

CR FUNCTIONS AT CR SINGULARITIES: APPROXIMATION, EXTENSION, AND HULLS

JIŘÍ LEBL, ALAN NOELL, AND SIVAGURU RAVISANKAR

ABSTRACT. We study three possible definitions of the notion of CR functions at CR singular points, their extension to a fixed-neighborhood of the singular point, and analogues of the Baouendi–Trèves approximation in a fixed neighborhood. In particular, given the existence of a large enough disc hull shrinking to a point, we find the fixed-neighborhood extension and hence approximation properties. We provide examples showing the distinctions between the classes and the various properties studied.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a real submanifold and $T_\eta^{0,1}M$ the span of the antiholomorphic vectors at a point $\eta \in M$. The manifold M is said to be CR at q if the dimension of $T_\eta^{0,1}M$ is constant near q . A natural generalization of holomorphic functions is a so-called CR function, a function killed by $T_\eta^{0,1}M$ vector fields. If M and f are CR and real-analytic, then f extends locally to a neighborhood as a holomorphic function by the theorem of Severi [19]. If the regularity is lower, we do not always get such an extension, but basic questions of when and into what set extension holds are relatively well-understood: see the pioneering work by Lewy [14], Kohn–Rossi [9], Tumanov [20], and many others. The problem then is to understand the CR singular setting. In particular, it is not immediately clear what is the most natural notion of *CR function*. One possible definition is simply to consider functions that are CR at CR points, or equivalently, those that are killed by vector fields valued in $T_\eta^{0,1}M$ at each point. We call these CR functions, and the authors have studied their extension properties in [10, 11, 13].

A related question is the approximation of functions by polynomials or entire functions. In the totally real case, see Hörmander–Wermer [7], Harvey–Wells [5, 6], and in general the survey article by Dwilewicz [3]. The celebrated Baouendi–Trèves theorem [2] applied to CR functions on CR manifolds says that CR functions can be approximated in a fixed neighborhood (not depending on the function) of any point by holomorphic polynomials. At CR singular points, such a theorem does not hold for the CR functions as we defined them. We therefore define the class of CR_P functions to be those that are locally uniform limits of holomorphic polynomials. Mondal [17], extending the work of Mergelyan [15] and Minsker [16], recently studied the approximation property for continuous functions on certain CR singular manifolds that are totally real at CR points. Finally, we write CR_H for functions that are

Date: June 10, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32V25 32E30.

Key words and phrases. CR functions, Baouendi–Trèves, CR singular.

The first author was in part supported by Simons Foundation collaboration grant 710294.

The last author was in part supported by Mathematical Research Impact Centric Support (MATRICS) grant MTR/2022/000865 from the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India.

restrictions of holomorphic functions, that is, those that do extend to some neighborhood. See Section 2 for precise definitions and the statement of one of our main results.

There are other possible definitions for what constitutes CR functions on a CR singular manifold. For example, Nacinovich-Porten [18] define a class of functions by considering the local closure of germs of CR_H functions, and they study its extension properties. Their definition is equivalent to iterating (possibly infinitely many times) an approximation procedure, and hence this class lies in between our CR_H and CR_P .

A subtle but important issue with respect to extension and approximation of CR functions is the size of the neighborhood, namely, whether the neighborhood to which the function extends or on which it is approximated depends on the function itself (or not, as is the case for Baouendi–Trèves). For this purpose, we define four different properties a class of functions can satisfy: the *extension property* and *approximation property* for functions that extend to be holomorphic to a neighborhood and those that are approximable locally uniformly by holomorphic polynomials, and the *fixed-neighborhood extension property* and the *fixed-neighborhood approximation property* if extension or approximation can be done in a neighborhood independent of the function. The extension property implies the approximation property, but not vice-versa. See Section 3 for the definitions and basic examples.

One can use families of discs to extend CR_P functions. We therefore define the notion of an *iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood* at a point of a manifold M . We say that M has this property at q if an entire neighborhood of q can be covered by continuous families of attached analytic discs that shrink to the given point, possibly iterating this process. Suppose M has this property at q . The existence of these discs shows that CR_P functions have the extension property, that is, such functions are in CR_H near q . Then, for the class CR_H defined on all of M , the Kontinuitätssatz implies the fixed-neighborhood extension property (and hence the fixed neighborhood approximation property). These ideas can be combined in many different ways with existing results such as the theorem of Hanges and Trèves on the propagation of extension along complex analytic curves through CR points. See Section 4 for these results. In the CR singular case, an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood can appear even in the Levi-flat case, where near the CR points all discs lie in the manifold. In Section 8, we show that the manifold given by $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2$, which is Levi-flat at CR points (in fact, an image of $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$), nevertheless has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at the origin.

We are interested in producing examples showing that the given classes of functions are distinct. In particular, we wish to extend the Baouendi–Trèves result to a more general CR singular setting. A natural question is to see if a class of CR functions on a submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ has the approximation property. In this setting, one may guess that the independence of the holomorphic and real coordinates may be used in tandem and that an approximation theorem holds as long as it holds whenever the last coordinate is fixed. That is, perhaps one can combine the classical Weierstrass theorem with Mergelyan’s theorem. It turns out (see Theorem 4.7) that such a result holds with an additional hypothesis. This result shows that fixed-neighborhood extension is not necessary for fixed-neighborhood approximation (see, for example, Section 9). With such a result, one can prove approximation results for flat hyperbolic Bishop surfaces (see Section 7). For flat elliptic Bishop surfaces, in Section 5 we prove approximation under the extra assumption of extension to the natural family of attached analytic discs. For the special elliptic Bishop surface $w = |z|^2$, we can adapt the

proof of Baouendi–Trèves for the approximation and obtain a linear operator via integration. See Section 6.

2. CR FUNCTIONS

Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a real submanifold of regularity at least C^1 . We will assume that all submanifolds are embedded. A point $q \in M$ is called a *CR point* if the dimension of

$$T_\eta^{0,1}M = \mathbb{C} \otimes T_\eta M \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \Big|_\eta, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \Big|_\eta \right\} \quad (1)$$

is constant as a function of η in some neighborhood of q . Write $M_{CR} \subset M$ for the set of CR points. A point $q \in M$ is said to be a *CR singular point* if $q \notin M_{CR}$. A submanifold is said to be CR if it has no CR singular points, or in other words if $M = M_{CR}$. A vector field $L \in \Gamma(\mathbb{C} \otimes TM)$ is said to be a *CR vector field* if $L_q \in T_q^{0,1}M$ for all $q \in M$. We remark that our definition of CR vector field includes vector fields at CR singular points as well.

There are several natural definitions of what it means for a function to be CR on a possibly CR singular submanifold. That is, there are different ways to define the analogue of holomorphic functions on a real submanifold. We focus on three such definitions.

Definition 2.1. Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real C^1 submanifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function. We say:

- (i) f is a *CR function* if $Lf = 0$ for every (continuous) CR vector field L on M , interpreted in terms of distributions if f is only continuous.
- (ii) f is a *CR_P function* if for every $q \in M$ there exist a compact neighborhood $K \subset M$ of q and a sequence of holomorphic polynomials $\{P_j\}$ such that P_j converges uniformly on K to $f|_K$.
- (iii) f is a *CR_H function* if for every $q \in M$ there exist a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of q and a holomorphic function $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $F|_{M \cap U} = f|_{M \cap U}$.

We write $CR^k(M)$ for the set of CR functions that are in $C^k(M)$, including $k = 0$ for continuous, $k = \infty$ for smooth, and $k = \omega$ for real-analytic. We define $CR_P^k(M)$ in a similar way. As CR_H functions are always of the same regularity as the manifold, we will write simply $CR_H(M)$.

It is easy to see that, for a C^1 submanifold M , the set of CR points M_{CR} is an open dense set in M . Therefore, a function f is CR if and only if $f|_{M_{CR}}$ is a CR function on M_{CR} . We remark that if f is a CR_P function, then $Lf = 0$ in the sense of distributions for every CR vector field L , and hence f is CR. So CR_P implies CR for all C^1 submanifolds, but for CR singular submanifolds, the converse may not be true (see below). Note that CR_H trivially implies CR. In fact, CR_H implies CR_P because we can use a series expansion at each point.

When M is real-analytic and CR, by Severi’s theorem [19] real-analytic CR functions are restrictions of holomorphic functions. That is, in this case $CR^\omega(M) = CR_P^\omega(M) = CR_H(M)$. On the other hand, there do exist smooth CR functions on CR submanifolds that are not restrictions of holomorphic functions. In the presence of CR singularities, these classes can be distinct even in the real-analytic case.

For CR submanifolds of class C^2 , the first two definitions are equivalent, which follows from the Baouendi–Trèves approximation theorem [2]: *If M is a CR submanifold of class C^2 and $q \in M$, then there exists a compact neighborhood $K \subset M$ of q such that for every*

CR function f on M there exists a sequence $\{P_j\}$ of holomorphic polynomials converging uniformly on K to $f|_K$. So for a CR submanifold of class C^2 , $CR^k(M) = CR_P^k(M)$ for all k .

A key point in the Baouendi–Trèves theorem is that the neighborhood K is independent of f ; it depends only on M and the point q . We will see that, in the CR singular case, there exist M for which the conclusion of the Baouendi–Trèves theorem does not hold even for CR_P functions. (See Theorem 5.1 or Theorem 9.1.)

When considering regularity of the manifolds or functions, we use the order $0 < 1 < 2 < \dots < \infty < \omega$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a real submanifold of regularity C^ℓ for $\ell \geq 1$.*

- (i) $CR^k(M) \supset CR_P^k(M) \supset CR_H(M)$ for all $k \leq \ell$
- (ii) *There exists a real-analytic submanifold M such that, for every k , $CR^k(M) \not\supseteq CR_P^k(M)$.*
- (iii) *There exists a real-analytic submanifold M such that $CR_P^\omega(M) \not\supseteq CR_H(M)$.*

Proof. (i) This follows from the earlier observations that CR_P implies CR and CR_H implies CR_P .

(ii) See Theorem 5.1 or Theorem 8.1.

(iii) See Theorem 7.1. □

The space $CR_H(M)$ is defined via local extension, but sometimes it is necessary to have one global extension. Recall that a real submanifold is *generic* at a point if the complex differentials of its defining functions are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} at that point.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real submanifold of regularity C^k (for $k \geq 1$) that is either generic at every CR point or simply connected. Then for every $f \in CR_H(M)$ there exist an open neighborhood U of M in \mathbb{C}^n and a holomorphic function F on U such that $F|_M = f$.*

Proof. If M is generic at every CR point, then the extension is unique locally at each CR point; as those points are dense, the extension is unique everywhere, and the result follows. If M is simply connected, the result follows by the monodromy theorem. □

3. EXTENSION, APPROXIMATION, AND HULLS

Definition 3.1. Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real submanifold, $q \in M$, and \mathcal{F} is a class of functions on M . We say:

- (i) M has the *extension property for \mathcal{F} at q* if for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exist a neighborhood U of q in \mathbb{C}^n and a holomorphic function $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $F|_{U \cap M} = f|_{U \cap M}$.
- (ii) M has the *fixed-neighborhood extension property for \mathcal{F} at q* if there exists a neighborhood U of q in \mathbb{C}^n such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a holomorphic function $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $F|_{U \cap M} = f|_{U \cap M}$.
- (iii) M has the *approximation property for \mathcal{F} at q* if for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a compact neighborhood K of q in M such that f is the uniform limit on K of a sequence of holomorphic polynomials.
- (iv) M has the *fixed-neighborhood approximation property for \mathcal{F} at q* if the following analogue of the Baouendi–Trèves approximation theorem holds at q for functions in \mathcal{F} : There exists a compact neighborhood K of q in M such that every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is the uniform limit on K of a sequence of holomorphic polynomials.

When we say simply that M has one of the properties above without mentioning a point q , we mean it has the property at all points. If the submanifold is given, we may say that the class \mathcal{F} has the indicated property.

We note that Nacinovich-Porten [18] have studied the extension and approximation properties for a class of functions in between CR_H and CR_P .

We make some immediate observations: $CR_H(M)$ always has the extension property and $CR_P^k(M)$ always has the approximation property. The fixed-neighborhood extension property for a class at a point implies the fixed-neighborhood approximation property for that class at that point. If $CR^k(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property, then $CR^k(M) = CR_P^k(M)$. These properties are invariant under holomorphic changes of coordinates. If M is contained in the Levi-flat hypersurface given by $\text{Im } z_n = 0$, then $CR_H(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood extension property as $1/(z_n - i\epsilon)$ is in $CR_H(M)$ for all real $\epsilon \neq 0$.

Note that the fixed-neighborhood approximation property for $CR_H(M)$ need not imply the fixed-neighborhood extension property for $CR_H(M)$. See any one of Theorems 5.1, 7.1, and 9.1, or the CR case.

A standard procedure (although it is not sufficient) to construct the polynomial hull is to consider the so-called disc hull. For some sets, we may also have to iterate this procedure, as Example 3.8 shows.

Let $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the unit disc. By an *analytic disc attached to* $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ we mean a continuous function $\varphi: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ that is holomorphic on \mathbb{D} and satisfies $\varphi(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset X$. If we say φ is an analytic disc *through* p we mean that in addition $p \in \varphi(\mathbb{D})$.

Definition 3.2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Define

$$\text{DH}(X) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists \text{ an analytic disc attached to } X \text{ through } z\}, \tag{2}$$

$$\text{DH}^k(X) = \underbrace{\text{DH}(\cdots \text{DH}(\text{DH}(X)) \cdots)}_{k \text{ times}} \tag{3}$$

We call $\text{DH}(X)$ the *disc hull of* X and $\text{DH}^k(X)$ the *k-fold iterated disc hull of* X .

The set $\text{DH}^k(X)$ is a subset of the polynomial hull. However, to apply the Kontinuitätssatz to functions defined on a neighborhood of X , we also need to be able to continuously shrink these discs. It is not always possible to shrink the discs that make up the disc hull even if X is a submanifold (see the examples below), so we require another definition.

Definition 3.3. Let $q \in X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Define

$$\text{SDH}_q(X) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists \text{ a continuous family of analytic discs } \varphi_t: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \\ t \in [0, 1], z \in \varphi_1(\mathbb{D}), \varphi_t(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset X \forall t \in [0, 1], \varphi_0 \equiv q\}, \tag{4}$$

$$\text{SDH}_q^k(X) = \underbrace{\text{SDH}_q(\cdots \text{SDH}_q(\text{SDH}_q(X)) \cdots)}_{k \text{ times}} \tag{5}$$

We call $\text{SDH}_q(X)$ the *shrinking disc hull of* X at q and $\text{SDH}_q^k(X)$ the *k-fold iterated shrinking disc hull of* X at q . We say X has an *iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at* q if, for every neighborhood U of q in X , there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set $\text{SDH}_q^k(U)$ is a (not necessarily open) neighborhood of q in \mathbb{C}^n .

In order to talk about the shrinking disc hull of X , a natural condition is that X be path connected. If X is path connected and $q \in X$, then $X \subset \text{SDH}_q(X)$ and $\text{SDH}_q(X)$ is path connected. We will generally apply SDH_q to neighborhoods of q in a manifold, and a manifold is always locally path connected.

Remark 3.4. It is easy to see that $\text{SDH}_q(X)$ is contained in the rational hull of X : Consider any rational function f that is holomorphic in a neighborhood of X . By the Kontinuitätssatz (see Ivashkovich [8]), f analytically continues to any point of $\text{SDH}_q(X)$, and hence $\text{SDH}_q(X)$ does not intersect the pole set. Iterating the procedure shows that $\text{SDH}_q^k(X)$ is contained in the rational hull for any k .

Example 3.5. The iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood property is not stable under perturbation. For example, consider $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ given by $\text{Im } w = |z_1|^4 - |z_2|^4$. The standard technique of attaching discs one normally uses for a hypersurface with indefinite Levi form applies. (Affine linear discs suffice.) However, the perturbation M_ϵ given by $\text{Im } w = \epsilon(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2) + |z_1|^4 - |z_2|^4$ is strictly pseudoconvex at 0 for all $\epsilon > 0$, and hence all analytic discs attached to M_ϵ near 0 must fall on one side of M_ϵ . In a similar manner, examples having higher codimension can be constructed.

For smooth generic CR submanifolds, local attached analytic discs form a Banach manifold, and all such discs will shrink to a point; see Sections 6.5 and 8.2 of [1]. However, shrinking families of discs are not guaranteed for CR singular submanifolds near the CR singular point, as the next two examples show.

Example 3.6. Consider the smooth submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ given in coordinates (z, w_1, w_2) via

$$w_1 = |z|^2, \quad w_2 = |z|^2 + f(|z|^2)(\text{Re } z), \quad (6)$$

where $f(t)$ is a smooth real-valued function that is zero precisely when $t = \frac{1}{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $t = 0$. The submanifold M is of dimension 2. It has a CR singularity at the origin but is totally real at other points. Let $\varphi(\zeta) = (z(\zeta), w_1(\zeta), w_2(\zeta))$ be an analytic disc attached to M . Then $w_1(\zeta)$ and $w_2(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions that are real-valued on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, so they are constant on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. This means that $|z(\zeta)|$ is also constant on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. If we insist that φ be nonconstant, then z itself must be nonconstant; in particular, $\text{Re } z$ must be nonconstant on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. But since $|z|^2 + f(|z|^2)(\text{Re } z)$ must be constant on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, we have that $f(|z|^2)$ must be zero, which is true only if $w_1 = |z|^2 = \frac{1}{n}$. In other words, the only nonconstant attached analytic discs to M are those in the discrete sequence of discs

$$\varphi_n(\zeta) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\zeta, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right). \quad (7)$$

This sequence does “shrink to zero” discretely but not continuously, so it does not give a shrinking disc hull, although these discs are in the regular disc hull $\text{DH}(M)$. In particular, these discs cannot be used via the Kontinuitätssatz to extend CR_H functions beyond the initial neighborhood in which they are defined.

Example 3.7. If we modify the preceding example by taking f to be a real-analytic function with finitely many zeros, we find a real-analytic submanifold with trivial topology (topology of a ball) that has only finitely many attached discs.

Example 3.8. Consider the set $X \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$X = X_1 \cup X_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1| = |z_2| = 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} z_2 \geq 0\} \\ \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1| = 2, |z_2| = 1, \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} z_2 \leq 0\}. \quad (8)$$

If $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is an analytic disc attached to X , then as the two components of X are disconnected, we have that either $\varphi(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset X_1$ or $\varphi(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset X_2$. Suppose $\varphi(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset X_1$. Then we find that φ_2 must be constant. A similar argument applies if $\varphi(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset X_2$. In either case, the disc then fills in all of $|z_1| \leq 1$ or $|z_1| \leq 2$. That is, we find that

$$\operatorname{DH}(X) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1| \leq 1, |z_2| = 1, \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} z_2 \geq 0\} \\ \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1| \leq 2, |z_2| = 1, \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} z_2 \leq 0\}. \quad (9)$$

In particular, $\operatorname{DH}(X)$ contains the torus \mathbb{T}^2 given by $|z_1| = |z_2| = 1$. However, $\operatorname{DH}(X)$ does not contain the polydisc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^2$. It is a relatively routine computation that $\operatorname{DH}(\mathbb{T}^2) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2$. Hence $\operatorname{DH}(X)$ does not contain the unit polydisc, but $\operatorname{DH}^2(X)$ does. Thus, iteration is necessary for some sets.

The reader may complain that X is disconnected and that the discs do not all shrink to a point. We modify the previous example as follows. Consider the set $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ given by

$$Y = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |z_1| = |z_2| = \operatorname{Re} z_3, \operatorname{Im} z_2 \geq 0, z_3 \in [0, 1]\} \\ \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |z_1| = 2 \operatorname{Re} z_3, |z_2| = \operatorname{Re} z_3, \operatorname{Im} z_2 \leq 0, z_3 \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (10)$$

By $z_3 \in [0, 1]$ we mean that z_3 is real and in the unit interval. The set Y is connected and compact. Since the third component of every analytic disc attached to Y (and hence to any disc hull) must be constant, we reduce the computation to a scaled version of the above example in \mathbb{C}^2 . Moreover, we find that every disc shrinks to the origin, and hence we have a set where $\operatorname{SDH}_0(X) = \operatorname{DH}(X)$, $\operatorname{SDH}_0^2(X) = \operatorname{DH}^2(X)$, and most importantly $\operatorname{SDH}_0^2(X) \neq \operatorname{SDH}_0(X)$.

4. RESULTS ON HULLS AND APPROXIMATIONS

Having an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at p implies that CR_p functions of any regularity extend to some neighborhood of p . We should note that we do not need the full strength of having shrinking discs; however, we do need to be able to find discs that are attached in an arbitrarily small neighborhood.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a real submanifold of regularity C^ℓ for $\ell \geq 1$ such that M has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at $q \in M$. Then, for $k \leq \ell$, M has the extension property for $\operatorname{CR}_p^k(M)$ at q .*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \operatorname{CR}_p^k(M)$. Then there exist a compact neighborhood U of q in M and a sequence $\{P_j\}$ of holomorphic polynomials converging uniformly to f on U . By the maximum principle, $\{P_j\}$ converges uniformly on $\operatorname{SDH}_q(U)$, and therefore (by iterating) also on $\operatorname{SDH}_q^N(U)$ for any N . By hypothesis, there exists an N such that $\operatorname{SDH}_q^N(U)$ is a neighborhood of q in \mathbb{C}^n , and then $\{P_j\}$ converges uniformly on its interior to a holomorphic function extending f . \square

For the class of functions extending at all points, having an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood implies fixed-neighborhood extension and therefore fixed-neighborhood approximation.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a real submanifold of regularity C^ℓ for $\ell \geq 1$ such that M has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at $q \in M$. Then M has the fixed-neighborhood extension property for $CR_H(M)$ at q , and thus the fixed-neighborhood approximation property for $CR_H(M)$ at q .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, by taking a neighborhood of q we can assume that M is simply connected. Let $f \in CR_H(M)$. By Lemma 2.3, there is a neighborhood Ω of M such that f is holomorphic on Ω . Every point in $SDH_q(\Omega)$ is on a continuous family of analytic discs whose boundaries are in Ω and which shrink down to q . Because the set of boundaries of this family of discs is compact, this family can be perturbed with the boundaries staying in Ω , and thus $SDH_q(\Omega)$ is an open neighborhood of $SDH_q(M)$. As f is holomorphic in a neighborhood of q , the Kontinuitätssatz (see Ivashkovich [8]) tells us that f analytically continues to $SDH_q(\Omega)$ (perhaps not as a single-valued function). The envelope of holomorphy $\widehat{\Omega}$ is a covering over a base B that contains Ω , and in particular $SDH_q(\Omega) \subset B$.

Now suppose we have a continuous family of discs φ_t , where $\varphi_0 \equiv q$, such that $\varphi_t(\partial\mathbb{D})$ lies in $SDH_q(\Omega)$. Think of φ as a function on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times [0, 1]$. Since the fundamental group $\pi_1(\partial\mathbb{D} \times [0, 1])$ has a single element, and since $\varphi_0(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \{q\}$, we find that $\varphi_*\pi_1(\partial\mathbb{D} \times [0, 1])$ is trivial, and hence satisfies the lifting criterion. In particular, the map $\varphi|_{\partial\mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]}$ lifts to $\widehat{\Omega}$. Write the lift as $\widehat{\varphi}$. As $\varphi_0(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \{q\} \subset \Omega$, we apply the Kontinuitätssatz to $\widehat{\varphi}$ to show that the entire discs lift to the envelope of holomorphy, as in the formulation by Ivashkovich.

Note that $SDH_q(SDH_q(\Omega))$ is an open set. The upshot of the argument above is that $SDH_q(SDH_q(\Omega))$, and therefore $SDH_q(SDH_q(M))$, lies in the base B . By iterating this procedure, we obtain that $SDH_q^k(M) \subset SDH_q^k(\Omega) \subset B$ for every k . There exists k such that $SDH_q^k(M)$ contains a neighborhood of q , and thus it contains a simply connected neighborhood V of q . Then f extends uniquely as a holomorphic function to V .

Note that while Ω and hence $\widehat{\Omega}$ depend on f , V does not, as it only needs to be a simply connected neighborhood of q that lies in $SDH_q^k(M)$. Thus, every $f \in CR_H(M)$ extends to V , and the theorem is proved. \square

The full force of the definition of M having an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at q is used only to restrict to a simply connected neighborhood of q . Therefore, we could replace the hypothesis by the assumptions that M is simply connected and that there exists k such that $SDH_q^k(M)$ is a neighborhood of q .

These ideas may be combined in various ways, and at this point we give one such corollary of the proof. We will find it useful to extend the proof to submanifolds $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. In this case every k -fold iterated shrinking disc hull is a subset of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, and therefore M does not have an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood in the topology of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} at any point. But the iterated shrinking disc hull may give us a neighborhood in the topology of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ to which we can extend all CR_H functions. The proof goes through similarly. Here is the formal statement.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be a simply connected real submanifold of regularity C^ℓ for $\ell \geq 1$. Assume that there exists k such that $SDH_q^k(M)$ is a (not necessarily open)*

neighborhood of $q \in M$ in the topology of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of q such that every function in $CR_H(M)$ extends to a function in $CR_H(U)$.

The CR_P functions that are real-analytic extend at all CR points by Severi's theorem, and thus having an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at all CR singular points is sufficient for the fixed-neighborhood extension and approximation properties.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a real-analytic submanifold such that M has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at every CR singular point of M . Then if $q \in M$ is a CR singular point, M has the fixed-neighborhood extension property for $CR_P^\omega(M)$ at q , and thus the fixed-neighborhood approximation property for $CR_P^\omega(M)$ at q .*

Proof. Suppose $f \in CR_P^\omega(M)$. At each CR point $p \in M$, f extends to a neighborhood of p as a holomorphic function because f is real-analytic. By Theorem 4.1, f extends to a neighborhood of each CR singular point of M . Hence $f \in CR_H(M)$. Now apply Theorem 4.2 to obtain the result. \square

If one can extend CR functions in some way near CR singular points, then one can use other techniques to extend at the CR points, such as the Hanges–Trèves theorem that extension propagates along complex curves.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth real submanifold such that through every CR point of M there is a connected nonsingular complex curve $C \subset M_{CR}$ such that the closure of C contains a CR singular point of M . Suppose $f \in CR^k(M)$ for some k and that near every CR singular point f is the restriction of a holomorphic function on a neighborhood in \mathbb{C}^n . Then $f \in CR_H(M)$.*

In particular, if in addition M has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at each CR singular point, then $CR_P^k(M) = CR_H(M)$ for all k . It follows that M has the fixed-neighborhood extension property (and thus the fixed-neighborhood approximation property) for $CR_P^k(M)$ at each CR singular point.

Proof. Suppose $f \in CR^k(M)$, q is a CR singular point, f extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of q , and $C \subset M_{CR}$ is a connected nonsingular complex curve whose closure contains q . Then f extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of some CR points in C . By the theorem of Hanges and Trèves (Theorem 4.1 of [4]), f extends holomorphically to a neighborhood at each point of C . The first part follows.

The second part of the corollary follows by applying Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 as before. \square

As we noted earlier, there are other ways to combine these ideas, but it doesn't seem productive to list all of the different possibilities; we have listed only those that seem most relevant for our purposes.

Constructing disc hulls is generally easier than constructing shrinking disc hulls. Homogeneity of the set allows us to pass from disc hulls to shrinking disc hulls. We say that a set $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is *bounded weighted homogeneous* if X is bounded and there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that if $z \in X$ then $(t^{\alpha_1} z_1, \dots, t^{\alpha_n} z_n) \in X$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is bounded weighted homogeneous. If there exists k such that $DH^k(X)$ contains a nonempty Reinhardt domain V , then $SDH_0^{k+1}(X)$ contains a complete Reinhardt domain containing V . Furthermore, X has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at 0.*

Proof. By definition, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that, with δ_t defined by $\delta_t(z) = (t^{\alpha_1} z_1, \dots, t^{\alpha_n} z_n)$, we have $\delta_t(X) \subset X$. Here $t \in [0, 1]$. The same α applies to all bounded weighted homogeneous sets in the argument that follows.

Claim: If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\text{DH}^k(X)$ is bounded weighted homogeneous, and $\text{DH}^k(X) = \text{SDH}_0^k(X)$.

Proof: We first prove the case $k = 1$. By the maximum principle, $\text{DH}(X)$ is bounded because X is bounded. Now fix $z \in \text{DH}(X)$. We prove that $\delta_t(z) \in \text{DH}(X)$ when $t \in [0, 1]$ and that $z \in \text{SDH}_0(X)$. By definition, there exist $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ and an analytic disc $\varphi: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\varphi(\zeta) = z$ and $\varphi(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset X$. Fix $t \in [0, 1]$ and define $\varphi_t = \delta_t \circ \varphi$. Then φ_t is an analytic disc, $\varphi_t(\zeta) = \delta_t(z)$, and $\varphi_t(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset \delta_t(X)$; thus, $\delta_t(z) \in \text{DH}(\delta_t(X)) \subset \text{DH}(X)$. Further, $\varphi_1(\zeta) = \varphi(\zeta) = z$ and $\varphi_0 \equiv 0$. Thus, $z \in \text{SDH}_0(X)$. For later use, we remark that we showed $\delta_t(\text{DH}(X)) \subset \text{DH}(\delta_t(X))$.

We have proved that $\text{DH}(X)$ is bounded weighted homogeneous and that $\text{DH}(X) \subset \text{SDH}_0(X)$. Clearly $\text{SDH}_0(X) \subset \text{DH}(X)$, so we have $\text{DH}(X) = \text{SDH}_0(X)$. This proves the case $k = 1$.

The claim now follows easily from an argument by induction: If for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\text{DH}^\ell(X)$ is bounded weighted homogeneous and $\text{DH}^\ell(X) = \text{SDH}_0^\ell(X)$, then the preceding arguments prove that $\text{DH}^{(\ell+1)}(X) = \text{DH}(\text{DH}^\ell(X))$ is bounded weighted homogeneous, and $\text{DH}^{(\ell+1)}(X) = \text{DH}(\text{SDH}_0^\ell(X)) = \text{SDH}_0^{\ell+1}(X)$.

Claim: Assume that X is bounded weighted homogeneous and that there exists k such that $\text{DH}^k(X)$ contains a nonempty Reinhardt domain V . Then $\text{SDH}_0^{k+1}(X)$ contains a complete Reinhardt domain containing V .

Proof: By the first claim, $\text{DH}^k(X)$ is bounded weighted homogeneous, and $\text{DH}^k(X) = \text{SDH}_0^k(X)$. Thus $\text{SDH}_0^k(X)$ is bounded weighted homogeneous and contains V . Define $W = \cup_{t \in [0, 1]} \delta_t(V)$. Then W is bounded weighted homogeneous and invariant under the action of the n -dimensional torus, and $W \subset \text{SDH}_0^k(X)$. Pick a polyradius (r_1, \dots, r_n) such that, if $|z_j| = r_j$ for all j , then $z \in W$. All of the discs

$$r_1 \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times r_2 \partial\mathbb{D} \times \dots \times r_n \partial\mathbb{D}, \quad r_1 \partial\mathbb{D} \times r_2 \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times r_3 \partial\mathbb{D} \times \dots \times r_n \partial\mathbb{D}, \quad \dots, \\ r_1 \partial\mathbb{D} \times r_2 \partial\mathbb{D} \times \dots \times r_{n-1} \partial\mathbb{D} \times r_n \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \quad (11)$$

are attached to W . As W is bounded weighted homogeneous, we find that these discs composed with δ_t for $t \in [0, 1]$ are also attached to W , and hence all of these discs are in $\text{SDH}_0^{k+1}(X)$. Therefore, the entire polydisc of polyradius (r_1, \dots, r_n) is in $\text{SDH}_0^{k+1}(X)$. The claim is proved.

Claim: Under the assumptions of the lemma, X has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at 0.

Proof: Let U be a neighborhood of 0 in X . Because X is bounded, there exists $t \in (0, 1]$ such that, using the earlier notation, we have $\delta_t(X) \subset U$. By the preceding claim, there exists a complete Reinhardt domain W such that $W \subset \text{SDH}_0^{k+1}(X)$. As we remarked earlier, $\delta_t(\text{DH}(X)) \subset \text{DH}(\delta_t(X))$, and using this fact and the first claim, we have $\delta_t(\text{SDH}_0^{k+1}(X)) \subset \text{SDH}_0^{k+1}(\delta_t(X))$. Thus,

$$\delta_t(W) \subset \delta_t(\text{SDH}_0^{k+1}(X)) \subset \text{SDH}_0^{k+1}(\delta_t(X)) \subset \text{SDH}_0^{k+1}(U). \quad (12)$$

Because $\delta_t(W)$ is a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n , it follows that X has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at 0. \square

We noted earlier that, when M is a subset of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, it does not have an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} at any point. However, such manifolds can have the fixed-neighborhood approximation property by the following generalization of the Weierstrass approximation theorem. One such example is $w = |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2$ (see Section 9).

Theorem 4.7. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a (topological) submanifold given as a graph $s = \rho(z, \bar{z})$, where $(z, s) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ denote the variables and ρ is continuous. Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is a compact neighborhood of $q \in M$ and $M \cap K$ is a nonempty compact set. Let $K_s = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : (z, s) \in M \cap K\}$, and suppose that*

(*) *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|s - t| < \delta$ and K_s and K_t are nonempty, then $d_H(K_s, K_t) < \epsilon$, where d_H denotes the Hausdorff distance.*

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of continuous functions on M with the following property: For every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ for which K_s is nonempty, the function $K_s \ni z \mapsto f(z, s)$ can be uniformly approximated on K_s by polynomials in z .

Then every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ can be uniformly approximated on $M \cap K$ by polynomials in (z, s) .

Remark 4.8. If $\rho \in C^3$, $\nabla \rho|_q = 0$, and the Hessian of ρ at q is nondegenerate, then (*) is satisfied for a small enough compact neighborhood K . To see this fact, suppose $q = 0$ and apply the Morse lemma to find a C^1 (not holomorphic) change of the z variables near 0 so that M is given by an equation of the form $s = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \pm x_k^2$, where x_1, \dots, x_{2n} are the new coordinates for \mathbb{C}^n . The condition (*) is clearly satisfied in this setting for small enough K . As a C^1 diffeomorphism will leave the Hausdorff distance locally comparable, the condition (*) is also true before the change of variables for small enough K .

Proof of Theorem 4.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Given s_0 for which K_{s_0} is nonempty, find a holomorphic polynomial $P_{s_0}(z)$ such that P_{s_0} is within ϵ of $z \mapsto f(z, s_0)$ on K_{s_0} . Then there exists a neighborhood of K_{s_0} on which $P_{s_0}(z)$ is within 3ϵ of $f(z, \rho(z))$ because both P_{s_0} and $f(z, \rho(z))$ are uniformly continuous on a neighborhood of $\pi_z(M \cap K)$ (the projection of $M \cap K$ onto the z -coordinate). Via the hypothesis (*), there exists $\delta_{s_0} > 0$ such that $P_{s_0}(z)$ and $f(z, \rho(z))$ are within 3ϵ when $|s - s_0| < \delta_{s_0}$ and $z \in K_s$.

The set $I = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : K_s \neq \emptyset\}$ is compact. So there exist $s_1 < \dots < s_\ell$ such that $s_j \in I$ for each j and the intervals $I_j = (s_j - \delta_{s_j}, s_j + \delta_{s_j})$ cover I . Let $\{\varphi_j\}$ be a continuous partition of unity on I subordinate to $\{I_j\}$, so $\sum_j \varphi_j = 1$ on I and for all j we have $\varphi_j \geq 0$ and $\text{supp } \varphi_j \subset I_j$. If $\varphi_j(s) \neq 0$, then $s \in I_j$, and thus $|P_{s_j}(z) - f(z, \rho(z))| < 3\epsilon$ for $z \in K_s$. Define $P(z, s) = \sum_j \varphi_j(s) P_{s_j}(z)$. If $s \in I$ and $z \in K_s$, we have

$$|P(z, s) - f(z, \rho(z))| = \left| \sum_{\{j: \varphi_j(s) \neq 0\}} \varphi_j(s) [P_{s_j}(z) - f(z, \rho(z))] \right| < 3\epsilon \sum_{\{j: \varphi_j(s) \neq 0\}} \varphi_j(s) = 3\epsilon. \quad (13)$$

Thus, $P(z, \rho(z))$ is within 3ϵ of $f(z, \rho(z))$ for every $z \in \pi_z(M \cap K)$. Also, $P(z, s)$ is a polynomial in z , and if we write

$$P(z, s) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(s) z^{\alpha} \quad (14)$$

then the coefficients a_{α} are continuous functions on I . These functions can be uniformly approximated on I by polynomials in s using the standard Weierstrass approximation theorem. By choosing a sufficiently close approximation, we find a polynomial $Q(z, s)$ such that

$Q(z, s)$ is within ϵ of $P(z, s)$ for $s \in I$ and $z \in K_s$. Then $Q(z, \rho(z))$ is within 4ϵ of $f(z, \rho(z))$ on $\pi_z(M \cap K)$. \square

Example 4.9. The hypothesis on the Hausdorff distance in Theorem 4.7 is sufficient but not necessary. Consider $M \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$s = \begin{cases} e^{-1/(\operatorname{Re} z)^2} & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Then condition (*) of the theorem is not satisfied: no matter how small a neighborhood K of the origin we take, $K_0 = \{z : \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0, (z, 0) \in K\}$ is not contained in a small neighborhood of $K_s = \{z : \exp(-1/(\operatorname{Re} z)^2) = s, (z, s) \in K\}$ for any $s > 0$.

Suppose for simplicity that K is the unit polydisc. Any function on M can be represented as a function of z because M is graph. If f is a continuous function M that can be uniformly approximated by holomorphic polynomials in z on K_s for every s , we know that f must be holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$.

The proof that f can be approximated by a polynomial in (z, s) on $K \cap M$ follows the same logic as the proof of Theorem 4.7 except we start with $s_1 = 0$, and we let P_0 be a polynomial approximating f for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$. The rest of the proof works as before simply considering the set of $M \cap K$ where $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$.

5. FLAT ELLIPTIC BISHOP SURFACES

The results in this section concern flat Bishop surfaces that are elliptic. With regard to the fixed-neighborhood approximation property for $CR_P^\omega(M)$, in the special case $w = |z|^2$ we show in Section 6 how to produce approximants using an integral formula for a larger class of functions.

Theorem 5.1. *Fix $\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$. Define $\rho(z, \bar{z}) = z\bar{z} + \lambda(z^2 + \bar{z}^2) + E(z, \bar{z})$, where $E(z, \bar{z})$ is smooth, real-valued, and $O(|z|^3)$. For $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$ sufficiently small, define $M = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w = \rho(z, \bar{z}), |z| < \delta_1, |w| < \delta_2\}$.*

- (i) *If M is C^ℓ , for all $k \leq \ell$ we have $CR^k(M) \supsetneq CR_P^k(M)$, and hence $CR^k(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.*
- (ii) *$CR_H(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood extension property at the origin.*
- (iii) *If M is real-analytic we have:*
 - (a) *$CR_P^\omega(M) = CR_H(M)$*
 - (b) *$CR_P^\omega(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.*
- (iv) *In the smooth category:*
 - (a) *$CR_P^\infty(M) \supsetneq CR_H(M)$*
 - (b) *$CR_P^\infty(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.*

Proof. Put $\ell = \omega$ if E is C^ω and $\ell = \infty$ otherwise.

By [10, Proposition 3.1] there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$ such that the following hold: For every $s \in (0, \delta_2)$, the set

$$K_s = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \delta_1, s = \rho(z, \bar{z})\} \quad (16)$$

is either empty or a connected compact real curve homeomorphic to a circle, and K_s bounds a relatively compact domain Ω_s with connected boundary. Moreover, if we define

$$M = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w = \rho(z, \bar{z}), |z| < \delta_1, |w| < \delta_2\}, \quad (17)$$

then the origin is the only CR singular point of M , and M is totally real away from the origin. It follows that $C^k(M) = CR^k(M)$ for every $k \leq \ell$.

By [10, Lemma 6.1], a smooth function f on M has a holomorphic extension on each nonempty leaf (i.e., a continuous extension from the set K_s that is holomorphic on Ω_s) if and only if the following moment condition holds: for each $t > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have

$$\int_{M \cap \{w=t^2\}} f(\zeta) \zeta^k d\zeta = 0. \quad (18)$$

Here we think of M as being parametrized by z and consider the corresponding function $f(z)$. We remark that, if $f \in CR_P^0(M)$, then f satisfies the moment condition on some neighborhood of the origin.

Fix $k \leq \ell$. The function $f(z, w) = \bar{z}$, considered as a function on M , belongs to $C^\ell(M) \subset CR^k(M)$. We claim that, on all neighborhoods of the origin, the moment condition fails to hold for f . Suppose that the claim is false. Then, by [10, Theorem 1.1], there exist small $\tilde{\delta}_1 > 0$ and $\tilde{\delta}_2 > 0$ such that f can be extended to be a smooth function on

$$\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \operatorname{Re} w \geq \rho(z, \bar{z}), \operatorname{Im} w = 0, |z| < \tilde{\delta}_1, |w| < \tilde{\delta}_2\}. \quad (19)$$

Moreover, the extension has a formal power series in z and w at the origin. This is impossible, so we have a contradiction. Thus, the claim holds, and it follows from the preceding remark that $f \notin CR_P^k(M)$. Hence, for every $k \leq \ell$, $CR^k(M) \neq CR_P^k(M)$, and $CR^k(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.

Next we assume that $\ell = \omega$ and consider functions that are real-analytic on M . Note that $CR_H(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood extension property at the origin because M is contained in the Levi-flat hypersurface given by $\operatorname{Im} w = 0$. Now we show that $CR_P^\omega(M) = CR_H(M)$. Fix $f \in CR_P^\omega(M)$. As we remarked earlier, f satisfies the moment condition on a neighborhood of the origin. By [10, Theorem 1.1], f extends to be holomorphic on a neighborhood of the origin. Because the origin is the only CR singular point of M , $f \in CR_H(M)$. This holds for all $f \in CR_P^\omega(M)$, so $CR_P^\omega(M) = CR_H(M)$.

Next we show that $CR_P^\omega(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin. Fix $\tilde{\delta}_1 > 0$ and $\tilde{\delta}_2 > 0$ sufficiently small. Let $f \in CR_P^\omega(M)$. Because f satisfies the moment condition on a neighborhood of the origin, and that condition involves the vanishing of certain functions that are real-analytic on the interval $(0, \delta_2)$, the moment condition holds on all of M . Thus, we can find a holomorphic extension of f from each nonempty K_s to Ω_s . We then use Mergelyan's theorem to uniformly approximate $K_s \ni z \mapsto f(z, s)$ by polynomials in z . (Here we use the fact that $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega_s}$ is connected.) This holds whenever K_s is nonempty, so we may use Theorem 4.7 (along with Remark 4.8) to uniformly approximate f on $M \cap \{(z, s) : |z| \leq \tilde{\delta}_1, |s| \leq \tilde{\delta}_2\}$ by polynomials in (z, s) . To get holomorphic polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^2(z, w)$, replace (z, s) by (z, w) . This proves that $CR_P^\omega(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.

Now we consider the class $C^\infty(M)$. We prove that $CR_P^\infty(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin. (It then follows that $CR_P^\infty(M) \neq CR_H(M)$.) Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small relative to δ_1, δ_2 . Choose $\chi_\epsilon : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ to be smooth and satisfy $\chi \equiv 0$ on $[0, \epsilon]$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[2\epsilon, \infty)$. Define f_ϵ on M by $f_\epsilon(z, \rho(z, \bar{z})) = \chi(|z|^2)\bar{z}$. Then $f_\epsilon \in CR_P^\infty(M)$ because f_ϵ is identically 0 near the origin, and at CR points we can apply the Baouendi–Trèves approximation theorem. But for every compact neighborhood of the origin there exists ϵ such that f_ϵ does not satisfy the moment

condition on that neighborhood. (Use the preceding argument that, on all neighborhoods of the origin, the moment condition fails to hold for \bar{z} .) Thus, $CR_p^\infty(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin. \square

6. BAOUENDI–TRÈVES FOR A SPECIAL ELLIPTIC BISHOP SURFACE

Define $M = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w = |z|^2\}$. In this section we prove that the class of functions in $C^0(M)$ satisfying the moment condition, equation (18) from Section 5, on a fixed neighborhood of the origin has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin. (In fact, for the class $C^\omega(M)$, if the moment condition holds for, say, a nonempty open interval of values of t , then it holds for all t . See the argument in Section 5.) The proof produces approximants by means of an integral formula, and in that way it is similar to the original proof of the Baouendi–Trèves approximation theorem.

We make a couple of preliminary comments. Fix $f \in C^0(M)$, and for each $t > 0$ write the value of f at $(z, t^2) \in M$ as $f_t(z)$. First, recall from Section 5 the remark that a necessary condition for f to belong to $CR_p^0(M)$ is that the moment condition hold for $t > 0$ sufficiently small. Second, note that this moment condition is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f_t(te^{i\theta})e^{i(k+1)\theta} d\theta = 0 \quad (20)$$

for $t > 0$ sufficiently small and for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Now we consider the class of functions in $C^0(M)$ satisfying the moment condition on a fixed neighborhood of the origin. We prove using an integral formula that this class has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose a nonnegative smooth function χ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[0, \epsilon/2]$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ on $[\epsilon, \infty)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define c_n by $1/c_n = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \exp(-|\zeta|^2/n) dA(\zeta)$.

Given a continuous function on M satisfying the moment condition on $\{(z, |z|^2) : |z| \leq \epsilon\}$, we think of M as being parametrized by z and consider the corresponding function $f(z)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(z, \bar{z}) &= c_n \int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi(|\zeta|) f(\zeta) \exp(-|z - \zeta|^2/n) dA(\zeta) \\ &= c_n \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \chi(r) f(re^{i\theta}) \exp(-|z - re^{i\theta}|^2/n) r d\theta dr. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Now

$$\exp(-|z - \zeta|^2/n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k k!} (z\bar{z} - z\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}\zeta + \zeta\bar{\zeta})^k, \quad (22)$$

and we write

$$(z\bar{z} - z\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}\zeta + \zeta\bar{\zeta})^k = \sum a_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(k)} (z\bar{z})^\alpha (z\bar{\zeta})^\beta (\bar{z}\zeta)^\gamma (\zeta\bar{\zeta})^\delta. \quad (23)$$

Then $\int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) \exp(-|z - re^{i\theta}|^2/n) d\theta$ can be written as a sum of constant multiples of terms of the form

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) (z\bar{z})^\alpha (zre^{-i\theta})^\beta (\bar{z}re^{i\theta})^\gamma r^{2\delta} d\theta = (z\bar{z})^\alpha z^\beta \bar{z}^\gamma r^{\beta+\gamma+2\delta} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) e^{i(\gamma-\beta)\theta} d\theta. \quad (24)$$

By equation (20), if $r \leq \epsilon$ this last quantity equals 0 when $\gamma - \beta \geq 1$. It follows that $Q_n(z, \bar{z})$ equals a sum that involves only terms of the form $(z\bar{z})^\alpha z^\beta \bar{z}^\gamma$ with $\gamma \leq \beta$. Hence, Q_n is a holomorphic function of $z, z\bar{z}$. Because $\{Q_n\}$ converges uniformly to f on $\{z : |z| \leq$

$\epsilon/2\}$, taking the partial sums of the Taylor series of Q_n about the origin gives the desired polynomial approximation of f . (To get a holomorphic polynomial on $\mathbb{C}^2(z, w)$, replace $(z, z\bar{z})$ by (z, w) .)

7. FLAT HYPERBOLIC OR PARABOLIC BISHOP SURFACES

The results in this section concern flat Bishop surfaces that are either parabolic models or hyperbolic.

Theorem 7.1. *Fix $\lambda \in [1/2, \infty]$ and $\ell \geq 3$ (possibly $\ell = \infty$ or $\ell = \omega$). Let $E(z, \bar{z})$ be C^ℓ , real-valued, and $o(|z|^2)$. For $\lambda \neq 1/2$ define $\rho(z, \bar{z}) = z\bar{z} + \lambda(z^2 + \bar{z}^2) + E(z, \bar{z})$, where $\lambda = \infty$ is interpreted as $\rho(z, \bar{z}) = z^2 + \bar{z}^2 + E(z, \bar{z})$. If $\lambda = 1/2$ define $\rho(z, \bar{z}) = z\bar{z} + \frac{1}{2}(z^2 + \bar{z}^2)$. For $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$ sufficiently small, define $M = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w = \rho(z, \bar{z}), |z| < \delta_1, |w| < \delta_2\}$.*

- (i) $CR^0(M) = C^0(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property, so $CR^k(M) = CR_P^k(M)$ for every $k \leq \ell$.
- (ii) $CR_P^\ell(M) \supsetneq CR_H(M)$.
- (iii) $CR_H(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood extension property at the origin.

Proof. If $\lambda > 1/2$ (the hyperbolic case), the origin is the only CR singular point of M . If $\lambda = 1/2$ (the parabolic case), the CR singular points have the form $(it, 0)$ for t real. Also, M is totally real away from the CR singular points. It follows that $C^k(M) = CR^k(M)$ for every $k \leq \ell$.

Note that $CR_H(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood extension property at the origin because M is contained in the Levi-flat hypersurface given by $\text{Im } w = 0$.

We prove that $C^0(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin. (From this it follows that $CR^k(M) = CR_P^k(M)$ for every $k \leq \ell$.) Throughout we write $s = \text{Re } w$. First we claim that, for $\epsilon > 0$ small, the level sets of $\rho(z, \bar{z})$ in $|z| \leq \epsilon$ have a connected complement in \mathbb{C} and empty interior. This is clear if $\lambda = 1/2$, and if $\lambda \neq 1/2$ the claim follows from the Morse lemma because the Hessian of ρ is nondegenerate at the origin. Now put $K = \{(z, s) : |z| \leq \delta_1/2, |s| \leq \delta_2/2\}$, a compact neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$. Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $K_s = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (z, s) \in M \cap K\}$ is nonempty. Then by the claim $\mathbb{C} \setminus K_s$ is connected, and K_s has empty interior. Thus, if $f \in C^0(M)$ we can use Mergelyan's theorem to uniformly approximate $K_s \ni z \mapsto f(z, s)$ by polynomials in z . This holds whenever K_s is nonempty, so we may use Theorem 4.7 (with Remark 4.8) to uniformly approximate f on $M \cap K$ by polynomials in (z, s) . To get holomorphic polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^2(z, w)$, replace (z, s) by (z, w) . This proves that $C^0(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.

Now we show that $CR_P^\ell(M) \neq CR_H(M)$. The function $f(z, w) = \bar{z}$, considered as a function on M , belongs to $C^\ell(M) = CR_P^\ell(M)$. Assume for a contradiction that f can be extended to a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^2 as a holomorphic function g . Then, if L is the vector field on \mathbb{C}^2 defined by $L = \partial/\partial\bar{z}$, we have $Lg \equiv 0$ near the origin. But L is tangent to M at the origin, and $L_0f \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. \square

Remark 7.2. By the fixed-neighborhood approximation property for $C^0(M)$ where M is given by $w = z^2 + \bar{z}^2$, every continuous function on a compact subset of \mathbb{C} can be uniformly approximated by polynomials in z and \bar{z}^2 . This is a special case of a result due to Minsker [16] and later generalized by Mondal [17], whose work we mentioned in the introduction.

8. A MANIFOLD WITH A LARGE HULL

In this section we study properties of the submanifold M of \mathbb{C}^3 defined by $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2$. Note that M is locally a diffeomorphic image of $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ under a CR map (e.g., [13, Remark 1.3]) and is Levi-flat at CR points, so it is perhaps surprising that M has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at the origin (a CR singular point).

Theorem 8.1. *Define $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ by $M = \{(z_1, z_2, w) : w = \bar{z}_1 z_2\}$.*

- (i) *M has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at the origin, and therefore at all CR singularities.*
- (ii) *$CR_P^k(M) = CR_H(M)$ for all k .*
- (iii) *$CR_H(M)$ (and therefore also $CR_P^k(M)$ for all k) has the fixed-neighborhood extension property at the origin, and hence the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.*
- (iv) *For every k , $CR^k(M) \not\supseteq CR_P^k(M)$, and hence $CR^k(M)$ has neither a polynomial approximation nor an extension property at the origin (fixed-neighborhood or otherwise).*

Proof. The set of CR singular points of M is $\{(z_1, z_2, w) \in M : z_2 = 0\}$. For every CR singular point q of M , there exists an affine biholomorphic map of \mathbb{C}^3 onto itself that sends M onto M and the origin to q . Thus, the existence of an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood for M at a given CR singular point follows from the existence of such a neighborhood at the origin. Also, note that through every CR point of M there is a connected nonsingular complex curve Υ (a subset of a complex line) such that $\Upsilon \subset M_{CR}$ and the closure of Υ contains a CR singular point.

The main difficulty in the proof of the theorem is to show that M has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at the origin. Given this result, here is the proof of the rest of the theorem: Parts (ii) and (iii) follow from the observations in the preceding paragraph and Corollary 4.5. Also, the function $f(z_1, z_2, w) = \bar{z}_1$, considered as a function on M , belongs to $CR^\omega(M)$ (e.g., $f = w/z_2$ on M_{CR}), but $f \notin CR_H(M)$ (otherwise, the unique holomorphic extension would equal w/z_2 on an open set, an impossibility). In fact, it is easy to see directly that $f \notin CR_P^\omega(M)$: f cannot be written as a uniform limit of holomorphic polynomials on $\{(z_1, 0, 0) : |z_1| \leq \epsilon\} \subset M$. Thus, for every k , $CR^k(M) \neq CR_P^k(M)$. This proves (iv).

Now we show that M has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at the origin. Fix $C > 3$, write Δ_C for the closed polydisc $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \omega) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |\xi_1|, |\xi_2|, |\omega| \leq C\}$, and define $A_0 = \Delta_C \cap M$. It suffices to prove that A_0 has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at the origin. We attach discs in two steps and then apply Lemma 4.6.

First step: We attach discs to A_0 . Define

$$A_1 = \Delta_C \cap \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \omega) : \text{Im}(\omega \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_2) = 0, \text{Re}(\omega \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_2) \geq |\xi_1 \xi_2|^2, |\xi_2|/C \leq |\xi_1| \leq C|\xi_2|\}. \quad (25)$$

We prove that if $p \in A_1$ then there exists an analytic disc φ attached to A_0 through p . If also $p \in A_0$, we can use a constant disc, so we assume $p \notin A_0$. First fix a point $p = (z_1, z_2, w) \in A_1 \setminus A_0$ with $z_2 \neq 0$. Then $z_1 \neq 0$, so also $w \neq 0$. Let $\lambda > 0$ satisfy $\lambda^2 = w z_1 / z_2$, so $|z_1| \leq \lambda$. Define $\varphi(\zeta) = (\lambda \zeta, w \zeta / \lambda, w)$. Note that $z_1 / \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\varphi(z_1 / \lambda) = p$. Clearly φ is attached to M . It follows from $|w| \leq C$ and $|z_2|/C \leq |z_1| \leq C|z_2|$ that φ is in fact attached to A_0 : $\lambda = |w z_1 / z_2|^{1/2} \leq C$, and $|w / \lambda| = |w| |w z_1 / z_2|^{-1/2} \leq C$. Next, if $p = (z_1, 0, w) \in A_1 \setminus A_0$, then $z_1 = 0$, and p belongs to a disc attached to A_0 : Define $\varphi(\zeta) = (\bar{w} \zeta, \zeta, w)$. Thus, $A_1 \subset \text{DH}(A_0)$. This concludes the first step.

Second step: We attach discs to the set A_1 from the first step. We prove that, for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, if $p = (z_1, z_2, w)$ belongs to the set

$$A_2 = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \omega) : |\xi_1| \leq \epsilon, \frac{1}{K_1} \leq |\xi_2| \leq \frac{1}{K_2}|\omega|, \frac{K_3}{C} \leq |\omega| \leq C\}, \quad (26)$$

then p belongs to a disc attached to A_1 . Here $2C - K_1$ and $K_2 - 18$ are small positive numbers that depend on ϵ , and $CK_2/K_1 < K_3 < C^2$.

For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ define

$$f(\zeta, 1/\zeta) = \frac{4}{9}(\zeta - 1/2)(1/\zeta - 1/2). \quad (27)$$

It is easy to see that if $|\zeta| = 1$ then $f(\zeta, 1/\zeta)$ is real and $1/9 \leq f(\zeta, 1/\zeta) \leq 1$. For the moment fix $\lambda \in (0, 1/9]$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the map

$$\varphi(\zeta) = (e^{i\theta}\zeta f(\zeta, 1/\zeta), w\lambda e^{i\theta}\zeta, w). \quad (28)$$

If $\lambda \geq 1/(C|w|)$, then φ is attached to A_1 : When $|\zeta| = 1$ we have $f(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \geq \lambda f^2(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) > 0$ because $0 < f(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \leq 1 \leq 1/\lambda$. Also, if $|\zeta| = 1$ then

$$|w\lambda e^{i\theta}\zeta|/C \leq |e^{i\theta}\zeta f(\zeta, 1/\zeta)| \leq C|w\lambda e^{i\theta}\zeta| \quad (29)$$

because $\lambda \leq 1/9$, $|w| \leq C$, $1/9 \leq f(\zeta, 1/\zeta) \leq 1$, and $C|w|\lambda \geq 1$.

Now we show that $\varphi(\zeta) = p$ for some ζ with $|\zeta| \leq 1$ and for some choice of λ and θ . To satisfy the first component of this equation, we use the fact that $\zeta f(\zeta, 1/\zeta)$ maps a neighborhood of $\zeta = 1/2$ onto a neighborhood of 0. In fact, from the first component we find the requirement $e^{i\theta}\zeta f(\zeta, 1/\zeta) = z_1$, and solving the resulting quadratic equation in ζ gives

$$\zeta = \frac{5}{4} - \sqrt{\frac{9}{16} - \frac{9}{2}e^{-i\theta}z_1}. \quad (30)$$

Here the square root is chosen so that $z_1 = 0$ corresponds to $\zeta = 1/2$. The second component of the equation $\varphi(\zeta) = p$ then requires that

$$z_2 = w\lambda e^{i\theta} \left(\frac{5}{4} - \sqrt{\frac{9}{16} - \frac{9}{2}e^{-i\theta}z_1} \right). \quad (31)$$

The modulus of z_1 is small, so on the right side of equation (31) the argument of the factor in parentheses is near 0. We choose θ so that the right side of equation (31) has the same argument as z_2 . Then we choose $\lambda \geq 1/(C|w|)$ so that the right side has the same modulus as z_2 . Thus, $A_2 \subset \text{DH}(A_1)$. This concludes the second step.

From the first two steps we conclude that $A_2 \subset \text{DH}^2(A_0)$. Note that is A_0 is bounded weighted homogeneous (use $\alpha = (1, 1, 2)$) and that A_2 contains a nonempty Reinhardt domain. By Lemma 4.6, A_0 has an iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood at 0, as desired. \square

9. FIXED-NEIGHBORHOOD APPROXIMATION WITHOUT FIXED-NEIGHBORHOOD EXTENSION

In this section we consider the submanifold M of \mathbb{C}^3 defined by $w = |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2$. The proof that $CR^\omega(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin depends on constructing analytic discs, but there is no iterated shrinking disc hull neighborhood of the origin for M .

Theorem 9.1. *Define $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ by $w = |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2$.*

- (i) *In the real-analytic category:*
 - (a) $CR^\omega(M) = CR_P^\omega(M) = CR_H(M)$
 - (b) $CR^\omega(M)$ *has the extension property and the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.*
 - (c) $CR_H(M)$ *does not have the fixed-neighborhood extension property at the origin.*
- (ii) *In the smooth category:*
 - (a) $CR^\infty(M) \not\supseteq CR_P^\infty(M) \not\supseteq CR_H(M)$
 - (b) $CR_P^\infty(M)$ *does not have the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.*

Proof. Note that the origin is the only CR singular point of M .

First we consider functions that are real-analytic on M . Fix $f \in CR^\omega(M)$. Because the quadratic $|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2$ satisfies the rank condition in [13, Theorem 1.1], f extends as a holomorphic function to a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^3 . Because the origin is the only CR singular point of M , $f \in CR_H(M)$. Thus, $CR^\omega(M) = CR_P^\omega(M) = CR_H(M)$, and $CR^\omega(M)$ has the extension property at the origin. Because M is contained in the Levi-flat hypersurface given by $\text{Im } w = 0$, $CR_H(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood extension property at the origin. It remains to show that $CR^\omega(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.

We construct analytic discs. Let $A_1 = \{(z_1, z_2, s) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R} : s \geq 0, |z_1|^2 \leq |z_2|^2 + s\}$. Assume that $p = (z_1, z_2, s) \in A_1$. If $z_2 = s = 0$, then $z_1 = 0$, so $p \in M$. Now assume that $|z_2|^2 + s > 0$. Then p belongs to a disc attached to M : Define $\varphi(\zeta) = (\zeta, z_2, s)$ for $|\zeta|^2 \leq |z_2|^2 + s$. Then φ is attached to M . Also, p belongs to the disc because $|z_1|^2 \leq |z_2|^2 + s$.

Similarly, if $A_2 = \{(z_1, z_2, s) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R} : s \geq 0, |z_2|^2 \leq |z_1|^2 - s\}$ and $p = (z_1, z_2, s) \in A_2$ with $|z_1|^2 - s > 0$, then p belongs to a disc attached to M : Define $\varphi(\zeta) = (z_1, \zeta, s)$ for $|\zeta|^2 \leq |z_1|^2 - s$. Then φ is attached to M . Also, p belongs to the disc because $|z_2|^2 \leq |z_1|^2 - s$.

Thus, for each fixed $s_0 \geq 0$, discs attached to M cover $\{(z_1, z_2, s) : s = s_0\}$. The same result is true if $s_0 < 0$. Given a disc $\varphi(\zeta) = (z_1(\zeta), z_2(\zeta), w(\zeta))$, the family of discs $\varphi_t(\zeta) = (tz_1(\zeta), tz_2(\zeta), t^2w(\zeta))$ will stay attached to M and shrink to the origin as $t \rightarrow 0$. This concludes the construction of analytic discs.

Now let $f \in CR^\omega(M)$. As we proved earlier, $f \in CR_H(M)$. By Lemma 2.3, we can extend f as a holomorphic function to a neighborhood of M in \mathbb{C}^3 . Now apply Corollary 4.3 to extend f to a fixed neighborhood (independent of f) of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, that is, extend f to a real-analytic CR function on this neighborhood. Therefore, f is holomorphic in z for any fixed $\text{Re } w$, and we can make this neighborhood have the form $V \times I$ where I is an interval and V is a polydisc. Write $s = \text{Re } w$. For fixed s use the partial sums of the Taylor series of the extension to get an approximation of f by a holomorphic polynomial whose coefficients depend on s . Now use Theorem 4.7, with Remark 4.8, to get polynomials (in (z_1, z_2, s) , hence in (z_1, z_2, w)) approximating f on a fixed neighborhood of the origin in M .

Now we consider the class $C^\infty(M)$. We continue to write $s = \text{Re } w$. First we show that $CR^\infty(M) \neq CR_P^\infty(M)$. Define $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f(z_1, z_2, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z_1} e^{-1/s^2} & \text{if } s > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s = 0, \\ \frac{1}{z_2} e^{-1/s^2} & \text{if } s < 0. \end{cases}$$

In [12, Example 2.4], it is shown that $f \in CR^\infty(M)$ and that there is no neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ to which f extends as a CR function of any regularity. It follows that $f \notin CR_P^\infty(M)$: If f were a uniform limit on a compact neighborhood of the origin of a sequence of holomorphic polynomials, using the above construction of analytic discs attached to M and adapting the proof of Theorem 4.1 would give a continuous CR extension of f to a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Next we show that $CR_P^\infty(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin. For $\epsilon > 0$ define $f_\epsilon: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_\epsilon(z_1, z_2, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z_1} e^{-1/(s-\epsilon)^2} & \text{if } s > \epsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon], \\ \frac{1}{z_2} e^{-1/(s+\epsilon)^2} & \text{if } s < -\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_\epsilon \in CR^\infty(M)$. In fact, $f_\epsilon \in CR_P^\infty(M)$: f_ϵ is identically 0 near the origin (the only CR singularity of M), and at CR points we apply the Baouendi–Trèves approximation theorem.

Now assume for a contradiction that $CR_P^\infty(M)$ has the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin, and let K be an associated compact neighborhood of the origin in M . We use the functions f, f_ϵ defined above. Because $f_{1/n} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on K and each $f_{1/n}$ is supposed to be a uniform limit on K of a sequence of holomorphic polynomials, it follows that f is a uniform limit on K of a sequence of holomorphic polynomials. This contradiction proves that $CR_P^\infty(M)$ does not have the fixed-neighborhood approximation property at the origin.

Note also that $f_\epsilon \in CR_P^\infty(M) \setminus CR_H(M)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Salah Baouendi, Peter Ebenfelt, and Linda Preiss Rothschild, *Real submanifolds in complex space and their mappings*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 47, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999. [MR1668103](#)
- [2] M. S. Baouendi and F. Trèves, *A property of the functions and distributions annihilated by a locally integrable system of complex vector fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **113** (1981), no. 2, 387–421. [MR0607899](#)
- [3] Roman J. Dworkin, *Global holomorphic approximations of Cauchy-Riemann functions*, Complex analysis and dynamical systems IV. Part 1, Contemp. Math., vol. 553, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 31–44. [MR2868586](#)
- [4] Nicholas Hanges and François Trèves, *Propagation of holomorphic extendability of CR functions*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), no. 2, 157–177. [MR0698000](#)
- [5] F. Reese Harvey and R. O. Wells Jr., *Holomorphic approximation on totally real submanifolds of a complex manifold*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1971), 824–828. [MR0289809](#)
- [6] ———, *Holomorphic approximation and hyperfunction theory on a C^1 totally real submanifold of a complex manifold*, Math. Ann. **197** (1972), 287–318. [MR0310278](#)
- [7] L. Hörmander and J. Wermer, *Uniform approximation on compact sets in C^n* , Math. Scand. **23** (1968), 5–21 (1969). [MR0254275](#)
- [8] Sergei Ivashkovich, *Discrete and continuous versions of the continuity principle*, J. Geom. Anal. **32** (2022), no. 8, Paper No. 226, 27. [MR4443556](#)
- [9] J. J. Kohn and Hugo Rossi, *On the extension of holomorphic functions from the boundary of a complex manifold*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 451–472. [MR0177135](#)
- [10] Jiří Lebl, Alan Noell, and Sivaguru Ravisankar, *Extension of CR functions from boundaries in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. **66** (2017), no. 3, 901–925. [MR3663330](#)
- [11] ———, *Codimension two CR singular submanifolds and extensions of CR functions*, J. Geom. Anal. **27** (2017), no. 3, 2453–2471. [MR3667437](#)

- [12] ———, *On Lewy extension for smooth hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), 6581–6603. [MR3937338](#)
- [13] ———, *A CR singular analogue of Severi's theorem*, Math. Z. **299** (2021), no. 3-4, 1607–1629. [MR4329261](#)
- [14] Hans Lewy, *On the local character of the solutions of an atypical linear differential equation in three variables and a related theorem for regular functions of two complex variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **64** (1956), 514–522. [MR0081952](#)
- [15] S. N. Mergelyan, *Uniform approximations to functions of a complex variable*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translation **1954** (1954), no. 101, 99. [MR0060015](#)
- [16] Steven Minsker, *Some applications of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem to planar rational approximation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1976), 94–96. [MR0467322](#)
- [17] Golam Mostafa Mondal, *Polynomial convexity and polynomial approximations of certain sets in \mathbb{C}^{2n} with non-isolated CR-singularities*, J. Geom. Anal. **33** (2023), no. 8, Paper No. 251, 34. [MR4592426](#)
- [18] Mauro Nacinovich and Egmont Porten, *Locally approximable CR functions, a sharp maximum modulus principle and holomorphic extension*. Preprint [arXiv:2402.19057](#).
- [19] F. Severi, *Risoluzione generale del problema di Dirichlet per le funzioni biarmoniche*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., VI. Ser. **13** (1931), 795–804.
- [20] A. E. Tumanov, *Extension of CR-functions into a wedge from a manifold of finite type*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **136(178)** (1988), no. 1, 128–139 (Russian); English transl., Math. USSR-Sb. **64** (1989), no. 1, 129–140. [MR0945904](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078, USA
Email address: lebl@okstate.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078, USA
Email address: alan.noell@okstate.edu

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, CENTRE FOR APPLICABLE MATHEMATICS, BENGALURU 560065, INDIA
Email address: sivaguru@tifrbng.res.in