

n Distinguishable Particles interacting via Two-Body Delta Potentials in One Spatial Dimension

Antonio Moscato*

Abstract

This paper studies a system of $n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq 2$ non-relativistic, spinless quantum particles moving on the real line and interacting via a two-body delta potential. The Hamiltonian of such a system is proved to be affiliated to the resolvent algebra of the case, $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma)$; it is further shown the existence of a C^* -dynamical system and of a subalgebra $\pi_S(\mathfrak{S}_0)^{-1} \subset \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma)$, stable under time evolution, where π_S is the Schrödinger representation of the resolvent algebra.

1 Introduction

The system investigated is made up of $n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq 2$ distinguishable particles, interacting via a two-body delta potential in one spatial dimension. The symbolic Hamiltonian governing the system is

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2m_i} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - g \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \delta(x_i - x_j) \equiv H_0 - g \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \delta(x_i - x_j), \quad (1)$$

where $g \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is the coupling constant, $m_i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ the mass of the i^{th} -particle, (H_0, \mathcal{D}_{H_0}) the free Hamiltonian. Purpose of the paper is showing that (1) is affiliated to $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma)$, the resolvent algebra on the symplectic space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma)$. Such a C^* -algebraic formalism was introduced by D. Buchholz and H. Grundling in [1] and has proved useful, since then, for both the finite and the infinite dimensional quantum mechanical modeling cases (see [1], [2], [3], [4], [6]). The adopted strategy to prove the announced purpose is briefly sketched: given an even smooth function of compact support v^1 , said $V \doteq v^2$ and

$$V_\epsilon : x \in \mathbb{R} \longmapsto V_\epsilon(x) \doteq \frac{1}{\epsilon} V\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

the Schrödinger Hamiltonians

$$H_\epsilon = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2m_i} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - g \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} V_\epsilon(x_i - x_j) \equiv H_0 - g \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} V_\epsilon^{(ij)}, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad (2)$$

self-adjoint on \mathcal{D}_{H_0} , are considered. [4], prop. 4.1 guarantees that $R_{H_\epsilon}(z) \in \pi_S[\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma)]$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, $z \in i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, where π_S is the Schrödinger representation of the resolvent algebra. the result then follows from H_ϵ converging to H in the norm resolvent sense. Starting from section 2, what required to prove the result is exposed. \square

*antonio_moscato@ymail.com

¹It does not harm generality assuming $\int_{\mathbb{R}} v^2 = 1$.

2 Preliminaries

Remark 2.1. Given $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i < j$, the coordinate transformation $\vec{f}_{(ij)} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \vec{f}_{(ij)}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv (r_{(ij)}, R_{(ij)}, y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_i, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, (hats represent omission) where

$$\vec{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} R_{(ij)} &= \frac{m_i x_i + m_j x_j}{m_i + m_j} \\ r_{(ij)} &= x_i - x_j \\ y_k &= x_k, \quad k \neq i, j \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

is considered. The corresponding jacobian is identically equal to 1, as can be easily verified, and the inverse transformation is

$$\vec{f}_{(ij)}^{-1} : (r_{(ij)}, R_{(ij)}, y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_i, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \vec{f}_{(ij)}^{-1}(r_{(ij)}, R_{(ij)}, y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_i, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \dots, y_n) \equiv (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where

$$\vec{f}_{(ij)}^{-1}(r_{(ij)}, R_{(ij)}, y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_i, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} x_i = R_{(ij)} + \frac{m_j}{m_i + m_j} r_{(ij)} \\ x_j = R_{(ij)} - \frac{m_i}{m_i + m_j} r_{(ij)} \\ x_k = y_k, \quad k \neq i, j \end{cases}. \quad (4)$$

□

Definition 2.1. Given (3), by introducing the Hilbert space

$$\chi_{(ij)} \doteq L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^n, dr_{(ij)} dR_{(ij)} dy_1 \cdots \widehat{dy}_i \cdots \widehat{dy}_j \cdots dy_n \right),$$

the (unitary) operator implementing (3) is

$$U_{(ij)} : \psi \in L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \cdots dx_i \cdots dx_j \cdots dx_n \right) \mapsto U_{(ij)} \psi \doteq \psi \circ \vec{f}_{(ij)}^{-1} \in \chi_{(ij)}. \quad (5)$$

□

Definition 2.2. Given $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : i < j$, $\epsilon > 0$, set $\underline{Y}_{(ij)} = (R_{(ij)}, y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_i, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the scaling operator

$$U_\epsilon^{(ij)} : \tilde{\psi} \in \chi_{(ij)} \mapsto U_\epsilon^{(ij)} \tilde{\psi} \in \chi_{(ij)}$$

is introduced, where, should $\tilde{\psi}$ be continuous, $(U_\epsilon^{(ij)} \tilde{\psi}) (r_{(ij)}, \underline{Y}_{(ij)}) \doteq \sqrt{\epsilon} \tilde{\psi} (\epsilon r_{(ij)}, \underline{Y}_{(ij)})$. □

Remark 2.2. By introducing the Hilbert space

$$\chi_{(ij)}^{(red)} \doteq L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, dR_{(ij)} dy_1 \cdots \widehat{dy}_i \cdots \widehat{dy}_j \cdots dy_n \right),$$

on the one hand, $\chi_{(ij)} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_{(ij)}) \otimes \chi_{(ij)}^{(red)}$, on the other hand, $U_\epsilon^{(ij)} \equiv u_\epsilon^{(ij)} \otimes \mathbf{1}$, where

$$u_\epsilon^{(ij)} : \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_{(ij)}) \mapsto u_\epsilon^{(ij)} \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_{(ij)}) \quad (6)$$

and $(u_\epsilon^{(ij)} \varphi)(r_{(ij)}) = \sqrt{\epsilon} \varphi(\epsilon r_{(ij)})$, should φ be a continuous function. $u_\epsilon^{(ij)}$ is well-defined and unitary because of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| (u_\epsilon^{(ij)} \varphi)(r_{(ij)}) \right|^2 dr_{(ij)} \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \epsilon \left| \varphi(\epsilon r_{(ij)}) \right|^2 dr_{(ij)} = (\tilde{r}_{(ij)} = \epsilon r_{(ij)}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \varphi(\tilde{r}_{(ij)}) \right|^2 d\tilde{r}_{(ij)} \equiv \|\varphi\|_2^2.$$

□

Definition 2.3. Let $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be² even and such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} v^2 = 1$. For all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : i < j$, $\epsilon > 0$, the bounded linear operator

$$A_\epsilon^{(ij)} \doteq (v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^{(ij)}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} U_{(ij)} \equiv \left(\frac{vu_\epsilon^{(ij)}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \otimes \mathbf{1} \right) U_{(ij)} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \longrightarrow \chi_{(ij)},$$

is introduced. □

Remark 2.3. • For all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : i < j$, $\epsilon > 0$, $V_\epsilon^{(ij)} = A_\epsilon^{(ij)*} A_\epsilon^{(ij)}$ and

$$H_\epsilon = H_0 - g \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} V_\epsilon^{(ij)} \equiv H_0 - g \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} A_\epsilon^{(ij)*} A_\epsilon^{(ij)}. \quad (7)$$

• From now on, interacting pairs (ij) will be denoted by a greek index σ, ν, \dots , varying in \mathcal{I} ; clearly, $|\mathcal{I}| = \binom{n}{2} =$ number of interacting pairs. □

Definition 2.4. Let the Hilbert space $\chi = \bigoplus_\sigma \chi_\sigma$ be. Given $\epsilon > 0$, the bounded operator

$$A_\epsilon : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \longrightarrow \chi$$

is defined, where

$$A_\epsilon \psi \doteq \left(A_\epsilon^{\sigma_1} \psi, \dots, A_\epsilon^{\sigma_{|\mathcal{I}|}} \psi \right)$$

for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \cdots dx_n)$. □

Remark 2.4. For all $\epsilon > 0$, the foregoing definition allows for $g \sum_\sigma V_\epsilon^\sigma$ to be equal to $g A_\epsilon^* A_\epsilon$, hence $H_\epsilon = H_0 - g A_\epsilon^* A_\epsilon$. Therefore (see App. 1)

$$(H_\epsilon - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} \equiv R_{H_\epsilon}(z) = R_{H_0}(z) + g \sum_{\sigma, \nu} [A_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(\bar{z})]^* [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)^{-1}]_{\sigma\nu} [A_\epsilon^\nu R_{H_0}(z)], \quad (8)$$

for all $z \in \rho(H_\epsilon) \cap \rho(H_0)$, where $[\Lambda_\epsilon(z)]_{\sigma\nu} = \delta_{\sigma\nu} - g A_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) A_\epsilon^{\nu*} \in \mathfrak{B}(\chi_\nu, \chi_\sigma)$, $\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I}$. The entire analysis is based on the $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ behaviour of such a formula. □

3 The Limit of $A_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z)$, $z < 0$

Remark 3.1. Given U_σ as in (5), $U_\sigma H_0 = H_0^\sigma U_\sigma$ on \mathcal{D}_{H_0} , where

$$H_0^\sigma = -\frac{1}{2\mu_\sigma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2M_\sigma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R_\sigma^2} - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i, j}}^n \frac{1}{2m_k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2},$$

hence

$$U_\sigma (H_0 - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} = (H_0^\sigma - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} U_\sigma, \quad z \in \rho(H_0) \equiv \rho(H_0^\sigma)$$

²The same letter will be used to denote the corresponding multiplication operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_{(ij)})$.

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_\epsilon^\sigma (H_0 - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} &= (v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} U_\sigma (H_0 - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} = (v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (H_0^\sigma - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} U_\sigma = \\ &= T_\epsilon^\sigma (z) U_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

collecting both the z and ϵ dependence. Moreover, since $A_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) \in \mathfrak{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \chi_\sigma)$, $T_\epsilon^\sigma(z) \in \mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)$ for all $z \in \rho(H_0)$. \square

Definition 3.1. Denoted by $\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}$ the Fourier operator on $\chi_\sigma^{(red)}$, the bounded operator

$$(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}) T_\epsilon^\sigma(z) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1}) \doteq T_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(red)} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(red)}$$

is introduced, where $\tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(red)} = \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \chi_\sigma^{(red)}$. \square

Remark 3.2. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) &\equiv \left(\frac{v u_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \otimes \mathbf{1} \right) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}) (H_0^\sigma - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1}) \equiv \\ &\equiv (2\mu_\sigma) \left(\frac{v u_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \otimes \mathbf{1} \right) \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - (2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_\sigma = \frac{P_\sigma^2}{2M_\sigma} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i, j}}^n \frac{p_k^2}{2m_k}$. In particular, for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(red)}$,

$$\left[T_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \psi \right] (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) = (2\mu_\sigma) v(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{(2\mu_\sigma)(z-Q_\sigma)}^{(1)}(\epsilon r_\sigma - r'_\sigma) \psi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) dr'_\sigma,$$

i.e. on $\tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(red)}$, it behaves as a multiplication operator, while, on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma)$, as an integral operator with kernel

$$(2\mu_\sigma) v(r_\sigma) G_{(2\mu_\sigma)(z-Q_\sigma)}^{(1)}(\epsilon r_\sigma - r'_\sigma). \quad (9)$$

\square

Definition 3.2. Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z < 0$, let $T_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) : \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(red)} \mapsto T_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(red)}$ be such that

$$\left[T_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \psi \right] (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) \doteq (2\mu_\sigma) v(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{(2\mu_\sigma)(z-Q_\sigma)}^{(1)}(-r'_\sigma) \psi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) dr'_\sigma,$$

with Q_σ as above. Correspondingly

$$\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1} \right) T_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}) \doteq T_0^\sigma(z) : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \chi_\sigma^{(red)} \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \chi_\sigma^{(red)} \quad (10)$$

is introduced. \square

Lemma 3.1. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z < 0$ be. Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \|T_\epsilon^\sigma(z) - T_0^\sigma(z)\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} = 0.$$

Proof. Directly from [5], Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2. \blacksquare

Remark 3.3. Direct consequence of Lemma 3.1, for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z < 0$, is

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} A_\epsilon^\sigma (H_0 - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} T_\epsilon^\sigma(z) U_\sigma = T_0^\sigma(z) U_\sigma \doteq S^\sigma(z),$$

with $S^\sigma(z) \in \mathfrak{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \cdots dx_n), \chi_\sigma)$. \square

4 $\Lambda_\epsilon(z)$ -related analysis

Remark 4.1. Given $z \in \rho(H_0)$, $\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)]_{\sigma\nu} &= \delta_{\sigma\nu} - gA_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) A_\epsilon^{\nu*} \\ &= \delta_{\sigma\nu} - gA_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) A_\epsilon^{\nu*} + \delta_{\sigma\nu} gA_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) A_\epsilon^{\nu*} - \delta_{\sigma\nu} gA_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) A_\epsilon^{\nu*} \\ &= [\mathbf{1} - gA_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) A_\epsilon^{\sigma*}] \delta_{\sigma\nu} + (\delta_{\sigma\nu} - 1) gA_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) A_\epsilon^{\nu*} \\ &\equiv [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{diag}]_{\sigma\nu} + [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{off}]_{\sigma\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Where it all makes sense,

$$[\Lambda_\epsilon(z)]^{-1} = \left\{ \mathbf{1} + [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{diag}]^{-1} [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{off}] \right\}^{-1} [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{diag}]^{-1}, \quad (11)$$

hence, aim of the section is finding a range of values for $z \in \rho(H_0)$ such that (11) holds. \square

4.1 $\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{diag}$

Remark 4.2. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $\epsilon > 0$ be. Set $\phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) = gA_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) A_\epsilon^{\sigma*} \in \mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)$, $z \in \rho(H_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) &= g(v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} U_\sigma (H_0 - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} \left[(v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} U_\sigma \right]^* \\ &= g(v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (H_0^\sigma - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} \frac{U_\epsilon^{\sigma*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbf{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2\mu_\sigma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - (z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} = (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}) (H_0^\sigma - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1})$$

allows for

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) &= \frac{g}{\epsilon} \left\{ (vu_\epsilon^\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1}) (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1}) \left[-\frac{1}{2\mu_\sigma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - (z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}) (u_\epsilon^{\sigma*} v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \right\} \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1}) \left\{ g(v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left[-\frac{1}{2\mu_\sigma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - (z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} \frac{U_\epsilon^{\sigma*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \right\} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Definition 4.1. Fixed $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $\epsilon > 0$, $z \in \rho(H_0)$, the linear bounded operator $\phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$, where

$$\phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \doteq g(v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left[-\frac{1}{2\mu_\sigma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - (z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} \frac{U_\epsilon^{\sigma*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbf{1})$$

and Q_σ as above, is introduced. \square

Remark 4.3. By observing that, for all $\psi, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma)$, $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, u_\epsilon^\sigma \psi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\varphi}(x) (u_\epsilon^\sigma \psi)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\varphi}(x) \sqrt{\epsilon} \psi(\epsilon x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \varphi \left(\frac{x'}{\epsilon} \right) \right]} \psi(x') dx' \\ &= \langle u_\epsilon^{\sigma*} \varphi, \psi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all $z < 0$, $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(red)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - (2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} \frac{u_\epsilon^{\sigma*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \varphi \right\} (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{[(2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma)]}^{(1)}(r_\sigma, r'_\sigma) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \varphi \left(\frac{r'_\sigma}{\epsilon}, \underline{P}_\sigma \right) dr'_\sigma = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{[(2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma)]}^{(1)}(r_\sigma, \epsilon r'_\sigma) \varphi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) dr'_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\left\{ \frac{U_\epsilon}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - (2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} \frac{U_\epsilon^*}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right\} \varphi \right] (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{[(2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma)]}^{(1)}(\epsilon r_\sigma, \epsilon r'_\sigma) \varphi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) dr'_\sigma = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{|\epsilon r_\sigma - \epsilon r'_\sigma|^2}{4t} + (2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma)t}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} dt \right] \varphi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) dr'_\sigma = \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{[\epsilon^2(2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma)]}^{(1)}(r_\sigma, r'_\sigma) \varphi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) dr'_\sigma = \\ &= \epsilon \left\{ \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - \epsilon^2(2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} \varphi \right\} (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - (2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} \frac{U_\epsilon^{\sigma*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} = \epsilon \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - \epsilon^2(2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1},$$

allowing to state that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) &= g(v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (2\mu_\sigma) \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - (2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} \frac{U_\epsilon^{\sigma*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \equiv \\ &\equiv (v \otimes \mathbf{1}) \left\{ (2\mu_\sigma) g \epsilon \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} - \epsilon^2(2\mu_\sigma)(z - Q_\sigma) \mathbf{1} \right]^{-1} \right\} (v \otimes \mathbf{1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.1. For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $\epsilon > 0$, $z < 0$, $\left\| \phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{C}|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}}$, where $\mathfrak{C} = \sqrt{\left(\max_\sigma \frac{\mu_\sigma}{2} \right)}$.

Proof. Given $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma)$, $\xi \in \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$ arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \eta \otimes \xi \right\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \left(g \sqrt{\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2}} \right) \frac{\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)}{\sqrt{|z - Q_\sigma|}} v(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{(2\mu_\sigma)|z - Q_\sigma|} |r_\sigma - r'_\sigma|} v(r'_\sigma) \eta(r'_\sigma) dr'_\sigma \right|^2 dr_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \leq \\
&\leq \frac{g^2 \mu_\sigma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)|^2}{|z - Q_\sigma|} v(r_\sigma)^2 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{(2\mu_\sigma)|z - Q_\sigma|} |r_\sigma - r'_\sigma|} v(r'_\sigma) |\eta(r'_\sigma)| dr'_\sigma \right]^2 dr_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \leq \\
&\leq \frac{g^2 \mu_\sigma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)|^2}{|z - Q_\sigma|} v(r_\sigma)^2 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\epsilon \sqrt{(2\mu_\sigma)|z - Q_\sigma|} |r_\sigma - r'_\sigma|} v(r'_\sigma)^2 dr'_\sigma \right] \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta(r'_\sigma)|^2 dr'_\sigma \right] dr_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \leq \\
&\leq \frac{g^2 \mu_\sigma}{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{|\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)|^2}{|z - Q_\sigma|} d\underline{P}_\sigma \right] \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta(r'_\sigma)|^2 dr'_\sigma \right] \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v(r_\sigma)^2 v(r'_\sigma)^2 e^{-2\epsilon \sqrt{(2\mu_\sigma)|z|} |r_\sigma - r'_\sigma|} dr_\sigma dr'_\sigma \right] \leq \\
&\leq \frac{g^2 \mu_\sigma}{2|z|} \|\eta \otimes \xi\|_2^2 \leq \left(\max_\sigma \mu_\sigma \right) \frac{g^2}{2|z|} \|\eta \otimes \xi\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

by using Hölder inequality in passing from the second to the third line. Therefore

$$\left\| \phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} \leq \sqrt{\left(\max_\sigma \frac{\mu_\sigma}{2} \right) \frac{g^2}{|z|}} \equiv \frac{\mathfrak{C}|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}}.$$

■

Corollary 4.1.1. For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $\epsilon > 0$, if $z \in \mathbb{R}^- : z < -\mathfrak{C}^2 g^2$, $\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}}$ is invertible on χ_σ .

Proof. By definition

$$\left[\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} = \mathbf{1} - \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z),$$

hence $\left\| \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} < 1$ guarantees the invertibility of $\mathbf{1} - \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z)$ on χ_σ .

$$z < -\mathfrak{C}^2 g^2 \implies \frac{\mathfrak{C}|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}} < 1 \implies \left\| \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} < 1.$$

■

Remark 4.4. Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$ and $z < -\mathfrak{C}^2 g^2$, what above allows to state that

$$\left[\left(\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}} \right)^{-1} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} = \left[\mathbf{1} - \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) \right]^{-1} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[\phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) \right]^n,$$

hence

$$\left\| \left[\left(\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}} \right)^{-1} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)}^n = \frac{1}{1 - \left\| \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)}} \leq \left[1 - \frac{\mathfrak{C}|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}} \right]^{-1}.$$

□

4.2 Investigating $\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$

Definition 4.2. Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$ and $z < 0$, the linear operator

$$\phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) : \varphi \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})} \longmapsto \phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})},$$

where

$$\left[\phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \varphi \right] (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) \doteq \left(g \sqrt{\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2}} \right) v(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma)}{\sqrt{|z - Q_\sigma|}} v(r'_\sigma) dr'_\sigma, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D},$$

is introduced. □

Lemma 4.2. For arbitrary $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z < 0$, $\mathcal{D} \equiv L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$, $\phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z)$ is bounded and

$$\left\| \phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) - \phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \downarrow 0} 0.$$

Proof. Let whatever $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma)$, $\xi \in \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$ be.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left[\phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) - \phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \right] \eta \otimes \xi \right\|_2^2 \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \left\{ \left[\phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) - \phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \right] \eta \otimes \xi \right\} (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) \right|^2 dr_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \left(g \sqrt{\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2}} \right) \frac{\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)}{\sqrt{|z - Q_\sigma|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(r_\sigma) \left[e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{(2\mu_\sigma)|z - Q_\sigma|} |r_\sigma - r'_\sigma|} - 1 \right] v(r'_\sigma) \eta(r'_\sigma) dr'_\sigma \right|^2 dr_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \\ &\leq g^2 \left(\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)|^2}{|z - Q_\sigma|} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{(2\mu_\sigma)|z - Q_\sigma|} |r_\sigma - r'_\sigma|} - 1 \right| v(r_\sigma) v(r'_\sigma) |\eta(r'_\sigma)| dr'_\sigma \right]^2 dr_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \\ &\leq \left(\frac{g^2 \mu_\sigma}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)|^2}{|z - Q_\sigma|} \left\{ \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-\epsilon \sqrt{(2\mu_\sigma)|z - Q_\sigma|} |r_\sigma - r'_\sigma|} - 1 \right|^2 v(r_\sigma)^2 v(r'_\sigma)^2 dr'_\sigma \right] \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta(r'_\sigma)|^2 dr'_\sigma \right] \right\} dr_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \\ &\leq \left(\frac{g^2 \mu_\sigma}{2} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta(r'_\sigma)|^2 dr'_\sigma \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{|\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)|^2}{|z - Q_\sigma|} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \epsilon \sqrt{(2\mu_\sigma)|z - Q_\sigma|} |r_\sigma - r'_\sigma| \right|^2 v(r_\sigma)^2 v(r'_\sigma)^2 dr_\sigma dr'_\sigma \right] d\underline{P}_\sigma \right\} \\ &\leq \epsilon^2 \left(g^2 \mu_\sigma^2 \right) \left[2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v(r_\sigma)^2 v(r'_\sigma)^2 (r_\sigma^2 + r'_\sigma{}^2) dr_\sigma dr'_\sigma \right] \|\eta \otimes \xi\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

by using Hölder inequality in passing from the third to the fourth line. Since

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v(r_\sigma)^2 v(r'_\sigma)^2 (r_\sigma^2 + r'_\sigma{}^2) dr_\sigma dr'_\sigma < 4 \|V\|_1^2 \left(\sup_{\text{supp } v} r_\sigma^2 \right) < \infty,$$

it results

$$\left\| \phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) - \phi_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} \leq K \epsilon,$$

for some constant $K > 0$. ■

Lemma 4.3. Given $z < 0$ and $\phi_0^\sigma(z) \doteq (\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1}) \phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) (\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma})$, if $z < -\mathfrak{C}^2 g^2$, $\mathbb{1} - \phi_0^\sigma(z)$ is invertible and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} [\mathbb{1} - \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z)]^{-1} = [\mathbb{1} - \phi_0^\sigma(z)]^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma)$, $\xi \in \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$ be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma \eta \otimes \xi \right\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \left(g \sqrt{\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2}} \right) \frac{v(r_\sigma)}{\sqrt{|z - Q_\sigma|}} \xi(\underline{P}_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(r'_\sigma) \eta(r'_\sigma) dr'_\sigma \right|^2 dr_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \\ &\leq \left(g^2 \frac{\mu_\sigma}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)|^2}{|z - Q_\sigma|} v^2(r_\sigma) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta(r'_\sigma)| v(r'_\sigma) dr'_\sigma \right]^2 dr_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \leq \left(g^2 \frac{\mu_\sigma}{2|z|} \right) \|\eta \otimes \xi\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence

$$\left\| \phi_0^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} \equiv \left\| \phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma)} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{C}|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}}.$$

■

Remark 4.5. Direct consequence of what above is that, as $z < -\mathfrak{C}^2 g^2$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left[\left(\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}} \right)_{\sigma\sigma} \right]^{-1} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} [\mathbb{1} - \phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z)]^{-1} = [\mathbb{1} - \phi_0^\sigma(z)]^{-1} = \quad (12)$$

$$= \left[\left(\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{diag}} \right)_{\sigma\sigma} \right]^{-1} = \left[\left(\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{diag}} \right)^{-1} \right]_{\sigma\sigma}. \quad (13)$$

□

4.3 $\left\{ \mathbb{1} + \left[\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}} \right]^{-1} \Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}} \right\}^{-1}$ -related investigations

Proposition 4.4. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $z < 0$ be arbitrary. (15) and (16) hold.

Proof. First of all, let $\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I} : \sigma \neq \nu$ be. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} &= -g A_\epsilon^\sigma R_{H_0}(z) A_\epsilon^{\nu*} = -g (v \otimes \mathbb{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} U_\sigma R_{H_0}(z) \left[(v \otimes \mathbb{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\nu}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} U_\nu \right]^* = \\ &= -g (v \otimes \mathbb{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} U_\sigma R_{H_0}(z) U_\nu^* \frac{U_\epsilon^{\nu*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbb{1}) : \chi_\nu \longrightarrow \chi_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notation, without harming generality, $\sigma = (12)$ is assumed; for all $\psi \in \chi_\nu$, $[\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \psi \in \chi_\sigma$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\left([\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \psi \right) (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n) = \\ &= g \left\{ - \left[(v \otimes \mathbb{1}) \frac{U_\epsilon^\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} U_\sigma R_{H_0}(z) U_\nu^* \frac{U_\epsilon^{\nu*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbb{1}) \right] \psi \right\} (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n) \\ &= -g v(r_\sigma) \left\{ \left[U_\sigma R_{H_0}(z) U_\nu^* \frac{U_\epsilon^{\nu*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbb{1}) \right] \psi \right\} (\epsilon r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

It is recalled that

$$U_{(12)} : \Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \dots dx_n) \mapsto U_{(12)}\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dr_{(12)}dR_{(12)}dx_3 \dots dx_n)$$

with

$$(U_{(12)}\Psi)(r_{(12)}, R_{(12)}, x_3, \dots, x_n) \equiv \Psi\left(R_{(12)} - \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2}r_{(12)}, R_{(12)} + \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2}r_{(12)}, x_3, \dots, x_n\right),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left([\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \psi\right)(r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n) = \\ & = -gv(r_\sigma) \left[R_{H_0}(z) U_\nu^* \frac{U_\epsilon^{\nu*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbb{1}) \psi\right] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2}\epsilon r_\sigma, R_\sigma + \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2}\epsilon r_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n\right) \\ & = -gv(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n\right) \cdot \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \left[U_\nu^* \frac{U_\epsilon^{\nu*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbb{1}) \psi\right](x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \right\} dx'_1 \dots dx'_n \\ & = -gv(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n\right) \cdot \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \left[\frac{U_\epsilon^{\nu*}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} (v \otimes \mathbb{1}) \psi\right] \left(x'_1, \dots, x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2}, \dots, \frac{m_{\nu_1}x'_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}x'_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}}, \dots, x'_n\right) \right\} dx'_1 \dots dx'_n \\ & = -gv(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n\right) \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \left(\frac{x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2}}{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \psi \left(\frac{x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2}}{\epsilon}, \frac{m_{\nu_1}x'_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}x'_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}}, x'_1, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_1}, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_2}, \dots, x'_n\right) \right\} dx'_1 \dots dx'_n \\ & = -gv(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n\right) \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \left(\frac{x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2}}{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \psi \left(\frac{x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2}}{\epsilon}, x'_{\nu_1} - \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} \frac{x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2}}{\epsilon}, x'_1, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_1}, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_2}, \dots, x'_n\right) \right\} dx'_1 \dots dx'_n \\ & = -gv(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left\{ [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2}r_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n\right) \frac{1}{\epsilon} v(r'_\nu) \cdot \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \psi \left(r'_\nu, x'_{\nu_1} - \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}}r'_\nu, x'_1, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_1}, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_2}, \dots, x'_n\right) \cdot \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \delta \left(r'_\nu - \frac{x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2}}{\epsilon}\right) \right\} dx'_1 \dots dx'_n dr'_\nu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \delta \left(r'_\nu - \frac{x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2}}{\epsilon} \right) \right) \equiv \delta \left(\epsilon r'_\nu - (x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2}) \right) \equiv \delta \left(x'_{\nu_1} - x'_{\nu_2} - \epsilon r'_\nu \right) \equiv \\
&\equiv \delta \left(\left(x'_{\nu_1} - \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) - \left(x'_{\nu_2} + \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \right) \equiv \\
&\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+2}} \left\{ -g v(r_\sigma) [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n \right) v(r'_\nu) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \delta \left(R'_\nu - x'_{\nu_1} + \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \delta \left(R'_\nu - x'_{\nu_2} - \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \right\} \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \psi \left(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_1, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_1}, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_2}, \dots, x'_n \right) dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_1 \cdots dx'_n.
\end{aligned}$$

It is further observed that $\sigma \neq \nu$ may nonetheless imply $\nu_i \in \{1, 2\}$, $i = 1$ or 2 , hence the following cases are discussed.

$$\boxed{\sigma = (12), \nu = (1\nu_2), \nu_2 \geq 3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[(\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}})_{\sigma\nu} \psi \right] (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n) = \\
& \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ -g v(r_\sigma) [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n \right) v(r'_\nu) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \delta \left(R'_\nu - x'_1 + \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_2}}{m_1 + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \delta \left(R'_\nu - x'_{\nu_2} - \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \right\} \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \psi \left(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, \hat{x}'_1, x'_2, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_2}, \dots, x'_n \right) dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_1 \cdots dx'_n \equiv \\
& \equiv (\text{by integrating with respect to } x'_1, x'_{\nu_2}) \equiv \\
& \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ -g v(r_\sigma) [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma - R'_\nu - \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_2}}{m_1 + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma - x'_2, x_3 - x'_3, \dots, \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_{\nu_2}} r_\nu, \dots, x_n - x'_n \right) v(r'_\nu) \right\} \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \psi \left(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, \hat{x}'_1, x'_2, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_2}, \dots, x'_n \right) dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_2 \cdots dx'_{\nu_2} \cdots dx'_n.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clearly seen that, with respect to the tuple of variables $\underline{Y}_\nu \equiv (x_3, \dots, \hat{x}_{\nu_2}, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$, $(\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}})_{\sigma\nu}$ behaves as a convolution operator; set $\chi_\nu^- \equiv L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-3}, dx_3 \cdots d\hat{x}_{\nu_2} \cdots dx_n)$ and denoted by $\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\nu}$ the Fourier operator on χ_ν^- , the operator

$$(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\nu}) (\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}})_{\sigma\nu} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\nu}^{-1}) \doteq [\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \quad (14)$$

will be multiplicative with respect to the conjugate tuple $\underline{P}_{(1\nu_2)} \equiv (p_3, \dots, \hat{p}_{\nu_2}, \dots, p_n)$; concerning the remaining variables, it behaves as an integral operator whose kernel is

$$-2^{\frac{3}{2}} g \sqrt{m_1 m_2 m_{\nu_2}} v(r_\sigma) G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) v(r'_\nu) \equiv C(g, m_1, m_2, m_{\nu_2}) v(r_\sigma) G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) v(r'_\nu),$$

and

$$X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2m_1} \left[R_\sigma - R'_\nu - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_2}}{m_1+m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \right] \\ \sqrt{2m_2} \left[R_\sigma - x'_2 + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2} \right) r_\sigma \right] \\ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left[x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{m_1+m_{\nu_2}} \right) r'_\nu \right] \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_\nu = \sum_{\substack{k=3 \\ k \neq \nu_2}}^n \frac{p_k^2}{2m_k}.$$

Given $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_2)$, $\xi \in \tilde{\chi}_\nu^- \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\underline{P}_\nu} \chi_\nu^-$ arbitrarily,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left[\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \eta \otimes \xi \right\|_2^2 = C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_{\nu_2} v(r_\sigma) v(r'_\nu) G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2 \\ & = C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu \left\{ v(r_\sigma) v(r'_\nu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right] \right\} \right|^2 \\ & \leq C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu \left\{ v(r_\sigma) v(r'_\nu) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right\} \right]^2 \\ & \leq C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} \left\{ \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu V(r_\sigma) V(r'_\nu) \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2 \right\} \\ & \leq C^2 \left\{ \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} dr'_\nu \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \left| \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \right| \left| \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right]^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let the following coordinate transformation be

$$\begin{cases} \bar{R}'_\nu = R'_\nu + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_2}{M_\sigma} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_2}}{M_\nu} r'_\nu \right) \\ \bar{x}'_2 = x'_2 - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{M_\sigma} \right) r_\sigma \\ \bar{x}_{\nu_2} = x_{\nu_2} + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_2}{M_\sigma} r_\sigma - r'_\nu \right) \end{cases}.$$

What follows holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} dr'_\nu \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \left| \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \right| \left| \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right]^2 \equiv \\ & \equiv \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma d\bar{x}_{\nu_2} dr'_\nu \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\bar{R}'_\nu d\bar{x}'_2 G_z^{(3)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1} (R_\sigma - \bar{R}'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left| \eta \left(r'_\nu, \bar{R}'_\nu - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_2}{M_\sigma} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_2}}{M_\nu} r'_\nu \right), \bar{x}'_2 + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{M_\sigma} \right) r_\sigma \right) \right| \left| \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right]^2 \\ & \leq \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma d\bar{x}_{\nu_2} dr'_\nu \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\bar{R}'_\nu d\bar{x}'_2 G_z^{(3)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1} (R_\sigma - \bar{R}'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left| \eta \left(r'_\nu, \bar{R}'_\nu - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_2}{M_\sigma} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_2}}{M_\nu} r'_\nu \right), \bar{x}'_2 + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{M_\sigma} \right) r_\sigma \right) \right| \left| \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left\{ \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR_\sigma d\bar{x}_{\nu_2} dr'_\nu \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\bar{R}'_\nu d\bar{x}'_2 G_z^{(3)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1} (R_\sigma - \bar{R}'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu) \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left| \eta \left(r'_\nu, \bar{R}'_\nu - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_2}{M_\sigma} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_2}}{M_\nu} r'_\nu \right), \bar{x}'_2 + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{M_\sigma} r_\sigma \right) \right) \right|^2 \right] \right\} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-3}} d\underline{P}_\nu |\xi(\underline{P}_\nu)|^2 \right) \\ \leq \|F\|^2 \|\eta \otimes \xi\|^2.$$

Eventually³,

$$\left\| [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma, \chi_\nu)} \leq |C| \|F\| \leq \frac{|C|}{2\sqrt{2|z|}} \leq \left(\max_i m_i^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \frac{|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}}, \quad (15)$$

with $\sigma = (12)$, $\nu = (1\nu_2)$, $\nu_2 \geq 3$ and independently of $\epsilon > 0$. An analogous argument holds for $\sigma = (12)$, $\nu = (2\nu_2)$, $\nu_2 \geq 3$.

$$\boxed{\sigma = (12), \nu = (\nu_1\nu_2), 3 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 \leq n}$$

$$\left\{ [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \psi \right\} (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n) = \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+2}} \left\{ -gv(r_\sigma) [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma, x_3, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n \right) v(r'_\nu) \right. \\ \left. \delta \left(R'_\nu - x'_{\nu_1} + \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \delta \left(R'_\nu - x'_{\nu_2} - \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \right\} \cdot \\ \cdot \psi(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_1, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_1}, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_2}, \dots, x'_n) dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_1 \cdots dx'_n \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ -gv(r_\sigma) \cdot \right. \\ \cdot [R_{H_0}(z)] \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma - x'_1, R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma - x'_2, x_3 - x'_3, \dots, x_{\nu_1} - R'_\nu - \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu, \right. \\ \left. \dots, x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu + \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu, \dots, x_n - x'_n \right) \cdot \\ \left. \cdot \psi(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_1, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_1}, \dots, \hat{x}'_{\nu_2}, \dots, x'_n) \right\} dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_1 \cdots d\hat{x}'_{\nu_1} \cdots d\hat{x}'_{\nu_2} \cdots dx_n.$$

$[\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu}$ behaves as a convolution operator with respect to $\underline{Y}_\nu = (x_3, \dots, \hat{x}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{\nu_2}, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-4}$, therefore, by introducing

$$\chi_\nu^- \doteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-4}, dx_3 \dots d\hat{x}_{\nu_1} \dots d\hat{x}_{\nu_2} \dots dx_n)$$

and the corresponding Fourier operator $\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\nu}$ on it,

$$[\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \doteq (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\nu}) [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\nu}^{-1})$$

would be multiplicative in $\underline{P}_\nu = (p_3, \dots, \hat{p}_{\nu_1}, \dots, \hat{p}_{\nu_2}, \dots, p_n)$; on the other hand, $[\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu}$ is a integral operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_1 dx_2)$, with kernel

$$-4g\sqrt{m_1 m_2 m_{\nu_1} m_{\nu_2}} v(r_\sigma) G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) v(r'_\nu) \equiv C(g, m_1, m_2, m_{\nu_1}, m_{\nu_2}) v(r_\sigma) G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) v(r'_\nu),$$

³Appendix 4 enters the argument.

where

$$X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2m_1} \left(R_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon m_2}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma - x'_1 \right) \\ \sqrt{2m_2} \left(R_\sigma + \frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma - x'_2 \right) \\ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_1}} \left(x_{\nu_1} - R'_\nu - \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1}+m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \\ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left(x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu + \frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1}+m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_\nu = \sum_{\substack{k=3 \\ k \neq \nu_1, \nu_2}}^n \frac{p_k^2}{2m_k}.$$

Therefore, arbitrarily given $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_1 dx_2)$, $\xi \in \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left[\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z) \right]_{\text{off}} \eta \otimes \xi \right\|_2^2 = \\ & = C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 v(r_\sigma) G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) v(r'_\nu) \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_1, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2 \\ & \leq C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu v(r_\sigma) v(r'_\nu) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_1, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right\}^2 \\ & \leq \left(\text{by using Hölder inequality together with the fact that } \int_{\mathbb{R}} V = 1 \right) \\ & \leq C^2 \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_1, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The coordinate transformation

$$\begin{cases} \bar{R}'_\nu & = R'_\nu + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1}+m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \\ \bar{x}_{\nu_1} & = x_{\nu_1} + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma - r'_\nu \right) \\ \bar{x}_{\nu_2} & = x_{\nu_2} + \epsilon \frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma \\ \bar{x}'_1 & = x'_1 + \epsilon \frac{m_2}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma \\ \bar{x}'_2 & = x'_2 - \epsilon \frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma \end{cases}$$

allows for

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_1, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2 \equiv \\ & \equiv \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma d\bar{x}_{\nu_1} d\bar{x}_{\nu_2} dr'_\nu \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\bar{R}'_\nu d\bar{x}'_1 d\bar{x}'_2 [\tilde{\eta}(r'_\nu, \bar{R}'_\nu, \bar{x}'_1, \bar{x}'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right. \\ & \quad \left. G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_1), \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_1}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_1} - \bar{R}'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu) \right) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma d\bar{x}_{\nu_1} d\bar{x}_{\nu_2} dr'_\nu \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\bar{R}'_\nu d\bar{x}'_1 d\bar{x}'_2 \left| \tilde{\eta}(r'_\nu, \bar{R}'_\nu, \bar{x}'_1, \bar{x}'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. G_z^{(4)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_1), \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_1}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_1} - \bar{R}'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu) \right) \right]^2 \\ & \leq (\text{by using Hölder inequality}) \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-4}} d\underline{P}_\nu |\xi(\underline{P}_\nu)|^2 \right) \cdot \sup_{r_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR_\sigma d\bar{x}_{\nu_1} d\bar{x}_{\nu_2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\bar{R}'_\nu d\bar{x}'_1 d\bar{x}'_2 \left| \tilde{\eta}(r'_\nu, \bar{R}'_\nu, \bar{x}'_1, \bar{x}'_2) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot G_z^{(4)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_1), \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_1}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_1} - \bar{R}'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu) \right) \right]^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\eta} \left(r'_\nu, \bar{R}'_\nu, \bar{x}'_1, \bar{x}'_2 \right) = \eta \left(r'_\nu, \bar{R}'_\nu - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right), \bar{x}'_1 - \epsilon \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma, \bar{x}'_2 + \epsilon \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma \right).$$

Consequently, by Appendix 4, independently of $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\left\| [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma, \chi_\nu)} \leq \left(\max_i m_i^2 \right) \frac{|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}}. \quad (16)$$

Remark 4.6. Summarizing, set $K \doteq \max \left[\left(\max_i m_i^{\frac{3}{2}}, \max_i m_i^2 \right) \right]$,

$$\left\| [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma, \chi_\nu)} \leq K \frac{|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}},$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$, $z < 0$, $\sigma \neq \nu$, hence

$$\max_{\sigma, \nu} \left\| [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma, \chi_\nu)} \leq K \frac{|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}}.$$

By also taking into account the diagonal contribution and denoting by $\|\cdot\|_{\oplus}$ the operator norm of $\mathfrak{B}(\chi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}}]^{-1} [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}] \right\|_{\oplus} &\leq \left\| [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}}]^{-1} \right\|_{\oplus} \left\| [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}] \right\|_{\oplus} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{\mathfrak{C}|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}} \right]^{-1} \cdot K \cdot \frac{|g|}{\sqrt{|z|}}, \end{aligned}$$

resulting in $\left\| [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}}]^{-1} [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}] \right\|_{\oplus} < 1$ as long as $z < -g^2 \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} K + \mathfrak{C} \right]^2 \doteq z_0$. Consequently, $\left\{ \mathbb{1} + [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{diag}}]^{-1} [\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}] \right\}_{\oplus}$ is invertible in $\mathfrak{B}(\chi)$, for all $\epsilon > 0$. \square

4.4 Computing $\Lambda_\epsilon(z)_{\text{off}}$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$

$$\boxed{\sigma = (12), \nu = (1\nu_2), 3 \leq \nu_2 \leq n}$$

Proposition 4.5. For all $z < 0$, given

$$\left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_2) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^- \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2}) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$$

defined by

$$\left(\left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \psi \right) (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_{\nu_2}, \underline{P}_\nu) \doteq Cv(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_2 G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) v(r'_\nu) \psi(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2, \underline{P}_\nu),$$

for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_2) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$, where

$$X_{\sigma\nu,0} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2m_1}(R_\sigma - R'_\nu) \\ \sqrt{2m_2}(R_\sigma - x'_2) \\ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}}(x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu) \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_\nu = \sum_{\substack{k=3 \\ k \neq \nu_2}}^n \frac{p_k^2}{2m_k}, \quad C = -(2)^{\frac{3}{2}} g \sqrt{m_1 m_2 m_{\nu_2}},$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left\| \left[\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} - \left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma, \chi_\nu)} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_2)$, $\xi \in \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$ be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{C^2} \left\| \left\{ \left[\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} - \left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \right\} \eta \otimes \xi \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_2 v(r_\sigma) \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] v(r'_\nu) \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} V(r_\sigma) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu v(r'_\nu) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} V(r_\sigma) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu v(r'_\nu) \frac{1+|r'_\nu|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+|r'_\nu|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2 \right\}^2 \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} V(r_\sigma) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu (1+|r'_\nu|) V(r'_\nu) \right] \\ & \quad \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2 \\ &\equiv I\left(V, \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dr_\sigma dr'_\nu \left[\frac{V(r_\sigma)}{1+|r'_\nu|} \right] \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left| G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right| \left| \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right]^2 \\ &\leq I\left(V, \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dr_\sigma dr'_\nu \left[\frac{V(r_\sigma)}{1+|r'_\nu|} \right] \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right| \left| \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right]^2 \\ &\equiv I\left(V, \frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-3}} d\underline{P}_\nu |\xi(\underline{P}_\nu)|^2 \right] \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dr_\sigma dr'_\nu \left[\frac{V(r_\sigma)}{1+|r'_\nu|} \right] \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right| \left| \eta(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2) \right| \right]^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I\left(V, \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr (1+|r|) V(r) < \infty.$$

Let then $F_{r_\sigma, r'_\nu, \epsilon} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dR_\nu dx_2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2})$ be defined by

$$[F_{r_\sigma, r'_\nu, \epsilon} \varphi](R_\sigma, x_{\nu_2}) \doteq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left[G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \varphi(R'_\nu, x'_2).$$

The Schur test is going to be used to ascertain whether it is bounded or not. By introducing

$$\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2m_1} \left[R_\sigma - R'_\nu - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1}+m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \right] \\ \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - x'_2) \\ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu) \end{pmatrix},$$

the following procedure is adopted.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) - G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,0}) \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) - G_z^{(3)}(\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \right| + \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(3)}(\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) - G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,0}) \right| &\equiv \boxed{A} + \boxed{B}. \end{aligned}$$

By observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) - G_z^{(3)}(\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \right| &= \\ \left| \int_0^\infty \left\{ e^{-\frac{\left\{ \sqrt{2m_1} \left[R_\sigma - R'_\nu - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1}+m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \right] \right\}^2 + \left\{ \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - x'_2 + \epsilon \frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma) \right\}^2 + \left\{ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left[x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1}+m_{\nu_2}} \right) r'_\nu \right] \right\}^2}{4t}} + zt} \right. \right. & \\ \left. \left. - e^{-\frac{\left\{ \sqrt{2m_1} \left[R_\sigma - R'_\nu - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma - \frac{m_{\nu_2}}{m_{\nu_1}+m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \right] \right\}^2 + \left\{ \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - x'_2) \right\}^2 + \left\{ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu) \right\}^2}{4t}} + zt} \right\} \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right| &\leq \\ \leq \left| \int_0^\infty \left[e^{-\frac{\left[\sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - x'_2 + \epsilon \frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2} r_\sigma) \right]^2 + \left\{ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left[x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1}+m_{\nu_2}} \right) r'_\nu \right] \right\}^2}{4t}} + zt} + \right. & \\ \left. - e^{-\frac{\left[\sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - x'_2) \right]^2 + \left[\sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu) \right]^2}{4t}} + zt} \right] \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right| &\leq \\ \leq \left| G_z^{(3)} \left(0, \sqrt{2m_2} \left[R_\sigma - x'_2 + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2} \right) r_\sigma \right], \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left[x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1}+m_{\nu_2}} \right) r'_\nu \right] \right) + \right. & \\ \left. - G_z^{(3)} \left(0, \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - x'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu) \right) \right|, & \end{aligned}$$

concerning \boxed{A} , what follows holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(3)}(X_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) - G_z^{(3)}(\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu,\epsilon}) \right| \leq \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(3)} \left(0, \sqrt{2m_2} \left[R_\sigma - x'_2 + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} \right) r_\sigma \right], \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left[x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} \right) r'_\nu \right] \right) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - G_z^{(3)} \left(0, \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - x'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu) \right) \right| \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left| \int_0^\infty \left[e^{-\frac{\left[\sqrt{2m_2} \left(R_\sigma - x'_2 + \epsilon \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma \right) \right]^2 + \left\{ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left[x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu + \epsilon \left(\frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} \right) r'_\nu \right] \right\}^2}{4t} + zt} \right. \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. - e^{-\frac{\left[\sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - x'_2) \right]^2 + \left[\sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu) \right]^2}{4t} + zt} \right] \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

By using

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x}'_2 &= \sqrt{2m_2} x'_2 \\ \bar{R}'_\nu &= \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} R'_\nu \end{cases}$$

the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\boxed{A} & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{d\bar{x}'_2 d\bar{R}'_\nu}{\sqrt{2m_2} \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}}} \left| \int_0^\infty \left\{ e^{-\frac{\left[\bar{x}'_2 - \sqrt{2m_2} \left(R_\sigma + \epsilon \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} r_\sigma \right) \right]^2 + \left[\bar{R}'_\nu - \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left(x_{\nu_2} + \epsilon \frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} r'_\nu \right) \right]^2}{4t} + zt} \right. \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. - e^{-\frac{\left(\bar{x}'_2 - \sqrt{2m_2} R_\sigma \right)^2 + \left(\bar{R}'_\nu - \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} x_{\nu_2} \right)^2}{4t} + zt} \right\} \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right| \equiv \\
& \equiv \left(\begin{cases} x'_2 &= \bar{x}'_2 - \sqrt{2m_2} R_\sigma \\ R'_\nu &= \bar{R}'_\nu - \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} x_{\nu_2} \end{cases} \right) \\
& \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dx'_2 dR'_\nu}{2\sqrt{m_2 m_{\nu_2}}} \left| G_z^{(3)} \left(0, x'_2 - \sqrt{2m_2} \left(\frac{\epsilon m_1}{m_1 + m_2} \right) r_\sigma, R'_\nu - \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left(\frac{\epsilon m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} \right) r'_\nu \right) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - G_z^{(3)}(0, x'_2, R'_\nu) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

What obtained mimics the structure of what reported in [5], Proposition 4.5; analogous arguments hold true for \boxed{B} all the same, hence $F_{r_\sigma, r'_\nu, \epsilon}$ is a bounded operator and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left\| \left[\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} - \left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma, \chi_\nu)} = 0.$$

■

Remark 4.7. Similarly proven results hold for $\sigma = (12)$, $\nu = (2\nu_2)$, $\nu_2 \geq 3$. □

$$\sigma = (12), \nu = (\nu_1\nu_2), 3 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 \leq n$$

Proposition 4.6. For all $z < 0$, given

$$\left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, dx_1 dx_2 dR_\nu dr_\nu) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^- \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2}) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$$

defined by

$$\left(\left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \psi \right) (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_{\nu_1}, x_{\nu_2}) \doteq C v(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) v(r'_\nu) \psi(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_1, x'_2),$$

with $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, dx_1 dx_2 dR_\nu dr_\nu) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$ and

$$X_{\sigma\nu, 0} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2m_1}(R_\sigma - x'_1) \\ \sqrt{2m_2}(R_\sigma - x'_2) \\ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_1}}(x_{\nu_1} - R'_\nu) \\ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}}(x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu) \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_\nu = \sum_{\substack{k=3 \\ k \neq \nu_1, \nu_2}}^n \frac{p_k^2}{2m_k}, \quad C = -4g\sqrt{m_1 m_2 m_{\nu_1} m_{\nu_2}},$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left\| \left[\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} - \left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma, \chi_\nu)} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, dx_1 dx_2 dr_\nu dR_\nu)$, $\xi \in \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$ be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\{ \left[\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} - \left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \right\} \eta \otimes \xi \right\|_2^2 = \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} \left| C \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dx'_1 dx'_2 dr'_\nu dR'_\nu \left\{ v(r_\sigma) v(r'_\nu) \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \eta(x'_1, x'_2, r'_\nu, R'_\nu) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right\} \right|^2 \\ & = C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} V(r_\sigma) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dx'_1 dx'_2 dr'_\nu dR'_\nu \left\{ v(r'_\nu) \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \eta(x'_1, x'_2, r'_\nu, R'_\nu) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right\} \right|^2 \\ & \leq C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\underline{P}_\nu dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} V(r_\sigma) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dr'_\nu v(r'_\nu) \frac{1 + |r'_\nu|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + |r'_\nu|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_2 dx'_1 \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \eta(x'_1, x'_2, r'_\nu, R'_\nu) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right| \right\}^2 \\ & \leq C^2 I\left(V, \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} d\underline{P}_\nu dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dr'_\nu dr_\sigma \frac{V(r_\sigma)}{1 + |r'_\nu|} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \eta(x'_1, x'_2, r'_\nu, R'_\nu) \xi(\underline{P}_\nu) \right|^2 \right\} \\ & \leq C^2 I\left(V, \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-4}} d\underline{P}_\nu |\xi(\underline{P}_\nu)|^2 \right) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dr'_\nu dr_\sigma \frac{V(r_\sigma)}{1 + |r'_\nu|} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 \left[G_z^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \eta(x'_1, x'_2, r'_\nu, R'_\nu) \right|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It is then considered the linear map $K : \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dx_1 dx_2 dR_\nu) \mapsto K\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} dR_\sigma)$ defined by

$$(K\psi)(x_{\nu_1}, x_{\nu_2}, R_\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 \left[G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right] \psi(x'_1, x'_2, R'_\nu).$$

By introducing the point

$$\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2m_1}(x'_1 - R_\sigma) \\ \sqrt{2m_2}(x'_2 - R_\sigma) \\ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_1}}(R'_\nu - x_{\nu_1}) \\ \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} \left[R'_\nu - x_{\nu_2} - \epsilon \left(\frac{m_{\nu_1}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} \right) r'_\nu \right] \end{pmatrix},$$

to check whether K is bounded or not, the Schur test is referred to again.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 \left| G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_{z-Q_\nu}^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right| \equiv \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(4)}(\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) + G_z^{(4)}(\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(4)}(\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) \right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(4)}(\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) \right| \equiv \\ & \equiv \boxed{A} + \boxed{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{A} & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 \left| G_z^{(4)}(X_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) - G_z^{(4)}(\tilde{X}_{\sigma\nu, \epsilon}) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dx'_1 dx'_2 dR'_\nu}{\sqrt{6m_1 m_2 m_{\nu_1}}} \left| G_z^{(4)} \left(x'_1 + \epsilon \left(\frac{\sqrt{2m_1 m_2}}{m_1 + m_2} \right) r_\sigma, x'_2 - \epsilon \left(\frac{\sqrt{2m_2 m_1}}{m_1 + m_2} \right) r_\sigma, R'_\nu + \epsilon \left(\frac{\sqrt{2m_{\nu_1} m_{\nu_2}}}{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2}} \right) r'_\nu, 0 \right) + \right. \\ & \quad \left. - G_z^{(4)}(x'_1, x'_2, R'_\nu, 0) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

by having respectively used the coordinate transformations

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x}'_1 = \sqrt{2m_1} x'_1 \\ \bar{x}'_2 = \sqrt{2m_2} x'_2 \\ \bar{R}'_\nu = \sqrt{2m_{\nu_1}} R'_\nu \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} x'_1 = \bar{x}'_1 - \sqrt{2m_1} R_\sigma \\ x'_2 = \bar{x}'_2 - \sqrt{2m_2} R_\sigma \\ R'_\nu = \bar{R}'_\nu - \sqrt{2m_{\nu_1}} x_{\nu_1} \end{cases}.$$

From this point on, it is possible to proceed as in [5], Proposition 4.8, to eventually state that K is a bounded operator. Analogous arguments apply to \boxed{B} , therefore

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left\| [\Lambda_{\epsilon, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} - [\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\chi_\sigma, \chi_\nu)} = 0.$$

■

Corollary 4.6.1. For all $z < z_0 \doteq -g^2 \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} K + \mathfrak{C} \right]^2$, set $\Lambda_0(z) \doteq \Lambda_0(z)_{diag} + \Lambda_0(z)_{off}$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \Lambda_\epsilon(z)^{-1} = \Lambda_0(z)^{-1} \equiv \left\{ \mathbf{1} + \left[\Lambda_0(z)_{diag} \right]^{-1} \Lambda_0(z)_{off} \right\}^{-1} \left[\Lambda_0(z)_{diag} \right]^{-1}$$

in $\mathfrak{B}(\chi)$. Consequently

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (H_\epsilon - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} = R_{H_0}(z) + g \sum_{\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I}} \left[S^{(\sigma)}(z) \right]^* \left[\Lambda_0(z)^{-1} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \left[S^{(\nu)}(z) \right] \doteq R(z).$$

■

Remark 4.8. By recalling the self-adjoint operator (H, \mathcal{D}_H) introduced in Appendix 3, [5], Appendix C allows to state that H_ϵ converges to H in the strong resolvent sense, as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. Consequently, as long as $z < z_0$, $R_H(z) = (H - z\mathbf{1})^{-1} = R(z)$, i.e. if $z < z_0$,

$$\|R_H(z) - R_{H_\epsilon}(z)\| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \downarrow 0} 0.$$

□

Proposition 4.7. $H_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\epsilon \downarrow 0} H$ in the norm resolvent sense.

Proof. Let $z \in (-\infty, z_0)$ be arbitrary and $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < |z|$. Let then $\omega_\pm \doteq z \pm i\delta$ be; H_ϵ, H are self-adjoint operators, for all $\epsilon > 0$, hence $\omega_\pm \in \rho(H_\epsilon) \cap \rho(H)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $R_{H_\epsilon}(\omega_\pm) - R_H(\omega_\pm)$ makes sense. Eventually, the Neumann series expansion allows for

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{H_\epsilon}(\omega_\pm) - R_H(\omega_\pm)\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (\omega_\pm - z)^n R_{H_\epsilon}(z)^{n+1} - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (\omega_\pm - z)^n R_H(z)^{n+1} \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \delta^n \left\| R_{H_\epsilon}(z)^{n+1} - R_H(z)^{n+1} \right\| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \downarrow 0} 0, \end{aligned}$$

because of the n^{th} -power function continuity. Repeating the process, the result holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. ■

Corollary 4.7.1. The self-adjoint operator (H, \mathcal{D}_H) is affiliated to $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma)$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.7, $\|R_{H_\epsilon}(z) - R_H(z)\| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \downarrow 0} 0$ for all $z \in i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, i.e., because of [4] prop. 4.1, $(H - i\lambda\mathbf{1})^{-1} \in \pi_S \left[\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma) \right]$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. ■

Proposition 4.8. Let \mathfrak{K}_0 be the C^* -subalgebra of $\pi_S \left[\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma) \right]$ generated by $\mathcal{B}_\infty(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and the identity operator. $(\mathcal{K}_0 \equiv \pi_S^{-1}(\mathfrak{K}_0), \mathbb{R}, \beta)$, where

$$\beta : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \beta_t \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_0),$$

with

$$\beta_t : a \in \mathcal{K}_0 \mapsto \beta_t(a) \doteq \pi_S^{-1} [U(t)^* \pi_S(a) U(t)] \in \mathcal{K}_0,$$

and $U(t) \equiv \exp(-itH)$, is a C^* -dynamical system.

Proof. See [6], prop. 3.6. ■

Proposition 4.9. Let $f_0(H)$ be the (commutative) C^* -subalgebra of $\pi_S \left[\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma) \right]$ generated by $R_H(z)$, $z \in i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Denoted by \mathfrak{S}_0 the C^* -subalgebra of $\pi_S \left[\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma) \right]$ generated by $f_0(H)$ and \mathfrak{K}_0 ,

$$e^{itH} a e^{-itH} \in \mathfrak{S}_0, \quad \forall a \in \mathfrak{S}_0.$$

Proof. The result follows from [5], remark 1 and proposition 4.8. ■

Conclusions

This paper shows that the Hamiltonian of $n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq 2$ distinguishable spinless, non-relativistic particles interacting via a two-body delta potential moving in one spatial dimension is affiliated to the resolvent algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma)$. Moreover, a C^* -dynamical system is singled out, together with a subalgebra $\pi_S^{-1}(\mathfrak{G}_0)$ of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma)$, stable under Heisenberg time evolution. Nevertheless, the time evolution stability of the whole algebra is still an open problem.

Appendix 1 - The Konno-Kuroda Formula

Proposition 4.10. *Let \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be complex Hilbert spaces. Let (H_0, \mathcal{D}_{H_0}) be a self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} and let $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a bounded operator. Given the self-adjoint operator $(H_g \doteq H_0 - gA^*A, \mathcal{D}_{H_0})$ on \mathcal{H} , $g \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, for all $z \in \rho(H_0) \cap \rho(H_g)$,*

1. $[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} - \phi(z)]^{-1} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} + M(z)$, with $\phi(z) = gAR_{H_0}(z)A^*$ and $M(z) = gAR_{H_g}(z)A^*$,
2. $R_{H_g}(z) = R_{H_0}(z) + gR_{H_0}(z)A^*[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} - \phi(z)]^{-1}AR_{H_0}(z)$.

Proof. It is first observed that A^*A is a bounded self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} , hence the same holds for $V \equiv gA^*A$. Particularly, for all $x \in \mathcal{D}_{H_0}$,

$$\|Vx\| \leq \|V\|\|x\| \leq \epsilon\|H_0x\| + \|V\|\|x\|$$

for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$; consequently, (H_g, \mathcal{D}_{H_0}) is self-adjoint by the Kato-Rellich theorem. Let then $z \in \rho(H_0) \cap \rho(H_g)$ be arbitrary.

1. By direct inspection, the second resolvent formula allows for

$$[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} - \phi(z)][\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} + M(z)] = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} = [\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} + M(z)][\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} - \phi(z)].$$

- 2.

$$\begin{aligned} R_{H_g}(z) &= R_{H_0}(z) + [R_{H_g}(z) - R_{H_0}(z)] = (\text{by the second resolvent formula}) \\ &= R_{H_0}(z) + gR_{H_0}(z)A^*AR_{H_g}(z) + gR_{H_0}(z)A^*AR_{H_0}(z) - gR_{H_0}(z)A^*AR_{H_0}(z) = \\ &= R_{H_0}(z) + gR_{H_0}(z)A^*AR_{H_0}(z) + gR_{H_0}(z)A^*A[R_{H_g}(z) - R_{H_0}(z)] = \\ &= (\text{by the second resolvent formula again}) = \\ &= R_{H_0}(z) + gR_{H_0}(z)A^*AR_{H_0}(z) + g^2R_{H_0}(z)A^*AR_{H_g}(z)A^*AR_{H_0}(z) = \\ &= R_{H_0}(z) + gR_{H_0}(z)A^*[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} + M(z)]AR_{H_0}(z) \equiv \\ &= R_{H_0}(z) + gR_{H_0}(z)A^*[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}} - \phi(z)]^{-1}AR_{H_0}(z), \end{aligned}$$

allowing to express the resolvent of (H_g, \mathcal{D}_{H_0}) at $z \in \rho(H_0) \cap \rho(H_g)$ in terms of $R_{H_0}(z)$, A and A^* only. ■

Corollary 4.10.1. *Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ be complex Hilbert spaces. Let (H_0, \mathcal{D}_{H_0}) be a self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} and let $A_i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, be bounded operators. Given $g \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and considered the self-adjoint operator $(H_g = H_0 - g \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^*A_i, \mathcal{D}_{H_0})$ on \mathcal{H} , for all $z \in \rho(H_0) \cap \rho(H_g)$,*

$$R_{H_g}(z) = R_{H_0}(z) + g \sum_{i,j=1}^n R_{H_0}(z)A_i^* \left[\Lambda(z)^{-1} \right]_{ij} A_j R_{H_0}(z) \quad (17)$$

where $\Lambda(z)_{ij} \doteq \delta_{ij} - gA_iR_{H_0}(z)A_j^* : \mathcal{K}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i$.

Proof. By introducing the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \doteq \bigoplus_i \mathcal{K}_i$, let $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be the bounded operator such that $A\psi \doteq (A_i\psi)_{i=1}^n$, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. For all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{H_0}$,

$$H_g\psi = H_0\psi - g \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^*A_i\psi \equiv H_0\psi - gA^*A\psi,$$

and Proposition 4.10 can be applied. Straightforward computations allow to get formula (17). ■

Appendix 2 - Trace Operator on Hyper-planes for Sobolev Functions and Related

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a real function. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi_x \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where*

$$\psi_x : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \psi_x(\mathbf{y}) \doteq \psi(x, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Let $K \equiv \text{supp } \psi$ be and let $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K)$ be the \mathbb{R}^n projection of K ; by definition of product topology, $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K)$ is compact in \mathbb{R}^n . If $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{y} \notin \pi_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K)$, $(x, \mathbf{y}) \notin K$, i.e. $\psi_x(\mathbf{y}) = 0$.

Given whatever $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\{\mathbf{y}_m\}_m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $\mathbf{y}_m \xrightarrow[n]{\rightarrow} \mathbf{y}$; ψ_x is continuous at \mathbf{y} if and only if $\lim_m \psi_x(\mathbf{y}_m) = \psi_x(\mathbf{y})$. However, $(x, \mathbf{y}_m) \xrightarrow[m]{\rightarrow} (x, \mathbf{y})$, hence the continuity of ψ implies $\psi_x(\mathbf{y}_m) \equiv \psi(x, \mathbf{y}_m) \xrightarrow[m]{\rightarrow} \psi(x, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \psi_x(\mathbf{y})$; in other words, ψ_x is at least a continuous function of compact support.

Let then $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary; for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, what follows holds.

$$\frac{\psi_x(\mathbf{y} + t\mathbf{e}_j) - \psi_x(\mathbf{y})}{t} = \frac{\psi(x, \mathbf{y} + t\mathbf{e}_j) - \psi(x, \mathbf{y})}{t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{\rightarrow} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{f}_{j+1}} \right) (x, \mathbf{y})$$

i.e.

$$\left(\frac{\partial \psi_x}{\partial \mathbf{e}_j} \right) (\mathbf{y}) = \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{f}_{j+1}} \right) (x, \mathbf{y}),$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}_j = \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{j\text{-th}}, 0, \dots, 0}_n \right), \quad \mathbf{f}_{j+1} = (0, \mathbf{e}_j).$$

The continuity of $(\partial_j \psi_x)$ is proved as above, hence induction gives $\psi_x \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. ■

Remark 4.9. *Given ψ_x as above, $\psi_x \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $\psi_x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence*

$$\varphi : x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \varphi(x) \doteq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi_x(\mathbf{y})|^2 d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{y}) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x, \mathbf{y})^2 d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \quad (18)$$

is well-defined. Particularly, set $K' = \pi_{\mathbb{R}^n}(K)$,

$$\varphi : x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \varphi(x) \equiv \int_{K'} \psi_x^2(\mathbf{y}) d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{y}).$$

□

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a real function and φ as in (18), $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ be the compact projection of $K \equiv \text{supp } \psi$ on the real line; if $x \notin \pi_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$, $(x, \mathbf{y}) \notin K$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, hence $\psi_x^2(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ and, correspondingly, $\varphi(x) = 0$.

Given arbitrarily $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\{x_m\}_m \subset \mathbb{R}$ be such that it converges to x . Since ψ^2 is continuous of compact support, there exists $C > 0$ such that $|\psi_{x_m}^2(\mathbf{y})| \leq C$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The

dominated convergence theorem then implies

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_m \varphi(x_m) &= \lim_m \int_{K'} \psi_{x_m}^2(\mathbf{y}) d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{K'} \lim_m [\psi_{x_m}^2(\mathbf{y})] d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{y}) = \\ &= \int_{K'} \psi_x^2(\mathbf{y}) d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{y}) = \varphi(x),\end{aligned}$$

i.e., the arbitrariness of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ gives that φ is a continuous function of compact support. $(\partial\psi_x^2) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$, hence uniformly bounded over K , giving

$$\varphi'(x) = \int_{K'} \left(\frac{\partial\psi^2}{\partial x} \right)(x, \mathbf{y}) d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By repeating the process, φ' is a continuous function of compact support; inductively, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. ■

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$ be a complex function.*

$$\sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(r, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2$$

holds.

Proof. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be. Trivially,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(r, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_R^2(r, \mathbf{x}) d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_I^2(r, \mathbf{x}) d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\psi_R \equiv \Re\psi$ and $\psi_I \equiv \Im\psi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_i^2(r, \mathbf{x}) d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv \varphi(r) = \int_{-\infty}^r \varphi'(s) d\lambda^{(1)}(s) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'(s)| d\lambda^{(1)}(s) \equiv \\ &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left[\frac{d}{dr} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_i^2(r, \mathbf{x}) d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) \right] (s) \right| d\lambda^{(1)}(s) \leq \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |\psi_i(\mathbf{y}) (\partial_r \psi_i)(\mathbf{y})| d\lambda^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{y}) \leq (\text{by using Hölder inequality}) \leq 2\|\psi_i\|_2 \|\partial_r \psi_i\|_2 \leq \\ &\leq \|\psi_i\|_2^2 + \|(\partial_r \psi)_i\|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

with $i = R, I$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(r, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) &\leq \left(\|\psi_R\|_2^2 + \|\psi_I\|_2^2 \right) + \left(\|(\partial_r \psi)_R\|_2^2 + \|(\partial_r \psi)_I\|_2^2 \right) \equiv \\ &\equiv \|\psi\|_2^2 + \|\partial_r \psi\|_2^2 \leq \|\psi\|_2^2 + \|\|\nabla \psi\|_2\|_2^2 \equiv \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2.\end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of the foregoing inequality is independent of $r \in \mathbb{R}$, hence

$$\sup_r \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(r, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2.$$

■

Proposition 4.14. *The map $\tilde{\tau}_0 : \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}) \mapsto \tilde{\tau}_0\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $(\tilde{\tau}_0\psi)(\mathbf{x}) = \psi(0, \mathbf{x})$, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, results in a linear, densely defined bounded operator from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. $\tilde{\tau}_0$ is clearly well-defined, linear and densely defined. Concerning boundedness, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\tau}_0\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\tilde{\tau}_0\psi)(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(0, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(r, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 4.10. *The foregoing proposition allows for a bounded, norm-preserving extension τ_0 of $\tilde{\tau}_0$ to all $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$.* □

Definition 4.3. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : i < j$, by considering $U_{(ij)}$ as in (5), $\tau_{(ij)} \doteq \tau_0 U_{(ij)}$ denotes the corresponding **trace operator**. □

Remark 4.11. *Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ be. It is observed that*

$$(\tau_0\varphi)(\mathbf{y}) = \varphi(0, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi)(p, \mathbf{y}) e^{ip0} d\lambda^{(1)}(p) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi)(p, \mathbf{y}) d\lambda^{(1)}(p),$$

where \mathfrak{F} is the Fourier-Plancherel operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\lambda^{(1)}(p))$. As a consequence □

Definition 4.4. Given $H^1(\mathbb{R}, d\lambda^{(1)}(p)) = \mathfrak{F}H^1(\mathbb{R}, d\lambda^{(1)}(x))$, the **Fourier trace operator**

$$\hat{\tau}_0 : H^1(\mathbb{R}, d\lambda^{(1)}(p)) \otimes H^1(\mathbb{R}^n, d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{y})) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\lambda^{(n)}(\mathbf{y}))$$

is introduced, where

$$(\hat{\tau}_0\xi)(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(p, \mathbf{y}) d\lambda^{(1)}(p).$$

□

Lemma 4.15. *What follows holds.*

1. $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}\tau_0 = \tau_0(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$.
2. $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}\tau_0 = \hat{\tau}_0\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}$.
3. $\tau_0 = \hat{\tau}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ be arbitrary.

1. For all $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\tau_0\varphi)](\mathbf{P}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\tau_0\varphi)(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(0, \mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} = \\ &= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y, \mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \right]_{y=0} = \left\{ [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n})\varphi](y, \mathbf{P}) \right\}_{y=0} = \\ &= \left\{ [\tau_0(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n})\varphi] \right\}(\mathbf{P}). \end{aligned}$$

2. For all $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\tau_0\varphi)](\mathbf{P}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(0, \mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi)(p, \mathbf{x}) \frac{dp}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] e^{-i\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi)](p, \mathbf{P}) \frac{dp}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}\varphi)(p, \mathbf{P}) \frac{dp}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \equiv \\ &\equiv [\hat{\tau}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}\varphi)](\mathbf{P}) \end{aligned}$$

3. For all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(\tau_0\varphi)(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi)(p, \mathbf{y}) d\lambda^{(1)}(p) \equiv [\hat{\tau}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n})\varphi](\mathbf{y}).$$

■

Remark 4.12. *The Fourier trace operator is bounded.*

□

Appendix 3 - Quadratic Form Investigations

Proposition 4.16. *Given $n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq 2$, let $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be and correspondingly $a_j = (2m_j)^{-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Consider, further, $g \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$; the map (t, \mathcal{D}_t) , such that $\mathcal{D}_t = H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t : (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{D}_t \times \mathcal{D}_t \mapsto t(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{C}$ with*

$$t(\varphi, \psi) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\overline{\partial_j \varphi} \partial_j \psi] d\lambda^{(n)} - g \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} [\overline{\tau_{(ij)} \varphi} \tau_{(ij)} \psi] d\lambda^{(n-1)}$$

results in a sesquilinear, densely defined, hermitian, lower semi-bounded, closed form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Clearly $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)})$. Now, given $\varphi, \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} t(\varphi, \psi) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{(\partial_j \varphi)} (\partial_j \psi) - g \sum_{i < j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \overline{(\tau_{(ij)} \varphi)} (\tau_{(ij)} \psi) = \\ &= \overline{\sum_{i=1}^n a_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{(\partial_j \psi)} (\partial_j \varphi) - g \sum_{i < j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \overline{(\tau_{(ij)} \psi)} (\tau_{(ij)} \varphi)} = \overline{t(\psi, \varphi)}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. t is hermitian. To prove it is lower semi-bounded, let $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be.

$$\begin{aligned} q_t(\psi) &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_j \psi|^2 - g \sum_{i < j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\tau_{(ij)} \psi|^2 \geq (a \equiv \min \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}) \\ &\geq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\nabla \psi\|^2 - g \sum_{i < j} \|\tau_{(ij)} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $g < 0$, then

$$q_t(\psi) \geq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\nabla \psi\|^2 + |g| \sum_{i < j} \|\tau_{(ij)} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^2 \geq 0 = 0 \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

On the other hand, if $g > 0$, given $\mu > 0$, there exists $C_\mu > 0$ such that $\|\tau_{(ij)} \psi\| \leq \mu \|\|\nabla \psi\|\| + C_\mu \|\psi\|$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : i < j$. Consequently

$$g \sum_{i < j} \|\tau_{(ij)} \psi\|^2 \leq gn(n-1) \left[\mu^2 \|\|\nabla \psi\|\|^2 + C_\mu^2 \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right]$$

and

$$q_t(\psi) \geq [a - gn(n-1)\mu^2] \|\|\nabla \psi\|\|^2 - gn(n-1)C_\mu^2 \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

By choosing $\mu = \sqrt{a [gn(n-1)]^{-1}}$,

$$q_t(\psi) \geq [-gn(n-1)C_\mu^2] \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 \equiv m(g, n, a) \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2,$$

allowing to state that, for all $g \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, t is lower semi-bounded. Eventually, given $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, what follows holds.

- $\boxed{g < 0}$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_t(\psi) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_j \|\partial_j \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + |g| \sum_{i < j} \|\tau_{(ij)} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^2 \leq \left(A \equiv \max_j a_j, \quad K = \max_{(ij)} \|\tau_{(ij)}\|^2 \right) \\
&\leq A \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \frac{|g| n(n-1)K}{2} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \left(B \equiv \max \left\{ A, \frac{|g| n(n-1)K}{2} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq (2B) \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

However, by recalling that $a = \min_j a_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 &= \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \frac{1}{a} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \|\partial_i \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right] \leq \\
&\leq \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \frac{1}{a} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \|\partial_i \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - g \sum_{i < j} \|\tau_{(ij)} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^2 \right] \leq \\
&\leq \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \frac{1}{a} q_t(\psi).
\end{aligned}$$

- $\boxed{g > 0}$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_t(\psi) &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \|\partial_j \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - g \sum_{i < j} \|\tau_{(ij)} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^2 \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \|\partial_j \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq A \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 &= \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \|\partial_j \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \equiv \\
&\equiv \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \frac{1}{a} \left[q_t(\psi) + g \sum_{i < j} \|\tau_{(ij)} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^2 \right] \leq \\
&\leq \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \frac{1}{a} q_t(\psi) + g \frac{n(n-1)K}{2a} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

leading to $\left[1 - g \frac{Kn(n-1)}{2a} \right] \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \frac{1}{a} q_t(\psi)$. ■

Remark 4.13. *The foregoing proposition guarantees the existence of a unique self-adjoint operator (H, \mathcal{D}_H) on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, to be understood as the Hamiltonian of the system considered in section 4, whose corresponding sesquilinear form is (t, \mathcal{D}_t) indeed. □*

Appendix 4 - Boundedness Results

Proposition 4.17. Let $F : \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\bar{R}_\nu d\bar{x}_2) \mapsto F\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dR_\sigma d\bar{x}_{\nu_2})$ be the linear operator defined via the position

$$[F\psi](R_\sigma, \bar{x}_{\nu_2}) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\bar{R}'_\nu d\bar{x}'_2 \left[G_z^{(3)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1} (R_\sigma - \bar{R}'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu) \right) \right. \\ \left. \psi(\bar{R}'_\nu, \bar{x}'_2) \right]$$

with $z < 0$, $m_1, m_2, m_{\nu_2} \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then, F is bounded.

Proof. The Schur test will be employed.

$$G_z^{(3)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1} (R_\sigma - \bar{R}'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu) \right) = \\ = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{2m_1(R_\sigma - \bar{R}'_\nu)^2 + 2m_2(R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2)^2 + 2m_{\nu_2}(\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu)^2}{4t} + zt} \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq (m \equiv \min(m_1, m_2, m_{\nu_2})) \leq \\ \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{2m(R_\sigma - \bar{R}'_\nu)^2 + 2m(R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2)^2 + 2m(\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu)^2}{4t} + zt} \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \equiv \\ \equiv \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{(x-x')^2 + (x-y')^2 + (y-x')^2}{4t} + zt} \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \equiv K(x, y; x', y'),$$

by having set

$$\begin{cases} x &= \sqrt{2m} R_\sigma \\ x' &= \sqrt{2m} \bar{R}'_\nu \\ y' &= \sqrt{2m} \bar{x}'_2 \\ y &= \sqrt{2m} \bar{x}_{\nu_2} \end{cases}.$$

Trivially, $K(x, y; x', y') = K(x', y'; x, y)$. On the other hand, set $\alpha \equiv \sqrt{|z|}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x, y; x', y') dx' dy' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{-\alpha \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-x')^2 + (x-y')^2}}}{4\pi \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-x')^2 + (x-y')^2}} dx' dy',$$

i.e. it does not exist whenever $x = y = x' = y'$. Since $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x = y\}$ is a set of $\lambda^{(2)}$ -measure zero, $x \neq y$ will be assumed. The coordinate transformation

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x}' = x' - \frac{x+y}{2} \\ \bar{y}' = \frac{y'-x}{\sqrt{2}} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x' = \bar{x}' + \frac{x+y}{2} \\ y' = \sqrt{2}\bar{y}' + x \end{cases},$$

that gives $dx' dy' = \sqrt{2} d\bar{x}' d\bar{y}'$ and $\sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-x')^2 + (x-y')^2} = \sqrt{2 \left[\bar{x}'^2 + \bar{y}'^2 + \frac{(y-x)^2}{4} \right]}$, allows for

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| K(x, y; x', y') \right| dx' dy' &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{2}\alpha\sqrt{\bar{x}'^2 + \bar{y}'^2 + \frac{(y-x)^2}{4}}}}{\sqrt{\bar{x}'^2 + \bar{y}'^2 + \frac{(y-x)^2}{4}}} \frac{d\bar{x}' d\bar{y}'}{4\pi} = (\text{by integrating in polar coordinates}) = \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-\alpha\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\rho^2 + \frac{(y-x)^2}{4}}}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \frac{(y-x)^2}{4}}} \rho d\rho d\theta \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\alpha\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\rho^2 + \frac{(y-x)^2}{4}}}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \frac{(y-x)^2}{4}}} \rho d\rho < \\
&< \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha\sqrt{2}\rho} d\rho = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}|z|}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the end, $\|F\| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}|z|}$. ■

Proposition 4.18. *Let $B : \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, d\bar{R}_\nu d\bar{x}_1 d\bar{x}_2) \mapsto B\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dR_\sigma d\bar{x}_{\nu_1} d\bar{x}_{\nu_2})$ be the linear operator defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
[B\varphi](R_\sigma, \bar{x}_{\nu_1}, \bar{x}_{\nu_2}) &= \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\bar{R}'_\nu d\bar{x}'_1 d\bar{x}'_2 \left[G_z^{(4)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1}(R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_1), \sqrt{2m_2}(R_\sigma - \bar{x}'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_1}}(\bar{x}_{\nu_1} - \bar{R}'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}}(\bar{x}_{\nu_2} - \bar{R}'_\nu) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \varphi(\bar{R}'_\nu, \bar{x}'_1, \bar{x}'_2) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for all $z < 0$, $m_1, m_2, m_{\nu_1}, m_{\nu_2} \in \mathbb{R}^+$. B is a bounded operator.

Proof. By proceeding as in proposition 4.17, it does not harm generality focusing on

$$K(x, y, w; x', y', w') = G_z^{(4)}(x - x', y - x', w - y', w - w').$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx' dy' dw' \left| G_z^{(4)}(x - x', y - x', w - y', w - w') \right| = \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx' dy' dw' \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x - x')^2 + (y - x')^2 + (w - y')^2 + (w - w')^2}{4t} + zt \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By considering the coordinate transformation

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x}' &= x' - \frac{x+y}{2} \\ \bar{y}' &= \frac{y'-w}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \bar{w}' &= \frac{w'-w}{\sqrt{2}} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x' &= \bar{x}' + \frac{x+y}{2} \\ y' &= \sqrt{2}\bar{y}' + w \\ w' &= \sqrt{2}\bar{w}' + w \end{cases},$$

$$dx' dy' dz' = 2d\bar{x}' d\bar{y}' d\bar{z}' \text{ and } (x - x')^2 + (y - x')^2 + (w - y')^2 + (w - w')^2 = 2 \left[\bar{x}'^2 + \bar{y}'^2 + \bar{w}'^2 + \frac{(x-y)^2}{4} \right],$$

what follows holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx' dy' dw' \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{(4\pi t)^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-x')^2 + (y-x')^2 + (w-y')^2 + (w-w')^2}{4t} + zt \right\} = \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\bar{x}' d\bar{y}' d\bar{w}' \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{8\pi^2 t^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\bar{x}'^2 + \bar{y}'^2 + \bar{w}'^2 + \frac{(y-x)^2}{4}}{2t} + zt \right\} = \\
& = \int_0^\infty \rho^2 d\rho \int_0^\pi \sin \theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{8\pi^2 t^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\rho^2}{2t} \right\} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(y-x)^2}{8t} \right\} \exp \{zt\} \leq \\
& \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2\pi t^2} e^{zt} \left[\int_0^\infty d\rho \rho^2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\rho^2}{2t} \right\} \right] = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2\pi} \frac{e^{zt}}{t^2} (2t)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2|z|}} < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

The Schur test then gives $\|B\| \leq \left(2\sqrt{2|z|}\right)^{-1}$. ■

Appendix 5 - (H, \mathcal{D}_H) is the unique self-adjoint extension of $(H_0, \ker \tau)$

Lemma 4.19. *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let (A, \mathcal{D}_A) be a closed operator. For all $z \in \rho(A)$, $R_A(z) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_A, \|\cdot\|_A)$ is a bounded operator.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_A(z)\psi\|_A^2 &= \langle R_A(z)\psi, R_A(z)\psi \rangle_A = \langle R_A(z)\psi, R_A(z)\psi \rangle + \langle AR_A(z)\psi, AR_A(z)\psi \rangle = \\ &= \langle R_A(z)\psi, R_A(z)\psi \rangle + \langle (A - z + z)R_A(z)\psi, (A - z + z)R_A(z)\psi \rangle = \\ &= (1 + |z|^2) \|R_A(z)\psi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2 + 2\Re(z\langle \psi, R_A(z)\psi \rangle) \leq \\ &\leq (1 + |z|^2) \|R_A(z)\psi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2 + |z| \left[\|\psi\|^2 + \|R_A(z)\psi\|^2 \right] \leq C_A(z) \|\psi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 4.20. *Given $n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq 2$, let (H_0, \mathcal{D}_{H_0}) be the free Hamiltonian on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. τ_0 is H_0 -bounded.*

Proof. Showing the statement amounts in proving that $\tau_0 : (\mathcal{D}_{H_0}, \|\cdot\|_{H_0}) \rightarrow (L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{L^2})$ is continuous. First of all, it is observed that $\mathcal{D}_{H_0} = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathcal{D}_{\tau_0}$. Then, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{H_0}$,

$$\|\tau_0\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 = \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \vec{\nabla}\psi \right\|_{L^2}^2.$$

In particular,

$$\left\| \vec{\nabla}\psi \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\vec{\nabla}\psi} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\psi = (H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\psi} \Delta\psi \equiv C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\psi} H_0\psi = C \langle \psi, H_0\psi \rangle.$$

Since (H_0, \mathcal{D}_{H_0}) is a positive operator,

$$\langle \psi, H_0\psi \rangle \leq \|\psi\| \|H_0\psi\| \leq \|\psi\|^2 + \|H_0\psi\|^2,$$

therefore

$$\|\tau_0\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq K \|\psi\|_{H_0}^2.$$

■

Corollary 4.20.1. *For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z \in \rho(H_0)$, the linear map*

$$G_\sigma(z) \doteq \tau_\sigma R_{H_0}(z) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \rightarrow \chi_\sigma^{(red)}$$

is bounded. Analogously, for all $\nu \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$\tau_\nu G_\sigma^*(z) : \chi_\sigma^{(red)} \rightarrow \chi_\nu^{(red)}$$

is bounded.

■

Lemma 4.21. *For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z < 0$, $S^\sigma(z) = v(\cdot) G_\sigma(z) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \chi_\sigma)$.*

Proof. Given arbitrarily $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[T_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \psi \right] (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) &= v(r_\sigma) (2\mu_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{(2\mu_\sigma)(z-Q_\sigma)}^{(1)}(-r'_\sigma) \psi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) dr'_\sigma = \\ &= v(r_\sigma) \left[(2\mu_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{(2\mu_\sigma)(z-Q_\sigma)}^{(1)}(r_\sigma - r'_\sigma) \psi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) dr'_\sigma \right]_{r_\sigma=0} = v(r_\sigma) \left\{ \tau_0 \left[R_{\tilde{H}_0^\sigma}(z) \psi \right] \right\} (\underline{P}_\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{H}_0^\sigma = -\frac{1}{2\mu_\sigma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\sigma^2} + Q_\sigma \mathbf{1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[T_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \psi \right] (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) &= v(r_\sigma) \left\{ \tau_0 \left[\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \right) R_{H_0^\sigma}(z) \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1} \right) \psi \right] \right\} (\underline{P}_\sigma) = \\ &= v(r_\sigma) \left[\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(z) \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1} \right) \psi \right] (\underline{P}_\sigma) \equiv \left[\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \right) v(\cdot) \tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(z) \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1} \right) \right] (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

hence, by definition, $T_0^\sigma(z) = v(\cdot) \tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(z)$. In the end

$$S^\sigma(z) = T_0^\sigma(z) U_\sigma = v(\cdot) \tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(z) U_\sigma = v(\cdot) \tau_0 U_\sigma R_{H_0}(z) \equiv v(\cdot) G_\sigma(z),$$

i.e., for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \cdots dx_n)$,

$$\left[S^\sigma(z) \psi \right] (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_{\sigma_1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{\sigma_2}, \dots, x_n) = v(r_\sigma) \left[G_\sigma(z) \psi \right] (R_\sigma, x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_{\sigma_1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{\sigma_2}, \dots, x_n). \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 4.22. For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z < 0$, $\phi_0^\sigma(z) = \langle v_\sigma, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes gD^{(\sigma)}(z)$, where

$$D^{(\sigma)}(z) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2}} \right) \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sqrt{Q_\sigma - z}} \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \in \mathcal{B} \left(\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})} \right).$$

Proof. Arbitrarily given $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z < 0$, for all $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$,

$$\left[\phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \varphi \right] (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) = g \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2}} \right) v(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v(r'_\sigma) \varphi(r'_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma)}{\sqrt{Q_\sigma - z}} dr'_\sigma.$$

In particular, if $\varphi \equiv \alpha \otimes \xi$, $\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma)$, $\xi \in \tilde{\chi}_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\phi_{0, \underline{P}_\sigma}^\sigma(z) \varphi \right] (r_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) &= \left[v(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(r'_\sigma) \alpha(r'_\sigma) dr'_\sigma \right] g \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2}} \right) \frac{\xi(\underline{P}_\sigma)}{\sqrt{Q_\sigma - z}} \equiv \\ &= \left[\langle v_\sigma, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes g \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2}} \right) \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sqrt{Q_\sigma - z}} \right] \alpha \otimes \xi, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, by definition, $\phi_0^\sigma(z) = \langle v_\sigma, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes gD^{(\sigma)}(z)$. As a consequence,

$$\left[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{diag}} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} = \mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma} - \phi_0^\sigma(z) \equiv \mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma} - \langle v_\sigma, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes gD^{(\sigma)}(z)$$

and, by direct inspection,

$$\left[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} = \mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma} + \langle v_\sigma, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes \left\{ gD^{(\sigma)}(z) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} - gD^{(\sigma)}(z) \right]^{-1} \right\}.$$

where the operator inversion in curly brackets is understood in $\mathcal{B} \left(\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})} \right)$. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.23. For all $\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I}$, $z < 0$, $\left[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} = \langle v_\nu, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes [-g\tau_\sigma G_\nu^*(\bar{z})]$.

Proof. To simplify the notation, it will not harm generality assuming $\sigma = (12)$. The case $\nu = (1\nu_2)$, $3 \leq \nu_2 \leq n$ is considered first. It is then recalled that

$$\left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_2) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^- \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2}) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$$

where, for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_2) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \psi \right\} (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_{\nu_2}, \underline{P}_\nu) = \\ & = -g\sqrt{2m_1 2m_2 2m_{\nu_2}} v(r_\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_2 G_{(z-Q_\nu)}^{(3)}(X_{\sigma, \nu, 0}) v(r'_\nu) \psi(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2, \underline{P}_\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Should $\psi \equiv \alpha \otimes \delta$, where $\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma)$, $\delta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2}) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$, it would be

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \alpha \otimes \delta \right\} (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_{\nu_2}, \underline{P}_\nu) = \\ & = \langle v_\nu, \alpha \rangle v_\sigma(r_\sigma) \left\{ -g \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dR'_\nu dx'_2 \left[\sqrt{2m_1 2m_2 2m_{\nu_2}} G_{(z-Q_\nu)}(X_{\sigma, \nu, 0}) \delta(R'_\nu, x'_2, \underline{P}_\nu) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the integral in curly brackets, set

$$\tilde{H}_0^\nu = -\frac{1}{2\mu_\nu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\nu^2} - \frac{1}{2M_\nu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R_\nu^2} - \frac{1}{2m_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + Q_\nu \mathbb{1},$$

for all $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, dR_\nu dx_2 d\underline{P}_\nu)$, $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_2 d\underline{P}_\nu)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi, \left[\tau_0 R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu}(z - Q_\nu) \right] \psi \rangle = \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \bar{\varphi}(R_\nu, x_2, \underline{P}_\nu) \left[\tau_0 R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu}(z - Q_\nu) \psi \right] (R_\nu, x_2, \underline{P}_\nu) dR_\nu dx_2 d\underline{P}_\nu = \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \bar{\varphi}(R_\nu, x_2, \underline{P}_\nu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu}(z - Q_\nu)(r'_\nu, R_\nu - R'_\nu, x_2 - x'_2) \psi(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_2, \underline{P}_\nu) dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_2 dR_\nu dx_2 d\underline{P}_\nu = \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu}(z - Q_\nu)(r_\nu, R_\nu - R'_\nu, x_2 - x'_2)} \varphi(R'_\nu, x'_2, \underline{P}_\nu) dR'_\nu dx'_2 \right] \psi(r_\nu, R_\nu, x_2, \underline{P}_\nu) dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_2 d\underline{P}_\nu = \\ & = \left\langle \left[\tau_0 R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu}(z - Q_\nu) \right]^* \varphi, \psi \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\left\{ \left[\tau_0 R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu}(z - Q_\nu) \right]^* \varphi \right\} (r_\nu, R_\nu, x_2, \underline{P}_\nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu}(z - Q_\nu)(r_\nu, R_\nu - R'_\nu, x_2 - x'_2)} \varphi(R'_\nu, x'_2, \underline{P}_\nu) dR'_\nu dx'_2.$$

The coordinate transformation

$$\vec{g} : (x_1, x_2, x_{\nu_2}, \underline{P}_\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^n \longmapsto \vec{g}(x_1, x_2, x_{\nu_2}, \underline{P}_\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where

$$\vec{g}(x_1, x_2, x_{\nu_2}, \underline{P}_\nu) = \begin{cases} R_\nu & = \frac{m_1 x_1 + m_{\nu_2} x_{\nu_2}}{m_1 + m_{\nu_2}} \\ r_\nu & = x_{\nu_2} - x_1 \\ x_2 & \equiv x_2 \\ \underline{P}_\nu & \equiv \underline{P}_\nu \end{cases},$$

is then considered. Let $\overline{U}_\nu : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 dx_2 dx_{\nu_2} d\underline{P}_\nu) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dr_\nu dR_\nu dx_2 d\underline{P}_\nu)$ be the unitary operator implementing such a coordinate transformation. By defining \overline{U}_σ analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \tau_0 \overline{U}_\sigma \overline{U}_\nu^{-1} \left[\tau_0 R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu} (z - Q_\nu) \right]^* \varphi \right\} (R_\sigma, x_{\nu_2}, \underline{P}_\nu) = \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[\sqrt{2m_1 2m_2 2m_{\nu_2}} G_{(z-Q_\nu)}^{(3)} \left(\sqrt{2m_1} (R_\sigma - R'_\nu), \sqrt{2m_2} (R_\sigma - x'_2), \sqrt{2m_{\nu_2}} (x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu) \right) \right] \varphi (R'_\nu, x'_2, \underline{P}_\nu) dR'_\nu dx'_2 \equiv \\ & = \left\{ \left[\mathbb{1}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_2})} \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\nu} \right] \tau_\sigma G_\nu^* (\bar{z}) \right\} (R_\sigma, x_{\nu_2}, \underline{P}_\nu). \end{aligned}$$

By recalling the definition of $[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu}$ and collecting everything up here,

$$[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} = \langle v_\nu, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes [-g\tau_\sigma G_\nu^* (\bar{z})].$$

The case $\nu = (\nu_1 \nu_2)$, where $3 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 \leq n$ is analogously proved. The operator of interest is

$$[\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, dx_1 dx_2 dr_\nu dR_\nu) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^- \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, dr_\sigma dR_\sigma dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2}) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-,$$

such that for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, dx_1 dx_2 dr_\nu dR_\nu) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_\nu^-$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ [\Lambda_{0, \underline{P}_\nu}(z)_{\text{off}}] \psi \right\} (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, x_{\nu_1}, x_{\nu_2}, \underline{P}_\nu) = \\ & = v(r_\sigma) \left[-g \sqrt{2m_1 2m_2 2m_{\nu_1} 2m_{\nu_2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dr'_\nu dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2 G_{(z-Q_\nu)}^{(4)} (X_{\sigma\nu, 0}) v(r'_\nu) \psi(r'_\nu, R'_\nu, x'_1, x'_2, \underline{P}_\nu) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For all $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, dR_\nu dx_1 dx_2 d\underline{P}_\nu)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left[\tau_0 R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu} (z - Q_\nu) \right]^* \right\} (r_\nu, R_\nu, x_1, x_2, \underline{P}_\nu) = \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu} (z - Q_\nu) (r_\nu, R_\nu - R'_\nu, x_1 - x'_1, x_2 - x'_2)} \varphi (R'_\nu, x'_1, x'_2, \underline{P}_\nu) dR'_\nu dx'_1 dx'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously defined \overline{U}_ν and \overline{U}_σ for the current case, it is possible to pass from

$$2\mu_\nu r_\nu^2 + 2M_\nu (R_\nu - R'_\nu)^2 + 2m_1 (x_1 - x'_1)^2 + 2m_2 (x_2 - x'_2)^2$$

to

$$2m_1 (R_\sigma - x'_1)^2 + 2m_2 (R_\sigma - x'_2)^2 + 2m_{\nu_1} (x_{\nu_1} - R'_\nu)^2 + 2m_{\nu_2} (x_{\nu_2} - R'_\nu)^2$$

by acting with $\tau_0 \overline{U}_\sigma \overline{U}_\nu$ upon $\left[\tau_0 R_{\tilde{H}_0^\nu} (z - Q_\nu) \right]^*$. In the end

$$[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} = \langle v_\nu, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes [-g\tau_\sigma G_\nu^* (\bar{z})].$$

■

Remark 4.14. *The use of complex conjugation, even though irrelevant at the stage of the foregoing proposition, will be clearer in the following.* □

Definition 4.5. For all $z \in \rho(H_0)$, the linear operator

$$G(z) : \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \mapsto G(z) \psi \doteq (G_\sigma(z) \psi)_{\sigma=1}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \in \chi^{(\text{red})} = \bigoplus_{\sigma} \chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$$

is introduced. Analogously,

$$\tau : \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \mapsto \tau \psi \doteq (\tau_\sigma \psi)_{\sigma=1}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \in \chi^{(\text{red})} = \bigoplus_{\sigma} \chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$$

is considered. □

Proposition 4.24. *There exists a linear operator $\Theta(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\chi^{(\text{red})})$, $z < 0$, such that*

$$R_H(z) = R_{H_0}(z) + gG^*(\bar{z})\Theta^{-1}(z)G(z) \quad (19)$$

for all $z < z_0$.

Proof. As long as $z < z_0$, it is first observed that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \Lambda_0(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} = (1 - \delta_{\sigma\nu}) \left[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} \left[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} = \\ & = (1 - \delta_{\sigma\nu}) \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} + \langle v_\sigma, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes gD^{(\sigma)}(z) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} - gD^{(\sigma)}(z) \right]^{-1} \right\} \left\{ \langle v_\nu, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes [-g\tau_\sigma G_\nu^*(z)] \right\} = \\ & = \langle v_\nu, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes (1 - \delta_{\sigma\nu}) \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} + gD^{(\sigma)}(z) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} - gD^{(\sigma)}(z) \right]^{-1} \right\} [-g\tau_\sigma G_\nu^*(z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Given $z < 0$, by introducing the linear operators

$$\left[\Theta(z)_{\text{diag}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} = \left[\mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} - gD^{(\sigma)}(z) \right] \delta_{\sigma\nu} \in \mathcal{B}(\chi_\nu^{(\text{red})}, \chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}) \quad (20)$$

$$\left[\Theta(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} = [-g\tau_\sigma G_\nu^*(z)] (1 - \delta_{\sigma\nu}) \in \mathcal{B}(\chi_\nu^{(\text{red})}, \chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}) \quad (21)$$

for all $\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I}$, as long as $z < z_0$, straightforward computations allow to state that

$$\left\{ \left[\Theta(z)_{\text{diag}} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} \right\}^{-1} = \mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} + gD^{(\sigma)}(z) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} - gD^{(\sigma)}(z) \right]^{-1},$$

therefore, by introducing the bounded operators

$$\Theta(z)_{\text{diag}} = (\Theta_{\text{diag}}(z)_{\sigma\nu})_{\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{B}(\chi^{(\text{red})}) \quad (22)$$

$$\Theta(z)_{\text{off}} = (\Theta_{\text{off}}(z)_{\sigma\nu})_{\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{B}(\chi^{(\text{red})}) \quad (23)$$

hence $\Theta(z) \doteq \Theta(z)_{\text{diag}} + \Theta(z)_{\text{off}}$,

$$\left[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \Lambda_0(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} = \langle v_\nu, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \otimes \left[\Theta(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \Theta(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \equiv U_{\sigma\nu} \otimes \left[\Theta(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \Theta(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu}.$$

Further introduced $U \doteq (U_{\sigma\nu})_{\sigma, \nu} \equiv (\langle v_\nu, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma)_{\sigma, \nu} \in \mathcal{B}(\bigoplus_\nu L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\nu))$, denoted by \circ the Hadamard product,

$$\left[\Lambda_0(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \Lambda_0(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} = \left[U \circ \Theta(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \Theta(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} \in \mathcal{B}(\chi). \quad (24)$$

Since

$$\Theta(z)^{-1} = \left[\mathbf{1}_{\chi^{(\text{red})}} + \Theta(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \Theta(z)_{\text{off}} \right]^{-1} \Theta(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1},$$

a direct computation shows that

$$\left\{ \mathbf{1}_\chi + U \circ \left[\Theta(z)_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \Theta(z)_{\text{off}} \right] \right\}^{-1} = \mathbf{1}_\chi - U \circ \left[\Theta(z)^{-1} \Theta(z)_{\text{off}} \right].$$

In the end

$$\left[\Lambda_0(z)^{-1} \right]_{\sigma\nu} = \left[U \circ \Theta(z)^{-1} \right]_{\sigma\nu} + \left\{ \left[\mathbf{1}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, dr_\sigma)} - \langle v_\sigma, \cdot \rangle v_\sigma \right] \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} \right\} \delta_{\sigma\nu}, \quad (25)$$

hence

$$\sum_{\sigma, \nu} S^{(\sigma)}(\bar{z})^* \left[\Lambda_0^{-1}(z) \right]_{\sigma\nu} S^{(\nu)}(z) = \sum_{\sigma, \nu} G_\sigma^*(\bar{z}) \left[\Theta(z)^{-1} \right]_{\sigma\nu} G_\nu(z) \equiv G^*(\bar{z}) \Theta(z)^{-1} G(z). \quad (26)$$

■

Remark 4.15. ([7]) *thm. 2.19 states that, if Θ could be defined for all $z \in \rho(H_0)$ in a such a way that*

1. $\mathcal{D}_{\Theta(z)}$ is independent on z ,
2. $\Theta(z)^* = \Theta(\bar{z})$, for all $z \in \rho(H_0)$,
3. $\Theta(z) = \Theta(w) + g(w-z)G(w)G(\bar{z})^*$, for all $z, w \in \rho(H_0) : z \neq w$,
4. $0 \in \rho(\Theta(z))$, for some $z \in \rho(H_0)$,

(19) would then hold for all $z \in \rho(H) \cap \rho(H_0)$, allowing for (H, \mathcal{D}_H) to be the unique self-adjoint extension of $(H_0, \ker \tau)$. What follows proves that this is the case. \square

Lemma 4.25. For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z \in \rho(H_0)$, $\varphi \in \chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \mathfrak{F} \left[\tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(\bar{z}) \right]^* \varphi \right\} (p_\sigma, P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) &= \mu_\sigma \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \varphi)(P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma)}{p_\sigma^2 + 2\mu_\sigma(Q_\sigma - z)} \\ &\equiv \left\{ \left[\frac{p_\sigma^2}{2\mu_\sigma} + (Q_\sigma - z) \right]^{-1} \hat{\tau}_0^* (\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \varphi) \right\} (p_\sigma, P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For all $\psi \in \chi_\sigma$, $\varphi \in \chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, \left[\tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(\bar{z}) \right] \psi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dR_\sigma d\underline{Y}_\sigma \overline{\varphi}(R_\sigma, \underline{Y}_\sigma) \left\{ \left[\tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(\bar{z}) \right] \psi \right\} (R_\sigma, \underline{Y}_\sigma) = (\text{Plancherel}) \equiv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dP_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \overline{(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \varphi)}(P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) \left\{ \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \left[\tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(\bar{z}) \psi \right] \right\} (P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dP_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \overline{(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \varphi)}(P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) \hat{\tau}_0 \left[\frac{p_\sigma^2}{2\mu_\sigma} + (Q_\sigma - \bar{z}) \right]^{-1} (\mathfrak{F}\psi)(p_\sigma, P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dP_\sigma d\underline{P}_\sigma \overline{(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \varphi)}(P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\mathfrak{F}\psi)(p_\sigma, P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma)}{\frac{p_\sigma^2}{2\mu_\sigma} + (Q_\sigma - \bar{z})} \frac{dp_\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\frac{(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \varphi)(P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma)}{\frac{p_\sigma^2}{2\mu_\sigma} + (Q_\sigma - z)} \right] \right\} (r_\sigma, R_\sigma, \underline{Y}_\sigma) \psi(r_\sigma, R_\sigma, \underline{Y}_\sigma) dr_\sigma dR_\sigma d\underline{Y}_\sigma = \\ &= \langle \left[\tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(\bar{z}) \right]^* \varphi, \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.25.1. For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z < 0$, $D^{(\sigma)}(z) = \tau_\sigma G_\sigma^*(\bar{z})$.

Proof. Let $z \in \rho(H_0)$ be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} G_\sigma^*(\bar{z}) &= \left[\tau_\sigma R_{H_0}(\bar{z}) \right]^* = R_{H_0}(\bar{z})^* \tau_\sigma^* = R_{H_0}(z) (\tau_0 U_\sigma)^* = R_{H_0}(z) U_\sigma^* \tau_0^* = \left[U_\sigma R_{H_0}(\bar{z}) \right]^* \tau_0^* = \\ &= \left[R_{H_0^\sigma}(\bar{z}) U_\sigma \right]^* \tau_0^* = U_\sigma^* R_{H_0^\sigma}(z) \tau_0^*. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\tau_\sigma G_\sigma^*(\bar{z}) = \tau_0 U_\sigma U_\sigma^* R_{H_0^\sigma}(z) \tau_0^* = \tau_0 R_{H_0^\sigma}(z) \tau_0^* = \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma}^{-1} \left\{ \hat{\tau}_0 \left[\frac{p_\sigma^2}{2\mu_\sigma} + (Q_\sigma - z) \right]^{-1} \hat{\tau}_0^* \right\} \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma},$$

hence, for all $\varphi \in \chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \hat{\tau}_0 \left[\frac{p_\sigma^2}{2\mu_\sigma} + (Q_\sigma - z) \right]^{-1} \hat{\tau}_0^* (\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \varphi) \right\} (P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma) &= \frac{\mu_\sigma}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \varphi)(P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma)}{\frac{p_\sigma^2}{2\mu_\sigma} + 2\mu_\sigma(Q_\sigma - z)} dp_\sigma = \\ &= \left\{ \left[\sqrt{\frac{\mu_\sigma}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\sigma - z}} \right] (\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{Y}_\sigma} \varphi) \right\} (P_\sigma, \underline{P}_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

■

Proposition 4.26. (H, \mathcal{D}_H) is the unique self-adjoint extension of $(H_0, \ker \tau)$.

Proof. By having established that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Theta(z)_{\text{diag}} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} &= \mathbb{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{(\text{red})}} - g\tau_\sigma G_\sigma^*(\bar{z}) \\ \left[\Theta(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu} &= -g\tau_\sigma G_\nu^*(\bar{z}) \end{aligned}$$

for $z < 0$, the first resolvent formula allows to analytically extend these equalities to $\rho(H_0)$ entirely, for all $\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I}$. The domain of the operators is independent on z and, as long as $z < z_0$, $\Theta(z)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(\chi^{(\text{red})})$. Then,

1. for all $z \in \rho(H_0)$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Theta(z)_{(\text{diag})} \right]_{\sigma\sigma}^* &= \mathbb{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{\text{red}}} - g \left[\tau_\sigma G_\sigma^*(\bar{z}) \right]^* = \mathbb{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{\text{red}}} - g\tau_\sigma R_{H_0}(\bar{z}) \tau_\sigma^* = \mathbb{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{\text{red}}} - g\tau_\sigma \left[R_{H_0}(z)^* \tau_\sigma^* \right]^* = \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{\chi_\sigma^{\text{red}}} - g\tau_\sigma G_\sigma^*(z) = \left[\Theta(\bar{z})_{\text{diag}} \right]_{\sigma\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

2. for all $z \in \rho(H_0)$, $\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I} : \sigma \neq \nu$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Theta(z)_{\text{off}} \right]_{\sigma\nu}^* &= -gG_\nu(\bar{z}) \tau_\sigma^* = -g\tau_\nu R_{H_0}(\bar{z}) \tau_\sigma^* = -g\tau_\nu \left[R_{H_0}(z)^* \tau_\sigma^* \right]^* = -g\tau_\nu G_\sigma^*(z) = \\ &= \left[\Theta(\bar{z})_{\text{off}} \right]_{\nu\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, given $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$ arbitrary, let $z, w \in \rho(H_0)$ be such that $z \neq w$. The first resolvent formula allows to prove that

$$G_\sigma^*(w) - G_\sigma^*(z) = (\bar{w} - \bar{z}) R_{H_0}(\bar{w}) R_{H_0}(\bar{z}) \tau_\sigma^* \equiv (\bar{w} - \bar{z}) R_{H_0}(\bar{w}) G_\sigma^*(z).$$

Then,

1. for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$, $z, w \in \rho(H_0)$ as above

$$\left[\Theta(z)_{\text{diag}} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} - \left[\Theta(w)_{\text{diag}} \right]_{\sigma\sigma} = g\tau_\sigma \left[G_\sigma^*(\bar{w}) - G_\sigma^*(\bar{z}) \right] \equiv g(w - z) G_\sigma(w) G_\sigma^*(\bar{z}).$$

2. for all $\sigma, \nu \in \mathcal{I} : \sigma \neq \nu, z, w \in \rho(H_0)$ as above

$$[\Theta(z)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} - [\Theta(w)_{\text{off}}]_{\sigma\nu} = g\tau_\sigma [G_\nu^*(\bar{w}) - G_\nu^*(\bar{z})] = g(w-z)G_\sigma(w)G_\nu^*(\bar{z}).$$

The statement then follows from remark 4.15. ■

Lemma 4.27. *Under the foregoing hypothesis, fixed $z \in \rho(H_0) \cap \rho(H)$*

$$\mathcal{D}_H = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \exists! \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{H_0} : \psi = \varphi + gG(\bar{z})^* \Theta(z)^{-1} \tau\varphi \right\}.$$

Proof. It is well known that $\mathcal{D}_H = \text{Ran } R_H(z)$ for all $z \in \rho(H)$, independently of z . Infact, considered $z_1 \in \rho(H)$, let

$$\mathcal{D}_H^{(z_1)} = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \psi = R_H(z_1)\varphi, \varphi \in \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

be. Let then $z_2 \in \rho(H)$ be such that $|z_1 - z_2| \leq \|R_H(z_2)\|^{-1}$ and let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_H^{(z_1)}$ be arbitrary. There exists a unique $\varphi_{z_1} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\psi = R_H(z_1)\varphi_{z_1}$. However, the Neumann expansion formula gives

$$\psi = R_H(z_1)\varphi_{z_1} = R_H(z_2) \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (z_1 - z_2)^n R_H(z_2)^n \varphi_{z_1} \right] \equiv R_H(z_2)\varphi_{z_2},$$

i.e. $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_H^{(z_2)}$, hence $\mathcal{D}_H^{(z_1)} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_H^{(z_2)}$. The roles of z_1, z_2 are nevertheless switchable, therefore $\mathcal{D}_H^{(z_1)} = \mathcal{D}_H^{(z_2)}$, proving the independence of \mathcal{D}_H on $z \in \rho(H)$. The result then follows by arbitrarily fixing $z \in \rho(H) \cap \rho(H_0)$ and by observing that, given $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_H$ generic, $\varphi = R_{H_0}(z)(H-z)\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{H_0}$. ■

References

- [1] D. Buchholz, H. Grundling - The Resolvent Algebra: A New Approach to Canonical Quantum Systems, *Jour. Func. An.* 254, 2725-2779 (2008);
- [2] D. Buchholz - The resolvent algebra of non-relativistic Bose fields: Observables, Dynamics and States, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 363, 949-981 (2018);
- [3] D. Buchholz - The Resolvent Algebra for Oscillating Lattice Systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 353, 691-716 (2017);
- [4] P. Blanchard, J. Fröhlich Eds. - The Message of Quantum Science, chap. 2, 2015;
- [5] M. Griesemer, M. Hofacker, U. Linden - From Short-Range to Contact Interactions in the 1d Bose Gas, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* (2020) 23: 19.
- [6] A. Moscato - One Dimensional Point Interactions and the Resolvent Algebra_Simple Remarks, [arXiv:2401.09357](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.09357);
- [7] C. Cacciapuoti, D. Fermi, A. Posilicano - On the Inverses of Krein's Q-functions, *Rend. Mat. Appl.* (7). Volume 39 (2018), 229 - 240;