

# Primes in arithmetic progressions on average I

Tomos Parry

## Abstract

Let

$$E_x(q, a) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a(q)}} \log p - \frac{x}{\phi(q)} \quad M(Q) := \sum_{q \leq Q} \phi(q) \sum_{a=1}^q E_x(q, a)^3.$$

We show that if  $Q$  is close to  $x$  then  $M(Q) \ll Q^3(x/Q)^{7/5}$ , thereby showing that sign-changes in the average gives power-saving cancellation past the expected  $\sqrt{x/q}$ . This therefore for the first time provides unconditional evidence for a deep conjecture of Montgomery and Soundararajan.

## 1 Introduction

Let

$$E_x(q, a) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a(q)}} \log p - \frac{x}{\phi(q)}$$

be the error term when counting primes in arithmetic progressions, and which (ignoring log factors) is famously suspected to be around  $\sqrt{x/q}$  in size. When averaging, however, additional cancellation may occur, as was demonstrated by Hooley [2] in the form

$$\begin{aligned} M(Q) &:= \sum_{q \leq Q} \phi(q) \sum_{a=1}^q E_x(q, a)^3 \\ &\ll Q^3 \left( \frac{x}{Q} \right)^{3/2} e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}} + \frac{x^3}{(\log x)^A}. \end{aligned}$$

Hooley remarks further “*The assumption of the extended Riemann hypothesis for Dirichlet’s L-functions enables one to obtain a greatly improved form of our result in which a sharper version of the above bound is valid for smaller values of  $Q$ , thus shedding further light on the distribution of  $E_x(q, a)$  for smaller values of  $q$ . But the investigation of this development must await a later paper in this series.*”. It seems Hooley never did manage to return to this development but following his proof we see that he ultimately reduces  $M(Q)$  to an integral looking something like

$$Q^3 \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(s+1)h(s)(x/Q)^{s+2} ds}{\zeta(2s+2)s(s+1)(s+2)}$$

with  $h(s)$  holomorphic and bounded for  $\sigma > -1$ , so we’d guess he meant that under RH the above integral may be moved to the left (as well as stronger bounds then being available for the circle method part of his argument) to imply something like

$$M(Q) \ll Q^3 \left( \frac{x}{Q} \right)^{5/4} + x^{5/2}$$

although, in respect to the wealth of his contributions, we should also allow for the possibility that he meant something more.

In any case, as far as we know, there is no trace of anything in the literature giving additional cancellation of  $E_x(q, a)$  past the expected  $\sqrt{x/q}$  heuristic further than Hooley’s above result, and certainly no power-saving without RH. In this paper, we reveal an additional cancellation within the dispersion method which allows us to (unconditionally) prove

**Theorem.** For  $Q \leq x/(\log x)^2$  and any  $A > 0$

$$M(Q) \ll_A Q^3 \left( \frac{x}{Q} \right)^{7/5} + \frac{x^3}{(\log x)^A}.$$

Note that a deep conjecture of Montgomery proposes

$$\int_1^X \left( \sum_{x < n \leq x+H} \Lambda(n) - H \right)^2 dx \sim XH \log(X/H) \quad X^\epsilon \leq H \leq X^{1-\epsilon}$$

to which Montgomery and Soundararajan [4] have shown, on the assumption of some heavy conjectures,

$$\int_1^X \left( \sum_{x < n \leq x+H} \Lambda(n) - H \right)^k dx \sim XH^{k/2} \log(X/H)^{k/2} \left( \mu_k + \mathcal{O}\left(H^{-c/k}\right) \right) \quad X^\epsilon \leq H \leq X^{1/k}$$

(for some  $c > 0$ , and where the  $\mu_k$  are the moments of the standard Gaussian). The  $q$ -analogue of this (and  $q$ -analogues are typically certainly no easier) for  $k = 3$  would read

$$\sum_{a=1}^q E_x(q, a)^3 \ll q(x/q)^{3/2-c}$$

and in fact Leung [3] has proven a result of this kind, again highly conditional. Our result therefore, as far as we know, is the first that detects the conjectured cancellation unconditionally.

Let's give a few words as to the proof. Opening up  $M(Q)$  we see it's

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \phi(q) \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq x \\ p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv p_3 \pmod{q}}} \log \mathbf{p} - 3x \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q E_x(q, a)^2 - 3x^2 E_x(1, 0) \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{1}{\phi(q)} - x^3 \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{1}{\phi(q)^2}$$

where the first term is “the difficult part” and where let's call the second term “the variance part”. Hooley finds a precise asymptotic for the difficult part and compares it to the main terms of the remaining parts, including the variance part. We prove our theorem following this argument, but instead of bounding the integral above, we further compare it to the *error* term in the variance part, and show they completely negate each other. This requires, and what is most likely the most important contribution of our proof, keeping better track of the main terms than in [2], which in (117) contains a large term impossible to control without RH. This laying-out of the main terms in a more transparent manner so as to isolate the correct main term is essential if we wish to pass to the study of the fourth moment with a dispersion method, and it is indeed that subject which is the main motivation for the present work. We return to this in a sequel to this article, but let's just briefly indicate now what we mean: Opening up the fourth moment as was done above for the third moment, and using (e.g.) a weight  $\phi(q)^2$ , we get a “difficult part” plus parts

$$\underbrace{-4x \sum_{q \leq Q} \phi(q) \sum_{a=1}^q E_x(q, a)^3 + 6x^2 \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q E_x(q, a)^2 + \dots}_{=M(Q)}$$

so that if we want a bound for the fourth moment of size  $\ll Q^4(x/Q)^{2+\delta}$  then at the very least we need a bound  $M(Q) \ll Q^3(x/Q)^{1+\delta}$ .

As a final but ultimately just vague comment, we mention that error terms in integrals negating each other when otherwise needing to call on RH is something we believe should maybe not be glossed over.

We present our proof in two sections. In the first we prove our theorem subject to Lemma 1 - here we are just re-writing the first half of [2]. In the second we state and prove Lemma 1, where we find the new cancellation. In particular this lemma gives us a contour integral with integrand containing a factor  $1/s^2(s+1)$  instead of the  $1/s(s+1)(s+2)$  in [2]. This seemingly completely uninteresting observation proves to be just the opposite.

We particularly thank Professor Ahmet Güloğlu for many helpful discussions in writing this paper.

## 2 Proof of theorem, subject to Lemma 1

We retrace initially Hooley's route exactly, however we give all the details since there are a few inaccuracies<sup>1</sup> in [2]. Notation: By  $\int_{(c)}$  we mean  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c+1/100-i\infty}^{c+1/100+i\infty}$ .

<sup>1</sup>Essentially §4 needs to be re-written, the ultimate problem being that smoothness of sums involved is overlooked. (22) to

Let  $A > 0$  and  $P := x/(\log x)^{A+100}$ . By (Gallagher's form of) the Barban-Davenport-Halberstam theorem

$$\sum_{q \leq P} \sum_{a=1}^q E_x(q, a)^2 \ll \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}$$

so, with the prime number theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} M(Q) &= \sum_{P < q \leq Q} \phi(q) \sum_{a=1}^q E_x(q, a)^3 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^3}{(\log x)^A}\right) \\ &= \sum_{P < q \leq Q} \phi(q) \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{p} \leq x \\ p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv p_3(q)}} \log \mathbf{p} - 3x \sum_{P < q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q E_x(q, a)^2 \\ &\quad - 3x^2 E_x(1, 0) \sum_{P < q \leq Q} \frac{1}{\phi(q)} - x^3 \sum_{P < q \leq Q} \frac{1}{\phi(q)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^3}{(\log x)^A}\right) \\ &=: S_1(Q) - 3xV(Q) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^3}{(\log x)^A}\right) - x^3U(Q) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^3}{(\log x)^A}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and by (2), (5), (6), (8), (9), (10) and (11) of [1]

$$\begin{aligned} V(Q) &= Qx \log x - Qx - x^2U(Q) + x^2(J(x/P) - J(x/Q)) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}\right) \\ \text{where } J(X) &:= Z \log X + C_1 + \frac{\log X + C_2}{X} + 2 \int_{(-5/4)} \frac{\mathcal{F}_1(s+1)(x/Q)^s ds}{s(s+1)(s+2)} \\ \mathcal{F}_1(s) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n/\phi(n)}{n^s} \\ Z &:= \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)}{\zeta(6)} \quad C_2 := \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} + \gamma_0 + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)}; \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

here we get the value of  $C_2$  from (10) of [2]. From Lemma 1 of [1]

$$U(Q) = Z \log(Q/P) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log P}{P}\right) \quad (3)$$

and of course  $J(x/P) \ll P/x$  so (2) becomes

$$V(Q) = Qx \log Q - (C_2 + 1)Qx + 2Q^2 \underbrace{\int_{(-1/4)} \frac{\mathcal{F}_1(s)(x/Q)^{s+1} ds}{(s-1)s(s+1)}}_{=: I(x/Q)} + \mathcal{O}(Px \log x) \quad (4)$$

and with (3) then (1) becomes, writing  $X = x/Q$ ,

$$M(Q) = S_1(Q) - 3Q^3 \left( X^2 \log Q - X^2(C_2 + 1) + 2XI(X) \right) - 3Zx^3 \log(Q/P) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^3}{(\log x)^A}\right). \quad (5)$$

Let

$$J_1(Q) := \sum_{Q < q \leq x} \frac{\phi(q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{p} \leq x \\ p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv p_3(q)}} \log \mathbf{p}$$

so that

$$S_1(Q) = Q \left( J_1(P) - J_1(Q) \right) - \int_P^Q \left( J_1(P) - J_1(t) \right) dt. \quad (6)$$

---

(23) misses a large term, causing a sharp cut-off, and the proof of Lemma 2 is given as a reference to another lemma but where the sum is smoother than in Lemma 2. This is all fixable and of course doesn't detract from the main point, but it probably does mean that we'd be wise to write things out again to clear up any possible blurriness.

Then, using  $\phi \star 1 = \text{id}$  and where  $f(x)$  is some quantity independent of  $Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(Q) &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \left\{ 6 \sum_{\substack{Q < q \leq x \\ d|q}} \sum_{\substack{p_1 < p_2 < p_3 \leq x \\ p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv p_3 (q)}} \log \mathbf{p} + 3 \sum_{\substack{Q < q \leq x \\ d|q}} \sum_{\substack{p < p' \leq x \\ p \equiv p' (q)}} (\log p)^2 \log p' \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 3 \sum_{\substack{Q < q \leq x \\ d|q}} \sum_{\substack{p < p' \leq x \\ p \equiv p' (q)}} \log p (\log p')^2 \right\} + \sum_{Q < q \leq x} \frac{\phi(q)}{q} \sum_{p \leq x} (\log p)^3 \\
&=: 6J_4(Q) + 3(J_5(Q) + J_6(Q)) + f(x) + \mathcal{O}(xQ(\log x)^3)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

so we need to calculate the  $J_i$ 's - first we do  $J_5$  and  $J_6$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1(l) &:= \prod_{p|l} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p-1-1/p} \right) & \mathcal{F}(s) &:= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_1(l)}{l^s} & \text{so} & \quad \mathcal{F}(s) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s+1) & \underbrace{\mathcal{F}^*(s)}_{\ll 1 \text{ for } \sigma > -1/2} & =: \zeta(s)h(s) \\
\alpha_1 &= h(1) & \beta_1 &= h(1)(\gamma_0 - 1) + h'(1) \\
\alpha_2 &= \frac{h(1)}{2} & \beta_2 &= h(1) \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \frac{3}{4} \right) + \frac{h'(1)}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

so that, from the usual Perron type formulas and moving the integrals a bit past  $\sigma = -1$  with the simplest of bounds for  $\zeta(s)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l < X} (X-l) \frac{\psi_1(l)}{l} &= \int_{(0)} \frac{\mathcal{F}(s+1)X^{s+1}ds}{s(s+1)} = X(\alpha_1 \log X + \beta_1) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\
\sum_{l < X} (X-l)^2 \frac{\psi_1(l)}{l} &= 2 \int_{(0)} \frac{\mathcal{F}(s+1)X^{s+2}ds}{s(s+1)(s+2)} = 2X^2(\alpha_2 \log X + \beta_2) + \mathcal{O}(X) \\
\sum_{l < X} (X-l)\psi_1(l) &= \int_{(0)} \frac{\mathcal{F}(s+1)X^{s+2}ds}{(s+1)(s+2)} = c_1X^2 + \mathcal{O}(X) \\
\sum_{l < X} \log(X/l)l\psi_1(l) &= \int_{(0)} \frac{\mathcal{F}(s+1)X^{s+2}ds}{(s+2)^2} = c_2X^2 + \mathcal{O}(X) \quad \text{for some constants } c_1, c_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_1(l) &:= Q^2(X-l)(\gamma X + \delta l) - \frac{(lQ)^2 \log(X/l)}{2} & X &:= \frac{x}{Q} & \gamma &:= \frac{\log x}{2} - \frac{1}{4} & \delta &:= \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\log x}{2} \\
\mathcal{M}_2(l) &:= \int_0^{x-Ql} \log t (x - Ql - t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

and note that

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\phi(dl)} = \frac{C_3 \psi_1(l)}{l} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad C_3 = \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \right) \tag{8}$$

so the integral representations above give (again for some  $f(x)$  independent of  $Q$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l \leq X} \frac{\mathcal{M}_1(l)\psi_1(l)}{l} &= \gamma \alpha_1 x^2 \log X + f(x) + \mathcal{O}(Q^2 X) \\
\sum_{l \leq X} \frac{\mathcal{M}_2(l)\psi_1(l)}{l} &= \frac{Q^2 \log Q}{2} \sum_{l \leq X} (X-l)^2 \frac{\psi_1(l)}{l} + Q^2 \int_0^X \log(X-t) \left( \sum_{l < t} (t-l) \frac{\psi_1(l)}{l} \right) dt \\
&= Q^2 X^2 \log Q (\alpha_2 \log X + \beta_2) \\
&\quad + Q^2 \int_0^X t \log(X-t) \{ \alpha_1 \log t + \beta_1 \} dt \mathcal{O}(Q^2 X \log X) \\
&= x^2 \log Q (\alpha_2 \log X + \beta_2) \\
&\quad + x^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1 (\log X)^2}{2} + \left( \frac{\beta_1}{2} - \alpha_1 \right) \log X \right) + f(x) + \mathcal{O}(Q^2 X \log X);
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

if this last integral proves tricky to check we can always refer to the proof of Lemma 2 in [2] and read from line -6 to the end. Recall  $Q \geq x/(\log x)^{A+100}$  and put  $L = (\log x)^{A+10}x/Q$ . We have from the Siegel-Walfisz theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l < x/Q} \sum_{\substack{p, p' \leq x \\ p \equiv p' \pmod{dl} \\ Q < \frac{p'-p}{l}}} \log p (\log p')^2 &= \sum_{l < x/Q} \sum_{Ql < p' \leq x} (\log p')^2 \left( \frac{p' - Ql}{\phi(dl)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^{2+\epsilon}}{Q}\right) \\
&= \sum_{l < x/Q} \frac{1}{\phi(dl)} \left\{ \int_{Ql}^x (t - Ql) \log t \, dt + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^{A+2}}\right) \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^{A+1}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{l < x/Q} \frac{\mathcal{M}_1(l)}{\phi(dl)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^{A+1}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l < x/Q} \sum_{\substack{p, p' \leq x \\ p \equiv p' \pmod{dl} \\ Q < \frac{p'-p}{l}}} (\log p)^2 \log p' &= \sum_{l < x/Q} \sum_{p \leq x - Ql} (\log p)^2 \left( \frac{x - Ql - p}{\phi(dl)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^{2+\epsilon}}{Q}\right) \\
&= \sum_{l < x/Q} \frac{1}{\phi(dl)} \left( \mathcal{M}_2(l) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^{A+2}}\right) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^{A+1}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

so, re-writing the congruence condition,  $J_5(Q) + J_6(Q)$  is

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \left\{ \sum_{l < x/Q} \frac{1}{\phi(dl)} \left( \mathcal{M}_1(l) + \mathcal{M}_2(l) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^{A+1}}\right) \right\}$$

and we conclude from (8) and (9)

$$\begin{aligned}
J_5(Q) + J_6(Q) &= C_3 x^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1 (\log X)^2}{2} + \left( \gamma \alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_1}{2} - \alpha_1 \right) \log X + \alpha_2 \log Q \log X + \beta_2 \log Q \right) \\
&\quad + f(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(Q^2 X \log X + \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}\right) \\
&= C_3 x^2 \log Q \left( \left( \alpha_2 - \frac{3\alpha_1}{2} \right) \log x + \left( \frac{5\alpha_1}{4} - \frac{\beta_1}{2} + \beta_2 \right) \right) + f(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(Qx \log x + \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}\right) \\
&= x^2 \log Q \left( -\log x + 1 \right) + f(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(Qx \log x + \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}\right) \quad (\text{because } C_3 h(1) = 1) \\
&=: \mathcal{B}(Q) + f(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(Qx \log x + \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}\right). \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

Now to  $J_4$ . Whenever the letters  $l, l'$  are in context write  $\tilde{l} = l + l'$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
r &:= \frac{1}{p-2-\frac{1}{p}} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p > 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases} & \Gamma_\Delta(l) &:= \prod_{\substack{p|l \\ p \neq \Delta}} (1+r) \\
I(p) &:= \begin{cases} -r(1+3r+r^2) & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \\ 2 & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases} & I(\Delta) &:= \prod_{p|\Delta} \begin{cases} I(p) & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is squarefree} \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases} \\
\mathcal{J}(X) &:= \sum_{\Delta(l+l') \leq X} \frac{I(\Delta)(X-\Delta\tilde{l})^2 \Gamma_\Delta(l) \Gamma_\Delta(l') \Gamma_\Delta(\tilde{l})}{\Delta\tilde{l}} \\
\mathcal{J}^*(X) &:= \sum_{\Delta(l+l') \leq X} I(\Delta)(X-\Delta\tilde{l})^2 \Delta\tilde{l} \Gamma_\Delta(l) \Gamma_\Delta(l') \Gamma_\Delta(\tilde{l}) \\
C_5 &:= \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) & C_6 &:= \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-2)}\right) & C_8 &:= \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{p}\right) \\
\mathcal{U}(s) &:= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{Y}{p-1}\right) & \sigma &> 0 & Y &:= p^{-s} \\
&= \zeta(s+1) \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{Y}{p-1}\right) (1 - Y/p) & \sigma &> -1/2 \\
a &:= \frac{2\Gamma(3)}{\Gamma(6)} = \frac{1}{30} & b &:= \frac{2\Gamma(3)\zeta(0)}{\Gamma(5)} = -\frac{1}{12} & Z &:= \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} + \gamma_0 - \frac{13}{12} \\
\alpha &:= a\mathcal{U}(1) & \beta &:= b\mathcal{U}(0) & \gamma &:= \beta \left( Z + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) + \frac{\zeta(0)}{12} \\
M(X) &:= \alpha X^5 + \beta X^4 \log X + \gamma X^4 & E(X) &:= 2 \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\zeta(s)\mathcal{U}(s)X^{s+4}ds}{s(s+3)(s+4)} & (11)
\end{aligned}$$

and denote by  $\Gamma(s)$  the Gamma function. Hooley deals with  $J_4$  using the circle method, this original idea being the main point of the work. There are no inaccuracies here as far as we can tell, so we simply report that

$$J_4(X) = \frac{C_5 C_6 Q^2}{2} \mathcal{J}(X) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}\right)$$

which (referring to [2]) we can read off from (13), (18), (54), (59), (60), (63), (64) and the sentence following (64), and we get from (7) and (10)

$$J_1(Q) = 3C_5 C_6 Q^2 \mathcal{J}(X) + 3\mathcal{B}(Q) + f(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(xQ(\log x)^2 + \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}\right). \quad (12)$$

for some  $f(x)$  independent of  $Q$ . We now need

**Lemma 1.** *Assume the notation (11). For  $\delta = 1/10$*

$$\mathcal{J}^*(X) = C_8 \left( M(X) + E(X) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(X^{7/2-\delta}\right).$$

This should be compared with (117) of [2], where the main term can't be controlled without RH and where the error term already contains the usual "zero-free region error".

We prove this lemma in the next section. Assuming it for the moment, write

$$C_f(X) = \frac{f(X)}{X^2} - 6X \int_0^X \frac{f(t)dt}{t^4} + 12X^2 \int_0^X \frac{f(t)dt}{t^5}$$

so that, for some  $f(x)$  independent of  $Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_M(X) &= 10\alpha X^3 + 6\beta X^2(\log X)^2 + (12\gamma - 5\beta)X^2 \log X + X^2(6\beta - 5\gamma) \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{U}(1)X^3}{3} - \frac{\mathcal{U}(0)X^2(\log X)^2}{2} + \mathcal{U}(0) \left( \underbrace{1 - \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} - \gamma_0 - \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)}}_{=-C_2 \text{ as in (2)}} \right) X^2 \log X \\
&=: AX^3 + BX^2(\log X)^2 + CX^2 \log X \\
&= AX^3 - X^2 \left( \log Q(2B \log x + C) - B(\log Q)^2 + f(x) \right) \\
&=: M^*(Q)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_E(X) = \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\zeta(s)\mathcal{U}(s)}{s(s+3)(s+4)} \mathcal{C}_{x \rightarrow x^s}(X) ds = \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\zeta(s)\mathcal{U}(s)X^{s+2}}{s^2(s+1)} ds =: E^*(X)$$

to conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
P^2 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}^*}(x/P) - Q^2 \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}^*}(x/Q) &= C_8 \left( P^2 M^*(x/P) - Q^2 M^*(x/Q) + P^2 E^*(x/P) - Q^2 E^*(x/Q) \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( Q^2 \left( \frac{x}{Q} \right)^{7/5} \right) \\
&=: C_8 \left( \mathcal{A}(Q) + \mathcal{E}(Q) \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( Q^2 \left( \frac{x}{Q} \right)^{7/5} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3 of [2] and (12) this means

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(P) - J_1(Q) &= 3 \left( \mathcal{A}(Q) + \mathcal{B}(Q) + \mathcal{E}(Q) \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( Q^2 \left( \frac{x}{Q} \right)^{7/5} + \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A} \right) \quad (C_5 C_6 C_8 = 1) \\
&= 3A \left( \frac{x^3}{P} - \frac{x^3}{Q} \right) + 3x^2 \left( \left( (2B+1) \log x + C - 1 \right) \log(P/Q) + B(\log P)^2 - B(\log Q)^2 \right) \\
&\quad + 3\mathcal{E}(Q) + \mathcal{O} \left( Q^2 \left( \frac{x}{Q} \right)^{7/5} \right) \quad (\text{from (10); note also } \mathcal{U}(0) = 1) \\
&= 3A \left( \frac{x^3}{P} - \frac{x^3}{Q} \right) + 3x^2 \left( C_2 \log(P/Q) + B(\log P)^2 - B(\log Q)^2 \right) + 3\mathcal{E}(Q) + \mathcal{O} \left( Q^2 \left( \frac{x}{Q} \right)^{7/5} \right) \\
&= 3x^2 M^{**}(Q) + 3\mathcal{E}(Q) + \mathcal{O} \left( Q^2 \left( \frac{x}{Q} \right)^{7/5} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

so by (6) and the above definition for  $\mathcal{E}(Q)$

$$S_1(Q) = 3x^2 \left\{ Q M^{**}(Q) - \int_P^Q M^{**}(t) dt + 2 \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\zeta(s)\mathcal{U}(s)x^s}{s^2(s+1)} \underbrace{\left( Q(P^{-s} - Q^{-s}) - \int_P^Q (P^{-s} - t^{-s}) \right)}_{=Q^{1-s} \frac{s}{s-1} + \mathcal{O}(xP^{-s})} \right\}.$$

The first term in curly brackets is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_P^Q t \left( \frac{Ax}{t^2} - \frac{S}{t} - \frac{2B \log t}{t} \right) dt \\
&= Ax \log(Q/P) - Q \left( 2B \log Q - 2B + C_2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(P \log P) \\
&= Ax \log(Q/P) + Q (\log Q - 1 - C_2)
\end{aligned}$$

and from (4) the second term gives a total contribution

$$6xQ^2 I(x/Q) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A} \right)$$

and our theorem now follows from (5) since  $\mathcal{U}(1) = Z$  and  $\mathcal{U}(s) = \mathcal{F}_1(s)$  (these were defined in (2)). All we're left to do is prove Lemma 1.

### 3 Proof of Lemma 1

Here we prove Lemma 1. We suspect the exponent may be pushed down to 1 which would be the best input for the fourth moment and we return to this another time. For now in this article we're content with the simplest saving, the main point being the cancellation between integrals explained in the introduction.

Let  $r$  be as in (11) so that

$$r = 1/p + \mathcal{O}(1/p^2) \quad (13)$$

Let  $\Gamma_\Delta(l)$  be as in (11) and for  $\sigma > 1$  and a Dirichlet character  $\chi$  let

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Delta) &:= \prod_{p|\Delta} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2r}{p}} & K(p) &:= 1 + \frac{r^2 U(p)}{p} & K_\Delta(l) &:= \prod_{\substack{p|l \\ p \nmid \Delta}} K(p) \\ \mathcal{G}_\Delta^\chi(s) &:= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_\Delta(l) \chi(l)}{l^s} & \mathcal{K}_{d,\Delta}(s) &:= \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ d|l}}^{\infty} \frac{K_\Delta(l)}{l^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We have<sup>2</sup>, writing  $Y = \chi(p)p^{-s}$ ,

$$\mathcal{G}_\Delta^\chi(s) = L_\chi(s)L_\chi(s+1) \overbrace{\prod_{p|\Delta} (1+rY) \left(1 - \frac{Y}{p}\right) \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{Y}{p}\right)}^{\text{holomorphic and } \ll 1 \text{ for } \sigma > -1/2 \text{ by (13)}} \quad (15)$$

and in particular, assuming  $(d, \Delta) = 1$  and writing  $Y = p^{-s}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_\Delta^{\chi_0} &= L_{\chi_0}(s)L_{\chi_0}(s+1) \prod_{p|d\Delta} (1+rY) \left(1 - \frac{Y}{p}\right) \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{Y}{p}\right) &=: L_{\chi_0}(s)L_{\chi_0}(s+1)\mathcal{R}_{d,\Delta}(s) \\ &= L_{\chi_0}(s) \prod_{p|d\Delta} (1+rY) &=: L_{\chi_0}(s)\mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(s) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and we have, using  $K_\Delta(ld) = K_\Delta(d)K_{d\Delta}(l)$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}_d(s) = \frac{\zeta(s)K_\Delta(d)}{d^s} \prod_{p|d\Delta} \left(1 + \underbrace{\frac{r^2 U(p)Y}{p}}_{\ll 1/p \text{ for } \sigma > -2}\right) \quad (17)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } \sigma > -1/2 \quad \mathcal{G}_\Delta^\chi(s) &\ll |L_\chi(s)L_\chi(s+1)| \\ &\ll |\zeta(s)\zeta(s+1)| \max\{d^{-\sigma}, 1\} && \text{if } \chi = \chi_0 \\ &\ll \max\{(dt)^{1/2-\sigma}, 1\} && \text{always} \\ \text{for } \sigma > -2 \quad \mathcal{K}_d(s) &\ll \left| \frac{\zeta(s)}{d^s} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

---

<sup>2</sup> $\mathcal{G}_\Delta^\chi(s)$  is obviously extendable to  $\sigma > -1$  but we don't need it



Let, where  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function,  $C_8$  is as in (11), and  $\Gamma_\Delta, \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}, \mathcal{R}_{1,\Delta}$  are as above,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\Delta(d) &:= \frac{2\Gamma(3)\mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(1)^2}{\Gamma(6)} \sum_{e|d} \frac{\Gamma_\Delta(e)^2}{\phi(d/e)e^2} L_{\chi_0(d/e)}(1)^2 \\
c &:= \frac{2\Gamma(3)\zeta(0)}{\Gamma(5)} \\
B_\Delta(d) &:= \frac{c}{d} \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(1)\mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(0)\Gamma_\Delta(d)^2 \\
B_\Delta^*(d) &:= \frac{c}{d} \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(1)\mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(0)\Gamma_\Delta(d)^2 \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{\Gamma_\Delta(p)^2} \\
Z &:= \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} - \frac{\Gamma'(5)}{\Gamma(5)} + 1 \\
Z_\Delta &:= \frac{\mathcal{R}'_{1,\Delta}(0)}{\mathcal{R}_{1,\Delta}(0)} + Z \\
M_{d,\Delta}(X) &:= A_\Delta(d)X^5 + B_\Delta(d) \left( \log X/d + Z_{d\Delta} \right) X^4 + B_\Delta^*(d)X^4 \\
I_{d,\Delta}(X) &:= \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(1) \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(s)}{s(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)} \left( \sum_{e|d} \frac{\Gamma_\Delta(e)^2}{\phi(d/e)e^{s+1}} L_{\chi_0(d/e)}(1)L_{\chi_0(d/e)}(s) \right) X^{s+4} ds \\
J_{d,\Delta}(X) &:= C_8 U(\Delta) \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\mathcal{K}_{d,\Delta}(s) X^{s+4} ds}{(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)} \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

and note in particular, keeping one integral where it is and moving the other to the left,

$$(d \leq X) \quad I_{d,\Delta}(X) \ll \frac{X^4}{d} \quad J_{d,\Delta}(X) \ll d^2 X^2. \tag{20}$$

Whenever the letters  $l, l'$  are in context write  $\tilde{l} = l + l'$ . With  $r, \Gamma_\Delta$  as in (11) define multiplicative  $g_\Delta$  through  $\Gamma_\Delta = g_\Delta \star 1$  so that

$$g_\Delta(d) = \begin{cases} g_1(d) & \text{if } (d, \Delta) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } (d, \Delta) > 1 \text{ or if } d \text{ is squarefree} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g_1(p) = r \tag{21}$$

and so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l+l' \leq X} (X - \tilde{l})^2 \tilde{l} \Gamma_\Delta(l) \Gamma_\Delta(l') \Gamma_\Delta(\tilde{l}) &= \sum_{d \leq X} g_\Delta(d) \sum_{\substack{l+l' \leq X \\ \tilde{l} \equiv 0(d)}} (X - \tilde{l})^2 \tilde{l} \Gamma_\Delta(l) \Gamma_\Delta(l') \\
&=: \sum_{d \leq X} g_\Delta(d) \mathcal{L}_{d,\Delta}(X). \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $C_8, \mathcal{U}(s)$  be as in (11) and let

$$V_s(\Delta) := \prod_{p|\Delta} V_s(p) := \prod_{p|\Delta} \left( 1 + \frac{2r}{p} + \frac{rY}{p} (p + 3r + r^2) \right) \quad Y := p^{-s} \tag{23}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_\Delta(s) &:= \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} V_s(p) && \sigma > 0 \\
&= \frac{\zeta(s+1)}{V_s(\Delta)} \prod_p V_s(p) (1 - Y/p) && \sigma > -1/2 \quad (\text{by (13)}) \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

so that with (11)

$$\sum_{\Delta=1}^{\infty} \frac{I(\Delta) \mathcal{V}_\Delta(s)}{\Delta^{s+1}} = \prod_p \left( V_s(p) + \frac{Y I(p)}{p} \right) = C_8 \mathcal{U}(s) \quad \sigma > 0. \tag{25}$$

We will prove that

$$\mathcal{L}_{d,\Delta}(X) = M_{d,\Delta}(X) + 2\Gamma(3)I_{d,\Delta}(X) + \mathcal{O} \left( X^\epsilon \left( X^3 + d^{3/2} X^{5/2} + d^2 X^2 \right) \right) \tag{26}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{d,\Delta}(X) = \frac{C_8 U(\Delta) \mathcal{K}_d(1)}{30} X^5 + 2J_{d,\Delta}(X) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{X^4}{d}\right) \quad (27)$$

which from (20) imply

$$\mathcal{L}_{d,\Delta}(X) = M_{d,\Delta}(X) + 2\Gamma(3)I_{d,\Delta}(X) + 2J_{d,\Delta}(X) + \mathcal{O}\left(X^\epsilon \min\left\{X^3 + d^{3/2}X^{5/2} + X^2d^2, \frac{X^4}{d}\right\}\right)$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) \mathcal{L}_{d,\Delta}(X) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) M_{d,\Delta}(X) + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) \left(2\Gamma(3)I_{d,\Delta}(X) + 2J_{d,\Delta}(X)\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(X^{17/5}\right) \quad (28)$$

so with (25) we get Lemma 1 if we prove (26), (27) and

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) I_{d,\Delta}(X) = \int_{(-1/2)}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(s) \mathcal{V}_{\Delta}(s) X^{s+4} ds}{s(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)} \quad (29)$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) J_{d,\Delta}(X) = \int_{(0)}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(s) \mathcal{V}_{\Delta}(s) X^{s+4} ds}{(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)} \quad (30)$$

$$\sum_{\Delta, d=1}^{\infty} \Delta^3 I(\Delta) g_{\Delta}(d) M_{d,\Delta}(X/\Delta) = M(X). \quad (31)$$

### 3.1 Proof of (26)

Recall that the Gamma function is holomorphic for  $\sigma > -1/2$  except for a simple pole with residue 1 at  $s = 0$  and that  $L_{\chi_0}(s+1)$  has a simple pole at  $s = 0$ .

For a squarefree character of order  $d$ , we know that  $L_{\chi_0}(s)$  has a zero at  $s = 0$  of order at least 2 if  $d$  has more than one prime factor, and a simple zero if  $d = p$  (and no zero if  $d = 1$ ), so (recalling the definitions in (16))

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{s=0} \left\{ L_{\chi_0}(s) L_{\chi_0}(s+1) \mathcal{R}_{d,\Delta}(s) \frac{\Gamma(s) + \Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(s+5)} X^s \right\} \\ &= \underbrace{\text{Res}_{s=0} \left\{ \frac{\zeta(s) \zeta(s+1) \mathcal{R}_{1,\Delta}(s) \Gamma(s) X^s}{\Gamma(s+5)} \right\}}_{d=1} + \frac{\zeta(0) \mathcal{R}_{1,\Delta}(0)}{\Gamma(5)} \\ &+ \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{R}_{d,\Delta}(0)}{\Gamma(5)} \text{Res}_{s=0} \{ L_{\chi_0}(s) L_{\chi_0}(s+1) \Gamma(s) \}}_{d=p} \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{\zeta(0) \mathcal{Q}_{\Delta}(0)}{\Gamma(5)} \left( \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} + \frac{\mathcal{R}'_{1,\Delta}(0)}{\mathcal{R}_{1,\Delta}(0)} - \frac{\Gamma'(5)}{\Gamma(5)} + \log X + 1 \right)}_{d=1} + \underbrace{\frac{\zeta(0) \log d \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(0)}{\Gamma(5)}}_{d=p} \\ &=: \underbrace{C_{\Delta}^*(X)}_{d=1} + \underbrace{C_{d,\Delta}^{**}}_{d=p}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Write  $\Gamma_{\Delta}(\mathbf{l}) = \Gamma_{\Delta}(l) \Gamma_{\Delta}(l')$ . Splitting the sum according to the value of  $e = (l, d)$  and using  $\Gamma_{\Delta}(el) = \Gamma_{\Delta}(e) \Gamma_{\Delta_e}(l)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{l+l' \leq X \\ \tilde{l} \equiv 0(d)}} (X - \tilde{l})^2 l \Gamma_{\Delta}(\mathbf{l}) &= \sum_{e|d} e^3 \Gamma_{\Delta}(e)^2 \sum_{\substack{l+l' \leq X/e \\ \tilde{l} \equiv 0(d/e) \\ (1, d/e)=1}} (X/e - \tilde{l})^2 l \Gamma_{\Delta_e}(\mathbf{l}) \\ &= \sum_{e|d} \frac{e^3 \Gamma_{\Delta}(e)^2}{\phi(d/e)} \sum_{\chi(d/e)} \chi(-1) \sum_{l+l' \leq X/e} (X/e - \tilde{l})^2 l \Gamma_{\Delta_e}(\mathbf{l}) \chi(l) \bar{\chi}(l') \\ &=: \sum_{e|d} \frac{e^3 \Gamma_{\Delta}(e)^2}{\phi(d/e)} \sum_{\chi(d/e)} \chi(-1) \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_e}^{\chi(d/e)}(X/e); \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

here and throughout a “ $\chi(q)$ ” in the superscript is just to remind us of the character’s modulus. With the usual Perron type representations and recalling (14)

$$\mathcal{L}_\Delta^{\chi(d)}(X) = \Gamma(3) \int_{(1)} \int_{(1)} \frac{\mathcal{G}_\Delta^{\bar{\chi}(d)}(s) \mathcal{G}_\Delta^{\chi(d)}(w) \Gamma(s) \Gamma(w+1) X^{s+w+3} dw ds}{\Gamma(s+w+4)} =: \Gamma(3) D_\Delta^{\chi(d)}(X) \quad (34)$$

then, dropping the indices on  $\mathcal{G}$  to avoid clutter and reading  $\mathcal{G}(1) = 0$  for  $\chi \neq \chi_0$  (recall (15)),

$$\begin{aligned} D_\Delta^{\chi(d)}(X) &= \int_{(1)} \mathcal{G}(s) \Gamma(s) \left( \frac{\mathcal{G}(1) X^{s+4}}{\Gamma(s+5)} + \int_{(0)} \frac{\mathcal{G}(w) \Gamma(w+1) X^{s+w+3} dw}{\Gamma(s+w+4)} \right) ds \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{G}(1)^2 X^5}{\Gamma(6)} + \mathcal{G}(1) \int_{(0)} \frac{\mathcal{G}(s) \Gamma(s) X^{s+4} ds}{\Gamma(s+5)} \\ &\quad + \int_{(0)} \mathcal{G}(w) \Gamma(w+1) \left( \frac{\mathcal{G}(1) X^{w+4}}{\Gamma(w+5)} + \int_{(0)} \frac{\mathcal{G}(s) \Gamma(s) X^{s+w+3} ds}{\Gamma(s+w+4)} \right) dw \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{G}(1)^2 X^5}{\Gamma(6)} + \mathcal{G}(1) \int_{(0)} \underbrace{\mathcal{G}(s) \frac{\Gamma(s) + \Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(s+5)}}_{=:g(s)} X^{s+4} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{(0)} \int_{(0)} \frac{\mathcal{G}(s) \mathcal{G}(w) \Gamma(s) \Gamma(w+1) X^{s+w+3} dw ds}{\Gamma(s+w+4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Remembering (16) in (32) the second term is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(1) \left( \text{Res}_{s=0} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_\Delta^{\chi_0(d)}(s) g(s) X^s \right\} + \int_{(-1/2)} \mathcal{G}_\Delta^{\chi_0(d)}(s) g(s) X^s ds \right) X^4 \\ =: \mathcal{G}(1) \left( \underbrace{C_{\Delta}^*(X)}_{d=1} + \underbrace{C_{d,\Delta}^{**}}_{d=p} + T_\Delta^{\chi_0(d)}(X) \right) X^4 \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

whilst when summed over  $\chi$  the third term is (not forgetting (18) and reading  $\mathcal{G}(0) = 0$  for  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_\chi \left| \int_{(0)} \mathcal{G}(s) \Gamma(s) \left( \frac{\mathcal{G}(0) X^{s+3}}{\Gamma(s+4)} + \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\mathcal{G}(w) \Gamma(w+1) X^{s+w+3} dw}{\Gamma(s+w+4)} \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \mathcal{G}(0) \text{Res}_{s=0} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{G}(s) \Gamma(s) X^{s+3}}{\Gamma(s+4)} \right\} \right| + \left| \mathcal{G}(0) \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\mathcal{G}(s) \Gamma(s) X^{s+3} ds}{\Gamma(s+4)} \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_\chi \left| \int_{(-1/2)} \mathcal{G}(w) \Gamma(w+1) \text{Res}_{s=0} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{G}(s) \Gamma(s) X^{s+w+3} ds}{\Gamma(s+w+4)} \right\} dw \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{(-1/2)} \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\mathcal{G}(s) \mathcal{G}(w) \Gamma(s) \Gamma(w+1) X^{s+w+3} dw ds}{\Gamma(s+w+4)} \right| \\ &\ll |L_{\chi_0}(0)^2 X^3| \log X + \sum_\chi \int_{(-1/2)} \left| L_\chi(0) L_\chi(1) L_\chi(s) L_\chi(s+1) \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s+4)} X^{s+3} \right| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_\chi \int_{(-1/2)} \int_{(-1/2)} \left| L_\chi(s) L_\chi(s+1) L_\chi(w) L_\chi(w+1) \frac{\Gamma(s) \Gamma(w+1)}{\Gamma(s+w+4)} X^{s+w+3} \right| dw ds \\ &\ll X^\epsilon \left( X^3 + d^{5/2} X^{5/2} + d^3 X^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

(here we have comfortably bounded the double integral with a well-known mean value estimate) so from (22), (33) and (34)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{d,\Delta}(X) &= 2\Gamma(3) \sum_{e|d} \frac{e^3 \Gamma_\Delta(e)^2}{\phi(d/e)} \left( \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\Delta e}^{\chi_0(d/e)}(1)^2 (X/e)^5}{\Gamma(6)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Delta e}^{\chi_0(d/e)}(1) \left( \underbrace{C_{\Delta e}^*(X/e)}_{d/e=1} + \underbrace{C_{d/e,\Delta e}^{**}}_{d/e=p} + T_{\Delta e}^{\chi_0(d/e)}(X/e) \right) (X/e)^4 \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O} \left( X^\epsilon \sum_{e|d} \frac{e^3 \Gamma_\Delta(e)^2}{\phi(d/e)} \left( (X/e)^3 + (d/e)^{3/2} (X/e)^{5/2} + (d/e)^3 (X/e)^2 \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and (26) follows from the definitions in (16), (19), (32), (35), (36) and (21).

### 3.2 Proof of (27)

Recall the definition of  $g_\Delta$  from just before (21) and of  $\mathcal{L}_{d,\Delta}(X)$  from (22). As

$$\sum_{\substack{\iota+\iota'=\tilde{l} \\ \mathbf{D}|\iota}} 1 = \frac{\tilde{l}}{\underbrace{[D, D']}_{(D, D')|\tilde{l}}} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{d,\Delta}(X) &= \sum_{\mathbf{D}} g_\Delta(\mathbf{D}) \sum_{\substack{\tilde{l} \leq X \\ \tilde{l} \equiv 0(d)}} (X - \tilde{l})^2 \tilde{l} \sum_{\substack{\iota+\iota'=\tilde{l} \\ \mathbf{D}|\iota}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\tilde{l} \leq X \\ \tilde{l} \equiv 0(d)}} (X - \tilde{l})^2 \tilde{l}^2 \sum_{(D, D')|\tilde{l}} \frac{g_\Delta(\mathbf{D})}{[D, D']} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{X^4}{d}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and then after a straightforward calculation the  $\mathbf{D}$ -sum is ( $C_8$  is defined in 11 and  $U(\Delta)$  in (14))

$$\prod_p \left(1 + \frac{2g_\Delta(p)}{p}\right) \prod_{p|\tilde{l}} \left(1 + \frac{g_\Delta(p)^2}{p\left(1 + \frac{2g_\Delta(p)}{p}\right)}\right) = C_8 U(\Delta) K_\Delta(\tilde{l})$$

from (21) so, with  $\mathcal{K}_d(s)$  as in (14), a standard Perron representation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{d,\Delta}(X) &= 2C_8 U(\Delta) \int_{(1)} \frac{\mathcal{K}_d(s) X^{s+4} ds}{(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{X^4}{d}\right) \\ &= \frac{C_8 U(\Delta) \mathcal{K}_d(1) X^5}{30} + 2C_8 U(\Delta) \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\mathcal{K}_d(s) X^{s+4} ds}{(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{X^4}{d}\right) \end{aligned}$$

after (17) and this becomes (27) after (19).

### 3.3 Proofs of (29), (30) and (31)

With  $\Gamma_\Delta$  as in (11) define

$$q_s(n) := \prod_{p|n} q_s(p) := \prod_{p|n} (1 + rY) \quad L_{\chi_0(d)}(s) := \zeta(s) \Delta_s(d) \quad \tau(d) := \sum_{e|d} \frac{\Delta_s(d/e) \Gamma_\Delta(e)^2}{e^s} \quad (37)$$

so that from (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_\Delta(s) &= \prod_{p|\Delta} q_s(p) \quad \sigma > 0 \\ \mathcal{Q}_\Delta(s) &= \zeta(s+1) \prod_{p|\Delta} q_s(p) (1 - Y/p) \prod_{p|\Delta} (1 - Y/p) \quad \sigma > -1 \quad Y := p^{-s} \\ \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(s) &= \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\Delta(s)}{q_s(d)} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

and

$$\tau(p) = 1 + rY(2+r) \quad \text{for } p \nmid \Delta. \quad (39)$$

Let  $V_s(p), \mathcal{V}_\Delta(s)$  be as in (23), (24) so that (37) gives

$$V_0(p) = q_1(p) q_0(p) + \frac{r(1+r)^2}{p}. \quad (40)$$

From (38) and (39)

$$q_1(p) q_s(p) + \frac{r\tau(p)}{p} = \left(1 + \frac{r}{p}\right) (1 + rY) + \frac{r + r^2 Y(2+r)}{p} = V_s(p) \quad \text{for } p \nmid \Delta$$

so from (38) and (21)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(1) \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(s) \sum_{e|d} \frac{\Gamma_{\Delta}(e)^2}{\phi(d/e)e^{s+1}} L_{\chi_0(d/e)}(1) L_{\chi_0(d/e)}(s) &= \mathcal{Q}_{\Delta}(1) \mathcal{Q}_{\Delta}(s) \zeta(s) \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_{\Delta}(d) \tau(d)}{d q_1(d) q_s(d)} \\
&\text{(initially only for } \sigma > 0) &= \zeta(s) \prod_{p|\Delta} \left( q_1(p) q_s(p) + \frac{r\tau(p)}{p} \right) \\
&= \zeta(s) \mathcal{V}_{\Delta}(s)
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

so since  $(I_{d,\Delta}(X)$  is defined in (19))

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) I_{d,\Delta}(X) = \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{X^{s+4}}{s(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)} \left( \text{LHS of (41)} \right) ds \tag{42}$$

we get (29). Writing

$$l = r + \frac{r^2(1+r)U(p)}{p}$$

we have from (11) and (14)

$$K_{\Delta}(p) \Gamma_{\Delta}(p) - 1 = \begin{cases} l & \text{if } p \nmid \Delta \\ 0 & \text{if } p|\Delta \end{cases}$$

so from (14) and the definition of  $g_{\Delta}$  (this was just before (21))

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) \mathcal{K}_{d,\Delta}(s) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_{\Delta}(l) \Gamma_{\Delta}(l)}{l^s} = \zeta(s) \prod_p (1+lY) \prod_{p|\Delta} \frac{1}{1+lY}$$

and (with  $V_s(p)$  as in (23))

$$\frac{1+lY}{U(p)} = V_s(p)$$

so (with  $C_8$  as in (11) and  $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta}(s)$  as in (24))

$$C_8 U(\Delta) \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) \mathcal{K}_{d,\Delta}(s) = \zeta(s) \mathcal{V}_{\Delta}(s)$$

so from (19) we get

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g_{\Delta}(d) J_{d,\Delta}(X) = \int_{(-1/2)} \frac{\zeta(s) \mathcal{V}_{\Delta}(s) X^{s+4} ds}{(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)}$$

which is (30). Recall the definitions of  $A(d), B_{\Delta}(d)$  from (19). Putting  $s = 1$  in (41) and using (25) gives

$$\sum_{\Delta, d=1}^{\infty} \frac{I(\Delta) g_{\Delta}(d) A_{\Delta}(d)}{\Delta^2} = \frac{2\Gamma(3) C_8 \mathcal{U}(1)}{\Gamma(6)} \tag{43}$$

whilst with (38) and (21)

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_{\Delta}(d) \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(1) \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(0)}{d} \Gamma_{\Delta}(d)^2 e^{-s \log d} = \prod_{p|\Delta} (1-1/p) \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} (1-1/p) \left( q_1(p) q_0(p) + \frac{r(1+r)^2 e^{-s \log p}}{p} \right) \tag{44}$$

so that in particular we get from (40) (and definitions (19) and (24))

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_{\Delta}(d) \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(1) \mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(0)}{d} \Gamma_{\Delta}(d)^2 = \mathcal{V}_{\Delta}(0). \tag{45}$$

so from (25)

$$\sum_{\Delta, d=1}^{\infty} \frac{I(\Delta) g_{\Delta}(d) B_{\Delta}(d)}{\Delta} = c C_8 \mathcal{U}(0) \tag{46}$$

Now define

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_1(p) &:= \frac{r}{1+r} - 1 + \frac{1}{(1+r)^2} & \theta_2(p) &:= \frac{1}{(1+r)^2} \\
A_1(n) &:= \sum_{p|n} \theta_1(p) \log p & A_2(n) &:= \sum_{p|n} \theta_2(p) \log p \\
M_1(n) &:= \frac{1}{nq_1(n)q_0(n)} \sum_{d\Delta=n} I(\Delta)g_\Delta(d)\Gamma_\Delta(d)^2 & M_2(\Delta) &:= \frac{I(\Delta)}{\Delta V_0(\Delta)} \\
M_1^*(n) &:= c\mathcal{Q}_1(1)\mathcal{Q}_1(0)M_1(n) & M_2^*(\Delta) &:= c\mathcal{V}_\Delta(0)M_2(\Delta) \\
\mathcal{A}_1(s) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_1^*(n)e^{sA_1(n)} & \mathcal{A}_2(s) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_2^*(n)e^{sA_1(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

and recall the values of  $I, \Gamma_\Delta, g_\Delta, q_s$  from (11), (21), (37). We have for  $p \nmid \Delta$

$$\frac{M_1(p)}{1+M_1(p)} - \frac{M_2(p)}{1+M_2(p)} = \frac{I(p) + g_1(p)\Gamma_1(p)^2}{\underbrace{p(1+r)(1+r/p) + I(p) + g_1(p)\Gamma_1(p)^2}_{=: \frac{G}{Q+G}}} - \frac{I}{Q+G}$$

and therefore, writing  $R = 1/(1+r)$ ,

$$\frac{M_1(p)\theta_1(p)}{1+M_1(p)} - \frac{M_2(p)\theta_2(p)}{1+M_2(p)} = -\frac{RG}{Q+G} + \frac{R^2(G-I)}{Q+G} = \frac{r(1+2r)}{(1+r)(p+pr+r)}$$

so

$$\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{r}{1+r} + \frac{M_1(p)\theta_1(p)}{1+M_1(p)} - \frac{M_2(p)\theta_2(p)}{1+M_2(p)} = \frac{p(1-pr+3r)}{(p-1)(p+pr+r)} = \frac{1}{p(p-1)}$$

from (11). Also  $\mathcal{A}_2(0) = \mathcal{A}_1(0) = cC_8\mathcal{U}(0)$  from (45) and (25). From (16)

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}'_{1,d\Delta}(0)}{\mathcal{R}_{1,d\Delta}(0)} = \sum_p \left( \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{r}{1+r} \right) \log p + \sum_{p|d\Delta} \frac{r}{1+r} \log p =: \mathcal{S} + \sum_{p|d\Delta} \frac{r}{1+r} \log p \quad \text{for } (d, \Delta) = 1$$

so from (19) (and not forgetting that (11) and (21) cause the  $d\Delta, n$  variables below to be all squarefree)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\Delta, d=1}^{\infty} \frac{I(\Delta)g_\Delta(d)}{\Delta} \left( -B_\Delta(d) \log(d\Delta) + B_\Delta(d)Z_{d\Delta} + B_\Delta^*(d) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\Delta, d=1}^{\infty} \frac{I(\Delta)g_\Delta(d)\mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(1)\mathcal{Q}_{d\Delta}(0)}{d\Delta} \Gamma_\Delta(d)^2 \\
& \quad \times \left( Z + \mathcal{S} + \sum_{p|d\Delta} \frac{r \log p}{1+r} - \underbrace{\log(d\Delta)}_{=\sum_{p|d\Delta} \log p} + \sum_{p|d\Delta} \frac{\log p}{(1+r)^2} - \sum_{p|\Delta} \frac{\log p}{(1+r)^2} \right) \\
&= (Z + \mathcal{S}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_1^*(n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_1^*(n)A_1(n) - \mathcal{V}_1(0) \sum_{\Delta=1}^{\infty} M_2^*(\Delta)A_2(\Delta) \quad \text{(from (38))} \\
&= (Z + \mathcal{S})\mathcal{A}_1(0) + \mathcal{A}'_1(0) - \mathcal{A}'_2(0) \\
&= cC_8\mathcal{U}(0) \left( Z + \sum_p \left( \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{r}{1+r} + \frac{M_1(p)\theta_1(p)}{1+M_1(p)} - \frac{M_2(p)\theta_2(p)}{1+M_2(p)} \right) \log p \right) \\
&= cC_8\mathcal{U}(0) \left( Z + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

which with (43) and (46) is (31).

## References

- [1] C. Hooley - *On the Barban-Davenport-Halberstam theorem I* - Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 274/275 (1975)

- [2] C. Hooley - *On the Barban-Davenport-Halberstam theorem VIII* - Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 499 (1998)
- [3] S. Leung - *Moments of primes in progressions to a large modulus* - arXiv
- [4] H. L. Montgomery, K. Soundararajan - *Beyond pair correlation* - Paul Erdős and his mathematics, I (Budapest, 1999), 507–514, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 11, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest (2002)

*Tomos Parry*  
*Bilkent University, Ankara, Turkey*  
*tomos.parry1729@hotmail.co.uk*