

A CONSTRUCTIVE PROOF OF THE GENERAL NULLSTELLENSATZ FOR JACOBSON RINGS

RYOTA KUROKI

ABSTRACT. We give a constructive proof of the general Nullstellensatz: a univariate polynomial ring over a commutative Jacobson ring is Jacobson.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important theorems about Jacobson rings is the general Nullstellensatz: a univariate polynomial ring over a commutative Jacobson ring is Jacobson ([Gol51, Theorem 3], [Kru51, Satz 1]). Based on the definition of a Jacobson ring given in [Wes18, Section 2.4] and the idea of the classical proof of [Eme10, Theorem 8], we give a constructive proof of the general Nullstellensatz (theorem 3.9). This provides a solution to two questions on MathOverflow ([Wer17; Arr21]) and two problems in the Lombardi's list ([Lom23, 1.1, 1.2]).

The definition of a Jacobson ring in this paper contains a quantification over all ideals of a ring. This definition works in some predicative foundations of constructive mathematics such as Martin-Löf type theory with universes using setoids, although it is problematic in some other foundations.

2. JACOBSON RINGS

In this paper, all rings are commutative with identity. We first recall some basic definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let $\langle U \rangle_A$ denote the ideal of A generated by a subset $U \subseteq A$. We define two ideals $\text{Nil}_A U$, $\text{Jac}_A U$ of A as follows:

$$\text{Nil}_A U := \{a \in A : \exists n \geq 0. a^n \in \langle U \rangle\}, \quad \text{Jac}_A U := \{a \in A : \forall b \in A. 1 \in \langle U, 1 - ab \rangle\}.$$

Here $\langle U, 1 - ab \rangle$ means $\langle U \cup \{1 - ab\} \rangle$. When the context is clear, we write $\langle U \rangle$, $\text{Nil} U$, and $\text{Jac} U$ for $\langle U \rangle_A$, $\text{Nil}_A U$, and $\text{Jac}_A U$, respectively.

Classically, it is well known that the ideal $\text{Nil} U$ (resp. $\text{Jac} U$) is equal to the intersection of all prime (resp. maximal) ideals containing U .

Definition 2.2 ([Wes18, Section 2.4.1]). We call a ring A Jacobson if every ideal $I \subseteq A$ satisfies $\text{Jac} I \subseteq \text{Nil} I$.

Note that every subset U of a ring A satisfies $\text{Nil} U \subseteq \text{Jac} U$.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo.
E-mail address: kuroki-ryota123@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

Proposition 2.3. *Let I be an ideal of a ring A . Let $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ be the canonical projection. For every subset U of A , the following equalities hold:*

$$\pi^{-1}(\text{Nil}_{A/I} \pi(U)) = \text{Nil}_A(I \cup U), \quad \pi^{-1}(\text{Jac}_{A/I} \pi(U)) = \text{Jac}_A(I \cup U).$$

Corollary 2.4. *If I is an ideal of a Jacobson ring A , then A/I is Jacobson.*

Corollary 2.5. *A ring A is Jacobson if and only if $\text{Jac}_{A/I} 0 \subseteq \text{Nil}_{A/I} 0$ holds for all ideals I of A .*

Example 2.6 ([LQ15, Lemma IX-1.2]). *If A is a ring of Krull dimension at most 0, then A is Jacobson.*

Corollary 2.7 (pointed out by Henri Lombardi). *Let A be a ring of Krull dimension at most 1. If an ideal I of A contains some regular element, then $\text{Jac } I \subseteq \text{Nil } I$.*

Proof. Since the Krull dimension of A/I is at most 0, we have $\text{Jac}_{A/I} 0 \subseteq \text{Nil}_{A/I} 0$ by [example 2.6](#). So the assertion follows from [proposition 2.3](#). \square

Corollary 2.8. *If A is a ring with the following properties, then A is Jacobson:*

- (1) *A is an integral ring (i.e., every element of A is null or regular).*
- (2) *The Krull dimension of A is at most 1.*
- (3) *For all ideals I of A , if $\text{Jac } I$ contains some regular element, then I also contains some regular element.*

Proof. Let $a \in \text{Jac } I$.

- (1) If $a = 0$, then $a \in \text{Nil } I$.
- (2) If a is regular, then I contains some regular element. So $a \in \text{Nil } I$ by [corollary 2.7](#). \square

Example 2.9. The ring of integers \mathbb{Z} is Jacobson by [corollary 2.8](#). We can unfold the proof and reveal the computational content as follows. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ be an ideal and $a \in \text{Jac } I$.

- (1) If $a = 0$, then $a \in \text{Nil } I$.
- (2) If $a \geq 1$, then there exists $c_{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 - (1+a)c_{-1} \in I$. Since $1 - (1+a)c_{-1} \neq 0$, there exist $d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 - (1+a)c_{-1} = de$, $a \in \text{Nil } d$, and $1 \in \langle a, e \rangle$ (see [Yen15, Examples 86] for a proof). There exists $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 - ab \in \langle e \rangle$. There exists $c_b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 - (1-ab)c_b \in I$. Since $d \in \langle 1 - (1+a)c_{-1}, 1 - (1-ab)c_b \rangle \subseteq I$, we have $a \in \text{Nil } d \subseteq \text{Nil } I$.
- (3) If $a \leq -1$, then $a \in \text{Nil } I$ by a similar argument.

This solves a problem mentioned in a comment of a question on MathOverflow [Wer17]. Note that no assumption on the ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is needed.

3. THE GENERAL NULLSTELLENSATZ

We recall some basic constructive results.

Proposition 3.1 ([MRR88, Corollary VI-1.3]). *Let B be an A -algebra. If $b \in B$ is integral over A , then the algebra $A[b] \subseteq B$ is integral over A .*

Proposition 3.2 ([LQ15, Theorem IX-1.7]). *If B is an integral extension of a ring A , then $B^\times \cap A \subseteq A^\times$.*

Proposition 3.3 ([LQ15, Lemma II-2.6]). *For all ring A , $A[X]^\times \subseteq A^\times + (\text{Nil}_A 0)[X]$.*

The following lemma can be naturally understood in terms of entailment relations ([SW21]).

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a ring, U be a subset of A , and $x, y \in A$. The following statements hold:*

- (1) *If $xy \in \text{Nil } U$ and $x \in \text{Nil}(U, y)$, then $x \in \text{Nil } U$. Here $\text{Nil}(U, y)$ means $\text{Nil}(U \cup \{y\})$.*
- (2) *If $x \in \text{Jac}(U, 1 - yz)$ for all $z \in A$, then $xy \in \text{Jac } U$.*

Proof. (1) There exists $n \geq 0$ such that $x^n \in \langle U \rangle + \langle y \rangle$. So $x^{n+1} \in \langle U \rangle + \langle xy \rangle \subseteq \text{Nil } U$.
(2) For all $w \in A$, we have $1 \in \langle U, 1 - y(xw), 1 - x(yw) \rangle = \langle U, 1 - (xy)w \rangle$. So $xy \in \text{Jac } U$. \square

For $a \in A$, let A_a denote the localization $A[1/a]$. The following lemma was extracted by Claude Quitté from the original proof of lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a ring and $a \in A$. Let B be a ring extension of A such that B_a is integral over A_a . Then $a((\text{Jac}_B 0) \cap A) \subseteq \text{Jac}_A 0$.*

Proof. Let $a' \in (\text{Jac}_B 0) \cap A$. For all $x \in A$, we have $1 - a'x \in B^\times$. So $1 - a'x \in B_a^\times \cap A_a \subseteq A_a^\times$ by proposition 3.2. So $a \in \text{Nil}_{A_a}(1 - a'x) \subseteq \text{Jac}_{A_a}(1 - a'x)$. Thus $aa' \in \text{Jac}_A 0$ by lemma 3.4-(2) with $(A, U, x, y) = (A, 0, a, a')$. \square

The following key lemma is a constructive counterpart of [Eme10, Lemma 6].

Lemma 3.6. *Let A be a Jacobson ring, $a \in A$, and B be an A -algebra such that B_a is integral over A_a . Then $a \text{Jac}_B J \subseteq \text{Nil}_B J$ holds for all ideals J of B .*

Proof. Let $B' := B/J$ and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B'$ be the canonical homomorphism. Let $A' := A/\ker \varphi$. B' is a ring extension of A' . Let $f \in \text{Jac}_{B'} J$. Since B'_a is integral over A'_a , there exist $n, l \geq 0$, $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A'$ such that $a^l f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 =_{B'} 0$.

For $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $g_k := a^l f^k + a_{n-1} f^{k-1} + \dots + a_{n-k}$, $J_k := \langle g_k, \dots, g_{n-1} \rangle_{B'}$, and $(A_k, B_k) := (A'/(J_k \cap A'), B'/J_k)$. Note that B_k is a ring extension of A_k such that $(B_k)_a$ is integral over $(A_k)_a$. We prove $af \in \text{Nil}_{B_k} 0$ for all k by induction.

- (1) Since $a^l =_{A_0} 0$, we have $af \in \text{Nil}_{B_0} 0$.
- (2) Let $k \geq 1$. We have $a_{n-k} \in \text{Jac}_{B_k} 0$ by $f, g_k \in \text{Jac}_{B_k} 0$. So $aa_{n-k} \in \text{Jac}_{A_k} 0 \subseteq \text{Nil}_{A_k} 0 \subseteq \text{Nil}_{B_k} 0$ by lemma 3.5 and the Jacobsonness of A_k . So $afg_{k-1} \in \text{Nil}_{B_k} 0$ by $a_{n-k} = g_k - fg_{k-1} =_{B_k} -fg_{k-1}$. We have $af \in \text{Nil}_{B_{k-1}} 0$ by the inductive hypothesis. So $af \in \text{Nil}_{B_k} g_{k-1}$ by proposition 2.3. Thus $af \in \text{Nil}_{B_k} 0$ by lemma 3.4-(1) with $(A, U, x, y) = (B_k, 0, af, g_{k-1})$.

So $af \in \text{Nil}_{B_n} 0 = \text{Nil}_{B'} 0$. So $af \in \text{Nil}_B J$. \square

Corollary 3.7 (pointed out by Claude Quitté). *If A is a Jacobson ring and $a \in A$, then A_a is Jacobson.*

Proof. Let $B = A_a$ in lemma 3.6. \square

Corollary 3.8. *If B is an algebra integral over a Jacobson ring A , then B is Jacobson.*

Proof. Let $a = 1$ in lemma 3.6. \square

Theorem 3.9 (The General Nullstellensatz). *If A is a Jacobson ring, then so is $A[X]$.*

Proof (simplified by Claude Quitté). Let J be an ideal of $A[X]$ and $f \in \text{Jac}_{A[X]} J$. Since $1 \in \langle J, 1 - fX \rangle_{A[X]}$, there exists $g \in J$ such that $1 \in \langle g, 1 - fX \rangle_{A[X]}$. There exist $n \geq 0$ and $a_0, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that $g = a_n X^n + \dots + a_0$.

Let $C_k := A[X]/\langle J, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n \rangle$ for $k \in \{-1, \dots, n\}$. We prove $f \in \text{Nil}_{C_k} 0$ for all k by induction.

- (1) Let $A' := A/\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle$. We have $f \in \text{Nil}_{A'[X]} 0$ by $1 \in \langle 1 - fX \rangle_{A'[X]}$ and [proposition 3.3](#). So $f \in \text{Nil}_{C_{-1}} 0$.
- (2) Let $k \geq 0$. $(C_k)_{a_k}$ is integral over A_{a_k} by $g =_{(C_k)_{a_k}} 0$ and [proposition 3.1](#). Since $f \in \text{Jac}_{C_k} 0$, we have $a_k f \in \text{Nil}_{C_k} 0$ by [lemma 3.6](#). We have $f \in \text{Nil}_{C_{k-1}} 0$ by the inductive hypothesis. So $f \in \text{Nil}_{C_k} a_k$. Thus $f \in \text{Nil}_{C_k} 0$ by [lemma 3.4-\(1\)](#) with $(A, U, x, y) = (C_k, 0, f, a_k)$.

So $f \in \text{Nil}_{C_n} 0 = \text{Nil}_{A[X]/J} 0$. So $f \in \text{Nil}_{A[X]} J$. □

Corollary 3.10. *Every finitely generated algebra over a Jacobson ring is Jacobson.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Ryu Hasegawa, for his support. We would like to thank Claude Quitté, Henri Lombardi, Daniel Misselbeck-Wessel, and Ryotaro Iwane for their helpful advice.

This research was supported by Forefront Physics and Mathematics Program to Drive Transformation (FoPM), a World-leading Innovative Graduate Study (WINGS) Program, the University of Tokyo.

REFERENCES

- [Arr21] Arrow. *Can you constructively prove a univariate polynomial algebra over a Jacobson ring is itself Jacobson?* MathOverflow. (version: 2021-11-06). 2021. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/405685>.
- [Eme10] Matthew Emerton. *Jacobson rings*. 2010. URL: <https://www.math.uchicago.edu/~emerton/pdffiles/jacobson.pdf>.
- [Gol51] Oscar Goldman. “Hilbert rings and the Hilbert Nullstellensatz”. In: *Math. Z.* 54 (1951), pp. 136–140. ISSN: 0025-5874,1432-1823. DOI: [10.1007/BF01179855](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01179855).
- [Kru51] Wolfgang Krull. “Jacobsonsche Ringe, Hilbertscher Nullstellensatz, Dimensionstheorie”. In: *Math. Z.* 54 (1951), pp. 354–387. ISSN: 0025-5874,1432-1823. DOI: [10.1007/BF01238035](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01238035).
- [Lom23] Henri Lombardi. *Some classical results in Algebra needing one or several constructive versions*. 2023. URL: <https://groups.google.com/g/constructivenews/c/Z6ZEmRdep8o>.
- [LQ15] Henri Lombardi and Claude Quitté. *Commutative algebra: constructive methods*. revised. Vol. 20. Algebra and Applications. Finite projective modules, Translated from the French by Tania K. Roblot. Springer, Dordrecht, 2015, pp. xlix+996. ISBN: 978-94-017-9944-7. DOI: [10.1007/978-94-017-9944-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9944-7).
- [MRR88] Ray Mines, Fred Richman, and Wim Ruitenburg. *A course in constructive algebra*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988, pp. xii+344. ISBN: 0-387-96640-4. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4419-8640-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8640-5).
- [SW21] Peter Schuster and Daniel Wessel. “Syntax for semantics: Krull’s maximal ideal theorem”. In: *Paul Lorenzen—mathematician and logician*. Vol. 51. Log. Epistemol. Unity Sci. Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 77–102. ISBN: 978-3-030-65824-3. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-65824-3_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-65824-3_6).

- [Wer17] Jakob Werner. *Constructive treatment of Jacobson rings*. MathOverflow. (version: 2017-07-18). 2017. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/275737>.
- [Wes18] Daniel Wessel. “Choice, extension, conservation. From transfinite to finite proof methods in abstract algebra”. PhD thesis. University of Trento, University of Verona, 2018. URL: <http://eprints-phd.biblio.unitn.it/2759/>.
- [Yen15] Ihsen Yengui. *Constructive commutative algebra*. Vol. 2138. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Projective modules over polynomial rings and dynamical Gröbner bases. Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. vii+271. ISBN: 978-3-319-19494-3. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-19494-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19494-3).