

Feasibility of the observation of η' mesic nuclei in the semi-exclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)$ reaction

Natsumi Ikeno¹, Yuko Higashi², Hiroyuki Fujioka³, Kenta Itahashi^{4,5}, Ryohei Sekiya^{6,4}, Yoshiki K. Tanaka⁵, Junko Yamagata-Sekihara⁷, Volker Metag⁸, Mariana Nanova⁸, and Satoru Hirenzaki²

¹*Department of Agricultural, Life and Environmental Sciences, Tottori University, Tottori 680-8551, Japan*

**E-mail: ikeno@tottori-u.ac.jp*

²*Department of Physics, Nara Women's University, Nara 630-8506, Japan*

³*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

⁴*RIKEN Nishina Center for Accelerator-Based Science, RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

⁵*RIKEN Cluster for Pioneering Research, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

⁶*Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

⁷*Department of Physics, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan*

⁸*Universität Giessen, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 16, 35392 Giessen, Germany*

11/6/2024

.....
We study theoretically the feasibility of the semi-exclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)X$ reaction for the observation of η' mesic nuclei using the transport model JAM. The semi-exclusive measurements of the (p, d) reaction with protons from η' non-mesonic two-body absorption ($\eta'NN \rightarrow NN$) are found to be critically important for the observation of the η' bound states. The Green's function method is used to calculate the momentum spectrum of forward going deuterons corresponding to the excitation energy spectrum of the $\eta' \otimes ^{11}\text{C}$ system in the semi-exclusive measurement. The semi-exclusive measurements are considered to be important in general for the η' mesic nucleus formation.
.....

Subject Index xxxx, xxx

1. Introduction

Studies of the meson-nucleus systems and the in-medium properties of hadrons are one of the interesting subjects in contemporary hadron-nuclear physics to investigate the nature of QCD in the low energy region and the aspects of symmetry at finite nuclear density ρ [1–4]. For example, spectroscopic studies of the pionic atoms provide important information on the change of the chiral condensate and the partial restoration of chiral symmetry at finite ρ [5–8].

We consider in this article the formation of an $\eta'(958)$ (η') meson-nucleus bound state (η' mesic nucleus) [9–13]. Theoretically, the origin of the exceptionally large η' mass is attributed

to the interplay of chiral symmetry breaking and $U_A(1)$ anomaly [12, 14]. Thus, the study of the η' mesic nucleus will provide unique information on the effects of the $U_A(1)$ anomaly at finite density, which would be difficult to obtain from studies of other pseudo-scalar octet mesons. The formation of η' bound states were studied theoretically in Refs [9–12, 15], and the results of the formation experiments by the (p, d) reaction were reported in Refs. [16, 17]. The results of the (γ, p) reaction, looking for back-to-back η - p pairs from the decay of η' mesic states, were published in Ref. [18]. Unfortunately, clear signals were not observed because of the large background contributions, however, constraints on the η' -nucleus interaction were obtained. Results on the photo-production of η' mesons off nuclei and the interaction between η' mesons and nuclei at higher energies were reported in Refs. [19, 20].

In this article, we discuss the semi-exclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)$ reaction theoretically to reduce the contributions from the background processes and to observe the signals of the η' mesic nucleus formation more clearly.

2. Theoretical $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)$ spectrum by Green's function method

We show firstly the theoretical $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)$ spectrum for the formation of the η' mesic nucleus calculated by the Green's function method. A detailed explanation of the Green's function method is given in Ref. [12, 21] for the theoretical evaluation of the $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)^{11}\text{C}\otimes\eta'$ reaction cross section. We consider a phenomenological η' -nucleus optical potential $U_{\eta'}$ as

$$U_{\eta'}(r) = V \left(\frac{\rho(r)}{\rho_0} \right)^1 + i W_1 \left(\frac{\rho(r)}{\rho_0} \right)^1 + i W_2 \left(\frac{\rho(r)}{\rho_0} \right)^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\rho(r)$ indicates the density distribution of the daughter nucleus ^{11}C and ρ_0 the normal nuclear density $\rho_0 = 0.17 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. The real part of the potential is assumed to be attractive and provides the η' bound states. The strength of the real potential at ρ_0 is determined by the parameter V . The imaginary parts of the potential describe the absorptive processes of η' in the nucleus. The parameter W_1 determines the strength of the η' absorption by one-body processes such as $\eta'N \rightarrow \pi N$ and $\eta'N \rightarrow \eta N$, and the parameter W_2 determines the η' absorption strength by two-body processes including the non-mesic decay process $\eta'NN \rightarrow NN$.

In the Green's function method, the contributions of the absorptive processes to the forward deuteron spectrum can be calculated separately as the conversion parts. The contribution from the η' escape process can also be evaluated separately. We show the calculated spectrum in Fig. 1, for a set of the potential parameters $(V, W_1, W_2) = (-100, -5, -5) \text{ MeV}$.

As we can see from Fig. 1, each conversion part maintains almost the same spectral shape and peak structures as the total spectrum in the energy range of bound state formation, so that the information on the bound states can be obtained by observing the conversion spectrum. These conversion parts of the deuteron spectrum are obtained experimentally as the semi-exclusive cross sections, where the additional protons emitted by the one-body and two-body η' absorption processes are measured in coincidence. Thus, in case that the semi-exclusive measurement of the conversion spectra effectively suppress the background and thereby significantly improve the signal-to-background (S/B) ratio, the semi-exclusive measurements of the conversion spectra are quite useful to find signals of the η' mesic nucleus formation.




Fig. 1 Calculated spectra of the $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)^{11}\text{C} \otimes \eta'$ reaction for the formation of η' -nucleus systems with proton kinetic energy $T_p = 2.5$ GeV and deuteron angle $\theta_d = 0^\circ$ as a function of the excitation energy E_{ex} . E_0 is the η' production threshold. The η' -nucleus optical potential is given in Eq. (1). The potential parameters are taken to be $(V, W_1, W_2) = (-100, -5, -5)$ MeV. The thick solid line shows the total spectrum. The dashed and dotted lines show the contributions from the one-body and two-body η' absorption processes calculated as the conversion parts. The contributions from the η' escape process are also shown.

3. Simulation of the semi-exclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)X$ reaction with the transport model JAM

We consider in this section whether the semi-exclusive measurements are really effective or not to reduce the background for the clear observation of the η' mesic nucleus formation. We consider the semi-exclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)$ reaction, where the additional protons emitted by the η' non-mesic two-body absorption ($\eta'NN \rightarrow NN$) are measured in coincidence. We think that the background contributions to the forward deuteron spectrum can be significantly suppressed by the semi-exclusive measurements using the protons in the backward directions, as explained below. In the background processes, dominated by multi-pion production, the particles are emitted mainly to forward angles because of the energetic incident proton beam, while the emitted particles from the absorption of the bound η' are expected to have a uniform angular distribution in the laboratory system. Thus, the observation of the additional particles at backward angles is considered to be most efficient to reduce the background contributions to the forward deuteron spectrum. In addition, the protons emitted in η' absorption by the non-mesic two-body process $\eta'NN \rightarrow NN$ have a large kinetic energy of $T \sim \frac{m_{\eta'}}{2}$ even at the backward angles, which could be distinguished most clearly from those from the background processes. Thus, in this article, we focus on the $\eta'NN \rightarrow NN$

process as a good candidate for protons from the signal of the semi-exclusive measurements and perform the numerical simulation. The idea of this semi-exclusive measurements was proposed in Refs. [22, 23] and the preliminary results of the simulation were reported in Ref. [24]. To evaluate the advantage of the semi-exclusive reaction quantitatively, we perform the simulation using the microscopic transport model JAM [25].

As background processes, we calculate the $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)X$ inclusive reaction with a forward going deuteron. The simulation is performed for 1.44×10^{11} incident protons. The results of the forward deuteron momentum spectrum is consistent with the experimental data in Refs. [16, 17]. This simulation corresponds to background processes and does not include the contributions of the η' mesic nucleus formation. We show in Fig. 2 (left) the distribution of emitted protons in this JAM simulation for events in the excitation energy range $-60 \text{ MeV} \leq E_{\text{ex}} - E_0 \leq 60 \text{ MeV}$ in the plane of the proton momentum p_p and $\cos\theta_p$ of the proton emission angle θ_p . E_0 is the η' production threshold. As shown in this figure, protons from the background in the $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)X$ reaction tend to be distributed in forward direction and do not have large momenta $p \geq 0.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ at backward angles as we expect.

We then consider the proton distribution from the signal. We show in Fig. 2 (right) the simulated distribution of the emitted protons from the η' absorption by the two-body process $\eta'NN \rightarrow NN$ as the indication of the η' mesic nucleus formation. We perform the intra-nuclear cascade simulation using JAM by placing 1×10^6 protons inside the nucleus with the appropriate energy and momentum after η' absorption. The effects of the Fermi motion of nucleons are taken into account. We find that the proton distribution is very different from that of the background processes and has a peak at $p_p \sim 1 \text{ GeV}/c$ for all emitted angles as expected.

The results of the simulations for the proton distributions from the signal and background processes show a clear difference as can be seen in Fig. 2 and enable us to find out suitable condition of the semi-exclusive (p, dp) reaction for observing a clearer signal for the formation of the η' mesic state in ^{11}C . From Fig. 2, it is clear that protons with higher momenta emitted to backward angles should be detected selectively in the semi-exclusive measurements to improve the S/B ratio of the forward deuteron spectrum. To show the advantage of the semi-exclusive measurements, we define the improvement factor $f_{S/B}$ of the S/B ratio of the forward deuteron spectra as the ratio of the number of the forward deuterons from the signal (N_d^S) and from the background (N_d^B) processes, normalized to 1 for the inclusive (p, d) reaction as,

$$f_{S/B} = \left(\frac{N_d^S}{N_d^B} \right) \bigg/ \left(\frac{N_d^S}{N_d^B} \right)_{\text{inclusive}} . \quad (2)$$

By imposing cut conditions on the momenta and angles of the emitted protons, both N_d^S and N_d^B decrease, but the differences in the proton distributions of the signal and the background can magnify the $f_{S/B}$ factor for suitable cut conditions. At the same time, the cut conditions reduce the number of events and make the statistics worse. Thus, the best experimental cut condition will be determined by optimizing these effects.

We show in Table 1 the results of the $f_{S/B}$ factor for the simulations of various cut conditions. We find that the S/B ratio is improved much in the semi-exclusive reaction, and that the $f_{S/B}$ factor is significantly larger than 1 for the stronger cut conditions. For example, if we consider protons emitted with momenta p_p larger than $0.8 \text{ GeV}/c$ and at angles θ_p larger




Fig. 2 The distributions of protons emitted in the inclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)X$ reaction in the plane of the proton momentum p_p and $\cos \theta_p$ of the proton emission angle θ_p in the laboratory system. The simulated result of the background processes is shown in (left), and that of the signal process from the η' mesic nucleus decay by the two-body absorption $\eta'NN \rightarrow NN$ is shown in (right).

Table 1 Improvement factor $f_{S/B}$ of the S/B ratio by the semi-exclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)$ reaction from the inclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)$ reaction defined in Eq (2). The improvement factor is normalized to be 1 for the inclusive reaction where no cut condition for protons is imposed. In the semi-exclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)$ reaction considered here, protons in the final state are observed at backward angles with high momenta. The lowest value of the proton momentum p_p and the largest value of $\cos \theta_p$ of the proton emission angle θ_p are shown as the cut conditions. The events with protons with higher momenta and larger emission angles (smaller $\cos \theta_p$ values) than the cut conditions are accumulated for both background and signal processes and used to evaluate the improvements of the S/B ratio.

Proton angle cut	Proton momentum cut [GeV/c]				
	$p_p > 0.6$	> 0.7	> 0.8	> 0.9	> 1.0
$0.50 > \cos \theta_p$	1.7×10	4.1×10	1.1×10^2	2.8×10^2	6.6×10^2
$0.25 >$	2.0×10	5.4×10	1.5×10^2	4.1×10^2	1.1×10^3
$0.00 >$	2.3×10	6.5×10	1.9×10^2	5.4×10^2	1.6×10^3
$-0.25 >$	2.6×10	7.3×10	2.2×10^2	6.3×10^2	1.9×10^3
$-0.50 >$	2.7×10	7.9×10	2.4×10^2	7.2×10^2	2.4×10^3
$-0.75 >$	2.9×10	8.7×10	2.6×10^2	8.9×10^2	3.7×10^3

than 90° in the semi-exclusive measurements, the S/B ratio is found to be about 200 times larger than that of the inclusive spectra. Consequently, if the signal cross section were of the order of 1000 times smaller than the inclusive cross section, the S/B ratio would still be of the order of 20%.

4. Conclusion

We have studied theoretically the semi-exclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)X$ reaction for the formation of η' mesic nuclei. In the last experiments of the $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)X$ reaction [16, 17], we found that it was extremely difficult to identify the peak structure in the forward deuteron spectra because of the huge background. In order to reduce the background and to observe possible peak structures, we considered in this article the semi-exclusive $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)X$ reaction where emitted protons from the two-body η' absorption in the final state are detected in coincidence with forward going deuterons to select the events of the signal processes.

We have performed the numerical simulation by the microscopic transport model JAM and quantitatively evaluated the improvements of the S/B ratio by the semi-exclusive measurements. We find that the semi-exclusive measurements of the final state protons at backward angles with high momenta can substantially improve the S/B ratio, providing a significant improvement compared to the inclusive reaction for the observation of η' mesic states. We demonstrate that the semi-exclusive measurements in coincidence with the particles emitted in η' absorption processes are important in general for the η' mesic nucleus formation reactions as studied in this article. The best experimental cut condition for the emitted protons can be determined by optimizing the various conditions including the statistics which is also affected by the cut conditions.

Acknowledgment

We appreciate the fruitful discussions with Y. Nara. The work was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP25707018, JP18H01242, JP20KK0070, JP19K14709, JP23K03417, JP24H00238, and JP24K07020.

References

- [1] C. J. Batty, E. Friedman and A. Gal, Phys. Rept. **287**, 385-445 (1997).
- [2] E. Friedman and A. Gal, Phys. Rept. **452**, 89-153 (2007).
- [3] R. S. Hayano and T. Hatsuda, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 2949 (2010).
- [4] V. Metag, M. Nanova and E. Y. Paryev, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **97**, 199-260 (2017).
- [5] T. Yamazaki, S. Hirenzaki, R. S. Hayano and H. Toki, Phys. Rept. **514**, 1-87 (2012).
- [6] S. Hirenzaki and N. Ikeno, Handbook of Nuclear Physics. (eds) Tanihata, I., Toki, H., Kajino, T., Springer, Singapore, (2022). doi:10.1007/978-981-15-8818-1_35-1
- [7] K. Itahashi, Handbook of Nuclear Physics. (eds) Tanihata, I., Toki, H., Kajino, T., Springer, Singapore, (2023). doi:10.1007/978-981-15-8818-1_36-1
- [8] T. Nishi *et al.* [piAF], Nature Phys. **19**, 788-793 (2023).
- [9] H. Nagahiro and S. Hirenzaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 232503 (2005).
- [10] H. Nagahiro, M. Takizawa and S. Hirenzaki, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 045203 (2006).
- [11] K. Itahashi, H. Fujioka, H. Geissel, R. S. Hayano, S. Hirenzaki, S. Itoh, D. Jido, V. Metag, H. Nagahiro and M. Nanova, *et al.* Prog. Theor. Phys. **128**, 601-613 (2012).
- [12] H. Nagahiro, D. Jido, H. Fujioka, K. Itahashi and S. Hirenzaki, Phys. Rev. C **87**, no.4, 045201 (2013).
- [13] J. J. Cobos-Martinez and K. Tsushima, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 2 (2024).
- [14] S. D. Bass and P. Moskal, Rev. Mod. Phys. **91**, 015003 (2019).
- [15] M. Miyatani, N. Ikeno, H. Nagahiro and S. Hirenzaki, Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 193 (2016).
- [16] Y. K. Tanaka *et al.* [n-PRiME/Super-FRS], Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 202501 (2016).
- [17] Y. K. Tanaka *et al.* [η -PRiME/Super-FRS], Phys. Rev. C **97**, 015202 (2018).
- [18] N. Tomida *et al.* [LEPS2/BGOegg], Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 202501 (2020).
- [19] S. Friedrich, M. Nanova, V. Metag, F. N. Afzal, D. Bayadilov, B. Bantes, R. Beck, M. Becker, S. Böse and K. T. Brinkmann, *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 297 (2016).
- [20] M. Nanova *et al.* [CBELSA/TAPS], Phys. Rev. C **94**, 025205 (2016).
- [21] J. Yamagata, H. Nagahiro, R. Kimura and S. Hirenzaki, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 045204 (2007).
- [22] H. Fujioka, K. T. Brinkmann, S. Friedrich, H. Geissel, R. S. Hayano, S. Hirenzaki, K. Itahashi, S. Itoh, D. Jido and V. Metag, *et al.* EPJ Web Conf. **66**, 09006 (2014).

-
- [23] H. Fujioka *et al.* [Super-FRS], *Hyperfine Interact.* **234**, 33-39 (2015).
[24] Y. Higashi, Nara Women's University, Master Thesis (in Japanese) (2015).
[25] Y. Nara, N. Otuka, A. Ohnishi, K. Niita and S. Chiba, *Phys. Rev. C* **61**, 024901 (2000).