Time-Dependent Background Analysis in the NEON experiment for Axion-Like Particle Searches

Byung Ju Park,^{1,2} Jae Jin Choi,^{3,2} Eunju Jeon,^{2,1} Jinyu Kim,⁴ Kyungwon Kim,² Sung Hyun Kim,²

Sun Kee Kim,³ Yeongduk Kim,^{2,1} Young Ju Ko,² Byoung-Cheol Koh,⁵ Chang Hyon Ha,⁵ Seo

Hyun Lee,^{1,2} In Soo Lee,^{2,*} Hyunseok Lee,^{1,2} Hyun Su Lee,^{2,1,[†](#page-0-1)} Jaison Lee,² and Yoomin Oh²

(NEON Collaboration)

¹IBS School, University of Science and Technology (UST), Deajeon 34113, Republic of Korea

²*Center for Underground Physics, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 34126, Republic of Korea*

³*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea*

⁴*HANARO Utilization Division, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI), Deajeon 34057, Republic of Korea*

⁵*Department of Physics, Chung-Ang University, Seoul 06973, Republic of Korea*

(Dated: October 14, 2024)

The NEON experiment, situated at the Hanbit Nuclear Power Plant, is designed to observe coherent neutrinonucleus scattering (CEνNS) and search for dark sector particle such as axion-like particles (ALPs). Using six NaI(Tl) detector modules, data were collected during both reactor-on and reactor-off periods between April 2022 and June 2023, providing a total exposure of 1596 kg·days and 1467 kg·days, respectively. The search for ALPs leverages the difference between reactor-on and reactor-off datasets. A thorough understanding of time-dependent backgrounds, including cosmogenic activation and seasonal variations of radon contamination, is essential to the analysis. This paper presents detailed modeling of these backgrounds, identifying their contributions across different energy ranges and detector modules. Systematic uncertainties arising from energy resolution, background shape, and rate variations are considered in the final analysis. The results provide insights into the future potential of ALP searches in short-baseline reactor experiments and demonstrate the efficacy of background reduction techniques in the NEON experiment.

INTRODUCTION

Axions are hypothetical particles first proposed in 1977 by Peccei and Quinn [\[1\]](#page-6-0) to address the strong *CP* problem in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [\[2,](#page-6-1) [3\]](#page-6-2). Due to their extremely light mass and weak interaction with ordinary matter, axions are considered excellent candidates for dark matter [\[4–](#page-6-3) [6\]](#page-6-4). Despite numerous experimental searches, axions have not been detected so far [\[7–](#page-6-5)[10\]](#page-6-6). The concept has been extended to include axion-like particles (ALPs) in various models [\[11,](#page-6-7) [12\]](#page-6-8). While ALPs share many properties with axions, making them viable dark matter candidates, they are not specifically tied to solving the strong *CP* problem. These particles can have a wide range of masses and coupling constants, leading to diverse phenomenological implications in both astrophysical and laboratory contexts [\[12\]](#page-6-8).

ALPs can interact with Standard Model leptons and the electromagnetic field, prompting extensive experimen-tal searches [\[10\]](#page-6-6). Light ALPs (mass below $100 \,\text{keV}/c^2$) are typically probed by solar helioscope, haloscope, or photon regeneration experiments [\[13\]](#page-6-9). In contrast, heavy ALPs (mass above $100 \,\text{keV}/c^2$) are investigated using colliders or beam-dump experiments [\[14\]](#page-6-10). Astrophysical observations provide complementary constraints on the ALP parameter space [\[15,](#page-6-11) [16\]](#page-6-12). Notably, a region with ALP mass (m_a) around $0.5-5 \,\text{MeV}/c^2$ and axion-photon coupling constant $(g_{a\gamma})$ around 2×10⁻⁶ – 3×10⁻⁵ GeV⁻¹ remains unexplored by direct searches and the astrophysical bounds. This region, known as the "cosmological triangle" [\[17,](#page-6-13) [18\]](#page-6-14), was previously accessible only through model-dependent cosmological arguments [\[19,](#page-6-15) [20\]](#page-6-16). Increasing interest in exploring this region [\[18\]](#page-6-14) has lead to studies suggesting the potential for ALP searches using short-baseline reactor experiments [\[21,](#page-6-17) [22\]](#page-6-18), the accelerator-based CCM experiment with a 10-ton liquid argon target [\[23\]](#page-7-0), DUNE-like future neutrino experiment with a 50-ton liquid argon or gases argon [\[17\]](#page-6-13), and a 2-kton liquid scintillator with an intense proton beam underground [\[24\]](#page-7-1).

Nuclear reactors, with their intense photon flux, present an ideal opportunity to search for ALPs in the MeV mass range via photon-induced processes [\[12\]](#page-6-8). In a reactor-based ALP search, data collected during reactor operation (reactor-on) can be contrasted with data collected during reactor shutdown periods (reactor-off). This approach assumes that no significant reactor-related background is present in the reactor-off data, allowing researchers to isolate potential ALP signals in the reactor-on minus reactor-off dataset. Understanding the time-dependent background contributions is critical, as fluctuations in these backgrounds could mimic or obscure the signals of interest.

In this paper, we present the results of our analysis of timedependent background components in the NEON experiment. This experiment, located at the Hanbit Nuclear Power Plant, aims to search for ALPs and study neutrino-nucleus coherent scattering $(CE\nu NS)$ using NaI(Tl) detectors. Our goal is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the background characteristics to facilitate future searches for ALPs and other exotic particles.

NEON EXPERIMENT

The NEON experiment is designed to detect $CE\nu$ NS using reactor electron antineutrinos[\[25\]](#page-7-2). The detector is located in

[∗] islee@ibs.re.kr

[†] hyunsulee@ibs.re.kr

Fig. 1. Schematic view of the NEON detector. The NEON detector is positioned 23.7 m from the reactor core of Hanbit nuclear power complex unit-6, which has a 2.8 GW thermal power capacity. It comprises six NaI(Tl) detector modules surrounded by 800 L of liquid scintillator, a 10 cm-thick layer of lead, a 3 cm-thick borated polyethylene, and a 20 cm-thick high-density polyethylene.

the tendon gallery of the 2.8 GW Hanbit nuclear power reactor, 23.7±0.3 m away from the center of the reactor core. After an engineering run in 2021, the detector encapsulation was upgraded to improve long-term operational stability [\[26\]](#page-7-3). Additionally, two small-size detector modules were replaced with large-size ones, resulting in a total crystal mass of 16.7 kg.

The six NaI(Tl) modules are submerged in 800 liters of liquid scintillator. This liquid scintillator helps identify and reduce radioactive backgrounds affecting the NaI(Tl) crystals [\[27\]](#page-7-4). To further reduce external radiation background, the liquid scintillator is surrounded by shielding made of lead, borated polyethylene, and high-density polyethylene [\[25\]](#page-7-2) as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

Each NaI(Tl) crystal is directly coupled to two photomutiplier tubes (PMTs) without quartz window for enhanced light collection efficiency [\[28\]](#page-7-5). The crystal and PMTs assembly is encapsulated with a copper casing [\[26\]](#page-7-3). A trigger is generated when a signal corresponding to one or more photoelectrons occurs in each PMT within a 200 ns time window. Two readouts of a high-gain signal from the anode for 0–60 keV energy range and a low-gain signal from the $5th$ -stage dynode for 60–3000 keV range are independently recorded as $8 \mu s$

waveforms, a similar system to that used by the COSINE-100 experiment [\[29\]](#page-7-6). To reject unwanted phosphorescence events from direct muon hit events, a 300 ms dead time for high energy events with approximately 3 MeV threshold is applied.

The data used in this analysis were acquired between April 11, 2022 and June 22, 2023, for a total live time exposure of 5702 kg·days. Between September 26, 2022 and February 22, 2023, the reactor was inactive for regular maintenance and fuel replacement. The reactor operated at full power for the remaining period. A few data acquisition (DAQ) downtimes occurred due to unexpected power outages, resulting in a DAQ efficiency of approximately 70% during whole period.

DATA PROCESS

An event that satisfies the trigger condition of coincident photoelectrons in both of the crystal's readout PMTs within 200 ns is acquired using 500 MHz flash analog-to-digital converters (FADCs) and recorded as an $8 \mu s$ long waveform starting $2.4 \mu s$ before the trigger occurs. To reduce the high trigger rate caused by muon phosphorus events, an event veto logic is implemented that applies a 300 ms dead time for energy deposits exceeding approximately 3 MeV in each crystal. This veto logic results in a dead time of approximately 10 % for 8 inch crystals and 5% for 4-inch crystals [\[25\]](#page-7-2). We have monitored the rate of the muon candidate events and evaluated the exact dead time for each an hour dataset.

The energy scales and resolutions are determined by calibration using internal β - and γ -ray peaks from radioactive contaminants in the crystals, as well as external sources. For external γ -ray calibrations, ²⁴¹Am and ²²Na sources in a stainless-steel case suitable for the calibration tube were prepared using standard isotope solutions with approximately 100 Bq activities. These sources were installed in the calibration holes to provide γ -ray energies of 59.54 keV, 511 keV, and 1275 keV for both NaI(Tl) crystals and liquid scintillator calibrations [\[25\]](#page-7-2). Internal contaminant peaks at 49 keV $(2^{10}Pb)$, 238 keV $(2^{12}Pb)$, 295 and 352 keV $(2^{14}Pb)$, 1173 keV $(^{60}Co$, 1462 keV (^{40}K) , 1764 keV and 2204 keV $(^{214}Bi$, and 2614 keV (²⁰⁸Tl) were used to calibrate the NaI(Tl) crystals, following a method similar to that used in the COSINE-100 experiment [\[30\]](#page-7-7). Nonlinear responses of the NaI(Tl) crystals, as studied in Refs. [\[31,](#page-7-8) [32\]](#page-7-9) were also accounted for.

In offline analysis, events with energy deposits greater than 5 MeV in the liquid scintillator detector are rejected as muon candidate events. To further reject muon phosphorus events and electronic interference, we require that waveforms from the crystal exhibit more than two single photoelectrons, the integral waveform area below the baseline does not exceed a limit, and leading edges of the trigger pulses start no earlier than $2.0 \mu s$ after the recording begins. Alpha-induced events from U or Th contamination are rejected by requiring a charge-weighted average time to be greater than $2.4 \,\mu s$.

This analysis utilizes events with energies ranging from 3 keV to 3000 keV to avoid unexpected noise contamination, effectively removed by a boosted decision tree (BDT)-based event selection [\[33\]](#page-7-10). Although this analysis was not affected by low-energy noise events, the same data quality cut developed for low-energy analyses, such as CEνNS searches, was applied. The data quality is monitored for event rates of 1– 3 keV energies after applying the BDT-based event selection criteria. The event rate of each one-hour dataset for each detector module is compared with the general rate distribution to classify data as good when the one-hour rate falls within a 3σ range of the mean event rate, or as bad for other datasets.

Due to relatively large fluctuations of low-energy event rates from detector-3, we decided to exclude this detector from the ALP search analysis. Table [I](#page-2-0) shows the data exposure for each crystal accepted by all criteria, and Fig. [2](#page-2-1) presents these exposures in the time domain for each detector module. In total, we used 1596 kg·days reactor-on data and 1467 kg·days reactor-off data for this analysis.

Events used in this analysis were selected based on the following criteria. Energy above 3 keV (avoid PMT-induced noise events) and below 3 MeV (considering the DAQ system's dynamic range limit) was required. Rejection of α events in the crystals using pulse shape discrimination [\[25\]](#page-7-2) was applied. Events coincident with the liquid scintillator deposited energies above 5 MeV were rejected as muon candidate events. Selected events were further categorized as

TABLE I. Summary of good quality data. This table summarizes the data used for the ALP searches in each detector module, categorized separately for reactor-on and -off periods. All data from detector-3 were excluded from this analysis due to contamination from low-energy noise.

Detector	Mass		reactor-on data reactor-off data
$detector-1$ 1.67 kg		165.4 kg days	201.2 kg days
$detector-2$ 3.34 kg		413.4 kg days	352.3 kg·days
detector-3 1.67 kg			
$detector-4$ 3.34 kg		527.9 kg days	367.6 kg \cdot days
detector-5 3.35 kg		160.2 kg days	279.8 kg \cdot days
$detector-6$ 3.35 kg		329.4 kg days	266.0 kg days
Total		16.72 kg 1596.3 kg·days 1466.9 kg·days	

Fig. 2. Good quality data in time domain. We present the good quality data as a function of time for each detector module. Reactoron data is represented in blue, -off data in green, and periods of DAQ downtime or bad quality data are marked in gray.

single-hit or multiple-hit events. A multiple-hit event has accompanying crystal signals with more than four photoelectrons or a liquid scintillator signal above 80 keV within 150 ns time coincidence window. All other events were classified as a single-hit sample.

TIME DEPENDENT BACKGROUND COMPONENT

Although the dominant 210 Pb contamination has a half-life of 22.3 years, its variation during the two-year data period is negligible. We thus define the effectively time-independent background components as the "Continuum background", which includes internal contaminants, surface contamination, and external radiation, with a half-life equal to or greater than that of ²¹⁰Pb. Apart from the continuum background, several time-dependent backgrounds contribute differently to the reactor-on and reactor-off data. The cosmogenic contributions in the NaI(Tl) crystal detectors were extensively studied by the ANAIS [\[34,](#page-7-11) [35\]](#page-7-12) and COSINE [\[36\]](#page-7-13) experiments, identifying isotopes such as ^{125}I , ^{121}Te , ^{123m}Te , ^{125m}Te , ^{127m}Te ,

Fig. 3. Time-dependent background models for multiple-hit events in detector-6. (A) displays a noticeable difference in event rates between the summer (15 June 2022 – 15 August 2022) and winter (1 January 2023 – 28 February 2023) seasons, attributed to the seasonal variation of 222 Rn. Data from summer (B) and winter (C) are modeled with the time-independent continuum and time-dependent contributions from ²²²Rn and liquid scintillator dust, allowing us to quantify the amounts of these time-dependent components.

 113 Sn, 22 Na and 3 H, which mainly contributed energies below 100 keV. Due to relatively long half-life of 22 Na (2.6 years) and ${}^{3}H$ (12.3 years), their contributions persist across all detectors. However, short-lived components with a half-life of less than a year decayed following the initial installation in December 2020. The initial data from the two new detector modules, detector-5 and 6, were significantly influenced by short-lived cosmogenic isotopes. Detector-1 experienced relatively large contributions from cosmogenic activation due to approximately one year of additional exposure to muons during R&D of the detector encapsulation. By considering the data exposure times seen in Fig. [2](#page-2-1) and the half-life of each isotope, we could model the cosmogenic contributions for each detector module.

Another source of time-dependent background is the seasonal variation of 222 Rn, which has been reported to be higher during the summer and lower during the winter [\[37,](#page-7-14) [38\]](#page-7-15). The NEOS experiment [\[39\]](#page-7-16) measured 222 Rn using a Radon eye device in the tendon gallery, demonstrating clear variations with high levels in summer and lower levels in winter (see Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0). Initially the NEON experiment did not employ a 222 Rn measurement device. However, in December 2023, a Randon eye was installed to monitor the ²²²Rn levels in the tendon gallery.

The NEON detector features two calibration holes connected from the top of the shield to the vicinity of the crystal modules, as indicated in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) These calibration holes were opened and exposed to the same level of ²²²Rn as the experimental tunnel. As observed from seasonal variations of ²²²Rn in the tendon gallery in the NEOS experiment, this could influence the seasonal changes in observed background levels in the NEON experiment. We simulated spectra from 222 Rn in the calibration holes, with the largest contributions found in the 100–500 keV range of multiple-hit events (see Fig. [3](#page-3-1) (A)). The summer data exhibited a clearly enhanced rate compared to winter data, primarily due to seasonal variations in 222 Rn. Since our reactor-off data were predominantly collected in winter season, as shown in Fig. [2,](#page-2-1) the seasonal variation of 222 Rn contributed to larger background for the reactor-on data.

We modeled time-dependent background contributions by dividing the data into seven different time periods, each two

Fig. 4. Time-dependent background contributions of 222 Rn and liquid scintillator dust contamination. Presented are the fitted rates for the multiple hit events in detector-6, averaged at energies between 100 keV and 500 keV. These include contributions from 222 Rn in the calibration holes (dark blue filled circles) and from liquid scintillator dust (black filled squares). Also shown are the measured 222 Rn activities (right axis) from the NEOS tunnel using a Radon eye device (blue open circles), corresponding to the same seasons, although these measurements were conducted in different years and in the tendon gallery of reactor unit-5 (while NEON is located at reactor unit-6). Despite the differences in location and year, there is excellent agreement in the seasonal variations of 222 Rn between the NEOS Radon eye measurements and the NEON data.

months long (see Fig. [3\)](#page-3-1), and extracted the 222 Rn and dust contributions (see Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0). This model enable us to understand the remaining background in the reactor-on-minus-off dataset, as shown in Fig. 5 (C) (see also Fig. 6 (C)). The measured data are well described by the expected backgrounds.

Figure [5](#page-4-0) (A) and (B) show example data for single-hit events in detector-6 compared to the expected backgrounds (see also Fig. [6](#page-4-1) for the multiple-hit events).

Fig. 5. Single-hit energy spectra of detector-6 module. This figure shows the normalized energy spectra of the single-hit events (black points) in the detector-6 module. The spectra are compared with the expected background contributions (blue solid lines) for both the reactor-on state (A) and the reactor-off state (B). The expected background includes contributions from time-independent continuum components and time-dependent components of cosmogenic activation, 222 Rn in the calibration holes, and 238 U 232 Th from the dust contamination in the liquid scintillator.

Fig. 6. Multiple-hit energy spectra of the detector-6 module. This figure shows the normalized energy spectra of the multiple-hit events (black points) in the detector-6 module. The spectra are compared with the expected background contributions (blue solid lines) for both the reactor-on state (A) and the reactor-off state (B). The expected background includes contributions from time-independent continuum components and time-dependent components of cosmogenic activation, 222 Rn in the calibration hole, and 238 U 232 Th from the dust contamination in the liquid scintillator.

SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

Various sources of systematic uncertainties are taken into account. Errors associated with the energy resolution, the energy scale, and background modeling technique were translated into shape or rate changes of the reactor-on-minusoff spectra. We considered a maximum variation assuming opposite-side variations between the reactor-on and reactoroff data, as exemplified by the energy resolution in Fig. [7.](#page-4-2) These quantities are allowed to vary within their uncertainties as nuisance parameters in the ALP signal fit.

Fig. 7. Systematic uncertainty due to energy resolution. Uncertainty in energy resolution, derived from internal or external γ lines, is propagated into the best model (solid line) of the single-hit events for detector-6 across the energy range of 60–3000 keV, as indicated by dashed lines. Variations in the reactor-on data (A) and -off data (B) are carried over into reactor-on-minus-off data spectrum (C), showing maximum deviations depicted by green (1σ) and yellow (2σ) uncertainty bands.

The dominant systematic uncertainties are related with the time-dependent background modeling of ²²²Rn and liquid scintillator dust contributions. Approximately 30% uncertainties were extracted from the amount of the time-dependent background, as shown in Fig. [3.](#page-3-1) In addition to the rate variation, we considered the possibility of shape changes due to different locations of the 222 Rn contamination. Although we considered the background contribution of ²²²Rn only from the calibration holes, ²²²Rn could be permeable and diffused in the liquid scintillator [\[40\]](#page-7-17). Due to different 222 Rn contaminant positions from the NaI(Tl) detector modules, this could result in different background spectra, as observed in Fig. [8.](#page-5-0) These types of shape changes were accounted as the systematic uncertainties.

We modeled the contributions of liquid scintillator dust contributions with background spectra generated homogeneously in the liquid scitillator and accounted for rate changes by modeling two-months period data. In addition to a 30% level rate variation, we considered shape changes resulting from different locations. We divided the contaminant loca-

Fig. 8. Systematic uncertainty of 222 Rn shape. Normalized background spectra for the detector-6 module originating from 222 Rn are presented separately for the single-hit events and the multiple-hit events. The spectra compare two different locations of the calibration holes and the liquid scintillator. The observed shape differences are considered as the systematic uncertainty of 222 Rn, in addition to 30% of rate variation.

tions in the liquid scintillator into six different regions from top to bottom and accounted for the maximum shape variations as the systematic uncertainty.

ALP SEARCH DATA

With a proper model of the expected background and the reactor-on-minus-off spectra as seen in Figs. [5](#page-4-0) and [6,](#page-4-1) data spectra for ALP searches can be prepared. Considering the varying event rates across different energy ranges, we employed dynamic energy bins ranging from 57 keV (3–60 keV) to 600 keV (2400 keV–3000 keV). Figure [9](#page-5-1) presents the ALP search data from detector-6, based on the reactor-on-minusoff spectra, where both single-hit and multiple-hit channels are used simultaneously.

Figures [9,](#page-5-1) [10,](#page-5-2) and [11](#page-6-19) show the reactor-on-minus-off data spectra compared with the expected background, including the associated systematic uncertainty bands. As can be seen from these figures, our data spectra were well described by the expected time-dependent backgrounds within their systematic uncertainties.

SUMMARY

In this work, we have presented a detailed analysis of timedependent backgrounds in the NEON experiment, which is designed to search for axion-like particles (ALPs) and study coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering $(CE\nu NS)$ using NaI(Tl) detectors. The experiment, located 23.7 meters from the Hanbit Nuclear Power Plant's reactor core, operates in both reactor-on and reactor-off phases, allowing for a comparison that can reveal potential ALP signals. The background

Fig. 9. ALP search data from detector-6 module. This figure present the reactor-on-minus-off data spectra used for ALP signal searches in detector-6 module. The data is shown for both singlehit (A) and multiple-hit (B). The data points (black circles) and the expected background spectra (blue solid lines) are derived from the models presented in Fig. [5](#page-4-0) (single-hit) and Fig. [6](#page-4-1) (multiple-hit), but with different bin sizes used for this analysis. The green and yellow bands indicate 68% and 95% confidence level intervals for the background model, respectively. The inset zooms in on the high-energy region for better visibility.

Fig. 10. Single-hit data. This figure present the single-hit energy spectra of the reactor-on-minus-off data used for ALP signal searches (Fig. [9](#page-5-1) (A) for detector-6). The data points (black circles) are well agreed with the expected background spectra (blue solid lines) within assigned uncertainties (green and yellow bands).

analysis identified main time-dependent background contributions from cosmogenic isotopes and seasonal radon variations. By modeling these contributions across the data collection period, we accounted for the seasonal and environmental fluctuations that could affect the sensitivity of ALP searches. Additionally, the systematic uncertainties arising from energy resolution, the energy scale, and time-dependent

Fig. 11. Multiple-hit data used for ALP search. This figure present the multiple-hit energy spectra of the reactor-on-minus-off data used for ALP signal searches (Fig. [9](#page-5-1) (B) for detector-6). The data points (black circles) are well agreed with the expected background spectra (blue solid lines) within assigned uncertainties (green and yellow bands).

7

background modeling were rigorously addressed. These uncertainties were incorporated into the background model used for the reactor-on-minus-off spectra. NEON data is ready to search ALP signals and other dark sector particles.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Korea Hydro and Nuclear Power (KHNP) company for the help and support provided by the staff members of the Safety and Engineering Support Team of Hanbit Nuclear Power Plant 3 and the IBS Research Solution Center (RSC) for providing high performance computing resources. This work is supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS) under Project Code IBS-R016-A1 and the National Research Foundation (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (NRF-2021R1A2C1013761 and NRF-2021R1A2C3010989), Republic of Korea.

- [1] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, CP Conservation in the Presence of Instantons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440–1443 (1977).
- [2] F. Wilczek, Problem of Strong P and T Invariance in the Presence of Instantons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 279–282 (1978).
- [3] S. Weinberg, A New Light Boson?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 223–226 (1978).
- [4] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, Cosmology of the Invisible Axion, Phys. Lett. B 120, 127–132 (1983).
- [5] L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, A Cosmological Bound on the Invisible Axion, Phys. Lett. B 120, 133–136 (1983).
- [6] M. Dine and W. Fischler, The Not So Harmless Axion, Phys. Lett. B 120, 137–141 (1983).
- [7] C. Bartram et al., (ADMX Collaboration), Search for Invisible Axion Dark Matter in the $3.3-4.2 \mu eV$ Mass Range, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 261803 (2021).
- [8] K. M. Backes et al., (HAYSTAC Collaboration), A quantum-enhanced search for dark matter axions, Nature 590, 238–242 (2021).
- [9] O. Kwon et al., (CAPP Collaboration), First Results from an Axion Haloscope at CAPP around 10.7 μ eV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 191802 (2021).
- [10] R. L. Workman et al., (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Review of Particle Physics, PTEP 2022, 083C01 (2022).
- [11] E. Witten, Some Properties of O(32) Superstrings, Phys. Lett. B 149, 351–356 (1984).
- [12] K. Choi, S. H. Im, and C. Sub Shin, Recent Progress in the Physics of Axions and Axion-Like Particles, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 71, 225–252 (2021).
- [13] Y. K. Semertzidis and S. Youn, Axion dark matter: How to see it?, Sci. Adv. 8, abm9928 (2022).
- [14] F. Capozzi, B. Dutta, G. Gurung, W. Jang, I. M. Shoemaker, A. Thompson, and J. Yu, New constraints on ALP couplings to electrons and photons from ArgoNeuT and the MiniBooNE beam dump, Phys. Rev. D 108, 075019 (2023).
- [15] G. G. Raffelt, Astrophysical axion bounds, Lect. Notes Phys. 741, 51–71 (2008).
- [16] A. Caputo and G. Raffelt, Astrophysical Axion Bounds: The 2024 Edition, PoS COSMICWISPers, 041 (2024).
- [17] V. Brdar, B. Dutta, W. Jang, D. Kim, I. M. Shoemaker, Z. Tabrizi, A. Thompson, and J. Yu, Axionlike Particles at Future Neutrino Experiments: Closing the Cosmological Triangle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 201801 (2021).
- [18] G. Lucente, O. Straniero, P. Carenza, M. Giannotti, and A. Mirizzi, Constraining Heavy Axionlike Particles by Energy Deposition in Globular Cluster Stars, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 011101 (2022).
- [19] P. F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, Updated BBN constraints on electromagnetic decays of MeV-scale particles, JCAP 04, 011 (2021).
- [20] A. Caputo, G. Raffelt, and E. Vitagliano, Muonic boson limits: Supernova redux, Phys. Rev. D 105, 035022 (2022).
- [21] J. B. Dent, B. Dutta, D. Kim, S. Liao, R. Mahapatra, K. Sinha, and A. Thompson, New directions for axion searches via scattering at reactor neutrino experiments, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 211804 (2020).
- [22] D. Aristizabal Sierra, V. De Romeri, L. J. Flores, and D. K. Papoulias, Axionlike particles searches in reactor experiments,

JHEP 03, 294 (2021).

- [23] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., (CCM Collaboration), Prospects for detecting axionlike particles at the Coherent CAPTAIN-Mills experiment, Phys. Rev. D 107, 095036 (2023).
- [24] S.-H. Seo et al., Physics Potential of a Few Kiloton Scale Neutrino Detector at a Deep Underground Lab in Korea, [arXiv:2309.13435](http://arxiv.org/abs/2309.13435).
- [25] J. J. Choi et al., (NEON Collaboration), Exploring coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering using reactor electron antineutrinos in the NEON experiment, Eur. Phys. J. C 83, 226 (2023).
- [26] J. J. Choi et al., (NEON Collaboration), Upgrade of NaI(Tl) crystal encapsulation for the NEON experiment, [arXiv:2404.03691](http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.03691).
- [27] G. Adhikari et al., The COSINE-100 liquid scintillator veto system, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 1006, 165431 (2021).
- [28] J. J. Choi, B. J. Park, C. Ha, K. W. Kim, S. K. Kim, Y. D. Kim, Y. J. Ko, H. S. Lee, S. H. Lee, and S. L. Olsen, Improving the light collection using a new NaI(Tl) crystal encapsulation, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 981, 164556 (2020).
- [29] G. Adhikari et al., (COSINE-100 Collaboration), The COSINE-100 Data Acquisition System, JINST 13, P09006 (2018).
- [30] G. Adhikari et al., (COSINE-100 Collaboration), Background modeling for dark matter search with 1.7 years of COSINE-100 data, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 837 (2021).
- [31] L. Swiderski, Response of doped alkali iodides measured with gamma-ray absorption and Compton electrons, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 705, 42 (2013).
- [32] S. M. Lee et al., (COSINE-100 Collaboration), Nonproportionality of NaI(Tl) scintillation detector for dark

matter search experiments, Eur. Phys. J. C 84, 484 (2024).

- [33] G. Adhikari et al., (COSINE-100 Collaboration), Lowering the energy threshold in COSINE-100 dark matter searches, Astropart. Phys. 130, 102581 (2021).
- [34] J. Amaré, S. Cebrián, C. Cuesta, E. García, C. Ginestra, M. Martínez, M. Oliván, Y. Ortigoza, A. O. de Solórzano, C. Pobes, J. Puimedón, M. Sarsa, J. Villar, and P. Villar, Cosmogenic radionuclide production in nai(tl) crystals, JCAP 2015, 046 (2015).
- [35] P. Villar, J. Amaré, S. Cebrián, I. Coarasa, E. García, M. Martínez, M. A. Oliván, Y. Ortigoza, A. Ortiz de Solórzano, J. Puimedón, M. L. Sarsa, and J. A. Villar, Study of the cosmogenic activation in nai(tl) crystals within the anais experiment, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 33, 1843006 (2018).
- [36] E. Barbosa de Souza et al., (COSINE-100 Collaboration), Study of cosmogenic radionuclides in the COSINE-100 NaI(Tl) detectors, Astropart. Phys. 115, 102390 (2020).
- [37] X. Li, B. Zheng, Y. Wang, and X. Wang, A study of daily and seasonal variations of radon concentrations in underground buildings, J. Environ. Radioact. 87, 101–106 (2006).
- [38] C. Ha et al., Radon concentration variations at the Yangyang underground laboratory, Front. in Phys. 10, 1030024 (2022).
- [39] Y. J. Ko et al., (NEOS Collaboration), Sterile Neutrino Search at the NEOS Experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 121802 (2017).
- [40] M. Wojcik, W. Wlazlo, G. Zuzel, and G. Heusser, Radon diffusion through polymer membranes used in the solar neutrino experiment Borexino, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 449, 158–171 (2000).