

# PB-Groupoids vs VB-Groupoids

Francesco Cattafi <sup>\*</sup>

Alfonso Garmendia <sup>†‡</sup>

June 11, 2024

## Abstract

In this paper we introduce the notion of PB-groupoid with a structural Lie 2-groupoid, and extend the classical correspondence between vector bundles and principal bundles to VB-groupoids and PB-groupoids.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Background on 2-objects</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	VB-groupoids . . . . .	6
2.2	2-vector bundles . . . . .	9
2.3	Lie 2-groupoids . . . . .	11
<b>3</b>	<b>From PB-groupoids to VB-groupoids</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	2-Actions . . . . .	14
3.2	2-Representations . . . . .	17
3.3	Principal 2-actions . . . . .	19
3.4	PB-groupoids . . . . .	20
3.5	First half of the correspondence . . . . .	21
<b>4</b>	<b>From VB-groupoids to PB-groupoids</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1	Frames adapted to a VB-groupoid . . . . .	21
4.2	The groupoid structure of the $s$ -bisection frames . . . . .	24
4.3	Technical lemmas . . . . .	29
4.4	The (set-theoretical) 2-action on the $s$ -bisection frames . . . . .	31

---

<sup>\*</sup>Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Germany. Email: francesco.cattafi@uni-wuerzburg.de

The author was partially supported by the DFG under Walter Benjamin project 460397678 (Germany), and is a member of the GNSAGA - INdAM (Italy).

<sup>†</sup>Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) and Centre de Recerca Matemàtica, Barcelona, Spain. Email: agarmendia@crm.cat

The author was supported by the Spanish State Research Agency MCIU/AEI / 10.13039/501100011033, through the Severo Ochoa and María de Maeztu Program for Centers and Units of Excellence in R&D (project CEX2020-001084-M) and the grant PID2019-103849GB-I00.

<sup>‡</sup>Both authors were also partially supported by the AGAUR project 2021 SGR 00603 Geometry of Manifolds and Applications, GEOMVAP with PI Prof. Eva Miranda.

4.5	The smooth structure of the $s$ -bisection frames . . . . .	34
4.6	Second half of the correspondence . . . . .	35
<b>5</b>	<b>Examples</b>	<b>36</b>
5.1	Special cases of VB-groupoids . . . . .	36
5.2	Tangent VB-groupoids . . . . .	38
5.3	PB-groupoid of the dual VB-groupoid . . . . .	40
	<b>References</b>	<b>42</b>

## 1 Introduction

In differential geometry, the notions of principal bundles and vector bundles over a smooth manifold  $M$  are intimately related by the standard correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Principal bundles over } M \\ \text{with structural Lie group } \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{R}) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Vector bundles over } M \\ \text{of rank } k \end{array} \right\}.$$

The overall goal of this paper is to (make sense and) generalise the correspondence above to “principal bundle objects” and “vector bundle objects” over a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , namely

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{PB-groupoids over } \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M \\ \text{with structural Lie 2-groupoid } \mathrm{GL}(l, k) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{VB-groupoids over } \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M \\ \text{of rank } (l, k) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let us first quickly recall the main objects involved in the classical correspondence. Given a manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n$ , a frame at  $x \in M$  is a linear isomorphism  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T_x M$ . The set of frames of  $M$  at all points, denoted by  $\mathrm{Fr}(M)$  or  $\mathrm{Fr}(TM)$ , is a smooth manifold of dimension  $n + n^2$ , and is moreover a principal  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle over  $M$ , with the right action given by the composition between frames and matrices. More generally, given any vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  of rank  $k$ , its **frame bundle** is the set

$$\mathrm{Fr}(E) := \{\mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow E_x \text{ linear isomorphism, } x \in M\}.$$

It is a smooth manifold of dimension  $n + k^2$  and a principal  $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle over  $M$ . The case  $E = TM$  (where  $k = n$ ) recovers  $\mathrm{Fr}(M)$ . In other words, there is a construction

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Vector bundles of rank } k \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Principal } \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})\text{-bundles} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(E \rightarrow M) \mapsto (\mathrm{Fr}(E) \rightarrow M).$$

On the other hand, given a principal  $H$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow M$  and a representation of  $H$  on a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $V$ , the **associated bundle** is

$$P[V] := (P \times V)/H.$$

If  $V$  has dimension  $k$ , then  $P[V]$  is a vector bundle of rank  $k$ . Then we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Principal } G\text{-bundles and } G\text{-representations of dimension } k \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Vector bundles of rank } k \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(P \rightarrow M, V) \mapsto (P[V] \rightarrow M).$$

Taking  $P = \text{Fr}(E)$  and  $V = \mathbb{R}^k$ , for  $k$  the rank of  $E \rightarrow M$ , one has an isomorphism of vector bundles  $P[V] \cong E$ . Conversely, given a principal  $\text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow M$ , one gets an isomorphism of principal bundles  $\text{Fr}(P[\mathbb{R}^k]) \cong P$  using the canonical  $\text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ -representation on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

In order to extend the PB-VB correspondence to the world of Lie groupoids, one needs first of all to understand the objects on both sides. A **VB-groupoid** is a vector bundle object in the category of Lie groupoid, i.e. a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\mathcal{G}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ E_M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

consisting of two vector bundles and two Lie groupoids, such that the various morphisms are compatible with both structures (see Definition 2.1 later on). This notion was introduced in 1988 by Pradines and it is now a standard concept in the theory of Lie groupoids; see e.g. [2, 8, 18] for an overview.

On the other hand, the notion of **PB-groupoid** is not yet well established and the first goal of our paper is to fill this gap. If one tries to mimick the definition of VB-groupoid, i.e. a principal bundle object in the category of Lie groupoids, one encounters a diagram of the kind

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{\mathcal{G}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ P_M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

with a principal action of a group object in the category of Lie groupoids. More precisely, one uses a Lie 2-group  $H_2 \rightrightarrows H_1$  with  $H_2$  acting on  $P_{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $H_1$  acting on  $P_M$  as in the definition of PB-groupoids given in [6]. However, as we will see, this notion is very restrictive when working with the frames of a VB-groupoid. Furthermore, besides standard principal bundles with a structure Lie group, one could also consider principal bundles with structural “higher” objects, e.g. with structural Lie groupoids.

Indeed, in the literature there are several different notions for “higher” principal bundles, which are summarised in [6, section 1.4]:

1. **Principal groupoid bundles** as in [20, §5.7], [22, Definition 2.1], [12, Definition 3.1], [9, §2.2] and [21, Definition 2.2.1], where a Lie groupoid acts freely and properly on a manifold;
2. **Principal bundles over groupoids** as in [16, Definition 2.2] and **PBG-groupoids** as in [17, Definition 2.1], where a Lie group acts freely and properly on a Lie groupoid;
3. **Principal 2-bundles** as in [21, Definition 6.1.5.] with an action of a Lie 2-group on a fibre product; the authors provide also a correspondence between these objects and **bundle gerbes**;
4. **PB-groupoid** as in [6] and **principal 2-bundle over Lie groupoids** as in [4], where a Lie 2-group acts freely and properly on a Lie groupoid. This approach generalises both the objects mentioned in point 2. (since Lie groups are particular cases of Lie 2-groups) and those in point 3. (as proved in [6]).

In this article we extend the definition of **PB-groupoid** to a diagram as above, with a (strict) Lie 2-groupoid acting free and properly on the Lie groupoid  $P_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows P_M$ . This notion not only generalise all the definitions above, but also fit as the natural structural object acting freely and properly on the “adapted frames” of a VB-groupoid.

Indeed, while the construction of the associated vector bundle generalises easily to the PB-groupoid case, that of the frame bundle does not: taking all the possible frames of the vector bundle  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  does not yield a groupoid structure over the frame bundle of  $E_M \rightarrow M$ . Accordingly, one has to restrict to a class of frames of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  which are “adapted” to the VB-groupoid structure, obtaining a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M) & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Moreover,  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  admits a natural (principal) action of the Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$ , where  $l$  is the rank of the core  $C \rightarrow M$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $k$  the rank of the base  $E_M \rightarrow M$ , and defines therefore a PB-groupoid. Here  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$  is a direct generalisation of the group of invertible matrices of a given dimension, which we describe explicitly but can be seen as a particular case of the general linear 2-groupoid from [10].

**Main results and structure of the paper** Section 2 contains a solid background on VB-groupoids and related objects, including (strict) Lie 2-groupoids and (a version of) 2-vector bundles, in order to make this article as self-contained as possible. Indeed, these last two topics are well-known to the experts but we could find no detailed/complete sources in the literature. The most important object of this section, which will play a key role in the next ones, is the general linear 2-groupoid of order  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$  (Definition 2.23).

Our contributions start in section 3. First of all we introduce the notion(s) of 2-representation (Definition 3.8), which, to the best of our knowledge, has not appear previously in the existing literature. Then we provide the first main result of our paper: the general notion of PB-groupoid over a Lie groupoid, namely Definition 3.18. Finally, we describe the associated bundle of a PB-groupoid and a 2-representation, and we prove that it is a VB-groupoid (Theorem 3.22).

Section 4 is devoted to the opposite construction, namely the frame bundle  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  of a VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  (Definition 4.4). The main result here, i.e. the fact that it is a PB-groupoid (Theorem 4.18), is a consequence of the fact that  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M)$  is a set-theoretical groupoid (Theorem 4.7), is endowed with a natural  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$ -action (Theorem 4.14), and is smooth (Theorem 4.16). We conclude with the proof that the constructions in section 3 and 4 are inverse to each other, and therefore yield a bijective correspondence between VB-groupoids and PB-groupoids (Theorem 4.19).

Last, in Section 5 we illustrate our main results by several interesting examples, e.g. applying our main results to the VB-groupoids with trivial core or with trivial base, as well as to various relevant cases of tangent Lie groupoids. Moreover, we discuss the compatibility of our construction with duality: the PB-groupoid associated to the dual  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^*$  of a VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  turns out to be canonically isomorphic to the PB-groupoid associated to  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  (Corollary 5.14).

**Motivations and future applications** On the one hand, this paper belongs to the recent programme of investigating classical objects of differential geometry compatible with a Lie groupoid. Such objects are often known as **multiplicative structures** and include differential forms, vector fields, tensors, connections, etc. [3, 14] In turn, this programme is strictly related to the description of such geometric objects on differentiable stacks, i.e. Morita equivalence classes of Lie groupoids [11]. In particular, the frame bundle of the tangent VB-groupoid  $T\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows TM$  (Example 5.4) represent the first step in this direction. The next one will be to figure out the precise relations between adapted frames and Morita equivalences, in order to obtain a notion of **frame bundle of a differentiable stack**.

On the other hand, an important application of the classical PB-VB correspondence is the possibility to encode geometric structures on the tangent bundle of a manifold (e.g. Riemannian structures, symplectic structures, complex structures, etc.) via reductions of the structure group of its frame bundle, giving rise to the well-known theory of  $G$ -structures [13, 24]. As a future application of the results in our paper, we aim at establishing a theory of **multiplicative  $G$ -structure-like objects on a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$**  in terms of reductions of the structural Lie 2-groupoid of its adapted frame bundle. A crucial task in this problem will involve the generalisation of the classical tautological/soldering form  $\theta \in \Omega^1(\text{Fr}(M), \mathbb{R}^n)$  to the frame bundle of  $T\mathcal{G}$ .

Last, one of the main principle of Lie theory is the strict relation between global and infinitesimal objects, which gives the possibility to transform non-linear problems into linear ones. In particular, it is known that multiplicative structures on Lie groupoids induce (interesting, and possibly easier) **infinitesimally multiplicative structures** on the corresponding Lie algebroids. Accordingly, we plan to adapt our construction of the adapted frame bundle to a VB-algebroid, obtaining what one should call **PB-algebroid**, which we expect to be the infinitesimal counterpart of our PB-groupoids.

**Notations and conventions** All manifolds and maps are smooth, unless explicitly stated otherwise. Principal group(oid) actions are always considered from the right, while representations are considered from the left. The symbol  $\text{GL}(k)$  denotes the group of  $k \times k$  invertible *real* matrices.

In many instances will consider several different groupoid structures, possibly on the same space. For all of them, we use the letters  $s, t$  to denote the source and target, the letter  $m$  and the sign  $\circ$  or  $\circ_m$  to denote the composition, the letter  $u$  for the units or  $u_x$  to denote the unit at a point  $x$  in the base, and the letter  $\tau$  or the symbol  $(-)^{\tau}$  for the inverse and  $g^{\tau}$  the inverse of an arrow  $g$ . We use tilde for the structure maps of VB-groupoids ( $\widetilde{s}, \widetilde{t}, \widetilde{m}$ , etc.) and boldface for the structure maps of PB-groupoids ( $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{m}$ , etc.).

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank Chenchang Zhu, Luca Vitagliano, Alfonso Tortorella, Madeleine Jotz and Ivan Struchiner for their interest in our work and for useful discussions and suggestions at various stages of this project.

## 2 Background on 2-objects

Through this paper we will use, without recalling the basics, the theory of Lie groupoids, Lie algebroids, and principal bundles. For an introduction on these topics we refer to [5, 18, 20].

## 2.1 VB-groupoids

In this section we review some basics on VB-groupoids; for proofs and further details we refer to [2, 8, 18]. The reader familiar with this topic can safely skip this section but should take note of our emphasis on a non-standard terminology, which will be relevant in the later sections: the **rank**  $(l, k)$  of a VB-groupoid consists of the ranks of the core and of the base vector bundles.

**Definition 2.1.** A **VB-groupoid of rank**  $(l, k)$  is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \begin{array}{c} \bar{t} \downarrow \downarrow \bar{s} \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} t \downarrow \downarrow s \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} \\ E_M & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M \end{array}$$

such that

- $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}: E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $l + k$  and  $\pi_M: E_M \rightarrow M$  is a vector bundle of rank  $k$ ;
- $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  and  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  are Lie groupoids;
- all the groupoid structure maps are morphisms of vector bundles. ♦

Equivalent definitions of a VB-groupoid can be found in [8, Section 3.1]. For instance, the third bullet can be replaced by the following three conditions:

- $(\bar{s}, s)$  and  $(\bar{t}, t)$  are morphisms of vector bundles;
- $(\pi_{\mathcal{G}}, \pi_M)$  is a morphism of Lie groupoids;
- the interchange law hold, i.e.

$$\bar{m}(e_1 + e_3, e_2 + e_4) = \bar{m}(e_1, e_2) + \bar{m}(e_3, e_4)$$

for every  $(e_1, e_2), (e_3, e_4) \in E_{\mathcal{G}} \times_s E_{\mathcal{G}}$  such that  $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}(e_1) = \pi_{\mathcal{G}}(e_3)$  and  $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}(e_2) = \pi_{\mathcal{G}}(e_4)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The (right-)core of a VB-groupoid is

$$C := \ker(\bar{s})|_M = \{e \in E_{\mathcal{G}} : x \in M, \pi_{\mathcal{G}}(e) = u_x, \bar{s}(e) = 0\} \subseteq E_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

The **core-anchor**  $\rho$  is the restriction of  $\bar{t}: E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow E_M$  to the core, i.e.  $\rho := \bar{t}|_C: C \rightarrow E_M$ . ♦

The core has a natural structure of vector bundle of rank  $l$  over  $M$ , so that the core-anchor is a morphism of vector bundles over  $\text{id}_M$ . The *left-core* is defined analogously using  $\bar{t}$  instead of  $\bar{s}$ , and it is canonically isomorphic to  $C$ .

**Example 2.3.** Given any Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , the tangent bundles  $T\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  and  $TM \rightarrow M$ , together with the differential of the structure maps of  $\mathcal{G}$ , define a VB-groupoid of rank  $(\dim(\mathcal{G}) - \dim(M), \dim(M))$ , called the **tangent VB-groupoid**:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \begin{array}{c} dt \downarrow \downarrow ds \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} t \downarrow \downarrow s \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} \\ TM & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M \end{array}$$

Its core coincides with the Lie algebroid  $A \rightarrow M$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  and its core-anchor with the anchor  $\rho := dt|_A: A \rightarrow TM$  of  $A$ . ♦

**Example 2.4.** Given any Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  with algebroid  $A \rightarrow M$ , the cotangent bundle  $T^*\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  and the dual bundle  $A^* \rightarrow M$  define a VB-groupoid of rank  $(\dim(M), \dim(\mathcal{G}) - \dim(M))$ , called the **cotangent VB-groupoid**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T\mathcal{G}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\ \tilde{t} \downarrow \downarrow \tilde{s} & & t \downarrow \downarrow s \\ A^* & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

The source and target maps of  $T^*\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows A^*$  are defined, for  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\xi_g \in T_g^*\mathcal{G}$  and  $v_{(-)} \in A_{(-)}$ , as follows:

$$\tilde{s}(\xi_g)(v_{s(g)}) := -\xi_g(d_e L_g(d\tau(v))), \quad \tilde{t}(\xi_g)(v_{t(g)}) := \xi_g(d_e R_g(v)).$$

The multiplication between two elements  $\xi_1 \in T_{g_1}^*\mathcal{G}$  and  $\xi_2 \in T_{g_2}^*\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\tilde{s}(\xi_1) = \tilde{t}(\xi_2)$  is determined, for any composable  $v_1 \in T_{g_1}\mathcal{G}$  and  $v_2 \in T_{g_2}\mathcal{G}$ , by

$$(\xi_1 \circ \xi_2)(dm(v_1, v_2)) := \xi_1(v_1) + \xi_2(v_2).$$

The core of  $T^*\mathcal{G}$  coincides with the cotangent bundle  $T^*M \rightarrow M$  and its core-anchor with the dual map  $\rho^* : T^*M \rightarrow A^*$  of the anchor  $\rho : A \rightarrow TM$ .  $\diamond$

**Definition-Example 2.5.** Given any VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  of rank  $(l, k)$  with core  $C \rightarrow M$ , the dual bundles  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^* \rightrightarrows E_M$  and  $C^* \rightarrow M$  define a VB-groupoid of rank  $(k, l)$ , called the **dual VB-groupoid**:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\mathcal{G}}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\ \tilde{t} \downarrow \downarrow \tilde{s} & & t \downarrow \downarrow s \\ C^* & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

The source and target maps of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^* \rightrightarrows C^*$  are defined, for  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\xi_g \in (E_{\mathcal{G}}^*)_g$  and  $v_{(-)} \in C_{(-)}$ , as follows:

$$\tilde{s}(\xi_g)(v_{s(g)}) := -\xi_g(\tilde{m}(0_g, \tilde{\tau}(v))), \quad \tilde{t}(\xi_g)(v_{t(g)}) := \xi_g(\tilde{m}(v, 0_g)).$$

The multiplication between two elements  $\xi_1 \in (E_{\mathcal{G}}^*)_{g_1}$  and  $\xi_2 \in (E_{\mathcal{G}}^*)_{g_2}$  such that  $\tilde{s}(\xi_1) = \tilde{t}(\xi_2)$  is determined, for any composable  $v_1 \in (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{g_1}$  and  $v_2 \in (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{g_2}$ , by

$$(\xi_1 \circ \xi_2)(\tilde{m}(v_1, v_2)) := \xi_1(v_1) + \xi_2(v_2).$$

The core of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^*$  coincides with the dual vector bundle  $E_M^* \rightarrow M$  and its core-anchor with the dual map  $E_M^* \rightarrow C^*$  of the core-anchor  $C \rightarrow E_M$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ . In particular, the cotangent VB-groupoid of a Lie groupoid (Example 2.4) is the dual VB-groupoid of its tangent VB-groupoid (Example 2.3).  $\blacklozenge$

**Example 2.6.** A **double vector bundle** (DVB) is a commutative diagram of vector bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\pi_B^E} & B \\ \downarrow \pi_A^E & & \downarrow \pi^B \\ A & \xrightarrow{\pi^A} & M \end{array}$$

where all the structure maps (i.e. projection, addition, multiplication by scalars and zero sections) are vector bundle morphisms; see [18, Chapter 9] for further details. Any DVB is a VB-groupoid

with rank  $(\text{rank}(\pi_B^E) - \text{rank}(\pi^A), \text{rank}(\pi^A))$ , since any vector bundle is a bundle of (abelian) Lie groups, i.e. it is a special Lie groupoid with  $s = t$ . Moreover, its core coincides with the DVB core, i.e. the intersection  $C = (\pi^A)^{-1}(0_M) \cap (\pi^B)^{-1}(0_M)$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 2.7** (trivial core). Given a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  and a representation  $E_M \rightarrow M$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ , the action groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \times_M E_M \rightrightarrows E_M$  defines a VB-groupoid of rank  $(0, k)$ , together with the induced vector bundle  $\mathcal{G} \times_M E_M \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} \times_M E_M & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \mathcal{G} \\ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{t} \downarrow \downarrow \tilde{s} \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} t \downarrow \downarrow s \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} \\ E_M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

By construction, the core of  $\mathcal{G} \times_M E_M$  is the zero-vector bundle. Conversely, any VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  with core  $C = 0$  is isomorphic to the action groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \times_M E_M \rightrightarrows E_M$ , for some representation of  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $E_M$ .

Note that, if a tangent VB-groupoid  $T\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows TM$  (Example 2.3) has rank  $(0, k)$ , i.e. the Lie algebroid  $A = \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow M$  is the zero vector bundle, then  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  must be an étale Lie groupoid. In this case,  $T\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{G} \times_M TM$ , for the *canonical* representation of any étale Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  on  $TM$ .  $\diamond$

Note that the dual of a VB-groupoid with trivial core does not have trivial core but has trivial base. This means that such dual is not the VB-groupoid associated to the dual representation  $E_M^*$ . On the other hand, VB-groupoids with trivial base are encoded into representations of their core, as discussed in Example 2.8 below. Applying the general construction from Example 2.5 to Example 2.7 one obtains precisely the VB-groupoid with trivial base associated to the dual representation of  $E^*M$ .

**Example 2.8** (trivial base). Given a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  and a rank  $l$  representation  $C \rightarrow M$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ , one has the following Lie groupoid structure on  $\mathcal{G} \times_M C \rightrightarrows M \times \{0\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}(g, c) &= s(g), & \tilde{t}(g, c) &= t(g), \\ \tilde{m}((g_1, c_1), (g_2, c_2)) &= (g_1 g_2, c_1 + g_2 \cdot c_2). \end{aligned}$$

This defines a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, 0)$ , together with the induced vector bundle  $\mathcal{G} \times_M C \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} \times_M C & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \mathcal{G} \\ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{t} \downarrow \downarrow \tilde{s} \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} t \downarrow \downarrow s \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} \\ M \times \{0\} & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Conversely, any VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M = M \times \{0\}$  with core  $C$  is isomorphic to the Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \times_M C \rightrightarrows M \times \{0\}$  discussed above, for the representation of  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $C$  given by  $g \cdot c = \tilde{m}(0_g, c)$ .

Note that, if a tangent VB-groupoid  $T\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows TM$  (Example 2.3) has rank  $(l, 0)$ , i.e.  $TM \rightarrow M$  is the zero vector bundle, then  $G = \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \{*\}$  must be a Lie group and the core  $C$  its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In this case, the isomorphism  $T\mathcal{G} \cong G \times \mathfrak{g}$  is given by the adjoint representation of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\diamond$



**Example 2.9** (pullback). Given a vector bundle  $\pi : E_M \rightarrow M$  of rank  $k$  and a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , the pullback groupoid of  $\mathcal{G}$  w.r.t.  $\pi$  defines a VB-groupoid of rank  $(k, k)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_M \times_{\pi} \mathcal{G} \times_{s} E_M & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & \mathcal{G} \\ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \bar{t} \\ \downarrow \bar{s} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow t \\ \downarrow s \end{array} \\ E_M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

The core of  $E_M \times_{\pi} \mathcal{G} \times_{s} E_M$  coincides with  $E_M$  and its core-anchor is the identity  $E_M \rightarrow E_M$ .  $\diamond$

The following simple example will be crucial for carrying out our theory; further insight will be provided later.

**Definition-Example 2.10.** Any pair  $(l, k)$  of natural numbers defines a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$ , which we call the **canonical VB-groupoid**  $\mathbb{R}^{(l,k)}$ . Let us use here and for the rest of the article the notation  $\text{GL}(l, k)_0 := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$ , the reasons of which will be clear in Definition 2.23. The groupoid  $\mathbb{R}^{(l,k)}$  is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}_2^{(l,k)} := \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \\ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \bar{t} \\ \downarrow \bar{s} \end{array} & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}_1^{(l,k)} := \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \end{array}$$

Here the rows are the trivial vector bundles of ranks  $l + k$  and  $k$  over the manifold  $\text{GL}(l, k)_0$ . Moreover, the groupoid on the left column is the action groupoid of the following (abelian) action of  $\mathbb{R}^l$  on  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0$ :

$$\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0, \quad (w, v, d) \mapsto (d(w) + v, d).$$

Finally, the groupoid  $\text{GL}(l, k)_0 \rightrightarrows \text{GL}(l, k)_0$  on the right column is identified with the unit groupoid of  $\text{GL}(l, k)_0$ . A VB-groupoid with this last property is actually equivalent to a 2-vector bundle (see Lemma 2.12 below).

The core of  $\mathbb{R}^{(l,k)}$  is the trivial vector bundle of rank  $l$  over  $\text{GL}(l, k)_0$ , and the core-anchor is

$$\mathbb{R}^l \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0, \quad (w, d) \mapsto (d(w), d). \quad \blacklozenge$$

## 2.2 2-vector bundles

In the literature there are various ‘‘higher’’ generalisations of vector bundles, often induced from abstract categorical points of view. With our specific applications in mind, here we consider two notions which we will use in the rest of the paper, and which might have appeared elsewhere under different names.

**Definition 2.11.** A **2-graded vector bundle** is a pair  $(E_1, E_0)$  of vector bundles over the same manifold  $M$ . An **anchored 2-graded vector bundle** (or, shortly, an **anchored 2-vector bundle**) is a 2-graded vector bundle  $(E_1, E_0)$  endowed with a vector bundle map  $\delta : E_1 \rightarrow E_0$  over the identity  $\text{id}_M$ , which we call **anchor**. This is represented by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \longrightarrow & M \\ \delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \\ E_0 & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

and denoted, more compactly, by  $E_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} E_0 \rightarrow M$ .  $\blacklozenge$

Anchored 2-vector bundles generalise the standard notion of *anchored vector bundle*, i.e. a vector bundle  $E_1 \rightarrow M$  together with a vector bundle map  $E_1 \rightarrow TM$ ; in our case,  $TM$  is replaced by an arbitrary vector bundle  $E_0 \rightarrow M$ . From a different point of view, an anchored 2-vector bundle is simply a chain complex  $(E_i, \delta_i)$  of vector bundles over the same base with  $E_i = 0$  for every  $i \neq 0, 1$ .

The reason of the adjective ‘‘anchored’’ comes from the following lemma, which is part of the folklore knowledge in the field; we are adding it here for the sake of self-containment.

**Lemma 2.12** (anchored 2-vector bundles and VB-groupoids over the unit groupoid). *Any anchored 2-vector bundle  $E_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} E_0 \rightarrow M$  induces a VB-groupoid over the unit groupoid  $M \rightrightarrows M$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 \times_M E_0 & \longrightarrow & M \\ \begin{array}{c} \overleftarrow{\tau} \downarrow \overrightarrow{\delta} \\ \downarrow \end{array} & & \downarrow \\ E_0 & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

where  $E_1 \times_M E_0$  is the action groupoid of the following (abelian) groupoid action of  $E_1 \rightarrow M$  on  $E_0 \rightarrow M$ :

$$E_1 \times_M E_0 \rightarrow E_0, \quad (v_1, v_0) \mapsto \delta(v_1) + v_0.$$

Conversely, given a VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  over the unit groupoid  $\mathcal{G} = M \rightrightarrows M$ , then  $E_1 := C$  and  $E_0 := E_M$  define an anchored 2-vector bundle, with anchor map equal to the core-anchor  $\delta := \overleftarrow{\tau}|_{E_1}: E_1 \rightarrow E_0$ .

**Remark 2.13** (2-graded vector bundles and VB-groupoids over the unit groupoid). Note that a 2-graded vector bundle would not be enough to define the VB-groupoid structure described in Lemma 2.12, since one needs the anchor map  $\delta$  in order to define the groupoid structure on  $E_1 \times_M E_0 \rightrightarrows E_0$ . Conversely, any VB-groupoid  $E_M \rightrightarrows E_M$  over the unit groupoid  $M \rightrightarrows M$  induces a 2-vector bundle  $(E_1 := C, E_0 := E_M)$ , where the anchor  $\delta: E_1 \rightarrow E_0$  is the core-anchor  $C \rightarrow E_M$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**Example 2.14** (2-vector spaces). When  $M = \{*\}$ , a 2-graded vector bundle boils down to a 2-graded vector space  $(V_1, V_0)$ . In particular, any pair  $(l, k)$  of natural numbers defines the 2-graded vector bundle  $(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$  over a point.

Notice that there is no canonical anchor  $\delta: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  making  $(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$  into an anchored 2-vector space. Each choice of such an anchor would yield, via Lemma 2.12, a VB-groupoid  $\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^k$  over the trivial unit groupoid  $\{*\} \rightrightarrows \{*\}$ , with core-anchor equal to  $\delta$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**Definition-Example 2.15.** Any pair  $(l, k)$  of natural numbers defines an anchored 2-vector bundle  $E^{(l,k)}$  over  $M = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$ , which we call the **canonical 2-anchored VB**, given by the trivial vector bundles

$$E_1^{(l,k)} = \mathbb{R}^l \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k), \quad E_0^{(l,k)} = \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$$

and the anchor map

$$\delta: E_1^{(l,k)} \rightarrow E_0^{(l,k)}, \quad (v_1, d) \mapsto (d(v_1), d).$$

Applying the correspondence from Lemma 2.12), the canonical 2-anchored VB  $E^{(l,k)}$  can be equivalently described precisely by the canonical VB-groupoid  $\mathbb{R}^{(l,k)}$  from Example 2.10.

More generally, any 2-graded vector bundle  $(E_1, E_0)$  over  $M$  defines an anchored 2-vector bundle  $(E_1 \times_M \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0), E_0 \times_M \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0))$  with anchor given by

$$\delta(v_1, d) = (d(v_1), d).$$

Notice that, for  $M$  a point and  $(E_1, E_0) = (\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$ , we recover precisely the canonical 2-anchored VB  $E^{(l,k)}$  described above.  $\blacklozenge$

**Example 2.16.** Ordinary vector bundles can be viewed as anchored 2-vector bundles in three non-equivalent ways:

- when  $E_0 = M$  (i.e.  $E_0$  has rank 0) and  $\delta$  coincides with the projection  $E_1 \rightarrow M$ ;
- when  $E_1 = M$  (i.e.  $E_1$  has rank 0) and  $\delta: M \rightarrow E_0$  is the zero section;
- when  $E_0 = E_1$  and  $\delta = \text{id}$ .  $\blacklozenge$

### 2.3 Lie 2-groupoids

As for 2-vector bundles, Lie 2-groupoids have several inequivalent definitions in the literature, as discussed e.g. in Remark 2.18 below. Here we follow [10] and [19] and pick the notion which will appear naturally when looking at the frame bundle of a VB-groupoid.

In general, since we will consider different groupoid structures on the same space, we will from now on adopt the notation  $s_{ij}, t_{ij}, m_{ij}, u_{ij}, \tau_{ij}$  for the structure maps of a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_i \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_j$ ; for  $\mathcal{H}_i \rightrightarrows M$  we will use  $s_M, t_M, m_M, u_M, \tau_M$ .

**Definition 2.17.** A **double Lie groupoid** (see [19, Definition 3.1 and 3.2]) is a commutative diagram of Lie groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathcal{H}_1 \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_0 & \rightrightarrows & M \end{array}$$

where the following three conditions are satisfied:

1. all the source and targets maps are Lie groupoid morphisms;
2. the interchange law

$$(g_1 \circ_{m_{20}} g_2) \circ_{m_{21}} (g_3 \circ_{m_{20}} g_4) = (g_1 \circ_{m_{21}} g_3) \circ_{m_{20}} (g_2 \circ_{m_{21}} g_4)$$

holds for all  $g_i \in \mathcal{H}_2$  such that the compositions above make sense;

3. the map  $(s_{21}, s_{20}): \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \times_{s_M \times s_M} \mathcal{H}_0$  is a surjective submersion.

A **Lie 2-groupoid**  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  is a double Lie groupoid where the base groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_0 \rightrightarrows M = \mathcal{H}_0$  is the unit groupoid. In other words it is a commutative diagram of Lie groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathcal{H}_1 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathcal{H}_0 & \end{array}$$

such that conditions 1 and 2 above hold (condition 3 holds automatically, since  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times_{s_M \times s_M} \mathcal{H}_0 \cong \mathcal{H}_1$  and the map  $(s_{21}, s_{20})$  reduces to the surjective submersion  $s_{21}$ ).  $\blacklozenge$

*Remark 2.18* (strict vs weak Lie 2-groupoids). The object we described above is also known as *strict* Lie 2-groupoid, in order to distinguish it from the *weak* Lie 2-groupoids, defined as a simplicial manifolds satisfying a horn-filling condition; see e.g. [25] for the precise definition and further references, and [10, Sections 2-4] for a comparison between the two notions. In this paper we will never use the simplicial definition, and by ‘‘Lie 2-groupoid’’ we will always refer to the stricter notion from 2.17. The same holds for Lie-2-groups, recalled in Definition 2.20 below.  $\diamond$

*Remark 2.19* (2-vector bundles vs Lie 2-groupoids). While every vector bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is automatically a Lie groupoid with  $s = t = \pi$ , a 2-vector bundle  $E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow M$  (Definition 2.11) is not *automatically* a Lie 2-groupoid (Definition 2.17). Indeed, the vector bundles  $E_1 \rightarrow M$  and  $E_0 \rightarrow M$  are Lie groupoids (being bundles of abelian groups), but the vector bundle map  $\delta : E_1 \rightarrow E_0$  is simply a Lie groupoid morphism, i.e. it is not the same thing as a Lie groupoid structure, since we lack a splitting of  $\delta$  to define a groupoid unit. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.12 any 2-vector bundle  $\delta : E_1 \rightarrow E_0$  induces canonically a VB-groupoid  $E_1 \times_M E_0 \rightrightarrows E_0$  over the unit groupoid, which can be therefore seen, in view of Definition 2.17, as a special double Lie groupoid over the unit groupoid, i.e. a special Lie 2-groupoid.  $\diamond$

**Definition 2.20.** A **Lie 2-group** is a Lie 2-groupoid where  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is a singleton; see Brown-Spencer [1] or Garmendia-Zambon [7] for a more explicit definition.

Given any Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  and an element  $d \in \mathcal{H}_0$ , then the pair  $((\mathcal{H}_2)_d, (\mathcal{H}_1)_d)$  of isotropy groups forms a Lie 2-group, called the **isotropy 2-group** at  $d$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**Example 2.21** (Lie groupoids). Ordinary Lie (1-)groupoids can be viewed as Lie 2-groupoids in two non-equivalent ways:

- when  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_1$ ;
- when  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 2.22** (Lie groups). Ordinary Lie (1-)groups can be viewed as Lie 2-groupoids in two non-equivalent ways:

- when  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_1 = \{*\}$ ;
- when  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{*\}$ .

These are of course special instances (for  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{*\}$ ) of, respectively, the two cases from Example 2.21.  $\diamond$

The following example of Lie 2-groupoid will be fundamental in the rest of the paper.

**Definition-Example 2.23.** For any pair  $(l, k)$  of natural numbers the **general linear 2-groupoid** of rank  $(l, k)$ , denoted by  $\text{GL}(l, k)$ , is the Lie 2-groupoid with

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{GL}(l, k)_2 := \left\{ \left( d, \begin{pmatrix} A & JB \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k) \times \text{GL}(l+k) : (I_l + Jd) \in \text{GL}(l) \text{ and } (I_k + dJ) \in \text{GL}(k) \right\} \\ \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \text{GL}(l, k)_1 := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k) \times \text{GL}(l) \times \text{GL}(k), \\ \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \text{GL}(l, k)_0 := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k). \end{array}$$

- The groupoid structure on  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2 \rightrightarrows \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_0$  is the unique one with source and target maps  $t_{20}, s_{20}: \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_0$  given by:

$$((I + dJ)B)^{-1}dA \xleftarrow{t_{20}} \left( d, \begin{pmatrix} A & JB \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right) \xrightarrow{s_{20}} d ,$$

and composition map  $m_{20}: \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2 \times_{s_{20}, t_{20}} \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2$  given by the matrix multiplication:

$$\left( \left( d, \begin{pmatrix} A & JB \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right), \left( t_{20} \left( d, \begin{pmatrix} A & JB \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right), \begin{pmatrix} A' & J'B' \\ 0 & B' \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \xrightarrow{m_{20}} \left( d, \begin{pmatrix} AA' & (AJ'B^{-1} + J)BB' \\ 0 & BB' \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

- The groupoid structure on  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2 \rightrightarrows \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_1$  is the unique one with source and target maps  $t_{21}, s_{21}: \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_1$  given by:

$$(d, A, (I + dJ)B) \xleftarrow{t_{21}} \left( d, \begin{pmatrix} A & JB \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right) \xrightarrow{s_{21}} (d, (I + Jd)^{-1}A, B) ,$$

and composition map  $m_{21}: \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2 \times_{s_{21}, t_{21}} \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2$  given by:

$$\left( \left( d, \begin{pmatrix} A & J(I + dJ')B' \\ 0 & (I + dJ')B' \end{pmatrix} \right), \left( d, \begin{pmatrix} (I + Jd)^{-1}A & J'B' \\ 0 & B' \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \xrightarrow{m_{21}} \left( d, \begin{pmatrix} A & (JdJ' + J + J')B' \\ 0 & B' \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

- The groupoid  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)_1 \rightrightarrows \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_0$  is given by the action groupoid of the canonical left action of  $\mathrm{GL}(l) \times \mathrm{GL}(k)$  on  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)_0$ .

Moreover, notice that the isotropy Lie 2-group  $((\mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2)_d, (\mathrm{GL}(l, k)_1)_d)$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$  at any element  $d \in \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_0$ , as in Definition 2.20, recovers precisely the data of the crossed module  $(K_1, K_0)$  associated to  $d$  defined by Sheng-Zhu [23, Section 3.1], which was introduced to integrate Lie 2-algebras.  $\blacklozenge$

**Definition-Example 2.24.** Given any 2-graded vector bundle  $E = (E_1, E_0)$  over  $X$ , its **general linear 2-groupoid**  $\mathrm{GL}(E)$  is the Lie 2-groupoid defined as follows:

- $\mathrm{GL}(E)_0 = \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_0)$ ;
- an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(E)_1$  with source  $d_x: (E_1)_x \rightarrow (E_0)_x$  and target  $d_y: (E_1)_y \rightarrow (E_0)_y$  is a couple of linear isomorphisms  $A: (E_1)_x \rightarrow (E_1)_y$  and  $B: (E_0)_x \rightarrow (E_0)_y$  such that  $d_y \circ A = B \circ d_x$ ;
- an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(E)_2$  with source  $(d_x, d_y, A, B)$  and target  $(d_x, d_y, A', B')$  is given by a map  $J: (E_0)_x \rightarrow (E_1)_y$  such that  $J \circ d_x = A - A'$  and  $d_y \circ J = B - B'$ .

The structure maps of  $\mathrm{GL}(E)$  are analogous to those of  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$  from Definition 2.23; for more details, see [10, Section 5], where this concept was first introduced. More precisely, in [10, Remark 5.6] this Lie 2-groupoid was denoted by  $\mathrm{GL}'(E)$  in order to distinguish it from a similar notion which allows more general arrows.  $\blacklozenge$

**Example 2.25.** Applying the construction of 2.24 to the canonical 2-graded vector space  $(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$  over  $X = \{*\}$  yields precisely the general Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$  from Example 2.23.

On the other hand, the general linear 2-groupoid of the 2-graded vector bundle underlying the canonical anchored 2-vector bundle  $E^{(l,k)}$  from Example 2.15 is intuitively  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$  “enlarged” by multiplying by a suitable amount of  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$ , more precisely:

- $\mathrm{GL}(E^{(l,k)})_0 = \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_0 \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$ .
- $\mathrm{GL}(E^{(l,k)})_i \cong \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_i \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^k)$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

It is also interesting to consider the case of a 2-graded vector bundle  $E$  where either  $E_0 \rightarrow X$  or  $E_1 \rightarrow X$  has rank 0 (Example 2.16); then  $E$  is an ordinary vector bundle and its general linear 2-groupoid recovers the general linear (1-)groupoid  $\mathrm{GL}(E) \rightrightarrows X$ , called sometimes the gauge or Atiyah groupoid of  $E$ .  $\diamond$

### 3 From PB-groupoids to VB-groupoids

#### 3.1 2-Actions

**Definition 3.1.** A **2-action** of a Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  (Definition 2.17) on a Lie groupoid  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$  consists of

- two smooth maps  $\mu_2: P_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$  and  $\mu_1: P_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$ ;
- a (right) action of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  on  $P_2$  along  $\mu_2$ ;
- a (right) action of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  on  $P_1$  along  $\mu_1$ ;

such that

1. for any  $p \in P_2$  one has  $\mu_2(p) = \mu_1(\mathbf{s}(p)) = \mu_1(\mathbf{t}(p))$ ;
2. the action maps define a Lie groupoid morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_2 \times_{\mu_2 \times_{t_20}} \mathcal{H}_2 & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & P_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \scriptstyle{(\mathbf{t}, t_{21})} \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle{(\mathbf{s}, s_{21})} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \scriptstyle{\mathbf{t}} \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle{\mathbf{s}} \end{array} \\ P_1 \times_{\mu_1 \times_{t_10}} \mathcal{H}_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P_1 \end{array}$$

where on the left column the Lie groupoid structure is given by restricting the product groupoid  $P_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows P_1 \times \mathcal{H}_1$ .  $\diamond$

Note that the submanifold  $P_2 \times_{\mu_2 \times_{t_20}} \mathcal{H}_2 \subseteq P_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2$  defines a subgroupoid of the product groupoid  $P_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows P_1 \times \mathcal{H}_1$  precisely because  $\mu_2$  and  $\mu_1$  satisfy the condition 1.

**Example 3.2** (actions of Lie 2-groupoid on themselves). Any Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1$ , say from the right, with  $\mu_2 = s_{20}$  and  $\mu_1 = s_{10}$ . Indeed, the action is given by the standard Lie groupoid actions of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  on  $\mathcal{H}_2$  along  $\mu_2$  and of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1$  along  $\mu_1$ . Condition 1 of Definition 3.1 is automatically fulfilled by the fact that a Lie 2-groupoid is a commutative diagram, while condition 2 follows from the interchange law.  $\diamond$

**Example 3.3** (actions of Lie groupoids - case 1). If  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_1$  (first case of Example 2.21), then Definition 3.1 boils down to a  $\mathcal{H}_2$ -action  $\Phi$  on  $P_2$  which is completely encoded by the product  $\cdot$  in  $P_2$  and by a map  $\phi: P_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow P_2$  taking values in the isotropy group bundle of  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$ .

Indeed, first of all the  $\mathcal{H}_1$ -action  $\varphi$  is forced to be trivial by the commutativity of the diagram and the fact that  $u_{21} = u_{20}$ :

$$\varphi(p_1, g_1) = \varphi((\mathbf{s}, s_{21})(\mathbf{u}(p_1), u_{21}(g_1))) = \mathbf{s}(\Phi(\mathbf{u}(p_1), u_{20}(g_1))) = \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}(p_1)) = p_1.$$

Moreover,

$$\Phi(p, g) = \Phi((p, u_{20}(\mu_2(p))) \circ (\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{s}(p)), g)) = \Phi(p, u_{20}(\mu_2(p))) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{s}(p)), g) = p \cdot \phi(\mathbf{s}(p), g).$$

where we set  $\phi(p_1, g) := \Phi(\mathbf{u}(p_1), g)$ . Last,  $\phi$  takes values in the isotropy group bundle of  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$  since

$$\mathbf{s}(\phi(p_1, g)) = \varphi((\mathbf{s}, s_{21})(\mathbf{u}(p_1), g)) = \varphi(p_1, s_{21}(g_2)) = \varphi(p_1, t_{21}(g_2)) = \varphi((\mathbf{t}, t_{21})(\mathbf{u}(p_1), g)) = \mathbf{t}(\phi(p_1, g)),$$

where we used the following consequence of  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_1$ :

$$s_{21} = s_{20} = s_{10} \circ s_{21} = t_{10} \circ s_{21} = t_{20} = t_{21}.$$

In particular, if  $P_2 = P_1$  (i.e.  $P$  is the unit groupoid), we recover a *trivial* action of a Lie groupoid on a manifold along  $\mu_2$ : this follows from the fact that the map  $\varphi$  takes values in the isotropy of  $P \rightrightarrows P$ , which is trivial, hence  $\Phi(p, g) = p$  for every  $g \in G$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 3.4** (actions of Lie groupoids - case 2). If  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$  (second case of Example 2.21), then condition 1 in Definition 3.1 becomes trivial while condition 2 implies the following special property: every global bisection  $b$  of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  defines a Lie groupoid automorphism of  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$ , given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &\rightarrow P_2, & p_2 &\mapsto p_2 \cdot b(\mu_2(p_2)), \\ P_1 &\rightarrow P_1, & p_1 &\mapsto p_1 \cdot b(\mu_1(p_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Here with bisection we mean a  $t$ -section  $b$  such that  $s \circ b$  is a diffeomorphism.

In particular, if  $P_2 = P_1$  (i.e.  $P$  is the unit groupoid), Definition 3.1 boils down to an action of a Lie groupoid on a manifold along  $\mu_2 = \mu_1$ , and the automorphism above becomes the standard diffeomorphism  $P \cong P$  associated to any  $\mathcal{H}_2$ -action on  $P$  and any bisection  $b \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 3.5** (actions of Lie groups). If  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1 = G$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{*\}$  (second case of Example 2.22), condition 1 in Definition 3.1 becomes trivial while condition 2 implies the following special property: every element  $g \in G$  determines a Lie groupoid isomorphism of  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$ , simply given by  $(\Phi(\cdot, g), \varphi(\cdot, g))$ .

In particular, if  $P_2 = P_1$  (i.e.  $P$  is the unit groupoid), Definition 3.1 boils down to an action of a Lie group on a manifold, and the isomorphism above becomes the standard diffeomorphism  $P \cong P$  associated to any  $G$ -action on  $P$  and any element  $g \in G$ .

These are of course special instances (for  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{*\}$ ) of the case examined in Example 3.4.  $\diamond$

**Example 3.6** (actions of Lie 2-groups). If  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{*\}$ , i.e.  $(\mathcal{H}_2 = G_2, \mathcal{H}_1 = G_1)$  is a Lie 2-group (Definition 2.20), then Definition 3.1 boils down to an action of a Lie 2-group  $G_2 \rightrightarrows G_1$  on a Lie groupoid, i.e. a  $G_2$ -action on  $P_2$ , a  $G_1$ -action on  $P_1$  such that  $(\Phi, \phi)$  is a Lie groupoid morphism (since condition 1 becomes trivial).  $\diamond$

Given an action of a Lie group  $G$  on a manifold  $M$ , each element  $g \in G$  gives rise to a diffeomorphism  $M \rightarrow M$ . This phenomenon holds also in more generality, with actions of Lie 2-groupoids on Lie groupoids, provided we replace  $g$  with a section and the group  $\text{Diff}(M)$  with a suitable group. This fact is discussed in the proposition below, which will not be used later in the paper.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Given a Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  acting on a Lie groupoid  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$  with moment map  $\mu$ , any bisection  $b: \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  gives rise to a diffeomorphism*

$$\phi_b : P_2 \rightarrow P_2, \quad p \mapsto p \cdot (b(\mu(p))).$$

Moreover,

- $\phi$  is “affine”-morphism between Lie groupoids (see below);
- if  $b$  takes values in the units of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1$ , then  $\phi$  is an actual Lie groupoid morphism.

Here with bisection we mean a  $t_{20}$ -section  $b$  such that  $s_{20} \circ b$  is a diffeomorphism. Moreover, we call a map  $\phi: P_2 \rightarrow Q_2$  between Lie groupoids  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$  and  $Q_2 \rightrightarrows Q_1$  an **affine-morphism** if there is a Lie groupoid morphism  $\varphi_0: P_2 \rightarrow Q_2$  and a  $t$ -section  $\sigma: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$  such that, for any  $p \in P_2$ , the following equation holds:

$$\phi(p) = \varphi_0(p) \circ_{Q_2} \sigma(t(\varphi_0(p))).$$

Affine-morphisms between Lie groupoids should not be confused with the algebraic notion of affine morphism between schemes; the name “affine” here makes reference to affine transformations on a vector space. Indeed, if two vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$  are seen as Lie groupoids over the point  $\{*\}$ , an affine-morphism is an affine transformation of the kind

$$v \mapsto A(v) + w_0,$$

where  $A: V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map and hence a Lie groupoid morphism, and  $* \mapsto w_0 \in W$  is a  $t$ -section.

*Proof.* First notice that  $\phi_b$  is well defined because  $b$  is a  $t_{20}$ -section. It is smooth since it the composition of smooth maps, and its inverse is the smooth map

$$p \mapsto p \cdot \tau(b((s_{20} \circ b)^{-1}(\mu(p)))).$$

Moreover,  $\phi_b$  induces another  $t_{20}$ -section

$$b_1: \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2, \quad g \mapsto u(t(b(g)))$$

which takes values in the units of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_b(p) &= p \cdot b(\mu(p)) \\ &= (p \circ_{P_2} u(s(p))) \cdot (b_1(\mu(p)) \circ_{m_{21}} b(\mu(p))) \\ &= (p \cdot b_1(\mu(p))) \circ_{P_2} (u(s(p)) \cdot b(\mu(p))) \\ &= (\phi_{b_1}(p)) \circ_{P_2} (u(s(p)) \cdot b(\mu(s(p)))) . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sigma: P_1 \rightarrow P_2, \quad p \mapsto \phi_b(u(p)) = u(p) \cdot b(\mu(p))$$



is a  $s$ -section, which can be interpreted as a “translation” between  $\phi_{b_1}$  and the map  $\phi_b$ . We conclude that  $\phi_b$  is an affine morphism.

Last, if  $b$  takes values in  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , viewed as a submanifold of  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , then it is a Lie groupoid morphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_b(\mathfrak{p} \circ_{P_2} \mathfrak{q}) &= (\mathfrak{p} \circ_{P_2} \mathfrak{q}) \cdot b(\mu(\mathfrak{p} \circ_{P_2} \mathfrak{q})) \\ &= (\mathfrak{p} \circ_{P_2} \mathfrak{q}) \cdot (b(\mu(\mathfrak{p})) \circ_{m_2} b(\mu(\mathfrak{q}))) \\ &= \phi_b(\mathfrak{p}) \circ_{P_2} \phi_b(\mathfrak{q}).\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 3.7 actually combines the morphisms associated to any  $t_{20}$ -section discussed in Examples 3.3 and 3.4.

## 3.2 2-Representations

We now define the notions of 2-representation on 2-graded vector bundles  $(E_1, E_0)$  over  $X$  and on anchored 2-vector bundles; as far we are aware, these are not present anywhere in the literature.

In order to compare them, it will be useful to notice that a section  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(E_1, E_0)_0 = \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_0)$  is canonically equivalent to an anchor map  $\delta_\sigma: E_1 \rightarrow E_0$ , via the formula

$$(\delta_\sigma)_x: (E_1)_x \rightarrow (E_0)_x, \quad e \mapsto \sigma(x)(e).$$

**Definition 3.8.** A **2-graded representation of a Lie 2-groupoid**  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  (Definition 2.17) on a 2-graded vector bundle  $(E_1, E_0)$  over  $X$  (Definition 2.11) is a morphism  $\phi$  from the Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_i$  to the general Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathrm{GL}(E_1, E_0)_i$  (Definition 2.24):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{H}_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & \mathrm{GL}(E_1, E_0)_2 \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & \mathrm{GL}(E_1, E_0)_1 \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & \mathrm{GL}(E_1, E_0)_0\end{array}$$

A **2-anchored representation of a Lie 2-groupoid**  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows X$  on an anchored 2-vector bundle  $E_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} E_0 \rightarrow X$  is a morphism  $\phi$  from the Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_i$  to the restriction of the general Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathrm{GL}(E_1, E_0)_i$  to the image of the section  $\sigma$  corresponding to the anchor  $\delta$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{H}_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & \mathrm{GL}(E_1, E_0)_2|_{\sigma(X)} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & \mathrm{GL}(E_1, E_0)_1|_{\sigma(X)} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \sigma(X)\end{array}$$

◆

These two notions can be related by the following example.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $(E_1, E_0)$  be a 2-graded vector bundle over a manifold  $X$ . There are two canonical representations of  $GL(E_1, E_0)$ :

- a 2-graded representation on  $(E_1, E_0)$ , given by the identity morphisms;
- a 2-anchored representation on its associated anchored 2-vector bundle  $E_1 \times_X \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0) \rightarrow E_0 \times_X \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0)$  (see definition 2.15), given by the identity morphisms.

Notice that, while the first claim is trivial, the second one relies on the following fact: there is a canonical Lie 2-groupoid isomorphism between  $GL(E_1, E_0)$  and the restriction of  $GL(E_1 \times_X \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0), E_0 \times_X \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0))$  to  $\sigma(\text{Hom}(E_1, E_0))$ , where

$$\sigma : \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0) \rightarrow GL(E_1 \times_X \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0), E_0 \times_X \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0))_0 = \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0) \times_X \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0)$$

is the section induced by the anchor map  $\delta : E_1 \times_X \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0) \rightarrow E_0 \times_X \text{Hom}(E_1, E_0)$ , i.e. the diagonal of  $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_0)$ .

This example is a direct generalisation of the fact that any vector space  $V$  has a canonical representation of the Lie group  $GL(V)$ , and any vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  has a canonical representation of the Lie groupoid  $GL(E) \rightrightarrows X$ .  $\diamond$

The following proposition generalises the standard characterisation of representations of Lie group(oid)s as linear actions. Notice however that it holds only for representations on anchored 2-vector bundles; for 2-graded vector bundles the only approach is the one described above as morphism in  $GL(E_1, E_0)$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows X$  be a Lie 2-groupoid and  $E \rightrightarrows E_0$  a VB-groupoid over the unit groupoid  $X \rightrightarrows X$ . Recall that, by Lemma 2.12, there is a 2-anchored VB  $(E_1, E_0)$  such that  $E = E_1 \times_X E_0$  and the anchor  $\delta$  of  $(E_1, E_0)$  is the core-anchor of  $E$ . Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between*

- 2-anchored representations  $(\phi_2, \phi_1, \phi_0)$  of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows X$  on  $E_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} E_0 \rightarrow X$ , in the sense of Definition 3.8;
- 2-actions (in the sense of Definition 3.1) of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows X$  on the Lie groupoid  $E \rightrightarrows E_0$  which are linear.

*Proof.* Given  $\phi = (\phi_2, \phi_1, \phi_0)$ , let  $(\hat{\phi}_2, \hat{\phi}_1)$  be the projections of  $\phi_2$  in  $GL(E_1 \times_X E_0)$  and of  $\phi_1$  in  $GL(E_0)$  respectively. Then  $\phi$  defines the linear action of  $\mathcal{H}_2$  on  $E_1 \times_X E_0$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_2 \times_{t_0 \times_X} (E_1 \times_X E_0) &\rightarrow (E_1 \times_X E_0) \\ (g, v) &\mapsto \hat{\phi}_2(g)v, \end{aligned}$$

and another similar action of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  in  $E_0$ , presenting a Lie 2-groupoid action. The opposite direction is analogous but in the backward direction.  $\text{Q.E.D.}$

**Example 3.11** (representations of Lie groupoids - case 1). When  $\mathcal{H}_1 = X$ , so  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{G}$  is a Lie groupoid over  $X$  (first case of Example 2.21), then a 2-anchored representation boils down to an ordinary representation of the Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows X$  on the vector bundle  $E_1 \times_X E_0 \rightarrow X$ , since the representation of the unit groupoid  $X \rightrightarrows X$  on  $E_0 \rightarrow X$  is trivial.

As a special case, when  $\mathcal{H}_1 = X = \{*\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2 = G$  is a Lie group (first case of Example 2.22) then a 2-anchored representation boils down to an ordinary representation of the Lie group  $G$  on the cartesian product  $E_1 \times E_0$  of two vector spaces. In particular, if  $E_1 = 0$ , then the resulting  $G$ -representation on  $E_0$  is trivial by the last case examined in Example 3.3. Similarly, if  $E_0 = 0$ , then the resulting  $G$ -representation on  $E_1$  is trivial as well, this time because  $\mathbf{s}: E_1 \rightarrow E_0$  is the zero map, hence the map  $\phi$  encoding the entire  $G$ -action (as described in in Example 3.3) is trivial.  $\diamond$

**Example 3.12** (representations of Lie groupoids - case 2). When  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{G}$  is a Lie groupoid over  $X$  (second case of Example 2.21), then a 2-representation boils down to a pair of ordinary representation of the Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows X$  on the vector bundles  $E_1 \times_X E_0 \rightarrow X$  and  $E_0 \rightarrow X$ .

As a special case, when  $X = \{*\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1 = G$  is a Lie group (second case of Example 2.22), then a 2-representation boils down to a pair of ordinary representation of the Lie group  $G$ , one on the vector space  $E_0$  and one on the cartesian product  $E_1 \times E_0$ . In particular, if  $E_0 = 0$  we have a single  $G$ -representation on  $E_1$ , and if  $E_1 = 0$  we have two identical  $G$ -representations on  $E_0$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 3.13** (representations of Lie 2-groups). When  $X = \{*\}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1$  is a Lie 2-group, then a 2-representation boils down to an ordinary representation of a Lie 2-group on a pair of vector spaces; see e.g. [23, Theorem 3.5].  $\diamond$

### 3.3 Principal 2-actions

**Definition 3.14.** A **principal 2-action** of a Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  on a Lie groupoid  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$  is a 2-action (Definition 3.1) which is principal, i.e. both the  $\mathcal{H}_2$ -action on  $P_2$  and the  $\mathcal{H}_1$  on  $P_1$  are free and proper.  $\blacklozenge$

From the standard theory of principal bundles, since the  $\mathcal{H}_2$ -action on  $P_2$  is free and proper, its quotient  $M_2 := P_2/\mathcal{H}_2$  is a smooth manifold and the projection  $\pi_2: P_2 \rightarrow M_2$  is a surjective submersion; similarly with  $M_1 := P_1/\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\pi_1: P_1 \rightarrow M_1$ . Moreover, one has the following consequence.

**Proposition 3.15.** Any principal 2-action of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  on  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$  induces a Lie groupoid isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_2 \times_{\mu_2} \times_t \mathcal{H}_2 & \longrightarrow & P_2 \times_{\pi_2} P_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \bar{t} \\ \downarrow \bar{s} \end{array} & & \downarrow \downarrow \\ P_1 \times_{\mu_1} \times_t \mathcal{H}_1 & \longrightarrow & P_1 \times_{\pi_1} P_1 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The second condition of Definition 3.1 implies that  $\Phi: P_2 \times_{\mu_2} \times_t \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow P_2 \times_{\pi_2} P_2$  is a Lie groupoid morphism over  $\phi: P_1 \times_{\mu_1} \times_t \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow P_1 \times_{\pi_1} P_1$ , while the principality of each action is equivalent to  $\Phi$  and  $\phi$  being diffeomorphisms. Q.E.D.

**Proposition 3.16.** Any principal 2-groupoid action of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  on  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$  induces a unique Lie groupoid structure on  $P_2/\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows P_1/\mathcal{H}_1$  such that the quotient map from  $P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1$  to  $P_2/\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows P_1/\mathcal{H}_1$  is a fibration of Lie groupoids.

*Proof.* In [18, Theorem 2.4.6] it is proved that, if  $\mathcal{R} \subset P_2 \times P_2$  is the graph of an equivalent relation  $\sim_2$  in  $P_2$ ,  $R \subset P_1 \times P_1$  is the graph of an equivalence relation  $\sim_1$  in  $P_1$ , and:

1.  $\mathcal{R} \rightrightarrows R$  is a Lie subgroupoid of the cartesian product  $P_2 \times P_2 \rightrightarrows P_1 \times P_1$ ,
2. the map  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow P_2 \times_{s \times_{\text{pr}_1}} R, (p, q) \mapsto (p, s(p), s(q))$  is a surjective submersion,

then there is a unique Lie groupoid structure in  $(P_2 / \sim_2) \rightrightarrows (P_1 / \sim_1)$  with the quotient map being a fibration.

The condition (1) is satisfied by Proposition 3.15. The condition (2) is equivalent to ask for the map  $P_2 \times_{\mu_2} \times_{\mathfrak{t}} \rightarrow P_2 \times_{\mu_1 \circ s} \times_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathcal{H}_1, (p, g) \mapsto (p, s(g))$  to be a surjective submersion. Because this map is clearly a surjective submersion then condition (2) is satisfied. Q.E.D.

**Example 3.17.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  be a Lie 2-groupoid. Its 2-action on itself from Example 3.2 is principal, since the (1-)actions of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  and of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  on themselves are principal. The quotient of both groupoid actions is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , so that the quotient of the principal 2-groupoid action is the unit groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_0 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$ . ◇

### 3.4 PB-groupoids

**Definition 3.18.** A **PB-groupoid** with structural 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \mathfrak{t} \downarrow \downarrow s & & \mathfrak{t} \downarrow \downarrow s \\ P_M & \xrightarrow{\Pi_M} & M \end{array}$$

such that

- $P_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows P_M$  and  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  are Lie groupoids;
- $\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\Pi_M$  are surjective submersions;

together with a principal 2-action (Definition 3.14) of  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  on  $P_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows P_M$  and a Lie groupoid isomorphism  $\varphi$  between  $(P_{\mathcal{G}} / \mathcal{H}_2) \rightrightarrows (P_M / \mathcal{H}_1)$  (see Proposition 3.16) and  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  such that  $\Pi_{\mathcal{G}} = \varphi \circ \text{pr}$ , where  $\text{pr}: P_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow P_{\mathcal{G}} / \mathcal{H}_2$  denotes the quotient map. ◇

**Example 3.19** (PB-groupoids with structural Lie 2-groups). If the structural Lie 2-groupoid is a Lie 2-group (Definition 2.20), then a PB-groupoid in the sense of Definition 3.18 boils down to a PB-groupoid in the sense of Garmendia-Paycha [6] and of Chatterjee-Chaudhuri-Koushik [4] (where it is called a *principal 2-bundle over a Lie groupoid*). ◇

**Example 3.20** (PB-groupoids with structural Lie groupoids). If the structural Lie 2-groupoid is a Lie groupoid, with  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_0$  (first case of Example 2.21), then a PB-groupoid in the sense of Definition 3.18 yields a principal bundle  $P_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  with structural Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  together with a principal bundle  $P_M \rightarrow M$  with structural groupoid the unit groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_0 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$ .

On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1$  (second case of Example 2.21), then a PB-groupoid in the sense of Definition 3.18 yields a pair of principal bundles with the same structural Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$ . ◇

**Example 3.21** (Lie 2-groupoids as PB-groupoids). Let  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$  be a Lie 2-groupoid. Then  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1$  defines a PB-groupoid over the unit groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_0 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_0$ , with  $\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\Pi_M$  given, respectively, by  $t_{20}$  and  $t_{10}$ , and with the principal action on itself (see Example 3.17) ◇

### 3.5 First half of the correspondence

Let  $\mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows X$  be a Lie groupoid. Recall that, given a principal  $\mathcal{H}$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow M$ , with moment map  $\mu: P \rightarrow X$ , and a  $\mathcal{H}$ -representation  $E \rightarrow X$ , then the quotient

$$P[E] := (P \times_X E)/\mathcal{H}$$

is a vector bundle over  $M$ , with rank equal to the rank of  $E$ , and called the **associated bundle**. This construction generalises the standard associated bundles for principal groups bundles, and can in turn be generalised to PB-groupoids.

**Theorem 3.22.** *Let  $P_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows P_M$  be a PB-groupoid with structural Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}_1 \rightrightarrows X$  (Definition 3.18), and  $E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow X$  a 2-representation (Definition 3.8). Then the quotients*

$$P[E]_2 := (P_{\mathcal{G}} \times_X (E_1 \times_X E_0))/\mathcal{H}_2, \quad P[E]_1 := (P_M \times_X E_0)/\mathcal{H}_1$$

define a VB-groupoid

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P[E]_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{t} \downarrow \tilde{s} \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} t \downarrow s \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} \\ P[E]_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M \end{array}$$

with rank  $(\text{rank}(E_1), \text{rank}(E_0))$ .

In analogy with the classical construction for principal bundles with structural groups/groupoids,  $P[E]_2 \rightrightarrows P[E]_1$  is called the **associated bundle**.

*Proof.* It is known that  $P[E]_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  and  $P[E]_1 \rightarrow M$  are vector bundles of ranks, respectively, equal to the ranks of  $E_1 \times_M E_0 \rightarrow X$  and  $E_0 \rightarrow X$ . The groupoid structure on  $P[E]_2 \rightrightarrows P[E]_1$  is induced by that of the product Lie groupoid  $P_{\mathcal{G}} \times_X (E_1 \times_X E_0) \rightrightarrows (P_M \times_X E_0)$ , the free and proper action of  $\mathcal{H}_2$  and of  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , and Proposition 3.16. Q.E.D.

## 4 From VB-groupoids to PB-groupoids

### 4.1 Frames adapted to a VB-groupoid

The entire frame bundle of a VB-groupoid is too big to support the structure of a a PB-groupoid (or even only of a Lie groupoid). In this section we are going to identify the class of frames which are “adapted” to the VB-groupoid structure, and which will give rise to a PB-groupoid.

We write here, for later use, the following formula of the “right-translation” by elements  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  in an arbitrary VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$ :

$$\tilde{R}_g := \tilde{m}(\cdot, 0_g) : \ker(\tilde{s})|_{s^{-1}(t(g))} \rightarrow \ker(\tilde{s})|_{s^{-1}(s(g))}. \quad (4.0.1)$$

This is simply the vector bundle isomorphism which generalises the differential of the right translation  $R_g: s^{-1}(t(g)) \rightarrow s^{-1}(s(g))$  for the tangent VB-groupoid  $T\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows TM$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  and  $\varphi_g: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  be an injective linear map. The following two properties are equivalent:*

1. the image  $\text{Im}(\varphi_g) \subseteq T_g\mathcal{G}$  has dimension  $k$  and is transverse to  $\widetilde{s}$ , i.e.  $\ker(\widetilde{s}_g) \oplus \text{Im}(\varphi_g) = (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$ ;
2. the composition

$$\widetilde{s} \circ \varphi_g: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow (E_M)_{s(g)}$$

is a frame of  $E_M \rightarrow M$ .

The same statement holds of course replacing  $\widetilde{s}$  with  $\widetilde{t}$  everywhere.

*Proof.* 1  $\Rightarrow$  2: take  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $\widetilde{s}(\varphi_g(v_1)) = \widetilde{s}(\varphi_g(v_2))$ ; they the hypothesis yields

$$\varphi_g(v_1 - v_2) \in \text{Im}(\varphi_g) \cap \ker(\widetilde{s}_g) = \{0\}.$$

As  $\phi_g$  is injective, this implies that  $v_1 = v_2$ , i.e.  $\widetilde{s} \circ \varphi_g$  is injective. Since  $\text{rank}(E_M) = k$ , we conclude that  $\widetilde{s} \circ \varphi_g$  is a linear isomorphism, i.e. a frame of  $E_M \rightarrow M$ .

2  $\Rightarrow$  1: consider any element  $\varphi_g(v)$  in the intersection  $\ker(\widetilde{s}_g) \cap \text{Im}(\varphi_g)$ . Since  $\widetilde{s} \circ \varphi_g$  is injective, the condition  $\widetilde{s}(\varphi_g(v)) = 0$  implies  $v = 0$ , i.e. the intersection  $\ker(\widetilde{s}_g) \cap \text{Im}(\varphi_g)$  contains only the zero vector. It follows that

$$\dim(\ker(\widetilde{s}_g) \oplus \text{Im}(\varphi_g)) = \dim(\ker(\widetilde{s}_g)) + \dim(\text{Im}(\varphi_g)) = l + k = \text{rank}(E_{\mathcal{G}}),$$

hence  $\ker(\widetilde{s}_g) \oplus \text{Im}(\varphi_g) = (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$ .

Q.E.D.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ . An injective linear map  $\varphi_g: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is called

- **s-section frame** if any of the equivalent properties of Lemma 4.1 above holds;
- **t-section frame** if any of the analogue properties of Lemma 4.1 above, replacing  $\widetilde{s}$  with  $\widetilde{t}$ , holds;
- **bisection frame** if it is both an *s*- and a *t*-bisection frame. ♦

The “frame” part references to the induced frame of  $E_M \rightarrow M$ , while the “bisection” part is explained in the remark below.

*Remark 4.3* (bisections vs bisection frames). For the purpose of this remark, given a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , we are going to call a submanifold  $B \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  an **s-section** if  $s|_B: B \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism, and a **t-section** if  $t|_B: B \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism. A **bisection** of  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  is a submanifold  $B \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  which is both an *s*-section and a *t*-section; the inverse  $(s|_B)^{-1}: M \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  recovers the more commonly used definition of bisection.

Consider now a VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , a vector subbundle  $B \subseteq E_{\mathcal{G}}$  of rank  $k$  over  $\mathcal{G}$ , and a frame  $\phi_g$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $B_g = \text{Im}((\phi_g)|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k})$ . If  $B \subseteq E_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a bisection of the Lie groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$ , then  $(\phi_g)|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}$  is a bisection frame by the second condition in Lemma 4.1. The same holds for *s*- and *t*-sections and section frames. ♦

We can finally define the frames adapted to a VB-groupoid.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ . A frame  $\phi_g \in \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  is called an **s-bisection frame** if the following two conditions holds:

1.  $\phi_g|_{\mathbb{R}^l \times \{0\}}$  takes value in  $\ker(\widetilde{s}_g)$ ;

2.  $\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}$  is a bisection frame (Definition 4.2).

The collection

$$\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}} := \{\phi_g: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g \mid \phi_g \text{ } s\text{-bisection frame}\} \subseteq \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}).$$

of  $s$ -bisection frames of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  is called the  **$s$ -bisection frame bundle** of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ .  $\blacklozenge$

Also in this case, the  $s$  in  $s$ -bisection frames references to an induced frame, this time of the core  $C \rightarrow M$ , as discussed in Lemma 4.5 below. Of course, the choice of  $\ker(\tilde{s})$  instead of  $\ker(\tilde{t})$  is purely a convention; indeed, later on we will also use  $t$ -bisection frames (see Definition 5.9).

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  and  $\varphi_g: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  be an injective linear map. The following two properties are equivalent:*

1.  $\varphi_g$  takes values in  $\ker(\tilde{s}_g)$ ;
2. the composition

$$\tilde{R}_{g^{-1}} \circ \varphi_g: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow C_{t(g)} \subseteq (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{1_{t(g)}},$$

with  $\tilde{R}$  given by (4.0.1), is well-defined and is a frame of  $C \rightarrow M$ ;

The same holds replacing  $\tilde{s}$  with  $\tilde{t}$  everywhere.

*Proof.* 1  $\Rightarrow$  2: since  $\varphi_g$  is an injective linear map, taking values in the  $l$ -dimensional space  $\ker(\tilde{s}_g)$ , it is a linear isomorphism. Then its composition with the linear isomorphism  $\tilde{R}_{g^{-1}}$  is well-defined and is a frame of  $C \rightarrow M$ .

2  $\Rightarrow$  1: since the composition  $\tilde{R}_{g^{-1}} \circ \varphi_g$  is well defined,  $\varphi_g$  must necessarily takes values in  $\ker(\tilde{s}_g) \subseteq (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$ . Q.E.D.

Note also that the second condition in Definition 4.4 the can be weakened to  $\phi_g$  being a  $t$ -bisection frame. Indeed, the first condition implies already that  $\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}$  is an  $s$ -bisection frame, as the following lemma shows.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  and  $\phi_g: \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  be a frame of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ . If  $(\phi_g)|_{\mathbb{R}^l \times \{0\}}$  takes values in  $\ker(\tilde{s}_g)$ , then  $(\phi_g)|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}$  is an  $s$ -section frame (Definition 4.2).*

The same statement holds of course replacing  $\tilde{s}$  with  $\tilde{t}$  everywhere.

*Proof.* Since  $\phi_g$  is a frame, any element of  $(E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  can be written as  $\phi_g(w, v)$  for some  $(w, v) \in \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k$ . Moreover, there is the unique decomposition

$$\phi_g(w, v) = \phi_g(w, 0) + \phi_g(0, v),$$

where  $\phi_g(w, 0) \in \ker(\tilde{s}_g)$  by hypothesis and  $\phi_g(0, v) \in \text{Im}(\phi_g)|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}$  by construction. This shows that  $\phi_g$  satisfies condition 1 of Lemma 4.1, hence it is an  $s$ -bisection frame. Q.E.D.

## 4.2 The groupoid structure of the $s$ -bisection frames

The first part of the main result of this section is the Lie groupoid structure on the space of adapted frames:

$$\mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M).$$

We will focus here on the algebraic part (i.e. the groupoid structure), postponing the smoothness to Theorem 4.16 later on.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Given a VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  of rank  $(l, k)$ , its  $s$ -bisection frame bundle  $\mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}}$  is a groupoid over  $\mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M)$ , where  $C \rightarrow M$  is the core of  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  (Definition 2.2), with structure maps induced by those of  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$ :*

$$\mathbf{s}: \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M), \quad \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)(w, v) = \left( \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c(w) := \widetilde{R}_g(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w)))) \right), \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^b(v) := \widetilde{s}(\phi_g(0, v));$$

$$\mathbf{t}: \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M), \quad \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)(w, v) = \left( \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^c(w) := \widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}}(\phi_g(w, 0)), \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^b(v) := \widetilde{t}(\phi_g(0, v)) \right);$$

$$\mathbf{m}: \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \times_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}} \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}}, \quad \mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_h)(w, v) := \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(w, 0));$$

$$\mathbf{u}: \mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}}, \quad \mathbf{u}(\varphi_x^c, \varphi_x^b)(w, v) := \widetilde{u}(\varphi_x^b(v)) + \varphi_x^c(w);$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}: \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}(\phi_g)(w, v) := \widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(-w, v + d_{\phi_g}(w))).$$

The linear map  $d_{\phi_g}: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  associated to any  $\phi_g \in \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\mathrm{sbis}}$  is introduced in the lemma below.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Any  $s$ -bisection frame  $\phi_g \in \mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  induces a linear map*

$$d_{\phi}: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$$

uniquely defined by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{l(g)} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{l(g)}} & (E_M)_{l(g)} \\ \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^c \uparrow \cong & & \cong \uparrow \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^b \\ \mathbb{R}^l & \xrightarrow{d_{\phi_g}} & \mathbb{R}^k \end{array}$$

Unraveling the diagram above, one sees that  $d_{\phi}$  is the unique linear map such that  $\widetilde{t}_g(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi}(w))) = 0$ , or, equivalently,

$$\widetilde{t}_g(\phi_g(w, 0)) = \widetilde{t}_g(\phi_g(0, d_{\phi}(w))), \quad (4.8.1)$$

for every  $w \in \mathbb{R}^l$ . In particular,  $d_{\phi}$  vanishes if and only if  $\phi_g(0, w) \in \ker(\widetilde{t}_g)$  for every  $w \in \mathbb{R}^l$ : the map  $d_{\phi}$  measures then how non-zero the element  $\widetilde{t}(\phi_g(w, 0)) = 0$  can be.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^b$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.1,  $d_{\phi_g}$  is simply the composition of linear maps

$$d_{\phi_g} := \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^c \circ \rho_{l(g)} \circ (\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^b)^{-1}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

In the proof of Theorem 4.7 we will use also several other technical propositions, which are discussed in the next subsection.



*Proof of Theorem 4.7. Source and target maps.* The source map  $\widetilde{s}$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  induces the map

$$\mathbf{s}: \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}} \rightarrow \text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M), \quad \phi_g \mapsto (\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c, \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^b),$$

where  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c := \widetilde{R}_g(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w))))$  and  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^b := \widetilde{s} \circ \phi_g(0, \cdot)$  are the frames introduced, respectively, in Lemma 4.10 and Lemma 4.1.

Similarly, the target map  $\widetilde{t}$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  induces the map

$$\mathbf{t}: \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}} \rightarrow \text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M), \quad \phi_g \mapsto (\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^c, \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^b),$$

where  $\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^c := \widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}} \circ \phi_g(\cdot, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^b := \widetilde{t} \circ \phi_g(0, \cdot)$  are the frames introduced, respectively, in Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.1.

Multiplication map. If two  $s$ -bisection frames  $\phi_g$  and  $\phi_h$  satisfy  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g) = \mathbf{t}(\phi_h)$ , then  $s(g) = t(h)$  and  $\widetilde{s}_g(\phi_g(0, v)) = \widetilde{t}_g(\phi_h(0, v))$  for every  $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . As a consequence, the multiplication  $\widetilde{m}$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  induces a smooth map

$$\mathbf{m}: \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}} \times_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}} \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}} \rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}, \quad (\phi_g, \phi_h) \mapsto \phi_{gh},$$

where  $\phi_{gh}$  is the frame

$$\phi_{gh}: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{gh}, \quad (w, v) \mapsto \widetilde{m}_{(g,h)}(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(w, 0)),$$

introduced in Lemma 4.11.

Let us check that  $\mathbf{m}$  satisfies the axioms of a Lie groupoid multiplication. From the compatibilities  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g) = \mathbf{t}(\phi_h)$  we obtain

$$s(g) = t(h), \quad \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^b = \mathbf{t}(\phi_h)^b, \quad \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c = \mathbf{t}(\phi_h)^c.$$

The first two equalities guarantee that  $\phi_g(0, v)$  and  $\phi_h(0, v)$  can be multiplied by  $\widetilde{m}_{(g,h)}$ , i.e. we can apply Lemma 4.11. Moreover, all the compatibilities imply

$$d_{\phi_g} = d_{\phi_h}. \tag{4.8.2}$$

Indeed, applying  $\widetilde{t}$  to  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c(w) = \mathbf{t}(\phi_h)^c(w)$ , one has

$$\widetilde{s}(\phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w))) = \widetilde{t}(\phi_h(w, 0)),$$

which implies, using equation (4.8.1) and  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^b = \mathbf{t}(\phi_h)^b$ ,

$$\widetilde{t}(\phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w))) = \widetilde{t}(\phi_h(0, d_{\phi_h}(w))).$$

We check now that

$$\mathbf{s} \circ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{s} \circ \text{pr}_2, \quad \mathbf{t} \circ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{t} \circ \text{pr}_1,$$

i.e.  $\phi_{gh} \in \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}$  is an arrow from  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_h)$  to  $\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)$ . Indeed, using equations (4.11.1), (4.8.2) and  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c = \mathbf{t}(\phi_h)^c$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s}(\phi_{gh})^c(w) &= (\widetilde{R}_{gh} \circ \widetilde{\tau})\phi_{gh}(-w, d_{\phi_{gh}}(w)) \\
&= (\widetilde{R}_{gh} \circ \widetilde{\tau})(\widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, d_{\phi_{gh}}(w)), \phi_h(0, d_{\phi_{gh}}(w))) + \widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(-w, 0))) \\
&= (\widetilde{R}_{gh} \circ \widetilde{\tau})(\widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w)), \phi_h(0, d_{\phi_h}(w))) + \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(-w, 0), 0_h)) \\
&= (\widetilde{R}_{gh} \circ \widetilde{\tau})(\widetilde{m}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w)), \phi_h(0, d_{\phi_h}(w)))) \\
&= \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_h(0, d_{\phi_h}(w))), (\widetilde{R}_{gh} \circ \widetilde{\tau})(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w)))) \\
&= \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_h(0, d_{\phi_h}(w))), \phi_h(w, 0)) \\
&= \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_h(-w, d_{\phi_h}(w))), 0_h) + \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_h(w, 0)), \phi_h(w, 0)) \\
&= \widetilde{R}_h(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_h(-w, d_{\phi_h}(w)))) + \widetilde{u}(\widetilde{s}(\phi_h(w, 0))) \\
&= \mathbf{s}(\phi_h)^c(w),
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s}(\phi_{gh})(w, v) &= (\mathbf{s}(\phi_{gh})^c(w), \widetilde{s}(\phi_{gh}(0, v))) \\
&= (\mathbf{s}(\phi_h)^c(w), \widetilde{s}(\widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)))) \\
&= (\mathbf{s}(\phi_h)^c(w), \widetilde{s}(\phi_h(0, v))) \\
&= \mathbf{s}(\phi_h)(w, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{t}(\phi_{gh})(w, v) &= (\widetilde{R}_{(gh)^{-1}}(\phi_{gh}(w, 0)), \widetilde{t}(\phi_{gh}(0, v))) \\
&= (\widetilde{R}_{(gh)^{-1}}(\widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(w, 0))), \widetilde{t}(\widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)))) \\
&= (\widetilde{R}_g^{-1}(\phi_g(w, 0)), \widetilde{t}(\phi_g(0, v))) \\
&= \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)(w, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Last, the associativity of  $\widetilde{m}$  implies that of  $\mathbf{m}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_h), \phi_l)(w, v) &= \mathbf{m}(\phi_{gh}, \phi_l)(w, v) \\
&= \widetilde{m}(\phi_{gh}(0, v), \phi_l(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_l(\phi_{gh}(w, 0)) = \\
&= \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)), \phi_l(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_l(\widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(w, 0))) \\
&= \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \widetilde{m}(\phi_h(0, v), \phi_l(0, v))) + \widetilde{R}_{hl}(\phi_g(w, 0)) \\
&= \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_{hl}(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_{hl}(\phi_g(w, 0)) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_{hl})(w, v) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \mathbf{m}(\phi_h, \phi_l))(w, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Unit map. The unit map  $\widetilde{u}$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  induces the map

$$\mathbf{u}: \text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}, \quad (\varphi_x^c, \varphi_x^b) \mapsto \phi_{1,x},$$

where  $\phi_{1_x}$  is the frame

$$\phi_{1_x}: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{1_x}, \quad (w, v) \mapsto \widetilde{u}_x(\varphi_x^b(v)) + \varphi_x^c(w)$$

introduced in Lemma 4.12.

Let us check the standard properties of the unit map, namely

$$\mathbf{s} \circ \mathbf{u} = \text{id}_{\text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M)}, \quad \mathbf{t} \circ \mathbf{u} = \text{id}_{\text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M)}$$

and

$$\mathbf{m} \circ (\text{id}_{\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}}, \mathbf{u} \circ \mathbf{s}) = \text{id}_{\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}}, \quad \mathbf{m} \circ (\mathbf{u} \circ \mathbf{t}, \text{id}_{\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}}) = \text{id}_{\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}}.$$

For the first equality we use  $i \circ u = u$  and  $s \circ u = \text{id}$ , as well as  $\widetilde{u}(\widetilde{t}(\alpha)) - \alpha = \widetilde{\tau}(\alpha)$  (for  $\alpha = \varphi_x^c(w) \in C$ ) and (4.12.1), obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}(\varphi_x^c, \varphi_x^b))(w, v) &= \left( \widetilde{R}_{1_x}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_{1_x}(-w, d_\phi(w)))), \widetilde{s}(\widetilde{u}(\phi_{1_x}(0, v))) \right) \\ &= \left( \widetilde{\tau}(\widetilde{u}(\varphi_x^b(t_{\phi_1}(w))) + \varphi_x^c(-w)), \widetilde{s}(\widetilde{u}(\varphi_x^b(v))) \right) \\ &= \left( \widetilde{\tau}(\widetilde{t}(\varphi_x^c(w)) - \varphi_x^c(w)), \widetilde{s}(\widetilde{u}(\varphi_x^b(v))) \right) \\ &= \left( \widetilde{\tau}(\widetilde{\tau}(\varphi_x^c(w))), \varphi_x^b(v) \right) \\ &= \left( \varphi_x^c(w), \varphi_x^b(v) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly, for the second one, using  $t \circ u = \text{id}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{u}(\varphi_x^c, \varphi_x^b))(w, v) &= \left( \widetilde{R}_{1_x} \phi_{1_x}(w, 0), \widetilde{t}(\widetilde{u}(\phi_{1_x}(0, v))) \right) \\ &= \left( \varphi_x^c(w), \widetilde{t}(\widetilde{u}(\varphi_x^b(v))) \right) \\ &= \left( \varphi_x^c(w), \varphi_x^b(v) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the third equality, using  $\widetilde{m}(\alpha, \widetilde{u}(\widetilde{s}(\alpha))) = \alpha$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)))(w, v) &= \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{s}(\phi_g))(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_{1_{s(g)}}(\phi_g(w, 0)) \\ &= \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \widetilde{u}(\widetilde{s}(\phi_g(0, v)))) + \phi_g(w, 0) \\ &= \phi_g(0, v) + \phi_g(w, 0) = \phi_g(w, v). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the fourth one, using  $\widetilde{m}(\widetilde{u}(\widetilde{t}(\beta)), \beta) = \beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}(\phi_h)), \phi_h)(w, v) &= \widetilde{m}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}(\phi_h))(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_h(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}(\phi_h))(w, 0)) \\ &= \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{u}(\widetilde{t}(\phi_h(0, v))), \phi_h(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_h(\widetilde{R}_h^{-1}(\phi_h(w, 0))) \\ &= \phi_h(0, v) + \phi_h(w, 0) = \phi_h(w, v). \end{aligned}$$

Inverse map. The inverse map  $\widetilde{\tau}$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  induces the map

$$\tau: \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}} \rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}, \quad \phi_g \mapsto \phi_{g^{-1}},$$

where  $\phi_{g^{-1}}$  is the frame

$$\phi_{g^{-1}}: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{g^{-1}}, \quad (w, v) \mapsto \widetilde{\tau}_g(\phi_g(w, d_\phi(w)) + \phi_g(0, v))$$

introduced in Lemma 4.13.

First,  $\tau(\phi_g)$  is an arrow from  $\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)$  to  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)$ : indeed, using (4.13.1) and  $s \circ i = t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}(\tau(\phi_g))(w, v) &= \left( \widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}}(\widetilde{\tau}(\tau(\phi_g))(-w, d_{\phi_{g^{-1}}}(w))), \widetilde{s}(\tau(\phi_g)(0, v)) \right) \\ &= \left( \widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}}\widetilde{\tau}(\tau(\phi_g))(-w, d_{\phi_g}(-w) + d_{\phi_{g^{-1}}}(w)), \widetilde{s}(\tau(\phi_g)(0, v)) \right) \\ &= \left( \widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}}(\phi_g(w, 0)), \widetilde{t}(\phi_g(0, v)) \right) = \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)(w, v). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using  $t \circ i = s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}(\tau(\phi_g))(w, v) &= \left( \widetilde{R}_g(\tau(\phi_g)(w, 0)), \widetilde{t}(\tau(\phi_g)(0, v)) \right) \\ &= \left( \widetilde{R}_g(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w))), \widetilde{t}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(0, v))) \right) \\ &= \left( \widetilde{R}_g(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w))), \widetilde{s}(\phi_g(0, v)) \right) = \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)(w, v). \end{aligned}$$

Second,  $\tau$  acts as the identity, i.e.

$$\mathbf{m} \circ (\text{id}_{\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}}, \tau) = \mathbf{u} \circ \mathbf{t}, \quad \mathbf{m} \circ (\tau, \text{id}_{\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}}}) = \mathbf{u} \circ \mathbf{s}.$$

Indeed, using  $m \circ (\text{id}, i) = u \circ t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \tau(\phi_g))(w, v) &= \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \tau(\phi_g)(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}}(\phi_g(w, 0)) \\ &= \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(0, v))) + \widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}}(\phi_g(w, 0)) \\ &= \widetilde{u}(\widetilde{t}(\phi_g(0, v))) + \widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}}(\phi_g(w, 0)) \\ &= \widetilde{u}(\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^b(v)) + \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^c(w) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}(\phi_g))(w, v). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using  $m \circ (i, \text{id}) = u \circ s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}(\tau(\phi_h), \phi_h)(w, v) &= \widetilde{m}(\tau(\phi_h)(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_h(\tau(\phi_h)(w, 0)) \\ &= \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_h(0, v)), \phi_h(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_h(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_h(-w, d_{\phi}(w)))) \\ &= \widetilde{u}(\widetilde{s}(\phi_h(0, v))) + \widetilde{R}_h(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_h(-w, d_{\phi}(w)))) \\ &= \widetilde{u}(\mathbf{s}(\phi_h)^b(v)) + \mathbf{s}(\phi_h)^c(w) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{s}(\phi_h))(w, v). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

*Remark 4.9* (PB-groupoids and transitivity). It is known that, given a VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , if the groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  is transitive, then  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  is transitive as well (but the converse does not hold). For PB-groupoids the issue is more intricate, since there are several groupoid structures in play (including those of the structural Lie 2-groupoid). In particular, it is not clear if/when the groupoid structure on  $\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}} \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M)$  from Theorem 4.7 is transitive; we are currently looking into it, with the goal of providing an equivalent description in term of a ‘‘gauge PB-groupoid’’. This could shed lights on the relation between our correspondence VB/PB-groupoids, and the correspondence DVB/DPB discussed in [15].  $\diamond$

### 4.3 Technical lemmas

In this section we prove all the technical results which we used in the proof of Theorem 4.7 to describe the groupoid structure of  $\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{sbis}} \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M)$ .

**Lemma 4.10.** *Any  $s$ -bisection frame  $\phi_g: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  induces the following frame of  $C$ :*

$$\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow C_{s(g)}, \quad \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c(w) := \widetilde{R}_g(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w)))),$$

with  $\widetilde{R}_g$  given by equation (4.0.1).

*Proof.* The vector  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c(w) \in (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  takes values in  $\ker(\widetilde{s}_{1_{s(g)}}) = C_{s(g)}$ . Indeed, Lemma 4.8 yields  $\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w)) \in \ker(\widetilde{t}_g)$ , and after applying  $\widetilde{\tau}$  and  $\widetilde{R}_g$  one obtains an element in  $\ker(\widetilde{s}_{1_{s(g)}})$ .

Moreover, we claim that  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c$  is a linear isomorphism. Since  $C$  has rank  $l$ , it is enough to check its injectivity. If  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c(w) = 0$ , then condition 1 of Definition 4.4 implies that

$$0 = \widetilde{t}(\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c(w)) = \widetilde{t}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w)))) = \widetilde{s}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w))) = \widetilde{s}(\phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w))).$$

As a consequence, the element  $\phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w)) \in \text{Im}(\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k})$  belongs also to  $\ker(\widetilde{s})$  and is therefore zero by part 2 of Lemma 4.1, as  $\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}$  is an  $s$ -bisection frame by part 2 of Definition 4.4. Since  $\phi_g$  is injective, one has  $d_{\phi_g}(w) = 0$ . Plugging this in  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c(w) = 0$  we get

$$0 = \widetilde{R}_g(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(w, 0)))$$

which implies  $\phi_g(w, 0) = 0$ ; by the injectivity of  $\phi_g$ , we conclude that  $w = 0$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $h \in \mathcal{G}$  be two composable arrows. Then any two  $s$ -bisection frame  $\phi_g$  and  $\phi_h$  such that  $\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c = \mathbf{t}(\phi_h)^c$  induce an  $s$ -bisection frame at  $gh \in \mathcal{G}$ , namely*

$$\phi_{gh}: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{gh}, \quad (w, v) \mapsto \widetilde{m}_{(g,h)}(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)) + \widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(w, 0)),$$

with  $\widetilde{R}$  given by equation (4.0.1). Moreover,

$$d_{\phi_{gh}} = d_{\phi_g}. \tag{4.11.1}$$

*Proof.* First we prove that  $\phi_{gh}$  is a frame: it is enough to check its injectivity. If  $\phi_{gh}(w, v) = 0$ , then

$$0 = \widetilde{s}(\phi_{gh}(w, v)) = \widetilde{s}(\phi_h(0, v)) + \widetilde{s}(\widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(w, 0))),$$

since  $s \circ R_h$  is constant. Accordingly,  $\phi_h(0, v) \in \text{Im}(\phi_h|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k})$  belongs also to  $\ker(\widetilde{s})$ , and is therefore zero by Lemma 4.1. Since  $\phi_h$  is injective, we conclude that  $v = 0$ . Plugging this into  $\phi_{gh}(w, v)$  we obtain

$$0 = \widetilde{t}(\phi_{gh}(w, 0)) = \widetilde{t}(R_g(\phi_g(w, 0))) = \widetilde{t}(\phi_g(w, 0)),$$

and with analogous arguments, using condition 2 of Definition 4.4, we conclude that  $\phi_g(w, 0) = 0$ , hence  $w = 0$ .

Let us prove now that  $\phi_{gh}$  is  $s$ -bisection. The condition  $\phi_{gh}(w, 0) \in \ker(\widetilde{s})$  holds since  $\widetilde{R}_h$  takes values in  $\ker(\widetilde{s})$ :

$$\widetilde{s}(\phi_{gh}(w, 0)) = \widetilde{s}(\widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(w, 0))) = 0.$$

To check condition 2 in Definition 4.4, consider an element  $\alpha \in (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{gh}$ ; since  $\phi_{gh}$  is a linear isomorphism, we have

$$\alpha = \phi_{gh}(w, v) = \left( \phi_{gh}(0, v) + \widetilde{R}_h \phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w)) \right) + \left( \phi_{gh}(w, 0) - \widetilde{R}_h \phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w)) \right)$$

for some  $(w, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{l+k}$ . However, on the one hand, the term

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{gh}(0, v) + \widetilde{R}_h \phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w)) &= \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)) + \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w)), 0_h) \\ &= \widetilde{m}(\phi_g(0, v + d_{\phi_g}(w)), \phi_h(0, v)) \end{aligned}$$

belongs to

$$\widetilde{m}_{(g,h)}\left(\text{Im}(\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}), \text{Im}(\phi_h|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k})\right) \subseteq \text{Im}(\phi_{gh}|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}).$$

On the other hand, the term  $\phi_{gh}(w, 0) = \widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(w, 0))$  is in  $\ker(\widetilde{t}_{gh})$  by equation (4.8.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{t}_{gh}\left(\phi_{gh}(w, 0) - \widetilde{R}_h \phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w))\right) &= \widetilde{t}_g(\phi_g(w, 0) - \phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w))) \\ &= \widetilde{t}_g(\phi_g(w, -d_{\phi_g}(w))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $\alpha \in (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{gh}$  splits as the sum of an element of  $\text{Im}(\phi_{gh}|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k})$  and an element of  $\ker(\widetilde{t}_{gh})$ , hence the frame  $\phi_{gh}$  is an  $s$ -bisection frame.

Last, one checks easily that  $\mathbf{t}(\phi_{gh})^b = \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^b$  and  $\mathbf{t}(\phi_{gh})^c = \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^c$ , therefore

$$d_{\phi_{gh}} := (\mathbf{t}(\phi_{gh})^b)^{-1} \circ \widetilde{t}_{1_{(gh)}} \circ \mathbf{t}(\phi_{gh})^c = (\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^b)^{-1} \circ \widetilde{t}_{1_{(g)}} \circ \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^c =: d_{\phi_g}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

**Lemma 4.12.** Any pair  $(\varphi_x^c, \varphi_x^b) \in \text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M)$ , i.e.

$$\varphi_x^c : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow C_x, \quad \varphi_x^b : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow (E_M)_x,$$

induces an  $s$ -bisection frame at  $1_x \in \mathcal{G}$ , namely

$$\phi_{1_x} : \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{1_x}, \quad (w, v) \mapsto \widetilde{u}_x(\varphi_x^b(v)) + \varphi_x^c(w).$$

Moreover,

$$\varphi_x^b(t_{\phi_{1_x}}(w)) = \widetilde{t}_{1_x}(\varphi_x^c(w)). \quad (4.12.1)$$

*Proof.* First we prove that  $\phi_{1_x}$  is a frame: it is enough to check its injectivity. If  $\phi_{1_x}(w, v) = 0$ , then applying  $\widetilde{s}$  we obtain

$$0 = \widetilde{s}(\widetilde{u}(\varphi_x^b(v))) = \varphi_x^b(v) + \widetilde{s}(\varphi_x^c(w)),$$

which implies  $v = 0$  since  $\varphi_x^b$  is injective. Plugging  $v = 0$  into  $\phi_{1_x}(w, v) = 0$ , one gets  $\varphi_x^c(w) = 0$ , which implies  $w = 0$  as well since  $\varphi_x^c$  is injective.

Let us prove now that  $\phi_{1_x}$  is an  $s$ -bisection frame. The first condition of Definition 4.4 follows immediately since  $C_x = \ker(\widetilde{s}_{1_x})$ :

$$\widetilde{s}(\phi_{1_x}(w, 0)) = \widetilde{s}(\varphi_x^c(w)) = 0.$$

The second follows instead by the canonical decomposition  $(E_{\mathcal{G}})_{1_x} = (E_M)_x \oplus \ker(\widetilde{t}_{1_x})$  induced by the splitting  $\widetilde{u}_x$  of  $\widetilde{t}_{1_x}$ , after noticing that  $\phi_{1_x}|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k} = \widetilde{u}_x \circ \varphi_x^b$ .

Last, equation (4.12.1) follows directly from (4.8.1). Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.13.** Any  $s$ -bisection frame  $\phi_g: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  induces an  $s$ -bisection frame

$$\phi_{g^{-1}}: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_{g^{-1}}, \quad (w, v) \mapsto \widetilde{\tau}_g(\phi_g(w, d_\phi(w)) + \phi_g(0, v)).$$

Moreover,

$$d_{\phi_{g^{-1}}} = d_{\phi_g}. \quad (4.13.1)$$

*Proof.* First we prove that  $\phi_{g^{-1}}$  is a frame: it is enough to check its injectivity. If  $\phi_{g^{-1}}(w, v) = 0$ , then applying  $\widetilde{s}$  and using Lemma 4.8 we obtain

$$\widetilde{t}(\phi_g(w, d_\phi(w))) + \widetilde{t}(\phi_g(0, v)) = 0.$$

We conclude that  $\phi_g(0, v) \in \ker(\widetilde{t}_g) \cap \text{Im}(\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k})$ , hence it is zero by condition 2 of Definition 4.4; since  $\phi_g$  is injective,  $v = 0$ . Plugging  $v = 0$  into  $\phi_{g^{-1}}(w, v) = 0$  one gets  $\phi_g(w, d_\phi(w)) = 0$ , which implies  $w = 0$  as well.

Let us prove now that  $\phi_{g^{-1}}$  is an  $s$ -bisection frame. The first condition of Definition 4.4 follows from Lemma 4.8 (therefore relies on the multiplicativity of  $\phi_g$ ):

$$\widetilde{s}(\phi_{g^{-1}}(w, 0)) = \widetilde{s}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(w, -d_\phi(w)))) = \widetilde{t}(\phi_g(w, -d_\phi(w))) = 0.$$

The second condition follows instead by applying the linear isomorphism  $\widetilde{\tau}_g$  to the decomposition (from Lemma 4.1)

$$(E_{\mathcal{G}})_g = \text{Im}(\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}) \oplus \ker(\widetilde{s}_g),$$

using the fact that  $\phi_{g^{-1}}|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k} = \widetilde{\tau} \circ \phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}$  and  $\widetilde{\tau}(\ker(\widetilde{s}_g)) = \ker(\widetilde{t}_{g^{-1}})$ .

For the second part, one checks that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\phi_{g^{-1}}}(w) &:= \left( (\mathbf{t}(\phi_{g^{-1}})^b)^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\tau} \circ \mathbf{t}(\phi_{g^{-1}})^c \right)(w) \\ &= \left( (\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^b)^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\tau} \circ \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^c \right)(w) \\ &= (\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^b)^{-1} \left( \widetilde{t}(\widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}}(\widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w)))))) \right) \\ &= (\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^b)^{-1} \left( \widetilde{s}(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w))) \right) \\ &= (\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)^b)^{-1} \left( \widetilde{s}(\phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g}(w))) - \widetilde{s}(\phi_g(w, 0)) \right) \\ &= d_{\phi_g}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

#### 4.4 The (set-theoretical) 2-action on the $s$ -bisection frames

As anticipated, after having shown that  $\text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M)$  is a set-theoretical groupoid (Theorem 4.7), we describe now the (free) action of the general linear 2-groupoid  $\text{GL}(l, k)$  on  $\text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$ . In the next section we will deal with the smoothness of these spaces, and such action will turn out to be smooth and principal.

To this end, let us recall that, given any Lie subgroup  $G \subseteq \text{GL}(l+k)$ , a  $G$ -structure on a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  of rank  $l+k$  is a principal  $G$ -subbundle of the frame bundle  $\text{Fr}(E) \rightarrow M$ .

In particular, let  $\mathrm{GL}^l(l+k)$  denote the group of block matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A_1 \in \mathrm{GL}(l)$  and  $A_4 \in \mathrm{GL}(k)$ . Then there is a 1-1 correspondence

$$\left\{ \mathrm{GL}^l(l+k)\text{-structures on } E \right\} \iff \left\{ \text{rank } l \text{ vector subbundle } D \subseteq E \text{ over } M \right\}.$$

Indeed, to any such  $D$  one associates the subbundle  $\mathrm{Fr}(E, D) \subseteq \mathrm{Fr}(E)$  consisting of frames  $\phi_x: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow E_x$  preserving  $D_x$ , i.e. such that  $\phi_x(\mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}) \subseteq D_x$ . The right  $\mathrm{GL}(l+k)$ -action on  $\mathrm{Fr}(E)$ , i.e.  $(\phi_x, A) \mapsto \phi_x \circ A$ , restricts to a  $\mathrm{GL}^l(l+k)$ -action on  $\mathrm{Fr}(E, D)$ , since  $\phi_x(A(w, 0)) = \phi_x(A_1 w, 0)$ . We conclude that  $\mathrm{Fr}(E, D)$  is a principal  $\mathrm{GL}^l(l+k)$ -subbundle of  $\mathrm{Fr}(E)$ . Conversely, given any principal  $\mathrm{GL}^l(l+k)$ -subbundle  $P \subseteq \mathrm{Fr}(E)$ , one defines uniquely a rank  $k$  subbundle  $D \subseteq E$  by taking  $D_x$  as the union of the subspaces  $\phi_x(\mathbb{R}^l \times \{0\}) \subseteq E_x$ , for all  $\phi_x \in P_x$ , so that  $P = \mathrm{Fr}(E, D)$ .

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ . Then the canonical 2-action of the Lie group  $\mathrm{GL}(l+k)$  on the manifold  $\mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  restricts to a free 2-action of the (Lie) 2-groupoid  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$  on the (set-theoretical) groupoid  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M)$  from Theorem 4.7, with moment map given by Lemma 4.8 as:*

$$\mu: \mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_0, \quad \phi_g \mapsto d_{\phi_g}.$$

*Proof.* First of all notice that  $\ker(\bar{s}) \subseteq E_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a rank  $l$  vector subbundle, and the corresponding  $\mathrm{GL}^l(l+k)$ -structure  $\mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}, \ker(\bar{s}))$  coincides precisely with the set of all frames  $\phi_g \in \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  satisfying the first condition of Definition 4.4. Accordingly, the  $\mathrm{GL}(l+k)$ -action on  $\mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  restricts to a  $\mathrm{GL}^l(l+k)$ -action on  $\mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}, \ker(\bar{s}))$ .

When imposing also the second condition of Definition 4.4, i.e. restricting  $\mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}, \ker(\bar{s}))$  to  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$ , then the action of the Lie group  $\mathrm{GL}^l(l+k) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(l+k)$  restricts to an action of the Lie 2-groupoid  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$  from Definition-Example 2.23. This is indeed a Lie 2-groupoid action as a direct consequence of 4.15 and equation (4.8.2). Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.15.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  and consider two composable arrows  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$ , three frames  $\phi_g, \phi'_g, \phi''_g \in \mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$ , and two frames  $\phi_h, \phi'_h \in \mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})_h$  such that  $\phi_g, \phi_h$  and  $\phi'_g, \phi'_h$  are composable with respect to the groupoid structure on  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(A)$  from Theorem 4.7.*

1. *The unique matrix  $M_g \in \mathrm{GL}(l+k)$  satisfying  $\phi_g M_g = \phi'_g$  also satisfies  $(d_{\phi_g}, M_g) \in \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2$  and  $d_{\phi'_g} = s_{20}(d_{\phi_g}, M_g)$ .*
2. *If  $M'_g, M''_g \in \mathrm{GL}(l+k)$  are the unique matrices satisfying  $\phi'_g M'_g = \phi''_g$  and  $\phi_g M''_g = \phi''_g$  then*

$$(d_{\phi_g}, M''_g) = (d_{\phi_g}, M_g) \circ_{20} (d_{\phi'_g}, M'_g).$$

3. *If  $C, A \in \mathrm{GL}(l)$  and  $D, B \in \mathrm{GL}(k)$  are the unique matrices satisfying*

$$s(\phi_g)_c C = s(\phi'_g)_c, \quad s(\phi_g)_b B = s(\phi'_g)_b, \quad t(\phi_g)_c A = t(\phi'_g)_c \quad \text{and} \quad t(\phi_g)_b D = t(\phi'_g)_b,$$

then

$$(d_{\phi_g}, C, B) = s_{21}(d_{\phi_g}, M_g) \in \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (d_{\phi_g}, A, D) = t_{21}(d_{\phi_g}, M_g) \in \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_1.$$



4. If  $M_h$  is the unique matrix satisfying  $\phi_h M_h = \phi'_h$ , and  $M_{gh}$  the unique matrix satisfying  $(\phi_g \circ \phi_h) M_{gh} = (\phi'_g \circ \phi'_h)$ , then

$$(d_{\phi_g}, M_{gh}) = (d_{\phi_g}, M_g) \circ_{21} (d_{\phi_h}, M_h).$$

*Proof.* This is mostly a calculation. We will only show part 3; the other parts can be proved with similar arguments.

Let  $\phi_g, \phi'_g \in \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  there are unique matrices to change the coordinates:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)_c, \mathbf{t}(\phi)_b) & \longleftarrow \phi_g & \longrightarrow (\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)_c, \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)_b) \\ \downarrow A \times D & & \downarrow M_g \quad \downarrow C \times B \\ (\mathbf{t}(\phi'_g)_c, \mathbf{t}(\phi'_g)_b) & \longleftarrow \phi'_g & \longrightarrow (\mathbf{s}(\phi'_g)_c, \mathbf{s}(\phi'_g)_b) \end{array}$$

Because of the definitions of  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}$  for the  $s$ -bisection frames, in particular the first  $l$  coordinates in  $\mathbf{t}$  and the last  $k$  coordinates on  $\mathbf{t}$ , the matrix  $M_g \in \text{GL}(l+k)$  must be of the form:

$$M_g = \begin{pmatrix} A & JB \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix},$$

for some  $J$  an  $l \times k$  matrix. Spelling out what this means one gets that:

- $A$  is the unique matrix such that  $\phi_g(Aw, 0) - \phi'_g(w, 0) = 0$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,
- $B$  is the unique matrix such that  $\widetilde{s}\phi_g(0, Bv) - \widetilde{s}\phi'_g(0, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,
- $J$  is the unique  $l \times k$  matrix such that  $\phi_g(JBv, Bv) = \phi'_g(0, v)$  for every  $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , equivalently  $J = \phi_g^{-1}(\phi'_g(0, B^{-1}\cdot) - \phi_g(0, \cdot))$ ,
- $\phi_g(Aw + JBv, Bv) = \phi'_g(w, v)$  for all  $(w, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{l+k}$ .

Now we want to calculate  $D$  and  $C$  in terms of  $A, B, J$  and  $d_{\phi_g}$ . By definition  $D$  is the matrix such that  $\mathbf{t}\phi_g(0, Dv) = \mathbf{t}\phi'_g(0, v)$ . Using the definition of  $d_{\phi_g}$  in the first equality and that  $\phi_g M_g = \phi'_g$  in the second equality we get:

$$\mathbf{t}\phi_g(0, d_{\phi_g} JBv + Bv) = \mathbf{t}\phi_g(JBv, Bv) = \mathbf{t}\phi'_g(0, v) = \mathbf{t}\phi_g(0, Dv),$$

therefore we conclude  $D = (I + d_{\phi_g} J)B$ .

The value for  $D$  implies part of statement 1. of this Lemma, i.e.  $s_{20}(d_{\phi_g}, M_g) = ((I + d_{\phi_g} J)D)^{-1} d_{\phi_g} A = d_{\phi'_g}$ . Later in this argument we will use this fact in the form of  $d_{\phi_g} A = (I + d_{\phi_g} J) D d_{\phi'_g}$ .

Last,  $C$  is the unique  $l \times l$  matrix satisfying, for any  $w \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,

$$\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)^c(Cw) = \mathbf{t}(\phi'_g)^c(w) \Rightarrow \widetilde{R}_g \widetilde{\tau}(\phi_g(Cw, -d_{\phi_g} Cw)) = \widetilde{R}_g \widetilde{\tau}(\phi'_g(w, -d_{\phi'_g} w)),$$

so that

$$\phi_g(Cw, -d_{\phi_g} Cw) = \phi'_g(w, -d_{\phi'_g} w) = \phi_g(Aw - J D d_{\phi'_g} w, -D d_{\phi'_g} w).$$

Now we use that  $d_{\phi_g} A = (I + d_{\phi_g} J) D d_{\phi'_g}$  and obtain

$$\phi_g(Cw, -d_{\phi_g} Cw) = \phi_g(Aw - J(I + d_{\phi_g} J)^{-1} d_{\phi_g} A w, -(I + d_{\phi_g} J)^{-1} d_{\phi_g} A w).$$

Therefore we have  $C = (I - J(I + d_{\phi_g}J)^{-1}d_{\phi_g})A$ . To conclude the proof, we need to show that  $I - J(I + d_{\phi_g}J)^{-1}d_{\phi_g} = (I + Jd_{\phi_g})^{-1}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (I - J(I + d_{\phi_g}J)^{-1}d_{\phi_g})(I + Jd_{\phi_g}) &= I - J(I + d_{\phi_g}J)^{-1}d_{\phi_g} + Jd_{\phi_g} - J(d_{\phi_g}J + I)^{-1}d_{\phi_g}Jd_{\phi_g} \\ &= I - J\left((I + d_{\phi_g}J)^{-1} - I + (d_{\phi_g}J + I)^{-1}d_{\phi_g}J\right)d_{\phi_g} \\ &= I - J\left((d_{\phi_g}J + I)^{-1}(I + d_{\phi_g}J) - I\right)d_{\phi_g} = I, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $(I + Jd_{\phi_g})(I - J(I + d_{\phi_g}J)^{-1}d_{\phi_g}) = I$ .

The discussion above is summarised in

$$\left(A, (I + d_{\phi_g}J)B = D\right) \xleftarrow{\mathbf{t}} \begin{pmatrix} A & JB \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{s}} \left(C = (I + Jd_{\phi_g})^{-1}A, B\right),$$

therefore  $(d_{\phi_g}, C, B) = s_{21}(d_{\phi_g}, M_g)$  and  $(d_{\phi_g}, A, D) = t_{21}(d_{\phi_g}, M_g)$ , with  $s_{21}$  and  $t_{21}$  as in Definition 2.23. Q.E.D.

#### 4.5 The smooth structure of the $s$ -bisection frames

As anticipated, in this section we take care of the smoothness issues, concluding the proof that  $\text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  defines a PB-groupoid.

**Theorem 4.16.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ . Then*

- *the set-theoretical groupoid  $\text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M)$  from Theorem 4.7 a submanifold of  $\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$ ;*
- *the set-theoretical 2-action from Theorem 4.14 is a smooth principal action.*

*Proof.* If there are local smooth sections in  $\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  as a fibre bundle over  $\mathcal{G}$  with values in  $\text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  then the following three facts conclude the proof: Lemma 4.17, Lemma 4.15, and the fact that  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  and  $\text{GL}(l, k)_2 \rightrightarrows \text{GL}(l, k)_1$  as in Definition 2.23 are both Lie groupoids corresponding to the base and fiberwise sets of Lemma 4.17.

The following paragraph is therefore to prove the existence of local sections. Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ ; near  $g$  there are sections taking values in  $\text{Fr}(\ker(\bar{s}))$  and in  $\text{Fr}(\ker(\bar{t}))$ . Let us think of frames as a local ordered basis:  $(e_1, \dots, e_l)$  is an ordered basis for  $\ker(\bar{s})$  and  $(f_1, \dots, f_l)$  an ordered basis for  $\ker(\bar{t})$ . Here is an algorithm to get  $s$ -bisection frames:

Set a counter  $i = 1$ ; take non-vanishing local sections  $h_i$  not in the span of  $(e_1, \dots, e_{l+i-1})$  and  $h'_i$  not in the span of  $(f_1, \dots, f_{l+i-1})$ , then let  $e_{l+i} = f_{l+i} := h_i + h'_i$  and redefine  $i = i + 1$ . Repeating this process until  $i = k + 1$  we get that for  $i$  between  $l + 1$  and  $l + k$ , the elements  $e_i = f_i$  are linearly independent and not in  $\ker(\bar{s})$  nor in  $\ker(\bar{t})$ , therefore the ordered basis of sections  $(e_1, \dots, e_{l+k})$  is equivalent to an  $s$ -bisection frame. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.17.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a manifold,  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a vector bundle of rank  $l + k$ , and  $P_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  be a subset. For each  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $p \in (P_{\mathcal{G}})_g$ , consider the following fiberwise set:*

$$\Phi_p := \{A \in \text{GL}(l + k) : pA \in (P_{\mathcal{G}})_g\} \subset \text{GL}(l + k).$$

*Then  $P_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a fibre subbundle (in particular, it is a smooth submanifold) if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- there exist local smooth sections  $\sigma: U \rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  with values in  $P_{\mathcal{G}}$  and covering  $\mathcal{G}$ ;
- the set  $\bigcup_{g \in U} \Phi_{\sigma(g)} \times \{g\} \subset \text{GL}(l+k) \times U$  is a submanifold.

Moreover, let  $M$  be another manifold,  $E_M \rightarrow M$  be a vector bundle of rank  $k$ ,  $P_M \subset \text{Fr}(E_M)$  be another fibre subbundle and  $\varphi: P_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow P_M$  be a (not necessarily smooth) bundle map. For any  $p \in P_{\mathcal{G}}$ , consider the fiberwise map

$$\varphi_p: \Phi_p \rightarrow \Phi_{\varphi(p)}, \quad A \mapsto (\varphi_p(A)),$$

where  $\varphi_p(A)$  is the unique element satisfying  $\varphi(p)\varphi_p(A) = \varphi(Ap)$ . The map  $\varphi$  is smooth, immersive or submersive if and only if the base map and the fiberwise maps  $\varphi_p$  are smooth, immersive or submersive for every  $p \in P$ .

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the local trivialisations of  $P_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$ . In other words,  $P_{\mathcal{G}}$  looks locally like  $\bigcup_{g \in U} \Phi_{\sigma(g)} \times \{g\}$  and  $\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  looks locally like  $\text{GL}(l+k) \times U$ ; similarly for  $P_M$  and  $k$ . Q.E.D.

#### 4.6 Second half of the correspondence

Now we move to the “inverse” of Theorem 3.22. Recall that, given any vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  of rank  $k$ , the set  $\text{Fr}(E)$  of its frames is a principal  $\text{GL}(k)$ -bundle over  $M$ .

**Theorem 4.18.** *Given any VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , its  $s$ -bisection frame bundle  $\text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  (Definition 4.4) defines a PB-groupoid over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  (Definition 3.18), with structural Lie 2-groupoid  $\text{GL}(l, k)$ .*

*Proof.* It follows by combining Theorems 4.7, 4.14, and 4.16. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 4.19.** *Let  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  be a Lie groupoid; then Theorem 3.22 and Theorem 4.18 give a 1-1 correspondence between*

- VB-groupoids of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ ;
- PB-groupoids over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  with structural Lie 2-groupoid  $\text{GL}(l, k)$ .

More precisely, any VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  is isomorphic to the VB-groupoid associated to the  $s$ -bisection frame bundle of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  and the canonical representation of  $\text{GL}(l, k)$  from Example 3.9, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_2} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow \bar{t} \downarrow \bar{s} & & \downarrow t \downarrow s \\ \frac{(\text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M)) \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M \end{array}$$

Conversely, any PB-groupoid  $P_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows P_M$  is isomorphic to the  $s$ -bisection frame bundle of its associated VB-groupoid (Definition 4.4), i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}} \left( \frac{P_{\mathcal{G}} \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_2} \right) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow \mathbf{t} \downarrow \mathbf{s} & & \downarrow t \downarrow s \\ \text{Fr} \left( \frac{P_M \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_1} \right) \times_M \text{Fr} \left( \frac{P_{\mathcal{G}}|_M \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^l \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_2} \right) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_M} & M \end{array}$$

*Proof.* For the first part, it is enough to check that

$$\frac{\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_2} \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{G}}, \quad [\phi, (v, w, g)] \mapsto \phi(v, w)$$

and

$$\frac{(\text{Fr}(E_M) \times \text{Fr}(C)) \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_1} \rightarrow E_M, \quad [(\varphi_x^b, \varphi_x^c), (v, g)] \mapsto \varphi_x^c(v)$$

are well defined isomorphisms.

For the second part, it is enough to check that, for every  $p \in P_{\mathcal{G}}$ , the map

$$\phi_p : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0 \rightarrow \frac{P_{\mathcal{G}} \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_2}, \quad (v, w, g) \mapsto [p, (v, w, g)]$$

is an  $s$ -bisection frame, and therefore

$$P_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}} \left( \frac{P_{\mathcal{G}} \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_2} \right), \quad p \mapsto \phi_p$$

is an isomorphism. Similarly,

$$P_M \rightarrow \text{Fr} \left( \frac{P_M \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_1} \right) \times_M \text{Fr} \left( \frac{P_{\mathcal{G}}|_M \times_{\text{GL}(l,k)_0} (\mathbb{R}^l \times \text{GL}(l,k)_0)}{\text{GL}(l,k)_2} \right), \quad q \mapsto \mathbf{s}(\phi_{\mathbf{u}(q)}) = \mathbf{t}(\phi_{\mathbf{u}(q)})$$

is an isomorphism. Q.E.D.

## 5 Examples

We illustrate the correspondence between VB- and PB-groupoids by describing the  $s$ -bisection frame bundle of several classes of VB-groupoids.

### 5.1 Special cases of VB-groupoids

**Example 5.1** (trivial core). Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(0, k)$ , i.e. with core  $C = 0$  and  $\text{rank}(E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}) = \text{rank}(E_M \rightarrow M) = k$  (Example 2.7). Then its  $s$ -bisection frame bundle boils down to the Lie groupoid

$$\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(E_M)$$

with structure maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s} : \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_M), & \mathbf{s}(\phi_g) &= \tilde{s} \circ \phi_g; \\ \mathbf{t} : \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_M), & \mathbf{t}(\phi_g) &= \tilde{t} \circ \phi_g; \\ \mathbf{m} : \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \times_{\mathbf{t}} \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}), & \mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_h)(v) &:= \tilde{m}(\phi_g(v), \phi_h(v)); \\ \mathbf{u} : \text{Fr}(E_M) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}), & \mathbf{u}(\varphi_x) &:= \tilde{u} \circ \varphi_x; \\ \boldsymbol{\tau} : \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}), & \boldsymbol{\tau}(\phi_g) &:= \tilde{\tau} \circ \phi_g. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, given a frame  $\phi_g : \mathbb{R}^{0+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$ , the first condition in Definition 4.4 is trivially satisfied since  $\phi_g|_{\mathbb{R}^l \times \{0\}} = 0$ , while the second condition holds true as  $\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k} = \phi_g$ , hence its image coincides with the entire  $(E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$  since  $\phi_g$  is a linear isomorphism. ◇

**Example 5.2** (trivial base). Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, 0)$ , i.e. with  $E_M = 0$  and  $\text{rank}(E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}) = \text{rank}(C \rightarrow M)$  (Example 2.8). Then its  $s$ -bisection frame bundle boils down to the Lie groupoid

$$\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(C)$$

with structure maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}: \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(C), & \mathbf{s}(\phi_g) &:= -\widetilde{R}_g \circ \widetilde{\tau} \circ \phi_g; \\ \mathbf{t}: \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(C), & \mathbf{t}(\phi_g) &= \widetilde{R}_{g^{-1}} \circ \phi_g; \\ \mathbf{m}: \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \times_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}} \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}), & \mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_h)(w) &:= \widetilde{R}_h(\phi_g(w)); \\ \mathbf{u}: \text{Fr}(C) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}), & \mathbf{u}(\varphi_x) &:= \varphi_x; \\ \boldsymbol{\tau}: \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) &\rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}), & \boldsymbol{\tau}(\phi_g) &:= -\widetilde{\tau} \circ \phi_g. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, given a frame  $\phi_g: \mathbb{R}^{l+0} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g$ , both conditions in Definition 4.4 are trivially satisfied since  $\widetilde{s}$  and  $\widetilde{t}$  are the zero map, hence their kernels coincide with the entire  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 5.3** (canonical VB-groupoid). The fact that the frame bundle of the canonical vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  coincides with general linear group  $\text{GL}(n)$ , with the principal action of  $\text{GL}(n)$  on itself, can be generalised to VB-groupoids. More precisely, we will show that the  $s$ -bisection frame bundle of the canonical VB-groupoid  $\mathbb{R}^{(l,k)}$  (Example 2.10) coincides with the PB-groupoid associated, via Example 3.21, to the general linear 2-groupoid  $\text{GL}(l, k)$  (Example 2.23), i.e. with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{GL}(l, k)_2 & \xrightarrow{t_{20}} & \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \\ \begin{array}{c} t_{21} \downarrow \downarrow s_{21} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \text{id} \downarrow \downarrow \text{id} \end{array} \\ \text{GL}(l, k)_1 & \xrightarrow{t_{10}} & \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \end{array}$$

with the principal action of  $\text{GL}(l, k)$  on itself.

Indeed, one checks immediately that the frame bundle of the core of  $\mathbb{R}^{(l,k)}$  is

$$\text{Fr}(C) = \text{Fr}(\mathbb{R}^l \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \rightarrow \text{GL}(l, k)_0) \cong \text{GL}(l) \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0,$$

while the frame bundle of the base of  $\mathbb{R}^{(l,k)}$  is

$$\text{Fr}(E_M) = \text{Fr}(\mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \rightarrow \text{GL}(l, k)_0) \cong \text{GL}(k) \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0,$$

so that their fibred product is

$$\text{Fr}(C) \times_{\text{GL}(l, k)_0} \text{Fr}(E_M) \cong \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \times \text{GL}(l) \times \text{GL}(k) = \text{GL}(l, k)_1.$$

On the other hand, the frame bundle of the trivial vector bundle  $\mathbb{R}_2^{(l,k)} = \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \rightarrow \text{GL}(l, k)_0$  is the trivial bundle principal bundle

$$\text{GL}(l+k) \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0 \rightarrow \text{GL}(l, k)_0.$$

To conclude that  $\text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(\mathbb{R}_2^{(l,k)}) = \text{GL}(l, k)_2$ , it is enough to check that the conditions of Definition 4.4 coincide with those defining  $\text{GL}(l, k)_2 \subseteq \text{GL}(l+k) \times \text{GL}(l, k)_0$  in Example 2.23. To this end,

consider an element  $g \in \mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}(l, k)_0$  and a frame  $\phi_g: \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_2^{(l,k)})_g = \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \{g\}$ , which is identified with a block matrix in  $\mathrm{GL}(l+k)$ , i.e.

$$\phi_g(w, v) = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 w + M_2 v \\ M_3 w + M_4 v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then condition 1 of Definition 4.4 is equivalent to  $M_3 = 0$ , since  $\ker(\overline{s})_g = \mathbb{R}^l \times \{0\} \times \{g\}$ . Similarly, from condition 2 of Definition 4.4 it follows that  $M_4$  is invertible (hence  $M_1$  is invertible as well, by the properties of block matrices). Setting  $J := M_2(M_4)^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ , one can check the rest of the conditions defining  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)_2$  via computations completely analogous to those in part 3 of Lemma 4.15.  $\diamond$

## 5.2 Tangent VB-groupoids

**Example 5.4** (tangent VB-groupoids). Let  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  be a Lie groupoid and consider its tangent VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} = T\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows E_M = TM$ , whose core  $C$  coincides with the Lie algebroid  $A = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$  (Example 2.3). Then the Lie groupoid structure of the  $s$ -bisection frame bundle  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(\mathcal{G}) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Fr}(A) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(M)$  admits a simpler description as

$$\mathbf{s}: \mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(A) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(M), \quad \mathbf{s}(\phi_g)(w, v) = \left( d_{g^{-1}} R_g(d\tau(\phi_g(-w, d_{\phi_g}(w)))) \right), d_g s(\phi_g(0, v));$$

$$\mathbf{t}: \mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(A) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(M), \quad \mathbf{t}(\phi_g)(w, v) = \left( d_g R_{g^{-1}}(\phi_g(w, 0)), d_g t(\phi_g(0, v)) \right);$$

$$\mathbf{m}: \mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \times_{\mathbf{t}} \mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{sbis}}, \quad \mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_h)(w, v) := d_{(g,h)} m(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)) + d_g R_h(\phi_g(w, 0));$$

$$\mathbf{u}: \mathrm{Fr}(A) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{sbis}}, \quad \mathbf{u}(\varphi_x^a, \varphi_x^b)(w, v) := d_x u(\varphi_x^b(v)) + \varphi_x^a(w);$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}: \mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{sbis}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\mathrm{sbis}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}(\phi_g)(w, v) := d_g \tau(\phi_g(-w, v + d_{\phi_g}(w))).$$

Similarly, one can write down the structure maps of the  $s$ -bisection frame bundle of the cotangent VB-groupoid  $T^*\mathcal{G}$  (Example 2.4) and describe a canonical isomorphism of PB-groupoids  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(T\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(T^*\mathcal{G})$  over  $\mathrm{Fr}(A) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(TM) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(T^*M) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(A^*)$ . This will be discussed in greater generality in the next section.  $\diamond$

We consider now a few special cases of Example 5.4.

**Example 5.5** (pair groupoids). Let  $\mathcal{G} = M \times M \rightrightarrows M$  be the pair groupoid of an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ , and consider its tangent VB-groupoid  $T\mathcal{G} = TM \times TM \rightrightarrows TM$ , which is the pair groupoid of  $TM$  and has rank  $(n, n)$ . A frame  $\phi_{(x,y)}$  of  $T\mathcal{G}$  at  $g = (x, y)$  takes the form

$$\phi_{(x,y)}: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow T_x M \times T_y M, \quad (w, v) \mapsto (\phi_x(w, v), \phi_y(w, v)).$$

Then the first condition from Definition 4.4 becomes

$$\phi_y(w, 0) = 0 \quad \text{for every } w \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which implies that  $\phi_y(0, \cdot)$  and  $\phi_x(\cdot, 0)$  are frames of  $M$ , while the second condition guarantees that  $\phi_x(0, \cdot)$  is a frame of  $M$ . Since the map  $d_{\phi}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  from Lemma 4.8 is defined by

$$\phi_x(w, 0) = \phi_x(0, d_{\phi}(w)),$$

one can use the definition of the structure maps of the pair groupoid, together with the fact that

$$d_{(x,y)}R_{(y,z)}(\alpha_x, 0_y) = (\alpha_x, 0_z),$$

in order to see that the groupoid structure of  $\text{Fr}(M \times M)^{\text{sbis}} \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(M) \times_M \text{Fr}(M)$  is given by

$$\mathbf{s}(\phi_{(x,y)}) = (\phi_y(0, d_{\phi_g}(\cdot)), \phi_y(0, \cdot)), \quad \mathbf{t}(\phi_{(x,y)}) = (\phi_x(\cdot, 0), \phi_x(0, \cdot));$$

$$\mathbf{m}(\phi_{(x,y)}^1, \phi_{(y,z)}^2)(w, v) := (\phi_x^1(0, v), \phi_z^2(0, v)) + (\phi_x^1(w, 0), 0_z);$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\varphi_x^a, \varphi_x^b)(w, v) := (\varphi_x^b(v), \varphi_x^b(v)) + (\varphi_x^a(w), 0_x), \quad \mathbf{\tau}(\phi_g)(w, v) := (\phi_y(0, v + d_{\phi_g}(w)), \phi_x(0, v)). \quad \diamond$$

**Example 5.6** (étale Lie groupoids). Let  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  be an étale Lie groupoid, with  $\dim(\mathcal{G}) = \dim(M) = k$ , and consider its tangent VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} = T\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows E_M = TM$ , which has rank  $(0, k)$  and core  $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ . As per Example 5.1, both conditions in Definition 4.4 are empty, therefore

$$\text{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\text{sbis}} = \text{Fr}(\mathcal{G}),$$

and the groupoid structure of  $\text{Fr}(\mathcal{G}) \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(M)$  is given by

$$\mathbf{s}(\phi_g) = d_g s \circ \phi_g, \quad \mathbf{t}(\phi_g) = d_g t \circ \phi_g;$$

$$\mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_h)(v) := d_{(g,h)} m(\phi_g(v), \phi_h(v));$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\varphi_x) := d_x u \circ \varphi_x, \quad \mathbf{\tau}(\phi_g) := d_g \tau \circ \phi_g.$$

A particular instance of this example is given by the unit groupoid  $\mathcal{G} = M \rightrightarrows M$ : since  $s = t = \text{id}_M$ , the formulae above show that its  $s$ -bisection frame bundle is precisely the unit groupoid of  $\text{Fr}(M)$ , i.e. its multiplication reduces to

$$\mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_h) = \phi_g = \phi_h. \quad \diamond$$

**Example 5.7** (Lie groups). Let  $G \rightrightarrows M = \{*\}$  be an  $l$ -dimensional Lie group and consider its tangent VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} = TG \rightrightarrows E_M = \{*\}$ , which has rank  $(l, 0)$  and core  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . As per Example 5.2, both conditions in Definition 4.4 are empty, therefore

$$\text{Fr}(G)^{\text{sbis}} = \text{Fr}(G),$$

and the groupoid structure of  $\text{Fr}(G) \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g})$  is given by

$$\mathbf{s}(\phi_g) := -d_{g^{-1}} R_g \circ d_g \tau \circ \phi_g = d_g L_{g^{-1}} \circ \phi_g, \quad \mathbf{t}(\phi_g) = d_g R_{g^{-1}} \circ \phi_g;$$

$$\mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_h)(w) := d_g R_h(\phi_g(w));$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\varphi_x) := \varphi_x, \quad \mathbf{\tau}(\phi_g) := -d_g \tau \circ \phi_g. \quad \diamond$$

**Example 5.8** (bundles of Lie groups). Let  $\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$  be a bundle of Lie groups, i.e. a Lie groupoid where source and target coincide, and consider its tangent VB-groupoid  $d\pi : T\mathcal{G} \rightarrow TM$ , which is a bundle of Lie groups as well. Then

$$\text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\phi_g \in \text{Fr}(\mathcal{G}) \mid \phi_g(w, 0) \in \ker(d_g \pi) \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^l\},$$

since the first condition in Definition 4.4 implies the second one by Lemma 4.6 and using  $s = t = \pi$ .

Moreover, the map  $d_\phi$  from Lemma 4.8 is zero. Indeed, the element  $d_\phi(w) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is defined by

$$d\pi(\phi_g(0, d_\phi(w))) = d\pi(\phi_g(w, 0)) = 0,$$

i.e. by  $\phi_g(0, d_\phi(w)) \in \ker(d\pi)$ . However,  $\phi_g(0, d_\phi(w))$  belongs also to  $\text{Im}(\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k})$ , therefore it vanishes due to Lemma 4.1. Since  $\phi_g$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $d_\phi(w) = 0$ . Then the groupoid structure of  $\text{Fr}(\mathcal{G})^{\text{sbis}} \rightrightarrows \text{Fr}(A) \times \text{Fr}(M)$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{s}(\phi_g)(w, v) = (d_{g^{-1}}R_g(d\tau(\phi_g(-w, 0))), d_g\pi(\phi_g(0, v)));$$

$$\mathbf{t}(\phi_g)(w, v) = (d_gR_{g^{-1}}(\phi_g(w, 0)), d_g\pi(\phi_g(0, v)));$$

$$\mathbf{m}(\phi_g, \phi_h)(w, v) := d_{(g,h)}m(\phi_g(0, v), \phi_h(0, v)) + d_gR_h(\phi_g(w, 0));$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\varphi_x^a, \varphi_x^b)(w, v) := d_xu(\varphi_x^b(v)) + \varphi_x^a(w), \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}(\phi_g)(w, v) := d_g\tau(\phi_g(-w, v)). \quad \diamond$$

### 5.3 PB-groupoid of the dual VB-groupoid

The goal of this subsection is to show that the  $s$ -bisection frame bundle of a VB-groupoid and that of its dual VB-groupoid (Example 2.5) are isomorphic. To this end, as anticipated, we will have to consider a version of Definition 4.4 obtained by switching  $\widetilde{s}$  and  $\widetilde{t}$  and replacing  $\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$ . This will yield a PB-groupoid structure which is isomorphic to the one described in Theorems 4.7 and 4.16, and which will work as a bridge between the  $s$ -bisection and the  $t$ -bisection frame bundles.

**Definition 5.9.** Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ . A frame  $\phi_g \in \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$  is called a  **$t$ -bisection frame** if the following two conditions holds:

1.  $\phi_g|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^l}$  takes value in  $\ker(\widetilde{t}_g)$ ;
2.  $\phi_g|_{\mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}}$  is a bisection frame (Definition 4.2).

The collection

$$\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{tbis}} := \{\phi_g: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow (E_{\mathcal{G}})_g \mid \phi_g \text{ } t\text{-bisection frame}\} \subseteq \text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}).$$

of  $t$ -bisection frames of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  is called the  **$t$ -bisection frame bundle** of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ . ♦

Of course, the analogue of Lemma 4.6 holds, hence the second condition in Definition 5.9 can be weakened to  $\phi_g|_{\mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}}$  being an  $s$ -section frame. Indeed, the first condition in Definition 5.9 implies already that  $\phi_g|_{\mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}}$  is a  $t$ -bisection frame.

**Proposition 5.10.** *Given a VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  of rank  $(l, k)$  over a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , its  $t$ -bisection frame bundle  $\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{tbis}}$  (Definition 5.9) is a Lie groupoid over  $\text{Fr}(E_M) \times_M \text{Fr}(C)$ , where  $C \rightarrow M$  is the core of  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  (Definition 2.2), with structure maps induced by those of  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$ .*

*Moreover,  $\text{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{tbis}}$  is a (left) PB-groupoid over  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  with structural Lie 2-groupoid  $\text{GL}(l, k)$  (Example 2.23), with principal left 2-action given by*

$$A \cdot \phi_g := \phi_g \circ T \circ A^T \circ T,$$

where  $T$  is the map which flips the first and second group of coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^{l+k}$ :

$$T: \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l, \quad (w_1, \dots, w_l, v_1, \dots, v_k) \mapsto (v_k, \dots, v_1, w_l, \dots, w_1).$$



*Proof.* The proof is formally identical to those of Theorems 4.7 and 4.16. In particular, note that, if  $A \in \text{GL}(l, k)_2$ , then  $T \circ A^T \circ T$  sends  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^l$  to  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^l$  and  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}$ , hence it preserves the definition of  $t$ -bisection frame. The left action is therefore well-defined since  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$  and  $T$  is an involution. Q.E.D.

The PB-groupoid structure of its  $s$ -bisection frame bundle and that of its  $t$ -bisection frame bundle are actually the same, up to the inversion  $\tilde{\tau}$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  and the flip  $T$ .

**Proposition 5.11.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ . Then there is a canonical PB-groupoid isomorphism*

$$\psi: \text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow \text{Fr}^{\text{tbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}), \quad \phi_g \mapsto \tilde{\tau} \circ \phi_g \circ T,$$

over the diffeomorphism

$$\text{Fr}(C) \times_M \text{Fr}(E_M) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(E_M) \times_M \text{Fr}(C), \quad (\varphi^c, \varphi^b) \mapsto (\varphi^b, \varphi^c),$$

and with respect to the Lie 2-groupoid isomorphism

$$\text{GL}(l, k) \rightarrow \text{GL}(l, k), \quad A \mapsto A^T.$$

*Proof.* The flip  $T$  guarantees that the restrictions of a frame  $\phi_g$  in Definition 4.4 are mapped precisely to the restrictions in Definition 5.9, while the inversion  $\tilde{\tau}$  transforms  $\ker(\bar{s})$  into  $\ker(\tilde{t})$ , so that  $s$ -bisection frames are sent to  $t$ -bisection frames. This defines an isomorphism of Lie groupoids, with the inverse given by the same map  $\phi_g \mapsto \tilde{\tau} \circ \phi_g \circ T$ , since both  $\tilde{\tau}$  and  $T$  are involutions.

Moreover,  $\psi$  is equivariant with respect to the right and the left principal 2- action of  $\text{GL}(l, k)$  and the isomorphism  $A \mapsto A^T$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} A^T \cdot \psi(\phi) &= \psi(\phi) \circ T \circ (A^T)^T \circ T \\ &= \tilde{\tau} \circ \phi \circ T \circ T \circ A \circ T \\ &= \tilde{\tau} \circ \phi \circ A \circ T \\ &= \tilde{\tau} \circ (\phi \cdot A) \circ T \\ &= \psi(\phi \cdot A). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Recall that, given a frame  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow V$  on a vector space  $V$ , its **dual frame** is the isomorphism  $\phi^*: \mathbb{R}^{l+k} \rightarrow V^*$  satisfying

$$\phi^*(e_i)(\phi(e_j)) = \delta_{ij},$$

where  $\{e_i\}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{l+k}$ . Accordingly, for every vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$ , there is a diffeomorphism (actually an isomorphism of principal bundles)

$$\text{Fr}(E) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(E^*), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi^*.$$

**Proposition 5.12.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , and  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^* \rightrightarrows C^*$  its dual VB-groupoid (Example 2.5). Then there is a canonical PB-groupoid isomorphism*

$$\Phi: \text{Fr}^{\text{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow \text{Fr}^{\text{tbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}^*), \quad \phi_g \mapsto \phi_g^*,$$

over the diffeomorphism

$$\mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(C^*) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M^*), \quad (\varphi^c, \varphi^b) \mapsto ((\varphi^c)^*, (\varphi^b)^*),$$

and with respect to the Lie 2-groupoid isomorphism

$$\mathrm{GL}(l, k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(l, k), \quad A \mapsto A^T.$$

*Proof.* Given an element  $\phi \in \mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$ , its corresponding dual frame  $\phi^*$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{tbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}^*)$  by Lemma 5.13. The fact that  $\Phi$  is equivariant with respect to the right and the left principal 2-action of  $\mathrm{GL}(l, k)$  and the isomorphism  $A \mapsto A^T$  follows from

$$(\phi \cdot A)^* = A^T \cdot \phi^*. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

**Lemma 5.13.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid of rank  $(l, k)$  over a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ . Given any  $s$ -bisection frame  $\phi \in \mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$ , its dual frame  $\phi^* \in \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}^*)$  is a  $t$ -bisection frame of the dual VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^* \rightrightarrows C^*$ , which has rank  $(k, l)$ .*

*Proof.* Recall from Example 2.5 that the source and target maps of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^* \rightrightarrows C^*$  are given by

$$\widetilde{s}^*(\xi)(v) = -\xi(0_g \circ \widetilde{\tau}(v)) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{t}^*(\xi)(v) = \xi(v \circ 0_g).$$

Their kernels at  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  are

$$\left\{ (v \circ 0_g) : v \in C_{s(g)} \right\} = \ker(\widetilde{s})_g \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ (0_g \circ \widetilde{\tau}(v)) : v \in C_{t(g)} \right\} = \ker(\widetilde{t})_g,$$

so that  $\ker(\widetilde{t}^*) = (\ker(\widetilde{s})^0)$  and  $\ker(\widetilde{s}^*) = (\ker(\widetilde{t})^0)$ , where 0 denotes the annihilator of those spaces. As a consequence, for any  $s$ -bisection frame  $\phi$  of  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ , its dual  $\phi^*$  satisfies the first condition in Definition 5.9 by the analogue of Lemma 4.5, since  $\phi^*|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k}$  is a frame for  $\ker(\widetilde{s})^0 = \ker(\widetilde{t}^*)$ . Moreover,  $\mathrm{Im}(\phi^*|_{\mathbb{R}^l \times \{0\}})$  is transverse to  $\ker(\widetilde{t}^*)$ , i.e.  $\phi^*|_{\mathbb{R}^l \times \{0\}}$  is an  $s$ -section frame.

We are left to show that  $\phi^*|_{\mathbb{R}^l \times \{0\}}$  is a  $t$ -section frame, i.e. that it is transverse to  $\ker(\widetilde{s}^*) = (\ker(\widetilde{t})^0)$ ; this is equivalent to the following linear map being injective

$$\varphi: \ker(\widetilde{t}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l, \quad v \mapsto (\phi^*(e_1)(v), \dots, \phi^*(e_l)(v)).$$

We notice first that any  $v \in \ker(\widetilde{t})$  can be written as

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^l (\phi^*(e_i)(v)) \phi(e_i) + \sum_{i=l+1}^{l+k} (\phi^*(e_i)(v)) \phi(e_i).$$

If  $v \in \ker(\varphi)$ , then the first  $l$  coordinates vanish, so  $v \in \mathrm{Span}(\phi(e_{l+1}), \dots, \phi(e_{l+k}))$ . Since  $\phi$  is an  $s$ -bisection frame, the last  $k$  coordinates must be transverse to  $\ker(\widetilde{t})$ , and therefore  $v = 0$ . We conclude that  $\phi^*$  satisfies the first condition in Definition 5.9, hence  $\phi^* \in \mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}^*)$ . Q.E.D.

Composing the isomorphism  $\Psi^{-1}$  from Proposition 5.11 and  $\Phi$  from Proposition 5.12, one obtains the following conclusion.

**Corollary 5.14.** *Let  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  be a VB-groupoid over a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ , and  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^* \rightrightarrows C^*$  its dual VB-groupoid (Example 2.5). Then the PB-groupoid  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Fr}(C) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(E_M)$  is canonically isomorphic to the PB-groupoid  $\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sbis}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}^*) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Fr}(E_M^*) \times_M \mathrm{Fr}(C^*)$ .*

**Example 5.15.** Given a VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows E_M$  with trivial core, its PB-groupoid  $\mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Fr}(E_M)$  (Example 5.1) is canonically isomorphic to the PB-groupoid  $\mathrm{Fr}(E_{\mathcal{G}}^*) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Fr}(E_M^*)$  associated to the VB-groupoid  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^* \rightrightarrows M \times \{0\}$  with trivial base and core  $E_M^*$  (Example 5.2). ◊

## References

- [1] Ronald Brown and Christopher B Spencer. “G-groupoids, crossed modules and the fundamental groupoid of a topological group”. In: *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)* 79.4 (1976), pp. 296–302.
- [2] Henrique Bursztyn, Alejandro Cabrera, and Matias del Hoyo. “Vector bundles over Lie groupoids and algebroids”. In: *Adv. Math.* 290 (2016), pp. 163–207.
- [3] Henrique Bursztyn and Thiago Drummond. “Lie theory of multiplicative tensors”. In: *Math. Ann.* 375.3-4 (2019), pp. 1489–1554.
- [4] Saikat Chatterjee, Aditya Chaudhuri, and Praphulla Koushik. “Atiyah sequence and gauge transformations of a principal 2-bundle over a Lie groupoid”. In: *J. Geom. Phys.* 176 (2022), Paper No. 104509, 29.
- [5] Marius Crainic and Rui Loja Fernandes. “Lectures on integrability of Lie brackets”. In: *Lectures on Poisson geometry*. Vol. 17. Geom. Topol. Monogr. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2011, pp. 1–107.
- [6] Alfonso Garmendia and Sylvie Paycha. “Principal bundle groupoids, their gauge group and their nerve”. In: *J. Geom. Phys.* 191 (2023), Paper No. 104865, 21.
- [7] Alfonso Garmendia and Marco Zambon. “Quotients of singular foliations and Lie 2-group actions”. In: *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 15, no. 4, pp. 1251–1283 (2021).
- [8] Alfonso Gracia-Saz and Rajan Amit Mehta. “VB-groupoids and representation theory of Lie groupoids”. In: *J. Symplectic Geom.* 15.3 (2017), pp. 741–783.
- [9] André Haefliger. “Groupoïdes d’holonomie et classifiants”. In: *Astérisque* 116 (1984). Transversal structure of foliations (Toulouse, 1982), pp. 70–97.
- [10] Matías del Hoyo and Davide Stefani. “The general linear 2-groupoid”. In: *Pacific J. Math.* 298.1 (2019), pp. 33–57.
- [11] Matias L. del Hoyo. “Lie groupoids and their orbispaces”. In: *Port. Math.* 70.2 (2013). see also revised version at arXiv:1212.6714v3, pp. 161–209.
- [12] Gheorghe Ivan. “Principal fibre bundles with structural Lie groupoid”. In: *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.* 6.2 (2001), pp. 39–48.
- [13] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Transformation groups in differential geometry*. Classics in Mathematics. Reprint of the 1972 edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, pp. viii+182.
- [14] Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach. “Multiplicativity, from Lie groups to generalized geometry”. In: *Geometry of jets and fields*. Vol. 110. Banach Center Publ. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2016, pp. 131–166.
- [15] Honglei Lang, Yanpeng Li, and Zhangju Liu. “Double principal bundles”. In: *J. Geom. Phys.* 170 (2021), Paper No. 104354, 19.
- [16] Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Jean-Louis Tu, and Ping Xu. “Chern-Weil map for principal bundles over groupoids”. In: *Math. Z.* 255.3 (2007), pp. 451–491.
- [17] Kirill Mackenzie. “Integrability obstructions for extensions of Lie algebroids”. In: *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég.* 28.1 (1987), pp. 29–52.

- [18] Kirill C. H. Mackenzie. *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*. Vol. 213. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005, pp. xxxviii+501.
- [19] Rajan Amit Mehta and Xiang Tang. “From double Lie groupoids to local Lie 2-groupoids”. In: *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 42.4 (2011), pp. 651–681.
- [20] I. Moerdijk and J. Mrčun. *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*. Vol. 91. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003, pp. x+173.
- [21] Thomas Nikolaus and Konrad Waldorf. “Four equivalent versions of nonabelian gerbes”. In: *Pacific J. Math.* 264.2 (2013), pp. 355–419.
- [22] A Rossi. “Principal bundles with groupoid structure: local vs. global theory and non abelian Čech cohomology”. In: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0404449> (2004).
- [23] Yunhe Sheng and Chenchang Zhu. “Integration of semidirect product Lie 2-algebras”. In: *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 9.5 (2012), pp. 1250043, 31.
- [24] Shlomo Sternberg. *Lectures on differential geometry*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1964, pp. xv+390.
- [25] Chenchang Zhu. “ $n$ -groupoids and stacky groupoids”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 21 (2009), pp. 4087–4141.