

# The non-Abelian two-dimensional Toda lattice and matrix sine-Gordon equations with self-consistent sources

Mengyuan Cui<sup>1</sup> and Chunxia Li<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China*

## Abstract

The non-Abelian two-dimensional Toda lattice and matrix sine-Gordon equations with self-consistent sources are established and solved. Two families of quasideterminant solutions are presented for the non-Abelian two-dimensional Toda lattice with self-consistent sources. By employing periodic and quasi-periodic reductions, a matrix sine-Gordon equation with self-consistent sources is constructed for the first time, for which exact solutions in terms of quasideterminants are derived.

**Keyword:** The non-Abelian two-dimensional Toda lattice with self-consistent sources; matrix sine-Gordon equation with self-consistent sources; quasideterminant solutions; periodic reduction.

## 1 Introduction

As one of the main organizing tools in noncommutative (NC) algebra, quasideterminants were first introduced by Gelfand and Retakh in [1] and have been found applications in many areas including NC symmetric functions, NC integrable systems, quantum algebra and Yangians. Quasideterminants play crucial roles in the NC soliton theory. They can be used to treat NC integrable systems in a unified way without regard to the reasons for noncommutativity and greatly simplify proofs in commutative theories as well. In particular, NC extensions of integrable systems have received much attention and various integrability has been revealed [2–14].

As one of the most notable examples, the non-Abelian two-dimensional Toda lattice (2DTL) was first studied in [15] with its Darboux transformation given in [16]. Later on,

---

\*5572@cnu.edu.cn

the following generalized non-Abelian 2DTL was proposed

$$U_{n,x} + U_n V_{n+1} - V_n U_n = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$V_{n,t} + \alpha_n U_{n-1} - U_n \alpha_{n+1} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

and Darboux and binary Darboux transformations were obtained [17]. Under the transformations

$$U_n = X_n X_{n+1}^{-1}, \quad V_n = X_{n,x} X_n^{-1},$$

the generalized non-Abelian 2DTL (1.1) and (1.2) transform into

$$(X_{n,x} X_n^{-1})_t + \alpha_n X_{n-1} X_n^{-1} - X_n X_{n+1}^{-1} \alpha_{n+1} = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

In [18], both quasiwronskian and quasigrammian solutions were constructed for (1.3) by Darboux and binary Darboux transformations. Consequently, a matrix sine-Gordon (sG) equation together with its exact solutions in term of quasideterminants were derived as the 2-periodic reductions.

Soliton equations with self-consistent sources (SESCSs) have important applications in physics. In general, the existence of sources can result in solitary waves moving with nonconstant velocity or cause a great variety of dynamics of soliton solutions. In literature, some meaningful SESCAs have been studied by different ways [19–24]. As far as we are concerned, the 2DTL ESCS as well as its integrability are derived in [25] by source generation method. Later on, an extended 2DTL hierarchy is obtained by its squared eigenfunction symmetries which includes the 2DTL ESCS as its special case [26]. As is well known that the 2-periodic reduction of the standard 2DTL leads to the scalar sG equation. It has been also shown that the 2-periodic reduction of the 2DTL ESCS leads to the sG equation with self-consistent sources [27]. In this paper, we are going to explore how to establish the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS, what integrability the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS possesses and the corresponding periodic reductions.

The paper is organized as follows. The non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS is established and solved in Section 2. A matrix sG ESCS and its exact solutions in terms of quasideterminant are derived by period reductions in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to conclusions and discussions.

## 2 The non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS

In this section, we will construct and solve the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS equation by source generation method based on the existing quasiwronskian and quasigrammian solutions of the non-Abelian 2DTL equation. Consequently, both quasiwronskian and quasigrammian solutions are presented for the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS. The connections of the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS with the nonlinear 2DTL ESCS derived by two different ways are clarified as well, which implies the advantage of dealing with NC integrable systems over commutative integrable systems. As for the properties of quasideterminants, one can refer to [1, 8] for details.

## 2.1 Quasiwronskian solutions

It has been proved that the non-Abelian 2DTL equation (1.3) has the quasiwronskian solution [18]

$$Q_n = \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{vmatrix},$$

where  $e_i$  is the column vector of length  $N$  with 1 in the  $i$ th row and 0 elsewhere, and  $\tilde{\Theta}_n = (\Theta_{n+1}, \Theta_{n+2}, \dots, \Theta_{n+N})^T$ ,  $\Theta_n = (\theta_{1,n}, \dots, \theta_{N,n})$  with  $\theta_{i,n}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) satisfying the dispersion relations

$$(\theta_{i,n})_x = \theta_{i,n-1}, \quad (\theta_{i,n})_t = \theta_{i,n+1}. \quad (2.1)$$

It is obvious that

$$\Theta_{n,x} = \Theta_{n-1}, \quad \Theta_{n,t} = \Theta_{n+1}. \quad (2.2)$$

According to the source generation procedure, we assume that

$$\theta_{i,n} = f_{i,n} + (-1)^{i-1} g_{i,n} C_i(t),$$

where  $f_{i,n}$  and  $g_{i,n}$  satisfy the dispersion relations (2.1) and

$$C_i(t) = \begin{cases} c_i(t), & 1 \leq i \leq K \leq N, K \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \\ C_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(\theta_{i,n})_x = \theta_{i,n-1}, \quad (\theta_{i,n})_t = \theta_{i,n+1} + (-1)^{i-1} g_{i,n} C'_i(t),$$

where  $C'_i(t)$  denotes the  $t$ -derivative of  $C_i(t)$ .

Denote  $h_{i,n} = (-1)^{i-1} g_{i,n}$  and  $H_n = (h_{1,n} c'_1(t), h_{2,n} c'_2(t), \dots, h_{K,n} c'_K(t), 0, \dots, 0)$ , it follows that

$$\Theta_{n,x} = \Theta_{n-1}, \quad \Theta_{n,t} = \Theta_{n+1} + H_n.$$

It is obvious that  $X_n = Q_n$  no longer satisfies the non-Abelian 2DTL equation. As the expressions for other derivatives of  $Q_n$  remain the same as in the case of the non-Abelian 2DTL equation (1.3), it is sufficient to compute  $(Q_{n,x} Q_n^{-1})_t$ . Notice that

$$Q_{n,x} = \left( \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{vmatrix} \right),$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_{n,x} Q_n^{-1})_t &= Q_n Q_{n+1}^{-1} - Q_{n-1} Q_n^{-1} + \begin{vmatrix} H_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{vmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_i \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} H_{n+i} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &\quad - \begin{vmatrix} H_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{vmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_i \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} H_{n+i-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Further assume that  $c'_i(t) = \beta_i(t)\eta_i(t)$  and introduce functions  $q_{i,n}$  and  $r_{i,n}$  as follows

$$q_{i,n} = \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n & \boxed{h_{i,n}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{i,n} \end{vmatrix} \beta_i(t), \quad r_{i,n} = \eta_i(t) \begin{vmatrix} e_i^T & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{vmatrix},$$

where  $G_{i,n} = (h_{i,n+1}, h_{i,n+2}, \dots, h_{i,n+K}, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . Then we can derive the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS, which is stated in the following proposition. One can refer to Appendix A for the proof of the proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS takes the following form*

$$(X_{n,x}X_n^{-1})_t + X_{n-1}X_n^{-1} - X_nX_{n+1}^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^K (q_{i,n}r_{i,n+1} - q_{i,n-1}r_{i,n}), \quad (2.3)$$

$$q_{i,n,x} = X_{n,x}X_n^{-1}q_{i,n} + q_{i,n-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K, \quad (2.4)$$

$$r_{i,n,x} = -r_{i,n}X_{n,x}X_n^{-1} - r_{i,n+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K. \quad (2.5)$$

## 2.2 Quasigrammian solutions

The non-Abelian 2DTL equation has been shown to have quasigrammian solutions expressed as [18]

$$R_n = - \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_n & P_n^\dagger \\ \Theta_n & \boxed{-I} \end{vmatrix},$$

where  $\Theta_n$  satisfies the same linear equations as in (2.2),  $P_n = (\rho_{1,n}, \dots, \rho_{N,n})$  with  $\rho_{i,n}$  satisfying the dispersion relations

$$(\rho_{i,n})_x = -\rho_{i,n+1}, \quad (\rho_{i,n})_t = -\rho_{i,n-1}.$$

The potential  $\Omega_n = \Omega(\Theta_n, P_n)$  satisfies the following relations

$$\Omega_{n,x} = P_{n+1}^\dagger \Theta_n, \quad \Omega_{n,t} = -P_n^\dagger \Theta_{n+1}, \quad \Omega_n - \Omega_{n-1} = -P_n^\dagger \Theta_n, \quad (2.6)$$

which lead to

$$\Omega_n = C_{i,j} + \int P_{n+1}^\dagger \Theta_n dx.$$

Next we assume that  $C_{i,j}$  are no longer constants, but rather

$$C_{i,j}(t) = \begin{cases} c_i(t), & i = j \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq K \leq N, \\ C_{i,j}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can obtain

$$\Omega_{n,x} = P_{n+1}^\dagger \Theta_n, \quad \Omega_{n,t} = C'_{i,j}(t) - P_n^\dagger \Theta_{n+1}, \quad \Omega_n - \Omega_{n-1} = -P_n^\dagger \Theta_n.$$

In this case,  $X_n = R_n$  no longer satisfies the non-Abelian 2DTL equation. Since the expressions for other derivatives of  $R_n$  remain the same as in the case of the non-Abelian 2DTL equation (1.3), we only need to calculate  $(R_{n,x}R_n^{-1})_t$ . Notice that

$$R_n^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_{n-1} & P_n^\dagger \\ \Theta_n & \boxed{I} \end{vmatrix} = I - \Theta_n \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger,$$

Then we get

$$(R_{n,x}R_n^{-1})_t = R_{n-1}R_n^{-1} - R_nR_{n+1}^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^K (\Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} c'_i(t) \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger - \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} c'_i(t) \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger).$$

Introduce two sets of novel functions

$$q_{i,n} = \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_n & e_i^T \\ \Theta_n & \mathbf{0} \end{vmatrix} \beta_i(t), \quad r_{i,n} = \eta_i(t) \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_{n-1} & P_n^\dagger \\ e_i & \mathbf{0} \end{vmatrix}.$$

It can be proved that  $R_n$ ,  $q_{i,n}$  and  $r_{i,n}$  form a set of quasigrammian solutions of the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS. (2.3)-(2.5). One can refer to Appendix B for detailed calculations.

## 2.3 From the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS to the 2DTL ESCS

Quasideterminants are closely related to determinants. In commutative setting, a quasideterminant is nothing but the ratio of two determinants. For this reason, the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS and the corresponding quasideterminant solutions can be used to yield the nonlinear 2DTL ESCS and its determinant solutions.

In commutative setting, the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS (2.3)-(2.5) turns into

$$(\ln X_n)_{xt} + X_{n-1}X_n^{-1} - X_nX_{n+1}^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^K (q_{i,n}r_{i,n})_x, \quad (2.7)$$

$$q_{i,n,x} = (\ln X_n)_x q_{i,n} + q_{i,n-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K, \quad (2.8)$$

$$r_{i,n,x} = -r_{i,n}(\ln X_n)_x - r_{i,n+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K. \quad (2.9)$$

On one hand, under the transformation

$$x \rightarrow -x, \quad t \rightarrow -t, \quad X_n \rightarrow \exp(-X_n), \quad q_{i,n} \rightarrow -q_{i,n},$$

(2.7)-(2.9) becomes the nonlinear 2DTL ECSC obtained by squared eigenfunction symmetries [26]

$$(X_n)_{xt} = e^{X_n - X_{n-1}} - e^{X_{n+1} - X_n} + \sum_{i=1}^K (q_{i,n}r_{i,n})_x,$$

$$q_{i,n,x} = -X_{n,x}q_{i,n} - q_{i,n-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K,$$

$$r_{i,n,x} = r_{i,n}X_{n,x} + r_{i,n+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K,$$

On the other hand, under the transformation

$$x \rightarrow -x, \quad X_n = e^{-u_n}, \quad q_{i,n} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}w_{i,n}e^{-u_n}, \quad r_{i,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v_{i,n+1}e^{u_n},$$

(2.7)-(2.9) becomes the nonlinear 2DTL ESCS obtained by source generation method [25]

$$u_{n,xt} + e^{u_n - u_{n-1}} - e^{u_{n+1} - u_n} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^K (w_{i,n}v_{n+2,i}e^{u_{n+1} - u_n} - w_{n-1}v_{n+1}e^{u_n - u_{n-1}}), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\frac{w_{i,n,x}}{w_{i,n}} + \frac{w_{i,n-1}}{w_{i,n}}e^{u_n - u_{n-1}} = \frac{w_{i,n+1,x}}{w_{i,n+1}} + \frac{w_{i,n}}{w_{i,n+1}}e^{u_{n+1} - u_n}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\frac{v_{i,n,x}}{v_{i,n}} - \frac{v_{i,n+1}}{v_{i,n}}e^{u_n - u_{n-1}} = \frac{v_{i,n+1,x}}{v_{i,n+1}} - \frac{v_{n+2,i}}{v_{i,n+1}}e^{u_{n+1} - u_n}. \quad (2.12)$$

It is noted that NC integrable systems can be treated in a unified way no matter what the reasons for noncommutativity are and results for NC integrable systems can produce the ones for the corresponding commutative integrable systems which reveals the advantage of dealing with NC integrable systems rather than commutative integrable systems.

### 3 The matrix sG ESCS and solutions

In [18], by imposing the 2-periodic reductions on the non-Abelian 2DTL equation, the matrix sG equation and its exact solutions were derived. In this section, we shall apply periodic and quasi-periodic reductions to the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS and its quasigrammian solutions to deduce a matrix sG ESCS as well as its exact solutions in terms of quasideterminants.

Starting from the quasigrammian solutions  $R_n$ ,  $q_{i,n}$  and  $r_{i,n}$  given in Section 2.2, exact solutions of the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS can be constructed as follows. For

$$(\theta_{i,n})_x = \theta_{i,n-1}, \quad (\theta_{i,n})_t = \theta_{i,n+1}, \quad (\rho_{i,n})_x = -\rho_{i,n+1}, \quad (\rho_{i,n})_t = -\rho_{i,n-1}, \quad (3.1)$$

and  $\Omega_n$  is defined by (2.6). We choose the simplest non-trivial solutions of (3.1)

$$\theta_{k,n} = B_k b_k^{-n} \exp\left(b_k x + \frac{1}{b_k} t\right), \quad \rho_{j,n} = A_j a_j^n \exp\left(-a_j x - \frac{1}{a_j} t\right),$$

where  $A_j$  and  $B_k$  are  $d \times d$  matrices and then we obtain

$$\Omega_n(\theta_{k,n}, \rho_{j,n}) = \left(\frac{a_j}{b_k}\right)^n \left( \delta_{j,k} C_{j,k}(t) \left(\frac{b_k}{a_k}\right)^n + \frac{A_k^t B_k a_k}{b_k - a_k} \exp\left((b_k - a_k)x + \left(\frac{1}{b_k} - \frac{1}{a_k}\right)t\right) \right).$$

The choice of  $\delta_{j,k} C_{j,k}(t)$  is needed to effect the periodic reduction. Now using the invariance of a quasi-determinant to scaling of its rows and columns [1], we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_n &= - \left| \begin{array}{c} \left( \delta_{j,k} C_{j,k}(t) \left(\frac{b_k}{a_j}\right)^n + \frac{A_j^t B_k a_j}{b_k - a_j} \exp(\xi_k + \hat{\xi}_j) \right) \\ (B_k \exp(\xi_k)) \end{array} \right| \left( A_j \exp(\hat{\xi}_j) \right)^\dagger \\ &\quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \boxed{-I} \\ \boxed{0} \end{array} \right|, \\ q_{i,n} &= \left| \begin{array}{c} \left( a_j^n \left( \delta_{j,k} C_{j,k}(t) \left(\frac{b_k}{a_j}\right)^n + \frac{A_j^t B_k a_j}{b_k - a_j} \exp(\xi_k + \hat{\xi}_j) \right) \right) \\ (B_k \exp(\xi_k)) \end{array} \right| e_i^\dagger \left| \begin{array}{c} \beta_i(t), \\ \boxed{0} \end{array} \right|, \\ r_{i,n} &= \eta_i(t) \left| \begin{array}{c} \left( b_k^{-n} \left( \delta_{j,k} C_{j,k}(t) \left(\frac{b_k}{a_j}\right)^n + \frac{A_j^t B_k}{b_k(b_k - a_j)} \exp(\xi_k + \hat{\xi}_j) \right) \right) \\ e_i \end{array} \right| \left( A_j \exp(\hat{\xi}_j) \right)^\dagger \\ &\quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \boxed{0} \end{array} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_k = b_k x + \frac{1}{b_k} t$  and  $\hat{\xi}_j = -a_j x - \frac{1}{a_j} t$ . If we further set  $a_k = -b_k = \lambda_k$  with  $\lambda_k$  being real scalars, which means that  $(\frac{b_1}{a_1})^2 = \dots = (\frac{b_N}{a_N})^2 = 1$ , we find that  $R_n$  and  $q_{i,n} r_{i,n+1}$  exhibit 2-periodicity with  $R_{n+2} = R_n$ ,  $q_{i,n+2} r_{i,n+3} = q_{i,n} r_{i,n+1}$ . However,  $q_{i,n}$  and  $r_{i,n}$  are quasi-periodic with

$$\begin{aligned} q_{i,0} &= \lambda_i^2 q_{i,2} = \dots = \lambda_i^{2n} q_{i,2n}, & q_{i,1} &= \lambda_i^2 q_{i,3} = \dots = \lambda_i^{2n} q_{i,2n+1}, \\ r_{i,0} &= \lambda_i^{-2} r_{i,2} = \dots = \lambda_i^{-2n} r_{i,2n}, & r_{i,1} &= \lambda_i^{-2} r_{i,3} = \dots = \lambda_i^{-2n} r_{i,2n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Under the above assumptions, the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS (2.3)-(2.5) transforms into

$$\begin{aligned}
(X_{0,x}X_0^{-1})_t + X_1X_0^{-1} - X_0X_1^{-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^K (q_{i,0}r_{i,1} - q_{i,1}r_{i,2}), \\
(X_{1,x}X_1^{-1})_t + X_0X_1^{-1} - X_1X_0^{-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^K (q_{i,1}r_{i,2} - q_{i,0}r_{i,1}), \\
q_{i,0,x} = X_{0,x}X_0^{-1}q_{i,0} + \lambda_i^2q_{i,1} & \quad q_{i,1,x} = X_{1,x}X_1^{-1}q_{i,1} + q_{i,0}, \\
r_{i,1,x} = -r_{i,1}X_{1,x}X_1^{-1} - r_{i,2}, & \quad r_{i,2,x} = -r_{i,2}X_{0,x}X_0^{-1} - \lambda_i^2r_{i,1}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

with solutions given by

$$\begin{aligned}
R_0 &= - \left| \begin{array}{c} \left( \delta_{j,k}C_{j,k}(t) - \frac{A_j^t B_k \lambda_j}{\lambda_j + \lambda_k} \exp(\zeta_j + \zeta_k) \right) \\ (B_k \exp(\zeta_k)) \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} (A_j \exp(\zeta_j))^\dagger \\ \boxed{-I} \end{array}, \\
R_1 &= - \left| \begin{array}{c} \left( -\delta_{j,k}C_{j,k}(t) - \frac{A_j^t B_k \lambda_k}{\lambda_j + \lambda_k} \exp(\zeta_j + \zeta_k) \right) \\ (B_k \exp(\zeta_k)) \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} (A_j \exp(\zeta_j))^\dagger \\ \boxed{-I} \end{array}, \\
q_{i,0} &= \left| \begin{array}{c} \left( \delta_{j,k}C_{j,k}(t) - \frac{A_j^t B_k \lambda_k}{\lambda_j + \lambda_k} \exp(\zeta_j + \zeta_k) \right) \\ (B_k \exp(\zeta_k)) \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} e_i^\dagger \\ \boxed{0} \end{array} \beta_i, \\
q_{i,1} &= \left| \begin{array}{c} \left( \lambda_j \left( -\delta_{j,k}C_{j,k}(t) - \frac{A_j^t B_k \lambda_k}{\lambda_j + \lambda_k} \exp(\zeta_j + \zeta_k) \right) \right) \\ (B_k \exp(\zeta_k)) \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} e_i^\dagger \\ \boxed{0} \end{array} \beta_i, \\
r_{i,1} &= \eta_i \left| \begin{array}{c} \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left( -\delta_{j,k}C_{j,k}(t) + \frac{A_j^t B_k}{\lambda_k(\lambda_j + \lambda_k)} \exp(\zeta_j + \zeta_k) \right) \right) \\ e_i \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} (A_j \exp(\zeta_j))^\dagger \\ \boxed{0} \end{array}, \\
r_{i,2} &= \eta_i \left| \begin{array}{c} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} \left( \delta_{j,k}C_{j,k}(t) + \frac{A_j^t B_k}{\lambda_k(\lambda_j + \lambda_k)} \exp(\zeta_j + \zeta_k) \right) \right) \\ e_i \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} (A_j \exp(\zeta_j))^\dagger \\ \boxed{0} \end{array},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta_j = -\lambda_j x - \frac{1}{\lambda_j} t$ .

From now on, we will assume that  $A_j = I$  are real and  $B_k$  is purely imaginary written as  $ir_k P_k$ , where  $r_k$  are real scalars. In this case, we have

$$R_0 = R_1^*, \quad q_i = q_{i,0} = \lambda_i q_{i,1}^*, \quad r_i = r_{i,1} = \lambda_i^{-1} r_{i,2}^*,$$

where  $*$  means complex conjugate. By taking  $X = R_0$ , (3.2) are reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}
(X_x X^{-1})_t + X^* X^{-1} - X X^{*-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^K (q_i r_i - q_i^* r_i^*), \\
q_{i,x} &= X_x X^{-1} q_i + \lambda_i q_i^*, \\
r_{i,x} &= -r_i X_x^* X^{*-1} - \lambda_i r_i^*
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

which we call a matrix sG ESCS henceforth. Actually, in the commutative case, by taking

$$u = -2i \log(X), \quad q_i = \lambda_i (\phi_i - i\psi_i), \quad r_i = i\phi_i + \psi_i,$$

we have from the matrix sG ESCS (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{xt} &= 4 \sin u + 2 \sum_{i=1}^K (\phi_i^2 + \psi_i^2)_x, \\
\phi_{i,x} &= \frac{1}{2} u_x \psi_i + \lambda_i \phi_i, \\
\psi_{i,x} &= -\frac{1}{2} u_x \phi_i - \lambda_i \psi_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

which is nothing but the sG ESCS.

**Remark 3.1.** It is worthy pointing out that (3.4) is equivalent to sG ESCS studied in [28]

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{xt} &= \sin u + 2 \sum_{i=1}^K (\phi_i^2 + \psi_i^2)_x, \\
\phi_{i,x} &= \frac{1}{2} u_x \psi_i - \lambda_i \phi_i, \\
\psi_{i,x} &= -\frac{1}{2} u_x \phi_i + \lambda_i \psi_i
\end{aligned}$$

under the scaling transformations

$$x \rightarrow x, \quad t \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}t, \quad \phi_i \rightarrow 2\phi_i, \quad \psi_i \rightarrow 2\psi_i, \quad \lambda_i \rightarrow -\lambda_i.$$

## 4 Conclusions and discussions

In this paper, we succeed in constructing and solving the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS by generalizing the source generation procedure to NC integrable systems. Two types of quasideterminant solutions for the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS are presented. In the case that  $C_i(t)$  is independent of  $t$ , the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS reduces to the non-Abelian 2DTL equation identically. By considering periodic and quasi-periodic reductions on the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS and its quasigrammian solutions, a matrix sG ESCS is proposed for the first time whose exact solutions in terms of quasideterminants are presented as well. As mentioned before, sources in SESCOs can result in solitary waves moving with nonconstant velocity or cause a great variety of dynamics of soliton solutions, it is interesting to study dynamics of soliton solutions for NC versions of SESCOs.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants Nos. 11971322 and 12171475).



## A Proof of the Proposition 2.1

Given  $Q_n$ ,  $q_{i,n}$  and  $r_{i,n}$  as defined in Section 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{n,x} &= \left( \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| \right), \\
(Q_{n,x}Q_n^{-1})_t &= \left( \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n + H_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{array} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_i \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n+i+1} + H_{n+i} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| \right) \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right|^{-1} \\
&\quad - \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| \left( \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n+1} + H_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_i \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n+i+1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_i \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} H_{n+i} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right|^{-1} + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n+1} + H_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{array} \right| \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_i \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n+i+1} + H_{n+i} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{array} \right| \\
&= Q_n Q_{n+1}^{-1} - Q_{n-1} Q_n^{-1} + \left| \begin{array}{cc} H_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{array} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_i \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} H_{n+i} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{array} \right| \\
&\quad - \left| \begin{array}{cc} H_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{array} \right| - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_i \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} H_{n+i-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{array} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice the fact that  $\beta(t)$  does not affect the proof concerning (2.4), we omit  $\beta(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{i,n,x} &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{h_{i,n}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{i,n} \end{array} \right|_x = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{h_{i,n-1}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{i,n} \end{array} \right| + \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_j \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1+j} & \boxed{h_{i,n-1+j}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{n,i} \end{array} \right| \\
&= \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{h_{i,n-1}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{i,n} \end{array} \right| + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{h_{i,n}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{i,n} \end{array} \right| \\
Q_{n,x}Q_n^{-1}q_{i,n} + q_{i,n-1} &= \left( \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right|^{-1} + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{array} \right| \right) \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{h_{i,n}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{i,n} \end{array} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{h_{i,n-1}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & G_{i,n-1} \end{array} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

By making use of the NC Jacobi identity for quasi-determinants, we can obtain

$$\left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{h_{i,n-1}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{i,n} \end{array} \right| - \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{array} \right|^{-1} \left| \begin{array}{cc} \Theta_n & \boxed{h_{i,n}} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{i,n} \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N & G_{i,n} \\ \Theta_n & 0 & h_{i,n} \\ \Theta_{n-1} & 0 & \boxed{h_{i,n-1}} \end{array} \right|.$$

Notice that

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\Theta}_n & G_{i,n} & e_N \\ \Theta_n & \boxed{h_{i,n-1}} & 0 \\ \Theta_{n-1} & h_{i,n} & 0 \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & G_{i,n-1} & 0 \\ \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{h_{i,n-1}} & 0 \\ \Theta_{n+1} & h_{i,n+N} & 1 \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & G_{i,n-1} \\ \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{h_{i,n-1}} \end{array} \right|,$$

it is clear that (2.4) holds true. It is not difficult to prove (2.5) by noticing

$$\begin{vmatrix} e_i^T & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} e_i^T & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} e_i^T & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and

$$r_{i,n,x} = \sum_{j=1}^N \begin{vmatrix} e_i^I & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_j \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n-2+j} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} e_i^t & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} e_i^T & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_2 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$r_{i,n} Q_{n,x} Q_n^{-1} + r_{i,n+1} = \begin{vmatrix} e_i^t & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{n-1} & e_1 \end{vmatrix} \left( \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n-1} & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_N \end{vmatrix}^{-1} + \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{vmatrix} \right) + \begin{vmatrix} e_i^T & \boxed{0} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_n & e_1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

## B Proof of the quasigrammian solutions

By detailed calculations, we have the following derivative formulae for  $R_n$ ,  $q_{i,n}$  and  $r_{i,n}$  as defined in Section 2.2,

$$R_n^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_{n-1} & P_n^\dagger \\ \Theta_n & \boxed{I} \end{vmatrix} = I - \Theta_n \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger,$$

$$(R_{n,x} R_n^{-1})_t = I - \Theta_n \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger + \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_{n-1}^\dagger - \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_{n-1}^\dagger \Theta_n \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger$$

$$- I + \Theta_{n+1} \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger + \Theta_n^{-1} \Omega_n^{-1} P_n^\dagger + \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} P_n^\dagger \Theta_{n+1} \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^K (\Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} c'_i \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger - \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} c'_i \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger),$$

$$R_{n-1} R_n^{-1} = I - \Theta_n \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger + \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_{n-1}^\dagger - \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_{n-1}^\dagger \Theta_n \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger,$$

$$R_n R_{n+1}^{-1} = I - \Theta_{n+1} \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger - \Theta_n^{-1} \Omega_n^{-1} P_n^\dagger - \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} P_n^\dagger \Theta_{n+1} \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger,$$

$$q_{i,n,x} = \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_n & e_i \\ \Theta_n & \boxed{0} \end{vmatrix}_x = -(\Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} e_i)_x = -\Theta_{n-1} \Omega_n^{-1} e_i + \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} e_i,$$

$$R_{n,x} R_n^{-1} q_{i,n} = \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} e_i - \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} e_i$$

$$= \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} e_i + \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} e_i - \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_n^{-1} e_i,$$

$$r_{i,n,x} = \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_{n-1} & P_n^\dagger \\ e_i^\dagger & \boxed{0} \end{vmatrix}_x = -(e_i^\dagger \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger)_x = e_i^\dagger \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger - e_i^\dagger \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger,$$

$$r_{i,n} R_{i,n,x} R_n^{-1} = -e_i^\dagger \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger \Theta_n \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger + e_i^\dagger \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger$$

$$= e_i^\dagger \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger - e_i^\dagger \Omega_n^{-1} P_{n+1}^\dagger + e_i^\dagger \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger \Theta_{n-1} \Omega_{n-1}^{-1} P_n^\dagger,$$

which are sufficient to prove that  $R_n$ ,  $q_{i,n}$  and  $r_{i,n}$  provide quasigrammian solutions of the non-Abelian 2DTL ESCS.

## References

- [1] I Gelfand, S Gelfand, V Retakh, and R L Wilson. Quasideterminants. *Advances in Mathematics*, 193(1):56–141, 2005.

- [2] L D Paniak. Exact noncommutative KP and KdV multi-solitons. *arXiv preprint hep-th/0105185*, 2001.
- [3] S Carillo and C Schiebold. Noncommutative Korteweg–de Vries and modified Korteweg–de Vries hierarchies via recursion methods. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 50(7), 2009.
- [4] M Hamanaka and K Toda. Noncommutative Burgers equation. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 36(48):11981, 2003.
- [5] A Dimakis and F Müller-Hoissen. Extension of noncommutative soliton hierarchies. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 37(13):4069, 2004.
- [6] M Hamanaka and K Toda. Towards noncommutative integrable systems. *Physics Letters A*, 316(1-2):77–83, 2003.
- [7] M Hamanaka. Commuting flows and conservation laws for noncommutative Lax hierarchies. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 46(5), 2005.
- [8] C R Gilson and J J C Nimmo. On a direct approach to quasideterminant solutions of a noncommutative KP equation. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 40(14):3839, 2007.
- [9] N Wang and M Wadati. Exact multi-line soliton solutions of noncommutative KP equation. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 72(8):1881–1888, 2003.
- [10] C R Gilson, J J C Nimmo, and C M Sooman. On a direct approach to quasideterminant solutions of a noncommutative modified KP equation. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 41(8):085202, 2008.
- [11] N Wang and M Wadati. Noncommutative KP hierarchy and Hirota triple-product relations. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 73(7):1689–1698, 2004.
- [12] M Mulase. Solvability of the super KP equation and a generalization of the Birkhoff decomposition. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 92(1):1–46, 1988.
- [13] M Adler, T Shiota, and P van Moerbeke. Random matrices, Virasoro algebras, and noncommutative KP. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 94(2):379–423, 1988.
- [14] Claire R Gilson, Masashi Hamanaka, Shan-Chi Huang, and Jonathan JC Nimmo. Soliton solutions of noncommutative anti-self-dual yang–mills equations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 53(40):404002, 2020.
- [15] A V Mikhaïlov. Integrability of the two-dimensional generalization of Toda chain. *Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters*, 30:414–418, 1979.

- [16] M A Salle. Darboux transformations for non-Abelian and nonlocal equations of the Toda chain type. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 53(2):227–237, 1982.
- [17] J J C Nimmo and R Willox. Darboux transformations for the two-dimensional Toda system. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 453(1967):2497–2525, 1997.
- [18] C X Li and J J C Nimmo. Quasideterminant solutions of a non-Abelian Toda lattice and kink solutions of a matrix sine-Gordon equation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 464(2092):951–966, 2008.
- [19] V K Mel’Nikov. Interaction of solitary waves in the system described by the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation with a self-consistent source. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 126:201–215, 1989.
- [20] V K Mel’Nikov. Capture and confinement of solitons in nonlinear integrable systems. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 120:451–468, 1989.
- [21] J Leon and A Latifi. Solution of an initial-boundary value problem for coupled nonlinear waves. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 23(8):1385, 1990.
- [22] Zeng Yunbo and Li Yishen. The lax representation and darboux transformation for constrained flows of the akns hierarchy. *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 12:217–224, 1996.
- [23] Yunbo Zeng. Constructing hierarchy of sinh-gordon-type equations from soliton hierarchy with self-consistent source. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 259(3-4):278–290, 1998.
- [24] Yunbo Zeng. Bi-hamiltonian structure of jm hierarchy with self-consistent sources. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 262(3-4):405–419, 1999.
- [25] H Y Wang, X B Hu, and Gegenhasi. 2D Toda lattice equation with self-consistent sources: Casoratian type solutions, bilinear Bäcklund transformation and Lax pair. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 202(1):133–143, 2007.
- [26] X J Liu, Y B Zeng, and R L Lin. An extended two-dimensional Toda lattice hierarchy and two-dimensional Toda lattice with self-consistent sources. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 49(9), 2008.
- [27] Xiao Jun Liu and Can Gao. Generalized dressing method for the extended two-dimensional Toda lattice hierarchy and its reductions. *Science China Mathematics*, 54:365–380, 2011.
- [28] D J Zhang and D Y Chen. The N-soliton solutions of the sine-Gordon equation with self-consistent sources. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 321(3-4):467–481, 2003.

- [29] R A Brualdi and H Schneider. Determinantal identities: Gauss, Schur, Cauchy, Sylvester, Kronecker, Jacobi, Binet, Laplace, Muir, and Cayley. *Linear Algebr. Appl.*, 52:769–791, 1983.
- [30] A C Aitken. *Determinants and Matrices*. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1959.