# $\sigma$ -properties of finite groups in polynomial time<sup>1</sup>

Viachaslau I. Murashka

{mvimath@yandex.ru}

Faculty of Mathematics and Technologies of Programming, Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Sovetskaya 104, Gomel, 246028, Belarus

#### Abstract

Let H, K be subgroups of the permutation group G of degree n with  $K \leq G$  and  $\sigma$  be a partition of the set of all different prime divisors of |G/K|. We prove that in polynomial time (in n) one can check G/K for  $\sigma$ -nilpotency and  $\sigma$ -solubility; H/K for  $\sigma$ -subnormality and  $\sigma$ -p-permutability in G/K. Moreover one can find the least partition  $\sigma$  of  $\pi(G/K)$  for which G/K is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent. Also one can find the least partition  $\sigma$  of  $\pi(G/K)$  for which H/K is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G/K.

**Keywords.** Finite group; permutation group computation;  $\sigma$ -nilpotent group;  $\sigma$ -subnormal subgroup;  $\sigma$ -permutable subgroup; polynomial time algorithm.

### Introduction

All groups considered are **finite**. One of the main tools in the theory of groups is the Sylow theory which connects the structure of a group with the prime divisors of its order. Many important results about the structure of a group are given with the help of Sylow subgroups. In the last decade the  $\sigma$ -method obtained a great development (for example, see [2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 11, 14] and other). Its main idea is to study the structure of a group according to some partition  $\sigma$  of the set of its prime divisors. So the Sylow theory and its applications are the particular case of this method when each element of  $\sigma$  consists of one prime number. Another interesting case of this method is the Chunikhin's  $\pi$ -method [4] which is the study of the structure of a group according to some set of primes  $\pi$  (and its complement  $\pi'$ ). In this case  $\sigma$  consists of two elements. The main advantage of  $\sigma$ -method is that we can chose  $\sigma$  according to our task. Lets give the formal definition of  $\sigma$ -property

**Definition 1.** Let  $\sigma$  be a partition of  $\pi(G)$ . By  $\sigma$ -property we will understand the property  $\theta = \theta(\sigma)$  of a subgroup H in a group G such that

- 1. If *H* has properties  $\theta(\sigma^1)$  and  $\theta(\sigma^2)$  in a group *G*, then it has property  $\theta(\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2)$  in a group *G*.
- 2. If  $|\sigma| = 1$ , then H has property  $\theta(\sigma)$  in a group G.

According to this definition every subgroup H of a group G has the given  $\sigma$ -property for the right choice of  $\sigma$ . Moreover if the property  $\theta$  is fixed there exists the least partition  $\sigma$  for which H has the property  $\theta(\sigma)$  in G.

**Problem 1.** Let  $\theta$  be some  $\sigma$ -property and H be a subgroup of a group G.

- 1. Is there an effective algorithm which checks if H has the property  $\theta(\sigma)$  in G when  $\sigma$  is given?
- 2. Is there an effective algorithm which finds the least  $\sigma$  for which H has the property  $\theta(\sigma)$  in G?

The aim of this paper is to give the answers to this question when  $\theta \in \{\sigma\text{-nilpotency}, \sigma\text{-solubility}, \sigma\text{-subnormality}, \sigma\text{-p-permutability}\}$  and by effective algorithm we mean an algorithm which works in polynomial time (in n) for a permutation group of degree n.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work is supported by BFFR (project  $\Phi 23PH\Phi - 237$ ).

### 1 The Main Results

Let  $\sigma$  be a partition of the set of all primes. Recall [14, Proposition 2.3] that a group G is called  $\sigma$ -nilpotent if it has a normal Hall  $\sigma_i$ -subgroup for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ ; a group G is called  $\sigma$ -soluble [14, Definition 2.3] if every its chief factor is a  $\sigma_i$ -group for some  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ . A subgroup H of a group G is said to be  $\sigma$ -subnormal [14, Definition 1.1] if there is a chain of subgroups  $H = H_0 \leq \cdots \leq H_n = G$  with  $H_{i-1} \leq H_i$  or  $H_i/(H_{i-1})_{H_i}$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group for some  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Recall that a subgroup H of a group G is called  $\sigma$ -permutable [14] if G has a Hall  $\sigma_i$ -subgroup  $H_i$  with  $HH_i^x = H_i^x H$  for every  $x \in G$  and  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ . Hence the concept of  $\sigma$ -permutability is defined not for all groups in the general. The exception is the case when every element of  $\sigma$  consists of one element. In this case the concepts of  $\sigma$ -permutable and S-permutable subgroups coincides.

One of the main properties of Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups is that their images are maximal among  $\pi$ -subgroups in every epimorphic image of a group. A subgroup H of G is said to be a  $\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}$ -projector of G [5, III, Definition 3.2] if HN/N is maximal among  $\pi$ -subgroups of G/N for every  $N \leq G$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}$ -projectors exist in every group by [5, III, Theorem 3.10]. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be  $\sigma$ -p-permutable [11, Definition 2] if for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  there is a  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$ -projector  $H_i$  of G such that  $HH_i^x = H_i^x H$  for every  $x \in G$ . According to [11, Theorem 1]  $\sigma$ -p-permutability does not depend on the choice of projectors, i.e. a subgroup H of a group G is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable if and only if  $HH_i^x = H_i^x H$  for every  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$ -projector  $H_i$  of G for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  and  $x \in G$ . Hence if G has a Hall  $\sigma_i$ -subgroup for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ , then the sets of  $\sigma$ -permutable and  $\sigma$ -p-permutable subgroups coincides.

**Theorem 1.** The  $\sigma$ -nilpotency,  $\sigma$ -solubility,  $\sigma$ -subnormality and  $\sigma$ -p-permutability are  $\sigma$ -properties.

If a group G is fixed, the above mentioned  $\sigma$ -properties can be defined only using the partition  $\sigma(G) = \{\sigma_i \cap \pi(G) \mid \sigma_i \in \sigma\}$  of  $\pi(G)$ . Therefore it makes sense in the solution of Problem 1 only consider the partitions of  $\pi(G)$ . The answer to Problem 1(1) is given in

**Theorem 2.** Let  $H, K \leq G \leq S_n$  with  $K \leq G$  and  $\sigma$  be a partition of  $\pi(G)$ . In polynomial time in n one can check:

- 1. If G/K is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent.
- 2. If H/K is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in G/K.
- 3. If H/K is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G/K.
- 4. If G/K is  $\sigma$ -soluble. In case of affirmative answer if H/K is  $\sigma$ -permutable in G/K.

The answer to Problem 1(2) is given in

**Theorem 3.** Let  $H, K \leq G \leq S_n$  with  $K \leq G$ . In every of the following cases in polynomial time in n

- 1. One can find the least partition  $\sigma$  of  $\pi(G)$  for which G/K is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent.
- 2. One can find the least partition  $\sigma$  of  $\pi(G)$  for which G/K is  $\sigma$ -soluble.
- 3. One can find the least partition  $\sigma$  of  $\pi(G)$  for which H/K is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G/K. In particular, if G/K is soluble, then one can find the least partition  $\sigma$  of  $\pi(G)$  for which H/K is  $\sigma$ -permutable in G/K.

### 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Group Theory

Recall that  $S_n$  is the symmetric group of degree n;  $\langle X \rangle$  is a group generated by X;  $H^G$  denotes the smallest normal subgroup of G which contains H;  $H_G$  denotes the greatest normal subgroup of G contained in H;  $\mathbb{P}$  is the set of all primes;  $O_{\pi}(G)$  is the greatest normal  $\pi$ -subgroup of G and  $O^{\pi}(G)$  is the smallest normal subgroup of G of  $\pi$ -index for  $\pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ ;  $\pi(n)$  is the set of all different prime divisors of a natural number n;  $\pi(G) = \pi(|G|)$  for a group G. If  $\sigma$  is a partition of  $\pi(G)$  and H/K is a section of a group G, then  $\sigma(H/K) = \{\sigma_i \cap \pi(H/K) \mid \sigma_i \in \sigma\}$  is a partition of  $\pi(H/K)$ .

### 2.2 Algorithms

We use standard computational conventions of abstract finite groups equipped with polynomial-time procedures to compute products and inverses of elements (see [12, Chapter 2]). For both input and output, groups are specified by generators. We will consider only  $G = \langle S \rangle \leq S_n$  with  $|S| \leq n^2$ . If necessary, Sims' algorithm [12, Parts 4.1 and 4.2] can be used to arrange that  $|S| \leq n^2$ . Quotient groups are specified by generators of a group and its normal subgroup. We need the following well known basic tools in our proofs (see, for example [10] or [12]).

**Theorem 4.** Given  $G = \langle S \rangle \leq S_n$ , in polynomial time one can solve the following problems:

- 1. Find |G|.
- 2. Given normal subgroups A and B of G with  $A \leq B$ , find a composition series for G containing them.
- 3. Given  $T \subseteq G$  find  $\langle T \rangle^G$ .
- 4. (mod CFSG) Given  $N, K \leq S_n$  such that N/K is normalized by G/K, find  $C_{G/K}(N/K)$ [10, P6(i)].
- 5. (mod CFSG) Given  $H \leq G$  find  $H_G$  [10, P5(i)].
- 6. (mod CFSG) Given a prime p dividing |G|, find a Sylow p-subgroup P of G [9].
- 7. Given  $H = \langle S_1 \rangle$ ,  $K = \langle S_2 \rangle \leq G$  find  $\langle H, K \rangle = \langle S_1, S_2 \rangle$  and  $[H, K] = \langle \{ [s_1, s_2] \mid s_1 \in S_1, s_2 \in S_2 \rangle^{\langle H, K \rangle}$ .
- 8. Given  $H, K \leq G$  with  $K \leq G$  find  $H \cap K$ .

Note that  $H \subseteq K$  iff  $\langle H, K \rangle = K$ . From 1 and 7 of Theorem 4 directly follows

**Corollary 1.** Given  $G, G_1, G_2 \leq S_n$ , in polynomial time one can solve the following problems:

- 1. Check if  $G_1 = G_2$ ;
- 2. Check if  $G_1 \subseteq G_2$ ;
- 3. Compute  $\pi(G)$  and  $\pi(G/K)$  for  $K \leq G$ .

**Remark 1.** Note that  $O^{\pi}(G) = \langle P_i | P_i \text{ is a Sylow } p_i\text{-subgroup for } p_i \in \pi(G) \setminus \pi \rangle^G$ . Hence it can be computed in polynomial time by 3 and 6 of Theorem 4. According to the following result [1], the lengthes of all chains of subgroups in a permutation group are bounded:

**Lemma 1** ([1]). Given  $G \leq S_n$  every chain of subgroups of G has at most 2n-3 members for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $G = \langle S \rangle \leq S_n$  and  $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k\}$  be a partition of  $\pi(G)$ . In polynomial time one can find sets  $S_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_k}$  such that  $S_{\sigma_i}$  consists of  $\sigma_i$ -elements for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  and  $G = \langle S_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_k} \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Since  $|S| \leq n^2$ , it is enough to prove that for  $s \in S$  in polynomial time one can find elements  $s_{\sigma_1} \ldots s_{\sigma_k}$  such that  $\langle s \rangle = \langle s_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, s_{\sigma_k} \rangle$  where  $s_{\sigma_i}$  is an  $\sigma_i$ -element of G (possibly  $s_{\sigma_i} = 1$ ) for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ .

By 1 of Theorem 4 in polynomial time one can find  $m = |\langle s \rangle|$ . Since every prime divisor of  $|\langle s \rangle|$  is not greater than n, in polynomial time one can decompose  $m = \prod p_i^{\alpha_i}$ . From  $\langle s \rangle \leq S_n$  it follows that  $\alpha_i \leq n$  for all i. Now let  $s_{p_i} = s^{m/p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ . Note that  $s_{p_i}$  generates the Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup of  $\langle s \rangle$ . Now let  $s_{\sigma_i} = \prod_{p_i \in \sigma_i} s_{p_i}$ .

**Remark 2.** Note that the constructed generating set  $\{S_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_k}\}$  of G has at most  $n^3$  elements.

### **2.3** $\sigma$ -properties

Let  $\sigma^1$  and  $\sigma^2$  be partitions of the same set. Then  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2 = \{\sigma_i^1 \cap \sigma_j^2 \mid \sigma_i^1 \in \sigma^1, \sigma_j^2 \in \sigma^2\}$ is also a partition of this set. We say that  $\sigma^1 \leq \sigma^2$  if for every  $\sigma_i^1 \in \sigma^1$  there is  $\sigma_j^2 \in \sigma^2$  with  $\sigma_i^1 \subseteq \sigma_j^2$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k\}$  be a partition of  $\pi(G)$ . Assume that  $G = \langle S_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_k} \rangle$ where every element of  $S_{\sigma_i}$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -element for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ . Then G is a  $\sigma$ -nilpotent group iff  $\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group and  $[S_{\sigma_i}, S_{\sigma_j}] = 1$  for every  $\sigma_i, \sigma_j \in \sigma$  with  $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group and  $[S_{\sigma_i}, S_{\sigma_j}] = 1$  for every  $\sigma_i, \sigma_j \in \sigma$  with  $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$ . Then  $\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle$  is a normal  $\sigma_i$ -subgroup of G. Note that  $G = \prod_{\sigma_i \in \sigma} \langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle$ . Hence  $\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle$  is a normal Hall  $\sigma_i$ -subgroup of G. Thus G is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent.

Assume that G is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent. Then all  $\sigma_i$ -elements of G generates a normal Hall  $\sigma_i$ subgroup of G and every  $\sigma_i$ -element of G commute with every  $\sigma_j$ -element of G for  $i \neq j$ . Thus  $\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group and  $[S_{\sigma_i}, S_{\sigma_j}] = 1$  for every  $\sigma_i, \sigma_j \in \sigma$  with  $i \neq j$ .

**Lemma 3** ([14, Lemma 2.6]). Let H be a  $\sigma$ -subnormal subgroup of a group G and  $N \leq G$ .

- 1. If  $H \leq K \leq G$ , then H is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in K.
- 2. HN/N is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in G/N
- 3. If A/N is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in G/N, then A is a  $\sigma$ -subnormal in G.

The following 4 lemmas contain an important information about  $\sigma$ -p-permutability.

**Lemma 4** ([11, Lemma 1]). Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G.

- 1. If H is a  $\sigma$ -p-permutable subgroup of G, then HN/N is a  $\sigma$ -p-permutable subgroup of G/N.
- 2. If H/N is a  $\sigma$ -p-permutable subgroup of G/N, then H is a  $\sigma$ -p-permutable subgroup of G.

**Lemma 5** ([11, Theorem 2]). Let H be a  $\sigma$ -p-permutable subgroup of a group G. Then  $H^G/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent.

**Lemma 6** ([11, Theorem 3(2)]). Let H be a  $\sigma$ -nilpotent subgroup of a group G. Then H is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G if and only if every Hall  $\pi_i$ -subgroup of H is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G for all  $\pi_i \in \sigma$ .

**Lemma 7** ([11, Lemma 5]). Let H be a  $\sigma$ -p-permutable subgroup of a group G. Then  $O^{\pi_i}(G) \leq N_G(O_{\pi_i}(H))$  for every  $\pi_i \in \sigma$ .

Now we are ready to present a criterion for  $\sigma$ -p-permutability.

**Theorem 6.** A subgroup H of a group G is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G if and only if  $H^G/H_G$ is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent and  $O(\sigma_i)^{O^{\sigma_i}(G)} = O(\sigma_i)$  for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(H/H_G)$  where  $O(\sigma_i)/H_G = O_{\sigma_i}(H/H_G)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that H is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G. Then  $H^G/H_G$  is a normal  $\sigma$ -nilpotent subgroup of  $G/H_G$  by Lemma 5. Note that  $H/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in  $G/H_G$  by Lemma 4. Hence

$$O^{\sigma_i}(G/H_G) \leq N_{G/H_G}(O(\sigma_i)/H_G)$$

by Lemma 7. Note that  $O^{\sigma_i}(G)H_G/H_G = O^{\sigma_i}(G/H_G)$ . Hence  $O^{\sigma_i}(G) \leq N_G(O(\sigma_i))$ . Thus  $O(\sigma_i)^{O^{\sigma_i}(G)} = O(\sigma_i)$  for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(H/H_G)$ .

Assume that  $H^G/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent and  $O(\sigma_i)^{O^{\sigma_i}(G)} = O(\sigma_i)$  for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(H/H_G)$ where  $O(\sigma_i)/H_G = O_{\sigma_i}(H/H_G)$ . Note that  $H/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent. Hence it is a direct product of its Hall subgroups  $O(\sigma_i)/H_G = O_{\sigma_i}(H/H_G)$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(H/H_G)$ . Therefore  $O(\sigma_i)/H_G \leq O_{\sigma_i}(H^G/H_G) \leq O_{\sigma_i}(G/H_G)$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(H/H_G)$ . Thus  $O(\sigma_i)/H_G$  lies in any  $\sigma_i$ -projector of  $G/H_G$ , in particular permutes with it, for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(H/H_G)$ .

From  $O(\sigma_i)^{O^{\sigma_i}(G)} = O(\sigma_i)$  and  $O^{\sigma_i}(G)H_G/H_G = O^{\sigma_i}(G/H_G)$  it follows that  $O(\sigma_i)/H_G$ is normalized by any  $\sigma'_i$ -subgroup of  $G/H_G$ . So it permutes with any  $\sigma_j$ -projector of  $G/H_G$  for any  $\sigma_j \in \sigma(G/H_G)$  with  $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$ . Thus  $O(\sigma_i)/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in  $G/H_G$ . Since  $H/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent, it is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in  $G/H_G$  by Lemma 6. Thus H is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G by Lemma 4.

**Lemma 8.** Let G be a group and  $\sigma$  be a partition  $\pi(G)$ . If G has a Hall  $\sigma_i$ -subgroup for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ , then the sets of  $\sigma$ -permutable and  $\sigma$ -p-permutable subgroups coincide.

*Proof.* Since a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup is a  $\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}$ -projector, every  $\sigma$ -permutable subgroup is a  $\sigma$ *p*-permutable subgroup. From [11, Theorem 1] it follows that every  $\sigma$ -*p*-permutable subgroup permutes with every  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$ -projector for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ . Hence it is a  $\sigma$ -permutable subgroup.

# 3 Proof of Theorem 1

1. Note that a group G is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent for  $\sigma = \{\pi(G)\}$ . Let prove that if a group G is  $\sigma^1$ -nilpotent and  $\sigma^2$ -nilpotent, then G is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -nilpotent. Since G is  $\sigma^1$ -nilpotent and  $\sigma^2$ -nilpotent, it has normal Hall  $\sigma_i^1$ -subgroups and  $\sigma_j^2$ -subgroups for every  $\sigma_i^1 \in \sigma^1$  and  $\sigma_j^2 \in \sigma^2$ . Since the intersection of normal Hall subgroups is again a normal Hall subgroup, we see that G has a normal Hall  $(\sigma_i^1 \cap \sigma_j^2)$ -subgroup for every  $\sigma_i^1 \in \sigma^1$  and  $\sigma_j^2 \in \sigma^2$ . Thus G is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -nilpotent.

2. Note that a group G is  $\sigma$ -soluble for  $\sigma = \{\pi(G)\}$ . Let prove that if a group G is  $\sigma^1$ -soluble and  $\sigma^2$ -soluble, then G is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -soluble. Since G is  $\sigma^1$ -soluble and  $\sigma^2$ -soluble, then every its chief factor is a  $\sigma_i^1$ -group and a  $\sigma_j^2$ -group for some  $\sigma_i^1 \in \sigma^1$  and  $\sigma_j^2 \in \sigma^2$ .

Hence every chief factor of G is a  $(\sigma_i^1 \cap \sigma_j^2)$ -group for some  $\sigma_i^1 \in \sigma^1$  and  $\sigma_j^2 \in \sigma^2$ . Thus G is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -soluble.

3. From the definition of  $\sigma$ -subnormality it follows that every subgroup of a group G is  $\sigma$ -subnormal for  $\sigma = \{\pi(G)\}$ . Let prove that if H is  $\sigma^1$ -subnormal and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormal in G, then H is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal in G. Assume that there exist groups that have a  $\sigma^1$ -subnormal and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormal but not  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal subgroup. Let G be the least order group among them. Hence it has a  $\sigma^1$ -subnormal and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormal but not  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormal but not  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormal but not  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal subgroup H.

Assume that there is a subgroup K with  $H \leq K < G$  and  $K \leq G$ . Then H is  $\sigma^1$ -subnormal and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormal in K by Lemma 3(1). So by our assumption H is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal in K. Thus H is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal in G by definition, a contradiction.

Therefore for every K with  $H \leq K < G$  we have  $K \not \geq G$ . It means that a maximal chains for  $\sigma^1$ -subnormality and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormality of H must contain a maximal subgroups  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  of G. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G with  $H \leq M$ . Suppose that  $M_G \neq 1$ . Then  $HM_G/M_G$  is  $\sigma^1$ -subnormal and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormal in  $G/M_G$  by Lemma 3(2). By our assumption  $HM_G/M_G$  is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal in  $G/M_G$ . So  $HM_G$  is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal in G by Lemma 3(3). Note that H is  $\sigma^1$ -subnormal and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormal in  $HM_G < G$  by Lemma 3(1). Hence H is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal in  $HM_G$ . Thus H is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal in G by definition, a contradiction. It means that  $M_G = 1$  for every maximal subgroup of G with  $H \leq M$ . Now  $G \simeq G/(M_1)_G$  is a  $\sigma_i^1$ -group and  $G \simeq G/(M_2)_G$  is a  $\sigma_j^2$ -group for some  $\sigma_i^1 \in \sigma^1$  and  $\sigma_j^2 \in \sigma^2$ . So G is a  $\sigma_i^1 \cap \sigma_j^2$ -group. Therefore every subgroup of G is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal by the definition of  $\sigma$ -subnormality, the final contradiction. It means that if H is  $\sigma^1$ -subnormal and  $\sigma^2$ -subnormal in G, then H is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -subnormal in G.

4. From the definition of  $\sigma$ -*p*-permutability it follows that every subgroup of a group G is  $\sigma$ -*p*-permutable for  $\sigma = \{\pi(G)\}$ . Assume that H is  $\sigma^1$ -*p*-permutable and  $\sigma^2$ -*p*-permutable in G. Then  $H^G/H_G$  is a  $\sigma^1$ -nilpotent and  $\sigma^2$ -nilpotent subgroup by Lemma 5. Therefore  $H^G/H_G$  is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -nilpotent by 1. Hence  $H/H_G$  is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -nilpotent. Note that  $H/H_G$  is  $\sigma^1$ -*p*-permutable and  $\sigma^2$ -*p*-permutable in  $G/H_G$  by Lemma 4(1).

Let  $H_{ij}/H_G = O_{\sigma_i^1 \cap \sigma_j^2}(H/H_G)$ . Then  $H_{ij}/H_G$  is a normal Hall subgroup of  $H/H_G$ . Note that  $O^{\sigma_i^1}(G/H_G) \leq N_{G/H_G}(O_{\sigma_i^1}(H/H_G))$  by Lemma 7. From  $H_{ij}/H_G$  char  $O_{\sigma_i^1}(H/H_G)$  it follows that  $O^{\sigma_i^1}(G/H_G) \leq N_{G/H_G}(H_{ij}/H_G)$ . By analogy  $O^{\sigma_j^1}(G/H_G) \leq N_{G/H_G}(H_{ij}/H_G)$ . So

$$O^{\sigma_i^1 \cap \sigma_j^2}(G/H_G) = O^{\sigma_i^1}(G/H_G)O^{\sigma_j^2}(G/H_G) \le N_{G/H_G}(H_{ij}/H_G).$$

From  $O^{\sigma_i^1 \cap \sigma_j^2}(G/H_G) = O^{\sigma_i^1 \cap \sigma_j^2}(G)H_G/H_G$  it follows that  $H_{ij}^{O^{\sigma_i^1 \cap \sigma_j^2}(G)} = H_{ij}$ . Thus H is  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$ -*p*-permutable by Theorem 6.

### 4 Proof of Theorem 2

1. According to Lemma 2 given a generating set S (of polynomial in n size) of G one can find in polynomial time the generating set  $S' = \bigcup_{\sigma_i \in \sigma} S_{\sigma_i}$  (of polynomial in n size) such that every its element is a  $\sigma_i$ -element for some  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ . Now according to Theorem 5 we need only to check that  $\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle K/K \simeq \langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle/(\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle \cap K)$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group and  $[S_{\sigma_i}, S_{\sigma_j}] \subseteq K$ for every  $\sigma_i, \sigma_j \in \sigma$  with  $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$ . All these can be done in polynomial time by Theorem 4(7, 8) and Corollary 1. Algorithm 1: IsSigmaNilpotent( $G, K, \sigma$ )

**Result:** True, if G/K is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent and false otherwise. **Data:**  $K \leq G = \langle S \rangle$ ,  $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$  is a partition of  $\pi(G)$ Compute  $S_{\sigma_1}, \dots, S_{\sigma_k}$ ; for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  do  $| if \pi(\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle / (\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle \cap K)) \not\subseteq \sigma_i$  then | return False;end for  $j \in \{i + 1, \dots, k\}$  and  $j \leq k$  do  $| if [S_{\sigma_i}, S_{\sigma_j}] \not\subseteq K$  then | return False; | endend return True;

2. By 3 of Lemma 3 it is enough to check that H is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in G. According to the definition of  $\sigma$ -subnormality, if  $H \neq G$  is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in G, then there exists a proper subgroup M of G with  $H \leq M$  such that either  $M \leq G$  or  $O^{\sigma_i}(G) \leq M$  for some  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ . Since  $H^G$  and  $HO^{\sigma_i}(G)$  for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  can be computed in polynomial time by Theorem 4, the existence of such subgroup M can be checked in polynomial time. And in case of affirmative answer such M will be present. Note that from 1 of Lemma 3 if His  $\sigma$ -subnormal in G, the H is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in M. Now we can do a recursion. Note that every chain of subgroups of G has a length at most 2n - 3 (for  $n \geq 2$ ) by Lemma 1.

Algorithm 2: IsSigmaSubnormal $(G, H, K, \sigma)$ 

**Result:** True, if H/K is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in G/K and false otherwise. **Data:** H is a subgroup of a group  $G, K \leq G, \sigma$  is a partition of  $\pi(G)$ if H = G then  $\mid$  return True; end if  $H^G \neq G$  then  $\mid$  return  $IsSigmaSubnormal(H^G, H, K, \sigma)$ ; end for  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(|G : H|)$  do  $\mid$  if  $HO^{\sigma_i}(G) \neq G$  then  $\mid$  return  $IsSigmaSubnormal(HO^{\sigma_i}(G), K, H, \sigma)$ ; end end return False;

3. By Lemma 4 it is enough to check that H is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G. The check for  $\sigma$ -p-permutability is described in Theorem 6. From Theorem 4 and 1 we can check  $H^G/H_G$  for  $\sigma$ -nilpotency in polynomial time. Given a generating set S (of polynomial in n size) of H one can find in polynomial time the generating set  $S' = \bigcup_{\sigma_i \in \sigma} S_{\sigma_i}$  (of polynomial in n size) such that every its element is a  $\sigma_i$ -element for some  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ . Since  $H^G/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent and hence  $H/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent,  $\langle S_{\sigma_i}, H_G \rangle$  is the full inverse image of a Hall  $\sigma_i$ -subgroup  $H_{\sigma_i}/H_G$  of  $H/H_G$ . Note that  $H_{\sigma_i}/H_G = O_{\sigma_i}(H/H_G)$ . From Remark 1 it follows that  $\{O^{\sigma_i}(G) \mid \sigma_i \in \sigma(H/H_G)\}$  can be computed in polynomial time. Now by Theorem 4 and Corollary 1 we can check in polynomial time if  $\langle S_{\sigma_i}, H_G \rangle^{O^{\sigma_i}(G)} = \langle S_{\sigma_i}, H_G \rangle$ .

Algorithm 3: IsSigmaPermutable( $G, H, K, \sigma$ )

**Result:** True, if H/K is  $\sigma$ -*p*-permutable in G/K and false otherwise. **Data:**  $H = \langle S \rangle$  is a subgroup of a group  $G, K \leq G, \sigma$  is a partition of  $\pi(G)$ Compute  $H_G$ ; **if** not IsSigmaNilpotent( $H^G, H_G, \sigma$ ) **then**   $\mid$  **return** False; **end** Compute  $S_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_k}$ ; **for**  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(H/H_G)$  **do**   $\mid T \leftarrow \langle S_{\sigma_i}, H_G \rangle$  (i.e the full inverse image of  $O_{\sigma_i}(H/H_G)$ ); **if**  $T^{O^{\sigma_i}(G)} \neq T$  **then**   $\mid$  **return** False; **end end return** True;

4. A composition series  $G_0 = K \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_k = G$  of G passing through K can be found in polynomial time by 2 of Theorem 4. Now it is enough to check that  $\pi(|G_i|/|G_{i-1}|) \subseteq \sigma_j$ for some  $\sigma_j \in \sigma$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ .

Note that if G/K is  $\sigma$ -soluble, then it has a Hall  $\sigma_i$ -subgroup for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  by [13, Theorem B]. Now sets of  $\sigma$ -permutable subgroups and  $\sigma$ -p-permutable subgroups of G/K coincide by Lemma 8. Therefore we can use 3 as the check for  $\sigma$ -permutability.

### 5 Proof of Theorem 3

1. The least  $\sigma$  for which a group G is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent can be found in polynomial time.

Let  $\sigma$  be the least partition of  $\pi(G/K)$  for which G/K is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent. Let S be a generating set of a group G and  $\pi(G/K) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ . We start with the least possible partition  $\sigma^0 = \{\{p_1\}, \ldots, \{p_k\}\}$  of  $\pi(G/K)$ . From  $G \leq S_n$  it follows that  $k \leq n$ . It is clear that  $\sigma^0 \leq \sigma$ . Let  $\pi = \pi(G) \setminus \pi(G/K)$ . According to Lemma 2 given a generating set S (of polynomial in n size) of G one can find in polynomial time the generating set  $S' = \bigcup_{\{p_i\}\in\sigma} S_{\{p_i\}} \cup S_{\pi}$  (of polynomial in n size) such that every its element is a  $p_i$ -element for some  $\{p_i\} \in \sigma$  or  $\pi(G/K)'$ -element. Let  $S_{\sigma_i^0} = \{s_{p_i} \mid s \in S\}$ .

Assume that we know some partition  $\sigma^1 \leq \sigma$  and corresponding to it sets of generators  $S_{\sigma_i^1}$ . Our idea is to construct partition  $\sigma^2$  such that  $\sigma^1 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \sigma$  and if  $\sigma^1 = \sigma^2$ , then  $\sigma^2 = \sigma$ . Note that every chain of partitions from  $\sigma^0$  to  $\sigma$  has at most n elements.

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a graph where  $V = \sigma^1$  and two vertices are connected by the edge iff either  $[S_{\sigma_i^1}, S_{\sigma_j^1}] \not\subseteq K$  or  $\pi(|\langle S_{\sigma_i^1} \rangle|/|\langle S_{\sigma_i^1} \rangle \cap K|) \cap \sigma_j^1 \neq \emptyset$  or  $\pi(|\langle S_{\sigma_j^1} \rangle|/|\langle S_{\sigma_j^1} \rangle \cap K|) \cap \sigma_i^1 \neq \emptyset$ . From the definition of  $\sigma$ -nilpotency and  $\sigma^1 \leq \sigma$  it follows that two elements of  $\sigma^1$  lie in the same element of  $\sigma$  if they are connected by an edge in  $\Gamma$ . Therefore two elements of  $\sigma^1$ lie in the same element of  $\sigma$  if they are in the same connected component of  $\Gamma$ . By finding connected components of  $\Gamma$  and joining all vertices in the same connected component we will find a partition  $\sigma^2$  with  $\sigma^1 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \sigma$ . Note that there are no more than n(n-1)/2pairs of vertices in  $\Gamma$  and for a given pair of vertices we can check if it is joined by an edge in polynomial time by Theorem 4. Also finding connected components of  $\Gamma$  can be done by the breadth first search in polynomial time.

If there are no edges in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\sigma^1 = \sigma^2$ ,  $\pi(|\langle S_{\sigma_i^1} \rangle|/|\langle S_{\sigma_i^1} \rangle \cap K|) \subseteq \sigma_i^1$  for all  $\sigma_i^1 \in \sigma^1$ and  $[S_{\sigma_i^1}, S_{\sigma_j^1}] \subseteq K$  for all  $\sigma_i^1, \sigma_j^1 \in \sigma^1$  with  $\sigma_i^1 \neq \sigma_j^1$ . It means that G/K is  $\sigma^1$ -nilpotent by Theorem 5. From  $\sigma^1 \leq \sigma$  it follows that  $\sigma^1 = \sigma$ . If  $\Gamma$  has edges, then we can set  $\sigma^1 = \sigma^2$ and repeat the previous step. In no more than n steps we will stop.

Algorithm 4: LeastSigmaNilpotent(G, K)

```
Result: The least partition \sigma of \pi(G/K) for which G/K is \sigma-nilpotent.
Data: K is a subgroup of a group G
for p \in \pi(|G|/|K|) do
     Add \{p\} to \sigma;
end
\pi \leftarrow \pi(G) \setminus \pi(G/K)
Using partition \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k, \pi\} of \pi(G) compute S_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_k};
\sigma^1 \leftarrow \{\pi(G/K)\};
while \sigma \neq \sigma^1 do
      \sigma^1 \leftarrow \sigma;
      Define a graph \Gamma = (V, E) with empty V and E;
      for \sigma_i \in \sigma do
       Add \sigma_i to V with label l_i = \pi(|\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle|/|\langle S_{\sigma_i} \rangle \cap K|);
      end
      for i \in \{1, ..., k-1\} do
             for j \in \{i + 1, ..., k\} do
                   if [S_{\sigma_i}, S_{\sigma_j}] \not\subseteq K or l_i \cap \sigma_j \neq \emptyset or l_j \cap \sigma_i \neq \emptyset then
| Add \{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} to E;
                    end
             end
      end
      Find connected components \Gamma_k, 1 \leq k \leq l, of graph \Gamma;
      if |\sigma| = l then
       return \sigma;
      end
      |\sigma^2| \leftarrow l;
      for k \in \{1, ..., l\} do
         or k \in \{1, ..., l\} do

\sigma_k^2 \leftarrow \{\};

T_k \leftarrow [];

for \sigma_i \in \Gamma_k do

\begin{vmatrix} \sigma_k^2 \leftarrow \sigma_k^2 \cup l_i; \\ T_k \leftarrow T_k \cup S_{\sigma_i}; \end{vmatrix}

end

S_{\sigma_k} \leftarrow T_k;
      \sigma \leftarrow \sigma^2
end
return \sigma;
```

2. Let  $\sigma$  be the least partition of  $\pi(G/K)$  for which G/K is  $\sigma$ -soluble. Find a composition series  $G_0 = K \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_k = G$  of G passing through K (it can be done in polynomial time by 2 of Theorem 4). It is clear that if  $\pi(G_i/G_{i-1}) \cap \pi(G_j/G_{j-1}) \neq \emptyset$ , then there is  $\sigma_k \in \sigma$  with  $\pi(G_i/G_{i-1}) \cup \pi(G_j/G_{j-1}) \subseteq \sigma_k$ .

Let  $\sigma_i^0 = \pi(|G_i|/|G_{i-1}|)$  and  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a graph where  $V = \{\sigma_1^0, \ldots, \sigma_k^0\}$  and  $\sigma_i^0$  is joined by an edge with  $\sigma_j^0$  iff  $\sigma_i^0 \cap \sigma_j^0 \neq \emptyset$ . Note that  $|V| \leq 2n$  by Lemma 1. Hence  $\Gamma$  can be computed in polynomial time. The connected components  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  of  $\Gamma$  can be computed in polynomial time by the breadth first search algorithm. Let  $\sigma_i^1 = \bigcup_{\sigma_j^0 \in \Gamma_i} \sigma_j^0$ . It is clear that  $\sigma^1 = \{\sigma_1^1, \ldots, \sigma_k^1\} = \sigma$  is the required partition.

3. Assume that  $\sigma$  is the least partition of  $\pi(G)$  for which H is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G,  $K \leq G$ ,  $K \leq H$  and  $\sigma^0$  is the least partition of  $\pi(G/K)$  for which H is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable

in G/K. Let prove that  $\sigma$  can be obtained from  $\sigma^0$  by adding  $\{p\}$  to it for all  $p \in \pi(G) \setminus \pi(G/K)$ .

Let  $\sigma^1 = \{\sigma_i \mid \sigma_i \in \sigma^0 \text{ or } \sigma_i = \{p\} \text{ for } p \in \pi(G) \setminus \pi(G/K)\}$ . Since H/K is  $\sigma^0$ -ppermutable in G/K, H permutes with every  $\sigma_i$ -projector of G for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma^0$  by Lemma 4. Note that  $K \leq H$  contains every Sylow p-subgroup ( $\{p\}$ -projector) of G for all  $p \in \pi(G) \setminus \pi(G/K)$ . Hence H permutes with them. Thus H is  $\sigma^1$ -p-permutable in G. Now  $\sigma \leq \sigma^1$ . Assume that  $\sigma \neq \sigma^1$ , i.e. some elements of  $\sigma^1$  are disjoint unions of elements of  $\sigma$ . From the construction of  $\sigma^1$  it follows that some elements of  $\sigma^0$  are disjoint unions of elements of G/K for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\sigma^0$  is the least partition of  $\pi(G/K)$  for which H is  $\sigma^0$ -p-permutable. Thus  $\sigma^1 = \sigma$ .

Note that  $(H/K)_{G/K} = H_G/K$  and  $G/H_G \simeq (G/K)/((H/K)_{G/K})$ . Hence to compute the least partition  $\sigma$  of  $\pi(G/K)$  for which H/K is  $\sigma$ -*p*-permutable in G/K we can compute the least partition  $\sigma^0$  of  $\pi(G/H_G)$  for which  $H/H_G$  is  $\sigma^0$ -*p*-permutable in  $G/H_G$  and then add  $\{p\}$  to it for all  $p \in \pi(G/K) \setminus \pi(G/H_G)$ .

Let H be a subgroup of G,  $\sigma$  be the least partition of  $\pi(G/H_G)$  for which  $H/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in  $G/H_G$ .

According to Lemma 5  $H^G/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent. In particular, if  $\sigma^{-1}$  is the least partition of  $\pi(H^G/H_G)$  for which  $H^G/H_G$  is  $\sigma^{-1}$ -nilpotent, then by adding  $\{p\}$  for all  $p \in \pi(G/H_G) \setminus \pi(H^G/H_G)$  to  $\sigma^{-1}$  we obtain the least partition  $\sigma^0$  of  $\pi(G/H_G)$  for which  $H^G/H_G$  is  $\sigma^0$ nilpotent. Note that  $\sigma^0 \leq \sigma$  and  $\sigma^0$  can be computed in polynomial time by 1.

Now let  $\sigma^1$  be some partition with  $\sigma^0 \leq \sigma^1 \leq \sigma$  (we can chose  $\sigma^1 = \sigma^0$ ). Therefore every element of  $\sigma$  is the join of some elements of  $\sigma^1$ .

Since  $H/H_G$  is  $\sigma$ -nilpotent and  $\sigma^1$ -nilpotent for  $\sigma^1 \leq \sigma$ , we see that every Hall  $\sigma_i$ subgroup  $H_i/H_G$  is the direct product of some Hall  $\sigma^1_{i,j}$ -subgroups of  $H_{i,j}/H_G$  of  $H/H_G$ where  $\sigma_i = \bigcup_j \sigma^1_{i,j}$ . Note that if some subgroup  $T/H_G$  normalizes  $H_i/H_G$ , then it normalizes every subgroup  $H_{i,j}/H_G$ .

According to Lemma 7  $O^{\sigma_i}(G/H_G) \leq N_{G/H_G}(H_i/H_G)$ . Note that

$$O^{\pi_1 \cap \pi_2}(G) = O^{\pi_1}(G)O^{\pi_2}(G)$$
 for any  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ .

Now  $O^{\sigma_i}(G/H_G) = \prod_{k \neq i} O^{\sigma'_k}(G/H_G) = \prod_{k \neq i} \prod_j O^{\sigma^{1}_{k,j}'}(G/H_G)$ . Thus if  $a, b \in \sigma^1$  and  $O^{b'}(G/H_G) \leq N_G(H_a/H_G)$ , then a, b belongs to the same element of  $\sigma$ .

Now consider a graph  $\Gamma$  whose vertices are elements of  $\sigma^1$  and vertices a, b are connected by an edge if  $O^{b'}(G/H_G) \not\leq N_G(H_a/H_G)$  or  $O^{a'}(G/H_G) \not\leq N_G(H_b/H_G)$ . All vertices of the same connected component of  $\Gamma$  must belong to some element of  $\sigma$ . Hence, by joining the sets which correspond to the vertices of the same connected component of  $\Gamma$  we obtain new partition  $\sigma^2$  of  $\pi(G)$  with  $\sigma^0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \sigma$ . Note that  $|V(\Gamma)| \leq n$ . From  $O^{b'}(G/H_G) = O^{b'}(G)H_G/H_G$  it follows that  $O^{b'}(G/H_G) \not\leq N_G(H_a/H_G)$  iff  $H_a^{O^{b'}(G)} \neq H_a$ . The last condition can be checked in polynomial time by Theorem 4 and Remark 1. Hence the connected components of  $\Gamma$  can be computed in polynomial time. If  $\sigma^2 \neq \sigma^1$ . then we can set  $\sigma^1 \leftarrow \sigma^2$  and repeat the previous step. Note that after no more that  $|\sigma^0| \leq n$ steps we obtain  $\sigma^2 = \sigma^1$ .

Let prove that  $\sigma^1 = \sigma$ . We need only to prove that H is  $\sigma^1$ -p-permutable subgroup of G. Let  $H_i$  be a Hall  $\sigma_i^1$ -subgroup of  $H/H_G$ . Then  $O^{\sigma_i^1}(G/H_G) \leq N_{G/H_G}(H_i/H_G)$  by the construction of  $\sigma^1$ . It means that  $H_i/H_G$  permutes with every  $\sigma'_i$ -subgroup of  $G/H_G$ . Since  $H^G/H_G$  is a normal  $\sigma^1$ -nilpotent subgroup of  $G/H_G$ ,  $H_i/H_G \leq O_{\sigma_i^1}(H^G/H_G) \leq O_{\sigma_i^1}(G/H_G)$ . Hence  $H_i/H_G$  belongs to every  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$ -projector of  $G/H_G$  and thus permutes with it. It means that  $H_i/H_G$  is a  $\sigma^1$ -p-permutable subgroup of  $G/H_G$ .

### Algorithm 5: LeastSigmaPermutable(G, H)

**Result:** The least partition  $\sigma$  of  $\pi(G/K)$  for which H/K is  $\sigma$ -p-permutable in G/K. **Data:** *H* is a subgroup of a group *G*,  $K \leq G$  with  $K \leq H$  $\sigma \leftarrow \text{LeastSigmaNilpotent}(H^G, H_G);$ if  $\sigma = \{\pi(G/K)\}$  then return  $\sigma$ ; end for  $p \in \pi(G/H_G) \setminus \pi(\sigma)$  do Add  $\{p\}$  to  $\sigma$ ; end for  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  do  $P_i \leftarrow \mathcal{O}^{\sigma'_i}(G);$ end  $\sigma^1 \leftarrow \{\pi(G)\};$ while  $\sigma \neq \sigma^1$  do  $\sigma^1 \leftarrow \sigma;$ for  $\sigma_i \in \sigma^1$  do  $H_i \leftarrow$  the full inverse image of  $O_{\sigma_i}(H/H_G)$  in  $H/H_G$ ; end for  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  do for  $\sigma_j \in \sigma, i \neq j$  do  $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{if} \ H_i^{P_j} \neq H_i \ \mathbf{then} \\ | \ \sigma_i \leftarrow \sigma_i \cup \sigma_j; \end{array}$ end end end Find connected components  $\Gamma_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq l$ , of graph  $\Gamma$  with  $V(\Gamma) = \sigma$  and  $\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} \in E(\Gamma) \text{ iff } i \neq j \text{ and } \sigma_i \cap \sigma_j \neq \emptyset;$ if  $|\sigma| = l$  then return  $\sigma$ ; end  $|\sigma^2| \leftarrow l;$ for  $k \in \{1, ..., l\}$  do  $\sigma_k^2 \leftarrow \{\};$  $T_k \leftarrow [];$  $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{for} \ \sigma_i \in \Gamma_k \ \mathbf{do} \\ & \left| \begin{array}{c} \sigma_k^2 \leftarrow \sigma_k^2 \cup \sigma_i; \\ T_k \leftarrow \langle T_k, P_i \rangle; \end{array} \right. \\ \mathbf{end} \\ \end{array} \right.$  $P_k \leftarrow T_k;$ end  $\sigma \leftarrow \sigma^2$ : end for  $p \in \pi(G/K) \setminus \pi(G/H_G)$  do Add  $\{p\}$  to  $\sigma$ ;  $\mathbf{end}$ return  $\sigma$ ;

# References

- L. BABAI, On the length of subgroup chains in the symmetric group, Comm. Algebra, 14 (1986), pp. 1729–1736.
- [2] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, S. KAMORNIKOV, M. C. PEDRAZA-AGUILERA, AND V. PÉREZ-CALABUIG, On σ-subnormality criteria in finite σ-soluble groups, RACSAM, 114 (2020), p. 94.
- [3] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, S. F. KAMORNIKOV, AND V. N. TYUTYANOV, On the Kegel-Wielandt  $\sigma$ -problem for binary partitions, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 201 (2022), pp. 443–451.
- [4] S. A. CHUNIKHIN, Subgroups of Finite Groups, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1969.
- [5] K. DOERK AND T. O. HAWKES, *Finite Soluble Groups*, vol. 4 of De Gruyter Exp. Math., De Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1992.
- [6] M. FERRARA AND M. TROMBETTI, σ-Subnormality in locally finite groups, J. Algebra, 614 (2023), pp. 867–897.
- [7] W. GUO, L. ZHANG, AND N. T. VOROB'EV, On σ-local Fitting classes, J. Algebra, 542 (2020), pp. 116–129.
- [8] A. E.-R. HELIEL, M. AL-SHOMRANI, AND A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, On the  $\sigma$ -Length of Maximal Subgroups of Finite  $\sigma$ -Soluble Groups, Mathematics, 8 (2020).
- [9] W. M. KANTOR, Finding Sylow normalizers in polynomial time, J. Algorithms, 11 (1990), pp. 523–563.
- [10] W. M. KANTOR AND E. M. LUKS, Computing in quotient groups, in Proceedings of the Twenty–Second Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, Baltimore, May 14–16, New York, 1990, ACM, pp. 524–534.
- [11] V. MURASHKA, On a generalization of the concept of s-permutable subgroup of a finite group, Acta Math. Hungar., 155 (2018), pp. 221–227.
- [12] A. SERESS, Permutation Group Algorithms, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [13] A. N. SKIBA, A generalization of a Hall theorem, J. Algebra Appl., 15 (2015), p. 1650085.
- [14] A. N. SKIBA, On  $\sigma$ -subnormal and  $\sigma$ -permutable subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra, 436 (2015), pp. 1–16.