

Sub-Landau levels in two-dimensional electron system in magnetic field

G.-Q. Hai*

Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, 13560-970, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

Considering the role of electron correlation in a two-dimensional (2D) system in strong magnetic field, we investigate the quantum states of two interacting electrons in the quantum Hall effect regime. We introduce sub-Landau levels of the two-electron states by determining their energies and degeneracies. The effects of electron correlation, Zeeman splitting and energy-level broadening on the stability of the electron-pair states are discussed. It is shown that the two electrons in the triplet state with spins aligned parallel to the magnetic field can form a stable electron pair in the 2D system in GaAs/AlGaAs heterojunction. A wavefunction of many electron pairs are presented and compared with the Laughlin wavefunction.

Introduction.— The discovery of quantum Hall effects has significantly enriched our knowledge of quantum mechanics and condensed matter physics[1–3]. Although the integer quantum Hall effect (IQHE) is directly related to the degeneracy of Landau levels in the 2D electron system subjected to a strong magnetic field, the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) is a manifestation of strong electronic correlation.[1] The Laughlin wavefunction[4] and Jain’s composite fermions[5] are important concepts for explaining the complex phenomena observed in the FQHE, offering complementary perspectives on the behavior of strongly correlated electrons in the 2D system. However, a simpler physical picture on the mechanism of electron interaction and correlation is still highly desired for the quantum Hall effects. In this work we will present a study on electron pair states in 2D electron system and discuss their possible relation with the quantum Hall states. Our calculation is applied to the electron system in GaAs/AlGaAs heterojunction. The obtained results may provide useful clues for understanding recent experimental observations showing electron pairing in the quantum Hall regime[6–8].

For a single electron with charge $-e$ and effective mass m_e^* confined in the two-dimensional (x, y) plane and subjected to an external magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = B\hat{z}$ in the z direction, using the symmetric gauge for vector potential $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}/2 = (-By/2, Bx/2, 0)$, the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\hat{H}_s(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-i\nabla + \frac{1}{2}\hat{z} \times \mathbf{r} \right)^2, \quad (1)$$

where the magnetic length $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar c/eB}$ and cyclotron energy $\hbar\omega_c = \hbar eB/m_e^*c$ are used for the length and energy units, respectively. In the polar coordinates (r, φ) , the corresponding Schrödinger equation is written as

$$\hat{H}_s(\mathbf{r})\psi_{nm}^s(r, \varphi) = E_{nm}^s\psi_{nm}^s(r, \varphi). \quad (2)$$

The solutions yield the Landau levels $E_{nm}^s = E_L(n, m)$ with

$$E_L(n, m) = n + \frac{m + |m| + 1}{2}, \quad (3)$$

for $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The corresponding wavefunctions are given by

$$\psi_{nm}^s(r, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{im\varphi} R_{nm}^s(r), \quad (4)$$

with

$$R_{nm}^s(r) = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{(n + |m|)!}} \left(\frac{r^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{|m|}{2}} L_n^{|m|} \left(\frac{r^2}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}, \quad (5)$$

where $L_n^{|m|}(x)$ is the generalized Laguerre polynomial. The angular momentum of the electron is given by $\mathbf{L} = m\hbar\hat{z}$. The Landau levels are highly degenerate for $m \leq 0$. The degeneracy (i.e., the number of single-electron states per unit area) of the lowest Landau level is given by $n_{\phi_0} = 1/(2\pi l_B^2) = B/\phi_0$, where $\phi_0 = 2\pi\hbar c/e$ is the quantum of flux.

When there are N_e electrons confined in the 2D plane in the magnetic field, the Hamiltonian of the many-electron system can be written as,

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \hat{H}_s(\mathbf{r}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \sum_{j < i} \frac{\gamma_B}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}, \quad (6)$$

where \mathbf{r}_i denotes the position of electron i . The electron-electron Coulomb interaction strength is measured by parameter $\gamma_B = l_B/a_B^*$ with the effective Bohr radius $a_B^* = \epsilon_0\hbar^2/m_e^*e^2$. The challenge in dealing with such a system is the electron correlation, which is also the most important and interesting part of the problem.

Two-electron states.— We will first study the solutions for a two-electron system in magnetic field by determining their energy levels, wavefunctions, angular momenta and the degeneracies of the quantum states. The importance of the electron correlation in the electron pairing mechanism and the stability of the electron pairs will be discussed. The Hamiltonian of a two-electron system is given by

$$\hat{H}_p(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \hat{H}_s(\mathbf{r}_1) + \hat{H}_s(\mathbf{r}_2) + \frac{\gamma_B}{|\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1|}. \quad (7)$$

It is known from both classical mechanics and quantum mechanics that two electrons in a 2D system orbit each

other in a strong magnetic field and can bind together.[9–11] The problem of two interacting spinless electrons in a 2D plane in magnetic field has been studied by different authors in the last decades.[11–14] Most investigations focused on the so-called quasi-exact solutions, i.e., for specific values of γ_B , the eigenfunctions are in closed form given by a product of a polynomial of finite degree and an exponential function. The problem has also been studied from different point of views, i.e., 2D electrons or anyons. In the following, we will present the numerical solutions of the two-electron system in combination with the quasi-exact solutions. We use the center-of-mass (CM) and relative coordinates defined as

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1), \quad (8)$$

respectively. In the new coordinates, the two-electron Hamiltonian becomes

$$\hat{H}_p(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = H_{\text{cm}}(\mathbf{R}) + H_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (9)$$

with

$$\hat{H}_{\text{cm}}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{R} \right)^2 \quad (10)$$

and

$$\hat{H}_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{r} \right)^2 + \frac{\gamma_B}{|\mathbf{r}|}. \quad (11)$$

It is seen that $\hat{H}_{\text{cm}}(\mathbf{R})$ and the first part of $\hat{H}_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{r})$ have the same form as Eq. (1).

The Schrödinger equation for the relative motion of the two electrons is written as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{r})\psi^{\text{rel}}(r, \theta) = E^{\text{rel}}\psi^{\text{rel}}(r, \theta), \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (r, \theta)$. For $\gamma_B = 0$, the solution of the above equation reduces to the same expressions for the single-electron state given by Eqs. (3) and (4). For $\gamma_B > 0$, the relative motion maintains the angular symmetry. The orbital angular momentum ($\hat{L}_{\text{rel}} = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\theta$) is conserved. The eigenfunction has the following form,

$$\psi_{nm}^{\text{rel}}(r, \theta) = \frac{e^{im\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} R_{nm}^{\text{rel}}(r), \quad (13)$$

for $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. The angular momentum of the relative motion is given by $L_m^{\text{rel}} = m\hbar$. And the radial wavefunction can be written as,

$$R_{nm}^{\text{rel}}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{(n+|m|)!}} \left(\frac{r^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{|m|}{2}} h_n^{|m|} \left(\frac{r^2}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}, \quad (14)$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and the function $h_n^{|m|}(x)$ is defined as

$$h_n^{|m|}(x) = \sum_{n'} a_{nn'}^{(m)} \sqrt{\frac{n'!(n+|m|)!}{n!(n'+|m|)!}} L_{n'}^{|m|}(x), \quad (15)$$

where the coefficients $a_{nn'}^{(m)}$ are determined numerically by the following linear equations for each m ,

$$\sum_{n'} \left[(E_L(n, m) - E_{nm}^{\text{rel}}) \delta_{nn'} + \gamma_B M_{nn'}^{|m|} \right] a_{nn'}^{(m)} = 0, \quad (16)$$

with the matrix element for the e-e interaction $M_{nn'}^{|m|} = \int_0^\infty dr R_{n'm}^s(r) R_{nm}^s(r)$.

Since electrons are fermions, the total wavefunction of the two electrons (including spins) must be antisymmetric. Therefore, to interchange two electrons in the relative coordinates $(r, \theta) \rightarrow (r, \theta + \pi)$, the wavefunction in Eq. (13) must have the following property,

$$\psi_{nm}^{\text{rel}}(r, \theta + \pi) = e^{i\sigma_s \pi} \psi_{nm}^{\text{rel}}(r, \theta), \quad \text{for } \sigma_s = 0 \text{ or } 1. \quad (17)$$

Here $\sigma_s = 0$ corresponds to the spin-singlet state and $\sigma_s = 1$ to spin-triplet state. The condition $\sigma_s = 0$ ($\sigma_s = 1$) is satisfied when taking m as an even (odd) number in Eq. (13). Therefore, we obtain the wavefunctions with $m = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \dots$ for singlet and with $m = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \dots$ for triplet states.

The eigenenergies E_{nm}^{rel} obtained from the numerical solutions of Eq. (16) are given in Fig. 1 indicated by two quantum numbers (n, m) . The so-called quasi-exact solutions at specific γ_B are also indicated by the symbols in the figure. The energy E_{nm}^{rel} at $\gamma_B = 0$ reduces to $E_{nm}^{\text{rel}} = n + (m + |m| + 1)/2$. For $\gamma_B > 0$, the Coulomb interaction lifts the degeneracy of the states with different m . The energy levels with $m \leq -10$ are not shown in the figure. For $m \rightarrow -\infty$, $E_{nm}^{\text{rel}} \rightarrow n + 1/2$ at any γ_B .

The function $h_n^{|m|}(x)$ in Eq. (15) is obtained from the numerical calculations. At specific γ_B where there exists




FIG. 1. The eigenenergy E_{nm}^{rel} as a function of γ_B indicated by (n, m) for $n = 0$ (the black curves), $n = 1$ (the red), $n = 2$ (the green), $n = 3$ (the blue), and $m \leq 0$ ($m = 0, -1, -2, \dots, -9$) (the solid curves), $m = +1$ (the dashed), $m = +2$ (the dotted), and $m = +3$ (the dash-dotted). The symbols show the quasi-exact solutions indicated by n_p .

quasi-exact solution, $h_n^{|m|}(x)$ recovers analytic expression in the form of a polynomial $h_n^{|m|}(r^2/2) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_p} c_{n,j}^{|m|} r^j$ truncated at the n_p^{th} power. For instance, at $\gamma_B = 0$ and $E_{0m}^{\text{rel}} = (1 + |m| + m)/2$ (the open red dots in Fig. 1), $h_0^{|m|}(r^2/2)$ is a constant with $n_p = 0$. At $\gamma_B = \sqrt{(2|m|+1)/2}$ and $E_{nm}^{\text{rel}} = (2 + |m| + m)/2$ (the solid red dots), $h_n^{|m|}(r^2/2)$ is a linear function of r with $n_p = 1$.

The Schrödinger equation for the CM motion is written as

$$\widehat{H}_{\text{cm}}(\mathbf{R})\psi_{NM}^{\text{cm}}(R, \Theta) = E_{NM}^{\text{cm}}\psi_{NM}^{\text{cm}}(R, \Theta), \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{R} = (R, \Theta)$. The eigenvalue E_{NM}^{cm} and the eigenfunction $\psi_{NM}^{\text{cm}}(R, \Theta)$ of the above equation have the same expressions as given by Eqs. (3) to (5) with $E_{NM}^{\text{cm}} = E_L(N, M) = N + (M + |M| + 1)/2$ for $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and M being an integer. Since Eqs. (18) and (12) describe the motion of the same electrons, the value of M depends on m given in Eq. (13). From the periodic conditions in the θ and Θ coordinates for the total wavefunction of the two electrons, M must be equal to m multiplied by a positive integer, that is, $M = m \cdot k$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Therefore, the total eigenenergy of the two electrons is given by $E_{NM, nm}^{\text{pair}} = E_{NM}^{\text{cm}} + E_{nm}^{\text{rel}}$ with the corresponding wavefunction

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{NM, nm}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) &= \psi_{NM}^{\text{cm}}(R, \Theta)\psi_{nm}^{\text{rel}}(r, \theta) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{N!n!}{(N + |M|)!(n + |m|)!}} \frac{e^{iM\Theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{|M|}{2}} \mathbb{L}_N^{|M|}\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{e^{im\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{|m|}{2}} h_n^{|m|}\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{R^2+r^2}{4}}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $M = mk$ with $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. In which, even m for spin-singlet and odd m for spin-triplet states. We will call these eigenenergies $E_{NM, nm}^{\text{pair}}$ as *sub-Landau levels*. For fixed quantum numbers n, m , and N , the sub-Landau level $E_{NM, nm}^{\text{pair}}$ is degenerate for all negative values of M . But it happens only for $m < 0$ since $M = mk$. Notice that, in the above solution, the correlation energy between the two electrons is fully included. The Coulomb interaction between the electrons does not affect the total angular momentum $\widehat{L}_p = \widehat{L}_{\text{cm}} + \widehat{L}_{\text{rel}}$, where \widehat{L}_{cm} and \widehat{L}_{rel} are the CM and relative angular momentum, respectively. They are gauge invariant and conserved quantum quantities with the eigenvalues given by $\mathbf{L}_M^{\text{cm}} = M\hbar\hat{z}$ and $\mathbf{L}_m^{\text{rel}} = m\hbar\hat{z}$. In fact, \widehat{H}_{cm} , \widehat{H}_{rel} , \widehat{L}_{cm} and \widehat{L}_{rel} are mutually commuting operators.

Fig. 2(a) shows the sub-Landau levels $E_{NM, nm}^{\text{pair}}$ for $N = 0$ (with $E_{0M}^{\text{cm}} = \hbar\omega_c/2$) and $m = +3, +1, -1, -3, -5, -7$, and -9 (i.e., only the odd m for triplet states are plotted). The energy in the figure is given by $E_{NM, nm}^{\text{pair}}$ divided by 2 (i.e., measured by energy per electron) in order

to compare with the Landau levels indicated by n_s . Notice that, the energy levels with $m \leq -10$ are not shown in the figure. For each set of the sub-Landau levels with fixed N, n and different m (for $m \leq 0$), the lowest level is found at $[(N + n + 1)/2]\hbar\omega_c$ for $m \rightarrow -\infty$. Therefore, the transition from a Landau level of single-electron states to the sub-Landau levels of electron-pair states is quasi-continue. It means that there is no energy gap between them and consequently, any weak e-e repulsion may push electrons in the single-electron states to the electron-pair states.

To determine the degeneracy of the sub-Landau levels, we will focus on the set of the lowest energy levels $E_{0M, 0m}^{\text{pair}} = E_{0M}^{\text{cm}} + E_{0m}^{\text{rel}}$ with $N = n = 0$, and $m = -1, -2, -3, \dots$. At $\gamma_B = 0$ ($B \rightarrow \infty$), $E_{0M, 0m}^{\text{pair}} = (M + |M| + 1)/2 + (m + |m| + 1)/2$ which are degenerate for both negative M and m . We can compare Eqs. (7) and (9) of the same Hamiltonian of the two-electron system in different coordinates. The above degeneracy is equivalent to two non-interacting electron systems of each one




FIG. 2. (a) The sub-Landau levels $E_{NM, nm}^{\text{pair}}$ indicated by (n, m) as a function of B for $N=0$. Only the odd $|m| \leq 9$ are shown for $n = 0$ (the black curves), $n = 1$ (the red curves), etc. The Landau levels $n_s = 0, 1$ and 2 are shown by the thick horizontal lines. (b) The density of states of the sub-Landau levels for $N=n=0$ and $m=-1, -3, \dots, -9$ at $B=10$ T.

with degeneracy $n_{\phi_0} = B/\phi_0$. However, for $\gamma_B > 0$, the Coulomb interaction lifts the degeneracy in m . The sub-Landau level $E_{0M,0m}^{\text{pair}}$ is only degenerate for different M with the degeneracy being half of the non-interacting systems, but with each state $(0M, 0m)$ containing two correlated electrons. Notice that, the e-e interaction does not alter the total angular momentum $L_M^{\text{cm}} + L_m^{\text{rel}} = M\hbar + m\hbar$. For $m = -1$, the sub-Landau level $E_{0M,0-1}^{\text{pair}}$ is degenerate for $M = -1, -2, -3, \dots$, with the total number of the electron-pair states $n_{\phi_0}/2$. Thus, the total degeneracy, namely, the “states for single electrons per unit area” is the same as that of the lowest Landau level n_{ϕ_0} . For $m = -2$, the sub-Landau level $E_{0M,0-2}^{\text{pair}}$ is degenerate for $M = -2, -4, -6, \dots$, and, therefore, the total degeneracy for single electrons is $n_{\phi_0}/2$. In general, for a fixed negative m value, possible values for M are given by $M = m, 2m, 3m, \dots$. Consequently, the total degeneracy $n_{\phi}^{(m)}$ of the sub-Landau level $E_{0M,0m}^{\text{pair}}$ is given by

$$n_{\phi}^{(m)} = \frac{n_{\phi_0}}{|m|} = \frac{B}{|m|\phi_0}, \quad \text{for } m < 0. \quad (20)$$

The density of states of the sub-Landau levels $(0M, 0m)$ for $m = -1, -3, \dots, -9$ at $B = 10$ T with a small broadening is shown in Fig. 2(b) together with the lowest Landau level.

Electron pairing.— To better understand the mechanism of electron pairing, we may “visualize” the two-electron system in Fig. 3. Each electron is subjected to the magnetic field \mathbf{B} and two electrons interact to each other according to Coulomb’s law. The e-e interaction includes direct Coulomb repulsion, exchange interaction and electron correlation. The exchange interaction determines the symmetry of the total wavefunction. The correlation attraction due to the duality of the electrons is incorporated in the solution of the Schrödinger equation. The two electrons circulate around their center of mass with the angular momentum \mathbf{L}^{rel} (corresponding to a velocity \mathbf{v}). Each electron experiences a Lorentz force $\mathbf{F}_B = -e\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$ from the magnetic field and a direct Coulomb repulsion force \mathbf{F}_C of the other electron. A necessary condition for such a circulation being stable is a negative relative angular momentum $L_m^{\text{rel}} = m\hbar$ and thus the force \mathbf{F}_B pointing to the center of the circle overcoming (partially) the direct Coulomb repulsion. This means that the potentially stable sub-Landau state of an electron pair must be diamagnetic with $m < 0$. In addition, the electronic correlation creates a Coulomb hole.[15, 16] From the symmetry of the two-electron wavefunction (and the charge density distribution), we know that the center of the Coulomb hole is located at $\mathbf{r} = 0$, the exact position of the CM. The attractive force \mathbf{F}_{corr} exerted on each electron due to the Coulomb hole is centripetal, pointing always to the CM and ensuring the stability of the rotation of the two electrons. We understand this intra-orbital correlation is at the heart of the formation of

such a correlated rotating electron pair (CREP) as shown in Fig. 3. Moreover, the rotating motion of the electron pair with relative orbital angular momentum $m\hbar$ creates a vortex with vortex charge m .

Electron correlation depends on the orbitals of the electrons and can in turn modify the electron orbitals. The dependence of the correlation energy on the orbitals leads to different intra- and inter-orbital correlations. For instance, in atomic systems, the correlation of an electron with the other occupying the same orbital is much stronger than its correlation with another electron in a different orbital.[17] In a 2D system of many electrons in strong magnetic field, the intra-orbital electron correlations of the CREPs in highly degenerated sub-Landau levels can be essential for the quantum Hall effects.




FIG. 3. Diagram of the correlated rotating electron pair with $\mathbf{L}^{\text{rel}} = m\hbar\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ ($m < 0$).

We will not determine now the exact value of the electron pair correlation energy. However, from our study on the electron correlation energies in many-electron atoms and molecules, we know that the intra-orbital electron pair correlation energy is related to the size of the orbitals. In atoms and molecules, such a correlation energy is about 20 to 40 millihartree.[16–19] Although the absolute value of the correlation energy in GaAs semiconductor is orders of magnitude smaller than that in atoms and molecules, the nature of the electron correlation is the same but the energy is re-scaled to the effective Hartree $E_h^* = \hbar^2/m_e^*a_B^{*2} = m_e^*e^4/\epsilon_0^2\hbar^2$. We can assume that the correlation energy ε_c between two electrons of a CREP in the sub-Landau level $E_{0M,0-1}^{\text{pair}}$ (with $n = 0$ and $m = -1$) is of the order of $10^{-2}E_h^* = 10 \text{ mE}_h^*$ at $B = 10$ T. This is consistent with the correlation energy between two electrons in a quantum ring of the similar orbital size.[20, 21] In GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure, $\varepsilon_c = 10 \text{ mE}_h^* \simeq 0.12 \text{ meV}$, corresponding to a temperature of 1.4 K. Moreover, this correlation energy decreases as $|m|$ increases. Therefore, the intra-orbital correlation energy ε_c can hold the electron pair at low temperature ($\lesssim 1.4$ K) in a very clean GaAs/AlGaAs sample.

Zeeman splitting and stability of the electron pair.— Although the Coulomb interaction is fully considered in the above two-electron system including the exchange and correlation effects, the Zeeman splitting and spin-orbital coupling are not included. The spin of two electrons leads

to the additional Zeeman energy, given by

$$E_{S_z} = 2\Delta_Z S_z \quad (21)$$

with $\Delta_Z = g^* \mu_B B / 2 = g^* (m_e^* / 4m_e) \hbar \omega_c$, where μ_B is the Bohr magneton, g^* the effective Landé factor, and S_z the spin component of the two electrons. $S_z = 0$ for the singlet and $S_z = 0, \pm 1$ for the triplet states. For GaAs, $m_e^* = 0.067m_e$ and $g^* = -0.44$, we obtain $\Delta_Z = -0.00737\hbar\omega_c$.

For the spin-singlet pair with $S_z = 0$, two electrons have different spins. The spin-up and spin-down electrons in magnetic field gain extra energies $+\Delta_Z$ and $-\Delta_Z$, respectively. Although the total Zeeman energy $E_{S_z} = 0$, the energy splitting between the two electrons is $2|\Delta_Z| = 0.0147\hbar\omega_c$. At $B = 10$ T, this splitting is 0.254 meV, and it increases with increasing magnetic field. Because $2|\Delta_Z|$ is much larger than the correlation energy ε_c between the electrons in typical magnetic field for quantum Hall effects, the Zeeman splitting destabilizes the spin-singlet CREP. For the three spin-triplet states, they have energy difference $2\Delta_Z$ with the state $S_z = 0$ in the middle. The state with $S_z = -1$ is of highest energy due to $g^* < 0$ in GaAs. Both the states with $S_z = 0$ and $S_z = -1$ are unlikely stable mostly because of the instability of $S_z = 0$ state with two electrons of opposite spins. Therefore, the only possible stable state of the CREP in the condition of quantum Hall effects in GaAs is the triplet state with $S_z = +1$ and energy $E_{NM, nm}^{\text{pair}} + 2\Delta_Z$. This is consistent with Laughlin's assumption for a spin polarized Landau level in FQHE[4]. The corresponding energy levels are the same as given in Fig. 2 but with a downward shift $\Delta_Z = -0.00737\hbar\omega_c$.

On the other hand, the so-called disorder effects due to presence of defects such as impurities and interface roughness in experimental samples play an important role in the quantum Hall effects. The defects scatter the electrons in crystal leading to an energy-level broadening. In GaAs, a broadening of 0.12 meV of the single-electron level corresponds to a quantum mobility of 2×10^5 cm²/Vs.[22] Therefore, the primary condition for the existence of a stable CREP at low temperature is

the intra-orbital correlation energy ε_c being larger than the level broadening. In other words, the above triplet CREPs can exist only in a very clean sample (with higher electron mobility). Since ε_c in the CREP decreases with increasing $|m|$, the sub-Landau levels with very large $|m|$ cannot be formed in a real sample even with small level broadening.

Many electron-pair wavefunction.— For a system with N_p electron pairs formed by $N_e = 2N_p$ electrons, the Hamiltonian given in Eq. (6) becomes,

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_p} \hat{H}_p(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha,1}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha,2}) + \sum_{\beta < \alpha} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \frac{\gamma_B}{|\mathbf{r}_{\alpha,i} - \mathbf{r}_{\beta,j}|}, \quad (22)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{\alpha,i}$ represents the pair α with two electrons $i=1$ and 2. We may denote an electron pair α at $\boldsymbol{\xi}_\alpha = (\mathbf{r}_{\alpha,1}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha,2}) = (\mathbf{R}_\alpha, \mathbf{r}_\alpha)$ in the state $\mu = (NM, nm)$ with wavefunction $\psi_\mu(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\alpha) = \Psi_{NM, nm}(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha,1}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha,2})$ given by Eq. (19). There are the following relations $\int d\boldsymbol{\xi} \psi_\mu^*(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \psi_{\mu'}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \delta_{N, N'} \delta_{M, M'} \delta_{n, n'} \delta_{m, m'} = \delta_{\mu, \mu'}$, and $\sum_{\mu} \psi_\mu^*(\boldsymbol{\xi}') \psi_\mu(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \delta(\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}')$. The interaction potential between the CREPs is given by the second part in Eq. (22).

For the set of lowest sub-Landau levels $(0M, 0m)$ with $N = 0$, $n = 0$, $m < 0$ and $M = m, 2m, 3m, \dots$, the wavefunction can written as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\mu(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\alpha) &= \Psi_{0M, 0m}(\mathbf{R}_\alpha, \mathbf{r}_\alpha) \\ &= \frac{(z_{\alpha,2} + z_{\alpha,1})^{|M|}}{\pi 2^{|M|+|m|+1} \sqrt{|M|! |m|!}} (z_{\alpha,2} - z_{\alpha,1})^{|m|} h_0^{|m|} (d_\alpha^2/4) \\ &\quad \times e^{-\frac{|z_{\alpha,1}|^2 + |z_{\alpha,2}|^2}{4}}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where we have used the notations $z = re^{-i\theta} = x - iy$, $z_{\alpha,j} = x_{\alpha,j} - iy_{\alpha,j}$ ($j = 1, 2$), $\mathbf{r}_\alpha = (x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$, and $d_\alpha = |z_{\alpha,2} - z_{\alpha,1}| = |\mathbf{r}_{\alpha,2} - \mathbf{r}_{\alpha,1}|$. In a moderate or strong magnetic field, $h_0^{|m|} (d_\alpha^2/4)$ is sub-linear function. Remember that the stable CREPs are spin triplet with $S_z = 1$.

Because the CREPs are effectively bosons, within the Hartree-Fock theory for bosons[23], the total wavefunction of N_p CREPs in one of the lowest sub-Landau level (with $N = n = 0$ and fixed m) can be approximately written as the following permanent,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1, \boldsymbol{\xi}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{N_p}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_p!}} \text{perm} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1) & \psi_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}_2) & \dots & \psi_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N_p}) \\ \psi_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1) & \psi_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_2) & \dots & \psi_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N_p}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_{N_p}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1) & \psi_{N_p}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_2) & \dots & \psi_{N_p}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N_p}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \text{perm} \begin{pmatrix} (z_{1,1} + z_{1,2})^{|m|} & (z_{2,1} + z_{2,2})^{|m|} & \dots & (z_{N_p,1} + z_{N_p,2})^{|m|} \\ (z_{1,1} + z_{1,2})^{2|m|} & (z_{2,1} + z_{2,2})^{2|m|} & \dots & (z_{N_p,1} + z_{N_p,2})^{2|m|} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (z_{1,1} + z_{1,2})^{N_p|m|} & (z_{2,1} + z_{2,2})^{N_p|m|} & \dots & (z_{N_p,1} + z_{N_p,2})^{N_p|m|} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_p!}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_p} \frac{(z_{\alpha,2} - z_{\alpha,1})^{|m|} h_0^{|m|} (d_\alpha^2/4)}{\pi 2^{(\alpha+1)|m|+1} \sqrt{|m|!} (\alpha|m|)!} \right\} \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} |z_j|^2 \right). \quad (24)$$

We can compare the above wavefunction with the Laughlin wavefunction for the fractional quantum Hall states given by, for $m = -3, -5, \dots$,

$$\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_{N_e}) \propto \prod_{j < k}^{N_e} (z_j - z_k)^{|m|} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} |z_j|^2 \right). \quad (25)$$

It is seen that our wavefunction has the basic characteristics of the Laughlin wavefunction. Considering that the Laughlin wavefunction is exact, the wavefunction in Eq. (24) includes only the intra-pair e-e interaction. The inter-pair interaction has to be considered through the pair-pair interaction potential in Eq. (22) for $\alpha \neq \beta$. The inter-pair correlation energy should be small because the overlap between different pairs is small and the intra-pair and inter-pair correlations are not entangled.[17] On the other hand, our wavefunction is presented for both the IQHE ($m = -1$) and FQHE ($m \leq -3$) states. Each state has well defined energy level and degeneracy $n_{\phi_0}/|m|$. There are energy gaps between different states. The study in this work may provide clues for explanation of the experimental observations showing electron pairing in the quantum Hall effect regime[6–8]. Furthermore, we have constructed a system of many bosonic quasiparticles of CREPs for the quantum Hall states. It opens a possibility of studying Bose-Einstein condensation in the quantum Hall effects.

This work was supported by FAPESP (São Paulo Research Foundation, under the grant 2024/00484-2) and CNPq (Brazil).

* email: hai@ifsc.usp.br

- [1] D. Tong, Lectures on the Quantum Hall Effect, arXiv:1606.06687 [hep-th] (2016).
- [2] K. von Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, New Method for High-Accuracy Determination of the Fine Structure Constant Based on Quantized Hall Resistance, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 494 (1980)
- [3] D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer, and A. C. Gossard, Two-Dimensional Magnetotransport in the Extreme Quantum Limit, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1559 (1982).
- [4] R. B. Laughlin, The Anomalous Quantum Hall Effect: An Incompressible Quantum Fluid with Fractionally Charged Excitations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395 (1983).
- [5] J. K. Jain, Composite-Fermion Approach for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 199 (1989).
- [6] H. K. Choi, I. Sivan, A. Rosenblatt, M. Heiblum, V. Umansky, and D. Mahalu, Robust electron pairing in the integer quantum hall effect regime, *Nat. Commun.* **6**:7435 doi: 10.1038/ncomms8435 (2015)
- [7] S. Biswas, H. K. Kundu, V. Umansky, and M. Heiblum, Electron Pairing of Interfering Interface-Based Edge Modes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 096302 (2023)
- [8] A. Demir, N. Staley, S. Aronson, S. Tomarken, K. West, K. Baldwin, L. Pfeiffer, and R. Ashoori, Correlated double-electron additions at the edge of a two-dimensional electronic system, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 256802 (2021).
- [9] S. Curilef and F. Claro, Dynamics of two interacting particles in a magnetic field in two dimensions, *Am. J. Phys.* **65**, 244 (1997)
- [10] R. B. Laughlin, Quantized motion of three two-dimensional electrons in a strong magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. B* **27**, 3383 (1983).
- [11] A. Verçin, Two anyons in a static, uniform magnetic field. Exact solution, *Phys. Lett. B* **260**, 120 (1991).
- [12] A. V. Turbiner, Quasi-Exactly-Solvable Problems and $sl(2)$ Algebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118**, 467 (1988).
- [13] M. Taut, Two electrons in a homogeneous magnetic field: particular analytical solutions, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27**, 1045 (1994) [**27**, 4723 (1994) erratum].
- [14] T. T. Truong and D. Bazzali, Exact low-lying states of two interacting equally charged particles in a magnetic field, *Phys. Lett. A* **269**, 186 (2000).
- [15] C. A. Coulson and A. H. Neilson, Electron correlation in the ground state of helium, *Proc. Phys. Soc.* **78**, 831 (1961).
- [16] C. Hättig, W. Klopper, A. Köhn, and D. P. Tew, Explicitly correlated electrons in molecules, *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 4 (2012).
- [17] G.-Q. Hai, L. Cândido, B. G. A. Brito, and Y. Liu, Revealing the regularities of electron correlation energies associated with valence electrons in atoms in the first three rows of the periodic table, arXiv:2404.16759 [physics.chem-ph] (2024).
- [18] V. G. de Pina, B. G. A. Brito, G.-Q. Hai, and L. Cândido, Quantifying electron-correlation effects in small coinage-metal clusters via ab initio calculations, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **23**, 9832 (2021).
- [19] E. M. Isaac Moreira, B. G. A. Brito, G.-Q. Hai, and L. Cândido, Electron correlation effects in boron clusters B_n^Q (for $Q = -1, 0, 1$ and $n \leq 13$) based on quantum Monte Carlo simulations, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **24**, 3119 (2022).
- [20] P.-F. Loos and P. M. W. Gill, Exact Wave Functions of Two-Electron Quantum Rings, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 083002 (2012).
- [21] L. K. Castelano, G.-Q. Hai, B. Partoens, and F. M. Peeters, Control of the persistent currents in two interacting quantum rings through the Coulomb interaction and interring tunneling, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 195315 (2008)
- [22] G.-Q. Hai, N. Studart, F. M. Peeters, P. M. Koenraad, and J. H. Wolter, Intersubband-coupling and screening effects on the electron transport in a quasi-two-dimensional δ -doped semiconductor system, *J. Appl. Phys.* **80**, 5809 (1996).
- [23] J. M. Zhang, H. F. Song, and Y. Liu, Permanent variational wave functions for bosons, *Physica A* **599**, 127399 (2022).