

PLURICLOSED MANIFOLDS WITH PARALLEL BISMUT TORSION

GIUSEPPE BARBARO, FRANCESCO PEDICONI, AND NICOLETTA TARDINI

ABSTRACT. We present a complete classification of simply-connected pluriclosed manifolds with parallel Bismut torsion, extending previously known results in the literature. Consequently, we also establish a splitting theorem for compact manifolds that are both pluriclosed with parallel Bismut torsion and Calabi-Yau with torsion.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a complex manifold (M, J) , there always exists a Hermitian metric on it, *i.e.*, a Riemannian metric g such that $g(J\cdot, J\cdot) = g(\cdot, \cdot)$. The metric g is Kähler if the associated fundamental 2-form $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ is closed and, consequently, Kähler geometry lies in the intersection of complex, Riemannian, and symplectic geometry. Therefore, the existence of a Kähler metric imposes strong restrictions on the topology and on the geometry of (M, J) and so, from a complex-geometric point of view, it is relevant to study Hermitian non-Kähler manifolds.

In the Kähler case the Levi-Civita connection D preserves the complex structure, *i.e.*, $DJ = 0$, and so it encodes both the Riemannian and the complex geometry of the manifold. Therefore, to study non-Kähler manifolds, other natural connections with non-vanishing torsion that preserve both the Riemannian metric g and the complex structure J have been introduced. These are called *Hermitian*, and, among them, a distinguished 1-parameter family of *canonical* connections has been presented by Gauduchon [38] by requiring a condition on the torsion. Among these, the *Bismut connection* ∇ (also known as *Strominger connection*, or *Kähler with torsion connection*) is the unique Hermitian connection whose torsion T is *totally skew-symmetric*, namely, $g(T(\cdot, \cdot), \cdot)$ is a 3-form. Indeed, T verifies

$$g(T(\cdot, \cdot), \cdot) = d\omega(J\cdot, J\cdot, J\cdot) = J^{-1}d\omega(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot).$$

The Bismut connection is important due to its wide range of applications. For instance, it appears in non-Kähler index theory [14, 15, 16] and in the context of geometrization of complex surfaces through the pluriclosed flow [60, 61, 62]. Additionally, it plays a role in string theory [20, 37, 47, 63].

We remark that metric connections with totally skew-symmetric torsion have appeared implicitly in the last century before the introduction of the Bismut connection (see *e.g.* [69, 22, 21]). Moreover, they have been extensively used as a tool in the study of manifolds with non-integrable G-structures (see *e.g.* [33, 64, 3, 49, 48, 24, 5, 1, 51]). Among metric connections with totally skew-symmetric torsion, two distinguished classes play an important role: those with *closed torsion* and those with *parallel torsion*. In the context of complex geometry, the Hermitian metrics whose Bismut connection has closed torsion, namely $dJ^{-1}d\omega = 0$, are called *pluriclosed* [14] (also known as *strong Kähler with torsion*). In this paper, we give a complete classification of simply-connected pluriclosed manifolds with parallel Bismut torsion.

Theorem A. *Let (M^{2n}, J, g) be a complete, simply-connected Hermitian manifold. Then, (M, J, g) is pluriclosed with parallel Bismut torsion if and only if it decomposes as a product of Hermitian irreducible factors, each of them is either Kähler or a Riemannian product*

$$\mathbb{R}^\ell \times \prod_{i=1}^s S_i \times \mathbb{K} \quad (\star)$$

endowed with a standard complex structure, where \mathbb{R}^ℓ is the ℓ -dimensional flat Euclidean space, each S_i is a Sasaki 3-dimensional manifold, \mathbb{K} is a compact semisimple Lie group of rank r with a bi-invariant metric and $\ell \leq s + r$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55, 53C25, 53B35, 53C05.

Key words and phrases. Bismut connection; pluriclosed; parallel torsion; Bismut Kähler-like; Calabi-Yau with torsion.

All authors are members of GNSAGA of INdAM. The first-named author has been supported by Villum Young Investigator 0019098. The second-named and third-named authors have been supported by the PRIN 2022 project “Real and Complex Manifolds: Geometry and Holomorphic Dynamics” (code 2022AP8HZ9). The third-named author was supported by University of Parma through the action Bando di Ateneo 2023 per la ricerca.

Here, by *standard complex structure* on (\star) , we mean an integrable almost-complex structure J that is compatible with the transverse complex distribution on each Sasaki factor, projects onto a K -invariant complex structure on the full flag manifold K/T for a chosen maximal torus $T \subset K$ and preserves the distribution spanned by the Euclidean factor, the Reeb vector fields and the torus T (see Definition 3.1).

The fact that Riemannian manifolds (\star) endowed with a standard complex structure are pluriclosed with parallel Bismut torsion follows by direct computation. On the other hand, the converse implication in Theorem A relies on two crucial algebraic facts. The first one is that the two conditions $dT = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$ imply that the torsion T satisfies the Jacobi identity, hence it gives rise to a Lie algebra bundle on TM with typical fiber \mathfrak{g} . Moreover, the Hermitian structure (J, g) induces both a bi-invariant metric and an orthogonal linear complex structure on \mathfrak{g} . Therefore, the root spaces decomposition of \mathfrak{g} determines a de Rham decomposition of the manifold (M, J, g) . The second one is that each de Rham factor corresponding to an ideal of \mathfrak{g} with rank at least 2 is necessarily Bismut flat, while the others are 3-dimensional Sasaki manifolds. Finally, by [2, Theorem 2.2], the Bismut flat factor is a Lie group with a bi-invariant metric.

Notice that pluriclosed manifolds with parallel Bismut torsion were classified, up to complex dimension five, in [72, 71, 73] (see also Section 5). The case of surfaces is particularly relevant since, in that case, these coupled conditions are equivalent to being *Vaisman* [72, Theorem 2]. Furthermore, Vaisman metrics always have parallel Bismut torsion [8, Corollary 3.8] but, in complex dimension greater than 2, Vaisman manifolds do not admit pluriclosed metrics [10, Theorem 3.1] (see also [71, Theorem 4]). Notice also that the argument in [10] extends to any smooth modification of a Vaisman manifold. This gives partial evidence to [71, Conjecture 1], where the authors suggest that compact pluriclosed manifolds with parallel Bismut torsion of dimension greater than 2 do not admit *locally conformally Kähler metrics*. We believe that Theorem A could provide more insights on this conjecture.

We remark that the conditions $dT = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$ coupled together have appeared in the study of symmetries of the curvature tensor of the Bismut connection. Let us recall that, following [9, Definition 4 and Remark 3], a Hermitian manifold (M, J, g) is called *Bismut Kähler-like (BKL for short)* if the curvature tensor R of its Bismut connection satisfies the *torsionless first Bianchi identity*

$$R_{X,Y}Z + R_{Y,Z}X + R_{Z,X}Y = 0 . \quad (1\text{-Bnc})$$

Then, as a result of Zhao and Zheng [72, Theorem 1], a Hermitian manifold (M, J, g) is pluriclosed with parallel Bismut torsion if and only if it is BKL.

The study of Hermitian manifolds whose curvature tensor, with respect to the Levi-Civita or the Chern connections, satisfies further symmetries, was initiated by Gray [41], and then it was recently studied also in [68, 56]. This study was then extended in [9] to a class of metric connections that includes Gauduchon's canonical ones. Accordingly, a Gauduchon connection is called *Kähler-like* if its curvature tensor satisfies (1-Bnc). As a matter of fact, on a Kähler manifold, all the canonical connections have vanishing torsion, and so (1-Bnc) coincides with the first Bianchi identity. Clearly, (1-Bnc) is trivially satisfied in the case of flat curvature, that has been studied *e.g.* in [17, 66, 34, 25, 67, 65, 44]. Recently, it has been proved in [55, Theorem 3.1] that for Gauduchon connections which are neither the Chern nor the Bismut one, the Kähler-like condition on compact manifolds forces the metric to be Kähler. Therefore, the only possible non-Kähler compact manifolds that admit this kind of curvature symmetry are BKL or *Chern Kähler-like*. We remark that Chern Kähler-like manifolds are known to be *balanced* [68, Theorem 3], but, to the best of our knowledge, a non-Chern flat example is still missing.

Simply-connected Bismut flat manifolds were classified in [66], where the authors showed that they are holomorphically isometric to the so called *Samelson spaces*. We recall that a Samelson space is a simply-connected even dimensional Lie group $\mathbb{R}^\ell \times K$ endowed with a bi-invariant metric and an orthogonal left-invariant complex structure, where K is compact and semisimple. These manifolds are known to be pluriclosed (see *e.g.* [6]), and we point out that there are a few examples of pluriclosed metrics that are not locally homogeneous. Concerning this, Theorem A provides new examples of pluriclosed manifolds that are not locally homogeneous.

Bismut flat manifolds are related to Yau's Problem 87 [70] concerning compact Hermitian manifolds with holonomy reduced to subgroups of $U(n)$. Indeed, the holonomy of the Bismut connection is always contained in $U(n)$, and it reduces to $SU(n)$ if and only if its *Bismut Ricci form* ρ , obtained by tracing the Bismut curvature tensor R in the endomorphism components, vanishes. These manifolds are called *Calabi-Yau with torsion (CYT for short)*. When the CYT condition is coupled with the BKL condition on a simply-connected manifold, then one obtains a product of a Kähler Ricci flat factor and a Samelson space (see [73, Theorem 3] and [18, Theorem 1.1]). As a direct consequence of Theorem A, we recover

this result for simply-connected manifolds. Furthermore, by studying their fundamental groups, we also characterize compact BKL and CYT manifolds, up to finite covers.

Theorem B. *Let (M^{2n}, J, g) be a compact Hermitian manifold. Then, (M, J, g) is CYT and pluriclosed with parallel Bismut torsion if and only if it splits, up to a finite cover, as a Hermitian product*

$$\mathcal{Y} \times M' ,$$

where \mathcal{Y} is Kähler Ricci flat and M' is a local Samelson space.

Here, following [66], a *local Samelson space* is the quotient $M' = (\mathbb{R}^\ell \times \mathbb{K})/\mathbb{Z}^\ell$ of a Samelson space by a free abelian group of rank ℓ acting as $\gamma \cdot (t, a) := (t + \gamma, \psi(\gamma).a)$ for some group homomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{Z}^\ell \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\mathbb{K})$ into the isometry group $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{K})$ of \mathbb{K} .

CYT manifolds appeared in the Physics literature after the works of Strominger [63] and Hull [46], and have been extensively studied (see *e.g.* [42, 27, 39, 32, 40, 35, 57, 28, 12, 13, 11, 18]). Moreover, pluriclosed CYT metrics (known also as *Bismut Hermitian Einstein* [36, Definition 8.11] are the only non-Kähler static points for the *pluriclosed flow*, that is a generalization of the classical Kähler-Ricci flow introduced by Streets and Tian [61], and this motivates the search for explicit examples that are neither Kähler, nor Bismut flat, nor a product of them. The first example, which is not topologically a product, also has parallel Bismut torsion and has been found in [18]. Notice that, by means of Theorem B, compact Bismut Hermitian Einstein with parallel Bismut torsion are always finitely covered by Hermitian products of Kähler Ricci flat manifolds and Bismut flat manifolds, and this further motivates the search of Bismut Hermitian Einstein examples without parallel Bismut torsion.

Finally, in [30, 31, 29], the authors have shown evidence that the pluriclosed flow should preserve the BKL condition. We believe that Theorem A could provide insights in this direction, and it will be the object of further studies.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains background material on the Bismut connection and on compact Lie algebras. In Section 3, we provide a construction for a general class of pluriclosed manifolds with parallel Bismut torsion. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem A. In Section 5, we explain how Theorem A extends all the previously known classification results in low dimension. Finally, in Section 6, we prove Theorem B.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Daniele Angella and Fangyang Zheng for their comments and suggestions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect some preliminaries on the Bismut connection and on Lie Theory, which will be useful afterward.

2.1. The Bismut connection.

Let (M^{2n}, J, g) be a Hermitian manifold of complex dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$, *i.e.*, a differentiable manifold M of real dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$ endowed with an integrable almost complex structure J and a Riemannian metric g satisfying $g(J \cdot, J \cdot) = g$. We will always assume that M is connected and that the Riemannian metric g is complete. For the sake of notation, we denote by $\Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E)$ the space of local smooth sections of any vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ over M defined on an open set $\mathcal{U} \subset M$. If $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$ is a smooth distribution of the tangent bundle, we denote by

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{D}) := \{X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; TM) : X|_p \in \mathcal{D}_p \text{ for any } p \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

the space of sections of \mathcal{D} defined on \mathcal{U} . Finally, if X is a vector field on M and Φ is a tensor field on M of type (p, q) , with $q \geq 1$, we denote by $X \lrcorner \Phi$ the tensor field on M of type $(p, q - 1)$ defined by

$$(X \lrcorner \Phi)(V_1, \dots, V_{q-1}) := \Phi(X, V_1, \dots, V_{q-1}) .$$

By the Newlander-Nirenberg Theorem, the fact that J is integrable is equivalent to the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor N_J of J , *i.e.*,

$$N_J(X, Y) := [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY] = 0 .$$

We consider the action of J on covectors $\vartheta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; T^*M)$ defined by $J\vartheta := \vartheta(J^{-1} \cdot)$, which extends on any k -form on M . We denote by $\omega := g(J \cdot, \cdot)$ the corresponding fundamental 2-form and by d^c the real operator defined by $d^c := -J^{-1} \circ d \circ J$, so that

$$d^c \omega(X, Y, Z) = -d\omega(JX, JY, JZ) . \tag{2.1}$$

For later use, we prove the following technical result.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; TM)$, the following equation holds true:*

$$d\omega(JX, JY, JZ) = d\omega(X, Y, JZ) + d\omega(X, JY, Z) + d\omega(JX, Y, Z) . \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(JX, JY, JZ) &= \mathcal{L}_{JX}\{g(JY, Z)\} + \mathcal{L}_{JY}\{g(JZ, X)\} + \mathcal{L}_{JZ}\{g(JX, Y)\} \\ &\quad - g([JX, JY], Z) - g([JY, JZ], X) - g([JZ, JX], Y) , \\ d\omega(JX, Y, Z) &= \mathcal{L}_{JX}\{g(JY, Z)\} + \mathcal{L}_Y\{g(Z, X)\} - \mathcal{L}_Z\{g(X, Y)\} \\ &\quad + g([JX, Y], JZ) - g([Y, Z], X) + g([Z, JX], JY) , \\ d\omega(X, JY, Z) &= -\mathcal{L}_X\{g(Y, Z)\} + \mathcal{L}_{JY}\{g(JZ, X)\} + \mathcal{L}_Z\{g(X, Y)\} \\ &\quad + g([X, JY], JZ) + g([JY, Z], JX) - g([Z, X], Y) , \\ d\omega(X, Y, JZ) &= \mathcal{L}_X\{g(Y, Z)\} - \mathcal{L}_Y\{g(Z, X)\} + \mathcal{L}_{JZ}\{g(JX, Y)\} \\ &\quad - g([X, Y], Z) + g([Y, JZ], JX) + g([JZ, X], JY) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(JX, JY, JZ) - d\omega(JX, Y, Z) - d\omega(X, JY, Z) - d\omega(X, Y, JZ) &= \\ &= -g(N_J(X, Y), Z) - g(N_J(Y, Z), X) - g(N_J(Z, X), Y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so (2.2) follows. \square

We denote by D the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) and by ∇ the *Bismut connection* of (M, J, g) defined by

$$g(\nabla_X Y, Z) := g(D_X Y, Z) - \frac{1}{2}d^c\omega(X, Y, Z) . \quad (2.3)$$

We denote by T the torsion tensor of ∇ , *i.e.*,

$$T(X, Y) := \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y] ,$$

and we remark that an easy computation shows that

$$g(T(X, Y), Z) = -d^c\omega(X, Y, Z) .$$

For this reason, the Bismut connection is said to have *totally skew-symmetric torsion*. By [14, 38], the Bismut connection is the unique linear connection with totally skew-symmetric torsion that preserves the Hermitian structure, *i.e.*, $\nabla J = 0$ and $\nabla g = 0$. We also denote by R the curvature tensor of ∇ defined as

$$R_{X,Y}Z := \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z .$$

For the sake of notation, we will also denote by R the corresponding covariant tensor

$$R(X, Y, Z, V) := g(R_{X,Y}Z, V)$$

and by ρ the (*first*) *Bismut Ricci form* defined by

$$\rho(X, Y) := \sum_{i=1}^n R(X, Y, J e_i, e_i) , \quad (2.4)$$

where $(e_i, J e_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$ is a local unitary frame.

The connection ∇ is said to satisfy the *torsionless first Bianchi identity* if

$$R_{X,Y}Z + R_{Y,Z}X + R_{Z,X}Y = 0 \quad (1\text{-Bnc})$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; TM)$. We stress the following

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that (1-Bnc) is satisfied. Then, for any $X, Y, Z, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; TM)$, the following equations are satisfied:*

$$R(X, Y, Z, V) = R(Z, V, X, Y) , \quad (2.5)$$

$$R_{JX, JY} = R_{X, Y} . \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Since ∇ preserves the metric g , (2.5) is a well-known algebraic consequence of (1-Bnc). Moreover, since ∇ preserves also J , (2.6) follows from (2.5). \square

We recall then the following

Definition 2.3 (Definition 4 in [9]). The Hermitian manifold (M, J, g) is *Bismut Kähler-like* (BKL for short) if the torsionless first Bianchi identity (1-Bnc) is satisfied.

Notice that, as a consequence of Lemma 2.2, equation (2.6) is automatically satisfied on a BKL manifold.

Remark 2.4. We observe that the proof of Lemma 2.2 can be carried out for any Hermitian connection. Therefore, the Kähler-like condition [9, Definition 4] for a Hermitian connection reduces to the torsionless first Bianchi identity (1-Bnc) (see also [9, Remark 3]).

Finally, by [72, Theorem 1], it is known that (M, J, g) is BKL if and only if the torsion 3-form $-d^c\omega$ of the Bismut connection is d-closed and ∇ -parallel, *i.e.*,

$$dd^c\omega = 0, \quad \nabla d^c\omega = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Recall that, when $dd^c\omega = 0$, the metric g is called *pluriclosed*, and so the BKL condition is then equivalent to being *pluriclosed with parallel Bismut torsion*. Even though the focus of the article is on the conditions (2.7), we will address the manifolds as BKL for the sake of simplicity.

2.2. Some basics on compact Lie algebras.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a real Lie algebra and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ its Cartan-Killing form. It is known that the following three conditions are equivalent:

- i)* there exists a $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant Euclidean inner product on \mathfrak{g} ;
- ii)* $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is non-positive definite;
- iii)* \mathfrak{g} is *compact*, *i.e.*, it is the Lie algebra of a compact Lie group.

Assume then that \mathfrak{g} is compact. In that case, \mathfrak{g} is *reductive*, *i.e.*, it splits as $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} + \mathfrak{k}$. Here, \mathfrak{z} denotes the center of \mathfrak{g} , where $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ vanishes, and $\mathfrak{k} := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is the semisimple part of \mathfrak{g} , where $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is negative definite. We also recall that \mathfrak{k} splits as a $(-\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ -orthogonal sum of simple ideals

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{k}_r \quad (2.8)$$

and that, for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, the restriction of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ to \mathfrak{k}_i coincides with the Cartan-Killing form of \mathfrak{k}_i , that is, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{k}_i \otimes \mathfrak{k}_i} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{k}_i}$. We call *rank of \mathfrak{g}* the dimension of a maximal abelian Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

A *linear complex structure* on \mathfrak{g} is an endomorphism $J \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ that satisfies the following two properties:

- $J \circ J = -\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$;
- $[JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY] = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Samelson proved in [59] that any compact Lie algebra of even rank admits a linear complex structure. Moreover, by [58], any linear complex structure on a compact Lie algebra of even rank is obtained by means of Samelson's construction. More precisely, if \mathfrak{g} is a compact Lie algebra of even rank, g an $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant Euclidean inner product on \mathfrak{g} and J a g -orthogonal linear complex structure on \mathfrak{g} , then there exists a unique maximal abelian Lie subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ that verifies the following properties:

- a) $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ is J -invariant, *i.e.*, $J\tilde{\mathfrak{t}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$;
- b) J is $\text{ad}(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}})$ -invariant, *i.e.*, $[V, JX] = J[V, X]$ for any $V \in \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let now \mathfrak{t} be the g -orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{z} inside $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ and denote by Δ the root system for the pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})$. By means of the above conditions, it follows that J also determines a choice of positive roots $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$, that is unique up to the action of the Weyl group. Denote by \mathfrak{k}_{α} the complex 1-dimensional α -root space for any $\alpha \in \Delta$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\alpha} := \{E \in \mathfrak{k} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} : [H, E] = i\alpha(H)E \text{ for any } H \in \mathfrak{t}\},$$

and set

$$\mathfrak{r}_{\alpha} := (\mathfrak{k}_{\alpha} + \mathfrak{k}_{-\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{k}, \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \Delta^+.$$

Then, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} splits g -orthogonally as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} + \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{r}_{\alpha} \quad (2.9)$$

and J preserves each *real root space* \mathfrak{r}_{α} . Notice that g leaves the decompositions (2.8) orthogonal and that its restriction to any simple ideal \mathfrak{k}_i is of the form $g|_{\mathfrak{k}_i \otimes \mathfrak{k}_i} = \kappa_i(-\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{k}_i})$ for some $\kappa_i > 0$. Therefore,

following [45, Sections III.4 - III.5 - III.6] (see also [4, Section 4.3]), for any $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ there exists a (J, g) -unitary basis (X_α, Y_α) for the real root space \mathfrak{r}_α satisfying the following:

$$\begin{aligned} [X_\alpha, X_\beta] &= \eta_{\alpha, \beta} X_{\alpha+\beta} - \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - \beta) \eta_{\alpha, -\beta} X_{|\alpha-\beta|} , \\ [X_\alpha, Y_\beta] &= \eta_{\alpha, \beta} Y_{\alpha+\beta} + \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - \beta) \eta_{\alpha, -\beta} Y_{|\alpha-\beta|} , \\ [Y_\alpha, Y_\beta] &= -\eta_{\alpha, \beta} X_{\alpha+\beta} - \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - \beta) \eta_{\alpha, -\beta} X_{|\alpha-\beta|} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - \beta) := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \alpha - \beta \in \Delta^+ \\ -1 & \text{if } \beta - \alpha \in \Delta^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and the coefficients $\eta_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\alpha, \beta} &= 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta , \\ \eta_{\alpha, \beta} &= -\eta_{\beta, \alpha} , \quad \eta_{-\alpha, -\beta} = -\eta_{\alpha, \beta} , \\ \eta_{\alpha, \beta} &= \eta_{\beta, -(\alpha+\beta)} = \eta_{-(\alpha+\beta), \alpha} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Moreover, for any $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, there exists a vector $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(H_\alpha, X_\alpha, Y_\alpha)$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{k} isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{t} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta^+)$.

Finally, we recall that a (real) Lie algebra bundle over M is a triple $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}, \mu, \mathfrak{g})$ given by a vector bundle $\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$, a morphism of vector bundles $\mu : \Lambda^2 \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ covering the identity and a finite dimensional real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} satisfying the following properties:

- i) for any $p \in M$, the linear map μ_p verifies the Jacobi identity;
- ii) for any $p \in M$, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ of p and a diffeomorphism $\phi : \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \times \mathfrak{g}$ trivializing \mathcal{G} such that the restriction to each fiber $\phi_q : (\mathcal{G}_q, \mu_q) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

By [52, Theorem 3], condition (ii) is automatically satisfied if (i) holds true and all the fibers (\mathcal{G}_q, μ_q) are isomorphic as Lie algebras. A vector subbundle $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$ is called *Lie algebra subbundle of \mathcal{G}* if \mathcal{H} is closed under the morphism μ and there exists a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ such that $(\mathcal{H}, \mu|_{\Lambda^2 \mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a Lie algebra bundle.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF PLURICLOSED MANIFOLDS WITH PARALLEL BISMUT TORSION

In this section, we provide a general construction of non-Kähler BKL manifolds in any dimensions. Eventually, by Theorem A, it will turn out that any BKL manifold can be obtained as products of Kähler manifolds and these building blocks.

Let S_i be a 3-dimensional manifold endowed with a fixed Sasaki structure, with $1 \leq i \leq s$, and \mathbf{K} a compact semisimple Lie group of $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{K}) = r$ endowed with a bi-invariant metric. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2m := \ell + s + r$ is even and define the product

$$M^{2n} := \mathbb{R}^\ell \times \prod_{i=1}^s S_i \times \mathbf{K} , \quad (3.1)$$

where \mathbb{R}^ℓ is considered with the Euclidean structure. Denote by g the product Riemannian metric on M and define a complex structure J as follows.

Fix a global D -parallel frame (H_1, \dots, H_ℓ) for \mathbb{R}^ℓ and denote by $(H_{\ell+1}, \dots, H_{\ell+s})$ the Reeb vector fields associated to the given Sasaki manifolds. Let \mathbf{T} be a maximal torus of \mathbf{K} , together with a left-invariant orthonormal frame $(H_{\ell+s+1}, \dots, H_{2m})$ tangent to \mathbf{T} . Notice that, by construction,

$$[H_i, H_j] = 0 \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2m . \quad (3.2)$$

Denote also by $\varpi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{T}$ the composition of the canonical projection of M onto its last factor and the projection $\mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{T}$.

Definition 3.1. An almost-complex structure J on (M, g) is called *standard* if it satisfies the properties listed below.

- i) The global orthonormal frame (H_1, \dots, H_{2m}) on M is J -invariant and J acts on it as

$$JH_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} H_j a_{ji} , \quad (3.3)$$

where $A = (a_{ji}) \in \mathbf{U}(m) \subset \mathbf{SO}(2m)$ is a (constant) matrix.

- ii) On any transverse Sasaki distribution $H_{\ell+i}^\perp \cap TS_i$, with $1 \leq i \leq s$, J coincides with the transverse complex structure of S_i .
- iii) J projects via ϖ onto a \mathbf{K} -invariant complex structure on the full flag manifold \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{T} .

Any almost-complex structure J defined as above turns out to be integrable, indeed

Lemma 3.2. *The triple (M, g, J) , where (M, g) is given by (3.1) and J is standard according to Definition 3.1, is a Hermitian manifold.*

Proof. The fact that J is a g -orthogonal almost-complex structure follows directly from the construction. Moreover, since the coefficients a_{ij} in (3.3) are constant, by (3.2) we get that $N_J(H_i, H_j) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq 2m$ and so the Nijenhuis tensor N_J of J vanishes. \square

We now prove that these Hermitian manifolds are BKL. Let us consider now the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} := \text{Lie}(\mathbf{K})$ and define the left-invariant 3-form $B \in \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{k}^*$ by

$$B(V, W, Z) := -g([V, W], Z) . \quad (3.4)$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold as in (3.1) endowed with a standard complex structure J . Then, the torsion T of its Bismut connection is given by*

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^s H_{\ell+i}^* \wedge dH_{\ell+i}^* + B . \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. We begin by computing the fundamental 2-form $\omega = g(J \cdot, \cdot)$ with respect to an appropriate frame. Since the vector fields (H_1, \dots, H_ℓ) on \mathbb{R}^ℓ are D -parallel, we have

$$dH_i^* = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell . \quad (3.6)$$

Let us fix now a local unitary frame $(E_{\ell+i}, F_{\ell+i})$ for $H_{\ell+i}^\perp \cap TS_i$, for any $1 \leq i \leq s$. A straightforward computation shows then that

$$\begin{aligned} DH_{\ell+i} &= E_{\ell+i}^* \otimes F_{\ell+i} - F_{\ell+i}^* \otimes E_{\ell+i} , \\ DE_{\ell+i} &= F_{\ell+i}^* \otimes H_{\ell+i} - \vartheta_{\ell+i} \otimes F_{\ell+i} , \\ DF_{\ell+i} &= -E_{\ell+i}^* \otimes H_{\ell+i} + \vartheta_{\ell+i} \otimes E_{\ell+i} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\vartheta_{\ell+i}$ is a local 1-form on S_i . Therefore, the differentials of the associated coframe $(H_{\ell+i}^*, E_{\ell+i}^*, F_{\ell+i}^*)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} dH_{\ell+i}^* &= 2 E_{\ell+i}^* \wedge F_{\ell+i}^* , \\ dE_{\ell+i}^* &= F_{\ell+i}^* \wedge H_{\ell+i}^* - \vartheta_{\ell+i} \wedge F_{\ell+i}^* , \\ dF_{\ell+i}^* &= -E_{\ell+i}^* \wedge H_{\ell+i}^* + \vartheta_{\ell+i} \wedge E_{\ell+i}^* . \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We observe also that, for any $V \in \mathfrak{k}$, the differential dV^* of its metric dual V^* with respect to g verifies

$$dV^* = B(V, \cdot, \cdot) . \quad (3.9)$$

By assumption (iii) in Definition 3.1, there exists a choice of positive roots Δ^+ for \mathfrak{k} , with respect to $\mathfrak{t} := \text{Lie}(\mathbf{T})$, such that J restricts to a linear complex structure on each real root space \mathfrak{r}_α of \mathfrak{k} . Consequently, we can fix, for each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, a frame of unitary left-invariant vector fields (X_α, Y_α) for \mathfrak{r}_α as in Section 2.2. A direct computation based on (3.9) shows that

$$dH_{\ell+s+i}^* = - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(H_{\ell+s+i}) X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r . \quad (3.10)$$

For the sake of notation, we also set

$$\alpha(H_i) = 0 \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq i \leq \ell + s, \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Delta^+ .$$

Let now $Q = (q_{ji}) \in \text{SO}(2m)$ be such that

$$A = Q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & -1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q^t ,$$

where $A = (a_{ji}) \in \text{U}(m)$ is as in (3.3), and denote by (Z_1, \dots, Z_{2m}) the new frame defined by

$$Z_i := \sum_{j=1}^{2m} H_j q_{ji} , \quad (3.11)$$

so that $g(Z_i, Z_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $JZ_{2i-1} = Z_{2i}$. Therefore, ω takes the form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^m Z_{2i-1}^* \wedge Z_{2i}^* + \sum_{i=1}^s E_{\ell+i}^* \wedge F_{\ell+i}^* + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* . \quad (3.12)$$

Let us compute now the 3-form $T = -d^c \omega$. By (3.8), it is immediate to see that

$$d(E_{\ell+i}^* \wedge F_{\ell+i}^*) = 0 \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq i \leq s$$

and so

$$-d^c \left(\sum_{i=1}^m E_{\ell+i}^* \wedge F_{\ell+i}^* \right) = 0 . \quad (3.13)$$

Moreover, by (3.10) and (3.11), one has

$$\begin{aligned} J^{-1}dZ_i^* &= J^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2m} dH_j^* q_{ji} \right) \\ &= J^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\ell+s} 2E_j^* \wedge F_j^* q_{ji} - \sum_{j=\ell+s+1}^{2m} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(H_j) q_{ji} X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\ell+s} 2E_j^* \wedge F_j^* q_{ji} - \sum_{j=\ell+s+1}^{2m} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(H_j) q_{ji} X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} -d^c \left(\sum_{i=1}^m Z_{2i-1}^* \wedge Z_{2i}^* \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-d^c Z_{2i-1}^* \wedge Z_{2i}^* + Z_{2i-1}^* \wedge d^c Z_{2i}^*) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2m} Z_i^* \wedge J^{-1}dZ_i^* \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2m} Z_i^* \wedge \left(\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\ell+s} 2E_j^* \wedge F_j^* q_{ji} - \sum_{j=\ell+s+1}^{2m} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(H_j) q_{ji} X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\ell+s} 2H_j^* \wedge E_j^* \wedge F_j^* - \sum_{j=\ell+s+1}^{2m} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(H_j) H_j^* \wedge X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s H_{\ell+i}^* \wedge dH_{\ell+i}^* + \sum_{i=1}^r H_{\ell+s+i}^* \wedge dH_{\ell+s+i}^* . \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

For the last term of T , we observe that

$$d^c X_\alpha^* = -J^{-1}dY_\alpha^* , \quad d^c Y_\alpha^* = J^{-1}dX_\alpha^*$$

and so

$$-d^c \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^* \wedge J^{-1}dX_\alpha^* + Y_\alpha^* \wedge J^{-1}dY_\alpha^* . \quad (3.15)$$

Is it then easy to see that

$$E_{\ell+i} \lrcorner d^c \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* \right) = F_{\ell+i} \lrcorner d^c \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* \right) = 0 . \quad (3.16)$$

Moreover, a straightforward computation shows that, for any $1 \leq i \leq 2m$,

$$H_i \lrcorner J^{-1}dX_\alpha^* = \alpha(H_i) X_\alpha^* , \quad H_i \lrcorner J^{-1}dY_\alpha^* = \alpha(H_i) Y_\alpha^*$$

and so, by (3.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_i \lrcorner d^c \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^* \right) &= - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} H_i \lrcorner (X_\alpha^* \wedge J^{-1}dX_\alpha^*) + H_i \lrcorner (Y_\alpha^* \wedge J^{-1}dY_\alpha^*) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^* \wedge (H_i \lrcorner J^{-1}dX_\alpha^*) + Y_\alpha^* \wedge (H_i \lrcorner J^{-1}dY_\alpha^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(H_i)(X_\alpha^* \wedge X_\alpha^* + Y_\alpha^* \wedge Y_\alpha^*) \\
&= 0 .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Finally we observe that, by (3.10), it follows that

$$dH_{\ell+s+i}^* = J^{-1}dH_{\ell+s+i}^* \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq i \leq r$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{i=1}^r H_{\ell+s+i}^* \wedge dH_{\ell+s+i}^* + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^* \wedge J^{-1}dX_\alpha^* + Y_\alpha^* \wedge J^{-1}dY_\alpha^* \right) (U, V, W) = \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^r H_{\ell+s+i}^* \wedge J^{-1}dH_{\ell+s+i}^* + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^* \wedge J^{-1}dX_\alpha^* + Y_\alpha^* \wedge J^{-1}dY_\alpha^* \right) (U, V, W) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(g(H_{\ell+s+i}, U)dH_{\ell+s+i}^*(JV, JW) + g(H_{\ell+s+i}, V)dH_{\ell+s+i}^*(JW, JU) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g(H_{\ell+s+i}, W)dH_{\ell+s+i}^*(JU, JV) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left(g(X_\alpha, U)dX_\alpha^*(JV, JW) + g(X_\alpha, V)dX_\alpha^*(JW, JU) + g(X_\alpha, W)dX_\alpha^*(JU, JV) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g(Y_\alpha, U)dY_\alpha^*(JV, JW) + g(Y_\alpha, V)dY_\alpha^*(JW, JU) + g(Y_\alpha, W)dY_\alpha^*(JU, JV) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(g(H_{\ell+s+i}, U)B(H_{\ell+s+i}, JV, JW) + g(H_{\ell+s+i}, V)B(H_{\ell+s+i}, JW, JU) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g(H_{\ell+s+i}, W)B(H_{\ell+s+i}, JU, JV) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \left(g(X_\alpha, U)B(X_\alpha, JV, JW) + g(X_\alpha, V)B(X_\alpha, JW, JU) + g(X_\alpha, W)B(X_\alpha, JU, JV) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g(Y_\alpha, U)B(Y_\alpha, JV, JW) + g(Y_\alpha, V)B(Y_\alpha, JW, JU) + g(Y_\alpha, W)B(Y_\alpha, JU, JV) \right) \\
&= B(U, JV, JW) + B(V, JW, JU) + B(W, JU, JV) \\
&= -g(U, [JV, JW]) + g(U, J[V, JW]) + g(U, J[JV, W]) \\
&= -g(U, [V, W]) \\
&= B(U, V, W) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Therefore, (3.5) follows from (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18). \square

We are ready now to prove the main result of this section, that is

Theorem 3.4. *Any Riemannian manifold (M, g) as in (3.1) endowed with a standard complex structure J is BKL.*

Proof. By (3.5), the torsion 3-form T splits accordingly to (3.1), and so ∇ restricts to metric connections with totally skew-symmetric torsion on each factor of (3.1). By [72, Theorem 1], the BKL condition is equivalent to (2.7), and so we need to show that $dT = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$ on each factor.

On K , the restricted Bismut connection takes the form

$$\nabla = D + \frac{1}{2}B ,$$

where B was defined in (3.4), and so is flat by [54, Chapter X, Proposition 2.12]. A direct computation based on the Jacobi identity shows that $dB = 0$. Moreover, by [2, Proposition 2.1], it follows that

$$(\nabla_V B)(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2}dB(X, Y, Z, V) = 0$$

for any $X, Y, Z, V \in \mathfrak{k}$.

On each S_i , one has

$$d(H_{\ell+i}^* \wedge dH_{\ell+i}^*) = 0 .$$

Moreover, if we choose a local unitary frame $(E_{\ell+i}, F_{\ell+i})$ for $H_{\ell+i}^\perp \cap TS_i$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.3, by (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla H_{\ell+i} &= 0 , \\ \nabla E_{\ell+i} &= H_{\ell+i}^* \otimes F_{\ell+i} - \vartheta_{\ell+i} \otimes F_{\ell+i} , \\ \nabla F_{\ell+i} &= -H_{\ell+i}^* \otimes E_{\ell+i} + \vartheta_{\ell+i} \otimes E_{\ell+i} ,\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\nabla H_{\ell+i}^* = 0 , \quad \nabla dH_{\ell+i}^* = 2 \nabla (E_{\ell+i}^* \wedge F_{\ell+i}^*) = 0 .$$

This concludes the proof. \square

4. CLASSIFICATION OF PLURICLOSED MANIFOLDS WITH PARALLEL BISMUT TORSION

Let (M^{2n}, J, g) be a simply-connected BKL manifold of complex dimension n . We assume that (M, J) is complex irreducible, *i.e.*, it does not split as a product of complex manifolds of lower dimension, and that g is non-Kähler. We follow the notation of Section 2. From equations (2.7), the following crucial observation holds true.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $o \in M$ be a distinguished point and $\mathfrak{g} := (T_o M, T_o)$. Then, \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra and the triple $\mathcal{G} = (TM, T, \mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie algebra bundle over M . Moreover, for any point $p \in M$, g_p is a bi-invariant Euclidean scalar product on $(T_p M, T_p)$ and J_p is a linear complex structure on $(T_p M, T_p)$.*

Proof. By [50, Eq. (3.20)], equations (2.7) imply that

$$g(T(X, Y), T(Z, V)) + g(T(Y, Z), T(X, V)) + g(T(Z, X), T(Y, V)) = 0 .$$

Since T is totally skew-symmetric, it follows that

$$g(T(X, Y), T(Z, V)) = g(T(T(X, Y), Z), V)$$

and so

$$T(T(X, Y), Z) + T(T(Y, Z), X) + T(T(Z, X), Y) = 0 ,$$

i.e., T verifies the Jacobi identity. Fix now $p \in M$ and let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a geodesic verifying $\gamma(0) = o$ and $\gamma(1) = p$. Denote by $\tau_\gamma : T_o M \rightarrow T_p M$ the ∇ -parallel transport along γ . Then, since T is parallel, it is immediate to realize that $(\tau_\gamma)^* T_p = T_o$. This implies that each fiber $(T_p M, T_p)$ is isomorphic as Lie algebra to \mathfrak{g} , which implies that $\mathcal{G} = (TM, T, \mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie algebra bundle in virtue of [52, Theorem 3].

Fix now $p \in M$ and let $v, w_1, w_2 \in T_p M$. Notice that

$$g_p(T_p(v, w_1), w_2) = -g_p(w_1, T_p(v, w_2)) ,$$

which in turn implies that g_p is a bi-invariant Euclidean scalar product on $(T_p M, T_p)$. Finally, (2.2) implies that

$$T_p(J_p w_1, J_p w_2) = T_p(w_1, w_2) + J_p T_p(J_p w_1, w_2) + J_p T_p(w_1, J_p w_2)$$

and so J_p is a linear complex structure on $(T_p M, T_p)$. \square

By [58, Section 2], for any $p \in M$, the complex structure J_p determines a unique maximal torus $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_p$ of $(T_p M, T_p)$ (see Section 2.2). Let also \mathcal{Z}_p be the center of $(T_p M, T_p)$, \mathcal{T}_p the g_p -orthogonal complement of \mathcal{Z}_p in $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_p$ and \mathcal{P}_p the g_p -orthogonal complement of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_p$ in $T_p M$. In all these cases, the dependence on the point p is smooth and so these spaces determine the following splitting of the Lie algebra bundle \mathcal{G} as the direct sum of g -orthogonal subbundles:

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{P} . \tag{4.1}$$

Notice that \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{T} are, in particular, Lie algebra subbundles of \mathcal{G} , with typical fibers \mathfrak{z} and \mathfrak{t} , respectively, while \mathcal{P} is just a vector subbundle, with typical fiber \mathfrak{p} . For the sake of notation, we set $\ell := \dim(\mathfrak{z})$ and $q := \dim(\mathfrak{t})$.

Proposition 4.2. *The distributions \mathcal{Z} , \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{P} in (4.1) are ∇ -parallel.*

Proof. Since the parallel transport preserves the Lie algebra structure of the fibers of \mathcal{G} , it follows by construction that the distribution $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{T}$ is ∇ -parallel. Moreover, the distribution \mathcal{Z} is also ∇ -parallel. Indeed, if we fix a point $p \in M$ and a path $\gamma : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ such that $0 \in I$ and $\gamma(0) = p$. Then, given $z \in \mathcal{Z}_p$, we denote by $Z = Z(t)$ the ∇ -parallel vector field along $\gamma = \gamma(t)$ such that $Z(0) = z$. Since the torsion T is ∇ -parallel, it follows that

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}(Z(t) \lrcorner T_{\gamma(t)}) = (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}Z(t)) \lrcorner T_{\gamma(t)} + Z(t) \lrcorner (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}T_{\gamma(t)}) = 0$$

and so, since $z \lrcorner T_p = 0$, we get $Z(t) \lrcorner T_{\gamma(t)} = 0$ for any $t \in I$. Finally, since ∇ preserves g , it follows that \mathcal{T} , that is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{Z} inside $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$, and \mathcal{P} , that is the orthogonal complement of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ inside \mathcal{G} , are ∇ -parallel too. \square

Next, we are going to show that \mathcal{T} is ∇ -flat, which will allow us to deduce that it is globally generated by ∇ -parallel vector fields. We begin with the following

Lemma 4.3. *The following equality holds true: $\mathcal{T} + J\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. First of all, we need to prove that \mathcal{Z} does not contain any J -invariant subdistribution. As a matter of fact, suppose $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ is the maximal J -invariant subdistribution of \mathcal{Z} , and let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ be the distribution defined at any point $p \in M$ by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_p := \mathcal{V}_p + \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \nabla_{X_1, \dots, X_k}^k Y \Big|_p : X_1, \dots, X_k \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; TM), Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{V}), p \in \mathcal{U} \subset M, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

By construction, since both J and \mathcal{Z} are ∇ -parallel, it is straightforward to verify that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is a J -invariant and ∇ -parallel subdistribution of \mathcal{Z} . By the maximality assumption, we get $\mathcal{V} = \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and so \mathcal{V} is ∇ -parallel. Since $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{Z}$, we get $DX = \nabla X$ for any $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{V})$, and so \mathcal{V} is D -parallel. Since, by hypothesis, (M, J, g) does not contain any Kähler de Rham factor, we conclude that \mathcal{V} is trivial.

Finally, let us consider the sum $\mathcal{T} + J\mathcal{T}$. This is a J -invariant distribution in $\mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{T}$, which is also J -invariant. Therefore, the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{T} + J\mathcal{T}$ inside $\mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{T}$ is a J -invariant subdistribution of \mathcal{Z} . In virtue of the above argument, this complement has to be trivial, and so the thesis follows. \square

Remark 4.4. From the above proof, it immediately follows that $q \geq \ell$, that is, the dimension $\ell = \dim(\mathfrak{z})$ of the center \mathfrak{z} can not be greater than half of the dimension $\ell + q$ of the whole maximal torus $\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{z}$.

Proposition 4.5. *The Bismut curvature R satisfies the following property at any point $p \in M$: $R_{v,w}z = 0$ for $v, w, z \in T_pM$ whenever at least one of them lies in \mathcal{T}_p . In particular, R vanishes identically on \mathcal{T} .*

Proof. Fix $p \in M$ and $v, w, z \in T_pM$. We stress the following facts.

- i) By Lemma 4.3, any vector $c \in \mathcal{Z}_p$ can be written as a sum $c = h + Jh'$, for some $h, h' \in \mathcal{T}_p$;
- ii) Any vector $h \in \mathcal{T}_p$ can be written as

$$h = \sum_i \lambda_i T(e_i, J e_i),$$

for some $e_i \in \mathcal{P}_p$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Section 2.2);

- iii) Since $\nabla J = 0$, then $R_{\cdot, \cdot} \circ J = J \circ R_{\cdot, \cdot}$,
- iv) Since $\nabla T = 0$, then

$$R_{\cdot, \cdot}(T(u_1, u_2)) = T(R_{\cdot, \cdot}u_1, u_2) + T(u_1, R_{\cdot, \cdot}u_2)$$

for any $u_1, u_2 \in T_pM$.

These facts, together with (2.5), allow us to reduce the cases when at least one of the three vectors v, w, z lies in \mathcal{T}_p to the following case: $z \in \mathcal{D}_p$, with $\mathcal{D}_p \in \{\mathcal{Z}_p, \mathcal{T}_p, \mathcal{P}_p\}$, and $v, w \in \mathcal{D}_p^\perp$. Since by the Proposition 4.2 the distributions \mathcal{Z} , \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{P} are ∇ -parallel, we get $R_{v,w}z \in \mathcal{D}_p$. Moreover, by the torsionless first Bianchi identity (1-Bnc), it follows that

$$R_{v,w}z = -R_{w,z}v - R_{z,v}w \in \mathcal{D}_p^\perp$$

and so $R_{v,w}z = 0$, which concludes the proof. \square

Since M is simply-connected, Proposition 4.5 ensures that we can use parallel transport to define a global, orthonormal, ∇ -parallel frame $(H_{\ell+1}, \dots, H_{\ell+q})$ for \mathcal{T} . Consequently, the skew-symmetric endomorphism fields

$$H_{\ell+i} \lrcorner T|_{\mathcal{P}} \quad \text{with } 1 \leq i \leq q$$

are also ∇ -parallel, which in turn implies, by using the parallel transport, that their (complexified) eigenspaces are ∇ -parallel, and their eigenvalues are constant. This allows us to split \mathcal{P} into a sum of

globally defined, two-dimensional, J -invariant, ∇ -parallel distributions \mathcal{R}_α , corresponding to a choice of positive roots $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . More concretely:

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{T} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathcal{R}_\alpha . \quad (4.2)$$

Notice that since the distributions \mathcal{R}_α are ∇ -parallel, we get

$$R_{\cdot, \cdot} \mathcal{R}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{R}_\alpha .$$

Moreover, thanks to (2.5), this symmetry also holds true in the first two entries of R , that is, the only possibly non-vanishing components of the curvature R are of the form

$$R(v_\alpha, Jv_\alpha, v_\beta, Jv_\beta) \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+, v_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha|_p, v_\beta \in \mathcal{R}_\beta|_p, p \in M. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, using the torsionless first Bianchi identity (1-Bnc) as in the proof of Proposition 4.5, we deduce that the components in (4.3) with $\alpha \neq \beta$ vanish. Therefore, we conclude that the only possibly non-vanishing components of the curvature R are of the form

$$R(v_\alpha, Jv_\alpha, v_\alpha, Jv_\alpha) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta^+, v_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha|_p, p \in M. \quad (4.4)$$

Equation (4.4) plays a central role in the proof of the following

Proposition 4.6. *Any Lie algebra subbundle of $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{P}$ whose typical fiber is an ideal of $\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{p}$ of rank at least 2 is ∇ -flat.*

Proof. We fix an open set $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ such that each $\mathcal{R}_\alpha|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is generated by a local unitary frame $\{V_\alpha, JV_\alpha\}$, so that

$$T(H, V_\alpha) = \alpha(H)JV_\alpha, \quad T(H, JV_\alpha) = -\alpha(H)V_\alpha$$

for any $H \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{T})$. Let θ be the connection 1-form associated to ∇ with respect to the local frame $\{H_{\ell+1}, \dots, H_{\ell+q}\} \cup \{V_\alpha, JV_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$. By construction, $\nabla H_{\ell+i} = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq q$. Moreover, we know that $\nabla_X V_\alpha$ lies in $\mathcal{R}_\alpha|_{\mathcal{U}}$ and it is straightforward to check that it is orthogonal to V_α , for any $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; TM)$. Therefore, the only possibly non-vanishing components of θ are given by

$$\nabla V_\alpha = \theta_\alpha \otimes JV_\alpha . \quad (4.5)$$

Take now two roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Delta^+$ such that their sum is itself a root $\alpha_3 := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in \Delta^+$. Then, by standard root spaces relations (see Section 2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \nabla V_{\alpha_3} &= \nabla(T(V_{\alpha_1}, V_{\alpha_2})) - \nabla(T(JV_{\alpha_1}, JV_{\alpha_2})) \\ &= T(\nabla V_{\alpha_1}, V_{\alpha_2}) + T(V_{\alpha_1}, \nabla V_{\alpha_2}) - T(J\nabla V_{\alpha_1}, JV_{\alpha_2}) - T(JV_{\alpha_1}, J\nabla V_{\alpha_2}) \\ &= (\theta_{\alpha_1} + \theta_{\alpha_2}) \otimes (T(V_{\alpha_1}, JV_{\alpha_2}) - T(V_{\alpha_2}, JV_{\alpha_1})) \\ &= 2\eta_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} (\theta_{\alpha_1} + \theta_{\alpha_2}) \otimes JV_{\alpha_3} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\theta_{\alpha_3} = \theta_{\alpha_1} + \theta_{\alpha_2} . \quad (4.6)$$

Notice now that the curvature tensor R can be locally computed by means of the connection form θ using the Cartan structure equation $R_{\cdot, \cdot} = d\theta - \theta \wedge \theta$. Moreover, by (4.5), it is straightforward to check that the above formula reduces to

$$R_{\cdot, \cdot} V_\alpha = d\theta_\alpha \otimes JV_\alpha . \quad (4.7)$$

Consequently, by using also (4.6), we get

$$R_{\cdot, \cdot} V_{\alpha_3} = d\theta_{\alpha_1} \otimes JV_{\alpha_3} + d\theta_{\alpha_2} \otimes JV_{\alpha_3}$$

which, together with (4.4), implies that the curvature vanishes in these directions. We finally remark that, in any compact simple Lie algebra of rank at least 2, any root fits in a triple like the one above, concluding the proof. \square

It follows from Proposition 4.6 that $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{P}$ decomposes as a sum of s non-Bismut flat Lie algebra subbundles $\mathcal{A}_1^{(i)}$, whose typical fiber is $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, and a Bismut flat Lie algebra subbundle \mathcal{K} with typical fiber \mathfrak{k} , that is

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z} + \sum_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}_1^{(i)} + \mathcal{K} . \quad (4.8)$$

By construction, the torsion T splits accordingly to (4.8). Moreover, since the decomposition (4.8) is ∇ -parallel, it is also D -parallel, and hence induces different de Rham factors. Notice that the distribution \mathcal{Z} is D -flat, while the other factors are characterized as follows.

Lemma 4.7. *Any distribution $\mathcal{A}_1^{(i)}$, for $i = 1, \dots, s$, corresponds to a de Rham factor S_i which is a 3-dimensional Sasaki manifold.*

Proof. Fix an index $i = 1, \dots, s$. By construction, S_i is a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension 3 endowed with a distinguished complete, ∇ -parallel vector field $H_{\ell+i}$. Since $\nabla.H_{\ell+i} = 0$, it is straightforward to check that it is Killing and

$$D.H_{\ell+i} = \frac{1}{2} T(H_{\ell+i}, \cdot) . \quad (4.9)$$

Moreover, since $\mathcal{A}_1^{(i)}$ is a Lie algebra bundle whose typical fiber is $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, it follows that $H_{\ell+i}^\perp \cap \mathcal{A}_1^{(i)}$ admits local generators (E_i, F_i) satisfying

$$T(H_{\ell+i}, E_i) = -2 F_i , \quad T(H_{\ell+i}, F_i) = +2 E_i \quad (4.10)$$

and so

$$T(H_{\ell+i}, \cdot)|_{H_{\ell+i}^\perp \cap \mathcal{A}_1^{(i)}} = -2 J|_{H_{\ell+i}^\perp \cap \mathcal{A}_1^{(i)}} .$$

Moreover, by (4.9) and (4.10), it follows that $H_{\ell+i}^* \wedge dH_{\ell+i}^*$ is a volume form. Therefore, S_i is a Sasaki manifold with Reeb vector field $H_{\ell+i}$. \square

Lemma 4.8. *The distribution \mathcal{K} corresponds to a compact semisimple Lie group K equipped with a bi-invariant metric.*

Proof. Let us denote by K the complete Riemannian manifold corresponding to distribution \mathcal{K} . By construction, the Bismut connection ∇ of (M, J, g) restricts to a flat Riemannian connection with skew-symmetric torsion on K , that we will still denote by ∇ . Let now $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{K}_p$ be the decomposition of the Lie algebra bundle \mathcal{K} corresponding to the splitting of \mathfrak{k} into simple ideal $\mathfrak{k}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{k}_p$. Being ∇ -flat, each \mathcal{K}_i is also Riemannian-irreducible, and so K splits as a product of complete Riemannian manifolds

$$\mathsf{K} = \mathsf{K}_1 \times \dots \times \mathsf{K}_p .$$

Therefore, we can apply [2, Theorem 2.2]. In particular, by (2.7), we fall into the case $\sigma_T = 0$ in the above-mentioned theorem. Consequently, we can conclude that each K_i is a compact, simple Lie group and the induced metric is bi-invariant. \square

We are finally ready to gather all the results needed to obtain Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Let (M^{2n}, J, g) be a complete, simply-connected Hermitian manifold. If it decomposes as a product of Hermitian irreducible factors, each of them is either Kähler or a Riemannian product as in \star endowed with a standard complex structure, then (M^{2n}, J, g) is pluriclosed with parallel Bismut torsion by Theorem 3.4. On the other hand, if (M^{2n}, J, g) is pluriclosed with parallel Bismut torsion, then each Hermitian irreducible non-Kähler factor of M is of the form (\star) by (4.8), Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8. Moreover, the complex structure J on each non-Kähler factor is standard (see Definition 3.1). Indeed, it is compatible with the transverse complex distribution on each Sasaki factor and it projects onto a K -invariant complex structure on the full flag manifold K/T (see (4.2), (4.8) and Lemma 4.7). Finally, it preserves the distribution $\mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{T}$ and it acts on the global generators (H_1, \dots, H_{2m}) as in (3.3), since all the vector fields H_i, JH_i are ∇ -parallel. \square

5. CLASSIFICATION IN LOW DIMENSIONS

In this section, we show how Theorem A can be used to recover all the previous classification results in low dimension. We start with the 2-dimensional case, where it is known that the BKL condition is equivalent to being Vaisman. Before stating the result, let us recall the definition of a Vaisman metric. Given a Hermitian manifold (M^{2n}, J, g) , its *Lee form* is the 1-form ϕ uniquely determined by the equation

$$d\omega^{n-1} = \omega^{n-1} \wedge \phi ,$$

where $\omega = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$. Then, the Hermitian metric g is said to be *Vaisman* if $D\phi = 0$ and satisfies

$$d\omega = \frac{1}{n-1} \omega \wedge \phi .$$

Theorem 5.1 (see Theorem 2 in [72]). *Let (M^4, J) be a complex surface and g a complete Hermitian metric on it. If (M^4, J, g) is BKL, then the metric g is Vaisman.*

Proof. Denote by ϕ the Lee form of (M, J, g) . By Theorem A, the Hermitian universal cover of (M, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to the product $\mathbb{R} \times S$, where S is a Sasaki 3-manifold, endowed with a standard complex structure according to Definition 3.1. More precisely, if we denote by H the Reeb vector field of S , then JH is tangent to the factor \mathbb{R} and we can consider local orthonormal generators (E, JE) of the orthogonal complement $H^\perp \cap TS$. Following (3.8) and (3.6), the differential of the corresponding covectors E^*, JE^*, H^*, JH^* are given by

$$\begin{aligned} dH^* &= 2E^* \wedge JE^* , \\ dJH^* &= 0 , \\ dE^* &= JE^* \wedge H^* - \vartheta \wedge JE^* , \\ dJE^* &= -E^* \wedge H^* + \vartheta \wedge E^* , \end{aligned}$$

where ϑ is a local 1-form on S . Therefore, the corresponding fundamental 2-form ω can be locally written as

$$\omega = E^* \wedge JE^* + H^* \wedge JH^*$$

and so

$$d\omega = 2E^* \wedge JE^* \wedge JH^* = 2\omega \wedge JH^* ,$$

which means that $2JH^*$ is the pullback of the Lee form ϕ on the universal cover. Since JH^* is D -parallel, this implies that (M, J, g) is a Vaisman surface. \square

We now move to the classification of BKL manifolds in complex dimensions 3, 4, and 5, obtained in [71] and [73]. Before doing this, we recall that, according to their terminology, a BKL manifold is *full* if and only if its Hermitian universal cover admits no Kähler de Rham factors. It is then reasonable to classify full BKL manifolds, since any BKL manifold splits as the product of a Kähler manifold and a full BKL manifold. By Theorem A and the classification of compact, simply-connected simple Lie groups (see *e.g.* [19, Chapter V]), we recover the following

Theorem 5.2 (see Theorem 7 in [71], Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 in [73]). *Let (M^{2n}, J, g) be a complete, full, BKL manifold of complex dimension n and (\widetilde{M}, J, g) its Hermitian universal cover.*

- i) If $n = 3$, then (\widetilde{M}, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to the product $S_1 \times S_2$ of two Sasaki 3-dimensional manifolds, equipped with a standard complex structure.*
- ii) If $n = 4$, then (\widetilde{M}, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ with a Bismut flat Hermitian structure or the product $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S_1 \times S_2$, equipped with a standard complex structure.*
- iii) If $n = 5$, then (\widetilde{M}, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to $\mathrm{Spin}(5)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathbb{R}^2$, equipped with a Bismut flat Hermitian structure, or the product $\mathbb{R} \times S_1 \times S_2 \times S_3$, equipped with a standard complex structure.*

With the same approach, one can also write down the possibilities in higher dimensions. For example, we list here the cases in complex dimension 6.

Theorem 5.3. *Let (M^{12}, J, g) be a complete, full, BKL manifold of complex dimension 6. Then, its Hermitian universal cover (\widetilde{M}, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to one of the following:*

- i) $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathrm{Spin}(5)$, equipped with a Bismut flat Hermitian structure;*
- ii) $\mathbb{R} \times S \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$, where S is a Sasaki 3-dimensional manifold, equipped with a standard complex structure;*
- iii) the product $S_1 \times S_2 \times S_3 \times S_4$ of four Sasaki 3-dimensional manifolds, equipped with a standard complex structure;*
- iv) the product $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S_1 \times S_2 \times S_3$ of three Sasaki 3-dimensional manifolds and \mathbb{R}^3 , equipped with a standard complex structure.*

Remark 5.4. For the sake of clarity, we stress the following fact. Differently from Section 4, in order to simplify the statements of the theorems in this section, we address the homogeneous manifold $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ as a Sasaki 3-dimensional manifold.

Notice that the first example of complete, simply-connected, full, non-Kähler and non Bismut flat, BKL manifold which is not just the product of Sasakian 3-manifolds and Euclidean factors, namely $\mathrm{SU}(3) \times S \times \mathbb{R}$, appears in complex dimension 6. This example shows that, in [71, 72, 73], the authors find only BKL manifolds that were either Bismut flat or products $\mathbb{R}^\ell \times \Pi_i S_i$ because of dimensional reasons. On the contrary, by Proposition 4.6, the presence of non Bismut flat Sasaki components of dimension $d > 3$ on these manifolds is obstructed by geometric reasons (see also [7, Proposition 5.6]).

For the convenience of the reader, we point out that, in [71, 72, 73], the authors conduct their analysis distinguishing different cases by means of the rank r_B of a suitable bilinear form (see [73, Definition 2]). In our notation, r_B coincides with the quantity

$$r_B = \frac{1}{2}(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M - \dim(\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{z})) = \frac{1}{2}(2n - (\ell + s + r)) = n - m, \quad (5.1)$$

where $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ and the numbers $\ell, s, r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ are as in the beginning of Section 3 (see (3.1)). Notice that, by Remark 4.4 (where, by definition, $q = s + r$), the condition of being full implies that

$$\frac{n}{2} \leq r_B \leq n - 1,$$

see also [73, Theorem 1]. For $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, the rank r_B gives no additional information about the geometry of BKL manifolds. For $n \geq 4$, the case $r_B = \frac{n}{2}$ is reached when $\dim(\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{z}) = n$, while the case $r_B = n - 1$ corresponds to $\dim(\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{z}) = 2$. Then, Theorem A reduces the problem of characterizing these extremal cases to a Lie theoretical classification problem, namely, finding real compact Lie algebras of dimension $2n$ with rank n and 2, respectively. Hence, we recover the following result, originally obtained in [73].

Theorem 5.5 (Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 in [73]). *Let (M^{2n}, J, g) be a complete, full, BKL manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 4$ and r_B as in (5.1).*

- i) If $r_B = \frac{n}{2}$, the Hermitian universal cover (\widetilde{M}, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to the product $S_1 \times \dots \times S_{r_B}$ of r_B Sasaki 3-dimensional manifolds, equipped with a standard complex structure.*
- ii) If $r_B = n - 1$, then (M, J, g) is Bismut flat.*

6. PLURICLOSED CYT MANIFOLDS WITH PARALLEL BISMUT TORSION

From the classification obtained in Theorem A, it is evident that BKL manifolds without Kähler de Rham factors are close to being Bismut flat manifolds. More precisely, the Bismut curvature tensor vanishes everywhere unless, possibly, on the Sasaki factors S_i (see Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6). Moreover, the Reeb vector field $H_{\ell+i}$ of S_i is ∇ -parallel for any $1 \leq i \leq s$, therefore, the Bismut curvature R may be different from zero only on the 2-dimensional orthogonal complement $H_{\ell+i}^{\perp} \cap TS_i$ of $H_{\ell+i}$ (see (4.4)). By dimensional reason, it is then evident that the Bismut Ricci form (2.4) carries all the information of the curvature.

Thanks to this basic idea, we can recover [73, Theorem 3, Remark 1] and [18, Theorem 1.1], concerning the sign of the Bismut Ricci form. For the sake of notation, we recall that (M, J, g) is said to be *Calabi-Yau with torsion* (CYT for short) if its Bismut Ricci form ρ vanishes.

Theorem 6.1 (see Theorem 3 and Remark 1 in [73], Theorem 1.1 in [18]). *Let (M, J, g) be a complete BKL manifold and (\widetilde{M}, J, g) its Hermitian universal cover. Denote by ρ the Bismut Ricci form of (M, J, g) .*

- i) If (M, J, g) is CYT, then (\widetilde{M}, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to the product of a Kähler Ricci-flat manifold and a Bismut flat manifold.*
- ii) If (\widetilde{M}, J, g) contains no Kähler de Rham factors, then the Bismut bisectional curvature of (M, J, g) is non-negative (resp. non-positive) if and only if ρ is non-negative (resp. non-positive).*

Proof. From Theorem A we know that \widetilde{M} decomposes as a product of Hermitian irreducible factors, each of them is either Kähler or a Riemannian product

$$\mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times \prod_{i=1}^s S_i \times \mathbb{K}$$

of a Euclidean component \mathbb{R}^{ℓ} , s Sasaki 3-dimensional manifolds S_i , and a compact semisimple Lie group \mathbb{K} , of rank r , with a bi-invariant metric, endowed with the standard complex structure as in Definition 3.1, with $\ell \leq s + r$. Here, following Section 4, we are assuming that each Sasaki factor S_i is not the homogeneous manifold $SU(2)$. It is then sufficient to focus on each non-Kähler irreducible factor, since the Bismut Ricci form splits accordingly and coincides with the Riemannian Ricci form on the Kähler factors.

Fix then a non-Kähler irreducible factor. By (4.4), its Bismut curvature tensor R reduces to s scalar functions and

$$\rho(E_i, JE_i) = R(E_i, JE_i, JE_i, E_i),$$

where (E_i, JE_i) are local unitary frames for $H_{\ell+i}^\perp \cap TS_i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Therefore, $\rho = 0$ if and only if $R = 0$, which corresponds to the case $s = 0$. Moreover, if $s > 0$, then the sign of the Bismut bisectional curvature is completely determined by the sign of ρ , and this concludes the proof. \square

Remark 6.2. During this proof, we observed the following well-known fact: a simply-connected, Bismut flat manifold is holomorphically isometric to an even dimensional Lie group $\mathbb{R}^\ell \times \mathbb{K}$, where \mathbb{K} is compact and semisimple, endowed with a bi-invariant metric and a left-invariant complex structure. Following [66], we refer to them as *Samelson spaces*.

Remark 6.3. From the above proof it is also clear that, for dimensional reasons, on a BKL surface, that is a Vaisman surface in virtue of [72, Theorem 2], the Bismut bisectional curvature reduces to a single scalar function, that coincides with the *Bismut scalar curvature*.

Finally, we prove a structure result for compact CYT and BKL manifolds. Before doing that, we provide a proof for the following lemma, which is well-known to the experts.

Lemma 6.4. *Let (\widetilde{M}, g) be a simply-connected, complete Riemannian manifold and let*

$$(\widetilde{M}, g) \simeq \mathbb{R}^\ell \times (\widetilde{M}_1, g_1) \times \dots \times (\widetilde{M}_k, g_k) \quad (6.1)$$

its de Rham decomposition. Then, the full isometry group $\text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}, g)$ splits as

$$\text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}, g) \simeq \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^\ell) \times \left(\mathfrak{S} \times (\text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}_1, g_1) \times \dots \times \text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}_k, g_k)) \right), \quad (6.2)$$

where \mathfrak{S} denotes the finite group that permutes the isometric factors of (6.1).

Proof. By [53, Sect VI.3, Lemma 2], there is a injective group homomorphism

$$\text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^\ell) \times \text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}_1, g_1) \times \dots \times \text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}_k, g_k) \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}, g).$$

Moreover, by [53, Sect VI.3, Theorem 3.5], we know that

$$\dim \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^\ell) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \dim \text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}_i, g_i) = \dim \text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}, g).$$

It remains to show that any isometry of (\widetilde{M}, g) must preserve or interchange the irreducible factors in (6.1). Let $\varphi \in \text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}, g)$ be an isometry, $p \in \widetilde{M}$ a point and consider the holonomy decomposition

$$T\widetilde{M} = V^0 + V^1 + \dots + V^k$$

of the tangent bundle $T\widetilde{M}$. By [53, Sect VI.3, Lemma 2], the differential $d\varphi|_p$ preserves the holonomy decomposition up to order, *i.e.*, $d\varphi|_p(V_p^0) = V_{\varphi(p)}^0$ and there exists a permutation σ of k elements such that $d\varphi|_p(V_p^i) = V_{\varphi(p)}^{\sigma(i)}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. Write $p \simeq (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k)$ and $\varphi(p) \simeq (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_k)$ according to (6.1). Then, since any factor in (6.1) is connected, complete and totally geodesic, and φ is an isometry, it follows that

$$\varphi(\mathbb{R}^\ell \times \{p_1\} \times \dots \times \{p_k\}) = \mathbb{R}^\ell \times \{q_1\} \times \dots \times \{q_k\}$$

and

$$\varphi(\{p_0\} \times \{p_1\} \times \dots \times \widetilde{M}_i \times \dots \times \{p_k\}) = \{q_0\} \times \{q_1\} \times \dots \times \widetilde{M}_{\sigma(i)} \times \dots \times \{q_k\}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. Clearly (\widetilde{M}_i, g_i) is isometric to $(\widetilde{M}_{\sigma(i)}, g_{\sigma(i)})$ and, since φ is smooth, the permutation σ does not depend on the choice of the point p . This concludes the proof. \square

Note that the analogous of Lemma 6.4 also holds for compact, non-simply-connected manifold (see [26, Corollary 1]). By using Lemma 6.4, we get the following

Theorem 6.5. *Let (M, J, g) be a compact, CYT and BKL manifold. Then it splits, up to a finite cover, as a Hermitian product $M = \mathcal{Y} \times N$, where \mathcal{Y} is a compact, simply-connected, Kähler Ricci flat manifold and N is a compact, Bismut flat manifold.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.2, the Hermitian universal cover (\widetilde{M}, J, g) of (M, J, g) splits isometrically as

$$(\widetilde{M}, J, g) = \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \times \mathbb{K},$$

where \mathcal{Y} is a simply-connected, complete Kähler Ricci flat manifold and $\mathbb{R}^\ell \times \mathbb{K}$ is a Samelson space. Therefore, (M, g) has non-negative Riemannian Ricci curvature and so, by the Cheeger-Gromoll Theorem [23, Theorem 3], it follows that \mathcal{Y} is compact. Since \mathcal{Y} is compact, simply-connected and its Riemannian Ricci tensor vanishes, the Bochner formula implies that the group $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{Y})$ is finite.

Observe that, by [54, Sect IX.8, Theorem 8.1], each de Rham factor of \mathcal{Y} inherits a Kähler structure. Therefore, no irreducible de Rham factor of \mathcal{Y} can be isometric to an irreducible de Rham factor of \mathbf{K} . Consequently, by (6.2), we get

$$\text{Iso}(\widetilde{M}, g) = \text{Iso}(\mathcal{Y}) \times \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^\ell) \times \text{Iso}(\mathbf{K}) . \quad (6.3)$$

Let now $\pi_1(M)$ be the fundamental group of M , that acts on \widetilde{M} via deck transformations of the cover by holomorphic isometries. By (6.3), we get an injective group homomorphism

$$\tau = (\tau_{\mathcal{Y}}, \tau_{\mathbb{R}^\ell}, \tau_{\mathbf{K}}) : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\mathcal{Y}) \times \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^\ell) \times \text{Iso}(\mathbf{K}) .$$

Since $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{Y})$ is finite, $\ker(\tau_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$ with finite index. Therefore, up to passing to a finite cover, we may replace $\pi_1(M)$ by $\ker(\tau_{\mathcal{Y}})$ (see [43, Proposition 1.36]). This implies that M is finitely covered by the product $\mathcal{Y} \times N$, where N is compact and Bismut flat. \square

We are finally ready to gather all the results needed to obtain Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. By Theorem 6.5, it follows that any CYT and BKL manifold (M, J, g) splits, up to a finite cover, as a product of a compact, simply-connected, Kähler Ricci flat manifold \mathcal{Y} and compact, Bismut flat manifold N . Moreover, by [66, Theorem 1], it follows that N is finitely covered by a local Samelson space M' . Finally, it is straightforward to check that any product $\mathcal{Y} \times M'$ as above is CYT and BKL. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. AGRICOLA, *The Srní lectures on non-integrable geometries with torsion*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **42** (2006), 5–84.
- [2] I. AGRICOLA, T. FRIEDRICH, *A note on flat metric connections with antisymmetric torsion*, Differential Geom. Appl. **28** (2010), 480–487.
- [3] I. AGRICOLA, T. FRIEDRICH, *On the holonomy of connections with skew-symmetric torsion*, Math. Ann. **328** (2004), 711–748.
- [4] M. M. ALEXANDRINO, R. G. BETTIOL, Lie groups and geometric aspects of isometric actions, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [5] B. ALEXANDROV, *Sp(n)U(1)-connections with parallel totally skew-symmetric torsion*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2006), 323–337.
- [6] B. ALEXANDROV, S. IVANOV, *Vanishing theorems on Hermitian manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. **14** (2001), 251–265.
- [7] A. ANDRADA, A. TOLCACHIER, *Harmonic complex structures and special Hermitian metrics on products of Sasakian manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **34** (2024), paper no. 181.
- [8] A. ANDRADA, R. VILLACAMPA, *Bismut connection on Vaisman manifolds*, Math. Z. **302** (2022), 1091–126.
- [9] D. ANGELLA, A. OTAL, L. UGARTE, R. VILLACAMPA, *On Gauduchon connections with Kähler-like curvature*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **30** (2022), 961–1006.
- [10] D. ANGELLA, A. OTIMAN, *A note on compatibility of special Hermitian structures*, arXiv:2306.02981.
- [11] G. BARBARO, *Bismut Hermitian Einstein metrics and the stability of the pluriclosed flow*, arXiv:2307.10207.
- [12] G. BARBARO, *On the curvature of the Bismut connection: Bismut-Yamabe problem and Calabi-Yau with torsion metrics*, J. Geom. Anal. **33** (2023), paper no. 153.
- [13] G. BARBARO, M. LEJMI, *Second Chern-Einstein metrics on four-dimensional almost-Hermitian manifolds*, Complex Manifolds **10** (2023), paper no. 20220150.
- [14] J. M. BISMUT, *A local index theorem for non-Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **284** (1989), 681–699.
- [15] J. M. BISMUT, Hypoelliptic Laplacian and Bott-Chern cohomology, Birkhäuser Springer, Cham, 2013.
- [16] J. M. BISMUT, S. SHEN, Z. WEI, Coherent sheaves, superconnections, and Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck, Birkhäuser Springer, Cham, 2023.
- [17] W. M. BOOTHBY, *Hermitian manifolds with zero curvature*, Michigan Math. J. **5** (1958), 229–233.
- [18] B. BRIENZA, A. FINO, G. GRANTCHAROV, *CYT and SKT manifolds with parallel Bismut torsion*, arXiv:2401.07800.
- [19] T. BRÖCKER, T. TOM DIECK, Representations of compact Lie groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [20] P. CANDELAS, G. T. HOROWITZ, A. STROMINGER, E. WITTEN, *Vacuum configurations for superstrings*, Nuclear Phys. B **258** (1985), 46–74.
- [21] É. CARTAN, J. A. SCHOUTEN, *On the geometry of the Group-manifold of simple and semi-simple groups*, Proc. Royal Acad. Amsterdam **29** (1926), 803–815.
- [22] É. CARTAN, J. A. SCHOUTEN, *On Riemannian geometries admitting an absolute parallelism*, Proc. Royal Acad. Amsterdam **29** (1926), 933–946.
- [23] J. CHEEGER, D. GROMOLL, *The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geometry **6** (1971), 119–128.
- [24] R. CLEYTON, A. SWANN, *Einstein metrics via intrinsic or parallel torsion*, Math. Z. **247** (2004), 513–528.
- [25] A. J. DI SCALA, L. VEZZONI, *Chern-flat and Ricci-flat invariant almost Hermitian structures*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **40** (2011), 21–45.
- [26] J.-H. ESCHENBURG, E. HEINTZE, *Unique decomposition of Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 3075–3078.
- [27] A. FINO, G. GRANTCHAROV, *Properties of manifolds with skew-symmetric torsion and special holonomy*, Adv. Math. **189** (2004), 439–450.

- [28] A. FINO, G. GRANTCHAROV, *CYT and SKT metrics on compact semi-simple Lie groups*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **19** (2023), paper no. 028.
- [29] A. FINO, G. GRANTCHAROV, E. PEREZ, *The pluriclosed flow for T^2 -invariant Vaisman metrics on the Kodaira-Thurston surface*, J. Geom. Phys. **201** (2024), paper no. 105197.
- [30] A. FINO, N. TARDINI, *Some remarks on Hermitian manifolds satisfying Kähler-like conditions*, Math. Z. **298** (2021), 49–68.
- [31] A. FINO, N. TARDINI, L. VEZZONI, *Pluriclosed and Strominger Kähler-like metrics compatible with abelian complex structures*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **54** (2022), 1862–1872.
- [32] A. FINO, A. TOMASSINI, *A survey on strong KT structures*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie **52** (2009), 99–116.
- [33] T. FRIEDRICH, S. IVANOV, *Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory*, Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), 303–335.
- [34] G. GANCHEV, O. KASSABOV, *Hermitian manifolds with flat associated connection*, Kodai Math. J. **29** (2006), 281–298.
- [35] M. GARCIA-FERNANDEZ, *Lectures on the Strominger system*, Travaux mathématiques Vol. XXIV, *Fac. Sci. Technol. Commun. Univ. Luxemb., Luxembourg*, 2016.
- [36] M. GARCIA-FERNANDEZ, J. STREETS, *Generalized Ricci flow*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2021.
- [37] S. J. GATES, C. M. HULL, M. ROČEK, *Twisted multiplets and new supersymmetric non-linear σ -models*, Nuclear Phys. B **248** (1984), 157–186.
- [38] P. GAUDUCHON, *Hermitian connections and Dirac operators*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B **11** (1997), 257–288.
- [39] D. GRANTCHAROV, G. GRANTCHAROV, Y. S. POON, *Calabi-Yau connections with torsion on toric bundles*, J. Differential Geom. **78** (2008), 13–32.
- [40] G. GRANTCHAROV, *Geometry of compact complex homogeneous spaces with vanishing first Chern class*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), 3136–3159.
- [41] A. GRAY, *Curvature identities for Hermitian and almost Hermitian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **28** (1976), 601–612.
- [42] J. GUTOWSKI, S. IVANOV, G. PAPADOPOULOS, *Deformations of generalized calibrations and compact non-Kähler manifolds with vanishing first Chern class*, Asian J. Math. **7** (2003), 39–79.
- [43] A. HATCHER, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [44] J. HE, K. LIU, X. YANG, *Levi-Civita Ricci-flat metrics on compact complex manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **30** (2020), 646–666.
- [45] S. HELGASON, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [46] C. M. HULL, *Compactifications of the heterotic superstring*, Phys. Lett. B **178** (1986), 357–364.
- [47] C. M. HULL, *Superstring compactifications with torsion and spacetime supersymmetry*, Superunification and extra dimensions (Torino, 1985), World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1986.
- [48] S. IVANOV, *Connections with torsion, parallel spinors and geometry of Spin(7) manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), 171–186.
- [49] S. IVANOV, I. MINCHEV, *Quaternionic Kähler and hyperKähler manifolds with torsion and twistor spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **567** (2004), 215–233.
- [50] S. IVANOV, G. PAPADOPOULOS, *Vanishing theorems and string backgrounds*, Classical Quantum Gravity **18** (2001), 1089–1110.
- [51] S. IVANOV, N. STANCHEV, *The Riemannian Bianchi identities of metric connections with skew torsion and generalized Ricci solitons*, arXiv:2307.03986.
- [52] B. S. KIRANAGI, *Lie algebra bundles*, Bull. Sci. Math. **102** (1978), 57–62.
- [53] S. KOBAYASHI, K. NOMIZU, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. 1*, Interscience Publisher, New York, 1963.
- [54] S. KOBAYASHI, K. NOMIZU, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. 2*, Interscience Publisher, New York, 1969.
- [55] R. A. LAFUENTE, J. STANFIELD, *Hermitian manifolds with flat Gauduchon connections*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., DOI: 10.2422/2036-2145.202210_005.
- [56] K. LIU, X. YANG, *Ricci curvatures on Hermitian manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), 5157–5196.
- [57] S. PICARD, *Calabi-Yau manifolds with torsion and geometric flows*, Complex non-Kähler geometry, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [58] H. PITTIE, *The Dolbeault-cohomology ring of a compact, even-dimensional Lie group*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **98** (1988), 117–152.
- [59] H. SAMELSON, *A class of compact-analytic manifolds*, Portugal. Math. **12** (1953), 129–132.
- [60] J. STREETS, *Pluriclosed flow and the geometrization of complex surfaces*, Geometric analysis – in honor of Gang Tian’s 60th birthday, Birkhäuser Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [61] J. STREETS, G. TIAN, *A parabolic flow of pluriclosed metrics*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2010), 3101–3133.
- [62] J. STREETS, G. TIAN, *Regularity results for pluriclosed flow*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), 2389–2429.
- [63] A. STROMINGER, *Superstrings with torsion*, Nuclear Phys. B **274** (1986), 253–284.
- [64] M. VERBITSKY, *HyperKähler manifolds with torsion, supersymmetry and Hodge theory*, Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), 679–712.
- [65] L. VEZZONI, B. YANG, F. ZHENG, *Lie groups with flat Gauduchon connections*, Math. Z. **293** (2019), 597–608.
- [66] Q. WANG, B. YANG, F. ZHENG, *On Bismut flat manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), 5747–5772.
- [67] B. YANG, F. ZHENG, *On compact Hermitian manifolds with flat Gauduchon connections*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **34** (2018), 1259–1268.
- [68] B. YANG, F. ZHENG, *On curvature tensors of Hermitian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **26** (2018), 1195–1222.
- [69] K. YANO, *Affine connexions in an almost product space*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **376** (1959), 1–24.
- [70] S. T. YAU, *Open problems in Geometry*, Differential geometry: partial differential equations on manifolds, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1993.

- [71] S. T. YAU, Q. ZHAO, F. ZHENG, *On Strominger Kähler-like manifolds with degenerate torsion*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **376** (2023), 3063–3085.
- [72] Q. ZHAO, F. ZHENG, *Strominger connection and pluriclosed metrics*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **796** (2023), 245–267.
- [73] Q. ZHAO, F. ZHENG, *Bismut Kähler-like manifolds of dimension 4 and 5*, [arXiv:2303.09267](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.09267).

(Giuseppe Barbaro) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AARHUS UNIVERSITY, NY MUNKEGADE 118, 8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK
Email address: g.barbaro@math.au.dk

(Francesco Pediconi) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA “ULISSE DINI”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE, VIALE MORGAGNI 67/A, 50134 FIRENZE, ITALY
Email address: francesco.pediconi@unifi.it

(Nicoletta Tardini) DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, FISICHE E INFORMATICHE, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PARMA, PARCO AREA DELLE SCIENZE 53/A, 43124 PARMA, ITALY
Email address: nicoletta.tardini@unipr.it