

On the singular position-dependent mass

F. C. E. Lima,^{1,2,*} F. M. Belchior,^{2,†} and C. A. S. Almeida^{2,3,‡}

¹*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Maranhão, São Luís, MA, Brazil.*

²*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil.*

³*Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad de Valencia, Burjassot, Valencia, Spain.*

Abstract: Revisiting the issue associated with Position-Dependent Mass (PDM), we reaffirm that the appropriate framework for addressing a generic PDM is the symmetrization proposed by BenDaniel and Duke. To accomplish this result adopts the effective mass Hamiltonian proposed by von Roos, corrected by a symmetrized kinematic term. After verifying the appropriate ordering to approach the PDM issue, one investigates a crystalline lattice with a defect described by a singular PDM. The singular mass profile proves intriguing as it yields an atom's cluster in the neighborhood of the singularity. Considering that a restoring force acts on the atoms, one notes that the confluent Heun function describes the quantum states. Furthermore, one highlights that when the effective mass distribution tends to a constant profile, we recover a system similar to the harmonic oscillator.

Keywords: Position-Dependent Mass. BenDaniel-Duke ordering. Singular Crystal lattice.

*Electronic address: cleiton.estevao@fisica.ufc.br

†Electronic address: belchior@fisica.ufc.br

‡Electronic address: carlos@fisica.ufc.br

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1926, Erwin Schrödinger proposed the equation that describes quantum mechanical systems [1]. Since then, studies on the exact solutions of quantum systems have attracted significant attention [2–4]. An essential method for studying these systems is the series expansion method [5], which has shown to be appropriate in studies on quantum system solutions. For instance, one employs this approach in the quantum description of the harmonic oscillator [6], the hydrogen atom [6], and other relevant physical systems described by several types of interactions [7–13].

Essentially, one formulates Schrödinger’s theory considering particles with constant mass [1]. However, in addressing solid-state physics systems, it becomes evident that for a theoretical understanding of transport phenomena in semiconductors, the chemical composition of the material is position-dependent [14, 15]. Thus, the PDM concept emerges when a periodic field acts on a particle, i.e., an electron. In this scenario, one promotes the particle’s mass to a tensorial entity concerning unperturbed band structure [15]. The electronic wave packet that emerges in the energy band constitutes the entity correlated with the PDM. This wave packet follows the Wannier-Slater theorem [14, 16], whose expression resembles Schrödinger’s equation, i.e.,

$$[E(k)(-i\nabla + V(r))]F(r, t) = i\hbar\dot{F}(r, t), \quad (1)$$

where $F(r, t)$ is the envelope function, $E(k)$ the dispersion energy, k the crystal momentum, and $V(r)$ the potential due to external sources (impurities). Nonetheless, one highlights that considering a many-body Hamiltonian approximation to an electron, it is possible to derive a Schrödinger-like equation with PDM. In this context, the PDM concept has been the subject of intensive study in several systems with effective mass [17–21]. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that significant recent technological and theoretical advances have motivated considerable investigation into systems with PDM. Such interest arises from the crucial role played by this property, which enables the analysis of semiconductor impurities [14–16], nonlinear optical phenomena [22, 23], and quantum-relativistic problems [24, 25].

In the relativistic regime, the PDM problems do not exhibit ambiguities [25]. Nevertheless, the symmetrization ambiguity of the kinematic operator arises in the non-relativistic regime. Until now, there has been no consensus on the form of the symmetrization without ambiguity of the kinetic energy operator [26]. In Ref. [26], one displays an attempt

to resolve the problem of the symmetrization of the kinematic operator, i.e., the authors adopt a relativistic theory taking a non-relativistic bound using the Foldy-Wouthuysen approach [27]. Considering this approach, the authors showed that the ordering proposed by Li and Kuhn [28] is consistent with the relativistic PDM theory. However, this remains an open problem in the literature. Thus, other orderings of the kinematic operator have emerged and describe abrupt semiconductor heterojunctions and other materials. In the literature, one finds four widely used orderings to symmetrize the kinematic operator and bypass the ambiguity problem, viz., Li-Kuhn [28], BenDaniel-Duke [29], Zhu-Kroemer [30], and Gora-Williams [31].

We outline our research's purpose into two distinct parts. The first purpose is to propose a suitable non-relativistic operator for a generic PDM. Through this analysis, one demonstrates that an unambiguous kinematic ordering, which respects Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle and is appropriate for addressing PDM problems while preserving the von Roos ordering [32], i.e.,

$$\hat{K} = \frac{1}{4} \{ m^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p} m^\beta(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p} m^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) + m^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p} m^\beta(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p} m^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \}, \quad (2)$$

is described by the BenDaniel and Duke ordering [29]. This ordering is responsible for describing semiconductor heterostructures. The second purpose of this article is the quantum-mechanical description of a crystalline lattice with a defect outlined by a singular PDM. The singularity of the PDM is of interest due to its ability to modify the equilibrium distances of the lattice sites, generating a particle's cluster near the singularity. Thus, our study may establish the first step towards understanding the quantum behavior of crystalline lattices with defects in mass (or particle) distribution.

We structure the manuscript into four distinct sections. Firstly, we discuss and propose the most appropriate way to describe the kinetic energy operator in Sec. II. In Sec. III, one adopts a singular mass profile and the assumption that the lattice sites are subject to a restoring force. Thus, one performs the quantum description of the system. Finally, we present our remarks and conclusions in Sec. IV.

II. THE GENERALIZED SCHRÖDINGER THEORY

Let us start our analysis by considering the non-relativistic theory in the most general context possible, i.e., adopting the position-dependent mass problem. In this scenario, one assumes a Hamiltonian similar to the proposed by von Roos [32], namely,

$$\hat{K} = \frac{1}{4} \{ [m^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p}^2 + \hat{p}^2 m^{-1}(\mathbf{r})] + m^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p} m^\beta(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p} m^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) + m^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p} m^\beta(\mathbf{r}) \hat{p} m^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \}, \quad (3)$$

The parameters α , β , and γ obey to the constraint $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = -1$. Furthermore, $m(\mathbf{r})$ denotes the position-dependent mass, $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\nabla$ the momentum operator, and \hbar the reduced Planck constant. The first term of Eq. (3) is implemented to account for the usual symmetrized or Weyl-ordered operator (i.e., $\alpha = \beta = 0$) [33, 34].

In one-dimensional space, properly commuting the momentum operator $\hat{p} = -i\hbar(d/dx)$ to the right, one has the effective operator

$$\hat{K} = \frac{1}{2m(x)} \hat{p}^2 + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \frac{m'(x)}{m^2(x)} \hat{p} + U_k(x), \quad (4)$$

where

$$U_k(x) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^3(x)} \left[(\alpha + \gamma - 1) \frac{m(x)}{2} \frac{d^2 m(x)}{dx^2} + (1 - \alpha\gamma - \alpha - \gamma) \left(\frac{dm(x)}{dx} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (5)$$

The potential U_k originates from the kinematic term, in which different combinations of the von Roos parameters lead us to several interactions. One can bypass this problem by imposing the condition

$$\alpha + \gamma = \alpha\gamma + \alpha + \gamma = 1. \quad (6)$$

The equation (6) leads us to $\alpha = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, or $\alpha = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$. These conditions result in a kinetic operator free from ambiguities related to Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. Note that condition (6) excludes the possibility of Weyl ordering. Thereby, for a quantum-mechanical system subject to an external potential $V(x)$, Schrödinger's equation without ambiguity is

$$\left[\frac{1}{2m(x)} \hat{p}^2 + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \frac{m'(x)}{m^2(x)} \hat{p} + V(x) \right] \Psi(x) = E\Psi(x). \quad (7)$$

Therefore, to avoid ambiguity arising from the symmetrization of the kinetic energy operator, allow us to consider Schrödinger's equation exposed in Eq. (7). So, by analyzing Eq. (7)

with the results presented in the literature, we conclude that our theory is equivalent to the ordered BenDaniel-Duke PDM problem [29]. The BenDaniel-Duke theory has proven to be suitable for studying nanostructures subject to impurities, displacements, and geometric imperfections [35].

III. THE SINGULAR PDM

In this article, we consider a singular mass profile. Singular mass arises in cosmology when studying astrophysical objects such as black holes [36]. In our study, we are interested in exploring the physical implications of this system within a non-relativistic one-dimensional theory. Thus, let us consider a one-dimensional crystalline lattice as illustrated in Fig. 1.

The PDM behaves as a lattice defect, inducing a continuous mass spectrum at $x = 0$. One highlights that each atom (red dots) is subject to a restoring force, thus behaving quantum harmonic oscillators. Moreover, x_0 represents the equilibrium spacing between neighboring atoms.




Figure 1: One-dimensional crystalline lattice with a singular mass distribution.

Mathematically, we described our system using the PDM, namely,

$$m(x) = m_0 \left(1 + \frac{x_0^2}{x^2} \right). \quad (8)$$

Here, m_0 has mass dimensions and describes the intensity of the effective mass. Furthermore, x_0 represents the equilibrium spacing between lattice sites. We present the PDM varying m_0 (keeping x_0 constant) and varying x_0 (keeping m_0 constant), respectively, in Fig. 2[(a) and (b)].

A. Connection with solid-state physics

Allow us to attempt to establish a phenomenological connection with our model. To accomplish our purpose, let us recall that the PDM has an equivalent distribution in reciprocal




Figure 2: The behavior of the PDM vs. position. (a) PDM varying m_0 and keeping $x_0 = 1$. (b) PDM varying x_0 and keeping $m_0 = 1$.

space. Thereby, the reciprocal mass is

$$m(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} m(x) e^{-ikx} dx. \quad (9)$$

Using the residue theorem and considering that the system's dispersion energy is

$$\frac{d^2 E(k)}{dk^2} = \frac{\hbar^2}{m(k)}, \quad (10)$$

one obtains the dispersion energy, i.e.,

$$E(k) = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_0^{(k)}} [k \ln(\sigma k) - k]. \quad (11)$$

Here, $m_0^{(k)} = \sqrt{2\pi} m_0 x_0^2$ and σ is the jump parameter, which originates from the integration constant of the theory, ensuring the logarithmic argument dimensionless. Meantime, the parameter $m_0^{(k)}$ relates to the particle's energy (or electron) subjected to harmonic interaction as it propagates to neighboring points at the lattice. This propagation can extend closer to or farther from the singular mass region. This behavior of the crystalline structure suggests the formation of bound states in the PDM theory. Furthermore, the mass profile adopted is suitable for describing superconductivity phenomena if the parameter $m_0^{(k)}$ decreases. That is because, with a smaller $m_0^{(k)}$, the electrons in the lattice will be closer together, and the energy gap between them will be smaller. One highlights that our hypothesis is valid for theories described by the dispersion energy in Eq. (11). We expose the dispersion energy in Fig. 3.




Figure 3: The dispersion energy concerning PDM [Eq. (8)] with $\sigma = \hbar = 1$.

B. The eigenstates

Let us turn our attention back to the quantum description of the eigenstates. To achieve our objective, we consider the Eq. (6) and the PDM (8). Thereby, one obtains Schrödinger's equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0}\Psi''(x) - \frac{\hbar^2}{m_0x^3}\left(1 + \frac{x_0^2}{x^2}\right)^{-1}\Psi'(x) + \left(1 + \frac{x_0^2}{x^2}\right)[V(x) - E]\Psi(x) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Naturally, one assumes that the atoms in the crystalline lattice suffer the action of a restoring force [37, 38], i.e., the atoms belonging to the lattice behave as a set of harmonic oscillators. Therefore, we adopt an interaction harmonic to the description of the particles, namely,

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}m_0\omega_0^2x^2. \quad (13)$$

One can find the behavior of the interaction $V(x)$ presented in Fig. 3[(a) and (b)].

Considering a system subjected to a harmonic interaction, one applies the change of coordinates

$$x \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m_0\omega_0}}\xi, \quad (14)$$

This variable's change is reported in the literature [39]. Here, x and ξ varies in the range $[-\infty, +\infty]$. Accordingly, adopting the change of variable (14), we arrive to

$$\Psi''(\xi) + \left[\frac{2\Gamma}{\xi(\xi^2 + \Gamma)}\right]\Psi'(\xi) + (\mathcal{E} - \xi^2)\left(1 + \frac{\Gamma}{\xi^2}\right)\Psi(\xi) = 0. \quad (15)$$

In this case, one defines the parameters $\Gamma = m_0\omega_0x_0^2/\hbar$ and $\mathcal{E} = 2E/\hbar\omega$.




Figure 4: Harmonic interaction of the quantum mechanical system. (a) Potential varying m_0 keeping ω_0 constant. (b) Potential varying ω_0 and keeping m_0 constant.

Now, allow us to assume the dependent coordinate change $\Psi(\xi) = e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2}} h(\xi)$, this leads us to

$$h''(\xi) + 2\xi \left[\frac{\Gamma}{\xi^2(\xi^2 + \Gamma)} - 1 \right] h'(\xi) + \left[\mathcal{E} - \Gamma - 1 - \frac{2\Gamma}{\xi^2 + \Gamma} + \frac{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}{\xi^2} \right] h(\xi) = 0. \quad (16)$$

In the case $\Gamma = 0$, we recover the analytical equation of the usual harmonic oscillator, i.e., constant mass distribution, namely,

$$h''(\xi) - 2\xi h'(\xi) + (\mathcal{E} - 1)h(\xi) = 0. \quad (17)$$

In this regime, the system's solutions are Hermite's polynomials. In summary, this occurs because if $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$, the PDM converges to the problem of a particle with constant mass confined to a harmonic interaction. Thus, $m(x) \rightarrow m_0$ under the action of the interaction (13).

Appropriately, we assume the change $\xi^2 \rightarrow z$. That enables us to obtain

$$4zh''(z) + 4z \left[\frac{\Gamma}{z(z + \Gamma)} + \frac{1/2}{z} - 1 \right] h'(z) + \left(\mathcal{E} - 1 - \Gamma - \frac{2\Gamma}{z + \Gamma} + \frac{\mathcal{E}\Gamma}{z} \right) h(z) = 0. \quad (18)$$

where $z \in (0, \infty]$. By studying the equation (18), one considers the transformation $z \rightarrow -\Gamma y$ to shift the singularity around the rest mass. This approach allows us to write

$$h''(y) + \left[\Gamma + \frac{3/2}{y} - \frac{1}{y-1} \right] h'(y) - \frac{\Gamma}{4y} \left[\mathcal{E} - \Gamma - 1 + \frac{2}{y-1} - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{y} \right] h(y) = 0. \quad (19)$$

To achieve our purpose and demonstrate the analytical solutions of the system, let us apply one last transformation, i.e., $h(y) = y^\lambda H(y)$ with $\lambda = -\frac{1}{4} \pm \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1 - 4\mathcal{E}\Gamma}$. This transformation leads us to the

$$H''(y) + \left[\Gamma + \frac{3/2 + 2\lambda}{y} - \frac{1}{y-1} \right] H'(y) + \left[\frac{\lambda(\Gamma + 1) + \frac{\Gamma}{2}(1 - \nu/2)}{y} - \frac{\lambda + \frac{\Gamma}{2}}{y-1} \right] H(y) = 0. \quad (20)$$

The equation (20) is called the confluent Heun equation. For further details, see Ref. [40]. By comparing Eq. (20) with the confluent Heun equation, one concludes that the solutions of Eq. (20) are

$$H^{(1)}(y) = \text{Hc}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta; y), \quad (21)$$

and

$$H^{(2)}(y) = y^{-\beta} \text{Hc}(\alpha, -\beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta; y). \quad (22)$$

The functions $H^{(1,2)}(y)$ are linearly independent. Furthermore, one highlights that for these wave functions to be physically acceptable, one must satisfy the conditions

$$\frac{\delta}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta + \gamma}{2} + 1 = -n, \quad (23)$$

and

$$\Delta_{n+1}(\mu) = 0. \quad (24)$$

Here, $\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta - \gamma + \alpha\beta - \beta\gamma) - \eta$. For further details, see Ref. [41].

By algebraically inspecting Eqs. [(23) and (24)] and considering the confluent Heun equation presented in Refs. [40, 41], one concludes that

$$\alpha = \Gamma, \quad (25)$$

$$\beta = \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4\mathcal{E}\Gamma}, \quad (26)$$

$$\gamma = -2, \quad (27)$$

$$\delta = -\frac{\Gamma}{4}(\mathcal{E} - \Gamma), \quad (28)$$

$$\eta = \frac{4(\Gamma + 1) - \mathcal{E} \pm 3\sqrt{1 - 4\mathcal{E}\Gamma}}{4}. \quad (29)$$

Substituting Eqs. [(25)-(29)] into Eqs. [(23) and (24)], one obtains the energy spectrum, namely,

$$E_n = \left(2n - \frac{\Gamma}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - 16n\Gamma} \right) \hbar\omega_0 \quad \text{with} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (30)$$

Note that in the limit $m(x) \rightarrow m_0$, we reach the spectrum of the standard harmonic oscillator, i.e.,

$$E_p = \left(p + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar\omega_0 \quad \text{with} \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (31)$$

The energy spectrum imposes the constraint

$$\Gamma \leq \frac{1}{16n}, \quad (32)$$

i.e.,

$$\omega_0 \geq \frac{\hbar}{16nm_0x_0^2} \quad \text{with} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (33)$$

Therefore, the harmonic oscillator with singular mass has quantized frequency.

In terms of the position variable x , the wave eigenfunctions of Eq. (12) are

$$\Psi^{(1)}(x) = \left(-\frac{x^2}{x_0^2} \right)^\lambda e^{-\frac{m_0\omega x^2}{2\hbar}} \text{Hc} \left(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta; -\frac{x^2}{x_0^2} \right), \quad (34)$$

and

$$\Psi^{(2)}(x) = \left(-\frac{x^2}{x_0^2} \right)^{(\lambda-\beta)} e^{-\frac{m_0\omega x^2}{2\hbar}} \text{Hc} \left(\alpha, -\beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta; -\frac{x^2}{x_0^2} \right). \quad (35)$$

Heun's functions $\Psi^{(1,2)}(x)$ constitute the complete set of solutions for the quantum system. We expose in Fig. 5 the plots of these eigenfunctions (the corresponding probability densities) for the first four-energy eigenstates when the frequency varies.

IV. FINAL REMARKS

We addressed the issue of a generic PDM. Strictly speaking, one revisits the problem of the non-relativistic kinematic operator. Besides, we considered the von Roos ordering [32] supplemented by the kinematic term \hat{K}_0 , viz.,

$$\hat{K}_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{1}{m(r)} \hat{p}^2 + \hat{p}^2 \frac{1}{m(r)} \right]. \quad (36)$$




Figure 5: Probability density concerning the solutions of the Eqs. [(34) and (35)]. Figures (a), (b), (c), and (d) represent the probability densities when the frequency is 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8.

The term \hat{K}_0 leads us to theories of non-uniform semiconductors with position-dependent chemical composition. Furthermore, one highlights that our theory preserves the properties of von Roos [32] ordering and selects a single appropriate ordering for the Hamiltonian with PDM, i.e., BenDaniel-Duke Hamiltonian [29].

Adopting Schrödinger's theory with BenDaniel-Duke Hamiltonian [29], one describes a one-dimensional crystalline lattice with a singular PDM defect. In this conjecture, we noted that the one-dimensional crystalline lattice tends to exhibit an almost atom's continuous distribution (or a cluster) near the singularity of the effective mass. Hence, if each crystalline lattice site is characterized by oscillating atoms, one can treat the effective system according to the Schrödinger theory [Eq. (7)], subject to a harmonic impurity (or interaction) [Eq. (13)]. Considering this, we investigated the system's quantum eigenstates. Thus, one

concludes that the model's wavefunctions are Heun's functions with eigenvalues reported by Eq. (31). Nevertheless, when $m(x) \rightarrow m_0$, i.e., the PDM problem reduces to a particle with constant mass subjected to a harmonic potential, we noted Hermite's polynomials, which lead us to describe the quantum eigenstates with energy

$$E_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\hbar\omega_0 \quad \text{with} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (37)$$

An analysis of the probability densities $|\Psi^{(1,2)}(x)|^2$ described by confluent Heun functions reveals that the regions of highest probability of locating the particle cluster reside at the vicinity of $x = 0$. However, one notes that this probability density tends to decrease at higher energy levels. This phenomenon arises from the widening of uncertainties in the position of the singular PDM at higher energy levels. That result is due to the information loss about the particle's quantum state. For a more detailed analysis of quantum information measures of a PDM, see Ref. [42].

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to express their gratitude to FAPEMA and CNPq (Brazilian research agencies) for their invaluable financial support. F. C. E. L. is supported by FAPEMA BPD-05892/23. F. M. B. is supported by CNPq 161092/2021-7. C. A. S. A. is supported by CNPq 309553/2021-0, CNPq/Produtividade. Furthermore, C. A. S. A. is grateful to the CNPq, project number 200387/2023-5, and acknowledges the Department of Theoretical Physics & IFIC from the University of Valencia for their warm hospitality.

VI. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST/COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest in this manuscript.

-
- [1] E. Schrödinger, *Phys. Rev.* **28** (1926) 1049.
 - [2] J. Yu, S. -H. Dong and G. -H. Sun, *Phys. Lett. A* **322** (2004) 290.
 - [3] L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics*, third ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, (1955).
 - [4] P. A. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, fourth ed., Oxford Univ. Press, (1958).

- [5] E. L. Ince, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, first ed., Dover Publications, (1956).
- [6] D.J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*, Pearson International Edition, Vol. 1960, Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, (2005).
- [7] G. Gordillo-Núñez, R. Alvarez-Nodarse and N. R. Quintero, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **458** (2024) 134008.
- [8] X. -H. Wang, C. -Y. Chen, Y. You, D. -S. Sun, F. -L. Lu and S. -H. Dong, *Phys. Scr.* **98** (2023) 055404.
- [9] C. A. S. Almeida, C. O. Edet, F. C. E. Lima, N. Ali and M. Asjad, *Res. Phys.* **47** (2023) 106343.
- [10] G. -H. Sun, C. -Y. Chen, H. Taud, C. Yáñez-Márquez and S. -H. Dong, *Phys. Lett. A* **384** (2020) 126480.
- [11] C. -Y. Chen, X. -H. Wang, Y. You, G. -H. Sun and S. -H. Dong, *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **120** (2020) e26336.
- [12] C. -Y. Chen, F. -L. Lu, G. -H. Sun, X. -H. Wang, Y. You, D. -S. Sun and S. -H. Dong, *Results in Physics* **34** (2022) 105330.
- [13] X. -H. Wang, C. -Y. Chen, Y. You, F. -L. Lu, D. -S. Sun and S. -H. Dong, *Chin. Phys.* **31** (2022) 040301.
- [14] J. C. Slater, *Phys. Rev.* **76** (1949) 1592.
- [15] M. Luttinger and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* **97** (1955) 869.
- [16] G. H. Wannier, *Phys. Rev.* **52** (1937) 191.
- [17] O. Mustafa, *Phys. Lett. A* **384** (2020) 126265.
- [18] B. G. da Costa and I. S. Gomez, *Physica A* **541** (2020) 123698.
- [19] S. Zare, H. Hassanabadi, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2016** (2016) 4717012.
- [20] M. Ghafourian, H. J. Hassanabadi, *Korean Phys. Soc.* **68** (2016) 1.
- [21] B. Pourali, B. Lari, H. Hassanabadi, *Physica A* **584** (2021) 126374.
- [22] K. Li, K. Guo, X. Jiang and M. Hu, *Optik* **132** (2017) 375.
- [23] Z. -H. Zhang, K. -X. Guo and J. -H. Yuan, *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures* **108** (2019) 238.
- [24] R. Renan, M. H. Pacheco, C. A. S. Almeida, *J. Phys. A* **33** (2000) L509.
- [25] F. C. E. Lima, L. N. Monteiro and C. A. S. Almeida, *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems & Nanostructures* **150** (2023) 115682.

- [26] F. S. A. Cavalcante, R. N. C. Filho, J. R. Filho, C. A. S. Almeida and V. N. Freire, Phys. Rev. B **55** (1997) 1326.
- [27] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, Phys. Rev. **78** (1950) 29.
- [28] L. T. Li and K. J. Kuhn, Phys. Rev. B **47** (1993) 12760.
- [29] D. J. BenDaniel and C. B. Duke, Phys. Rev. A **152** (1966) 683.
- [30] Q. G. Zhu and H. Kroemer, Phys. Rev. B **27** (1983) 3519.
- [31] T. Gora and F. Williams, Phys. Rev. **177** (1969) 1179.
- [32] O. von Roos, Phys. Rev. B **27** (1983) 7547.
- [33] A. S. Dutra and C. A. S. Almeida, Phys. Lett. A **275** (2000) 25.
- [34] T. D. Lee, *Particle Physics and Introduction to Field Theory*, Harwood Academic Publishers, Newark, (1981).
- [35] M. Willatzen and B. Lassen, J. Phys.: Cond. Matter **19** (2007) 136217.
- [36] R. V. Maluf and J. C. S. Neves, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 044002.
- [37] M. S. Dresselhaus, G. Dresselhaus, S. B. Cronin, A. G. S. Filho, *Solid State Properties from Bulk to Nano*, vol. **1**, first ed., Springer, Heidelberg, (2018).
- [38] C. Kittel, *Introduction to Solid State Physics*, eight ed., John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, (2005).
- [39] J. J. Sakurai, *Modern Quantum Mechanics*, Revised ed., Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Los Angeles, (1994).
- [40] A. Ronveaux, Heun's Differential Equations, Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1995).
- [41] P. P. Fiziev, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010) 035203.
- [42] F. C. E. Lima, Ann. Phys. **442** (2022) 44001.