

Convergence of ZH-type nonmonotone descent method for Kurdyka-Łojasiewicz optimization problems

Yitian Qian* Ting Tao† Shaohua Pan‡ and Houduo Qi§

Abstract

This note concerns a class of nonmonotone descent methods for minimizing a proper lower semicontinuous Kurdyka-Łojasiewicz (KL) function Φ , whose iterate sequence obeys the ZH-type nonmonotone decrease condition and a relative error condition. We prove that the iterate sequence converges to a critical point of Φ , and if Φ has the KL property of exponent $\theta \in (0, 1)$ at this critical point, the convergence has a linear rate for $\theta \in (0, 1/2]$ and a sublinear rate of exponent $\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}$ for $\theta \in (1/2, 1)$. Our results first resolve the full convergence of the iterate sequence generated by the ZH-type nonmonotone descent method for nonconvex and nonsmooth optimization problems, and extend the full convergence of monotone descent methods for KL optimization problems to the ZH-type nonmonotone descent method.

Keywords: Nonconvex and nonsmooth problems; ZH nonmonotone descent methods; full convergence; KL property

1 Introduction

For a descent method for nonconvex and nonsmooth optimization problems, to achieve the full convergence of its iterate sequence under the KL property of objective functions has become a common task since the work [1] for gradient-related methods, [2] for proximal point algorithms, [4] for forward-backward algorithms, [3, 5] for proximal alternating minimization algorithms, and [26] for block coordinate descent algorithms. All the convergence analysis of these works is based on the (sufficient) monotone decrease of objective value sequences. The (sufficient) monotone decrease of objective value sequences is crucial to achieve the full convergence, but also leads to relatively short step sizes. This was the motivation in the past to consider nonmonotone algorithms. In the context of line-search methods, Grippo et al. [9] early proposed a nonmonotone line-search

* (yitian.qian@polyu.edu.hk), Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong.

† (taoting@fosu.edu.cn), School of Mathematics, Foshan University, Foshan.

‡ (shhpan@scut.edu.cn), School of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou.

§ (houduo.qi@polyu.edu.hk), Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong.

procedure by monitoring the maximum objective value attained by the latest iterates, and later Zhang and Hager [27] proposed a different flavor of nonmonotone line-search strategy by taking a weighted average of the objective values at all iterates. The two kinds of nonmonotone line-search procedures are recognized as the most popular though they can be cast into the general framework proposed in [22]. In the past decade, they have also been aligned with algorithms for nonconvex and nonsmooth optimization such as composite optimization of minimizing the sum of a smooth function and a nonsmooth one [12, 16, 14, 24], Riemannian manifold optimization [19, 17], and DC programs [7, 15].

Nonmonotone line-search procedures might increase the possibility of seeking better critical points, and potentially accelerate the convergence of algorithms [25]. However, the nonmonotone decrease of objective value sequences brings a great challenge for the full convergence analysis of the iterate sequence of algorithms. Recently, Qian and Pan [18] studied the full convergence of the iterate sequence conforming to an iterative framework proposed by the Grippo et al.'s nonmonotone decreasing condition for KL optimization problems, and achieved its full convergence under a condition shown to be sufficient and necessary when objective functions are weakly convex on a neighborhood of the set of critical points. Notice that the ZH-type nonmonotone line-search procedure was widely applied to nonconvex and nonsmooth optimization problems (see, e.g., [16, 19, 24, 17, 14]), but there is no work to establish the full convergence of the generated iterate sequence even for smooth optimization problems [27, 10, 22]. The main contribution of this note is to resolve this open problem by introducing a novel iterative framework.

Let \mathbb{X} represent a finite dimensional real vector space endowed with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and its induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. Consider the abstract nonconvex and nonsmooth problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \Phi(x), \quad (1)$$

where $\Phi: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := (-\infty, \infty]$ is a proper lower semicontinuous (lsc) function bounded below on its domain $\text{dom } \Phi$. We are interested in nonmonotone descent methods to generate an iterate sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{dom } \Phi$ complying with the following conditions:

- H1.** For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi(x^k) + a_k \|x^k - x^{k-1}\|^2 \leq C_{k-1}$, where $a_k \geq \underline{a}$ for some $\underline{a} > 0$, and $C_k = (1 - \tau_k)C_{k-1} + \tau_k \Phi(x^k)$ with $C_0 = \Phi(x^0)$ and $\tau_k \in [\tau, 1]$ for some $\tau \in (0, 1]$.
- H2.** There exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{dist}(0, \partial\Phi(x^k)) \leq \frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \|x^i - x^{i-1}\| + \varepsilon_k$ for each $k \geq k_1$ with $b_k > 0$ and $\varepsilon_k \geq 0$, where $\partial\Phi(x^k)$ is the subdifferential of Φ at x^k .
- H3.** There exists a convergent subsequence $\{x^{k_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x^{k_j} = \bar{x} \in \text{dom } \Phi$ and $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x^{k_j}) \leq \Phi(\bar{x})$.

Unless otherwise stated, the above $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are assumed to satisfy

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k = \infty, \quad \sup_{\mathbb{N} \ni k \geq k_1} \frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i}} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \infty. \quad (2)$$

When Φ is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^n$, it is not hard to check that the iterate sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ generated by the nonmonotone line-search algorithm [27, 10, 22] under the direction assumption there falls into the above iterative framework with $\varepsilon_k \equiv 0$.

This note resolves the aforementioned open problem by establishing the convergence of a sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ conforming to conditions H1-H3 under the KL property of Φ , and its R-linear convergence rate under the KL property of Φ with exponent 1/2. As discussed in [3, 11], there are a large number of nonconvex and nonsmooth optimization problems involving the KL functions. Also, from [20, Proposition 1] and [13, Proposition 2 (i)], the piecewise linear-quadratic KL functions necessarily satisfy the KL property of exponent 1/2. Thus, the obtained convergence results will have a wide range of applications.

1.1 Notation

In this note, let m be the smallest positive integer such that $(1 - \sqrt{1 - \tau})(m - k_1) \geq (1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau})(2k_1 + 1)\sqrt{m}$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\ell(k) := k + m - 1$ and $\Xi_{k-1} := \sqrt{C_{k-1} - C_k}$; and for a given $\eta \in (0, \infty]$, denote by Υ_η the family of continuous concave $\varphi: [0, \eta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ that is continuously differentiable on $(0, \eta)$ with $\varphi'(s) > 0$ for all $s \in (0, \eta)$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$.

2 Main results

Before establishing the main results, we give two lemmas used for the subsequent analysis.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence obeying condition H1. The following results hold.*

- (i) *The sequence $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nonincreasing and convergent, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x^{k+1} - x^k) = 0$.*
- (ii) *The sequence $\{\Phi(x^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent and has the same limit as $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.*
- (iii) *If in addition $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ complies with conditions H2-H3, then the sequences $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\Phi(x^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to $\Phi(\bar{x})$ with $0 \in \partial\Phi(\bar{x})$.*

Proof: (i)-(ii) Fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From condition H1, it immediately follows that

$$C_k = (1 - \tau_k)C_{k-1} + \tau_k\Phi(x^k) \leq C_{k-1} - a_k\tau_k\|x^k - x^{k-1}\|^2 \quad (3)$$

$$\leq C_{k-1} - \underline{a}\tau\|x^k - x^{k-1}\|^2, \quad (4)$$

which means that $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a nonincreasing sequence. Recall that Φ is bounded below on $\text{dom } \Phi$ and $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{dom } \Phi$. From the equality in (3), we conclude that the sequence $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is lower bounded, so is convergent, and the sequence $\{\Phi(x^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent and has the same limit as $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. From inequality (4) and the convergence of $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, it is immediate to obtain $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x^{k+1} - x^k) = 0$.

(iii) Combining condition H3 with the lower semicontinuity of Φ yields $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x^{k_j}) = \Phi(\bar{x})$, which along with part (ii) implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x^k) = \Phi(\bar{x})$. From condition H2,

for each $k \geq k_1$, there exists $w^k \in \partial\Phi(x^k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^k\| &\leq \frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \|x^i - x^{i-1}\| + \varepsilon_k \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i}} \sqrt{C_{i-1} - C_i} + \varepsilon_k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i}} \cdot \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \sqrt{C_{i-1} - C_i} + \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is obtained by using (3). Passing the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ to the above inequality and invoking part (i) and the assumption in (2) leads to $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w^k = 0$. Together with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x^k) = \Phi(\bar{x})$ and the outer semicontinuity of $\partial\Phi$ with respect to Φ -attentive convergence, we obtain $0 \in \partial\Phi(\bar{x})$. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 2.2 (see [18, Lemma 2.1]) *Let $\{\beta_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a nonnegative nonincreasing sequence such that for all $l \geq \bar{l} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta_l \leq c_0 \max\{l^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}}, (\beta_{l-m_1} - \beta_l)^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}\}$ with $c_0 > 0$, $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then, there exists $\tilde{\gamma}_1 > 0$ such that for all $l \geq \bar{l}$, $\beta_l \leq \max\{c_0, \tilde{\gamma}_1^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}}\} \lfloor \frac{l-\bar{l}}{m_1+1} \rfloor^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}}$.*

In the rest of this paper, we let $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence satisfying conditions H1-H3. Now we are ready to prove the convergence of $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ under the KL property of Φ .

2.1 Global convergence

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that Φ has the KL property at \bar{x} . Then, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\| < \infty$, and consequently the sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to \bar{x} that is a critical point of Φ .*

Proof: Since the function Φ has the KL property at \bar{x} , there exist $\delta > 0, \eta > 0$ and $\varphi \in \Upsilon_\eta$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \delta) \cap [\Phi(\bar{x}) < \Phi < \Phi(\bar{x}) + \eta]$,

$$\varphi'(\Phi(x) - \Phi(\bar{x})) \text{dist}(0, \partial\Phi(x)) \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

From Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x^k) = \Phi(\bar{x})$, which by the nonincreasing of $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ implies that $C_k \geq \Phi(\bar{x})$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the index sets

$$\mathcal{K}_1 := \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Phi(x^k) \leq C_{k+m}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_2 := \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Phi(x^k) > C_{k+m}\}.$$

For each $k \in \mathcal{K}_2$, write $\Gamma_{k,k+m} := \varphi(\Phi(x^k) - \Phi(\bar{x})) - \varphi(C_{k+m} - \Phi(\bar{x}))$. Pick any $\rho \in (0, \delta)$. We prove that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\Phi(x^k) < \Phi(\bar{x}) + \eta$ and $x^k \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \rho)$,

$$\frac{1 - \sqrt{1-\tau}}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=k}^{\ell(k)} \Xi_i \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-\tau} \Xi_{k-1} & \text{if } k \in \mathcal{K}_1, \\ (\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1-\tau}) \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \varepsilon_k + \hat{c} \Gamma_{k,k+m} & \text{if } k \in \mathcal{K}_2, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

with $\hat{c} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\sup_{k \geq k_1} \frac{1}{b_k \sqrt{\tau}} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i}} + 1 \right)$. Fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\Phi(x^k) < \Phi(\bar{x}) + \eta$ and $x^k \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \rho)$.

Case 1: $k \in \mathcal{K}_1$. Note that $C_k - C_{k+m} = (1 - \tau_k)C_{k-1} + \tau_k \Phi(x^k) - C_{k+m}$ by the equality in (3). Together with $k \in \mathcal{K}_1$, $\tau_k \in [\tau, 1]$ and Lemma 2.1 (i), it holds that

$$C_k - C_{k+m} \leq (1 - \tau)(C_{k-1} - C_{k+m}) \leq (1 - \tau)(C_{k-1} - C_k + C_k - C_{k+m}),$$

so $(1 - \sqrt{1 - \tau})\sqrt{C_k - C_{k+m}} \leq \sqrt{1 - \tau} \Xi_{k-1}$. By the concavity of $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto \sqrt{t}$, we have

$$\frac{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \tau})}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=k}^{\ell(k)} \Xi_i \leq (1 - \sqrt{1 - \tau})\sqrt{C_k - C_{k+m}} \leq \sqrt{1 - \tau} \Xi_{k-1}.$$

Case 2: $k \in \mathcal{K}_2$. Now $\Phi(\bar{x}) \leq C_{k+m} < \Phi(x^k) < \Phi(\bar{x}) + \eta$. Using (5) with $x = x^k$ yields that $\varphi'(\Phi(x^k) - \Phi(\bar{x}))\text{dist}(0, \partial\Phi(x^k)) \geq 1$, which by condition H2 implies that $(\frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \|x^i - x^{i-1}\| + \varepsilon_k)\varphi'(\Phi(x^k) - \Phi(\bar{x})) \geq 1$. From the concavity of φ on $[0, \eta)$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \|x^i - x^{i-1}\| + \varepsilon_k\right) [\varphi(\Phi(x^k) - \Phi(\bar{x})) - \varphi(C_{k+m} - \Phi(\bar{x}))] \geq \Phi(x^k) - C_{k+m}. \quad (7)$$

This, along with the definition of $\Gamma_{k,k+m}$ and the inequality in (3), implies that

$$\Phi(x^k) - C_{k+m} \leq \left(\frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \|x^i - x^{i-1}\| + \varepsilon_k\right) \Gamma_{k,k+m} \leq \left(\frac{1}{b_k \sqrt{\tau}} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i \tau_i}} \Xi_{i-1} + \varepsilon_k\right) \Gamma_{k,k+m}.$$

Note that $\frac{1}{\tau_k} C_k - \frac{1 - \tau_k}{\tau_k} C_{k-1} = \Phi(x^k)$ by the equality in (3). From the above inequality, $C_k - C_{k+m} \leq (1 - \tau_k)(C_{k-1} - C_{k+m}) + \tau_k \left(\frac{1}{b_k \sqrt{\tau}} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i}} \Xi_{i-1} + \varepsilon_k\right) \Gamma_{k,k+m}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{C_k - C_{k+m}} &\leq \sqrt{((2\hat{c} - 1) \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \varepsilon_k) \Gamma_{k,k+m} + (1 - \tau_k)(C_{k-1} - C_{k+m})} \\ &\leq \sqrt{(1 - \tau_k)(C_{k-1} - C_{k+m})} + \sqrt{(2\hat{c} - 1) \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} \Gamma_{k,k+m}} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_k \Gamma_{k,k+m}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{(1 - \tau_k)(C_{k-1} - C_{k+m})} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_k + \hat{c} \Gamma_{k,k+m} \\ &\leq \sqrt{(1 - \tau)(C_k - C_{k+m})} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1 - \tau}\right) \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \varepsilon_k + \hat{c} \Gamma_{k,k+m}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last two inequalities are respectively due to $2\sqrt{\alpha\beta} \leq \alpha + \beta$ and $\sqrt{\alpha + \beta} \leq \sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Thus, inequality (6) also holds for this case.

Recall that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x^k) = \Phi(\bar{x})$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x^{k+1} - x^k) = 0$. By the continuity of φ on $[0, \eta)$, there exists $\mathbb{N} \ni \hat{k} > k_1$ such that $\Phi(x^k) < \Phi(\bar{x}) + \eta$ for all $k \geq \hat{k}$. Along with condition H3 and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \infty$, if necessary by increasing \hat{k} , we have

$$\|x^{\hat{k}} - \bar{x}\| + \frac{4(2k_1 + 1)}{\sqrt{a\tau}} \sum_{j=\hat{k}-k_1}^{\ell(\hat{k})} \Xi_{j-1} + \frac{2\hat{c}}{\sqrt{a\tau}} \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=\hat{k}}^{\ell(\hat{k})} \varphi(\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x})) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{a\tau}} \sum_{j=\hat{k}}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j < \rho. \quad (8)$$

In what follows, we claim that for each $\nu \geq \ell(\widehat{k})$, $x^\nu \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \rho)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{m}(1-\sqrt{1-\tau}) \sum_{j=\ell(\widehat{k})}^{\nu} \Xi_j &\leq \frac{(1+2\sqrt{1-\tau})(2k_1+1)}{2} \sum_{j=\widehat{k}-k_1}^{\nu} \Xi_{j-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu} \varepsilon_j + \widehat{c} \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=\widehat{k}}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \varphi(\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x})). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Indeed, for $\nu = \widehat{k} + m - 1$, by noting that $\|x^\nu - \bar{x}\| \leq \|x^{\widehat{k}} - \bar{x}\| + \|x^\nu - x^{\widehat{k}}\|$, if necessary by increasing \widehat{k} , using $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x^{k+1} - x^k) = 0$ and (8) leads to $x^\nu \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \rho)$, which by (4) and (8) means that $\|x^i - \bar{x}\| \leq \|x^{\widehat{k}} - \bar{x}\| + \sum_{j=\widehat{k}+1}^i \|x^j - x^{j-1}\| \leq \|x^{\widehat{k}} - \bar{x}\| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{a\tau}} \sum_{j=\widehat{k}+1}^i \Xi_{j-1} < \rho$ for any $\widehat{k} + 1 \leq i \leq \nu$. Now summing inequality (6) from \widehat{k} to $\widehat{k} + m - 1$ results in

$$\frac{1-\sqrt{1-\tau}}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \sum_{i=j}^{\ell(j)} \Xi_i \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1-\tau}\right) \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \sum_{i=j-k_1}^{j+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \varepsilon_j + \widehat{c} \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=\widehat{k}}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \varphi(\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x}))$$

where the nonnegativity of φ is also used. After a suitable rearrangement, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\sqrt{1-\tau})\sqrt{m}\Xi_{\ell(\widehat{k})} &+ \frac{(1-\sqrt{1-\tau})(m-k_1)}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=\widehat{k}+m}^{\ell(\widehat{k})+k_1} \Xi_j \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1-\tau}\right)(2k_1+1) \sum_{j=\widehat{k}-k_1}^{\ell(\widehat{k})+k_1} \Xi_{j-1} + \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \varepsilon_j + \widehat{c} \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=\widehat{k}}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \varphi(\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x})). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of m , we have $(1-\sqrt{1-\tau})(m-k_1) \geq (1+\sqrt{1-\tau})(2k_1+1)\sqrt{m}$, which along with the above inequality shows that inequality (9) holds for $\nu = \ell(\widehat{k})$. Thus, the claimed conclusion holds for $\nu = \ell(\widehat{k})$. Now suppose that $x^\nu \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \rho)$ and inequality (9) hold for some $\nu \geq \ell(\widehat{k})$. We argue that $x^{\nu+1} \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \rho)$ and inequality (9) hold for some $\nu + 1$. Let $\widehat{c}_1 := \sqrt{m}(1-\sqrt{1-\tau}) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1-\tau}\right)(2k_1+1)$. From (9), it follows that

$$\widehat{c}_1 \sum_{j=\ell(\widehat{k})}^{\nu} \Xi_j \leq \frac{(1+2\sqrt{1-\tau})(2k_1+1)}{2} \sum_{j=\widehat{k}-k_1}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \Xi_{j-1} + \widehat{c} \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=\widehat{k}}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \varphi(\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x})) + \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu} \varepsilon_j. \quad (10)$$

As $\frac{(1-\sqrt{1-\tau})(m-k_1)}{\sqrt{m}} \geq (1+\sqrt{1-\tau})(2k_1+1)$, we have $\widehat{c}_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Together with inequality (10) and $\|x^{\nu+1} - \bar{x}\| \leq \|x^{\widehat{k}} - \bar{x}\| + \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu} \|x^{j+1} - x^j\| \leq \|x^{\widehat{k}} - \bar{x}\| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{a\tau}} \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu} \Xi_j$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{\nu+1} - \bar{x}\| &\leq \|x^{\widehat{k}} - \bar{x}\| + \frac{4(2k_1+1)}{\sqrt{a\tau}} \sum_{j=\widehat{k}-k_1}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \Xi_{j-1} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{a\tau}} \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu} \varepsilon_j \\ &\quad + \frac{2\widehat{c}}{\sqrt{a\tau}} \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=\widehat{k}}^{\ell(\widehat{k})} \varphi(\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x})) < \rho. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $x^{\nu+1} \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \rho)$. Now summing inequality (6) from \widehat{k} to $\nu + 1$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-\sqrt{1-\tau}}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu+1} \sum_{i=j}^{\ell(j)} \Xi_i &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1-\tau}\right) \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu+1} \sum_{i=j-k_1}^{j+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu+1} \varepsilon_j \\ &\quad + \widehat{c} \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu+1} [\varphi(\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x})) - \varphi(C_{j+m} - \Phi(\bar{x}))]. \end{aligned}$$

After a suitable rearrangement, we conclude that the above inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\sqrt{1-\tau})\sqrt{m} \sum_{j=\ell(\widehat{k})}^{\nu+1} \Xi_j + \frac{(1-\sqrt{1-\tau})(m-k_1)}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=\nu+2}^{\nu+k_1+1} \Xi_{j-1} \\ \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1-\tau}\right)(2k_1+1) \sum_{j=\widehat{k}-k_1}^{\nu+k_1+1} \Xi_{j-1} + \sum_{j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu+1} \varepsilon_j \\ + \widehat{c} \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=\widehat{k}}^{\nu+1} [\varphi(\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x})) - \varphi(C_{j+m} - \Phi(\bar{x}))]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\varphi(\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x})) - \varphi(C_i - \Phi(\bar{x})) \leq 0$ for any $i \leq j \in \mathcal{K}_2$ and the function φ is nonnegative. The above inequality, along with the definition of m , implies that (9) holds for $\nu + 1$. The above arguments show that $x^\nu \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \rho)$ and inequality (9) hold for all $\nu \geq \widehat{k} + m - 1$. Notice that inequality (9) implies (10). Passing the limit $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ to the both sides of (10) yields that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Xi_k < \infty$. Along with (3), we get the result. \square

2.2 Convergence rate

Next we establish the convergence rate of the sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ under the KL property of Φ with exponent $\theta \in (0, 1)$ by leveraging Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 2.2 *Suppose that Φ has the KL property of exponent $\theta \in (0, 1)$ at \bar{x} . Then $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to \bar{x} , and if there exist $\widetilde{k}_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\widetilde{\gamma} > 0$ and $\widetilde{\varrho} \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\varepsilon_k \leq \begin{cases} \widetilde{\gamma} \widetilde{\varrho}^k & \text{if } \theta \in (0, 1/2], \\ \widetilde{\gamma} k^{\frac{3\theta-1}{1-2\theta}} & \text{if } \theta \in (1/2, 1) \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } k \geq \widetilde{k}_0, \quad (11)$$

then there exist $\gamma > 0$ and $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ such that for all sufficiently large k ,

$$\|x^k - \bar{x}\| \leq \Delta_k := \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \|x^{j+1} - x^j\| \leq \begin{cases} \gamma \varrho^k & \text{if } \theta \in (0, 1/2], \\ \gamma k^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}} & \text{if } \theta \in (1/2, 1). \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Proof: Since Φ has the KL property of exponent $\theta \in (0, 1)$ at \bar{x} , inequality (5) holds for all $x \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \delta) \cap [\Phi(\bar{x}) < \Phi < \Phi(\bar{x}) + \eta]$ with $\varphi(t) = ct^{1-\theta}$ for some $c > 0$. Together with

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k = \bar{x}$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x^k) = \Phi(\bar{x})$ and condition H2, there exists $\tilde{k} \geq \ell(\hat{k})$ (where \hat{k} is the same as before) such that for all $\mathcal{K}_2 \ni k \geq \tilde{k}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(x^k) - \Phi(\bar{x}))^\theta &\leq c(1-\theta) \left(\frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \|x^i - x^{i-1}\| + \varepsilon_k \right) \\ &\leq c(1-\theta) \left(\frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i \tau}} \Xi_{i-1} + \varepsilon_k \right) \\ &\leq c(1-\theta) \max\{1, (2\hat{c} - 1)\} \left[\sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \varepsilon_k \right], \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the second inequality is due to (3) and $\tau_i \geq \tau$, and the third one is by the definition of \hat{c} appearing in (6). Along with inequality (10), for any $k \geq \tilde{k}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=\ell(k)}^{\infty} \Xi_j &\leq \frac{(1+2\sqrt{1-\tau})(2k_1+1)}{2\hat{c}_1} \sum_{j=k-k_1}^{\ell(k)} \Xi_{j-1} + \frac{c\hat{c}}{\hat{c}_1} \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=k}^{\ell(k)} (\Phi(x^j) - \Phi(\bar{x}))^{1-\theta} + \frac{1}{\hat{c}_1} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \\ &\leq \tilde{c}_1 \sum_{j=k-k_1}^{\ell(k)} \Xi_{j-1} + \tilde{c}_2(\theta) \sum_{\mathcal{K}_2 \ni j=k}^{\ell(k)} \left(\sum_{i=j-k_1}^{j+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \varepsilon_j \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} + \frac{1}{\hat{c}_1} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\tilde{c}_1 := \frac{(1+2\sqrt{1-\tau})(2k_1+1)}{2\hat{c}_1}$ and $\tilde{c}_2(\theta) := \frac{c\hat{c}[c(1-\theta)\max\{1, (2\hat{c}-1)\}]^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}}{\hat{c}_1}$. From the definition of Δ_k and the triangle inequality, $\|x^k - \bar{x}\| \leq \Delta_k$, so the rest only needs to prove the second inequality in (12). To this end, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\Lambda_k := \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \Xi_j$.

Case 1: $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Since $\varepsilon_k \leq \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\varrho}^k$, we have $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \leq \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \tilde{\varrho}^j \leq \tilde{\gamma} \frac{\tilde{\varrho}^k}{1-\tilde{\varrho}}$. Note that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \Xi_i = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$. Hence, $\sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \Xi_i + \varepsilon_k < 0.5$ for all $k \geq \tilde{k}-1$ (if necessary by increasing \tilde{k}). From $\frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \geq 1$ and inequality (14), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\ell(k)+k_1} &\leq \Lambda_{\ell(k)} \leq (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta))(2k_1+1) \left[\sum_{j=k-k_1}^{\ell(k)+k_1} \Xi_{j-1} + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \right] \\ &\leq (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta))(2k_1+1) \left(\Lambda_{k-k_1-1} - \Lambda_{\ell(k)+k_1} + \frac{\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\varrho}^k}{1-\tilde{\varrho}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\Lambda_{\ell(k)+k_1} \leq \frac{(\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta))(2k_1+1)}{1 + (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta))(2k_1+1)} (\Lambda_{k-k_1-1} + \frac{\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\varrho}^k}{1-\tilde{\varrho}})$ for any $k \geq \tilde{k}$. Let $\varrho_1 := \frac{(\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta))(2k_1+1)}{1 + (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta))(2k_1+1)}$ and $\beta := \frac{\varrho_1 \tilde{\gamma}}{1-\tilde{\varrho}}$. Then, for any $k \geq \ell(\tilde{k})+k_1$, we have

$$\Lambda_k \leq \varrho_1 \Lambda_{k-m-2k_1} + \beta \tilde{\varrho}^{k-m-2k_1}.$$

By using this recursion relation, with $\bar{k} := \lfloor \frac{k-\ell(\tilde{k})-k_1}{m+2k_1} \rfloor$, we have the following inequality

$$\Lambda_k \leq \varrho_1^{\bar{k}} \Lambda_{k-\bar{k}(m+2k_1)} + \beta \tilde{\varrho}^{k-m-2k_1} \left[1 + \frac{\varrho_1}{\tilde{\varrho}^{m+2k_1}} + \cdots + \left(\frac{\varrho_1}{\tilde{\varrho}^{m+2k_1}} \right)^{\bar{k}-1} \right]. \quad (15)$$

After a simple calculation for $\frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho^{m+2k_1}} > 1$, $\frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho^{m+2k_1}} = 1$ and $\frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho^{m+2k_1}} < 1$, respectively, there exist $\gamma_1 > 0$ and $\varsigma \in (0, 1)$ such that $\Lambda_k \leq \gamma_1 \varsigma^k$ for all sufficiently large k . Then, $\Delta_k \leq \frac{\Lambda_k}{\sqrt{\underline{a}^r}} \leq \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{\underline{a}^r}} \varsigma^k$ for all k large enough, and the result holds with $\gamma = \frac{\gamma_1}{\sqrt{\underline{a}^r}}$ and $\varrho = \varsigma$.

Case 2: $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. In this case, $\frac{1-\theta}{\theta} < 1$. From $\varepsilon_k \leq \tilde{\gamma} k^{\frac{3\theta-1}{1-2\theta}}$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \leq \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} k^{\frac{3\theta-1}{1-2\theta}} \leq \tilde{\gamma} \int_k^{\infty} t^{\frac{3\theta-1}{1-2\theta}} dt = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(2\theta-1)}{\theta} k^{\frac{\theta}{1-2\theta}}.$$

Note that $\Xi_k + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j < 0.5$ and $\frac{k}{\ell(k)} > 0.5$ for all $k \geq \tilde{k}-1$ (if necessary by increasing \tilde{k}). By invoking inequality (14), it follows that for any $k \geq \tilde{k}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\ell(k)+k_1} &\leq \Lambda_{\ell(k)} \leq (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta)) \sum_{j=k}^{\ell(k)} \left[\sum_{i=j-k_1}^{j+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \sum_{t=j}^{\infty} \varepsilon_t \right]^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \\ &\leq (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta)) m^{\frac{2\theta-1}{\theta}} \left[\sum_{j=k}^{\ell(k)} \left(\sum_{i=j-k_1}^{j+k_1} \Xi_{i-1} + \sum_{t=j}^{\infty} \varepsilon_t \right) \right]^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \\ &\leq (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta)) \left((2k_1+1)(\Lambda_{k-k_1-1} - \Lambda_{\ell(k)+k_1}) + \frac{m\tilde{\gamma}(2\theta-1)}{\theta} k^{\frac{\theta}{1-2\theta}} \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \\ &\leq (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta)) \left((2k_1+1)(\Lambda_{k-k_1-1} - \Lambda_{\ell(k)+k_1}) + \frac{m\tilde{\gamma}(2\theta-1)}{\theta} [0.5(\ell(k)+k_1)]^{\frac{\theta}{1-2\theta}} \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \\ &\leq C_1 \max \left\{ (\Lambda_{k-k_1-1} - \Lambda_{\ell(k)+k_1})^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}, (\ell(k)+k_1)^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 := (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2(\theta))(2k_1+1 + 0.5^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}} (\frac{m\tilde{\gamma}(2\theta-1)}{\theta})^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}})$. Then, for all $k \geq \ell(\tilde{k})+k_1$, we have $\Lambda_k \leq C_1 \max \left\{ (\Lambda_{k-m-2k_1} - \Lambda_k)^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}, k^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}} \right\}$. Using Lemma 2.2 yields the result. \square

Remark 2.1 (a) Theorems 2.1-2.2 extend the convergence results in [2, 4, 8] for a monotone iterative framework to a nonmonotone one. Compared with the nonmonotone iterative framework in [18] proposed by the Grippo et al.'s nonmonotone line-search procedure, the ZH-type iterative framework H1-H3 has more favourable convergence, and the full convergence (or local convergence rate) of its iterate sequence do not require additional restriction on Φ except the KL property (or KL property of exponent $\theta \in (0, 1)$).

(b) By the convergence analysis in Section 2.1, when H2 is relaxed to the following one

H2'. There exist $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $\bar{\delta} > 0$ such that for all $k \geq k_1$ and $x^k \in \mathbb{B}(\bar{x}, \bar{\delta})$, $\text{dist}(0, \partial\Phi(x^k)) \leq \frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{i=k-k_1}^{k+k_1} \|x^i - x^{i-1}\| + \varepsilon_k$ with $b_k > 0$ and $\varepsilon_k \geq 0$,

any sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying conditions H1, H2' and H3 still has the full convergence.

3 Applications of iterative framework

We first show that the iterate sequences of proximal gradient (PG) methods with the ZH nonmonotone line-search for nonconvex and nonsmooth composite problems comply with

conditions H1-H3, and provide their full convergence certificate under the KL property of objective functions. To the best of our knowledge, these convergence results are new.

3.1 Convergence of PGM with ZH nonmonotone line-search

Consider the following nonconvex and nonsmooth composite optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \Theta(x) := f(x) + g(x), \quad (16)$$

where $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $g: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfy the following basic assumption:

- Assumption 3.1** (i) g is a proper, lsc, and prox-bounded function with $\text{dom } g \neq \emptyset$;
(ii) f is differentiable on an open set $\mathcal{O} \supset \text{dom } g$ with ∇f strictly continuous on \mathcal{O} ;
(iii) the function Θ is lower bounded, i.e., $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \Theta(x) > -\infty$.

Recently, Marchi [16] proposed a PG method with the ZH nonmonotone line-search strategy for solving problem (16), and its iteration steps are described as follows, where $\mathcal{P}_\gamma g(z) := \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|x - z\|^2 + g(x) \right\}$ is the proximal mapping of g associated to γ .

Algorithm 1 (Nonmonotone line-search PG method)

Initialization: Select $0 < \tau_{\min} \leq \tau_{\max} < \infty$, $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $p_{\min} \in (0, 1]$. Choose $x^0 \in \text{dom } g$. Set $C_0 = \Theta(x^0)$ and $k := 0$.

while the termination condition is not satisfied **do**

1. Choose $\tau_{k,0} \in [\tau_{\min}, \tau_{\max}]$.
2. **For** $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ **do**
3. Let $\tau_k = \tau_{k,0} \beta^l$ and compute $x^{k+1} \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau_k} g(x^k - \tau_k \nabla f(x^k))$.
4. If $\Theta(x^{k+1}) \leq C_k - \frac{\alpha}{2\tau_k} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2$, then go to Step 6.
5. **end for**
6. Choose $p_k \in [p_{\min}, 1]$, and set $C_{k+1} = (1 - p_k)C_k + p_k \Theta(x^{k+1})$.
7. Set $k \leftarrow k + 1$ and go to Step 1.

end (while)

From [16, Lemma 4.1], Algorithm 1 is well defined, and for its iterate sequence, Marchi [16] only achieved the subsequence convergence. Next we prove that the iterate sequence of Algorithm 1 meet conditions H1-H3, and establish its full convergence and local convergence rate by applying the convergence results of Section 2.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that the sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Algorithm 1 is bounded. Then,*

- (i) when Θ is a KL function, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|x^k - x^{k-1}\| < \infty$ and $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent;
- (ii) when Θ is a KL function of exponent $\theta \in [1/2, 1)$, $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a point $\tilde{x} \in \text{crit } \Theta$, and there exist $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $k \geq \bar{k}$,

$$\|x^k - \tilde{x}\| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \|x^{j+1} - x^j\| \leq \begin{cases} \gamma \varrho^k & \text{if } \theta = 1/2, \\ \gamma k^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}} & \text{if } \theta \in (1/2, 1). \end{cases}$$

Proof: From the proof of [16, Lemma 4.1], it follows that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Theta(x^{k+1}) \leq C_k - \frac{\alpha}{2\tau_k} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2. \quad (17)$$

In addition, from the boundedness of $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and [16, Corollary 4.5], the argument by contradiction shows that there exists $\underline{\tau} > 0$ such that $\tau_k \geq \underline{\tau}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies condition H1 with $a_k \equiv \frac{\alpha}{2\underline{\tau}}$. As the sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x^{k_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the limit, say \bar{x} , i.e., $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x^{k_j} = \bar{x}$. Along with (17) and Lemma 2.1, $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(x^{k_j}) \leq \Theta(\bar{x})$, so condition H3 also holds.

Denote $\omega(x^0)$ by the set of accumulation points of $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. We next prove that condition H2 holds. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, from the definition of x^{k+1} in step 3, it follows that

$$0 \in \nabla f(x^k) + \frac{1}{\tau_k} (x^{k+1} - x^k) + \partial g(x^{k+1}),$$

which together with the expression of Θ implies that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\partial \Theta(x^{k+1}) \ni w^{k+1} := \nabla f(x^{k+1}) - \nabla f(x^k) - \frac{1}{\tau_k} (x^{k+1} - x^k). \quad (18)$$

We claim that there exists $\bar{L} > 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathcal{K} := \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid x^{k+1} \neq x^k\}$,

$$\limsup_{\mathcal{K} \ni k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\nabla f(x^{k+1}) - \nabla f(x^k)\|}{\|x^{k+1} - x^k\|} \leq \bar{L}. \quad (19)$$

If not, there will exist an index set $\hat{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\lim_{\hat{\mathcal{K}} \ni k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\nabla f(x^{k+1}) - \nabla f(x^k)\|}{\|x^{k+1} - x^k\|} = \infty. \quad (20)$$

We assume that $\lim_{\hat{\mathcal{K}} \ni k \rightarrow \infty} x^k = \hat{x}^*$ (if necessary by taking a subsequence of $\{x^k\}_{k \in \hat{\mathcal{K}}}$). Clearly, $\hat{x}^* \in \omega(x^0)$. By Lemma 2.1 (i), $\lim_{\hat{\mathcal{K}} \ni k \rightarrow \infty} [x^k + (x^{k+1} - x^k)] = \hat{x}^*$. Since ∇f is strictly continuous at \hat{x}^* , there exists $\hat{L} > 0$ such that for all $k \in \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ large enough,

$$\|\nabla f(x^{k+1}) - \nabla f(x^k)\| \leq \hat{L} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|,$$

which is a contradiction to (20). Consequently, the claimed inequality (19) holds. Thus, there exists a constant $\tilde{L} > 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\|\nabla f(x^{k+1}) - \nabla f(x^k)\| \leq \tilde{L} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|.$$

Together with the definition of w^{k+1} , it follows that $\|w^{k+1}\| \leq (\frac{1}{\tau_k} + \tilde{L})\|x^{k+1} - x^k\|$ for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$. Recall that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $w^{k+1} \in \partial\Theta(x^{k+1})$ and $\tau_k \geq \underline{\tau}$, so $\|w^{k+1}\| \leq b\|x^{k+1} - x^k\|$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $b = \underline{\tau}^{-1} + \tilde{L} > 0$. This shows that condition H2 holds. The desired conclusions follow by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 with $\tilde{x} = \bar{x} \in (\partial\Phi)^{-1}(0)$. \square

3.2 Convergence of RGM with ZH nonmonotone line-search

Let \mathcal{M} be an embedded submanifold of the finite-dimensional vector space \mathbb{X} . Consider

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{X}} F(x) := f(x) + \delta_{\mathcal{M}}(x), \quad (21)$$

where $f: \mathcal{O} \supset \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an L_f -smooth function and \mathcal{O} is an open subset of \mathbb{X} . Assume that the function F is lower bounded, which automatically holds if \mathcal{M} is compact. For this problem, Wen and Yin [19] and Oviedo [17] proposed the following Riemannian gradient method (RGM) with the ZH-type nonmonotone line-search strategy, where $\text{grad}f(x^k)$ denotes the Riemannian gradient of f at x^k , $T_{x^k}\mathcal{M}$ is the tangent space of \mathcal{M} at x^k , $R_{x^k}(\cdot)$ denotes the retraction mapping at x^k from the tangent bundle to \mathcal{M} , and the direction z^k is required to satisfy the following property with constants $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$:

$$\langle \text{grad}f(x^k), z^k \rangle \leq -c_1 \|\text{grad}f(x^k)\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|z^k\| \leq c_2 \|\text{grad}f(x^k)\| \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (22)$$

Algorithm 2 (Nonmonotone line-search RGM)

Initialization: Select $0 < \alpha_m \leq \alpha_M < \infty$, $\rho_1 \in (0, 1)$, $\rho_2 \in [0, 1)$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $p_{\min} \in (0, 1]$. Choose $x^0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $z^0 \in T_{x^0}\mathcal{M}$ satisfying (22). Set $C_0 = F(x^0)$ and $k := 0$.

while the termination condition is not satisfied **do**

1. Choose $\alpha_{k,0} \in [\alpha_m, \alpha_M]$.
2. **For** $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ **do**
3. Set $\alpha_k = \beta^l \alpha_{k,0}$.
4. If $f(R_{x^k}(\alpha_k z^k)) \leq C_k + \rho_1 \alpha_k \langle \text{grad}f(x^k), z^k \rangle - \rho_2 \alpha_k^2 \|z^k\|^2$, go to step 6.
5. **end for**
6. Let $x^{k+1} = R_{x^k}(\alpha_k z^k)$ and select a direction $z^{k+1} \in T_{x^{k+1}}\mathcal{M}$ satisfying (22).
7. Choose $p_k \in [p_{\min}, 1]$, and set $C_{k+1} = (1 - p_k)C_k + p_k f(x^{k+1})$.
8. Set $k \leftarrow k + 1$ and go to step 1.

end (while)

For Algorithm 2, as far as we know, only the gradient sequence $\{\text{grad}f(x^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is proved to be convergent with the limit being zero. Next we establish the full convergence

and local convergence rate of its iterate sequence by arguing that it conforms to the previous conditions H1-H3 and applying the convergence results of Section 2.

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that the submanifold \mathcal{M} is compact, and that f is definable in an α -minimal structure. Then, for the sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ generated by Algorithm 2, it holds that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|x^k - x^{k-1}\| < \infty$. If in addition F is a KL function of exponent $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, then it converges to some $\tilde{x} \in \text{crit } F$ and there exist $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|x^k - \tilde{x}\| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \|x^{j+1} - x^j\| \leq \begin{cases} \gamma \rho^k & \text{if } \theta = 1/2, \\ \gamma k^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}} & \text{if } \theta \in (1/2, 1) \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } k \geq \bar{k}.$$

Proof: Since $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$, the compactness of \mathcal{M} implies the boundedness of $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, so condition H3 holds. By combining [6, Eq. (B.3)] with $x^{k+1} = R_{x^k}(\alpha_k z^k)$, there exists a constant $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2 \leq \tilde{\alpha}^2 \alpha_M^2 \|z^k\|^2$. Together with step 4 of Algorithm 2 and equation (22), it follows that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F(x^{k+1}) = f(x^{k+1}) \leq C_k - \rho_1 c_1 \alpha_k \|\text{grad } f(x^k)\|^2 - \rho_2 \alpha_k^2 \|z^k\|^2 \leq C_k - \frac{\rho_1 c_1}{c_2} \alpha_k \|z^k\|^2.$$

In addition, from [17, Lemma 2], there exist $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\underline{\alpha} > 0$ such that $\alpha_k \geq \underline{\alpha}$ for all $k \geq k_0$. The two aspects show that condition H1 holds. The rest only argue that condition H2 holds. By Lemma 2.1, the sequences $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{F(x^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (i.e., $\{f(x^k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$) converge to the same limit. Together with step 4 of Algorithm 2, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k \|z^k\|^2 = 0$, which along with $\alpha_k \geq \underline{\alpha}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z^k\| = 0$. Together with $\alpha_k \leq \alpha_{\max}$ for all k and [6, Eq. (B.4)], we have $\|R_{x^k}(\alpha_k z^k) - (x^k + \alpha_k z^k)\| = o(\|\alpha_k z^k\|)$. If necessary by increasing k_0 , we conclude that for each $k \geq k_0$,

$$\|x^{k+1} - x^k\| \geq \alpha_k \|z^k\| - \|R_{x^k}(\alpha_k z^k) - (x^k + \alpha_k z^k)\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \underline{\alpha} \|z^k\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \underline{\alpha} c_1 \|\text{grad } f(x^k)\|,$$

where the third inequality is obtained by using the first inequality in (22). Note that $\partial F(x^k) = \nabla f(x^k) + N_{x^k} \mathcal{M}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N_{x^k} \mathcal{M}$ denotes the normal space of \mathcal{M} at x^k . Then, $\text{dist}(0, \partial F(x^k)) = \|\text{Proj}_{T_{x^k} \mathcal{M}}(\nabla f(x^k))\| = \|\text{grad } f(x^k)\|$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Along with the above inequality, condition H2 holds for all $k \geq k_0$. Now the desired conclusions follow by invoking Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. \square

4 Conclusion

We have proposed a nonmonotone descent iterative framework in terms of the ZH-type nonmonotone decrease condition and the relative error condition, and proved that any iterative sequence complying with this framework admits a full convergence when Φ is a KL function, and the convergence is linear if Φ is a KL function of exponent 1/2. Compared with the nonmonotone iterative framework [18], which was proposed by the Grippo et al.'s nonmonotone decrease condition, the iterative framework H1-H3 possesses the full convergence without additional restriction on Φ except its KL property.

When the nonmonotone decrease condition H1 is replaced with the following one

H1'. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi(x^k) + a_k \|x^k - x^{k-1}\|^2 \leq \Phi(x^{k-1}) + \nu_{k-1}$, where $0 \leq \nu_k \leq (1 - \tau_k)[\Phi(x^{k-1}) + \nu_{k-1} - \Phi(x^k)]$ with $\nu_0 = 0$ and $\tau_k \in [\tau, 1]$ for some $\tau \in (0, 1]$,

by letting $C_{k-1} := \Phi(x^{k-1}) + \nu_{k-1}$ and using $\nu_k \leq (1 - \tau_k)[\Phi(x^{k-1}) + \nu_{k-1} - \Phi(x^k)]$, we have $C_k \leq (1 - \tau_k)C_{k-1} + \tau_k \Phi(x^k)$. Then, using the same arguments as those in Section 2 can prove that any sequence complying with conditions H1' and H2-H3 has also the convergence results of Theorems 2.1-2.2. One can check that the iterate sequence of [10, Algorithm 1] satisfies conditions H1' and H2-H3 under Assumptions A1-A3 there.

In addition, when the previous condition H1 is replaced by the following general one

H1''. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi(x^k) + a_k \|x^k - x^{k-1}\|^2 \leq C_{k-1} + \eta_{k-1}^2$ where $a_k \geq \underline{a}$ for some $\underline{a} > 0$ and $C_k = (1 - \tau_k)C_{k-1} + \tau_k \Phi(x^k)$ with $C_0 = \Phi(x^0)$ and $\tau_k \in [\tau, 1]$ for some $\tau \in (0, 1]$,

Sun [23] achieved the convergence of the iterate sequence satisfying conditions H1'' for $\tau_k \equiv 1$ and H2-H3, by assuming that Φ is a KL function and $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ with } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=k}^{\infty} \eta_l^2 \right)^{\frac{\varsigma-1}{\varsigma}} < \infty \text{ for some } \varsigma > 1. \quad (23)$$

In fact, for any sequence $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ obeying conditions H1'' and H2-H3, by introducing the potential function $\Psi(z) := \Phi(x) + \varsigma^{-1}t^\varsigma$ for $z = (x, t) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and following the similar arguments to those for Theorem 2.1, we can obtain the same convergence result under the assumption that Φ is a KL function and $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (23).

References

- [1] P. A. ABSIL, R. MAHONY AND B. ANDREWS, *Convergence of the iterates of descent methods for analytic cost functions*, SIAM Journal on Optimization, 16 (2005): 531–547.
- [2] H. ATTOUCH AND J. BOLTE, *On the convergence of the proximal algorithm for nonsmooth functions involving analytic features*, Mathematical Programming, 116 (2009): 5–16.
- [3] H. ATTOUCH, J. BOLTE, P. REDONT AND A. SOUBEYRAN, *Proximal alternating minimization and projection methods for nonconvex problems: an approach based on the Kurdyka-Łojasiewicz inequality*, Mathematics of Operations Research, 35 (2010): 438–457.
- [4] H. ATTOUCH, J. BOLTE AND B. F. SVAITER, *Convergence of descent methods for semi-algebraic and tame problems: proximal algorithms, forward-backward splitting, and regularized Gauss–Seidel methods*, Mathematical Programming, 137 (2013): 91–129.
- [5] J. BOLTE, S. SABACH AND M. TEBoulLE, *Proximal alternating linearized minimization for nonconvex and nonsmooth problems*, Mathematical Programming, 146 (2014): 459–494.

- [6] N. BOUMAL, P. A. ABSIL AND C. CARTIS, *Global rates of convergence for nonconvex optimization on manifolds*, IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis, 1 (2018): 1-33.
- [7] O. P. FERREIRA, E. M. SANTOS AND J. C. O. SOUZA, *A boosted DC algorithm for non-differentiable DC components with non-monotone line search*, arXiv:2111.01290, 2021.
- [8] P. FRANKEL, G. GARRIGOS AND J. PEYPOUQUET, *Splitting methods with variable metric for Kurdyka–Łojasiewicz functions and general convergence rates*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 165 (2015): 874–900.
- [9] L. GRIPPO, F. LAMPARIELLO AND S. LUCIDI, *A nonmonotone line search technique for Newton’s method*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 23 (1986): 707-716.
- [10] G. N. GRAPIGLIA AND E. W. SACHS, *On the worst-case evaluation complexity of non-monotone line search algorithms*, Computational Optimization and Applications, 68 (2017): 555-577.
- [11] A. D. IOFFE, *An invitation to tame optimization*, SIAM Journal on Optimization, 19 (2009): 1894–1917.
- [12] C. KANZOW AND P. MEHLITZ, *Convergence properties of monotone and nonmonotone proximal gradient methods revisited*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 195 (2022): 624–646.
- [13] R. Y. LIU, S. H. PAN, Y. Q. WU AND X. Q. YANG, *An inexact regularized proximal Newton method for nonconvex and nonsmooth optimization*, Computational Optimization and Applications, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10589-024-00560-0>.
- [14] H. LI AND Z. C. LIN, *Accelerated proximal gradient methods for nonconvex programming*, In: Proceedings of NeurIPS, 2015: 379-387.
- [15] Z. S. LU AND Z. R. ZHOU, *Nonmonotone enhanced proximal DC algorithms for a class of structured nonsmooth DC programming*, SIAM Journal on Optimization, 29 (2019): 2725-2752.
- [16] A. DE. MARCHI, *Proximal gradient methods beyond monotony*, Journal of Nonsmooth Analysis and Optimization, 4 (2023): 1–18.
- [17] H. OVIEDO, *Global convergence of Riemannian line search methods with a Zhang-Hager-type condition*, Numerical Algorithm, 91 (2022): 1183-1203.
- [18] Y. T. QIAN AND S. H. PAN, *Convergence of a class of nonmonotone descent methods for Kurdyka–Łojasiewicz optimization problems*, SIAM Journal on Optimization, 33 (2023): 638-651.
- [19] Z. W. WEN AND W. T. YIN, *A feasible method for optimization with orthogonality constraints*, Mathematical Programming, 142(2013): 397-434.

- [20] S. M. ROBINSON, *Some continuity properties of polyhedral multifunctions*, Mathematical Programming Study, 14(1981): 206–214.
- [21] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR AND R. J.-B. WETS, *Variational analysis*, Springer, 1998.
- [22] E. W. SACHS AND S. M. SACHS, *Nonmonotone line searches for optimization algorithms*, Control & Cybernetics, 40(2011): 1059–1075.
- [23] T. SUN, *Sequence convergence of inexact nonconvex and nonsmooth algorithms with more realistic assumptions*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, 42(2021): 234-250.
- [24] A. THEMELIS, L. STELLA AND P. PATRINOS, *Forward-backward envelope for the sum of two nonconvex functions: further properties and nonmonotone linesearch algorithms*, SIAM Journal on Optimization, 28 (2018): 2274-2303.
- [25] P. L. TOINT, *An assessment of nonmonotone linesearch techniques for unconstrained optimization*, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 17(1996): 725-739.
- [26] Y. Y. XU AND W. T. YIN, *A block coordinate descent method for regularized multiconvex optimization with applications to nonnegative tensor factorization and completion*, SIAM Journal on Imaging Science, 6 (2013): 1758-1789.
- [27] H. C. ZHANG AND W. W. HAGER, *A nonmonotone line search technique and its application to unconstrained optimization*, SIAM Journal on Optimization, 14 (2004): 1043-1056.