

# Blow-Up Dynamics for the $L^2$ critical case of the 2D Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation

Francisc Bozgan <sup>\*</sup> Tej-Eddine Ghoul <sup>†</sup> Nader Masmoudi <sup>‡</sup>

June 12, 2024

## Abstract

We investigate the blow-up dynamics for the  $L^2$  critical two-dimensional Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_{x_1}(\Delta u + u^3) = 0, & x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x_1, x_2) = u_0(x_1, x_2) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \end{cases}$$

with initial data  $u_0$  slightly exceeding the mass of the soliton solution  $Q$ , which satisfies  $-\Delta Q + Q - Q^3 = 0$ . Employing methodologies analogous to those used in the study of the gKdV equation [MMR14], we categorize the solution behaviors into three outcomes: asymptotic stability, finite-time blow-up, or divergence from the soliton's vicinity. The universal blow-up behavior that we find is slightly different than the conjecture of [KRS21], by deriving a non-trivial, computationally determinable constant for the blow-up rate, dependent on the two-dimensional soliton's behavior. The construction of blow-up solution involves the bubbling of the solitary wave which ensures that it is *stable*.

## 1 Introduction

The generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov (gZK) equation with initial data  $u_0$  reads as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_{x_1}(\Delta u + u^p) = 0, & x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N, p \geq 1, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x_1, \dots, x_N) = u_0(x_1, \dots, x_N), \end{cases}$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>NYUAD Research Institute, New York University Abu Dhabi, Saadiyat Island, PO Box 129188, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, [ftb208@nyu.edu](mailto:ftb208@nyu.edu)

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, New York University Abu Dhabi, Saadiyat Island, PO Box 129188, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, [teg6@nyu.edu](mailto:teg6@nyu.edu)

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, New York University in Abu Dhabi, Saadiyat Island, P.O. Box 129188, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates– Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, 251 Mercer Street, New York, NY 10012, USA, [nm30@nyu.edu](mailto:nm30@nyu.edu)

(where  $\Delta$  is the  $N$ -Laplacian) which is a higher-dimensional extension of the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) model for the shallow water waves

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x(\partial_{xx} u + u^p) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

When  $p \neq 2$ , the above equation is called the generalized KdV, with the particular case of  $p = 3$  which is called the modified KdV equation. In this paper, we are interested in the gZK equation, which was originally derived by Zakharov and Kuznetsov to describe weakly magnetized ion-acoustic waves in a plasma comprising cold ions and hot isothermal electrons in the presence of a uniform magnetic field in 3D [KZ74]. During the lifespan of the solution  $u(t)$ , we have the conserved mass and energy: for  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$M[u(t)] = M[u(0)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(t, \vec{x})^2 d\vec{x}$$

and

$$E[u(t)] = E[u(0)] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\nabla u(t, \vec{x})]^2 d\vec{x} - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [u(t, \vec{x})]^{p+1} d\vec{x}.$$

On  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we call  $\vec{x} = (x, y)$ . For a solution  $u$  decaying at infinity on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, y, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, y, 0) dx$$

by integrating the equation in the  $x$  variable. For the equation, we have the scaling  $u_\lambda = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda^3 t, \lambda x, \lambda y)$  and we have that

$$\|u_\lambda(0, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1} + s - \frac{N}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

which leads for the 2D cubic ZK equation to be critical case when  $s = 0$ , hence it is  $L^2$ -critical. From now on, we will discuss only this case, namely  $N = 2$  and  $p = 3$ . The generalized ZK equation has a family of traveling waves (or solitary waves, solitons) and they travel in the  $x$ -direction

$$u(t, x, y) = Q_c(x - ct, y)$$

with  $Q_c(x, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|(x, y)| \rightarrow +\infty$ . Here,  $Q_c$  is the dilation of the ground state:

$$Q_c(\vec{x}) = c^{\frac{1}{p}-1} Q(c^{\frac{1}{2}} \vec{x}) = c^{\frac{1}{p}-1} Q(c^{\frac{1}{2}} \vec{x}), \quad \vec{x} = (x, y)$$

with  $Q$  being the unique radial positive solution in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  of the non-linear elliptic equation  $-\Delta Q + Q - Q^p = 0$  (for existence, see [Str77], [BLP81], for uniqueness [Kwo89]). From [GNN81], we note that  $Q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\partial_r Q(r) < 0$  for any  $r = |x| > 0$ , and for any multi-index  $\alpha$ ,

$$|\partial^\alpha Q(\vec{x})| \leq c(\alpha) e^{-|\vec{x}|} \text{ for any } \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

It follows from the conservation of the mass and energy, together with the Weinstein inequality [Wei85], that if  $\|u\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$  we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{2E(u)}{1 - \frac{\|u\|_{L^2}^2}{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2}}.$$

In this case, we will have global well-posedness for the equation, therefore blow-up can only occur in the case  $\|u\|_{L^2} \geq \|Q\|_{L^2}$ .

The local well-posedness theory is well-known and we state the following known results:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The cubic 2D ZK equation is locally well-posed in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , with  $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$ . ([RV12], [Kin22]). Moreover, if  $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$ , we have global well-posedness in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  in [LP09], which was further improved to  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $s > \frac{53}{63}$  in [LP11] and  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $s > \frac{3}{4}$  in [BFR20].*

In terms of the stability of the traveling wave, we start with the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** *Denote*

$$U_\alpha = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) : \inf_{\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2} \|u(\cdot) - Q(\cdot + \vec{y})\|_{H^1} \leq \alpha \right\}.$$

*We say that  $Q$ , the radially symmetric solution of  $-\Delta Q + Q - Q^p = 0$ , is stable if for all  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $u_0 \in U_\delta$ , then the corresponding solution  $u(t)$  is defined for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $u(t) \in U_\alpha$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Otherwise, we call  $Q$  is unstable.*

In her pivotal work on dispersive solitary waves across higher dimensions, de Bouard [dB96] demonstrated that in dimensions two and three, the stability of traveling waves of the form  $Q(x - t, y)$  depends critically on the nonlinearity exponent  $p$ . Specifically, these waves are stable for  $p < 1 + \frac{4}{n}$  and become unstable for  $p > 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ , with  $1 + \frac{4}{n} = 3$  in two dimensions. This analysis draws upon foundational concepts developed by Bona, Souganidis, and Strauss [BSS87] for instability, as well as stability frameworks by Grillakis, Shatah, and Strauss [GSS87].

For the  $L^2$ -critical case in two dimensions, where  $p = 3$ , Farah, Holmer, and Roudenko [FHRb] applied methods initially established by Merle and Martel [MM01] to demonstrate the instability of solitons within this regime. Further explorations by the same group [FHRa] offered an alternative proof of instability in the supercritical case for  $p > 3$ , utilizing techniques adapted from Combet's work [Com10] on the generalized KdV equation. Moreover, Côte, Muñoz, Pilod, and Simpson [CMnPS16] have provided insights into the asymptotic stability for cases where  $2 < p < p^* \approx 2.3$ , elucidating subtle aspects of dynamical behavior in this parameter space.

For the blow-up question of the gZK, we saw previously that we need  $\|Q\|_{L^2} \leq \|u\|_{L^2}$ . From the local well-posedness theory ([Fam95], [LP11], [RV12]), we have that if  $T < +\infty$ , then

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2_{xy}} = +\infty.$$

If  $T = +\infty$ , then either

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2_{xy}} = +\infty \text{ or } \liminf_{t \nearrow T} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2_{xy}} < +\infty$$

are a priori possible. In either case  $T < +\infty$  or  $T = +\infty$ , we say that  $u(t)$  blows up at forward time  $T$  if

$$\liminf_{t \nearrow T} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2_{xy}} = +\infty.$$

In terms of blow-up results for the  $L^2$  critical ZK equation, we have the following result:

**Theorem 1.2.** [FHR18]. *There exists  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that the following holds. Suppose the  $u(t)$  is a solution in  $H^1$  of the 2D cubic ZK equation with  $E_0 < 0$  and*

$$0 < \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|Q\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \alpha_0.$$

*Then  $u(t)$  blows up in finite or infinite forward time.*

Their proof is based on the blow-up analysis for the  $L^2$  critical gKdV equation in [Mer01] and [MM00].

## 1.1 gKdV Blow-Up

There was much development on the blow-up problem for the  $L^2$ -critical case of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \partial_x(u_{xx} + u^5) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

see [MM00], [Mer01], [MM02b], [MM02a], [MMR14], [MMR15a], [MMR15b], [CM17], [MP24]. It was proved in [MMR14] that there exists a subset of initial data, included and open for  $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$  in the set

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ u_0 = Q + \varepsilon_0 : \varepsilon_0 \in H^1, \|\varepsilon_0\|_{H^1} < \delta_0 \text{ and } \int_{x>0} x^{10} \varepsilon_0^2 dx < 1 \right\}$$

We also define the  $L^2$ -modulated tube around the soliton manifold:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*} = \left\{ u \in H^1 \text{ with } \inf_{\lambda_0 > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| u - \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q \left( \frac{\cdot - x_0}{\lambda_0} \right) \right\|_{L^2} < \alpha^* \right\}.$$

The dynamics of the blow-up for the  $L^2$  critical gKdV are given in the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.3.** [MMR14] *There exist universal constants  $0 < \delta_0 \ll \alpha^* \ll 1$  such that the following holds. Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  be the initial data of a solution  $u(t)$  of (1).*

i) If  $E(u_0) \leq 0$  and  $u_0$  is not a soliton, then  $u(t)$  blows up in finite time and, for all  $t \in [0, T)$ ,  $u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ .

ii) Assume that  $u(t)$  blows up in finite time  $T$  and that for all  $t \in [0, T)$ ,  $u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ . Then there exists  $l_0 = l_0(u_0) > 0$  such that

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim \frac{\|Q'\|_{L^2}}{l_0(T-t)} \text{ as } t \rightarrow T.$$

Moreover, there exists  $\lambda(t), x(t)$  and  $u^* \in H^1, u^* \neq 0$ , such that

$$u(t, x) - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q\left(\frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \rightarrow u^* \text{ in } L^2 \text{ as } t \rightarrow T,$$

where

$$\lambda(t) \sim l_0(T-t), x(t) \sim \frac{1}{l_0^2(T-t)} \text{ as } t \rightarrow T,$$

$$\int_{x>R} (u^*)^2(x) dx \sim \frac{\|Q\|_{L^1}^2}{8l_0R^2} \text{ as } R \rightarrow +\infty.$$

iii) *Openness of the stable blow up:* Assume that  $u(t)$  blows up in finite time  $T$  and that for all  $t \in [0, T)$ ,  $u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ . Then there exists  $\rho_0 = \rho_0(u_0) > 0$  such that for all  $v_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\|u_0 - v_0\|_{H^1} < \rho_0$ , the corresponding solution  $v(t)$  blows up in finite time  $T(v_0)$  as in (ii).

## 2 Main Result

### 2.1 Preliminaries and Motivation

In this section, we introduce the notation and preliminary concepts necessary for our main result. Let  $Q$  denote the unique, positive, radial solution in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$-\Delta Q + Q - Q^3 = 0.$$

This solution is fundamental in our analysis of the generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. Define  $g(x_2)$  as the integral over the spatial domain of the derivative of  $Q$  with respect to  $x_2$ , that is,

$$g(x_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_2 Q_{x_2}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q(x_1, x_2) dx_1,$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a differential operator that will be defined subsequently. The Fourier transform of  $g$ , denoted  $\hat{g}(\xi)$ , is taken over the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The critical constant  $c$  is then defined by the formula:

$$c = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2}{\xi^2+1} \hat{g}^2(\xi) d\xi}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}^2(\xi) d\xi}. \quad (2)$$

It is easy to see that  $0 < c < 2$ . Importantly, this constant  $c$  is related to the exponent of the blow-up rate in our main theorem concerning the blow-up behavior of solutions to the equation. Specifically, it determines the rate at which the solution's amplitude increases as it approaches the singularity, thereby characterizing the critical dynamics of the blow-up process of a solution of the  $L^2$ -critical Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in 2D,

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \partial_{x_1}(\Delta u + u^3) = 0, \\ u(0, x_1, x_2) = u_0(x_1, x_2) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2). \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The primary aim of this study is to address a conjecture proposed by Klein, Roudenko, and Stoilov [KRS21], which postulated that the blow-up rate exponent is  $\frac{1}{2}$  for the  $L^2$ -critical generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov (gZK) equation. Our findings reveal that the actual value of the exponent is approximately  $\frac{3}{4}$ . This discrepancy underscores a fundamental difference in the dynamics of blow-up between the gKdV and gZK equations, challenging the existing theoretical predictions and suggesting new complexities in the behavior of dispersive equations. Below we state the conjecture proposed in [KRS21].

**Conjecture 2.1.** *Consider the critical 2D ZK equation (3). If  $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is sufficiently localized and  $\|u_0\|_{L^2} > \|Q\|_{L^2}$ , then the solution blows up in finite time  $T$  such that as  $t \rightarrow T$*

$$u(x, y, t) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q\left(\frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{y - y(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{u} \in L^2,$$

with

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} \sim \frac{1}{(T - t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \lambda(t) \sim (T - t)^{\frac{1}{2}}, x(t) \sim \frac{1}{T - t}, y(t) \rightarrow y^* \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Our investigation targets specific initial data close to the ground state  $Q$ , the unique positive radial solution of the equation  $-\Delta Q + Q - Q^3 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We define the set of initial conditions by:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0} = \left\{ u_0 = Q + \varepsilon_0 : \|\varepsilon_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \alpha_0 \text{ and } \int_{x>0} x_1^7 \varepsilon_0^2(x, y) dx dy < 1 \right\}.$$

To analyze the behavior of solutions, we consider the  $L^2$ -modulated tube surrounding the soliton manifold:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*} = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) : \inf_{\lambda_0 > 0, (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\| u - \frac{1}{\lambda_0} Q\left(\frac{\cdot - x_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{\cdot - x_2}{\lambda_0}\right) \right\|_{L^2} < \alpha^* \right\}.$$

The constants  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha^*$  are chosen such that  $0 < \alpha_0 \ll \alpha^* \ll 1$ .

## 2.2 Main Theorem

**Theorem 2.2.** *For universal constants  $0 < \alpha_0 \ll \alpha^* \ll 1$  and initial data  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$  with the solution  $u(t)$  of (3), the following scenarios occur:*

- (a) If the energy  $E(u_0) \leq 0$  and  $u_0$  is not the soliton, then  $u(t)$  blows up in finite time  $T$ , and for all  $t \in [0, T)$ ,  $u(t)$  remains within  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ .
- (b) Assuming  $u(t)$  blows up in finite time  $T$  and remains within  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ , there exists a constant  $c_0 = c_0(u_0) > 0$ , and with  $c$  defined as in Equation (2), the gradient norm satisfies:

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \sim \frac{\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{c_0(T-t)^{\frac{1}{3-c}}} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T,$$

indicating that the blow-up is reached by  $T$  as  $0 < c < 2$ .

- (c) *Stable blow-up:* Define

$$\mathcal{O} = \{u \in H^1 : u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*} \text{ for all } t \in [0, T) \text{ where } T \text{ is the maximal time of existence}\}$$

and denote the subset of solutions that blow up in finite time by  $\mathcal{O}_b$ . This subset is open in  $H^1 \cap \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$ .

## 2.3 Detailed Comments

*Comments:*

- (1). The blow-up rate  $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}$  is significantly faster, behaving as  $\frac{1}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{3-c}}}$ , compared to the self-similar blow-up rate of  $\frac{1}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$ . Notably, for  $c \geq 1$ , the blow-up locus in the  $x_1$  direction can recede to infinity, while in the  $x_2$  direction it converges to a fixed point. This distinction underscores the critical role of the decay behavior in  $x_1$  at infinity in the initial data, a feature highlighted by the specific weighting in the  $x_1$  variable for  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$ . The  $x_2$  variable, by contrast, does not necessitate similar decay conditions. The weight  $y_1^7$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$  is not optimal. For example, we observe that a smaller weight, i.e.  $y_1^4$ , would be sufficient to prove the blow-up dynamics for the negative energy case. The present septic weight is required from the proof of blow-up for the zero energy case.
- (2). Employing the Weinstein inequality [Wei85], we find:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^2}^4}{\|Q\|_{L^2}^4} \right) \leq E_0,$$

indicating that  $E(u_0) \leq 0$  necessarily implies  $\|u_0\|_{L^2} > \|Q\|_{L^2}$ , unless  $u_0$  is equivalent to  $Q$  up to scaling and translations. This result clarifies the conditions under which blow-up occurs, particularly noting that a non-positive energy typically leads to blow-up, aligning with observations in the  $L^2$ -critical gKdV context [MMR14].

- (3). In contrast to the gKdV scenario discussed in [MMR14], where the radiation  $u^*$  of the asymptotic profile belongs to  $H^1$  and there is substantial evidence supporting strong

convergence to  $u^*$  in  $H^1$ , the situation here does not exhibit strong convergence in  $H^1$ . This situation resembles more closely the NLS blow-up scenario outlined in [MR05b], highlighting the anticipation of only strong  $L^2$  convergence to the radiation.

- (4). The conjecture (Conjecture 2 in [KRS21]) by Klein, Roudenko, and Stoilov was suggesting that  $c = 1$ . Our analysis finds that  $c$  is given by (2) and our numerical analysis identifies the exponent  $c \approx 1.6632$ , suggesting unexplored complexities in the dynamics of the gZK equation. We include in Appendix E the MATLAB code used for computing  $c$ , see 11.
- (5). We significantly advance the findings of [FHRY18] by examining scenarios where  $E_0 = 0$  and detailing the dynamics of solution blow-up for  $E_0 \leq 0$ .

**Continuation:** The core contribution of this paper is a rigidity theorem akin to that found in [MMR14] for the  $L^2$ -critical gKdV blow-up scenario.

**Theorem 2.3.** *There exist universal constants  $0 \ll \alpha_0 \ll \alpha^* \ll 1$  such that the following holds. Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$ . Then, we have a complete classification of the behavior of  $u$ :*

- (1) (*Exit of Tube*) *There exist  $t^* \in (0, T)$  such that  $u(t^*) \notin \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ .*
- (2) (*Stable Blow Up*) *For all  $t \in [0, T)$ ,  $u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$  and the solution blows up in finite time  $T < +\infty$  in the way described by Theorem 2.2.*
- (3) (*Asymptotic Stability*) *The solution is global, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ , and there exists  $\lambda_\infty > 0$ ,  $x_1(t) \in C^1$ ,  $x_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\lambda_\infty u(t, \lambda_\infty \cdot + x_1(t), \lambda_\infty \cdot + x_\infty) \rightarrow Q \text{ in } H_{loc}^1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

*with  $|\lambda_\infty - 1| \leq o_{\alpha_0 \rightarrow 0}(1)$  and  $x_1(t) \sim \frac{t}{\lambda_\infty^2}$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

**Notation.** We denote by  $L$  the linearized operator around the ground state  $Q$ , namely  $L = -\Delta + 1 + 3Q^2$ .

Also, we introduce the scaling operator

$$\Lambda f = f + x_1 f_{x_1} + x_2 f_{x_2}.$$

For any small constant  $0 < \alpha \ll 1$ , we define by  $\delta(\alpha)$  a generic small constant with

$$\delta(\alpha) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, the  $L^2$  scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, x_2) g(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2.$$



## 2.4 Outline of the Proof

### Construction of the Approximate Profile.

We begin by seeking a solution to the Zakharov-Kuznetsov (ZK) equation, positing the form:

$$u(t, x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q_{b(s)} \left( \frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right),$$

where the dynamics are governed by the system:

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3(t)}, \quad b = -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}, \quad \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} = 1, \quad \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Upon substituting into (3), we derive an approximate self-similar equation:

$$b_s \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} + b \Lambda Q_b + (\Delta Q_b - Q_b + Q_b^3)_{x_1} = 0.$$

This formulation necessitates a suitable law of variation for  $b$ , ensuring solvability for the sequence of functions  $\{P_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ :

$$b_s = -c_0 - c_1 b - c_2 b^2 - c_3 b^3 - \dots, \quad Q_b = Q + b P_1 + b^2 P_2 + \dots$$

We find the coefficients as follows:

- At  $O(1)$ , the solitary wave equation  $(\Delta Q - Q + Q^3)_{x_1} = 0$  indicates  $c_0 = 0$ .
- At  $O(b)$ , the equation  $c_1 P_1 + (L P_1)_{x_1} - \Lambda Q = 0$  simplifies to  $c_1 = 0$ , thus  $(L P_1)_{x_1} = \Lambda Q$ . This equation is solvable since  $\int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \Lambda Q dx_1'$  orthogonally complements the kernel of  $L$  (here, the  $L^2$ -criticality of the equation, i.e. cubic nonlinearity, is crucial for this).
- At  $O(b^2)$ , the equation  $-c_2 P_1 + (L P_2 + N(P_1))_{x_1} = 0$  leads to the solvability condition  $(-c_2 P_1 + N(P_1)_{x_1}, Q) = 0$ , ultimately defining  $c_2 = c$  as per (2).

Thus, the dynamics are encapsulated by the system:

$$b_s + c b^2 = 0, \quad b = -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}, \quad \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} = 1, \quad \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} = 0, \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3(t)}.$$

This can be reexpressed as:

$$(\lambda_t \lambda^{2-c})_t = 0, \quad (x_1)_t = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}, \quad (x_2)_t = 0, \quad b = -\lambda_t \lambda^2. \quad (5)$$

Setting  $\lambda(0) = 1$  elucidates the phase portrait:

- For  $b_0 < 0$ ,  $\lambda(t) = [1 - (3 - c)b_0 t]^{\frac{1}{3-c}}$  increases indefinitely as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .
- For  $b_0 = 0$ ,  $\lambda(t) = 1$  consistently for all  $t$ .

- For  $b_0 > 0$ ,  $\lambda(t) = [1 - (3-c)b_0t]^{\frac{1}{3-c}}$  collapses at  $T = \frac{1}{(3-c)b_0}$ , with  $\lambda(t) = b_0^{\frac{1}{3-c}}(T-t)^{\frac{1}{3-c}}$ .

This delineation forecasts a trichotomy in the dynamics of  $u$  based on initial conditions in  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$ : Exit Case, Asymptotic Stability Case and Blow-Up Case.

### Decomposition of the Flow and Orthogonality Conditions

We will try to find a solution of (3) of the form

$$u(t, x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)}(Q_{b(t)} + \varepsilon)\left(t, \frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right),$$

$$\text{with } Q_{b(t)} = Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) + b(t)\chi\left(|b(t)|^\gamma \frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)P_1\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right),$$

as  $P_1$  is defined above and with  $\gamma < 1$  and  $\chi$  is a well-chosen cut-off function.

We choose  $\lambda(t), b(t), x_1(t), x_2(t)$  so that  $\varepsilon(t)$  is orthogonal to some well-chosen functions in order to get good bounds on the approximate dynamical system for the geometric variables. A necessary condition is to choose orthogonalities  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$  such that the matrix

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{bmatrix} (\Lambda Q, \varphi_1) & (Q_{x_1}, \varphi_1) & (Q_{x_2}, \varphi_1) & (P_1, \varphi_1) \\ (\Lambda Q, \varphi_2) & (Q_{x_1}, \varphi_2) & (Q_{x_2}, \varphi_2) & (P_1, \varphi_2) \\ (\Lambda Q, \varphi_3) & (Q_{x_1}, \varphi_3) & (Q_{x_2}, \varphi_3) & (P_1, \varphi_3) \\ (\Lambda Q, \varphi_4) & (Q_{x_1}, \varphi_4) & (Q_{x_2}, \varphi_4) & (P_1, \varphi_4) \end{bmatrix}$$

has nonzero determinant. These orthogonalities must have sufficient decay such that the inner products with  $P_1 \notin L^2$  exist. For simplicity, we will take one of the orthogonality  $\varphi_1 = Q$  which is convenient for the coercivity estimate of  $L$ .

Moreover, if  $\{L\partial_{x_1}\varphi_2, L\partial_{x_1}\varphi_3, L\partial_{x_1}\varphi_4\} \cap \text{span}\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4\} = \emptyset$ , then the quantities of the dynamical system  $\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b, \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1, \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}$  have *bad* estimates of the order  $\|\varepsilon\|_{H_\omega^1}$ , (a weighted  $H^1$  norm) while the quantity  $b_s + cb^2$  has a *good* estimate of the order  $\|\varepsilon\|_{H_\omega^1}^2$ . This observation is important in choosing the energy functional. In the gKdV blow-up case, besides the usual orthogonal function  $Q$ , the functions  $x_1\Lambda Q, x_1Q_{x_1}$  are chosen as orthogonalities. For our case, this cannot work as any construction of the mixed-energy functional will force the remaining orthogonal function to be odd in both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  which in turn forces  $\det \tilde{M} = 0$ , leading to a contradiction.

Therefore, we will construct functions orthogonal to the error that satisfies all these constraints. More precisely, we choose sufficiently good perturbations of  $x_1\Lambda Q, x_1Q_{x_1}, x_1Q_{x_2}$  that will make  $\det \tilde{M} \neq 0$  and give sufficiently better estimates on the mixed-energy functional.

### Mixed Energy Functional

We use an energy method in order to get a pointwise control in time of the control the residual term  $\varepsilon$ , more precisely of a  $H^1$ -weighted norm  $\|\varepsilon\|_{H_\omega^1}$ . First, we define the weighted norms

$$\mathcal{N}_i(s) = \int \int \left( |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 \psi(y_1) + \varepsilon^2 \phi_i(y_1) \right)$$

where the weights  $\phi_i, \psi$  are controlling only the *problematic* growth in the  $y_1$  direction, more precisely they have an exponential decay at  $-\infty$  and  $\phi_i$  has polynomial growth of degree  $i$  at  $+\infty$  in order to propagate the localization that appear in  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$  for larger times. These weights are chosen to offset the lack of decay of  $P_1$  in the  $y_1$  direction.

We introduce the mixed energy functional

$$\mathcal{F}_{i,j}(s) = \int \int \{|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 \psi(y_1) + \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,j}(y_1) - \frac{1}{2}[(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon]\}(s),$$

where  $j$  controls the decay of the functional and the weight  $\phi_{i,j} = \hat{\phi}_i + \tilde{\phi}_{i,j}$ , with  $\hat{\phi}$  not depending on  $j$  and adapted to the orthogonalities  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$  in order to get the quantities (that appear as terms in  $(\mathcal{F}_{i,j})_s$ )

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) \int \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \hat{\phi}_i, \left(\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) \int \int \varepsilon Q_{y_1} \hat{\phi}_i, \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon Q_{y_2} \hat{\phi}_i \ll \|\varepsilon\|_{H_\omega^1}^2.$$

(better estimates than  $\leq \|\varepsilon\|_{H_\omega^1}^2$ ).

The weight  $\tilde{\phi}_{i,j}$  is adapted to offset the most *problematic* term that appears in the equation of  $\varepsilon_s$ , specifically the drift operator  $\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon$ . While in [MMR14] they offset this term by choosing some good a priori conditions on  $(b, \lambda, \varepsilon)$  that they can propagate for all time  $t$  as long as  $u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ , more precisely using that  $b \ll \lambda^2$ . Since for our problem, the only bound that we can propagate is of the form  $b \ll \lambda^c$  with  $c < 2$ . Therefore, to solve the control of the drift operator, we artificially create a derivative, more precisely

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,j} + j \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \Lambda \varepsilon \hat{\phi}_i \approx \frac{1}{\lambda^i} \frac{d}{ds} \left( \lambda^i \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_i \right) \ll \|\varepsilon\|_{H_\omega^1}^2$$

for a suitable cut-off function  $\tilde{\phi}_i$ , allowing us to close the estimates for  $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ .

The family of functionals  $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$  satisfy

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i,j}}{\lambda^j} \right) + \frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H_\omega^1}}{\lambda^j} \lesssim \frac{b^4}{\lambda^j},$$

for  $j \geq 0$ , where the power 4 of  $b$  is a consequence of the size of the error of the approximate solution  $Q_b$ . Applying the energy estimate for  $j = 0, c, (3 - \nu)c$  ( $\nu \ll 1$ ), we get the appropriate estimates of  $\|\varepsilon\|_{H_\omega^1}$ . This, together with the coercivity of the functionals,  $\mathcal{F}_{i,j} \geq \|\varepsilon\|_{H_\omega^1}^2$ , gives rise to a dispersive estimate that ultimately will control the error term.

### Control of Dynamics

Since we want  $\frac{b}{\lambda^c} \sim -\lambda_t \lambda^{2-c} \sim c_0$ , understanding the evolution in time of the quantity  $\frac{b}{\lambda^c}$  is critical for our analysis. We achieve this by controlling  $\int \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{b}{\lambda^c} \right\} \right| ds < +\infty$ , which means

$$\frac{b}{\lambda^c} \rightarrow c_0,$$

and the trichotomy will come from the discussion if  $c_0 < 0$  (Exit),  $c_0 = 0$  (Asymptotic Stability),  $c_0 > 0$  (Blow-Up). In order to control these dynamics in the gKdV case [MMR14], the authors try to find a domination law between the quantities  $b$  and  $\mathcal{N}_i$ . In the present paper, we provide a more direct route by analyzing strictly the quantity  $b/\lambda^c$ , see Section 8.

### Strong Convergence of the Asymptotic Profile

While the convergence of the asymptotic profile is proved in [MMR14] by employing Kato identities and energy estimates for some localized mass and energy functionals, we draw inspiration from the  $L^2$  supercritical NLS [MRS10] and  $L^2$  supercritical gKdV [Lan16] in treating the convergence.

We employ the Duhamel formula and some refined Strichartz estimates of Foschi [Fos05] where we can control the difference equation regarding  $\tilde{u} = u - Q_S$  where

$$Q_S(x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow T.$$

This implies that  $\tilde{u}(t)$  is a Cauchy sequence for  $t \rightarrow T$ , therefore convergence in  $L^2$  to a radiation  $u^*$ . In [MMR14], it is shown that the radiation belongs to  $H^1$ , and they propose the possibility of strong convergence in  $H^1$  towards it. However, in our scenario, we invalidate such conjectured convergence in  $H^1$ . Whether  $u^*$  is not in  $H^1$  remains an open question.

### Blow-Up for non-negative energy

We observe that for  $E_0 < 0$ , from the conservation of energy we get that  $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$  which is consistent only with the Blow-Up dynamics. For  $E_0 = 0$  it is more delicate since  $Q$  (up to scaling and translations) can satisfy this condition. Nevertheless, we will prove that this is the only case that does not blow up.

While in [MMR14] the method of proof is using Kato identities and localized energy estimates/Morawetz identities, we employ a different route. In a proof by contradiction, we suppose the solution  $u(t)$  converges asymptotically to the ground state. We manage to prove that for a fixed  $D \gg 1$ , and for a suitable cut-off function  $\chi_D$  with  $\text{supp}(\chi_D) \in [-D, D]$  that controls the  $y_1$  variable, we obtain  $\lim_{D \rightarrow +\infty} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D(y_1) = o_{t \rightarrow T}(1)$ . This is an improvement of the brute force bound  $\int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D = O(D^2)$  for a fixed  $t > 0$ . It will imply that  $\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ , which forces the solution  $u(t)$  to be mass critical and energy critical, which by the variational characterization of the ground state  $Q$  implies that  $u$  is equivalent to  $Q$  up to scaling and translations.

## 2.5 Organization of the Paper

The organization of the paper is the following: in Section 3 we prove the coercivity of the  $L$  operator, in Section 4 we construct the approximate profile and in Section 5 we provide estimates of the geometrical variables. We employ the mixed-energy method in Sections 6 and 7 which leads to the rigidity theorem in Section 8. The rest of Theorem 2.2 as stability and analysis of the radiation function appear in Sections 9, 10. The blow-up for non-negative energy is in Section 11.

## 2.6 Acknowledgements

The work of the authors is supported by Tamkeen under the NYU Abu Dhabi Research Institute grant CG002 of the center SITE. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study. The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

## 3 Coercivity of the Linearized Operator

In this section we will use  $x, y$  for the spatial variables. We begin by stating well-known properties of the linearized operator  $L = -\Delta + 1 - 3Q^2$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The following holds for the operator  $L$ :*

- $L$  is self-adjoint and  $\sigma_{ess}(L) = [\lambda_{ess}, +\infty)$  for some  $\lambda_{ess} > 0$ .
- $\ker(L) = \text{span}\{Q_x, Q_y\}$
- $L$  has a unique single negative eigenvalue  $-\lambda_0$  (with  $\lambda_0 > 0$ ) associated to a positive radially symmetric eigenfunction  $\chi_0$ . Moreover, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|\chi_0(x)| \lesssim e^{-\delta|x|} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

**Lemma 2.** *The following identities and conditions hold for  $L$ :*

- $L(\Lambda Q) = -2Q$  and  $\int \int Q \Lambda Q = 0$ .
- $(LQ, Q) = -\int Q^4 < 0$ .
- $L_{\{Q\}^\perp} \geq 0$ . ([Wei85])

We proceed with proving the coercivity property for operator  $L$ . Define  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\varphi(x) = x + \tilde{\epsilon}x^4e^{-|x|}$  with  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  sufficiently small, to be chosen later. We notice that  $\varphi \in C^4$ . Also, we see that  $(\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) = \tilde{\epsilon}(x^4e^{-|x|}, \Lambda Q)$ ,  $(Q_x, \varphi(x)Q_x) = \tilde{\epsilon}(x^4e^{-|x|}, Q_x)$  where we used that  $(\Lambda Q, x\Lambda Q) = (Q_x, xQ_x) = 0$  since  $x$  is odd and  $(\Lambda Q)^2, Q_x^2$  are even in  $x$ . Moreover, we get that  $(\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)Q_x) = (\Lambda Q, xQ_x)$  since  $x^4e^{-|x|}\Lambda QQ_x$  is an odd function in  $x$ . Using that  $Q$  is a radial function, by passing to polar coordinates,

$$(\Lambda Q, xQ_x) = (\Lambda Q, yQ_y) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int (rQ_r + Q)r^2Q_r dr := K$$

therefore we obtain

$$\int \int (\Lambda Q)^2 = \int \int \Lambda Q(xQ_x + yQ_y) + \int \int \Lambda QQ = 2K.$$

Thus, since  $\Lambda Q \neq 0$ , we have  $K > 0$ . Now, we choose the first bound on  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} < \frac{(\Lambda Q, xQ_x)}{[\int \int x^4 e^{-|x|} (\Lambda Q)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} [\int \int x^4 e^{-|x|} Q_x^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (6)$$

We define

$$M^* = \begin{bmatrix} (\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) & (\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)Q_x) \\ (\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)Q_x) & (Q_x, \varphi(x)Q_x) \end{bmatrix}$$

We observe that  $\det M^* = \tilde{\varepsilon}^2 \int \int x^4 e^{-|x|} (\Lambda Q)^2 \cdot \int \int x^4 e^{-|x|} Q_x^2 - (\Lambda Q, xQ_x)^2$  and by (6) we get that  $\det M^* \neq 0$ . Finally, we have

$$(Q_y, \varphi(x)Q_y) = \tilde{\varepsilon} \int \int x^4 e^{-|x|} Q_y^2.$$

**Lemma 3.** Denote  $S = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) : (u, Q) = (u, \varphi(x)Q_y) = (u, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) = (u, \varphi(x)Q_x) = 0\}$ .

a). *Coercivity:* There exists  $\delta(\tilde{\varepsilon}) > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{u \in S} (Lu, u) \geq \delta(\tilde{\varepsilon}) \|u\|_{H^1}^2.$$

b). There exists  $\tilde{\delta}(\tilde{\varepsilon}) > 0$ , such that for  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,

$$(Lu, u) \geq \tilde{\delta}(\tilde{\varepsilon}) \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}(\tilde{\varepsilon})} \left( (u, Q)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)Q_y)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)Q_x)^2 \right). \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* Proof of a).: By Weinstein [Wei85] either by Proposition 2.7 (first proof) or by Lemma E1 (second proof), we have that

$$\inf_{(u, Q)=0} (Lu, u) \geq 0.$$

Now take  $u \in S$ . Since it implies that  $(u, Q) = 0$ , we have that

$$\inf_{\|u\|_{L^2}=1, u \in S} (Lu, u) \geq 0.$$

We will show that if  $u \in S$ , then

$$\inf_{\|u\|_{L^2}=1, u \in S} (Lu, u) > 0.$$

Let  $\inf_{\|u\|_{L^2}=1, u \in S} (Lu, u) = \tau \geq 0$ . We will show, by contradiction, that  $\tau = 0$  is not possible. Suppose that  $\tau = 0$  and we will show that the minimum is attained.

Let  $f_n$  be a minimizing sequence i.e.  $f_n \in H^1$ ,  $\|f_n\|_{L^2} = 1$ ,  $(Lf_n, f_n) \downarrow 0$  and  $f_n$  satisfies  $(f_n, Q) = (f_n, \varphi(x)Q_y) = (f_n, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) = (f_n, \varphi(x)Q_x) = 0$ . Then for any  $\eta > 0$  such that for  $n$  large enough

$$0 < \int \int (\nabla f_n)^2 dx + \int \int f_n^2 dx \leq 3 \int \int Q^2 f_n^2 dx + \eta.$$

Since  $\|f_n\|_{L^2} = 1$ , the above inequality implies  $\|f_n\|_{H^1}$  are uniformly bounded. Thus a subsequence  $f_n$  exists that converges weakly to some  $H^1 \cap L^2_\omega$  function  $f$ . By weak convergence,  $f$  satisfies  $(f, Q) = (f, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) = (f, \varphi(x)Q_x) = (f_n, \varphi(x)Q_y) = 0$  since all of  $Q, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)Q_x$  and  $\varphi(x)Q_y$  are in  $L^2$ .

Claim. We also have  $\int Q^2 f_n^2 dx \rightarrow \int Q^2 f^2 dx$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Take  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $e^{-R}(1 + \|f\|_{L^2}) \leq \epsilon$ . Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0,R)} Q^2 (f_n^2 - f^2) \leq \sup_{|x| \geq R} Q^2 \int \int |f_n^2 - f^2| \lesssim e^{-R}(1 + \|f\|_{L^2}^2) \leq \epsilon.$$

By Rellich-Kondrashov theorem, we have that  $\int_{B(0,R)} Q^2 f_n^2 \rightarrow \int_{B(0,R)} Q^2 f^2$ , therefore there exists  $N(R) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for  $n \geq N(R)$ ,  $|\int_{B(0,R)} Q^2 (f_n^2 - f^2) dx| \leq \epsilon$ . Putting all together, we get that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2 (f_n^2 - f^2) dx| \leq \epsilon$ . Hence the claim is proved.

From the claim and the fact that

$$1 \leq \|f_n\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 3 \int \int Q^2 f_n^2 + \eta$$

we get that  $f \neq 0$ , as  $\eta$  can be as small as possible.

We will show that the minimum is attained at  $f$  and that  $\|f\|_{L^2} = 1$ . By weak convergence, we have  $\|f\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{L^2} = 1$ . Suppose  $\|f\|_{L^2} < 1$ . Let  $\zeta \in L^2, \|\zeta\|_{L^2} = 1$ . Since bounded linear operators preserve weak convergence and the gradient operator  $\nabla : H^1 \rightarrow L^2$  is bounded, then  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$  in  $H^1$  implies  $\nabla f_n \rightharpoonup \nabla f$  in  $L^2$ . Hence,

$$(\zeta, \nabla f) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\zeta, \nabla f_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f_n\|_{L^2} \|\zeta\|_{L^2} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f_n\|_{L^2}.$$

Maximizing over  $\zeta$ , we obtain

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f_n\|_{L^2}.$$

Since  $\int Q^2 f_n^2 dx \rightarrow \int Q^2 f^2 dx$ , we have

$$(Lf, f) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Lf_n, f_n) = 0.$$

Denote  $g = \frac{f}{\|f\|_{L^2}}$ , we get  $(Lg, g) \leq 0$  and since  $\inf_{\|u\|_{L^2}=1, u \in S} (Lu, u) \geq 0$ , then  $(Lg, g) = 0$ . Thus we can take  $\|f\|_{L^2} = 1$  and the minimum is attained there.

Moreover, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (Lf_n, f_n) = 0 = (Lf, f)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2 f_n^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2 f^2$  we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_n\|_{H^1} = \|f\|_{H^1}$  and since  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$  in  $H^1$ , then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $H^1$  strongly.

Since the minimum is attained in  $S$  at a function  $f \neq 0$ , there exists  $(f, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$  among the critical points of the Lagrange multiplier problem

a)

$$(L - \lambda)f = \alpha Q + \beta \varphi(x)Q_y + \gamma \varphi(x)\Lambda Q + \delta \varphi(x)Q_x, \text{ with } \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (8)$$

b)  $\|f\|_{L^2} = 1,$

c)  $(f, Q) = (f, \varphi(x)Q_y) = (f, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) = (f, \varphi(x)Q_x) = 0.$

If we take the scalar product of (8) with  $f$ , together with the fact  $(Lf, f) = 0$  and items b), c) above, we get that  $\lambda = 0$ .

If we take the scalar product of (8) with  $Q_y$ , together with  $(Lf, Q_y) = (f, L(Q_y)) = 0$ ,  $(Q, Q_y) = (\varphi(x)\Lambda Q, Q_y) = (\varphi(x)Q_x, Q_y) = 0$  as  $Q_y$  is odd in  $y$  and  $\varphi(x)\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)Q_x$  are even in  $y$ . Also,  $(\varphi(x)Q_y, Q_y) \neq 0$ , hence  $\beta = 0$ .

If we take the scalar product of (8) with  $\Lambda Q$ , together with  $(Lf, \Lambda Q) = (f, L(\Lambda Q)) = -2(f, Q) = 0, (Q, \Lambda Q) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$0 = \gamma(\varphi(x)\Lambda Q, \Lambda Q) + \delta(\varphi(x)Q_x, \Lambda Q). \quad (9)$$

If we take the scalar product of (8) with  $Q_x$ , together with  $(Lf, Q_x) = (f, L(Q_x)) = 0, (Q, Q_x) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$0 = \gamma(\varphi(x)\Lambda Q, Q_x) + \delta(\varphi(x)Q_x, \Lambda Q). \quad (10)$$

From (9), (10) we get that

$$M^* \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $\det M^* \neq 0$ , we get  $\gamma = \delta = 0$ . Therefore,  $Lf = \alpha Q$ , hence  $f = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\Lambda Q + \rho_1 Q_x + \rho_2 Q_y$ , for some  $\alpha, \rho_1, \rho_2$ .

We continue by projecting on  $\varphi(x)Q_x$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (f, \varphi(x)Q_x) = -\frac{\alpha}{2}(\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)Q_x) + \rho_1(Q_x, \varphi(x)Q_x) + \rho_2(Q_y, xQ_x) \\ &= -\frac{\alpha}{2}(\Lambda Q, xQ_x) + \rho_1(Q_x, \varphi(x)Q_x). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Moreover, by projecting on  $\varphi(x)\Lambda Q$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (f, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) = -\frac{\alpha}{2}(\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) + \rho_1(Q_x, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) + \rho_2(Q_y, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) \\ &= -\frac{\alpha}{2}(\Lambda Q, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) + \rho_1(Q_x, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From (11), (12) we get that

$$M^* \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\alpha}{2} \\ \rho_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $\det M^* \neq 0$ , we get  $\alpha = \rho_1 = 0$ .

Hence  $f = \rho_2 Q_y$ , then  $0 = (f, \varphi(x)Q_y) = \rho_2(Q_y, \varphi(x)Q_y) \neq 0$ , so  $\rho_2 = 0$ . So  $f \equiv 0$ , contradiction.

Therefore, if  $\|u\|_{L^2} = 1$  and  $u \in S$ , there exists  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\tilde{\epsilon}) > 0$ , so

$$(Lu, u) \geq \delta_1 \|u\|_{L^2}. \quad (13)$$



Also,

$$(Lu, u) = \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - 3 \int Q^2 u^2 \geq \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - 3 \|Q\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (14)$$

and multiplying (13) with  $\frac{3\|Q\|_{L^\infty}^2}{\delta_1}$  and adding it to (14), we get that there exists  $\delta = \delta(\tilde{\epsilon}) > 0$  such that

$$(Lu, u) \geq \delta \|u\|_{H^1}.$$

Proof of b): Take  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and let  $v = u + aQ + b\Lambda Q + cQ_x + dQ_y$ , hence  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We choose the coefficients in the following way:

$$a = -\frac{(u, Q)}{\|Q\|_{L^2}}, d = -\frac{(u, \varphi(x)Q_y)}{(Q_y, \varphi(x)Q_y)},$$

and, since  $\det M^* \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = (M^*)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(u, Q)}{\|Q\|_{L^2}} (Q, \varphi(x)Q_x) - (u, \varphi(x)Q_x) \\ \frac{(u, Q)}{\|Q\|_{L^2}} (Q, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) - (u, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) \end{bmatrix}$$

(in particular  $b, c$  are linear combinations of  $\{(u, Q), (u, \varphi(x)Q_x), (u, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q)\}$ .)

By simple algebraic computations, we get  $(v, Q) = (v, \varphi(x)Q_x) = (v, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q) = (v, \varphi(x)Q_y) = 0$ . Hence, by part a), for some  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(Lv, v) \geq \delta \|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (15)$$

By expanding  $(Lv, v)$  and using that  $LQ = -2Q^3$

$$\begin{aligned} (Lv, v) &= (Lu, u) + 4a(u, Q^3) + b(u, Q) - 4ad\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 - 2a^2\|Q\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\leq (Lu, u) + 80a^2\|Q\|_{L^6}^6 + \frac{\|u\|_{L^2}^2}{20} + 20b^2\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\|u\|_{L^2}^2}{20} + 4a^2\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 + b^2\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (16) \\ &\leq (Lu, u) + \frac{\|u\|_{L^2}^2}{10} + a^2(4\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 + 80\|Q\|_{L^6}^6) + 21b^2\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha = 4 \max\{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2, \|Q_x\|_{L^2}^2, \|Q_y\|_{L^2}^2, \|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2}^2\}$ . Using that  $Q, Q_x, Q_y, \Lambda Q$  are orthogo-

nal to each other, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int v^2 &= \int \int (u - aQ - b\Lambda Q - cQ_x - dQ_y)^2 \\
&= \int \int u^2 + a^2\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 + b^2\|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2}^2 + c^2\|Q_x\|_{L^2}^2 + d^2\|Q_y\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad - 2a(u, Q) - 2b(u, \Lambda Q) - 2c(u, Q_x) - 2d(u, Q_y) \\
&= \int \int \frac{u^2}{5} - 4a^2\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 - 4b^2\|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2}^2 - 4c^2\|Q_x\|_{L^2}^2 - 4d^2\|Q_y\|_{L^2}^2 + \\
&\quad + \left( \int \int \frac{u^2}{5} + 5a^2\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 - 2(u, Q) \right) + \left( \int \int \frac{u^2}{5} + 5b^2\|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2}^2 - 2b(u, \Lambda Q) \right) \\
&\quad + \left( \int \int \frac{u^2}{5} + 5c^2\|Q_x\|_{L^2}^2 - 2c(u, Q_x) \right) + \left( \int \int \frac{u^2}{5} + 5d^2\|Q_y\|_{L^2}^2 - 2d(u, Q_y) \right) \\
&\geq \int \int \frac{u^2}{5} - \alpha(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From (15), (16) and (17), we get, for some  $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$ ,

$$(Lu, u) \geq \int \int \frac{u^2}{10} - \tilde{\alpha}(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2). \tag{18}$$

Since  $a, b, c, d$  are linear combinations of  $\{(u, Q), (u, \varphi(x)Q_x), (u, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q), (u, \varphi(x)Q_y)\}$ , there exists  $A > 0$  such that

$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 \leq A\left((u, Q)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)Q_x)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)Q_y)^2\right). \tag{19}$$

Combining (15), (18) and (19), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(Lu, u) &\geq \delta' \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{\delta''} \left( (u, Q)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)Q_x)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)Q_y)^2 \right) \\
&\geq \delta_0 \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{\delta_0} \left( (u, Q)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)\Lambda Q)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)Q_x)^2 + (u, \varphi(x)Q_y)^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0 = \min(\delta', \delta'')$ . □

## 4 Construction of the approximate solution $Q_b$

In this section, using  $x_1, x_2$  as spatial variables, we try to find an approximation of the soliton  $Q(x_1, x_2)$  such that we get a sufficient approximate self-similar equation

$$b_s \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} + b\Lambda Q_b + (\Delta Q_b - Q_b + Q_b^3)_{x_1} = 0.$$

By writing  $Q_b = Q + bP_1 + \dots$ , it means  $(LP_1)_{x_1} = \Lambda Q$ , therefore we need to prove we can invert the operator  $\partial_{x_1} L$ . We can do that since  $(\Lambda Q, Q) = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.** *The fundamental solution of  $-\Delta + 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is  $\frac{1}{2\pi}K_0(|\cdot|)$ , where  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. It satisfies  $K_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  and we have the following properties:*

a). *For all  $r > 0$ , we have that*

$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-r}}{\sqrt{2(r + \frac{1}{4})}} < K_0(r) < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-r}}{\sqrt{2r}},$$

$$K_0(r) \sim_{r \rightarrow 0} -\ln(r).$$

b). *For all  $r > 0$ , we have that  $K_0(r) > 0$ .*

c).  *$\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_0(x)dx$  is finite.*

All these results can be found in [AS64] and [YC17].

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose that  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfies, for  $K > 0$  and  $k > 0$ ,*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, |(-\Delta f + f)(x)| \leq K(1 + |x|)^k e^{-|x|}.$$

*Then, there exists  $C > 0$  independent on  $f, x$  such that*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, |f(x)| \leq CK(1 + |x|)^{k+\frac{3}{2}} e^{-|x|}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(-\Delta f + f)(x) = g(x)$  and knowing that the fundamental solution  $-\Delta + I$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is  $\frac{1}{2\pi}K_0(|\cdot|)$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind with the properties described above. Since  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi}K_0 * g$$

and using  $K_0 \geq 0$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\| \frac{e^{|x|}g(x)}{(1 + |x|)^k} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(|x - y|)e^{-|y|}(1 + |y|)^k dy$$

and we denote  $h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(|x - y|)e^{-|y|}(1 + |y|)^k dy$ . We can show by change of variables that  $h(x) = h(-x) > 0$ , hence we need to bound  $g$  only on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $F(r) = e^{-r}(1 + r)^k$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .

For  $0 < k < 1$ , we have that  $F'(r) < 0$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , therefore  $F(r)$  is strictly decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ . Since  $F(0) = 1$  and  $F(r) \rightarrow 0$  such that  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $\tilde{r} \in (0, \infty)$  with  $F(\tilde{r}_0) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

For  $1 \leq k$ , we have that at  $k - 1$  is a local maximum, and  $F$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, k - 1]$  and  $F$  is strictly decreasing on  $[k - 1, \infty)$ . Since  $F(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $\tilde{r}_1 \in [k - 1, \infty)$  with  $F(\tilde{r}_1) = \frac{F(k-1)}{2}$ .

i). Case  $0 < k < 1$  and  $0 \leq |x| \leq \tilde{r}_0$ , we have  $F(|x|) \geq F(\tilde{r}_0) = \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(|x-y|)F(|y|)dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(|x-y|)dy \lesssim F(|x|)$$

where we used that  $F(|y|) \leq 1$ .

ii). Case  $1 \leq k$  and  $0 \leq |x| \leq \tilde{r}_1$ , we have  $F(|x|) \geq \min\{F(0), F(\tilde{r}_1)\} = \alpha$ , then

$$h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(|x-y|)F(|y|)dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(|x-y|)dy \frac{F(k-1)}{\alpha} \alpha \leq C(k)F(|x|)$$

where we used that  $F(|y|) \leq F(k-1)$ .

iii). If  $0 < k < 1$  and  $|x| \geq \tilde{r}_0$ , then  $\tilde{x}_0 = \inf\{t \in [0, |x|] : F(t) \leq 2F(|x|)\}$  exists as  $2F(|x|) \leq 2F(\tilde{r}_0) = F(0) = 1$  and that  $\tilde{x}_0 < |x|$ .

If  $1 \leq k$  and  $|x| \geq \tilde{r}_1$ , then  $\tilde{x}_1 = \inf\{t \in [r-1, |x|] : F(t) \leq 2F(|x|)\}$  exists as  $2F(|x|) \leq 2F(\tilde{r}_1) = F(r-1)$  and that  $\tilde{x}_1 < |x|$ .

In both cases, if  $\tilde{x}_i \leq |y| \leq |x|$ , then  $F(|y|) \leq 2F(|x|)$ , for  $i = 0, 1$ .

a). Let  $|y| \geq |x|$ , then  $F(|y|) \leq F(|x|)$  so

$$\int_{|y| \geq |x|} K_0(|x-y|)e^{-|y|}(1+|y|)^k dy \leq \int K_0(|x-y|)dy F(|x|) \lesssim F(|x|)$$

b). Let  $\tilde{x}_i \leq |y| \leq |x|$ , then  $F(|y|) \leq 2F(|x|)$ , then

$$\int_{\tilde{x}_i \leq |y| \leq |x|} K_0(|x-y|)e^{-|y|}(1+|y|)^k dy \leq \int_{\tilde{x}_i \leq y \leq x} K_0(|x-y|)dy 2F(|x|) \lesssim F(|x|)$$

c). Let  $0 \leq |y| \leq \tilde{x}_i (< |x|)$ , we have that  $(1+|y|)^k \leq (1+|x|)^k$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{0 \leq |y| \leq \tilde{x}_i} K_0(|x-y|)e^{-|y|}(1+|y|)^k dy &\leq \int_{B(0, \tilde{x}_i)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-|x-y|} e^{-|y|} (1+|y|)^k dy \\ &\leq \int_{B(0, \tilde{x}_i)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-|x|} (1+|x|)^k dy \\ &\lesssim \int_{B(0, \tilde{x}_i)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\frac{1}{2}}} dy F(|x|) \\ &\leq |x|^{\frac{3}{2}} F(|x|) \lesssim e^{-|x|} (1+|x|)^{k+\frac{3}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get in all cases that  $h(x) \leq C e^{-|x|} (1+|x|)^{k+\frac{3}{2}}$ , hence  $|f(x)| \leq CK e^{-|x|} (1+x)^{k+\frac{3}{2}}$ .  $\square$

Denote by

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) : \forall i, j \geq 0, \exists r_{i,j} > 0 \text{ such that } |\partial_{x_1}^i \partial_{x_2}^j f(x_1, x_2)| \leq (1 + |(x_1, x_2)|)^{r_{i,j}} e^{-|(x_1, x_2)|/2}\}.$$

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $Lf \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Then  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and there exists  $K_n, r_n > 0$  such that*

$$|\Delta^n f(x)| \leq K_n (1 + |x|)^{r_n} e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, since  $-\Delta f = (Lf - f + 3Q^2 f)$  and that  $Lf, Q \in \mathcal{Y}$ , then by induction on  $j$ , if  $f \in C^j(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , then  $\Delta f \in C^j(\mathbb{R}^2)$  which implies that  $f \in C^{j+2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

For the second part, we will proceed by induction on  $n$ .

Base case: ( $n = 0$ ) Since  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{Y}$ , therefore there exists  $K, r > 0$  such that

$$|(-\Delta f + f)(x)| \leq |Lf + 3Q^2 f| \leq |Lf| + 3Q^2 \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq K(1 + |x|)^r e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}.$$

By the previous lemma, we get that,  $|f(x)| \leq K(1 + |x|)^r e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}$ .

Induction step: Suppose that for  $n$ , we have that  $|\Delta^n f(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^r e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}$ . By the Kolmogorov-Landau inequality in two dimensions (see [Dit89]), we get that, if  $i + j \leq 2n - 1$

$$\|\partial_{y_1}^i \partial_{y_2}^j f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta^n f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (1 + |x|)^r e^{-|x|}.$$

Using this, together with  $\Delta^n Lf, Q^2 \in \mathcal{Y}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta^{n+1} f(x)| &= |-\Delta^n(Lf) + \Delta^n f - 3\Delta^n(Q^2 f)| \leq |-\Delta^n(Lf)| + |\Delta^n f| + 3|\Delta^n(Q^2 f)| \\ &\leq |-\Delta^n(Lf)| + |\Delta^n f| + 3Q^2 |\Delta^n f| + \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,l \\ i+j \leq 2n-1}} a_{i,j,k,l} |\partial_{y_1}^i \partial_{y_2}^j f \partial_{y_1}^k \partial_{y_2}^l(Q^2)| \\ &\leq K(1 + |x|)^r e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 7.** *Suppose that  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $Lf \in \mathcal{Y}$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{Y}$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous lemma, we get that

$$|\Delta^n f(x)| \leq K_n (1 + |x|)^{r_n} e^{-x}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . By the Kolmogorov-Landau inequality in two dimensions as in [Dit89], we get that, if  $i, j \geq 0$ ,

$$\|\partial_{y_1}^i \partial_{y_2}^j f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta^{i+j} f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim K_{i+j} (1 + |x|)^{r_{i+j}} e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}.$$

□

**Lemma 8.** For any function  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  orthogonal to  $\nabla Q$  for the  $L^2$  scalar product, there exists a unique function  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  orthogonal to  $\nabla Q$  such that  $Lf = h$ . Moreover, if  $h$  is even (respectively, odd), then  $f$  is even (respectively, odd).

This is a consequence of the Lax-Milgram theorem.

**Lemma 9.** There exists a unique smooth function  $P$  such that  $P_{y_1} \in \mathcal{Y}$  and

$$(LP)_{y_1} = \Lambda Q, (P, Q) = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right\|_{L^2_{y_2}}^2 > 0, (P, Q_{y_1}) = (P, Q_{y_2}) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow +\infty} P(y_1, y_2) = 0, \forall y_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover,  $\overline{Q}_b = Q + bP$  is an approximate solution in the sense that:

$$\|(\Delta \overline{Q}_b - \overline{Q}_b + \overline{Q}_b^3)_{y_1} + b\Lambda \overline{Q}_b\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim b^2.$$

*Proof.* First, we note by Lax-Milgram theorem, since  $\Lambda Q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , there exists  $U \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $(-\Delta + I)U = \Lambda Q$ . By the previous lemma, we get:

- Since  $\Lambda Q \in \mathcal{Y}$ , we get that there exists  $r > 0$  with  $|U(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^r e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}$ .
- Since  $\Lambda Q$  is even in both  $y_1, y_2$ , then  $U$  is even in both  $y_1, y_2$ .

Consider  $R = L(\int_{y_1}^{\infty} U) - \int_{y_1}^{\infty} \Lambda Q = \int_{y_1}^{\infty} (-\Delta U + U - \Lambda Q) - 3Q^2 \int_{y_1}^{\infty} U = -3Q^2 \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} U \in C^\infty$ . For any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|\partial_{y_1}^\alpha \partial_{y_2}^\beta (Q^2) \partial_{y_1}^\gamma \partial_{y_2}^\delta \int_{y_1}^{\infty} U| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{r_{\alpha, \beta}} e^{-|x|} (1 + |x_2|)^{r_{\gamma, \delta}} e^{-|x_2|} \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{r_{\alpha, \beta} + r_{\gamma, \delta}} e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}},$$

therefore  $R \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Also,

$$(R, Q_{y_1}) = (L(\int_{y_1}^{\infty} U), Q_{y_1}) - (\int_{y_1}^{\infty} \Lambda Q, Q_{y_1}) = (\int_{y_1}^{\infty} U, LQ_{y_1}) + (\Lambda Q, Q) = 0$$

and

$$(R, Q_{y_2}) = (L(\int_{y_1}^{\infty} U), Q_{y_2}) - (\int_{y_1}^{\infty} \Lambda Q, Q_{y_2}) = (\int_{y_1}^{\infty} U, LQ_{y_2}) = 0.$$

Hence, by the previous lemma, there exists  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $L\tilde{P} = R$  with  $(\tilde{P}, Q_{y_1}) = (\tilde{P}, Q_{y_2}) = 0$  and since  $R$  is even in  $y_2$ , then  $\tilde{P}$  is even in  $y_2$ . Take  $P = \tilde{P} - \int_{y_1}^{\infty} U + \frac{(U, Q)}{\|Q_{y_1}\|_{L^2}} Q_{y_1}$ , then

$$LP = L\tilde{P} - L(\int_{y_1}^{\infty} U) = L(\int_{y_1}^{\infty} U) - \int_{y_1}^{\infty} \Lambda Q - L(\int_{y_1}^{\infty} U) + \frac{(U, Q)}{\|Q_{y_1}\|_{L^2}} LQ_{y_1} = - \int_{y_1}^{\infty} \Lambda Q$$

therefore  $(LP)_{y_1} = \Lambda Q$ . We have

$$(P, Q_{y_1}) = (\tilde{P}, Q_{y_1}) - \left( \int_{y_1}^{\infty} U, Q_{y_1} \right) + \frac{(U, Q)}{\|Q_{y_1}\|_{L^2}} (Q_{y_1}, Q_{y_1}) = -(U, Q) + (U, Q) = 0$$

and

$$(P, Q_{y_2}) = (\tilde{P}, Q_{y_2}) - \left( \int_{y_1}^{\infty} U, Q_{y_2} \right) + \frac{(U, Q)}{\|Q_{y_1}\|_{L^2}} (Q_{y_1}, Q_{y_2}) = 0,$$

since  $\int_{y_1}^{\infty} U, Q_{y_1}$  are even in  $y_2$ .

We have that

$$P(y_1, y_2) = \tilde{P}(y_1, y_2) - \int_{y_1}^{\infty} U(y'_1, y_2) dy'_1 + \frac{(U, Q)}{\|Q_{y_1}\|_{L^2}} Q_{y_1}(y_1, y_2)$$

with  $\tilde{P}(y_1, y_2), Q_{y_1}(y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{Y}$  and  $P$  is even  $y_2$ . Therefore we have that  $\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P(y_1, y_2) = F(y_2)$  with  $F(y_2) \lesssim (|y_2|+1)e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}}$ . Also,  $\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow +\infty} P(y_1, y_2) = 0$  and moreover,  $|P(y_1, y_2)| \lesssim e^{-|y_1|-|y_2|}$  for  $y_1 > 0$  and  $\lim_{y_2 \rightarrow \pm\infty} P(y_1, y_2) = 0$ .

Let's prove the uniqueness of  $P$ . Suppose we have another  $P_0$  satisfying all the properties of  $P$ . First,  $L(P - P_0) = F(y_2)$ , for some function  $F$ . Since  $\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow +\infty} P_0(y_1, y_2) = 0$  and by consequence  $\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow +\infty} (P_0)_{y_2 y_2}(y_1, y_2) = 0$ , then  $F(y_2) = \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow +\infty} LP_0(y_1, y_2) = \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow +\infty} [-P_0(y_1, y_2) + P_0(y_1, y_2)] = 0$ , thus  $LP_0(y_1, y_2) = LP(y_1, y_2)$ . Therefore,  $P - P_0 \in \text{Ker}(L) = \text{span}\{Q_{y_1}, Q_{y_2}\}$ . Since both  $P, P_0 \perp \text{Ker}(L)$ , we get  $P = P_0$ .

Finally, if we denote the approximate solution  $Q_b = Q + bP$  we see that it is indeed an approximation given that

$$(\Delta Q_b - Q_b + Q_b^3)_{y_1} + b\Lambda Q_b = b(-LP)_{y_1} + \Lambda Q + O(b^2) = O(b^2).$$

*Claim 1.* We have that  $(P, Q) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right)^2 dy_2$ .

Since  $LP = -P_{y_1 y_1} - P_{y_2 y_2} + P - 3Q^2 P = -\int_{y_1}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1$ , hence  $(LP)_{y_1} = \Lambda Q$ . We have that  $\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} LP = -\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P_{y_2 y_2} + \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q$ . Also, remember that  $L(\Lambda Q) = -2Q$ . We show two methods for the claimed identity:

(1) *First proof of Claim 1.*

$$\begin{aligned} -(P, Q) &= \frac{1}{2}(P, L(\Lambda Q)) = \frac{1}{2}(LP, \Lambda Q) = \frac{1}{2} \left( - \int_y^{\infty} \Lambda Q, \Lambda Q \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( - \int_{y_1}^{\infty} \Lambda Q \right) \Lambda Q dy_1 dy_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_{y_1} \frac{\left( - \int_{y_1}^{\infty} \Lambda Q \right)^2}{2} dy_1 dy_2 = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( - \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \left( \int_{y_1}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right)^2 \right) dy_2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right)^2 dy_2. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *Second proof of Claim 1.*

$$\begin{aligned} -(P, Q) &= \frac{1}{2}(P, L(\Lambda Q)) = \frac{1}{2}(P, L((LP)_{y_1})) = -(LP, (LP)_{y_1}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_{y_1} \left( \frac{(LP)^2}{2} \right) dy_1 dy_2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( - \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{(LP)^2}{4} \right) dy_2 = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right)^2 dy_2. \end{aligned}$$

And the claim is proved.

Suppose by contradiction that  $\frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right)^2 dy_2 = 0$  which holds if  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 = y_2 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q dy_1 \right)_{y_2} \equiv 0$  a.e.  $y_2$ , meaning  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q dy_1 \equiv c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\|Q\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < +\infty$ , we get  $c_0 = 0$ , contradiction with  $Q$  being positive. Hence,  $(P, Q) > 0$ .  $\square$

## 5 Modulation Equations

Let  $u_t + \Delta \partial_{x_1} u + 3u^2 \partial_{x_1} u = 0$  and take  $v(t, y_1, y_2) = \lambda(t)u(\lambda(t)y_1 + x_1(t), \lambda(t)y_2 + x_2(t))$ . We have that

$$v_t(t, y_1, y_2) = \lambda_t u + \lambda u_t + \lambda_t \lambda y_1 u_{x_1} + \lambda_t \lambda y_2 u_{x_2} + \lambda(x_1)_t u_{x_1} + \lambda(x_2)_t u_{x_2}$$

$v_{y_1} = \lambda^2 u_{x_1}$ ,  $v_{y_2} = \lambda^2 u_{x_2}$ ,  $v_{y_1 y_1 y_1} = \lambda^4 u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}$ ,  $v_{y_2 y_2 y_2} = \lambda^4 u_{x_2 x_2 x_2}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^3 v_t &= \lambda^2 \lambda_t v + \lambda u_t + \lambda^2 \lambda_t y_1 v_{y_1} + \lambda^2 \lambda_t y_2 v_{y_2} + \lambda^2 (x_1)_t v_{y_1} + \lambda^2 (x_2)_t v_{y_2} \\ &= \lambda^2 \lambda_t v + \lambda(-u_{x_1 x_1 x_1} - u_{x_2 x_2 x_2} - 3u^2 \partial_{x_1} u) + \lambda^2 \lambda_t y_1 v_{y_1} + \lambda^2 \lambda_t y_2 v_{y_2} + \lambda^2 (x_1)_t v_{y_1} + \lambda^2 (x_2)_t v_{y_2} \\ &= \lambda^2 \lambda_t v - v_{x_1 x_1 x_1} - v_{x_2 x_2 x_2} - 3v^2 \partial_{x_1} v + \lambda^2 \lambda_t y_1 v_{y_1} + \lambda^2 \lambda_t y_2 v_{y_2} + \lambda^2 (x_1)_t v_{y_1} + \lambda^2 (x_2)_t v_{y_2} \end{aligned}$$

We make the change of variables  $\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3}$ , so  $\lambda_s = \lambda^3 \lambda_t$  and  $\lambda^3 v_t = v_s$ ,  $\lambda^3 (x_i)_t = (x_i)_s$ . Hence

$$v_s - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda v - \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} v_{y_1} - \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} v_{y_2} + \partial_{y_1} \Delta v + 3v^2 \partial_{x_1} v = 0$$

with  $\Lambda v = v + y_1 v_{y_1} + y_2 v_{y_2}$ .

Consider  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ ,  $\chi' \geq 0$  and  $\chi \equiv 0$  on  $(-\infty, -2]$  and  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $[-1, \infty)$ .

Consider  $Q_b(y_1, y_2) = Q(y_1, y_2) + b\chi(|b|^\gamma y_1)P(y_1, y_2)$ . Now take  $\varepsilon(y_1, y_2, s) = v(y_1, y_2, s) - Q_b(y_1, y_2)$  and using that  $\varepsilon_s = v_s - (b\chi(|b|^\gamma y_1))_s P$  with  $\partial_{y_1}(-Q + \Delta Q + Q^3) = 0$ , the



modulation equation reads the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_s - (L\varepsilon)_{y_1} &= \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right)\Lambda Q_b + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\Lambda\varepsilon + \left(\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)(\varepsilon + Q_b)_{y_1} + \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}(\varepsilon + Q_b)_{y_2} - b_s(\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P \\
&\quad + [(-\Delta Q_b + Q_b - Q_b^3)_{y_1} - b\Lambda Q_b] - 3[(Q_b^2 - Q^2)\varepsilon]_{y_1} - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2\varepsilon]_{y_1} \\
&= \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right)\Lambda Q_b + \left(\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)(Q_b)_{y_1} + \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}(Q_b)_{y_2} - b_s(\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\Lambda\varepsilon + \left(\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)\varepsilon_{y_1} + \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}\varepsilon_{y_2} + \Psi_b - R_b(\varepsilon)_{y_1} - R_{NL}(\varepsilon)_{y_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where  $\chi_b(\cdot) = \chi(|b|^\gamma \cdot)$ ,  $\Psi_b = [(-\Delta Q_b + Q_b - Q_b^3)_{y_1} - b\Lambda Q_b]$ ,  $\Phi_b = -b_s(\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P$ ,  $R_b(\varepsilon) = 3[(Q_b^2 - Q^2)\varepsilon]$ ,  $R_{NL}(\varepsilon) = [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2\varepsilon]$ .

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_b &= [(-\Delta Q_b + Q_b - Q_b^3)_{y_1} - b\Lambda Q_b] = (-\Delta Q + Q - Q^3)_{y_1} + b[(LP)_{y_1} - \Lambda Q] + b(\chi_b - 1)(LP)_{y_1} \\
&\quad + b[(\chi_b)_{y_1}P - 3(\chi_b)_{y_1}P_{y_1y_1} - 3(\chi_b)_{y_1y_1}P_{y_1} - (\chi_b)_{y_1y_1y_1}P - 3(\chi_b)_{y_1}Q^2P - (\chi_b)_{y_1}P_{y_2y_2}] \\
&\quad + b^2(-3(\chi_b^2P^2Q)_{y_1} - \Lambda(\chi_bP)) - b^3(\chi_b^3P^3)_{y_1} \\
&= b(\chi_b - 1)\Lambda Q + b[(\chi_b)_{y_1}P - 3(\chi_b)_{y_1}P_{y_1y_1} - 3(\chi_b)_{y_1y_1}P_{y_1} - (\chi_b)_{y_1y_1y_1}P - 3(\chi_b)_{y_1}Q^2P - (\chi_b)_{y_1}P_{y_2y_2}] \\
&\quad + b^2(-3(\chi_b^2P^2Q)_{y_1} - \Lambda(\chi_bP)) - b^3(\chi_b^3P^3)_{y_1}
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 10.** *We have the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi_b| &\leq |b|^{1+\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}} + b^2(1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, 0]}(y_1) + e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4}}) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{4}}, \\
|(\Psi_b)_{y_2y_2}| &\leq |b|^{1+\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}} + b^2(1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, 0]}(y_1) + e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4}}) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{4}}, \\
|\partial_{y_1}^k \Psi_b| &\leq |b|^{1+(k+1)\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}} + b^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

*Proof.* We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|b(\chi_b)_{y_1}P| &\lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}}, \\
|b(\chi_b)_{y_1}P_{y_2y_2}| &\lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}}, \\
|b(1 - \chi_b)\Lambda Q| &\lesssim |b| 1_{[-\infty, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4}} e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{4}} \lesssim |b| e^{-\frac{1}{4|b|^\gamma}} e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{8}} e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{4}} \lesssim b^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{8}} e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{4}}, \\
|b(\chi_b)_{y_1}P_{y_1y_1}| &\lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} \lesssim b^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4}} e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}}, \\
|b(\chi_b)_{y_1y_1}P_{y_1}| &\lesssim |b|^{1+2\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} \lesssim b^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4}} e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}}, \\
|b(\chi_b)_{y_1y_1y_1}P| &\lesssim |b|^{1+3\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$|b(\chi_b)_{y_1} Q^2 P| \lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-|y_1|} e^{-|y_2|} \lesssim b^2 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{2}} e^{-|y_2|}.$$

Since  $\Lambda(\chi_b P) = \chi_b P + y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1} P + y_1 \chi_b P_{y_1} + y_2 \chi_b P_{y_2}$

$$|b^2 \chi_b P| \lesssim b^2 (1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, 0]}(y_1) + e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{2}}) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}},$$

$$|b^2 y_1 (\chi_b)_{y_1} P| \lesssim b^2 \frac{2}{|b|^\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) |b|^\gamma |(\chi_{y_1})| (|b|^\gamma |y_1|) |P| \lesssim b^2 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}},$$

$$|b^2 y_1 \chi_b P_{y_1}| \lesssim b^2 |y_1| e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} \lesssim b^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4} - \frac{|y_2|}{2}},$$

$$|b^2 y_2 \chi_b P_{y_2}| \lesssim b^2 |y_2| (1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, 0]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}} + 1_{[0, \infty)}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}}) \lesssim b^2 (1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, 0]}(y_1) + e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{2}}) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{4}},$$

$$|-3b^2 (\chi_b^2 P^2 Q)_{y_1}| \lesssim b^2 e^{-|y_1| - |y_2|}.$$

Hence, putting all the estimates together we get that

$$|\Psi_b| \leq |b|^{1+\gamma} 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-|y_2|} + b^2 (1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, 0]}(y_1) + e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4}}) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{4}}$$

We do the same computations for  $(\Psi_b)_{y_2 y_2}$  and for  $\partial_{y_1}^k \Psi_b$ .  $\square$

Since  $u_0 \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ , we assume there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\forall t \in [0, t_0]$ ,  $u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ . Therefore there exists some parameters  $\tilde{\lambda}(t) > 0$ ,  $(\tilde{x}_1(t), \tilde{x}_2(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$\|Q - \tilde{\lambda}(t)u(t, \tilde{\lambda}(t)y_1 + \tilde{x}_1(t), \tilde{\lambda}(t)y_2 + \tilde{x}_2(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \nu < \nu_0,$$

for some small  $\nu_0 > 0$ .

**Lemma 11.** (modulated flow) *There exist continuous functions  $(\lambda, x_1, x_2, b) : [0, t_0] \rightarrow (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  such that*

$$\forall t \in [0, t_0], \varepsilon(t, y_1, y_2) = \lambda(t)u(t, \lambda(t)y_1 + x_1(t), \lambda(t)y_2 + x_2(t)) - Q_{b(t)}(y_1, y_2)$$

satisfies the orthogonality conditions:

$$(\varepsilon(t), Q) = (\varepsilon(t), \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = (\varepsilon(t), \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) = (\varepsilon(t), \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = 0.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(t)}{\lambda(t)} - 1 \right| + |(x_1(t), x_2(t)) - (\tilde{x}_1(t), \tilde{x}_2(t))| + |b(t)| + \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta(\nu), \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \delta(\|\varepsilon(0)\|_{H^1}).$$

*Proof.*

*Claim.* For  $\alpha > 0$ , let  $U_\alpha = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|u - Q\|_{H^1} \leq \alpha\}$  and for  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}(y_1, y_2) = \lambda_1 u(\lambda_1 y_1 + \hat{x}_1, \lambda_1 y_2 + \hat{x}_2) - Q_b(y_1, y_2). \quad (22)$$

We claim that there exist  $\bar{\alpha} > 0$  and a unique  $C^1$  map:  $U_{\bar{\alpha}} \rightarrow (1 - \bar{\lambda}, 1 + \bar{\lambda}) \times (-\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_1) \times (-\bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_2) \times (-\bar{b}, \bar{b})$  such that if  $u \in U_{\bar{\alpha}}$ , then there is a unique  $(\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b)$  such that  $\varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}$  defined as in (22) is such that

$$(\varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}, Q) = (\varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = (\varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) = (\varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = 0.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$ , such that if  $u \in U_{\bar{\alpha}}$ , then

$$\|\varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}\|_{H^1} + |\lambda_1 - 1| + |(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)| + |b| \leq C_1 \bar{\alpha}.$$

*Proof of Claim.* We follow the proof of Lemma 2 in [MR05a] for NLS. We define the following functionals:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^1(u) &= \int \int \varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b} Q, \\ \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^2(u) &= \int \int \varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b} \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2}, \\ \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^3(u) &= \int \int \varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b} \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}, \\ \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^4(u) &= \int \int \varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b} \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}}{\partial \lambda_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0} &= \Lambda u, \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}}{\partial \hat{x}_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0} &= u_{y_1}, \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}}{\partial \hat{x}_2} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0} &= u_{y_2}, \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}}{\partial b} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0} &= P. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^1}{\partial \lambda_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} &= \int \int \Lambda Q \cdot Q = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^1}{\partial \hat{x}_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} &= \int \int Q_{y_1} \cdot Q = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^1}{\partial \hat{x}_2} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} &= \int \int Q_{y_2} \cdot Q = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^1}{\partial b} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} &= (P, Q), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^2}{\partial \lambda_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int \Lambda Q \cdot \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2} = 0 \text{ as } \Lambda Q \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2} \text{ is odd in } y_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^2}{\partial \hat{x}_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int Q_{y_1} \cdot \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2} = - \int \int \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2} \cdot Q = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^2}{\partial \hat{x}_2} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int Q_{y_2} \cdot \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2} \neq 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^2}{\partial b} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int P \cdot \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2} = 0,$$

as  $P$  is even  $y_2$ ,  $\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2}$  odd in  $y_2$  and  $|(P, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2})| < +\infty$ .

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^3}{\partial \lambda_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int \Lambda Q \cdot \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^3}{\partial \hat{x}_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int Q_{y_1} \cdot y_1 \Lambda Q = - \int \int \Lambda Q \cdot Q = (Q_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q),$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^3}{\partial \hat{x}_2} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int Q_{y_2} \cdot \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q = 0 \text{ as } Q_{y_2} \text{ is odd } y_2, \Lambda Q \text{ even in } y_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^3}{\partial b} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int P \cdot \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^4}{\partial \lambda_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int \Lambda Q \cdot \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1} = (Q_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q),$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^4}{\partial \hat{x}_1} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int Q_{y_1} \cdot \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^4}{\partial \hat{x}_2} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int Q_{y_2} \cdot \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1} = 0,$$

as  $Q_{y_2}$  is odd  $y_2$ ,  $y_1 Q_{y_1}$  even in  $y_2$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b}^4}{\partial b} \Big|_{\lambda_1=1, \hat{x}_1=0, \hat{x}_2=0, b=0, u=Q} = \int \int P \cdot \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}.$$

The associated Jacobian matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & (P, Q) \\ 0 & 0 & (Q_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2}) & 0 \\ (\Lambda Q, \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q) & (Q_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q) & 0 & (P, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}) \\ (Q_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q) & (Q_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}) & 0 & (P, \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q) \end{bmatrix}$$

and we see that its determinant  $-(P, Q)(Q_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) \det M^* \neq 0$ , proving the existence of  $\varepsilon$  satisfying the orthogonalities.

By the implicit function theorem, there exist  $\bar{\alpha}$ , a neighborhood  $V_{1,0,0,0}$  of  $(1, 0, 0, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and a unique  $C^1$  map  $(\lambda_1, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, b) : \{u \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|u - Q\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \bar{\alpha}\} \rightarrow V_{(1,0,0,0)}$  such that the orthogonality conditions hold. The claim is proved.

Now, take  $\nu < \min\{\bar{\alpha}, \nu_0\}$ . For all time on  $[0, t_0]$ , there are parameters  $\tilde{\lambda}(t) > 0, \tilde{x}_1(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \tilde{x}_2(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|Q - \tilde{\lambda}(t)u(t, \tilde{\lambda}(t)x_1 + \tilde{x}_1(t), \tilde{\lambda}(t)x_2 + \tilde{x}_2(t))\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \nu.$$

Now, apply the claim to the function  $\tilde{\lambda}(t)u(t, \tilde{\lambda}(t)x_1 + \tilde{x}_1(t), \tilde{\lambda}(t)x_2 + \tilde{x}_2(t))$ , and putting  $\lambda(t) = \tilde{\lambda}(t)\lambda_1(t), x_1(t) = \lambda_1(t)\tilde{x}_1(t) + \hat{x}_1(t), x_2(t) = \lambda_1(t)\tilde{x}_2(t) + \hat{x}_2(t)$  and by the claim we get that  $\varepsilon(t, x_1, x_2) = \lambda(t)u(t, \lambda(t)x_1 + x_1(t), \lambda(t)x_2 + x_2(t)) - Q_b(x_1, x_2)$  satisfies

$$\varepsilon(t) \perp Q, \varepsilon(t) \perp \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q, \varepsilon(t) \perp \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}, \varepsilon \perp \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2},$$

$$\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} + \left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(t)}{\lambda(t)} - 1 \right| + |b(t)| < \delta(\nu),$$

and  $\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \delta(\bar{\alpha}) = \delta(\|\varepsilon(0)\|_{H^1})$  for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ .  $\square$

We mention a Sobolev-type inequality that we are going to use to bound the nonlinearity terms in the modulation equation.

**Lemma 12** (Sobolev Lemma). *Suppose that  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and a positive function  $\theta \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $|\theta_{x_1}| \leq \theta$  and  $|\theta_{x_2}| \leq \theta$ . We have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u^4 \theta dx_1 dx_2 &\leq 3 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (u^2 + u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2) \theta dx_1 dx_2, \\ \int \int u^3 \theta dx_1 dx_2 &\leq \sqrt{3} \|u\|_{L^2} \int \int (u^2 + u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2) \theta dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned}$$

We include a proof of the lemma in Appendix A 25.

We define  $\mathcal{M}(s) = \int \int \varepsilon^2(s) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}}$  ( $\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2$ ) and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(s) = \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}}$ . Since we introduced a new time variable

$$s = \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{\lambda(t')^3} \text{ as } \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3},$$

then all function depending on  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , for some  $t_0 > 0$  can now be seen depending on  $s \in [0, s_0]$ , with  $s_0 = s(t_0)$ .

**Lemma 13.** *(Estimates for modulated coefficients) Suppose that, for  $t \in [0, t_0]$ ,*

$$\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \hat{\nu} \tag{23}$$

for a small enough universal constant  $0 < \hat{\nu} < \min\{\nu_0, \bar{\alpha}\}$  with  $\nu_0, \bar{\alpha}$  defined in Lemma 11. Then the map  $s \in [0, s_0] \rightarrow (\lambda(s), x_1(s), x_2(s), b(s))$  is  $C^1$  and it satisfies

$$(\varepsilon, Q) = (\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) = (\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = (\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = 0. \quad (24)$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |b_s + cb^2| &\lesssim |b|\mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{M} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\tilde{\mathcal{M}} + |b|^3, \\ |b_s| &\lesssim b^2 + \mathcal{M} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \\ \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| &\lesssim b^2 + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

*Proof.* We consider the orthogonality conditions  $(\varepsilon, Q) = (\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = (\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = (\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) = 0$ , more precisely  $Q$  will give the estimate for  $b_s$ ,  $\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}$  will give the estimate for  $\frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}$ , and the interplay of both orthogonalities  $\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}$  will give the estimates for  $\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b$  and  $\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1$ .

*Step 1.* By projecting the modulated equation (20) on  $Q$  and using the orthogonality condition  $(\varepsilon, Q) = 0$  and  $((L\varepsilon)_{y_1}, Q) = -(\varepsilon, L(Q_{y_1})) = 0$ , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right)(\Lambda Q_b, Q) + \left(\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)((Q_b)_{y_1}, Q) + \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}((Q_b)_{y_2}, Q) - b_s((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, Q) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}(\Lambda\varepsilon, Q) - \left(\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)(\varepsilon_{y_1}, Q) - \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}(\varepsilon_{y_2}, Q) - (\Psi_b, Q) + (R_b(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, Q) + (R_{NL}(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, Q) \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $(\Lambda Q, Q) = (Q_{y_1}, Q) = (Q_{y_2}, Q) = 0$ , we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Lambda Q_b, Q)| &= |-b(\chi_b P, \Lambda Q)| \lesssim |b|^3 \\ |((Q_b)_{y_1}, Q)| &= |-b(\chi_b P, Q_{y_1})| \lesssim |b|^3 \\ |((Q_b)_{y_2}, Q)| &= |-b(\chi_b P, Q_{y_2})| \lesssim |b|^3 \\ \left((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, Q\right) &= (P, Q) + \left([(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1}]P, Q\right) \end{aligned}$$

As

$$(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } (-\infty, -\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}] \\ (1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1} & \text{on } [-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] \\ 0 & \text{on } [-\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}, \infty) \end{cases}$$

and  $|(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1}| \lesssim 1 + \gamma\|\chi_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty} = C(\chi, \gamma)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int [(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1}]PQ \right| &\leq \int \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}] } C(\chi, \gamma) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \int e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}} dy_2 \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}] } e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{2}} dy_1 \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}} \lesssim |b|^3 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\left((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, Q\right) = (P, Q) + O(|b|^3) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1\right)^2 dy_2 + O(|b|^3)$ . We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_b, Q) &= \left((-\Delta Q_b + Q_b - Q_b^3)_{y_1} - b\Lambda Q_b, Q\right) = b\left([(LP)_{y_1} - \Lambda Q], Q\right) - b^2\left(\Lambda P + ((3QP^2)_{y_1}, Q)\right) + O(b^3) \\ &= -b^2\left(\Lambda P + ((3QP^2)_{y_1}, Q)\right) + O(b^3) \end{aligned}$$

*Claim.* The following holds  $\left(\Lambda P + ((3QP^2)_{y_1}, Q)\right) = \frac{c}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1\right)^2 dy_2$  where  $c$  is defined as (2).

*Proof of the Claim.* We prove in two ways the fact that  $\left(\Lambda P + ((3QP^2)_{y_1}, Q)\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1\right) dy_2$ :

(1) *First proof of the identity.*

We observe that  $-(\Lambda P + ((3QP^2)_{y_1}, Q)) = (P, \Lambda Q) + (3QP^2, Q_{y_1})$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (P, \Lambda Q) &= (P, (LP)_{y_1}) = (P, -P_{y_1 y_1 y_1}) + (P, -P_{y_2 y_2 y_1}) + (P, P_{y_1}) + (P, -3(Q^2 P)_{y_1}) \\ &= (P, -P_{y_2 y_2 y_1}) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{P^2}{2} dy_2 + (P_{y_1}, 3Q^2 P) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{P_{y_2 y_2} P}{2} dy_2 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{P^2}{2} dy_2 + \int \int 3Q^2 \left(\frac{P^2}{2}\right)_{y_1} \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{-P_{y_2 y_2} P + P^2}{2} dy_2 - \int \int 3QQ_{y_1} P^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} (-P_{y_2 y_2} + P) \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P dy_2 - \int \int 3QQ_{y_1} P^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1\right) \left(\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P\right) dy_2 - (3QP^2, Q_{y_1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1\right) \left(\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P\right) dy_2 - (3QP^2, Q_{y_1}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$-(\Lambda P + (3QP^2)_{y_1}, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1\right) \left(\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P\right) dy_2.$$

(2) *Second proof of the identity.*

By the definition of  $LP$  we have that  $6QQ_{y_1} P = LP_{y_1} - (LP)_{y_1}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} -((3QP^2)_{y_1}, Q) &= (3QP^2, Q_{y_1}) = \frac{1}{2}(LP_{y_1} - (LP)_{y_1}, P) = \frac{1}{2}(LP_{y_1}, P) - \frac{1}{2}((LP)_{y_1}, P) \\ &= -(\Lambda P, Q) = (P, \Lambda Q) = (P, (LP)_{y_1}) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\Lambda P + ((3QP^2)_{y_1}, Q) = \frac{1}{2}(LP_{y_1}, P) + \frac{1}{2}((LP)_{y_1}, P) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_{y_1}(P \cdot LP) dy_1 dy_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P \cdot LP) dy_2 \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P \cdot \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} (-P_{y_2 y_2} + P) dy_2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right) dy_2
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\lim_{y_1 \rightarrow -\infty} P = F(y_2)$  which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -F_{y_2 y_2} + F = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 = g(y_2) \\ \lim_{y_2 \rightarrow -\infty} F(y_2) = \lim_{y_2 \rightarrow \infty} F(y_2) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

The system (26) implies uniqueness of the solution  $F$  which satisfies  $(\xi^2 + 1)\hat{F}(\xi) = \hat{g}(\xi)$  by taking it on the Fourier side. It follows that

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F \left( -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right) dy_2}{\frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right)^2 dy_2} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2}{\xi^2 + 1} \hat{g}^2(\xi) d\xi}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}^2(\xi) d\xi} = c.$$

And the claim is proved.

We have that

$$|(\Lambda \varepsilon, Q)| = |-(\varepsilon, \Lambda Q)| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\varepsilon_{y_1}, Q)| = |-(\varepsilon, Q_{y_1})| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\varepsilon_{y_2}, Q)| = |-(\varepsilon, Q_{y_2})| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(R_b(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, Q)| & = |(R_b(\varepsilon), Q_{y_1})| \lesssim |b| \int \int |\chi_b P Q Q_{y_1} \varepsilon| + b^2 \int \int \chi_b^2 P^2 |Q_{y_1} \varepsilon| \\
& \lesssim |b| \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b| \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$|(R_{NL}(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, Q)| = |(R_{NL}(\varepsilon), Q_{y_1})| =$$

$$= \left| 3 \int \int Q_b Q_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + \int \int \varepsilon^3 Q_{y_1} \right| \lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{2}} + \int \int \varepsilon^3 e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{2}}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \end{aligned}$$

(Here we used the Sobolev Inequality (12) with  $\theta(y_1, y_2) = e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}}$  which satisfies  $|\theta_{y_1}| \leq \theta$  and  $|\theta_{y_2}| \leq \theta$ ).

Therefore, using that  $|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}| \leq |\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b| + |b|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |b_s + cb^2| \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right)^2 dy_2 &\lesssim \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &\quad + |b| \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{M} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} + |b|^3, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$|b_s + cb^2| \lesssim \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + |b| \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{M} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} + |b|^3. \quad (27)$$

In particular, we get

$$|b_s| \lesssim \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + b^2 + \mathcal{M} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} + |b|^3. \quad (28)$$

*Step 2.* By projecting the modulated equation on  $\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}$  and we use the orthogonality condition  $(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = 0$ , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) (\Lambda Q_b, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) + \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) ((Q_b)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) + \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} ((Q_b)_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) \\ &- b_s ((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} (\Lambda \varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) - \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (\varepsilon_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) \\ &- \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} (\varepsilon_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) - (\Psi_b, Q_{y_2}) + (R_b(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) + (R_{NL}(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) \end{aligned}$$

First, we notice that  $|((L\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| = |(\varepsilon, L((\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})_{y_1}))| \lesssim \mathcal{M}$ .

Using that  $(\Lambda Q, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = (Q_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = 0$ , (as  $\Lambda Q, Q_{y_1}$  are even in  $y_2$  and  $\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}$  is odd in  $y_2$ ), we have that

$$|(\Lambda Q_b, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| = |-b(\chi_b P, \Lambda(\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}))| = |b| |(\chi_b P, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q_{y_2}) + (\chi_b P, y_1 \varphi_{y_1} Q_{y_1 y_2})|.$$

Since  $|\chi_b| \leq 1$  and using that  $|P(y_1, y_2)| \lesssim e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}}$  for  $y_1 \in [0, \infty)$ , and  $|P| \leq C$  for  $y_1 \in (-\infty, 0]$ ,

$$\left| \int \int \chi_b P \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q_{y_2} \right|, \left| \int \int \chi_b P y_1 \varphi_{y_1} Q_{y_1 y_2} \right| \lesssim 1.$$

Hence,

$$|(\Lambda Q_b, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| = |-b(\chi_b P, \Lambda(\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}))| \lesssim |b|$$

and by the same computations,

$$|((Q_b)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| = |-b(\chi_b P, (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})_{y_1})| \lesssim |b|.$$

Moreover

$$((Q_b)_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = (Q_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) - b(\chi_b P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2 y_2})$$

and by the same computations above

$$|b(\chi_b P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2 y_2})| \lesssim |b|.$$

Also, we obtain  $((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = 0$  as  $P$  is even in  $y_2$ .

We have that

$$|(\Lambda \varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| = |-(\varepsilon, \Lambda(\varphi(Y_1)Q_{y_2}))| \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\varepsilon_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| = |-(\varepsilon, (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})_{y_1})| \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\varepsilon_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| = |-(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2 y_2})| \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\Psi_b, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| \lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma} \int \int 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}} |\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}| + b^2 \lesssim b^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |(R_b(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| = |(R_b(\varepsilon), (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})_{y_1})| \\ & \lesssim |b| \int \int |\chi_b P Q (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})_{y_1} \varepsilon| + b^2 \int \int \chi_b^2 P^2 |(\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})_{y_1} \varepsilon| \lesssim |b| \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b| \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (R_{NL}(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) \right| = |(R_{NL}(\varepsilon), (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})_{y_1})| = \\ & = \left| 3 \int \int Q_b (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + \int \int \varepsilon^3 (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})_{y_1} \right| \lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} + \int \int \varepsilon^3 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{M} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \end{aligned}$$

(Here we used the Sobolev Inequality 12 as before).

Therefore, we get, also using that  $|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}| \leq |\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b| + |b|$ ,

$$\left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| |(Q_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| \lesssim \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + b^2 + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$$

so

$$\left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \lesssim \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + b^2 + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (29)$$

*Step 3.* By projecting the modulated equation on  $\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q$  and using the orthogonality conditions  $(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = 0$ , thus we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) (\Lambda Q_b, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) + \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) ((Q_b)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) + \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} ((Q_b)_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) \\ &= b_s ((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) + (\varepsilon, L((\varphi(y_1)(\Lambda Q)_{y_1}))) - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} (\Lambda \varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) \\ & - \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (\varepsilon_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) - \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} (\varepsilon_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) - (\Psi_b, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) \\ & + (R_b(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) + (R_{NL}(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q). \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $(Q_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = 0$ , we have that

$$|((Q_b)_{y_2}, y_1 Q_{y_1})| = |-b(\chi_b P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1 y_2})| \lesssim |b|.$$

Also

$$((Q_b)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = (Q_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) - b(\chi_b P, (\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_1})$$

with  $|b(\chi_b P, (\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_1})| \lesssim |b|$  and

$$((\Lambda Q_b)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = (\Lambda Q, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) - b(\chi_b P, \Lambda(\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q))$$

with  $|b(\chi_b P, \Lambda(\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q))| \lesssim |b|$ . We notice

$$((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = (P, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) + ((1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1})P, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)$$

As

$$(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } (-\infty, -\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}] \\ (1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1} & \text{on } [-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] \\ 0 & \text{on } [-\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}, \infty) \end{cases}$$

and  $|(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1}| \lesssim 1 + \gamma \|\chi_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty} = C(\chi, \gamma)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int [(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1}] P \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q \right| &\leq \int \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] } C(\chi, \gamma) |y_1| e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4} - \frac{|y_2|}{4}} \\ &\lesssim \int e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{4}} dy_2 \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] } |y_1| e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4}} dy_1 \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{8|b|^\gamma}} \lesssim |b|^3 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = (P, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) + O(|b|^3)$ . We have that

$$|(\varepsilon, L(y_1(\Lambda Q)_{y_1}))| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\Lambda\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)| = |-(\varepsilon, \Lambda(\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q))| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\varepsilon_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)| = |-(\varepsilon, (\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_1})| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\varepsilon_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)| = |-(\varepsilon, (\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_2})| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Psi_b, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)| &\lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma} \int \int 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}} |\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q| + b^2 \\ &\lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma} \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}]} |y_1| e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{4}} \int e^{-|y_2|} + b^2 \lesssim |b| e^{-\frac{1}{8|b|^\gamma}} + b^2 \lesssim b^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &|(R_b(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)| = |(R_b(\varepsilon), (\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_1})| \\ = |b| \int \int |\chi_b P Q (\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_1} \varepsilon| + b^2 \int \int \chi_b^2 P^2 |(\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_1} \varepsilon| &\lesssim |b| \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b| \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &|(R_{NL}(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, y_1 \Lambda Q)| = |(R_{NL}(\varepsilon), (\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_1})| = \\ = \left| 3 \int \int Q_b (\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + \int \int \varepsilon^3 (\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)_{y_1} \right| &\lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} + \int \int \varepsilon^3 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \\ &\lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here we used the Sobolev Inequality (12) as before).

Together with the fact  $|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}| \leq |\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b| + |b|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) |(\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q, Q_{y_1})| + \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) |(\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q, \Lambda Q)| \right| \\ &\lesssim \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + |b_s| (|(P, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)| + O(b^3)) + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

*Step 4.* By projecting the modulated equation on  $\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}$  and we use the orthogonality condition  $(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) = 0$ , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right)(\Lambda Q_b, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) + \left(\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)((Q_b)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) + \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}((Q_b)_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) \\
&= b_s((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) + (\varepsilon, L(\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})_{y_1}) - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}(\Lambda\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) - \left(\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)(\varepsilon_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) \\
&- \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}(\varepsilon_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) - (\Psi_b, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) + (R_b(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) + (R_{NL}(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using that  $(Q_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) = 0$ , we have that

$$|((Q_b)_{y_2}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})| = |-b(\chi_b P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1 y_2})| \lesssim |b|.$$

Moreover

$$((Q_b)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) = (Q_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) - b(\chi_b P, (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})_{y_1})$$

with  $|b(\chi_b P, (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})_{y_1})| \lesssim |b|$  and

$$|(\Lambda Q_b, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})| = (\Lambda Q, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) - b(\chi_b P, \Lambda(\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}))$$

with  $|b(\chi_b P, \Lambda(\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}))| \lesssim |b|$ . We notice

$$\left((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}\right) = (P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) + \left([(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1}]P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}\right).$$

As

$$(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } (-\infty, -\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}] \\ (1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1} & \text{on } [-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] \\ 0 & \text{on } [-\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}, \infty) \end{cases}$$

and  $|(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1}| \lesssim 1 + \gamma \|\chi_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty_{y_1}} = C(\chi, \gamma)$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int \int [(1 - \chi_b) + \gamma y_1(1 - \chi_b)_{y_1}] P \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1} \right| &\leq \int \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}] } C(\chi, \gamma) |y_1| e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{4}} \\
&\lesssim \int e^{-|y_2|/4} dy_2 \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}] } |y_1| e^{-|y_1|/4} dy_1 \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{8|b|^\gamma}} \lesssim |b|^3
\end{aligned}$$

hence  $((\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) = (P, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) + O(|b|^3)$ . We continue with

$$|(\varepsilon, L((\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})_{y_1}))| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\Lambda\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})| = |-(\varepsilon, \Lambda(\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}))| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\varepsilon_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})| = |-(\varepsilon, (\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})_{y_1})| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|(\varepsilon_{y_2}, y_1 Q_{y_1})| = |-(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1 y_2})| \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Psi_b, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1})| &\lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma} \int \int 1_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma]}(y_1) e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}} |\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}| + b^2 \\ &\lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma} \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma]} |y_1| e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{2}} \int e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{2}} + b^2 \lesssim |b| e^{-\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}} + b^2 \lesssim b^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(R_b(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1})| &= |(R_b(\varepsilon), (\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1})_{y_1})| \\ &= |b| \int \int |\chi_b P Q (y_1 Q_{y_1})_{y_1} \varepsilon| + b^2 \int \int \chi_b^2 P^2 |(\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1})_{y_1} \varepsilon| \\ &\lesssim |b| \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |b| \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(R_{NL}(\varepsilon)_{y_1}, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1})| &= |(R_{NL}(\varepsilon), (\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1})_{y_1})| = \\ &= \left| 3 \int \int Q_b (\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + \int \int \varepsilon^3 (\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1})_{y_1} \right| \lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} + \int \int \varepsilon^3 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \\ &\lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{4}} \\ &= \mathcal{M} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \end{aligned}$$

(Here we used the Sobolev Inequality (12) as before).

Therefore, using that  $|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}| \leq |\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b| + |b|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) (\Lambda Q, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}) + \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}, Q_{y_1}) \right| \\ &\lesssim \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + |b_s| (|(P, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1})| + O(b^3)) + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

From (28) we can rewrite (30) and (31) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) |(\varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q, Q_{y_1}) + \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) (\varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q, \Lambda Q) \right| \\ &\lesssim \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) (\Lambda Q, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}) + \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}, Q_{y_1}) \right| \\ & \lesssim \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Denote  $K = \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and multiply (32) with  $|(\varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}, Q_{y_1})|$  and multiply (33) with  $|(\varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q, Q_{y_1})|$  together with the triangle inequality it yields

$$|\det M^*| \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| \lesssim K. \quad (34)$$

Also, multiply (32) with  $|(\varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q, Q_{y_1})|$  and multiply (33) with  $|(\varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q, \Lambda Q)|$  together with the triangle inequality it yields

$$|\det M^*| \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \lesssim K. \quad (35)$$

From (29), (34) and (35) together with the fact that  $\det M^* \neq 0$ , we get there exists  $C > 0$

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \leq C \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \right) (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$$

and by taking  $\hat{\nu}$  such that  $C\hat{\nu} < \frac{1}{2}$  and since  $|b|, \mathcal{M} < \hat{\nu}$  we obtain the final estimate

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (36)$$

From (28) and (27) together with (36), we get

$$|b_s| \lesssim \mathcal{M} + b^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \quad (37)$$

and

$$|b_s + cb^2| \lesssim |b| \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{M} + |b|^3 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (38)$$

□

**Lemma 14.** *Define the weight*

$$\Omega(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{|y_1| + |y_2|}{4}}, & y_1 < -1 \\ e^{-\frac{|y_2|}{4}} y_1^2, & y_1 > 1. \end{cases}$$

and denote  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) = \int \int \varepsilon^2(s) \Omega(y_1, y_2)$ . Write

$$c_Q = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 \right)^2 dy_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_Q = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{y_2} dy_1 \right)^2 dy_2$$

and denote  $J(s) = (\varepsilon(s), \frac{1}{2c_Q} \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q)$  and  $\tilde{J}(s) = (\varepsilon(s), \frac{1}{2\tilde{c}_Q} \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} Q_{y_2})$ . Under the assumptions on  $\varepsilon$  from Lemma 13 and the additional assumption that  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) < +\infty$  on  $s \in [0, s_0]$ , we have that

- a)  $|J(s)|, |\tilde{J}(s)| \lesssim \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s)$ ;
- b)  $\left| \frac{d}{ds} J + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} J - \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \right| \lesssim b^2 + \hat{\mathcal{M}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ;
- c)  $\left| \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{J} + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \tilde{J} - \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \lesssim b^2 + \hat{\mathcal{M}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ .

The proof of the lemma is included in Appendix B 11.

**Lemma 15.** *Estimates induced by the conservation laws:*

i)

$$\left| \int \int Q_b^2 - \int \int Q^2 - 2b(P, Q) \right| \lesssim |b|^{2-\gamma}. \quad (39)$$

ii)

$$E(Q_b) = -b(P, Q) + O(b^2).$$

iii)

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |b|^{\frac{1}{2}+} \left| \int \int u_0^2 - \int \int Q \right|. \quad (40)$$

iv)

$$|2\lambda^3 E_0 + 2b(P, Q) - \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2| \lesssim |b|^{\frac{3-\gamma}{2}} + \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon) + (\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + |b|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}) \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (41)$$

*Proof.* Proof of i)

$$\left| \int \int Q_b^2 - \int \int Q^2 - 2b(P, Q) \right| \leq 2b((1 - \chi_b)P, Q) + b^2 \int \int \chi_b^2 P^2 \leq |b|^{2-\gamma} + O(b^2) \leq |b|^{2-\gamma}$$

Proof of ii) Recall the definition of energy,  $E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int \int u^4$ .

$$E[(Q_b + \varepsilon)(s)] = E(v(s)) = \frac{\lambda^3(s)}{2} \int \int |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\lambda^3(s)}{4} \int \int u^4 = \lambda^3(s) E(u) = \lambda^3(s) E_0$$

Also, using that  $E(Q) = 0$  and  $\Delta Q + Q^3 = Q$ , we have

$$E(Q_b) = E(Q) - b \int \int \chi_b P \Delta Q - b \int \int \chi_b P Q^3 + O(b^2) = -b(P, Q) + O(b^2).$$

Proof of iii). By the conservation of mass,

$$\int \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)^2 = \int \int u_0^2$$



so, using the orthogonality  $(\varepsilon, Q) = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u_0^2 - \int \int Q^2 &= \int \int Q_b^2 - \int \int Q^2 + \int \int \varepsilon^2 + 2(\varepsilon, Q_b) \\ &= \int \int \varepsilon^2 + b^2 \int \int \chi_b^2 P^2 + 2b \int \int \chi_b P Q + 2(\varepsilon, Q) + b \int \int \varepsilon \chi_b P \\ &= \int \int \varepsilon^2 + b^2 \int \int \chi_b^2 P^2 + 2b \int \int \chi_b P Q + b \int \int \varepsilon \chi_b P \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\int \int \chi_b^2 P^2 \lesssim |b|^{-\gamma}, \quad \left| \int \int \chi_b P Q \right| \lesssim 1, \quad \left| \int \int \varepsilon \chi_b P \right| \lesssim |b|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$$

we get

$$\int \int \varepsilon^2 \lesssim |b| + |b|^{2-\gamma} + |b|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \left| \int \int u_0^2 - \int \int Q^2 \right|$$

and, using  $|b(t)| \leq \hat{\nu} \ll 1$  we get

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C|b| + C|b|^{2-\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \left| \int \int u_0^2 - \int \int Q^2 \right|$$

so

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |b| + \left| \int \int u_0^2 - \int \int Q^2 \right|.$$

Proof of *iv*). Using *ii*), i.e.  $E(Q_b) = -b(P, Q) + O(b^2)$  and the orthogonality condition  $(\varepsilon, Q) = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^3 E_0 &= E(Q_b) + \frac{1}{2} \int \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 - \int \int \varepsilon [\Delta(Q_b - Q) + (Q_b^3 - Q^3)] - \frac{1}{4} \int \int [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon] \\ &= -b(P, Q) + O(b^2) + b \int \int \varepsilon \Delta(\chi_b P) + \int \int \varepsilon (Q_b^3 - Q^3) - \frac{1}{4} \int \int [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon] \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\left| \int \int \varepsilon \Delta(\chi_b P) \right| = \left| \int \int \nabla \varepsilon \cdot \nabla(\chi_b P) \right| \lesssim \int \int |\varepsilon_{y_1} (\chi_b)_{y_1} P| + \int \int |\varepsilon_{y_1} \chi_b P_{y_1}| + \int \int |\varepsilon_{y_2} \chi_b P_{y_2}|$$

We estimate each of the terms:

$$\int \int |\varepsilon_{y_1} (\chi_b)_{y_1} P| \lesssim |b|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|\varepsilon_{y_1}\|_{L^2}, \quad \int \int |\varepsilon_{y_1} \chi_b P_{y_1}| \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \int \int |\varepsilon_{y_2} \chi_b P_{y_2}| \lesssim |b|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|\varepsilon_{y_2}\|_{L^2},$$

which yields (here  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon) = \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}} dy_1 dy_2$ )

$$\left| \int \int \varepsilon \Delta(\chi_b P) \right| \lesssim |b| \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |b|^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|\varepsilon_{y_1}\|_{L^2} + |b|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|\varepsilon_{y_2}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{3-\gamma}{2}} + \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon) + |b|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The other term is

$$\int \int |\varepsilon(Q_b^3 - Q^3)| \lesssim b \int \int |\varepsilon Q^2 \chi_b P| + b^2 \int \int |\varepsilon Q(\chi_b P)^2| + |b|^3 \int \int |\varepsilon(\chi_b P)^3|$$

We estimate each of the terms:

$$b \int \int |\varepsilon Q^2 \chi_b P| \lesssim b \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, b^2 \int \int |\varepsilon Q(\chi_b P)^2| \lesssim b^2 \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b|^3 \int \int |\varepsilon(\chi_b P)^3| \lesssim |b|^{3-\gamma} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2},$$

which yields

$$\int \int |\varepsilon(Q_b^3 - Q^3)| \lesssim b \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |b|^{3-\gamma} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}.$$

For the last one,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int |(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon| &\leq \int \int Q^2 \varepsilon^2 + b^2 \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\chi_b P)^2 + \int \int \varepsilon^4 \\ &\lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon) + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + b^2 \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Putting all the estimates together we conclude

$$\left| \lambda^3 E_0 + b(P, Q) - \frac{1}{2} \int \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 \right| \lesssim |b|^{\frac{3-\gamma}{2}} + \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon) + (\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + |b|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}) \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2.$$

□

## 6 Monotonicity Formulas

**Choice of weights.** For  $i \geq 1$ , we choose the weights  $\phi_{i,B}, \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\phi_{i,B}(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{y_1}{B}} & \text{for } y_1 < -B \\ 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} & \text{for } -\frac{B}{2} < y_1 < \frac{B}{2} \\ \frac{y_1^i}{B^i} & \text{for } y_1 > B \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{i,B}(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } y_1 < \frac{B}{2} \\ \frac{y_1^i}{B^i} & \text{for } y_1 > B \end{cases}$$

and with  $\phi_{i,B} > 0$ ,  $(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} > 0$  as  $\varphi_{y_1} > 0$ . Also, let  $\psi_B(y_1, y_2) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\psi(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{2y_1}{B}} & \text{for } y_1 < -B \\ 1 & \text{for } y_1 > -\frac{B}{2} \end{cases}$$

and with  $(\psi_B)_{y_1}(y_1, y_2) \geq 0$ .

By these definitions, we have for  $\forall(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1 y_1 y_1}(y_1, y_2)| + |(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1 y_1}(y_1, y_2)| + |(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1}(y_1, y_2)| + |y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1}(y_1, y_2)| + |\psi_B(y_1, y_2)| \\ & \lesssim (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}(y_1, y_2) \lesssim \phi_{i,B}(y_1, y_2). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

**Defining the Norms.** We define the following norms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_i(s) &= \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) \psi_B + \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,B}, \quad \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}(s) = \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i(s) &= \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \end{aligned}$$

and notice that, for  $B \geq 4$ , we have  $\mathcal{M} \leq B\mathcal{N}_{i,loc}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \leq B\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \leq \mathcal{N}_i$ .

For  $i, j$  with  $i \geq 1$  and  $i \geq j \geq 0$ , we define the Lyapunov functional (mixed energy) by

$$\mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) \psi_B + \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,B} + \frac{i-j}{i+j} \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} - \frac{1}{2} \left( (\varepsilon + Q_b)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon \right) \psi_B \quad (43)$$

**Proposition 1.** *There exists  $\mu > 0$ ,  $B > 0$  large enough (to be fixed later) and  $0 < \nu^* < \hat{\nu}$  such that the following holds: Suppose  $\varepsilon(t, y_1, y_2)$  satisfies the modulation equation and the orthogonality conditions from Lemma 11 on  $[0, t_0]$  and the following a priori estimates hold on  $[0, s_0]$  where  $s(t_0) = s_0$  :*

$$\text{(H1)} \quad \|\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2} + |b(s)| + \mathcal{N}_2(s) \leq \nu^*. \quad (44)$$

Then we have the following:

(i) *Lyapunov control: For  $i \geq 1$  and  $i \geq j \geq 0$ ,*

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i,j}}{\lambda^j} \right\} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^j} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \lesssim \frac{|b|^4}{\lambda^j}.$$

(ii) *Coercivity of  $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ : For  $i \geq 1$  and  $i \geq j \geq 0$ ,*

$$\mathcal{N}_i \lesssim \mathcal{F}_{i,j} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_i.$$

*Proof.* Algebraic computations on  $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ . First, we write  $\mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \mathcal{F}_i + \frac{i-j}{i+j} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B}$  with

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) \psi_B + \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,B} - \frac{1}{2} \left( (\varepsilon + Q_b)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon \right) \psi_B$$

For  $i \geq j \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^j \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i,j}}{\lambda^j} \right\} &= 2 \int \int \psi_B (\varepsilon_{y_1})_s \varepsilon_{y_1} + \psi_B (\varepsilon_{y_2})_s \varepsilon_{y_2} + 2\varepsilon_s \{ \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3] \} \\ &\quad - 2 \int \int \psi_B (Q_b)_s [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon] - j \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \mathcal{F}_i + \frac{i-j}{i+j} \lambda^j \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B}}{\lambda^j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

□

We use the modulated flow equation (20)

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_s - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon = & \left( -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right)_{y_1} + \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \Lambda Q_b + \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_1} \\ & + \left( \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right) (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_2} + \Phi_b + \Psi_b \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Psi_b = [(-\Delta Q_b + Q_b - Q_b)_{y_1} - b \Lambda Q_b]$  and  $\Phi_b = -b_s(\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1})P$ , to split

$$\lambda^j \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i,j}}{\lambda^j} \right\} = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$$

where

$$f_1 = 2 \int \int (\varepsilon_s - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon) \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right)$$

$$f_2 = 2 \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int \Lambda \varepsilon \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right)$$

$$-j \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \mathcal{F}_i + \frac{i-j}{i+j} \lambda^j \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B}}{\lambda^j} \right\}$$

$$f_3 = -2 \int \int \psi_B (Q_b)_s [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon].$$

The meaning of this splitting is to differentiate between the terms on which the time derivative acts on  $\varepsilon$ , i.e.  $f_1, f_2$  and on  $Q_b$ , i.e.  $f_3$ . We also treat separately the *problematic* drift operator  $\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon$  in the term  $f_2$ .

## 6.1 The computations for $f_1$ :

We split  $f_1$  using the modulation equation,

$$f_1 = f_{1,1} + f_{1,2} + f_{1,3} + f_{1,4} + f_{1,5} + f_{1,6}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,1} = & 2 \int \int \{ -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3] \}_{y_1} \{ -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3] \} \psi_B + \\ & 2 \int \int \{ -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3] \}_{y_1} \{ -(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} + \varepsilon (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$f_{1,2} = 2 \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int \Lambda Q_b \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right),$$

$$f_{1,3} = 2 \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_1} \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right),$$

$$f_{1,4} = 2 \left( \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right) \int \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_2} \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right),$$

$$f_{1,5} = -2b_s \int \int (\chi_b + \gamma y_1 (\chi_b)_{y_1}) P \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right),$$

$$f_{1,6} = 2 \int \int \Psi_b \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right).$$

**Step 1: Estimating  $f_{1,1}$**

We will prove that

$$f_{1,1} \leq -\mu \int \int [(\psi_B)_{y_1} (\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2) + (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)]$$

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,1} &= 2 \int \int \{ -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3] \}_{y_1} \{ -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3] \} \psi_B + \\ &\quad 2 \int \int \{ -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3] \}_{y_1} \{ -(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} + \varepsilon (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) \} \\ &= f_{1,1}^{(i)} + f_{1,1}^{(ii)} \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,1}^{(i)} &= 2 \int \int \{ -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3] \}_{y_1} \{ -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3] \} \psi_B \\ &= \int \int \partial_{y_1} \{ (-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3])^2 \} \psi_B \\ &= - \int \int (-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3])^2 (\psi_B)_{y_1} \\ &= - \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} [-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon]^2 - \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \{ [-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3)]^2 - [-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon]^2 \} \end{aligned}$$

(effectively, we isolate the large term  $-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon$  and the small term  $(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 = O(\mathcal{N}_i^{\frac{1}{2}} + |b|)$ ). We get that

$$- \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} [-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon]^2 = - \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} (\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2} - 2\varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1} - 2\varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1} &= -2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1} \varepsilon^2 \\
2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2} &= -2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_2 y_2} \varepsilon^2 \\
-2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2} &= -2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2}^2 + \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_2 y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 \\
&\quad + \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_2 y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 - 2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2}
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} [-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon]^2 &= - \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} (\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{y_2}^2) + \int \int \varepsilon^2 [-(\psi_B)_{y_1} + \Delta(\psi_B)_{y_1}] \\
&\quad - 2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2}^2 - 2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we look at

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,1}^{(ii)} &= 2 \int \int \{-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 - Q_b^3]\}_{y_1} \{-(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} + \varepsilon(\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)\} \\
&= -2 \int \int (-\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1} - \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2} + \varepsilon) \{-(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} + \varepsilon(\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)\}_{y_1} \\
&\quad - 2 \int \int [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]_{y_1} \{-(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} + \varepsilon(\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)\}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
-2 \int \int (-\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}) &\{-(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} + \varepsilon(\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)\}_{y_1} \\
&= -2 \int \int (-\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}) (-(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2}) \\
&\quad + \int \int (-\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}) (\varepsilon_{y_1} (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) + \varepsilon((\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_1})) \\
&= -2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 [\Delta(\psi_B)_{y_1} - 3(\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1}] + \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1} \\
&\quad + 2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2} - 2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2}
\end{aligned}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned}
-2 \int \int (-\varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}) &\{-(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} + \varepsilon(\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)\}_{y_1} \\
&= \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_2} + \int \int \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 [-(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1} - (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1}] + \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1 y_2 y_2} \\
&\quad - 2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2}^2 - 2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2} - 2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}
\end{aligned}$$

and, finally

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \int \int \varepsilon \{ -(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} + \varepsilon(\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) \}_{y_1} \\
& = -2 \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (\psi_B)_{y_1} + \int \int \varepsilon^2 [(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_2} - (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_2 y_1} - (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1}] - 2 \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2} (\psi_B)_{y_2}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
-[(Q_b + \varepsilon)^p - Q_b^p]_{y_1} \varepsilon &= \left( \frac{(Q_b + \varepsilon)^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{Q_b^{p+1}}{p+1} - (Q_b + \varepsilon)^p \varepsilon \right)_{y_1} \\
&\quad - \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^p - Q_b^p - pQ_b^{p-1} \varepsilon \right) (Q_b)_{y_1}
\end{aligned}$$

hence we get that (with  $p = 3$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
-2 \int \int [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]_{y_1} \varepsilon (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \int (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \int \int (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon \right) (Q_b)_{y_1}
\end{aligned}$$

therefore, putting all the computations together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,1} &= - \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} (3\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2) + \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 [ -(\psi_B)_{y_1} - 3(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \Delta(\psi_B)_{y_1} + (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_2} ] \\
&\quad + \int \int \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 [ -(\psi_B)_{y_1} - (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1} ] + \int \int \varepsilon^2 [ -(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \Delta(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ] \\
&\quad - 4 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2}^2 - 2 \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2} [ (\psi_B)_{y_2} + (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_2} ] - 2 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2} \Delta \varepsilon \\
&\quad - \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \{ [-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3)]^2 - [-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon]^2 \} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int \int (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \int \int (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon \right) (Q_b)_{y_1} \\
&\quad - 2 \int \int [ (Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 ]_{y_1} \{ -(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_2} \varepsilon_{y_2} \}
\end{aligned}$$

and, using that both  $\phi_{i,B}$  and  $\psi_B$  are independent of  $y_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,1} &= - \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} (3\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2) + \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 [-(\psi_B)_{y_1} - 3(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1}] \\
&+ \int \int \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 [-(\psi_B)_{y_1} - (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1}] + \int \int \varepsilon^2 [-(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1 y_1 y_1}] - 4 \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2}^2 \\
&- \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \{[-\Delta\varepsilon + \varepsilon - ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3)]^2 - [-\Delta\varepsilon + \varepsilon]^2\} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int \int (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right) \\
&- 2 \int \int (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon \right) (Q_b)_{y_1} + 2 \int \int [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} \\
&= f_{1,1}^< + f_{1,1}^{\sim} + f_{1,1}^>
\end{aligned}$$

where the three terms denote integration in the regions  $\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}$ ,  $\{|y_1| \leq \frac{B}{2}\}$ ,  $\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}$ .

On the intervals  $I_1 = \{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int_{I_i} \varepsilon^2 |(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1 y_1 y_1}| &\leq \frac{1}{B^2} \int \int_{I_i} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\
\int \int_{I_i} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 |(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1}| &\leq \frac{1}{B^2} \int \int_{I_i} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\
\int \int_{I_i} \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 |(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1}| &\leq \frac{1}{B^2} \int \int_{I_i} \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

**The region**  $y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}$ .

*Estimates of the term*  $\int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right)$  :

Since

$$|(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon| \lesssim \varepsilon^4 + Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2$$

and  $|(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} - (\psi_B)_{y_1}| \leq (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right) \right| \\
& \leq \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} |(\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1}| \left| (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right| \\
& \leq \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^4 + Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2) \\
& \leq \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^4 + \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2
\end{aligned}$$

From the Sobolev Lemma (12) with  $\theta = \phi_{i,B} 1_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}}$  (since it satisfies  $|\theta_{y_1}| \leq \theta$ ,  $\theta_{y_2} = 0$ )

$$\int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^4 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}$$



and since  $\|Q_b\|_{L^\infty_{y_1, y_2}}^2 \lesssim e^{-B} + |b|$  for  $y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}$ ,

$$\int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \lesssim (e^{-B} + |b|) \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2$$

hence

$$\left| \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right) \right| \lesssim (\delta(\nu^*) + e^{-B} + |b|) \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2)$$

*Estimates of the term*  $\int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon \right) (Q_b)_{y_1}$

Since

$$|(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon| \lesssim |\varepsilon|^3 + |Q_b| \varepsilon^2$$

and for  $y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}$  we have

- $|\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B| \leq B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}$
- $|Q_b| \leq |Q| + |b| P\chi_b \leq e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + C|b|$
- $|(Q_b)_{y_1}| \leq |Q_{y_1}| + |b|(P\chi_b)_{y_1} \leq e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + C|b|$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} |\psi_B - \phi_{i,B}| |(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon| |(Q_b)_{y_1}| \\ & \leq B \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} (|\varepsilon|^3 + |Q_b| \varepsilon^2) (|Q_{y_1}| + |b|(P\chi_b)_{y_1}) \end{aligned}$$

and, by the Sobolev lemma (12),

$$B \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^3 (|Q_{y_1}| + |b|(P\chi_b)_{y_1}) \lesssim B(e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + C|b|) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} (|\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2)$$

and

$$B \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |Q_b| \varepsilon^2 (|Q_{y_1}| + |b|(P\chi_b)_{y_1}) \lesssim B(e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + C|b|)^2 \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^2$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B)_{y_1} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right) \right| \\ & \lesssim B(e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + C|b|) (\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + C|b|) \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} (|\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 16.** *Suppose that  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and a positive function  $\theta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $|\theta_{x_1}| \leq \theta$ ,  $|\theta_{x_2}| \leq \theta$ ,  $|\theta_{x_1 x_1}| \leq \theta$ ,  $|\theta_{x_2 x_2}| \leq \theta$ . Let*

$$A_1 = \int \int u^2 u_{x_1}^2 \theta + \int \int u^2 u_{x_2}^2 \theta + \int \int u^4 \theta$$

$$A_2 = \int \int u_{x_1 x_1}^2 \theta + \int \int u_{x_2 x_2}^2 \theta + \int \int u^2 \theta.$$

Then we have  $A_1 \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2 A_2$  and  $\int \int u^6 \theta \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^4 A_1$ .

We include the proof of this in the Appendix A, Lemma (26).

*Estimates of the term  $\int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}$  :* Since we have  $|Q_b|, |(Q_b)_{y_1}| \lesssim e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|$  for  $y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}$ , and from Lemma (16)

$$\int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\psi_B)_{y_1},$$

and from Lemma (12)

$$\int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^4 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1},$$

we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} \\ & \lesssim \left| \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} \{ (Q_b)_{y_1} (\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon Q_b) + (Q_b + \varepsilon)^2 \varepsilon_{y_1} \} \right| \\ & \lesssim \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 |\varepsilon_{y_1}| |(Q_b)_{y_1}| + \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} |\varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1}| \left\| \frac{1}{2} (Q_b^2)_{y_1} \right\| \\ & \quad + \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (Q_b + \varepsilon)^2 \\ & \lesssim \|(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \|(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^4 \\ & \quad + \|(Q_b^2)_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \|(Q_b^2)_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \\ & \quad + \|Q_b^2\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 \\ & \lesssim (e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|) \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + (e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|)^2 \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +(e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|)\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (|\nabla\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\psi_B)_{y_1} \\
& \lesssim (e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|) \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\psi_B)_{y_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Estimates of the term*  $\int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \{[-\Delta\varepsilon + \varepsilon - ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3)]^2 - [-\Delta\varepsilon + \varepsilon]^2\}$  : Since  $|(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3| \lesssim |\varepsilon|^3 + Q_b^2|\varepsilon|$  and from Lemma (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} \{[-\Delta\varepsilon + \varepsilon - ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3)]^2 - [-\Delta\varepsilon + \varepsilon]^2\} \right| \\
& \lesssim \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} |[-2\Delta\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon - ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3)][(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]| \\
& \lesssim \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} |-2\Delta\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon| (|\varepsilon|^3 + Q_b^2|\varepsilon|) + \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} (|\varepsilon|^3 + Q_b^2|\varepsilon|)^2 \\
& \lesssim \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^3 |\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}| + \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^3 |\varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}| + \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^4 \\
& \quad + \|Q_b^2\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} |\varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}| + \|Q_b^2\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} |\varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}| \\
& + \|Q_b^2\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + \|Q_b^4\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^6 \\
& \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \mathcal{A} + (e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|)^2 \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + (e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|)^2 \mathcal{A} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^4 \mathcal{A} \\
& \lesssim (\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + e^{-B} + b^2) \mathcal{A} + (e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|)^2 \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where here  $\mathcal{A} = \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\psi_B)_{y_1}$ . By putting together all the estimates we get

that for some independent  $C > 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,1}^{\leq} &\leq [-3 + C(\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + e^{-B} + b^2)] \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 (\psi_B)_{y_1} \\
&+ [-1 + C(\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + e^{-B} + b^2)] \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2 (\psi_B)_{y_1} \\
&+ [-3 + C(\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + e^{-B} + b^2) + CB(e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b| + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2})(e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + |b|)] \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\
&+ [-1 + C(\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + e^{-B} + b^2) + CB(e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b| + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2})(e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + |b|)] \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\
&+ [-1 + C(\frac{1}{B^2} + e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|)] \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (\psi_B)_{y_1} + (-1 + \frac{C}{B^2}) \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (\psi_B)_{y_1} \\
&+ [-1 + C(\frac{1}{B^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + e^{-B} + b^2)] \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\
&- 4 \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon_{y_1 y_2}^2 (\psi_B)_{y_1} + C(e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b| + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2) \int \int_{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon^2 (\psi_B)_{y_1} \\
&+ C(e^{-B} + |b|^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2) \int \int_{y_1 > -\frac{B}{2}} (\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2) (\psi_B)_{y_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

**The region**  $y_1 > \frac{B}{2}$ .

Since we have that  $(\psi_B)_{y_1} = 0$  on this region we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,1}^{\geq} &= -3 \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} - \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 [-(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1 y_1 y_1}] \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right) \\
&- 2 \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon \right) (Q_b)_{y_1}
\end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1 y_1 y_1} \varepsilon^2 \leq \frac{1}{B^2} \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2$$

and since  $|(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon| \lesssim \varepsilon^4 + Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2$  and, for  $y_1 > \frac{B}{2}$ ,  $|Q_b(y_1, y_2)| \lesssim e^{-B} + b^2$ , then

$$\left| \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right) \right| \lesssim \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^4 + Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2)$$

and from the Sobolev Lemma (12), we get

$$\int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^4 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2)$$

$$\int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \lesssim (e^{-B} + b^2) \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2.$$

Since  $|(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2\varepsilon| \lesssim |\varepsilon|^3 + |Q_b|\varepsilon^2$ ,  $|\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B| \leq 2\phi_{i,B}$ ,  $|Q_b| \lesssim e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|$  (on  $y_1 > \frac{B}{2}$ ) and  $|(Q_b)_{y_1}| = |Q_{y_1} + b\chi_b P_{y_1}| \lesssim e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}}$  (as  $|P_{y_1}| \lesssim e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}}$  and  $(\chi_b)_{y_1} = 0$  for  $y_1 > \frac{B}{2}$ ), which yields

$$|\phi_{i,B}(y_1, y_2)(Q_b)_{y_1}(y_1, y_2)| \lesssim e^{-|y_1|} \frac{y_1^i}{B^i} \lesssim (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}(y_1, y_2)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B} - \psi_B) \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2\varepsilon \right) (Q_b)_{y_1} \right| &\lesssim \left| \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \phi_{i,B} (|\varepsilon|^3 + |Q_b|\varepsilon^2) (Q_b)_{y_1} \right| \\ &\lesssim \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^3 + \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |Q_b| |\varepsilon|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and, by the Sobolev Lemma (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^3 &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) \\ \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |Q_b| |\varepsilon|^2 &\lesssim (e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|) \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting all the estimates together,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,1}^> &\leq [-3 + C(\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2})] \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + [-1 + C(\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2})] \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 \\ &+ [-1 + C(\frac{1}{B^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + e^{-B} + e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b| + b^2)] \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \\ &\leq (-3 + C\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}) \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + (-1 + C\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}) \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 \\ &+ [-1 + C(\frac{1}{B^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|)] \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

**The region**  $|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}$ .

Since we have that  $\phi_{i,B} = 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B}$ ,  $\psi_B = 1$ , (so  $(\psi_B)_{y_1} = (\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1 y_1} = 0$ ) then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,1}^{\sim} &= -\frac{1}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} 3\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 \varphi_{y_1}(y_1) - \frac{1}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 \varphi_{y_1}(y_1) - \frac{1}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1}(y_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1 y_1 y_1}(y_1) - \frac{1}{2B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 \varepsilon \right) \varphi_{y_1}(y_1) \\ &- \frac{2}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \left( (Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon \right) (Q_b)_{y_1} \varphi(y_1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{B} \left\{ \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} 3\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 3Q^2 \varepsilon^2 + 6y_1 Q Q_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right\} + R_{Vir}(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$R_{Vir}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{1}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \left\{ -\varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1 y_1 y_1} - 3(Q_b^2 - Q^2) \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1} + 6y_1 \left( \frac{Q_b^2}{2} - \frac{Q^2}{2} \right)_{y_1} \varphi_{y_1} \right. \\ \left. + 6 \left( \frac{Q_b}{2} \right)_{y_1}^2 \varepsilon^2 (\varphi - y_1 \varphi_{y_1}) - \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon^4 \varphi_{y_1} - 4Q_b \varepsilon^3 \varphi_{y_1} - 2y_1 (Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^3 \varphi_{y_1} + 2(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^3 (y_1 \varphi_{y_1} - \varphi) \right\}$$

**Remark.** In fact, we isolate in the  $R_{Vir}(\varepsilon)$  with the terms that are small, namely of order  $O(b)$  or have terms of higher powers  $\varepsilon^3, \varepsilon^4$ .

We mention a lemma for a Virial-type estimate coming from the coercivity of the operator  $-2y_1 \partial_{y_1} L$ .

**Lemma 17.** Let  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . There exist  $\mu > 0$  and  $B_0 > 0$  such that if  $B \geq B_0$ , then

$$\int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (3v_{y_1}^2 + v_{y_2}^2 + v^2 - 3Q^2 v^2 + 6y_1 Q Q_{y_1} v^2) \geq \mu \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (v_{y_1}^2 + v_{y_2}^2 + v^2) - \frac{1}{B} \int \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}}. \quad (45)$$

The proof of the lemma is contained in Appendix C (11).

From this, we get that there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$-\frac{1}{B} \left\{ \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} 3\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 3Q^2 \varepsilon^2 + 6y_1 Q Q_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right\} \leq \\ -\frac{\mu}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) \varphi_{y_1} + \frac{\mu}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\varphi_{y_1} - 1) + \frac{1}{B^2} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1}. \quad (46)$$

We observe that, by taking  $\tilde{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{100}$  and using that  $\varphi_{y_1} > \frac{1}{2}$

$$\frac{\mu}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) |\varphi_{y_1} - 1| \leq \frac{\mu}{B} \tilde{\varepsilon} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) \varphi_{y_1} \\ \leq \frac{\mu}{100} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}$$

Now we move to bound  $R_{Vir}(\varepsilon)$ . Since  $|Q_b^2 - Q^2| \lesssim |b|$ , then

$$\left| \frac{3}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (Q_b^2 - Q^2) \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1} \right| \lesssim |b| \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}.$$

Since  $|y_1(Q_b^2 - Q^2)_{y_1}| = |by_1 \chi_b P_{y_1} + 2b^2 \chi_b^2 y_1 P P_{y_1}|$  and  $|P_{y_1}| \lesssim e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}}$ , then

$$\left| \frac{3}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} y_1 (Q_b^2 - Q^2)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1} \right| \lesssim |b| \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}.$$

Since  $|4Q_b + 2y_1(Q_b)_{y_1}| \lesssim 1$  and from the Sobolev Lemma (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (4Q_b + 2y_1(Q_b)_{y_1}) \varepsilon^3 \varphi_{y_1} \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}, \\ \frac{3}{2B} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^4 \varphi_{y_1} &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we consider  $\tilde{\varepsilon} \ll \frac{\mu}{100}$  with  $\mu$  from Lemma (17) and we use  $\varphi_{y_1} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1 y_1 y_1} &\lesssim \tilde{\varepsilon} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1} \leq \frac{\mu}{100} \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}, \\ \int \int |(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^3 (y_1 \varphi_{y_1} - \varphi)| &\lesssim \tilde{\varepsilon} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1} \leq \frac{\mu}{100} \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}, \\ \int \int \left| \left( \frac{Q_b}{2} \right)_{y_1}^2 \varepsilon^2 (\varphi - y_1 \varphi_{y_1}) \right| &\lesssim \tilde{\varepsilon} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{y_1} \leq \frac{\mu}{100} \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting all estimates together we get

$$R_{Vir}(\varepsilon) \leq C \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + [C(\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + |b|) + \frac{\mu}{100}] \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1},$$

and therefore

$$f_{1,1}^{\sim} \leq -\frac{\mu}{2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}.$$

Now, putting together all estimates for  $f_{1,1}^<, f_{1,1}^{\sim}, f_{1,1}^>$  we get that

$$f_{1,1} \leq -\frac{\mu}{4} \int \int [(\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2) (\psi_B)_{y_1} + (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}]. \quad (47)$$

### Step 2: Estimating $f_{1,2}$

For this part, we will use cancellation to get rid of the large linear terms  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}, \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}$  that could pose problems for the estimates, first by utilizing the properties of the operator  $L$  and the orthogonality property of the modulated error. We rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,2} &= 2 \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int \Lambda Q_b \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right) \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int \Lambda Q \left( -(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} + L\varepsilon + \Delta \varepsilon (1 - \psi_B) - \varepsilon (1 - \phi_{i,B}) - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] + 3Q^2 \varepsilon \right) \\ &\quad + 2b \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int \Lambda (\chi_b P) \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right) \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int \Lambda Q \left( -(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} + \Delta \varepsilon (1 - \psi_B) - \varepsilon (1 - \phi_{i,B}) - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] + 3Q^2 \varepsilon \right) \\ &\quad + 2b \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int \Lambda (\chi_b P) \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - \psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\int \int \Lambda Q L \varepsilon = \int \int L \Lambda Q \varepsilon = -2 \int \int Q \varepsilon = 0$ .

*Estimates for the term*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int \Lambda Q \left( -(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} + \Delta \varepsilon (1 - \psi_B) - \varepsilon (1 - \phi_{i,B}) - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] + 3Q^2 \varepsilon \right) \\ &= \int \int \left\{ -(\Lambda Q)_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon + \Delta (\Lambda Q) (1 - \psi_B) \varepsilon - \Lambda Q (\varepsilon (1 - \phi_{i,B}) - (1 - \psi_B) [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]) \right\} \\ &+ \int \int \Lambda Q [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon] + 3 \int \int \Lambda Q (Q_b^2 - Q^2) \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since  $1 - \psi_B = (\psi_B)_{y_1} = 0$  on  $y_1 > -\frac{B}{2}$  and also  $|(\Lambda Q)_{y_1}|, |\Delta(\Lambda Q)| \leq e^{-\frac{|y_1|+|y_2|}{2}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\Lambda Q)_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon \right| &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} [(\Lambda Q)_{y_1}]^2 \frac{[(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} e^{-\frac{B}{4}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \left| \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \Delta(\Lambda Q) (1 - \psi_B) \varepsilon \right| &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} [\Delta(\Lambda Q)]^2 \frac{(1 - \psi_B)^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{B}{4}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

For the next term, by the orthogonality condition  $(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1) \Lambda Q) = 0$ , we have

$$\int \int \Lambda Q (1 - \phi_{i,B}) \varepsilon = \int \int \Lambda Q \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon$$

we split again into regions  $\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}, \{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}, \{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \Lambda Q \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon \right| \leq \\ & \leq \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\Lambda Q)^2 \frac{\left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right)^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} e^{-\frac{B}{4}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \left| \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \Lambda Q \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon \right| &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} (\Lambda Q)^2 \frac{\left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right)^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{B^i}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} y_1^{i+1} e^{-|y_2|-|y_1|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{B}{4}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$



For the region that is problematic, we use the specific construction of the weight  $\varphi_{i,B}$  in order to get

$$\int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \Lambda Q \left(1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B}\right) \varepsilon = 0.$$

For the nonlinear term, we have

$$\left| \int \int \Lambda Q (1 - \psi_B) [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right| \leq \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \Lambda Q (1 - \psi_B) |\varepsilon|^3 + \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \Lambda Q (1 - \psi_B) |\varepsilon| Q_b^2$$

Since  $|\Lambda Q (1 - \psi_B)| \leq B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}$  for  $y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \Lambda Q (1 - \psi_B) |\varepsilon|^3 &\leq \int \int |\varepsilon|^3 B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \leq B \int \int |\varepsilon|^3 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ &\leq B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\psi_B \leq B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}$  for all  $y_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} |\Lambda Q| (1 - \psi_B) |\varepsilon| Q_b^2 &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} (\Lambda Q)^2 Q_b^4 \frac{(1 - \psi_B)^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{B}{4}} (e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|)^2 \sqrt{B} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int \int \Lambda Q [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon] \right| \lesssim \int \int |\Lambda Q| |\varepsilon|^3 + \int \int |\Lambda Q Q_b| \varepsilon^2$$

Since  $|\Lambda Q| \lesssim B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}$  and  $|Q_b| \lesssim 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int |\Lambda Q| |\varepsilon|^3 &\leq \int \int |\varepsilon|^3 B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \leq B \int \int |\varepsilon|^3 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ &\leq B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ \int \int |\Lambda Q Q_b| \varepsilon^2 &\lesssim B \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ \left| \int \int \Lambda Q (Q_b^2 - Q^2) \varepsilon \right| &\lesssim |b| \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \frac{[\Lambda Q]^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim |b| \sqrt{B} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** We see that if we have let to estimate the term  $\int \int \Lambda Q \varepsilon (1 - \phi_{i,B})$  as it is, we would have gotten

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \Lambda Q (1 - \phi_{i,B}) \varepsilon \right| &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (\Lambda Q)^2 \frac{(1 - \phi_{i,B})^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \Lambda Q (1 - \phi_{i,B}) \varepsilon \right| &\lesssim (B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + (B\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + o\left( \int \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right) + b^4
\end{aligned}$$

which is much bigger than what we want on the right hand side,

$$\frac{1}{100B} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + b^4$$

Hence, by using the orthogonality condition  $(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = 0$  we have offset this loss of the estimate, by making

$$\int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} \Lambda Q \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon = 0.$$

Hence we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int \Lambda Q \left( -(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} + \Delta \varepsilon (1 - \psi_B) - \varepsilon (1 - \phi_{i,B}) - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] + 3Q^2 \varepsilon \right) \right| \\
&\leq (B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2) \left[ \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{B} (e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + |b|) + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right] \\
&\lesssim B (e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + |b|) \mathcal{N}_{i,loc} + B^{\frac{1}{2}} b^2 (e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + |b|) \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + o\left( \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right) \\
&\leq Cb^4 + \frac{\mu}{100B} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \leq \frac{\mu}{100B} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimates for the term

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int \Lambda(\chi_b P) \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - \psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right) \\
&= \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \int \{ -\Delta[\Lambda(\chi_b P)] \psi_B \varepsilon - [\Lambda(\chi_b P)]_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon + \Lambda(\chi_b P) \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \Lambda(\chi_b P) \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \}
\end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$|\Lambda(\chi_b P)|, |\Delta[\Lambda(\chi_b P)]|, |[\Lambda(\chi_b P)]_{y_1}| \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } y_1 \in (-\infty, -\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}] \\ \leq (1 + |y_2|) e^{-|y_2|} & \text{if } y_1 \in [-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] \\ \leq (1 + |y_2|) e^{-|y_2|} & \text{if } y_1 \in [-\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}, 0] \\ \leq (1 + |y_1| + |y_2|) e^{-|y_1| - |y_2|} & \text{if } y_1 \in [0, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

We proceed with the computations for the first term of the above and we do it the same for the others

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{B}{2}]} |\Delta\Lambda(\chi_b P)| \psi_B |\varepsilon| &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{B}{2}]} [\Delta\Lambda(\chi_b P)]^2 \frac{\psi_B^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{B}{2}]} (1 + |y_2|)^2 e^{-2|y_2|} B e^{\frac{3y_1}{B}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq B \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int_{[-\frac{B}{2}, 0]} |\Delta\Lambda(\chi_b P)| \psi_B |\varepsilon| &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[-\frac{B}{2}, 0]} [\Delta\Lambda(\chi_b P)]^2 \frac{\psi_B^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[-\frac{B}{2}, 0]} (1 + |y_2|)^2 e^{-2|y_2|} B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq B \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int_{[0, \frac{B}{2}]} |\Delta\Lambda(\chi_b P)| \psi_B |\varepsilon| &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[0, \frac{B}{2}]} [\Delta\Lambda(\chi_b P)]^2 \frac{\psi_B^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[0, \frac{B}{2}]} (1 + |y_1| + |y_2|)^2 e^{-2|y_1| - 2|y_2|} B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{B} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int_{[\frac{B}{2}, \infty)} |\Delta\Lambda(\chi_b P)| \psi_B |\varepsilon| &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[\frac{B}{2}, \infty)} [\Delta\Lambda(\chi_b P)]^2 \frac{\psi_B^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[\frac{B}{2}, \infty)} (1 + |y_1| + |y_2|)^2 e^{-2|y_1| - 2|y_2|} B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{B} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\left| \int \int \Delta\Lambda(\chi_b P) \psi_B \varepsilon \right| \leq B \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Also, by the same computations,

$$\left| \int \int [\Lambda(\chi_b P)]_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon \right| \leq B \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\left| \int \int \Lambda(\chi_b P) \phi_{i,B} \varepsilon \right| \leq B \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

By the previous estimates,  $|\Lambda(\chi_b P)\psi_B| \leq B(\psi_B)_{y_1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int |\Lambda(\chi_b P)|\psi_B|\varepsilon|^3 &\leq B \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^3 \leq B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ \int \int |\Lambda(\chi_b P)|\psi_B|Q_b^2 \varepsilon| &\leq \|Q_b^2\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int \int [\Lambda(\chi_b P)]^2 \frac{\psi_B^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq B \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \int \int |\Lambda(\chi_b P)|\psi_B|(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3| &\leq B \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} |\varepsilon|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) b \int \int \Lambda(\chi_b P) \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - \psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right) \right| \\ &\leq (B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2) |b| \left[ \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right] \\ &\lesssim B |b|^3 \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + o \left( \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mu}{100B} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the term is bounded by

$$|f_{1,2}| \leq \frac{\mu}{100B} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4. \quad (48)$$

### Step 3. Estimates for the term $f_{1,3}$

For this part we will use the cancellation of the linear terms of  $\varepsilon$  in the same fashion as we did for  $f_{1,2}$ . Recall that

$$f_{1,3} = 2 \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_1} \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right)$$

We use the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \int \psi_B(Q_b)_{y_1} [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^p - Q_b^p - pQ_b^{p-1}\varepsilon] + \int \int \psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1} [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^p - Q_b^p] \\ &= \frac{1}{p+1} \int \int \psi_B \partial_{y_1} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^{p+1} - Q_b^{p+1} - (p+1)Q_b^p \varepsilon] \\ &= -\frac{1}{p+1} \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^{p+1} - Q_b^{p+1} - (p+1)Q_b^p \varepsilon] \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,3} &= 2 \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{4} \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon] \\
&+ 2 \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \int (b\chi_b P + \varepsilon)_{y_1} \left( -(\psi \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} \right) \\
&+ 2 \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \int Q_{y_1} \left( L\varepsilon - (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} + (1 - \psi_B) \Delta \varepsilon - \varepsilon (1 - \phi_{i,B}) \right) \\
&+ 2 \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \int \varepsilon \psi_B \partial_{y_1} [Q_b^3 - Q^3]
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int \int Q_{y_1} L\varepsilon = 0$ ,  $|(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon| \lesssim \varepsilon^4 + Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2$ ,  $(\psi_B)_{y_1} \leq (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}$  and  $(\psi_B)_{y_1} = 0$  on  $y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}$  the term

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_1} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon] \right| \leq \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^4 + \int \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{B}{2}]} (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \\
&\leq \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + (e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + |b|)^2 \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2, \\
&\left| \int \int (b\chi_b P)_{y_1} \left( -(\psi \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} \right) \right| \leq |b|^{1+\gamma} B \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
&\left| \int \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{B}{2}]} Q_{y_1} [(1 - \psi_B) \varepsilon_{y_1}]_{y_1} \right| = \left| \int \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{B}{2}]} Q_{y_1 y_1 y_1} \varepsilon (1 - \psi_B) - \int \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{B}{2}]} Q_{y_1 y_1} \varepsilon (\psi_B)_{y_1} \right| \\
&\leq \sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{B}{4}} \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
&\left| \int \int Q_{y_1} [(1 - \psi_B) \varepsilon_{y_2}]_{y_2} \right| = \left| \int \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{B}{2}]} Q_{y_1 y_2 y_2} \varepsilon (1 - \psi_B) \right| \\
&\leq \sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{B}{4}} \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the next term, by the orthogonality condition  $(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}) = 0$ , we have

$$\int \int Q_{y_1} (1 - \phi_{i,B}) \varepsilon = \int \int Q_{y_1} \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon$$

we split again into regions  $\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}$ ,  $\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}$ ,  $\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}$

$$\left| \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_1} \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon \right| \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| < -\frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_1}^2 \frac{(1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B})^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{3B}{8}} \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
&\int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_1} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B}\right) \varepsilon = 0, \\
\left| \int \int_{\{|y_1| > \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_1} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B}\right) \varepsilon \right| &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| > \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_1}^2 \frac{(1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B})^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{B^i}} \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| > \frac{B}{2}\}} y_1^{i+1} e^{-|y_2| - \frac{3|y_1|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{3B}{8}} \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where again we use the specific construction of the weight  $\varphi_{i,B}$  in dealing with the region  $\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}$ .

**Remark.** We see that if we have let to estimate the term  $\int \int \Lambda Q \varepsilon (1 - \phi_{i,B})$  as it is, we would have gotten

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_1} (1 - \phi_{i,B}) \varepsilon \right| &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_1}^2 \frac{(1 - \phi_{i,B})^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_1} (1 - \phi_{i,B}) \varepsilon \right| &\lesssim (B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + b^4 + o\left( \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

which is much bigger than what we want on the right hand side,

$$\frac{1}{100B} \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + b^4.$$

Hence, by using the orthogonality condition  $(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_1}) = 0$  we have offset this loss of the estimate, by making

$$\int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_1} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B}\right) \varepsilon = 0.$$

Coming back to estimating the terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1} \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} \right) = \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} \int \int [(\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2)(\psi_B)_{y_1} + \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}] \end{aligned}$$

and since  $|\partial_{y_1}[Q_b^3 - Q^3]| \leq |b|$ ,

$$\left| \int \int \varepsilon \psi_B \partial_{y_1}[Q_b^3 - Q^3] \right| \leq B|b| \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Therefore, as  $|\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1| \leq B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,3} & \leq (B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2) \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ & \quad + (B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2)(\sqrt{B}e^{-\frac{B}{2}} + B|b|^{1+\gamma}) \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{\mu}{100B} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

#### Step 4. Estimates for the term $f_{1,4}$

Similarly as for  $f_{1,2}, f_{1,3}$ , we use again cancellations to deal with the large terms. Recall that

$$f_{1,4} = 2 \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \int \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_2} \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right)$$

We use the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int \psi_B(Q_b)_{y_2} [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^p - Q_b^p - pQ_b^{p-1}\varepsilon] + \int \int \psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2} [(\varepsilon + Q_b)^p - Q_b^p] \\ & = \frac{1}{p+1} \int \int \psi_B \partial_{y_2} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^{p+1} - Q_b^{p+1} - (p+1)Q_b^p \varepsilon] \\ & = -\frac{1}{p+1} \int \int (\psi_B)_{y_2} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^{p+1} - Q_b^{p+1} - (p+1)Q_b^p \varepsilon] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

hence, using  $\int \int Q_{y_2} L\varepsilon = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,4} & = 2 \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \int \int (b\chi_b P + \varepsilon)_{y_2} \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} \right) \\ & \quad + 2 \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \int \int Q_{y_2} \left( -(\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1} + (1 - \psi_B) \Delta \varepsilon - \varepsilon(1 - \phi_{i,B}) \right) \\ & \quad + 2 \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \psi_B \partial_{y_2} [Q_b^3 - Q^3], \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int \int (b\chi_b P_{y_2}) [-(\psi \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B}] \right| \leq |b|^{1+\gamma} B \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int Q_{y_2} [(1 - \psi_B) \varepsilon_{y_1}]_{y_1} \right| &= \left| \int \int Q_{y_1 y_1 y_2} \varepsilon (1 - \psi_B) - \int \int Q_{y_1 y_2} \varepsilon (\psi_B)_{y_1} \right| \\ &\leq (\sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{B}{4}} + \frac{1}{B})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int \int Q_{y_2} [(1 - \psi_B) \varepsilon_{y_2}]_{y_2} \right| = \left| \int \int Q_{y_2 y_2 y_2} \varepsilon (1 - \psi_B) \right| \leq (\sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{B}{4}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

For the next term, by the orthogonality condition  $(\varepsilon, \varphi(y_1) Q_{y_2}) = 0$ , we have

$$\int \int Q_{y_2} (1 - \phi_{i,B}) \varepsilon = \int \int Q_{y_2} \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon$$

we split again into regions  $\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}, \{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}, \{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_2} \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon \right| &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_2}^2 \frac{(1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B})^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{3B}{8}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\int \int_{\{|y_1| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_2} \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_2} \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B} \right) \varepsilon \right| &\leq \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} Q_{y_2}^2 \frac{(1 + \frac{\varphi(y_1)}{B} - \phi_{i,B})^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{B^i}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} y_1^{i+1} e^{-|y_2| - \frac{3|y_1|}{4}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{B} e^{-\frac{3B}{8}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}\}} \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

using the same cancellation as for  $f_{1,2}, f_{1,3}$ . By integration by parts, we get

$$\int \int \varepsilon_{y_2} \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \int [(\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) (\psi_B)_{y_2} + \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_2}] = 0$$

and since  $|\partial_{y_2} [Q_b^3 - Q^3]| \leq |b|$ ,

$$\left| \int \int \varepsilon \psi_B \partial_{y_2} [Q_b^3 - Q^3] \right| \leq B |b| \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Therefore, as  $|\frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}| \leq B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i(s) + b^2$ ,

$$f_{1,4} \leq [B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2] (B^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{B}{8}} + \frac{1}{B^2} + B |b|) \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$



hence

$$f_{1,4} \leq \frac{\mu}{100B} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4. \quad (50)$$

**Step 5. Estimates for the term**

$$f_{1,5} = -2b_s \int \int (\chi_b + \gamma y_1(\chi_b)_{y_1}) P \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right)$$

Denote  $\zeta_b = \chi_b + y_1 \gamma (\chi_b)_{y_1}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int (\zeta_b)_{y_1 y_1} P \psi_B \varepsilon \right| &= \left| \int \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] } (\zeta_b)_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B \varepsilon \right| \\ &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] } \frac{[(\zeta_b)_{y_1 y_1}]^2 \psi_B^2 P^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq |b|^\gamma \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int \zeta_b P_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B \varepsilon \right| &= \left| \int \int \zeta_b \psi_B P_{y_1 y_1} \varepsilon \right| \\ &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \frac{\zeta_b^2 \psi_B^2 P_{y_1 y_1}^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int (\zeta_b)_{y_1} P_{y_1} \psi_B \varepsilon \right| &= \left| \int \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] } (\zeta_b)_{y_1} \psi_B P_{y_1} \varepsilon \right| \\ &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] } \frac{[(\zeta_b)_{y_1}]^2 \psi_B^2 P_{y_1}^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq |b|^\gamma \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int (\zeta_b)_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} P \varepsilon \right| &= \left| \int \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] } (\zeta_b)_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} P \varepsilon \right| \\ &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{[-\frac{2}{|b|^\gamma}, -\frac{1}{|b|^\gamma}] } \frac{[(\zeta_b)_{y_1}]^2 [(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2 P^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq |b|^\gamma \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int \int \zeta_b(\psi_B)_{y_1} P_{y_1} \varepsilon \right| &= \left| \int \int \zeta_b(\psi_B)_{y_1} P_{y_1} \varepsilon \right| \\
&\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \frac{\zeta_b^2 [(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2 P^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\left| \int \int \zeta_b P \phi_{i,B} \varepsilon \right| &= \left| \int \int \zeta_b \phi_{i,B} \varepsilon \right| \\
&\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \frac{\zeta_b^2 \phi_{i,B}^2 P^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq B \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\left| \int \int \zeta_b P(-\psi_B) [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right| &\leq \int \int |\zeta_b P \psi_B| \varepsilon^3 + \int \int |\zeta_b P \psi_B Q_b^2| \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

and, since  $\zeta_b P$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int |\zeta_b P \psi_B| \varepsilon^3 &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} B \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\
\int \int |\zeta_b P \psi_B Q_b^2| \varepsilon &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \frac{\zeta_b^2 \psi_B^2 P^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

As  $|b_s| \leq BN_{1,loc} + BN_i + b^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,5} &\leq (BN_{1,loc} + BN_i + b^2) BN_{loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\mathcal{N}_{loc}(s) + b^2) B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{100B} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

### Step 6. Estimates for the term

$$f_{1,6} = -2 \int \int \Psi_b \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right)$$

Using the estimates (21) for  $\Psi_b$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int \int (\Psi_b)_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B \varepsilon \right| &= \left| \int \int (\Psi_b)_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B \varepsilon \right| \\
&\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \frac{[(\Psi_b)_{y_1 y_1}]^2 \psi_B^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq b^2 B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int \int (\Psi_b)_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon \right| &= \left| \int \int (\Psi_b)_{y_1} (\psi_B)_{y_1} \varepsilon \right| \\
&\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \frac{[(\Psi_b)_{y_1}]^2 [(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq b^2 B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\left| \int \int (\Psi_b)_{y_2 y_2} \psi_B \varepsilon \right| &= \left| \int \int (\Psi_b)_{y_2 y_2} \psi_B \varepsilon \right| \\
&\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \frac{[(\Psi_b)_{y_2 y_2}]^2 \psi_B^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq b^2 B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\left| \int \int \Psi_b (-\psi_B) [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right| &\leq \int \int |\Psi_b| |\psi_B| |\varepsilon|^3 + \int \int |\Psi_b| |\psi_B| Q_b^2 |\varepsilon|,
\end{aligned}$$

and for each term,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \int |\Psi_b| |\psi_B| |\varepsilon|^3 &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} B \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}, \\
\int \int |\Psi_b| |\psi_B| Q_b^2 |\varepsilon| &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \frac{\Psi_b^2 \psi_B^2}{(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq b^2 B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,6} &\leq b^2 B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2 B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{100B} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

**Conclusion for the term  $f_1$**

Putting the estimates (47), (48), (49), (50), (51), (52) together, we have

$$f_1 \leq -\mu \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1 y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2 y_2}^2) (\psi_B)_{y_1} - \frac{\mu}{2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4. \tag{53}$$

## 6.2 The computations for $f_2$ :

We will now control the drift term that appears in the modulated flow. Recall

$$f_2 = 2 \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int \Lambda \varepsilon \left( -(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})_{y_1} - (\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})_{y_2} + \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} - \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right) - j \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \mathcal{F}_i + \frac{i-j}{i+j} \lambda^j \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B}}{\lambda^j} \right\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int \int \Lambda \varepsilon [-(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_1})]_{y_1} &= \int \int (2\psi_B - y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1}) \varepsilon_{y_1}^2, \\ 2 \int \int \Lambda \varepsilon [-(\psi_B \varepsilon_{y_2})]_{y_2} &= \int \int (2\psi_B - y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1}) \varepsilon_{y_2}^2, \\ 2 \int \int \Lambda \varepsilon \phi_{i,B} \varepsilon &= - \int \int y_1(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \Lambda \varepsilon \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^p - Q_b^p] &= \frac{1}{p+1} \int \int \left( \frac{p+3}{p-1} \psi_B - y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1} \right) [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^{p+1} - Q_b^{p+1} - (p+1)Q_b^p \varepsilon] \\ &\quad - \int \int \psi_B \Lambda Q_b [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^p - Q_b^p - pQ_b^{p-1} \varepsilon], \end{aligned}$$

thus, in our case for  $p = 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \int \int \Lambda \varepsilon \psi_B [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \int \left( 3\psi_B - y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1} \right) [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon] \\ &\quad + 2 \int \int \psi_B \Lambda Q_b [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int [(2-j)\psi_B - y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1}] (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int [j\phi_{i,B} - y_1(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}] \varepsilon^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int \frac{1}{2} [(3-j)\psi_B - y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1}] [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon] \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int 2\psi_B \Lambda Q_b [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon] + \frac{i-j}{i+j} \lambda^j \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B}}{\lambda^j} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|(i-j)\psi_B - y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1}| \leq B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}$  for  $i = 2, 3$ ,  $\|\Lambda Q_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^\infty}$ ,  $\|Q_b \Lambda Q_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^\infty} \leq C$  and that  $\psi_B = \phi_{i,B}^2$  for  $y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \int [(2-j)\psi_B - y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1}] (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) \right| \leq B \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}, \\ & \left| \int \int \frac{1}{2} [(3-j)\psi_B - y_1(\psi_B)_{y_1}] [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon] \right| \leq \int \int B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^4 + \int \int B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \\ & \leq B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + B \|Q_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^\infty}^2 \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}, \\ & \left| \int \int 2\psi_B \Lambda Q_b [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon] \right| \\ & \lesssim \|\Lambda Q_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^\infty} B \int \int |\varepsilon|^3 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \|Q_b \Lambda Q_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^\infty} B \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ & \lesssim B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + B \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$j\phi_{i,B} - y_1(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} = \begin{cases} (j + \frac{|y_1|}{B})e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{B}}, & \text{if } y_1 < -2B \\ j + (j-1)\frac{y_1}{B}, & \text{if } |y_1| < \frac{B}{2} \\ (j-i)\frac{y_1^i}{B^i}, & \text{if } y_1 > 2B \end{cases}$$

which yields the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_{\{y_1 < -2B\}} |j\phi_{i,B} - y_1(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}| \varepsilon^2 &\lesssim \int \int_{\{y_1 < -2B\}} \frac{|y_1|}{B} e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{B}} \varepsilon^2 \\ &\lesssim \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -2B\}} \frac{y_1^4}{B^4} e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{B}} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -2B\}} e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{B}} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -2B\}} B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\lesssim B^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\frac{y_1^2}{B^2} e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{B}} \leq C$  if  $y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_{\{|y_1| < 2B\}} |j\phi_{i,B} - y_1(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}| \varepsilon^2 &\lesssim \int \int_{\{|y_1| < 2B\}} \varepsilon^2 \\ &\lesssim \int \int_{\{|y_1| < 2B\}} B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \\ &\lesssim B \int \int (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{i-j}{i+j} \lambda^j \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B}}{\lambda^j} \right\} + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int_{\{y_1 > 2B\}} [j\phi_{i,B} - y_1(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}] \varepsilon^2 \\ &= \frac{i-j}{i+j} \lambda^j \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B}}{\lambda^j} \right\} + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} (i-j) \int \int_{\{y_1 > 2B\}} \frac{y_1^i}{B^i} \varepsilon^2 \\ &= \frac{i-j}{i+j} \left[ \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \right\} - j \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} + (i+j) \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \right] - (i-j) \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{2} \leq y_1 \leq 2B\}} \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \\ &= \frac{i-j}{i+j} \left[ \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \right\} + i \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \right] - (i-j) \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{2} \leq y_1 \leq 2B\}} \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \\ &= \frac{i-j}{i+j} \frac{1}{\lambda^i} \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \lambda^i \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \right\} - (i-j) \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{2} \leq y_1 \leq 2B\}} \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \end{aligned}$$

First, we see

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{2} \leq y_1 \leq 2B\}} \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| \int \int \varepsilon^2 B(\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1}.$$

For the other term, we have the following:

**Lemma 18.** *We have that*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^i} \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \lambda^i \int \int \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \right\} \lesssim b^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \mathcal{N}_{1,loc} + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{2} \leq y_1 \leq 2B\}} B(\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2. \quad (54)$$

*Proof.* We fix some  $B \geq 2\sqrt{2(i-1)(i-2)}$  and we proceed by differentiating

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon^2 \right\} = \int \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_s \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} = \\ & = \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon \left[ \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon + (-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon - (\varepsilon + Q_b)^3 + Q_b^3)_{y_1} + \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \Lambda Q_b + \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_2} + \Phi_b + \Psi_b \right] \end{aligned}$$

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon \left[ \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon + (-\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon)_{y_1} \right] = \\ & = -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \int \int y_1 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1 y_1 y_1} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{3}{2} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \\ & \leq -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \int \int y_1 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int \int [(\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1 y_1 y_1} - (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1}] \varepsilon^2 \\ & \leq -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \int \int y_1 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}} \frac{i}{2} y_1^{i-3} [2(i-1)(i-2) - y_1^2] \varepsilon^2 \\ & \leq -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \int \int y_1 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}} \frac{i}{2} y_1^{i-3} \left( \frac{B^2}{4} - y_1^2 \right) \varepsilon^2 \\ & \leq -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} \int \int y_1 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Also, using  $|\Lambda Q_b| \leq e^{-\frac{y_1}{2} - \frac{y_2}{2}}$  for  $y_1 > \frac{B}{2}$  and  $|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b| \lesssim b^2 + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon \Lambda Q_b \right| \lesssim (b^2 + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i}) \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}} y_1^{2i} (\Lambda Q_b)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim (b^2 + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i}) \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{B}{8}} \lesssim b^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_1} = \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon (Q_b)_{y_1} - \frac{1}{2} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2$$

and given  $|(Q_b)_{y_1}| \lesssim e^{-\frac{y_1}{2} - \frac{y_2}{2}}$  for  $y_1 > \frac{B}{2}$ ,

$$\left| \int \int_{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}} \frac{y_1^i}{B^i} \varepsilon (Q_b)_{y_1} \right| \leq \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}} y_1^{i+1} e^{-\frac{y_1}{2} - \frac{y_2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{B}{8}} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \delta(\nu^*) \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

so,  $|\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1| \lesssim b^2 + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i$  and  $|b|, \mathcal{N}_{1,loc} \leq \delta(\nu^*)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_1} \right| &\lesssim (b^2 + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i) (\delta(\nu^*) \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2) \\ &\lesssim b^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + \delta(\nu^*) \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the same reasoning above,

$$\left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_2} \right| = \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon (Q_b)_{y_2} \right| \leq \delta(\nu^*) \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and using that  $|\frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda}| \lesssim b^2 + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \right| \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon (Q_b + \varepsilon)_{y_2} \right| &\lesssim (b^2 + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i) \delta(\nu^*) \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim b^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Also, as  $|b_s| \lesssim b^2 + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon \Phi_b \right| &\lesssim |b_s| \left| \int \int_{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}} (\chi_B + \gamma y_1 (\chi_b)_{y_1}) P \frac{y_1^i}{B^i} \varepsilon \right| \\ &\lesssim |b_s| \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}} (\chi_B + \gamma y_1 (\chi_b)_{y_1})^2 P^2 \frac{y_1^{2i}}{B^{2i-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim (b^2 + B^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i) \mathcal{N}_{i,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{B}{8}} \lesssim b^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since, we have that  $|\Psi_b| \leq b^2 e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{2} - \frac{|y_2|}{2}}$  for  $y_1 > \frac{B}{2}$ ,

$$\left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon \Psi_b \right| \lesssim b^2 \left| \int \int_{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}} \frac{y_1^i}{B^i} \varepsilon e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{2} - \frac{|y_2|}{2}} \right| \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) b^2 \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim b^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}$$

Also, we have that,

$$\int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]_{y_1} = - \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] - \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon_{y_1} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]$$

Hence,

$$\left| \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon (\varepsilon^3 + 3Q_b \varepsilon^2 + 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon) \right| \lesssim \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^4 + Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon_{y_1} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right| \\ &= \left| - \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^4}{4} + Q_b \varepsilon^3 + \frac{3}{2} Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \right) - \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} (Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^3 - \frac{3}{2} \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} (Q_b^2)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right| \\ &\lesssim \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} (\varepsilon^4 + Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2) + \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} (Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^3 \right| + \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} (Q_b^2)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right| \end{aligned}$$

and by the Sobolev inequality and using that  $|Q_b|, |(Q_b)_{y_1}| \leq e^{-\frac{|y_1|}{2} - \frac{|y_2|}{2}}$  for  $y_1 > \frac{B}{2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^4 &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \int \int (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ \int \int_{y_1 > \frac{B}{2}} \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 &\lesssim e^{-\frac{B}{8}} \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} (Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^3 \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \int \int (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ \left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} (Q_b^2)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right| &\lesssim e^{-\frac{B}{8}} \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \end{aligned}$$

and summing all the estimates, we get

$$\left| \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon_{y_1} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3] \right| \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \int \int (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1}$$

Finally, using that  $y_1(\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} = i\tilde{\phi}_{i,B}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon^2 \right\} &\leq -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int y_1 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int \int (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i \\ &\quad + \delta(\nu^*) \int \int (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ &\leq -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} i \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{2} \leq y_1 \leq 2B\}} [i\tilde{\phi}_{i,B} - y_1 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1}] \varepsilon^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int \int (\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2) (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1} + Cb^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i \\ &\leq -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} i \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{2} \leq y_1 \leq 2B\}} [i\tilde{\phi}_{i,B} - y_1 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1}] \varepsilon^2 \\ &\quad + Cb^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i \end{aligned}$$



therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda^i} \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \lambda^i \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon^2 \right\} &\leq \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{2} \leq y_1 \leq 2B\}} [i \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} - y_1 (\tilde{\phi}_{i,B})_{y_1}] \varepsilon^2 + Cb^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i \\ &\leq C \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{2} \leq y_1 \leq 2B\}} B(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + Cb^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i. \end{aligned}$$

□

We get that, using the above Lemma (54) and that  $|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}| \lesssim |b| + o(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i) \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$  and that  $\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &\leq \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| B \int \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| \|Q_b\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| B \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| B^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| B \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \frac{i-j}{i+j} \frac{1}{\lambda^i} \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \lambda^i \int \int \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} \varepsilon^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \delta(\nu^*) \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + \delta(\nu^*) |b| \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + b^4 + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i \\ &\lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + b^4. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

### 6.3 The computations for $f_3$

Recall that

$$f_3 = -2 \int \int \psi_B(Q_b)_s [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon].$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} |(Q_b)_s| &= |b_s P(\chi(|b|^\gamma y_1) + \gamma |b|^\gamma y_1 \chi_{y_1}(|b|^\gamma))| \lesssim |b_s| \lesssim B \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2 \\ \left| \int \int \psi_B[(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon] \right| &\leq B \int \int |\varepsilon|^3 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + B \|Q_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^\infty} \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ &\lesssim B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + B \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} f_3 &\leq (B \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2) B \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} + (B \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_i + b^2) B \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{100B} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

## 6.4 Putting together all estimates:

By putting together all estimates for  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  from (53),(55),(56), we get that

$$\lambda^j \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i,j}}{\lambda^j} \right\} + \tilde{\mu} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \lesssim b^4$$

so

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i,j}}{\lambda^j} \right\} + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\lambda^j} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} \lesssim \frac{b^4}{\lambda^j}.$$

## 6.5 Coercivity of the functional $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ :

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int \left( (\varepsilon + Q_b)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon \right) \psi_B \right| &\lesssim \int \int \left( \varepsilon^4 + Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \right) \psi_B \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) \psi_B + \|Q_b\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) \psi_B + \|Q_b\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int \int \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,B} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_i(s) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{F}_{i,j} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_i.$$

For the lower bound, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{i,j} &= \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) \psi_B + \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,B} + \frac{i-j}{i+j} \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} - \frac{1}{2} \left( (\varepsilon + Q_b)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon \right) \psi_B \\ &= \int \int [(\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) \psi_B + \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,B} + \frac{i-j}{i+j} \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\phi}_{i,B} - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \psi_B] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int \int \left( (\varepsilon + Q_b)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon - 6Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \right) \psi_B - 3 \int \int (Q_b^2 - Q^2) \varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int \left( (\varepsilon + Q_b)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon - 6Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \right) \psi_B \right| &\lesssim \int \int \left( \varepsilon^4 + |Q_b| |\varepsilon|^3 \right) \psi_B \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) \psi_B + \|Q_b\|_{L^\infty} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) \psi_B \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int \int (Q_b^2 - Q^2) \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right| \lesssim |b| \int \int \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,B}.$$

So it is sufficient to prove that

$$\int \int [(\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2)\psi_B + \varepsilon^2(\phi_{i,B} + \frac{i-j}{i+j}\tilde{\phi}) - 3Q^2\varepsilon^2\psi_B] \geq \mu \int \int [(\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2)\psi_B + \varepsilon^2\phi_{i,B}]$$

Since  $\psi_B \leq \phi_B$  and  $i \geq j$ , it is sufficient to prove that

$$\int \int [(\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2) + \varepsilon^2 - 3Q^2\varepsilon^2]\psi_B \geq \mu \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)\psi_B$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} (L(\varepsilon\sqrt{\psi_B}), \varepsilon\sqrt{\psi_B}) &= \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 3Q^2\varepsilon^2)\psi_B + \varepsilon^2 \frac{[(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2}{4\psi_B} + \varepsilon\varepsilon_{y_1}(\psi_B)_{y_1} \\ &= \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 3Q^2\varepsilon^2)\psi_B - \int \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{2(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B - [(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2}{4\psi_B} \\ \|\varepsilon\sqrt{\psi_B}\|_{H^1}^2 &= \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2)\psi_B - \int \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{2(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B - [(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2}{4\psi_B} \end{aligned}$$

Also, using the orthogonalities for  $\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varepsilon\sqrt{\psi_B}, Q)| &= |(Q, (1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})\varepsilon)| = \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} |Q(1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})\varepsilon| \\ &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \frac{Q^2(1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})^2}{\psi_B} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{B}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ |(\varepsilon\sqrt{\psi_B}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1})| &= |(\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}, (1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})\varepsilon)| = \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} |\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}(1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})\varepsilon| \\ &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \frac{\varphi(y_1)^2 Q_{y_1}^2 (1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})^2}{\psi_B} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{B}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ |(\varepsilon\sqrt{\psi_B}, \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q)| &= |(\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q, (1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})\varepsilon)| = \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} |\varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q(1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})\varepsilon| \\ &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \frac{\varphi(y_1)^2 (\Lambda Q)^2 (1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})^2}{\psi_B} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{B}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ |(\varepsilon\sqrt{\psi_B}, \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2})| &= |(\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}, (1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})\varepsilon)| = \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} |\varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}(1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})\varepsilon| \\ &\leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 < -\frac{B}{2}\}} \frac{\varphi(y_1)^2 Q_{y_2}^2 (1 - \sqrt{\psi_B})^2}{\psi_B} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{B}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

From the coercivity of the operator  $L$  (7) we have

$$\int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 3Q^2\varepsilon^2)\psi_B - \int \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{2(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B - [(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2}{4\psi_B} \geq$$

$$\geq \delta_1 \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) \psi_B - \delta_1 \int \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{2(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B - [(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2}{4\psi_B} - 4 \frac{e^{-\frac{B}{2}}}{\delta_1} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 3Q^2 \varepsilon^2) \psi_B \geq \\ & \geq \delta_1 \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) \psi_B + (1 - \delta_1) \int \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{2(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B - [(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2}{4\psi_B} - 4 \frac{e^{-\frac{B}{2}}}{\delta_1} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_B \right) \\ & \geq (\delta_1 - 4 \frac{e^{-\frac{B}{2}}}{\delta_1}) \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) \psi_B \\ & \geq \frac{\delta_1}{2} \int \int (\varepsilon_{y_1}^2 + \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 + \varepsilon^2) \psi_B \end{aligned}$$

where we used that

$$\frac{2(\psi_B)_{y_1 y_1} \psi_B - [(\psi_B)_{y_1}]^2}{4\psi_B} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{B^2} \psi_B & \text{for } y_1 < -\frac{B}{2} \\ 0 & \text{for } y_1 \geq -\frac{B}{2} \end{cases}$$

and we choose  $B \geq 2 \log \left( \frac{8}{\delta_1^2} \right)$ . Hence, we get

$$\int \int [(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2) \psi_B + \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,B} - 3Q^2 \varepsilon^2 \psi_B] \geq \frac{\delta_1}{2} \int \int [(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2) \psi_B + \varepsilon^2 \phi_{i,B}]$$

so  $\mathcal{N}_i \lesssim \mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ .

## 7 Energy Estimates

In this section, we find the consequences of the monotonicity formulas to bound the  $\varepsilon$  energy-type quantities. We denote

$$s^{**} = \sup \{s : \forall s' < s, |b(s')| + \mathcal{N}_3(s') + \|\varepsilon(s')\|_{L^2} \leq \nu^*\}$$

with  $\nu^*$  from Proposition 1 and suppose that  $s^{**} > 0$ .

**Proposition 2.** *The following holds:*

*i) Dispersive bounds. For any  $i \geq 2$ , for all  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 < s^{**}$  we have that*

$$\mathcal{N}_i(s_2) + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds \lesssim \mathcal{N}_i(s_1) + |b^3(s_1)| + |b^3(s_2)|, \quad (57)$$

*and also, there exists  $\tilde{C} > 0$  independent of  $s_1, s_2$  such that for any  $0 \leq \alpha < 3 - \tilde{C}\nu^*$  and  $i \geq \max\{\alpha c, 2\}$ , for all  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 < s^{**}$  we have that*

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_i(s_2)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{N}_i(s_1)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} + \frac{|b^3(s_1)|}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} + \frac{|b^3(s_2)|}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)}. \quad (58)$$

ii) *Control of the scaling dynamics.* Let  $\tilde{\lambda}(s) = \lambda(s)(1 - J(s))$ , where  $J(s)$  is defined in Lemma 14. Then on  $[0, s^{**})$ ,

$$\left| \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}} + b \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{3,loc} + |b| \left( \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + |b| \right). \quad (59)$$

iii) *Control of the dynamics of  $b$ .* For  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 < s^{**}$ ,

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} b^2(s) ds \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(s_1) + |b(s_1)| + |b(s_2)|, \quad (60)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{b(s_2)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_2)} - \frac{b(s_1)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_1)} \right| \lesssim \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{b}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right\} \right| ds \lesssim \frac{b^2(s_2)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_2)} + \frac{b^2(s_1)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_1)} + \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(s_1)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_1)}. \quad (61)$$

*Proof. Proof of i)* We have that

$$cb^2 \leq -b_s + C_1 \mathcal{N}_{1,loc} + C_2 \nu^* \mathcal{N}_1.$$

By integrating the monotonicity formula and using the coercivity  $\mathcal{F}_{i,0}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_i(s_2) + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds &\lesssim \mathcal{F}_{i,0}(s_2) + \mu \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{F}_{i,0}(s_1) + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} b^4(s) ds \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_i(s_1) + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} b^4(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} b^4(s) ds &\leq - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} b^2 b_s ds + C_1 (\nu^*)^2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s) ds + C_2 (\nu^*)^3 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \mathcal{N}_1(s) ds \\ &\leq \left( \frac{b^3(s_2)}{3} - \frac{b^3(s_1)}{3} \right) + \delta (\nu^*) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \left( \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s) + \mathcal{N}_1(s) \right) ds \\ &\leq |b^3(s_2)| + |b^3(s_1)| + C_3 \nu^* \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two inequalities and taking  $\nu^*$  smaller than a universal constant, we get for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathcal{N}_i(s_2) + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s) (\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds \lesssim \mathcal{N}_i(s_1) + |b^3(s_2)| + |b^3(s_1)|.$$

For the second dispersive bound, by integrating the monotonicity formula and using the coercivity  $\mathcal{F}_{i,\alpha c}$ , we get, since  $i \geq \alpha c$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{N}_i(s_2)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i,\alpha c}(s_2)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} + \mu \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{F}_{i,\alpha c}(s_1)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^4(s)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} ds \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{N}_i(s_1)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^4(s)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Also, using that  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^4(s)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} ds &\leq - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^2 b_s}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} ds + C_1(\nu^*)^2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} ds + C_2(\nu^*)^3 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_1(s)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} ds \\ &\leq \left( \frac{b^3(s_1)}{3\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} - \frac{b^3(s_2)}{3\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} \right) - \frac{\alpha c}{3} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^3}{\lambda^{\alpha c}} \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + C_3(\nu^*)^2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s) + \mathcal{N}_1(s)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} ds \\ &\leq \left| \frac{b^3(s_2)}{3\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{b^3(s_1)}{3\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} \right| - \frac{\alpha c}{3} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^3}{\lambda^{\alpha c}} \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) + \frac{\alpha c}{3} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^4}{\lambda^{\alpha c}} ds \\ &\quad + C_3(\nu^*)^2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} \\ &\leq \left| \frac{b^3(s_2)}{3\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{b^3(s_1)}{3\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} \right| + \frac{\alpha c}{3} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{|b|^3}{\lambda^{\alpha c}} (b^2 + \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \nu^* \mathcal{N}_1) + \frac{\alpha c}{3} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^4}{\lambda^{\alpha c}} ds \\ &\quad + C_3(\nu^*)^2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} \\ &\leq \left| \frac{b^3(s_2)}{3\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{b^3(s_1)}{3\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} \right| + \left( \frac{\alpha c}{3} + C_3 \nu^* \right) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^4}{\lambda^{\alpha c}} ds \\ &\quad + C_6(\nu^*)^2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$c \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{3} - \frac{C_7 \nu^*}{c} \right) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^4(s)}{\lambda^c(s)} ds \lesssim \left| \frac{b^3(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{b^3(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} \right| + \delta(\nu^*) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds}{\lambda^c(s)},$$

therefore there exists  $\tilde{C} > 0$  such that if  $\alpha < 3 - \tilde{C} \nu^*$  then we get

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^4(s)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} ds \lesssim \left| \frac{b^3(s_2)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{b^3(s_1)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} \right| + \delta(\nu^*) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)},$$

and combined with the inequality above we get, for  $i \geq \max\{\alpha c, 2\}$ ,

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_i(s_2)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s)(\phi_{i,B})_{y_1} ds}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s)} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{N}_i(s_1)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} + \left| \frac{b^3(s_2)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{b^3(s_1)}{\lambda^{\alpha c}(s_1)} \right|.$$

**Remark.** It means that as  $\nu^* \rightarrow 0$ , then we can take  $\alpha$  as close to 3 as we want. For the rest of the paper we will need just  $\alpha = \frac{11}{4}$  for some fixed  $\nu^*$ .

*Proof of ii)* Using that  $|J| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$  and the differential equation for  $J$  from lemma 14 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}} + b \right| &= \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b - \frac{J_s}{1-J} + \frac{bJ}{1-J} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} J - (J)_s \right| \frac{1}{|1-J|} + \left| \frac{bJ}{1-J} \right| \\ &\lesssim b^2 + \mathcal{N}_{3,loc} + |b| \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim b^2 + \mathcal{N}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

*Proof of iii)* Since

$$cb^2 \leq -b_s + C\mathcal{N}_{1,loc} + \delta(\nu^*)\mathcal{N}_1$$

so, using 57

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} b^2 &\leq - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} b_s ds + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \mathcal{N}_{1,loc} ds + \delta(\nu^*) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \mathcal{N}_1 ds \\ &\lesssim |b(s_2)| + |b(s_1)| + (C + \delta(\nu^*)) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(\phi_{2,B})_{y_1} \\ &\lesssim |b(s_2)| + |b(s_1)| + C\mathcal{N}_2(s_1). \end{aligned}$$

For the second control of  $b$ , we have that  $|J(s)| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{3,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$ , hence  $\tilde{\lambda}(s) > 0$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\lambda(s) \leq \tilde{\lambda}(s) \leq 2\lambda(s)$ , and using (62) and (25)

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{b}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right\} \right| = \left| \frac{b_s + cb^2}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} - \left( \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}} + b \right) \frac{cb}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} (|b|^3 + \mathcal{N}_1 + |b|\mathcal{N}_{3,loc}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^c} (|b|^3 + \mathcal{N}_2). \quad (63)$$

Using that  $|(1 - J(s))^{\frac{c}{2}} - 1| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{3,loc}^{\frac{c}{2}}$ , this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{b(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} - \frac{b(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} \right| &\leq \left| \left[ \frac{b}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right]_{s_1}^{s_2} \right| + \left| \frac{b(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} [(1 - J(s_2))^{-c} - 1] \right| + \left| \frac{b(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} [(1 - J(s_1))^{-c} - 1] \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{1}{\lambda^c} (|b|^3 + \mathcal{N}_2) + \left| \frac{b(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} \right| \mathcal{N}_2(s_2)^{\frac{c}{2}} + \left| \frac{b(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} \right| \mathcal{N}_2(s_1)^{\frac{c}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
c \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{|b^3(s)|}{\lambda^c(s)} ds &\leq - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{bb_s \text{sign}(b)}{\lambda^c(s)} ds + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{1,loc}(s)}{\lambda^c(s)} ds + \delta(\nu^*) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_1(s)}{\lambda^c(s)} ds \\
&\leq - \left[ \frac{b^2(s) \text{sign}(b(s))}{2\lambda^c(s)} \right]_{s_1}^{s_2} - \frac{c}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^2 \text{sign}(b)}{\lambda^c} \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{2,loc}(s) + \delta(\nu^*) \mathcal{N}_2(s)}{\lambda^c(s)} ds \\
&\leq \left( \frac{b^2(s_1) \text{sign}(b(s_1))}{2\lambda^c(s_1)} - \frac{b^2(s_2) \text{sign}(b(s_2))}{2\lambda^c(s_2)} \right) - \frac{c}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^2 \text{sign}(b)}{\lambda^c} \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \\
&\quad + \delta(\nu^*) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_2(s)}{\lambda^c(s)} ds + \frac{\mathcal{N}_2(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} + \frac{|b^3(s_1)|}{\lambda^c(s_1)} + \frac{|b^3(s_2)|}{\lambda^c(s_2)} \\
&\leq \left| \frac{b^2(s_2)}{2\lambda^c(s_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{b^2(s_1)}{2\lambda^c(s_1)} \right| - \frac{c}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^2 \text{sign}(b)}{\lambda^c} \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) + \frac{c}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{b^3 \text{sign}(b)}{\lambda^c} ds \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} + \frac{|b^3(s_1)|}{\lambda^c(s_1)} + \frac{|b^3(s_2)|}{\lambda^c(s_2)} \\
&\leq \left| \frac{b^2(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{b^2(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} \right| + \frac{c}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{|b|^2}{\lambda^c} (b^2 + \mathcal{N}_{1,loc}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{c}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{|b|^3}{\lambda^c} ds \\
&\quad + \delta(\nu^*) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_2(s)}{\lambda^c(s)} ds \\
&\leq \left| \frac{b^2(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} \right| + \left| \frac{b^2(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} \right| + \left( \frac{c}{2} + \delta(\nu^*) \right) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{|b|^3}{\lambda^c} ds + \delta(\nu^*) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_2(s)}{\lambda^c(s)} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and taking  $\delta(\nu^*) < \frac{c}{3}$  it implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{|b^3(s)|}{\lambda^c(s)} ds &\lesssim \frac{b^2(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} + \frac{b^2(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} + \delta(\nu^*) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\mathcal{N}_2(s)}{\lambda^c(s)} ds \\
&\lesssim \frac{b^2(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} + \frac{b^2(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} + \delta(\nu^*) \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} + \frac{|b|^3(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} + \frac{|b|^3(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{b^2(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} + \frac{b^2(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} + \delta(\nu^*) \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

By (58) and (64) and  $\lambda(s) \sim \tilde{\lambda}(s)$  on  $[0, s^*]$ , we obtain for  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq s^{**}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{b(s_2)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_2)} - \frac{b(s_1)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_1)} \right| &\lesssim \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{b}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right\} \right| ds \lesssim \frac{b^2(s_2)}{\lambda^c(s_2)} + \frac{b^2(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} + \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(s_1)}{\lambda^c(s_1)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{b^2(s_2)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_2)} + \frac{b^2(s_1)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_1)} + \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(s_1)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□



## 8 Rigidity near the soliton

Let  $u_0 \in H^1$  with

$$u_0 = Q + \varepsilon_0, \|\varepsilon_0\|_{H^1} < \alpha_0, \int \int_{y_1 > 0} y_1^7 \varepsilon_0^2 < 1,$$

and let  $u(t)$  be the corresponding solution of the ZK equation on  $[0, T)$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$  be the  $L^2$  modulated tube around the soliton manifold:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*} = \left\{ u \in H^1 \text{ with } \inf_{\tilde{\lambda} > 0, x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| u - \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}} Q \left( \frac{\cdot - x_0}{\tilde{\lambda}}, \frac{\cdot - y_0}{\tilde{\lambda}} \right) \right\|_{L^2} < \alpha^* \right\}$$

and consider the set of initial data

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0} = \left\{ u_0 = Q + \varepsilon_0 \text{ with } \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} < \alpha_0 \text{ and } \int \int_{y_1 > 0} y_1^7 \varepsilon_0^2 < 1 \right\}.$$

Define the exit time:

$$t^* = \sup \{ 0 < t < T, \text{ such that } \forall t' \in [0, t], u(t') \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*} \}$$

which satisfies  $t^* > 0$  by assumption on the data.

We recall the a priori estimates

$$\text{(H1)} \quad |b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_3(t) + \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \nu^* \tag{65}$$

with  $\nu^*$  from Proposition 1.

**Theorem 8.1.** *There exist universal constants  $0 < \alpha_0^* \ll \alpha^* \ll \nu^*$  such that the following holds. Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_0^*$ , then  $u(t)$  satisfies the assumptions (H1) on  $[0, t^*)$ .*

*Then the following trichotomy holds:*

**(Asymptotic Stability)** *Suppose  $t^* = T = +\infty$ . We have that there exist  $\lambda_\infty$  satisfying  $|\lambda_\infty - 1| \leq \delta(\alpha_0)$ ,  $x_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_1(t) \in C^1$  such that*

$$u(t, \lambda_\infty \cdot + x_1(t), \lambda_\infty \cdot + x_\infty) \rightarrow Q \text{ in } H_{loc}^1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

*Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_6(t) &\rightarrow 0, b(t) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) &= \lambda_\infty, x_1(t) = \frac{t}{\lambda_\infty^2} (1 + o_{t \rightarrow T}(1)), \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_2(t) = x_\infty \in \mathbb{R} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

*Furthermore, there exists  $C^* > 0$  such that  $|b(t)| \lesssim C^* \mathcal{N}_3(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

**(Stable Blow Up)** *Suppose  $t^* = T < +\infty$ . There exists  $0 < l_0 < \delta(\alpha_0)$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \frac{\lambda(t)}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{3-c}}} = l_0, \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \frac{b(t)}{(T-t)^{\frac{c}{3-c}}} = \frac{l_0^3}{3-c},$$

$$x_1(t) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{l_0^2} \ln(T-t), & \text{if } c = 1, \\ \frac{1}{l_0^2} (T-t)^{\frac{1-c}{3-c}}, & \text{if } c \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} x_2(t) = x_\infty, \text{ for some } x_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$$

and there holds the bounds:

$$\|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \sim \lambda^{\frac{c}{2}}(t), \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0).$$

Here, we used  $0 < c < 2$ .

**(Exit of Tube)** Suppose  $t^* < T$ . We have

$$\inf_{\lambda_1 > 0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| u(t^*, \cdot, \cdot) - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} Q\left(\frac{\cdot - x_1}{\lambda_1}, \frac{\cdot - x_2}{\lambda_1}\right) \right\|_{L^2} = \alpha^*.$$

In addition,

$$\frac{(\alpha^*)^{\frac{4}{c}}}{\delta(\alpha_0)} \lesssim \lambda(t^*), \quad b(t^*) \lesssim -(\alpha^*)^4.$$

A continuity argument thus ensures that the cases (Exit) and (Blow Up) are open in  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$ . First, note that by the decomposition lemma,  $u$  admits a decomposition on  $[0, t^*]$ :

$$u(t, x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} (Q_{b(t)} + \varepsilon) \left( t, \frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right)$$

together with  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$  implies the estimates on the initial data:

$$\|\varepsilon(0)\|_{H^1} + |b(0)| + |1 - \lambda(0)| \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0), \quad \int \int_{y_1 > 0} y_1^7 \varepsilon^2(0) \leq 2. \quad (66)$$

In particular, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\mathcal{N}_i(0) \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq 6.$$

For  $\nu^*$  as in Proposition 1, define

$$t^{**} = \sup\{0 < t < t^* \text{ such that } u \text{ satisfies (H1) on } [0, t]\}.$$

Note that  $t^{**} > 0$  is well-defined from the initial data for  $\varepsilon(0), b(0), \lambda(0)$  and a continuity argument. Recall that  $s = s(t)$  is the rescaled time by  $\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3(t)}$  and we let  $s^{**} = s(t^{**})$  and  $s^* = s(t^*)$ . One important step of the proof is to obtain  $t^{**} = t^*$  by improving (H1) on  $[0, t^{**}]$ .

## 8.1 Bootstrap argument

In this section, we prove the propagation of the a priori estimates to the exit time of the modulated tube.

**Lemma 19.** *Using the notation above, we have  $t^{**} = t^*$ .*

*Proof.* For a solution close to  $Q$ , the decomposition of Lemma 13 says that if

$$\|\varepsilon_1(t)\|_{L^2} = \left\| u(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(t)} Q\left(\frac{\cdot - x_1(t)}{\lambda_1(t)}, \frac{\cdot - x_2(t)}{\lambda_1(t)}\right) \right\|_{L^2} < \mathcal{K} \leq \hat{\nu},$$

then we have for a decomposition of the type

$$\varepsilon(t, y_1, y_2) = \lambda(t)u(t, \lambda(t)y_1 + x_1(t), \lambda(t)y_2 + x_2(t)) - Q_{b(t)}(y_1, y_2)$$

with  $(\lambda(t), b(t), x_1(t), x_2(t))$  chosen to satisfy

$$(\varepsilon(t), Q) = (\varepsilon(t), \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_1}) = (\varepsilon(t), \varphi(y_1)\Lambda Q) = (\varepsilon(t), \varphi(y_1)Q_{y_2}) = 0$$

then we have that

$$\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} + |b(t)| \lesssim \delta(\mathcal{K}).$$

Now, since  $u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$  we can take  $\mathcal{K} = \alpha^*$  and by choosing  $\alpha^* \ll \nu^*$ , we get that  $|b(s)| \lesssim \delta(\alpha^*) \ll \nu^*$ . As  $\left| \int \int u_0^2 - \int \int Q^2 \right| \lesssim \alpha_0 \ll \nu^*$  by the choice of the initial data, then

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |b|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left| \int \int u_0^2 - \int \int Q^2 \right| \lesssim \delta(\alpha^*) + \alpha_0 \ll \nu^*$$

$$\mathcal{N}_6(s) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_6(0) + |b^3(0)| + |b^3(s)| \lesssim \delta(\alpha^*) \ll \nu^*.$$

By improving **(H1)** (65) on  $[0, t^{**}]$ , we get that  $t^{**} = t^*$  by a continuity argument.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *As  $|J(s)| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_6(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$  we get that  $\tilde{\lambda}(s) > 0$  for all  $s \leq s^*$ .*

Now, we discuss the cases  $t^* < T$  and  $t^* = T$ , the latter having two subcases as  $T < +\infty$  or  $T = +\infty$ .

## 8.2 The case $t^* = T$

We start by stating a Lemma that will be used throughout this analysis.

**Lemma 20.** *Suppose  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\int_0^\infty |f'(t)| dt < +\infty$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) \rightarrow l \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

We deal with the case  $t^* = T$ . By Lemma 19, we have that (65) holds up to time  $t^{**} = t^* = T$ . By a change of variables we have  $s^{**} = s^* = +\infty$ . By (61) for  $s_1 = 0$  and  $s_2 = s$  and using (65) we have

$$\frac{b(0)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(0)}(1 - b(0)) - \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(0)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(0)} \lesssim \frac{b(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)} \lesssim \frac{b(0)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(0)}(1 + b(0)) + \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(0)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(0)}.$$

In particular, it means  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|b(s)|}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)}$  is finite. By (61),

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \frac{b}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right\} \right| ds \lesssim \frac{b^2(0)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(0)} + \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(0)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(0)} + \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b^2(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)} < +\infty,$$

which by Lemma 20 we get that

$$\frac{b(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)} \rightarrow c_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ as } s \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We define  $s_c$  such that for all  $s \geq s_c$ , then

$$\left| \frac{b(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)} - c_0 \right| \leq \frac{|c_0|}{3}.$$

Equivalently, we call  $t_c$  such that  $s_c = s(t_c)$ .

*Claim.* In this case we have  $c_0 \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose, by contradiction, that  $c_0 < 0$ . By the definition of  $s_c$  we get that for all  $s \geq s_c$  we get  $b(s) < 0$ . From (59), we observe that for  $s \geq s_c$ ,

$$\frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}}(s) + b(s) \geq -Cb^2(s) - C\mathcal{N}_2(s), \text{ so } \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}}(s) \geq -b(s)(1 + Cb(s)) - C\mathcal{N}_2(s) \geq -C\mathcal{N}_2(s)$$

where we take  $\nu^*$  such that  $|Cb(s)| \leq C\nu^* \ll 1$ . Integrating in time the inequality and using (57), (65), we get for  $s_c \leq s_1 \leq s_2$ ,

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}} \geq -C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \mathcal{N}_2(s) ds \geq -\delta(\nu^*), \text{ thus } \log \left( \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(s_2)}{\tilde{\lambda}(s_1)} \right) \geq -\delta(\nu^*) \implies \tilde{\lambda}(s_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda}(s_1).$$

Using that  $\left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}(s)} - 1 \right| = |J(s)| \leq \mathcal{N}_2(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \delta(\nu^*)$  we get that

$$\lambda(s_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda(s_1) \text{ for } s_2 > s_1 \geq s_c. \quad (67)$$

We divide the (59) by  $\tilde{\lambda}^c$ ,

$$\left| \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}^{c+1}} + \frac{b}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right| \leq C \frac{b^2}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} + C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\tilde{\lambda}^c}$$

which together with  $\frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}^{c+1}} = \tilde{\lambda}^{2-c}(\tilde{\lambda})_t \frac{1}{(1-J(t))^3}$ , we get that

$$\left( -\frac{b}{\tilde{\lambda}^c}(1 + Cb) - C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right) (1 - J(t))^{3-c} \leq \tilde{\lambda}^{2-c}(\tilde{\lambda})_t \leq \left( -\frac{b}{\tilde{\lambda}^c}(1 + Cb) + C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right) (1 - J(t))^{3-c}.$$

From (65) we notice that  $\frac{99}{100} \leq 1 - J(t), 1 + Cb(t) \leq \frac{101}{100}$  and by definition of  $t_c$ , for  $t_c \leq t$  we have

$$\frac{3c_0}{2} \leq \frac{b(t)}{\lambda^c(t)} \leq \frac{c_0}{2} < 0. \quad (68)$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{|c_0|}{2} - C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c} \leq \tilde{\lambda}^{2-c}(\tilde{\lambda})_t \leq \frac{3|c_0|}{2} + C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c}$$

and by integrating in time we get

$$\frac{|c_0|}{2}(t - t_c) - C \int_{t_c}^t \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c} \leq \tilde{\lambda}^{3-c}(t) - \tilde{\lambda}^{3-c}(t_c) \leq \frac{3|c_0|}{2}(t - t_c) + C \int_{t_c}^t \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c}.$$

From (67) we get

$$\int_{t_c}^t \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c} = \int_{s_c}^s \lambda^{3-c} \mathcal{N}_2 \lesssim \lambda^{3-c}(s)(\mathcal{N}_3(s_c) + |b^3(s)| + |b^3(s_c)|) \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \lambda^{3-c}(t)$$

hence

$$\left( \frac{|c_0|}{2}(t - t_c) + \tilde{\lambda}^{3-c}(t_c) \right)^{\frac{c}{3-c}} \leq \lambda^c(t) \leq \left( \frac{3|c_0|}{2}(t - t_c) + \tilde{\lambda}^{3-c}(t_c) \right)^{\frac{c}{3-c}}.$$

Together with (68) we have

$$-\frac{3|c_0|}{2} \left( \frac{3|c_0|}{2}(t - t_c) + \tilde{\lambda}^{3-c}(t_c) \right)^{\frac{c}{3-c}} \leq b(t) \leq -\frac{|c_0|}{2} \left( \frac{|c_0|}{2}(t - t_c) + \tilde{\lambda}^{3-c}(t_c) \right)^{\frac{c}{3-c}}$$

so  $|b(t)| \leq C(t)$ . From (40) we have that

$$\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C|b(t)|^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \left| \int \int u_0^2 - \int \int Q^2 \right| \lesssim \tilde{C}(t)$$

and by the energy conservation law (41), we have

$$\|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim b^2(t) + \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^3(t)|E_0| + |b(t)| |(P, Q)| \lesssim \tilde{C}(t)$$

therefore  $\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \lesssim \tilde{C}(t)$ .

Now,  $t^* = T < \infty$  cannot happen since  $\|u(t)\|_{H^1}$  exists beyond  $T$  in this case as the equation cannot admit type II blow up from the local well-posedness theory, contradiction with the definition of  $T$ . If  $t^* = T = +\infty$ , we get that  $b(t) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Nevertheless, since  $t^* = \infty$ , then  $u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ , for all  $t$ , which implies that  $|b(t)| \lesssim \delta(\alpha^*)$  for all  $t$ . This gives a contradiction.  $\square$

### 8.2.1 Blow Up - The case $c_0 > 0$ .

By the definition of  $s_c$  we get that for all  $s \geq s_c$  we get  $b(s) > 0$ . From (59), we observe that for  $s \geq s_c$ ,

$$\frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}}(s) + b(s) \leq Cb^2(s) + C\mathcal{N}_2(s), \text{ so } \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}}(s) \leq -b(s)(1 - Cb(s)) + C\mathcal{N}_2(s) \leq C\mathcal{N}_2(s)$$

where we take  $\nu^*$  such that  $|Cb(s)| \leq C\nu^* \ll 1$ . Integrating in time the inequality and using (57), (65), we get for  $s_c \leq s_1 \leq s_2$ ,

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}} \leq C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \mathcal{N}_2(s) ds \leq \delta(\nu^*), \text{ thus } \log \left( \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(s_2)}{\tilde{\lambda}(s_1)} \right) \leq \delta(\nu^*) \implies \tilde{\lambda}(s_2) \leq 2\tilde{\lambda}(s_1).$$

Using that  $\left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(s)}{\lambda(s)} - 1 \right| = |J(s)| \leq \mathcal{N}_2(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \delta(\nu^*)$  we get that

$$\lambda(s_2) \leq 2\lambda(s_1) \text{ for } s_c \leq s_1 \leq s_2. \quad (69)$$

We divide the (59) by  $\tilde{\lambda}^c$ ,

$$\left| \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}^{c+1}} + \frac{b}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} \right| \leq C \frac{b^2}{\tilde{\lambda}^c} + C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\tilde{\lambda}^c}$$

which together with  $\frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}^{c+1}} = \tilde{\lambda}^{2-c}(\tilde{\lambda})_t \frac{1}{(1-J(t))^3}$  and we get that

$$\left( \frac{b}{\lambda^c} (1 - Cb) - C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c} \right) (1 - J(t))^{3-c} \leq -\tilde{\lambda}^{2-c}(\tilde{\lambda})_t \leq \left( \frac{b}{\lambda^c} (1 + Cb) + C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c} \right) (1 - J(t))^{3-c}.$$

From (65) we notice that  $\frac{99}{100} \leq 1 - J(t)$ ,  $1 + Cb(t) \leq \frac{101}{100}$  and for  $t_c \leq t$  we have

$$0 < \frac{c_0}{2} \leq \frac{b(t)}{\lambda^c(t)} \leq \frac{3c_0}{2}. \quad (70)$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{c_0}{2} - C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c} \leq -\tilde{\lambda}^{2-c}(\tilde{\lambda})_t \leq \frac{3c_0}{2} + C \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c}$$

and by integrating in time we get

$$\frac{c_0}{2}(t - t_c) - C \int_{t_c}^t \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c} \leq \tilde{\lambda}^{3-c}(t_c) - \tilde{\lambda}^{3-c}(t) \leq \frac{3c_0}{2}(t - t_c) + C \int_{t_c}^t \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c}.$$

From (69) we get

$$\int_{t_c}^t \frac{\mathcal{N}_2}{\lambda^c} = \int_{s_c}^s \lambda^{3-c} \mathcal{N}_2 \lesssim \lambda^{3-c}(s_c) (\mathcal{N}_3(s_c) + |b^3(s)| + |b^3(s_c)|) \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \lambda^{3-c}(t_c)$$

hence

$$\lambda^{3-c}(t) \lesssim -\frac{c_0}{2}(t - t_c) + \tilde{\lambda}^{3-c}(t_c).$$

We get that if  $T = +\infty$  then  $t \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $\tilde{\lambda}(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ , contradiction with  $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$ . Thus  $T < +\infty$ . This means, by the Cauchy theory for the ZK equation that we have blow-up at  $T$ , which implies  $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ . Since  $b(t) \leq \frac{3c_0}{2} \lambda^c(t)$  for  $t \geq t_c$ , it implies  $b(t) \rightarrow 0$  as

$t \rightarrow T$ . Also, from the dispersive bound (58), we have for sufficiently small  $\nu^*$ , there exists some  $\eta := \eta(\nu^*) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , such that for  $s \geq s_c$  we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_6(s)}{\lambda^{(3-\eta)c(s)}} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{N}_6(s_c)}{\lambda^{(3-\eta)c(s_c)}} + \frac{b^3(s)}{\lambda^{(3-\eta)c(s)}} + \frac{b^3(s_c)}{\lambda^{(3-\eta)c(s_c)}} \lesssim 1 + \lambda^{\eta c}(s) + \lambda^{\eta c}(s_c) \lesssim 1 \quad (71)$$

so  $\mathcal{N}_6(s) \lesssim \lambda^{(3-\eta)c}(s)$  for  $s \geq s_c$ , hence by compactness we get

$$\mathcal{N}_6(s) \lesssim \lambda^{(3-\eta)c}(s) \text{ for all } s \geq 0. \quad (72)$$

This implies  $\mathcal{N}_6(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ . By the conservation of energy (41), we have

$$\|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |b(t)| + \lambda^3(t)|E_0| + \mathcal{N}_6(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow T.$$

We denote  $l_0 = c_0^{\frac{1}{3-c}} > 0$  and since  $|J(t)| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_3(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$ , we get that

$$\frac{b(t)}{\lambda^c(t)} \rightarrow l_0^{3-c} \text{ as } t \rightarrow T \text{ with } l_0 \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0).$$

By the  $\tilde{\lambda}$ -inequality (59) we get that

$$\left| \frac{(\tilde{\lambda})_s}{\tilde{\lambda}} + b \right| = \left| (\tilde{\lambda})_t (\tilde{\lambda})^{2-c} \frac{1}{(1-J(t))^{3-c}} + \frac{b}{\lambda^c} \right| \lambda^c$$

Using that  $|(1-J(t))^{3-c} - 1| \lesssim J(t)^{3-c}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (\tilde{\lambda})_t \tilde{\lambda}^{2-c} + \frac{b}{\lambda^c} \right| &\lesssim \left| (\tilde{\lambda})_t \tilde{\lambda}^{2-c} + \frac{b}{\lambda^c} (1-J(t))^{3-c} \right| + \frac{b}{\lambda^c} J(t)^{3-c} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{N}_2 + |b|^3}{\lambda^c} (1-J(t))^{3-c} + \frac{b}{\lambda^c} J(t)^{3-c} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{N}_2 + |b|^3 + |b| J(t)^{3-c}}{\lambda^c} \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \tilde{\lambda}(t) = 0$  and integrating the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{\lambda}(t)^{3-c} - \int_t^T \frac{b}{\lambda^c} \right| &\lesssim \int_t^T \frac{\mathcal{N}_2 + o(|b|)}{\lambda^c} dt \\ &\lesssim \int_s^\infty \lambda^{3-c}(s') \mathcal{N}_2(s') ds' + o(|T-t|) \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{3-c}(t) \int_s^\infty \mathcal{N}_2(s') ds' + o(|T-t|) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(t)^{3-c}}{T-t} - \frac{\int_t^T \frac{b}{\lambda^c}}{T-t} \right| \lesssim o(1) + o\left(\frac{\lambda^{3-c}(t)}{T-t}\right)$$

so

$$\frac{\int_t^T \frac{b}{\lambda^c}}{T-t} + o_{t \rightarrow T}(1) \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{3-c}(t)}{T-t} [(1 - J(t))^{3-c} + o_{t \rightarrow T}(1)] \lesssim \frac{\int_t^T \frac{b}{\lambda^c}}{T-t} + o_{t \rightarrow T}(1).$$

Taking  $t \rightarrow T$  and using that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \frac{b}{\lambda^c} = l_0^{3-c}$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} J(t) = 0$  we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \frac{\lambda^{3-c}(t)}{T-t} = l_0^{3-c} \implies \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \frac{\lambda(t)}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{3-c}}} = l_0 \quad (73)$$

which implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \frac{b(t)}{(T-t)^{\frac{c}{3-c}}} = l_0^3. \quad (74)$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2(s) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty$$

we get

$$(x_1)_t = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (1 + o_{t \rightarrow T}(1)) = \frac{1}{l_0^2 (T-t)^{\frac{2}{3-c}}} (1 + o_{t \rightarrow T}(1))$$

implying

$$x_1(t) = \begin{cases} (1 + o_{t \rightarrow T}(1)) \tilde{x}_\infty \text{ for some } \tilde{x}_\infty \in \mathbb{R}, & \text{if } c < 1, \\ -\frac{1}{l_0^2} \ln(T-t)(1 + o_{t \rightarrow T}(1)), & \text{if } c = 1, \\ \frac{1}{l_0^2} (T-t)^{\frac{1-c}{3-c}} (1 + o_{t \rightarrow T}(1)), & \text{if } c > 1, \end{cases} \quad (75)$$

From Lemma 14, the differential equation for  $x_2$  gives that

$$\int_0^\infty |(x_2 - \lambda \tilde{J})_s| ds \lesssim \int_0^\infty \lambda(s) (\mathcal{N}_2(s) + b^2(s)) ds < +\infty,$$

hence by Lemma 20,  $x_2(s) - \lambda(s) \tilde{J}(s)$  has a finite limit as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , and since  $\tilde{J}(s) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , we conclude that

$$x_2(t) \rightarrow x_\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow T. \quad (76)$$

In the  $s$  variable, we have that

$$s = \frac{3-c}{l_0^3} \frac{1}{(T-t)^{\frac{c}{3-c}}} (1 + o(1)), \quad b(s) = \frac{1}{cs} (1 + o(1)), \quad \lambda(s) = \frac{(\frac{3-c}{c} l_0^{3-c})^{\frac{1}{c}}}{s^{\frac{1}{c}}} (1 + o(1))$$

$$x_1(s) = \begin{cases} (1 + o(1)) \tilde{x}_\infty, & \text{if } c < 1, \\ (1 + o(1)) \frac{3-c}{c} l_0^{3-c} \ln s, & \text{if } c = 1, \\ (1 + o(1)) \frac{3-c}{c} l_0^{3-c} s^{\frac{1-c}{3-c}}, & \text{if } c > 1, \end{cases}$$

and  $x_2(s) \rightarrow x_\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . We show from (40) and (66) that

$$\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0) \quad (77)$$



and from the conservation of the energy (41) and (65) we have

$$\|\nabla\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \lambda^3(t)|E_0| + |b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2(t) \lesssim \lambda^c(t)\delta(\alpha_0).$$

Since from (72) we get

$$\lambda^c(t) \lesssim \lambda^c(t) - \lambda^3(t) - \lambda^{2c}(t) - \lambda^{\frac{11c}{4}}(t) \lesssim b(t) - \lambda^3(t) - b^2(t) - \mathcal{N}_2(t) \lesssim \|\nabla\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2$$

we conclude that

$$\|\nabla\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \sim \lambda^{\frac{c}{2}}(t) \text{ as } t \rightarrow T. \quad (78)$$

Finally, we conclude from (78) (on the right we use the variables  $y_1, y_2$ ),

$$\|\nabla u(t, x_1, x_2)\|_{L^2_{x_1 x_2}} = \frac{O(|b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}) + \|\nabla\varepsilon(t, y_1, y_2)\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} + \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}}{\lambda(t)}$$

therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (T-t)^{\frac{1}{3-c}} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} = \frac{\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}}{l_0(u_0)}.$$

### 8.2.2 Asymptotic Stability - The case $c_0 = 0$ .

From (61), we have for  $0 \leq s \leq s' < +\infty$ ,

$$\left| \frac{b(s')}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s')} - \frac{b(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)} \right| \lesssim \frac{b^2(s')}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s')} + \frac{b^2(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)} + \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)}.$$

Using that  $c_0 = 0$  and (65), therefore by letting  $s' \rightarrow +\infty$  we obtain that there exists  $C^* > 0$  such that

$$|b(s)| \leq C^* \mathcal{N}_3(s) \text{ for all } s \geq 0. \quad (79)$$

From (59), we have

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_s}{\tilde{\lambda}} \right| \lesssim |b(s)| + \mathcal{N}_2(s),$$

therefore, by (79) and (57), we obtain

$$\int_0^{s_1} \left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_s}{\tilde{\lambda}} \right| \lesssim \int_0^{s_1} |b(s)| + \mathcal{N}_2(s) \lesssim \int_0^{s_1} \mathcal{N}_3(s) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_4(0) + |b^3(0)| + |b^3(s)| \lesssim \delta(\nu^*).$$

Hence, from  $\log\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}(0)}\right) \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$  together with the fact that  $\left|\frac{\tilde{\lambda}(s)}{\tilde{\lambda}(s)} - 1\right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$  we get

$$\left| \frac{\lambda(s)}{\lambda(0)} - 1 \right| \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \text{ for all } s \geq 0.$$

The estimates (66) imply that

$$|\lambda(s) - 1| \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \text{ for all } s \geq 0. \quad (80)$$

From the conservation of mass (40) and the fact that  $\alpha_0 \ll \nu^*$ , we obtain

$$\|\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta(\nu^*) \text{ for all } s \geq 0. \quad (81)$$

From the conservation of energy (41)

$$\|\nabla \varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C|b(s)|^{\frac{3-\gamma}{2}} + 2|b(s)| |(P, Q)| + \lambda^3(s) E_0 + C\|\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2}^2 + (\|\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2}^2 + |b(s)|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}) \|\nabla \varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2}^2$$

and from (65), (80) and (81) we get that

$$\|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2E_0 + 1 \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Hence, by (80) and (65), we see that  $\|u(t)\|_{H^1}$  is bounded uniformly on  $[0, T]$ , therefore  $T = +\infty$ . By (79) and (57), we get

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_s}{\lambda} \right| \lesssim \int_0^{+\infty} |b(s)| + \mathcal{N}_2(s) \lesssim \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}_3(s) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_4(0) + |b^3(0)| + \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} |b^3(s)| < +\infty.$$

By Lemma 20, we obtain  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\lambda}(s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\lambda}(t) = \lambda_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$  and by (80),  $|\lambda_\infty - 1| \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$ .

Now, we claim that  $b(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . From (79) and (57),

$$\int_0^\infty |b_t| dt = \int_0^\infty |b_s| ds \lesssim \int_0^\infty (b^2 + \mathcal{N}_1) ds \lesssim \mathcal{N}_6(0) + |b^3(0)| + \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} |b^3(s)| \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$$

together with Lemma 20 implies that  $b(t) \rightarrow l$  and since  $\int_0^\infty b^2(t) dt < \infty$ , we get  $l = 0$ , thus

$$b(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (82)$$

Since (57) for  $i = 6$  and (65) yields

$$\int_0^\infty \int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(s)(\phi_{6,B})_{y_1} ds \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$$

there exists  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $\int \int (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)(t_n)(\phi_{6,B})_{y_1} \rightarrow 0$  and as

$$\int \int \varepsilon^2(t_n) \phi_{6,B} \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t_n)(\phi_{6,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_{\{y_1 > 0\}} y_1^7 \varepsilon^2(t_n) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t_n)(\phi_{6,B})_{y_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\int \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2(t_n) \psi_B \leq \int \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2(t_n)(\phi_{6,B})_{y_1} \rightarrow 0,$$

and hence putting them together we get  $\mathcal{N}_6(t_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Using this together with  $b(t) \rightarrow 0$  and (57) we have

$$\mathcal{N}_6(t) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_6(t_n) + |b^3(t_n)| + |b^3(t)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (83)$$

Now, since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\lambda}(t) = \lambda_\infty$  and from (83), we get  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda(t) = \lambda_\infty$ . From (25),

$$\left| \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) + b^2(s) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow +\infty$$

so

$$(x_1)_t = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} = \frac{1 + o(1)}{(\lambda_\infty)^2}$$

so  $x_1(t) = \frac{t}{(\lambda_\infty)^2} (1 + o(1))$ . From Lemma 14, the sharp modulation equation for  $x_2$  gives that

$$\int_0^\infty |(x_2 - \lambda \tilde{J})_s| ds \lesssim \int_0^\infty \lambda(s) (\mathcal{N}_2(s) + b^2(s)) ds < +\infty,$$

hence by Lemma 20,  $x_2(s) - \lambda(s) \tilde{J}(s)$  has a finite limit as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $\lambda(s) \tilde{J}(s) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , we conclude that  $x_2(t) \rightarrow x_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We get from (40) and (41), for all  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ ,

$$\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0), \quad \|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2(t) + \lambda^3(t) |E_0|. \quad (84)$$

We observe that we have asymptotic stability since

$$\|\lambda(t)u(t, \lambda(t) \cdot + x_1(t), \lambda(t) \cdot + x_2(t)) - Q\|_{H_{loc}^1} \lesssim \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H_{loc}^1} + |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Therefore, we have

$$\|\lambda_\infty u(t, \lambda_\infty \cdot + x_1(t), \lambda_\infty \cdot + x_\infty) - Q\|_{H_{loc}^1} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

### 8.3 Exit Case $t^* < T$

In this subsection, we deal with the situation that the solution exits the modulated tube  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$  before the maximal time of existence. By the definition of  $t^*$ , we have

$$(\alpha^*)^2 = \inf_{\lambda_1 > 0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| u(t^*, \cdot, \cdot) - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} Q \left( \frac{\cdot - x_1}{\lambda_1}, \frac{\cdot - x_2}{\lambda_1} \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |b(t^*)|^{1-\gamma} + \|\varepsilon(t^*)\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (85)$$

and from (40) and since  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$  we get that

$$(\alpha^*)^2 \lesssim |b(t^*)|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_0$$

and  $\alpha_0 \ll \alpha^*$  implies that

$$(\alpha^*)^4 \lesssim |b(t^*)|. \quad (86)$$

*Claim.* We have that  $b(t^*) < 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose, by contradiction, that  $b(t^*) \geq 0$ . Define

$$s_0^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b(s) > 0 \text{ for all } s \in [0, s^*], \\ \sup\{s \leq s_0^* : b(s) = 0\}, & \end{cases}$$

which implies  $b(s_0^*) = 0$  and  $b(s) \geq 0$  for  $s \in [s_0^*, s^*]$ . Using (61) with  $s_1 = s$  and  $s_2 = s_0^*$  we obtain there exists  $C^* > 0$  such that

$$|b(s)| \lesssim C^* \mathcal{N}_3(s) \text{ for all } s \in [0, s_0^*]. \quad (87)$$

By repeating the analysis of (80) using (87), we obtain  $|\lambda(s_0^*) - 1| \lesssim \delta(\nu^*)$ . We observe that (58) with  $s_1 = 0$  and  $s_2 = s \in [0, s^*]$  together with (66) imply

$$\frac{|b(s)|}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)} \lesssim \frac{|b(0)|}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(0)} + \frac{\mathcal{N}_3(0)}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(0)} \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0). \quad (88)$$

Using that  $b(s) \geq 0$  for  $s \in [s_0^*, s^*]$ , we can use the same analysis as in (69) to show that  $\tilde{\lambda}(s) \leq 2\lambda(s_0^*) \leq 3$  for all  $s \in [s_0^*, s^*]$ . From this and (88), we get  $|b(t^*)| = |b(s^*)| \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0)$ .

From (86) we get that  $\alpha^* \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0)$ , contradiction with the choice of  $\alpha_0 \ll \alpha^*$ .  $\square$

From the previous claim and (86), we obtain  $b(t^*) \lesssim -(\alpha^*)^4$ . Again, by (58), (66) and (65) we get that  $\frac{|b(s)|}{\tilde{\lambda}^c(s)} \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0)$ , which implies

$$\frac{(\alpha^*)^4}{\delta(\alpha_0)} \lesssim \lambda(t^*).$$

## 9 Stability of Blow-Up

Suppose that  $v_0 \in H^1$  and let  $v(t)$  is a solution through ZK flow with initial data  $v_0$  that blows-up as  $0 < T_v < +\infty$  and  $v(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$  for all  $t \in [0, T_v)$ , with  $\alpha^* < \nu$  where  $\nu$  is chosen like Lemma 13. Therefore we can decompose  $v(t)$  as in Lemma 13 with  $(\lambda, b, \varepsilon, x_1, x_2)$  such that the orthogonalities (24) hold on  $[0, T_v)$ .

Now, take  $u_{0,n} \in H^1 \cap \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$  a sequence such that  $u_{0,n} \rightarrow v$  in  $H^1$ . Denote  $u_n$  the ZK flows with initial data  $u_{0,n}$  and denote by  $T_n$  its maximal time of existence. By the  $H^1$  local theory, for all  $T' < T_v$ , there exists  $N_1$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$ ,  $u_n$  exists on  $[0, T']$  and  $u_n(t) \rightarrow v(t)$  in  $H^1$  for all  $t \in [0, T']$ , hence  $T_v \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T_n$ . Also, using the triangle inequality, there exists  $N_2 \geq N_1$  such that for all  $n \geq N_2$ ,  $u_n(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^{**}}$  with  $\alpha^* < \alpha^{**} < \nu$ , hence we can decompose as in Lemma 13 with  $(\lambda_n, b_n, x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}, \varepsilon_n)$  such that the orthogonalities (24) hold for  $\varepsilon_n$  and the estimates (25) hold for  $\lambda_n, b_n, x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}$ .

We state the following result that appears in [FHR18] in Lemma 5.4 (see also [MM00], Appendix D for the gKdV case):

**Lemma 21.** *For a smooth function  $\chi(x, y)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\chi(x, y) = 1$  on  $|(x, y)| \leq 1$  and  $\chi(x, y) = 0$  on  $|(x, y)| \geq 2$  set  $1_{\leq k}(x, y) = \chi(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{y}{k})$ ,  $1_{\geq k}(x, y) = 1 - 1_{\leq k}(x, y)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Let  $v_{0,n}$  be a sequence of  $H^1$  initial data such that  $v_{0,n} \rightharpoonup v_0$  in  $H^1$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Let  $v(t)$ , respectively  $v_n(t)$  be the solutions under the ZK flow corresponding to  $v_0$ , respectively  $v_{0,n}$ . Assume that for all  $n > 0$ ,  $v_n(t)$  exists on  $[0, T_1]$  for some  $T_1 > 0$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\max_{t \in [0, T_1]} \|v_n(t)\|_{H^1} \leq C$  and there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\|v_n(0)1_{\geq k}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|Q\|_{L^2}$ . Furthermore, assume  $v_0(t)$  exists on  $[0, T_1]$  and  $\|v(t)\|_{H^1} \leq C$ . Then

$$\forall t \in [0, T_1], v_n(t) \rightharpoonup v(t) \text{ in } H^1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$\forall t \in [0, T_1], v_n(t)1_{\leq k} \rightarrow v(t)1_{\leq k} \text{ in } L^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

From this we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** *Assume all the conditions of the previous lemma hold. Moreover, suppose  $u_n$  accepts a decomposition as in Lemma 13 with  $(\lambda_n, b_n, x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})$  such that  $\varepsilon_n$  satisfies the orthogonalities (24) and there exists constants  $c, C$*

$$\forall [0, T_1], 0 < c < \lambda_n(t) < C, b_n(0) = 0, x_{1,n}(0) = 0, x_{2,n}(0) = 0.$$

Then,  $u(t)$  accepts a decomposition with  $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$  such that  $\varepsilon$  defined as in Lemma 13 satisfies the orthogonalities (24) and

$$\forall t \in [0, T_1], \varepsilon_n(t) \rightharpoonup \varepsilon(t) \text{ in } H^1, \lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}(t), b_n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{b}(t), x_{1,n}(t) \rightarrow \tilde{x}_1(t), x_{2,n}(t) \rightarrow \tilde{x}_2(t)$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Proof.* We sketch a proof of the corollary (also see [MR04] Section 4.3, page 599). From the decomposition we get that  $\sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} \|\varepsilon_n(t)\|_{H^1} \leq C$  uniformly in  $n$ . Therefore, (25) implies that  $(\lambda_n, b_n, x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})$  and  $((\lambda_n)_t, (b_n)_t, (x_{1,n})_t, (x_{2,n})_t)$  are uniformly bounded. Therefore, by Arzela-Ascoli lemma, there exists  $(\tilde{\lambda}(t), \tilde{b}(t), \tilde{x}_1(t), \tilde{x}_2(t))$  for all  $t \in [0, T_1]$  such that  $(\lambda_n, b_n, x_{1,n}, x_{2,n})$  converges uniformly to  $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . This fact together with the previous lemma yields  $\varepsilon_n(t) \rightharpoonup \varepsilon(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T_1]$ .  $\square$

Now, we return to our proof of stability. Since  $u_{0,n} \rightarrow v_0$  in  $H^1$  we have that all the conditions in Lemma 21 are satisfied (we get  $u_{0,n}1_{\geq k} \rightarrow v_01_{\geq k}$ , then  $\|u_{0,n}1_{\geq k}\|_{L^2} \leq 2\|v_01_{\geq k}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|Q\|_{L^2}$  for all  $k$  sufficiently big). Therefore, for  $T' < T_v$ , for all  $t \in [0, T']$ ,  $\lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}(t)$ .

By the blow-up of  $v(t)$ , we have  $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow T_v$ . By a diagonalizing argument, we get that there exists  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $\lambda_n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow T_v$ . Since  $u_{0,n} \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$ , by the classification theorem we get that  $u_n$  blow-up for all  $n \geq N$  with the same law as in Theorem 2.2. As a consequence, we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T_n = T_v$ .

Therefore, there exists  $\rho = \rho(v_0)$  such that for all  $w_0 \in H^1 \cap \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$  with  $\|v_0 - w_0\|_{H^1} < \rho$ , then if  $w$  is the solution under the ZK flow with initial  $w_0$  blows up with the same blow-up rate as in Theorem 2.2.

**Remark 2.** *We observe that the same method could apply for any initial data from the Exit Case, resulting that both the Blow-up and Exit cases are stable.*

## 10 Strong Convergence in $L^2$ of the Asymptotic Profile

Suppose  $\partial_t u + \partial_{x_1} \Delta u + u^2 \partial_x u = 0$  and that we are in the Blow-Up Case from Theorem 8.1. We are proving that there exists  $u^* \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

$$u(t, x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \rightarrow u^* \text{ in } L^2 \text{ as } t \rightarrow T.$$

Take  $u(t, x_1, x_2) = (Q_S + \tilde{u})(t, x_1, x_2)$  with  $Q_S(t, x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q_b\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)$  and  $\tilde{u}(t, x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \varepsilon\left(t, \frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)$ . We observe that

$$\left\| Q_S(t, x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim |b(t)|^{2-\gamma} \lesssim (T-t)^{\frac{c(2-\gamma)}{3-c}} \quad (89)$$

which means it remains to prove that  $\tilde{u}(t)$  has a limit in  $u^*$  in  $L^2$ .

The function  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies the equation  $\partial_t \tilde{u} + \partial_{x_1} \Delta \tilde{u} + f(\tilde{u})_{x_1} + \mathfrak{F} = 0$  with  $f(\tilde{u}) = (Q_S + \tilde{u})^3 - Q_S^3$  and

$$\mathfrak{F}(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^4(t)} \left[ -\Psi_b + b_s \zeta_b P - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) \Lambda Q_b - \left(\frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) (Q_b)_{x_1} - \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} (Q_b)_{x_2} \right] \left(t, \frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)$$

where  $\Psi_b = [(-\Delta Q_b + Q_b - Q_b^3)_{y_1} - b \Lambda Q_b]$ ,  $\zeta_b = \chi_b + \gamma y_1 (\chi_B)_{y_1}$ .

Let  $0 < \tau \ll T$  and for all  $t \in [0, T - \tau)$  we define  $\tilde{u}_\tau(t) = \tilde{u}(t + \tau)$  and  $v_\tau(t) = \tilde{u}_\tau(t) - \tilde{u}(t)$  for all  $t' \in [t, T - \tau)$ . Hence,  $v_\tau$  satisfies:

$$\partial_t v_\tau + \partial_{x_1} \Delta v_\tau + [f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}(t + \tau) - f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}(t)] + ([\mathfrak{F}(t + \tau) - \mathfrak{F}(t)]) = 0$$

Define the unitary group  $\{U(t)\}_{t=-\infty}^{t=\infty}$  associated to the linear operator of the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation, namely

$$g(t, x_1, x_2) = U(t)g_0(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(t(\xi^3 + \xi\eta^2) + x_1\xi + x_2\eta)} \hat{g}_0(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta. \quad (90)$$

By Duhamel formula we have that for  $0 < t' < T - \tau - t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v_\tau(t' + t, x_1, x_2) &= U(t')v_\tau(t, x_1, x_2) + \int_0^{t'} U(t')U(t'')^* [f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}(t'' + t + \tau) - f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}(t'' + t)] dt'' \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t'} U(t')U(t'')^* [\mathfrak{F}(t'' + t + \tau) - \mathfrak{F}(t'' + t)] dt'' \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

We are going to use the method used by Lan [Lan16] and by Merle-Raphael [MRS10] in proving the strong convergence for the  $L^2$  super-critical case for gKdV, respectively NLS.

We state the result of Foschi [Fos05] about the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates:

**Theorem 10.1.** Consider a family of linear operators  $V(t) : H \rightarrow L_X^2, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $H$  is a Hilbert space. Suppose the following properties of  $V(t)$  hold:

(1) For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}, h \in H$  :

$$\|V(t)h\|_{L_X^2} \lesssim \|h\|_H.$$

(2) There exists a constant  $\sigma > 0$ , such that for all  $f \in L_X^1 \cap L_X^2$  and  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ , there holds:

$$\|V(t)V(s)^*f\|_{L_X^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t-s|^\sigma} \|f\|_{L_X^1}$$

We say a pair  $(q, r) \in [2, +\infty]^2$  is  $\sigma$ -acceptable if and only if they satisfy:

$$\frac{1}{q} < 2\sigma \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \text{ or } (q, r) = (+\infty, 2).$$

Consider  $0 < \sigma < 1$  and  $2\sigma$ -acceptable pairs:  $(q_i, r_i), i = 1, 2$ , such that the scaling rule is satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{\sigma}{r_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{\sigma}{r_2} = \sigma.$$

Then we have the following inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates:

$$\left\| \int_{s < t} V(t)V(s)^*F(s) \right\|_{L_t^{q_1} L_X^{r_1}} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_t^{q_2'} L_X^{r_2'}},$$

where  $q_2', r_2'$  are the conjugates of  $q_2, r_2$ .

We also state the following theorem that appears in Faminskii [Fam95], Linares, Pastor [LP11]:

**Theorem 10.2.** (Lemma 2.3, [LP11])

Let  $U(t)$  be the unitary group defined as in (90). Then,

$$\|U(t)h\|_{L_{x_1 x_2}^2} \lesssim \|h\|_{L_{x_1 x_2}^2}, \quad \|U(t)h\|_{L_{x_1 x_2}^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t|^{\frac{2}{3}}} \|h\|_{L_{x_1 x_2}^1}, \quad \forall t \neq 0.$$

Using the previous two theorems, we get the following refined Strichartz estimates:

**Corollary 2.** For all  $\frac{2}{3}$ -acceptable pairs  $(q_1, r_1)$  and  $(q_2, r_2)$ , if they satisfy:

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{2}{3r_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{2}{3r_2} = \frac{2}{3},$$

then there holds:

$$\left\| \int_0^t U(t)U(s)^* \left( h(s, \cdot, \cdot) \right) \right\|_{L_t^{q_1} L_{xy}^{r_1}} \lesssim \|h\|_{L_t^{q_2'} L_{xy}^{r_2'}} \quad (92)$$

Now, we return to our problem. Choose  $(+\infty, 2), (q_2, r_2)$  two  $\frac{2}{3}$ -acceptable pairs, such that the scaling rule is satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{2}{3r_2} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

From (91), (92) we get that, for  $0 \leq t' < \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\tau\|_{L^\infty_{[t, T-\tau]} L^2_{x_1 x_2}} &\leq \|U(t')v_\tau(t)\|_{L^\infty_{[0, T-\tau-t]} L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^{t'} U(t')U(t'')^* f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}(t'') dt'' \right\|_{L^\infty_{[t, T-\tau]} L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^{t'} U(t')U(t'')^* \mathfrak{F}(t'') dt'' \right\|_{L^\infty_{[t, T-\tau]} L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \\ &\lesssim \|v_\tau(t)\|_{L^2_{x_1 x_2}} + \|f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}\|_{L^{q'_2}_{[t, T-\tau]} L^{r'_2}_{x_1 x_2}} + \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^{q'_2}_{[t, T-\tau]} L^{r'_2}_{x_1 x_2}} \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we will use from (72) that  $\mathcal{N}_6(t) \lesssim \lambda^{(3-\eta)c}(t)$  and we can take  $\eta = \frac{1}{4}$ , but we will leave it as  $\eta$ .

*Step 1: Estimates on  $f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}$*

Using a change of variables, i.e.  $y_i = \frac{x_i - x_i(t)}{\lambda(t)}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}(t)\|_{L^{r'_2}_{x_1 x_2}} &= \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]_{x_1} \left( t, \frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) \right\|_{L^{r'_2}_{x_1 x_2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{2+\frac{2}{r_2}}} \left\| [(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3]_{y_1} \right\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{2+\frac{2}{r_2}}} \left( \|Q_b^2 \varepsilon_{y_1}\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} + \|Q_b(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} + \|(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} + \|\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon_{y_1}\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each of these terms. Denote  $r > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r}$ . For the first term, by interpolation, we have

$$\|\varepsilon^2(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \left\| \varepsilon^2 \sqrt{|(Q_b)_{y_1}|} \right\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \left\| \sqrt{|(Q_b)_{y_1}|} \right\|_{L^r_{y_1 y_2}}$$

Using that (72), (77), (78),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \varepsilon^2 \sqrt{|(Q_b)_{y_1}|} \right\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^2 &= \iint \varepsilon^4 |(Q_b)_{y_1}| \leq \iint \varepsilon^4 |Q_{y_1} + b\chi_b P_{y_1}| + |b|^{1+\gamma} \iint \varepsilon^4 |(\chi_{y_1})(|b|^\gamma y_1) P| \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \mathcal{N}_6(t) + \lambda^{(1+\gamma)c}(t) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \lambda(t)^{c \min(3-\eta, 2+\gamma)} \end{aligned}$$



and

$$\left\| \sqrt{|(Q_b)_{y_1}|} \right\|_{L^r_{y_1 y_2}}^r \lesssim \int \int Q_{y_1}^{\frac{r}{2} + |b|^{\frac{r}{2}}} \int \int P_{y_1}^{\frac{r}{2} + |b|^{\frac{r}{2}(1+\gamma)}} \int \int [(\chi_{y_1}(|b|^\gamma y_1) P)^{\frac{r}{2}}] \lesssim 1 + |b|^{\frac{r}{2}} + |b|^{\frac{r}{2}(1+\gamma) - \gamma} \lesssim 1.$$

Hence,

$$\|\varepsilon^2(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \lambda(t)^{c \min(\frac{3-\eta}{2}, \frac{2+\gamma}{2})}.$$

For the second term, by interpolation, we get

$$\|\varepsilon_{y_1}(Q_b)^2\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \|\varepsilon_{y_1} Q_b\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \|Q_b\|_{L^r_{y_1 y_2}}$$

Using that (72), (78),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon_{y_1} Q_b\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^2 &= \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (Q_b)^2 \leq \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 Q^2 + \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (b \chi_b P)^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_6(t) + \lambda^{2c}(t) \|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \lambda(t)^{c(3-\eta)} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|Q_b\|_{L^r} \lesssim 1$ , therefore

$$\|\varepsilon_{y_1}(Q_b)^2\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \lambda(t)^{c \frac{3-\eta}{2}}.$$

For the third term, by interpolation, we get

$$\|\varepsilon(Q_b)_{y_1} Q_b\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \|\varepsilon(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \|Q_b\|_{L^r_{y_1 y_2}}$$

Using that (72), (77),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^2 &= \int \int \varepsilon^2 [(Q_b)_{y_1}]^2 \leq \int \int \varepsilon^2 Q_{y_1}^2 + b^2 \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\chi_b P_{y_1})^2 + b^{2+2\gamma} \int \int \varepsilon^2 [\chi_{y_1}(|b|^\gamma y_1) P]^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_6(t) + b^2(t) \mathcal{N}_6(t) + |b(t)|^{2+2\gamma} \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \lambda(t)^{c \min(3-\eta, 2+2\gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|Q_b\|_{L^r} \lesssim 1$ , therefore

$$\|\varepsilon(Q_b)_{y_1} Q_b\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \lambda(t)^{c \min(\frac{3-\eta}{2}, 1+\gamma)}.$$

For the fourth term, by interpolation, we get

$$\|\varepsilon_{y_1} \varepsilon^2\|_{L^{r'_2}_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \|\varepsilon_{y_1}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \|\varepsilon^2\|_{L^r_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^{2r}_{x_1 x_2}}^2$$

Since  $r \geq 2$ , by Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality we have

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{L^{2r}_{y_1 y_2}} \leq \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^{\frac{1}{r}} = \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r_2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^{\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{2}} = \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r_2}}$$

and plugging in the estimate and using (78)

$$\|\varepsilon_{y_1} \varepsilon^2\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} \lesssim \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{2+\frac{2}{r_2}}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{1-\frac{2}{r_2}}} \lesssim \lambda(t)^{c(1+\frac{1}{r_2})}.$$

Define  $\alpha = \min(\frac{3-\eta}{2}, 1+\frac{\gamma}{2}, 1+\frac{1}{r_2})$ . By passing to the original spatial variables and noticing that  $1 + \frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{3}{2q_2}$ , it implies

$$\|f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}\|_{L_{x_1 x_2}^{r'_2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{\frac{3}{q_2}-c\alpha}}.$$

Using the previous estimates and that  $\alpha > 1 > \frac{1}{q_2}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}\|_{L_{[t, T-\tau]}^{q'_2} L_{x_1 x_2}^{r'_2}} &\lesssim \left( \int_t^T \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(t')^{\frac{3}{q_2}-c\alpha}} \right)^{q'_2} dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{q'_2}} \sim \left( \int_t^T \frac{1}{(T-t')^{\frac{1}{3-c}(3-c\alpha q'_2)}} dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{q'_2}} \\ &= -(T-t')^{\frac{c}{3-c}(\alpha-\frac{1}{q_2})} \Big|_{t'=t}^{t'=T} = (T-t)^{\frac{c}{3-c}(\alpha-\frac{1}{q_2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

*Step 2. Estimates on  $\mathfrak{F}$ .*

Since

$$\|\zeta_b P\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} \lesssim |b|^{-\frac{\gamma}{r_2}}, \|\Lambda Q_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} \lesssim 1, \|(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} \lesssim 1, \|(Q_b)_{y_2}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} \lesssim 1, \|\Phi_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} \lesssim |b|^{1+\frac{\gamma}{r_2}}$$

we get by change of variables and by (25),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{F}(t)\|_{L_{x_1 x_2}^{r'_2}} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{2+\frac{2}{r_2}}} \left[ \|\Psi_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} + (b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_6(t)) \|\chi_b P\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} + \right. \\ &\left. + (b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_6(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\Lambda Q_b\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} + \|(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}} + \|(Q_b)_{y_2}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^{r'_2}}) \right] \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{2+\frac{2}{r_2}}} \lambda(t)^{c(1+\frac{\gamma}{r_2})} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{\frac{3}{q_2}-c\tilde{\alpha}}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha} = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{r_2}$  so as  $\tilde{\alpha} > 1 > \frac{1}{q_2}$ ,

$$\|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L_{[t, T-\tau]}^{q'_2} L_{x_1 x_2}^{r'_2}} \lesssim \left( \int_t^T \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(t')^{\frac{3}{q_2}-c\tilde{\alpha}}} \right)^{q'_2} dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{q'_2}} \sim (T-t)^{\frac{c}{3-c}(\tilde{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q_2})}. \quad (94)$$

For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , from (89), (93), (94) we have that there exists a  $t_\varepsilon$  close enough to  $T$  such that for any  $t_\varepsilon < t < T$ ,

$$\left\| Q_S(t, x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \varepsilon, \quad (95)$$

$$\|f(\tilde{u})_{x_1}\|_{L_{[t, T-\tau]}^{q'_2} L_{x_1 x_2}^{r'_2}}, \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L_{[t, T-\tau]}^{q'_2} L_{x_1 x_2}^{r'_2}} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (96)$$

*Step 3. Estimates on  $\|v_\tau(t)\|_{L^2_{x_1x_2}}$*   
By the triangle inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\tilde{u}(t_\varepsilon + \tau) - \tilde{u}(t_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2_{x_1x_2}} \leq \|u(t_\varepsilon + \tau) - u(t_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2_{x_1x_2}} \\
& + \left\| Q_S(t_\varepsilon, x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t_\varepsilon)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t_\varepsilon)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon)}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\
& + \left\| Q_S(t_\varepsilon + \tau, x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon + \tau)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\
& + \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t_\varepsilon)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t_\varepsilon)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon)}\right) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon + \tau)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}
\end{aligned}$$

From the  $H^1$  theory, i.e.  $u \in C([0, T], H^1)$ , there exists  $\tau_0 = \tau_0(t_\varepsilon) \in (0, T - t_\varepsilon)$  such that  $\forall 0 < \tau < \tau_0$ , we have

$$\|u(t_\varepsilon + \tau) - u(t_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2_{x_1x_2}} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (97)$$

Define  $F(t, x_1, x_2) = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \right]$  and the last term can be written as

$$\left\| \int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} F(t', x_1, x_2) dt' \right\|_{L^2_{x_1x_2}} \leq \int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} \|F(t', x_1, x_2)\|_{L^2_{x_1x_2}} dt'$$

where here we used Minkowski's inequality. By doing a change of variable (i.e.  $y_i = \frac{x_i - x_i(t)}{\lambda(t)}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ) we get

$$\int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} \|F(t', x_1, x_2)\|_{L^2_{x_1x_2}} dt' \leq \int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \right| \|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2_{y_1y_2}} + \left| \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \right| \|Q_{y_1}\|_{L^2_{y_1y_2}} + \left| \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \right| \|Q_{y_2}\|_{L^2_{y_1y_2}} \right) dt'$$

We estimate the first term using (73)

$$\int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} \left| \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \right| dt \leq c \int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} \frac{1}{T - t} dt = \ln \left( \frac{T - t_\varepsilon}{T - t_\varepsilon - \tau} \right) \leq \frac{\tau}{T - t_\varepsilon - \tau} \leq \varepsilon$$

the last inequality being true for a small enough  $\tau$ . For the second term, using (75)

$$\int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} \left| \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \right| dt \leq c \int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} (T - t)^{-\frac{3}{3-c}} dt = \frac{\tau}{(T - t_\varepsilon)(T - t_\varepsilon - \tau)^{\frac{c}{3-c}}} \leq \varepsilon$$

the last inequality being true for a small enough  $\tau$ . Lastly, for the third term using (76)

$$\int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} \left| \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \right| dt \lesssim \int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} \frac{1}{|\lambda(t)|} dt \leq c \int_{t_\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon + \tau} (T - t)^{-\frac{1}{3-c}} dt = \frac{\tau}{(T - t_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2-c}{3-c}}} \leq \varepsilon$$

the last inequality being true for a small enough  $\tau$ . Hence, there exists  $\tau_1 = \tau_1(t_\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_1$  we get that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t_\varepsilon)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t_\varepsilon)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon)}\right) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon + \tau)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}{\lambda(t_\varepsilon + \tau)}\right) \right\|_{L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \leq \varepsilon \quad (98)$$

Hence, from (95), (97), (98) it yields that there exists a  $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}(t_\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $0 < \tau \leq \tilde{\tau}$ ,

$$\|v_\tau(t_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

*Step 4. Conclusion.*

From the previous steps, we get that for  $\tau < \tilde{\tau}$  we get that

$$\|v_\tau\|_{L^\infty_{[t, T-\tau]} L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Now, choose  $t_0$  with  $\max(T - \tilde{\tau}, t_\varepsilon) < T$ . Then, for all  $t_1, t_2 \in (t_0, T)$ ,  $t_1 < t_2$ ,  $t_2 - t_1 = \tau < \tilde{\tau}$ . From everything above, we have:

$$\|\tilde{u}(t_2) - \tilde{u}(t_1)\|_{L^2_{x_1 x_2}} = \|v_\tau(t_1)\|_{L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \leq \|v_\tau\|_{L^\infty_{[t, T-\tau]} L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Hence,  $\tilde{u}(t)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ . Therefore, there exists  $u^* \in L^2$  such that  $\tilde{u}(t) \rightarrow u^*$  in  $L^2$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ .

We remark that

$$\left\| u(t, x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \right\|_{L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \rightarrow \|u^*\|_{L^2},$$

implies  $\|u^*\|_{L^2} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\|u_0\|_{L^2} - \|Q\|_{L^2}) > 0$ , therefore  $u^* \neq 0$ .

Since,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\lambda^2(t)} (\nabla \varepsilon)\left(t, \frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{\|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2}{\lambda^2(t)} \sim \lambda^{c-2}(t),$$

$$\left\| \frac{b(t)}{\lambda(t)} \chi\left(|b(t)|^\gamma \frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) P\left(\frac{x_1 - x_1(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{x_2 - x_2(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \sim \frac{|b(t)|^{2-\gamma}}{\lambda^2(t)} \sim \lambda^{c(2-\gamma)-2}(t),$$

therefore

$$\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^2} \geq \lambda^{c-2}(t) [1 - \lambda^{c\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}(t)]^2 \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

since  $c < 2$ . Thus the convergence to  $u^*$  cannot be in  $H^1$ .

## 11 Blow Up for $E_0 \leq 0$

We start with an orbital stability result that appears in [Mer01] (Lemma 1), [MM02b] (Lemma 1) for the one-dimensional case in regards with the gKdV equation. We reiterate the result for the two-dimensional case.

**Theorem 11.1.** *There exists  $\alpha_1 > 0$  such that the following property holds true. For all  $0 < \alpha' \leq \alpha_1$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(\alpha') > 0$ , with  $\delta(\alpha') \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ , such that for all  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $u \not\equiv 0$ , if*

$$\alpha(u) \leq \alpha', \quad E(u) \leq \alpha' \int \int |\nabla u|^2,$$

*then there exists  $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon_0 \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that*

$$\|Q - \epsilon_0 \lambda_0 u(\lambda_0 x + x_1, \lambda_0 y + y_1)\|_{H^1} \leq \delta(\alpha'),$$

*with*

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}}.$$

We include the proof of this theorem in Appendix D 11 for the sake of completeness.

Suppose now that we have an initial data  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$  with  $E_0 \leq 0$  and take  $\alpha_1$  from Theorem 11.1 small enough compared to  $\alpha^*$  (implying that  $\epsilon_0(t)$  is constant for all  $t$ ), by the same theorem we get that  $u(t)$  belongs to the tube  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$  on the maximal existence interval  $[0, T)$ . This means the solution  $u(t)$  cannot be in the Exit Case. Denote by  $(\lambda(t), b(t), x_1(t), x_2(t))$  to be the geometrical quantities arising from a decomposition of  $u(t)$  as in Lemma 13.

**Case 1:**  $E_0 < 0$ .

By the conservation of the energy (41), we get

$$\lambda^3(t)|E_0| + \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_6(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

so  $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , so we cannot be the asymptotic law in the Soliton Case of Theorem 8.1, which implies that the solution blows up.

**Case 2:**  $E_0 = 0$ .

Again, by the conservation of the energy (41) we have that

$$\|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_6(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose by contradiction that we are in the Soliton Case of Theorem 8.1. Therefore, there exists  $C^*$  such that

$$|b(t)| \leq C^* \mathcal{N}_1(t) \tag{99}$$

for all  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ . Thus, by the dispersive estimates (57), we obtain

$$\int_t^{+\infty} \|\nabla \varepsilon(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \lesssim \int_t^{+\infty} |b(t')| dt' + \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}_2(t') dt' \lesssim \mathcal{N}_6(t) + |b(t)|^3 \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We state a lemma that appears in (Appendix C, [MR06]) adapted to our setting.

**Lemma 22.** *Let  $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , then we have the following estimate:*

$$\int \int_{|y_1| \leq D} w^2 \leq CD^2 \left( \int \int w^2 e^{-|y_1|} + \int \int |\nabla w|^2 \right).$$

*Proof.* We include for completeness a short proof. Suppose  $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . By a simple contradiction argument, we get there exists  $y_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $|v(y_0)|^2 \leq 3 \int v^2 e^{-|y|}$ . Then writing that  $v(y) = v(y_0) + \int_{y_0}^y v_y(x) dx$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| \leq D} v^2(y) dy &\leq C \int_{|y| \leq D} \left( |v(y_0)|^2 + |y - y_0| \left( \int_{y_0}^y |v_y|^2(x) dx \right) \right) dy \\ &\leq CD^2 \left( \int v^2 e^{-|y|} + \int v_y^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the density of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the conclusion holds for all  $v \in H^1$ . The Lemma follows by applying the conclusion to the function  $w(\cdot, y_2) = v$  and then integrating in  $y_2$ .  $\square$

As a corollary of the above lemma we have

$$\int \int_{|y_1| \leq D} \varepsilon^2(t) \leq CD^2 \mathcal{N}_1(t). \quad (100)$$

**Lemma 23.** *Suppose  $\chi \in C_c^3(\mathbb{R})$  a function with  $\text{supp}(\chi) \in [-1, 1]$  and denote  $\chi_D(\cdot) = \chi(\frac{\cdot}{D})$ . We have the following improvement of the above estimate: there exists  $C > 0$  independent of  $D$ , such that for  $t_0 > 0$ ,*

$$\int \int \varepsilon^2(t_0, y_1, y_2) \chi_D(y_1) \leq C \mathcal{N}_3(t_0) + C \min(\mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_0), D \mathcal{N}_2(t_0)) + C \int_{t_0}^\infty \left| \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) (\chi_D)_{y_1} \right|.$$

*Proof.* We have the following equation for  $\varepsilon$  :

$$\varepsilon_t(t, y_1, y_2) = -\partial_{y_1} \Delta \varepsilon - \mathfrak{F} - b_t \zeta_b P - f(\varepsilon)_{y_1} + \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon + \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \varepsilon_{y_1} + \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \varepsilon_{y_2},$$

$f(\varepsilon) = (Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3$ ,  $\zeta_b = \chi_b + \gamma y_1 (\chi_b)_{y_1}$  and

$$\mathfrak{F}(t) = \left[ -\Psi_b - \left( \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} + b \right) \Lambda Q_b - \left( \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (Q_b)_{y_1} - \left( \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \right) (Q_b)_{y_2} \right] (t, y_1, y_2).$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D &= -\frac{3}{2} \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (\chi_D)_{y_1} - \frac{1}{2} \int \int \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 (\chi_D)_{y_1} + \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\chi_D)_{y_1 y_1 y_1} - \int \int f(\varepsilon)_{y_1} \varepsilon \chi_D \\ &- \int \int \mathfrak{F} \varepsilon \chi_D - b_t \int \int \zeta_b P \varepsilon \chi_D + \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \Lambda \varepsilon \chi_D + \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1} \chi_D + \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_2} \chi_D \\ &\geq -\frac{3}{2} \int \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 (\chi_D)_{y_1} + \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\chi_D)_{y_1 y_1 y_1} - \int \int f(\varepsilon)_{y_1} \varepsilon \chi_D - \int \int \mathfrak{F} \varepsilon \chi_D \\ &- b_t \int \int \zeta_b P \varepsilon \chi_D + \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \Lambda \varepsilon \chi_D + \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1} \chi_D + \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_2} \chi_D \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq -\frac{3}{2} \int \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 - \int \int \varepsilon^2 |(\chi_D)_{y_1 y_1 y_1}| - \left| \int \int f(\varepsilon)_{y_1} \varepsilon \chi_D \right| - \left| \int \int \mathfrak{F} \varepsilon \chi_D \right| \\ &- b_t \int \int \zeta_b P \varepsilon \chi_D + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 y_1 (\chi_D)_{y_1} + \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1} \chi_D + \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_2} \chi_D \end{aligned}$$

*Step 1: Estimates on  $\int \int \varepsilon^2 |(\chi_D)_{x_1 x_1 x_1}|$ .* We have by a change of variables and applying the lemma (100) that

$$\int \int \tilde{u}^2 |(\chi_D)_{y_1 y_1 y_1}| = \frac{1}{D^3} \left| \int \int \varepsilon^2 \chi_{y_1 y_1 y_1} \left( \frac{y_1}{D} \right) \right| \leq C \frac{1}{D^3} D^2 \mathcal{N}_1(t) \leq C \frac{1}{D} \mathcal{N}_1(t).$$

*Step 2: Estimates on  $|\int \int f(\varepsilon)_{x_1} \varepsilon \chi_D|$*  We compute by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int f(\varepsilon)_{y_1} \varepsilon \chi_D \right| &= \left| 3 \int \int Q_b^2 \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1} \chi_D + 3 \int \int Q_b \varepsilon^2 \varepsilon_{y_1} \chi_D + 3 \int \int \varepsilon^3 \varepsilon_{y_1} \chi_D \right| \\ &\lesssim \int \int |Q_b(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2| + \int \int Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 + \int \int |(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^3| + \int \int |Q_b \varepsilon^3| + \int \int \varepsilon^4 \\ &\lesssim \int \int Q_b(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 + \int \int Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 + \int \int |(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^3| + \int \int |Q_b \varepsilon^3| + \int \int \varepsilon^4 \end{aligned}$$

For each term, we use the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and the smallness  $\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \ll 1$  from (84) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int Q_b(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^2 \right| &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t) + b^2(t), \quad \left| \int \int Q_b^2 \varepsilon^2 \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t) + b^2(t), \\ \left| \int \int (Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon^3 \right| &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t) + |b(t)|^{1+\gamma} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2} + |b(t)| \mathcal{N}_2(t), \\ \left| \int \int (Q_b) \varepsilon^3 \right| &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t) + |b(t)| \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}, \quad \left| \int \int \varepsilon^4 \right| \lesssim \|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, putting together all the estimates,

$$\left| \int \int [f(\varepsilon)_{y_1} \varepsilon](t) \chi_D \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t) + b^2(t) + \|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \mathcal{N}_2(t).$$

*Step 3: Estimates on  $|\int \int \mathfrak{F} \varepsilon \chi_D|$ .*

We have by previous estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \int \Lambda Q_b \varepsilon \chi_D \right| &\lesssim \int \int |\Lambda Q_b \varepsilon| dy_1 dy_2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}, \\ \left| \int \int (Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon \chi_D \right| &\lesssim \int \int |(Q_b)_{y_1} \varepsilon| dy_1 dy_2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + |b(t)|^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}, \\ \left| \int \int (Q_b)_{y_2} \varepsilon \chi_D \right| &\lesssim \int \int |(Q_b)_{y_2} \varepsilon| dy_1 dy_2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int \int \Psi_{b\varepsilon\chi_D} \right| \lesssim \int \int |\Psi_{b\varepsilon}| dy_1 dy_2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(t),$$

and by the estimates (25) we get

$$\left| \int \int \mathfrak{F}\varepsilon\chi_D \right| \leq C(b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t))(\mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}) \leq C(\mathcal{N}_2(t) + |b(t)|^{2-\gamma}) \leq C\mathcal{N}_2(t)$$

*Step 4: Estimates on  $\frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon\varepsilon_{y_1}\chi_D$ .*

Using that  $|(x_1)_t|, |\lambda(t)| \sim 1$ ,

$$\left| \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \right| \left| \int \int \varepsilon\varepsilon_{y_1}\chi_D \right| \lesssim \left| \int \int \varepsilon^2(\chi_D)_{x_1} \right|.$$

*Step 5: Estimates on  $\frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon\varepsilon_{y_2}\chi_D$ .*

Using that  $|\lambda(t)| \sim 1$ , and  $|(x_2)_t| \lesssim b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)$  from (25), we obtain from (99) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \int \int \varepsilon\varepsilon_{y_2}\chi_D \right| &\leq C(b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \left| \int \int \varepsilon\varepsilon_{y_2}\chi_D \right| \leq C(b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq (b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t))(|b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \leq \mathcal{N}_2(t) + b^2(t) \leq \mathcal{N}_2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

Now, by integrating in time on  $[t_0, +\infty)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t)\chi_D - \int \int \varepsilon^2(t_0)\chi_D &\geq -C \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \int \int \|\nabla\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} dt' - C \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_2(t') dt' \\ &\quad - C \left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} b_t \int \int \zeta_b P \varepsilon \chi_D \right| - C \left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 y_1(\chi_D)_{y_1} \right| - C \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left| \int \int \varepsilon^2(\chi_D)_{y_1} \right|. \\ &\geq -C\mathcal{N}_3(t_0) - C \left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} b_t \int \int \zeta_b P \varepsilon \chi_D \right| - C \left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 y_1(\chi_D)_{y_1} \right| - C \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left| \int \int \varepsilon^2(\chi_D)_{y_1} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By (100), we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t)\chi_D = 0$ , therefore

$$\int \int \varepsilon^2(t_0)\chi_D \lesssim \mathcal{N}_3(t_0) + \left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} b_t \int \int \zeta_b P \varepsilon \chi_D \right| + \left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 y_1(\chi_D)_{y_1} \right| + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left| \int \int \varepsilon^2(\chi_D)_{y_1} \right|.$$

*Step 6: Estimates on  $\left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t)y_1(\chi_D)_{y_1} \right|$ .*

We will prove that

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t)y_1(\chi_D)_{y_1} \right| \lesssim \min(\mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t), D\mathcal{N}_2(t)).$$

*Case 1.* Using  $\left| \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \right| \leq |b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)$  from (25) and  $|y_1(\chi_D)_{y_1}| \leq \|y_1\chi_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty}$ , from (100) we get that

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 y_1(\chi_D)_{y_1} \right| \leq (|b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \|y_1\chi_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int \int_{|y_1| \leq D} \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$$



$$\leq (|b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \|y_1 \chi_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty} D \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|y_1 \chi_{y_1}\|_{L^\infty} D \mathcal{N}_2(t).$$

*Case 2.*

Now we do the second estimate  $\int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{y_1}{D} \chi_{y_1} \left( \frac{y_1}{D} \right) = \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \eta_D$ , where  $\eta(y_1) = y_1 \chi_{y_1}(y_1)$ , so  $\text{supp}(\eta) \subseteq [-1, 1]$ ,  $\eta \in C_c^3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\eta_D(y_1) = \eta\left(\frac{y_1}{D}\right)$ .

By the sharp equation for  $\lambda$  from Lemma 14, for  $J(t) = \frac{1}{2c_Q}(\varepsilon(t), \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q)$  we have that

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} = -b + \frac{d}{dt} J + \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} J + O(b^2 + \mathcal{N}_2).$$

Observe that  $|J(t)| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 \eta_D &= - \int_{t_0}^\infty b \int \int \varepsilon^2 \eta_D + \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} J \int \int \varepsilon^2 \eta_D \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^\infty J_t \int \int \varepsilon^2 \eta_D + \int_{t_0}^\infty O(b^2 + \mathcal{N}_2) \int \int \varepsilon^2 \eta_D. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the following terms by (57), (84), (99):

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_0}^\infty b(t) \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D \right| &\lesssim \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathcal{N}_1(t) \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t_0), \\ \left| \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} J(t) \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D \right| &\lesssim \int_{t_0}^\infty (|b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathcal{N}_2(t) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_3(t_0), \\ \int_{t_0}^\infty O(b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2(t)) \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D &\lesssim \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathcal{N}_2(t) \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}_3(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Now we focus on the last term.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^\infty J_t \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D &= [J(t) \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D]_{t_0}^\infty - \int_{t_0}^\infty J(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D \right) \\ &= -J(t_0) \int \int \varepsilon^2(t_0) \eta_D - \int_{t_0}^\infty J(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\left| J(t) \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also, we notice that  $\left| J(t_0) \int \int \varepsilon^2(t_0) \eta_D \right| \leq \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_0)$ . Let treat the term

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^\infty J(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D \right) &= \int_{t_0}^\infty \left[ -\frac{3}{2} J(t) \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2 (\eta_D)_{y_1} - \frac{1}{2} J(t) \int \int \varepsilon_{y_2}^2 (\eta_D)_{y_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + J(t) \int \int \varepsilon^2 (\eta_D)_{y_1 y_1 y_1} - J(t) \int \int f(\varepsilon)_{y_1} \varepsilon \eta_D - J(t) \int \int \mathfrak{F} \varepsilon \eta_D - J(t) b_t \int \int \zeta_b \eta_D P \varepsilon \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$-J(t)\frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 y_1(\eta_D)_{y_1} + J(t)\frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1} \eta_D \Big] dt.$$

We estimate all the terms that appear using part a) in Lemma 14:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| J(t) \int \int \varepsilon_{y_1}^2(\eta_D)_{y_1} \right|, \left| J(t) \int \int \varepsilon_{y_2}^2(\eta_D)_{y_1} \right|, \left| J(t) \int \int \varepsilon^2(\eta_D)_{y_1 y_1 y_1} \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t), \\ & \left| J(t) \int \int f(\varepsilon)_{y_1} \varepsilon \eta_D \right|, \left| J(t) \int \int \mathfrak{F} \varepsilon \eta_D \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t), \\ & \left| J(t) \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2 y_1(\eta_D)_{y_1} \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) (|b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t), \\ & \left| J(t) \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_{y_1} \eta_D \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

We have the last term to bound  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} J(t) b_t \int \int \zeta_b \eta_D P \varepsilon$ . For that denote  $g_D(t) = \int \int \zeta_b \eta_D P \varepsilon(t)$ . We note that  $|g_D(t)| \lesssim \min(D, |b(t)|^{-\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , so  $|b(t)g_D(t)| \lesssim |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}$ . By integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{\infty} J(t) b_t g_D = [J(t) b(t) g_D(t)]_{t_0}^{\infty} - \int_{t_0}^{\infty} J_t b g_D + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} J b (g_D)_t \\ & = -J(t_0) b(t_0) g_D(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} + b(t) - \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} J + O(b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2(t)) \right) b(t) g_D(t) + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} J(t) b(t) (g_D)_t \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $|J(t) b(t) g_D(t)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and the sharp equation (14) for  $J(t)$ . Therefore  $|J(t_0) b(t_0) g_D(t_0)| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} |b(t_0)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t_0)$  and also

$$\left| \left( \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} + b - \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} J(t) + O(b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2(t)) \right) b(t) g_D(t) \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t).$$

We claim that we can bound pointwise  $(g_D)_t$  by

$$|(g_D)_t| \lesssim 1 + (|b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \min(D, |b(t)|^{-\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{N}_2(t) \min(D, |b(t)|^{-\gamma}).$$

The claim is similar to the slightly more complicated one that appears in Step 7. We omit the repetition of the proof here and we refer the reader to Step 7, Claim (102).

Thus  $|J(t)(g_D)_t b| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t)$ , which implies  $\left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} J(t) b_t g_D \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_3(t_0)$  which in turn gives

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} J(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D \right) \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_3(t_0).$$

This yields the estimate

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} J_t \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_0), \text{ and so } \left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \eta_D \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_0).$$

Step 7: Estimates on  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} b_t \int \int \zeta_b P \varepsilon \chi_D$ .

Denote

$$f_D(t) = \int \int \zeta_b \chi_D P \varepsilon dy_1 dy_2.$$

First, for any  $D > 0$ , by (84) we have that

$$|f_D(t)| \leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int \chi_D \zeta_b^2 P^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} \min(D, |b(t)|^{-\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \delta(\alpha_0) D^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which proves that  $f_D(t)$  is a well-defined function in  $t$ . Also, we see that

$$|b(t) f_D(t)| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

As an alternative bound, we have

$$|b(t) f_D(t)| \leq \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2 \chi_D \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}.$$

Let's denote  $\tilde{\chi} = \chi_D \zeta_b P$ .

*Claim.* We have the following bound:

$$|(f_D)_t| \lesssim 1 + (|b| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}) + \mathcal{N}_2(t) \min(D, |b|^{-\gamma}) \quad (102)$$

and  $|b(f_D)_t(t)| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t)$ .

*Proof of the Claim.* Using the modulated flow equation, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (f_D)_t &= - \int \int \tilde{\chi} \partial_{y_1} \Delta \varepsilon - \int \int \tilde{\chi} f(\varepsilon)_{y_1} - \int \int \tilde{\chi} \mathfrak{F} - \frac{b_t}{\lambda(t)} \int \int \tilde{\chi} \zeta_b P \varepsilon \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi} \Lambda \varepsilon + \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi} \varepsilon_{y_1} + \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi} \varepsilon_{y_2} \\ &= - \int \int \tilde{\chi}_{y_1 y_1 y_1} \varepsilon - \int \int \tilde{\chi}_{y_2 y_2 y_1} \tilde{u} + \int \int \tilde{\chi}_{y_1} f(\varepsilon) - \int \int \tilde{\chi} \mathfrak{F} \\ &\quad - b_t \int \int \chi_D \zeta_b^2 P^2 + \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi}_{x_1} \tilde{u} + \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi} \Lambda \varepsilon + \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \varepsilon_{y_2} \tilde{\chi}, \end{aligned}$$

hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f_D)_t| &\leq \|\tilde{\chi}_{y_1 y_1 y_1}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} + \|\tilde{\chi}_{y_2 y_2 y_1}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} + \|\tilde{\chi}_{y_1}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} + \|\tilde{\chi}_{y_1} Q_b^2 \varepsilon\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} \\ &\quad + \|\tilde{\chi}_{y_1} \varepsilon^3\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} + \|\tilde{\chi}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} + \left| b_t \int \int \chi_D \zeta_b^2 P^2 \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi}_{y_1} \varepsilon \right| + \left| \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi} \varepsilon_{y_2} \right| + \left| \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi} \Lambda \varepsilon \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $\|\tilde{\chi}_{y_1}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}, \|\tilde{\chi}_{y_1 y_1 y_1}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}, \|\tilde{\chi}_{y_2 y_2 y_1}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim 1$ ,  $\|\tilde{\chi}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b(t)|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}})$ , also  $\|Q_b^2 \varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \leq \|Q_b^2\|_{L^\infty_{y_1 y_2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim 1$  and by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality we have  $\|\varepsilon^3\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \leq \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}^2 \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}$ . Using the estimates (25), we have

$$\|\mathfrak{F}(t)\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \|\Psi_b\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} + (b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t))(\|\Lambda Q_b\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} + \|(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} + \|(Q_b)_{y_2}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}).$$

We estimate each of the terms together with using the inequality  $|b(t)| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t)$ , the smallness of  $\mathcal{N}_2(t)$ , we get:

$$\|\Psi_b(t)\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim |b(t)|^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}} \lesssim |\mathcal{N}_2(t)|^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}},$$

$$(b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t))(\|\Lambda Q_b\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} + \|(Q_b)_{y_1}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} + \|(Q_b)_{y_2}\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}}) \lesssim b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t).$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathfrak{F}(t)\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t).$$

For the other term, we have

$$\left| b_t \int \int \chi_D \zeta_b^2 P^2 \right| \lesssim (b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2(t)) \min(D, |b(t)|^{-\gamma}).$$

For the next term, using that  $(x_1)_t = 1 + o(1)$ ,  $|P_{y_1}(y_1, y_2)| \lesssim e^{-|y_1|+|y_2|}$  and by a change of variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int |\chi_D \zeta_b P_{y_1} \varepsilon| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t), \\ & \int \int |(\chi_D)_{y_1} \zeta_b P \varepsilon| \lesssim \frac{1}{D} \left( \int \int (\chi_{y_1})^2 \left(\frac{y_1}{D}\right) \zeta_b^2 P^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{D} \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b(t)|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}), \\ & \int \int |\chi_D (\zeta_b)_{y_1} P \varepsilon| \lesssim |b(t)|^\gamma \left( \int \int \chi_D^2 (\zeta_{y_1})^2 (|b(t)|^\gamma y_1 P^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \lesssim |b(t)|^\gamma \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b(t)|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{(x_1)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi}_{x_1} \varepsilon \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{D^{\frac{1}{2}}} + |b(t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t).$$

Following, we get

$$\left| \frac{(x_2)_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon_{y_2}} \right| \leq (b^2(t) + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \min(D, |b(t)|^{-\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For the last term, using that  $\left| \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \right| \lesssim |b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ , and

$$\int \int \tilde{\chi} \Lambda \varepsilon = - \int \int \tilde{\chi} \varepsilon - \int \int y_1 \tilde{\chi}_{y_1} \varepsilon - \int \int y_2 \tilde{\chi}_{y_2} \varepsilon$$

thus

$$\int \int |\chi_D \zeta_b P \varepsilon| \lesssim \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b(t)|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \int |\chi_D \zeta_b y_1 P_{y_1} \varepsilon| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t), \\
& \int \int |y_1 (\chi_D)_{y_1} \zeta_b P \varepsilon| \lesssim \left( \int \int \left( \frac{y_1}{D} \right)^2 (\chi_{y_1})^2 \left( \frac{y_1}{D} \right) \zeta_b^2 P^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} \lesssim \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b(t)|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}), \\
& \int \int |\chi_D y_1 (\zeta_b)_{y_1} P \varepsilon| \lesssim \left( \int \int \chi_D^2 |b|^\gamma y_1^2 (\zeta_{y_1})^2 (|b|^{2\gamma} y_1) P^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L_{y_1 y_2}^2} \lesssim \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b(t)|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}), \\
& \int \int |\chi_D \zeta_b y_2 P_{y_2} \varepsilon| \lesssim \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b(t)|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}), \\
& \int \int |\chi_D \zeta_b P_{y_2} \varepsilon| \lesssim \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b(t)|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \int \int \tilde{\chi} \Lambda \varepsilon \right| \leq (|b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) (\mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \min(D, |b(t)|^{-\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \mathcal{N}_2(t) + (|b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \min(D, |b(t)|^{-\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Putting all the estimates together, we get

$$|(f_D)_t| \lesssim 1 + (|b(t)| + \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \min(D^{\frac{1}{2}}, |b(t)|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}) + \mathcal{N}_2(t) \min(D, |b(t)|^{-\gamma})$$

and therefore  $|b(t)(f_D)_t(t)| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t)$ , thus the claim is proved.

We apply integration by parts to get

$$\int_t^\infty b_t f_D = [b f_D]_t^\infty - \int_t^\infty b (f_D)_t$$

hence by (102),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_t^\infty b_t f_D dt' \right| & \lesssim |b(t) f_D(t)| + \int_t^\infty |b (f_D)_t| dt' \lesssim |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_t^\infty \mathcal{N}_2(t') dt' \\
& \lesssim |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{N}_3(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the dispersive estimate (57) and (99). Thus,

$$\left| \int_t^\infty b_t \int \int \zeta_b P \varepsilon \chi_D \right| \leq C \left( |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{N}_3(t) \right).$$

*Step 8:*

Putting all the estimates together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D & \leq C \mathcal{N}_3(t) + C |b(t)|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left( \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \min(\mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t), D \mathcal{N}_2(t)) \\
& \quad + C \left| \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) (\chi_D)_{y_1} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq t_0$ ,

$$\int \int \varepsilon^2(t) \chi_D \leq C \mathcal{N}_3(t) + C \min(\mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t), D \mathcal{N}_2) + C \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left| \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) (\chi_D)_{y_1} \right|.$$

□

Now, we get back to our problem.

**Lemma 24.** *We have that  $\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2_{y_1 y_2}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We construct a cut-off function  $\rho \in C_c^4(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp}(\rho) \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $\rho_{y_1} \geq 0$  on  $[-1, 0]$ ,  $\rho_{y_1} \leq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\rho \geq 0$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ,  $\rho \geq \frac{1}{2}$  on  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Denote  $\rho_D(y_1) = \rho(\frac{y_1}{D})$ . By applying Lemma (23) for  $\chi = \rho_{y_1}$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) (\rho_D)_{y_1} &= \int \int_{-D \leq y_1 \leq 0} \varepsilon^2(t) |(\rho_D)_{y_1}| - \int \int_{0 \leq y_1 \leq D} \varepsilon^2(t) |(\rho_D)_{y_1}| \\ \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) |(\rho_D)_{y_1}| &\leq C \int \int_{0 \leq y_1 \leq D} \varepsilon^2(t) |(\rho_D)_{y_1}| + \frac{C}{D} \min(\mathcal{N}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(t), D \mathcal{N}_3(t)) \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{D} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left| \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) (\rho_D)_{y_1 y_1} \right| \leq C \mathcal{N}_3(t) \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\int \int_{y_1 \geq 0} \varepsilon^2(t) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(t)$  and (100) at the last inequality.

Now, by applying the Lemma (23) to  $\chi = \rho$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_{-\frac{D}{2} \leq y_1 \leq \frac{D}{2}} \varepsilon^2(t_0) &\leq \int \int \varepsilon^2(t_0) \rho_D \leq C \mathcal{N}_3(t_0) + C \min(\mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_0), D \mathcal{N}_2)(t_0) + C \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) |(\rho_D)_{y_1}| \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_4(t_0) + C \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Now, letting  $D \rightarrow \infty$  we get that

$$\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \mathcal{N}_4(t) + C \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Wrapping up the proof for the case  $E_0 = 0$ , from Lemma 24 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u_0^2 dx_1 dx_2 &= \int \int u^2(t) dx_1 dx_2 = \int \int [Q_{b(t)}(y_1, y_2) + \varepsilon(t, y_1, y_2)]^2 \\ &= \int \int Q^2 + \int \int b(t) \chi_{b(t)} P Q + \int \int b(t) \chi_{b(t)} P \varepsilon + \int \int \varepsilon^2(t) + b^2(t) \int \int \chi_b^2 P^2 \rightarrow \int \int Q^2 \end{aligned}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $\|u_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$ , contradiction since  $u_0$  is not of minimal mass.

**Remark 3.** *We observe that if  $u_0$  is not equivalent to  $Q$  up to scaling and translations, the case  $E_0 = 0$  implies blow up for the solution  $u(t)$ .*

## Appendix A

**Lemma 25** (Sobolev Lemma). *Suppose that  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and a positive function  $\theta \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $|\theta_{x_1}| \leq \theta$  and  $|\theta_{x_2}| \leq \theta$ . We have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u^4 \theta dx_1 dx_2 &\leq 3 \|u\|_{L^2_{x_1 x_2}}^2 \int \int (u^2 + u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2) \theta dx_1 dx_2, \\ \int \int u^3 \theta dx_1 dx_2 &\leq \sqrt{3} \|u\|_{L^2_{x_1 x_2}} \int \int (u^2 + u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2) \theta dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u^4 \theta &= \int \int u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \int \int \max_{x_1} (u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \max_{x_2} (u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \int \max_{x_1} (u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}) dx_2 \int \max_{x_2} (u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}) dx_1 \\ &\leq 4 \int \int |\partial_{x_1} u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}| \int \int |\partial_{x_2} u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}| \\ &\leq 4 \left( \int \int |u u_{x_1}| \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} u^2 \frac{|\theta_{x_1}|}{\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left( \int \int |u u_{x_2}| \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} u^2 \frac{|\theta_{x_2}|}{\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\leq 4 \|u\|_{L^2} \left[ \left( \int \int u_{x_1}^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int \int u^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \|u\|_{L^2} \left[ \left( \int \int u_{x_2}^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int \int u^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq 4 \left( \int \int u^2 \right) \frac{3}{4} \left[ \int \int (u^2 + u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2) \theta \right] \\ &\leq 3 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \int \int (u^2 + u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2) \theta \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $|\max_{x_i} f| \leq \int |\partial_{x_i} f| dx$  and that  $\frac{|\theta_{x_i}|}{\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . and using this and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u^3 \theta &\leq \left( \int \int u^4 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int u^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{3} \|u\|_{L^2} \left( \int \int (u^2 + u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2) \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int u^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{3} \|u\|_{L^2} \int \int (u^2 + u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2) \theta \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 26.** *Suppose that  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and a positive function  $\theta \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $|\theta_{x_1}| \leq \theta$ ,  $|\theta_{x_2}| \leq \theta$ ,  $|\theta_{x_1 x_1}| \leq \theta$ ,  $|\theta_{x_2 x_2}| \leq \theta$ . Let*

$$A_1 = \int \int u^2 u_{x_1}^2 \theta + \int \int u^2 u_{x_2}^2 \theta + \int \int u^4 \theta$$

$$A_2 = \int \int u_{x_1 x_1}^2 \theta + \int \int u_{x_2 x_2}^2 \theta + \int \int u^2 \theta.$$

Then we have  $A_1 \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2 A_2$ .

*Proof.* We start with the following claim.

*Claim* We have that  $\int \int u^6 \theta \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2 A_1$ .

*Proof of Claim*

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u^6 \theta &= \int \int u^3 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} u^3 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \int \int \max_{x_1} (u^3 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \max_{x_2} (u^3 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &\int \max_{x_1} (u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}) dx_2 \int \max_{x_2} (u^2 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}) dx_1 \\ &\leq 4 \int \int |\partial_{x_1} (u^3 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}})| \int \int |\partial_{x_2} (u^3 \theta^{\frac{1}{2}})| \\ &\leq 4 \left( \int \int |u^2 u_{x_1}| \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} u^3 \frac{|\theta_{x_1}|}{\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left( \int \int |u^2 u_{x_2}| \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} u^3 \frac{|\theta_{x_2}|}{\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\leq 4 \|u\|_{L^2} \left[ \left( \int \int u^2 u_{x_1}^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int \int u^4 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \|u\|_{L^2} \left[ \left( \int \int u^2 u_{x_2}^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int \int u^4 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq 4 \left( \int \int u^2 \right) \frac{3}{4} \left[ \int \int u^2 (u^2 + u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2) \theta \right] \\ &\leq 3 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 A_1. \end{aligned}$$

and the claim is proven.

By integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u^2 u_{x_i}^2 \theta &= - \int \int u^3 u_{x_i} \theta_{x_i} - \int \int u^3 u_{x_i x_i} \theta - 2 \int \int u^2 u_{x_i}^2 \theta \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \int \partial_{x_i} (u^4) \theta_{x_i} - \int \int u^3 u_{x_i x_i} \theta - 2 \int \int u^2 u_{x_i}^2 \theta \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$3 \int \int u^2 u_{x_i}^2 \theta = \frac{1}{4} \int \int u^4 \theta_{x_i x_i} - \int \int u^3 u_{x_i x_i} \theta.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u^4 \theta_{x_i x_i} &\lesssim \left( \int \int u^6 \theta_{x_i x_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int u^2 \theta_{x_i x_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left( \int \int u^6 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int u^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \int \int u^3 u_{x_i x_i} \theta &\lesssim \left( \int \int u^6 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int u_{x_i x_i}^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get from this and the claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int u^2 u_{x_1}^2 \theta + \int \int u^2 u_{x_2}^2 \theta + \int \int u^4 \theta &\lesssim \int \int |u^3 u_{x_1 x_1}| \theta + \int \int |u^3 u_{x_2 x_2}| \theta + \int \int |u^4| \theta \\ &\lesssim \left( \int \int u^6 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \left( \int \int u_{x_1 x_1}^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int \int u_{x_2 x_2}^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int \int u^2 \theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

hence  $A_1 \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2} A_1^{\frac{1}{2}} A_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and so  $A_1 \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2 A_2$ .  $\square$



## Appendix B

We proceed with the proof of Lemma 14.

The proof of *a*) is a consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

For *b*), we project the modulation equation (20) onto  $\int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q$  and using that  $(\varepsilon, L(\Lambda Q)) = -2(\varepsilon, Q) = 0$ ,  $(Q, \Lambda Q) = (Q_{y_2}, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q) = 0$  and notice that  $(\Lambda Q, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q) = \frac{1}{2} \int (\int \Lambda Q dy_1)^2 dy_2 = 2c_Q$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \left( \varepsilon, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q \right) &= -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \left( \Lambda \varepsilon, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q \right) - \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (\varepsilon, \Lambda Q) - \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \left( \varepsilon, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} (\Lambda Q)_{y_2} \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) 2c_Q + b \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \left( \Lambda(\chi_b P), \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q \right) - b \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (\chi_b P, \Lambda Q) \\ &\quad - b \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \left( \chi_b P, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} (\Lambda Q)_{y_2} \right) - b_s \left( (\chi_b + \gamma y_1 (\chi_b)_{y_1}) P, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q \right) \\ &\quad - \left( \Psi_b, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q \right) + \left( R_{NL}(\varepsilon) + R_b(\varepsilon), \Lambda Q \right) \end{aligned}$$

We have the following estimates

- i)  $\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| |(\varepsilon, y_1 \Lambda Q)| \lesssim (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim b^2 + \mathcal{M} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}},$
- ii)  $\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| |(\varepsilon, y_2 \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q)| \lesssim (|b| + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim b^2 + \hat{\mathcal{M}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}},$
- iii)  $\left| \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (\varepsilon, \Lambda Q) \right| \lesssim (b^2 + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim b^4 + \mathcal{M} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}},$
- iv)  $\left| \frac{(x_2)_s}{\lambda} \left( \varepsilon, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} (\Lambda Q)_{y_2} \right) \right| \lesssim (b^2 + \mathcal{N}_{3,loc} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim b^4 + \hat{\mathcal{M}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}},$
- v)  $\left| b \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \left( \Lambda(\chi_b P), \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q \right) \right| \lesssim |b| (b^2 + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \lesssim b^2 + \mathcal{M} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}},$
- vi)  $\left| b \left( \frac{(x_1)_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (\chi_b P, \Lambda Q) \right| \lesssim |b| (b^2 + \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \lesssim b^2 + \mathcal{M} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}},$
- vii)  $|b_s| \left| \left( (\chi_b + \gamma y_1 (\chi_b)_{y_1}) P, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} f \right) \right| \lesssim b^2 + \mathcal{M} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}},$
- viii)  $\left| \left( \Psi_b, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q \right) \right| \lesssim b^2,$
- ix)  $|(R_{NL}(\varepsilon), \Lambda Q)| \lesssim \mathcal{M} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}},$
- x)  $|(R_b(\varepsilon), \Lambda Q)| \lesssim |b| \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^2 \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim b^2 + \mathcal{M}.$

Since  $\frac{1}{2c_Q} (\varepsilon, \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \Lambda Q) = J$  and putting together i)-x), we get the equation

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} J + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} J - \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \right| = O(b^2) + O(\hat{\mathcal{M}}) + o(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \lesssim b^2 + \hat{\mathcal{M}} + \delta(\nu^*) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}.$$

The proof of *c*) is similar to *b*).

## Appendix C

We proceed with the proof of Lemma 17. We use  $x, y$  for the spatial variables. For this, we require the following Virial Lemma:

**Lemma 27.** *There exists  $\mu > 0$  such that, for all  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,*

$$-2((Lv)_x, xv) = \int \int (3v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v^2 - 3Q^2v^2 + 6xQQ_xv^2) \geq \mu \|v\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{\mu} (v, Q)^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A = 1 - 3\partial_x^2 - \partial_y^2 - 3Q^2 + 6xQQ_x$ . From [FHRY18], we get that the spectrum of  $A = \{\lambda_1\} \cup [1, +\infty)$  with  $\lambda_1 \approx -0.4302$ , and denote  $\phi_1$  the eigenvector associated to  $\lambda_1$ , with  $\|\phi_1\|_{L^2} = 1$ . Using numerical simulations they found that  $(Q, \phi_1) \approx 0.9902\|Q\|_{L^2}$  and  $(Q_x, \phi_1) \approx -0.0000\|Q\|_{L^2}$ . We continue with the *angle lemma* from the same authors [FHRY18]:

Let  $P_1$  be the projection on  $\phi_1$ , namely  $P_1f = (f, \phi_1)\phi_1$ . This means that  $(I - P_1)A$  has spectrum bounded below by  $\lambda_\perp = 1$  (note that  $\lambda_\perp \geq \lambda_1$ ). Also, denote  $q = \frac{Q}{\|Q\|_{L^2}}$ , hence  $\|q\|_{L^2} = 1$  and  $(q, \phi_1) = 0.9902$  and let  $0 \leq \beta \leq \pi$  with  $\cos \beta = (q, \phi_1)$ .

*Claim.* Let  $\omega \in H^1$  with  $\|\omega\|_{L^2} = 1$  and  $(\omega, Q) = 0$ , then

$$(A\omega, \omega) \geq 0.972\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2.$$

*Proof of Claim.* Suppose  $\omega = \phi_1 \cos \alpha + \omega_\perp$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi$  and  $(\omega_\perp, \phi_1) = 0$ , so  $\|\omega_\perp\|_{L^2} = \sin \alpha$  (since  $\|\omega\|_{L^2} = 1$ ) and  $q = \phi_1 \cos \beta + q_\perp$  with  $(q_\perp, \phi_1) = 0$ , so  $\|q_\perp\|_{L^2} = \sin \beta$  (since  $\|q\|_{L^2} = 1$ ).

Since  $(\omega, q) = 0 = \cos \alpha \cos \beta + (\omega_\perp, q_\perp)$ , hence  $|\cos \alpha \cos \beta| = |(\omega_\perp, q_\perp)| \leq \|\omega_\perp\|_{L^2} \|q_\perp\|_{L^2} = \sin \alpha \sin \beta$ . We get that  $\cos^2 \alpha \cos^2 \beta = \cos^2 \alpha (1 - \sin^2 \beta) \leq (1 - \cos^2 \alpha) \sin^2 \beta$ , hence  $|\cos \alpha| \leq \sin \beta$ . Therefore, as  $(\omega_\perp, \phi_1) = 0$ ,  $A\omega = \cos \alpha A\phi_1 + A\omega_\perp = \lambda_1 \cos \alpha \phi_1 + A\omega_\perp$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (A\omega, \omega) &= \lambda_1 \cos^2 \alpha + (A\omega_\perp, \omega_\perp) = \lambda_1 \cos^2 \alpha + ((I - P_1)A\omega_\perp, \omega_\perp) \\ &\geq \lambda_1 \cos^2 \alpha + \lambda_\perp \sin^2 \alpha = \lambda_\perp - (\lambda_\perp - \lambda_1) \cos^2 \alpha \\ &\geq \lambda_\perp - (\lambda_\perp - \lambda_1) \sin^2 \beta \geq 1 - 1.4302(1 - 0.9902)^2 \approx 0.972 \end{aligned}$$

hence, from all  $\omega \in H^1$  with  $\omega \in Q^\perp$ ,

$$(A\omega, \omega) \geq 0.9\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2$$

and the claim is proven.

Now, take  $\sup_{x,y} |3Q^2 - 6xQQ_x| = \tilde{C}$ , so

$$(A\omega, \omega) \geq \int \int (3\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2) - \sup_{x,y} |3Q^2 - 6xQQ_x| \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \|\omega\|_{H^1}^2 - (1 + \tilde{C})\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2$$

and for the claim we get

$$(A\omega, \omega) \geq \frac{0.9}{1.9 + \tilde{C}} \|\omega\|_{H^1}^2.$$

As a corollary, there exists  $\mu > 0$ , such that for all  $v \in H^1$ ,

$$\int \int 3v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v^2 - 3Q^2v^2 + 6xQQ_xv^2 \geq \mu \|v\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{\mu}(v, Q)^2$$

For this, take  $v = w + \alpha Q$  with  $(w, Q) = 0$ , meaning that  $\alpha = (v, Q)$ . Hence, using the lemma above for  $w$  since  $(w, Q) = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int 3v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v^2 - 3Q^2v^2 + 6xQQ_xv^2 = \int \int (3w_x^2 + w_y^2 + w^2 - 3Q^2w^2 + 6xQQ_xw^2) \\ & + 2\alpha \int \int (wQ + w_xQ_x + w_yQ_y) + \alpha^2 \int \int (Q_x^2 + Q_y^2 + Q^2 - 3Q^4 + 6xQ_xQ^3) \\ & + 2\alpha \int \int (-3Q^3 + 6xQ_xQ^2)w \\ & \geq \mu \|w\|_{H^1}^2 + 2\alpha \int \int w(-\Delta Q + Q) + \alpha^2 \int \int (-2Q^4 + 6xQ_xQ^3) + 2\alpha \int \int (-3Q^3 + 6xQ_xQ^2)w \\ & \geq \frac{\mu}{2} \|w\|_{H^1}^2 - K\alpha^2 \geq \frac{\mu}{2} \|v\|_{H^1}^2 - \tilde{K}\alpha^2 \geq \tilde{\mu} \|v\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}}\alpha^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\mu} = \min\{\frac{\mu}{2}, \tilde{K}\}$  and we used that  $-\Delta Q + Q - Q^3 = 0$ ,  $\int \int (Q^2 + Q_x^2 + Q_y^2 - Q^4) = 0$  and  $\|w\|_{L^2}^2 = \|v\|_{L^2}^2 - \alpha^2 \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 28.** *There exists  $\mu > 0$  and  $B_0 := B_0(\mu) > 0$ , such that if  $B \geq B_0$ , for all  $v \in H^1$ ,*

$$\int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (3v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v^2 - 3Q^2v^2 + 6xQQ_xv^2) \geq \mu \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v^2) - \frac{1}{B} \int \int v^2 e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}. \quad (103)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta$  be a smooth function such that

$$\zeta(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| > \frac{1}{2}, \zeta(x) = 1 \text{ for } |x| < \frac{1}{4}, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}.$$

Set  $\tilde{v} = v\zeta_B$  where  $\zeta_B(x) = \zeta(\frac{x}{B})$ . Using the corollary of the above lemma, we get that

$$(3 - \mu) \int \int \tilde{v}_x^2 + (1 - \mu) \int \int (\tilde{v}_y^2 + \tilde{v}^2) - 3 \int \int Q^2\tilde{v}^2 + 6 \int \int xQ_xQ\tilde{v}^2 \geq -\frac{1}{\mu} \left( \int \int \tilde{v}Q \right)^2.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \tilde{v}_x^2 &= \int \int v_x^2 \zeta_B^2 + \int \int v^2 ((\zeta_B)_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_B^2)_{xx}) = \int \int v_x^2 \zeta_B^2 - \int \int v^2 \zeta_B (\zeta_B)_{xx} \\ &\leq \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v_x^2 + \frac{C}{B^2} \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v^2 \\ &\quad \int \int \tilde{v}^2 \leq \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v^2 \end{aligned}$$

and since  $Q(x, y) \leq e^{-|x|/2}$  and  $|xQ_xQ| \leq e^{-|x|/2}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
-3 \int \int Q^2 \tilde{v}^2 &= -3 \int \int Q^2 v^2 \zeta_B^2 = -3 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q^2 v^2 + 3 \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{4} < |x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q^2 v^2 (1 - \zeta_B^2) \\
&\leq -3 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q^2 v^2 + 3e^{-\frac{B}{8}} \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{4} < |x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v^2 \\
6 \int \int xQ_xQ \tilde{v}^2 &= 6 \int \int xQ_xQ v^2 \zeta_B^2 \\
&= 6 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} xQ_xQ v^2 - 6 \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{4} < |x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} xQ_xQ v^2 (1 - \zeta_B^2) \\
&\leq 6 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} xQ_xQ v^2 + 6e^{-\frac{B}{8}} \int \int_{\{\frac{B}{4} < |x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v^2
\end{aligned}$$

Also, using  $(v, Q) = 0$ ,

$$\left| \int \int \tilde{v}Q \right| = \left| \int \int vQ \zeta_B \right| = \left| \int \int vQ(1 - \zeta_B) \right| \leq e^{-\frac{B}{8}} \left( \int \int v^2 e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Taking  $B$  such that  $9e^{-\frac{B}{8}} + \frac{C}{B^2} \leq \frac{\mu}{2}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3 - \mu) \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v_x^2 + (1 - \mu) \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (v_y^2 + v^2) \\
- 3 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q^2 v^2 + 6 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} xQ_xQ v^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v^2 \\
\geq (3 - \mu) \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v_x^2 + (1 - \mu) \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (v_y^2 + v^2) \\
- 3 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q^2 v^2 + 6 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} xQ_xQ v^2 + \left(9e^{-\frac{B}{8}} + \frac{C}{B^2}\right) \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v^2 \\
\geq (3 - \mu) \int \int \tilde{v}_x^2 + (1 - \mu) \int \int (\tilde{v}_y^2 + \tilde{v}^2) - 3 \int \int Q^2 \tilde{v}^2 + 6 \int \int xQ_xQ \tilde{v}^2 - 9e^{-\frac{B}{8}} \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v^2 \\
\geq -\frac{1}{\mu} \left( \int \int \tilde{v}Q \right)^2 \geq -\frac{1}{B} \int \int v^2 e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
3 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} v_x^2 + \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (v_y^2 + v^2) - 3 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} Q^2 v^2 + 6 \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} xQ_xQ v^2 \\
\geq \frac{\mu}{2} \int \int_{\{|x| < \frac{B}{2}\}} (v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v^2) - \frac{1}{B} \int \int v^2 e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## Appendix D

**Theorem 11.2.** *There exists  $\alpha_1 > 0$  such that the following property holds true. For all  $0 < \alpha' \leq \alpha_1$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(\alpha') > 0$ , with  $\delta(\alpha') \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ , such that for all  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $u \not\equiv 0$ , if*

$$\alpha(u) \leq \alpha', \quad E(u) \leq \alpha' \int \int |\nabla u|^2,$$

then there exists  $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon_0 \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that

$$\|Q - \epsilon_0 \lambda_0 u(\lambda_0 x + x_1, \lambda_0 y + y_1)\|_{H^1} \leq \delta(\alpha'),$$

with

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}}.$$

In order to prove this result, we will go through several steps that appear in the works of Martel, Merle ([Mer01], Lemma 1), ([MM02b], Lemma 1).

*Claim 1.* The variational characterization of  $Q$ : for  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , if

$$0 < \int \int v^2 \leq \int \int Q^2 \text{ and } E(v) \leq 0,$$

then there exists  $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$ ,  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\epsilon_0 \in \{\pm 1\}$  such that  $v = \epsilon_0 \tilde{\lambda} Q(\tilde{\lambda}(x - x_0), \tilde{\lambda}(y - y_0))$ .

This appears in [Wei85]. As a corollary of this claim, we get that if  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $E(v) = 0$ ,  $\int \int v^2 = \int \int Q^2$ ,  $\int \int |\nabla v|^2 = \int \int |\nabla Q|^2$  is equivalent to

$$v = \epsilon_0 Q(x - x_0, y - y_0). \quad (104)$$

**Lemma 29.** *Let  $(v_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that*

$$\int \int v_n^2 \rightarrow \int \int Q^2 \text{ and } \int \int |\nabla v_n|^2 = \int \int |\nabla Q|^2 \text{ and } E(v_n) < 0.$$

Then there exists a sequence  $\epsilon_n \in \{\pm 1\}$  and a sequence  $\vec{x}_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$\epsilon_n v_n(\cdot + \vec{x}_n) \rightarrow Q \text{ in } H^1.$$

*Proof.* We proceed by contradiction. By concentration compactness method, there exists a subsequence  $\omega_n = v_n(\cdot + \vec{x}_n) \rightarrow V$  in  $L^2$  and  $\omega_n = v_n(\cdot + \vec{x}_n) \rightharpoonup V$  in  $H^1$ . By Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\|\omega_n - V\|_{L^4}^4 \lesssim \|\omega_n - V\|_{L^2} \|\omega_n - V\|_{H^1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

so  $\omega_n \rightarrow V$  in  $L^4$ . By passing to a subsequence, we have that

$$E(V) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \omega_n\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \|\omega_n\|_{L^4}^4 \right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\omega_n) \leq 0.$$

This implies, by Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality,  $E(V) = 0$ , so  $\omega_n \rightarrow V$  in  $H^1$ .

Thus, we get that  $\int \int V^2 = \int \int Q^2$ ,  $E(V) = 0$ ,  $\int \int |\nabla V|^2 = \int \int |\nabla Q|^2$ , then by the above corollary 104 we get  $V = \epsilon_0 Q(\cdot + \vec{x}_0)$ , with  $\epsilon_0 \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Hence,

$$\epsilon_0 \lambda_n u_n(\lambda_n \vec{x} + \vec{w}_n) \rightarrow Q \text{ in } L^2 \text{ which leads to a contradiction.}$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 11.1.* By contradiction, suppose there exists a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of functions in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $u_n \neq 0$ , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \int u_n^2 \leq \int \int Q^2, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(u_n)}{\int \int |\nabla u_n|^2} \leq 0.$$

We set  $\lambda_n = \frac{\int \int |\nabla Q|^2}{\int \int |\nabla u_n|^2}$  and  $v_n = \lambda_n u_n(\lambda_n \vec{x})$ . We see that  $\int \int v_n^2 = \int \int u_n^2$  and  $\int \int |\nabla v_n|^2 = \int \int |\nabla Q|^2$ . By Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality we get

$$\frac{E(u_n)}{\int \int |\nabla u_n|^2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\int \int u_n^2}{\int \int Q^2} \right)$$

and since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(u_n) \leq 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(u_n)}{\int \int |\nabla u_n|^2} \leq 0$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \int u_n^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \int v_n^2 = \int \int Q^2 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(u_n)}{\int \int |\nabla u_n|^2} = 0.$$

By direct calculations, we have:

$$E(v_n) = \frac{E(u_n)}{\int \int |\nabla u_n|^2} \int \int |\nabla Q|^2 < 0, \int \int |\nabla v_n|^2 = \int \int |\nabla Q|^2.$$

Therefore the sequence  $(v_n)_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 29, hence  $\epsilon_n v_n(\cdot + \vec{x}_n) \rightarrow Q$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which yields contradiction. □

## Appendix E

### Matlab Code

We present the code in computing the constant  $c$  as defined in (2). We use the definition of  $c$  from (26) that allows us to apply the computational machinery. Define  $F$  as the unique solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} -F_{y_2 y_2} + F = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1 = g(y_2) \\ \lim_{y_2 \rightarrow -\infty} F(y_2) = \lim_{y_2 \rightarrow \infty} F(y_2) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (105)$$

It follows that

$$\frac{2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F\left(-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1\right) dy_2}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda Q dy_1\right)^2 dy_2} = c.$$

Our numerical computations gives that  $c \approx 1.6632$ . Below we include the code used for the computations.

```

1 % Define grid and parameters
2 L = 100;
3 Nx = 200; Ny = 200; Nt = 100;
4 dx = 2*L/(Nx-1);
5 dy = 2*L/(Ny-1);
6 dt = 1/Nt;
7 x = linspace(-L, L, Nx);
8 y = linspace(-L, L, Ny);
9 [X, Y] = meshgrid(x, y);
10
11 % Initial condition and boundary conditions for Q
12 Q = exp(-sqrt(X.^2 + Y.^2));
13 Q(:,1) = 0; Q(:,end) = 0; Q(1,:) = 0; Q(end,:) = 0;
14
15 % Implicit finite difference method (assuming temporal dynamics
    are relevant)
16 alpha = dt / dx^2;
17 beta = dt / dy^2;
18 for n = 1:Nt
19     for i = 1:Ny
20         diagonal = (1 + 2*alpha) * ones(1, Nx);
21         offDiagonal = -alpha * ones(1, Nx-1);
22         A = diag(offDiagonal, -1) + diag(diagonal) + diag(
            offDiagonal, 1);
23         b = Q(i, :); % Current state of the row
24         Q(i, :) = A \ b'; % Solve linear system
25     end
26 end
27
28 % Plot Q
29 figure;
30 surf(X, Y, Q);
31 title('Solution Q(x, y)');
32 xlabel('x');
33 ylabel('y');
34 zlabel('Q');

```

```

35
36 % Compute g(y)
37 dQ_dy = [diff(Q, 1, 1) / dy; zeros(1, Nx)]; % Boundary
      approximation
38 g = y' .* trapz(x, dQ_dy, 2); % Ensure g is a column vector
39
40 % Solve for F(y) with Dirichlet conditions
41 M = length(y);
42 C = spdiags([ones(M, 1), -2*ones(M, 1), ones(M, 1)], -1:1, M, M);
43 d = -dy^2 * g;
44 C(1, :) = zeros(1, M); C(1, 1) = 1; d(1) = 0;
45 C(M, :) = zeros(1, M); C(M, M) = 1; d(M) = 0;
46 C = C / dy^2 - speye(M);
47 F = C \ d;
48
49 % Plot F
50 figure;
51 plot(y, F);
52 title('Solution F(y) with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions');
53 xlabel('y');
54 ylabel('F');
55
56 % Compute constant c
57 numerator = 2 * trapz(y, F .* g);
58 denominator = trapz(y, g.^2);
59 c = numerator / denominator;
60
61 % Display results
62 disp(['Computed c: ', num2str(c)]);

```

## References

- [AS64] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun. *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, volume No. 55 of *National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series*. U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1964.
- [BFR20] Debdeep Bhattacharya, Luiz Gustavo Farah, and Svetlana Roudenko. Global well-posedness for low regularity data in the 2d modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 268(12):7962–7997, 2020.



- [BLP81] H. Berestycki, P.-L. Lions, and L. A. Peletier. An ODE approach to the existence of positive solutions for semilinear problems in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 30(1):141–157, 1981.
- [BSS87] J. L. Bona, P. E. Souganidis, and W. A. Strauss. Stability and instability of solitary waves of Korteweg-de Vries type. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 411(1841):395–412, 1987.
- [CM17] Vianney Combet and Yvan Martel. Sharp asymptotics for the minimal mass blow up solution of the critical gKdV equation. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 141(2):20–103, 2017.
- [CMnPS16] Raphaël Côte, Claudio Muñoz, Didier Pilod, and Gideon Simpson. Asymptotic stability of high-dimensional Zakharov-Kuznetsov solitons. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 220(2):639–710, 2016.
- [Com10] Vianney Combet. Construction and characterization of solutions converging to solitons for supercritical gKdV equations. *Differential Integral Equations*, 23(5-6):513–568, 2010.
- [dB96] Anne de Bouard. Stability and instability of some nonlinear dispersive solitary waves in higher dimension. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 126(1):89–112, 1996.
- [Dit89] Z. Ditzian. Multivariate Landau-Kolmogorov-type inequality. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 105(2):335–350, 1989.
- [Fam95] A. V. Faminskiĭ. The Cauchy problem for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. *Differentsial’nye Uravneniya*, 31(6):1070–1081, 1103, 1995.
- [FHRa] Luiz Gustavo Farah, Justin Holmer, and Svetlana Roudenko. Instability of solitons—revisited, II: The supercritical Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. In *Nonlinear dispersive waves and fluids*, volume 725 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 89–109.
- [FHRb] Luiz Gustavo Farah, Justin Holmer, and Svetlana Roudenko. Instability of solitons in the 2d cubic Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. In *Nonlinear dispersive partial differential equations and inverse scattering*, volume 83 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 295–371.
- [FHRY18] Luiz Gustavo Farah, Justin Holmer, Svetlana Roudenko, and Kai Yang. Blow-up in finite or infinite time of the 2D cubic Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. *arxiv.org preprint*, 2018.
- [Fos05] Damiano Foschi. Inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 2(1):1–24, 2005.

- [GNN81] B. Gidas, Wei Ming Ni, and L. Nirenberg. Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . In *Mathematical analysis and applications, Part A*, volume 7a of *Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud.*, pages 369–402. Academic Press, New York-London, 1981.
- [GSS87] Manoussos Grillakis, Jalal Shatah, and Walter Strauss. Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry. I. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 74(1):160–197, 1987.
- [Kin22] Shinya Kinoshita. Well-posedness for the Cauchy problem of the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 65(2):139–158, 2022.
- [KRS21] Christian Klein, Svetlana Roudenko, and Nikola Stoilov. Numerical study of Zakharov-Kuznetsov equations in two dimensions. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 31(2):Paper No. 26, 28, 2021.
- [Kwo89] Man Kam Kwong. Uniqueness of positive solutions of  $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 105(3):243–266, 1989.
- [KZ74] E. A. Kuznetsov and V. E. Zakharov. On three dimensional solitons. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 39:285–286, 1974.
- [Lan16] Yang Lan. Stable self-similar blow-up dynamics for slightly  $L^2$ -supercritical generalized KDV equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 345(1):223–269, 2016.
- [LP09] Felipe Linares and Ademir Pastor. Well-posedness for the two-dimensional modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 41(4):1323–1339, 2009.
- [LP11] Felipe Linares and Ademir Pastor. Local and global well-posedness for the 2D generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 260(4):1060–1085, 2011.
- [Mer01] Frank Merle. Existence of blow-up solutions in the energy space for the critical generalized KdV equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(3):555–578, 2001.
- [MM00] Yvan Martel and Frank Merle. A Liouville theorem for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 79(4):339–425, 2000.
- [MM01] Y. Martel and F. Merle. Instability of solitons for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 11(1):74–123, 2001.
- [MM02a] Yvan Martel and Frank Merle. Blow up in finite time and dynamics of blow up solutions for the  $L^2$ -critical generalized KdV equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(3):617–664, 2002.
- [MM02b] Yvan Martel and Frank Merle. Stability of blow-up profile and lower bounds for blow-up rate for the critical generalized KdV equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(1):235–280, 2002.

- [MMR14] Yvan Martel, Frank Merle, and Pierre Raphaël. Blow up for the critical generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation. I: Dynamics near the soliton. *Acta Math.*, 212(1):59–140, 2014.
- [MMR15a] Yvan Martel, Frank Merle, and Pierre Raphaël. Blow up for the critical gKdV equation. II: Minimal mass dynamics. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 17(8):1855–1925, 2015.
- [MMR15b] Yvan Martel, Frank Merle, and Pierre Raphaël. Blow up for the critical gKdV equation III: exotic regimes. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 14(2):575–631, 2015.
- [MP24] Yvan Martel and Didier Pilod. Finite point blowup for the critical generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 25(1):371–425, 2024.
- [MR04] Frank Merle and Pierre Raphael. On universality of blow-up profile for  $L^2$  critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Invent. Math.*, 156(3):565–672, 2004.
- [MR05a] Frank Merle and Pierre Raphael. The blow-up dynamic and upper bound on the blow-up rate for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(1):157–222, 2005.
- [MR05b] Frank Merle and Pierre Raphael. Profiles and quantization of the blow up mass for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 253(3):675–704, 2005.
- [MR06] Frank Merle and Pierre Raphael. On a sharp lower bound on the blow-up rate for the  $L^2$  critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19(1):37–90, 2006.
- [MRS10] Frank Merle, Pierre Raphaël, and Jeremie Szeftel. Stable self-similar blow-up dynamics for slightly  $L^2$  super-critical NLS equations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 20(4):1028–1071, 2010.
- [RV12] Francis Ribaud and Stéphane Vento. A note on the Cauchy problem for the 2D generalized Zakharov–Kuznetsov equations. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 350(9-10):499–503, 2012.
- [Str77] Walter A. Strauss. Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 55(2):149–162, 1977.
- [Wei85] Michael I. Weinstein. Modulational stability of ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 16(3):472–491, 1985.
- [YC17] Zhen-Hang Yang and Yu-Ming Chu. On approximating the modified Bessel function of the second kind. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2017.