

DECOMPOSITION OF HIGHER DELIGNE-LUSZTIG REPRESENTATIONS

SIAN NIE

ABSTRACT. A higher Deligne-Lusztig representation is a virtual smooth representation of a parahoric subgroup in a p -adic group, which is constructed from the Deligne-Lusztig induction. In this note, under a mild condition on p we provide a decomposition of higher Deligne-Lusztig representations, attached to an unramified elliptic maximal torus, into irreducible representations obtained in a similar way as those used in Yu's construction of supercuspidal representations of p -adic groups. As a consequence, we show that all the unramified supercuspidal representations are direct summands of inductions of higher Deligne-Lusztig representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a non-archimedean local field with a finite residue field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p . Denote by \check{k} the completion of a maximal unramified extension of k . Let F be the Frobenius automorphism of \check{k} over k .

Let G be a reductive group over k , and T a maximal torus over k splitting over \check{k} . Fix a point x in the apartment of T . For any closed subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ we can define the r th jet group $H_r := H_{x,r}$, which is a smooth affine group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$.

Let $B = TU$ be a Borel subgroup over \check{k} with U the unipotent radical. Following [13] and [2], we can define the parahoric Deligne-Lusztig variety

$$X_{U,r} = \{g \in G_r; g^{-1}F(g) \in U_r\}.$$

The F -fixed point group $G_r^F \times T_r^F$ acts by left/right multiplication, and hence acts on the ℓ -adic cohomology $H_c^*(X_{U,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ with $\ell \neq p$. Thus, given a character $\phi : T^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ of depth $r \geq 0$, the corresponding isotropic subspace

$$R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X_{U,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi],$$

gives a virtual representation of G_r^F , which is referred to a higher Deligne-Lusztig representation.

If $r = 0$, $R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$ is the usual Deligne-Lusztig representation for the finite reductive group G_r^F constructed in [7]. In [12] Lusztig initiated the study of $R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$ for arbitrary r , and expected that their inductions would give rise to supercuspidal representations of the p -adic group G^F . This expectation

and its application in local Langlands correspondence have recently been established in [4] and [3] for toral characters ϕ . A key ingredient of these works is the inner product formula for higher Deligne-Lusztig representations. If ϕ is generic, this formula is proved in [13], [16] and [2]. If T is of Coxeter type, it is proved by [8] and [10] when q is not too small. Recently, under a mild assumption on p , Chan [1] proved that it holds for all $R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$ attached to split-generic pairs (T, ϕ) , including the most interesting case that T is elliptic.

On the other hand, there is an purely algebraic construction of tame supercuspidal representations due to Yu [18]. In the process, it produces a family of irreducible representations of G_r^F in a non-cohomological way. It is a natural question to compare the higher Deligne-Lusztig representations and Yu's representations. When ϕ is toral and in general position, Chan and Oi established an explicit identification between these two kinds of representations. When G_x is hyperspecial and ϕ is generic, a similar comparison result is also obtained by Chen and Stasinski in [5] and [6].

In this paper, we give an explicit decomposition of higher Deligne-Lusztig representations attached to elliptic tori into irreducible ones of Yu's type, under a mild assumption on p .

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that p is not a bad prime for G and does not divide $|\pi_1(G_{\text{der}})|$. Suppose T is elliptic. Then there is an irreducible decomposition of G_r^F -modules*

$$|R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)| = \bigoplus_{\rho} \text{ind}_{K_{\phi,r}^F}^{G_r^F} \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes \rho,$$

where ρ ranges over irreducible summands (with multiplicities) of some classical Deligne-Lusztig representation attached to ϕ .

We refer to Theorem 6.4 for the precise statement. When $|R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)|$ is irreducible, similar results were obtained by Chen-Stasinski [5], [6] and Chan-Oi [4], under certain conditions on ϕ . Note that the group K_{ϕ}^F and the irreducible K_{ϕ}^F -modules

$$\text{ind}_{K_{\phi,r}^F}^{G_r^F} \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes \rho$$

are constructed in the same spirit of Yu [18]. The only different is that the Weil representation $\kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}}$ used in Yu's construction is replaced by a representation $\kappa(\phi)_U$ arising from geometry.

To prove the main result, we employ a strategy inspired by Chen-Stasinski [6] and the work [9] in progress. The key is to introduce a new variety (following Yu's construction)

$$Z_{\phi,U,r} \subseteq G_r,$$

which also admits a natural action by $G_r^F \times T_r^F$. Then using the Deligne-Lusztig induction, one obtains another (virtual) G_r^F -module

$$\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(Z_{\phi,U,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi].$$

Compared with $X_{U,r}$, the variety $Z_{\phi,U,r}$ has simpler structure and it follows that $|\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)|$ is a geometric realization of right hand side of Theorem 1.1. Thus, it remains to show $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) = R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$, that is,

$$\langle R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \rangle_{G_r^F} = \langle \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \rangle_{G_r^F} = \langle \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \rangle_{G_r^F}.$$

The first inner product is obtained in [1]. We compute the last two based on the Howe factorization of ϕ , which exists under our assumption on p . It turns out that all the three inner products are the same. Hence the main result follows.

Let Z be the center of G . We can extend $R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$ to a $Z^F G_{\mathbf{x}}^F$ -module, on which Z^F acts via ϕ . Then we have the following consequence.

Corollary 1.2. *Let p be as in Theorem 1.1. Then any supercuspidal representation of G^F attached to an elliptic unramified torus T is a direct summand of*

$$\text{ind}_{Z^F G_{\mathbf{x}}^F}^{G^F} R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$$

for some character ϕ of depth r .

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we recall the inner product formula and the degeneracy property of higher Deligne-Lusztig representations due to Chan [1], which will play an essential role in our computation. In §3, we introduce the variety $Z_{\phi,U,r}$ and the associated representation $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$. In §4, we compute the inner product between $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$ and $R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$. This is achieved by extending methods from [13], [5] and [5]. In §5, we compute the self inner product of $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$, which completes the proof of the equality $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) = R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$. In §6 we decompose $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$ into irreducible representations of Yu's type and finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. In the last section, we prove the Corollary 1.2.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Zhe Chen for explaining his joint work with Alexander Stasinski. We are also grateful to Alexander Ivanov for the collaboration on higher Deligne-Lusztig varieties and representations, which inspired this work.

2. THE REPRESENTATIONS $R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$

Let $k, \check{k}, F, \mathbb{F}_q, p$ and G be as in §1. In the rest of the paper, we assume that p is not a bad prime for G and does not divide $|\pi_1(G_{\text{der}})|$.

Let T be an elliptic maximal torus over k which splits over \check{k} . We choose a point \mathbf{x} in the apartment of T . We denote by $G_{\mathbf{x}}$ the parahoric subgroup

of $G(\check{k})$. For $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ let G_x^r be the r th Moy-Prasad subgroup of G_x , and denote by

$$G_r = G_{x,r} = G_x/G_x^{r+}$$

the quotient group with $G_x^{r+} = \cup_{s>r} G_x^s$. Note that G_r is a smooth affine group over \mathbb{F} . For any closed subgroup $H \subseteq G$, we identify H with $H(\check{k})$ and set

$$H_r = H_{x,r} = (H \cap G_x)/(H \cap G_x^{r+}).$$

We will write $H_r = H_r^s$ if $s = 0$. Note that $G_0 = G_{x,0}$ is the reductive quotient of the parahoric subgroup G_x .

Let $B = TU \subseteq G$ be a Borel subgroup with U the unipotent radical. The associated higher/parahoric Deligne-Lusztig variety for $r \geq 0$ is

$$X_{U,r} = X_{U,r}^G = \{g \in G_r; g^{-1}F(g) \in FU_r\},$$

which admits a natural action of $G_r^F \times T_r^F$ given by $(g, t) : x \mapsto gxt$.

Let $\phi : T^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be a smooth character of depth $r_\phi \leq r$. The attached higher Deligne-Lusztig representation is

$$R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X_{U,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi],$$

where $H_c^i(X_{U,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi]$ denote the ϕ -isotropic subspace of $H_c^i(X_{U,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Note that $R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$ is a virtual representation of G_r^F .

$W_{G_r}(T_r) = N_r/T_r$ be the Weyl group, where N denotes the normalizer of T in G . The following results will play a key role in the paper.

Theorem 2.1. [1, Theorem 6.2] *Let notation be as above. Then we have*

$$\langle R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \rangle_{G_r^F} = \#\{w \in W_{G_r}(T_r)^F; \phi = {}^w\phi\}.$$

Moreover, $R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$ is independent of the choice of $B = TU$.

We will write $R_{T,r}^G(\phi) = R_{T,V,r}^G(\phi)$ for any Borel subgroup of the form TV with V the unipotent radical.

Theorem 2.2. [1, Theorem 5.2] *Let notation be as above. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a natural isomorphism of $G_r^F \times T_r^F$ -modules*

$$H_c^i(X_{U,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi] \cong H_c^{i+m}(X_{U,r_\phi}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi]$$

for some integer m depending on $r_\phi \leq r$.

In particular, there is a natural isomorphism $R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \cong R_{T,U,r_\phi}^G(\phi)$ of G_r^F -modules.

3. THE REPRESENTATIONS $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$

In this section, we introduce the main objects $Z_{\phi,U,r}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$, and discuss some basic properties.

Let $B = TU \subseteq G$ be as in §2. Let $\overline{B} = T\overline{U}$ be the opposite Borel subgroup to B with \overline{U} its unipotent radical. We denote by $\Phi(G, T)$ the root system of

T in G . For $\alpha \in \Phi(G, T)$ let G^α be the corresponding root subgroup, and let $T^\alpha \subseteq T$ be the (one-dimensional) maximal torus of the subgroup generated by G^α and $G^{-\alpha}$.

Let $M \supseteq T$ be a Levi subgroup of G . Let θ be a character of M^F of depth $r_\theta \geq 0$. We say θ is (M, G) -generic if for any $\alpha \in \Phi(G, T) \setminus \Phi(M, T)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $F^n(T^\alpha) = T^\alpha$, the restriction of $\theta \circ N_F^{F^n}$ to $((T^\alpha)_{r_\theta}^{F^n})^{F^n}$ is nontrivial. Here $N_F^{F^n} : T \rightarrow T$ is given by $t \mapsto tF(t) \cdots F^{n-1}(t)$. By [11, Lemma 3.6.8], this definition of genericity coincides with the one given in [18, §9], under our global assumption on p given in §2.

Let ϕ be a character of T^F of depth $r_\phi \geq 0$. A Howe factorization of ϕ is a triple of sequences $(G^i, \phi_i, r_i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d_\phi}$ such that

- $T = G^{-1} \subseteq G^0 \subsetneq G^1 \subsetneq G^{d-1} \subsetneq G^d = G$ are Levi subgroups with $d = d_\phi$;
- ϕ_i is a character of $(G^i)^F$ of depth r_i for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$;
- $\phi = \prod_{i=-1}^d \phi_i|_{T^F}$;
- ϕ_i is (G^i, G^{i+1}) -generic for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$;
- $0 = r_{-1} < r_0 < \cdots < r_{d-1} \leq r_d = r_\phi$;
- $\phi_d = 1$ if $r_{d-1} = r_d$ and has depth r_d otherwise;
- $\phi_{-1} = 1$ if $G^0 = T$ and has depth 0 otherwise.

In this case, we consider the following F -stable subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} K_\phi &= (G^0)_x (G^1)_x^{r_0/2} \cdots (G^d)_x^{r_{d-1}/2}; \\ H_\phi &= (G^0)_x^{0+} (G^1)_x^{r_0/2} \cdots (G^d)_x^{r_{d-1}/2}; \\ K_\phi^+ &= (G^0)_x^{0+} (G^1)_x^{r_0/2+} \cdots (G^d)_x^{r_{d-1}/2+}; \\ E_\phi &= (G_{\text{der}}^0)_x^{0+,0+} (G_{\text{der}}^1)_x^{r_0+,r_0/2+} \cdots (G_{\text{der}}^d)_x^{r_{d-1}+,r_{d-1}/2+}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $(G_{\text{der}}^i)_x^{r_{i-1}+, r_{i-1}/2+} \subseteq G_x$ is the subgroup generated by $(G_{\text{der}}^i)_x^{r_{i-1}+}$ and $(G^\alpha)_x^{r_{i-1}/2+}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$. Here $\Phi_i = \Phi(G^i, T)$ is the root system of G^i .

Lemma 3.1. *The subgroups $[K_\phi, K_\phi^+] \subseteq E_\phi \subseteq K_\phi^+$ are normalized by K_ϕ .*

Proof. It follows directly by definition. \square

Recall that $\overline{B} = T\overline{U}$ is the opposite of $B = TU$. Consider the following subgroup

$$\mathcal{I}_{\phi,U} = (K_\phi \cap U)(E_\phi \cap T)(K_\phi^+ \cap \overline{U}) \subseteq K_\phi.$$

Let $r \geq r_\phi$. We denote by $K_{\phi,r}$ the image of K_ϕ under the quotient map $G_x \rightarrow G_r$. We can define $H_{\phi,r}$, $E_{\phi,r}$, $K_{\phi,r}^+$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}$ in a similar way. Now we define

$$Z_{\phi,U,r} = Z_{\phi,U,r}^G = \{g \in G_r; g^{-1}F(g) \in F\mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}\},$$

which admits a natural action of $G_r^F \times T_r^F$ by left/right multiplication. We denote by

$$\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(Z_{\phi,U,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi]$$

the virtual representation of G_r^F .

Proposition 3.2. *There is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \cong \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r_\phi}^G(\phi)$ of G_r^F -modules .*

Proof. We can assume that $r > s \geq r_\phi$ with $r = s+$. Let $\pi_r : Z_{\phi,U,r} \rightarrow Z_{\phi,U,s}$ be the natural projection. Let $x \in Z_{\phi,U,s}$. It suffices to show

$$H_c^i(\pi_r^{-1}(x), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)^{(T_r^r)^F} = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, & \text{if } i = 2 \dim G_{\text{der}}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $r > r_\phi$, we have $(G_{\text{der}})_r^r \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}$. Hence

$$\pi_r^{-1}(x) \cong \{y \in G_r^r; y^{-1}F(y) \in (G_{\text{der}})_r^r\} = (T_r^r)^F (G_{\text{der}})_r^r,$$

from which the statement follows directly. \square

Lemma 3.3. *We have $Z_{\phi,U,r} \cong \bigsqcup_{\tau \in T_r^F / (T \cap G_{\text{der}})_r^F} (Z_{\phi,U,r} \cap (G_{\text{der}})_r) \tau$.*

Proof. It follows from the inclusion $\mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r} \subseteq (G_{\text{der}})_r$ and natural isomorphism $G_r^F / (G_{\text{der}})_r^F \cong T_r^F / (T \cap G_{\text{der}})_r^F$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *For any character θ of G^F there is a natural isomorphism of G_r^F -modules $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \otimes \theta = \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi \otimes \theta|_{T^F})$.*

Proof. It follows similarly as [1, Proposition 3.7] by using Lemma 3.3. \square

Note that $K_\phi^+ = E_\phi T_x^{0+}$ and hence $(K_\phi^+)^F = E_\phi^F (T_x^{0+})^F$. As ϕ is trivial over $E_\phi^F \cap T^F$, it inflates to a character of $(K_\phi^+)^F$ which is trivial on E_ϕ^F . We denote this character by ϕ^\natural .

Proposition 3.5. *We have $(Z_{\phi,U,r} \cap K_{\phi,r})E_{\phi,r} = Z_{\phi,r} \cap K_{\phi,r}$, and for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the quotient map $Z_{\phi,U,r} \cap K_{\phi,r} \rightarrow (Z_{\phi,U,r} \cap K_{\phi,r})/E_{\phi,r}$ induces an isomorphism of G_r^F -modules*

$$H_c^{i+\dim E_{\phi,r}}(Z_{\phi,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi] \cong H_c^i(Z_{\phi,r}/E_{\phi,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi].$$

In particular, $(K_{\phi,r}^+)^F$ acts on $H_c^i(Z_{\phi,U,r} \cap K_{\phi,r}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi]$ via the character ϕ^\natural .

Proof. The first statement follows by definition. The second follows from that $E_{\phi,r}$ is isomorphic to an affine spaces. The last one follows from that $E_{\phi,r}$ is normalized by $K_{\phi,r}$ and that $[K_{\phi,r}, K_{\phi,r}^+] \subseteq E_{\phi,r}$. \square

4. A INNER PRODUCT FORMULA

We keep notations in §3. Let S be another maximal torus over k which is conjugate to T by G_x . We fix two smooth characters ϕ and ψ of T^F and S^F respectively. Let $(G^i, \phi_i, r_i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d_\phi}$ and $(M^i, \psi_i, s_i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d_\psi}$ be Howe factorizations of ϕ and ψ respectively. Fix $r \geq \max\{r_\phi, r_\psi\}$.

Let (U, \bar{U}) and (V, \bar{V}) be two pairs of opposite maximal unipotent subgroups of G , which are normalized by T and S respectively. Moreover, we assume that $(M^i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d}$ is standard for V , that is, for each $-1 \leq i \leq d_\psi$, M^i and V generate a parabolic subgroup $P^i = M^i N^i$ of G , where M^i is the Levi part and $N^i \subseteq V$ is the unipotent radical.

Let $N_{G_r}(T_r, S_r) = \{x \in G_r; x^{-1}Tx = S\}$ and $W_{G_r}(T_r, S_r) = N_{G_r}(T_r, S_r)/S_r$.

4.1. Set $M = M^{d_\psi-1}$ and $N = N^{d_\psi-1}$. Let \bar{N} be the opposite of N . Note that

$$G_r = \bigsqcup_{w \in N_{G_r}(T_r, S_r)M_r/M_r} G_{w,r},$$

where $G_{w,r} = \mathcal{Z}_{w,r} \dot{w} M_r N_r$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{w,r} = U_r \bar{U}_r^{0+} \cap \dot{w} \bar{N}_r$.

Consider the variety

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_{\phi, \psi}^G = \{(x, x', y) \in F\mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r} \times FV_r \times G_r; xF(y) = yx'\}.$$

There is a decomposition

$$\Sigma = \bigsqcup_{w \in W_{G_r}(T_r, S_r)M_r/M_r} \Sigma_w,$$

where $\Sigma_w = \{(x, x', y) \in \Sigma; y \in G_{w,r}\}$.

We define

$$\hat{\Sigma}_w = \{(x, x', z, m, n) \in F\mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r} \times FV_r \times \mathcal{Z}_{w,r} \times M_r \times N_r; xF(z\dot{w}mn) = z\dot{w}mnx'\}.$$

By the substitution $x'F(n) \mapsto x'$ we can write $\hat{\Sigma}_w$ as

$$\hat{\Sigma}_w = \{(x, x', z, m, n) \in F\mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r} \times FV_r \times \mathcal{Z}_{w,r} \times M_r \times N_r; xF(z\dot{w}m) = z\dot{w}mnx'\}.$$

There is partition $\hat{\Sigma}_w = \hat{\Sigma}'_w \sqcup \hat{\Sigma}''_w$ of locally closed subsets, where

$$\hat{\Sigma}'_w = \{(x, x', z, m, n) \in \hat{\Sigma}_w; z \in \mathcal{Z}_{w,r} \setminus \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}\};$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}''_w = \{(x, x', z, m, n) \in \hat{\Sigma}_w; z \in \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}\}.$$

Note that $T_r^F \times S_r^F$ acts on $\hat{\Sigma}_w$ by

$$(t, s) : (x, x', z, m, n) \mapsto (txt^{-1}, sx's^{-1}, tzt^{-1}, w^{-1}(t)ms^{-1}, sns^{-1}).$$

We denote by $\hat{H}_c^*(\hat{\Sigma}_w, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \psi^{-1}} = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(\hat{\Sigma}_w, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \psi^{-1}}$ the corresponding isotropic subspace.

Lemma 4.1. *We have $H_c^*(\hat{\Sigma}_w, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \psi^{-1}} \neq 0$ only if $w^{-1}F(w) \in W_{M_r}(T_r)$. In this case,*

$$\dim H_c^*(\hat{\Sigma}_w, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi^{-1}, \phi} = \langle \mathcal{R}_{T^{\dot{w}}, U^{\dot{w}} \cap M_r}^M(\phi^{\dot{w}}), R_{S^{\dot{w}} \cap M}^M(\psi) \rangle_{M_r^F},$$

where $\dot{w} \in G_r^F$ is a lift of w , $T^{\dot{w}} = \dot{w}^{-1}T\dot{w}$, $U^{\dot{w}} = \dot{w}^{-1}U\dot{w}$ and $\phi^{\dot{w}}$ is the natural pull-back of ϕ to $(T^{\dot{w}})^F$.

Proof. By the substitution $xF(z) \mapsto x$ we can write $\hat{\Sigma}_w''$ as

$$\hat{\Sigma}_w'' = \{(x, x', z, m, n) \in F\mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r} \times FV_r \times \mathcal{Z}_{w, r} \times M_r \times N_r; xF(\dot{w}m) = z\dot{w}mnx'\}.$$

Consider the algebraic group

$$D_w = \{(t, s) \in T_{r, \text{red}} \times S_{r, \text{red}}; \dot{w}^{-1}t^{-1}F(t)\dot{w} = s^{-1}F(s) \in Z(M)^\circ\},$$

where $Z(M)$ is the center of M , and $T_{r, \text{red}}, S_{r, \text{red}}$ are the reductive subgroups of T_r and S_r respectively. The action of D_w on $\hat{\Sigma}_w''$ is given by

$$(t, s) : (x, x', z, m, n) \mapsto (txt^{-1}, sx's^{-1}, tzt^{-1}, w^{-1}(t)ms^{-1}, sns^{-1}).$$

Since the actions of D_w and $T_r^F \times S_r^F$ on $\hat{\Sigma}_w''$ commute with each other, we have

$$H_c^*(\hat{\Sigma}_w'', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \psi^{-1}} \cong H_c^*((\hat{\Sigma}_w'')^{D_w^\circ}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \psi^{-1}}.$$

As F preserves $Z(M)^\circ$, the image of the natural projection $D_w^\circ \rightarrow S_{r, \text{red}}$ is $Z(M)_{r, \text{red}}^\circ$. It follows that

$$(\hat{\Sigma}_w'')^{D_w^\circ} \subseteq {}^{\dot{w}}M_r \times M_r \times {}^{\dot{w}}M_r \times M_r \times \{1\}.$$

Hence $(\hat{\Sigma}_w'')^{D_w^\circ} \neq \emptyset$ only if $\dot{w}^{-1}F(\dot{w}) \in M_r$. So we may assume $\dot{w} = F(\dot{w})$ and deduce that

$$(\hat{\Sigma}_w'')^{D_w^\circ} \cong \{(x, x', m) \in (\mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}^{\dot{w}} \cap M_r) \times (FV_r \cap M_r) \times M_r; xF(m) = zmx'\} \cong \Sigma_{\phi^{\dot{w}}, \psi}^M,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}^{\dot{w}} = \dot{w}^{-1}\mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}\dot{w}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H_c^*((\hat{\Sigma}_w'')^{D_w^\circ}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \psi^{-1}} &= \dim H_c^*(\Sigma_{\phi^{\dot{w}}, \psi}^M, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi^{\dot{w}}, \psi^{-1}} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{R}_{T^{\dot{w}}, U^{\dot{w}} \cap M_r}^M(\phi^{\dot{w}}), R_{T, V \cap M_r}^M(\psi) \rangle_{M_r^F}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

4.2. Let $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ and $\Psi = \Phi(G, S)$. Let $\Psi_{\overline{N}} \subseteq \Psi$ be the set of roots appearing in \overline{N} . Let Φ^+ be the set of (positive) roots appearing in U . Let \leq be the natural partial order on Φ induced from Φ^+ .

Let $z \in {}^{\dot{w}}\overline{N}_r = \prod_{\gamma \in {}^{\dot{w}}\Psi_{\overline{N}}} (G^\gamma)_r$. We define $x_\gamma^z \in (G^\gamma)_r$ such that $z = \prod_{\gamma \in \Psi_N} x_\gamma^z$. There is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{Z}_{w, r} \setminus \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r} = \bigsqcup_{I \subseteq {}^{\dot{w}}\Psi_{\overline{N}}, v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^I} (\mathcal{Z}_{w, r} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}) \cdot \mathcal{K}_r^{I, v},$$

where $\mathcal{K}_r^{I, v}$ consists of elements $y \in \mathcal{Z}_{w, r} \cap \prod_{\gamma \in I} (G^\gamma)_r$ such that $x_\gamma^y \in (G^\gamma)_r^{v(\gamma), *}$ for $\gamma \in I$. Here $(G^\gamma)^{s, *} = (G^\gamma)_r^s \setminus (G^\gamma)^{s+}$ for $0 \leq s \leq r$.

Recall that $\Phi_i = \Phi(G^i, T)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d_\phi$. Let $\alpha \in \Phi$. We denote by $i(\alpha) = i_\phi(\alpha)$ be the integer $0 \leq i \leq d_\phi$ such that $\alpha \in \Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$. Define $r(\alpha) = r_\phi(\alpha) = r_{i_\phi(\alpha)-1}$.

Let $I \subseteq {}^w\Psi_{\overline{N}}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^I$. Set $\delta(I, v) = \max\{r(\gamma) - v(\gamma); \alpha \in I\}$ and

$$c(I, v) = \{\gamma \in I; r(\gamma) - v(\gamma) = \delta(I, v)\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let I, v be as above. Let $\alpha \in \min_{\leq} c(I, v)$. Let $y \in \mathcal{K}_r^{I, v}$ and let $\zeta \in (G^{-\alpha})_r^{r(\alpha)-v(\alpha)}$. Then there exist $\omega_{y, \zeta} \in \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}$, and $\tau_{\zeta, y} \in (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)}$ such that*

$$y\zeta = \omega_{\zeta, y}\tau_{\zeta, y}y.$$

Moreover, the map $\zeta \mapsto \tau_{\zeta, y}$ induces an isomorphism of algebraic groups

$$\lambda_y : (G^{-\alpha})_r^{r(\alpha)-v(\alpha)} / (G^{-\alpha})_r^{(r(\alpha)-v(\alpha))^+} \xrightarrow{\sim} (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)} / (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)^+}.$$

Proof. For $\gamma \in \Phi$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ we have

(a) $(G^\gamma)_r^s \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}$ if and only if either $\gamma \in \Phi^+$ and $s \geq r(\gamma)/2$ or $\gamma \in \Phi^- := -\Phi^+$ and $s > r(\gamma)/2$.

By definition we also have

(a') $\gamma \in \Phi^+$ if $v(\gamma) = 0$.

By the choice of (I, v) , we have $(G^\gamma)_r^{v(\gamma),*} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r} = \emptyset$ for $\gamma \in I$. It follows from (a) that $(G^{-\gamma})_r^{r(\gamma)-v(\gamma)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}$ for $\gamma \in I$. In particular, we have

(b) $\zeta \in (G^{-\alpha})_r^{r(\alpha)-v(\alpha)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}$.

Note that $y\zeta y^{-1}$ is a product of elements $x_{\beta, m}$, where for each pair $(\beta, m) \in \Phi \times \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$ there exist an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and roots $\gamma_i \in I$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$ with $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

- $i(\gamma_1) \geq i(\gamma_2) \geq \dots \geq i(\gamma_e)$;
- $m = n(r(\alpha) - v(\alpha)) + \sum_{i=1}^e v(\gamma_i)$;
- either (i) $\beta = -n\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i \in \Phi$ and $x_{\beta, m} \in (G^\beta)_r^{m,*}$ or (ii) $-n\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i = 0$ and $x_{\beta, m} \in (T^\beta)_r^{m,*}$, where $\beta = -n_1\alpha + \gamma_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{i_j} \in \Phi$ for some $0 \leq n_1 \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq e$ and $0 < i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq e$.

To show the first statement, it suffices to show $x_{\beta, m} \in \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}$ unless $n = 1$, $e = 1$ and $\gamma_1 = \alpha$. Indeed, suppose that $x_{\beta, m} \notin \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}$. Note that

(c) $v(\gamma) > 0$ if $i(\alpha) < i(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in I$.

By the choice of α we have $r(\gamma) - v(\gamma) \leq r(\alpha) - v(\alpha)$ and hence (c) is proved.

First we claim that

(d) $i(\gamma_1) \leq i(\alpha)$ and hence $\beta \in \Phi_{i(\alpha)}$.

Assume that $i(\gamma_1) > i(\alpha)$. Then $\beta \in \Phi_{i(\gamma_1)}$ and

$$m = n(r(\alpha) - v(\alpha)) + \sum_{i=1}^e v(\gamma_i) \geq r(\gamma_1) - v(\gamma_1) + v(\gamma_1) = r(\gamma_1).$$

As $x_{\beta, m} \notin \mathcal{I}_{\phi, U, r}$, we have $-n\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i = 0$ and $m = r(\gamma_1)$, which implies that $e \geq 2$ and $i(\gamma_2) = i(\gamma_1) > i(\alpha)$. By (c) we have $m > s(\gamma_1)$, a contradiction. So (d) is proved.

Second we claim that

(e) $n = 1$.

Assume $n \geq 2$. By (b) and (a) we have $m \geq 2(r(\alpha) - v(\alpha)) \geq r(\gamma)$. As $x_{\beta,m} \notin \mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}$, we have $m = 2$ and $-n\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i = 0$, that is, $n = 2$, $r(\alpha) - v(\alpha) = r(\alpha)/2$ and $v(\gamma_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. By (a), (b) and (a') this implies that $-\alpha, \gamma_i \in \Phi^+$, contradicting that $-n\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i = 0$. So (e) is proved.

Third we claim that

(f) $i(\gamma_1) = i(\alpha)$.

Otherwise, by (d) we have $i(\gamma_i) < i(\alpha)$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Hence $-n\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i \in \Phi_{i(\alpha)}$ and $x_{\beta,m} \in (G^\beta)_r^m$. By (b), (a) and (a') we have $x_{\beta,m} \in \mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}$, a contradiction. So (f) is proved.

By (f) we have $m \geq (r(\alpha) - v(\alpha)) + v(\gamma_1) \geq r(\gamma_1) = r(\alpha)$. Again, since $\beta \in \Phi_{i(\alpha)}$ and $x_{\beta,m} \notin \mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}$, we have $m = s(\alpha)$ and $-n\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i = 0$. This implies that $\gamma_1 \in c(I, v)$, and moreover, $v(\gamma_i) = 0$ and hence $\gamma_i \in \Phi^+$ for $2 \leq i \leq e$. Note we have

$$\alpha - \gamma_1 = \sum_{i=2}^e \gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \Phi^+.$$

As $\alpha \in \min_{\leq} c(I, v)$, it follows that $\gamma_1 = \alpha$ and $e = 1$. So the first statement is proved. The second one follows by direct computation. \square

Lemma 4.3. *We have $H_c^*(\hat{\Sigma}'_w, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \psi^{-1}} = 0$.*

Proof. We have a decomposition

$$\hat{\Sigma}'_w = \bigsqcup_{I \subseteq \dot{w}\Phi_{\overline{N}}, v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^I} \hat{\Sigma}_w^{I,v},$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}_w^{I,v}$ consists of $(x, x', z, m, n) \in \hat{\Sigma}'_w$ with $z \in (\mathcal{Z}_{w,r} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}) \cdot \mathcal{K}_r^{I,v}$.

It suffices to show $H_c^*(\hat{\Sigma}_w^{I,v}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \psi^{-1}} = 0$. Write $z = z_1 y$ such that $z_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{w,r}$ and $y \in \mathcal{K}_r^{I,v}$. Then by the substitution $xF(z_1) \mapsto x$, the set $\hat{\Sigma}_w^{v,I}$ consists of elements (x, x', z_1, y, m, n) such that

$$xF(y\dot{w}m) = z_1 y \dot{w} m n x'.$$

Then action of $T_r^F \cong T_r^F \times \{1\} \subseteq T_r^F \times S_r^F$ on $\hat{\Sigma}_w^{I,v}$ is given by

$$s : (x, x', z_1, y, m, n) \mapsto (txt^{-1}, x', tz_1 t^{-1}, tyt^{-1}, w^{-1}(t)m, n).$$

It suffices to show the ϕ -isotropic subspace $H_c^*(\hat{\Sigma}_w^{I,v}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\phi$ for T_r^F is trivial. Let $\alpha \in \min_{\leq} c(I, v)$. By Lemma 4.2, for $y \in \mathcal{K}_r^{I,v}$ and $\zeta \in (G^{-\alpha})_r^{r(\alpha)-v(\alpha)}$, there exist $\tau_{\zeta,y} \in (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)}$ and $\omega_{\zeta,y} \in \mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}$ such that

$$y\zeta = \omega_{\zeta,y} \tau_{\zeta,y} y.$$

Consider the natural quotient maps

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 : (G^{-\alpha})_r^{r(\alpha)-v(\alpha)} &\longrightarrow (G^{-\alpha})_r^{r(\alpha)-v(\alpha)} / (G^{-\alpha})_r^{(r(\alpha)-v(\alpha))+}; \\ \theta_2 : (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)} &\longrightarrow (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)} / (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)+}. \end{aligned}$$

Let ϑ_1 be a section of θ_1 such that $\theta_1 \circ \vartheta_1 = \text{id}$ and $\vartheta_1(1) = 1$.

Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \{t \in T_r^{r(\alpha)}; t^{-1}F^{-1}(t) \in (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)}\}.$$

For $t \in \mathcal{H}$ we define $f_t : \hat{\Sigma}_w^{I,v} \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}_w^{I,v}$ by

$$f_t(x, x', z_1, y, m, n) = (x_t, x'F(\zeta^{\dot{w}m}), tz_1t^{-1}, tyt^{-1}, \dot{w}^{-1}(t)m, n),$$

where $\zeta^{\dot{w}m} = m^{-1}\dot{w}^{-1}\zeta\dot{w}m$, $\zeta = \vartheta_1\lambda_y^{-1}\theta_2(tF^{-1}(t)^{-1}) \in (G^{-\alpha})_r^{r(\alpha)-v(\alpha)}$, λ_y is as in Lemma 4.2, and $x_s \in F\mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}$ is determined by the equality

$$x_tF(ty\dot{w}m) = tz_1y\dot{w}mnx'F(\zeta^{\dot{w}m}).$$

Note that $\dot{w}^{-1}\zeta\dot{w} \in N_r$ and hence f_t is well defined.

Now we see that the induced map of f_t on each $H_c^i(\hat{\Sigma}_w^{I,v}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is trivial for $t \in N_F^{F^n}(((T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)})^{F^n}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\circ$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $F^n(T^\alpha) = T^\alpha$. On the other hand, by definition we have $i(\alpha) \geq 1$ and

$$\phi|_{N_F^{F^n}(((T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)})^{F^n})} = \phi_{i(\alpha)-1}|_{N_F^{F^n}(((T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)})^{F^n})},$$

which is nontrivial since $\phi_{i(\alpha)-1}$ is $(G_{i(\alpha)-1}, G_{i(\alpha)})$ -generic. Thus it follows that $H_c^*(\hat{\Sigma}_w^{I,v}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\phi = 0$ as desired. \square

4.3. Now we are ready to show the main results of this section.

Theorem 4.4. *Let notation be as above. Then*

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), R_{S,V,r}^G(\psi) \rangle_{G_r^F} = \#\{w \in W_{G_r}(T_r, S_r)^F; \phi^w = \psi\}.$$

Proof. By [1, Proposition 3.7] and Proposition 3.4, by replacing ϕ and ψ with $\psi_{s_\psi}^{-1}|_{T^F} \otimes \phi$ and $\psi_{s_\psi}^{-1}|_{S^F} \otimes \psi$ respectively, we can assume further that ψ has depth $s_{d_\psi-1}$. By Proposition 3.5, $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\psi)$ is a linear combination of irreducible G_r^F -modules on which $(G_r^{r_\phi})^F$ acts via nontrivial characters.

We use induction on d_ψ and the semisimple rank of G . If $G = T$, the statement is trivial. If $d_\psi = 0$, then ψ has depth 0 and by Theorem 2.2,

$$R_{S,V,r}^G(\psi) \cong R_{S,V,0}^G(\psi).$$

In particular, $R_{S,V,r}^G(\psi)$ is a linear combination of irreducible G_r^F -modules on which $(G_r^{0+})^F$ acts trivially. Therefore, if $r_\phi > 0$, by Proposition 3.2 we have

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), R_{S,V,r}^G(\psi) \rangle_{G_r^F} = 0 = \#\{w \in W_{G_r}(T_r, S_r)^F; \phi^w = \psi\}.$$

Assume $r_\phi = 0$. Then it follows from Proposition 3.2 that

$$\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) = R_{T,U,0}^G(\phi),$$

and the statement follows from [7, Theorem 6.8].

Now assume that $d_\psi \geq 1$. Let $M = M^{d_\psi - 1}$. By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), R_{S,V,r}^G(\psi) \rangle_{G_r^F} \\
&= \sum_{w \in (N_{G_r}(T_r, S_r)M_r/M_r)^F} \langle \mathcal{R}_{T^{\dot{w}}, U^{\dot{w}} \cap M, r}^M(\phi^{\dot{w}}), R_{S^{\dot{w}}, V^{\dot{w}} \cap M, r}^M(\psi) \rangle_{M_r^F} \\
&= \sum_{w \in (N_{G_r}(T_r, S_r)M_r/M_r)^F} \#\{u \in W_{M_r}(T_r^{\dot{w}}, S_r)^F; \phi^{\dot{w}u} = \psi\} \\
&= \#\{w \in W_{G_r}(T_r, S_r)^F; \phi^w = \psi\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows by induction hypothesis for M . The proof is finished. \square

5. COINCIDENCE OF THE TWO REPRESENTATIONS

Let T , ϕ , $(G^i, \phi_i, r_i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d_\phi}$ and (U, \bar{U}) be as in §4. Let $r \geq r_\phi$ and let $K_{\phi,r}$, $H_{\phi,r}$, $K_{\phi,r}^+$ and $E_{\phi,r}$ be defined in §3. We set $L = G^0$.

Let $0 \leq i \leq d_\phi - 1$. Since ϕ_i is (G^i, G^{i+1}) -generic in the sense of [18, §9], the stabilizer of $\phi_i|_{(T_r^i)^F}$ in $W_{(G^{i+1})_r}(T_r)^F$ is precisely $W_{(G^i)_r}(T_r)^F$.

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$\text{Stab}_{W_{G_r}(T_r)^F}(\phi) = \text{Stab}_{W_{L_r}(T_r)}(\phi) = \text{Stab}_{W_{L_r}(T_r)^F}(\phi_{-1}).$$

Proof. Let $w \in \text{Stab}_{W_{G_r}(T_r)^F}(\phi)$ which stabilizes ϕ . Suppose that $w \in W_{(G^{i+1})_r}(T_r)^F$ for some $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$. Let $\dot{w} \in (G^{i+1})_r^F$ be a lift of w . Let $t \in (T_r^i)^F$. Then $\phi_j(t^{-1}\dot{w}t^{-1}) = 1$ for $-1 \leq j \leq i - 1$. On the other hand, as $t^{-1}dwt^{-1} \in (G_{\text{der}}^{i+1})^F$, we have $\phi_j(t^{-1}\dot{w}t^{-1}) = 1$ for $i + 1 \leq j \leq d_\phi$. Hence we also have $\phi_i(t^{-1}\dot{w}t^{-1}) = 1$. As ϕ is generic, it follows by definition that $w \in W_{(G^i)_r}(T_r)^F$. Therefore, we deduce that $w \in \text{Stab}_{W_{(G^0)_r}(T_r)^F}(\phi) = \text{Stab}_{W_{L_r}(T_r)^F}(\phi)$.

Now we can assume $\dot{w} \in L^F$. As $t^{-1}\dot{w}t^{-1} \in (L_{\text{der}})^F$ for $t \in T^F$, $\phi_i(t^{-1}\dot{w}t^{-1}) = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq d_\phi$. Thus $\text{Stab}_{W_{L_r}(T_r)}(\phi) = \text{Stab}_{W_{L_r}(T_r)^F}(\phi_{-1})$ as desired. \square

We set $Z_{\phi,U,r}^K = Z_{\phi,U,r} \cap K_{\phi,r}$ and $Z_{\phi,U,r}^H = Z_{\phi,U,r} \cap H_{\phi,r}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let ρ, ρ' be two irreducible summands of $H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi]$. Let $g \in G_r^F$ such that*

$$\text{hom}_{K_{\phi,r}^F \cap {}^g K_{\phi,r}^F}({}^g \rho|_{K_{\phi,r}^F \cap {}^g K_{\phi,r}^F}, \rho'|_{K_{\phi,r}^F \cap {}^g K_{\phi,r}^F}) \neq \{0\}.$$

Then $g \in K_{\phi,r}^F$. Here ${}^g \rho(x) = \rho(g^{-1}xg)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, $\rho|_{(K_{\phi,r}^+)^F}$, $\rho'|_{(K_{\phi,r}^+)^F}$ are direct sums of one-dimensional representations ϕ^{\natural} . In particular, g intertwines ϕ^{\natural} . Note that $\phi^{\natural} = \prod_{i=0}^{d_\phi} \hat{\phi}_i|_{K_\phi^F}$,

where each $\hat{\phi}_i$ is the character associated to ϕ_i defined in [18, §4]. Then the statement follows as in the first part of the proof of [14, Theorem 3.1], by noticing that ϕ_i is (G^i, G^{i+1}) -generic for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *We have*

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \rangle_{G_r^F} = \langle H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi], H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi] \rangle_{K_{\phi,r}^F}.$$

Here $H_c^*(Z, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(Z, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ for any variety Z .

Proof. As $Z_{\phi,U,r} = \sqcup_{g \in G_r^F/K_{\phi,r}^F} gZ_{\phi,U,r}^K$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) = \text{ind}_{K_{\phi,r}^F}^{G_r^F} H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi].$$

Then the statement follows from Lemma 5.2. \square

5.1. Let $\bar{K} = K_{\phi,r}/E_{\phi,r}$, $\bar{H} = H_{\phi,r}/E_{\phi,r}$ and $\bar{L} = L_r/(L_r \cap E_{\phi,r})$. Then we have

$$\bar{K} = \bar{H}\bar{L} = \bar{L}\bar{H} = \bar{H}_U\bar{L}\bar{H}_{\bar{U}},$$

where $\bar{H}_U = (H_{\phi,r} \cap U_r)/(E_{\phi,r} \cap U_r)$ and $\bar{H}_{\bar{U}}$ is defined similarly.

Note that

$$\bar{H}_U \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} L_\alpha, \quad \bar{H}_{\bar{U}} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} L_\alpha$$

where $L_\alpha = (G^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)/2}/(G^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)/2+}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$. Moreover, $[L_\alpha, L_\beta] = 0$ if $\alpha \neq -\beta$ and $[L_\alpha, L_\beta] = (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)} \subseteq \bar{L}$ otherwise.

We set

$$\bar{Z}^K = Z_{\phi,U,r}^K/E_{\phi,r} = \{g \in \bar{K}, g^{-1}F(g) \in \bar{K}_U\},$$

where $\bar{K}_U = (K_{\phi,r} \cap U_r)/(E_{\phi,r} \cap U_r)$. Consider the following variety

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Sigma}_K &= \{(x, x', y) \in F\bar{K}_U \times F\bar{K}_U \times \bar{K}; xF(y) = yx'\} \\ &\cong \{(x, x', u, v, \tau) \in F\bar{K}_U \times F\bar{K}_U \times \bar{H}_U \times \bar{H}_{\bar{U}} \times \bar{L}; xF(v\tau) = uv\tau x'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\langle H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi], H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi] \rangle_{K_{\phi,r}^F} = \dim H_c^*(\bar{\Sigma}_K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \phi^{-1}}$.

Proposition 5.4. *We have*

$$\dim H_c^*(\bar{\Sigma}_K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \phi^{-1}} = \#\{w \in W_{L_r}(T_r)^F; \phi^w = \phi\}.$$

In particular, $\langle \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \rangle_{G_r^F} = \#\{w \in W_{L_r}(T_r)^F; \phi^w = \phi\}$.

Proof. There is a decomposition $\bar{\Sigma}_K = \bar{\Sigma}'_K \sqcup \bar{\Sigma}''_K$, where $\bar{\Sigma}''_K$ is defined by the condition $v = 0$.

Note that the commutative group

$$D = \{(t, t') \in T_r \times T_r; t^{-1}F(t) = t'^{-1}F(t') \in Z(L)^\circ\}$$

acts on $\bar{\Sigma}''_K$ in the usual way. Moreover,

$$(\bar{\Sigma}''_K)^{D^\circ} \cong \{(x', x', y) \in F\bar{L}_U \times F\bar{L}_U \times \bar{L}; xF(y) = yx'\}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\bar{\Sigma}''_K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \phi^{-1}} &= \dim((\bar{\Sigma}''_K)^{D_{\text{red}}^\circ}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \phi^{-1}} = \langle R_{T, L \cap U, r}(\phi), R_{T, L \cap U, r}(\phi) \rangle_{L_r^F} \\ &= \sharp\{w \in W_{L_r}(T_r)^F; \phi^w = \phi\}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show $H_c^*(\bar{\Sigma}'_K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{\phi, \phi^{-1}} = 0$. Note that the action of $(T_r^{0+})^F \cong (T_r^{0+})^F \times \{1\} \subseteq (T_r^{0+})^F \times (T_r^{0+})^F$ on $\bar{\Sigma}'_K$ is given by

$$t : (x, x', u, v, \tau) \mapsto (txt^{-1}, x', tut^{-1}, tvv^{-1}, t\tau).$$

Let $H_c^i(\bar{\Sigma}'_K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\phi$ be the subspace on which $(T_r^{0+})^F$ acts via ϕ . It suffices to show $H_c^i(\bar{\Sigma}'_K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\phi = 0$. For $v \in \bar{H}_U$ and $\alpha \in \Phi^-$ let $v_\alpha \in L_\alpha$ be such that $v = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} v_\alpha$. We fix a total order \preceq on Φ^- . Then there is a decomposition

$$\bar{H}_U = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} \bar{H}_U^\alpha,$$

where \bar{H}_U^α is defined by the condition that $v_\alpha \neq 0$ and $v_\beta = 0$ for $\beta \prec \alpha$. This induces a decomposition $\bar{\Sigma}'_K = \sqcup_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} \bar{\Sigma}'_K^\alpha$, and it suffices to show $H_c^*(\bar{\Sigma}'_K^\alpha, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\phi = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Phi^-$.

Fix $\alpha \in \Phi^-$. Let $v \in \bar{H}_U^\alpha$ and $\xi \in L_{-\alpha} \subseteq \bar{H}_U$. Then $v\xi = \tau_{v,\xi}\xi v$, where $\tau_{v,\xi} \in (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)} / (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)+} \subseteq \bar{L}$. Moreover, the map $\xi \mapsto \tau_{v,\xi}$ induces an isomorphism $L_{-\alpha} \cong (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)} / (T^\alpha)_r^{r(\alpha)+}$. Then using a similar argument as in Lemma 4.3, we deduce that $H_c^*(\bar{\Sigma}'_K^\alpha, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\phi = 0$ as desired.

The second statement follows from Lemma 5.3, and the proof is finished. \square

Theorem 5.5. *We have $R_{T,r}^G(\phi) = R_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4, Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.4, we have

$$\langle R_{T,r}^G(\phi) - \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi), R_{T,r}^G(\phi) - \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \rangle_{G^F} = 0.$$

So the statements follows. \square

6. A DECOMPOSITION

Let notation be as in §5. Moreover, we assume that $(G^i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d_\phi}$ is standard for U . In this section we give a more precise description of $R_{T,r}^G(\phi) = \mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi)$.

Let ϕ^\natural be the character of $(K_\phi^+)^F$ defined in §3. Denote by N_ϕ the kernel of ϕ^\natural . The following lemma is proved in [17, Proposition 18.1].

Proposition 6.1. *The quotient $H_{\phi,r}^F/N_\phi$ is a Heisenberg p -group whose center is $(K_{\phi,r}^+)^F/N_\phi$.*

Let ϕ^+ be the restriction of ϕ to $(T_r^{0+})^F$.

Proposition 6.2. *The genuine $H_{\phi,r}^F$ -module*

$$\pm H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi^+]$$

is the inflation of the unique irreducible representation $\kappa(\phi)$ of the Heisenberg p -group $H_{\phi,r}^F/N_\phi$ with the nontrivial central character $\phi^\natural|_{(K_{\phi,r}^+)^F/N_\phi}$.

Proof. By a similar but simpler argument in Proposition 5.4, we have

$$\langle H_c^*(\overline{Z}_{\phi,U,r}^H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi^+], H_c^*(\overline{Z}_{\phi,U,r}^H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi^+] \rangle_{H_{\phi,r}^F} = 1.$$

Hence $\pm H_c^*(\overline{Z}_{\phi,U,r}^H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi^+]$ is an irreducible $H_{\phi,r}^F$ -module. By Lemma 3.5, $(K_{\phi,r}^+)^F$ acts on $H_c^*(\overline{Z}_{\phi,U,r}^H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi^+]$ via the character ϕ^\natural . Therefore, it is an irreducible module of the Heisenberg group $H_{\phi,U,r}^F/N$ with the non-trivial central character $\phi^\natural|_{(K_{\phi,r}^+)^F/N}$. Such representation is unique by the representation theory of Heisenberg groups. \square

Note that $K_{\phi,r}^F = H_{\phi,r}^F L_r^F$. We view the (inflated) L_r^F -module

$$R_{T,0}^L(\phi_{-1})$$

as a $K_{\phi,r}^F$ -module on which $H_{\phi,r}^F$ acts trivially.

On the other hand, $L_r^F \times (T_r^{0+})^F$ acts on $\overline{Z}_{\phi,U,r}^H$ by $(y, t) : z \mapsto yzt y^{-1}$. This induces an action $c_{L_r^F}$ of L_r^F on the space

$$\kappa(\phi) = \pm H_c^*(\overline{Z}_{\phi,U,r}^H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi^+].$$

Note that $c_{L_r^F}$ factors through the quotient L_0^F . So we can extend $\kappa(\phi)$ to a $K_{\phi,r}^F$ -module on which L_r^F acts by $c_{L_r^F}$ times the character $\phi_0|_{T^F} \phi_1|_{T^F} \cdots \phi_d|_{T^F}$. We denote it by $\kappa(\phi)_U$.

Let $Z_{\phi,U,r}^{L_{\text{der}}} = Z_{\phi,U,r} \cap (L_{\text{der}})_r$ and $Z_{\phi,U,r}^{HL_{\text{der}}} = Z_{\phi,U,r} \cap H_{\phi,r}(L_{\text{der}})_r$. We denote by $\phi_{T+L_{\text{der}}}$ and $\phi_{L_{\text{der}}}$ the restrictions of ϕ to $(T_r^{0+})^F(T \cap L_{\text{der}})_r^F$ and $(T \cap L_{\text{der}})_r^F$ respectively.

Lemma 6.3. *The map $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$ gives a fiber bundle*

$$Z_{\phi,U,r}^H \times Z_{\phi,U,r}^{L_{\text{der}}} \longrightarrow Z_{\phi,U,r}^{HL_{\text{der}}},$$

whose fiber are isomorphic to the affine space $(L_{\text{der}})_r^{0+}$.

In particular, there is an isomorphism of $H_{\phi,r}^F(L_{\text{der}})_r^F$ -modules

$$\pm H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^{HL_{\text{der}}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi_{T+L_{\text{der}}}] \cong \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes R_{T \cap L_{\text{der}}, 0}^{L_{\text{der}}}(\phi_{L_{\text{der}}}).$$

Proof. Since $G^i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d_\phi}$ is standard for U , L_r normalizes $H_{\phi,r} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}$. Hence the first statement follows by noticing that $H_{\phi,r} \cap (L_{\text{der}})_r = (L_{\text{der}})_r^{0+} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r}$. Moreover, by definition the natural quotient map $L_{\text{der}} \rightarrow (L_{\text{der}})_0$ restricts to a fiber bundle

$$Z_{\phi,U,r}^{L_{\text{der}}} \longrightarrow X_{U \cap L_{\text{der}}, 0}^{L_{\text{der}}},$$

whose fibers are isomorphic to an affine space. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pm H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^{HL_{\text{der}}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi_{T+L_{\text{der}}}] \\
& \cong (H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \otimes H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^{L_{\text{der}}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))[\phi_{T+L_{\text{der}}}] \\
& \cong (H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi^+] \otimes H_c^*(X_{U \cap L_{\text{der}},0}^{L_{\text{der}}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))[\phi_{L_{\text{der}}}] \\
& \cong \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes R_{T \cap L_{\text{der}},0}^{L_{\text{der}}}(\phi_{L_{\text{der}}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equivalence is due to the triviality of the conjugation action of $(T_r^{0+})^F$ on $X_{U \cap L_{\text{der}},r}^{L_{\text{der}}}$. \square

Theorem 6.4. *We have an isomorphism of $K_{\phi,r}^F$ -modules*

$$\pm H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi] \cong \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes R_{T,0}^L(\phi_{-1}).$$

As a consequence, $\mathcal{R}_{T,U,r}^G(\phi) \cong \text{ind}_{K_{\phi,r}^F}^{G_r^F} \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes R_{T,0}^L(\phi_{-1})$.

Proof. Note that $K_{\phi,r} = H_{\phi,r}(L_{\text{der}})_r T_r$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\phi,U,r} \subseteq H_{\phi,r}(L_{\text{der}})_r$. Hence

$$Z_{\phi,U,r}^K = \bigsqcup_{\tau \in T_r^F / (T_r^{0+})^F (T \cap L_{\text{der}})_r^F} Z_{\phi,U,r}^{HL_{\text{der}}} \tau.$$

By Lemma 6.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi] & \cong (\text{ind}_{(T_r^{0+})^F (T \cap L_{\text{der}})_r^F}^{T_r^F} H_c^*(Z_{\phi,U,r}^{HL_{\text{der}}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)[\phi_{T+L_{\text{der}}}])[\phi] \\
& \cong (\text{ind}_{(T_r^{0+})^F (T \cap L_{\text{der}})_r^F}^{T_r^F} (\kappa(\phi)_U \otimes R_{T \cap L_{\text{der}},0}^{L_{\text{der}}}(\phi_{L_{\text{der}}})))[\phi] \\
& \cong \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes (\text{ind}_{(T \cap L_{\text{der}})_0^F}^{T_0^F} (\phi_{L_{\text{der}}}))[\phi_{-1}] \\
& \cong \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes R_{T,0}^L(\phi_{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the in induced functor is taken with respect to the right multiplication by T_r^F , the third equivalent follows from that $\phi = \phi_{-1}|_{T_r^F} \phi_0|_{T_r^F} \cdots \phi_d|_{T_r^F}$. \square

7. RELATION WITH SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS

Recall that $T \subseteq G$ is an elliptic maxima torus, and x is an F -fixed point in the apartment of T . We fix a generic cuspidal datum of G

$$\Xi = ((G^i, \phi_i, r_i)_{0 \leq i \leq d}, x, \rho)$$

in the sense of [18], that is,

- $((G^i, \phi_i, r_i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d})$ is a Howe factorization of $\phi := \phi_{-1}|_{T^F} \phi_0|_{T^F} \cdots \phi_d|_{T^F}$ with ϕ_{-1} the trivial character of $G^{-1} = T$;
- ρ is a simple $L_{\bar{x}}^F$ -module whose restriction to L_0^F contains the inflation of a supercuspidal representation of the reductive quotient of L_0^F , where $L = G^0$ and $L_{\bar{x}}$ denotes the stabilizer of x in L .

Let Z be the center of G . Let $\omega_\rho = \rho|_{Z^F}$ be the central character of ρ .

Then we may define K_ϕ and H_ϕ as in §3. Let $\tilde{K} = H_\phi L_{\bar{x}}$.

Let $\kappa(\phi)$ be the Heisenberg representation of H_ϕ^F defined in Proposition 6.2. We extend $\kappa(\phi)$ to a \tilde{K}^F -module $\kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}}$ such that $\kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \cong \kappa(\phi)$ as H_ϕ^F -modules, and $L_{\bar{x}}^F$ acts on $\kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}}$ by the character $\phi_0|_{L^F}\phi_1|_{L^F}\cdots\phi_d|_{L^F}$ times the Weil representation, see [14, §2.5]. On the other hand, we can view ρ to a \tilde{K}^F -module on which H_ϕ^F acts trivially.

The following result is proved in [18] and [14]

Theorem 7.1. *The induced module*

$$\pi_\Sigma := c\text{-ind}_{\tilde{K}^F}^{G^F} \kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \otimes \rho,$$

is a supercuspidal representation of G^F .

Let ρ_0 be an irreducible representation of $Z^F L_x^F$ which appears in $\rho|_{Z^F L_x^F}$. In other words, ρ is a direct summand of $\text{ind}_{Z^F L_x^F}^{L_x^F} \rho_0$. Similarly, we view ρ_0 as a representation of $Z^F K_\phi^F = Z^F L_x^F H_\phi^F$ such that H_ϕ^F acts trivially.

Lemma 7.2. *The representation $\kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \otimes \rho$ of \tilde{K}^F is a direct summand of $\text{ind}_{Z^F K_\phi^F}^{\tilde{K}^F} \kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \otimes \rho_0$. As a consequence, π_Σ is a direct summand of $c\text{-ind}_{Z^F K_\phi^F}^{G^F} \kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \otimes \rho_0$.*

7.1. Let $B = TU$ be a Borel subgroup such that $(G^i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d}$ is standard for U . Let $\kappa(\phi)_U$ be the K_ϕ^F -module constructed in §6. We view $\kappa(\phi)_U$ as a $Z^F K_\phi^F$ -module on which Z^F acts via the character ϕ .

Lemma 7.3. *There exists an irreducible module ρ_0^\flat of $Z^F K_\phi^F$ such that*

$$\kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \otimes \rho_0 \cong \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes \rho_0^\flat$$

as $Z^F K_\phi^F$ -modules. Moreover, $\rho_0^\flat|_{Z^F} = \rho_0|_{Z^F} = \rho|_{Z^F}$ and the restriction of ρ_0^\flat to H_ϕ^F is trivial.

Proof. Let κ be the irreducible $Z^F H_\phi^F$ -module such that $\kappa \cong \kappa(\phi)$ as H_ϕ^F -module, and $\kappa|_{Z^F} = \phi\omega$, where $\omega = \rho_0|_{Z^F}$ is the central character of ρ or ρ_0 . By construction, κ appears in the $Z^F H_\phi^F$ -module $\kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \otimes \rho_0$, that is,

$$\kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \otimes \rho_0 \subseteq \theta := \text{ind}_{Z^F H_\phi^F}^{Z^F K_\phi^F} \kappa.$$

On the other hand, consider

$$\vartheta := \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes \text{ind}_{Z^F H_\phi^F}^{Z^F K_\phi^F} \omega,$$

where ω is the character such that $\omega(zh) = \omega(z)$ for $z \in Z^F$ and $h \in H_\phi^F$. Note that $Z^F H_\phi^F$ is a normal subgroup of $Z^F K_\phi^F$. Thus $\theta(g) = \vartheta(g) = 0$ if

$g \in Z^F K_\phi^F \setminus Z^F H_\phi^F$, and

$$\theta(g) = \vartheta(g) = \sum_{x \in Z^F K_\phi^F / Z^F H_\phi^F} \kappa(x^{-1}gx), \text{ if } g \in Z^F H_\phi^F.$$

Thus $\theta = \theta'$ and the statement follows. \square

By [7], there exists a character λ of T_x^F of depth zero such that $\rho^b|_{L_x^F}$ appears in $R_{T,0}^L(\lambda)$. As T is unramified and elliptic, $T^F = Z^F T_x^F$, and we can extend λ to a character of T^F whose restriction to Z^F is $\rho^b|_{Z^F}$. We still denote it by λ . Let $\psi = \lambda\phi$. Now we view $R_{T,r_\psi}^G(\psi)$ as a representation of $Z^F G_x^F = T^F G_x^F$ such Z^F acts by the central character $\rho|_{Z^F} = \rho_0^b|_{Z^F}$.

Corollary 7.4. *The supercuspidal representation π_Σ is a direct summand of $\text{c-ind}_{Z^F G_x^F}^{G^F} R_{T,r_\psi}^G(\psi)$.*

Proof. Let $\psi_i = \phi_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let $\psi_{-1} = \lambda$, $\psi_0 = \phi_0$ if $L = G^0 \neq T$ and $\psi_{-1} = 1$, $\psi_0 = \lambda\phi_0$ otherwise. Then $(G^i, \psi_i, r_i)_{-1 \leq i \leq d}$ is a Howe decomposition of ψ . Combined with Lemma 7.2, Lemma 7.3 and Theorem 6.4, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\Sigma &= \text{c-ind}_{K^F}^{G^F} \kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \otimes \rho \\ &\subseteq \text{c-ind}_{Z^F K_\phi^F}^{G^F} \kappa(\phi)_{\text{Weil}} \otimes \rho_0 \\ &\cong \text{c-ind}_{Z^F K_\phi^F}^{G^F} \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes \rho_0^b \\ &\subseteq \text{c-ind}_{Z^F K_\phi^F}^{G^F} \kappa(\phi)_U \otimes R_{T,0}^L(\lambda) \\ &\cong \text{c-ind}_{Z^F K_\phi^F}^{G^F} \kappa(\psi)_U \otimes R_{T,0}^L(\psi_{-1}) \\ &\cong \text{c-ind}_{Z^F K_\phi^F}^{G^F} R_{T,r_\psi}^G(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Chan, *The scalar product formula for parahoric Deligne-Lusztig inductions*, arXiv:2405.00671.
- [2] C. Chan and A. Ivanov, *Cohomological representations of parahoric subgroups*, Represent. Theory 25 (2021), 1–26.
- [3] C. Chan and A. Ivanov, *On loop Deligne-Lusztig varieties of Coxeter-type for inner forms of GL_n* , Camb. J. Math. 11 (2023), 441–505.
- [4] C. Chan and M. Oi, *Geometric L -packets of Howe-unramified toral supercuspidal representations*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (2023), 1–62.
- [5] Z. Chen and A. Stasinski, *The algebraisation of higher Deligne-Lusztig representations*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 23 (2017), 2907–2926.
- [6] Z. Chen and A. Stasinski, *The algebraisation of higher level Deligne-Lusztig representations II: odd levels*, arXiv: 2311.05354.
- [7] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. Math. 103 (1976), 103–161.

- [8] O. Dudas and A. Ivanov, *Orthogonality relations for deep level Deligne-Lusztig schemes of Coxeter type*, arXiv:2010.15489.
- [9] A. Ivanov, S. Nie, *On higher Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, in preparation.
- [10] A. Ivanov, S. Nie and P. Tan, *Orthogonality relations for higher Deligne-Lusztig schemes of Coxeter type*, in preparation.
- [11] T. Kaletha, *Regular supercuspidal representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (2019), 1071–1170.
- [12] G. Lusztig, *Some remarks on the supercuspidal representations of p -adic semisimple groups*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 1979, 171–175.
- [13] G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite rings*, Represent. Theory 8 (2004), 1–14.
- [14] J. Fintzen, *On the construction of tame supercuspidal representations*, Compos. Math. 157 (2021), 2733–2746.
- [15] J. Fintzen, *Types for tame p -adic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 193 (2021), 303–346.
- [16] A. Stasinski, *Unramified representations of reductive groups over finite rings*, Represent. Theory 13 (2009), 636–656.
- [17] J.-L. Kim, *Supercuspidal representations: an exhaustion theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (2007), 273–320.
- [18] J.K. Yu, *Construction of tame supercuspidal representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), 579–622.

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, CHINA

Email address: niesian@amss.ac.cn