

GAUSS CURVATURE FLOW TO THE L_p -GAUSSIAN CHORD MINKOWSKI PROBLEM

XIA ZHAO AND PEIBIAO ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Recently, Huang and Qin [14] introduced the Gaussian chord measure and L_p -Gaussian chord measure by variational methods. Meanwhile, they posed Gaussian chord Minkowski problem for $p = 1$ and used variational methods to obtain an origin-symmetric normalized measure solution for the Gaussian chord Minkowski problem. The smooth solution, up to now, to the L_p -Gaussian chord Minkowski problem is still open.

Motivated by the forgoing works by Huang and Qin in [14], we propose in the present paper the $L_p(p > 0)$ -Gaussian chord Minkowski problem and log-Gaussian chord Minkowski problem, and obtain the smooth even solutions to these two types of problems by the method of a Gauss curvature flow.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In the past 30 years, the Minkowski problem has played an important role in the study of convex geometry, and the research of Minkowski problem has promoted the development of fully nonlinear partial differential equations. The classical Minkowski problem argues the existence, uniqueness and regularity of a convex body whose surface area measure is equal to a pre-given Borel measure on the sphere. If the given measure has a positive continuous density, the Minkowski problem can be seen as the problem of prescribing the Gauss curvature in differential geometry. With the emergence of L_p surface measure, the L_p Minkowski problem has also been proposed. In particular, the $L_p(p \in \mathbb{R})$ -Minkowski problem is extremely important, because it contains some special versions, such as when $p = 1$, it is the classical Minkowski problem; when $p = 0$, the critical L_0 case which is called cone volume measure, the Minkowski problem for cone volume measure is the log-Minkowski problem [2]; when $p = -n$, it corresponds to the centro-affine Minkowski problem [33]; the L_p -Minkowski problem with $p > 1$ was first proposed and studied by Lutwak [22], whose solution plays a key role in establishing the L_p -affine Sobolev inequality [11, 23].

With the development of Minkowski problems, scholars have proposed some types of Minkowski problems. We know that the different geometric measures are corresponding to different Minkowski type problems. For instance, Xiao [31] prescribed capacity curvature measures on planar convex domains: If a given finite, nonnegative Borel measure $\mu \in S^1$ has centroid at the origin and its $\text{supp}(\mu)$ does not comprise any pair of antipodal points, then, there is a unique (up to translation) convex, nonempty, open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $d\mu_q(\Omega, \cdot) = d\mu(\cdot)$, where $\mu_q(\Omega, \cdot)$ is q -capacity curvature measure of Ω with $q \in (1, 2]$.

Key words and phrases. Gauss curvature flow; L_p -Gaussian chord Minkowski problem; Monge-Ampère equation.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A20 35K96 58J35.

Recently, a theory analogous to the one for the Minkowski problem was introduced by Lutwak, Xi, Yang, and Zhang [24], the volume is replaced by (q) chord integrals and surface area measure is replaced by the differential of ($q \geq 0$) chord integrals which is defined chord measure. Based on chord measure, they posed and solved chord Minkowski problem and chord log-Minkowski problem (partly solved). Interestingly, when $q = 1$, the chord measure recovers surface area measure, and when ($q = 0$), it is the area measure S_{n-2} . For the L_p chord Minkowski problem, Xi, Yang, Zhang and Zhao [30] used variational methods gave a measure solution when $p > 1$ and $0 < p < 1$ in the symmetric case. Guo, Xi and Zhao [10] also obtained a measure solution for $0 \leq p < 1$ by similar methods without the symmetric assumption. In addition, authors [32] posed the Orlicz chord Minkowski problem and obtained smooth solutions by a Gauss curvature flow. When $q = 1$, the L_p chord Minkowski problem is the L_p Minkowski problem. For other references with respect to the L_p chord Minkowski problem, please refer to [12, 13, 18, 25].

Huang, Xi and Zhao [15] extended the classical Minkowski problem in \mathbb{R}^n to the Gaussian probability space, where the volume and surface area measure in Euclidean space were replaced by Gaussian volume and Gaussian surface measure, respectively. Then the Gaussian Minkowski problem was posed and provided a sufficient condition for the existence of solution. Later, Liu [19] extended the Gaussian Minkowski problem to L_p form, when $p \geq 1$, a sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of origin-symmetric weak solution was given. Moreover, Feng, Hu and Xu [8] provided the existence of symmetric (resp. asymmetric) solutions to the problem for $p \leq 0$ (resp. $p \geq 1$). Furthermore, Sheng and Xue [29] obtained smooth solutions by method of Gauss curvature flow for $p > 0$ and $-n - 1 < p \leq 0$ to normalized L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem and $p \geq n + 1$ and $0 < p < n + 1$ to L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem. Contrary to classical surface area measure, the Gaussian surface measure is neither translationally invariant nor homogeneous. These special properties make the Gaussian Minkowski problem quite differ from the classical Minkowski problem, which has an interest on its own.

Very recently, based on chord integral and Gaussian probability space, Huang and Qin [14] generalized the chord integrals to Gaussian probability space for $q > 1$. In the case of $q > 1$, the Gaussian chord integral is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\gamma,q}(K) &= \int_K \int_K \frac{1}{|z - y|^{n-q+1}} d\mathcal{H}_\gamma^n(z) d\mathcal{H}_\gamma^n(y) \\ &= \int_K \int_K \frac{e^{-(|z|^2+|y|^2)/2}}{|z - y|^{n-q+1}} dz dy. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Furthermore, they established (L_p) the variational formula of Gaussian chord integral with (L_p) Minkowski sum. Here, we first state the variational formula for $p = 1$.

Theorem 1.1. [14, Theorem 4.3] *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and $q > 1$. Suppose that $g : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $h_t : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by*

$$h_t(u) = h_K(u) + tg(u) + o(t, u), \quad t \in (-\delta, +\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad u \in S^{n-1},$$

where $o(t, u)/t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on S^{n-1} , as $t \rightarrow 0$. If

$$K_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot u \leq h_t(u) \text{ for all } u \in S^{n-1}\}, \quad t \in (-\delta, +\delta),$$

is the Wulff shape of h_t , it holds

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{K_t}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{t} = \frac{g(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u))}{u \cdot \nu_K(\rho_K(u)u)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{I_{\gamma,q}(K_t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{I_{\gamma,q}(K_t) - I_{\gamma,q}(K)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \int_K \int_K \frac{1}{|z-y|^{n-q+1}} d\gamma(z) d\gamma(y) - \int_{K_t} \int_{K_t} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{n-q+1}} d\gamma(z) d\gamma(y) \right\} \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \int_0^{\rho_{K_t}(u)} \int_0^{\rho_{K_t}(v)} - \int_0^{\rho_K(u)} \int_0^{\rho_K(v)} \right\} \frac{r_1^{n-1} r_2^{n-1} e^{-(r_1^2+r_2^2)/2}}{|r_1 u - r_2 v|^{n-q+1}} dr_1 dr_2 dudv \\ &= 2 \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho_K(v)} \frac{\rho_K(u)^{n-1} r_2^{n-1} e^{-(\rho_K(u)^2+r_2^2)/2}}{|\rho_K(u)u - r_2 v|^{n-q+1}} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{K_t}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{t} dr_2 dudv \\ &= 2 \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho_K(v)} \frac{\rho_K(u)^{n-1} r_2^{n-1} e^{-(\rho_K(u)^2+r_2^2)/2}}{|\rho_K(u)u - r_2 v|^{n-q+1}} \frac{g(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u))}{u \cdot \nu_K(\rho_K(u)u)} dr_2 dudv \\ &= 2 \int_{\partial K} \int_K \frac{e^{-z^2/2}}{|y-z|^{n-q+1}} dz \cdot g(\nu_K(y)) e^{-y^2/2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &= \int_{\partial K} g(\nu_K(y)) \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(K, y) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = \int_{S^{n-1}} g(u) dF_{\gamma,q}(K, u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(K, y) = 2e^{-|y|^2/2} \left\{ \int_K \frac{e^{-|z|^2/2}}{|z-y|^{n-q+1}} dz \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$F_{\gamma,q}(K, \eta) = \int_{\nu_K^{-1}(\eta)} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(K, y) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y), \quad \text{Borel set } \eta \subseteq S^{n-1}.$$

Next, a similar result holds for the L_p -combination perturbation of the supporting function, which is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. [14, Theorem 4.4] *Let $p \neq 0$, $q > 1$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$. Suppose that $g : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $h_t : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by*

$$h_t(u) = (h_K(u)^p + tg(u)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad u \in S^{n-1}$$

and K_t is the Wulff shape of h_t , it holds

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{K_t}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{t} = \frac{1}{p} \frac{g(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u))^p h_K(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u))^{1-p}}{u \cdot \nu_K(\rho_K(u)u)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{I_{\gamma,q}(K_t)} &= \int_{\partial K} g(\nu_K(y))^p \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(K, y) h_K(\nu_K(y))^{1-p} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} g(u)^p dG_{\gamma,p,q}(K, u), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$G_\gamma^{p,q} = G_{\gamma,p,q}(K, \eta) = \frac{2}{p} \int_{\nu_K^{-1}(\eta)} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(K, y) h_K(\nu_K(y))^{1-p} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y), \text{ Borel set } \eta \subseteq S^{n-1}. \quad (1.4)$$

In fact, we can directly obtain Theorem 1.2 by replacing $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{K_t}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{t}$ with $\frac{1}{p} \frac{g(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u))^p h_K(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u))^{1-p}}{u \cdot \nu_K(\rho_K(u)u)}$ in Theorem 1.1. When $p = 1$, (1.3) correspondings to the Gaussian chord measure in Theorem 1.1.

As for the log-Minkowski perturbation, the variational formula of nonlocal function in Gaussian probability space is obtained in the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.3. [14, Theorem 4.5] *Let $q > 1$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$. Suppose that $g : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $h_t : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by*

$$\log h_t(u) = \log h_K(u) + tg(u) + o(t, u) \quad t \in (-\delta, +\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad u \in S^{n-1},$$

where, $o(t, \cdot)/t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on S^{n-1} , as $t \rightarrow 0$. If K_t is the Wulff shape of h_t , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{K_t}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{t} &= \rho'_{K_t}(u)|_{t=0} = \rho_K(u) \log \rho'_{K_t}(u)|_{t=0} \\ &= \rho_K(u) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \rho_{K_t}(u) - \log \rho_K(u)}{t} = h_K(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u)) \frac{g(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u))}{u \cdot \nu_K(\rho_K(u)u)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} I_{\gamma,q}(K_t) &= \int_{\partial K} g(\nu_K(y)) \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(K, y) h_K(\nu_K(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} g(u) dG_{\gamma,0,q}(K, u), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$G_\gamma^{\log,q} = G_{\gamma,0,q}(K, \eta) = \int_{\nu_K^{-1}(\eta)} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(K, y) h_K(\nu_K(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y), \text{ Borel set } \eta \subseteq S^{n-1}. \quad (1.6)$$

In the same way, we immediately get Theorem 1.3 by replacing $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{K_t}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{t}$ with $h_K(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u)) \frac{g(\nu_K(\rho_K(u)u))}{u \cdot \nu_K(\rho_K(u)u)}$ in Theorem 1.1.

With the help of variational formula (1.3), we can propose the normalized L_p -Gaussian chord Minkowski problem for $p \neq 0$.

The L_p -Gaussian chord Minkowski problem. Let $q > 1$, $p \neq 0$ and μ be a finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} , under what necessary and sufficient conditions, does there exist a unique convex body $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and positive constant τ_1 so that

$$\mu = \tau_1 G_\gamma^{p,q}(\Omega, \cdot)? \quad (1.7)$$

From [14, Proposition 3.7], we know that $G_\gamma^{p,q}(\Omega, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the surface measure $S(K, \cdot)$. If the given measure μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure, that is, has a density function $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is smooth, then, solving problem (1.7) can be equivalently viewed as solving the following normalized Monge-Ampère equation from the (1.4) for $p \neq 0$ on S^{n-1} .

$$\tau_1 \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega, \cdot) h_\Omega^{1-p} \det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}) = f. \quad (1.8)$$

When $p = 1$, the L_p -Gaussian chord Minkowski problem is the Gaussian chord Minkowski problem which was first studied by Huang and Qin [14], they obtained an origin-symmetric normalized measure solution by variational method.

Similar to cone volume measure, we call $G_\gamma^{\log,q}$ cone-Gaussian chord measure. The Minkowski problem prescribing cone-Gaussian chord measures is:

The log-Gaussian chord Minkowski problem. Let $q > 1$ and μ be a finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} , under what necessary and sufficient conditions, does there exist a unique convex body $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and positive constant τ_2 so that

$$\mu = \tau_2 G_\gamma^{\log,q}(\Omega, \cdot)? \quad (1.9)$$

The partial differential equation associated with (1.9) is a new type of Monge-Ampère equation from (1.6) on S^{n-1} ,

$$\tau_2 \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega, \cdot) h_\Omega \det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}) = f. \quad (1.10)$$

In this paper, we will study the L_p -Gaussian chord Minkowski problem and give the existence of smooth even solutions for (1.8) with $q > 2$ and $p > 0$ by the method of a Gauss curvature flow. In addition, we also provide a smooth even solution to log-Gaussian chord Minkowski problem to (1.10). The Gauss curvature flow was first introduced and studied by Firey [9] to model the shape change of worn stones. It can mainly be used to study the existence of smooth solutions to the famous Minkowski (type) problems. For instances, Chen, Huang and Zhao [5] obtained smooth even solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem by the method of Gauss curvature flow. Liu and Lu [20] used a Gauss curvature flow to solve dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem and obtained its smooth solutions. Since then, various problems of Gauss curvature flows have been extensively studied, see examples [1, 3, 4, 7, 17, 21] and the references therein.

Remark 1.4. *From the definitions of the L_p ($p \neq 0$)-combination perturbation and log-Minkowski perturbation of the support function, we know that the log-Minkowski perturbation is the limit form of the L_p combination perturbation when p tends to 0. In this sense, the variational formula (1.3) and (1.5) belong to different categories, then, the corresponding L_p ($p \neq 0$)-Gaussian chord Minkowski problem (1.7) is different from log-Gaussian chord Minkowski problem (1.9). However, when we transform (1.7) to equivalent form equation (1.8) and (1.9) to (1.10), from the perspective of the equation, these two problems can be considered unifiedly. To this end, we can unifiedly construct the following curvature flow to solve the L_p -Gaussian chord Minkowski problem for $p > 0$ and log-Gaussian chord Minkowski problem for the critical L_0 case.*

Let $\partial\Omega_0$ be a smooth, closed and origin-symmetric strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n . We consider the long-time existence and convergence of the following Gauss curvature flow which is a family of convex hypersurfaces $\partial\Omega_t$ parameterized by smooth maps $X(\cdot, t) : S^{n-1} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\theta(t) \frac{\langle X, v \rangle^p \mathcal{K}(x,t) f(v)}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t, \cdot)} v + X(x, t), \\ X(x, 0) = X_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(x, t)$ is the Gauss curvature of hypersurface $\partial\Omega_t$, $v = x$ is the outer unit normal at $X(x, t)$, $\langle X, v \rangle$ represents standard inner product of X and v , and $\theta(t)$ is given by

$$\theta(t) = \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\Omega_t, \cdot) \rho^n(\xi, t) d\xi}{\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p(x, t) f(x) dx},$$

where ρ and h are the radial function and support function of the convex hypersurface $\partial\Omega_t$, respectively.

Remark 1.5. *When $p = 0$, the flow (1.11) and $\theta(t)$ be meaningful. Thus, the flow (1.11) can also be used to study the log-Gaussian chord Minkowski problem.*

Combining problem (1.8), (1.10) with flow (1.11), we establish the following result in this article.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose $q > 2$, $p \geq 0$, $\partial\Omega_0$ be a smooth, closed and origin-symmetric strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n and f be a positive smooth even function on S^{n-1} . Then, the flow (1.11) has a unique smooth solution to the $\partial\Omega_t = X(S^{n-1}, t)$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$. When $t \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence of $\partial\Omega_t$ converges in C^∞ to a smooth, closed, origin-symmetric and strictly convex hypersurface Ω_∞ , whose support function satisfies (1.8) for $p > 0$ and (1.10) for $p = 0$.*

This paper is organized as follows. We collect background materials in Section 2. In Section 3, we give the parameterized form of flow (1.11) by support function and discuss properties of two important functionals along the flow (1.11). In Section 4, we give the priori estimates for the solution to the flow (1.11). We obtain the convergence of the flow and complete the proof of Theorem 1.6 in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give a brief review of some relevant notions about convex bodies and recall some basic properties of convex hypersurfaces that readers may refer to [28] and a book of Schneider [26].

2.1. Convex bodies. Let \mathbb{R}^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space, let $|z| = \sqrt{z \cdot z}$ be the Euclidean norm of z . The unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by S^{n-1} , ω_n is the volume of the unit ball. For $k \in [0, n]$, \mathcal{H}^k denotes the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure in \mathbb{R}^n . In integrals with respect to \mathcal{H}^n , we often abbreviate $d\mathcal{H}^n(z)$ by dz . Similarly, in integrals over the unite sphere S^{n-1} , instead of $d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ we write du . \mathcal{H}_γ^k is the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure with respect to Gaussian density function $f(z) = e^{-|z|^2/2}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Assume that $\partial\Omega$ be a smooth, closed and strictly convex hypersurface containing the origin in its interior. The support function of convex body Ω is defined by

$$h_\Omega(\xi) = h(\Omega, \xi) = \max\{\xi \cdot y : y \in \Omega\}, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1},$$

and the radial function of Ω with respect to $z \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\rho_{\Omega, z}(v) = \rho((\Omega, z), v) = \max\{c > 0 : cv + z \in \Omega\}, \quad v \in S^{n-1}.$$

For a compact convex subset $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $v \in S^{n-1}$, the intersection of a supporting hyperplane with Ω , $H(\Omega, v)$ at v is given by

$$H(\Omega, v) = \{y \in \Omega : y \cdot v = h_\Omega(v)\}.$$

A boundary point of Ω which only has one supporting hyperplane is called a regular point, otherwise, it is a singular point. The set of singular points is denoted as $\sigma\Omega$, it is well known that $\sigma\Omega$ has spherical Lebesgue measure 0.

For $y \in \partial\Omega \setminus \sigma\Omega$, its Gauss map $\nu_\Omega : y \in \partial\Omega \setminus \sigma\Omega \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ is represented by

$$\nu_\Omega(y) = \{v \in S^{n-1} : y \cdot v = h_\Omega(v)\}.$$

Correspondingly, for a Borel set $\eta \subset S^{n-1}$, its inverse Gauss map is denoted by ν_Ω^{-1} ,

$$\nu_\Omega^{-1}(\eta) = \{y \in \partial\Omega : \nu_\Omega(y) \in \eta\}.$$

Specially, for a convex hypersurface $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 , then, the support function of Ω can be stated as

$$h(\Omega, x) = x \cdot \nu^{-1}(x) = \nu(X(x)) \cdot X(x), \quad X(x) \in \partial\Omega.$$

Moreover, the gradient of $h(\Omega, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$\nabla h(\Omega, x) = \nu^{-1}(x) = X(x).$$

For the Borel set $\eta \subset S^{n-1}$, its surface area measure is defined as

$$S_\Omega(\eta) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\nu_\Omega^{-1}(\eta)).$$

2.2. Convex hypersurface. Suppose that Ω is parameterized by the inverse Gauss map $X : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega$, that is $X(x) = \nu_\Omega^{-1}(x)$. Then, the support function h of Ω can be computed by

$$h(x) = x \cdot X(x), \quad x \in S^{n-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

where x is the outer normal of Ω at $X(x)$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ be an orthonormal frame on S^{n-1} , denote e_{ij} by the standard metric on the sphere S^{n-1} . Differentiating (2.1), there has

$$\nabla_i h = \nabla_i x \cdot X(x) + x \cdot \nabla_i X(x),$$

since $\nabla_i X(x)$ is tangent to Ω at $X(x)$, thus,

$$\nabla_i h = \nabla_i x \cdot X(x).$$

By differentiating (2.1) twice, the second fundamental form A_{ij} of Ω can be computed in terms of the support function,

$$A_{ij} = \nabla_{ij} h + h e_{ij}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\nabla_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j$ denotes the second order covariant derivative with respect to e_{ij} . The induced metric matrix g_{ij} of Ω can be derived by Weingarten's formula,

$$e_{ij} = \nabla_i x \cdot \nabla_j x = A_{ik} A_{lj} g^{kl}. \quad (2.3)$$

The principal radii of curvature are the eigenvalues of the matrix $b_{ij} = A^{ik} g_{jk}$. When considering a smooth local orthonormal frame on S^{n-1} , by virtue of (2.2) and (2.3), there has

$$b_{ij} = A_{ij} = \nabla_{ij} h + h \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then, the Gauss curvature of $X(x) \in \Omega$ is given by

$$\mathcal{K}(x) = (\det(\nabla_{ij} h + h \delta_{ij}))^{-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

3. Geometric flow and its associated functionals

In this section, we shall introduce the geometric flow and its associated functionals for solving the L_p -Gaussian chord Minkowski problem. For convenience, the Gauss curvature flow is restated here. Let $\partial\Omega_0$ be a smooth, closed and origin symmetric strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n and f be a positive smooth even function on S^{n-1} . We consider the following Gauss curvature flow

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\theta(t) \frac{\langle X, v \rangle^p \mathcal{K}(x,t) f(v)}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t, \cdot)} v + X(x,t), \\ X(x,0) = X_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(x,t)$ is the Gauss curvature of the hypersurface $\partial\Omega_t$ at $X(\cdot, t)$, $v = x$ is the unit outer normal vector of $\partial\Omega_t$ at $X(\cdot, t)$, $\langle X, v \rangle$ represents standard inner product of X and v , and $\theta(t)$ is given by

$$\theta(t) = \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t, \cdot) \rho^n(\xi, t) d\xi}{\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p(x, t) f(x) dx}. \quad (3.2)$$

Taking the scalar product of both sides of the equation and of the initial condition in (3.1) by v , by means of the definition of support function (2.1), we describe the flow equation associated with the support function as follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial h(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\theta(t) \frac{h^p \mathcal{K}(x,t) f(x)}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}([h], \cdot)} + h(x,t), \\ h(x,0) = h_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Next, we investigate the characteristic of Gaussian chord integral $I_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t)$ along the flow (3.1). Let's list a fact firstly (see e.g. [20]).

$$\frac{1}{\rho(\xi, t)} \frac{\partial \rho(\xi, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{h(x, t)} \frac{\partial h(x, t)}{\partial t}. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.1. *For $q > 1$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the $I_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t)$ is unchanged with regard to Eq. (3.3), namely,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $h(\cdot, t)$ and $\rho(\cdot, t)$ be the support function and radial function of Ω_t , respectively. From (1.1) and (1.2), we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\gamma,q}(K) &= \int_K \int_K \frac{e^{-(|z|^2+|y|^2)/2}}{|z-y|^{n-q+1}} dz dy \\ &= \int_K e^{-|y|^2/2} \int_K \frac{e^{-|z|^2/2}}{|z-y|^{n-q+1}} dz dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_K \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(K, y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore, applying polar coordinates to (3.5), by (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and $\rho^n \mathcal{K} d\xi = h dx$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_t} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t, y) dy \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho(\xi, t)} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\Omega_t, \rho(\xi, t)) \rho^{n-1} d\rho d\xi \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\Omega_t, \rho(\xi, t)) \rho^{n-1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\Omega_t, \rho(\xi, t)) \frac{\rho^n \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K} \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\Omega_t, \rho(\xi, t)) \frac{h}{\mathcal{K} h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} \left(-\theta(t) \frac{\mathcal{K} h^p f(x)}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}} + h \right) \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} h}{\mathcal{K}} dx}{\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx} \int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx + \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} h}{\mathcal{K}} dx \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(- \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} h}{\mathcal{K}} + \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} h}{\mathcal{K}} dx \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For the convenience of discussing Gauss curvature flow (3.1), we introduce a following functional for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\Phi(\Omega_t) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) h^p(x, t) dx, \quad (3.6)$$

where $h(\cdot, t)$ is the support function of Ω_t and $p \neq 0$. When $p = 0$, we write

$$\Phi(\Omega_t) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h(x, t) f(x) dx. \quad (3.7)$$

Lemma 3.2. *The functional (3.6) and (3.7) are non-increasing along the flow (3.1) for any $t \geq 0$. That is, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(\Omega_t) \leq 0$.*

Proof. Firstly, we prove $p \neq 0$, by (3.6), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and $\rho^n \mathcal{K} d\xi = h dx$, we obtain the following result,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_p(\Omega_t) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} h^{p-1} f(x) \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} dx \\
&= \int_{S^{n-1}} h^{p-1} f(x) \left(-\theta(t) \frac{\mathcal{K} h^p f(x)}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}} + h \right) dx \\
&= - \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} dx}{\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx} \int_{S^{n-1}} h^{2p-1} f^2(x) \mathcal{K} (\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})^{-1} dx + \int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx \\
&= \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} dx \int_{S^{n-1}} f^2 h^{2p-1} \mathcal{K} (\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})^{-1} dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) h^p dx \right)^2 \right\} \\
&= \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \left[\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f^2 h^{2p-1} \mathcal{K} (\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})^{-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) h^p dx \right)^2 \Big\} \\
& = \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \left[\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\left(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\left(f^2 h^{2p-1} \mathcal{K} (\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 + \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) h^p dx \right)^2 \right\} \\
& \leq \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(f^2 h^{2p-1} \mathcal{K} (\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \right]^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) h^p dx \right)^2 \right\} \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By the equality condition of Hölder inequality, we know that the above equality holds if and only if $\tau_1 \left(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(f^2 h^{2p-1} \mathcal{K} (\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, i.e.,

$$\tau_1 \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} h^{1-p} \det(h_{ij} + h \delta_{ij}) = f.$$

Next, we give proof of $p = 0$. Taking $p = 0$ in (3.2) and (3.3), from (3.7), (3.4) and $\rho^n \mathcal{K} d\xi = h dx$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(\Omega_t) & = \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{f(x)}{h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} dx \\
& = \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{f(x)}{h} \left(-\theta(t) \frac{\mathcal{K} f(x)}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} + h \right) dx \\
& = - \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} dx}{\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx} \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{f^2(x)}{h \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} \mathcal{K} dx + \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \\
& = \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} dx \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{f^2}{h \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} \mathcal{K} dx + \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \right)^2 \right\} \\
& = \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \left[\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{f^2}{h \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} \mathcal{K} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \right)^2 \right\} \\
& = \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \left[\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\left(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\left(\frac{f^2}{h \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} \mathcal{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \right)^2 \right\} \\
& \leq \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{f^2}{h \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} \mathcal{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \right]^2 + \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \right)^2 \right\} \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We know that the above equality holds if and only if $\tau_2 \left(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h}{\mathcal{K}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{f^2}{hV_{\gamma,q}} \mathcal{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by the equality condition of Hölder inequality, i.e.,

$$\tau_2 \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} h \det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}) = f.$$

Combining the above two situations, Ω_t satisfies (1.8) and (1.10) with $\frac{1}{\tau_1} = \theta(t)(p \neq 0)$ and $\frac{1}{\tau_2} = \theta(t)(p = 0)$. This completes proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

4. Priori estimates

In this section, we establish the C^0 , C^1 and C^2 estimates for the solutions to Eq. (3.3). In the following of this paper, we always assume that $\partial\Omega_0$ is a smooth, closed and origin-symmetric strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n , $h : S^{n-1} \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth even solution to Eq. (3.3) with the initial $h(\cdot, 0)$ the support function of Ω_0 . Here, T is the maximal time for which the smooth solution exists to Eq. (3.3).

4.1. C^0, C^1 estimates. In order to complete the C^0 estimate, we firstly need to introduce the following Lemma which was proven by Chen and Li [6] for convex bodies.

Lemma 4.1. [6, Lemma 2.6] *Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, h and ρ be respectively support function and radial function of Ω , and x_{\max} and ξ_{\min} be two points such that $h(x_{\max}) = \max_{S^{n-1}} h$ and $\rho(\xi_{\min}) = \min_{S^{n-1}} \rho$. Then,*

$$\max_{S^{n-1}} h = \max_{S^{n-1}} \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{S^{n-1}} h = \min_{S^{n-1}} \rho,$$

$$h(x) \geq x \cdot x_{\max} h(x_{\max}), \quad \forall x \in S^{n-1},$$

$$\rho(\xi) \xi \cdot \xi_{\min} \geq \rho(\xi_{\min}), \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}.$$

Remark 4.2. *The results in Lemma 4.1 be true for any $t \geq 0$, for example, we can write*

$$h(x, t) \geq x \cdot x_{\max}^t h(x_{\max}, t), \quad \forall x \in S^{n-1}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Assume $p \geq 0$, $\partial\Omega_t$ be a smooth solution to the flow (3.1) in \mathbb{R}^n and f is a positive smooth even function on S^{n-1} . Then, there is a positive constant C independents of t such that*

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq h(x, t) \leq C, \quad \forall (x, t) \in S^{n-1} \times [0, T), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \rho(\xi, t) \leq C, \quad \forall (\xi, t) \in S^{n-1} \times [0, T). \quad (4.2)$$

Here, $h(x, t)$ and $\rho(\xi, t)$ are the support function and radial function of Ω_t , respectively.

Proof. We only give proof of (4.1), and (4.2) can be obtained by the first conclusion of Lemma 4.1 and (4.1).

Firstly, we prove the upper bound of (4.1). From monotonicity of $\Phi(\Omega_t)$ in Lemma 3.2 and the second result of Lemma 4.1, there is following result for $p > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\Omega_0) &\geq \Phi(\Omega_t) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) h(x, t)^p dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) [h(x_{\max}, t) x \cdot x_{\max}^t]^p dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\{x \in S^{n-1} : x \cdot x_{\max}^t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}} f(x) [h(x_{\max}, t) x \cdot x_{\max}^t]^p dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\{x \in S^{n-1} : x \cdot x_{\max}^t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}} f(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} h(x_{\max}, t)\right]^p dx \\
&= \frac{1}{p} \frac{h(x_{\max}, t)^p}{2^p} \int_{\{x \in S^{n-1} : x \cdot x_{\max}^t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}} f(x) dx \\
&\geq C h(x_{\max}, t)^p,
\end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\sup h(x_{\max}, t) \leq \left(\frac{\Phi(\Omega_0)}{C} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where, $h(x_{\max}, t) = \max_{S^{n-1}} h(x, t)$ for any t . Here, C is a positive constant independents from t .

Similarly, when $p = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\Omega_0) &\geq \Phi(\Omega_t) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) \log h(x, t) dx \\
&\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) \log [h(x_{\max}, t) x \cdot x_{\max}^t] dx \\
&\geq \log h(x_{\max}, t) \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx + \int_{\{x \in S^{n-1} : x \cdot x_{\max}^t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}} f(x) \log(x \cdot x_{\max}^t) \\
&\geq C \log h(x_{\max}, t) - c \int_{\{x \in S^{n-1} : x \cdot x_{\max}^t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}} f(x) dx \\
&\geq C \log h(x_{\max}, t) - c_1,
\end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\sup h(x_{\max}, t) \leq e^{\frac{\Phi(\Omega_0) + c_1}{C}}.$$

Here, C and c_1 be positive constants independent on t .

To prove the lower bound of $h(x, t)$, we use the contradiction. Let us assume that $\{t_k\} \subset [0, T)$ be a sequence such that $h(x, t_k)$ is not uniformly bounded away from 0, i.e., $\min_{S^{n-1}} h(x, t_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, making use of the upper bound, by Blaschke-Selection theorem, there is a subsequence in $\{\Omega_{t_k}\}$, for convenience, which is still denoted by $\{\Omega_{t_k}\}$, such that $\{\Omega_{t_k}\} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a origin-symmetric convex body. Then, we obtain $\min_{S^{n-1}} h(\tilde{\Omega}, \cdot) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \min_{S^{n-1}} h(\Omega_{t_k}, \cdot) = 0$. This implies that $\tilde{\Omega}$ is contained in a lower-dimensional subspace in \mathbb{R}^n . This can lead to $\rho(\xi, t_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ almost everywhere with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure. According to bounded convergence theorem and formula (3.5), we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\gamma, q}(\tilde{\Omega}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\tilde{\Omega}, y) dy \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho(\xi, t_k)} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\tilde{\Omega}, \rho(\xi, t_k)) \rho(\xi, t_k)^{n-1} d\rho d\xi \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

However, Lemma 3.1 shows that

$$I_{\gamma, q}(\tilde{\Omega}) = I_{\gamma, q}(\Omega_0) = c \text{ (positive constant)} \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. It follows that $h(x, t)$ has a uniform lower bound. Therefore, we complete estimate of Lemma 4.3. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $p \geq 0$, $\partial\Omega_t$ be a smooth solution to the flow (3.1) in \mathbb{R}^n and f is a positive smooth even function on S^{n-1} . Then, there is a positive constant C independent of t such that*

$$|\nabla h(x, t)| \leq C, \quad \forall (x, t) \in S^{n-1} \times [0, T], \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$|\nabla \rho(\xi, t)| \leq C, \quad \forall (\xi, t) \in S^{n-1} \times [0, T]. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. The desired results immediately follows from Lemma 4.3 and the identities (see e.g. [17]) as follows

$$h = \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + |\nabla \rho|^2}}, \quad \rho^2 = h^2 + |\nabla h|^2.$$

\square

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose $p \geq 0$, $\partial\Omega_t$ be a smooth solution to the flow (3.1) in \mathbb{R}^n and f is a positive smooth even function on S^{n-1} . There always exists a positive constant C independent of t , such that*

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \theta(t) \leq C, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Proof. By the definition of $\theta(t)$,

$$\theta(t) = \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\Omega_t, \cdot) \rho^n(\xi, t) d\xi}{\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p(x, t) f(x) dx}.$$

Let $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $z \in \Omega_t$, $y \in \partial\Omega_t$, $u \in S^{n-1}$, $y - z = su$, then, $dz = -s^{n-1} ds du$, $y = \rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u$, $z = \rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - su$ and $s \in [0, \rho_{\Omega_t, z}(u)]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\Omega_t, y) &= 2e^{-|y|^2/2} \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{e^{-|z|^2/2}}{|z - y|^{n-q+1}} dz \\ &= 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho_{\Omega_t, z}(u)} \frac{e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - su|^2/2} s^{n-1}}{s^{n-q+1}} ds du \\ &= 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho_{\Omega_t, z}(u)} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - su|^2/2} s^{q-2} ds du, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

from the C^0 estimate, it suffices to have

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}(\Omega_t, y) \leq C. \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, the upper and lower bound of $\theta(t)$ can be directly obtained from the Lemma 4.3 and (4.6). \square

4.2. C^2 estimate. In this subsection, we establish the upper and lower bounds of principal curvature. This will show that Eq. (3.3) is uniformly parabolic. The technique used in this proof was first introduced by Tso [27] to derive the upper bound of the Gauss curvature.

By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, if h is a smooth even solution of Eq. (3.3) on $S^{n-1} \times [0, T)$ and f is positive smooth even function on S^{n-1} , then along the flow (3.1) for

$[0, T)$, $\nabla h + hx$, and h are smooth functions whose ranges are within some bounded domain $\Omega_{[0, T)}$ and bounded interval $I_{[0, T)}$, respectively. Here $\Omega_{[0, T)}$ and $I_{[0, T)}$ depend only on the upper and lower bounds of h on $[0, T)$.

Lemma 4.6. *For $q > 2$ and $p \geq 0$, assume $\partial\Omega_t$ be a smooth solution to the flow (3.1) in \mathbb{R}^n and f is a positive smooth even function on S^{n-1} . There is a positive constant C depending on $\|f\|_{C^0(S^{n-1})}$, $\|f\|_{C^1(S^{n-1})}$, $\|f\|_{C^2(S^{n-1})}$, $\|h\|_{C^0(S^{n-1} \times [0, T])}$, $\|h\|_{C^1(S^{n-1} \times [0, T])}$ and $\|\theta\|_{C^0(S^{n-1} \times [0, T])}$, such that the principal curvatures κ_i of Ω_t , $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, are bounded from above and below, satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \kappa_i(x, t) \leq C, \quad \forall (x, t) \in S^{n-1} \times [0, T).$$

Proof. The proof is divided into two parts: in the first part, we derive an upper bound for the Gauss curvature $\mathcal{K}(x, t)$; in the second part, we give an estimate of bound above for the principal radii $b_{ij} = h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}$.

Step 1: Prove $\mathcal{K} \leq C$.

Firstly, we construct the following auxiliary function,

$$W(x, t) = \frac{\theta(t)\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}^{-1}h^p f\mathcal{K} - h}{h - \varepsilon_0} \equiv \frac{-h_t}{h - \varepsilon_0},$$

where

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2} \min_{S^{n-1} \times [0, T)} h(x, t) > 0, \quad h_t = \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}.$$

For any fixed $t \in [0, T)$, we assume that $W(x_0, t) = \max_{S^{n-1}} W(x, t)$ is the spatial maximum of W . Then at (x_0, t) , we have

$$0 = \nabla_i W = \frac{-h_{ti}}{h - \varepsilon_0} + \frac{h_t h_i}{(h - \varepsilon_0)^2}, \quad (4.7)$$

and from (4.7), at (x_0, t) , we also get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq \nabla_{ii} W &= \frac{-h_{tii}}{h - \varepsilon_0} + \frac{h_{ti} h_i}{(h - \varepsilon_0)^2} + \frac{h_{ti} h_i + h_t h_{ii}}{(h - \varepsilon_0)^2} - \frac{h_t h_i (2(h - \varepsilon_0) h_i)}{(h - \varepsilon_0)^4} \\ &= \frac{-h_{tii}}{h - \varepsilon_0} + \frac{2h_{ti} h_i + h_t h_{ii}}{(h - \varepsilon_0)^2} - \frac{2h_t h_i h_i}{(h - \varepsilon_0)^3} \\ &= \frac{-h_{tii}}{h - \varepsilon_0} + \frac{2h_{ti} h_i + h_t h_{ii}}{(h - \varepsilon_0)^2} + \frac{2h_{ti} h_i}{(h - \varepsilon_0)^2} \\ &= \frac{-h_{tii}}{h - \varepsilon_0} + \frac{h_t h_{ii}}{(h - \varepsilon_0)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

From (4.8), we obtain

$$-h_{tii} \leq \frac{-h_t h_{ii}}{h - \varepsilon_0},$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} -h_{tii} - h_t \delta_{ii} &\leq \frac{-h_t h_{ii}}{h - \varepsilon_0} - h_t \delta_{ii} = \frac{-h_t}{h - \varepsilon_0} (h_{ii} + (h - \varepsilon_0) \delta_{ii}) \\ &= W(h_{ii} + h\delta_{ii} - \varepsilon_0 \delta_{ii}) = W(b_{ii} - \varepsilon_0 \delta_{ii}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

At (x_0, t) , we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W &= \frac{-h_{tt}}{h - \epsilon_0} + \frac{h_t^2}{(h - \epsilon_0)^2} \\
&= \frac{f}{(h - \epsilon_0)} \left[\frac{\partial(\theta(t)\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1}h^p)}{\partial t} \mathcal{K} + \theta(t)\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1}h^p \frac{\partial(\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))^{-1}}{\partial t} \right] + W + W^2 \\
&= \frac{f}{(h - \epsilon_0)} \left[\left(\frac{\partial\theta(t)}{\partial t} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1}h^p + \theta(t) \frac{\partial(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1}h^p)}{\partial t} \right) \mathcal{K} + \theta(t)\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1}h^p \frac{\partial(\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))^{-1}}{\partial t} \right] \\
&\quad + W + W^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Here, we firstly compute $\frac{\partial\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}}{\partial t}$. Recall (4.5), we know that for $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t, y) = 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - su|^2/2} s^{q-2} ds du.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}}{\partial t} &= -2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} |\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u| \frac{\partial\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)}{\partial t} u \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - su|^2/2} s^{q-2} ds du \\
&\quad + 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-2} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} \frac{\partial\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)}{\partial t} du,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where $\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u) = \frac{h(\Omega_t, x) - z \cdot x}{u \cdot x}$, $z = \nabla h$, at x_0 , there is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \cdot x}{u \cdot x} = \frac{\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - (\nabla h)_t \cdot x}{u \cdot x} \\
&= \frac{\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}}{u \cdot x} - \frac{x}{u \cdot x} \left(\sum_i h_{it} e_i + h_t x \right) \\
&= \frac{\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}}{u \cdot x} - \frac{x}{u \cdot x} \left(\sum_i \frac{h_t h_i}{h - \epsilon_0} e_i + h_t x \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 4.3 and (4.11), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}}{\partial t} &\leq 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-2} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} \left[\frac{\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}}{u \cdot x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{x}{u \cdot x} \left(\sum_i \frac{h_t h_i}{h - \epsilon_0} + h_t x \right) \right] du \\
&= 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-2} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} \left[\frac{-W(h - \epsilon_0)}{u \cdot x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{x}{u \cdot x} \left(\sum_i \frac{-W(h - \epsilon_0) h_i}{h - \epsilon_0} - W(h - \epsilon_0) x \right) \right] du \\
&\leq 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-2} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} \frac{x}{u \cdot x} \left(\sum_i \frac{W(h - \epsilon_0) h_i}{h - \epsilon_0} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + W(h - \epsilon_0) x \right) du \\
&\leq C_1 W(x_0, t).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\theta(t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \rho^n d\xi}{\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx} \right) \\ &= \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}}{\partial t} \rho^n d\xi + n \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \rho^{n-1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} d\xi}{\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx} - \frac{p \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \rho^n d\xi \int_{S^{n-1}} h^{p-1} f(x) \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} dx}{\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} h^p f(x) dx \right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By $\rho^2 = h^2 + |\nabla h|^2$ and (x_0, t) is a maximum point of W , we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \rho^{-1} (h h_t + \sum h_k h_{kt}) = \rho^{-1} W(\epsilon_0 h - \rho^2) \leq \rho^{-1} W(x_0, t) (\epsilon_0 h - \rho^2). \quad (4.13)$$

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.6), (4.12) and (4.13), we can get

$$\frac{\partial\theta(t)}{\partial t} \leq C_2 W(x_0, t). \quad (4.14)$$

For $p \geq 0$, (4.1), (4.6) and (4.12) tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} h^p)}{\partial t} &= -(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-2} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}}{\partial t} h^p + (\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-1} \frac{\partial(h^p)}{\partial t} \\ &= -(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-2} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}}{\partial t} h^p + (\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-1} p h^{p-1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \\ &= -(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-2} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}}{\partial t} h^p - p (\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-1} h^{p-1} W(h - \epsilon_0) < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

We use (2.5), (4.9) and recall $b_{ij} = \nabla_{ij} h + h \delta_{ij}$ may give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))^{-1}}{\partial t} &= -(\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))^{-2} \frac{\partial(\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))}{\partial b_{ij}} \frac{\partial(\nabla^2 h + hI)}{\partial t} \\ &= -(\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))^{-2} \frac{\partial(\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))}{\partial b_{ij}} (h_{tij} + h_t \delta_{ij}) \\ &\leq (\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))^{-2} \frac{\partial(\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))}{\partial b_{ij}} W(b_{ij} - \epsilon_0 \delta_{ij}) \\ &\leq \mathcal{K} W((n-1) - \epsilon_0(n-1) \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where the last inequality uses

$$\sum_i b^{ii} \geq (n-1) \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Therefore, from (4.6), (4.14), (4.15) and (4.16), we have following conclusion at (x_0, t) in (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W &\leq \frac{f}{(h - \epsilon_0)} \left[\left(\frac{\partial\theta(t)}{\partial t} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} h^p \right) \mathcal{K} + \theta(t) \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} h^p \frac{\partial(\det(\nabla^2 h + hI))^{-1}}{\partial t} \right] + W + W^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h - \epsilon_0} \left(C_3 W^2 + f \theta h^p \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} \mathcal{K} W((n-1) - \epsilon_0(n-1) \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}) \right) + W + W^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

If $W(x, t) \gg 1$ (\gg : far greater than), according to construction of W and the previous estimate, we easily obtain

$$\frac{1}{C_4} \mathcal{K} \leq W \leq C_4 \mathcal{K},$$

then, (4.17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W &\leq \frac{1}{h - \varepsilon_0} \left(C_3 W^2 + f \theta h^p \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} C_4 W^2 [(n-1) - \varepsilon_0(n-1)(C_4 W)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}] \right) + W + W^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{h - \varepsilon_0} W^2 \left(C_3 + f \theta h^p \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} C_4 (n-1) - f \theta h^p \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} C_4^{\frac{n}{n-1}} (n-1) \varepsilon_0 W^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + 2 \right) \\
&= \frac{f \theta h^p \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} C_4^{\frac{n}{n-1}} (n-1)}{h - \varepsilon_0} W^2 \left(\frac{C_3 + f \theta h^p \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} C_4 (n-1) + 2}{f \theta h^p \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} C_4^{\frac{n}{n-1}} (n-1)} - \varepsilon_0 W^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \right) \\
&\leq C_5 W^2 (C_6 - \varepsilon_0 W^{\frac{1}{n-1}}) < 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since C_5 and C_6 depend on $\|f\|_{C^0(S^{n-1})}$, $\|h\|_{C^0(S^{n-1} \times [0, T])}$, $\|h\|_{C^1(S^{n-1} \times [0, T])}$, $\|\theta\|_{C^0(S^{n-1} \times [0, T])}$. Consequently, we get

$$W(x_0, t) \leq C,$$

and for any (x, t) ,

$$\mathcal{K}(x, t) = \frac{(h - \varepsilon_0)W(x, t) + h}{\theta(t)(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-1}h^p f} \leq \frac{(h - \varepsilon_0)W(x_0, t) + h}{\theta(t)(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^{-1}h^p f} \leq C.$$

Step 2: Prove $\kappa_i \geq \frac{1}{C}$.

We consider the auxiliary function as follows

$$E(x, t) = \log \beta_{\max}(\{b_{ij}\}) - A \log h + B |\nabla h|^2,$$

where A, B are positive constants which will be chosen later, and $\beta_{\max}(\{b_{ij}\})$ denotes the maximal eigenvalue of $\{b_{ij}\}$; for convenience, we write $\{b^{ij}\}$ for $\{b_{ij}\}^{-1}$.

For every fixed $t \in [0, T)$, suppose $\max_{S^{n-1}} E(x, t)$ is attained at point $x_0 \in S^{n-1}$. By a rotation of coordinates, we may assume

$$\{b_{ij}(x_0, t)\} \text{ is diagonal, and } \beta_{\max}(\{b_{ij}\}(x_0, t)) = b_{11}(x_0, t).$$

Hence, in order to show $\kappa_i \geq \frac{1}{C}$, that is to prove $b_{11} \leq C$. By means of the above assumption, we transform $E(x, t)$ into the following form,

$$\tilde{E}(x, t) = \log b_{11} - A \log h + B |\nabla h|^2.$$

Utilizing again the above assumption, for any fixed $t \in [0, T)$, $\tilde{E}(x, t)$ has a local maximum at x_0 , thus, we have at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \nabla_i \tilde{E} &= b^{11} \nabla_i b_{11} - A \frac{h_i}{h} + 2B \sum h_k h_{ki} \\
&= b^{11} (h_{i11} + h_1 \delta_{i1}) - A \frac{h_i}{h} + 2B h_i h_{ii},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \nabla_{ii} \tilde{E} \\
&= \nabla_i b^{11} (h_{i11} + h_1 \delta_{i1}) + b^{11} [\nabla_i (h_{i11} + h_1 \delta_{i1})] - A \left(\frac{h_{ii}}{h} - \frac{h_i^2}{h^2} \right) + 2B (\sum h_k h_{kii} + h_{ii}^2) \\
&= - (b_{11})^{-2} \nabla_i b_{11} (h_{i11} + h_1 \delta_{i1}) + b^{11} (\nabla_{ii} b_{11}) - A \left(\frac{h_{ii}}{h} - \frac{h_i^2}{h^2} \right) + 2B (\sum h_k h_{kii} + h_{ii}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$=b^{11}\nabla_{ii}b_{11} - (b^{11})^2(\nabla_i b_{11})^2 - A\left(\frac{h_{ii}}{h} - \frac{h_i^2}{h^2}\right) + 2B\left(\sum h_k h_{kii} + h_{ii}^2\right).$$

At x_0 , we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{E} &= \frac{1}{b_{11}}\frac{\partial b_{11}}{\partial t} - A\frac{h_t}{h} + 2B\sum h_k h_{kt} \\ &= b^{11}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(h_{11} + h\delta_{11}) - A\frac{h_t}{h} + 2B\sum h_k h_{kt} \\ &= b^{11}(h_{11t} + h_t) - A\frac{h_t}{h} + 2B\sum h_k h_{kt}.\end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (3.3) and (2.5), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}\log(h - h_t) &= \log\left(h + \theta h^p f \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} \mathcal{K} - h\right) \\ &= \log \mathcal{K} + \log\left(\theta h^p f \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1}\right) \\ &= -\log[\det(\nabla^2 h + hI)] + \log\left(\theta h^p f \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

Let

$$\psi(x, t) = \log\left(\theta h^p f \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1}\right).$$

Differentiating (4.19) once and twice, we respectively get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{h_k - h_{kt}}{h - h_t} &= -\sum b^{ij}\nabla_k b_{ij} + \nabla_k \psi \\ &= -\sum b^{ii}(h_{kii} + h_i \delta_{ik}) + \nabla_k \psi,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{h_{11} - h_{11t}}{h - h_t} - \frac{(h_1 - h_{1t})^2}{(h - h_t)^2} &= -\left(-\sum (b^{ii})^2(\nabla_i b_{ii})^2 + b^{ii}\nabla_{ii}b_{ii}\right) + \nabla_{11}\psi \\ &= -\sum b^{ii}\nabla_{11}b_{ii} + \sum b^{ii}b^{jj}(\nabla_1 b_{ij})^2 + \nabla_{11}\psi.\end{aligned}$$

By the Ricci identity, we have

$$\nabla_{11}b_{ii} = \nabla_{ii}b_{11} - b_{11} + b_{ii}.$$

Thus, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{E}}{h - h_t} &= b^{11}\left(\frac{h_{11t} + h_t}{h - h_t}\right) - A\frac{h_t}{h(h - h_t)} + \frac{2B\sum h_k h_{kt}}{h - h_t} \\ &= b^{11}\left(\frac{h_{11t} - h_{11}}{h - h_t} + \frac{h_{11} + h - h + h_t}{h - h_t}\right) - A\frac{1}{h}\frac{h_t - h + h}{h - h_t} + \frac{2B\sum h_k h_{kt}}{h - h_t} \\ &= b^{11}\left(-\frac{(h_1 - h_{1t})^2}{(h - h_t)^2} + \sum b^{ii}\nabla_{11}b_{ii} - \sum b^{ii}b^{jj}(\nabla_1 b_{ij})^2 - \nabla_{11}\psi\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \frac{h_{11} + h - (h - h_t)}{h - h_t}\right) - \frac{A}{h}\left(\frac{-(h - h_t) + h}{h - h_t}\right) + \frac{2B\sum h_k h_{kt}}{h - h_t} \\ &= b^{11}\left(-\frac{(h_1 - h_{1t})^2}{(h - h_t)^2} + \sum b^{ii}\nabla_{11}b_{ii} - \sum b^{ii}b^{jj}(\nabla_1 b_{ij})^2 - \nabla_{11}\psi\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + b^{11} \left(\frac{h_{11} + h}{h - h_t} - 1 \right) + \frac{A}{h} - \frac{A}{h - h_t} + \frac{2B \sum h_k h_{kt}}{h - h_t} \\
= & b^{11} \left(-\frac{(h_1 - h_{1t})^2}{(h - h_t)^2} + \sum b^{ii} \nabla_{11} b_{ii} - \sum b^{ii} b^{jj} (\nabla_1 b_{ij})^2 - \nabla_{11} \psi \right) + \frac{1 - A}{h - h_t} \\
& - b^{11} + \frac{A}{h} + \frac{2B \sum h_k h_{kt}}{h - h_t} \\
\leq & b^{11} \left(\sum b^{ii} (\nabla_{ii} b_{11} - b_{11} + b_{ii}) - \sum b^{ii} b^{jj} (\nabla_1 b_{ij})^2 \right) - b^{11} \nabla_{11} \psi + \frac{1 - A}{h - h_t} \\
& + \frac{A}{h} + \frac{2B \sum h_k h_{kt}}{h - h_t} \\
\leq & \sum b^{ii} \left[(b^{11})^2 (\nabla_i b_{11})^2 + A \left(\frac{h_{ii}}{h} - \frac{h_i^2}{h^2} \right) - 2B (\sum h_k h_{kii} + h_{ii}^2) \right] \\
& - b^{11} \sum b^{ii} b^{jj} (\nabla_1 b_{ij})^2 - b^{11} \nabla_{11} \psi + \frac{1 - A}{h - h_t} + \frac{A}{h} + \frac{2B \sum h_k h_{kt}}{h - h_t} \\
\leq & \sum b^{ii} \left[A \left(\frac{h_{ii} + h - h}{h} - \frac{h_i^2}{h^2} \right) \right] + 2B \sum h_k \left(-\sum b^{ii} h_{kii} + \frac{h_{kt}}{h - h_t} \right) \\
& - 2B \sum b^{ii} (b_{ii} - h)^2 - b^{11} \nabla_{11} \psi + \frac{1 - A}{h - h_t} + \frac{A}{h} \\
\leq & \sum b^{ii} \left[A \left(\frac{b_{ii}}{h} - 1 \right) \right] + 2B \sum h_k \left(\frac{h_k}{h - h_t} + b^{kk} h_k - \nabla_k \psi \right) \\
& - 2B \sum b^{ii} (b_{ii}^2 - 2b_{ii} h) - b^{11} \nabla_{11} \psi + \frac{1 - A}{h - h_t} + \frac{A}{h} \\
\leq & -2B \sum h_k \nabla_k \psi - b^{11} \nabla_{11} \psi + (2B |\nabla h| - A) \sum b^{ii} - 2B \sum b_{ii} \\
& + 4B(n-1)h + \frac{2B |\nabla h|^2 + 1 - A}{h - h_t} + \frac{nA}{h}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall

$$\psi(x, t) = \log \left(\theta h^p f (\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})^{-1} \right) = \log \theta + p \log h + \log f - \log \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q},$$

since θ is a constant factor, we have $\theta_k = 0$. Consequently, we may obtain following form by $\psi(x, t)$ and (4.18),

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2B \sum h_k \nabla_k \psi - b^{11} \nabla_{11} \psi \\
= & -2B \sum h_k \left(p \frac{h_k}{h} + \frac{f_k}{f} - \frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})_k}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}} \right) - b^{11} \nabla_{11} \psi \\
= & -2B \sum h_k \left(p \frac{h_k}{h} + \frac{f_k}{f} - \frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})_k}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}} \right) \\
& - b^{11} \left(p \frac{h_{11} h - h_1^2}{h^2} + \frac{f f_{11} - f_1^2}{f^2} - \frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})_{11} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} - (\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})_1^2}{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})^2} \right) \\
\leq & C_7 B + C_8 b^{11} + 2B \sum h_k \frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})_k}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q}} - |p| b^{11} \frac{h(b_{11} - h)}{h^2} + b^{11} \left(\frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})_{11} \tilde{V}_{\gamma, q} - (\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})_1^2}{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma, q})^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C_7 B + C_8 b^{11} + 2B \sum h_k \frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})_k}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} - \frac{|p|}{h} + \frac{|p|b^{11}}{h} + b^{11} \left(\frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})_{11} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} - (\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})_1^2}{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})^2} \right) \\
&\leq C_7 B + C_9 b^{11} + 2B \sum h_k \frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})_k}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} + b^{11} \frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})_{11}}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall (4.5)

$$\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_t, y) = 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u-su|^2/2} s^{q-2} ds du,$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})_k &= -2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} |\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u| (\rho_k u + \rho \cdot e_k) \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u-su|^2/2} s^{q-2} ds du \\
&\quad + 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-2} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u-\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} (\rho_{\Omega_t,z})_k du,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where $\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u) = \frac{h(\Omega_t,x)-z \cdot x}{u \cdot x}$, $z = \nabla h$,

$$(\rho_{\Omega_t,z})_k = \left(\frac{h(\Omega_t,x) - \sum_i h_i \cdot x}{u \cdot x} \right)_k = \frac{h_k - (\sum_i h_{ik}x + \nabla h)}{u \cdot x} - \frac{(h - \nabla h \cdot x)(e_k \cdot x + u)}{(u \cdot x)^2}, \tag{4.21}$$

from $\rho = (h^2 + |\nabla h|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we get

$$\rho_k = \rho^{-1}(hh_k + \sum h_k h_{kk}) = \rho^{-1}(hh_k + \sum h_k (b_{kk} - h\delta_{kk})). \tag{4.22}$$

Thus, from Lemma 4.3, (4.6), (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22), we get

$$2B \sum h_k \frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})_k}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} \leq C_{10} B b_{11}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})_{11} &= -2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} |\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u| (\rho_{11}u + \rho_1 \cdot e_1 + \rho_1 \cdot e_1 + \delta_{11}) \times \\
&\quad \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u-su|^2/2} s^{q-2} ds du \\
&\quad - 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} |\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u| (\rho_1 u + \rho \cdot e_1) \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-2} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u-\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} (\rho_{\Omega_t,z})_k du \\
&\quad - 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} |\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u| (\rho_1 u + \rho \cdot e_1) \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-2} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u-\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} (\rho_{\Omega_t,z})_k du \\
&\quad + 2e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u|^2/2} \left[(q-2) \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-3} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u-\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} (\rho_{\Omega_t,z})_1^2 du \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-2} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u-\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} \left(-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u - \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u| (\rho_1 u + \rho e_1 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - (\rho_{\Omega_t,z})_1 u - \rho_{\Omega_t,z} e_1 \right) (\rho_{\Omega_t,z})_1 du + \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)^{q-2} e^{-|\rho_{\Omega_t}(u)u-\rho_{\Omega_t,z}(u)u|^2/2} (\rho_{\Omega_t,z})_{11} du \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

where

$$(\rho_{\Omega_t,z})_{11} = \frac{(h_{11} - (\sum_i h_{i11}x + \sum_i h_{i1} + \sum_i h_{i1}))}{u \cdot x} - \frac{(h_1 - (\sum_i h_{i1} \cdot x + \nabla h)(e_1 x + u))}{(u \cdot x)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{(h_1 - \sum_i h_{i1}x - \nabla h)(e_1 \cdot x + u) + (h + (\nabla h \cdot x))(\delta_{11} \cdot x + 2e_1)}{(u \cdot x)^2} \\
 & + \frac{2(e_1 \cdot x + u)(h - \nabla h \cdot x)(e_1 \cdot x + u)}{(u \cdot x)^3}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

From (4.22), we get

$$\rho_{11} = \frac{hh_{11} + h_1^2 + \Sigma h_1 h_{111} + \Sigma h_{11}^2}{\rho} - \frac{h_1^2 b_{11}^2}{\rho^3}.$$

This combined with (4.18) implies

$$\rho_{11} = \frac{h(b_{11} - h) + h_1^2 + \Sigma h_1 \left(A \frac{h_1}{h} - 2Bh(b_{11} - h) - b^{11}(h_1 \delta_{11}) \right) b_{11}}{\rho} - \frac{h_1^2 b_{11}^2}{\rho^3}. \tag{4.25}$$

By Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4, (4.6), (4.23), (4.18), (4.24) and (4.25), we conclude for $q > 2$,

$$b^{11} \frac{(\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q})_{11}}{\tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} \leq C_{11} b_{11}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{E}}{h - h_t} \leq C_{12} B b_{11} + C_{13} b^{11} + (2B|\nabla h| - A) \sum b^{ii} - 2B \sum b_{ii} + 4B(n-1)h + \frac{nA}{h} < 0,$$

provided $b_{11} \gg 1$ and if we choose $A \gg B$. We obtain

$$\tilde{E}(x_0, t) \leq C,$$

hence,

$$E(x_0, t) = \tilde{E}(x_0, t) \leq C.$$

This tells us the principal radii are bounded from above, or equivalently $\kappa_i \geq \frac{1}{C}$. \square

5. The convergence of the flow

With the help of priori estimates in the section 4, the long-time existence and asymptotic behaviour of the flow (1.11) (or (3.1)) are obtained, we also can complete proof of Theorem 1.6.

Proof of the Theorem 1.6. Since Eq. (3.3) is parabolic, we can get its short time existence. Let T be the maximal time such that $h(\cdot, t)$ is a smooth even solution to Eq. (3.3) for $t \in [0, T)$. Lemma 4.3-4.5 enable us to apply Lemma 4.6 to Eq. (3.3), thus, we can deduce a uniformly upper and lower bounds for the biggest eigenvalue of $\{(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})(x, t)\}$. This implies

$$C^{-1}I \leq (h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})(x, t) \leq CI, \quad \forall (x, t) \in S^{n-1} \times [0, T),$$

where $C > 0$ independents on t . This shows that Eq. (3.3) is uniformly parabolic. Estimates for higher derivatives follows from the standard regularity theory of uniformly parabolic equations Krylov [16]. Hence, we obtain the long time existence and regularity of solutions for the flow (1.11) (or (3.1)). Moreover, we obtain

$$\|h\|_{C_{x,t}^{l,m}(S^{n-1} \times [0, T))} \leq C_{l,m}, \tag{5.1}$$

for some $C_{l,m}$ (l, m are nonnegative integers pairs) independent of t , then $T = \infty$. Using parabolic comparison principle, we can attain the uniqueness of the smooth even solution $h(\cdot, t)$ of Eq. (3.3).

Now, recall the non-increasing property of $\Phi(\Omega_t)$ in Lemma 3.2, we know that for $p \geq 0$,

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(\Omega_t)}{\partial t} \leq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Based on (5.2), there exists a t_0 such that

$$\left. \frac{\partial \Phi(\Omega_t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=t_0} = 0,$$

this yields

$$\tau_1 \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_{t_0}, \cdot) h_{\Omega_{t_0}}^{1-p} \det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}) = f, \quad p > 0.$$

and

$$\tau_2 \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega_{t_0}, \cdot) h_{\Omega_{t_0}} \det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}) = f, \quad p = 0.$$

Let $\Omega = \Omega_{t_0}$, thus, Ω satisfies (1.8) for $p > 0$ and (1.10) for $p = 0$.

In view of (5.1), applying the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem and a diagonal argument, we can extract a subsequence of t , denoted by $\{t_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, +\infty)$, and there exists a smooth even function $h(x)$ such that

$$\|h(x, t_j) - h(x)\|_{C^i(S^{n-1})} \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.3)$$

uniformly for each nonnegative integer i as $t_j \rightarrow \infty$. This reveals that $h(x)$ is a support function. Let us denote by Ω the convex body determined by $h(x)$. Thus, Ω is smooth, origin-symmetric and strictly convex.

Moreover, by (5.1) and the uniform estimates in section 4, we conclude that $\Phi(\Omega_t)$ is a bounded function in t and $\frac{\partial \Phi(\Omega_t)}{\partial t}$ is uniformly continuous. Thus, for any $t > 0$, by monotonicity of the $\Phi(\Omega_t)$ in Lemma 3.2, there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of t , such that

$$\int_0^t \left(-\frac{\partial \Phi(\Omega_t)}{\partial t} \right) dt = \Phi(\Omega_0) - \Phi(\Omega_t) \leq C,$$

this gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\Omega_t) - \Phi(\Omega_0) = - \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial \Phi(\Omega_t)}{\partial t} \right| dt \leq C. \quad (5.4)$$

The left hand side of (5.4) is bounded below by $-2C$, therefore, there is a subsequence $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(\Omega_{t_j})}{\partial t} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t_j \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 shows that when $p > 0$,

$$\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) (h^\infty)^p dx \right)^2 = \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h^\infty}{\mathcal{K}} dx \int_{S^{n-1}} f^2 (h^\infty)^{2p-1} \mathcal{K} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}^{-1} dx, \quad (5.5)$$

and $p = 0$,

$$\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) dx \right)^2 = \int_{S^{n-1}} \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q} \frac{h^\infty}{\mathcal{K}} dx \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{f^2 \mathcal{K}}{(h^\infty) \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}} dx, \quad (5.6)$$

where h^∞ is the support function of Ω^∞ . By equality condition of Hölder inequality, (5.5) and (5.6) means that for $p > 0$,

$$\tau_1 \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega, \cdot) (h_\Omega^\infty)^{1-p} \det(h_{ij}^\infty + h^\infty \delta_{ij}) = f(x), \quad (5.7)$$

and $p = 0$,

$$\tau_2 \tilde{V}_{\gamma,q}(\Omega, \cdot) h_\Omega^\infty \det(h_{ij}^\infty + h^\infty \delta_{ij}) = f(x), \quad (5.8)$$

(5.7) satisfies (1.8) and (5.8) satisfies (1.10) with τ_1 and τ_2 given by

$$\frac{1}{\tau_1} = \lim_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t_j), \quad p > 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\tau_2} = \lim_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t_j), \quad p = 0,$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Andrews, P. F. Guan and L. Ni, *Flow by powers of the Gauss curvature*, Adv. Math., **299** (2016), 174-201.
- [2] K. J. Boroczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Y. Zhang, *The logarithmic Minkowski problem*, Amer. Math. Soc., **26** (2013), 831-852.
- [3] S. Brendle, K. Choi and P. Daskalopoulos, *Asymptotic behavior of flows by powers of the Gaussian curvature*, Acta Math., **219** (2017), 1-16.
- [4] P. Bryan, M. N. Ivaki and J. Scheuer, *A unified flow approach to smooth, even L_p -Minkowski problems*, Anal. PDE, **12** (2019), 259-280.
- [5] C. Q. Chen, Y. Huang and Y. M. Zhao, *Smooth solution to the L_p dual Minkowski problem*, Math. Ann., **373** (2019), 953-976.
- [6] H. D. Chen and Q. R. Li, *The L_p dual Minkowski problem and related parabolic flows*, J. Funct. Anal., **281** (2021), 1-65.
- [7] K. S. Chou and X. J. Wang, *A logarithmic Gauss curvature flow and the Minkowski problem*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **17** (2000), 733-751.
- [8] Y. B. Feng, S. N. Hu and L. Xu, *On the L_p Gaussian Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Equation, **363** (2023), 350-390.
- [9] W. J. Firey, *Shapes of worn stones*, Mathematika, **21** (1974), 1-11.
- [10] L. J. Guo, D. M. Xi and Y. M. Zhao, *The L_p chord Minkowski problem in a critical interval*, Math. Ann., (2023), DOI:org/10.1007/s00208-023-02721-8.
- [11] C. Haberl and F. E. Schuster, *Asymmetric affine L_p Sobolev inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal., **257** (2009), 641-658.
- [12] J. R. Hu, Y. Huang and J. Lu, *On the regularity of the chord log-Minkowski problem*, (2023), arXiv:2304.14220.
- [13] J. R. Hu, Y. Huang, J. Lu and S. N. Wang, *The chord Gauss curvature flow and its L_p chord Minkowski problem*, (2023), arXiv:2305.00453.
- [14] Y. Huang and L. Qin, *The Gaussian chord Minkowski problem*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, (2023), DOI:10.3934/dcdss.2023118.

- [15] Y. Huang, D. M. Xi and Y. M. Zhao, *The Minkowski problem in Gaussian probability space*, Adv. Math., **385** (2021), 1-36.
- [16] N. V. Krylov, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order*, Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1987.
- [17] Q. R. Li, W. M. Sheng and X. J. Wang, *Flow by Gauss curvature to the Aleksandrov and dual Minkowski problems*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., **22** (2019), 893-923.
- [18] Y. Y. Li, *The L_p chord Minkowski problem for negative p* , J. Geom. Anal., (2024), DOI.org/10.1007/s12220-023-01528-y.
- [19] J. Q. Liu, *The L_p -Gaussian Minkowski problem*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **61** (2022), 1-23.
- [20] Y. N. Liu and J. Lu, *A flow method for the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **373** (2020), 5833-5853.
- [21] Y. N. Liu and J. Lu, *A generalized Gauss curvature flow related to the Orlicz-Minkowski problem*, arXiv:2005.02376.
- [22] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom., **38** (1993), 131-150.
- [23] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Y. Zhang, *Sharp affine L_p Sobolev inequalities*, J. Differential Geom., **62** (2002), 17-38.
- [24] E. Lutwak, D. M. Xi, D. Yang and G. Y. Zhang, *Chord measures in integral geometry and their Minkowski problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., (2023), DOI: 10.1002/cpa.22190.
- [25] L. Qin, *Nonlocal energies of convex bodies and their log-Minkowski problems*, Adv. Math., **427** (2023), 1-19.
- [26] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [27] K. Tso, *Deforming a hypersurface by its Gauss-Kronecker curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **38** (1985), 867-882.
- [28] J. I. E. Urbas, *An expansion of convex hypersurfaces*, J. Differential Geom., **33** (1991), 91-125.
- [29] W. M. Sheng and K. Xue, *Flow by Gauss curvature to the L_p -Gaussian Minkowski problem*, (2022), arXiv:2212.01822.
- [30] D. M. Xi, D. Yang, G. Y. Zhang and Y. M. Zhao, *The L_p chord Minkowski problem*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **23** (2023), 20220041.
- [31] J. Xiao, *Prescribing capacity curvature measures on planar convex domains*, J. Geom. Anal., **30** (2020), 2225-2240.
- [32] X. Zhao and P. B. Zhao, *Flow by Gauss curvature to the orlicz chord Minkowski problem*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (2024), DOI.org/10.1007/s10231-024-01448-w.
- [33] G. X. Zhu, *The centro-affine Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom., **101** (2015), 159-174.