

# ON VARIOUS CLASSES OF SUPERCYCLIC OPERATORS ON BANACH SPACES

STEFAN IVKOVIĆ

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we characterize supercyclic weighted composition operators on various function spaces. Moreover, we also characterize supercyclic adjoint operator of weighted composition operator, and supercyclic left multipliers on the space of compact operators. Finally, we illustrate our results by concrete examples. In addition, we introduce a class of non-porous subsets of the space of continuous functions on the real line vanishing at infinity. As an application, we consider weighted composition operator on this space and we give sufficient conditions that ensure that the set of non-hypercyclic vectors for this operator is non-porous.

**Keywords** Supercyclic operator, topological semi-transitivity, weighted composition operator, Segal algebra, solid Banach function space, porous subsets

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** Primary MSC 47A16, Secondary MSC 54H20.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Linear dynamics of operators have been studied in many articles during several decades; see [4] and [2] as monographs on this topic. Among other concepts, hypercyclicity, topological transitivity and supercyclicity, as important linear dynamical properties of bounded linear operators, have been investigated in many research works. For example, Salas in [14] characterized supercyclic bilateral weighted shift operators on  $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$ . Supercyclicity of several kind of operators have been also studied in for instance [11, 12, 16] .

Now, in [6, Section 4] we have considered a special class of operators on  $C^*$ -algebras and we have characterized hypercyclic such operators. The operators which we considered are in fact a composition of an isometric  $*$ -isomorphism and a left multiplication operator. A special case of this theory are weighted composition operators on the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions vanishing at infinity on a locally compact, non-compact Hausdorff space; left multipliers on the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on a separable Hilbert space etc... In [8] we have characterized hypercyclic weighted composition operators on Segal algebras, and in [9] we have characterized topologically transitive adjoints of weighted composition operators. These adjoints which we have considered act on the space of Radon

measures on a locally compact, non-compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . Moreover, in [3] Chen and Tabatabaie have characterized hypercyclic weighted composition operators on solid Banach function spaces with certain properties.

The aim of this paper is to extend these results obtained in [6, 8, 9, 3] from the hypercyclic case to the supercyclic case, thus to provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the above mentioned classes of operators to be supercyclic. To keep the paper sufficiently self-contained, we recall now the following definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space. A sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of bounded operators in  $B(X)$  is called *supercyclic* if there is an element  $x \in X$  (called *supercyclic vector*) such that the set  $\{\lambda T_n x : n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\}$  is dense in  $X$ . The set of all supercyclic vectors of a sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is denoted by  $SC((T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ . If  $SC((T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$  is dense in  $X$ , the sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called *densely supercyclic*. An operator  $T \in B(X)$  is called *supercyclic* if the sequence  $(T^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is (densely) supercyclic.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $T \in B(X)$ . We say that  $T$  is *topologically semi-transitive* on  $X$  if for each pair of open non-empty subsets  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  of  $X$  there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\lambda T^n(O_1) \cap O_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

In [11, Definition 1.2], topological semi-transitivity is actually called *topological transitivity for supercyclicity*. Moreover, in [11, Proposition 1.3] it has been proved that if  $X$  is a separable Banach space, then an operator  $T \in B(X)$  is topologically semi-transitive if and only if  $T$  is supercyclic.

At the end of this section, we give also the following auxiliary remark which we will use later in the proofs.

*Remark 1.3.* If  $T \in B(X)$  is invertible and topologically semi-transitive, then there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and sequence  $\{\lambda_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\lambda_k T^{n_k}(O_1) \cap O_2 \neq \emptyset$  for all  $k$ . Indeed, since  $T$  is topologically semi-transitive, we can find some  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\lambda_1 T^{n_1}(O_1) \cap O_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Now, since  $\lambda_1 T^{n_1}$  is invertible, it is an open map, hence  $\lambda_1 T^{n_1}(O_1)$  is open. Therefore, there exists some  $\tilde{n}_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $\tilde{\lambda}_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\tilde{\lambda}_2 T^{\tilde{n}_2}(\lambda_1 T^{n_1}(O_1)) \cap O_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $n_2 = n_1 + \tilde{n}_2$  and  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2$ . Proceeding inductively, we can construct the desired sequences  $\{n_k\}_k$  and  $\{\lambda_k\}_k$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non-unital  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a closed two-sided ideal in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . Let  $\Phi$  be an isometric  $*$ -isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  such that  $\Phi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ . Assume that there exists a net  $\{p_\alpha\}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  consisting of self-adjoint elements with  $\|p_\alpha\| \leq 1$  for all  $\alpha$  and such that  $\{p_\alpha^2\}_\alpha$  is an approximate unit for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose in addition that for all  $\alpha$  there exists some  $N_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Phi^n(p_\alpha) \cdot p_\alpha = 0$  for all  $n \geq N_\alpha$  (which gives that  $0 = (\Phi^n(p_\alpha) \cdot p_\alpha)^* = p_\alpha \cdot \Phi^n(p_\alpha)$  since  $\Phi$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism). Let  $b \in G(\mathcal{A}_1)$  and  $T_{\Phi,b}$  be the operator on  $\mathcal{A}_1$  defined by  $T_{\Phi,b}(a) = b \cdot \Phi(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}_1$ . Then  $T_{\Phi,b}$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , it follows that  $T_{\Phi,b}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  because  $\Phi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ . The

inverse of  $T_{\Phi,b}$ , which we will denote by  $S_{\Phi,b}$ , is given as  $S_{\Phi,b}(a) = \Phi^{-1}(b^{-1}) \cdot \Phi^{-1}(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}_1$ . Again, since  $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a two-sided ideal in  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , we have that  $S_{\Phi,b}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , hence  $T_{\Phi,b}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} = S_{\Phi,b}(\mathcal{A})$ .

By some calculations one can check that  $T_{\Phi,b}^n(a) = b \cdot \Phi(b) \dots \Phi^{n-1}(b) \Phi^n(a)$  and  $S_{\Phi,b}^n(a) = \Phi^{-1}(b^{-1}) \Phi^{-2}(b^{-1}) \dots \Phi^{-n}(b^{-1}) \cdot \Phi^{-n}(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The next theorem characterizes topological semi-transitivity of the operator  $T_{\Phi,b}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Under the above assumptions, the following statements are equivalent.*

i)  $T_{\Phi,b}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

ii) For every  $p_\alpha$  there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and sequences  $\{q_k\}_k, \{d_k\}_k$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|q_k - p_\alpha^2\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|d_k - p_\alpha^2\| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\|\Phi^{n_k-1}(b^{-1}) \dots \Phi(b^{-1}) b^{-1} d_k\| \|\Phi^{-n_k}(b) \dots \Phi^{-1}(b) q_k\|} = 0.$$

*Proof.* We prove first  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ . Let  $p_\alpha$  be given. Since  $T_{\Phi,b}$  is topologically semi-transitive, by Remark 1.3 there exists some  $n_1 \geq N_\alpha$ , some  $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  and some  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$  such that

$$\|a_1 - p_\alpha\| < \frac{1}{4}$$

and

$$\|\lambda_1 b \Phi(b) \dots \Phi^{n_1-1}(b) \Phi^{n_1}(a_1) - p_\alpha\| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

By the same arguments as in the proof of [6, Proposition 4.1], we can deduce that  $\|\Phi^{n_1}(a_1) p_\alpha\| < \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\|(a_1 - p_\alpha) p_\alpha\| < \frac{1}{4}$ . Moreover, we can also obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\lambda_1 \Phi^{-n_1}(b) \Phi^{-n_1+1}(b) \dots \Phi^{-1}(b) a_1 p_\alpha\| \\ &= \|\lambda_1 \Phi^{-n_1}(b) \Phi^{-n_1+1}(b) \dots \Phi^{-1}(b) a_1 p_\alpha - \Phi^{-n_1}(p_\alpha) p_\alpha\| \\ &= \|\Phi^{-n_1}(\lambda_1 b \Phi(b) \dots \Phi^{n_1-1}(b) \Phi^{n_1}(a_1) - p_\alpha) p_\alpha\| \\ &\leq \|\lambda_1 b \Phi(b) \dots \Phi^{n_1-1}(b) \Phi^{n_1}(a_1) - p_\alpha\| < \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\lambda_1 b \Phi(b) \dots \Phi^{n_1-1}(b) \Phi^{n_1}(a_1) p_\alpha - p_\alpha^2\| < \frac{1}{4},$$

which further induces that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\lambda_1^{-1} \Phi^{n_1-1}(b^{-1}) \Phi^{n_1-2}(b^{-1}) \dots \Phi(b^{-1}) b^{-1} (\lambda_1 b \Phi(b) \dots \Phi^{n_1-1}(b) \Phi^{n_1}(a_1) p_\alpha)\| \\ &= \|\Phi^{n_1}(a_1) p_\alpha\| < \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $q_1 = a_1 p_\alpha$  and  $d_1 = \lambda_1 b \Phi(b) \dots \Phi^{n_1-1}(b) \Phi^{n_1}(a_1) p_\alpha$ . Then  $\|q_1 - p_\alpha^2\| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\|d_1 - p_\alpha^2\| < \frac{1}{4}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\|\Phi^{n_1-1}(b^{-1}) \dots \Phi(b^{-1}) b^{-1} d_1\| \|\Phi^{-n_1}(b) \dots \Phi^{-1}(b) q_1\|} = \\ & = \sqrt{\|\lambda_1^{-1} \Phi^{n_1-1}(b^{-1}) \dots \Phi(b^{-1}) b^{-1} d_1\| \|\lambda_1 \Phi^{-n_1}(b) \dots \Phi^{-1}(b) q_1\|} < \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, since  $T_{\Phi,b}$  is topologically semi-transitive, again by Remark 1.3 we can find some  $a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , some  $n_2 > n_1$  and some  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$  such that  $\|a_2 - p_\alpha\| < \frac{1}{4^2}$  and  $\|\lambda_2 T_{\Phi,b}^{n_2}(a_2) - p_\alpha\| < \frac{1}{4^2}$ . Then we can continue as above and find some  $q_2$  und  $d_2$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|q_2 - p_\alpha^2\| < \frac{1}{4^2}$ ,  $\|d_2 - p_\alpha^2\| < \frac{1}{4^2}$  and

$$\sqrt{\|\Phi^{n_2-1}(b^{-1}) \dots \Phi(b^{-1}) b^{-1} d_2\| \|\Phi^{-n_2}(b) \dots \Phi^{-1}(b) q_2\|} < \frac{1}{4^2}.$$

Proceeding inductively, we can construct the desired sequences  $\{n_k\}_k$ ,  $\{q_k\}_n$ , and  $\{d_k\}_n$ .

Next we prove  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  be two con-empty open subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}$  are also open and non-empty. Choose some  $x \in \mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}$ . As in the proof of [6, Proposition 4.1], we can find some  $\alpha$  such that  $p_\alpha^2 x \in \mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $p_\alpha^2 y \in \mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}$ , so we may without loss of generality assume that  $x = p_\alpha^2 x$  and  $y = p_\alpha^2 y$  for sufficiently large  $\alpha$ . Choose then the sequences  $\{n_k\}_k$ ,  $\{q_k\}_k$ ,  $\{d_k\}_k$  that satisfy the conditions of  $ii)$  with respect to  $p_\alpha$ . Then  $q_k x \in \mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $d_k y \in \mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}$  for sufficiently large  $k$ . Indeed  $\|q_k x - p_\alpha^2 x\| \leq \|q_k - p_\alpha^2\| \|x\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|d_k y - p_\alpha^2 y\| \leq \|d_k - p_\alpha^2\| \|y\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, we may in fact choose  $\{n_k\}$ ,  $\{q_k\}$ ,  $\{d_k\}$  in a such way that  $q_k x \neq 0$  and  $d_k y \neq 0$  for all  $k$ . Since  $T_{\Phi,b}$  is invertible and  $S_{\Phi,b} = T_{\Phi,b}^{-1}$ , we get that  $T_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(q_k x) \neq 0$  and  $S_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(d_k y) \neq 0$  for all  $k$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$x_k = q_k x + \sqrt{\frac{\|T_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(q_k x)\|}{\|S_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(d_k y)\|}} S_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(d_k y).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k - x\| &= \|x_k - p_\alpha^2 x\| \leq \|q_k - p_\alpha^2\| \|x\| + \|T_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(q_k x)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|S_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(d_k y)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|q_k - p_\alpha^2\| \|x\| + \\ &\|b \Phi(b) \dots \Phi^{n_k-1}(b) \Phi^{n_k}(q_k x)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Phi^{-1}(b^{-1}) \dots \Phi(b^{-n_k}) b^{-1} \Phi^{-n_k}(d_k y)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|q_k - p_\alpha^2\| \|x\| + \\ &\|\Phi^{-n_k}(b) \dots \Phi^{-1}(b) q_k x\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Phi^{n_k-1}(b^{-1}) \dots \Phi(b^{-1}) b^{-1} d_k y\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|q_k - p_\alpha^2\| \|x\| +$$

$$\|\Phi^{-n_k}(b) \dots \Phi^{-1}(b)q_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Phi^{n_k-1}(b^{-1}) \dots \Phi(b^{-1})b^{-1}d_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\|x\| \|y\|} \rightarrow 0$$
 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  (where we have used that  $\Phi$  is an isometric  $*$ -isomorphism). Similarly, we have that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\|S_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(d_k y)\|}{\|T_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(q_k x)\|}} T_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(x_k) \rightarrow y$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\|S_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(d_k y)\|}{\|T_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(q_k x)\|}} T_{\Phi,b}^{n_k}(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap (\mathcal{O}_2) \neq \emptyset$$

for all  $k \geq N$ , which proves the implication.  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* We notice that the assumption that for all  $\alpha$  there exists some  $N_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Phi^n(p_\alpha) \cdot p_\alpha = 0$  for all  $n \geq N_\alpha$  is only needed for the proof of the implication  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$  in Theorem 2.1, whereas the opposite implication holds for general isometric  $*$ -isomorphism  $\Phi$ .

The following example is motivated by [6, Example 4.5].

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space,  $C_0(X)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all continuous functions on  $X$  vanishing at infinity equipped with the supremum norm,  $C_b(X)$  be the the  $C^*$ -algebra of all continuous bounded functions on  $X$  equipped with the supremum norm, and  $C_c(X)$  be the space of continuous functions on  $X$  with compact support. In this case, we let  $\mathcal{A} = C_0(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 = C_b(X)$  and  $\Phi$  be given by  $\Phi(f) = f \circ \alpha$  for all  $f \in C_b(X)$  where  $\alpha$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$ . Put

$$S = \{f \in C_c(X) \mid 0 \leq f \leq 1 \text{ and } f|_K = 1 \text{ for some compact } K \subset X\}.$$

If  $\tilde{S} = \{f^2 \mid f \in S\}$ , then  $\tilde{S}$  is an approximate unit for  $C_0(X)$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is *aperiodic*, that is for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , there exists a constant  $N_K > 0$  such that for each  $n \geq N_K$ , we have  $K \cap \alpha^n(K) = \emptyset$ . Then, for every  $f \in S$ , there exists some  $N_f \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Phi^n(f) \cdot f = 0$  for all  $n \geq N_f$ . By some calculations it is not hard to see that in this case the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are equivalent to the requirement that for every compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{t \in K} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (b \circ \alpha^{j-n_k})(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left( \sup_{t \in K} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (b \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = 0.$$

As a concrete example, let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $\alpha(t) = t - 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b$  be a continuous bounded positive function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If there exist some  $M, \delta, K_1, K_2 > 0$  such that  $1 < M - \delta \leq b(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \leq -K_1$  and  $\frac{1}{M} \leq b(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq K_2$ , then the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Similarly if  $\alpha(t) = t + 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\frac{1}{M} \leq b(t) \leq \frac{1}{M-\delta}$  for all  $t \leq -K_1$  and  $1 \leq b(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \geq K_2$ , then the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are also

satisfied. Moreover, if  $\alpha(t) = t - 1$  all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq b(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \leq -K_1$  and  $\frac{1}{M} \leq b(t) \leq \frac{1}{M - \delta}$  for all  $t \geq K_2$ , then the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Finally, if  $\alpha(t) = t + 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\frac{1}{M} \leq b(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t \leq -K_1$  and  $M - \delta \leq b(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \geq K_2$ , then the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are also satisfied. In fact, in all these cases we have that for any compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  it holds that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{t \in K} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (b \circ \alpha^{j-n})(t) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{t \in K} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (b \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(t) \right) \right] = 0.$$

The next example is motivated by [6, Example 4.3].

**Example 2.4.** Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis  $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $U$  be a unitary operator on  $H$ . Set  $\Phi$  to be the  $*$ -isomorphism on  $B(H)$  given by  $\Phi(F) = U^*FU$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $P_m$  denote the orthogonal projection onto  $\text{Span}\{e_{-m}, \dots, e_m\}$ . Then,  $\{P_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an approximate unit for  $B_0(H)$  by [13, Proposition 2.2.1], where  $B_0(H)$  denotes the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators on  $H$ . Thus, here we consider the case when  $\mathcal{A}_1 = B(H)$  and  $\mathcal{A} = B_0(H)$ . Suppose that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an  $N_m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_m U^n P_m = 0$  for  $n \geq N_m$ . Then, for all  $n \geq N_m$  we have  $\Phi^n(P_m)P_m = U^{*n}P_m U^n P_m = 0$ . For examples of unitary operators satisfying this assumption, please see [7, Example 2.6]. If  $W$  is an invertible bounded linear operator on  $H$ , we can consider the operator  $\tilde{T}_{U,W}$  on  $B_0(H)$  given by  $\tilde{T}_{U,W}(F) = WFU$  for all  $F \in B_0(H)$ . As observed in [6, Example 4.3], we have  $\tilde{T}_{U,W} = T_{\Phi, WU}$ . The conditions in Theorem 2.1 are in this case equivalent to the condition that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist sequences of operators  $\{D_k\}_k, \{G_k\}_k$  in  $B_0(H)$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_k P_m\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|G_k P_m\| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|W^{n_k} D_k\| \cdot \|W^{-n_k} G_k\| = 0.$$

Motivated by this example and keeping the same notation, we provide now the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** *If there exist dense subsets  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of  $H$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k$  of natural numbers such that for every  $f \in H_1$  and  $g \in H_2$  we have that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|W^{n_k} f\| \cdot \|W^{-n_k} g\| = 0,$$

*then  $\tilde{T}_{U,W}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $B_0(H)$ .*

*Proof.* The main idea in this proof comes from the proof of [7, Proposition 2.7]. Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , for every  $j \in \{-m, \dots, m\}$  we can find sequences  $\{f_i^{(j)}\}_i \subseteq H_1$  and  $\{g_i^{(j)}\}_i \subseteq H_2$  such that  $f_i^{(j)} \rightarrow e_j$  and  $g_i^{(j)} \rightarrow e_j$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $j \in \{-m, \dots, m\}$ .

$\{-m, \dots, m\}$ . Since by the assumption, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j, l \in \{-m, \dots, m\}$  we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \| W^{n_k} f_i^{(j)} \| \cdot \| W^{-n_k} g_i^{(l)} \| = 0,$$

we can find some  $n_{k_1}$  such that  $\| W^{n_{k_1}} f_1^{(j)} \| \| W^{-n_{k_1}} g_1^{(l)} \| \leq \frac{1}{4m^2 4}$  for all  $j, l \in \{-m, \dots, m\}$ . Then, by the same reason, we can find some  $n_{k_2} > n_{k_1}$  such that

$$\| W^{n_{k_2}} f_2^{(j)} \| \cdot \| W^{-n_{k_2}} g_2^{(l)} \| \leq \frac{1}{4m^2 4^2}$$

for all  $j, l \in \{-m, \dots, m\}$ . Proceeding inductively, we can construct a subsequence  $\{n_{k_i}\}_i \subseteq \{n_k\}_k$  such that

$$\| W^{n_{k_i}} f_i^{(j)} \| \cdot \| W^{-n_{k_i}} g_i^{(l)} \| \leq \frac{1}{4m^2 4^i}$$

for all  $j, l \in \{-m, \dots, m\}$  and all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Next, for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , as in the proof of [7, Proposition 2.7], we define the operators  $D_i$  and  $G_i$  on  $H$  by

$$D_i e_j = \begin{cases} f_i^{(j)}, & \text{for } j \in \{-m, \dots, m\} \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$G_i e_j = \begin{cases} g_i^{(j)}, & \text{for } j \in \{-m, \dots, m\} \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

and deduce that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \| D_i - P_m \| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \| G_i - P_m \| = 0.$$

Further, we have for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| W^{n_{k_i}} D_i \| \cdot \| W^{-n_{k_i}} G_i \| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2m} \max_{j \in \{-m, \dots, m\}} \{ \| W^{n_{k_i}} D_i e_j \| \} \cdot \frac{1}{2m} \max_{l \in \{-m, \dots, m\}} \{ \| W^{-n_{k_i}} G_i e_l \| \} = \\ & = \frac{1}{4m^2} \max_{j, l \in \{-m, \dots, m\}} \{ \| W^{n_{k_i}} f_i^{(j)} \| \cdot \| W^{-n_{k_i}} g_i^{(l)} \| \} < \frac{1}{4^i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the arguments from Example 2.4, it follows that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied in this case.  $\square$

**Example 2.6.** Let  $H = L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $W$  be the operator on  $H$  defined by  $W(f) = b \cdot (f \circ \alpha)$  for all  $f \in H$ , where  $\alpha$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $b$  is a continuous, bounded positive function on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying that  $b^{-1}$  is also continuous and bounded. Then,  $W$  is a bounded invertible linear operator on  $H$ . If  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } f \subseteq [-m, m]$ , then, by some calculations, it is not hard to see that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$\int |W^{-n}(f)|^2 d\mu \leq \sup_{t \in [-m, m]} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (b \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(t)^2 \|f\|_2^2$$

and

$$\int |W^n(f)|^2 d\mu \leq \sup_{t \in [-m, m]} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (b \circ \alpha^{j-n})(t))^2 \|f\|_2^2.$$

Therefore, for any  $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ , we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|W^n(f)\|_2 \cdot \|W^{-n}(g)\|_2 \leq$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{t \in [-m, m]} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (b \circ \alpha^{j-n})(t) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{t \in [-m, m]} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (b \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(t) \right) \right] \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 = 0,$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is chosen in a such way that  $\text{supp } f, \text{supp } g \subseteq \{-m, \dots, m\}$ . By Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.1 it follows then that the operator  $\tilde{T}_{U, W}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $B_0(H)$  for every unitary operator  $U$  on  $H$ . Hence, if we let  $\alpha$  and  $b$  be as in Example 2.3, then the above conditions are satisfied.

We let now  $\mathcal{A} = C_0(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\tau \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , that is  $\tau$  is a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Put

$$\mathcal{A}_\tau := \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f\tau^k\|_{\infty} < \infty\}.$$

For each  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$  we define

$$\|f\|_{\tau} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f\tau^k\|_{\infty}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$  is a Banach algebra [5]. We will call this algebra *Segal algebra corresponding to  $\tau$* . As in [8, Section 3], we shall denote by  $K_{\epsilon}^{(\tau)}$  a compact subset of  $|\tau|^{-1}([0, \epsilon])$ , where  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $w$  be a positive function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $w, w^{-1} \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $\tau \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\alpha$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tau \circ \alpha = \tau$ , then, by [8, Lemma 3.9], the operator  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}$  defined by  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}(f) = w \cdot (f \circ \alpha)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is a bounded linear self-mapping on  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ . In the sequel, we shall assume that  $\alpha$  is aperiodic (recall this notion from Example 2.3). Under these assumptions and keeping the same notation, we provide the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.7.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ .
- (2) For each positive  $\epsilon$  and every compact subset  $K_{\epsilon}^{(\tau)} \subseteq |\tau|^{-1}([0, \epsilon])$  there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{t \in K_{\epsilon}^{(\tau)}} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^{j-n_k})(t) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{t \in K_{\epsilon}^{(\tau)}} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(t) \right) \right] = 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume that (1) holds. Let  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (0, 1)$  with  $\epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1$  and  $K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}$  be a compact subset of  $|\tau|^{-1}([0, \epsilon_2])$ . By [8, Lemma 3.2] we can choose a function  $\mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}} \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$  satisfying that  $\mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}} = 1$  on  $K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}$  and that  $\text{supp } \mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}}$  is compact

subset of  $|\tau|^{-1}([0, \epsilon_1])$ . Hence, we shall denote  $\text{supp } \mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}}$  by  $K_{\epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is aperiodic, we can find some  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha^{n_1}(K_{\epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}) \cap K_{\epsilon_1}^{(\tau)} = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $\alpha^{n_1}(K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}) \cap K_{\epsilon_1}^{(\tau)} = \emptyset$  since  $K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)} \subseteq K_{\epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}$ . Now, since  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}$  is topologically semi-transitive, we can find some  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$  and some  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\|f - \mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}}\|_\tau < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\|\lambda_1 \tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^{n_1}(f) - \mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}}\|_\tau < \frac{1}{4}$ . Since  $\|\cdot\|_\tau \geq \|\cdot\|_\infty$ , we obtain that  $\|f - \mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}}\|_\infty < \frac{1}{4}$  and

$$\left\| \left( \lambda_1 \prod_{j=0}^{n_1-1} (w \circ \alpha^j) \right) (f \circ \alpha^{n_1}) - \mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}} \right\|_\infty < \frac{1}{4}.$$

By exactly the same arguments as in the proof of [8, Theorem 2.7] we can deduce that

$$\sup_{t \in K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}} |\lambda_1| \prod_{j=0}^{n_1-1} (w \circ \alpha^{j-n_1})(t) < \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}} \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|} \prod_{j=0}^{n_1-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(t) < \frac{2}{3}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \sup_{t \in K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}} \prod_{j=0}^{n_1-1} (w \circ \alpha^{j-n_1})(t) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{t \in K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}} \prod_{j=0}^{n_1-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(t) \right) < \frac{1}{3}.$$

Next, we can find some  $n_2 > n_1$ , some  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and some  $g \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$  such that  $\alpha^{n_2}(K_{\epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}) \cap K_{\epsilon_1}^{(\tau)} = \emptyset$ ,  $\|g - \mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}}\|_\tau < \frac{1}{2^2}$  and  $\|\lambda_2 \tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^{n_2}(g) - \mu_{K_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}^{(\tau)}}\|_\tau < \frac{1}{4^2}$ . Then, as above, we can conclude that

$$\left( \sup_{t \in K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} (w \circ \alpha^{j-n_1})(t) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{t \in K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}} \prod_{j=0}^{n_2-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(t) \right) < \frac{1}{4^2 - 1}.$$

Proceeding inductively, we can construct a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  satisfying the assumptions in (2) with respect to  $K_{\epsilon_2}^{(\tau)}$ , so the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows.

Suppose now that (2) holds. In the same way as in the proof of the implication  $ii) \Rightarrow i)$  in Theorem 2.1, given two non-empty open subsets  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ , we can find some  $f \in \mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}(f) \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w}(g) \neq 0$  because  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w}$  are invertible. By [8, Corollary 3.4] we may assume that  $\text{supp } f$  and  $\text{supp } g$  are compact and contained in  $|\tau|^{-1}([0, \epsilon])$  for some  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $\text{supp } f \cup \text{supp } g$  is also a compact subset of  $|\tau|^{-1}([0, \epsilon])$ . Put  $K_\epsilon^{(\tau)} = \text{supp } f \cup \text{supp } g$  and choose the strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k$  satisfying the assumptions of (2) with respect to  $K_\epsilon^{(\tau)}$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$v_k = f + \sqrt{\frac{\|\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(f)\|_\tau}{\|\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(g)\|_\tau}} \tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(g).$$

By the proof of [8, Theorem 3.10] we have

$$\|\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(f)\|_\tau \leq \sup_{t \in K_\epsilon^{(\tau)}} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^{j-n_k})(t) \|f\|_\tau$$

and

$$\|\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(g)\|_\tau \leq \sup_{t \in K_\epsilon^{(\tau)}} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(t) \|g\|_\tau$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, it follows that  $v_k \rightarrow f$  and

$$\sqrt{\frac{\|\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(g)\|_\tau}{\|\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(f)\|_\tau}} \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(v_k) \rightarrow g$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ . Hence, we conclude that  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.8.* It follows that if  $\alpha$  and  $b$  are as in Example 2.3, then the conditions of Proposition 2.7 for the operator  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,b}$  are satisfied, so  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,b}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$  in this case. Also, we notice that the assumption that  $\alpha$  is aperiodic is only needed for the proof of the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) in Proposition 2.7, whereas the opposite implication holds for a general homeomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now, if we consider  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}$  as an operator on  $C_0(\Omega)$  where  $\Omega$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then the adjoint  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^*$  is a bounded linear operator on  $M(\Omega)$  where  $M(\Omega)$  stands for the Banach space of all Radon measures on  $\Omega$  equipped with the total variation norm. It is straightforward to check that

$$\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^*(\mu)(E) = \int_E w \circ \alpha^{-1} d\mu \circ \alpha^{-1}$$

for every  $\mu \in M(\Omega)$  and every measurable subset  $E$  of  $\Omega$ . Here  $\mu \circ \alpha^{-1}(E) = \mu(\alpha^{-1}(E))$  for every  $\mu \in M(\Omega)$  and every measurable subset  $E$  of  $\Omega$ . Then it is not hard to check that

$$\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{*n}(\mu)(E) = \int_E \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} w \circ \alpha^{j-n} d\mu \circ \alpha^{-n}$$

and

$$\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{*n}(\mu)(E) = \int_E \prod_{j=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{n-j})^{-1} d\mu \circ \alpha^n.$$

In the sequel, for every Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$ , we let as usual  $|\mu|$  denote the total variation of  $\mu$ . Also, we assume as before that  $\alpha$  is an aperiodic homeomorphism of  $\Omega$ . Under these assumptions and keeping this notation, we provide the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.9.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

i)  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^*$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $M(\Omega)$ .

ii) For every compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  and any two measures  $\mu, v$  in  $M(\Omega)$  with  $|\mu|(K^c) = |v|(K^c) = 0$  there exist a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and sequences  $\{A_k\}_k, \{B_k\}_k$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  such that  $\alpha^{n_k}(K) \cap K = \emptyset$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\mu|(A_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |v|(B_k) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{t \in A_k^c \cap K} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)(t) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{t \in B_k^c \cap K} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{-j})^{-1}(t) \right) \right] = 0.$$

*Proof.* We will prove i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii) first. Suppose that  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^*$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $M(\Omega)$ . For a given compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$ , choose some  $\mu, v \in M(\Omega)$  with  $|\mu|(K^c) = |v|(K^c) = 0$ . Similarly as in the proof of [9, Proposition 3.1], by Remark 1.3 we can find a sequence  $\{\eta^{(k)}\}_k \subseteq M(\Omega)$ , a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \in \mathbb{N}$  and a sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $|\eta^{(k)} - \mu|(\Omega) < \frac{1}{4^k}$  and  $|\gamma^{(k)} - v|(\Omega) < \frac{1}{4^k}$ , where  $\gamma^{(k)} = \lambda_k \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{*n_k}(\eta^{(k)})$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is

$$\gamma^{(k)}(E) = \int_E \lambda_k \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^{j-n_k}) d\eta^{(k)} \circ \alpha^{-n_k}$$

for every measurable subset  $E$  of  $\Omega$  and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we also have that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_k} \tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{*n_k}(\gamma^{(k)}) = \eta^{(k)},$$

that is

$$\int_E \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{n_k-j})^{-1} d\gamma^{(k)} \circ \alpha^{n_k} = \gamma^{(k)}(E)$$

for every measurable subset  $E$  of  $\Omega$  and each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_k| |\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{*n_k}(\eta^{(k)})|(K^c) &= |\lambda_k \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{*n_k}(\eta^{(k)})|(K^c) = |\lambda_k \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{*n_k}(\eta^{(k)}) - v|(K^c) \\ &\leq |\lambda_k \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{*n_k}(\eta^{(k)}) - v|(\Omega) < \frac{1}{4^k} \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly, we have that

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda_k|} |\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{*n_k}(\gamma^{(k)})|(K^c) = |\eta^{(k)}|(K^c) < \frac{1}{4^k}$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we obtain that

$$|\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{*n_k}(\eta^{(k)})|(K^c) < \frac{1}{|\lambda_k|4^k}, \quad |\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{*n_k}(\gamma^{(k)})|(K^c) < \frac{|\lambda_k|}{4^k}.$$

By exactly the same arguments as in the proof of [9, Proposition 3.1], we can deduce that

$$|\eta^{(k)}|(A_k) < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}, \quad |\gamma^{(k)}|(B_k) < \frac{1}{2^k - 1},$$

where for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we put

$$A_k = \left\{ t \in K \mid \prod_{i=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^i)(t) > \frac{1}{|\lambda_k|4^k} \right\}, \quad B_k = \left\{ t \in K \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{-i})^{-1}(t) > \frac{|\lambda_k|}{4^k} \right\}.$$

This gives for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$|\mu|(A_k) < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{4^k}, \quad |v|(B_k) < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{4^k}.$$

Moreover, we have for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\left( \sup_{t \in K \cap A_k^c} \prod_{i=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^i)(t) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{t \in K \cap B_k^c} \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{-i})^{-1}(t) \right) < \frac{1}{16^k},$$

which proves the implication  $ii) \Rightarrow i)$ .

Next we prove  $ii) \Rightarrow i)$ . As in the proof of [9, Proposition 3.1], given two non-empty open subsets  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  of  $M(\Omega)$ , we can find some  $\mu \in \mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}$  and some  $v \in \mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $|\mu|(K^c) = |v|(K^c) = 0$  for some compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$ . Choose the strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the sequences  $\{A_k\}_k, \{B_k\}_k$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  satisfying the assumptions of  $ii)$  with respect to  $\mu, v$  and  $K$ . As in the proof of [9, Proposition 3.1], for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\tilde{\mu}_k, \tilde{v}_k$  be the measures in  $M(\Omega)$  given by  $\tilde{\mu}_k(E) = \mu(E \cap A_k^c)$  and  $\tilde{v}_k(E) = v(E \cap B_k^c)$  for every measurable subset  $E$  of  $\Omega$ , and deduce that

$$\| \tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^{*n_k}(\tilde{\mu}_k) \| \leq \left( \sup_{t \in A_k^c \cap K} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)(t) \right) \| \mu \|, \quad (1)$$

$$\| \tilde{S}_{\alpha, w}^{*n_k}(\tilde{v}_k) \| \leq \left( \sup_{t \in B_k^c \cap K} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{-j})^{-1}(t) \right) \| v \|, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

Since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mu - \tilde{\mu}_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (v - \tilde{v}_k) = 0,$$

we may without loss of generality assume that  $\tilde{\mu}_k \in \mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\tilde{v}_k \in \mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^*(\tilde{\mu}_k) \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w}^*(\tilde{v}_k) \neq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  since  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^*$  and  $\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w}^*$  are invertible. For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$\eta_k = \tilde{\mu}_k + \frac{\| \tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^{*n_k}(\tilde{\mu}_k) \|^{1/2}}{\| \tilde{S}_{\alpha, w}^{*n_k}(\tilde{v}_k) \|^{1/2}} \tilde{S}_{\alpha, w}^{*n_k}(\tilde{v}_k).$$

By combining (1) and (2) together with the arguments from the proof of the implication  $ii) \Rightarrow i)$  in Theorem 2.1, we can deduce from the assumptions in  $ii)$  that  $\eta_k \rightarrow \mu$  and

$$\frac{\| \tilde{S}_{\alpha, w}^{*n_k}(\tilde{v}_k) \|^{1/2}}{\| \tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^{*n_k}(\tilde{\mu}_k) \|^{1/2}} \tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^{*n_k}(\eta_k) \rightarrow v$$

in  $M(\Omega)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^*$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $M(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

Also for this proposition, we notice that the assumption that  $\alpha$  is aperiodic is only needed for the proof of the implication  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ . Therefore, we obtain the following corollary, which holds for general (not necessarily aperiodic) homeomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\Omega$ .

**Corollary 2.10.** *We have that  $ii) \Rightarrow i)$ .*

*i)  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^*$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $M(\Omega)$ .*

*ii) For every compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$ , we have that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{t \in K} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)(t) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{t \in K} \prod_{j=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-j})^{-1}(t) \right) \right] = 0.$$

The next example is actually a symmetrically opposite version of Example 2.3.

**Example 2.11.** Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $\alpha(t) = t + 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $w$  be a continuous bounded positive function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If there exist some  $M, \delta, K_1, K_2 > 0$  such that  $1 < M - \delta \leq w(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \leq -K_1$  and  $\frac{1}{M} \leq w(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq K_2$ , then the conditions of Corollary 2.10 are satisfied. Similarly if  $\alpha(t) = t - 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\frac{1}{M} \leq w(t) \leq \frac{1}{M - \delta}$  for all  $t \leq -K_1$  and  $1 \leq w(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \geq K_2$ , then the conditions of Corollary 2.10 are also satisfied. Moreover, if  $\alpha(t) = t + 1$  all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq w(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \leq -K_1$  and  $\frac{1}{M} \leq w(t) \leq \frac{1}{M - \delta}$  for all  $t \geq K_2$ , then the conditions of Corollary 2.10 are satisfied. Finally, if  $\alpha(t) = t - 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\frac{1}{M} \leq w(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t \leq -K_1$  and  $M - \delta \leq w(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \geq K_2$ , then the conditions of Corollary 2.10 are also satisfied.

In sequel, the set of all Borel measurable complex-valued functions on a topological space  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_0(X)$ . Also,  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of a set  $A$ . We recall the following definitions from [3].

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{M}_0(X)$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  equipped with a given norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a Banach space, we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *Banach function space on  $X$* .

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Banach function space on a topological space  $X$ , and  $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism. We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  *$\alpha$ -invariant* if for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $f \circ \alpha^{\pm 1} \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\|f \circ \alpha^{\pm 1}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

**Definition 2.14.** A Banach function space  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is called *solid* if for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{M}_0(X)$ , satisfying  $|g| \leq |f|$ , we have  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\|g\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

For the next results we shall also assume that the following conditions from [3] on the Banach function space  $\mathcal{F}$  hold.

**Definition 2.15.** Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Banach function space on  $X$ , and  $\alpha$  be an aperiodic homeomorphism of  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies condition  $\Omega_{\alpha}$  if the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is solid and  $\alpha$ -invariant;

- (2) for each compact set  $E \subseteq X$  we have  $\chi_E \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (3)  $\mathcal{F}_{bc}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{bc}$  is the set of all bounded compactly supported functions in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Under these assumptions and keeping the same notation, we provide now the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.16.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- i)  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- ii) For each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , there exist a sequence of Borel subsets  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $K$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{K \setminus E_k}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(x) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \right) \right] = 0.$$

*Proof.* We prove first that  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ . Given a compact subset  $K \subseteq X$ , since  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can find a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , a sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and a sequence  $\{f_k\}_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\alpha^{n_k}(K) \cap K = \emptyset$ ,  $\|f_k - \chi_k\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{1}{4^k}$  and  $\|\lambda_k \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(f_k) - \chi_K\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{1}{4^k}$  for all  $k$ . This follows from Remark 1.3. Now, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we put

$$C_k = \left\{ x \in K : \left| \lambda_k \left( \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)(x) \right) (f_k \circ \alpha^{n_k})(x) - 1 \right| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\},$$

$$D_k = \left\{ x \in K : \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \right) |\lambda_k| |f_k(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}.$$

By exactly the same arguments as in the proof of [3, Theorem 1], since

$$\|\lambda_k \left( \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)(f_k \circ \alpha^{n_k}) - \chi_k\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \|\lambda_k \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(f_k) - \chi_K\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{1}{4^k},$$

we can get that  $\|\chi_{C_k}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$  and  $\|\chi_{D_k}\|_{\mathcal{F}} < \frac{1}{2^k}$ . Further, as in the proof of [3, Theorem 1], we let

$$A_k = \left\{ x \in K \mid |f_k(x) - 1| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}, \quad B_k = \left\{ x \in K^c \mid |f_k(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}.$$

Since, by the definition of  $C_k$ , we have for all  $x \in K \setminus C_k$  that

$$\left( \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)(x) \right)^{-1} < \frac{|\lambda_k| |f_k \circ \alpha^{n_k}(x)|}{1 - \frac{1}{2^k}},$$

by exactly the same arguments as in the proof of [3, Theorem 1] we obtain that

$$\left( \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)(x) \right)^{-1} < \frac{|\lambda_k|}{2^k - 1}$$

for all  $x \in K \setminus (C_k \cup \alpha^{-n_k}(B_k))$ . Moreover, for all  $x \in K \setminus (D_k \cup A_k)$ , we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) < \frac{\frac{1}{2^k}}{|\lambda_k| |f_k(x)|} < \frac{\frac{1}{2^k}}{|\lambda_k| (1 - \frac{1}{2^k})} = \frac{1}{|\lambda_k| (2^k - 1)}$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . As in the proof of [3, Theorem 1], we put

$$E_k = K \setminus (A_k \cup \alpha^{-n_k}(B_k) \cup C_k \cup D_k)$$

and deduce that  $\|\chi_{K \setminus E_k}\|_{\mathcal{F}} < \frac{4}{2^k}$  for all  $k$ . Finally, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(x) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{|\lambda_k|}{2^k - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{|\lambda_k| (2^k - 1)} = \frac{1}{(2^k - 1)^2} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove  $ii) \Rightarrow i)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_1$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  be non-empty open subsets of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then we can find some  $f \in (\mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{F}_{b_c}$  and  $g \in (\mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{F}_{b_c}$  since  $\mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}$  are also open, non-empty and  $\mathcal{F}_{b_c}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$ . As in the proof of [3, Theorem 1], set  $K = \text{supp } f \cup \text{supp } g$ . Choose the strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and the sequence of Borel subsets  $\{E_k\}_k$  of  $K$  that satisfy the assumptions of  $ii)$  with respect to  $K$ . As shown in the proof of [3, Theorem 1], we have that  $\|f - f\chi_{E_k}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|g - g\chi_{E_k}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , so we may without loss of generality, assume that  $f\chi_{E_k} \in \mathcal{O}_1 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $g\chi_{E_k} \in \mathcal{O}_2 \setminus \{0\}$  for all  $k$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(f\chi_{E_k}) \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(g\chi_{E_k}) \neq 0$  for all  $k$  because  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}$  are invertible. As in the proof of [3, Theorem 1], we have for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\|\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(f\chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} (w \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \quad (3),$$

$$\|\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(g\chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{F}} \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=0}^{n_k-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(x) \quad (4).$$

Set

$$v_k = f\chi_{E_k} + \frac{\|\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(f\chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(g\chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(g\chi_{E_k}).$$

By combining (3) and (4) together with the assumptions in  $ii)$ , it is not hard to deduce that  $v_k \rightarrow f$  and

$$\frac{\|\tilde{S}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(g\chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(f\chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^{n_k}(v_k) \rightarrow g$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

We notice once again that the assumption that  $\alpha$  is aperiodic is only needed for the proof of the implication  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$  in Proposition 2.16. Therefore, we obtain the following corollary, which holds for a general homeomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $X$ .

**Corollary 2.17.** *We have that  $ii) \Rightarrow i)$*

*i)  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}$  is topologically semi-transitive on  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

*ii) For every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{x \in K} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (w \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(x) \right) \cdot \left( \sup_{x \in K} \prod_{j=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \right) \right] = 0.$$

*Remark 2.18.* If  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha, b$  are as in Example 2.3, then the conditions of Corollary 2.17 are satisfied for the operator  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,b}$ .

The next definition provides a concept which is actually an extension and a generalization of topological semi-transitivity.

**Definition 2.19.** [11, Definition 1.2] Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $B(X)$  denote the space of all linear bounded operators on  $X$ . We say the operators  $T_1, T_1, \dots, T_N \in B(X)$  are  $d$ -topologically transitive for supercyclicity provided for every non-empty open subsets  $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_N$  of  $X$ , there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$V_0 \cap (\lambda T_1^{-n})(V_1) \cap \dots \cap (\lambda T_N^{-n})(V_N) \neq \emptyset.$$

We have then the following proposition regarding disjoint topological transitivity for supercyclicity in the context of weighted composition operators on solid Banach function spaces which we considered in Proposition 2.16.

**Proposition 2.20.** *Let  $r_1, \dots, r_N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $r_1 < \dots < r_N$ . Then, under the assumptions of Proposition 2.16 and keeping the same notation, the following statements are equivalent.*

*(i) The operators  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w_1}^{r_1}, \dots, \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w_N}^{r_N}$  are disjoint topologically transitive for supercyclicity on  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

*(ii) For each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  there exist a sequence  $\{E_k\}_k$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k$  of natural numbers such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{E_k} = \chi_K$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , for each  $s, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  we have*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=0}^{r_l n_k - 1} (w_l \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(x) \right) \left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=1}^{r_s n_k} (w_s \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \right) \right] = 0,$$

*and for each distinct  $j, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  we have*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in E_k} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_l n_k} (w_l \circ \alpha^{r_j n_k - i})(x)}{\prod_{i=0}^{r_j n_k - 1} (w_j \circ \alpha^i)(x)} = 0.$$

*Proof.* We prove first  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ . Let  $K \subseteq X$  be compact. By the similar arguments as in Remark 1.3, we can deduce that there exist a sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|f_k - \chi_K\|_{\mathcal{F}} < \frac{1}{4^k}$  and  $\|\lambda_k \tilde{T}_{\alpha,w_l}^{r_l} f_k - \chi_K\|_{\mathcal{F}} < \frac{1}{4^k}$  for every  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . Since  $\{n_k\}$  is strictly increasing, we may without loss of generality assume that  $n_1 \geq M$  where  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  is such

that  $\alpha^n(K) \cap K = \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq M$  (because  $\alpha$  is aperiodic by assumption). This gives that  $\alpha^{r_l n_k}(K) \cap K = \emptyset$  and, hence  $\alpha^{-r_l n_k}(K) \cap K = \emptyset$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . Moreover, since  $(r_j - r_l)n_k \geq (r_j - r_l)n_1 \geq n_1$  whenever  $j > l$ , it follows that  $\alpha^{(r_j - r_l)n_k}(K) = \emptyset$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and each distinct  $j, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , put

$$C_{l,k} = \left\{ x \in K : \left| \lambda_k \left( \prod_{j=0}^{r_l n_k - 1} (w_l \circ \alpha^j)(x) \right) (f_k \circ \alpha^{r_l n_k})(x) - 1 \right| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\},$$

$$D_{l,k} = \left\{ x \in K : \left( \prod_{j=1}^{r_l n_k} (w_l \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \right) |\lambda_k f_k(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\},$$

$$F_{l,k} = \left\{ x \in X - K : \left| \lambda_k \left( \prod_{j=0}^{r_l n_k - 1} (w_l \circ \alpha^j)(x) \right) f_k(\alpha^{r_l n_k}(x)) \right| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}.$$

Since for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  we have  $\| \lambda_k \tilde{T}_{\alpha, W_l}^{r_l n_k} f_k - \chi_K \|_{\mathcal{F}} < \frac{1}{4^k}$ , by the same arguments as in the proof of [15, Proposition 3.3, 3.4, 3.5], we obtain that

$$\| \chi_{C_{l,k}} \|_{\mathcal{F}}, \| \chi_{D_{l,k}} \|_{\mathcal{F}}, \| \chi_{F_{l,k}} \|_{\mathcal{F}} < \frac{1}{2^k}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

Put  $A_k = \{x \in K : |f_k(x) - 1| \geq \frac{1}{2^k}\}$  and  $B_k = \{x \in X - K : |f_k(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^k}\}$ .

Then, by the same arguments as in the proof of [15, Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2] we get that  $\| \chi_{A_k} \|_{\mathcal{F}}, \| \chi_{B_k} \|_{\mathcal{F}} < \frac{1}{2^k}$ . Let

$$E_k = (K - A) - \bigcup_{l=1}^N (\alpha^{-r_l n_k}(B_k) \cup C_{l,k} \cup D_{l,k}) - \bigcup_{j,l=1}^N (\alpha^{(r_j - r_l)n_k}(F_{j,k}) \cup \alpha^{(r_j - r_l)n_k}(F_{l,k})).$$

By the same arguments as in the proof of [15, Proposition 3.6] we can deduce that  $\| \chi_K - \chi_{E_k} \|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{1 + 3N + 2N^2}{2^k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, by the same arguments as in the proof of [15, Proposition 3.7] we can show that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $x \in K - (C_{l,k} \cup \alpha^{-r_l n_k}(B_k))$  we have

$$\left( \prod_{j=0}^{r_l n_k - 1} (w_l \circ \alpha^j)(x) \right)^{-1} \leq \frac{|\lambda_k| |f_k \circ \alpha^{r_l n_k}(x)|}{1 - \frac{1}{2^k}} \leq \frac{\frac{|\lambda_k|}{2^k}}{1 - \frac{1}{2^k}} = \frac{|\lambda_k|}{2^k - 1}.$$

Also, by the same arguments as in the proof of [15, Proposition 3.8], we can show that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $x \in K - (D_{l,k} \cup A_k)$  we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r_l n_k} (w_l \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2^k}}{|f_k(x)| |\lambda_k|} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2^k}}{(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}) |\lambda_k|} = \frac{1}{(2^k - 1) |\lambda_k|}.$$

Hence we get for all  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=0}^{r_l n_k - 1} (w_l \circ \alpha^j)^{-1}(x) \right) \left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{j=1}^{r_s n_k} (w_s \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \right) \leq \frac{|\lambda_k|}{2^k - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{(2^k - 1) |\lambda_k|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2^k - 1)^2}.$$

Next, by the same arguments as in the proof of [15, Proposition 3.9] we can obtain that for each  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in K - C_{l,k}$  we have

$$|\lambda_k(\prod_{i=0}^{r_l n_k - 1} (w_l \circ \alpha^i)(x)) f_k(\alpha^{r_l n_k}(x))| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^k},$$

and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  with  $j \neq l$  and  $x \in K - \alpha^{(r_j - r_l)n_k}(F_{j,k})$  we have that

$$|\lambda_k(\prod_{i=1}^{r_j n_k} (w_j \circ \alpha^{r_l n_k - i})(x)) f_k(\alpha^{r_l n_k}(x))| \geq \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

This gives that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  with  $j \neq l$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in E_k} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_j n_k} (w_j \circ \alpha^{r_l n_k - i})(x)}{\prod_{i=0}^{r_l n_k - 1} (w_l \circ \alpha^i)(x)} &= \sup_{x \in E_k} \frac{|\lambda_k(\prod_{i=1}^{r_j n_k} (w_j \circ \alpha^{r_l n_k - i})(x)) f_k(\alpha^{r_l n_k}(x))|}{|\lambda_k(\prod_{i=0}^{r_l n_k - 1} (w_l \circ \alpha^i)(x)) f_k(\alpha^{r_l n_k}(x))|} \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{1}{2^k}}{1 - \frac{1}{2^k}} = \frac{1}{2^k - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). As in the proof of Proposition 2.16, given non-empty, open subsets  $\mathcal{O}, V_1, \dots, V_N$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can find some  $f \in (\mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{F}_{bc}$  and some  $g_l \in (V_l \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{F}_{bc}$  for each  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . Set  $K = \text{supp } f \cup \bigcup_{l=1}^N \text{supp } g_l$ . Then  $K$  is compact. For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  put

$$v_k = f \chi_{E_k} + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(f \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(g_l \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_j}^{r_j n_k}(g_j \chi_{E_k}).$$

We have for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(f \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(g_l \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_j}^{r_j n_k}(g_j \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(f \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(g_l \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(g_l \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(f \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(g_l \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{1 \leq l, m \leq N} \|\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(f \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_m}^{r_m n_k}(g_m \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \sum_{1 \leq l, m \leq N} \left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{i=1}^{r_l n_k} (w_l \circ \alpha^{-i})(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sup_{x \in E_k} \prod_{i=0}^{r_m n_k - 1} (w_m \circ \alpha^i)^{-1}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{F}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that  $v_k \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by the assumptions in (ii). Moreover, since for each  $j, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  with  $j \neq l$  we have that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_j}^{r_j n_k}(g_j \chi_{E_k}))\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|g_j\|_{\mathcal{F}} \sup_{x \in E_k} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_l n_k} (w_l \circ \alpha^{r_j n_k - i})(x)}{\prod_{i=0}^{r_j n_k - 1} (w_j \circ \alpha^i)(x)},$$

(as shown in the proof of [15, Theorem 2.12]), we can deduce by the similar arguments as above together with the assumptions in (ii) that

$$\frac{\sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{S}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(g_l \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sum_{l=1}^N \|\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_l}^{r_l n_k}(f \chi_{E_k})\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_j}^{r_j n_k}(v_k) \rightarrow g_j$$

in  $\mathcal{F}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .  $\square$

Once again we notice that the assumption that  $\alpha$  is aperiodic is only needed for the proof of the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) in Proposition 2.20. Therefore, we obtain the following corollary, which holds for a general homeomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $X$ .

**Corollary 2.21.** *We have that (ii) implies (i).*

(i) *The operations  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_1}^{r_1}, \dots, \tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_N}^{r_N}$  are disjoint topologically transitive for supercyclicity.*

(ii) *For each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and each  $s, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \sup_{x \in K} \prod_{i=0}^{r_l n - 1} (w_l \circ \alpha^i)^{-1}(x) \right) \left( \sup_{x \in K} \prod_{j=1}^{r_s n} (w_s \circ \alpha^{-j})(x) \right) \right] = 0,$$

and for each distinct  $j, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_l n} (w_l \circ \alpha^{r_j n - i})(x)}{\prod_{i=0}^{r_j n - 1} (w_j \circ \alpha^i)(x)} = 0.$$

**Example 2.22.** Let  $r_1 \in \mathbb{N}, r_2 = 6r_1, X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha(t) = t - 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Put  $w_1 = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^-} + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$  and  $w_2 = 3\chi_{\mathbb{R}^-} + \frac{1}{3}\chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ . By some calculations one can check that the operators  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_1}^{r_1}$  and  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w_2}^{r_2}$  satisfy the conditions of the part (ii) in Corollary 2.21.

Next we recall the concept of porosity in metric spaces.

**Definition 2.23.** Let  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . A subset  $E$  of a metric space  $X$  is called  $\lambda$ -porous at  $x \in E$  if for each  $\delta > 0$  there is an element  $y \in B(x; \delta) \setminus \{x\}$  such that

$$B(y; \lambda d(x, y)) \cap E = \emptyset.$$

$E$  is called  $\lambda$ -porous if it is  $\lambda$ -porous at every element of  $E$ . Also,  $E$  is called  $\sigma$ - $\lambda$ -porous if it is a countable union of  $\lambda$ -porous subsets of  $X$ .

The following lemma plays a key role in the proof of main results of this section. This fact is a special case of [17, Lemma 2]; see also [1, Lemma 2].

**Lemma 2.24.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a non-empty family of non-empty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $X$  such that for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and each  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$  with  $B(x; r) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ , there exists an element  $J \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\emptyset \neq J \cap B(x; r) \subseteq F \cap B(x; r)$$

and  $F \cap B(x; r)$  is not  $\lambda$ -porous at all elements of  $J \cap B(x; r)$ . Then, every set in  $\mathcal{F}$  is not  $\sigma$ - $\lambda$ -porous.

In the sequel, we shall consider an arbitrary number  $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and for the simplicity, we shall just write  $\sigma$ -porous instead of  $\sigma$ - $\lambda$ -porous for a general  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

The proof of the next proposition is motivated by the proof of [1, Theorem 1] and [10, Theorem 2.3].

**Proposition 2.25.** For each  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , the set

$$\Gamma_g := \{f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \mid |f(m)| \geq |g(m)| \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is not  $\sigma$ -porous in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and fix some  $0 < \beta < \lambda$ . Put

$$\mathcal{F} := \{\Gamma_g : g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

We will show that the collection  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.24. Let  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $g$  is a nonnegative function. Obviously,  $\Gamma_g$  is closed, nonempty subset of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\tilde{r} > 0$  be such that  $B(f, \tilde{r}) \cap \Gamma_g \neq \emptyset$ . Our aim in the rest of this proof, as in the proof of [1, Theorem 1] and [10, Theorem 2.3] will be to find a nonnegative function  $h \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\emptyset \neq B(f, \tilde{r}) \cap \Gamma_h \subseteq B(f, \tilde{r}) \cap \Gamma_g$ . Let  $k \in B(f, \tilde{r}) \cap \Gamma_g$  and  $r \in (0, \tilde{r} - \|k - f\|_\infty)$ . Since,  $k, f, \beta^{-1}g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|k(t)|, |f(t)|, \beta^{-1}g(t) < \frac{r}{6}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|t| \geq N$ . We let  $\delta \in (0, \frac{r}{100})$  and we define the function

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} g(t) + \delta & \text{for } t \in [-N, N], \\ \beta^{-1}g(t) & \text{for } t \in (-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty), \\ \beta^{-1}g(t - N - 1) + (t + N + 1)(\delta + g(-N) - \beta^{-1}g(-N - 1)), & \text{for } t \in (-N - 1, -N), \\ g(N) + \delta + (t - N)(\beta^{-1}g(N + 1) - \delta - g(N)) & \text{for } t \in (N, N + 1). \end{cases}$$

Then  $h \in \Gamma_g$ . To simplify notation, we set  $M = 2N$  and for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ , we put  $x_j = j - N$  for  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$ . We let then  $\eta$  be the function from

$$\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_M\} \text{ into } \mathbb{R} \text{ given by } \eta(x_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{k(x_i)}{|k(x_i)|} & \text{if } k(x_i) \neq 0, \ i \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } k(x_i) = 0, \ i \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}. \end{cases}$$

Further, we let  $\tilde{\eta}$  be the piecewise linear function on  $[-N, N]$  connecting the points  $(x, \eta(x_i))$  where  $i \in \{0, \dots, M\}$ . More precisely, on each segment  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ , the function  $\tilde{\eta}$  is given by  $\tilde{\eta}(t) = \eta(x_{i-1}) + \frac{t-x_{i-1}}{x_i-x_{i-1}}(\eta(x_i) - \eta(x_{i-1}))$  for  $t \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ .

Finally, we construct the function  $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \begin{cases} k(t) + \delta\tilde{\eta}(t) & \text{for } t \in [-N, N], \\ h(t) & \text{for } t \in (-\infty, -N-1] \cup [N+1, \infty), \\ h(-N-1) + (t+N+1)(k(-N) + \delta\tilde{\eta}(-N) - h(-N-1)) & \text{for } t \in (-N-1, -N), \\ k(N) + \delta\tilde{\eta}(N) + (t-N)(h(N+1) - k(N) - \delta\tilde{\eta}(N)) & \text{for } t \in (N, N+1). \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathcal{E} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  since  $h \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $k, \tilde{\eta}$  are continuous. We notice that by the triangle inequality, for all  $t \in (N, N+1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}(t)| &= |(N+1-t)(k(N) + \delta\tilde{\eta}(N)) + (t-N)h(N+1)| \\ &\leq (N+1-t)(|k(N)| + \delta) + (t-N)\beta^{-1}g(N+1) \leq (N+1-t) \left( \frac{r}{6} + \frac{r}{100} \right) + (t-N)\frac{r}{6} \\ &\leq \frac{r}{6} + \frac{r}{100} < \frac{r}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have for all  $t \in (-N-1, -N]$  that  $|\mathcal{E}(t)| < \frac{r}{3}$ . Therefore,

$$|\mathcal{E}(t) - f(t)| \leq |\mathcal{E}(t)| + |f(t)| \leq \frac{r}{3} + \frac{r}{6} = \frac{r}{2} < \tilde{r}.$$

for all  $t \in (-N-1, -N) \cup (N, N+1)$ . Let now  $t \in [-N, N]$ . Then there exists some  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$  such that  $t \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |k(t) - \mathcal{E}(t)| &= \delta|\tilde{\eta}(t)| = \delta \left| \eta(x_{i-1}) + \frac{t-x_{i-1}}{x_i-x_{i-1}}(\eta(x_i) - \eta(x_{i-1})) \right| \\ &\leq \delta \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{t-x_{i-1}}{x_i-x_{i-1}} \right) |\eta(x_{i-1})| + \frac{t-x_{i-1}}{x_i-x_{i-1}} |\eta(x_i)| \right] \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

This holds for all  $t \in [-N, N]$ . Therefore, for all  $t \in [-N, N]$  we obtain

$$|\mathcal{E}(t) - f(t)| \leq |\mathcal{E}(t) - k(t)| + \|k - f\|_\infty < \delta + \|k - f\|_\infty < r + \|k - f\|_\infty < \tilde{r}$$

Finally, for all  $t \in (-\infty, -N-1] \cup [N+1, \infty)$ , we get that

$$|\mathcal{E}(t) - f(t)| \leq |\mathcal{E}(t)| + |f(t)| = \beta^{-1}g(t) + |f(t)| < \frac{r}{3} < \tilde{r}.$$

Hence,  $\|\mathcal{E} - f\|_\infty < \tilde{r}$ . Moreover, for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ , we have that

$$|\mathcal{E}(m)| = |k(m) + \delta\tilde{\eta}(m)| = |k(m)| + \delta,$$

since  $\tilde{\eta}(m) = \eta(m)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ . Hence, we deduce that

$$|\mathcal{E}(m)| = |k(m)| + \delta \geq g(m) + \delta = h(m),$$

and for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap (-\infty, -N-1] \cup [N+1, \infty)$ ,  $|\mathcal{E}(m)| = h(m)$ , so  $\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma_h$ . Thus,  $\emptyset \neq B(f, \tilde{r}) \cap \Gamma_h \subseteq B(f, \tilde{r}) \cap \Gamma_g$ .

As in the proof of [1, Theorem 1] and [10, Theorem 2.3], we let then  $u \in B(f, \tilde{r}) \cap \Gamma_h$ ,  $r' = \min\{\delta, \lambda(\tilde{r} - \|f - u\|_\infty)\}$  and we pick some  $v \in B(u, r')$ . We let  $\Theta$  be the function from

$$\mathbb{Z} \cap ((-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty))$$

into  $\mathbb{C}$  given by  $\Theta(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{v(m)}{|v(m)|} & \text{if } v(m) \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } v(m) = 0, \end{cases}$  and we let  $\tilde{\Theta}$  be the piecewise linear function from  $(-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty)$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  connecting the points  $(m, \Theta(m))$  where  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap ((-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty))$ . More precisely, on each segment  $[m - 1, m]$  with  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap ((-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 2, \infty))$ , the function  $\tilde{\Theta}$  is given as

$$\tilde{\Theta}(t) = \Theta(m - 1) + (t + 1 - m)(\Theta(m) - \Theta(m - 1))$$

for  $t \in [m - 1, m]$ . By the triangle inequality, it follows that  $|\tilde{\Theta}(t)| \leq 1$  for all  $t \in (-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty)$ .

Finally, we construct the function  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} v(t) & \text{if } t \in [-N, N], \\ v(t) + \beta|u(t) - v(t)|\tilde{\Theta}(t) & \text{for } t \in (-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty), \\ v(t) + (t - N)|\beta|u(N + 1) - v(N + 1)|\tilde{\Theta}(N + 1) & \text{for } t \in (N, N + 1), \\ v(t) - (t + N)\beta|u(-N - 1) - v(-N - 1)|\tilde{\Theta}(-N - 1) & \text{for } t \in (-N - 1, -N). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\tilde{\Theta}$  is continuous,  $|\tilde{\Theta}(t)| \leq 1$  for all  $t \in (-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty)$  and  $u, v \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , it is not hard to see that  $\gamma \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Also, from the construction of the function  $\gamma$  it follows that  $\|\gamma - v\|_\infty \leq \beta\|u - v\|_\infty \leq \lambda\|u - v\|_\infty$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap ((-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty))$  we have

$$\gamma(m) = \begin{cases} v(m) \left(1 + \frac{\beta|u(m) - v(m)|}{|v(m)|}\right) & \text{if } v(m) \neq 0, \\ \beta|u(m)| & \text{if } v(m) = 0, \end{cases} \text{ because } \tilde{\Theta}(m) = \Theta(m) \text{ for all}$$

$m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap ((-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty))$ . Thus, since  $|u(m)| \geq h(m)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we get

$$|\gamma(m)| = |v(m)| + \beta|u(m) - v(m)| \geq \beta|u(m)| \geq \beta h(m) = g(m)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap ((-\infty, -N - 1] \cup [N + 1, \infty))$ .

Moreover, since  $v \in B(u, r')$ , we have  $\|u - v\|_\infty \leq r' \leq \delta$ , hence

$|\gamma(m)| = |v(m)| \geq |u(m)| - \delta \geq h(m) - \delta = g(m)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-N, N]$ . Therefore,  $B(v, \lambda\|u - v\|_\infty) \cap B(f, \tilde{r}) \cap \Gamma_g \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

We obtain the following corollary of Proposition 2.25.

**Corollary 2.26.** *Consider the weighted composition operator  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}$  on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  given by  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}(f) = w \cdot (f \circ \alpha)$ , where  $0 < w, w^{-1} \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\alpha$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

*If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-k})^{-1}(n) = 0$ , then the set*

$$\left\{ f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) : \|\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}^n(f)\|_\infty \geq 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

*is not  $\sigma$ -porous. In particular, the set of non-hypercyclic vectors for the operator  $\tilde{T}_{\alpha, w}$  is not  $\sigma$ -porous in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .*

*Proof.* We let  $g$  be the piecewise linear function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  connecting the points  $(n, \prod_{k=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-k})^{-1}(n))$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . More precisely, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let

$$g(t) = \prod_{k=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-k})^{-1}(n) + (t - n) \left( \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (w \circ \alpha^{-k})^{-1}(n+1) - \prod_{k=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-k})^{-1}(n) \right)$$

for  $t \in [n, n+1]$ , whereas for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we put  $g(t) = t(w \circ \alpha^{-k})^{-1}(1)$ . Moreover, we let  $g(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ . It is easily seen that  $g \geq 0$  and  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-k})^{-1}(n) = 0$  by the assumption. By Proposition 2.25, the set  $\Gamma_g$  is not  $\sigma$ -porous. Now, for each  $f \in \Gamma_g$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}_{\alpha,w}^n(f)\|_\infty &= \left\| \prod_{k=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{n-k})(f \circ \alpha^n) \right\|_\infty = \left\| \prod_{k=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-k})f \right\|_\infty \\ &\geq \prod_{k=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-k})(n)|f(n)| \geq \prod_{k=1}^n (w \circ \alpha^{-k})(n)g(n) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Bayart, *Porosity and hypercyclic operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133**(11) (2005) 3309-3316.
2. F. Bayart and É. Matheron, *Dynamics of Linear Operators*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **179**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
3. C-C. Chen, S.M. Tabatabaie, *Chaotic and Hypercyclic Operators on Solid Banach Function Spaces*, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal. Vol. 9 (27), No 3, 2020, pp. 83–98, DOI: 10.15393/j3.art.2020.8750
4. K-G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris, *Linear Chaos*, Universitext, Springer, 2011.
5. J. Inoue and S.-E. Takahasi, *Segal algebras in commutative Banach algebras*, Rocky Mountains of Math., **44**(2) (2014), 539-589.
6. S. Ivković, *Hypercyclic operators on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, Filomat **38** (2024), 1901–1913.
7. S. Ivković, S. M. Tabatabaie, *Disjoint Linear Dynamical Properties of Elementary Operators*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., **49**, 63 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41980-023-00808-1>
8. S. Ivković, S. M. Tabatabaie, *Hypercyclic Generalized Shift Operators*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, **17**, 60 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-023-01376-2>
9. S. Ivković *Dynamics of operators on the space of Radon measures*, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.10868>
10. S. Ivković, S. Öztop, S. M. Tabatabaie, *Dynamical Properties and Some Classes of Non-porous Subsets of Lebesgue Spaces.*, Taiwanese J. Math. 28 (2) 313 - 328, April, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.11650/tjm/231204>
11. Y. Liang, . Z. Zhou, *Disjoint supercyclic weighted composition operators*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 55(4), (2018), 1137-1147
12. O. Martin and R. Sanders, *Disjoint supercyclic weighted shifts*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 85, 191-220, 2016
13. V. M. Manuilov, E. V. Troitsky, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, In: Translations of Mathematical Monographs. 226, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
14. H. Salas, *Supercyclicity and weighted shifts*. Studia Math. 135(1), 55- 74 (1999).

15. Y. Sawano, S.M. Tabatabaie and F. Shahhoseini, *Disjoint dynamics of weighted translations on solid spaces*, Topology Appl. **298**, 107709, 14 pp. (2021) DOI:10.1016/J.TOPOL.2021.107709
16. Ya Wang, Cui Chen, Ze-Hua Zhou, *Disjoint hypercyclic weighted pseudoshift operators generated by different shifts*. Banach J. Math. Anal. 13 (4) 815 - 836, October 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1215/17358787-2018-0039>
17. L. Zájíček, *Porosity and  $\sigma$ -porous*, Real Anal. Exchange **13** (1987/1988) 314-350.
18. L. Zhang and Z-H. Zhou, *Disjointness in supercyclicity on the algebra of Hilbert-Schmidt operators*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **46** 219–228 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13226-015-0116-9>