

Takagi-van der Waerden functions in metric spaces and its Lipschitz derivatives*

Oleksandr Maslyuchenko^{a,b,*}, Ziemowit Wójcicki^a

^a*Institute of Mathematics, University of Silesia in Katowice, Bankowa 12, Katowice, 40-007, Poland*

^b*Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Yuriy Fedkovych Chernivtsi National University, Kotsiubynskoho 2, Chernivtsi, 58012, Ukraine*

Abstract

We introduce the Takagi-van der Waerden function with parameters $a > b > 0$ by setting $f_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b^n d(x, S_n)$, where S_n is a maximal $\frac{1}{a^n}$ -separated set in a metric space X without isolated points. So, if $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $S_n = \frac{1}{a^n}\mathbb{Z}$ then $f_{2,1}$ is the Takagi function and $f_{10,1}$ is the van der Waerden function which are the famous examples of nowhere differentiable functions. Then we prove that the big Lipschitz derivative $\text{Lip}f_{a,b} = +\infty$ if $a > b > 2$. Moreover, if X is a normed space then the little Lipschitz derivative $\text{lip}f_{a,b} = +\infty$ for large enough $a > b$. Thus, we prove that for any open set A in a metric (normed) space X there exists a continuous function f such that $\text{Lip}f(x) = +\infty$ (and $\text{lip}f(x) = +\infty$) exactly on A .

Keywords: big Lipschitz derivative, small Lipschitz derivative, local Lipschitz derivative, Takagi-van der Waerden function, semicontinuous function, F_σ -semicontinuous function, pair of Hahn, F_σ -pair of Hahn
2020 MSC: 46G05 (Primary), 46T20, 26A16, 26A21 (Secondary)

*The research activities co-financed by the funds granted under the Research Excellence Initiative of the University of Silesia in Katowice

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: ovmasl@gmail.com (Oleksandr Maslyuchenko), ziemoi@onet.eu (Ziemowit Wójcicki)

1. Introduction

The classical Rademacher theorem [6, Theorem 3.2] asserts that every Lipschitz mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is differentiable almost everywhere. Actually, Rademacher's result [12, Satz I] and its generalization [14] concern the two-dimensional case but they are applicable not only for Lipschitz functions. In particular, the Stepanoff theorem [14, Satz] asserts that a continuous function f is almost everywhere differentiable on the complement of the set $L^\infty(f) = \{x : \text{Lip } f(x) = \infty\}$ where $\text{Lip } f(x) = \limsup_{u \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{\|u-x\|} |f(u) - f(x)|$ is the, so called, *big Lipschitz derivative*. Malý [9] gave a simple proof of the Stepanoff theorem for a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, where $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$. Besides, there are many generalizations of the Rademacher theorem for Banach spaces (see, for example, [8, 10]). If we consider the *little Lipschitz derivative* $\text{lip } f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\|u-x\| < r} \frac{1}{r} |f(u) - f(x)|$ instead of $\text{Lip } f$ then f need not to be almost everywhere differentiable on the complement of $\ell^\infty(f) = \{x : \text{lip } f(x) = \infty\}$ (see [2, Theorem 1.4]). It is well-known that every differentiable function is *pointwise Lipschitz* (that is $\text{Lip } f < +\infty$). But C^1 -functions have a stronger property. Every such function is *locally Lipschitz*, that is $\mathbb{L}\text{ip } f(x) = \limsup_{u,v \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\|u-v\|} |f(u) - f(v)| < +\infty$ for any x . So, the set $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f) = \{x : \mathbb{L}\text{ip } f(x) = \infty\}$ is also interesting for us.

In this paper we deals with the following general problem.

Problem 1 (*ℓ - L - \mathbb{L} -problem*). Let X be a metric space. For which sets $A, B, C \subseteq X$ does there exists a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\ell^\infty(f) = A$, $L^\infty(f) = B$ and $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f) = C$?

Also we may consider some partial versions of this problem by omitting one or two of the sets $\ell^\infty(f)$, $L^\infty(f)$ and $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f)$. For example, the *ℓ -problem* means the description of the set $\ell^\infty(f)$ for a continuous function f ; the *ℓ - L -problem* means the description of the sets $\ell^\infty(f)$ and $L^\infty(f)$ and so on. In the case where $X = \mathbb{R}$ the L -problem was solved in [4, Theorem 3.35 and Lemma 2.4] where the authors proved that A has the property $A = L^\infty(f)$ (even $A = \ell^\infty(f) = L^\infty(f)$) for some continuous function f if and only if A is a G_δ -set. Moreover, they proved in [4, Theorem 3.1] that for any F_σ -set A there is a continuous function f with $\ell^\infty(f) = A$. But the obvious necessary condition is that $\ell^\infty(f)$ is $F_{\sigma\delta}$. So, the ℓ -problem is still open even for \mathbb{R} . Hanson [7] solved ℓ - L -problem in the case where $A = \ell^\infty(f) = \emptyset$. Another

partial answer to the ℓ -problem one can find in the recent paper [11] where the author proved that for any $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ of Lebesgue measure zero there is an increasing absolutely continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\ell^\infty(f) = A$.

Problem 2 (*lip-Lip-Lip-problem*). Let X be a metric space. For which functions $u, v, w: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does there exist a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{lip } f = u$, $\text{Lip } f = v$ and $\mathbb{L}ip f = w$?

Of course, we may omit some of the functions $\text{lip } f$, $\text{Lip } f$, $\mathbb{L}ip f$ and consider simpler versions of the previous problem like *lip-problem*, *lip-Lip-problem* and so on. In [3] the authors solved the lip-problem for a function $u = \mathbf{1}_E$ where $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and characterized the, so called, lip 1 subsets of \mathbb{R} .

As we have seen, the main results in this direction concern to the case $X = \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we are going to extend some of the previous results to general metric spaces. Our approach to this problem is based on a generalization of the Takagi-van der Waerden function to a metric space. The *classical Takagi-van der Waerden function of type $a > 1$* (see, for example, [1]) is, by definition, the function $f_a(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(x, \frac{1}{a^n}\mathbb{Z})$, where $d(x, E) = \inf_{y \in E} |x - y|$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. In the case $a = 2$ or $a = 10$ we obtain two famous examples of nowhere differentiable functions which are constructed independently by Takagi and van der Waerden. We replace the set $\frac{1}{a^n}\mathbb{Z}$ to a maximal $\frac{1}{a^n}$ -separated set S_n in a metric space X , add a parameter $b < a$ and define a *Takagi-van der Waerden function of type (a, b)* as $f_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b^n d(x, S_n)$, $x \in X$. Then we prove that $\text{Lip } f_{a,b} = +\infty$ if $a > b > 2$ and X does not have an isolated point. To calculate the little Lipschitz derivatives we need some special property of \circ metric space. We introduce the notion of the defect $D(X)$ of a metric space X such that, for example, $D(X) = 1$ for an arbitrary normed space X . Then we prove that if $D(X) > 0$ then there are $a > b > 1$ such that $\text{lip } f = +\infty$. So, in the last section we give a partial answer to the ℓ -L-L-problem if $D(X) > 0$, $A = B$ is open and $C = \bar{A}$.

2. Lipschitz derivatives

Let X be a metric space, $a \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We always denote the metric on X by $|\cdot - \cdot|_X$ and

$$\begin{aligned} B(a, \varepsilon) &= B_X(a, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X : |x - a|_X < \varepsilon\}, \\ B[a, \varepsilon] &= B_X[a, \varepsilon] = \{x \in X : |x - a|_X \leq \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1. Let X and Y be metric spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, $x \in X$, E be a nonempty subset of X and $r > 0$. Denote

- $\|f\|_{\text{lip}} = \sup_{u \neq v \in X} \frac{1}{|u-v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y$
- $\mathbb{Lip}^r f(x) = \|f|_{B(x,r)}\|_{\text{lip}} = \sup_{u \neq v \in B(x,r)} \frac{1}{|u-v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y$
- $\mathbb{Lip} f(x) = \inf_{r>0} \mathbb{Lip}^r f(x) = \limsup_{u,v \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{|u-v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y$
- $\text{Lip}^r f(x) = \sup_{u \in B(x,r)} \frac{1}{r} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y$
- $\text{Lip} f(x) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}^r f(x)$;
- $\text{lip} f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}^r f(x)$;
- $\text{Lip}_r f(x) = \sup_{0 < \varrho < r} \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x)$;
- $\text{lip}_r f(x) = \inf_{0 < \varrho < r} \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x)$;

The *Lipschitz constant of f* is, by definition, the number $\|f\|_{\text{lip}}$. The functions $\mathbb{Lip} f$, $\text{Lip} f$ and $\text{lip} f$ are called the *local*, *big* and *little Lipschitz derivative* respectively.

Obviously, if Y is a normed space then $\|\cdot\|_{\text{lip}}$ is an extended seminorm on Y^X in the sense [13]. Moreover, $\|\cdot\|_{\text{lip}}$ is a norm on the space all Lipschitz functions $f: X \rightarrow Y$ vanishing at some fixed point in X .

Some authors (see, for example, [7, 3, 4]) define $\text{Lip} f$ and $\text{lip} f$ using the function

$$\text{Lip}_+^r f(x) = \sup_{u \in B[x,r]} \frac{1}{r} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y, \quad x \in X, \quad r > 0,$$

instead of the function $\text{Lip}^r f$. In the case where X is a normed space we have that $B[x, r] = \overline{B(x, r)}$. Therefore, $\text{Lip}^r f(x) = \text{Lip}_+^r f(x)$ for a continuous function f . But the previous equality does not hold for the discrete metric

on X , non-constant f and $r = 1$. On the other hand, for an arbitrary metric space X , $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} r \operatorname{Lip}^r f(x) &= \sup_{u \in B(x,r)} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y \\ &= \sup_{0 < \varrho < r} \sup_{u \in B(x,\varrho)} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y \\ &= \sup_{0 < \varrho < r} \varrho \operatorname{Lip}^\varrho f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\varrho \operatorname{Lip}^\varrho f(x) \leq \sup_{u \in B[x,\varrho]} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y = \varrho \operatorname{Lip}_+^\varrho f(x) \leq r \operatorname{Lip}^r f(x)$$

for any $0 < \varrho < r$ and $\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow r^-} \varrho \operatorname{Lip}^\varrho f(x) = r \operatorname{Lip}^r f(x)$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow r^-} \operatorname{Lip}_+^\varrho f(x) = \operatorname{Lip}^r f(x) \leq \operatorname{Lip}_+^r f(x)$$

for any $r > 0$. Thus, we can easily prove that the following assertions for any metric spaces X and Y , $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $x \in X$:

$$\operatorname{Lip} f(x) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{Lip}_+^r f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{lip} f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{Lip}_+^r f(x), \quad (1)$$

$$\operatorname{Lip}_r f(x) = \sup_{0 < |u-x|_X < r} \frac{1}{|u-x|_X} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y \quad \text{for any } r > 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\operatorname{Lip} f(x) = \inf_{r > 0} \operatorname{Lip}_r f(x) = \limsup_{u \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{|u-x|_X} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y, \quad (3)$$

$$\operatorname{lip} f(x) = \sup_{r > 0} \operatorname{lip}_r f(x), \quad (4)$$

$$\operatorname{Lip}_r f(x) \leq \operatorname{Lip}_{r'} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{lip}_r f(x) \geq \operatorname{lip}_{r'} f(x) \quad \text{if } 0 < r < r', \quad (5)$$

$$\operatorname{lip}_r f(x) \leq \operatorname{Lip}_r f(x) \leq \mathbb{L}\operatorname{ip}^r f(x) \quad \text{for any } r > 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\operatorname{lip} f(x) \leq \operatorname{Lip} f(x) \leq \mathbb{L}\operatorname{ip} f(x). \quad (7)$$

Definition 2. Let X and Y be metric spaces and $\gamma \geq 0$. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called

- γ -Lipschitz if $\|f\|_{\operatorname{lip}} \leq \gamma$;
- Lipschitz if $\|f\|_{\operatorname{lip}} < \infty$;
- locally Lipschitz if $\mathbb{L}\operatorname{ip} f < \infty$.

- *pointwise Lipschitz* if $\text{Lip } f < \infty$;
- *weakly pointwise Lipschitz* if $\text{lip } f < \infty$.

Denote

- $\mathbb{L}(f) = \{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) < \infty\}$;
- $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f) = \{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) = \infty\} = X \setminus \mathbb{L}(f)$;
- $L(f) = \{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) < \infty\}$;
- $L^\infty(f) = \{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) = \infty\} = X \setminus L(f)$;
- $\ell(f) = \{x \in X : \text{lip } f(x) < \infty\}$;
- $\ell^\infty(f) = \{x \in X : \text{lip } f(x) = \infty\} = X \setminus \ell(f)$;

The inequalities (7) yield the next assertion.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X and Y be a metric space, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then $\mathbb{L}(f) \subseteq L(f) \subseteq \ell(f)$ and $\ell^\infty(f) \subseteq L^\infty(f) \subseteq \mathbb{L}^\infty(f)$.*

3. Classification of the Lipschitz derivatives and pairs of Hahn of second class

Now we pass to the investigation of the type semicontinuity of Lipschitz derivatives of continuous functions. In [4] semicontinuity of Lipschitz derivatives of a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ was obtained from the continuity of $\text{Lip}^r f$. But in the general situation this function need not be continuous. Therefore, we prove semicontinuity of Lipschitz derivatives directly by the definitions.

Definition 3. Let X be a topological space and $f, g : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. We say that

- f is *lower semicontinuous* if $f^{-1}((\gamma; +\infty])$ is an open set for any $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$;
- f is *upper semicontinuous* if $f^{-1}([-\infty; \gamma))$ is an open set for any $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$;
- f is *F_σ -lower semicontinuous* if $f^{-1}((\gamma; +\infty])$ is an F_σ -set for any $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$;

- f is F_σ -upper semicontinuous if $f^{-1}([-\infty; \gamma))$ is an F_σ -set for any $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$;
- (f, g) is a pair of Hahn if $f \leq g$, f is upper semicontinuous and g is lower semicontinuous;
- (f, g) is an F_σ -pair of Hahn if $f \leq g$, f is F_σ -lower semicontinuous and g is F_σ -upper semicontinuous;

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a topological space, $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be an (F_σ) -upper semicontinuous, $g : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be an (F_σ) -lower semicontinuous and $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $f^{-1}([-\infty, \gamma])$, $g^{-1}([\gamma, +\infty])$ are G_δ -sets (resp. $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sets) and $f^{-1}((\gamma, +\infty])$, $g^{-1}([-\infty, \gamma))$ are F_σ -sets (resp. $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -sets).*

Proof. Let $\gamma < +\infty$ and $\gamma_n \downarrow \gamma$. Since $f^{-1}([\gamma_n; +\infty])$ are closed (resp. G_δ -set), we conclude that $f^{-1}((\gamma; +\infty]) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}([\gamma_n; +\infty])$ is F_σ -sets (resp. $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -sets). The proof of the rest assertions is analogical. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a topological space, $f_n : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be an upper (lower) semicontinuous function for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function such that $f(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x)$ (resp. $f(x) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x)$) for any $x \in X$. Then f is an F_σ -lower (resp. F_σ -upper) semicontinuous function.*

Proof. Let $f(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x)$ and f_n 's are upper semicontinuous. Consider $\gamma < +\infty$. Then $f_n^{-1}((\gamma; +\infty])$ is an F_σ -set by Proposition 3.2. Consequently, $f^{-1}((\gamma; +\infty]) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}((\gamma; +\infty])$ is an F_σ -set as well. Thus, f is F_σ -lower semicontinuous. The proof of the second case is analogical. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let X and Y be a metric space, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function and $r > 0$. Then*

- (i) $\text{Lip}_r f : X \rightarrow [0; +\infty]$ is a lower semicontinuous function;
- (ii) $\text{lip}_r f : X \rightarrow [0; +\infty]$ is an upper semicontinuous function;
- (iii) $(\text{lip}_r f, \text{Lip}_r f)$ is a pair of Hahn (of the first class);
- (iv) $\text{Lip} f : X \rightarrow [0; +\infty]$ is an F_σ -upper semicontinuous function;

(v) $\text{lip } f : X \rightarrow [0; +\infty]$ is a F_σ -lower semicontinuous function;

(vi) $(\text{lip } f, \text{Lip } f)$ is an F_σ -pair of Hahn;

(vii) $\text{Lip } f : X \rightarrow [0; +\infty]$ is an upper semicontinuous function.

Proof. (i). Fix $r > 0$. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\gamma < \text{Lip}_r f(x_0)$. Then

$$\sup_{\varrho < r} \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) = \text{Lip}_r f(x_0) > \gamma.$$

So, there is $\varrho < r$ such that $\text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) > \gamma$. Pick γ_1 such that $\gamma < \gamma_1 < \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0)$. Then we chose ϱ_1 such that $\varrho < \varrho_1 < r$ and $\gamma\varrho_1 < \gamma_1\varrho$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{u \in B(x_0, \varrho)} |f(u) - f(x_0)|_X = \varrho \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) > \gamma_1\varrho.$$

Thus, there is $u \in B(x_0, \varrho)$ with

$$|f(u) - f(x_0)|_X > \gamma_1\varrho.$$

By the continuity of f at x_0 there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\varrho + \delta < \varrho_1$ and

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)|_X < \gamma_1\varrho - \gamma\varrho_1 \quad \text{for any } x \in U = B(x_0, \delta).$$

Consider $x \in U$. Then

$$|u - x|_X \leq |u - x_0|_X + |x_0 - x|_X < \varrho + \delta < \varrho_1,$$

and, so, $u \in B(x, \varrho_1)$. Consequently,

$$|f(u) - f(x)|_X \geq |f(u) - f(x_0)|_X - |f(x) - f(x_0)|_X > \gamma_1\varrho - (\gamma_1\varrho - \gamma\varrho_1) = \gamma\varrho_1.$$

Hence, $\text{Lip}^{\varrho_1} f(x) > \gamma$. But $0 < \varrho_1 < r$. Therefore, $\text{Lip}_r f(x) > \gamma$ for any $x \in U$. Thus, $\text{Lip}_r f$ is lower semicontinuous at x_0 .

(ii). Fix $r > 0$. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\gamma > \text{lip}_r f(x_0)$. Then

$$\inf_{\varrho < r} \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) = \text{lip}_\varepsilon f(x_0) < \gamma.$$

So, there is $\varrho < r$ such that $\text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) < \gamma$. Pick γ_1 such that $\text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) < \gamma_1 < \gamma$. Then we chose ϱ_1 such that $0 < \varrho_1 < \varrho$ and $\gamma\varrho_1 > \gamma_1\varrho$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{u \in B(x_0, \varrho)} |f(u) - f(x_0)|_X = \varrho \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) < \gamma_1\varrho.$$

Then

$$|f(u) - f(x_0)|_X < \gamma_1 \varrho \quad \text{for any } u \in B(x_0, \varrho).$$

By the continuity of f at x_0 there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\varrho_1 + \delta < \varrho$ and

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)|_X < \gamma \varrho_1 - \gamma_1 \varrho \quad \text{for any } x \in U = B(x_0, \delta).$$

Consider $x \in U$ and $u \in B(x, \varrho_1)$. Then

$$|u - x_0|_X \leq |u - x|_X + |x - x_0|_X < \varrho_1 + \delta < \varrho,$$

and so, $u \in B(x_0, \varrho)$. Therefore,

$$|f(u) - f(x)|_X \leq |f(u) - f(x_0)|_X + |f(x_0) - f(x)|_X < \gamma_1 \varrho + (\gamma \varrho_1 - \gamma_1 \varrho) = \gamma \varrho_1.$$

Thus, $\frac{1}{\varrho_1} |f(u) - f(x)|_X \leq \gamma$ for any $u \in B(x, \varrho_1)$. Hence, $\text{Lip}^{\varrho_1} f(x) \leq \gamma$. But $0 < \varrho_1 < r$. Therefore, $\text{lip}_r f(x) \leq \gamma$ for any $x \in U$. Thus, $\text{lip}_r f$ is upper semicontinuous at x_0 .

(iii). It is implied from (i) and (ii).

(iv), (v), (vi). By (3), (4) and (5) we conclude that $\text{Lip}^{\frac{1}{n}} f(x) \downarrow \text{Lip} f(x)$ and $\text{lip}^{\frac{1}{n}} f(x) \uparrow \text{lip} f(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Thus, the needed assertions is implied from (i), (ii) and Proposition 3.2.

(vii). Fix $x_0 \in X$ and $\gamma > \mathbb{L}ip f(x_0)$. Since $\mathbb{L}ip f(x_0) = \inf_{r>0} \mathbb{L}ip^r f(x_0)$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $\mathbb{L}ip^r f(x_0) < \gamma$. Set $\varrho = \frac{r}{2}$ and consider $ax \in B(x_0, \varrho)$. Then $B(x, \varrho) \subseteq B(x, r)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}ip f(x) &\leq \mathbb{L}ip^\varrho f(x) = \sup_{u \neq v \in B(x, \varrho)} \frac{1}{|u-v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y \\ &\leq \sup_{u \neq v \in B(x_0, r)} \frac{1}{|u-v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y = \mathbb{L}ip^r f(x_0) < \gamma \end{aligned}$$

and, hence, $\mathbb{L}ip f$ is upper semicontinuous. \square

Proposition 3.1 and 3.3 yield the following assertions.

Proposition 3.4. *Let X and Y be a metric space, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function, $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. Then*

(i) $L(f)$ is an F_σ -set;

(ii) $L^\infty(f)$ is a G_δ -set;

- (iii) $\ell(f)$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set;
- (iv) $\ell^\infty(f)$ is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set;
- (v) $\mathbb{L}(f)$ is an open set;
- (vi) $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f)$ is a closed set;

4. Takagi-van der Waerden functions for general metric spaces

Definition 4. Let X be a metric space and $\varepsilon > 0$. A set $S \subseteq X$ is called

- ε -separated in X if for any distinct point $x, y \in S$ we have $|x - y|_X \geq \varepsilon$;
- maximal ε -separated if it is ε -separated and for any ε -separated set T in X such that $S \subseteq T$ we have $S = T$;
- ε -dense if for any $x \in X$ there is $s \in S$ with $|x - s|_X < \varepsilon$.

Proposition 4.1. Let X be a metric space and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

- (i) for any ε -separated set S_0 there is a maximal ε -separated set S with $S_0 \subseteq S$;
- (ii) for any $a > 1$ there is an increasing sequence $(S_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ of maximal $\frac{1}{a^n}$ -separated sets S_n in X .
- (iii) an ε -separated set S is maximal ε -separated if and only if S is ε -dense;
- (iv) for any ε -dense set S (in particular, for any maximal ε -separated set) we have that $d(x, S) < \varepsilon$ for any $x \in X$ and the function $d(\cdot, S)$ is 1-Lipschitz.

Proof. Part (i) can be deduced immediately from the Teichmüller-Tukey lemma [5, p.9]. Add then we obtain (ii) by induction. Part (iii) can be obtained by standard considerations of maximality. Part (iv) implies from the definitions and (ii). \square

Definition 5. Let X be a metric space and $a > b > 0$. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Takagi-van der Waerden function of type (a, b) (or TW-function

of type (a, b) in short) if there exist a sequence of maximal $\frac{1}{a^n}$ -separated sets S_n such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b^n \varphi_n(x), \text{ where } \varphi_n(x) = d(x, S_n), \quad x \in X.$$

Moreover, if $S_{n+1} \subseteq S_n$ for any n , then f is called *TW-function of monotonic type* (a, b) . The n -th partial sum and the n -th remainder of f is defined as

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b^k \varphi_k(x), \quad r_n(x) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b^k \varphi_k(x), \quad x \in X.$$

In the case $S_n = \frac{1}{a^n} \mathbb{Z}$ and $X = \mathbb{R}$ we obtain the *standard Takagi-van der Waerden function of type* (a, b) $f_{a,b} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b^n d(x, \frac{1}{a^n} \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^n d(a^n x, \mathbb{Z}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the case $a \in \mathbb{N}$ this function is TW-function of monotonic type (a, b) . In particular, if $a = r > 1$ and $b = 1$ we obtain the *standard Takagi-van der Waerden function of type* r $f_r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f_r(x) = f_{r,1}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^n} d(r^n x, \mathbb{Z}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which was considered, for example, in [1]. If we put $r = 2$ or $r = 10$ then we obtain the classical nowhere differentiable *Takagi function* f_2 or *van der Waerden function* f_{10} (see Introduction in [1]).

Proposition 4.2. *Let X be a metric space and $a > b > 0$ and f is TW-function of type (a, b) , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, s_n and r_n be the n -th partial sum and the n -th remainder of f . Then f, s_n, r_n are continuous functions on X and the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $0 \leq f(x) \leq \frac{a}{a-b}$, $x \in X$;
- (ii) $r_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $0 \leq r_n(x) \leq \frac{b^n}{(a-b)a^{n-1}}$, $x \in X$;
- (iii) if $b > 1$ then $s_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function with the constant $\frac{b^n}{b-1}$.

Proof. (ii). By Proposition 4.1(iv) we conclude that $b^k d(x, S_k) \leq \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^k$. Therefore, the series from Definition 5 is uniformly convergent. Thus, r_n is a continuous function and

$$0 \leq r_n(x) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^k = \frac{\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^n}{1 - \frac{b}{a}} = \frac{b^n}{(a-b)a^{n-1}}$$

for any $x \in X$.

(i). Since $f = r_0$, we conclude that (i) \rightarrow (ii).

(iii). By Proposition 4.1(iii) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n(x) - s_n(y)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b^k |d(x, S_k) - d(y, S_k)| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b^k |x - y|_X = \frac{b^n - 1}{b - 1} |x - y|_X \leq \frac{b^n}{b - 1} |x - y|_X \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in X$. □

5. Big Lipschitz derivative of Takagi - van der Waerden functions

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a metric space, $a > b > 2$ and f be a TW-function of monotonic type (a, b) . Then $\text{Lip } f(x) = \infty$ for any $x \in X^d$. Moreover, if $(S_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is such as in Definition 5 then for any $x \in X^d \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ we have that $\text{lip } f(x) = \infty$*

Proof. Let S_n, φ_n, s_n, r_n be such as in Definition 5. Fix $x \in X^d$. Let us prove that $\text{Lip } f(x) = \infty$

Firstly, we consider the case $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ and prove that $\text{Lip } f(x) = \text{lip } f(x) = \infty$. Then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in S_{n_0}$. Fix $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, $x \in S_{n_0} \subseteq S_n \subseteq S_m$ and so $\varphi_m(x) = 0$ for any $m \geq n$. Thus, $r_n(x) = 0$. Consider $u \in B(x, \frac{1}{2a^n})$. Then $\varrho = |u - x|_X < \frac{1}{2a^n}$. Since S_n is $\frac{1}{a^n}$ -separated, we conclude that $\varphi_n(u) = d(u, S_n) = \varrho$, and then $r_n(u) \geq b^n \varphi_n(u) = b^n \varrho$.

Therefore, $|r_n(u) - r_n(x)| = r_n(u) \geq b^n \varrho$. On the other hand, Proposition 4.2(iii) implies that $|s_n(u) - s_n(x)| < \frac{b^n \varrho}{b-1} |u - x|_X = \frac{b^n \varrho}{b-1}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u) - f(x)| &= \left| (r_n(u) - r_n(x)) + (s_n(u) - s_n(x)) \right| \\ &\geq |r_n(u) - r_n(x)| - |s_n(u) - s_n(x)| \\ &\geq b^n \varrho - \frac{b^n \varrho}{b-1} = b^n \varrho \alpha, \quad \text{where } \alpha = \frac{b-2}{b-1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $r > 0$ such that $r < \frac{1}{2a^n}$. Then

$$\text{Lip}^r f(x) = \frac{1}{r} \sup_{u \in B(x,r)} |f(u) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{r} \sup_{|u-x|_X = \varrho < r} b^n \varrho \alpha = b^n \alpha.$$

Thus,

$$\text{Lip} f(x) \geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}^r f(x) \geq b^n \alpha \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consider $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ and prove that $\text{Lip} f(x) = \infty$. By (3) it is enough to prove that there exists a sequence of points $u_n \rightarrow x$ such that $u_n \neq x$ and $\frac{1}{\varrho_n} |f(u_n) - f(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\varrho_n = |u_n - x|_X$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $x \notin S_n$, and so $\varphi_n(x) = d(x, S_n) > 0$. Since $\frac{b}{2} > 1$, $d(x, S_n) = \varphi_n(x) < \frac{b}{2} \varphi_n(x)$. So, there is $u_n \in S_n$ with $\varrho_n = |u_n - x|_X < \frac{b}{2} \varphi_n(x)$. Hence, $\varphi_n(x) > \frac{2\varrho_n}{b}$. Proposition 4.2(iii) implies $\varphi_n(x) < \frac{1}{a^n}$, and then $\varrho_n \rightarrow 0$. Since $u_n \in S_n \subseteq S_m$ for any $m \geq n$, we have that $r_n(u_n) = 0$. Hence, by Proposition 4.2(iii) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(u_n)| &= |r_n(x) + s_n(x) - s_n(u_n)| \\ &\geq r_n(x) - |s_n(x) - s_n(u_n)| \\ &\geq b^n \varphi_n(x) - \frac{b^n}{b-1} |u_n - x|_X \\ &\geq b^n \frac{2\varrho_n}{b} - \frac{b^n \varrho_n}{b-1} = b^n \varrho_n \beta, \quad \text{where } \beta = \frac{b-2}{b(b-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\frac{1}{\varrho_n} |f(u_n) - f(x)| \geq b^n \beta \rightarrow \infty$, and so, $\text{Lip} f(x) = \infty$. \square

6. Defect of a metric spaces and small Lipschitz derivative of Takagi - van der Waerden functions

Definition 6. Let X be a metric space and $x \in X$. The *defect of X at x* is, by definition, the number

$$D(X, x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{u \in B(x,r)} |u - x|_X.$$

Let X^d denotes the set of all non-isolated points of X . Obviously, $D(X, x) = 0$ for any $x \in X \setminus X^d$. The *defect of X* is, by definition, the number

$$D(X) = \inf_{x \in X^d} D(X, x).$$

Obviously, $0 \leq D(X, x) \leq 1$. If we consider the function $d_x(u) = |u - x|_X$, $u \in X$, then

$$D(X, x) = \text{lip } d_x(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \text{lip}_\varepsilon d_x(x).$$

Definition 7. Let X be a metric space, $x \in X$ and $\lambda < D(X, x)$. The *radius of the defect of X at x* is defined to be

$$RD_\lambda(X, x) = \sup \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \text{lip}_\varepsilon d_x(x) > \lambda \}$$

Obviously $RD_\lambda(X, x) > 0$ for any $\lambda < D(X, x)$. The proof of the following propositions is straightforward.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be a metric space, $x \in X$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. If $\lambda < D(X, x)$ then for any $0 \leq r < RD_\lambda(X, x)$ there exists $u \in X$ such that*

$$\lambda r \leq |x - u|_X \leq r \tag{8}$$

Conversely, if there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $0 \leq r < \varepsilon$ there is $u \in X$ with (8) then $D(X, x) \geq \lambda$ and $RD_\lambda(X, x) \geq \varepsilon$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let M be a metric subspace of some normed space X such that $M \subseteq \text{Int } \overline{M} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $D(M, x) = 1$ for any $x \in M$.*

Lemma 6.3. *Let X be a metric space, $x \in X^d$, $0 < \lambda < D(X, x)$, $0 < \varepsilon < RD_\lambda(X, x)$, S be a maximal ε -separated set in X and $\varphi(\cdot) = d(\cdot, S)$. Then there exist $u \in B[x, \varepsilon]$ such that*

$$|\varphi(u) - \varphi(x)| \geq \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{8}$$

Proof. Set $\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{8}$ and prove that $|\varphi(u) - \varphi(x)| \geq \alpha \varepsilon$ for some $u \in B[x, \varepsilon]$.

Firstly, we consider the case $\varphi(x) \geq \alpha \varepsilon$. Since $\varphi(x) = d(x, S) < \varepsilon$ by Proposition 4.2(iii), we conclude that there is a point $u \in S$ such that $|u - x|_X < \varepsilon$. Therefore, $\varphi(u) = 0$ and then

$$|\varphi(u) - \varphi(x)| = \varphi(x) \geq \alpha \varepsilon.$$

Secondly, we consider the case $\varphi(x) < \alpha\varepsilon$. Then there exists $s \in X$ such that $|s - x|_X < \alpha\varepsilon$. Set $r = \frac{3\varepsilon}{8}$. Since $0 < r < \varepsilon < RD_\lambda(X, x)$, there is $u \in X$ with

$$\lambda r \leq |u - x|_X \leq r$$

by Proposition 6.1. Observe that $\lambda < D(X, x) \leq 1$. Then $\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{8} < \frac{1}{8}$. Therefore,

$$|u - s|_X \leq |u - x|_X + |x - s|_X < r + \alpha\varepsilon < r + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} = \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let us consider some $t \in S \setminus \{s\}$. Since S is ε -separated, $|s - t|_X \geq \varepsilon$. Hence,

$$|u - t|_X \geq |t - s|_X - |u - s|_X \geq \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \geq |u - s|_X.$$

Thus, $\varphi(u) = d(u, S) = |u - s|_X$. Therefore,

$$\varphi(u) = |u - s|_X \geq |u - x|_X - |s - x|_X \geq \lambda r - \alpha\varepsilon = \frac{3\lambda\varepsilon}{8} - \alpha\varepsilon = 3\alpha\varepsilon - \alpha\varepsilon = 2\alpha\varepsilon,$$

and then

$$|\varphi(u) - \varphi(x)| \geq \varphi(u) - \varphi(x) \geq 2\alpha\varepsilon - \alpha\varepsilon = \alpha\varepsilon.$$

Thus, in the both cases we have that $|\varphi(u) - \varphi(x)| \geq \alpha\varepsilon$ for some $u \in B[x, \varepsilon]$. \square

Theorem 6.4. *Let X be a metric space with $D(X) > 0$, $a > b > 1$ such that*

$$\frac{2b}{a-b} + \frac{1}{b-1} < \frac{D(X)}{8}, \quad (9)$$

and f be TW-function of type (a, b) . Then $\text{lip } f(x) = \infty$ for any $x \in X^d$.

Proof. Let S_n, φ_n, s_n, r_n be such as in Definition 5. Fix $x \in X^d$. Let us prove that $\text{lip } f(x) = \infty$. Since (9), we can pick $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda < D(X)$ and

$$\gamma := \frac{\lambda}{8} - \frac{2b}{a-b} - \frac{1}{b-1} > 0. \quad (10)$$

Therefore, $RD_\lambda(X, x) > 0$. Put $\delta = \min \left\{ 1, RD_\lambda(X, x) \right\}$ and fix $0 < r < \delta$. Set $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{a^n}$. Since $\varepsilon_0 = 1 \geq \delta$ and $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$, there exists $n = n(r) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_n \leq r < \varepsilon_{n-1}$. Put $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(r) = \varepsilon_n$. Observe that $\varepsilon(r) \rightarrow 0$ and then $n(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$. Since $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n \leq r < \delta \leq RD_\lambda(X, x)$ we can

us Lemma 6.3 for the set $S = S_n$ and the function $\varphi = \varphi_n$. So, there is $u \in B[x, \varepsilon]$ with

$$|\varphi(u) - \varphi(x)| \geq \frac{\lambda\varepsilon}{8}.$$

Denote $g = s_n$ and $h = r_{n+1}$. Then

$$f = g + b^n\varphi + h$$

By Proposition 4.2 the function g is Lipschitz with the constant $\frac{b^n}{b-1}$ and $0 \leq h \leq \frac{b^{n+1}}{(a-b)a^n}$. Therefore,

$$|g(u) - g(x)| \leq \frac{b^n}{b-1}|u - x|_X \leq \frac{1}{b-1}b^n\varepsilon,$$

$$|h(u) - h(x)| \leq |h(u)| + |h(x)| \leq \frac{2b^{n+1}}{(a-b)a^n} = \frac{2b}{a-b}b^n\varepsilon$$

$$|b^n\varphi(u) - b^n\varphi(x)| \leq b^n\frac{\lambda\varepsilon}{8} = \frac{\lambda}{8}b^n\varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u) - f(x)| &\geq |b^n\varphi(u) - b^n\varphi(x)| - |g(u) - g(x)| - |h(u) - h(x)| \geq \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{8}b^n\varepsilon - \frac{1}{b-1}b^n\varepsilon - \frac{2b}{a-b}b^n\varepsilon = \left(\frac{\lambda}{8} - \frac{1}{b-1} - \frac{2b}{a-b}\right)b^n\varepsilon = \gamma b^n\varepsilon = \\ &= \gamma b^n\varepsilon_n = \frac{\gamma b^n}{a^n} = \frac{\gamma}{a}b^n\varepsilon_{n-1} \geq \frac{\gamma}{a}b^n r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{Lip}^r f(x) \geq \frac{\gamma}{a}b^n, \text{ for any } r < \delta.$$

But $n = n(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$ and $b > 1$. Thus,

$$\text{lip} f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}^r f(x) \geq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma}{a}b^{n(r)} = \infty.$$

So, $\text{lip} f(x) = \infty$ for any $x \in X^d$. □

Lemma 6.5. *Let X be a metric space, G be an open subset of X , $F = X \setminus G$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then there exist α -Lipschitz functions $g : X \rightarrow [0; \alpha]$ such that $F = g^{-1}(0)$.*

Proof. If $F = \emptyset$ then we put $g(x) = \alpha$ for any $x \in X$. Suppose that $F \neq \emptyset$. Define

$$d(x, F) = \inf_{y \in F} |x - y|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

For any $x, y \in X$ we have that

$$d(x, F) = \inf_{z \in F} |x - z|_X \leq \inf_{z \in F} (|x - y|_X + |y - z|_X) = |x - y|_X + d(y, F).$$

Let us define a function g as

$$g(x) = \alpha \min \{1, d(x, F)\}, \quad x \in X.$$

Therefore, for any $x, y \in X$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \alpha \min \{1, d(x, F)\} \leq \alpha \min \{1, |x - y|_X + d(y, F)\} \\ &\leq \alpha |x - y|_X + \alpha \min \{1, d(y, F)\} = \alpha |x - y|_X + g(y). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing x to y we obtain that $g(y) \leq \alpha |x - y|_X + g(x)$. Thus,

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq \alpha |x - y|_X, \quad \text{for any } x, y \in X,$$

and then g is α -Lipschitz. On the other hand, since F is closed,

$$g(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow d(x, F) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in F, \quad x \in X.$$

Hence, $F = g^{-1}(0)$, and then g is such as we need. \square

Theorem 6.6. *Let X be a metric space without isolated point such that $D(X) > 0$ and G be an open subset of X . Then there exists a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L^\infty(f) = \ell^\infty(f) = G$ and $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f) = \overline{G}$.*

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2b}{a-b} + \frac{1}{b-1} \right) = 0 < \frac{D(X)}{8},$$

we can chose $b > 1$ and then $a > b$ such that inequality (9) holds. Let h be a TW-function of type (a, b) . By Theorem 6.4 and Proposition 4.2 we have that $\text{lip } h(x) = \infty$ and $0 \leq h(x) \leq \frac{a}{a-b}$ for any $x \in X$. Let $F = X \setminus G$, $U = \text{Int } F = X \setminus \overline{G}$ and $\alpha = \frac{a-b}{a}$. We construct g by Lemma 6.5 and define the function $f : X \rightarrow [0; 1]$ by $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ for any $x \in X$.

Consider $x \in F$ and $u \in X$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u) - f(x)| &= |g(u)h(u) - g(x)h(x)| = g(u)h(u) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}g(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha}(g(u) - g(x)) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \alpha |u - x|_X = |u - x|_X, \end{aligned}$$

and so, $\text{Lip } f(x) \leq 1$. Moreover, $\mathbb{L}ip f(x) \leq 1$ for any $x \in U$.

Now we consider $x \in G$ and prove $\text{Lip } f(x) = \text{lip } f(x) = +\infty$. For any $r > 0$ and $u \in B(x, r)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u) - f(x)| &= |g(u)h(u) - g(x)h(x)| \\ &= \left| g(x)(h(x) - h(u)) - h(u)(g(u) - g(x)) \right| \\ &\geq g(x)|h(x) - h(u)| - h(u)|g(u) - g(x)| \\ &\geq g(x)|h(x) - h(u)| - \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \alpha|x - u| \\ &\geq g(x)|h(x) - h(u)| - r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}^r f(x) &= \sup_{u \in B(x, r)} \frac{1}{r} |f(u) - f(x)| \\ &\geq g(x) \sup_{u \in B(x, r)} \frac{1}{r} |h(u) - h(x)| - 1 \\ &= g(x) \text{Lip}^r h(x) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, since $g(x) > 0$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip } f(x) &\geq \text{Lip} f(x) \geq \text{lip } f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}^r f(x) \\ &\geq g(x) \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}^r h(x) - 1 \\ &= g(x) \text{lip } h(x) - 1 = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{lip } f(x) = \text{Lip } f(x) = \mathbb{L}ip f(x)$ on G . Thus, $\ell^\infty(f) = L^\infty(f) = G$ and $G \subseteq \mathbb{L}^\infty(f) \subseteq X \setminus U = \overline{G}$. Finally, since $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f)$ is closed, $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f) = \overline{G}$ \square

Using Theorem 5.1 instead of Theorem 6.4 in the previous proof we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.7. *Let X be a metric space without isolated point and G be an open subset of X . Then there exists a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L^\infty(f) = G$ and $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f) = \overline{G}$.*

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to appreciate Thomas Zürcher, whose talk on the Seminar of Real Analysis in Katowice inspired us to engage in this topic.

References

- [1] P.C. Allaart, *On the level sets of the Takagi-van der Waerden functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **419**, N2 (2014) 1168-1180 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.05.046>
- [2] Z. M. Balogh, M. Csörnyei, *Scaled-oscillation and regularity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 9, 2667–2675. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4098116>
- [3] Z. Buczolich, B. Hanson, B. Maga, G. Vértesy, *Characterization of lip sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **489**, N2 (2020) 124175 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124175>
- [4] Z. Buczolich, B. Hanson, M. Rmoutil, T. Zürcher, *On sets where lip f is finite*, Studia mathematica, **249**, N1 (2019) 33-58. <https://doi.org/10.4064/sm170820-26-5>
- [5] R. Engelking, *General topology. Revised and completed edition*, Berlin: Heldermann, 1989
- [6] L.C. Evans, R.F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [7] B. Hanson, *Sets where Lip f is infinite and lip f is finite*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **499**, N2 (2021) 125071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125071>
- [8] J. Lindenstrauss, D. Preiss, *On Fréchet differentiability of Lipschitz maps between Banach spaces*, Annals Math., **157** (2003) 257–288. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3597167>
- [9] J. Malý, *A simple proof of the Stepanov theorem on differentiability almost everywhere*, Exposition. Math. **17**, N1 (1999) 59–61.
- [10] J. Malý, L. Zajíček, *On Stepanov Type differentiability Theorems*. Acta Math. Hungar. **145**, 174–190 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-014-0465-6>

- [11] M. Rmoutil, T. Zürcher, *In sets where lip f is infinite for monotone continuous functions*, arXiv:2401.15388v1 [math.CA] 27 Jan 2024 <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.15388>
- [12] H. Rademacher, *Über partielle und totale differenzierbarkeit von Funktionen mehrerer Variabeln und über die Transformation der Doppelintegrale* Math. Ann., **79** (1919) 340–359. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01498415>
- [13] D. Salas, S. Tapia-García, *Extended seminorms and extended topological vector spaces*, Topology and its Applications, **210** (2016), 317-354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2016.08.001>
- [14] W. Stepanoff, *Über totale Differenzierbarkeit* Math. Anna. 90 (1923) 318-320. <http://eudml.org/doc/159034>