance, the algebra (1) reduces to the extended corner symmetry algebra

$$\mathfrak{ecs} = (\mathrm{Diff}(S) \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}))^S \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^S.$$
(2)

One example of the application of this framework, is the proper description of localized subsystems in gravity through a method first proposed in the seminal work of Donnelly and Freidel [1]. There, the authors proposed the construction of an entangling product to describe the factorization of the Hilbert space into its subsystem components. There are however some technical challenges. Firstly, the translation part (\mathbb{R}^2) of the corner symmetry group moves its position. At the symplectic level they consequently generate fluxes and are thus not hamiltonian charges. The second and most important challenge comes from the infinite dimensionality of the algebra (2), which makes its representation theory a hard task.

The solution to the first issue is the extended phase space formalism [22, 23], where edge modes are understood as a dynamical embedding map which resolves the flux problems and makes the translation charges integrable. We therefore use the full ecs in our analysis. In fact, one of our major results is that that translations are needed for a consistent construction of the entangling product. In order to tackle the second issue, we will focus on the finite part of the algebra (2). This can be simultaneously seen as a starting point for the study of 2Dquantum gravity, where the corner is a simply a point, or as a seed for a per-point analysis of the higher dimensional case, since the full algebra is simply a copy of such a reduced one at every point of the corner. In the present work, we give the first concrete application of the corner proposal by studying the representation theory of the finite part of the ECS and applying the result to propose a novel explicit Hilbert space realization of the entangling product proposed in [1]. Following the proposal's mentality we study the group with an agnostic view about its origin. When doing so, one finds an extension of the ECS which seems to be only present in the quantum case. We call this centrally extended algebra the quantum corner sym*metry* algebra (OCS). Together with the entangling product, this constitutes the main message of this letter. Together, these results suggest that the corner proposal can be rendered rigorous at the quantum level, thus providing a viable bottom-up approach to quantum gravity.

The finite part of the ECS is the five dimensional semidirect product group

$$ECS = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2.$$
(3)

The associated algebra is generated by five operators L_0, L_{\pm}, P_{\pm} . The subset L_0, L_{\pm} generates the special linear algebra

$$[L_0, L_{\pm}] = \pm L_{\pm}, \qquad [L_-, L_+] = 2L_0, \tag{4}$$

while the cross commutation with the translation part is given

by

$$[L_0, P_{\pm}] = \pm \frac{1}{2} P_{\pm}, \quad [L_{\pm}, P_{\pm}] = 0, \quad [L_{\pm}, P_{\mp}] = \mp P_{\pm}.$$
(5)

The algebra admits one cubic Casimir [24]

$$\mathscr{C}_{\text{ECS}}^{(3)} = L_+ P_-^2 + L_- P_+^2 - 2L_0 P_- P_+.$$
(6)

The ecs algebra possesses a (unique) non-trivial co-cycle in the translation sector. To quantize, we extend the algebra by the central term

$$[P_{-}, P_{+}] = C, (7)$$

with *C* having dimension of inverse length square. The Abelian algebra of normal translations is thus replaced by the Heisenberg algebra. We call the centrally-extended corner symmetry algebra obtained *Quantum Corner Symmetry* (QCS) algebra

$$\mathfrak{qcs} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_3,\tag{8}$$

where \mathfrak{h}_3 denotes the three dimensional Heisenberg algebra generated by P_{\pm} and *C*.

The ecs algebra can be derived from a gravitational phase space and the central extension does not appear in any diffeomorphism invariant theory at the classical level. We conclude that this central extension is a purely quantum effect, and we take the QCS as our starting point, and study its representations.

To do so, it is of utmost importance to classify the Casimir operators. Since they commute with all other generators, Schur's lemma implies that, in an unitary irreducible representation, the Casimirs act as a multiple of the identity. The multiplication factor depends on the weights of the representation and will thus be different for non-equivalent representations. As such, this scalar value of the Casimirs labels the different unitary irreducible representations. The quantum field theory framework gives the most famous example of such phenomenon in Wigner's classification of the Poincaré group's unitary irreducible representations [25].

It is thus evident that the first step in understanding the QCS is to describe its Casimirs. The central element introduced above is trivially the first one, as it commutes with all other generators. The second one is given by a modification of the cubic Casimir of the non-centrally extended algebra (6)

$$\mathscr{C}_{\text{QCS}}^{(3)} = C(L_0(2L_0+3) - 2L_-L_+) + L_-P_+^2 + L_+P_-^2 - 2L_0P_-P_+.$$
(9)

One can show that these are the only Casimirs of the QCS.

The first Casimir indicates that spacetime translations are not commuting and sets the scale of the non-commutativity. To understand the second Casimir, we first notice that we can always add a multiple of the central element to its definition (9). This means that the particular value of that Casimir operator in one specific representation can always be shifted to any constant. The only physical information would therefore reside in the difference of that value between different representations. Furthermore, we note that this Casimir can be written as

$$\mathscr{C}_{\text{QCS}}^{(3)} = 2C\mathscr{C}_{\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})}^{(3)} - CL_0 + \mathscr{C}_{\text{ECS}}^{(3)}$$
(10)

where $\mathscr{C}^{(3)}_{SL(2,\mathbb{R})}$ is the cubic Casimir of the special linear algebra. We see that the cubic Casimir of the ECS is recovered in the limit where the central element vanishes

$$\lim_{C \to 0} \mathscr{C}_{\text{QCS}}^{(3)} = \mathscr{C}_{\text{ECS}}^{(3)}.$$
 (11)

In [24], this Casimir is shown to be activated in the ucs by the $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ singlet, which is generated by conformal rescalings of the corner. This fact suggests that this Casimir encodes the value of the conformal energy at the corner, which remains constant on the orbit action of the qcs.

Let us now turn to the representation theory of the QCS. While the individual unitary irreducible representations of both the SL(2, \mathbb{R}) part and the Heisenberg part are known, the representation of the semi-direct product is far from trivial. The method of inducing known representations of a subgroup to the entire group is known as Mackey theory [26–28]. More specifically, in the case of the semi-direct product like the Poincaré group, Wigner and Bargmann developed a method to find all the unitary irreducible representations using the so-called little group analysis [25, 29, 30].

However, this construction is only truly understood for an Abelian normal subgroup, and therefore cannot be applied in a straightforward manner to the case at hand. Mackey's more general theory of induced representations is still applicable, but we are looking for irreducible representations. This also prevents us from simply inducing the unitary irreducible representations of the special linear group to the entire QCS because, while surely interesting, they are not necessarily irreducible. For now, we therefore induce the Fock representation of the Heisenberg group to the rest of the QCS. In doing so, we obtain the metaplectic representation of the special linear group which, when acting on the Heisenberg group, becomes irreducible. The Stone-von Neumann theorem [31, 32], states that the Fock representation is unique. This consequently assures that the corresponding QCS representation is the unique one acting on this Hilbert space. However, one cannot disregard the possibility of the existence of other unitary irreducible representations on a different Hilbert space that does not reduce to a unitary irreducible representation when restricted to the Heisenberg part.¹ The classification of all unitary irreducible representations of the QCS is still an open question, and is currently being investigated.

Consider thus the unitary irreducible representations of the Heisenberg algebra \mathfrak{h}_3 . We begin by requiring that the central

¹ We thank José Figueroa-O'Farrill for correspondence on this point.

element acts as a multiple of the identity on the Hilbert space of the representation²

$$C |\psi\rangle = c |\psi\rangle, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (12)

Stone-von Neumann's theorem states that there exists a unique unitary irreducible representation of \mathfrak{h}_3 for each *c*. The corresponding Hilbert space can be described by the Fock space of the quantum harmonic oscillator

$$\mathscr{H} = \{ |n\rangle, n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$
(13)

The Heisenberg algebra can be realized in this representation as

$$P_{-} = \sqrt{c}a, \quad P_{+} = \sqrt{c}a^{\dagger}, \quad C = c, \quad (14)$$

where *a* and a^{\dagger} are the usual creation and annihilation operators satisfying $[a, a^{\dagger}] = 1$.

Inducing this representation to the special linear part we obtain the metaplectic representation

$$L_{+} = \frac{1}{2}a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}, \quad L_{-} = \frac{1}{2}aa, \quad L_{0} = \frac{1}{2}a^{\dagger}a + \frac{1}{4}.$$
 (15)

Note that the metaplectic representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ defined by equation (15) is not, by itself, irreducible. However, the inclusion of the Heisenberg group connects the odd and even numbered states and makes it into an irreducible representation of the QCS. It is in this regard that translations play a prominent role in our analysis.

One can use the explicit expressions of the generators in this representation to calculate the cubic Casimir (9). As expected from Schur's lemma and the irreducibility of the representation, it's action on the Fock space is equivalent to simply multiplying by a scalar. We therefore obtained a family of irreducible unitary representations of the QCS labeled by $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Note that taking the limit $c \mapsto 0$ does not produce a representation of the ECS. In fact, it can be proven that it is impossible to extend the oscillator representation of the special linear algebra (15) to the Abelian translations of the ecs: this representation exists solely because of the quantum non-commuting nature of the translation sector.

The physics of this Hilbert space is as follows. Inspired by the covariant phase space analysis, the generators of the QCS are the quantum version of charges. In gravity, the latter are a collection of metric components at and in the vicinity of the corner. Therefore, the Hilbert space we constructed is the quantum version of the geometric data at a corner. This is where this bottom-up approach typical of the corner proposal is far-reaching: without having to describe a complete quantum gravity theory, we immediately see the need for the appearance of a quantum geometry description of the corner. Then, the QCS operators act on this Hilbert space creating or annihilating "quantum bits of geometry". In this sense, the vacuum state should be thought of as absence of geometry, rather than a flat background. Another advantage lies in the fact that only the charges are promoted to quantum operators, while the rest of the metric field is not. This is the power of being anchored to symmetries: the metric itself is not a fundamental quantum datum, only the physical charge are. While this is a preliminary and informal discussion, we expect that exploring the quantum nature of gravity in this framework can be much rewarding, and we are here initiating this avenue of research.

We now turn to the application of the representation theory developed in the previous sections to the gluing of two corners, which can be regarded as the endpoint of two subsystems. To define a localized quantum subsystem in gauge theories, the initial data on the Cauchy slice has to obey gauge constraints and the data on the interior and exterior slice cannot be specified independently. This results in the failure of the tensor product factorization of the associated Hilbert spaces. In other words, the gauge constraints can be expressed as the vanishing of the Noether charge associated to the gauge symmetry in question. However, the entangling surface -the shared boundary- is a corner and as such the gauge charges can be non-vanishing there. In the seminal work of Donnelly and Freidel [1], the authors introduced new degrees of freedom living on the boundary, the edge modes, carrying a representation of the corner symmetry group, precisely to cancel the gauge corner charges. In the quantum case, the representation carried by the edge modes is used to define an entangling product between the Hilbert spaces of the individual subregions. This product is constructed starting from the standard tensor product and applying the gauge constraints by requiring that the charges be equal on the interior and exterior of the entangling surface. Due to the opposite orientation of those surfaces, this is equivalent to requiring the vanishing of the charge across the boundary.

In the case at hand, the representation theory of the corner symmetry group is taken to describes the quantum states of the edge modes. The entangling product can thus be explicitly constructed as a condition on the tensor product of the states described in the previous sections. Consider a spacelike segment Σ_L connected to the corner *L* as depicted in Figure 1.



FIG. 1: One spacelike segment connected to the boundary L. The Hilbert space describing this system is a representation of the corner symmetry group at L.

To this Cauchy surface, one can associate a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_L , which should be associated with a unitary irreducible representation of the corner symmetry group at *L*. Let us now

² The constant is chosen to be real in order to ensure the unitarity of the corresponding group representation.



FIG. 2: Two segments are glued together by identifying the left and right corner points. The Hilbert space associated with the Cauchy slice $\Sigma_G = \Sigma_L \cup \Sigma_R$ is not given by the tensor product of the left and right Hilbert space, but by a proper subspace of it.

consider a second segment Σ_R connected to the corner R with its own Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_R . Identifying the two corners and gluing the two segment together, as depicted in figure 2, yields a Hilbert space of the glued segment \mathcal{H}_G . As discussed, the glued Hilbert space is not the tensor product of the left and right one, but a proper subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_G = \mathcal{H}_L \otimes_{\text{QCS}} \mathcal{H}_R \subset \mathcal{H}_L \otimes \mathcal{H}_R = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_G, \tag{16}$$

where \otimes_{QCS} denotes the entangling product defined by the corner symmetry group QCS.

How do we implement this entangling product at the quantum level? We here propose that the quantum version of the gravitational constraints is the requirement that the maximal commuting sub-algebra of the two QCS should match. Eventually, we must obtain that the glued segment holds a representation of the corner symmetry group. In order for the whole procedure to be consistent, the glued representation should be constructed from the available left and right operators.

As an aside, one can show that the translation sector, corresponding to the Heisenberg subgroup of the QCS, is *necessary* to describe the factorization of sub-regions. This is due to the fact that with the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ algebra we only have access to operators quadratic in the oscillators, and therefore the procedure is not self-consistent without translations. Classically, this can be understood as the fact that translations physically move the two corners, a necessary condition to glue them together. Of course, this problem does not arise when considering a single corner, but it is pertinent to gluing.

In order to perform the gluing, we need to identify the maximal commuting sub-algebra of the QCS, which is 3 dimensional. We can perform a change of basis at the algebra level which facilitates the physical interpretation of the gluing procedure. Let us define the following self-adjoint operators

$$X = \frac{C^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}(P_{+} + P_{-}), \quad P = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(P_{+} - P_{-}),$$

$$V = L_{0} + \frac{1}{2}(L_{+} + L_{-}), \quad K = L_{0} - \frac{1}{2}(L_{+} + L_{-}),$$

$$L = L_{+} - L_{-}.$$
(17)

Once we write them in the metaplectic representation (14,15), the notation becomes clear: The *X* and *P* operators correspond to the position and the momentum of the harmonic oscillator whereas *K* and *V* correspond respectively to the kinetic and potential terms. Curiously, these three operators correspond to the three radial conformal Killing vectors on Minkowski space: *K* is the Hamiltonian, V is the conformal boost, while *L* is the dilation [33]. This might be more than a mere coincidence, and deserves further exploration. Further, we interpret *X* and *P* as defining at the quantum level the notion of position and momentum of the corner. This interpretation is also consistent with the physics of edge modes, which are indeed the embedding fields defining the corner's position.

One can choose the maximal commuting sub-algebra to be either (C, X, V) or (C, P, K). We will focus on the former. Consider a left and a right copy of the Fock space and their algebras. In the position eigenstates basis we have

$$|x\rangle_{L/R} = \sum_{n=0} \psi_n(x) |n\rangle_{L/R},$$
 (18)

where $\psi_n(x)$ is the wave function of the harmonic oscillator expressed through Hermit polynomials, that by construction are a function of \sqrt{cx} , which is dimensionless. Then we have

$$C^{L/R} |x\rangle_{L/R} = c_{L/R} |x\rangle_{L/R}, \qquad (19)$$

$$X^{L/R} |x\rangle_{L/R} = x_{L/R} |x\rangle_{L/R}, \qquad (20)$$

$$V^{L/R} |x\rangle_{L/R} = c_{L/R} x_{L/R}^2 |x\rangle_{L/R} .$$
 (21)

In order to describe the gluing, we start from the pre-Hilbert space

$$\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_G = \{ |x\rangle_L \otimes |y\rangle_R \}.$$
(22)

The entangling product defining the glued Hilbert space is then obtained by equating the left and right action of the maximal commuting sub-algebra. Looking at (19), the central element must be equated, forcing the left and right representations to be the same. Furthermore, eqs. (20,21) impose the glued states to be diagonal in the position basis,

$$\mathscr{H}_G = \{ |x\rangle_G = |x\rangle_L \otimes |x\rangle_R \}.$$
(23)

The gluing condition on corners that have a definite position is simply that they are at the same position. We could have instead used the maximal commuting sub-algebra C, P and K. Then, the glued Hilbert space would have been diagonal in the momentum basis. The equivalence of the two choices is due to the equivalence of the position and momentum basis. Independently of the chosen basis, the gluing is a continuity condition for the variables describing the system.

We can further construct the glued QCS algebra on the glued Hilbert space. The glued operators are functions of the

left and right operators given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} X_G &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_L + X_R), \quad P_G &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (P_L + P_R), \\ V_G &= \frac{1}{2} (V_L + V_R + C X_L X_R), \quad K_G &= \frac{1}{2} \Big(K_L + K_R + \frac{P_L P_R}{C} \Big), \\ L_G &= \frac{1}{2} (L_L + L_R - i (X_R P_L + X_L P_R)), \end{aligned}$$
(24)

where we have used that the left and right central elements are equal and called C. Although the irreducibility of this representation can be checked explicitly, it follows simply from the fact that the special linear operators can be expressed as quadratic expressions of the translations, similar to the left and right cases. In fact, the glued cubic Casimir acting on the glued Hilbert space gives the same constant value than the left and right ones. This confirm that the glued representation is indeed the same as the ones we started with.

Once the gluing understood, the split of one corner into two follows the exact opposite path. Start with a spatial segment and choose the corner point at which split the system. There is now one copy of the Hilbert space associated with the chosen corner. The system is doubled taking the diagonal tensor product and then relaxing the gauge constraints,

$$|x\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{double}} |x\rangle_L \otimes |x\rangle_R \xrightarrow{\text{relax}} |x\rangle_L \otimes |y\rangle_R.$$
 (25)

Thus, starting from a continuous Cauchy slice without boundaries we have a way to separate it into two independent localized subsystems.

Let us recap our findings and conclude. We have found the unique central extension of the ecs, and argued for its quantum origin. We then constructed the unique unitary irreducible representation induced from the Stone-von Neumann representation of the Heisenberg algebra. Using this representation we described the gluing procedure of two corners by explicitly constructing the entangling product. We thus laid the ground work for the study of entanglement entropy between two spatial subregions in quantum gravity within the framework of the corner proposal. While a comprehensive study of this topic will be the focus of upcoming works, we remark that L_0 is ready-made to be interpreted as the modular Hamiltonian for the subregion algebra [33], with c setting the scale of the problem, and thus related to temperature. The natural appearance of a scale from the central extension of the corner algebra is a remarkable feature, whose consequences are yet to be unveiled.

There are several future directions. We plan to classify all unitary irreducible representations of the QCS. This algebra has a symplectic dual pair correspondence with the Poincaré algebra [34] which, at the quantum level, is an example of Howe duality [35, 36]. This suggests that there exists a oneto-one correspondence between the unitary irreducible representations of the Poincaré group and those of the QCS. An alternative route is the coadjoint orbit method of Kirillov [37– 41], that could offer insights into the description of the representations. This would echo and complement [10, 14, 24]. Another direction is to restore the corner diffeomorphisms. In particular, one should study the fate of the central extension and the cubic Casimir in order to understand if there is a consistent way to promote the analysis of this work to each point on the corner in higher dimensions. Finally, we wish to connect with top-down 2D quantum gravity models. The generalization of the gluing procedure to a higher number of corners is straightforward, and is reminiscent of the setup of Causal Dynamical Triangulation, see [42, 43].

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Michele Arzano, Adam Ball, Jackie Caminiti, Federico Capeccia, Laurent Freidel, and Rob Leigh for useful discussions. JKG and LV thank Perimeter Institute for hospitality. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported in part by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Colleges and Universities. For JKG, this work was supported by funds provided by the National Science Center, project number 2019/33/B/ST2/00050.

- * Electronic address: ciambelli.luca@gmail.com
- [†] Electronic address: jerzy.kowalski-glikman@uwr.pl.edu
 [‡] Electronic address: ludovic.varrin@ncbj.gov.pl
- W. Donnelly and L. Freidel, "Local subsystems in gauge theory and gravity," *JHEP* 09 (2016) 102, arXiv:1601.04744 [hep-th].
- [2] W. Donnelly and A. C. Wall, "Entanglement entropy of electromagnetic edge modes," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) no. 11, 111603, arXiv:1412.1895 [hep-th].
- [3] W. Donnelly and A. C. Wall, "Geometric entropy and edge modes of the electromagnetic field," *Phys. Rev.* D94 (2016) no. 10, 104053, arXiv:1506.05792 [hep-th].
- [4] A. J. Speranza, "Local phase space and edge modes for diffeomorphism-invariant theories," *JHEP* 02 (2018) 021, arXiv:1706.05061 [hep-th].
- [5] M. Geiller, "Lorentz-diffeomorphism edge modes in 3d gravity," JHEP 02 (2018) 029, arXiv:1712.05269 [gr-qc].
- [6] G. Wong, "A note on entanglement edge modes in Chern Simons theory," JHEP 08 (2018) 020, arXiv:1706.04666 [hep-th].
- [7] M. Geiller and P. Jai-akson, "Extended actions, dynamics of edge modes, and entanglement entropy," *JHEP* 20 (2020) 134, arXiv:1912.06025 [hep-th].
- [8] L. Freidel, E. R. Livine, and D. Pranzetti, "Gravitational edge modes: from Kac-Moody charges to Poincaré networks," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 36 (2019) no. 19, 195014, arXiv:1906.07876 [hep-th].
- [9] S. Carrozza, S. Eccles, and P. A. Hoehn, "Edge modes as dynamical frames: charges from post-selection in generally covariant theories," arXiv:2205.00913 [hep-th].
- W. Donnelly, L. Freidel, S. F. Moosavian, and A. J. Speranza, "Gravitational edge modes, coadjoint orbits, and hydrodynamics," *JHEP* 09 (2021) 008, arXiv: 2012.10367 [hep-th].

- [11] L. Freidel, M. Geiller, and D. Pranzetti, "Edge modes of gravity. Part I. Corner potentials and charges," *JHEP* 11 (2020) 026, arXiv:2006.12527 [hep-th].
- [12] L. Freidel, M. Geiller, and D. Pranzetti, "Edge modes of gravity. Part II. Corner metric and Lorentz charges," *JHEP* 11 (2020) 027, arXiv:2007.03563 [hep-th].
- [13] L. Freidel, M. Geiller, and D. Pranzetti, "Edge modes of gravity. Part III. Corner simplicity constraints," *JHEP* 01 (2021) 100, arXiv:2007.12635 [hep-th].
- [14] W. Donnelly, L. Freidel, S. F. Moosavian, and A. J. Speranza, "Matrix Quantization of Gravitational Edge Modes," arXiv:2212.09120 [hep-th].
- [15] M. Assanioussi, J. Kowalski-Glikman, I. Mäkinen, and L. Varrin, "On the covariant formulation of gauge theories with boundaries," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 41 (2024) no. 11, 115007, arXiv:2312.01918 [hep-th].
- [16] E. Joung, P. Narayan, and J. Yoon, "Gravitational edge mode in asymptotically AdS₂: JT gravity revisited," *JHEP* 05 (2024) 244, arXiv:2304.06088 [hep-th].
- [17] A. Ball, A. Law, and G. Wong, "Dynamical Edge Modes and Entanglement in Maxwell Theory," arXiv: 2403.14542 [hep-th].
- [18] L. Ciambelli and R. G. Leigh, "Isolated surfaces and symmetries of gravity," *Phys. Rev. D* 104 (2021) no. 4, 046005, arXiv:2104.07643 [hep-th].
- [19] L. Ciambelli, "From Asymptotic Symmetries to the Corner Proposal," *PoS* Modave2022 (2023) 002, arXiv:2212.13644 [hep-th].
- [20] L. Ciambelli, A. D'Alise, V. D'Esposito, D. Dordevic, D. Fernández-Silvestre, and L. Varrin, "Cornering Quantum Gravity," arXiv:2307.08460 [hep-th].
- [21] L. Freidel, M. Geiller, and W. Wieland, "Corner symmetry and quantum geometry," arXiv:2302.12799 [hep-th].
- [22] L. Ciambelli, R. G. Leigh, and P.-C. Pai, "Embeddings and Integrable Charges for Extended Corner Symmetry," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022), arXiv:2111.13181 [hep-th].
- [23] L. Freidel, "A canonical bracket for open gravitational system," arXiv:2111.14747 [hep-th].
- [24] L. Ciambelli and R. G. Leigh, "Universal corner symmetry and the orbit method for gravity," *Nucl. Phys. B* 986 (2023) 116053, arXiv:2207.06441 [hep-th].
- [25] E. P. Wigner, "On Unitary Representations of the Inhomogeneous Lorentz Group," *Annals Math.* 40 (1939) 149–204.
- [26] G. W. Mackey, "On induced representations of groups.," *American Journal of Mathematics* 73(3) (1951) 576–592.
- [27] G. W. Mackey, "Induced representations of locally compact groups i," *Annals of Mathematics* 55(1) (1952) 101–139.
- [28] G. W. Mackey, "Induced representations of locally compact groups ii," *Annals of Mathematics* 58(2) (1953) 193–220.
- [29] E. P. Wigner, "Relativistische wellengleichungen," Zeitschrift für Physik 124 (1948) 665–684.
- [30] E. P. Wigner and V. Bargmann, "Group theoretical discussion of relativistic wave equations," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 34(5) (1948) 211–223.
- [31] J. v. Neumann, "Uber einen satz von herrn m. h. stone," Annals of Mathematics 33 (1932) no. 3, 567–573. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1968535.
- [32] M. H. Stone, "On one-parameter unitary groups in hilbert space," Annals of Mathematics 33 (1932) no. 3, 643–648. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1968538.
- [33] M. Arzano, A. D'Alise, and D. Frattulillo, "Entanglement entropy in conformal quantum mechanics," *JHEP* **10** (2023)

165, arXiv:2306.12291 [hep-th].

- [34] T. Basile, E. Joung, and T. Oh, "Manifestly covariant worldline actions from coadjoint orbits. part i. generalities and vectorial descriptions," *JHEP* 01 (2024) 018, arXiv:2307.13644 [hep-th].
- [35] R. Howe, "Remarks on classical invariant theory," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 313 (1989) 539–570.
- [36] R. Howe, "Transcending classical invariant theory," *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **2** (1989) 535–552.
- [37] A. A. Kirillov, "Unitary representations of nilpotent lie groups," *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 17 (1962) no. 4, 53.
- [38] A. A. Kirillov, *Elements of the Theory of Representations*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1976.
- [39] A. A. Kirillov, Geometric Quantization, pp. 137–172. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-06793-2_2.
- [40] A. A. Kirillov, "Merits and demerits of the orbit method," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36 (1999) 433–488.
- [41] A. A. Kirillov, Lectures on the Orbit Method, vol. 64 of Graduate Study in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [42] J. Ambjorn and R. Loll, "Nonperturbative Lorentzian quantum gravity, causality and topology change," *Nucl. Phys. B* 536 (1998) 407–434, arXiv:hep-th/9805108.
- [43] R. Loll, "Quantum Gravity from Causal Dynamical Triangulations: A Review," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 37 (2020) no. 1, 013002, arXiv:1905.08669 [hep-th].