

# Rates for maps and flows in a deterministic multidimensional weak invariance principle

Nicolò Paviato\*

June 11, 2024

## Abstract

We present the first rates of convergence to an  $N$ -dimensional Brownian motion when  $N \geq 2$  for discrete and continuous time dynamical systems. Additionally, we provide the first rates for continuous time in any dimension. Our results hold for nonuniformly hyperbolic and expanding systems, such as Axiom A flows, suspensions over a Young tower with exponential tails, and some classes of intermittent solenoids.

## 1 Introduction

The study of statistical laws for dynamical systems is a widely explored area that attracted the attention of a rich research community, starting from the work of Bowen, Ruelle and Sinai in the 1970s. This paper provides quantitative estimates on the number of iterations of a hyperbolic/expanding<sup>1</sup> system to accurately approximate Brownian motion. In particular, we focus on the rates of convergence for a deterministic version of the classical functional central limit theorem of Donsker [15]. In the following, we will refer to this as the weak invariance principle (WIP). Such a result was proved for numerous nonuniformly hyperbolic maps in [20] and for uniformly hyperbolic flows in [14]. More recent developments in this direction for a nonuniformly hyperbolic setting are [6, 18, 30, 33, 34].

A natural consequence of the WIP is the central limit theorem, where the rates of convergence are commonly referred to as Berry-Esseen estimates. Sharp results in this direction for uniformly and nonuniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphisms were found respectively in [10] and [19]. In the continuous time literature, [37] was the first to provide Berry-Esseen estimates; these are of the order of  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/4+\varepsilon})$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , in the Prokhorov metric for a billiard flow with finite horizon.

For the WIP, Antoniou and Melbourne [3] proved a convergence rate of  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/4+\delta})$  in the Prokhorov metric for nonuniformly hyperbolic maps, while Liu and Wang [26] proved the same rate in the  $q$ -Wasserstein metric for  $q > 1$ . Here,  $\delta > 0$  depends on the degree of nonuniformity and it gets smaller for greater  $q$ ; it can be chosen arbitrarily small if the system has exponential tails. The methods of [3, 26] are based on a generalisation [22] of the martingale-coboundary decomposition technique of Gordin [17], which allows to apply

---

\*Einstein Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel.  
e-mail: [nicolo.paviato@mail.huji.ac.il](mailto:nicolo.paviato@mail.huji.ac.il) - Research supported by ISF research grant 3056/21.

<sup>1</sup>For the remainder of the introduction, we write "hyperbolic" instead of "hyperbolic/expanding".

a martingale version of the Skorokhod embedding theorem. It is known [7, 38] that such a method cannot yield better rates than  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/4})$ . It is important to mention that [3] and [26] deal exclusively with discrete time systems and real-valued observables and, to our knowledge, the literature does not have any further results on this topic. This paper is the first one that gives rates of convergence in  $N$  dimension for  $N \geq 2$ ; furthermore, it is the first work that addresses flows in this context.

When  $N = 1$ , we get a rate of  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/4}(\log n)^{3/4})$  in Prokhorov for uniformly hyperbolic maps and flows, improving the one of [3] in discrete time. For nonuniformly hyperbolic flows, we recover the same rate that [3] proved for maps. For  $N \geq 2$ , we are able to achieve a rate of  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/6+\delta})$  in the 1-Wasserstein metric independently of the dimension.

To illustrate how the nonuniformity affects the rates, we consider the LSV map studied in [27]. For  $\gamma > 0$  we define  $T: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as

$$Tx = \begin{cases} x(1 + 2^\gamma x^\gamma) & x \in [0, 1/2) \\ 2x - 1 & x \in [1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

This system is a type of Pomeau-Maneville intermittent map [36] and when  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  there is a unique ergodic invariant probability measure that is absolutely continuous to Lebesgue measure. The WIP holds for Hölder observables  $v: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  if  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . A first example of a nonuniformly expanding flow is obtained as a suspension of the map  $T$  with a Hölder continuous roof function. The WIP is also valid on the suspension by [21, 32, 34]. When  $N = 1$ , we obtain for both map and flow the rates in Prokhorov of  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{4}(1-2\gamma)-\varepsilon})$  for  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , similarly to [3]. If  $N \geq 2$ , we get in 1-Wasserstein  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon})$  for  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ , and  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{4}(1-2\gamma)-\varepsilon}(\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-2\gamma)-\varepsilon})$  if  $\gamma \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

Our proofs utilize results from general martingale theory [12, 13, 24]. To apply the latter to discrete time dynamical systems, we follow the same strategy of [3, 26] and rely on an advanced adaptation [22] of the martingale-coboundary decomposition introduced by Gordin [17]. We proceed similarly in the flow case, where first we generalise [22] to continuous time; this original work is found in Section 4.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents our main results for flows and maps. In Section 3, we recall some techniques from [22] and prove the rates for maps. Section 4 presents two new decompositions for regular observables with estimates in continuous time, extending the work of [22]. In Section 5, we use the new estimates from Section 4 to prove the rates for semiflows. Finally, Section 6 shows that our rates for (non invertible) expanding systems are still valid for a family of (invertible) nonuniformly hyperbolic flows that satisfy an exponential contraction along stable leaves. Apart from Section 6, this work can be found in the author's PhD thesis [35], completed at the University of Warwick (UK) under the supervision of Prof. Ian Melbourne.

**Notation.** We write interchangeably  $a_n = \mathcal{O}(b_n)$  or  $a_n \ll b_n$  for two sequences  $a_n, b_n \geq 0$ , if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  and an integer  $n_0 \geq 0$  such that  $a_n \leq Cb_n$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $J \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , we write  $|x| = (\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2)^{1/2}$  and  $|J| = (\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n J_{i,j}^2)^{1/2}$ .

## 2 Setup and main results

We introduce here the metrics used to describe the rates in the WIP, and the class of dynamical systems under consideration. This section presents our new rates of convergence in discrete and continuous time.

## 2.1 Metrics for probability measures

Let  $(S, d_S)$  be a separable metric space with Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , and denote with  $\mathcal{M}_1(S)$  the set of Borel probability measures on  $S$ . The following definitions are taken from [16]. Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(S)$ .

### 1-Wasserstein (or Kantorovich) metric

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}_1} \left| \int_S f \, d\mu - \int_S f \, d\nu \right|,$$

where  $\text{Lip}_1 = \{f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq d_S(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in S\}$ .

### Prokhorov (or Lévy-Prokhorov) metric

$$\Pi(\mu, \nu) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \mu(B) \leq \nu(B^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{B}\},$$

where  $B^\varepsilon = \bigcup_{x \in B} \{y \in S : d_S(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ .

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $S$ -valued random elements with respectively laws  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , we write  $\Pi(X, Y) = \Pi(\mu, \nu)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(X, Y) = \mathcal{W}(\mu, \nu)$ . Denoting with  $X_n \rightarrow_w X$  the weak convergence of the sequence of laws of  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  to the law of  $X$ , we have that  $\mathcal{W}(X_n, X) \rightarrow 0$  implies  $X_n \rightarrow_w X$ . The distance  $\Pi$  metrizes weak convergence on  $\mathcal{M}_1(S)$  and the same is true for  $\mathcal{W}$  under the extra assumption  $\text{diam}(S) < \infty$ .

We recall that  $\Pi(X, Y) \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{W}(X, Y)}$  (see [16, Theorem 2]) and that, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are defined on a common probability space, then  $\Pi(X, Y) \leq |d_S(X, Y)|_\infty$ . This estimate follows from the definition of  $\Pi$ , noting that  $\mathbb{P}(d_S(X, Y) > \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  implies that  $\Pi(X, Y) \leq \varepsilon$ .

## 2.2 Nonuniformly expanding maps

Let  $(X, d)$  be a bounded metric space with a Borel probability measure  $\rho$  and suppose that  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is a nonsingular map ( $\rho(T^{-1}E) = 0$  if and only if  $\rho(E) = 0$  for all Borel sets  $E \subset X$ ). Assume that  $\rho$  is ergodic.

We suppose that there exists a measurable  $Y \subset X$  with  $\rho(Y) > 0$  and  $\{Y_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  an at most countable measurable partition of  $Y$ . Let  $\tau: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  be an integrable function with constant values  $\tau_j \geq 1$  on partition elements  $Y_j$ . We assume that  $T^{\tau(y)}y \in Y$  for all  $y \in Y$  and define  $F: Y \rightarrow Y$  as  $Fy = T^{\tau(y)}y$ .

The dynamical system  $(X, T, \rho)$  is said to be a *nonuniformly expanding map* if there are constants  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $\eta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $C \geq 1$ , such that for each  $j \geq 1$  and  $x, y \in Y_j$ ,

- (a)  $F|_{Y_j}: Y_j \rightarrow Y$  is a measure-theoretic bijection;
- (b)  $d(Fx, Fy) \geq \lambda d(x, y)$ ;
- (c)  $d(T^\ell x, T^\ell y) \leq Cd(Fx, Fy)$  for all  $0 \leq \ell \leq \tau_j - 1$ ;
- (d)  $\zeta = d\rho|_Y / (d\rho|_Y \circ F)$  satisfies  $|\log \zeta(x) - \log \zeta(y)| \leq Cd(Fx, Fy)^\eta$ .

We say that  $T$  is nonuniformly expanding of order  $p \in [1, \infty]$  if the return time  $\tau$  lies in  $L^p(Y)$ . A map  $F$  satisfying (a), (b), and (d) is a (full-branched) Gibbs-Markov map as in [1]. It is standard that there exists a unique  $\rho$ -absolutely continuous ergodic (and mixing)  $T$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu_X$  on  $X$  (see for example [43, Theorem 1]).

The LSV map mentioned in the introduction is an example of nonuniformly expanding map of order  $p$  for every  $p \in [1, 1/\gamma)$  (see [2, Subsection 2.5.2]).

**Definition 2.1** (Hölder functions). Let  $N \geq 1$ ,  $\eta \in (0, 1]$ , and  $v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ . Define

$$\|v\|_\eta = |v|_\infty + |v|_\eta \quad \text{and} \quad |v|_\eta = \sup_{x \neq y} |v(x) - v(y)|/d(x, y)^\eta.$$

Let  $C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R}^N)$  consist of observables  $v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $\|v\|_\eta < \infty$ .

### 2.3 Rates in the WIP for maps

Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be nonuniformly expanding of order  $p \in [1, \infty]$  with ergodic invariant measure  $\mu_X$ . Let  $v \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero and define  $B_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , as

$$B_n(k/n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} v \circ T^j,$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , and using linear interpolation in  $[0, 1]$ . The process  $B_n$  is a random element of  $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^N)$  defined on the probability space  $(X, \mu_X)$ . Note that the randomness of  $B_n$  comes exclusively from the initial point  $x_0 \in X$ , chosen according to  $\mu_X$ .

Here follows a standard result (see for example [18, 22, 30]).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $p \in [2, \infty]$  and  $v \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero. Then,

- (i) The matrix  $\Sigma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \int_X (\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} v \circ T^j) (\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} v \circ T^j)^T d\mu_X$  exists and is positive semidefinite. Typically  $\Sigma$  is positive definite: there exists a closed subspace  $C_{deg}$  of  $C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with infinite codimension, such that  $\det(\Sigma) \neq 0$  if  $v \notin C_{deg}$ .
- (ii) The WIP holds:  $B_n \rightarrow_w W$  in  $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^N)$  on the probability space  $(X, \mu_X)$ , where  $W$  is a centred  $N$ -dimensional Brownian motion with covariance  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

The following theorems display rates in the WIP, where the order  $p \in (2, \infty]$  influences the speed of convergence. These rates are stated in the 1-Wasserstein and Prokhorov metrics on  $\mathcal{M}_1(S)$ , where  $S = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^N)$  with the uniform distance.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $p \in (2, 3)$  and  $v \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero. There is  $C > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(B_n, W) \leq C n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}} (\log n)^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}$  for all integers  $n > 1$ .

To our knowledge, the rates of Theorem 2.3 are the first for multidimensional observables in the dynamical systems literature. They are likely not optimal, as one expects an improvement when  $p$  increases (as it happens for  $N = 1$  in Theorem 2.5). Yet, our proof of Theorem 2.3 uses modern techniques by [13], which do not improve for  $p > 3$ . In such cases, our rates become  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/6+\varepsilon})$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Remark 2.4.** For  $N = 1$  and  $p \geq 4$ , [26, Theorem 3.4] of Liu and Wang implies the rate  $\mathcal{W}(B_n, W) \ll n^{-(p-2)/(4p)}$ . Hence, our Theorem 2.3 provides a new estimate in  $\mathcal{W}$  in one dimension when  $p \in (2, 4)$ . Moreover, for  $p \in [4, 6)$  our rate is of order  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/6+\varepsilon})$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which improves the one of [26]. When  $p \in [6, \infty)$ , the rates of Liu and Wang give better estimates than ours.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $p \in (2, \infty]$  and  $v \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R})$  with mean zero. Then there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\Pi(B_n, W) \leq C \begin{cases} n^{-\frac{p-2}{4p}} & p \in (2, \infty), \\ n^{-1/4}(\log n)^{3/4} & p = \infty \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$p = \infty \quad (2.2)$$

for all integers  $n > 1$ .

The rates displayed in (2.1) are due to [3, Theorem 3.2], whereas the one in (2.2) is proved in Section 3.

**Remark 2.6.** Using that  $\Pi(X, Y) \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{W}(X, Y)}$ , Theorem 2.3 yields for  $p \in (2, 3)$  and every  $N \geq 1$  that  $\Pi(B_n, W) \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{4p}}(\log n)^{\frac{p-1}{4p}}$ . This result is only relevant for  $N \geq 2$ , as Theorem 2.5 gives better rates when  $N = 1$ .

## 2.4 Nonuniformly expanding semiflows

Let  $(M, d)$  be a bounded metric space and let  $\{\Psi_t: M \rightarrow M\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a semiflow, so that  $\Psi_0 = \text{Id}$  and  $\Psi_{s+t} = \Psi_s \circ \Psi_t$  for all  $s, t \geq 0$ . Assume continuous dependence on initial conditions, that is for any  $K > 0$  there exists  $C_K > 0$  such that, for all  $t \in [0, K]$  and  $x, y \in M$ ,

$$d(\Psi_t x, \Psi_t y) \leq C_K d(x, y). \quad (2.3)$$

We suppose Lipschitz continuity in time: there exists  $L > 0$  such that, for all  $t, s \geq 0$  and  $x \in M$

$$d(\Psi_t x, \Psi_s x) \leq L|t - s|. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $\eta \in (0, 1]$ . Suppose that there exist a Borel subset  $X \subset M$  and a first return time function  $r: X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ ,  $r(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : \Psi_t x \in X\}$  and let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be  $Tx = \Psi_{r(x)}x$ . Assume that  $r \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R})$ . We say that the continuous time system  $(M, \Psi_t)$  is a *nonuniformly expanding semiflow* if  $T$  is a nonuniformly expanding map as described in Subsection 2.2. Moreover, we say that  $\Psi_t$  is a nonuniformly expanding flow of *order*  $p \in [1, \infty]$  if  $(X, T)$  is nonuniformly expanding map of order  $p$ .

We define the suspension  $X^r = \{(x, u) \in X \times [0, \infty) : u \in [0, r(x)]\} / \sim$ , where  $(x, r(x)) \sim (Tx, 0)$ . The suspension semiflow  $T_t: X^r \rightarrow X^r$  is given by  $T_t(x, u) = (x, u+t)$  computed modulo identifications. We have the semiconjugacy  $\pi_r: X^r \rightarrow M$  defined as  $\pi_r(x, u) = \Psi_u x$  between  $T_t$  and  $\Psi_t$ , and can now define the ergodic  $T_t$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu^r = (\mu_X \times \text{Lebesgue}) / \bar{r}$ , where  $\mu_X$  is the ergodic invariant measure for  $T$  and  $\bar{r} = \int_X r d\mu_X$ . Hence,  $\mu_M = (\pi_r)_* \mu^r$  is an ergodic  $\Psi_t$ -invariant probability measure on  $M$ .

We define the space  $C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_\eta$  similarly to Definition 2.1.

## 2.5 Rates in the WIP for semiflows

Let  $\Psi_t: M \rightarrow M$  be a nonuniformly expanding semiflow of order  $p \in [1, \infty]$  with ergodic invariant measure  $\mu_M$ . Let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be the corresponding nonuniformly expanding map with ergodic invariant measure  $\mu_X$ , defined via the first return function  $r \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero and define the sequence  $W_n$  as

$$W_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^{nt} v \circ \Psi_s ds, \quad (2.5)$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . For fixed  $n$ , the process  $W_n$  is a random element of  $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^N)$  defined on the probability space  $(M, \mu_M)$ .

Let  $v_X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be  $v_X(x) = \int_0^{r(x)} v(\Psi_s x) ds$ . Writing  $\bar{r} = \int_X r d\mu_X$ , we get

$$\int_X v_X d\mu_X = \bar{r} \int_{X^r} v(\Psi_s x) d\mu^r(x, s) = \bar{r} \int_M v d\mu_M = 0.$$

For  $x, y \in X$  with  $r(x) \leq r(y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |v_X(x) - v_X(y)| &\leq \int_0^{r(x)} |v(\Psi_s x) - v(\Psi_s y)| ds + |v|_\infty |r(y) - r(x)| \\ &\leq |r|_\infty |v|_\eta d(x, y)^\eta + |v|_\infty |r|_\eta d(x, y)^\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $v_X \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero. When  $p \in [2, \infty]$ , we can apply Theorem 2.2 to  $v_X$ , obtaining a limiting centered Brownian motion  $W_X: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ . Define the new Brownian motion  $W = W_X/\sqrt{\bar{r}}$ .

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.2 applied to  $v_X$  and passed to the suspension [21, 32, 34].

**Theorem 2.7** (WIP). Let  $p \in [2, \infty]$  and  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero. We have that  $W_n \rightarrow_w W$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

The following theorems are the continuous time versions of Theorems 2.3 and 2.5. They display for semiflows the same rates that we have for maps.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $p \in (2, 3)$  and  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero. There is  $C > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(W_n, W) \leq C n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}} (\log n)^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}$  for all integers  $n > 1$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $p \in (2, \infty]$  and  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R})$  with mean zero. There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\Pi(W_n, W) \leq C \begin{cases} n^{-\frac{p-2}{4p}} & p \in (2, \infty), \\ n^{-1/4} (\log n)^{3/4} & p = \infty \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

for all integers  $n > 1$ .

To our knowledge, Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 provide the first rates for the WIP in the dynamical systems literature for continuous time. Note that Theorem 2.8 implies rates in the Prokhorov metric  $\Pi$  by the same argument of Remark 2.6.

### 3 Discrete time rates

In this section we provide the proofs of Theorems 2.3 and 2.5. To achieve this, we firstly recall results from [22] and derive some estimates in  $L^\infty$ . These estimates are then employed respectively with [13, Theorem 2.3(2)] and [12, Lemma 3] to derive our proofs.

#### 3.1 Approximation via martingales

We present here the relevant results from [22] to obtain a Gordin-type [17] reversed martingale differences sequence with a control over the sum of its squares.

Let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be nonuniformly expanding of order  $p \in [2, \infty]$  with ergodic invariant measure  $\mu_X$ . We call an *extension* of  $(X, T, \mathcal{B}, \mu_X)$  any measure-preserving system  $(\Delta, f, \mathcal{A}, \mu_\Delta)$  with a measure-preserving  $\pi_\Delta: \Delta \rightarrow X$ , such that  $T \circ \pi_\Delta = \pi_\Delta \circ f$ . Denote by  $P: L^1(\Delta) \rightarrow L^1(\Delta)$  the transfer operator for  $f$  with respect to  $\mu_\Delta$ , which is characterised by  $\int (Pv)w d\mu_\Delta = \int v(w \circ f) d\mu_\Delta$  for all  $v \in L^1$ ,  $w \in L^\infty$ . It is well known that  $P(v \circ f) = v$  and  $(Pv) \circ f = \mathbb{E}[v|f^{-1}\mathcal{A}]$ , for any integrable  $v$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** There is an extension  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  of  $T: X \rightarrow X$  such that for any  $v \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero there exist  $m \in L^p(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\chi \in L^{p-1}(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , with the convention that  $\infty - 1 = \infty$ , satisfying

$$v \circ \pi_\Delta = m + \chi \circ f - \chi, \quad Pm = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

If  $p \in [2, \infty)$ , then there exists  $C > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$

$$|m|_p \leq C\|v\|_\eta \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\chi \circ f^k - \chi| \right|_p \leq C\|v\|_\eta n^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (3.2)$$

If  $p = \infty$ , then

$$|m|_\infty \leq C\|v\|_\eta \quad \text{and} \quad |\chi|_\infty \leq C\|v\|_\eta. \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* Equations (3.1) and (3.2) are proven in [22, Propositions 2.4, 2.5, 2.7]. The estimates in (3.3) follows by the arguments displayed before [22, Proposition 2.4].  $\square$

We call  $m$  the *martingale part* of  $v$  and  $\chi$  its *coboundary part*. It is relevant to cite [22, Corollary 2.12] that provides the identity  $\Sigma = \int_\Delta mm^T d\mu_\Delta$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the matrix defined in Theorem 2.2(i).

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $p \in [2, \infty)$ . There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\mathbb{E}[mm^T - \Sigma | f^{-1}\mathcal{A}]) \circ f^j \right| \right|_p \leq C\|v\|_\eta^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\check{\Phi} = (P(mm^T)) \circ f - \int_\Delta mm^T d\mu_\Delta$ . Hence,  $\check{\Phi} = \mathbb{E}[mm^T - \Sigma | f^{-1}\mathcal{A}]$  and the result follows by [22, Corollary 3.2].  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $n \geq 1$  and let  $k, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $k \neq \ell$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[(m \circ f^k)(m \circ f^\ell)^T | f^{-n}\mathcal{A}] = 0.$$

*Proof.* Without loss suppose  $k < \ell$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(m \circ f^k)(m \circ f^\ell)^T | f^{-n}\mathcal{A}] &= (P^n[(m \circ f^k)(m \circ f^\ell)^T]) \circ f^n \\ &= (P^{n-k}P^k[(m(m \circ f^{\ell-k})^T) \circ f^k]) \circ f^n \\ &= (P^{n-k}[m(m \circ f^{\ell-k})^T]) \circ f^n. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished by  $P[m(m \circ f^{\ell-k})^T] = (Pm)(m \circ f^{\ell-k-1})^T = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** A sequence of integrable  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued random variables  $(d_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , together with the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , is called a *reversed martingale differences sequence* (in brief RMDS) if for all  $n \geq 0$  the variable  $d_n$  is  $\mathcal{G}_n$ -measurable,  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_n$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[d_n | \mathcal{G}_{n+1}] = 0$ .

**Remark 3.5.** If  $d_k$  is a RMDS with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{G}_k$ , then for any  $n \geq 1$  the sequence  $M_n(k) = \sum_{j=1}^k d_{n-k+j}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , with filtration  $\mathcal{A}_k = \mathcal{G}_{n-k}$ , is a martingale. Namely,  $M_n(k)$  is  $\mathcal{A}_k$  measurable and  $\mathbb{E}[M_n(k+1) | \mathcal{A}_k] = M_n(k)$ .

We now recall a classical result connecting martingale theory with measure-preserving systems. It justifies the use of the terminology "martingale part" for  $m$ , and it will be employed in the proofs of the rates for both maps and semiflows.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $(\Lambda, T, \mathcal{G}, \mu)$  be measure-preserving system with transfer operator  $P$ . If  $v \in \ker P$ , then the sequence  $(v \circ T^n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $(T^{-n}\mathcal{G})_{n \geq 0}$  is an RMDS.

*Proof.* We have that  $T^{-(n+1)}\mathcal{G} \subseteq T^{-n}\mathcal{G}$  and  $v \circ T^n$  is  $T^{-n}\mathcal{G}$ -measurable for all  $n \geq 0$ . Using

$$\mathbb{E}[v \circ T^n | T^{-(n+1)}\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[v | T^{-1}\mathcal{G}] \circ T^n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[v | T^{-1}\mathcal{G}] = (Pv) \circ T,$$

we conclude that  $\mathbb{E}[v \circ T^n | T^{-(n+1)}\mathcal{G}] = (Pv) \circ T^{n+1} = 0$ .  $\square$

The next theorem follows from [13, Theorem 2.3(2)] and it is our main tool to prove multidimensional rates for the WIP.

**Theorem 3.7** (Cuny, Dedecker, Merlevède). Let  $p \in (2, 3)$  and  $N \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $(d_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued stationary RMDS lying in  $L^p$  with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ . Let  $M_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3-p/2}} |\mathbb{E}[M_n M_n^T | \mathcal{G}_n] - \mathbb{E}[M_n M_n^T]|_{p/2} < \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Then, there is  $C > 0$  and there exists a probability space supporting a sequence of random variables  $(M_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$  with the same joint distributions as  $(M_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and a sequence  $(\mathcal{N}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of i.i.d.  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued centered Gaussians with  $\text{Var}(\mathcal{N}_0) = \mathbb{E}[d_0 d_0^T]$ , such that for every integer  $n > 1$ ,

$$\left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |M_k^* - \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{N}_\ell| \right|_1 \leq C n^{\frac{1}{p}} (\log n)^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}. \quad (3.5)$$

*Proof.* This proposition is a version of [13, Theorem 2.3(2)] for  $p \in (2, 3)$ . Such a theorem is stated for a martingale differences sequence, however [13, Remark 2.7] affirms that its thesis is true for *reversed* martingale differences sequences, as well. To prove the sufficiency of condition (3.4), reason as in [13, Remark 2.4].  $\square$

The last theorem of this subsection is taken from [12] and applied to a bounded RMDS. It will be used to prove one-dimensional rates in the WIP.

**Theorem 3.8** (Courbot). Let  $(d_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a real bounded stationary RMDS. Consider  $W : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a centred Brownian motion with variance  $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[d_0^2]$ . Define for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  the process  $\mathcal{M}_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $\mathcal{M}_n(k/n) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k d_{n-j}$ , using linear interpolation in  $[0, 1]$ , and  $V_n(k) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[d_{n-j}^2 | \mathcal{G}_{n-(j-1)}]$ . Let

$$\kappa_n = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \mathbb{P}(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |V_n(k) - (k/n)\sigma^2| > \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon \}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\tilde{\kappa}_n = \max \{ \kappa_n |\log \kappa_n|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \}. \quad (3.7)$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_n = 0$ , then there exists  $C > 0$  such that for all integers  $n > 1$

$$\Pi(\mathcal{M}_n, W) \leq C \tilde{\kappa}_n^{1/2} |\log \tilde{\kappa}_n|^{3/4}.$$

*Proof.* This theorem derives from [12, Lemma 3], which utilizes [11] to embed a continuous time martingale into a Brownian motion. It is important to note that here  $\Pi$  denotes a metric on the space of probability measures over  $C([0, 1])$ , while in [12] it is defined via the set of càdlàg functions. However, this difference does not create an obstruction, as the proof of [12, Lemma 3] can be adapted to stochastic processes with continuous sample paths, such as  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , thus establishing our thesis. (See also [35, Appendix A]).  $\square$



### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 2.3

For fixed  $v \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with martingale part  $m \in L^p(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $p \in (2, \infty)$ , define the sequence of processes  $X_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$X_n(k/n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m \circ f^j, \quad (3.8)$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , and using linear interpolation in  $[0, 1]$ . Recall that the sequence  $B_n$  is defined as  $B_n(k/n) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} v \circ T^j$  plus linear interpolation.

**Remark 3.9.** In spite of Theorem 2.3 being valid only for  $p \in (2, 3)$ , we work with  $p \in (2, \infty)$  where possible and restrict the range only when we apply Theorem 3.7.

**Lemma 3.10.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(B_n, X_n) \leq Cn^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1,

$$B_n(k/n) \circ \pi_\Delta - X_n(k/n) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (v \circ \pi_\Delta - m) \circ f^j = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\chi \circ f^k - \chi)$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Since  $B_n$  and  $X_n$  are piecewise linear with the same interpolation nodes, equation (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |B_n(t) \circ \pi_\Delta - X_n(t)| \right|_p &= \left| \sup_{t \in \{0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, 1\}} |B_n(t) \circ \pi_\Delta - X_n(t)| \right|_p \\ &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\chi \circ f^k - \chi| \right|_p \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

We use that  $\pi_\Delta$  is a semiconjugacy and get for any  $f \in \text{Lip}_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X f(B_n) d\mu_X - \int_\Delta f(X_n) d\mu_\Delta \right| &\leq \int_\Delta |f(B_n \circ \pi_\Delta) - f(X_n)| d\mu_\Delta \\ &\leq \left| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |B_n(t) \circ \pi_\Delta - X_n(t)| \right|_p \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

We now show some estimates for i.i.d. random variables and Brownian motion.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of identically distributed real random variables, defined on the same probability space. If  $a = \mathbb{E}[e^{\xi_1}] < \infty$ , then we have that  $\mathbb{E}[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \xi_k] \leq \log(na)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We have that  $e^{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \xi_k} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} e^{\xi_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n e^{\xi_k}$ . Since all  $\xi_k$  share the same distribution,  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \xi_k}] \leq \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=1}^n e^{\xi_k}] = na$ . By Jensen's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \xi_k] \leq \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \xi_k}] \leq \log(na). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $W: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be a centred Brownian motion with covariance  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |W(t)|}] < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Sigma$  is symmetric and positive semidefinite, there exists an orthogonal  $N \times N$  matrix  $O$  such that  $O\Sigma O^T = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_N^2)$ , with  $\sigma_i^2 \geq 0$ . Then,  $OW$  is a centred Brownian motion with covariance  $O\Sigma O^T$ , and for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  the real-valued processes  $(OW)_i$  are independent centred Brownian motions with variances  $\sigma_i^2$ . Let  $\bar{\sigma} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sigma_i^2$ . If  $\bar{\sigma} = 0$ , then both  $OW$  and  $W$  are the constant zero process and the proof is finished.

If  $\bar{\sigma} > 0$ , we use standard Gaussian estimates and get that for every  $1 \leq i \leq N$  there exists  $C_i > 0$  such that for all  $s > 1$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |(OW(t))_i| > s\right) \leq C_i \exp(-s^2/(2\bar{\sigma})).$$

Writing  $\xi = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |OW(t)|$ ,  $\hat{C} = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i$ , and  $c = 2N^2\bar{\sigma}$ , we get

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi > s) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |OW_i(t)| > s/N\right) \leq \hat{C} \exp(-s^2/c)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(e^\xi > s) = \mathbb{P}(\xi > \log s) \leq \hat{C} \exp(-(\log s)^2/c).$$

By a change of variable  $x = \log s$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^\xi] = \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(e^\xi > s) ds + \int_1^\infty \mathbb{P}(e^\xi > s) ds \leq 1 + \hat{C} \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2/c} e^x dx < \infty.$$

By orthogonality,  $|O^T x| = |x|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Hence,

$$|W(t)| = |O^T OW(t)| = |OW(t)|$$

for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |W(t)|}] = \mathbb{E}[e^\xi] < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.13.** Let  $W : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be a centred Brownian motion, and let  $(\mathcal{N}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of i.i.d.  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued centered Gaussians with  $\text{Var}(\mathcal{N}_0) = \text{Var}(W(1))$ . Define the sequence of processes  $Y_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  as  $Y_n(k/n) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{N}_j$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , with linear interpolation. Then, there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(Y_n, W) \leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log n$  for all integers  $n > 1$ .

*Proof.* Define the sequence  $Y_n^* : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  as  $Y_n^*(k/n) = W(k/n)$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , plus linear interpolation. We have that  $Y_n =_d Y_n^*$  as continuous processes for all  $n \geq 1$ . So, for  $f \in \text{Lip}_1$ ,

$$|\mathbb{E}[f(Y_n)] - \mathbb{E}[f(W)]| = |\mathbb{E}[f(Y_n^*) - f(W)]| \leq \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Y_n^*(t) - W(t)|] \leq A_1 + A_2,$$

where

$$A_1 = \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Y_n^*(t) - W(\lfloor nt \rfloor/n)|] \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |W(\lfloor nt \rfloor/n) - W(t)|].$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \mathbb{E}[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |W(k/n) - W((k-1)/n)|] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sup_{t \in (\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n})} |W(t) - W((k-1)/n)|] = A_2, \end{aligned}$$

it is sufficient to estimate  $A_2$ . By the rescaling property,  $\widehat{W}_n(t) = n^{\frac{1}{2}}W(t/n)$ ,  $t \in [0, n]$  is a Brownian motion for every  $n \geq 1$ , with the same parameters of  $W$ . Let  $(\xi_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be a identically distributed sequence of random variables with  $\xi_1 =_d \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |W(t)|$ . Then, for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in (\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n})} |W(t) - W((k-1)/n)| &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{t \in (\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n})} |\widehat{W}_n(nt) - \widehat{W}_n(n(k-1))| \\ &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{t \in (k-1, k)} |\widehat{W}_n(t) - \widehat{W}_n(k-1)| =_d n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi_k. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.12 yields  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi_1}] < \infty$ , hence we can apply Proposition 3.11 getting that  $A_2 = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E}[\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \xi_k] \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log n$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

We present here the proof of Theorem 2.3, which we obtain by Theorem 3.7 in combination with Lemmas 3.10 and 3.13.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** Let  $p \in (2, 3)$ ,  $X_n$  be from (3.8), and let  $Y_n$  be as in Lemma 3.13. Consider  $W$  an  $N$ -dimensional Brownian motion with mean 0 and covariance  $\Sigma$  from Theorem 2.2(ii). Recall that  $\Sigma = \int_{\Delta} mm^T d\mu_{\Delta}$ . By Lemmas 3.10 and 3.13, to prove the rate on  $\mathcal{W}(B_n, W)$  it suffices to estimate  $\mathcal{W}(X_n, Y_n)$ .

Let us check the hypotheses of Theorem 3.7 for  $d_n = m \circ f^n$ . By (3.1) we have that  $Pm = 0$ , so Proposition 3.6 yields that  $d_n$  with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $f^{-n}\mathcal{A}$  is an RMDS on the probability space  $(\Delta, \mathcal{A}, \mu_{\Delta})$ . It lies in  $L^p$  by Proposition 3.1, and it is stationary because  $f$  is measure-preserving. Let us show that  $M_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} m \circ f^j$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , satisfies condition (3.4). In the following equation, the off-diagonal terms are zero by Proposition 3.3:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[M_n M_n^T | f^{-n}\mathcal{A}] - \mathbb{E}[M_n M_n^T] \\ &= \sum_{k, \ell=0}^{n-1} (\mathbb{E}[(m \circ f^k)(m \circ f^{\ell})^T | f^{-n}\mathcal{A}] - \mathbb{E}[(m \circ f^k)(m \circ f^{\ell})^T]) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\mathbb{E}[(mm^T) \circ f^k | f^{-n}\mathcal{A}] - \mathbb{E}[(mm^T) \circ f^k]) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (mm^T - \Sigma) \circ f^k | f^{-n}\mathcal{A}]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (mm^T - \Sigma) \circ f^k | f^{-n}\mathcal{A}]|_{p/2} &= |\mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(mm^T - \Sigma) \circ f^k | f^{-k-1}\mathcal{A}] | f^{-n}\mathcal{A}]|_{p/2} \\ &\leq |\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(mm^T - \Sigma) \circ f^k | f^{-k-1}\mathcal{A}]|_{p/2} \\ &= |\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(mm^T - \Sigma) | f^{-1}\mathcal{A}] \circ f^k|_{p/2} \ll n^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p \in (2, 3)$ , the series in (3.4) converges.

By Theorem 3.7, there exists a probability space supporting a sequence  $(M_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$  with the same joint distributions as  $(M_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and a sequence  $(\mathcal{N}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of i.i.d.  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued centered Gaussians with  $\text{Var}(\mathcal{N}_0) = \mathbb{E}[mm^T] = \Sigma$ , such that (3.5) holds.

Let  $M_0^* = 0$  and define for  $n \geq 1$  the process  $X_n^*: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  as  $X_n^*(k/n) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_k^*$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , with linear interpolation. So,  $X_n^* =_d X_n$  as continuous processes. Using (3.5), we have that for all  $f \in \text{Lip}_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(X_n, Y_n) &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(X_n^*) - f(Y_n)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |X_n^*(t) - Y_n(t)|] \\ &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |M_k^* - \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{N}_{\ell}| \right| \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}} (\log n)^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{W}(B_n, W) \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}} (\log n)^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3.3 Using bounded martingales

Let  $T$  be nonuniformly expanding of order  $p = \infty$ . For  $v \in C^{\eta}(X, \mathbb{R})$  with mean zero, we consider its martingale part  $m \in L^{\infty}(\Delta)$  and write  $\check{\Phi} = \mathbb{E}[m^2 | f^{-1}\mathcal{A}] - \sigma^2$ , where  $\sigma^2 = \int_{\Delta} m^2 d\mu_{\Delta}$ . As pointed out before [22, Corollary 3.2], there exist  $\check{m}, \check{\chi}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $P\check{m} = 0$  such that  $\check{\Phi} = \check{m} + \check{\chi} \circ f - \check{\chi}$ , which is called the *secondary martingale-coboundary decomposition* of  $v$ . Since  $\tau \in L^{\infty}$ , [22, Proposition 3.1] and the arguments displayed before [22, Proposition 2.4] yield that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\check{m}|_{\infty} \leq C \|v\|_{\eta}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\check{\chi}|_{\infty} \leq C \|v\|_{\eta}^2. \quad (3.9)$$

**Proposition 3.14** (Azuma-Hoeffding inequality [41, pg 237]). Let  $M(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , be a real-valued martingale with  $X_j \in L^\infty$  for  $j \geq 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |M(k)| \geq x\right) \leq \exp\left\{\frac{-x^2/2}{\sum_{j=1}^n |X_j|_\infty^2}\right\},$$

for every  $x \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ . □

**Proposition 3.15.** Let  $v \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R})$  with mean zero. There exist  $a, C > 0$  such that

$$\mu_\Delta\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \check{\Phi} \circ f^j\right| \geq x\right) \leq C \exp\left\{-\frac{ax^2}{n}\right\},$$

for every  $x \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* For any  $k \geq 1$  and  $g: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , write  $g_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} g \circ f^j$ . Let  $\check{\Phi} = \check{m} + \check{\chi} \circ f - \check{\chi}$ . Hence,  $\check{\Phi}_k = \check{m}_k + \check{\chi} \circ f^k - \check{\chi}$ , and by (3.9) there is  $K > 0$  such that for any  $n \geq 1$  we have  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{\Phi}_k| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{m}_k| + K$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Delta(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{\Phi}_k| \geq x) &\leq \mu_\Delta(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{m}_k| + K \geq x) \\ &\leq \mu_\Delta(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{m}_k| \geq x/2) + \mu_\Delta(K \geq x/2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

If  $m = 0$ , we have automatically  $\mu_\Delta(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{m}_k| \geq x/2) = 0$ . If  $m \neq 0$ , we use that  $P\check{m}=0$  to get from Proposition 3.6 that  $(\check{m} \circ f^n)_{n \geq 0}$  is an RMDS on the probability space  $(\Delta, \mu_\Delta)$ . As in Remark 3.5, for every  $n \geq 1$  the process  $\check{M}_n(k) = \sum_{j=1}^k \check{m} \circ f^{n-j}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , is a martingale. Since  $\check{m}_k = \check{M}_n(n) - \check{M}_n(n-k)$ , using Proposition 3.14 and (3.9), there is  $c > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Delta(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{m}_k| \geq x/2) &\leq \mu_\Delta(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{M}_n(k)| \geq x/4) \\ &\leq \exp\left\{\frac{-x^2/32}{\sum_{j=1}^n |\check{m}|_\infty^2}\right\} = \exp\left\{-\frac{cx^2}{n}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu_\Delta(K \geq x/2) = 1$  for  $x \leq 2K$  and 0 otherwise,

$$\mu_\Delta(K \geq x/2) \leq \exp\{4K^2 - x^2\} \leq \exp\{4K^2\} \exp\{-x^2/n\}.$$

Conclude by applying these estimates to (3.10). □

### 3.4 Proof of Theorem 2.5 ( $p = \infty$ )

For fixed  $v \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R})$  with mean zero and martingale part  $m \in L^\infty(\Delta)$ , define the sequence of processes  $Y_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 1$

$$Y_n(k/n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^k m \circ f^{n-j},$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , using linear interpolation in  $[0, 1]$ . Following [21, Lemma 4.8], let  $h: C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  be the linear operator  $(hf)(t) = f(1) - f(1-t)$ .

**Lemma 3.16.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\Pi(h \circ B_n, Y_n) \leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The process  $h \circ B_n$  is piecewise linear on  $[0, 1]$  with interpolation nodes  $k/n$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $h \circ B_n(k/n) = \sum_{j=n-k}^{n-1} v \circ f^j$ . By (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ B_n(k/n) \circ \pi_\Delta - Y_n(k/n) &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{j=n-k}^{n-1} v \circ \pi_\Delta \circ f^j - \sum_{j=1}^k m \circ f^{n-j} \right) \\ &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( (v \circ \pi_\Delta)_n - (v \circ \pi_\Delta)_{n-k} - (m_n - m_{n-k}) \right) \\ &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\chi \circ f^n - \chi \circ f^{n-k}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h \circ B_n \circ \pi_\Delta$  and  $Y_n$  have the same interpolation nodes, we have by (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |h \circ B_n(t) \circ \pi_\Delta - Y_n(t)| \right|_\infty &= \left| \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |h \circ B_n(k/n) \circ \pi_\Delta - Y_n(k/n)| \right|_\infty \\ &\leq 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\chi|_\infty \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\pi_\Delta$  is a semiconjugacy and the Prokhorov metric is bounded by the infinity norm,

$$\Pi(h \circ B_n, Y_n) = \Pi(h \circ B_n \circ \pi_\Delta, Y_n) \leq \left| \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |h \circ B_n(t) \circ \pi_\Delta - Y_n(t)| \right|_\infty \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.17.** There is  $C > 0$  such that  $\Pi(Y_n, W) \leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\log n)^{\frac{3}{4}}$  for all integers  $n > 1$ .

*Proof.* Following the proof of Theorem 2.3, the sequence  $d_n = m \circ f^n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $f^{-n}\mathcal{A}$  is a stationary RMDS on the probability space  $(\Delta, \mathcal{A}, \mu_\Delta)$ . Equation (3.3) yields that  $d_n$  is bounded. We adopt the same notation of Theorem 3.8, noting that  $\sigma^2 = \int_\Delta m^2 d\mu_\Delta$  and that  $Y_n$  coincides with  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . We have that

$$V_n(k) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[m^2 \circ f^{n-j} | f^{-n-(j-1)}\mathcal{A}] = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[m^2 | f^{-1}\mathcal{A}] \circ f^{n-j}.$$

We claim that

$$\kappa_n \ll \sqrt{n^{-1} \log n}.$$

Assuming the claim true, let us evaluate  $\tilde{\kappa}_n$  from (3.7). Note that  $x \mapsto x^2(\log x)^{-1}$  is decreasing for  $x \in (0, 1)$ . Hence  $x \mapsto x^2 |\log x|^{-1}$  is increasing and so is  $x \mapsto x |\log x|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Since  $\kappa_n \ll \sqrt{n^{-1} \log n}$ , we get that

$$\kappa_n |\log \kappa_n|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ll \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n |\log \log n - \log n|}} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

By definition,  $\tilde{\kappa}_n \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  as well, and the statement follows from Theorem 3.8.

Let us now prove the claim. Writing  $\check{\Phi} = \mathbb{E}[m^2 | f^{-1}\mathcal{A}] - \sigma^2$  and  $\check{\Phi}_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \check{\Phi} \circ f^j$ ,

$$V_n(k) - (k/n)\sigma^2 = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \check{\Phi} \circ f^{n-j} = n^{-1}(\check{\Phi}_n - \check{\Phi}_{n-k}),$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . So,  $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |V_n(k) - (k/n)\sigma^2| \leq 2n^{-1} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{\Phi}_k|$ . By Proposition 3.15, there are  $a, C > 0$  such that

$$\mu_\Delta(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |V_n(k) - (k/n)\sigma^2| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \mu_\Delta(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{\Phi}_k| \geq n\varepsilon/2) \leq Ce^{-an\varepsilon^2},$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Let now  $\varepsilon_n = \sqrt{\log n/(an)}$ . We have that  $C \leq n\varepsilon_n$  for  $n$  large enough and

$$\mu_\Delta(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |V_n(k) - (k/n)\sigma^2| > \varepsilon_n) \leq C \exp\{-an\varepsilon_n^2\} = C/n \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

By definition (3.6), we have that  $\kappa_n \ll \varepsilon_n \ll \sqrt{n^{-1} \log n}$  which proves the claim.  $\square$

The proof of the next proposition is part of the proof of [3, Theorem 2.2]. However, we present this result as a separate statement due to its multiple applications and to maintain our work self-contained.

**Proposition 3.18.** Let  $Z(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , be a  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued continuous process with  $Z(0) = 0$  a.s. and let  $W(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , be a  $N$ -dimensional Brownian motion. Then we have that  $\Pi(Z, W) \leq 2\Pi(h \circ Z, W)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $h \circ W =_d W$ . Note that  $h(hf) = f$  if  $f(0) = 0$ , and the map  $h: C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  is Lipschitz with constant  $\text{Lip}(h) \leq 2$ . We conclude by the Lipschitz mapping theorem [40, Theorem 3.2],

$$\Pi(Z, W) = \Pi(h(h \circ Z), h(h \circ W)) \leq 2\Pi(h \circ Z, h \circ W) = 2\Pi(h \circ Z, W). \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.5** ( $p = \infty$ ). Since  $B_n(0) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , applying Proposition 3.18 with  $N = 1$  we get

$$\Pi(B_n, W) \ll \Pi(h \circ B_n, W) \leq \Pi(h \circ B_n, Y_n) + \Pi(Y_n, W).$$

Apply Lemmas 3.16 and 3.17 to finish.  $\square$

## 4 Martingale-coboundary decompositions for semiflows

In this section we recall how the semiflows defined in Subsection 2.4 can be modelled by suspensions over a Gibbs-Markov map with an unbounded roof function. We show that Hölder observables on the ambient space lift to regular functions on the suspension, for which we get two new decompositions in the style of Gordin [17]. This follows and extends the approach of [22] to continuous time.

We inform the reader that sometimes in our arguments it may be necessary to diminish the parameter  $\eta \in (0, 1]$ ; such a change does not create any issue because of the inclusion of Hölder spaces.

### 4.1 Gibbs-Markov semiflows

Let  $\Psi_t: M \rightarrow M$  be a nonuniformly expanding semiflow of order  $p \in [2, \infty]$  as in Subsection 2.4. Hence, there exist  $\eta \in (0, 1]$ , a set  $X \subset M$  with a Borel probability measure  $\rho$  and a first return function  $r \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R})$  with  $\inf r \geq 1$ . Since the map  $T = \Psi_r: X \rightarrow X$ , is nonuniformly expanding, following Subsection 2.2 there are a subset  $Y \subset X$  with  $\rho(Y) > 0$  and a measurable partition  $\{Y_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ , a return time  $\tau \in L^p(Y, \rho)$ , and a map  $F = T^\tau: Y \rightarrow Y$  such that conditions (a)-(d) are satisfied. It is a standard result that there is a unique absolutely continuous ergodic  $F$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on  $Y$  and  $d\mu/(d\rho|_Y)$  is bounded, and hence  $\tau \in L^p(Y, \mu)$ .

Let  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  be defined as  $\varphi(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\tau(y)-1} r(T^j y)$ . It lies in  $L^p(Y, \mu)$  because  $\varphi \leq |r|_\infty \tau$ . Define the suspension  $Y^\varphi = \{(y, u) \in Y \times [0, \infty) : u \in [0, \varphi(y)]\} / \sim$  where  $(y, \varphi(y)) \sim (Fy, 0)$ . The suspension semiflow  $F_t: Y^\varphi \rightarrow Y^\varphi$  is given by  $F_t(y, u) = (y, u+t)$  computed modulo identifications.

There is a semiconjugacy  $\pi_\varphi: Y^\varphi \rightarrow M$  between  $F_t$  and  $\Psi_t$ , defined as  $\pi_\varphi(y, u) = \Psi_u y$ . We have the ergodic  $F_t$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu^\varphi = (\mu \times \text{Lebesgue})/\bar{\varphi}$ , where  $\bar{\varphi} = \int_Y \varphi d\mu$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $\mu_M = (\pi_\varphi)_* \mu^\varphi$  is exactly the ergodic  $\Psi_t$ -invariant probability measure on  $M$ , defined in Subsection 2.4.

**Proposition 4.1.** There is  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)d(Fx, Fy)^\eta, \quad (4.1)$$

for every  $j \geq 1$  and  $x, y \in Y_j$ . Moreover, if  $p \neq \infty$  then

$$\sum_j \mu(Y_j)(\sup_{Y_j} \varphi^p) < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\tau$  is constant on partition elements. Using that  $r \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\tau(x)-1} |r(T^\ell x) - r(T^\ell y)| \leq |r|_\eta \sum_{\ell=0}^{\tau(x)-1} d(T^\ell x, T^\ell y)^\eta,$$

for each  $j \geq 1$  and  $x, y \in Y_j$ . Hence, point (c) from Subsection 2.2 yields that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C\tau(x)d(Fx, Fy)^\eta.$$

By  $\inf_X r \geq 1$  and the definition of  $\varphi$ , we get  $\tau|_{Y_j} \leq \varphi|_{Y_j}$ , which implies  $\tau(x) \leq (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)$ . Equation (4.1) follows.

By (4.1), we get  $\sup_{Y_j} \varphi - \inf_{Y_j} \varphi \leq C \text{diam}(Y)^\eta (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)$ . Hence, there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $\sup_{Y_j} \varphi \leq K \inf_{Y_j} \varphi$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . So,

$$\sum_j \mu(Y_j)(\sup_{Y_j} \varphi^p) \leq K^p \sum_j \mu(Y_j)(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi^p) \leq K^p |\varphi|_p^p < \infty. \quad \square$$

Since  $F: Y \rightarrow Y$  is Gibbs-Markov and  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  satisfies (4.1), we say as in [5, Definition 2.2] that  $F_t: Y^\varphi \rightarrow Y^\varphi$  is a *Gibbs-Markov semiflow*. We define the space  $C^\eta(Y, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_\eta$  similarly to Definition 2.1.

**Definition 4.2** (Function space on  $Y^\varphi$ ). Let  $\eta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , and define for  $j \geq 1$  the set  $Y_j^\varphi = \{(y, u) \in Y^\varphi : y \in Y_j\}$ . For  $v: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ , let  $|v|_\infty = \sup_{(y,u) \in Y^\varphi} |v(y, u)|$  and

$$\|v\|_\eta = |v|_\infty + |v|_\eta, \quad |v|_\eta = \sup_{j \geq 1} \sup_{(x,u), (y,u) \in Y_j^\varphi, x \neq y} \frac{|v(x, u) - v(y, u)|}{(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)d(Fx, Fy)^\eta}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  consist of observables  $v: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $\|v\|_\eta < \infty$ .

Our next result shows that Hölder observables on the ambient space  $M$  lift naturally into the just defined function space on  $Y^\varphi$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then  $w = v \circ \pi_\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^{\eta^2}(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\|w\|_{\eta^2} \leq C\|v\|_\eta$ .

*Proof.* We clearly have that  $|w|_\infty \leq |v|_\infty$ . Hence, we are left to show that  $|w|_{\eta^2} \ll \|v\|_\eta$ . Let  $j \geq 1$  and  $(x, u), (y, u) \in Y_j^\varphi$ . We have

$$|w(x, u) - w(y, u)| = |v(\Psi_u x) - v(\Psi_u y)| \leq |v|_\eta d(\Psi_u x, \Psi_u y)^\eta. \quad (4.3)$$

For  $r \in C^\eta(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $k \geq 1$ , we write  $r_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} r \circ T^j$ . Let  $n \geq 0$  be such that  $r_n(x) \leq u < r_{n+1}(x)$ . So,  $n \leq \tau(x)$  and  $u = r_n(x) + E(x)$ , where  $E(x) \leq r(T^n x) \leq |r|_\infty$ . Taking  $K = |r|_\infty$  for estimate (2.3), there is  $C_K > 0$  such that

$$d(\Psi_u x, \Psi_u y) = d(\Psi_{E(x)}(\Psi_{r_n(x)} x), \Psi_{E(x)}(\Psi_{r_n(x)} y)) \leq C_K d(\Psi_{r_n(x)} x, \Psi_{r_n(x)} y).$$

Using (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} d(\Psi_{r_n(x)}x, \Psi_{r_n(x)}y) &\leq d(\Psi_{r_n(x)}x, \Psi_{r_n(y)}y) + d(\Psi_{r_n(y)}y, \Psi_{r_n(x)}y) \\ &= d(T^n x, T^n y) + d(\Psi_{r_n(y)}y, \Psi_{r_n(x)}y) \\ &\ll d(T^n x, T^n y) + L|r_n(x) - r_n(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

By point (c) of Subsection 2.2,

$$d(T^n x, T^n y) \ll d(Fx, Fy) \leq \text{diam}(M)^{1-\eta} d(Fx, Fy)^\eta.$$

Using again (c),

$$|r_n(y) - r_n(x)| \leq |r|_\eta \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(T^j x, T^j y)^\eta \ll n d(Fx, Fy)^\eta.$$

By  $n \leq \tau(x) \leq \inf_{Y_j} \varphi$ , we get  $|r_n(y) - r_n(x)| \ll (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) d(Fx, Fy)^\eta$ . Combining these estimates, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$d(\Psi_u x, \Psi_u y) \leq C(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) d(Fx, Fy)^\eta.$$

Combining the above equation with (4.3), we get

$$|w(x, u) - w(y, u)| \leq C|v|_\eta (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)^\eta d(Fx, Fy)^{\eta^2}.$$

By  $\inf \varphi \geq 1$ , we get  $(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)^\eta \leq \inf_{Y_j} \varphi$  and so  $|w|_{\eta^2} \leq C|v|_\eta$ .  $\square$

We conclude this subsection with two estimates and introducing the transfer operators for both semiflow  $F_t: Y^\varphi \rightarrow Y^\varphi$  and map  $F: Y \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $g = d\mu/(d\mu \circ F)$  be the inverse Jacobian of  $F$ . Then, (see for example [1]) there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$g(y) \leq C\mu(Y_j) \quad \text{and} \quad |g(x) - g(y)| \leq C\mu(Y_j)d(Fx, Fy)^\eta, \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $j \geq 1$  and  $x, y \in Y_j$ .

We denote with  $L_t: L^1(Y^\varphi) \rightarrow L^1(Y^\varphi)$  the transfer operator for  $F_t$ , so  $\int (L_t v)w \, d\mu^\varphi = \int v(w \circ F_t) \, d\mu^\varphi$  for all  $v \in L^1$ ,  $w \in L^\infty$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $P: L^1(Y) \rightarrow L^1(Y)$  be the transfer operator for  $F$ , so  $\int (Pv)w \, d\mu = \int v(w \circ F) \, d\mu$  for all  $v \in L^1$  and  $w \in L^\infty$ ; recall that  $|Pv|_q \leq |v|_q$  for all  $q \in [1, \infty]$ . The pointwise formula for  $P$  is given by  $(Pv)(y) = \sum_j g(y_j)v(y_j)$  where  $y_j$  is the unique preimage of  $y$  under  $F|_{Y_j}$ .

## 4.2 Primary decomposition

We start by describing the class of functions that will admit our new decomposition.

**Definition 4.4.** For  $v: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ , define  $v': Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  as  $v'(y) = \int_0^{\varphi(y)} v(y, u) \, du$ . We say that  $v$  satisfies  $(\star)$  if (i)  $v \in L^\infty(Y^\varphi)$ , (ii)  $\int_{Y^\varphi} v \, d\mu^\varphi = 0$  and (iii)  $\|Pv'\|_\eta < \infty$ . For such functions  $v$ , we write  $\langle v \rangle_\eta = |v|_\infty + \|Pv'\|_\eta$ .

Note that if  $v$  satisfies  $(\star)$  then  $Pv'$  has mean zero. This follows by

$$\int_Y Pv' \, d\mu = \int_{Y^\varphi} v' \, d\mu = \int_Y \int_0^{\varphi(y)} v(y, u) \, du \, d\mu = \bar{\varphi} \int_{Y^\varphi} v \, d\mu^\varphi = 0.$$

**Proposition 4.5.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\|Pv'\|_\eta \leq C\|v\|_\eta$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . If in addition  $\int_{Y^\varphi} v \, d\mu^\varphi = 0$ , then  $v$  satisfies  $(\star)$  and  $\langle v \rangle_\eta \leq C\|v\|_\eta$ .



*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in Y_j$  and suppose without loss that  $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$ . By (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} |v'(x) - v'(y)| &\leq \int_0^{\varphi(x)} |v(x, u) - v(y, u)| du + \int_{\varphi(x)}^{\varphi(y)} |v(y, u)| du \\ &\ll (|v|_\eta(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)(\sup_{Y_j} \varphi) + |v|_\infty(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)) d(Fx, Fy)^\eta \\ &\leq \|v\|_\eta(\sup_{Y_j} \varphi^2) d(Fx, Fy)^\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Let now  $x, y \in Y$ , with preimages  $x_j, y_j \in Y_j$  under  $F$ . Since  $|v'| \leq \varphi|v|_\infty$ , we have that  $|v'(x_j)| \leq |v|_\infty(\sup_{Y_j} \varphi)$ . Using (4.4), (4.5), and (4.2) with  $p = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} |(Pv')(x) - (Pv')(y)| &\leq \sum_j |g(x_j) - g(y_j)| |v'(x_j)| + \sum_j g(y_j) |v'(x_j) - v'(y_j)| \\ &\ll \|v\|_\eta (\sum_j \mu(Y_j) (\sup_{Y_j} \varphi^2)) d(Fx_j, Fy_j)^\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta d(x, y)^\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (4.2) yields also that  $|Pv'|_\infty \ll |v|_\infty$ , concluding that  $\|Pv'\|_\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta$ .  $\square$

Let  $v: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  satisfy  $(\star)$ . We define  $\chi', m': Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  as follows:

$$\chi' = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P^k v', \quad m' = v' - \chi' \circ F + \chi'.$$

It is well known for Gibbs-Markov maps (see [1, Theorem 1.6]), that for every function  $w \in C^\eta(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with mean zero, there are  $a, C > 0$  such that  $\|P^k w\|_\eta \leq C e^{-ak}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $Pv' \in C^\eta(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)$  has mean zero, the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|P^k v'\|_\eta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|P^k Pv'\|_\eta$  converges. By completeness,  $\chi' \in C^\eta(Y, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $Pm' = Pv' - \chi' + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} P^k v' = 0$ . We have that

$$\|\chi'\|_\eta \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|P^k Pv'\|_\eta \ll \|Pv'\|_\eta, \quad |m'|_p \leq |\varphi|_p |v|_\infty + 2|\chi'|_\infty \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta. \quad (4.6)$$

Hence  $m' \in L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Such a construction of  $\chi'$  and  $m'$  is conducted in the same way in [22, Subsection 2.2]; we decided to include it to make our argument self-contained.

Define  $m, \chi: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  by

$$\chi(y, u) = \chi'(y) + \int_0^u v(y, s) ds, \quad m(y, u) = \begin{cases} m'(y) & u \in [\varphi(y) - 1, \varphi(y)) \\ 0 & u \in [0, \varphi(y) - 1) \end{cases}. \quad (4.7)$$

**Proposition 4.6.** We have that  $m \in L^p(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\chi \in L^{p-1}(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , with the convention that  $\infty - 1 = \infty$ . Moreover, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$|m|_p \leq C \langle v \rangle_\eta \quad \text{and} \quad |\chi|_{p-1} \leq \langle v \rangle_\eta,$$

for all functions  $v$  that satisfy  $(\star)$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, suppose that  $p = \infty$ . Then, by (4.6) and (4.7),

$$|\chi|_\infty \leq |\chi'|_\infty + |\varphi|_\infty |v|_\infty \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta. \quad |m|_\infty = |m'|_\infty \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta.$$

Secondly, suppose that  $p \in [2, \infty)$ . By (4.6),  $|\chi(y, u)| \leq |\chi'|_\infty + u|v|_\infty \ll \varphi(y) \langle v \rangle_\eta$ . Hence,

$$|\chi|_{p-1} \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta (\int_Y \int_0^\varphi |\varphi|^{p-1} ds d\mu)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = \langle v \rangle_\eta |\varphi|_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}} < \infty.$$

Since  $m' \in L^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , (4.7) and (4.6) yield

$$|m|_p^p \ll \int_Y \int_0^\varphi |m'|^p \mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi-1 \leq u < \varphi\}} du d\mu = |m'|_p^p \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta^p < \infty.$$

The statement follows.  $\square$

Our next proposition shows how the transfer operator  $L_1$  acts pointwise.

**Proposition 4.7.** Let  $v \in L^1(Y^\varphi)$ . Then

$$(L_1 v)(y, u) = \begin{cases} v(y, u - 1) & u \in [1, \varphi(y)) \\ \sum_j g(y_j) v(y_j, u - 1 + \varphi(y_j)) & u \in [0, 1) \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $w \in L^\infty(Y^\varphi)$ . By definition of  $L_1$  and  $\mu^\varphi$ , using the substitution  $u \mapsto u + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y^\varphi} L_1(\mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq u < \varphi-1\}} v) w \, d\mu^\varphi &= \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \int_Y \int_0^{\varphi(y)} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq u < \varphi(y)-1\}} v(y, u) w(y, u + 1) \, du \, d\mu \\ &= \int_{Y^\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\{1 \leq u < \varphi(y)\}} v(y, u - 1) w(y, u) \, d\mu^\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Next, let us focus on  $\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi-1 \leq u < \varphi\}} v$ . By the substitution  $u \mapsto u + 1 - \varphi(y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y^\varphi} L_1(\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi-1 \leq u < \varphi\}} v) w \, d\mu^\varphi &= \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \int_Y \int_{\varphi(y)-1}^{\varphi(y)} v(y, u) w(Fy, u + 1 - \varphi(y)) \, du \, d\mu \\ &= \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \int_Y \int_0^1 v(y, u - 1 + \varphi(y)) w(Fy, u) \, du \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Write  $\tilde{v}_u(y) = v(y, u - 1 + \varphi(y))$  and  $w^u(y) = w(y, u)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y^\varphi} L_1(\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi-1 \leq u < \varphi\}} v) w \, d\mu^\varphi &= \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \int_0^1 \int_Y \tilde{v}_u(w^u \circ F) \, d\mu \, du \\ &= \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \int_0^1 \int_Y (P\tilde{v}_u) w^u \, d\mu \, du \\ &= \int_{Y^\varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq u < 1\}} (P\tilde{v}_u) w \, d\mu^\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Equations (4.8) and (4.9) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_1 v)(y, u) &= L_1(\mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq u < \varphi-1\}} v + \mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi-1 \leq u < \varphi\}} v)(y, u) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{\{1 \leq u < \varphi\}} v(y, u - 1) + \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \leq u < 1\}} (P\tilde{v}_u)(y). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by the pointwise formula for  $P$ .  $\square$

We present now our new primary martingale-coboundary decomposition.

**Proposition 4.8.** Suppose that  $v$  satisfies  $(\star)$  and define  $\psi: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  as  $\psi = \int_0^1 v \circ F_s \, ds$ . Then  $\psi = m + \chi \circ F_1 - \chi$  and  $m \in \ker L_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$  with  $u \in [0, \varphi(y) - 1)$ . Then  $F_1(y, u) = (y, u + 1)$  and  $\psi(y, u) = \int_u^{u+1} v(y, s) \, ds$ , so

$$\chi(F_1(y, u)) - \chi(y, u) = \int_0^{u+1} v(y, s) \, ds - \int_0^u v(y, s) \, ds = \psi(y, u) = \psi(y, u) - m(y, u).$$

If  $u \in [\varphi(y) - 1, \varphi(y))$ , then

$$\psi(y, u) = \int_0^{u+1-\varphi(y)} v(Fy, s) \, ds + v'(y) - \int_0^u v(y, s) \, ds.$$

We have that  $F_1(y, u) = (Fy, u + 1 - \varphi(y))$ . By definition,  $v' - m' = \chi' \circ F - \chi'$  and  $m(y, u) = m'(y)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(F_1(y, u)) - \chi(y, u) &= \chi'(Fy) - \chi'(y) + \int_0^{u+1-\varphi(y)} v(Fy, s) \, ds - \int_0^u v(y, s) \, ds \\ &= v'(y) - m'(y) + \psi(y, u) - v'(y) = \psi(y, u) - m(y, u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\psi = m + \chi \circ F_1 - \chi$  on the whole of  $Y^\varphi$ .

We are left to prove that  $m \in \ker L_1$  using the formula of Proposition 4.7. Let  $y \in Y$ . If  $u \in [1, \varphi(y))$ , then  $u - 1 \in [0, \varphi(y) - 1)$  and by definition of  $m$ ,

$$(L_1 m)(y, u) = m(y, u - 1) = 0.$$

If  $u \in [0, 1)$ , then  $u - 1 + \varphi(y_j) \in [\varphi(y_j) - 1, \varphi(y_j))$  for all preimages  $y_j$  of  $y$ , and

$$(L_1 m)(y, u) = \sum_j g(y_j) m(y_j, u - 1 + \varphi(y_j)) = (Pm')(y) = 0,$$

because  $m' \in \ker P$ . □

Following the terminology of Section 3, the new functions  $m$  and  $\chi$  are called respectively martingale and coboundary part of  $v$ . In view of Proposition 4.8, to estimate the Birkhoff sums of  $\psi$  in  $p$ -norm, it would be desirable to have  $\chi \in L^p$ . This is indeed true for  $p = \infty$  by Proposition 4.6; however, in general  $\chi$  lies in  $L^{p-1}$ . Nevertheless, the next result shows that for  $p \in [2, \infty)$  the function  $\chi \circ F_n - \chi$  lies in  $L^p$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $|\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\chi \circ F_k - \chi||_p \leq C \langle v \rangle_\eta n^{1/p}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Moreover,

$$|\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\chi \circ F_k - \chi||_p \leq C \langle v \rangle_\eta (n^{1/q} + n^{1/p} |\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi \geq n^{1/q}\}} \varphi|_p) \quad (4.10)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq p$ , and  $v$  satisfying  $(\star)$ .

*Proof.* This proof is identical to the one of [22, Proposition 2.7], with the obvious notational changes. (See also [35, Proposition 3.37]). □

The next Corollary is found [22, Corollary 2.8] and we prove it for completeness.

**Corollary 4.10.**  $|\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\chi \circ F_k - \chi||_p = o(n^{1/p})$ .

*Proof.* Using that  $\varphi \in L^p(Y)$ , we have  $|\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi \geq n^{1/q}\}} \varphi|_p \rightarrow 0$  by the bounded convergence theorem. Let  $q > p$ , then equation (4.10) yields for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that

$$n^{-1/p} |\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\chi \circ F_k - \chi||_p \ll n^{-\frac{q-p}{pq}} + |\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi \geq n^{1/q}\}} \varphi|_p \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

### 4.3 Key estimates

This section displays results from [23] to get crucial estimates for the martingale-coboundary decomposition of any  $v: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  that satisfies  $(\star)$ . We denote  $m$  and  $\chi$  for respectively the martingale and the coboundary part of  $v$ , as defined in (4.7)

**Proposition 4.11.** Let  $p \in [2, \infty)$ . There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m \circ F_j||_p \leq C \langle v \rangle_\eta n^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$|\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\int_0^k v \circ F_s \, ds||_{2(p-1)} \leq C \langle v \rangle_\eta n^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.12)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and any  $v$  that satisfies  $(\star)$ .

*Proof.* This proof is carried out exactly as the one of [22, Corollary 2.10] with the obvious notational changes. We remark that an essential ingredient for (4.11) is Burkholder's inequality [9], while (4.12) follows from Rio's inequality [25]. (See also [35, Proposition 3.42]). □

**Corollary 4.12.** The limit  $\Sigma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \int_{Y^\varphi} (\int_0^n v \circ F_s ds) (\int_0^n v \circ F_s ds)^T d\mu^\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  exists for any  $v$  satisfying  $(\star)$ . Moreover,  $\Sigma = \int_{Y^\varphi} mm^T d\mu^\varphi$ .

*Proof.* This proof is identical to the one of [22, Corollary 2.12], with the obvious notational changes for the suspension  $Y^\varphi$  and applying Proposition 4.11 and Corollary 4.10 to the martingale-coboundary decomposition of  $v$ . (See also [35, Corollary 3.43]).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.13** (WIP). Let  $\widehat{W}_n(t) = n^{-1/2} \int_0^{nt} v \circ F_s ds$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and let  $W: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be a centered Brownian motion with covariance  $\Sigma$  from Corollary 4.12. Then,  $\widehat{W}_n \rightarrow_w W$  on the probability space  $(Y^\varphi, \mu^\varphi)$ .

*Proof.* This proof is carried by the same approach of [22, Corollary 2.13], applying Proposition 4.11 with the obvious notational changes.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.14.** Let  $p = \infty$  and let  $v$  satisfy  $(\star)$ . There exist  $a, C > 0$  such that

$$\mu^\varphi \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \int_0^k v \circ F_j \right| \geq x \right) \leq C \exp \left\{ -\frac{ax^2}{n} \right\},$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 4.8 yields that  $\int_0^1 v \circ F_s ds = m + \chi \circ F_1 - \chi$ , with  $m \in L^\infty \cap \ker L_1$ . Then,  $(m \circ F_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is an RMDS by Proposition 3.6 and it is bounded. We have  $\int_0^n v \circ F_s ds = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} m \circ F_j + \chi \circ F_n - \chi$  for  $n \geq 1$ . To conclude, reason similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.15, replacing  $\check{\Phi}, \check{m}, \check{\chi}, f, \mathcal{A}$  with respectively  $\psi, m, \chi, F_1, \mathcal{B}$ , and using the estimates from Proposition 4.8 instead of (3.9).  $\square$

## 4.4 Secondary decomposition

Let  $v \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero and let  $m$  and  $\chi$  be respectively its martingale and coboundary parts, as defined in (4.7). By Proposition 4.5, the observable  $v$  satisfies  $(\star)$  and  $\langle v \rangle_\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta$ . Let  $U_F v = v \circ F$  and  $U_1 v = v \circ F_1$  be the Koopman operators respectively for  $F$  and  $F_1$ .

**Proposition 4.15.**  $(U_1 L_1(mm^T))(y, u) = \begin{cases} (U_F P(m'm^T))(y) & u \in [\varphi(y) - 1, \varphi(y)) \\ 0 & u \in [0, \varphi(y) - 1) \end{cases}$

*Proof.* Let  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$ . By Proposition 4.7 and definition of  $m$ , if  $u \in [1, \varphi(y))$ ,

$$(L_1(mm^T))(y, u) = mm^T(y, u - 1) = 0; \quad (4.13)$$

and if  $u \in [0, 1)$

$$(L_1(mm^T))(y, u) = \sum_j g(y_j) mm^T(y_j, u - 1 + \varphi(y_j)) = (P(m'm^T))(y). \quad (4.14)$$

Let us analyse  $U_1 L_1(mm^T)$ . If  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$  is such that  $u \in [0, \varphi(y) - 1)$ , then  $u + 1 \in [1, \varphi(y))$  and by (4.13) we get

$$(U_1 L_1(mm^T))(y, u) = (L_1(mm^T))(y, u + 1) = 0.$$

If  $u \in [\varphi(y) - 1, \varphi(y))$ , then  $u + 1 - \varphi(y) \in [0, 1)$  and (4.14) yields that

$$(U_1 L_1(mm^T))(y, u) = (L_1(mm^T))(Fy, u + 1 - \varphi(y)) = (P(m'm^T))(Fy),$$

finishing the proof.  $\square$

As in Corollary 4.12, let  $\Sigma = \int mm^T d\mu^\varphi$  and define

$$\check{v} = U_1 L_1(mm^T) - \Sigma = \mathbb{E}[mm^T - \Sigma | F_1^{-1}\mathcal{B}]. \quad (4.15)$$

By  $\int U_1 L_1(mm^T) d\mu^\varphi = \int L_1(mm^T) d\mu^\varphi = \Sigma$  it follows that  $\int_{Y^\varphi} \check{v} d\mu^\varphi = 0$ . Following Definition 4.4, we write  $\check{v}'(y) = \int_0^{\varphi(y)} \check{v}(y, u) du$ .

**Proposition 4.16.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\check{v}|_\infty \leq C \|v\|_\eta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|P\check{v}'\|_\eta \leq C \|v\|_\eta^2,$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero. So, the observable  $\check{v}$  satisfies  $(\star)$  and  $\langle \check{v} \rangle_\eta \leq \|v\|_\eta^2$ .

*Proof.* By definition of  $m'$ , we see that  $|m'| \leq |v'| + 2|\chi'|_\infty$ . Using  $|v'| \leq \varphi|v|_\infty$  and that  $\|\chi'\|_\eta \ll \|Pv'\|_\eta$  from (4.6), we get that  $|m'| \ll \varphi\langle v \rangle_\eta$  and  $|m'm'^T| \leq \varphi^2\langle v \rangle_\eta^2$ . By (4.4) and (4.2) with  $p = 2$ , we get that for all  $y \in Y$

$$|P(m'm'^T)(y)| \leq \sum_j g(y_j) |m'm'^T(y_j)| \ll \sum_j \mu(Y_j) (\sup_{Y_j} \varphi^2) \langle v \rangle_\eta^2 \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta^2. \quad (4.16)$$

Recall that Proposition 4.6 yields  $|m|_2 \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta$ . By Proposition 4.15 and (4.16),

$$|\check{v}|_\infty \leq |U_F P(m'm'^T)|_\infty + |\int_{Y^\varphi} mm^T d\mu^\varphi| \leq |P(m'm'^T)|_\infty + |m|_2^2 \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta^2.$$

The first estimate follows by  $\langle v \rangle_\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta$ .

Let us now show the second estimate. Proposition 4.15 yields

$$\check{v}'(y) = \int_0^{\varphi(y)} (U_F P(m'm'^T)(y) \mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi(y)-1 < u < \varphi(y)\}} - \Sigma) du = (U_F P(m'm'^T))(y) - \varphi(y)\Sigma.$$

The identity  $PU_F = \text{Id}_{L^1(Y)}$  implies that  $P\check{v}' = P(m'm'^T) - (P\varphi)\Sigma$ . Therefore, to complete the proof it suffices to show that  $\|P(m'm'^T)\|_\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta^2$  and  $\|(P\varphi)\Sigma\|_\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta^2$ .

Let us focus on  $(P\varphi)\Sigma$ . Apply Proposition 4.5 with  $v \equiv 1$  to get that  $v' = \varphi$  and  $\|P\varphi\|_\eta \ll 1$ . Hence,  $\|(P\varphi)\Sigma\|_\eta = \|P\varphi\|_\eta |\Sigma| \ll |m|_2^2 \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta^2$ .

Next, let us focus on  $P(m'm'^T)$ . We already know by (4.16) that  $|P(m'm'^T)|_\infty \ll \|v\|_\eta^2$ . Let  $x, y \in Y_j$ . By definition of  $m'$ , equation (4.5) and  $\chi' \in C^\eta(Y, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |m'(x) - m'(y)| &\leq |v'(x) - v'(y)| + |\chi'(Fx) - \chi'(Fy)| + |\chi'(x) - \chi'(y)| \\ &\ll \|v\|_\eta (\sup_{Y_j} \varphi) d(Fx, Fy)^\eta + \|\chi'\|_\eta d(Fx, Fy)^\eta + \|\chi'\|_\eta d(x, y)^\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\chi'\|_\eta \ll \|Pv'\|_\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta$ , we use point (b) of Subsection 2.2 to get  $|m'(x) - m'(y)| \ll \|v\|_\eta (\sup_{Y_j} \varphi) d(Fx, Fy)^\eta$ . Using that  $|m'| \ll \varphi\langle v \rangle_\eta \ll \varphi\|v\|_\eta$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |m'(x)m'(x)^T - m'(y)m'(y)^T| &\leq (|m'(x)| + |m'(y)|) |m'(x) - m'(y)| \\ &\ll \|v\|_\eta^2 (\sup_{Y_j} \varphi^2) d(Fx, Fy)^\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $x, y \in Y$  with preimages  $x_j, y_j \in Y_j$  under  $F$ . By (4.4) and (4.2) with  $p = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |(P(m'm'^T))(x) - (P(m'm'^T))(y)| &\leq \sum_j |g(x_j) - g(y_j)| |(m'm'^T)(x_j)| \\ &\quad + \sum_j g(y_j) |(m'm'^T)(x_j) - (m'm'^T)(y_j)| \\ &\ll \|v\|_\eta^2 \sum_j \mu(Y_j) (\sup_{Y_j} \varphi^2) d(Fx_j, Fy_j)^\eta \\ &\ll \|v\|_\eta^2 d(x, y)^\eta. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $\|P(m'm'^T)\|_\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta^2$ . □

By Proposition 4.16, for any  $v \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  we can apply Proposition 4.8 to  $\check{v}$ , obtaining the *secondary martingale-decomposition* of  $v$ .

We show now that the Birkhoff sum and integral of  $\check{v}$  are close. For  $n \geq 1$ , define  $\varphi_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varphi \circ F^j$ . For  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$  and  $t > 0$ , define the *lap number*  $N_t(y, u) = n \geq 0$  to be the unique integer such that  $\varphi_n(y) \leq t + u < \varphi_{n+1}(y)$ .

**Proposition 4.17.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_0^n \check{v} \circ F_s \, ds - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \check{v} \circ F_j \right|_\infty \leq C \|v\|_\eta^2,$$

for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $v \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero.

*Proof.* Define  $\alpha = U_F P(m' m^T)$ . Proposition 4.15 gives that  $(U_1 L_1(m m^T))(y, u) = \alpha(y) \mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi(y)-1 \leq u < \varphi(y)\}}$  for all  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$ . The integral  $\int_0^n (U_1 L_1(m m^T)) \circ F_s \, ds$  sums  $\alpha$  along an orbit under  $F$ , with an error given by

$$\left| \int_0^n (U_1 L_1(m m^T))(F_s(y, u)) \, ds - \sum_{j=0}^{N_{n-1}(y, u)} \alpha(F_j y) \right| \leq |\alpha(y)| + |\alpha(F^{N_{n-1}(y, u)} y)| \leq 2|\alpha|_\infty, \quad (4.17)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$ .

We find that every initial point  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$  enters the strip  $[\varphi - 1, \varphi)$  exactly once every lap. Still, the sum  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (U_1 L_1(m m^T)) \circ F_j$  could miss the term  $\alpha \circ F^{N_{n-1}}$ , giving that for every  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$  and all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (U_1 L_1(m m^T))(F_j(y, u)) - \sum_{j=0}^{N_{n-1}(y, u)} \alpha(F_j y) \right| \leq |\alpha(F^{N_{n-1}(y, u)} y)| \leq |\alpha|_\infty. \quad (4.18)$$

Both (4.17) and (4.18) can be restated with infinity norms, because the estimates are uniform in  $(y, u)$ . Combine (4.17) and (4.18), noticing that the two terms  $n\Sigma$  cancel out:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^n \check{v} \circ F_s \, ds - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \check{v} \circ F_j \right|_\infty &= \left| \int_0^n (U_1 L_1(m m^T)) \circ F_s \, ds - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (U_1 L_1(m m^T)) \circ F_j \right|_\infty \\ &\leq 3|\alpha|_\infty \leq 3|P(m' m^T)|_\infty \ll \langle v \rangle_\eta^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is true by (4.16). Conclude by  $\langle v \rangle_\eta \ll \|v\|_\eta$ .  $\square$

Proposition 4.17 enables us to connect discrete and continuous time estimates. The two corollaries that follow will be used in the proofs of our main theorems.

**Corollary 4.18.** Let  $p \in [2, \infty)$ . There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \check{v} \circ F_j \right| \right|_{2(p-1)} \leq C \|v\|_\eta^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $v \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero.

*Proof.* Since  $\check{v}$  satisfies  $(\star)$  by Proposition 4.16, we get from (4.12) that

$$\left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \int_0^k \check{v} \circ F_j \right| \right|_{2(p-1)} \ll \langle \check{v} \rangle_\eta n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll \|v\|_\eta^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The statement follows by Proposition 4.17.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.19.** Let  $p = \infty$  and  $v \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R})$  with mean zero. There exist  $a, C > 0$  such that

$$\mu^\varphi \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \check{v} \circ F_j \right| \geq x \right) \leq C \exp \left\{ -\frac{a\varepsilon^2}{n} \right\},$$

for all  $x \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.17, there exists  $K > 0$  such that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \check{v} \circ F_j \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \int_0^k \check{v} \circ F_j \right| + K.$$

Hence,

$$\mu^\varphi \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \check{v} \circ F_j \right| \geq x \right) \leq \mu^\varphi \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \int_0^k \check{v} \circ F_j \right| \geq x/2 \right) + \mu^\varphi(K \geq x/2).$$

Since  $\check{v}$  satisfies  $(\star)$  by Proposition 4.16, the first term of the right-hand side is sorted by Propositions 4.14. The second term is treated as in (3.15).  $\square$

## 5 Continuous time rates

This section provides the proofs of Theorems 2.8 and 2.9. Let  $\Psi_t: M \rightarrow M$  be a nonuniformly expanding semiflow of order  $p \in (2, \infty]$  with ergodic invariant measure  $\mu_M$  as in Subsection 2.4, and let  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero. For  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \geq 1$ , let  $W_n(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{nt} v \circ \Psi_s ds$  be as in (2.5), which converges weakly to a centred  $N$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W$  by Theorem 2.7. Let  $F_t: Y^\varphi \rightarrow Y^\varphi$  be the respective Gibbs-Markov semiflow with ergodic invariant measure  $\mu^\varphi$ , which is semiconjugated to  $\Psi_t$  by the map  $\pi_\varphi: Y^\varphi \rightarrow M$ ,  $\pi_\varphi(y, u) = \Psi_u y$ . Then the observable  $w = v \circ \pi_\varphi$  has mean zero, and by Proposition 4.3 it lies in  $\mathcal{F}^{\eta^2}(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . We define the sequence of processes  $\widehat{W}_n$  on the probability space  $(Y^\varphi, \mu^\varphi)$  as  $\widehat{W}_n = W_n \circ \pi_\varphi$ . We have that

$$\widehat{W}_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^{nt} w \circ F_s ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (5.1)$$

Following Corollary 4.13, we note that the limiting Brownian motion  $W$  has covariance matrix  $\Sigma = \int_{Y^\varphi} m m^T d\mu^\varphi$ , where  $m$  is the martingale part of  $w$ . Since  $\pi_\varphi$  is measure-preserving, we have  $W_n =_d \widehat{W}_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , so  $\mathcal{W}(W_n, W) = \mathcal{W}(\widehat{W}_n, W)$  and  $\Pi(W_n, W) = \Pi(\widehat{W}_n, W)$ . Therefore, in the remainder of this section we deal with observables  $w$  that lie in  $\mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  for some  $\eta \in (0, 1]$ , and prove rates for  $\widehat{W}_n$ .

We recall from Proposition 4.8 that there exist  $m, \chi: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$\int_0^1 w \circ F_s ds = m + \chi \circ F_1 - \chi. \quad (5.2)$$

Since  $\langle w \rangle_\eta \ll \|w\|_\eta$ , Proposition 4.6 yields that there is  $C > 0$  such that, for  $p \in (2, \infty]$

$$|m|_p \leq C \|w\|_\eta, \quad |\chi|_{p-1} \leq C \|w\|_\eta. \quad (5.3)$$

Proposition 4.9 yields for  $p \in (2, \infty)$  that

$$\left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\chi \circ F_k - \chi| \right|_p \leq C \|w\|_\eta n^{1/p}. \quad (5.4)$$

**Notation.** For  $n \geq 1$  and  $g: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ , we write  $g_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g \circ F_j$ .

## 5.1 Proof of Theorem 2.8

For fixed  $w \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R})$  with mean zero and martingale part  $m \in L^p(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $p \in (2, \infty)$ , we define the sequence of processes  $X_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , as

$$X_n(k/n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m \circ F_j, \quad (5.5)$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and using linear interpolation in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of identically distributed real random variables, defined on the same probability space. If  $\xi_1 \in L^q$  for some  $q \in [1, \infty)$ , then  $|\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\xi_k|_q| \leq n^{1/q} |\xi_1|_q$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We have that  $(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\xi_k|)^q = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\xi_k|^q \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^q$ . Since all the  $\xi_k$  share the same distribution,  $\mathbb{E}[(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\xi_k|)^q] \leq \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^q] = n\mathbb{E}[|\xi_1|^q]$ . The statement follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(\widehat{W}_n, X_n) \leq Cn^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\psi = \int_0^1 w \circ F_s ds$ . By (5.2), we have  $\psi_k = m_k + \chi \circ F_k - \chi$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and

$$\widehat{W}_n(t) - X_n(t) = n^{-1/2}(\psi_{\lfloor nt \rfloor/n} - m_{\lfloor nt \rfloor/n}) + R_n(t) = n^{-1/2}(\chi \circ F_{\lfloor nt \rfloor/n} - \chi) + R_n(t)$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $R_n(t) = (\widehat{W}_n(t) - \widehat{W}_n(\lfloor nt \rfloor/n)) - (X_n(t) - X_n(\lfloor nt \rfloor/n))$ . So,

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}} |R_n(t)| \leq \left| \int_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}^{nt} w \circ F_s ds \right| + |m \circ F_{\lfloor nt \rfloor - 1}| \leq |w|_\infty + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |m \circ F_{k-1}|.$$

By Proposition 5.1 and (5.3),

$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |m \circ F_{k-1}| \right|_p \leq n^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p}} |m|_p \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}} \|w\|_\eta.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |R_n(t)| \right|_p \leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|w|_\infty + \left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |m \circ F_{k-1}| \right|_p) \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}} \|w\|_\eta.$$

By the estimate on  $R_n$  and (5.4),

$$\left| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |\widehat{W}_n(t) - X_n(t)| \right|_p \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\chi \circ f^k - \chi| \right|_p + n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}} \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}}.$$

We finish the proof showing that for any  $f \in \text{Lip}_1$ ,

$$\left| \int_{Y^\varphi} f(\widehat{W}_n) d\mu^\varphi - \int_{Y^\varphi} f(X_n) d\mu^\varphi \right| \leq \left| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |\widehat{W}_n(t) - X_n(t)| \right|_p \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}}. \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.8.** Let  $p \in (2, 3)$ . Consider  $X_n$  from (5.5) and let  $Y_n$  be as in Lemma 3.13. Let  $W: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be the limiting Brownian motion that has covariance  $\Sigma = \int_\mu m m^T d\mu_\Delta$ . By Lemmas 5.2 and 3.13, to prove the rate on  $\mathcal{W}(\widehat{W}_n, W)$  it suffices to estimate  $\mathcal{W}(X_n, Y_n)$ .

Let us check the hypotheses of Theorem 3.7 for  $d_n = m \circ F_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . By Proposition 4.8 we have that  $L_1 m = 0$ , so Proposition 3.6 yields that  $d_n$  with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $F_1^{-n} \mathcal{B}$  is an RMDS on the probability space  $(Y^\varphi, \mathcal{B}, \mu^\varphi)$ . It lies in  $L^p$  by (5.3), and it is stationary because  $F_n$  is measure-preserving.

Since  $m \in \ker L_1$ , we follow the proof of Proposition 3.3 and get  $\mathbb{E}[(m \circ F_k)(m \circ F_\ell)^T | F_n^{-1} \mathcal{B}] = 0$  for all  $0 \leq k \neq \ell \leq n-1$ . Using the notation  $\check{v} = \mathbb{E}[m m^T - \Sigma | F_1^{-1} \mathcal{B}]$  from (4.15), we apply Corollary 4.18 and reason as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 (in Subsection 3.2) to prove that  $M_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} m \circ F_j$ ,  $n \geq 1$  satisfies condition (3.4).

We can now apply Theorem 3.7 and follow the proof of Theorem 2.3 to get that  $\mathcal{W}(X_n, Y_n) \ll n^{-\frac{p-2}{2p}} (\log n)^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$



## 5.2 Proof of Theorem 2.9 ( $p = \infty$ )

Let  $p = \infty$  and  $w \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R})$  with mean zero and martingale part  $m \in L^\infty(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R})$ . Define the sequence of processes  $Y_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 1$

$$Y_n(k/n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^k m \circ F_{n-j},$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , using linear interpolation in  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $h: C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  be the linear operator  $(hf)(t) = f(1) - f(1-t)$  as in [21, Lemma 4.8].

**Lemma 5.3.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\Pi(h \circ \widehat{W}_n, Y_n) \leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\psi = \int_0^1 w \circ F_s ds$ . By equation (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ \widehat{W}_n(t) - Y_n(t) &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor}^n w \circ F_s ds - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor - 1} m \circ F_{n-j} \right) + R_n(t) \\ &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \psi_n - \psi_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor} - (m_n - m_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor}) \right) + R_n(t) \\ &= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \chi \circ F_n - \chi \circ F_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor} \right) + R_n(t) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(t) &= h \circ (\widehat{W}_n(t) - \widehat{W}_n(\lfloor nt \rfloor/n)) - (Y_n(t) - Y_n(\lfloor nt \rfloor/n)) \\ &= (\widehat{W}_n((1 - \lfloor nt \rfloor)/n) - \widehat{W}_n(1-t)) - (Y_n(t) - Y_n(\lfloor nt \rfloor/n)). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}} |R_n(t)| \leq \left| \int_{1-t}^{1-\lfloor nt \rfloor} w \circ F_s ds \right| + |m \circ F_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor-1}| \leq |w|_\infty + |m|_\infty,$$

and by (5.3),  $\left| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |R_n(t)| \right|_\infty \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_\eta$ . Hence,

$$\left| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |h \circ \widehat{W}_n(t) - Y_n(t)| \right|_\infty \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2|\chi|_\infty + \|w\|_\eta) \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_\eta.$$

Since the Prokhorov metric is bounded by the infinity norm, we conclude that

$$\Pi(h \circ \widehat{W}_n, Y_n) \leq \left| \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |h \circ \widehat{W}_n(t) - Y_n(t)| \right|_\infty \ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 5.4.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\Pi(Y_n, W) \leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\log n)^{\frac{3}{4}}$  for all integers  $n > 1$ .

*Proof.* Following the proof of Theorem 2.8, the sequence  $d_n = m \circ F_n$  with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $F_1^{-n} \mathcal{B}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , is a stationary RMDS on the probability space  $(Y^\varphi, \mathcal{B}, \mu^\varphi)$ . Equation (5.3) yields that  $d_n$  is bounded. We adopt the same notation of Theorem 3.8, noting that  $\sigma^2 = \int_{Y^\varphi} m^2 d\mu^\varphi$  and that  $Y_n$  coincides with  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . We have that

$$V_n(k) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[m^2 \circ F_{n-j} | F_{n-(j-1)}^{-1} \mathcal{B}] = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[m^2 | F^{-1} \mathcal{B}] \circ F_{n-j}.$$

As pointed out in the proof of Lemma 3.17, to apply Theorem 3.8 and complete the current proof, it suffices to show that  $\kappa_n \ll \sqrt{n^{-1} \log n}$ . Writing  $\check{v} = \mathbb{E}[m^2 | F_1^{-1} \mathcal{B}] - \sigma^2$  as in (4.15), we have that

$$V_n(k) - (k/n)\sigma^2 = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \check{v} \circ F_{n-j} = n^{-1} (\check{v}_n - \check{v}_{n-k}),$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . So,  $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |V_n(k) - (k/n)\sigma^2| \leq 2n^{-1} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{v}_k|$ . By Corollary 4.19, there are  $a, C > 0$  such that

$$\mu_\Delta(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |V_n(k) - (k/n)\sigma^2| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \mu_\Delta(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\check{v}_k| \geq n\varepsilon/2) \leq Ce^{-an\varepsilon^2},$$

for all  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Reasoning as in Lemma 3.17, this estimate is sufficient to show that  $\kappa_n \ll \sqrt{n^{-1} \log n}$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.9** ( $p = \infty$ ). Let  $w \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero and let  $\widehat{W}_n$  be from (5.1). Since  $\widehat{W}_n(0) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , applying Proposition 3.18 with  $N = 1$  we get

$$\Pi(\widehat{W}_n, W) \ll \Pi(h \circ \widehat{W}_n, W) \leq \Pi(h \circ \widehat{W}_n, Y_n) + \Pi(Y_n, W).$$

Conclude by Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4.  $\square$

### 5.3 Proof of Theorem 2.9 ( $p \in (2, \infty)$ )

In the current subsection, we use our new estimates from Section 4 to apply the method found in [3, Section 4] to the semiflow case with real-valued observables. We remark that the following results are proven by the same techniques of [3], and are shown here for completeness. Let  $p \in (2, \infty)$  and  $w \in \mathcal{F}^\eta(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R})$  with mean zero and martingale part  $m \in L^p(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R})$ . Consider  $\sigma^2 = \int_{Y^\varphi} m^2 d\mu^\varphi$  and define  $d_n = (m \circ F_n)/(n^{1/2}\sigma)$  with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{G}_n = F_n^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $L_1 m = 0$ , the sequence  $d_n$  is a RMDS by Proposition 3.6. Then  $(d_{n-j})_{0 \leq j \leq n}$  with filtration  $(\mathcal{G}_{n-j})_{0 \leq j \leq n}$  is a martingale differences array. Define for  $0 \leq k \leq n$

$$V_n(k) = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[d_{n-j}^2 | \mathcal{G}_{n-(j-1)}].$$

Define now a sequence of processes  $X_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , as

$$X_n \left( \frac{V_n(k)}{V_n(n)} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^k d_{n-j}, \quad (5.6)$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , and linear interpolation in  $[0, 1]$ . As stated in [3], the integer  $k$  in (5.6) is a random variable  $k = k_n(t): Y^\varphi \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$ , such that  $V_n(k) \leq tV_n(n) < V_n(k+1)$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $|\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |k_n(t) - \lfloor nt \rfloor||_{2(p-1)} \leq Cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof is carried out as in [3, Proposition 4.4]. The only fact left to show is that

$$|\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |V_n(k) - k/n||_{2(p-1)} \ll n^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.7)$$

We have that

$$V_n(k) - \frac{k}{n} = \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[m^2 \circ F_{n-j} | F_{n-(j-1)}^{-1}\mathcal{B}] - \frac{k}{n} = \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^k (\mathbb{E}[m^2 - \sigma^2 | F_1^{-1}\mathcal{B}] \circ F_j),$$

and (5.7) follows by Corollary 4.18.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6.** For  $n \geq 1$  and  $\psi = \int_0^1 v \circ F_s ds$ , define  $Z_n = \max_{0 \leq i, \ell \leq \sqrt{n}} |\psi_\ell| \circ F_{i \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor}$ .

$$(a) \left| \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} \psi \circ F_j \right| \leq Z_n((b-a)(\sqrt{n}-1)^{-1} + 3) \text{ for all } 0 \leq a < b \leq n.$$

$$(b) |Z_n|_{2(p-1)} \leq C \|w\|_{\eta} n^{1/4+1/(4(p-1))} \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* This proof is identical to the one of [3, Proposition 4.6] with the obvious notational changes and applying equation (4.12) to get  $|\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\psi_k|_{2(p-1)}| \ll n^{1/2}$ .  $\square$

Let  $h: C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  be the linear operator  $(hf)(t) = f(1) - f(1-t)$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\Pi(h \circ \widehat{W}_n, \sigma X_n) \leq Cn^{-\frac{p-2}{4p}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* This proof follows the one of [3, Lemma 4.7], writing for  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$h \circ \widehat{W}_n(t) - \sigma X_n(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor}^n w \circ F_s \, ds - \sum_{j=1}^k m \circ F_{n-j} \right) + R_n(t),$$

and using appropriately estimate (5.4) and Propositions 5.5, 5.6. (See also [35, Lemma 3.58]).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.8.** Let  $B: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a standard Brownian motion. There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\Pi(X_n, B) \leq Cn^{-\frac{p-2}{4p}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* This is identical to the proof of [3, Lemma 4.3], adapting the notation and applying [24, Theorem 1] of Kubilius. We remark the importance of (5.7) to finish this proof. (See also [35, Theorem 3.59]).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.9** ( $p \in (2, \infty)$ ). This proof is identical to the proof of [3, Theorem 2.2] with the appropriate notational changes. We write it to keep this work self-contained. Let  $\widehat{W}_n$  be from (5.1). Since  $\widehat{W}_n(0) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , Proposition 3.18 yields that  $\Pi(\widehat{W}_n, W) \leq 2\Pi(h \circ \widehat{W}_n, W)$ . Using that  $W =_d \sigma B$ , we get

$$\Pi(\widehat{W}_n, W) \ll \Pi(h \circ \widehat{W}_n, \sigma B) \ll \Pi(h \circ \widehat{W}_n, \sigma X_n) + \Pi(\sigma X_n, \sigma B).$$

Conclude by Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8.  $\square$

## 6 Nonuniformly hyperbolic flows

In the previous sections we showed how to derive rates in the WIP for certain non-invertible systems using martingales techniques and Gordin's method. However, these approaches are not applicable directly in the invertible setting. Therefore, in this section we illustrate how the theorems outlined in Section 2 remain valid for a class of invertible systems.

Following the arguments of [3, Remark 6.2(a)], Theorems 2.3 and 2.5 are still valid for a class of maps that are nonuniformly hyperbolic in the sense of Young [42, 43], namely they display polynomial tails with inducing time in  $L^p$ , and have an exponential contraction along stable leaves. Such conditions are satisfied if the Young tower displays exponential tails. However, the matter of passing the rates from semiflows to flows is more delicate than in the discrete setting. In the following, we show how Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 are satisfied for a class of nonuniformly hyperbolic flows that display an exponential contraction along stable leaves.

## 6.1 Setup

Let  $(M, d)$  be a bounded metric space and let  $\Psi_t: M \rightarrow M$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , be a flow, so  $\Psi_0 = \text{Id}$  and  $\Psi_{t+s} = \Psi_t \circ \Psi_s$ ,  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . As in Subsection 2.4, we assume continuous dependence on initial conditions (2.3) and Lipschitz continuity in time (2.4). Our main assumption is that  $\Psi_t$  is *nonuniformly hyperbolic*, that is it can be modelled by a suspension with Hölder continuous first return time, where the induced map is nonuniformly hyperbolic in the sense of Young [42]. Hence, we assume the existence of  $X \subset M$  and a function  $r: X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ ,  $r(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : \Psi_t x \in X\}$ , such that  $T: X \rightarrow X$ ,  $Tx = \Psi_{r(x)}x$  is nonuniformly hyperbolic. We suppose that there is a constant  $\eta_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that  $|r|_{\eta_0} = \sup_{x \neq y} |r(x) - r(y)|/d(x, y)^{\eta_0} < \infty$ .

Let us specify the conditions that we require for the map  $T$ .

- There is a Borel probability measure  $m$  on  $X$ , which is preserved by  $T$ .
- There exists a measurable  $Y \subset X$ ,  $m(Y) > 0$ , with an (at most countable) measurable partition  $\{Y_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  and a function  $\tau: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  that is constant on partition elements, such that  $T^{\tau(y)}y \in Y$  for all  $y \in Y$ . We define  $F: Y \rightarrow Y$  as  $Fy = T^{\tau(y)}y$ .
- Let  $p \in [2, \infty]$ . We have an  $F$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on  $Y$  such that  $\tau \in L^p(Y, \mu)$ , and we set  $\bar{\tau} = \int_Y \tau d\mu$ .
- There is a partition of  $Y$  consisting of stable leaves  $\mathcal{W}^s$  of  $F$ , which is a refinement of  $\{Y_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ . For  $y \in Y$ , let  $\mathcal{W}^s(y)$  denote the stable leaf containing  $y$ . The stable leaves are invariant under  $F$ , that is  $F(\mathcal{W}^s(y)) \subset \mathcal{W}^s(Fy)$ .
- The set  $X$  is partitioned into stable leaves  $\mathcal{W}_T^s$  that are invariant for  $T$ . For  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{W}_T^s(x)$  denote the stable leaf containing  $x$ . We suppose that  $\mathcal{W}_T^s$  extends  $\mathcal{W}^s$ , namely for  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  (i)  $\mathcal{W}_T^s(x) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  and (ii)  $\mathcal{W}_T^s(y) \cap Y = \mathcal{W}^s(y)$ .
- We have the quotient space  $\bar{Y} = Y / \sim$ , where  $x \sim y$  if  $x \in \mathcal{W}^s(y)$ , with projection  $\bar{\pi}: Y \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ . We can also quotient the map  $F$  into  $\bar{F}: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  with invariant probability measure  $\bar{\mu} = \bar{\pi}_* \mu$ . There is a partition  $\{\bar{Y}_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  of  $\bar{Y}$  such that  $Y_j = \bar{\pi}^{-1}(\bar{Y}_j)$ . The separation time  $s(x, y)$  is defined as the infimum of  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\bar{F}^n x$  and  $\bar{F}^n y$  belong to different partition elements. The function  $\bar{F}$  is a full-branch Gibbs-Markov map and it separates trajectories:  $s(x, y) < \infty$  if and only if  $x \neq y$ . We extend the separation time to  $x, y \in Y$  by  $s(x, y) = s(\bar{\pi}(x), \bar{\pi}(y))$ .

Let  $\tilde{Y} \subset Y$  be such that it intersects every stable leaf only once, that is for every  $y \in Y$  the set  $\mathcal{W}^s(y) \cap \tilde{Y}$  contains exactly one element, and for  $j \geq 1$  let  $\tilde{Y}_j = \tilde{Y} \cap Y_j$ . Let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  be the associated projection, so that  $\pi y \in \mathcal{W}^s(y) \cap \tilde{Y}$  and  $\pi x = \pi y$  if and only if  $x \in \mathcal{W}^s(y)$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}_T^s$  extends  $\mathcal{W}^s$ , we can also extend the domain of the projection, defining a new  $\pi: X \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ .

To conclude our list of assumptions, we include some estimates. Suppose there exist  $C > 0$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $n \geq 0$  and  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}$ ,

$$d(F^n x, F^n y) \leq C \gamma^{s(x, y) - n}, \quad (6.1)$$

$$d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq C \gamma^{s(x, y) - \beta_n(y)}, \quad (6.2)$$

where  $\beta_n = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : T^j \in Y\}$ . Assume also that

$$d(T^i x, T^i y) \leq C(d(x, y) + d(Fx, Fy)), \quad (6.3)$$

for all  $j \geq 1$ ,  $x, y \in Y_j$ , and  $0 \leq i \leq \tau(y) - 1$ .

We also assume an exponential contraction of  $T$  along stable leaves, that is

$$d(T^n x, T^n x') \leq C\gamma^n \quad (6.4)$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $x' \in \mathcal{W}_T^s(x)$ . This condition is essential for our purposes and was assumed by [28, 29]. It is satisfied by flows modelled by Young towers with exponential tails and by some classes of intermittent solenoids.

Let  $r_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r \circ T^j$  and define  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  as  $\varphi(y) = r_{\tau(y)}(y)$ . By  $\varphi \leq |r|_\infty \tau$  and  $\tau \in L^p(Y)$ , we get that  $\varphi \in L^p(Y)$ , as well. Define the suspension  $Y^\varphi = \{(y, u) \in Y \times [0, \infty) : u \in [0, \varphi(y)]\} / \sim$  where  $(y, \varphi(y)) \sim (Fy, 0)$ . The suspension flow  $F_t: Y^\varphi \rightarrow Y^\varphi$  is given by  $F_t(y, u) = (y, u + t)$  computed modulo identifications. The projection  $\rho: Y^\varphi \rightarrow M$ ,  $\rho(y, u) = \Psi_u y$ , is a semiconjugacy from  $F_t$  to  $\Psi_t$ . We define the ergodic  $F_t$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu^\varphi = (\mu \times \text{Lebesgue}) / \bar{\varphi}$ , where  $\bar{\varphi} = \int_Y \varphi d\mu$ . Then,  $\nu = \rho_* \mu^\varphi$  is an ergodic  $\Psi_t$ -invariant probability measure on  $M$ . We define the space  $C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_\eta$  similarly to Definition 2.1.

Let  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero and define the sequence

$$W_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^{nt} v \circ \Psi_s ds,$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Every  $W_n$  is a random element in  $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^N)$  defined on the probability space  $(M, \nu)$ . If  $\Psi_t$  is a nonuniformly hyperbolic flow and  $p \in [2, \infty]$ , then the WIP is satisfied for Hölder observables. This follows by passing the WIP for maps [33] to the flow using [21]. So, there exists a centered Brownian motion  $W: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $W_n \rightarrow_w W$ . It follows the last theorem of our paper.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  with mean zero and let  $p \in (2, \infty]$ . The rates of convergence for the WIP in Wasserstein and Prokhorov metrics of Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 are also valid for nonuniformly hyperbolic flows, with the same conditions on  $p$  and  $N \geq 1$ .

The remainder of this section is dedicated to prove Theorem 6.1. Let us outline our approach. Firstly, we show how to model a flow by a suspension with a roof function that is constant along stable leaves, and which projects into a Gibbs-Markov semiflow. Secondly, we establish that a lift of a Hölder observable  $v$  is cohomologous to a function  $h$  that only depends on future coordinates. This function projects to an observable  $\bar{h}$  that fits within the appropriate functional space described Section 4. We obtain the rates for the WIP for  $\bar{h}$  following the approach of Section 5. Finally, these rates are passed to the WIP for the function  $v$  through standard arguments.

We start presenting a couple of estimates. We fix  $\theta = \gamma^{\eta_0} \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\eta_0 \in (0, 1]$  is the Hölder exponent for  $r: X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  is from equations (6.1)-(6.4).

**Proposition 6.2.** Let  $p \in [2, \infty]$ . There exists  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $j \geq 1$ ,  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}_j$ , and  $0 \leq n \leq \tau(y) - 1$ ,

$$|r_n(x) - r_n(y)| \leq C(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \theta^{s(x,y)}.$$

Moreover,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \theta^{s(x,y)}.$$

*Proof.* Let us prove the estimate as done in [5, Proposition 7.4]. Equations (6.1) and (6.3) yield that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$d(T^j x, T^j y) \leq C \gamma^{s(x,y)}. \quad (6.5)$$

For all  $0 \leq j \leq \tau(y) - 1$ . So,

$$|r_n(x) - r_n(y)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |r(T^i x) - r(T^i y)| \leq |r|_{\eta_0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(T^i x, T^i y)^{\eta_0} \ll n \theta^{s(x,y)}.$$

The first estimate follows by  $n \leq \tau(y) \leq \inf_{Y_j} \varphi$ . By (6.1), equation (6.5) holds also when  $j = \tau(x)$ . So, the estimate for  $\varphi$  follows taking  $n = \tau(y)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.** For every  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  there exists  $C > 0$  such that,

$$|v(\Psi_u x) - v(\Psi_s y)| \leq C((\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)(\theta^\eta)^{s(x,y)} + |u - s|^\eta),$$

for all  $(x, u), (y, s) \in Y^\varphi$  with  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}_j$ .

*Proof.* We have that

$$|v(\Psi_u x) - v(\Psi_s y)| \leq |v|_\eta d(\Psi_u x, \Psi_s y)^\eta \leq |v|_\eta \{d(\Psi_u x, \Psi_u y)^\eta + d(\Psi_u y, \Psi_s y)^\eta\}.$$

We use Lipschitz continuity (2.4) for the last term:  $d(\Psi_u y, \Psi_s y)^\eta \leq L^\eta |u - s|^\eta$ .

Let  $n \geq 0$  be such that  $r_n(x) \leq u < r_{n+1}(x)$ . Reasoning as in Proposition 4.3,

$$d(\Psi_u x, \Psi_u y) \ll d(T^n x, T^n y) + L|r_n(x) - r_n(y)|.$$

Since  $n \leq \tau(x)$ , equation (6.5) yields  $d(T^n x, T^n y) \ll \theta^{s(x,y)}$ . Applying Proposition 6.2,  $d(\Psi_u y, \Psi_s y) \ll (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \theta^{s(x,y)}$ . Combine the previous estimates to conclude.  $\square$

**Remark 6.4.** Our assumptions are naturally satisfied when the flow  $\Psi_t$  is uniformly hyperbolic. In such a case, we have  $Y = X$ , the return function  $\tau$  is constantly 1, and  $\varphi = r \in L^\infty(Y)$  is Hölder continuous. Moreover, an observable  $v \in C^\eta(M)$  lifts directly to a Lipschitz observable with respect to a two-sided symbolic metric.

## 6.2 Reduction to a constant roof function along stable leaves

We recall here standard arguments from [8, 39] in order to quotient the suspension  $Y^\varphi$  along stable leaves. For a more complete and general exposition of our setting, we refer to [5], where the authors do not assume the exponential contraction (6.4). We remark that our methods rely strongly on such a requirement.

For  $x \in X$ , define  $\tilde{\chi}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r(T^n \pi x) - r(T^n x))$ . By (6.4),

$$|r(T^n \pi x) - r(T^n x)| \leq |r|_{\eta_0} d(T^n \pi x, T^n x)^{\eta_0} \leq |r|_{\eta_0} (\gamma^{\eta_0})^n.$$

Hence, the series for  $\tilde{\chi}$  converges absolutely for any  $x \in X$ , and the function  $\tilde{\chi}$  is bounded.

Let  $r_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} r \circ T^j$ . Since  $\inf r \geq 1$ , there exists  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\inf r_k \geq 4|\tilde{\chi}|_\infty + 1$ . We take without loss  $k = 1$  (otherwise in the following we could substitute  $r$  with  $r_k$  and  $T$  with  $T^k$ ). Define  $\tilde{r}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\tilde{r} = r + \tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi} \circ T,$$

so that  $\inf \tilde{r} \geq \inf r - 2|\tilde{\chi}|_\infty \geq 1$ . A calculation gives

$$\tilde{r}(x) = r(\pi x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r(T^{n+1}\pi x) - \varphi(T^n \pi T x)).$$

Since the stable leaves are invariant under  $T$ , we have that  $\pi(Tx) = \pi(T\pi x)$ . Hence,  $\tilde{r}(x) = \tilde{r}(\pi x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , and we say that  $\tilde{r}$  is constant along stable leaves.

For the remaining of this subsection, we fix  $\theta = \gamma^{\eta_0} \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\eta_0 \in (0, 1]$  is the Hölder exponent for  $r: X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  is from equations (6.1)-(6.4).

**Proposition 6.5.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\tilde{\chi}(x) - \tilde{\chi}(y)| \leq C\theta^{s(x,y)/2},$$

for all  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}$ .

*Proof.* This proof follows [5, Lemma 8.4]. If  $x$  and  $y$  belong to different partition elements, then  $s(x, y) = 0$  and the statement is trivial. Suppose now  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}_j$  for some  $j \geq 1$  and write  $N = \lfloor s(x, y)/2 \rfloor$ . We write

$$|\tilde{\chi}(x) - \tilde{\chi}(y)| \leq A(x, y) + A(\pi x, \pi y) + B(x) + B(y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |r(T^n x) - r(T^n y)|, \\ B(x) &= \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |r(T^n x) - r(T^n \pi x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\beta_n \leq n$ , equation (6.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y) &\leq |r|_{\eta_0} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} d(T^n x, T^n y)^{\eta_0} \leq C|r|_{\eta_0} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \theta^{s(x,y)-n} \\ &\ll (1 - \theta)^{-1} \theta^{s(x,y)-N} \ll \theta^{s(x,y)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $A(\pi x, \pi y) \ll \theta^{s(\pi x, \pi y)/2} = \theta^{s(x,y)/2}$ .

Let us deal with  $B$ . By equation (6.4), for all  $y' \in Y$

$$B(y') \leq |r|_{\eta_0} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} d(T^n y', T^n \pi y')^{\eta_0} \ll \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \theta^n \leq (1 - \theta)^{-1} \theta^N.$$

Hence,  $B(x), B(y) \ll \theta^{N+1}/\theta \ll \theta^{s(x,y)/2}$ , and the claim for  $\tilde{\chi}$  is proved by combining the estimates for  $A$  and  $B$ .  $\square$

We can now define  $\tilde{\varphi}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} \tilde{r} \circ T^j$ , noting that it is constant along stable leaves and satisfies the formula  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi + \tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi} \circ F$ . We have also that  $\inf \tilde{\varphi} \geq 1$  and  $\int_Y \tilde{\varphi} d\mu = \int_Y \varphi d\mu$ ; hence,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is an integrable roof function. Since the function  $\varphi$  lies in  $L^p(Y)$ , by  $|\tilde{\varphi}|_p \leq |\varphi|_p + 2|\tilde{\chi}|_\infty$  it follows that  $\tilde{\varphi} \in L^p(Y)$ .

**Proposition 6.6.** Let  $p \in [2, \infty]$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y)| \leq C(\inf_{Y_j} \tilde{\varphi})\theta^{s(x,y)/2}.$$

for all  $j \geq 1$  and any  $x, y \in Y_j$ .

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [5, Proposition 6.1]. Since  $\inf \varphi \geq 4|\tilde{\chi}|_\infty + 1$ , we have that

$$\inf_{Y_j} \varphi \leq \inf_{Y_j} \tilde{\varphi} + 2|\tilde{\chi}|_\infty \leq \inf_{Y_j} \tilde{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \inf \varphi \leq \inf_{Y_j} \tilde{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \inf_{Y_j} \varphi,$$

giving that  $\inf_{Y_j} \varphi \leq 2 \inf_{Y_j} \tilde{\varphi}$ .

Since  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is constant along stable leaves, we can assume without loss that  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}_j$ . So,

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y)| \leq |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| + |\tilde{\chi}(x) - \tilde{\chi}(y)| + |\tilde{\chi}(Fx) - \tilde{\chi}(Fy)|.$$

Using Proposition 6.2,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \ll (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \theta^{s(x,y)} \leq 2(\inf_{Y_j} \tilde{\varphi}) \theta^{s(x,y)/2}.$$

Proposition 6.5 yields  $|\tilde{\chi}(x) - \tilde{\chi}(y)| \ll \theta^{s(x,y)/2}$  and

$$|\tilde{\chi}(Fx) - \tilde{\chi}(Fy)| \ll \theta^{s(Fx,Fy)/2} \leq \theta^{-1/2} \theta^{s(x,y)/2}.$$

Combine the previous estimates to conclude the proof.  $\square$

Let  $Y^{\tilde{\varphi}}$  be the suspension over  $Y$  via the map  $F$  with roof function  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and let  $\tilde{F}_t: Y^{\tilde{\varphi}} \rightarrow Y^{\tilde{\varphi}}$  be the associated suspension flow. Define the measure  $\mu^{\tilde{\varphi}} = (\mu \times \text{Lebesgue}) / \int \tilde{\varphi} d\mu$ . Let  $g: Y^{\tilde{\varphi}} \rightarrow Y^\varphi$  be  $g(y, u) = (y, u - \tilde{\chi}(y))$ , following the identifications on  $Y^\varphi$ . So,

$$g(y, \tilde{\varphi}(y)) = (y, \tilde{\varphi}(y) - \tilde{\chi}(y)) = (y, \varphi(y) - \tilde{\chi}(Fy)) = (Fy, -\tilde{\chi}(Fy)) = g(Fy, 0).$$

Hence, the function  $g$  respects the identifications on  $Y^{\tilde{\varphi}}$ , and so it is well-defined. Note that  $F_t \circ g = g \circ \tilde{F}_t$ , where  $F_t$  is the suspension flow on  $Y^\varphi$ . Let  $\tilde{\rho} = \rho \circ g$ ; by  $\Psi_t \circ \rho = \rho \circ F_t$ , we get that  $\Psi_t \circ \tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\rho} \circ \tilde{F}_t$ , and so  $\tilde{\rho}$  is a semiconjugacy between  $F_t$  and  $\Psi_t$ .

**Proposition 6.7.** Let  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and let  $w = v \circ \tilde{\rho}$ . There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$|w(x, u) - w(y, s)| \leq C((\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)(\theta^{\eta/2})^{s(x,y)} + |u - s|^\eta),$$

for all  $(x, u), (y, s) \in Y^{\tilde{\varphi}}$  such that  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}_j$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\inf_{Y_j} \varphi \leq 2 \inf_{Y_j} \tilde{\varphi}$ . Hence, using Proposition 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned} |w(x, u) - w(y, s)| &= |v(\Psi_{u-\tilde{\chi}(x)}x) - v(\Psi_{s-\tilde{\chi}(y)}y)| \\ &\ll (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)(\theta^\eta)^{s(x,y)} + |\tilde{\chi}(x) - \tilde{\chi}(y)|^\eta + |u - s|^\eta \\ &\leq 2(\inf_{Y_j} \tilde{\varphi})(\theta^{\eta/2})^{s(x,y)} + |\tilde{\chi}(x) - \tilde{\chi}(y)|^\eta + |u - s|^\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.5  $|\tilde{\chi}(x) - \tilde{\chi}(y)|^\eta \ll (\theta^{\eta/2})^{s(x,y)}$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.8.** There exist  $C > 0$  and  $\gamma_1 \in (0, 1)$  for which

$$d(\tilde{\rho} \circ \tilde{F}_t(y, 0), \tilde{\rho} \circ \tilde{F}_t(y', 0)) = d(\Psi_{t-\tilde{\chi}(y)}y, \Psi_{t-\tilde{\chi}(y')}y') \leq C\gamma_1^t,$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $y, y' \in Y$  such that  $y' \in \mathcal{W}^s(y)$ .



*Proof.* Writing  $\tilde{r}_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \tilde{r} \circ T^j$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , we have that  $\tilde{r}_k = r_k + \tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi} \circ T^k$ . Let  $n = n(y, t) \geq 0$  such that  $\tilde{r}_n(y) \leq t < \tilde{r}_{n+1}(y)$ . Since  $\tilde{r}$  is constant along stable leaves, we have  $t = \tilde{r}_n(y) + E(y) = \tilde{r}_n(y') + E(y)$ , where  $E(y) \leq |\tilde{r}|_\infty$ . Let  $K = \max\{|\tilde{r}|_\infty, |\tilde{\chi}|_\infty\}$  to be used for (2.3). So, there is  $C_K > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\Psi_{t-\tilde{\chi}(y)}y, \Psi_{t-\tilde{\chi}(y')}y') &\leq C_K d(\Psi_{\tilde{r}_n(y)-\tilde{\chi}(y)}y, \Psi_{\tilde{r}_n(y')-\tilde{\chi}(y')}y') \\ &= C_K d(\Psi_{r_n(y)-\tilde{\chi}(T^n y)}y, \Psi_{r_n(y')-\tilde{\chi}(T^n y')}y'). \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}(T^n y') - \tilde{\chi}(T^n y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \{r(T^j \pi T^n y') - r(T^{n+j} y')\} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \{r(T^j \pi T^n y) - r(T^{n+j} y)\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \{r(T^{n+j} y') - r(T^{n+j} y)\} = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \{r(T^j y) - r(T^j y')\} = G_n, \end{aligned}$$

and by (6.4),

$$|G_n| \leq C|r|_{\eta_0} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \gamma^j = C|r|_{\eta_0} \gamma^n / (1 - \gamma) \ll \gamma^n. \quad (6.6)$$

Using (2.3) and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} d(\Psi_{r_n(y)-\tilde{\chi}(T^n y)}y, \Psi_{r_n(y')-\tilde{\chi}(T^n y')}y') &\leq C_K d(\Psi_{G_n+r_n(y)}y, \Psi_{r_n(y')}y') \\ &\ll d(\Psi_{G_n+r_n(y)}y, \Psi_{r_n(y)}y) + d(\Psi_{r_n(y)}y, \Psi_{r_n(y')}y') \\ &\leq L|G_n| + d(T^n y, T^n y'). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous estimates with (6.4) and (6.6),

$$d(\Psi_{t-\tilde{\chi}(y)}y, \Psi_{t-\tilde{\chi}(y')}y') \ll L|G_n| + d(T^n y, T^n y') \ll \gamma^n.$$

Since  $n \geq t/|\tilde{r}|_\infty$  uniformly in  $y \in Y$ , the proof is finished letting  $\gamma_1 = \gamma^{1/|\tilde{r}|_\infty}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.9.** By what seen in the current subsection, we can assume without loss that the flow  $\Psi_t$  is modelled by a suspension  $Y^\varphi$  with roof function  $\varphi \in L^p(Y)$  constant along stable leaves and satisfying the condition of Proposition 6.6. By Proposition 6.8, we suppose that  $d(\Psi_t y, \Psi_t y') \ll \gamma^n$  for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , all  $t \geq 0$ , and all  $y, y' \in Y$  such that  $y' \in \mathcal{W}^s(y)$ . Moreover, we assume that Hölder observables on  $M$  lifted to  $Y^\varphi$  satisfy the condition of Proposition 6.7.

### 6.3 Reduction to future coordinates for flows

In this subsection we present an adaptation of [31, Theorem 5] and [4, Theorem 7.1] to our family of nonuniformly hyperbolic flows.

Following Remark 6.9, we consider  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  satisfying  $\varphi(\pi y) = \varphi(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ , where  $\pi: Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is a projection to a chosen set  $\tilde{Y} \subset Y$  that intersects every stable leaf only once. Hence, if  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$  then  $(\pi y, u)$  lies in  $Y^\varphi$  as well, and we can define  $\pi: Y^\varphi \rightarrow Y^\varphi$  as  $\pi(y, u) = (\pi y, u)$ . Moreover,  $\varphi \in L^p(Y)$  for some  $p \in [2, \infty]$  and there exist  $C > 0$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \gamma^{s(x,y)}, \quad (6.7)$$

for all  $j \geq 1$  and any  $x, y \in Y_j$ .

Let  $\rho: Y^\varphi \rightarrow M$ ,  $\rho(y, u) = \Psi_u y$ . As stated in Remark 6.9, we have that

(I) For every  $v \in C^n(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  there exists  $K > 0$  such that

$$|v \circ \rho(x, u) - v \circ \rho(y, s)| \leq K((\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \gamma^{s(x,y)} + |u - s|^\eta),$$

for all  $(x, u), (y, s) \in Y^\varphi$  such that  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}_j$ ;

(II)  $d(\Psi_t y, \Psi_t y') \leq C\gamma^t$ , for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $y, y' \in Y$  such that  $y' \in \mathcal{W}^s(y)$ .

Using the notation  $\varphi_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \varphi \circ F^j$ , we define for  $(y, u) \in Y^\varphi$  and  $t \geq 0$  the *lap number*  $M_t(y, u) = m$  to be the unique integer such that  $\varphi_m(y) \leq t + u < \varphi_{m+1}(y)$ . Since  $\varphi(y) = \varphi(\pi y)$ , we have also that  $M_t(y, u) = M_t(\pi y, u)$ . Let  $F_t: Y^\varphi \rightarrow Y^\varphi$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , be the suspension flow of  $F$  on  $Y^\varphi$ . It can be written as

$$F_t(y, u) = (F^{M_t(y,u)} y, t + u - \varphi_{M_t(y,u)}(y)). \quad (6.8)$$

Since  $\varphi$  is constant along stable leaves, we can project it to  $\bar{\varphi}: \bar{Y} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  that is integrable with respect to  $\bar{\mu} = \bar{\pi}_* \mu$ . Let  $\bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}}$  be the suspension over  $\bar{Y}$  via the map  $\bar{F}$  with roof function  $\bar{\varphi}$ , suspension semiflow  $\bar{F}_t: \bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}} \rightarrow \bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and ergodic measure  $\bar{\mu}^{\bar{\varphi}} = (\bar{\mu} \times \text{Leb}) / \int_Y \bar{\varphi} d\bar{\mu}$ . We have by (6.7) that for all  $j \geq 1$  and  $x, y \in \bar{Y}_j$ ,

$$|\bar{\varphi}(x) - \bar{\varphi}(y)| \leq C(\inf_{\bar{Y}_j} \bar{\varphi}) \gamma^{s(x,y)}.$$

**Remark 6.10.** Since  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , we know that  $d_\gamma(x, y) = \gamma^{s(x,y)}$  is a metric on  $\bar{Y}$  such that  $d_\gamma(x, y) \leq \gamma^{-1} d_\gamma(\bar{F}x, \bar{F}y)$ . Hence, the function  $\bar{\varphi}$  satisfies equation (4.1) with metric  $d_\gamma$  and  $\eta = 1$ . As in Section 4 and in [5, Definition 2.2] we say that  $\bar{F}_t: \bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}} \rightarrow \bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}}$  is a Gibbs-Markov semiflow.

**Proposition 6.11.** Let  $v \in C^n(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . There exist  $h, \chi: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$v \circ \rho = h + \chi - \chi \circ F_1.$$

The function  $\chi$  is bounded and  $h$  is constant along stable leaves (that is  $h = h \circ \pi$ ). Hence,  $h$  projects to an observable  $\bar{h}: \bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ .

*Proof.* For  $w = v \circ \rho$ , define (formally)  $\chi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{w \circ F_n - w \circ F_n \circ \pi\}$  and  $h = w + \chi \circ F_1 - \chi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \chi \circ F_1 - \chi &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{w \circ F_{n+1} - w \circ F_n \circ \pi \circ F_1 - w \circ F_n + w \circ F_n \circ \pi\} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{w \circ F_{n+1} \circ \pi - w \circ F_n \circ \pi \circ F_1\} - w + w \circ \pi = H - w + w \circ \pi. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Since  $\pi \circ F_1 = \pi \circ F_1 \circ \pi$ , we have that  $h = w \circ \pi + H$  is constant along stable leaves.

By  $\rho \circ F_t = F_t \circ \rho$ , point (II) yields

$$|w(F_n(y, u)) - w(F_n(\pi(y, u)))| = |v(\Psi_{n+u} y) - v(\Psi_{n+u} \pi y)| \leq C|v|_\eta (\gamma^n)^{n+u},$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . So, the series for  $\chi$  converges absolutely on  $Y^\varphi$  and  $\chi$  is bounded.  $\square$

**Definition** (Function space on  $\bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}}$ ). Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $N \geq 1$ . For  $j \geq 1$ , define  $\bar{Y}_j^{\bar{\varphi}} = \{(y, u) \in Y^{\bar{\varphi}} : y \in \bar{Y}_j\}$ . We denote with  $\mathcal{H}^\theta(\bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  the space of bounded observables  $w: \bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$|w|_\theta = \sup_{j \geq 1} \sup_{(x,u), (y,u) \in \bar{Y}_j^{\bar{\varphi}}, x \neq y} \frac{|w(x, u) - w(y, u)|}{(\inf_{\bar{Y}_j} \bar{\varphi}) \theta^{s(x,y)}} < \infty.$$

**Remark 6.12.** Writing  $d_\theta(x, y) = \theta^{s(x, y)}$  for  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and reasoning as in Remark 6.10, any function  $w \in \mathcal{H}^\theta(\overline{Y}^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies for  $\eta = 1$

$$|w(x, u) - w(y, u)| \leq \theta^{-1} |w|_\theta (\inf_{\overline{Y}_j} \varphi) d_\theta(\overline{F}x, \overline{F}y)^\eta,$$

for all  $j \geq 1$  and  $(x, u), (y, u) \in \overline{Y}_j^\varphi$ . Such a condition for  $\eta \in (0, 1]$  is the of Definition 4.2. Therefore, we can apply our new results from Section 4 to any observable in  $\mathcal{H}^\theta(\overline{Y}^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

The next result shows that, if  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , then the function  $\overline{h}$  defined in Proposition 6.11 lies in  $\mathcal{H}^\theta(\overline{Y}^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$  for some parameter  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . By Remarks 6.10 and 6.12, such a regularity is sufficient to apply our results on the rates of convergence for semiflows.

**Proposition 6.13.** Let  $v \in C^\eta(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and let  $\overline{h}: \overline{Y}^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be from Proposition 6.11. There exists  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\overline{h} \in \mathcal{H}^\theta(\overline{Y}^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [4, Theorem 7.1] and use the same notation of Proposition 6.11. Let  $C > 0$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  be the constants in equation (6.7) and let  $\theta = \gamma^{\eta/2}$ . Since  $h$  is constant along stable leaves, it is sufficient to prove that there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $|h(x, u) - h(y, u)| \leq K(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \theta^{s(x, y)}$  for all  $(x, u), (y, u) \in Y^\varphi$  such that  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}_j$ .

For all  $j \geq 1$ , define  $L_j = \gamma(1 - \gamma)/(C \inf_{Y_j} \varphi)$  and fix  $x, y \in \tilde{Y}_j$  such that  $\theta^{s(x, y)} \leq L_j/2$  (the case  $\theta^{s(x, y)} > L_j/2$  is trivial). Write  $w = v \circ \rho$  and let  $u \in [0, \min\{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\}]$ . We deal separately with the two addends of  $h = w \circ \pi + H$ , where  $H$  is from equation (6.9). For the first one, we see by point (I) that

$$|w(\pi x, u) - w(\pi y, u)| \ll (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \gamma^{s(\pi x, \pi y)} \leq (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \theta^{s(x, y)}.$$

Let us deal with  $H$ . For  $\widehat{N} = \lfloor s(x, y)/2 \rfloor$ , we write

$$|H(x, u) - H(y, u)| \leq A_1(x, y) + A_2(x, y) + B(x) + B(y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\widehat{N}-1} |w(F_{n+1}(\pi x, u)) - w(F_{n+1}(\pi y, u))|, \\ A_2(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\widehat{N}-1} |w(F_n(\pi(F_1(x, u)))) - w(F_n(\pi(F_1(y, u))))|, \\ B(y) &= \sum_{n=\widehat{N}}^{\infty} |w(F_{n+1}(\pi(y, u))) - w(F_n(\pi(F_1(y, u))))|. \end{aligned}$$

Let us focus on  $B$ . Note that  $M_1(y, u) = M_1(\pi y, u) = m \in \{0, 1\}$  and by (6.8)

$$F_1(\pi(y, u)) = (F^m \pi y, s) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(F_1(y, u)) = (\pi F^m y, s).$$

Since  $F^m \pi y$  and  $\pi F^m y$  belong to the same stable leaf, point (II) yields,

$$B(y) \ll |v|_\eta \sum_{n=\widehat{N}}^{\infty} d(\Psi_{n+u} F^m \pi y, \Psi_{n+u} \pi F^m y)^\eta \ll (\gamma^\eta)^{\widehat{N}} / (1 - \gamma^\eta).$$

By our choice of  $\widehat{N}$ , we get  $B(y) \ll (\gamma^\eta)^{\widehat{N}} \ll (\gamma^{\eta/2})^{s(x, y)} = \theta^{s(x, y)}$ .

Let us deal with  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Note that for all  $n = 0, \dots, \widehat{N} + 1$ , equation (6.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_n(x) - \varphi_n(y)| &\leq C(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma^{s(F^i x, F^i y)} \leq C(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \sum_{i=0}^{\widehat{N}} \gamma^{s(x, y) - i} \\ &\leq \frac{C \inf_{Y_j} \varphi}{1 - \gamma} \gamma^{s(x, y) - \widehat{N}} \leq \frac{C \inf_{Y_j} \varphi}{(1 - \gamma)\gamma} \gamma^{s(x, y)/2} \leq L_j^{-1} \theta^{s(x, y)} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

By  $\inf \varphi \geq 1$ , equation (6.10) yields  $|\varphi_n(x) - \varphi_n(y)| \leq (\inf \varphi)/2$ . Hence, for  $n = 0, \dots, \widehat{N}$ , the intervals of the type  $[\varphi_n(x), \varphi_{n+1}(x)]$  and  $[\varphi_n(y), \varphi_{n+1}(y)]$  have the endpoints closer than  $(\inf \varphi)/2$ . Let  $k = M_n(x, u)$  and  $h = M_n(y, u)$ ; by  $\inf \varphi \geq 1$ , we have  $k, h \leq n \leq \widehat{N}$ . Hence,  $|k - h| \leq 1$ .

For  $n = 1, \dots, \widehat{N}$ , let  $a_1(x, y) = |w(F_n(\pi x, u)) - w(F_n(\pi y, u))|$ . By (6.8),

$$a_1(x, y) = |w(F^k \pi x, n + u - \varphi_k(x)) - w(F^h \pi y, n + u - \varphi_h(y))|.$$

For  $\ell = 0, \dots, \widehat{N} - 1$ , let  $a_2(x, y) = |w(F_\ell(\pi(F_1(x, u)))) - w(F_\ell(\pi(F_1(y, u))))|$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= M_1(x, u) & k_2 &= M_\ell(F^{k_1} x, u + 1 - \varphi_{k_1}(x)) \\ h_1 &= M_1(y, u) & h_2 &= M_\ell(F^{h_1} y, u + 1 - \varphi_{h_1}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $n = \ell + 1$ , we have by (6.8) that

$$a_2(x, y) = |w(F^{k_2} \pi F^{k_1} x, n + u - \varphi_k(x)) - w(F^{h_2} \pi F^{h_1} y, n + u - \varphi_h(y))|.$$

Note that  $k_1 + k_2 = k = M_n(x, u)$  and  $h_1 + h_2 = h = M_n(y, u)$ . We assume without loss that  $k \geq h$ .

We claim for  $i = 1, 2$  that

$$a_i(x, y) \ll (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \gamma^{s(F^k x, F^k y)} + |\varphi_k(x) - \varphi_k(y)|^\eta. \quad (6.11)$$

Assuming the claim and by equation (6.7), we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(x, y) &\ll (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \left\{ \gamma^{s(x, y) - k} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} (\gamma^\eta)^{s(F^\ell x, F^\ell y)} \right\} \\ &\ll (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \left\{ \gamma^{s(x, y) - k} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} (\gamma^\eta)^{s(x, y) - \ell} \right\} \\ &\leq (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) (1 + 1/(1 - \gamma^\eta)) (\gamma^\eta)^{s(x, y) - k}. \end{aligned}$$

By  $k \leq n$ , we have  $a_i(x, y) \ll (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) (\gamma^\eta)^{s(x, y) - n}$  and hence

$$(\inf_{Y_j} \varphi)^{-1} A_i(x, y) \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\widehat{N}} (\gamma^\eta)^{s(x, y) - n} \leq \frac{(\gamma^\eta)^{s(x, y) - \widehat{N}}}{1 - \gamma^\eta} \ll (\gamma^\eta)^{s(x, y) / 2} = \theta^{s(x, y)}.$$

The main statement is proven by combining the estimates for  $A_1, A_2$  and  $B$ .

Let us show the claim for  $a_1(x, y)$ . By  $|k - h| \leq 1$ , we have to deal with two cases. If  $k = h$ , equation (6.11) follows from point (I). If  $k = h + 1$ , using again point (I) and the identifications on  $Y^\varphi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x, y) &\leq |w(F^h \pi x, n + u - \varphi_h(x)) - w(F^h \pi x, \varphi(F^h x))| \\ &\quad + |w(F^{h+1} \pi x, 0) - w(F^{h+1} \pi y, n + u - \varphi_{h+1}(y))| \\ &\leq C (\inf_{Y_j} \varphi) \gamma^{s(F^{h+1} \pi x, F^{h+1} \pi y)} \\ &\quad + (\varphi(F^h x) - n - u + \varphi_h(x))^\eta + (n + u - \varphi_{h+1}(y))^\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Note that on the last line of (6.12) the quantities inside the brackets are positive. Hence, equation (6.11) follows by the general inequality  $\alpha^\eta + \beta^\eta \leq 2(\alpha + \beta)^\eta$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ .

To deal with  $a_2(x, y)$ , we use the identity  $s(F^{k_2} \pi F^{k_1} x, F^{h_2} \pi F^{h_1} y) = s(F^{k_2} x, F^{h_2} y)$ . Hence, the claim is proven analogously to  $a_1(x, y)$ : if  $k = h$ , equation (6.11) follows by point (I); if  $k = h + 1$ , we conclude by reasoning as in (6.12).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 6.1.** For  $v \in C^n(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , let  $w = v \circ \rho$ . By Proposition 6.11, there exist functions  $h, \chi: Y^\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $w = h + \chi - \chi \circ F_1$  with  $\chi \in L^\infty(Y^\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , and the function  $\bar{h}: \bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  defined as the projection of  $h$  via  $\bar{\pi}$ . We show that to get the rates for  $W_n$  it suffices to prove them for the sequence

$$\bar{W}_n(t) = n^{-1/2} \int_0^{nt} \bar{h} \circ \bar{F}_s \, ds,$$

defined on the probability space  $(\bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}}, \bar{\mu}^{\bar{\varphi}})$ .

Define on the space  $(Y^\varphi, \mu^\varphi)$  the sequences

$$W'_n(t) = n^{-1/2} \int_0^{nt} w \circ F_s \, ds \quad \text{and} \quad W''_n(t) = n^{-1/2} \int_0^{nt} h \circ F_s \, ds.$$

Note that  $W'_n(t) = W_n(t) \circ \rho$  and  $\bar{W}_n = W''_n \circ \bar{\pi}$ . Since  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\pi}$  are measure-preserving, we have  $W_n =_d W'_n$  and  $\bar{W}_n =_d W''_n$ . By a change of variables, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_0^x (\chi - \chi \circ F_1) \circ F_s \, ds = \int_0^x \chi \circ F_s \, ds - \int_1^x \chi \circ F_s \, ds = \int_0^1 \chi \circ F_s \, ds.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |W'_n(t) - W''_n(t)| \right|_\infty = n^{-1/2} \left| \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \int_0^{nt} (\chi - \chi \circ F_1) \circ F_s \right| \right|_\infty \leq n^{-1/2} |\chi|_\infty.$$

For the Wasserstein metric, we get

$$\mathcal{W}(W_n, \bar{W}_n) = \mathcal{W}(W'_n, W''_n) \leq \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |W'_n(t) - W''_n(t)|] \ll n^{-1/2}.$$

Since  $\Pi$  is bounded by the infinity norm,  $\Pi(W_n, \bar{W}_n) = \Pi(W'_n, W''_n) \ll n^{-1/2}$ . Hence, we are left to estimate the rates for  $\bar{W}_n$ .

Using Remarks 6.10 and 6.12, we see that  $\bar{F}_t$  is a Gibbs-Markov semiflow and the observable  $\bar{h}$  belongs to the functional space of Definition 4.2. Let  $m: \bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be the martingale part of  $\bar{h}$ . By Corollary 4.13, the limiting Brownian motion  $W$  has covariance matrix  $\Sigma = \int_{\bar{Y}^{\bar{\varphi}}} m m^T \, d\bar{\mu}^{\bar{\varphi}}$ , and the sequence  $\bar{W}_n$  coincides with  $\widehat{W}_n$  of (5.1). Hence, the rates for  $\mathcal{W}(\bar{W}_n, W)$  and  $\Pi(\bar{W}_n, W)$  can be deduced as in the proofs of Theorem 2.8 and 2.9 (which can be found in Section 5).  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

I express all my gratitude to my PhD supervisor Prof. Ian Melbourne, who guided me thoroughly in the completion of my thesis [35] and made this paper possible. I thank Alexey Korepanov for his valuable help and discussions on the topic. A special thanks goes to Nicholas Fleming Vázquez for sharing with me reference [13], which is essential to prove the rates in 1-Wasserstein independently of the dimension.

## References

- [1] Aaronson, J. and Denker, M. (2001). Local limit theorems for partial sums of stationary sequences generated by Gibbs-Markov maps. *Stoch. Dyn.*, 1(2):193–237.
- [2] Alves, J. F. (2020). *Nonuniformly hyperbolic attractors—geometric and probabilistic aspects*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham.

- [3] Antoniou, M. and Melbourne, I. (2019). Rate of convergence in the weak invariance principle for deterministic systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 369(3):1147–1165.
- [4] Araújo, V., Melbourne, I., and Varandas, P. (2015). Rapid mixing for the Lorenz attractor and statistical limit laws for their time-1 maps. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 340(3):901–938.
- [5] Bálint, P., Butterley, O., and Melbourne, I. (2019). Polynomial decay of correlations for flows, including Lorentz gas examples. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 368(1):55–111.
- [6] Bálint, P. and Melbourne, I. (2018). Statistical properties for flows with unbounded roof function, including the Lorenz attractor. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 172(4):1101–1126.
- [7] Borovkov, A. A. (1973). The rate of convergence in the invariance principle. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 18:217–234.
- [8] Bowen, R. (1975). *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 470. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York.
- [9] Burkholder, D. L. (1973). Distribution function inequalities for martingales. *Ann. Probability*, 1:19–42.
- [10] Coelho, Z. and Parry, W. (1990). Central limit asymptotics for shifts of finite type. *Israel J. Math.*, 69(2):235–249.
- [11] Coquet, F., Mémin, J., and Vostrikova, L. (1994). Rate of convergence in the functional limit theorem for likelihood ratio processes. *Math. Methods Statist.*, 3(2):89–113.
- [12] Courbot, B. (1999). Rates of convergence in the functional CLT for martingales. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 328(6):509–513.
- [13] Cuny, C., Dedecker, J., and Merlevède, F. (2021). Rates of convergence in invariance principles for random walks on linear groups via martingale methods. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(1):137–174.
- [14] Denker, M. and Philipp, W. (1984). Approximation by Brownian motion for Gibbs measures and flows under a function. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 4(4):541–552.
- [15] Donsker, M. D. (1951). An invariance principle for certain probability limit theorems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6:12.
- [16] Gibbs, A. L. and Su, F. E. (2002). On choosing and bounding probability metrics. *Int. Stat. Rev.*, 70(3):419–435.
- [17] Gordin, M. I. (1969). The central limit theorem for stationary processes. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 188:739–741.
- [18] Gouëzel, S. (2004). Central limit theorem and stable laws for intermittent maps. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 128(1):82–122.
- [19] Gouëzel, S. (2005). Berry-Esseen theorem and local limit theorem for non uniformly expanding maps. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 41(6):997–1024.

- [20] Hofbauer, F. and Keller, G. (1982). Ergodic properties of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. *Math. Z.*, 180(1):119–140.
- [21] Kelly, D. and Melbourne, I. (2016). Smooth approximation of stochastic differential equations. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(1):479–520.
- [22] Korepanov, A., Kosloff, Z., and Melbourne, I. (2018). Martingale-coboundary decomposition for families of dynamical systems. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 35(4):859–885.
- [23] Korepanov, A., Kosloff, Z., and Melbourne, I. (2019). Explicit coupling argument for non-uniformly hyperbolic transformations. *P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A*, 149(1):101–130.
- [24] Kubilius, K. (1994). The rate of convergence in the invariance principle for martingale difference arrays. *Liet. Mat. Rink.*, 34(4):482–494.
- [25] Kuelbs, J. and Philipp, W. (1980). Almost sure invariance principles for partial sums of mixing  $B$ -valued random variables. *Ann. Probab.*, 8(6):1003–1036.
- [26] Liu, Z. and Wang, Z. (2024). Wasserstein convergence rates in the invariance principle for deterministic dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 44(4):1172–1191.
- [27] Liverani, C., Saussol, B., and Vaienti, S. (1999). A probabilistic approach to intermittency. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 19(3):671–685.
- [28] Melbourne, I. (2007). Rapid decay of correlations for nonuniformly hyperbolic flows. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(5):2421–2441.
- [29] Melbourne, I. (2018). Superpolynomial and polynomial mixing for semiflows and flows. *Nonlinearity*, 31(10):R268–R316.
- [30] Melbourne, I. and Nicol, M. (2005). Almost sure invariance principle for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 260(1):131–146.
- [31] Melbourne, I. and Török, A. (2002). Central limit theorems and invariance principles for time-one maps of hyperbolic flows. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 229(1):57–71.
- [32] Melbourne, I. and Török, A. (2004). Statistical limit theorems for suspension flows. *Israel J. Math.*, 144:191–209.
- [33] Melbourne, I. and Varandas, P. (2016). A note on statistical properties for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems with slow contraction and expansion. *Stoch. Dyn.*, 16(3):1660012, 13.
- [34] Melbourne, I. and Zweimüller, R. (2015). Weak convergence to stable Lévy processes for nonuniformly hyperbolic dynamical systems. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 51(2):545–556.
- [35] Paviato, N. (2022). *Rates for maps and flows in a deterministic multidimensional weak invariance principle*. PhD thesis, University of Warwick.
- [36] Pomeau, Y. and Manneville, P. (1980). Intermittent transition to turbulence in dissipative dynamical systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 74(2):189–197.

- [37] Pène, F. (2007). A Berry Esseen result for the billiard transformation. *hal-01101281*.
- [38] Sawyer, S. (1972). Rates of convergence for some functionals in probability. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 43:273–284.
- [39] Sinai, J. G. (1972). Gibbs measures in ergodic theory. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 27(4(166)):21–64.
- [40] Whitt, W. (1974). Preservation of rates of convergence under mappings. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 29:39–44.
- [41] Williams, D. (1991). *Probability with martingales*. Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [42] Young, L.-S. (1998). Statistical properties of dynamical systems with some hyperbolicity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 147(3):585–650.
- [43] Young, L.-S. (1999). Recurrence times and rates of mixing. *Israel J. Math.*, 110:153–188.