

Categorical Theory of (∞, ω) -Categories

Félix Loubaton

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics



Contents

Contents	3
Introduction	5
Summary of results	9
1 $(0, \omega)$-Categories and presheaves on Θ	17
1.1 Basic constructions	19
1.1.1 $(0, \omega)$ -Categories	19
1.1.2 The category Θ	22
1.1.3 The link between presheaves on Θ and on $\Delta[\Theta]$	26
1.2 Gray Operations	32
1.2.1 Recollection on Steiner theory	32
1.2.2 2-Polygraphs and presheaves on Θ_2	37
1.2.3 Gray operations on augmented directed complexes	47
1.2.4 Gray operations on $(0, \omega)$ -categories	54
1.2.5 Gray tensor product of simplicial sets	60
2 The $(\infty, 1)$-category of (∞, ω)-categories	65
2.1 Preliminaries	67
2.1.1 Explicit computation of some colimits	67
2.1.2 Factorization systems	69
2.1.3 Reflexive localization	73
2.1.4 Monomorphisms	75
2.2 Basic constructions	77
2.2.1 (∞, ω) -Categories	77
2.2.2 Discrete Conduché functors	92
2.3 Gray Operations	96
2.3.1 Gray operations on (∞, ω) -categories	96
2.3.2 Gray deformation retract	103
2.3.3 Gray operations and strict objects	106
3 The $(\infty, 1)$-category of marked (∞, ω)-categories	115
3.1 Marked (∞, ω) -categories	117
3.1.1 Definition of marked (∞, ω) -categories	117
3.1.2 Gray tensor product of marked (∞, ω) -categories	124

3.1.3	Gray tensor product and Cartesian product	131
3.1.4	Marked Gray deformation retract	135
3.2	Cartesian fibrations	137
3.2.1	Initial and final morphisms	137
3.2.2	Left and right cartesian fibrations	139
3.2.3	Cartesian fibrations are exponentiable	149
3.2.4	Colimits of cartesian fibrations	154
3.2.5	Smooth and proper morphisms	159
3.2.6	The \mathbf{W} -small (∞, ω) -category of \mathbf{V} -small left cartesian fibrations	165
4	The (∞, ω)-category of (∞, ω)-categories	173
4.1	Lax Grothendieck construction	176
4.1.1	Internal category	176
4.1.2	Grothendieck construction	182
4.1.3	Lax Univalence	191
4.1.4	Lax Grothendieck construction	201
4.2	Yoneda lemma and other results	206
4.2.1	Yoneda lemma	206
4.2.2	Adjoint functors	211
4.2.3	Lax colimits	216
4.2.4	Kan extensions	227
	Index of symbols	231
	Index of notions	234
	Bibliography	237

Introduction

A *category* consists of a set of objects and, for every pair of objects a, b , a set of morphisms $\text{hom}_C(a, b)$ equipped with composition operations that satisfy associativity and identity laws.

$(\infty, 1)$ -*Categories* are a homotopical generalization of categories. Intuitively, they are defined similarly to categories, except that the sets of objects and morphisms are replaced by spaces of objects and morphisms, and the associativity and identity laws are no longer satisfied strictly but up to homotopy.

Thanks notably to the work of Joyal ([Joy02]) and Lurie ([Lur09]), most important concepts and theorems in category theory now have their $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical analogues. These objects have become important tools in various fields of mathematics, including algebraic geometry, algebraic topology, and representation theory.

Another generalization of the notion of a category is obtained by replacing the set of morphisms between two objects a and b with a category of morphisms between a and b . These new objects are called *2-categories*. By replacing the sets of morphisms with $(n-1)$ -categories of morphisms, one can inductively define the notion of an *n-category* for any integer n .

The notion of (∞, n) -*category* is obtained by accomplishing these two generalizations simultaneously. These objects are now found in many fields, particularly in derived algebraic geometry, where the 6-functor formalism is expressed and manipulated using the theory of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories ([GR19]), and in topological quantum fields theory, where (∞, n) -categories are essential to the formulation and proof of the cobordism hypothesis ([BD95], [Lur08], [GP21], [CS19]).

The ambition of this work is to contribute to the study of (∞, n) -categories. However, restricting to a given integer n has its limitations.

A first example is given by the fact that (∞, n) -categories organize into an $(\infty, n+1)$ -category, and this richer structure plays an important role in the theory of (∞, n) -categories.

A second example comes from the *Gray tensor product*, a fundamental operation for studying *lax* phenomena. Informally, given a concept in category theory (colimit, natural transformation), the lax variant is obtained by replacing all commutative diagrams appearing in the definition of this concept with diagrams that commute up to higher (not necessarily invertible) cells. The Gray tensor product is then the lax variant of the Cartesian product.


Example (examples of some Gray tensor products). We denote by \mathbf{D}_1 the 1-category generated by the 1-graph

$$0 \longrightarrow 1$$


and by \mathbf{D}_2 the 2-category generated by the 2-graph



The Gray tensor product of \mathbf{D}_1 with itself, denoted by $\mathbf{D}_1 \otimes \mathbf{D}_1$, is the 2-category generated by the diagram



The Gray tensor product of \mathbf{D}_2 with \mathbf{D}_1 , denoted by $\mathbf{D}_2 \otimes \mathbf{D}_1$, is the 3-category generated by the diagram



As we can see from the examples, unlike the Cartesian product which takes the maximum dimension of its inputs, the Gray tensor product adds them together and thus corresponds to a functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, n)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, m)\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & (\infty, n+m)\text{-cat}. \\ (C, D) & \mapsto & C \otimes D \end{array}$$

One can handle this by considering a truncated version of the Gray tensor product, but we believe that avoiding such a drastic operation will lead to a more natural understanding of the complex combinatorics it encodes. To understand higher categories in the most general way possible, we will thus focus directly on (∞, ω) -categories¹.

A brief definition of (m, n) -categories for $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$

A *globular set* is the data of a diagram of sets

$$X_0 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi_0^+} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_0^-} \end{array} X_1 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi_1^+} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_1^-} \end{array} X_2 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi_2^+} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_2^-} \end{array} \dots$$

with the relations $\pi_{n-1}^\epsilon \pi_n^+ = \pi_n^\epsilon \pi_{n-1}^-$ for any $n > 0$ and $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$. We also denote by π_k^ϵ the map $X_n \rightarrow X_k$ for $k < n$ obtained by composing any string of arrows starting with π_k^ϵ . An ω -category is a globular set X together with

- (1) operations of *compositions*

$$X_n \times_{X_k} X_n \rightarrow X_n \quad (0 \leq k < n)$$

which associate to two n -cells (x, y) verifying $\pi_k^+(x) = \pi_k^-(y)$, an n -cell $x \circ_k y$,

- (2) as well as *units*

$$X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$$


which associate to an n -cell x , an $(n + 1)$ -cell \mathbb{I}_x ,

¹This notion is sometimes called (∞, ∞) -categories. See page 8 for a justification of the choice of denomination made in this text.


and satisfying some associativity and units axioms which will be expected by any reader familiar with 2-categories. A *morphism of ω -categories* is a map of globular sets commuting with both operations. The category of ω -categories is denoted by $\omega\text{-cat}$.

The category Θ of Joyal is the full subcategory of $\omega\text{-cat}$ spanned by the *globular sums*. Roughly speaking, globular sums are the ω -categories obtained by "directed" gluing of *globes*. In particular, globes are the easiest example of globular sums. Here are a few examples of globes and globular sums, where we identify the pasting diagrams with the ω -categories they generate.


Example (some examples of globes).



Example (some examples of globular sums).



Example (some examples of morphisms between globular sums).



For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$, we define Θ_n as the full subcategory of Θ whose objects correspond to n -categories. In particular, Θ_0 is the terminal category, Θ_1 is Δ , and Θ_ω is Θ .

Let $m\text{-grd}$ be the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of m -groupoids, i.e. m -truncated ∞ -groupoids, and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$. A (m, n) -category is a functor $\Theta_n^{op} \rightarrow m\text{-grd}$ that satisfies the *Segal conditions* and *completeness conditions*. We denote by $(m, n)\text{-cat}$ the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of (m, n) -categories. Since we have not given a precise definition of Θ , we cannot explicitly state these conditions, but we will try to explain their essence.

Segal conditions. As the diagrams given in the examples suggest, every globular sum is a colimit of globes. For instance, a_2 is the colimit of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathbf{D}_2 & & \\
 & & \uparrow i_1^+ & & \\
 & \mathbf{D}_1 & \xleftarrow{i_0^+} & \mathbf{D}_0 & \xrightarrow{i_0^-} & \mathbf{D}_2 \\
 & & \downarrow i_1^- & & \\
 & & \mathbf{D}_3 & &
 \end{array}$$

A functor $X : \Theta_n^{op} \rightarrow m\text{-grd}$ satisfies the *Segal conditions* if it sends these colimits to limits. For instance, the presheaf X must send a_2 to the limit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X(\mathbf{D}_2) & & \\ & & \pi_1^+ \downarrow & & \\ & X(\mathbf{D}_1) & \xrightarrow{\pi_0^+} & X(\mathbf{D}_0) & \xleftarrow{\pi_0^-} & X(\mathbf{D}_2) \\ & \pi_1^- \uparrow & & & & \\ & & X(\mathbf{D}_3) & & & \end{array}$$

The morphism $X(f_1)$ then sends a pair of 2-cells sharing a common 1-boundary to a 2-cell, and then corresponds to a 1-composition. Similarly, the morphism $X(f_0)$ is a 0-composition, and the morphism $X(f_3)$ is a unit.

Completeness conditions. Let $X : \Theta_n^{op} \rightarrow m\text{-grd}$ be a functor satisfying the Segal conditions. Given an integer $k \leq n$, we have two notions of equivalence on k -cells of X , i.e. morphisms $1 \rightarrow X(\mathbf{D}_k)$.

The first one, denoted by \sim , is the equivalence relation coming from the m -groupoid structure of $\text{Hom}(1, X(\mathbf{D}_k))$.

The second is more categorical and identifies *isomorphic* elements, i.e. k -cells a, b such that there exists $(k + 1)$ -cells $f : a \rightarrow b$, $g : b \rightarrow a$ and equivalences

$$g \circ_k f \sim id_a \quad \text{and} \quad f \circ_k g \sim id_b.$$

The presheaf X satisfies the completeness condition if these two notions of equivalence coincide.

This notation is compatible with the one given in [Rez10] when $k \geq n$, but it also allows to give meaning to (k, n) -categories for $k < n$. For instance, $(0, \omega)$ -categories correspond to Θ -sets satisfying the Segal and completeness conditions. The first condition induce an inclusion of $(0, \omega)$ -categories into ω -categories and the latter forces isomorphisms to be identities.

(∞, ω) -Categories and (∞, ∞) -Categories

As stated earlier, this work is devoted to the concept of (∞, ω) -categories. These objects are sometimes called (∞, ∞) -categories. However, we have chosen the notation " (∞, ω) " for several reasons.

The first reason is that it emphasizes that these two infinities represent different concepts: the first concerns the infinity of the homotopical dimension, and the second the infinity of the categorical dimension.

The second reason is that we aim to generalize higher strict categories, which are usually called ω -categories. It thus seemed appropriate that the symbol ω should appear in our notion.

The third reason is that some authors seem to want to remove the prefix " ∞ " from homotopical concepts. In this paradigm, (∞, ∞) -categories would be called ∞ -categories, which could be confusing.

Finally, the notion of (∞, ∞) -categories is sometimes considered ambiguous. Indeed, Schommer-Pries and Rezk have independently argued ([hsp]) that there should be more than one notion of (∞, ∞) -categories. The one we use here is commonly referred to as *the inductive one*, in the sense that (∞, ω) -cat is identified with the limit of the sequence:

$$(\infty, 0)\text{-cat} \xleftarrow{\tau_0} (\infty, 1)\text{-cat} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow (\infty, n)\text{-cat} \xleftarrow{\tau_n} (\infty, n + 1)\text{-cat} \leftarrow \dots$$

where the functors τ_n "forget" the cells of dimension n . For a more detailed discussion in the (semi-)strict case, we refer to [HL23].

Language of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories

In this text, we will freely use the language of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. As there are currently several directions for the formalization of the language of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories ([RV22], [RS17], [Nor19], [CNW]), talking about "the" language of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories may be confusing.

In such case, the reader may consider that we are working within the quasi-category \mathbf{Qcat} of \mathbf{T} -small quasi-categories for \mathbf{T} a Grothendieck universe. This quasi-category may be obtained either using the coherent nerve as described in [Lur09, chapter 3], or by considering it as the codomain of the universal co-cartesian fibration with \mathbf{T} -small fibers as done in [CN22]. In both cases, the straightening/unstraightening correspondence provides a morphism

$$N(\mathbf{Psh}(\Delta)_{\mathbf{T}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Qcat}$$

that exhibits \mathbf{Qcat} as the quasi-categorical localization of $N(\mathbf{Psh}(\Delta)_{\mathbf{T}})$ with respect to the weak equivalences of the Joyal's model structure ([CN22, theorem 8.13]).

The constructions we use to build new objects - (co)limits of functor between quasi-categories, quasi-categories of functor, localization of quasi-categories, sub maximal Kan complex, full sub quasi-category, adjunction, left and right Kan extension, Yoneda lemma - are well documented in the Joyal model structure (see [Lur09] or [Cis19]), and therefore have direct incarnation in the quasi-category \mathbf{Qcat} .

Summary of results

Chapter 1. The first section is devoted to the definition of $(0, \omega)$ -categories and of the category Θ of Joyal. We also show that the category Θ presents the category of $(0, \omega)$ -categories, and we also exhibit another presentation of this category (corollary 1.1.3.5).

The second section begins with a review of Steiner theory, which is an extremely useful tool for providing concise and computational descriptions of $(0, \omega)$ -categories. Following Ara and Maltsiniotis, we employ this theory to define the Gray tensor product, denoted by \otimes , in $(0, \omega)$ -categories. We then introduce the Gray operations, starting with the Gray cylinder $_ \otimes [1]$ which is the Gray tensor product with the directed interval $[1] := 0 \rightarrow 1$. Then, we have the *Gray cone* and the *Gray o-cone*, denoted by $_ \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} _$, that send an $(0, \omega)$ -category C onto the following pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C \end{array}$$

We also present a formula that illustrates the interaction between the suspension and the Gray cylinder. As this formula plays a crucial role in this text, we provide its intuition at this stage.

If A is any $(0, \omega)$ -category, the *suspension* of A , denoted by $[A, 1]$, is the $(0, \omega)$ -category having two objects - denoted by 0 and 1- and such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{[A,1]}(0,1) := A, \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{[A,1]}(1,0) := \emptyset, \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{[A,1]}(0,0) = \mathrm{Hom}_{[A,1]}(1,1) := \{id\}.$$

We also define $[1] \vee [A, 1]$ as the gluing of $[1]$ and $[A, 1]$ along the 0-target of $[1]$ and the 0-source of $[A, 1]$. We define similarly $[A, 1] \vee [1]$. These two objects come along with *whiskerings*:

$$\nabla : [A, 1] \rightarrow [1] \vee [A, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla : [A, 1] \rightarrow [A, 1] \vee [1]$$

that preserve the extremal points.

The $(0, \omega)$ -category $[1] \otimes [1]$ is induced by the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

and is then equal to the colimit of the following diagram:

$$[1] \vee [1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [1] \hookrightarrow [[1], 1] \hookrightarrow [1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1] \vee [1].$$

The $(0, \omega)$ -category $[[1], 1] \otimes [1]$ is induced by the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array} & & \\ \leftarrow \downarrow \swarrow \downarrow \rightarrow & & \leftarrow \downarrow \swarrow \downarrow \rightarrow & & \end{array}$$

and is then equal to the colimit of the following diagram:

$$[1] \vee [[1], 1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [[1] \otimes \{0\}, 1] \hookrightarrow [[1] \otimes [1], 1] \hookrightarrow [[1] \otimes \{1\}, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [[1], 1] \vee [1]$$

We prove a formula that combines these two examples:

Proposition 1.2.4.12. *In the category of $(0, \omega)$ -categories, there exists an isomorphism, natural in A , between $[A, 1] \otimes [1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[1] \vee [A, 1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [A \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [A \otimes [1], 1] \longleftarrow [A \otimes \{1\}, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [A, 1] \vee [1]$$

We also provide similar formulas for the Gray cone, the Gray \circ -cone and the Gray op-cone.

Proposition 1.2.4.13. *There is a natural identification between $1 \overset{co}{\star} [A, 1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[1] \vee [A, 1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [A, 1] \longrightarrow [A \star 1, 1]$$

There is a natural identification between $[A, 1] \star 1$ and the colimit of the following diagram

$$[1 \overset{co}{\star} A, 1] \longleftarrow [A, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [A, 1] \vee [1]$$

We conclude this chapter by studying the functor

$$_ \otimes _ : \text{Psh}(\Delta) \times \text{Psh}(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta)$$

which is the left Kan extension of the functor

$$\Delta \times \Delta \xrightarrow{= \otimes} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Psh}(\Theta)$$

where ι is the inclusion of $(0, \omega)$ -categories into presheaves on Θ . In particular, we demonstrate theorem 1.2.5.3, which will be of crucial importance for defining the Gray tensor product for (∞, ω) -categories.

Chapter 2. The first section is a recollection of results on presentable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. In particular, we provide a very useful technical lemma that gives conditions for calculating colimits in ∞ -presheaves using (strict) presheaves. We also present results on factorization systems and the localizations they induce. Finally, we conclude by giving some results on monomorphisms in $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

In the second section, we define (∞, ω) -categories and give some basic properties. We also define and study *discrete Conduché functor*, which are morphisms having the unique right lifting property against units $\mathbb{I}_{n+1} : \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for any integer n , and against compositions $\nabla_{k,n} : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n \amalg_{\mathbf{D}_k} \mathbf{D}_n$ for any pair of integers $k \leq n$. This notion was originally defined and studied in the context of strict ω -category by Guetta in [Gue18]. We then demonstrate the following result:

Theorem 2.2.2.10. *Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a discrete Conduché functor. The pullback functor $f^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/D} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/C}$ preserves colimits.*

In the third section, using the Gray tensor product for $(0, \omega)$ -categories, we construct a colimit-preserving functor

$$_ \otimes _ : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, 1)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$$

again called the Gray tensor product. To be able to define lax phenomena, in particular lax colimits and limits, we will need to extend the functor $_ \otimes _$ to $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$. Although one might at first be tempted to use the "general" Gray tensor product, this is not the operation that will be used subsequently, particularly for stating the universal property of lax colimits and limits. We then introduce a cocontinuous bifunctor:

$$\ominus : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$$

called the *enhanced Gray tensor product*. This new operation can be seen as a generalization of the Gray product with $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

We then introduce the Gray operations, starting with the Gray cylinder $_ \otimes [1]$ which is the Gray tensor product with the directed interval $[1] := 0 \rightarrow 1$. Then, we have the *Gray cone* and the *Gray \circ -cone*, denoted by $_ \star 1$ and $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} _$, that send an (∞, ω) -category C onto the following pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \end{array}$$

We then provide several formulas expressing the relationship between the Gray operations and the suspension $[_, 1] : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, identical to those in the strict case.

Proposition 2.3.1.10. *There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \otimes [1]$ and the colimit of the diagram*

$$[1] \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C \otimes [1], 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1] \quad (0.0.0.1)$$

Proposition 2.3.1.18. *There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \ominus [b, 1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[b, 1] \vee [C, 1] \leftarrow [C \otimes \{0\} \times b, 1] \rightarrow [(C \otimes [1]) \times b, 1] \leftarrow [C \otimes \{1\} \times b, 1] \rightarrow [C, 1] \vee [b, 1] \quad (0.0.0.2)$$

Proposition 2.3.1.22. *There is a natural identification between $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} [C, 1]$ and the colimit of the diagram*

$$[1] \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C \star 1, 1] \quad (0.0.0.3)$$

There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \star 1$ and the colimit of the diagram

$$[1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1] \quad (0.0.0.4)$$

We conclude this chapter by proving results of strictification. In particular, we demonstrate the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3.3.21. *Let $C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism between strict (∞, ω) -categories. The (∞, ω) -categories $D \coprod_C C \star 1$, $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \coprod_C D$, and $D \coprod_{C \otimes \{0\}} C \otimes [1]$ are strict. In particular, $C \star 1$, $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C$, and $C \otimes [n]$ for any integer n , are strict.*

In the process, we will demonstrate another fundamental equation combining $C \otimes [1]$, $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C$, $C \star 1$, and $[C, 1]$.

Theorem 2.3.3.25. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. The five squares appearing in the following canonical diagram are both cartesian and cocartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

where $[C, 1]$ is the suspension of C .

Chapter 3. This chapter is dedicated to the study of *marked (∞, ω) -categories*, which are pairs (C, tC) , where C is an (∞, ω) -category and $tC := (tC_n)_{n>0}$ is a sequence of full sub ∞ -groupoids of C_n that include identities and are stable under composition and whiskering with (possibly unmarked) cells of lower dimensions. There are two canonical ways to mark an (∞, ω) -category C . In the first, denoted by C^\flat , we mark as little as possible. In the second, denoted by C^\sharp , we mark everything.

The first section of the chapter defines these objects and establishes analogs of many results on (∞, ω) -categories to this new framework. In particular, the *marked Gray cylinder* $_ \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is defined. If A is an (∞, ω) -category, the underlying (∞, ω) -category of $A^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is $A \times [1]$, and the underlying (∞, ω) -category of $A^\flat \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is $A \otimes [1]$. By varying the marking, and at the level of underlying (∞, ω) -categories, we "continuously" move from the cartesian product with the directed interval to the Gray tensor product with the directed interval.

The motivation for introducing markings comes from the notion of *left (and right) cartesian fibrations*. A left cartesian fibration is a morphism between marked (∞, ω) -categories such that only the marked cells of the codomain have cartesian lifting, and the marked cells of the domain correspond exactly to such cartesian lifting. For example, a left cartesian fibration $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$ is just a "usual" left cartesian fibration where we have marked the cartesian lifts of the domain, and every morphism $C^\flat \rightarrow D^\flat$ is a left cartesian fibration.

After defining and enumerating the stability properties enjoyed by this class of left (and right) cartesian fibration, we give several characterizations of this notion in theorem 3.2.2.24.

The more general subclass of left cartesian fibrations that still behaves well is the class of *classified left cartesian fibrations*. This corresponds to left cartesian fibrations $X \rightarrow A$ such that there exists a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & A^\sharp \end{array}$$

where the right vertical morphism is a left cartesian fibration and A^\sharp is obtained from A by marking all cells. In the second section, we prove the following fundamental result:

Theorem 3.2.3.15. *Let $p : X \rightarrow A$ be a classified left cartesian fibration. Then the functor $p^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m/A \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m/X$ preserves colimits.*

The third subsection is devoted to the proof of the following theorem

Theorem 3.2.4.3. *Let A be an (∞, ω) -category and $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m/A^\sharp$ be a diagram that is point-wise a left cartesian fibration. The colimit $\text{colim}_I F$, computed in $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m/A^\sharp$, is a left cartesian fibration over A^\sharp .*

In the fourth subsection we study *smooth* and *proper* morphisms and we obtain the following expected result:

Proposition 3.2.5.21. *For a morphism $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$, and an object a of A , we denote by $X_{/a}$ the marked (∞, ω) -category fitting in the following cartesian squares.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{/a} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ A^\sharp_{/a} & \longrightarrow & A^\sharp \end{array}$$

We denote by $\perp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ the functor sending a marked (∞, ω) -category to its localization by marked cells.

- (1) Let E, F be two elements of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m/A^\sharp$ corresponding to morphisms $X \rightarrow A^\sharp, Y \rightarrow A^\sharp$, and $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ a morphism between them. We denote by $\mathbf{F}E$ and $\mathbf{F}F$ the left cartesian fibrant replacement of E and F .

The induced morphism $\mathbf{F}\phi : \mathbf{F}E \rightarrow \mathbf{F}F$ is an equivalence if and only if for any object a of A , the induced morphism

$$\perp X_{/a} \rightarrow \perp Y_{/a}$$

is an equivalence of (∞, ω) -categories.

- (2) A morphism $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$ is initial if and only if for any object a of A , $\perp X_{/a}$ is the terminal (∞, ω) -category.

Finally, in the last subsection, for a marked (∞, ω) -category I , we define and study a (huge) (∞, ω) -category $\underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}^c(I)$ that has classified left cartesian fibrations as objects and morphisms between classified left cartesian fibrations as arrows.

Chapter 4. This chapter aims to establish generalizations of the fundamental categorical constructions to the (∞, ω) case. In this new theory, the Gray product plays an essential role. Firstly, it allows the definition of the notion of *lax transformation*:

Definition Let $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ be two morphisms between (∞, ω) -categories. A *lax transformation* between f and g is given by a morphism

$$\psi : A \otimes [1] \rightarrow B,$$

whose restriction to $A \otimes \{0\} \sim A$ is equivalent to f , and whose restriction to $A \otimes \{1\} \sim A$ is equivalent to g .

We can then show that a lax transformation corresponds to the following data:

- for every object a in A , a morphism $f(a) \rightarrow g(a)$,
- for every 1-cell $a \rightarrow b$, a 2-cell in B fitting into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(a) & \longrightarrow & g(a) \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ f(b) & \longrightarrow & g(b) \end{array}$$

- for every n -cell in A , an $(n + 1)$ -cell in B fitting into a more complex version of the above diagram,
- multiple coherences that all these cells satisfy.

The usefulness of the Gray product lies in the fact that it compactly encodes all these data and coherences.

The notion of lax transformation allows us to state the Grothendieck Lax construction.

Theorem 4.1.4.2. *Let $\underline{\omega}$ be the (∞, ω) -category of small (∞, ω) -categories, and A an (∞, ω) -category. There is an equivalence*

$$\int_A : \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(A, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}^c(A)$$

where $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(A, \underline{\omega})$ is the (∞, ω) -category of morphisms from A to $\underline{\omega}$, with 1-cells being the lax transformations $A \otimes [1] \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$, and $\underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}^c(A)$ is the (∞, ω) -category of left cartesian fibrations over A , with 1-cells being morphisms that do not necessarily preserve cartesian liftings.

We also obtain a very precise construction of the functor \int_A . Given a functor $f : A \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$, the left cartesian fibration $\int_A f$ is a colimit (calculated in $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/A}$) of a simplicial object whose value at n is of the form

$$\coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n : A_0} X(x_0) \times \text{hom}_A(x_0, \dots, x_n) \times A_{x_n/} \rightarrow A,$$

where $A_{x/}$ is the *lax slice* of A over x . This formula is similar to the one given in [GHN15] for $(\infty, 1)$ -categories, and to the one given in [War11] for strict ω -categories.

The result we provide is actually stronger than previously stated, as it allows us to choose "to what extent" the transformations between functors $A \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ are lax, which induces "to what extent" the morphisms between the fibrations preserve cartesian liftings. The result we have presented corresponds to the case where the transformations are "totally lax". Applying it to the case where the transformations between functors are "not lax at all" - that is, are natural transformations - we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4.1.4.3. *Let A be an (∞, ω) -category. There is an equivalence*

$$\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}(A)$$

where $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$ is the (∞, ω) -category of morphisms from A to $\underline{\omega}$, with 1-cells being the natural transformations $A \times [1] \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$, and $\underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}(A)$ is the (∞, ω) -category of left cartesian fibrations over A , with 1-cells being morphisms that preserve cartesian liftings.

In the (∞, n) -categorical case, the equivalence between $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$ and $\underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}(A)$ given in Corollary 4.1.4.3 was already proven by Nuiten in [Nui21] and by Rasekh in [Ras21]. In the $(\infty, 1)$ -case, the equivalence between $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(A, \underline{\omega})$ and $\underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}^c(A)$ was already proven by Haugseng-Hebestreit-Linskens-Nuiten in [HHLN23].

Given a locally small (∞, ω) -category C , we construct the Yoneda embedding $y : C \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ where $\widehat{C} := \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(C^t, \underline{\omega})$. We then prove the Yoneda lemma:

Theorem 4.2.1.20. *Let C be a locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category. The Yoneda embedding $C \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ is fully faithful. Furthermore, there is an equivalence between the functor*

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_-, -) : C^t \times \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$$

and the functor

$$\mathrm{ev} : C^t \times \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}.$$

Given an object c of C , the induced equivalence on fibers:

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, y_c) \sim \mathrm{hom}_C(c, c)$$

sends $\{id_{y_c}\}$ to $\{id_c\}$.

Having the Yoneda lemma at our disposal with all the correct functorialities is an extremely powerful tool for developing the theory of (∞, ω) -categories, as it encodes many and complex coherences.

In the (∞, n) -categorical context, the equivalence, non-functorial in c , between the functors $\mathrm{hom}(y_c, -) : \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ and $\mathrm{ev}(c, -) : \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ for any object c of C is demonstrated in [Ras21], [Hin21], and [Hei23].

We then define the notion of a *lax colimit*:

Definition Let $f : I \rightarrow C$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories, and tI a marking on I .

A *lax colimit* for f relative to $(tI_n)_{n>0}$ is the universal data of

- an object $\mathrm{laxcolim}_I F$,
- for every 1-cell $i : a \rightarrow b$ in I , a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F(i) & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ & & F(b) \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ F(a) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{laxcolim}_I F \end{array}$$

where the 2-cell present is an equivalence if i is in tI_1 .

- for every 2-cell $u : i \rightarrow j$, a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & F(j) & \\
 & \curvearrowright & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 & F(i) & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 F(a) & \longrightarrow & \text{laxcolim}_I F
 \end{array}
 & \xRightarrow{\quad} &
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & F(j) & \\
 & \curvearrowright & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 & F(i) & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 F(a) & \longrightarrow & \text{laxcolim}_I F
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

where the 3-cell present is an equivalence if u is in tI_2 ,

- etc.

Varying tI thus allows us to adjust the "laxness" of the universal property that this object must satisfy.

We conclude this chapter by establishing generalizations of the standard results in category theory to the (∞, ω) -categorical case, including the characterization of presheaves as completion by lax colimits, the calculation of lax Kan extensions using slices, the relationships between duality and (co)lax limits, as well as various characterizations and properties of adjunctions.

Chapter 1

$(0, \omega)$ -Categories and presheaves on Θ

Contents

1.1 Basic constructions	19
1.1.1 $(0, \omega)$ -Categories	19
1.1.2 The category Θ	22
1.1.3 The link between presheaves on Θ and on $\Delta[\Theta]$	26
1.2 Gray Operations	32
1.2.1 Recollection on Steiner theory	32
1.2.2 2-Polygraphs and presheaves on Θ_2	37
1.2.3 Gray operations on augmented directed complexes	47
1.2.4 Gray operations on $(0, \omega)$ -categories	54
1.2.5 Gray tensor product of simplicial sets	60

The first section is devoted to the definition of $(0, \omega)$ -categories and of the category Θ of Joyal. We also show that the category Θ presents the category of $(0, \omega)$ -categories, and we also exhibit an other presentation of this category (corollary 1.1.3.5).

The second section begins with a review of Steiner theory, which is an extremely useful tool for providing concise and computational descriptions of $(0, \omega)$ -categories. Following Ara and Maltsiniotis, we employ this theory to define the Gray tensor product, denoted by \otimes , in $(0, \omega)$ -categories. We then introduce the Gray operations, starting with the Gray cylinder $_ \otimes [1]$ which is the Gray tensor product with the directed interval $[1] := 0 \rightarrow 1$. Then, we have the *Gray cone* and the *Gray o-cone*, denoted by $_ \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} _$, that send an $(0, \omega)$ -category C onto the following pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C
 \end{array}$$

We also present a formula that illustrates the interaction between the suspension and the Gray cylinder. As this formula plays a crucial role in this text, we provide its intuition at this stage.

If A is any $(0, \omega)$ -category, the *suspension* of A , denoted by $[A, 1]$, is the $(0, \omega)$ -category having two objects - denoted by 0 and 1 - and such that

$$\text{Hom}_{[A,1]}(0, 1) := A, \quad \text{Hom}_{[A,1]}(1, 0) := \emptyset, \quad \text{Hom}_{[A,1]}(0, 0) = \text{Hom}_{[A,1]}(1, 1) := \{id\}.$$

We also define $[1] \vee [A, 1]$ as the gluing of $[1]$ and $[A, 1]$ along the 0 -target of $[1]$ and the 0 -source of $[A, 1]$. We define similarly $[A, 1] \vee [1]$. These two objects come along with *whiskerings*:

$$\nabla : [A, 1] \rightarrow [1] \vee [A, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla : [A, 1] \rightarrow [A, 1] \vee [1]$$

that preserve the extremal points.

The $(0, \omega)$ -category $[1] \otimes [1]$ is induced by the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

and is then equal to the colimit of the following diagram:

$$[1] \vee [1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [1] \hookrightarrow [[1], 1] \hookleftarrow [1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1] \vee [1].$$

The $(0, \omega)$ -category $[[1], 1] \otimes [1]$ is induced by the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array} \end{array}$$

and is then equal to the colimit of the following diagram:

$$[1] \vee [[1], 1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [[1] \otimes \{0\}, 1] \hookrightarrow [[1] \otimes [1], 1] \hookleftarrow [[1] \otimes \{1\}, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [[1], 1] \vee [1]$$

We prove a formula that combines these two examples:

Proposition 1.2.4.12. *In the category of $(0, \omega)$ -categories, there exists an isomorphism, natural in A , between $[A, 1] \otimes [1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[1] \vee [A, 1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [A \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [A \otimes [1], 1] \longleftarrow [A \otimes \{1\}, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [A, 1] \vee [1]$$

We also provide similar formulas for the Gray cone, the Gray \circ -cone and the Gray op -cone.

Proposition 1.2.4.13. *There is a natural identification between $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} [A, 1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[1] \vee [A, 1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [A, 1] \longrightarrow [A \star 1, 1]$$

There is a natural identification between $[A, 1] \star 1$ and the colimit of the following diagram

$$[1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} A, 1] \longleftarrow [A, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [A, 1] \vee [1]$$

We conclude this chapter by studying the functor

$$_ \otimes _ : \text{Psh}(\Delta) \times \text{Psh}(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta)$$

which is the left Kan extension of the functor

$$\Delta \times \Delta \xrightarrow{-\otimes-} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Psh}(\Theta)$$

where ι is the inclusion of $(0, \omega)$ -categories into presheaves on Θ . In particular, we demonstrate theorem 1.2.5.3, which will be of crucial importance for defining the Gray tensor product for (∞, ω) -categories.

1.1 Basic constructions

1.1.1 $(0, \omega)$ -Categories

Definition 1.1.1.1. A *globular set* is a presheaf on the *category of globes* \mathbf{G} , which is the category induces by the diagram

$$\mathbf{D}_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i_0^+} \\ \xrightarrow{i_0^-} \end{array} \mathbf{D}_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i_1^+} \\ \xrightarrow{i_1^-} \end{array} \mathbf{D}_2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i_2^+} \\ \xrightarrow{i_2^-} \end{array} \dots$$

with the relations $i_n^+ i_{n-1}^\epsilon = i_n^- i_{n-1}^\epsilon$ for any $n > 0$ and $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$. For any $n > k$ and $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, we also denote by i_k^ϵ the composite $\mathbf{D}_k \xrightarrow{i_k^\epsilon} \mathbf{D}_{k+1} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{D}_n$ where f is any map. These and the identity arrows are the only maps in the category \mathbf{G} .

If X is a globular set, we denotes by X_n the set $X(\mathbf{D}_n)$. Its elements are called *n-cells*. The 0-cells are called *objects*. The maps $X_n \rightarrow X_k$ induced by $i_k^\epsilon : \mathbf{D}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ is denoted by π_k^ϵ .

Definition 1.1.1.2. An ω -category is a globular set X together with

(1) operations of *compositions*

$$X_n \times_{X_k} X_n \rightarrow X_n \quad (0 \leq k < n)$$

which associate to two n -cells (x, y) verifying $\pi_k^-(x) = \pi_k^+(y)$, a n -cells $x \circ_k y$,

(2) as well as *units*

$$X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$$

which associate to an n -cell x , a $(n + 1)$ -cell \mathbb{I}_x ,

and satisfying the following axioms:

(1) $\forall x \in X_n, \pi_n^\epsilon(\mathbb{I}_x) = x$.

(2) $\pi_k^+(x \circ_n y) = \pi_k^+(x)$ and $\pi_k^-(x \circ_n y) = \pi_k^-(y)$ whenever the composition is defined and $k \leq n$.

(3) $\pi_k^\epsilon(x \circ_n y) = \pi_k^\epsilon(x) \circ_n \pi_k^\epsilon(y)$ whenever the composition is defined and $k > n$.

(4) $x \circ_n \mathbb{I}_{\pi_n^- x} = x$ and $\mathbb{I}_{\pi_n^+ x} \circ_n x = x$.

(5) $(x \circ_n y) \circ_n z = x \circ_n (y \circ_n z)$ as soon as one of these is defined.

(6) If $k < n$

$$(x \circ_n y) \circ_k (z \circ_n w) = (x \circ_k z) \circ_n (y \circ_k w)$$

when the left-hand side is defined.

A n -cell a is *non trivial* if is not in the image of the application $\mathbb{I} : X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_n$.

A *morphism of ω -categories* is a map of globular sets commuting with compositions and units. The category of ω -categories is denoted by $\omega\text{-cat}$.

Definition 1.1.1.3. By abuse of notation, we also denote by \mathbf{D}_n the ω -category obtained from the globular set represented by \mathbf{D}_n by adding units. This ω -category admits for any $k < n$ only two k -non-trivial cells, denoted by e_k^- and e_k^+ , and a single n -non-trivial cell, denoted by e_n , verifying:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \pi_l^-(e_k^\epsilon) = e_l^- & \pi_l^+(e_k^\epsilon) = e_l^+ \quad \text{for } l \leq k < n \\ \pi_l^-(e_n) = e_l^- & \pi_l^+(e_n) = e_l^+ \quad \text{for } l \leq n \end{array}$$

Remark furthermore that the ω -category \mathbf{D}_n represents n -cells, in the sense that $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, C) \cong C_n$. We will not make the difference between n -cells and the corresponding morphism $\mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow C$.

Definition 1.1.1.4. The ω -category $\partial\mathbf{D}_n$ is obtained from \mathbf{D}_n by removing the n -cell e_n . We thus have a morphism

$$i_n : \partial\mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n.$$

Note that $\partial\mathbf{D}_0 = \emptyset$.

Definition 1.1.1.5. We say that an $(0, \omega)$ -category X is a *polygraph* if it can be constructed from the empty $(0, \omega)$ -category by freely adding arrows with specified source and target. That is if X can be obtained as a transfinite composition $\emptyset = X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{colim } X_i = X$ where for each i , the map $X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ is a pushout of $\coprod_S \partial\mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \coprod_S \mathbf{D}_{n+1}$.

An arrow of a polygraph is said to be a *generator* if it is one of the arrows that has been freely added at some stage.

Each cell in a polygraph can be written as a composite of generators or iterated unit of generators (not necessarily in a unique way). For a n -cell f , the set of generators of dimension n that appear in such an expression (and even the number of times they appear) is the same for all such expressions. As a consequence, a composition of non trivial cells is always non trivial.

Definition 1.1.1.6. For any subset S of \mathbb{N}^* , we define the functor $(_)^S : \omega\text{-cat} \rightarrow \omega\text{-cat}$ sending a ω -category C to the category C^S such that for any n , there is an isomorphism $C_n \rightarrow C_n^S$ that sends every n -cell f to a cell \bar{f} fulfilling

$$\pi_{n-1}^-(\bar{f}) = \overline{\pi_{n-1}^+(f)} \quad \pi_{n-1}^+(\bar{f}) = \overline{\pi_{n-1}^-(f)}$$

if $i \in S$ and

$$\pi_{n-1}^-(\bar{f}) = \overline{\pi_{n-1}^-(f)} \quad \pi_{n-1}^+(\bar{f}) = \overline{\pi_{n-1}^+(f)}$$

if $i \notin S$. These functors are called *dualities* as they are inverse of themselves. Even if there are plenty of them, we will be interested in only a few of them. In particular, we have the *odd duality* $(_)^{op}$, corresponding to the set of odd integer, the *even duality* $(_)^{co}$, corresponding to the subset of non negative even integer, the *full duality* $(_)^\circ$, corresponding to \mathbb{N}^* and the *transposition* $(_)^t$, corresponding to the singleton $\{1\}$. Eventually, we have equivalences

$$((_)^{co})^{op} \sim (_)^\circ \sim ((_)^{op})^{co}.$$

Definition 1.1.1.7. Let $\text{Psh}(\mathbf{G})_{\bullet, \bullet}$ be the category of globular set with two distinguished points, i.e. of triples (X, a, b) where a and b are elements of X_0 . Let $[_, 1] : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\mathbf{G})_{\bullet, \bullet}$ be the functor sending \mathbf{D}_n on $(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, \{0\}, \{1\})$ and i_n^ϵ on i_{n+1}^ϵ . This induces by left Kan extension a functor $[_, 1] : \text{Psh}(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\mathbf{G})_{\bullet, \bullet}$ that we call the *suspension*. We leave it to the reader to check that whenever C has a structure of ω -category, $[C, 1]$ inherits one from it. This functor then induces a functor

$$[_, 1] : \omega\text{-cat} \rightarrow \omega\text{-cat}$$

that we call again the *suspension*. Eventually, we denote by $i_0^- : \{0\} \rightarrow [C, 1]$ (resp. $i_0^+ : \{1\} \rightarrow [C, 1]$) the morphism corresponding to the left point (resp. to the right point). For an integer n , we define by induction the functor $\Sigma^n : \text{Psh}(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\mathbf{G})$ with the formula:

$$\Sigma^0 := id \quad \Sigma^{n+1} := \Sigma^n[_, 1].$$

Definition 1.1.1.8. Let n be a non null integer. A n -cells $f : s \rightarrow t$ is an *equivalence* if there exists n -cells $g : t \rightarrow s$ and $g' : t \rightarrow s$ such that

$$f \circ_{n-1} g = \mathbb{I}_t \quad g \circ_{n-1} f = \mathbb{I}_s$$

Definition 1.1.1.9. A $(0, \omega)$ -category is an ω -category whose only equivalences are the identities. These objects are called *Gaunt ω -categories* in [BSP21] and *rigid ω -categories* in [Rez10]. Remark that $(0, \omega)$ -categories are stable under suspensions and dualities.

We denote by $(0, \omega)$ -cat the full subcategory of ω -cat whose objects are the $(0, \omega)$ -categories.

Definition 1.1.1.10. Let n be an integer. An $(0, n)$ -category is an $(0, \omega)$ -category whose cell of dimension strictly higher than n are units. The category of $(0, n)$ -categories is denoted by $(0, n)$ -cat and is the full subcategory of $(0, \omega)$ -cat whose objects are $(0, n)$ -categories.

Construction 1.1.1.11. Remark that the category $(0, n)$ -cat is the localization of $(0, \omega)$ -cat along morphisms $\mathbf{D}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for $k \geq n$. We then have for any n an adjunction

$$i_n : (0, n)\text{-cat} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} : \tau_n$$

The right adjoint is called the *n -truncation*.

Construction 1.1.1.12. For any n , we define the colimit preserving functor $\tau_n^i : (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (0, n)\text{-cat}$, called the *intelligent n -truncation*, sending \mathbf{D}_k on $\mathbf{D}_{\min(n, k)}$. The functor τ_n^i fits in an adjunction

$$\tau_n^i : (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} (0, n)\text{-cat} : i_n$$

Notation 1.1.1.13. We will identify objects of $(0, n)$ -cat with their image in $(0, \omega)$ -cat and we will then also note by τ_n and τ_n^i the composites $i_n \tau_n^i$ and $i_n \tau_n^i$.

Remark 1.1.1.14. The family of truncation functor induces a sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow (0, n+1)\text{-cat} \xrightarrow{\tau_n} (0, n)\text{-cat} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (0, 1)\text{-cat} \xrightarrow{\tau_0} (0, 0)\text{-cat}.$$

The canonical morphism

$$(0, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow \lim_{n:\mathbb{N}} (0, n)\text{-cat},$$

that sends an $(0, \omega)$ -category C to the sequence $(\tau_n C, \tau_n C \cong \tau_n \tau_{n+1} C)$, has an inverse given by the functor

$$\text{colim}_{\mathbb{N}} : \lim_{n:\mathbb{N}} (0, n)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$$

that sends a sequence $(C_n, C_n \cong \tau_n C_{n+1})$ to the colimit of the induced sequence:

$$i_0 C_0 \rightarrow i_1 C_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i_n C_n \rightarrow \dots$$

We then have an equivalence

$$(0, \omega)\text{-cat} \cong \lim_{n:\mathbb{N}} (0, n)\text{-cat}.$$

1.1.2 The category Θ

Definition 1.1.2.1. Let n be a non negative integer and $\mathbf{a} := \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ a sequence of $(0, \omega)$ -categories. We denote $[\mathbf{a}, n]$ the colimit of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & \swarrow^{i_0^+} & & \swarrow^{i_0^+} & & \swarrow^{i_0^+} & \\
 & [a_0, 1] & & [a_1, 1] & & \dots & \\
 & \searrow_{i_0^-} & & \searrow_{i_0^-} & & \searrow_{i_0^-} & \\
 & & & & & & [a_{n-1}, 1]
 \end{array}$$

where $[_, 1]$ is the suspension functor defined in 1.1.1.7.

Definition 1.1.2.2. We define Θ as the smallest full subcategory of $(0, \omega)$ -cat that includes the terminal $(0, \omega)$ -category $[0]$, and such that for any non negative integer n , and any finite sequence $\mathbf{a} := \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ of objects of Θ , it includes the $(0, \omega)$ -category $[\mathbf{a}, n]$. Objects of Θ are called *globular sum*.


Definition 1.1.2.3. We denote by Θ^+ the full subcategory of $(0, \omega)$ -cat whose objects are either globular sums or the empty $(0, \omega)$ -category \emptyset .

We then have a canonical inclusion $\Theta \rightarrow \Theta^+$.

Remark 1.1.2.4. A morphism $g : [\mathbf{a}, n] \rightarrow [\mathbf{b}, m]$ is exactly the data of a morphism $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$, and for any integer i , a morphism

$$a_i \rightarrow \prod_{f(i) \leq k < f(i+1)} b_k.$$

Example 1.1.2.5. For any n , \mathbf{D}_n is a globular sum. The $(0, \omega)$ -category induced by the ω -graph



is a globular sum.

Definition 1.1.2.6. For a globular sum a and an integer n , we define $[a, n] := [\{a, a, \dots, a\}, n]$. For a sequence of integer $\{n_0, \dots, n_k\}$ and a sequence of globular sum $\{a_0, \dots, a_k\}$, we define $[a_0, n_0] \vee [a_1, n_1] \vee \dots \vee [a_k, n_k]$ as the globular sum $[\{a_0, \dots, a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots\}, n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_k]$.

We denote by $[n]$ the globular sum $[[0], n]$. This induces a fully faithful functor $\Delta \rightarrow \Theta$ sending $[n]$ onto $[n]$.

Definition 1.1.2.7. We define by induction the *dimension* of a globular sum a , denoted by $|a|$. The dimension of $[0]$ is 0, and the dimension of $[\mathbf{a}, n]$ is the maximum of the set $\{|a_k| + 1\}_{k < n}$. We denote by Θ_n the full subcategory of Θ whose objects are the globular sum of dimension inferior or equal to n . We set by convention $\Theta_\omega := \Theta$.

Notation 1.1.2.8. We set by convention $\omega + 1 := \omega$.

An important property of the category Θ is that it is a *Reedy elegant*.

Definition 1.1.2.9. A *Reedy category* is a small category A equipped with two subcategories A_+ , A_- and a *degree* function $d : ob(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that:

- (1) for every non identity morphism $f : a \rightarrow b$, if f belongs to A_- , $d(a) > d(b)$, and if f belongs to A_+ , $d(a) < d(b)$.
- (2) every morphism of A uniquely factors as a morphism of A_- followed by a morphism of A_+ .

A Reedy category A is *elegant* if for any presheaf X on A , for any $a \in A$ and any $c \in X(a)$, there exists a unique morphism $f : a \rightarrow a' \in A_-$ and a unique non degenerate object $c' \in X(a')$ such that $c = X(f)(c')$.

Proposition 1.1.2.10. *Let X be a presheaf on an elegant Reedy category A . The category A/X is an elegant Reedy category.*

Proof. We have a canonical projection $\pi : A/X \rightarrow A$. A morphism is positive (resp. negative) if its image by π is. The degree of an element c of A/X is the degree of $\pi(c)$. We leave it to the reader to check that this endows A/X with a structure of Reedy category.

The fact that A/X is elegant is a direct consequence of the isomorphism $\text{Psh}(A/X) \cong \text{Psh}(A)/X$. \square

Proposition 1.1.2.11 (Berger, Bergner-Rezk). *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$, the category Θ_n is an elegant Reedy category.*

A morphism $g : [\mathbf{a}, n] \rightarrow [\mathbf{b}, m]$ is degenerate (i.e a morphism of Θ_-) if the corresponding morphism $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ is a degenerate morphism of Δ , and for any $i < n$ and any $f(i) \leq k < f(k+1)$, the corresponding morphism $a_i \rightarrow b_k$ is degenerate. Furthermore, a morphism is degenerate if and only if it is a epimorphism in $\text{Psh}(\Theta)$.

A morphism is in Θ^+ if and only if it is a monomorphism in $\text{Psh}(\Theta)$.

Proof. The Reedy structure is a consequence of lemma 2.4 of [Ber02]. The fact that for any $n < \omega$, Θ_n is elegant is [BR13, corollary 4.5.]. As for any $n < \omega$, the inclusion $\Theta_n \rightarrow \Theta$ preserves strong pushout, the characterization of elegant Reedy category given by [BR13, proposition 3.8.] implies that Θ is also elegant. \square

Corollary 1.1.2.12. *The category Θ^+ is an elegant Reedy category. A morphism $g : a \rightarrow b$ is in Θ_-^+ if it is in Θ_- . A morphism $i : a \rightarrow b$ is in Θ_+^+ if it is in Θ_+ or if a is \emptyset .*


Proof. This directly follows from the fact that Θ is an elegant Reedy category and that $\Theta_-^+ = \Theta_-$. \square

Definition 1.1.2.13. We recall that a morphism $g : [\mathbf{a}, n] \rightarrow [\mathbf{b}, m]$ is exactly the data of a morphism $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$, and for any integer i , a morphism


$$a_i \rightarrow \prod_{f(i) \leq k < f(i+1)} b_k.$$

The morphism g is *globular* if for any $k < n$, $f(k+1) = f(k) + 1$ and the morphism $a_k \rightarrow b_k$ is globular. The morphism g is *algebraic* if it cannot be written as a composite ig' where i is a globular morphism.

Example 1.1.2.14. The morphism



is globular. This is not the case for the morphism



that sends the 2-cell of the left globular sum on the 1-composite of the two 2-cells of the right globular sum.

Proposition 1.1.2.15 ([Ara10, Proposition 3.3.10]). *Every morphism in Θ can be factored uniquely in an algebraic morphism followed by a globular morphism.*


Remark 1.1.2.16. Globular morphisms belong to Θ_+ (and so morphisms of Θ_- are algebraic) but the converse is false. For example, the second morphism of example 1.1.2.14 is not globular but belongs to Θ_+ . We then have two different factorizations on Θ : the one coming from the Reedy elegant structure (in a degenerate morphism followed by a monomorphism), and the one given in proposition 1.1.2.15 (in an algebraic morphism followed by a globular morphism).

Definition 1.1.2.17. We define for any globular sum a and any integer n a globular sum $s_n(a) := t_n(a)$ and two morphisms

$$s_n(a) \rightarrow a \leftarrow t_n(a).$$

We first set $s_0(a) := t_0(a) := [0]$. The inclusion $s_0(a) \rightarrow a$ corresponds to the initial point and $t_0(a) \rightarrow a$ to the terminal point. For $n > 0$, we define $s_n([\mathbf{a}, k]) := t_n([\mathbf{a}, k]) := [s_{n-1}(\mathbf{a}), k]$ where $s_{n-1}(\mathbf{a})$ is the sequence $\{s_{n-1}(a_i)\}_{i < n}$.

Example 1.1.2.18. If a is the globular sum of example 1.1.2.5, we have:



Definition 1.1.2.19. The suspension functor $[_, 1] : \Theta \rightarrow \Theta$ induces by left Kan extension a functor

$$[_, 1] : \text{Psh}(\Theta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta)_{[\emptyset, 1]}/$$

where $[\emptyset, 1]$ is $\{0\} \coprod \{1\}$.

We define by induction on a a Θ -presheaf Sp_a and a morphism $\text{Sp}_a \rightarrow a$. If a is $[0]$, we set $\text{Sp}_{[0]} := [0]$. For $n > 0$, we define $\text{Sp}_{[\mathbf{a}, n]}$ as the set valued presheaf on Θ obtained as the colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\ & \swarrow^{i_0^+} & & \swarrow^{i_0^+} & & \swarrow^{i_0^+} & \\ [\text{Sp}_{a_0}, 1] & & & [\text{Sp}_{a_1}, 1] & & \dots & \\ & \searrow_{i_0^-} & & \searrow_{i_0^-} & & \searrow_{i_0^-} & \\ & & & & & & [\text{Sp}_{a_{n-1}}, 1] \end{array}$$

We define E^{eq} as the set valued preheaves on Δ obtained as the colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & [1] & & [1] & & [1] \\
 & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow \\
 [0] & & & [2] & & [2] & & [0] \\
 & \searrow & & \searrow & & \searrow & & \\
 & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

For any integer n , the functor $\Sigma^n : \Theta \rightarrow \Theta$, which is the n -iteration of $[_, 1]$, induces by left Kan extension a functor

$$\Sigma^n : \text{Psh}(\Theta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta)_{\partial \mathbf{D}_n/}.$$

We define two sets of morphisms of $\text{Psh}(\Theta)$:

$$W_{\text{Seg}} := \{\text{Sp}_a \rightarrow a, a \in \Theta\} \quad W_{\text{Sat}} := \{\Sigma^n E^{eq} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n\}$$

and we set

$$W := W_{\text{Seg}} \cup W_{\text{Sat}}.$$

For any n , we also define

$$W_n := W \cap \Theta_n.$$

Definition 1.1.2.20. We recall that for an integer n and a globular sum a , we defined $[a, n] := \{a, a, \dots, a\}, n\}$. This defines a functor $i : \Delta[\Theta] \rightarrow \Theta$ sending (n, a) on $[a, n]$ where $\Delta[\Theta]$ is the following pushout of category:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{[0]\} \times \Theta & \longrightarrow & \Delta \times \Theta \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta[\Theta]
 \end{array}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we will also denote by $[a, n]$ (resp. $[n]$) the object of $\Delta[\Theta]$ corresponding to (n, a) (resp. to $(n, [0])$). We define two sets of morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{\text{Seg}} &:= \{[a, \text{Sp}_n] \rightarrow [a, n], a \in \Theta\} \cup \{[f, 1], f \in W_{\text{Seg}}\} \\
 M_{\text{Sat}} &:= \{E^{eq} \rightarrow [0]\} \cup \{[f, 1], f \in W_{\text{Sat}}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

and we set

$$M := M_{\text{Seg}} \cup M_{\text{Sat}}.$$

For an integer n , we define $\Delta[\Theta_n]$ as the following pushout of category:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{[0]\} \times \Theta_n & \longrightarrow & \Delta \times \Theta_n \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta[\Theta_n]
 \end{array}$$

and the functor i induces a functor $\Delta[\Theta_n] \rightarrow \Theta_{n+1}$. For any n , we define

$$M_n := M \cap \Delta[\Theta_n].$$

Definition 1.1.2.21. Let C be a category and S a set of monomorphisms. A morphism is $f : x \rightarrow y$ is S -local if it has the unique right lifting property against morphisms of S . An object x is S -local if $x \rightarrow 1$ is S -local, or equivalently, if for any $i : a \rightarrow b \in S$, the induced functor $\text{Hom}(i, x) : \text{Hom}(b, x) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(a, x)$ is an isomorphism.

We can easily check that S -local morphisms are stable by composition, left cancellation and pullback. As a consequence, any morphism between S -local objects is S -local.

Construction 1.1.2.22. Let C be a presentable category and S a set of monomorphisms with small codomains. We define C_S as the full subcategory of C composed of S -local objects. The theorem 4.1 of [Bou77] implies that $\iota : C_S \rightarrow C$ is part of an adjunction

$$\mathbf{F}_S : C \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} C_S : \iota$$

where $\mathbf{F}_S : C \rightarrow C_S$ is the localization of C by the smallest class of morphisms containing S and stable under composition and colimit.

Theorem 1.1.2.23 (Berger). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$. The functor $\text{Psh}(\Theta_n) \rightarrow (0, n)$ -cat defined as the left Kan extension of the canonical inclusion $\Theta_n \rightarrow (0, n)$ -cat induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Psh}(\Theta_n)_{W_n} \cong (0, n)\text{-cat}$$

Proof. This is [BSP21, corollary 12.3]. □

Construction 1.1.2.24. Suppose given an other category D fitting in an adjunction

$$F : C \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} D : G$$

with unit ν and counit ϵ , as well as a set of morphisms T of D such that $F(S) \subset T$. By adjunction property, it implies that for any T -local object $d \in D$, $G(d)$ is S -local. The previous adjunction induces a derived adjunction

$$\mathbf{L}F : C_S \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} D_T : \mathbf{R}G$$

where $\mathbf{L}F$ is defined by the formula $c \mapsto \mathbf{F}_T F(c)$ and $\mathbf{R}G$ is the restriction of G to D_T . The unit is given by $\nu \circ \mathbf{F}_S$ and the counit by the restriction of ϵ to D_T .

Construction 1.1.2.25. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$. The functor $i : \Delta[\Theta_n] \rightarrow \Theta_{n+1}$ defined in definition 1.1.2.20 induces an adjunction:

$$i_! : \text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta_n]) \xrightleftharpoons{} \text{Psh}(\Theta_{n+1}) : i^*$$

where the left adjoint is the left Kan extension of the functor $\Delta[\Theta_n] \rightarrow \Theta \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta_{n+1})$. Remark that there is an obvious inclusion $i_!(M_{n+1}) \subset W_{n+1}$. In virtue of construction 1.1.2.24, this induces an adjunction between derived categories:

$$\mathbf{L}i_! : \text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta_n])_{M_{n+1}} \xrightleftharpoons{} \text{Psh}(\Theta_{n+1})_{W_{n+1}} : \mathbf{R}i^* \tag{1.1.2.26}$$

The theorem 1.1.2.23 and the corollary 1.1.3.5 (which is proved in the next section) induce equivalences

$$(0, \omega)\text{-cat} \cong \text{Psh}(\Theta_{n+1})_{W_{n+1}} \cong \text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta_n])_{M_{n+1}}.$$

1.1.3 The link between presheaves on Θ and on $\Delta[\Theta]$

Definition 1.1.3.1. Let C be a cocomplete category. A functor $F : A \rightarrow C$ is *Reedy cofibrant* if A has a structure of an elegant Reedy category (definition 1.1.2.9) and for every object a , the induced morphism $\text{colim}_{\partial_a} F \rightarrow F(a)$ is a monomorphism.

Definition 1.1.3.2. A class of monomorphism T of a cocomplete category C is *precomplete* if

- It is closed by transfinite compositions and pushouts.

- It is closed by *left cancellation*, i.e for any pair of composable morphisms f and g , if gf and f are in T , so is g .
- For any Reedy cofibrant diagram $F : A \rightarrow \text{Arr}(C)$ that is pointwise in S , the morphism $\text{colim}_A F$ is in T .

For a set of monomorphisms S , we denote \overline{S} the smallest precocomplete class of morphisms containing S .

Lemma 1.1.3.3. *If S is a set of monomorphisms of a cocomplete category C , \overline{S} is included in the smallest class of morphisms of C stable under colimit and composition.*

Proof. We denote \widehat{S} the smallest class of morphisms of C stable under colimit and composition. We have to show that \widehat{S} is precomplete.

Let κ be an cardinal and $x_- : \kappa \rightarrow C$ a functor such that for any $\alpha < \kappa$, $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_{\alpha+1}$ is in \widehat{S} . We show by transfinite composition that for any $\alpha \leq \kappa$, $x_0 \rightarrow x_\alpha$ is in \widehat{S} .

Suppose first that α is of the shape $\beta + 1$. The morphism $x_0 \rightarrow x_{\beta+1}$ is equal to the composite $x_0 \rightarrow x_\beta \rightarrow x_{\beta+1}$ and is then in \widehat{S} . If α is a limit ordinal, $x_0 \rightarrow x_\alpha$ is the colimit of the sequence of morphisms $\{x_0 \rightarrow x_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ and is then in \widehat{S} .

Suppose now that we have a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & c \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ b & \longrightarrow & d \end{array}$$

where i is in \widehat{S} . The morphism j is then the horizontal colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} a & \longleftarrow & a & \longrightarrow & c \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ b & \longleftarrow & a & \longrightarrow & c \\ & & i & & \end{array}$$

and is then in \widehat{S} .

Let f, g be two composable morphisms such that gf and f are in \widehat{S} . The morphism g is then the horizontal colimit of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} b & \longleftarrow & a & \longrightarrow & a \\ \downarrow id_b & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow gf \\ b & \longleftarrow & b & \longrightarrow & c \end{array}$$

and is then in \widehat{S} .

Eventually, as \widehat{S} is obviously closed under colimits of Reedy cofibrant diagrams, this concludes the proof. \square

In the previous construction, we constructed an adjonction

$$i_! : \text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta]) \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \text{Psh}(\Theta) : i^*$$

The aim of this subsection is to demonstrate the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1.3.4. *For any $a \in \Theta$ and $b \in \Delta[\Theta]$, morphisms $i_! i^* a \rightarrow a$ and $b \rightarrow i^* i_! b$ are respectively in \overline{W} and \overline{M} .*

As a corollary, we directly have:

Corollary 1.1.3.5. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$, the adjunction*

$$\mathbf{L}i! : \mathbf{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta]_n)_{\mathbf{M}_n} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta_{n+1})_{\mathbf{W}_n} : \mathbf{R}i^*$$

given in (1.1.2.26) is an adjoint equivalence.

Proof. This is a consequence of theorem 1.1.3.4 and lemma 1.1.3.3, which states that $\overline{\mathbf{W}}_n$ (resp. $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_n$) is included in the smallest class containing \mathbf{W}_n (resp. \mathbf{M}_n) and stable under composition and colimit. \square

Definition 1.1.3.6. We denote by

$$[_, _] : \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta) \times \mathbf{Psh}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta])_{[\emptyset, n]/}$$

the left Kan extension of the functor $\Theta \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta])$ sending (a, n) onto $[a, n]$, and where $[\emptyset, n] := \coprod_{k \leq n} \{k\}$. For an integer n , we denote

$$[_, n] : \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta)^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta)_{[\emptyset, n]/}$$

the left Kan extension of the functor $\Theta^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta)_{[\emptyset, n]/}$ sending $\mathbf{a} := \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ onto $[\mathbf{a}, n]$, and where $[\emptyset, n] := \coprod_{k \leq n} \{k\}$. Eventually, we define

$$[_, d^0 \cup d^n] : \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta)^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta)_{[\emptyset, n]/}$$

the left Kan extension of the functor $\Theta^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta)_{[\emptyset, n]/}$ sending $\mathbf{a} := \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ onto the colimit of the span.

$$[\{a_0, \dots, a_{n-2}\}, n-1] \leftarrow [\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}\}, n-2] \rightarrow [\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}, n-1]$$

Lemma 1.1.3.7. *The image of $\overline{\mathbf{W}} \times \overline{\mathbf{W}}_1$ by the functor $[_, _] : \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta) \times \mathbf{Psh}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta])$ is included in $\overline{\mathbf{W}}$.*

Proof. As $[_, _]$ preserves colimits and monomorphisms, it is enough to show that for any pair $f, g \in \mathbf{W} \times \mathbf{W}_1$, $[f, g]$ is in \mathbf{W} , which is obvious. \square

Lemma 1.1.3.8. *For any globular sum v , and any integer n , the morphism $[v, d^0 \cup d^n] \cup [\partial v, n] \rightarrow [v, n]$ appearing in the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\partial v, d^0 \cup d^n] & \longrightarrow & [v, d^0 \cup d^n] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\partial v, n] & \longrightarrow & [\partial v, n] \cup [v, d^0 \cup d^n] \\ & \searrow & \searrow \\ & & [v, n] \end{array}$$

is in $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$.

Proof. If v is \emptyset , this morphism is the identity. Suppose now that v is a globular sum a . Remark that the morphism $[a, \mathbf{Sp}_n] \rightarrow [a, d^0 \cup d^n]$ is in $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$. By left cancellation, this implies that $[a, d^0 \cup d^n] \rightarrow [a, n]$ is in $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$. Let X be a presheaf on Θ . As X is a colimit of globular sum indexed by the Reedy cofibrant diagram $\Theta_{/X}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\Theta)$ (definition 1.1.3.1), and as $[_, d^0 \cup d^n] \rightarrow [_, n]$ preserve cofibrations, this implies that $[X, d^0 \cup d^n] \rightarrow [X, n]$ is in $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$. In particular, $[\partial v, d^0 \cup d^n] \rightarrow [\partial v, n]$ is in $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$, and so is $[v, d^0 \cup d^n] \rightarrow [\partial v, n] \cup [v, d^0 \cup d^n]$ by stability by coproduct. A last use of the stability by left cancellation then concludes the proof. \square

Definition 1.1.3.9. Let $[b, m]$ be an element of $\Delta[\Theta]$. We denote $\text{Hom}^*(i([b, m]), [\mathbf{a}, n])$ the subset of $\text{Hom}(i([b, m]), [\mathbf{a}, n])$ that consists of morphisms that preserve extremal objects. The explicit expression of morphism in Θ given in remark 1.1.2.4 implies the bijection:

$$\text{Hom}_{\Theta}^*(i([b, m]), [\mathbf{a}, n]) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Delta}([n], [m])^* \times \prod_{i < n} \text{Hom}_{\Theta}(b, a_i) \quad (1.1.3.10)$$

where $\text{Hom}_{\Delta}^*([n], [m])$ is the subset of $\text{Hom}_{\Delta}([n], [m])$ consisting of morphisms that preserve extremal objects.

Let $\mathbf{a} := \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ be a finite sequence of globular sums. We define $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$ as the category whose objects are collections of maps $\{b \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ such that there exists no degenerate morphism $b \rightarrow b'$ factorizing all $b \rightarrow a_i$. Morphisms are monomorphisms $b \rightarrow b'$ making all induced triangles commute.

Remark 1.1.3.11. The bijection (1.1.3.10) induces a bijection between the objects of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$ and the morphisms $[b, n] \rightarrow i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$ that are the identity on objects and that can not be factored through any degenerate morphism $[b, n] \rightarrow [\tilde{b}, n]$.

Lemma 1.1.3.12. *For any morphism $p : [b, m] \rightarrow i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$ in $\text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta])$ that preserves extremal objects, there exists a unique pair $(\{b' \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}, [f, i] : [b, m] \rightarrow [b', n])$ where $\{b' \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ is an element of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$, f is a degenerate morphism, and such that the induced triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [b, m] & \xrightarrow{[f, i]} & [b', n] \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow p' \\ & & i^*[\mathbf{a}, n] \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. By adjunction and thanks to the bijection (1.1.3.10), p corresponds to a pair $(j : [m] \rightarrow [n], \{b \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n})$, and i has to be equal to j .

Using once again this bijection, and the fact that degeneracies are epimorphisms, we have to show that there exists a unique degenerate morphism $g : b \rightarrow b'$ that factors the morphisms $b \rightarrow a_i$ for all $i < n$, and such that the induced family of morphisms $\{b' \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ is an element of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$.

As any infinite sequence of degenerate morphisms is constant at some point, the existence is immediate.

Suppose given two morphisms $b \rightarrow b'$, $b \rightarrow b''$ fulfilling the previous condition. The proposition 3.8 of [BR13] implies that there exists a globular sum \tilde{b} and two degenerate morphisms $b' \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ and $b'' \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ such that the induced square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b & \longrightarrow & b' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ b'' & \longrightarrow & \tilde{b} \end{array}$$

is cartesian. The universal property of pushout implies that $b \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ also fulfills the previous condition. By definition of b' and b'' , this implies that they are equal to \tilde{b} , and this shows the uniqueness. \square

Lemma 1.1.3.13. *Let $\{b \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ be an element of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$ and $i : b' \rightarrow b$ a monomorphism of Θ . The induced family $\{b' \rightarrow b \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ is an object of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$.*

Proof. The lemma 1.1.3.12 implies that there exists a unique degenerate morphism $j : b' \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ that factors all the morphism $b' \rightarrow b \rightarrow a_i$ for $i < n$, and such the induced family of morphisms $\{\tilde{b} \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ is an element of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$. We proceed by contradiction, and we then suppose that j is different from the identity.

We then have, for any $i < n$, a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b' & \xrightarrow{i} & b \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{b} & \longrightarrow & a_i \end{array}$$

As the morphism j is degenerate and different of the identity, there exists an integer k and a non trivial k -cell d of b' that is sent to an identity by j . Now, let d' be a k -generator of the polygraph b that appears in the decomposition of $i(d)$. The commutativity of the previous square and the fact that the $(0, \omega)$ -categories a_i are polygraphs implies that for any i , the k -cell d' is sent to an identity by the morphism $b \rightarrow a_i$. As for any $i < n$ and any $l \geq k$, there is no non trivial l -cell in a_i whose $(k-1)$ -source and $(k-1)$ -target are the same, this implies that every l -cell of b that is $(k-1)$ -parallel with d' is sent to the identity by the morphism $b \rightarrow a_i$.

We denote \bar{b} the globular sum obtained by crushing all l -cells of b that are $(k-1)$ -parallel with d' . The induced degenerate morphism $b \rightarrow \bar{b}$ factors all the morphisms $b \rightarrow a_i$ which is in contradiction with the fact that $\{b \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ is an element of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$. \square

Definition 1.1.3.14. We say that an element $\{v \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ in the category $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$ is of height 0 if $v \rightarrow a_0$ factors through ∂a_0 or $v \rightarrow a_{n-1}$ factors through ∂a_{n-1} . The height of an element w is the maximal integer m such that there exists a sequence $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_m = w$ in $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\leftarrow}$ with $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$ for any $i < m$ and such that v_0 is of height 0 and v_1 is not. As Θ is a Reedy category, all elements have finite height.

Lemma 1.1.3.15. For any morphism $p : [b, m] \rightarrow i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$ that preserves extremal objects, there exists a unique integer k , a unique element $\{b' \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ of height k , and a unique morphism $[f, i] : [b, m] \rightarrow [b', n]$ that doesn't factors through $[\partial b', n]$, and such that the induced triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [b, m] & \xrightarrow{[f, i]} & [b', n] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow p' \\ & & i^*[\mathbf{a}, n] \end{array}$$

commutes.

If $\{\tilde{b} \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ is any other object of non negative height, and $[\tilde{f}, j] : [b, m] \rightarrow [\tilde{b}, n]$ is a morphism that make the induced triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [b, m] & \xrightarrow{[\tilde{f}, j]} & [\tilde{b}, n] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \tilde{p} \\ & & i^*[\mathbf{a}, n] \end{array}$$

commutative, then $\{\tilde{b} \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ is of height strictly superior to k and $[\tilde{f}, j]$ factors through $[\partial \tilde{b}, n]$.

Proof. As $[f, i]$ doesn't factor through $[\partial b, n]$ if and only if f is degenerate, the lemma 1.1.3.12 implies the first assertion. For the second one, suppose given an object $\{\tilde{b} \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ of non negative height and a morphism $[\tilde{f}, j] : [b, m] \rightarrow [\tilde{b}, n]$ fulfilling the desired condition. The bijection (1.1.3.10) directly implies that j is equal to i , and the first assertion implies that \tilde{f} is non degenerate.

We can then factor $\tilde{f} : b \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ in a degenerate morphism $b \rightarrow \bar{b}$ followed by a monomorphism $\bar{b} \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ which is not the identity. The lemma 1.1.3.13 then implies that $\{\bar{b} \rightarrow \tilde{b} \rightarrow a_i\}_{i < n}$ is an element of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\hookrightarrow}$. The first assertion then implies that the two morphisms $[b, m] \rightarrow [b', n]$ and $[b, m] \rightarrow [\bar{b}, n]$ are equals. As the monomorphism $[b', n] = [\bar{b}, n] \rightarrow [\tilde{b}, n]$ is not the identity, this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 1.1.3.16. *The morphism $i^*[\partial^0 \mathbf{a}, n] \cup i^*[\partial^{n-1} \mathbf{a}, n] \rightarrow i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$ is in $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$, where $\partial^j \mathbf{a}$ corresponds to the sequence $\{a_1, \dots, \partial a_j, \dots, a_n\}$.*

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we define x_k as the smallest sub object of $i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$ such that for any element of height inferior or equal to k of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\hookrightarrow}$, the corresponding morphism $[b, n] \rightarrow i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$ factors through x_k . In particular we have $x_0 = i^*[\partial^0 \mathbf{a}, n] \cup i^*[\partial^{n-1} \mathbf{a}, n]$, and the lemma 1.1.3.12 implies that $x_\infty = i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$. We denote $(\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\hookrightarrow})_k$ the set of element of $\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\hookrightarrow}$ of height k .

Every morphism $[b, m] \rightarrow i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$ that does not preserve extremal points then factors through x_0 . The lemma 1.1.3.15 implies that for any integer k , the canonical square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{(\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\hookrightarrow})_{k+1}} [b, d^0 \cup d^n] \cup [\partial b, n] & \longrightarrow & x_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{(\Theta_{/\mathbf{a}}^{\hookrightarrow})_{k+1}} [b, n] & \longrightarrow & x_{k+1} \end{array} \quad (1.1.3.17)$$

is cocartesian. The lemma 1.1.3.8 and the stability under pushout of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ imply that $x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1}$ is in $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$. As $i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$ is the transfinite composition of the sequence $x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \dots$, this implies that $x_0 \rightarrow i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$ is in $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ which conclude the proof. \square

Lemma 1.1.3.18. *The morphism $i^* \text{Sp}_a \rightarrow i^* a$ is in $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ for any globular sum a .*

Proof. Let $[\mathbf{a}, n] := a$. As $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ is closed under pushouts and composition, lemma 1.1.3.16 implies that the morphism

$$i^*[\{a_0, \dots, a_{n-2}\}, n-1] \cup i^*[\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}, n-1] \rightarrow i^*[\mathbf{a}, n]$$

is in $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$. An easy induction on n shows that this is also the case for the morphism

$$[a_0, 1] \cup \dots \cup [a_{n-1}, 1] = i^*[a_0, 1] \cup \dots \cup i^*[a_{n-1}, 1] \rightarrow i^*[\mathbf{a}, n].$$

Now remark that $i^* \text{Sp}_{[\mathbf{a}, n]}$ is equivalent to

$$[\text{Sp}_{a_0}, 1] \cup \dots \cup [\text{Sp}_{a_{n-1}}, 1].$$

As the morphisms $[\text{Sp}_i, 1] \rightarrow [a_i, 1]$ are by definition in \mathbf{M} , this concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 1.1.3.19. *There is an inclusion $i^* \mathbf{W} \subset \overline{\mathbf{M}}$.*

Proof. For Segal extensions, this is precisely the content of the last lemma. For saturation extensions, remark that $i^* \mathbf{W}_{\text{Sat}} = \mathbf{M}_{\text{Sat}}$. \square

Proof of theorem 1.1.3.4. Let a be a globe. We then have $i_! i^* a = a$. Suppose now that a is any globular sum. We then have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i_! i^* \text{Sp}_a & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Sp}_a \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i_! i^* a & \longrightarrow & a \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal morphism is an identity. The proposition 1.1.3.19 and the fact that $i_!(M) \subset W$ implies that the vertical morphisms of the previous diagram are in \overline{W} . By left cancellation, this implies that $i_!i^*a \rightarrow a$ belongs to \overline{W} for any globular sum. We proceed analogously to show that for any $b \in \Delta[\Theta]$, $b \rightarrow i^*i_!b$ is in \overline{M} . \square

1.2 Gray Operations

1.2.1 Recollection on Steiner theory

We present here the Steiner theory developed in [Ste04].

Definition 1.2.1.1. An augmented directed complex (K, K^*, e) is given by a complex of abelian groups K , with an augmentation e :

$$\mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{e} K_0 \xleftarrow{\partial_0} K_1 \xleftarrow{\partial_1} K_2 \xleftarrow{\partial_2} K_3 \xleftarrow{\partial_3} \dots$$

and a graded set $K^* = (K_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for any n , K_n^* is a submonoid of K_n . A morphism of directed complexes between (K, K^*, e) and (L, L^*, e') is given by a morphism of augmented complexes of abelian groups $f : (K, e) \rightarrow (L, e')$ such that $f(K_n^*) \subset L_n^*$ for any n . We note by ADC the category of augmented directed complexes.

Steiner then constructs an adjunction

$$\lambda : \omega\text{-cat} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{ADC} : \nu$$

The functor λ is the simplest to define:

Construction 1.2.1.2. Let C be a ω -category. We denote by $(\lambda C)_n$ the abelian group generated by the set $\{[x]_n : x \in C_n\}$ and the relations

$$[x \circ_m y]_n \sim [x]_n + [y]_n \text{ for } m < n .$$

We define the morphism $\partial_n : (\lambda C)_{n+1} \rightarrow (\lambda C)_n$ on generators by the formula:

$$\partial_n([x]_{n+1}) := [d_n^+ x]_n - [d_n^- x]_n .$$

We can easily check that the morphism ∂ is a differential. We define an augmentation $e : (\lambda C)_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by setting $e([x]_0) = 1$ on generators. We denote by $(\lambda C)_n^*$ the additive submonoid generated by the elements $[x]_n$. We then set:

$$\lambda C := (\{(\lambda C)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{(\lambda C)_n^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, e) .$$

This assignation lifts to a functor:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda & : \omega\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & \text{ADC} \\ & C & \mapsto & \lambda C. \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.2.1.3.

- (1) For any integer n , $\lambda \mathbf{D}_n$ is the augmented directed complex whose underlying chain complex is given by:

$$\mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{e} \mathbb{Z}[e_0^-, e_0^+] \xleftarrow{\partial_0} \dots \xleftarrow{\partial_{n-2}} \mathbb{Z}[e_{n-1}^-, e_{n-1}^+] \xleftarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \mathbb{Z}[e_n] \xleftarrow{\partial_n} 0 \leftarrow \dots$$

where for any $0 < k < n$ and $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$

$$e(e_0^\alpha) = 1 \quad \partial_{k-1}(e_k^\alpha) = e_{k-1}^+ - e_{k-1}^- \quad \partial_{n-1}(e_n) = e_{n-1}^+ - e_{n-1}^- .$$

(2) The augmented directed complex $\lambda[n]$ has for underlying chain complex:

$$\mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{e} \mathbb{Z}[v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n] \xleftarrow{\partial_0} \mathbb{Z}[v_{0,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots, v_{n-1,n}] \xleftarrow{\partial_1} 0 \leftarrow \dots$$

where for any $k < n$ and $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$

$$e(v_k) = e(v_n) = 1 \quad \partial_1(v_{k,k+1}) = v_{k+1} - v_k.$$

We now define the functor $\nu : \text{ADC} \rightarrow \omega\text{-cat}$.

Definition 1.2.1.4. Let (K, K^*, e) be an augmented directed complex. A *Steiner array* (or simply a *array*) of dimension n is the data of a finite double sequence:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0^- & x_1^- & x_2^- & x_3^- & \dots & x_n^- \\ x_0^+ & x_1^+ & x_2^+ & x_3^+ & \dots & x_n^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

such that

- (1) $x_n^- = x_n^+$;
- (2) For any $i \leq n$ and $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$, x_i^α is an element of K_i^* ;
- (3) For any $0 < i \leq n$, $\partial_{i-1}(x_i^\alpha) = x_{i-1}^+ - x_{i-1}^-$;

An array is said to be *coherent* if $e(x_0^+) = e(x_0^-) = 1$.

Definition 1.2.1.5. Let (K, K^*, e) be an augmented directed complex. We define the globular set νK , whose n -cells are the coherent arrays of dimension n . The source and target maps are defined for $k < n$ by the formula:

$$d_k^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} x_0^- & x_1^- & x_2^- & \dots & x_n^- \\ x_0^+ & x_1^+ & x_2^+ & \dots & x_n^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^- & x_1^- & x_2^- & \dots & x_{k-1}^- & x_k^\alpha \\ x_0^+ & x_1^+ & x_2^+ & \dots & x_{k-1}^+ & x_k^\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

There is an obvious group structure on the arrays:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0^- & x_1^- & \dots & x_n^- \\ x_0^+ & x_1^+ & \dots & x_n^+ \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_0^- & y_1^- & \dots & y_n^- \\ y_0^+ & y_1^+ & \dots & y_n^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^- + y_0^- & x_1^- + y_1^- & \dots & x_n^- + y_n^- \\ x_0^+ + y_0^+ & x_1^+ + y_1^+ & \dots & x_n^+ + y_n^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

- For two coherent arrays x and y such that $d_k^-(x) = d_k^+(y) = z$, we define their k -composition by the following formula:

$$x *_k y := x - z + y.$$

More explicitly:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0^- & \dots & x_n^- \\ x_0^+ & \dots & x_n^+ \end{pmatrix} *_k \begin{pmatrix} y_0^- & \dots & y_n^- \\ y_0^+ & \dots & y_n^+ \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} y_0^- & \dots & y_k^- & y_{k+1}^- + x_{k+1}^- & \dots & y_n^- + x_n^- \\ x_0^+ & \dots & x_k^+ & y_{k+1}^+ + x_{k+1}^+ & \dots & y_n^+ + x_n^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

- For an integer $m > n$, we define the m -sized array 1_x^m as follows:

$$1_x^m := \begin{pmatrix} x_0^- & \dots & x_n^- & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ x_0^+ & \dots & x_n^+ & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The globular set νK , equipped with these compositions and units is an ω -category.

Construction 1.2.1.6. We define the functor $\nu : \text{ADC} \rightarrow \omega\text{-cat}$ which associates to an augmented directed complex K , the ω -category νK , and to a morphism of augmented directed complexes $f : K \rightarrow L$, the morphism of ω -categories.

$$\nu f : \quad \nu K \quad \rightarrow \quad \nu L$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0^- & \dots & x_n^- \\ x_0^+ & \dots & x_n^+ \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f_0(x_0^-) & \dots & f_n(x_n^-) \\ f_0(x_0^+) & \dots & f_n(x_n^+) \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 1.2.1.7 (Steiner). *The functors λ and ν form an adjoint pair*

$$\lambda : \omega\text{-cat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{ADC} : \nu$$

For a ω -category C , the unit of the adjunction is given by:

$$\eta : \quad C \quad \rightarrow \quad \nu \lambda C$$

$$x \in C_n \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} [d_0^-(x)]_0 & \dots & [d_{n-1}^-(x)]_{n-1} & [x]_n \\ [d_0^+(x)]_0 & \dots & [d_{n-1}^+(x)]_{n-1} & [x]_n \end{pmatrix}$$

For an augmented directed complex K , the counit is given by:

$$\pi : \quad \lambda \nu K \quad \rightarrow \quad K$$

$$[x]_n \in (\lambda \nu K)_n \mapsto x_n^+ = x_n^-$$

Proof. This is [Ste04, theorem 2.11]. □

Definition 1.2.1.8. A *basis* for an augmented directed complex (K, K^*, e) is a graded set $B = (B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every n , B_n is both a basis for the monoid K_n^* and for the group K_n .

Remark 1.2.1.9. The elements of B_n can be characterized as the minimal elements of $K_n^* \setminus \{0\}$ for the following order relation:

$$x \leq y \text{ iff } y - x \in K_n^*$$

This shows that if a basis exists, it is unique.

We fix an augmented directed complex (K, K^*, e) admitting a base B . Any element of K_n can then be written uniquely as a sum $\sum_{b \in B_n} \lambda_b b$. This leads us to define new operations:

Definition 1.2.1.10. For an element $x := \sum_{b \in B_n} \lambda_b b$ of K_n , we define the *positive part* and the *negative part*:

$$(x)_+ := \sum_{b \in B_n, \lambda_b > 0} \lambda_b b$$

$$(x)_- := \sum_{b \in B_n, \lambda_b < 0} -\lambda_b b$$

We then have $x = (x)_+ - (x)_-$. An element x is *positive* (resp. *negative*) when $x = (x)_+$ (resp. when $x = -(x)_-$). Let $y = \sum_{b \in B_n} \mu_b b$, we set :

$$x \wedge y := \sum_{b \in B_n} \min(\lambda_b, \mu_b) b$$

Eventually, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_n^+(_) &:= (\partial_n(_))_+ : K_{n+1} \rightarrow K_n^* \\ \partial_n^-(_) &:= (\partial_n(_))_- : K_{n+1} \rightarrow K_n^*\end{aligned}$$

When an element b of the basis is in the support of x , i.e $\lambda_b \neq 0$, we say that b *belongs to* x , which is denoted by $b \in x$.

Example 1.2.1.11. For any integer n , $\lambda\mathbf{D}_n$ admits a basis, given by the graded set $B_{\lambda\mathbf{D}_n}$ fulfilling:

$$(B_{\lambda\mathbf{D}_n})_k := \begin{cases} \{e_k^-, e_k^+\} & \text{if } k < n \\ \{e_n\} & \text{if } k = n \\ \emptyset & \text{if } k > n \end{cases}$$

The augmented directed complex $\lambda[n]$ also admits a basis, given by the graded set $B_{\lambda\mathbf{D}_n}$ fulfilling:

$$(B_{\lambda\mathbf{D}_n})_k := \begin{cases} \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \{v_{0,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots, v_{n-1,n}\} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } k > 1 \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.2.1.12. Let $a \in K_n^*$. We set by a decreasing induction on $k \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a \rangle_k^\alpha &:= a && \text{if } k = n \\ &:= \partial_k^\alpha \langle a \rangle_{k+1}^\alpha && \text{if not}\end{aligned}$$

The array associated to a is then:

$$\langle a \rangle := \begin{pmatrix} \langle a \rangle_0^- & \dots & \langle a \rangle_{n-1}^- & a \\ \langle a \rangle_0^+ & \dots & \langle a \rangle_{n-1}^+ & a \end{pmatrix}$$

The basis is said to be *unitary* when for any $b \in B$, the array $\langle b \rangle$ is coherent.

Definition 1.2.1.13. We define the relation \odot on B as being the smallest transitive and reflexive relation such that for any pair of elements of the basis a, b ,

$$a \odot b \text{ if } (|a| > 0 \text{ and } b \in \langle a \rangle_{|a|-1}^-) \text{ or } (|b| > 0 \text{ and } a \in \langle b \rangle_{|b|-1}^+)$$

A basis is said to be *loop free* the relation \odot is a (partial) order on B .

Remark 1.2.1.14. In [AM20], this notion is called *strongly loop free*.

Example 1.2.1.15. For any integer n , $\lambda\mathbf{D}_n$ and $\lambda[n]$ admit a loop free and unitary basis.

Definition 1.2.1.16. We now define the subcategory ADC_B of ADC composed of augmented directed complexes which admit a unitary and loop free basis.

We will now describe the analog of the notion of basis for ω -categories.

Definition 1.2.1.17. A ω -category C is *generated by composition* by a set $E \subset C$ when any cell can be written as a composition of elements of E and iterated units of elements of E . This set is a *basis* if $\{[e]_{d(e)}\}_{e \in E}$ is a basis of the augmented directed complex λC .

Proposition 1.2.1.18. *An ω -category C that admits a basis is an $(0, \omega)$ -category.*

Proof. Let C be an ω -category that admits a basis E . Suppose that there exists a non trivial n -cell α that admits an inverse β . We then have $[\alpha]_n + [\beta]_n = [\alpha \circ_{n-1} \beta]_n = 0$. As λC is free, we have $[\alpha]_n = 0$. This implies the equality $[e]_n = 0$ for any element $e \in E$ of dimension n that appears in a decomposition of α . This is obviously in contradiction with the fact that $\{[e]_{d(e)}\}_{e \in E}$ is a basis of the augmented directed complex λC . \square

Definition 1.2.1.19. A basis E of an $(0, \omega)$ -category is :

- (1) *Loop free* when $\{[e]_{d(e)}\}_{e \in E}$ is.
- (2) *Atomic* when $[d_n^+ e]_n \wedge [d_n^- e]_n = 0$ for any $e \in E$ and any natural number n strictly smaller than the dimension of e .

Proposition 1.2.1.20. *If a loop free basis E is atomic then $\{[e]\}_{e \in E}$ is unitary.*

Proof. This is [Ste04, proposition 4.6]. \square

Example 1.2.1.21. For any integer n , \mathbf{D}_n and $[n]$ admit a loop free and atomic basis. More generally, [AM20, proposition 4.13] states that any globular sum admits a loop free and atomic basis.

Definition 1.2.1.22. Proposition 1.23 of [AGOR23] states that if an $(0, \omega)$ -category admits a loop-free and atomic basis, it is unique. We then define the category $(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_B$ as the full subcategory of $\omega\text{-cat}$ composed of $(0, \omega)$ -categories admitting an atomic and loop-free basis.

Theorem 1.2.1.23 (Steiner). *Once restricted to $(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_B$ and ADC_B , the adjunction*

$$\lambda : \omega\text{-cat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{ADC} : \nu$$

becomes an adjoint equivalence, i.e. :

$$\lambda|_{(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_B} \circ \nu|_{\text{ADC}_B} \cong \text{id}|_{\text{ADC}_B} \quad \text{id}|_{(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_B} \cong \nu|_{\text{ADC}_B} \circ \lambda|_{(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_B}$$

Proof. See [Ste04, theorem 5.11]. \square

Remark 1.2.1.24. If K is an augmented directed complex admitting a unitary and loop-free basis B , then the $(0, \omega)$ -category νK admits an atomic and loop-free basis given by the set $\langle B \rangle := \{\langle b \rangle, b \in B\}$. Conversely if an $(0, \omega)$ -category C admits an atomic and loop-free basis E , then the augmented directed complex λC admits a unitary and loop-free basis given by the family of sets $[E_n] := \{[e]_{d(e)}, e \in E_n\}$. The isomorphisms

$$\lambda \nu K \cong K \quad \text{and} \quad C \cong \nu \lambda C$$

induce isomorphisms:

$$\langle \langle B \rangle \rangle \cong B \quad \text{and} \quad E \cong \langle [E] \rangle.$$

Definition 1.2.1.25. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism between two augmented directed complexes admitting unitary and loop-free bases B_M and B_N . The morphism f is *quasi-rigid* if for any n , and any $b \in (B_M)_n$,

$$f_n(b) \neq 0 \Rightarrow f_n(b) \in B_N \text{ and } \nu(f)\langle b \rangle = \langle f_n(b) \rangle.$$

Theorem 1.2.1.26. *Suppose given a commutative square in ADC_B*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{k^0} & M_1 \\ k^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow l^1 \\ M_0 & \xrightarrow{l^0} & M \end{array}$$

and such that all morphisms are quasi-rigid. Let $B_K, B_{M_0}, B_{M_1}, B_M$ be the bases of K, M_0, M_1, M .

Then, this square is cocartesian if and only if for any n , the induced diagram of sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (B_K)_n \cup \{0\} & \xrightarrow{k_n^0} & (B_{M_1})_n \cup \{0\} \\ k_n^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow l_n^1 \\ (B_{M_0})_n \cup \{0\} & \xrightarrow{l_n^0} & (B_M)_n \cup \{0\} \end{array}$$

is cocartesian. Furthermore, the induced square in $(0, \omega)$ -cat

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nu K & \xrightarrow{\nu k^0} & \nu M_1 \\ \nu k^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu l^1 \\ \nu M_0 & \xrightarrow{\nu l^0} & \nu M \end{array}$$

is cocartesian.

Proof. This is a combination of theorems 3.1.2 and 3.2.7 of [Lou23]. □

1.2.2 2-Polygraphs and presheaves on Θ_2

The objective of this section is to prove the following theorem

Theorem 1.2.2.1. *Let $k \leq 1$ be an integer, and let C and D be two $(0, 2)$ -categories admitting loop-free and atomic bases (definition 1.2.1.19). Suppose there is a cocartesian square in $(0, \omega)$ -cat of shape:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial[[k], 1] & \xrightarrow{\partial x} & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ [[k], 1] & \xrightarrow{x} & D \end{array}$$

Then, viewed as a morphism of $\text{Psh}(\Theta_2)$, the morphism $j : C \cup x \rightarrow D$ is in $\overline{W_2}$ which is the smallest precomplete class of morphism (definition 1.1.3.2) containing W_2 (definition 1.1.2.19).

Informally, this theorem shows that the square appearing in the previous statement is homotopically cocartesian. This result is therefore a special case of the similar but much more general theorem proved by Campion in [Cam23b].

We fix a $(0, 2)$ -category D admitting a loop free and atomic basis until the end of this section.

Definition 1.2.2.2. Let v be a 2-cell of D . The *2-support* of v , denoted B_2^v , is the support of $[v]_2$ (definition 1.2.1.10). The *1-support* of v , denoted B_1^v , is the union of the support of $[\pi_1^+ v]_1$ with $(\partial_1^- B_2^v) \cup B_2^v$.

For $i = 1, 2$, we define the relation $<_i^v$ as the smallest transitive relation on B_i^v such that $c <_i d$ whenever

$$\langle c \rangle_i^- \wedge \langle d \rangle_i^+ \neq 0.$$

Remark 1.2.2.3. Remark that the two inclusions $(B_0^v, <_0^v) \rightarrow (B, \odot)$ and $(B_1^v, <_1^v) \rightarrow (B, \odot)$ are strictly increasing. As a consequence, $<_0^v$ and $<_1^v$ are (partial) orders.

Remark 1.2.2.4. The theorem 1.2.1.23 implies that B_1^v is also equal to the union of the support of $[\pi_1^- v]_1$ with $(\partial_1^+ B_2^v) \cup B_2^v$.

Lemma 1.2.2.5. *Let v be a 2-cell of D , and b, b' be two elements of B_1^v . The assertion $b <_0^v b'$ holds if and only if there exists a well-defined 0-composite*

$$b *_0 \dots *_0 b'.$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Definition 1.2.2.6. Given a finite set E endowed with a strict order $<$, an ordering of E is a bijective sequence $(x_i)_{i \leq n}$ of elements of E such that for every $i < j$, $\neg(x_j < x_i)$.

Theorem 1.2.2.7. *Let v be a 2-cell of D , and $(w_i)_{i \leq n}$ an ordering of B_2^v . There exists a decomposition of v as*

$$v := v_0 *_1 \dots *_1 v_n$$

such that for every $i < n$, v_i is a 0-composition of an element of w_i with several 1-generators of D . Moreover, any element z in B_1^v of dimension 1 appears in a decomposition of the source or a target of v_i for an integer $i \leq n$.

Moreover, for any decomposition of v as

$$v := v'_0 *_1 \dots *_1 v'_n$$

such that v'_i is a 0-composition of a unique element w'_i of B_2^v with several 1-generators of D , then the sequence $\{w_i\}_{i \leq n}$ is an ordering of B_2^v .

Proof. The first assertion is a consequence of [Lou23, theorem 2.47].

To show the second assertion, suppose given such a decomposition. We will proceed by contradiction and then suppose that there exist $i < j$ such that $w'_j < w'_i$. We can suppose without loss of generality that $i = 0$ and $j = n$.

By a direct induction on n using [Lou23, lemma 2.43], we have

$$\partial_1^+([v'_0]_2) \leq \partial_1^+([v'_0 *_1 \dots *_1 v'_n]_2) = \partial_1^+([v]_2)$$

$$\partial_1^-([v'_n]_2) \leq \partial_1^-([v'_0 *_1 \dots *_1 v'_n]_2) = \partial_1^-([v]_2)$$

Moreover, the inequality $w'_n < w'_0$ implies

$$\partial_1^+([v'_0]_2) \wedge \partial_1^-([v'_n]_2) \neq 0$$

and then

$$\partial_1^+([v]_2) \wedge \partial_1^-([v]_2) \neq 0$$

which is absurd as $\partial_1^+([v]_2)$ and $\partial_1^-([v]_2)$ are respectively defined as the positive part and the negative part of $\partial([v]_2)$. □

Lemma 1.2.2.8. *Let D be a $(0, 2)$ -category and $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism. Let v be a 2-cell of C and b, b' two elements in the 1-support of v .*

(1) $b <_0^v b'$ implies that for all $c \in B_1^{f(b)}$ and $c' \in B_1^{f(b')}$, $c <_0^{f(v)} c'$.

(2) $b <_1^v b'$ implies that for all $c \in B_2^{f(b)}$ and $c' \in B_2^{f(b')}$, $\neg(c' <_1^{f(v)} c)$.

Proof. Suppose first that $b <_0^v b'$. According to lemma 1.2.2.5, we have a well defined 0-composite

$$b *_0 \dots *_0 b'$$

and so a well defined 0-composite

$$f(b) *_0 \dots *_0 f(b')$$

Let $c \in B_1^{f(b)}$ and $c' \in B_1^{f(b')}$. Applying the decomposition given in theorem 1.2.2.7 to $f(b)$ and $f(b')$, we get a well-defined composite

$$w *_0 \dots *_0 w'$$

where w (resp. w') is a 0-composite of c (resp. c') with other generators. This then implies $c <_0^{f(v)} c'$.

We now deal with the second case. Let $c \in B_2^{f(b)}$ and $c' \in B_2^{f(b')}$. According to theorem 1.2.2.7 there exists a decomposition of v of shape

$$v := v_0 *_1 v_1 *_1 \dots *_1 v_n$$

where for all $i \leq n$, v_0 is a 0-composite of a unique 2-generator with 1-generators. Moreover, the unique i (resp. the unique j) such that b belongs to v_i (resp. such that b' belongs to v_j) verifies $i < j$.

Applying the morphism f and decomposing each $f(v_i)$ the same way, we get a decomposition

$$f(v) := u_0 *_1 u_1 *_1 \dots *_1 u_m$$

where for all $i \leq m$, u_0 is a 0-composite of a 2-generator with 1-generators, and such that the unique i (resp. the unique j) such that c belongs to u_i (resp. such that c' belongs to u_j) verifies $i < j$. The second assertion of theorem 1.2.2.7 then implies that $\neg(c' <_1^{f(v)} c)$. \square

Lemma 1.2.2.9. *Let v be a 2-cell, and b, b' two different elements of the 2-support of v . Then $\neg(b <_1^v b') \wedge \neg(b' <_1^v b)$ implies that $(b <_0^v b') \vee (b' <_0^v b)$ holds.*

Proof. We suppose that $\neg(b <_1^v x) \wedge \neg(x <_1^v b)$. We can then find an ordering with respect to $<_i^v$ of B_2^v such that b and b' are one after the other. According to theorem 1.2.2.7, we have a decomposition of v of shape $\dots *_1 v_i *_1 v_{i+1} *_1 \dots$ such that v_i can be written as a 0-composite of b and 1-generators and v_{i+1} can be written in a 0-composite of b' and 1-generators. We then have

$$v_i := \dots *_0 b *_0 \dots \quad v_{i+1} := \dots *_0 b' *_0 \dots$$

and then an equality between the following 1-cells

$$\dots *_0 \pi_1^- b *_0 \dots = \pi_1^- v_i = \pi_1^+ v_{i+1} = \dots *_0 \pi_1^+ b' *_0 \dots$$

As $\pi_1^- b \wedge \pi_1^+ b' = 0$, this implies that $\pi_1^- v_i = \pi_1^+ v_{i+1}$ can be written as

$$\dots *_0 \pi_1^- b *_0 \dots *_0 \pi_1^+ b' *_0 \dots \quad \text{or as} \quad \dots *_0 \pi_1^+ b' *_0 \dots *_0 \pi_1^- b *_0 \dots$$

The cell $v_i *_1 v_{i+1}$ can then be written as

$$\dots *_0 b *_0 \dots *_0 b' *_0 \dots \quad \text{or as} \quad \dots *_0 b' *_0 \dots *_0 b *_0 \dots$$

This implies that $(b <_0 x) \vee (x <_0 b)$ holds. \square

Lemma 1.2.2.10. *Let v be a 2-cell, and b, b' two elements of the 2-support of v . Then $b <_0^v b'$ implies that for all $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$, for all c in $\langle b \rangle_1^\alpha$, $c <_0^v b'$ holds.*

Proof. By lemma 1.2.2.5, there exists a sequence $(b_i)_{i \leq n}$ such that $b_0 = b$, $b_n = b'$ and for all $i < n$, b_i and b_{i+1} are 0-composable. The sequence

$$b *_0 b_1 *_0 \dots *_0 b_{n-1} *_0 b'$$

is well defined, and then so is the sequence

$$\pi_1^\alpha b *_0 b_1 *_0 \dots *_0 b_{n-1} *_0 b'.$$

As $\pi_1^\alpha b$ is a 0-composite of c with other elements of B_1^v , this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 1.2.2.11. *Let r, u be two 2-cells of D such that $B_1^u \subset B_1^r$. Let x in B_2^r . Then there exists a unique decomposition of u of shape*

$$u = v *_1 w *_1 t$$

such that

- (1) for any element b in B_2^v , $b <_1^r x$;
- (2) for any element b in B_2^t , $x <_1^r b$;
- (3) for any element b in B_2^w , $\neg(b <_1^r x) \vee \neg(x <_1^r b)$

If for any element of b in B_2^u different from x , $\neg(b <_1^r x) \vee \neg(x <_1^r b)$, then there exists a unique decomposition of u of shape

$$u = v *_0 w *_0 t$$

such that

- (1) for any element b in B_1^v , $b <_0^r x$;
- (2) for any element b in B_1^t , $x <_0^r b$;
- (3) w is either x or a cell of lower dimension.

Proof. We will construct these two decompositions at the same time. To this extend, we will use the Steiner theory recalled in section 1.2.1.

Let i be either 1 or 0. If $i = 0$, we then suppose furthermore that for any element of b in B_2^u different from x , $\neg(b <_1^r x) \vee \neg(x <_1^r b)$. We denote by

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_0^- & u_1^- & u_2^- \\ u_0^+ & u_1^+ & u_2^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

the array corresponding to the cell u . For any $i < j \leq 2$ and $\alpha \in \{-, +1\}$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} v_j^\alpha &:= \sum \{b \in [u]_j^\alpha, b <_i x\} & t_j^\alpha &:= \sum \{b \in [u]_j^\alpha, b >_i x\} \\ w_j^\alpha &:= \sum \{b \in [u]_j^\alpha, \neg(b <_j x) \wedge \neg(b >_j x)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_i^+ &:= u_i^+ & w_i^+ &:= v_i^- & t_i^+ &:= w_i^- \\ v_i^- &:= u_i^+ - \partial(v_{i+1}^-) & w_i^- &:= v_i^- - \partial(w_{i+1}^-) & t_i^- &:= u_i^- \end{aligned}$$

and for any $j < i$ and $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$

$$v_j^\alpha := u_j^\alpha \quad w_j^\alpha := u_j^\alpha \quad t_j^\alpha := u_j^\alpha$$

By construction, we then have for any $i \leq j \leq 2$

$$u_j^\alpha = v_j^\alpha + w_j^\alpha + t_j^\alpha.$$

and

$$\partial(v_{i+1}^-) = v_i^+ - v_i^- \quad \partial(w_{i+1}^-) = w_i^+ - w_i^- \quad \partial(t_{i+1}^-) = t_i^+ - t_i^-$$

and

$$\partial(u_i^\alpha) = \partial(v_i^\alpha) = \partial(w_i^\alpha) = \partial(t_i^\alpha)$$

It then remains to show that for any $i + 1 < j \leq 2$

$$\partial v_j^\alpha = v_{j-1}^+ - v_{j-1}^- \quad \partial w_j^\alpha = w_{j-1}^+ - w_{j-1}^- \quad \partial t_j^\alpha = t_{j-1}^+ - t_{j-1}^- \quad (1.2.2.12)$$

and

$$v_i^- \geq 0 \quad w_i^- \geq 0 \quad (1.2.2.13)$$

Indeed, if the assertions (1.2.2.12) and (1.2.2.13) are fulfilled, this implies that the sequences $\{v_j^\beta\}$, $\{w_j^\beta\}$ and $\{t_j^\beta\}$ are arrays and then correspond respectively to the unique cells v, w and t fulfilling the desired condition.

We first deal with the assertion (1.2.2.12). Suppose first that there exists an integer j such that $i + 1 < j \leq 2$. This implies that $i = 0$. The lemma 1.2.2.9 then implies that $w_2^\alpha = \lambda x$ with $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$. By assumption, we have

$$\partial(w_2^\beta) = u_1^+ - u_1^-$$

and then

$$\partial(v_2^\beta) + \partial(w_2^\beta) + \partial(t_2^\beta) = v_1^+ - v_1^- + w_1^+ - w_1^- + t_1^+ - t_1^-$$

The lemma 1.2.2.10 implies that any element of the base belonging to $\partial(v_2^\beta)$ (resp. to $\partial(t_2^\beta)$) is 0-inferior to x (resp. 0-superior to x). Moreover, for any $b \in \partial(w_2^\beta) = \lambda \partial x$, we have $\neg(b <_1^r x) \vee \neg(x <_1^r b)$.

The previous equality then implies

$$\partial(v_2^\beta) = v_1^+ - v_1^- \quad \partial(w_2^\beta) = w_1^+ - w_1^- \quad \partial(t_2^\beta) = t_1^+ - t_1^-$$

We now deal with the assertion (1.2.2.12). We claim that we have

$$\partial^+ v_{i+1}^\alpha \wedge \partial^- w_{i+1}^\alpha = 0 \quad \partial^+ w_{i+1}^\alpha \wedge \partial^- t_{i+1}^\alpha = 0 \quad \partial^+ v_{i+1}^\alpha \wedge \partial^- t_{i+1}^\alpha = 0$$

Indeed, suppose that $\partial^+ v_{i+1}^\alpha \wedge \partial^- w_{i+1}^\alpha \neq 0$. This implies that there exists an element of the base $b \in w_{i+1}^\alpha$ and $c \in v_{i+1}^\alpha$ such that $b <_i c$. As we have by definition $c <_i x$, this directly implies that $b <_i x$ which is absurd. We show similarly the two other equalities. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^+ &\geq \partial(u_{i+1}^-) \\ &= \partial^+(v_{i+1}^- + w_{i+1}^- + t_{i+1}^-) \\ &= \partial^+(v_{i+1}^-) + (\partial^+(w_{i+1}^-) - \partial^-(v_{i+1}^-))_+ + (\partial^+(t_{i+1}^-) - \partial^-(w_{i+1}^-) - \partial^-(v_{i+1}^-))_+ \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_i^- &= u_i^+ - \partial(v_{i+1}^-) \\
 &= u_i^+ - \partial^+(v_{i+1}^-) + \partial^-(v_{i+1}^-) \\
 &\geq (\partial^+(w_{i+1}^-) - \partial^-(v_{i+1}^-))_+ + (\partial^+(t_{i+1}^-) - \partial^-(w_{i+1}^-) - \partial^-(v_{i+1}^-))_+ + \partial^-(v_{i+1}^-) \\
 &\geq (\partial^+(w_{i+1}^-) - \partial^-(v_{i+1}^-))_+ + \partial^-(v_{i+1}^-) \\
 &\geq \partial^+(w_{i+1}^-)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w_i^- = v_i^- - \partial(w_{i+1}^-) = v_i^- - \partial^+(w_{i+1}^-) + \partial^-(w_{i+1}^-) \geq 0$$

The two assertions (1.2.2.12) and (1.2.2.13) are then fulfilled, which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 1.2.2.14. *Let C be a $(0, 2)$ -category with a atomic and loop free basis. Let x be a element of the base of C , and y an element of the base of D . Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism such that $\lambda f x = y$. Let u be an 2-cell of C . We denote by $u =: u_0 * u_1 * u_2$ and $f(u) =: v_0 * v_1 * v_2$ the decomposition given by the lemma 1.2.2.11. Then*

$$f(u_0) = v_0 \quad f(u_1) = v_1 \quad f(u_2) = v_2$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of lemma 1.2.2.14. \square

Lemma 1.2.2.15. *Let C be a $(0, 2)$ -category with a atomic and loop free basis. Let x be a element of the base of C , and y an element of the base of D . Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism such that y belongs to $\lambda f x$. Let u be an 2-cell of C . We denote by $u =: u_0 * u_1 * u_2$ and $f(u) =: v_0 * v_1 * v_2$ the decompositions given by lemma 1.2.2.11. For any $i \leq 2$, we denote by $f(u_i) =: u_{i0} * u_{i1} * u_{i2}$ the decomposition given by lemma op cit. Then*

$$v_0 = u_{00} \quad v_1 = u_{01} * u_{02} * u_{10} * u_{11} * u_{12} * u_{20} * u_{21} \quad v_2 = u_{22}$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of lemma 1.2.2.14. \square

Notation 1.2.2.16. Let a be a globular sum of dimension lower or equal to 2. We denote by ∇ the unique algebraic morphism $\mathbf{D}_2 \rightarrow a$. The 2-cell ∇ is called the *composite cell* of a .

Remark 1.2.2.17. If $i : a \rightarrow a'$ is an algebraic morphism, and $f : a' \rightarrow C$ any morphism, the composite cell of $f : a' \rightarrow C$ is the same as the composite cell of $fi : a \rightarrow C$.

Definition 1.2.2.18. Let b be an element of the base of D . A 2-cell v of D is 0-comparable with b if $b \in B_2^v$ and if for any $b' \in B_2^v$, the assertion $\neg(b <_1^v b') \wedge \neg(b' <_1^v b)$ holds.

Lemma 1.2.2.19. *Let a be a globular sum of dimension lower or equal to 2. Let x be a 2-cell of D . Let $f : a \rightarrow D$ be a morphism such that $f(\nabla)$ is 0-comparable with x . Then there exists a commutative triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & a' \vee [[1], 1] \vee a'' & \\
 & \nearrow i & \downarrow f' \vee x \vee f'' \\
 a & \xrightarrow{f} & D
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, this factorization is functorial in D .

Proof. Let d be the (necessarily unique) element of the basis of a such that $x \in [f(d)]_2$. Let $k \leq 1$ and $j : [[k], 1] \rightarrow \text{Sp}_a$ be an element of the basis, i.e., a globular morphism.

If $j = d$, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & [[1], 1] \vee [[1], 1] \vee [[1], 1] \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow f' \vee x \vee f'' \\ [[1], 1] & \xrightarrow{fj} & D \end{array}$$

and if j is different of d by share the same 0-source and 0-target, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & [[k], 1] \vee [1] \vee [[k], 1] \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ [[k], 1] & \xrightarrow{fj} & D \end{array}$$

where these two decompositions are induced by lemma 1.2.2.11. If the 0-source and 0-target of j are different of the one of d , we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & [[k], 1] \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow fj \\ [[k], 1] & \xrightarrow{fj} & D \end{array}$$

Taking the colimit over all such $j : [[k], 1] \rightarrow a$, this induces a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & a' \vee [[1], 1] \vee a'' \\ & \nearrow i & \downarrow f' \vee x \vee f'' \\ a & \xrightarrow{f} & D \end{array}$$

fulfilling the desired property. Eventually, the functoriality of this factorization is a consequence of the unicity of the decomposition given in lemma 1.2.2.11 and of lemma 1.2.2.8. \square

Until the end of this section, we fix an other $(0, 2)$ -category C admitting a loop-free and atomic basis, and fitting in a cocartesian square of $(0, \omega)$ -cat of shape:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial[[1], 1] & \xrightarrow{\partial x} & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ [[1], 1] & \xrightarrow{x} & D \end{array}$$

Construction 1.2.2.20. We define Γ_0 as the full subcategory of $(\Theta_2)_{/D}$ whose objects are morphisms $f : a \rightarrow D$ such that either f factors through C , or the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) $f(\nabla)$ is 0-comparable with x .
- (2) $\text{Sp}_a \rightarrow a \rightarrow D$ factors through the Θ -set $C \cup x$.

We define Γ_1 as the full subcategory of $(\Theta_2)_{/D}$ whose objects are morphisms $v : a \rightarrow D$ such that $\text{Sp}_a \rightarrow a \rightarrow D$ factors through the Θ -set $C \cup \text{colim}_{\Gamma_0} a$.

Lemma 1.2.2.21. *The canonical morphism of Θ -sets $\iota : \text{colim}_{\Gamma_0} a \rightarrow D$ is injective. Its image corresponds to morphisms $f : a \rightarrow D$ such that either f factors through C , or the 2-cell $f(\nabla)$ is 0-comparable with x .*

Proof. First, remark that the morphism $C \cup \{x\} \rightarrow \text{colim}_{\Gamma_0} a$ is injective. To complete the characterization of the image of ι , let $f : a \rightarrow D$ be a morphism such that $f(\nabla)$ is 0-comparable with x .

Consider now the factorization $a \xrightarrow{i} a' \xrightarrow{g} D$ of f given by lemma 1.2.2.19. Every element of $\text{Sp } a'$ is sent to either an element of C or to x . This implies that g belongs to Γ_0 , which concludes the characterization of the image of ι .

Now, for the injectivity, suppose that there exists another element $h : b \rightarrow D$ of Γ_0 and a decomposition $a \xrightarrow{j} b \xrightarrow{h} D$ of $f : a \rightarrow D$. Up to further factorization, we can suppose that j is algebraic and, according to lemma 1.2.2.19, that $j(\nabla)$ is 0-comparable with the (necessarily unique) element of the basis c of b such that $g(c) = x$.

Using once again the factorization lemma 1.2.2.19 on the morphism j and the object c , and using the functoriality of this factorization, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{j} & b \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow h \\ a' & \xrightarrow{g} & D \end{array}$$

completing the proof of injectivity. □

Lemma 1.2.2.22. *The canonical morphism of Θ -sets $\iota : \text{colim}_{\Gamma_1} a \rightarrow D$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. First, remark that the morphism $C \cup \text{colim}_{\Gamma_0} a \rightarrow \text{colim}_{\Gamma_1} a$ is injective. To complete the surjectivity of ι , let $f : a \rightarrow D$ be a morphism that doesn't factor through C . In particular, this implies that x belongs to $[f(\nabla)]_2$. We denote by c as the (necessary) unique element of the base of a such that $x \in [f(c)]_2$.

Let $k \leq 1$ and $j : [[k], 1] \rightarrow \text{Sp}_a$ be an element of the basis. If j is c , we consider the following diagram

$$[[1], 1] \rightarrow [[3], 1] \rightarrow D$$

induced by the decomposition of lemma 1.2.2.11. Moreover, lemma 1.2.2.21 implies that l belongs to Γ_1 . If j is different from c , we consider the diagram

$$[[k], 1] \rightarrow [[k], 1] \rightarrow D$$

Moreover, fj factors through C and then belongs to Γ_1 . Taking the colimit over all such j , this induces a diagram

$$a \xrightarrow{i} a' \xrightarrow{g} D$$

whose composite is f and such that g is in Γ_1 . This concludes the proof of the surjectivity of ι .

To prove the injectivity, suppose now that there exists another element $h : b \rightarrow D$ and a decomposition $a \xrightarrow{j} b \xrightarrow{h} D$ of $f : a \rightarrow D$ with h in Γ_1 . Let $k \leq 1$ and $j : [[k], 1] \rightarrow \text{Sp}_a$ be an element of the basis. If j is c , we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [[1], 1] & \longrightarrow & [[3], 1] & \longrightarrow & a' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow [\sigma, 1] & & \downarrow g \\ [[3], 1] & \longrightarrow & [[9], 1] & \searrow t & \downarrow \\ b & \xrightarrow{h} & & & D \end{array}$$

where the left vertical morphisms are induced by the decomposition of lemma 1.2.2.11, the morphism t obtained in applying for each 2-cell the decomposition of lemma *op cit*, and the morphism σ send 0 on 0, 1 on 1, 2 on 8 and 3 on 9. The commutativity of this diagram is a consequence of lemma 1.2.2.15.

If j is different from c , we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 [[k], 1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & [[k], 1] & \longrightarrow & a' \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 [[k], 1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & [[k], 1] & \searrow & D \\
 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 b & \xrightarrow{\quad h \quad} & & & D
 \end{array}$$

Taking the colimit over all such j , this induces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 a & \xrightarrow{i} & a' & \xlongequal{\quad} & a' \\
 i \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
 a' & \longrightarrow & a'' & \searrow & D \\
 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 b & \xrightarrow{\quad h \quad} & & & D
 \end{array}$$

where $a'' \rightarrow D$ is in Γ_1 , which concludes the proof of injectivity. \square

Lemma 1.2.2.23. *Let $f : a \rightarrow D$ be a morphism of Γ_0 . We denote by $\Lambda^{\Gamma_0} a$ the subobject of a composed of all $i \in \Theta_{2/a}$ such that fi factors through the Θ_2 -set $C \cup x$. Then the morphism $\Lambda^{\Gamma_0} a \rightarrow a$ is in \overline{W}_2 .*

Proof. If f factors through C , then $\Lambda^{\Gamma_0} a$ is equal to a . Suppose then that there exists a (necessarily unique) element of the base b such that $f(b) = x$.

There exists a unique decomposition of a as

$$a \cong a' \vee [[k] \vee [1] \vee [k'], 1] \vee a''$$

where the cell $[[1], 1] \rightarrow a$ is b and where

$$[[k], 1] \rightarrow a \rightarrow D \quad \text{and} \quad [[k'], 1] \rightarrow a \rightarrow D$$

factors through C .

We then have

$$\Lambda^{\Gamma_0} a \cong a' \vee [[k] \coprod_{[0]} [1] \coprod_{[0]} [k'], 1] \vee a''$$

As the functor $a' \vee [-, 1] \vee a : \text{Psh}(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta)$ sends \overline{W}_1 to \overline{W}_2 , and as

$$[k] \coprod_{[0]} b \coprod_{[0]} [k'] \rightarrow [k + 1 + k']$$

is in \overline{W}_1 , this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 1.2.2.24. *Let $f : a \rightarrow D$ be a morphism of Γ_1 . We denote by $\Lambda^{\Gamma_1} a$ the subobject of a composed of all $i \in \Theta_{/a}$ such that fi factors through $\text{colim}_{\Gamma_0} a$. Then the morphism $\Lambda^{\Gamma_1} a \rightarrow a$ is in \overline{W}_2 .*

Proof. If f factors through C , then $\Lambda^{\Gamma_1} a$ is equal to a . Suppose then that there exists a (necessarily unique) element of the base b such that x belongs to $[f(b)]_2$.

There exists a unique decomposition of a as

$$a \cong a' \vee [[n] \vee [k] \vee [1] \vee [k'] \vee [n'], 1] \vee a''$$

where the cell $[[1], 1] \rightarrow a$ is b , and where k and k' are the maximal integers such that the image by the composite cell of

$$[[k] \vee [1] \vee [k'], 1] \rightarrow a$$

is 0-comparable with x , and such that

$$[[k], 1] \coprod [[k'], 1] \rightarrow a \rightarrow D$$

factors through C .

We then have

$$\Lambda^{\Gamma_0} a \cong a' \vee [[n+k] \coprod_{[k]} [k+1+k'] \coprod_{[k']} [k'+n'], 1] \vee a''$$

As the functor $a' \vee [-, 1] \vee a : \text{Psh}(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta)$ sends \overline{W}_1 to \overline{W}_2 , and as

$$[n+k] \coprod_{[k]} [k+1+k'] \coprod_{[k']} [k'+n'] \rightarrow [n+k+1+k'+n']$$

is in \overline{W}_1 , this concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 1.2.2.25. *Let C and D be two $(0, 2)$ -categories admitting loop-free and atomic bases, fitting in a cocartesian square of shape:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial[[1], 1] & \xrightarrow{\partial x} & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ [[1], 1] & \xrightarrow{x} & D \end{array}$$

Then, viewed as a morphism of $\text{Psh}(\Theta_2)$, the morphism $j : C \cup x \rightarrow D$ is in \overline{W}_2 .

Proof. The category Γ_0 inherits from $\Theta_{/D}$ a structure of Reedy elegant category. Moreover, the two functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_0 & \rightarrow & \text{Psh}(\Delta) \\ a \rightarrow D & \mapsto & \Lambda^{\Gamma_0} a \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_0 & \rightarrow & \text{Psh}(\Delta) \\ a \rightarrow D & \mapsto & a \end{array}$$

are Reedy cofibrant (definition 1.1.3.1). The morphism

$$C \cup x \cong \text{colim}_{\Gamma_0} \Lambda^{\Gamma_0} a \rightarrow \text{colim}_{\Gamma_0} a$$

is then in \overline{W}_2 . We proceed similarly to demonstrate that the morphism

$$\text{colim}_{\Gamma_0} a \cong \text{colim}_{\Gamma_1} \Lambda^{\Gamma_1} a \rightarrow \text{colim}_{\Gamma_1} a \cong D$$

is in \overline{W}_2 , and where the second isomorphism is induced by lemma 1.2.2.22. By stability by composition of \overline{W}_2 , this concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 1.2.2.26. *Let C and D be two $(0, 1)$ -categories admitting loop-free and atomic bases, fitting in a cocartesian square of shape:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial[1] & \xrightarrow{\partial x} & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ [1] & \xrightarrow{x} & D \end{array}$$

Then, viewed as a morphism of $\text{Psh}(\Delta)$, the morphism $j : C \cup x \rightarrow D$ is in \overline{W}_1 .

Proof. We denote by Υ the full subcategory of $\Delta_{/D}$ whose objects are morphisms $f : [n] \rightarrow D$ such that $\text{Sp}_{[n]} \rightarrow [n] \rightarrow D$ factors through the Θ -set $C \cup x$.

Given $f : [n] \rightarrow D$ in Υ , we denote by $\Lambda^\Upsilon[n]$ the subobject of $[n]$ composed of all $i \in \Delta_{/[n]}$ such that $f i$ factors through $C \cup x$. We can proceed as in lemma 1.2.2.23 to show that the canonical morphism $\Lambda^\Upsilon[n] \rightarrow [n]$ is in \overline{W}_1 .

Now, remark that the category Υ inherits from $\Delta_{/D}$ a structure of Reedy elegant category. The two functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Upsilon & \rightarrow & \text{Psh}(\Delta) \\ [n] \rightarrow D & \mapsto & \Lambda^\Upsilon[n] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Upsilon & \rightarrow & \text{Psh}(\Delta) \\ [n] \rightarrow D & \mapsto & [n] \end{array}$$

are Reedy cofibrant (definition 1.1.3.1). As the colimit of the first one is $C \cup x$ and the colimit of the second one is D , this concludes the proof. \square

Proof of theorem 1.2.2.1. If $n = 0$, this is straightforward, and if $n = 2$, it follows from proposition 1.2.2.25.

It then remains to prove the case $n = 1$. Let S be the set of generators of C of dimension 2. A repeated application of proposition 1.2.2.25 and the stability by pushout and transfinite composition of \overline{W}_2 implies that the two vertical morphisms of the following square are in \overline{W}_2 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_1 C \cup x \cup_{y \in S} y & \longrightarrow & \tau_1 D \cup_{y \in S} y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C \cup x & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

Moreover, the proposition 1.2.2.26 implies that the canonical morphism

$$\tau_1 C \cup x \rightarrow \tau_1 D$$

is in \overline{W}_2 , and so is the top horizontal morphism of the previous square. By stability of left cancellation of \overline{W}_2 , this concludes the proof. \square

1.2.3 Gray operations on augmented directed complexes

We follow Steiner ([Ste04]) and Ara-Maltsiniotis ([AM20]) for the definitions and first properties of Gray operations on augmented directed complexes.

Definition 1.2.3.1. Let (K, K^*, e) and (L, L^*, f) be two augmented directed complexes. We define the *Gray tensor product* of (K, K^*, e) and (L, L^*, f) as the augmented directed complex

$$(K, K^*, e) \otimes (L, L^*, f) := (K \otimes L, (K \otimes L)^*, e \otimes f)$$

where

- $K \otimes L$ is the chain complex whose value on n is:

$$(K \otimes L)_n := \bigoplus_{k+l=n} K_k \otimes L_l$$

and the differential is the unique graded group morphism fulfilling:

$$\partial(x \otimes y) := \partial x \otimes y + (-1)^{|x|} x \otimes \partial y$$

where we set the convention $\partial x := 0$ if $|x| = 0$.

- $(K \otimes L)^*$ is given on all integer n by :

$$(K \otimes L)_n^* := \bigoplus_{k+l=n} K_k^* \otimes L_l^*.$$

- $e \otimes f : K_0 \otimes L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the unique morphism fulfilling

$$(e \otimes f)(x \otimes y) = e(x)f(y).$$

The Gray tensor product induces a monoidal structure on ADC . Its unit is given by $\lambda \mathbf{D}_0$. Furthermore, Steiner shows that if K and L admit loop free and unitary bases, so does $K \otimes L$. The basis of $K \otimes L$ is given by the set of elements of shape $b \otimes b'$ where b and b' are respectively elements of the bases of K and L . The monoidal structure then restricts to a monoidal structure on $\text{ADC}_{\mathbb{B}}$.

Notation 1.2.3.2. To simplify notation, the augmented directed complex $\lambda[1]$ will simply be denoted by $[1]$.

Definition 1.2.3.3. The induced functor

$$_ - \otimes [1] : \text{ADC} \rightarrow \text{ADC}$$

is called the *Gray cylinder*. For (K, K^*, e) an augmented directed complex, we then have

$$(K, K^*, e) \otimes [1] := (K \otimes [1], (K \otimes [1])^*, e)$$

where

- $K \otimes [1]$ is the chain complex whose value on n is:

$$(K \otimes [1])_n := \begin{cases} \{x \otimes \{\epsilon\}, x \in K_0, \epsilon = 0, 1\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \{x \otimes \{\epsilon\}, x \in K_n, \epsilon = 0, 1\} \oplus \{x \otimes [1], x \in K_{n-1}\} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

and the differential is the unique graded group morphism fulfilling:

$$\partial(x \otimes [1]) := \partial x \otimes [1] + (-1)^{|x|} (x \otimes \{1\} - x \otimes \{0\}) \quad \partial(x \otimes \{\epsilon\}) = (\partial x) \otimes \{\epsilon\}$$

for $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, and where we set the convention $\partial x := 0$ if $|x| = 0$.

- $(K \otimes [1])^*$ is given on all integer n by :

$$(K \otimes [1])_n^* := \begin{cases} \{x \otimes \{\epsilon\}, x \in K_0^*, \epsilon = 0, 1\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \{x \otimes \{\epsilon\}, x \in K_n^*, \epsilon = 0, 1\} \oplus \{x \otimes [1], x \in K_{n-1}^*\} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

– $e : (K \otimes [1])_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the unique morphism fulfilling

$$e(x \otimes \{0\}) = e(x \otimes \{1\}) = e(x).$$

Proposition 1.2.3.4. *Let A be an augmented directed complex admitting no non-trivial automorphisms. Then the augmented directed complex $A \otimes [1]$ has no non-trivial automorphisms.*

Proof. Let $\phi : A \otimes [1] \rightarrow A \otimes [1]$ be an automorphism. The morphism ϕ then induces a bijection on the elements of the basis of $A \otimes [1]$.

Let (E, F) be a partition of the set $(B_{A \otimes [1]})_0$ such that

- (1) there exists no element of $(B_{A \otimes [1]})_1$ whose source is in F and target in E .
- (2) for any $x, y \in E$ and $v \in (B_{A \otimes [1]})_1$ such that $\partial v = y - x$, there exist an element $w \in (B_{A \otimes [1]})_1$ such that $\partial^- w = y$ and an element $\alpha \in (B_{A \otimes [1]})_2$ with $\partial^+ \alpha = w + v$.
- (3) for any $x, y \in F$ and $v \in (B_{A \otimes [1]})_1$ such that $\partial v = y - x$, there exist an element $w \in (B_{A \otimes [1]})_1$ such that $\partial^+ w = x$ and an element $\alpha \in (B_{A \otimes [1]})_2$ with $\partial^- \alpha = w + v$.

Suppose now that there exists an object a of $(B_A)_0$ such that $a \otimes \{1\}$ in E . As we have $\partial(a \otimes [1]) = a \otimes \{1\} - a \otimes \{0\}$, $a \otimes \{0\}$ is in E . There exist then an element $w \in (B_{A \otimes [1]})_1$ and an element $\alpha \in (B_{A \otimes [1]})_2$ with $\partial^- w = a \otimes \{1\}$ and $\partial^+ \alpha = a \otimes [1] + w$. However, by construction of $A \otimes [1]$, there exist no such element α . This implies that any element of E is of shape $a \otimes \{0\}$ and we can show similarly that every element of F is of shape $a \otimes \{1\}$.

Conversely, we claim that the partition $((B_{A \otimes \{0\}})_0, (B_{A \otimes \{1\}})_0)$ fulfills these conditions. The first one is obvious. For the second, there exist $a \in (B_A)_0$ and $u \in (B_A)_0$ such that $y = a \otimes \{0\}$ and $v := u \otimes \{0\}$ and we then choose $w := a \otimes [1]$ and $\alpha := u \otimes [1]$. We proceed similarly for the last condition.

The partition $((B_{A \otimes \{0\}})_0, (B_{A \otimes \{1\}})_0)$ is then the unique one fulfilling the previous three condition. As ϕ preserves such partition, this implies that $\phi(B_{A \otimes \{0\}}) = B_{A \otimes \{0\}}$ and $\phi(B_{A \otimes \{1\}}) = B_{A \otimes \{1\}}$.

Now, remark that for any element $e \in (A \otimes [1])_{n+1}^*$, there exists $x \in A_n^*$ such that $x \otimes [1] \leq e$ if and only if there exists $y \in A_{n-1}^*$ such that $y \otimes [1] \leq \partial^+ e$. By a direct induction, this implies that there exists $x \in A_n^*$ such that $x \otimes [1] \leq e$ if and only if $\partial_0^- e$ is in $A_0^* \otimes \{0\}$ and $\partial_0^+ e$ is in $A_0^* \otimes \{1\}$.

Combined with the previous observation, this implies that for any element x of the basis of A_n , $\phi(x \otimes \{\epsilon\})$ is of shape $x' \otimes \{\epsilon\}$ with $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. The automorphism ϕ then induces by restriction automorphisms $\phi|_{A \otimes \{0\}} : A \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow A \otimes \{0\}$ and $\phi|_{A \otimes \{1\}} : A \otimes \{1\} \rightarrow A \otimes \{1\}$, and the hypothesis implies that they are the identity.

We now show by induction on n that $\phi_n : (A \otimes [1])_n \rightarrow (A \otimes [1])_n$ is the identity. Suppose the result true at the stage n . For any element x of the basis of A_n , we then have

$$\partial \phi(x \otimes [1]) = \phi(\partial(x \otimes [1])) = \partial(x \otimes [1]).$$

By the definition of the derivative of $A \otimes [1]$, and as ϕ preserves the basis, this forces the equality $\phi(x \otimes [1]) = x \otimes [1]$. As we already know that for any element x of the basis of A_{n+1} we have $\phi(x \otimes \{\epsilon\}) = x \otimes \{\epsilon\}$ for any $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, this concludes the induction.

We then have $\phi = id$ and $A \otimes [1]$ has no non trivial automorphisms. \square

Definition 1.2.3.5. We define the *Gray cone*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ADC} & \rightarrow & \text{ADC} \\ K & \mapsto & K \star 1 \end{array}$$

where $K \star 1$ is defined as the following pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & K \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & K \star 1 \end{array} \quad (1.2.3.6)$$

According to [AM20, corollary 6.21], if K admits a loop free and unitary basis, this is also the case for $K \star 1$. The Gray cone then induces a functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ADC}_B & \rightarrow & \text{ADC}_B \\ K & \mapsto & K \star 1 \end{array}$$

Remark 1.2.3.7. Unfolding the definition, we have

$$(K, K', e) \star 1 := (K \star 1, (K \star 1)^*, e)$$

where

- $K \star 1$ is the chain complex whose value on n is:

$$(K \star 1)_n := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[\emptyset \star 1] \oplus \{x \star \emptyset, x \in K_0\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \{\emptyset \star x, x \in K_n\} \oplus \{x \star 1, x \in K_{n-1}\} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

and the differentials are the unique graded group morphisms fulfilling:

$$\partial(x \star 1) = \partial x \star 1 + (-1)^{|x|} x \star \emptyset \quad \partial(x \star \emptyset) = \partial x \star \emptyset$$

where we set the convention $\partial x := 0$ if $|x| = 0$.

- The graded monoids $(K \star 1)^*$ is given on any integer n by :

$$(K \star 1)^*_n := \begin{cases} \mathbb{N}[\emptyset \star 1] \oplus \{x \star \emptyset, x \in K_0^*\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \{\emptyset \star x, x \in K_n^*\} \oplus \{x \star 1, x \in K_{n-1}^*\} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

- The augmentation $e : (K \star 1)_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the unique ones fulfilling

$$e(\emptyset \star 1) = 1 \quad e(x \star \emptyset) = e(x)$$

The basis of $K \star 1$ is given by the reunion of $\emptyset \star 1$ and of the set of elements of shape $b \star 1$ where b is an element of the basis of K .

Proposition 1.2.3.8. *Let A be an augmented directed complex admitting no non-trivial automorphisms. Then the augmented directed complex $A \star 1$ has no non-trivial automorphisms.*

Proof. Let $\phi : A \star 1 \rightarrow A \star 1$ be an automorphism. The morphism ϕ then induces a bijection on the elements of the basis of $A \star 1$.

As the element $\emptyset \star 1 \in (A \star 1)_0$ is the only element of the basis such that for all $v \in (A \star 1)_1$ $\partial_0^-(v) \neq \emptyset \star 1$, it is preserved by ϕ . As a consequence, for any element x of the basis of A_0 , $\phi(x \star \emptyset)$ is of shape $x' \star \emptyset$. The morphism ϕ then preserves $(A \star \emptyset)_0$.

Now, remark that for any element $e \in (A \star 1)_{n+1}^*$, there exists $x \in A_n^*$ such that $x \star 1 \leq e$ if and only if there exists $y \in A_{n-1}^*$ such that $y \star 1 \leq \partial^+ e$. By a direct induction, this implies that there exists $x \in (A \star 1)_n^*$ such that $x \star 1 \leq e$ if and only if $\partial_0^+ e \in \mathbb{Z}[\emptyset \star 1]$.

Combined with the previous observation, this implies that for any element x of the basis of A_n , $\phi(x \star \emptyset)$ is of shape $x' \star \emptyset$. The automorphism ϕ then induces by restriction an automorphism $\phi|_{A \star \emptyset} : A \rightarrow A$, and the hypothesis implies that it is the identity.

We now show by induction on n that $\phi_n : (A \star 1)_n \rightarrow (A \star 1)_n$ is the identity. Suppose the result true at the stage n . For any element x of the basis of A_n , we then have

$$\partial\phi(x \star 1) = \phi(\partial(x \star 1)) = \partial(x \star 1).$$

By the definition of the derivative of $A \star 1$, and as ϕ preserves the basis, this forces the equality $\phi(x \star 1) = x \star 1$. As we already know that for any element x of the basis of A_{n+1} we have $\phi(x \star \emptyset) = x \star \emptyset$, this concludes the induction.

We then have $\phi = id$ and $A \star 1$ has no non trivial automorphisms. □

Definition 1.2.3.9. We define the *suspension* as the functor

$$[_, 1] : \text{ADC} \rightarrow \text{ADC}$$

where $[K, 1]$ is defined as the following pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \otimes \{0, 1\} & \longrightarrow & K \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 \coprod 1 & \longrightarrow & [K, 1] \end{array} \quad (1.2.3.10)$$

We leave to the reader to check that $[K, 1]$ admits a loop free and unitary basis when this is the case for K . This functor then induces a functor:

$$[_, 1] : \text{ADC}_B \rightarrow \text{ADC}_B$$

Remark 1.2.3.11. Unfolding the definition, we have

$$[(K, K', e), 1] := ([K, 1], ([K, 1])^*, e)$$

where

- $[K, 1]$ is the chain complex whose value on n is:

$$[K, 1] := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}\{\{0\}, \{1\}\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \{[x, 1], x \in K_{n-1}\} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

and the differential is the unique graded group morphism fulfilling:

$$\partial([x, 1]) := \begin{cases} \{1\} - \{0\} & \text{if } |x| = 0 \\ [\partial x, 1] & \text{if } |x| > 0 \end{cases}$$

- $([K, 1])^*$ is given on all integer n by:

$$([K, 1])_n^* := \begin{cases} \mathbb{N}[0, 1] & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \{[x, 1], x \in K_{n-1}^*\} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

– $e : ([K, 1])_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the unique morphism fulfilling

$$e(0) = e(1) = e(x).$$

The basis of $[K, 1]$ is given by the reunion of $\{0\}$, $\{1\}$ and of the set of elements of shape $[b, 1]$ where b is an element of the basis of K .

Proposition 1.2.3.12. *Let A be a non null augmented directed complex admitting no non-trivial automorphisms. Then the augmented directed complex $[A, 1]$ has no non-trivial automorphisms.*

Proof. Let $\phi : [A, 1] \rightarrow [A, 1]$ be an automorphism. As the element $\{1\} \in ([A, 1])_0$ is the only element of the basis such that for all $v \in [A, 1]_1$ $\partial_0^-(v) \neq \{1\}$, it is preserved by ϕ . As a consequence, ϕ also preserves $\{0\}$. The induced morphism $\phi_0 : [A, 1]_0 \rightarrow [A, 1]_0$ is then the identity.

Now, remark that $(\phi_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : A \rightarrow A$ is an automorphism and is then the identity. This implies that for all $n > 0$, $\phi_n : [A, 1]_n \rightarrow [A, 1]_n$ is then identity, which concludes the proof. \square

Definition 1.2.3.13. We define the *wedges* as the functors

$$[_, 1] \vee [1] : \text{ADC} \rightarrow \text{ADC} \quad [1] \vee [_, 1] : \text{ADC} \rightarrow \text{ADC}$$

where $[K, 1] \vee [1]$ and $[1] \vee [K, 1]$ are defined as the following pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda[0] & \xrightarrow{\{0\}} & [1] \\ \{1\} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [K, 1] & \longrightarrow & [K, 1] \vee [1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda[0] & \xrightarrow{\{0\}} & [K, 1] \\ \{1\} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [1] & \longrightarrow & [1] \vee [K, 1] \end{array}$$

Once again, we can easily check that $[K, 1] \vee [1]$ and $[1] \vee [K, 1]$ have a loop free and unitary basis when this is the case for K . These functors then induce functors

$$[_, 1] \vee [1] : \text{ADC}_B \rightarrow \text{ADC}_B \quad [1] \vee [_, 1] : \text{ADC}_B \rightarrow \text{ADC}_B$$

Unfolding the definition, we have

$$([K, K', e), 1] \vee [1] := ([K, 1] \vee [1], ([K, 1] \vee [1])^*, e)$$

$$[1] \vee ([K, K', e), 1] := ([1] \vee [K, 1], ([1] \vee [K, 1])^*, e)$$

where

– $[K, 1] \vee [1]$ and $[1] \vee [K, 1]$ are the chain complexes whose value on n are:

$$[K, 1] \vee [1] := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}\{\{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \{[x, 1], x \in K_0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[e_1] & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \{[x, 1], x \in K_{n-1}\} & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$[1] \vee [K, 1] := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}\{\{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}[e_1] \oplus \{[x, 1], x \in K_0\} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \{[x, 1], x \in K_{n-1}\} & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

and the differentials are the unique graded group morphism fulfilling:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{[K,1] \vee [1]}(e_1) &:= \{2\} - \{1\} & \partial_{[K,1] \vee [1]}([x, 1]) &:= \begin{cases} \{1\} - \{0\} & \text{if } |x| = 0 \\ [\partial x, 1] & \text{if } |x| > 0 \end{cases} \\ \partial_{[1] \vee [K,1]}(e_1) &:= \{1\} - \{0\} & \partial_{[1] \vee [K,1]}([x, 1]) &:= \begin{cases} \{2\} - \{1\} & \text{if } |x| = 0 \\ [\partial x, 1] & \text{if } |x| > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

– $([K, 1] \vee [1])^*$ and $([1] \vee [K, 1])^*$ are given on all integer n by:

$$\begin{aligned} ([K, 1] \vee [1])^* &:= \begin{cases} \{\{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \{[x, 1], x \in K_0^*\} \oplus \mathbb{N}[e_1] & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \{[x, 1], x \in K_{n-1}^*\} & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases} \\ ([1] \vee [K, 1])^* &:= \begin{cases} \{\{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{N}[e_1] \oplus \cup\{[x, 1], x \in K_0^*\} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \{[x, 1], x \in K_{n-1}^*\} & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

– The augmentations e are the unique morphism fulfilling

$$e(\{0\}) = e(\{1\}) = e(\{2\}) = 1.$$

Definition 1.2.3.14. There are two canonical morphisms

$$\nabla : \Sigma K \rightarrow \Sigma K \vee [1] \quad \nabla : \Sigma K \rightarrow [1] \vee \Sigma K$$

that are the unique ones fulfilling

$$\nabla(\{0\}) := \{0\} \quad \nabla(\{1\}) := \{2\} \quad \nabla([x, 1]) := \begin{cases} [x, 1] + e_1 & \text{if } |x| = 0 \\ [x, 1] & \text{if } |x| > 0 \end{cases}$$

When we write $\Sigma K \rightarrow \Sigma K \vee [1]$ and $\Sigma K \rightarrow [1] \vee \Sigma K$ and nothing more is specified, it will always mean that we considered the morphisms ∇ .

Proposition 1.2.3.15. *Let K be an augmented directed complex. There is a natural transformation between the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[1] \vee [K, 1] \longleftarrow [K \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [K \otimes [1], 1] \longleftarrow [K \otimes \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [K, 1] \vee [1]$$

and $[K, 1] \otimes [1]$.

Proof. The cone is induced by morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} [1] \vee [K, 1] &\rightarrow [K, 1] \otimes [1] \\ (\text{resp. } [K, 1] \vee [1]) &\rightarrow [K, 1] \otimes [1] \end{aligned}$$

sending an element x in the basis of $[1]$ to $\{0\} \otimes x$ (resp. $\{1\} \otimes x$), an element y in the basis of $[K, 1]$ to $y \otimes \{1\}$ (resp. $y \otimes \{0\}$), and by the morphism

$$f : [K \otimes [1], 1] \rightarrow [K, 1] \otimes [1]$$

defined by the formula

$$f([x \otimes y, 1]) := [x, 1] \otimes y$$

for x in the basis of K and y in the basis of $[1]$. We leave it to the reader to check the compatibilities of this three morphisms. \square

1.2.4 Gray operations on $(0, \omega)$ -categories

We follow Ara-Maltsiniotis [AM20] for the definitions and first properties of Gray operations on $(0, \omega)$ -categories. Originally, these authors work with ω -categories, and not with $(0, \omega)$ -categories. However, this modification does not affect proof, and we then allow ourselves to use their results in our framework.

Theorem 1.2.4.1 (Steiner, Ara-Maltsiniotis). *There is a unique colimit preserving monoidal structure on $(0, \omega)$ -cat, up to a unique monoidal isomorphism, making the functor $\nu_{\text{ADC}_B} : \text{ADC}_B \rightarrow (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$ a monoidal functor, when ADC_B is endowed with the monoidal structure given by the Gray tensor product.*

Proof. This is [AM20, theorem A.15]. □

Definition 1.2.4.2. The monoidal product on $(0, \omega)$ -cat induced by the previous theorem is called the *Gray tensor product* and is denoted by \otimes . Its unit is \mathbf{D}_0 . If C and D are $(0, \omega)$ -categories with an atomic and loop free basis, we have by construction

$$C \otimes D := \nu(\lambda C \otimes \lambda D).$$

Proposition 1.2.4.3. *There are equivalences*

$$(C \otimes D)^{op} \cong D^{op} \otimes C^{op} \quad (C \otimes D)^\circ \cong C^\circ \otimes D^\circ \quad (C \otimes D)^{co} \cong D^{co} \otimes C^{co}$$

natural in $C, D : (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$.

Proof. This is [AM20, proposition A.20]. □

Definition 1.2.4.4. The functor

$$_ \otimes [1] : (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$$

is called the *Gray cylinder*.

Proposition 1.2.4.5. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. The following canonical square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{0, 1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 \coprod 1 & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

is cocartesian

Proof. As all these functors commute with colimits, it is sufficient to demonstrate this assertion when C is a globular sum, and *a fortiori* when C admits a loop free and atomic basis. In this case, remark that all the morphisms appearing in canonical cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda C \otimes \{0, 1\} & \longrightarrow & \lambda C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 \coprod 1 & \longrightarrow & [\lambda C, 1] \end{array}$$

are quasi-rigid. The results then follow from an application of theorem 1.2.1.26. □

Remark 1.2.4.6. Applying the duality $(_)^{op}$ to the computation achieved in appendix B.1 of [AM20], we can give an explicit expression of $\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]$. As a polygraph, the generating arrows of $\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]$ are:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_k^\epsilon \otimes \{0\} & e_k^\epsilon \otimes \{1\} & e_k^\epsilon \otimes [1] \\ a_0^- \otimes e_k^\epsilon & a_0^+ \otimes e_k^\epsilon & a \otimes e_k^\epsilon \end{array}$$

where ϵ is either $+$ or $-$, $k \leq n$ and $e_n^+ = e_n^-$. Their source and target are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^-(e_k^\epsilon \otimes \{0\}) &= e_{k-1}^- \otimes \{0\} & \pi^+(e_k^\epsilon \otimes \{0\}) &= e_{k-1}^+ \otimes \{0\} \\ \pi^-(e_k^\epsilon \otimes \{1\}) &= e_{k-1}^- \otimes \{1\} & \pi^+(e_k^\epsilon \otimes \{1\}) &= e_{k-1}^+ \otimes \{1\} \\ \pi^-(e_{2k}^\epsilon \otimes [1]) &= \dots \circ_2 (e_0^+ \otimes [1]) \circ_0 (e_{2k}^\epsilon \otimes \{0\}) \circ_1 (e_1^- \otimes [1]) \circ_3 \dots \circ_{2k-1} (e_{2k-1}^- \otimes [1]) \\ \pi^+(e_{2k}^\epsilon \otimes [1]) &= (e_{2k-1}^+ \otimes [1]) \circ_{2k-1} \dots \circ_3 (e_1^+ \otimes [1]) \circ_1 (e_{2k}^\epsilon \otimes \{1\}) \circ_0 (e_0^- \otimes [1]) \circ_2 \dots \\ \pi^-(e_{2k+1}^\epsilon \otimes [1]) &= \dots \circ_3 (e_1^+ \otimes [1]) \circ_1 (e_{2k+1}^\epsilon \otimes \{1\}) \circ_0 (e_0^- \otimes [1]) \circ_2 \dots \circ_{2k} (e_{2k}^- \otimes [1]) \\ \pi^+(e_{2k+1}^\epsilon \otimes [1]) &= (e_{2k}^+ \otimes [1]) \circ_{2k} \dots \circ_2 (e_0^+ \otimes [1]) \circ_0 (e_{2k+1}^\epsilon \otimes \{0\}) \circ_1 (e_1^- \otimes [1]) \circ_3 \dots \end{aligned}$$

We did not put parenthesis in the expression above, to keep them shorter, the default convention is to do the composition \circ_i in order of increasing values of i .

Example 1.2.4.7. The $(0, \omega)$ -category $\mathbf{D}_1 \otimes [1]$ is the polygraph:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

The $(0, \omega)$ -category $\mathbf{D}_2 \otimes [1]$ is the polygraph:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array} & \rightleftharpoons & \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array} & \leftarrow & \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array} \end{array}$$

Construction 1.2.4.8. We define the *Gray cone* and the *Gray \circ -cone*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & (0, \omega)\text{-cat.} & (0, \omega)\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & (0, \omega)\text{-cat.} \\ C & \mapsto & C \star 1 & C & \mapsto & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C \end{array}$$

where $C \star 1$, $1 \overset{co}{\star} C$ and $1 \star C$ are defined as the following pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C \end{array}$$

Proposition 1.2.4.9. *There is an equivalence*

$$(C \star 1)^\circ \cong 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^\circ$$

natural in $C : (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$.

Proof. This directly follows from the definition of these operations and from proposition 1.2.4.3. \square

Example 1.2.4.10. The $(0, \omega)$ -categories $\mathbf{D}_1 \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_1$ correspond respectively to the polygraphs:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \nearrow \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \star \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ & & \star \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \star & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 1 \\ & \swarrow & \\ & & \star \end{array}$$

The $(0, \omega)$ -categories $\mathbf{D}_2 \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_2$ correspond respectively to the polygraphs:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \nearrow \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \star \\ \leftarrow & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \nearrow \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \star \\ & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow \\ \star & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 1 \\ & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow \\ \star & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 1 \\ & & \end{array}$$

Proposition 1.2.4.11. *Let C be an $(0, \omega)$ -category with an unitary and loop free basis. The canonical comparison*

$$(\lambda C) \star 1 \rightarrow \lambda(C \star 1)$$

is an equivalence.

Let K be an augmented directed complex with a loop free and unitary basis. The canonical comparisons

$$(\nu K) \star 1 \rightarrow \nu(K \star 1)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. The first assertion directly follows from the fact λ commutes with colimits. For the second one, we can easily check that all the morphisms appearing in the squares (1.2.3.6) are quasi-rigid. The results then follow from an application of theorem 1.2.1.26. \square

The following propositions express the link between the Gray operations and the suspension. They will play a fundamental role in the rest of this work.

Proposition 1.2.4.12. *Let C be an $(0, \omega)$ -category. There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \otimes [1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[1] \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C \otimes [1], 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1]$$

Proof. As all these functors preserve colimits, it is sufficient to construct the comparison when C is a globular sum, and to show that it is an equivalence when C is a globe. As globular sums have atomic and loop free bases, the comparison is induced by proposition 1.2.3.15. Using the explicit description of the $(0, \omega)$ -category $\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]$ given in definition 1.2.4.6, it is straightforward to see that it induces an equivalence on globes. \square

Proposition 1.2.4.13. *There is a natural identification between $1 \overset{co}{\star} [C, 1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[1] \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C \star 1, 1]$$

There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \star 1$ and the colimit of the following diagram

$$[1 \overset{co}{\star} C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1]$$

Proof. This directly follows from the definition of these operations, from proposition 1.2.4.12 and from proposition 1.2.4.9. \square

Proposition 1.2.4.14. *Let C be an $(0, \omega)$ -category with an atomic and loop free basis. The two following canonical squares are cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

The five squares appearing in the following canonical diagram are both cartesian and cocartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

Proof. The five squares are cocartesian by construction. Since the proofs of the cartesianess of all squares are identical, we will only show the proof for the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 \overset{co}{\star} C & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

To this extend, remark that for any integer n , the following square is cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (B_{\lambda C \otimes [1]})_n \cup \{0\} & \longrightarrow & (B_{\lambda C \star 1})_n \cup \{0\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (B_{1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C})_n \cup \{0\} & \longrightarrow & (B_{[\lambda C, 1]})_n \cup \{0\} \end{array}$$

This then implies that the following square in the category ADC is cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda C \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & \lambda C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C & \longrightarrow & [\lambda C, 1] \end{array}$$

As ν is a right adjoint, it preserves limits, and as it commutes with Gray operation, this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 1.2.4.15. *Let a, b, c and d be four globular sums. Suppose given a cartesian square:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & b \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ c & \longrightarrow & d \end{array}$$

where the two horizontal morphisms are globular. The two following squares are cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b \coprod_a a \star 1 & \longrightarrow & b \star 1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \Sigma c & \longrightarrow & \Sigma d \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 1 \overset{co}{\star} a \coprod_a b & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} b \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \Sigma c & \longrightarrow & \Sigma d \end{array}$$

Proof. We show only the cartesianess of the first square, as the cartesianess of the second one follows by applying the duality $(_)\circ$. A direct computation shows that for any integer n , the following square is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda b \coprod_{\lambda a} \lambda a \star 1 & \longrightarrow & \lambda b \star 1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \Sigma \lambda c & \longrightarrow & \Sigma \lambda d \end{array}$$

To conclude, one has to show that the canonical morphism

$$\nu(\lambda b) \coprod_{\nu(\lambda a)} \nu(\lambda a \star 1) \rightarrow \nu(\lambda b \coprod_{\lambda a} \lambda a \star 1)$$

is an equivalence. As $a \rightarrow b$ is globular, all the morphisms of the following cocartesian square are quasi-rigid.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda a & \longrightarrow & \lambda b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \lambda a \star 1 & \longrightarrow & \lambda b \coprod_{\lambda a} \lambda a \star 1 \end{array}$$

The results then follow from an application of theorem 1.2.1.26. \square

We are now willing to show the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2.4.16. *Let F be an endofunctor of $(0, \omega)$ -cat such that the induced functor $(0, \omega)$ -cat $\rightarrow (0, \omega)$ -cat $_{F(\emptyset)}$ is colimit preserving and ψ an invertible natural transformation between $F(\mathbf{D}_n)$ and $G(\mathbf{D}_n)$ where G is either the Gray cylinder, the Gray cone, the Gray \circ -cone or an iterated suspension.*

Then, the natural transformation ψ can be uniquely extended to an natural transformation between F and G . Moreover, this natural transformation is unique.

The previous theorem implies that the equations given in propositions 1.2.4.12 and 1.2.4.13 characterize respectively the Gray cylinder, the Gray cone and the Gray \circ -cone.

Lemma 1.2.4.17. *A sub category Θ' of Θ , stable by colimit is equal to Θ iff*

- (1) *for any integer n and $\alpha \in \{-, +1\}$, $i_n^\alpha : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{n+1}$ belongs to Θ' .*
- (2) *For any integer n , the unit $\mathbb{I}_n : \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ belongs to Θ' .*
- (3) *For any pair of integers $k < n$, the composition $\nabla_{k,n} : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n \coprod_k \mathbf{D}_n$ belongs to Θ' .*

Proof. Suppose that Θ' fulfills these conditions. As globular morphisms are compositions of pushouts along morphisms of shape i_n^α , they belong to Θ' . As algebraic morphisms are compositions of colimits of morphism of shape $\nabla_{k,n}$ or \mathbb{I}_n , they belong to Θ' . The result then follows from proposition 1.1.2.15 that states that every morphism factors as an algebraic morphism followed by a globular morphism. \square

Lemma 1.2.4.18. *Let n be an integer, and G be either the Gray cylinder, the Gray cone, the Gray \circ -cone or an iterated suspension, and suppose given a square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G(\mathbf{D}_n) & \xrightarrow{id} & G(\mathbf{D}_n) \\
 \searrow^{G(i_n^-)} & & \nearrow_{G(i_n^+)} \\
 & G(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{f} G(\mathbf{D}_n) & \\
 \nearrow_{G(i_n^+)} & & \searrow^{id} \\
 G(\mathbf{D}_n) & \xrightarrow{id} & G(\mathbf{D}_n)
 \end{array}$$

Then, the morphism f is $G(\mathbb{I}_n)$.

Proof. As the proof for any possibilities of G are similar, we will show only the case $G := _ \otimes [1]$. As for any integer n , $\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]$ admits a loop free and atomic basis, we can then show the desired assertion after applying the functor λ . Remark first that the assumption implies that $\partial f((e_{n+1} \otimes \{\alpha\})) = 0$, and so $f((e_{n+1} \otimes \{\alpha\})) = 0$. We also have $f(e_{n+1} \otimes [1]) = 0$ as $\lambda(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1])_{n+2} = 0$. This implies that f is equal to $\lambda(G(\mathbb{I}_n))$. \square

Lemma 1.2.4.19. *Let $k < n$ be two integers, and G be either the Gray cylinder, the Gray cone, the Gray \circ -cone or an iterated suspension, and suppose given a square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G(\mathbf{D}_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{n-1,k}} & G(\mathbf{D}_{n-1} \coprod_k \mathbf{D}_{n-1}) \\
 \searrow^{G(i_n^-)} & & \searrow^{G(i_n^-) \coprod_k G(i_n^-)} \\
 & G(\mathbf{D}_n) \xrightarrow{f} G(\mathbf{D}_n \coprod_k \mathbf{D}_n) & \\
 \nearrow_{G(i_n^+)} & & \nearrow_{G(i_n^+) \coprod_k G(i_n^+)} \\
 G(\mathbf{D}_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{n-1,k}} & G(\mathbf{D}_{n-1} \coprod_k \mathbf{D}_{n-1})
 \end{array}$$

where we set $\nabla_{n,n} := id$. Then, the morphism f is $G(\nabla_{n,k})$.

Proof. As the proof for any possibilities of G are similar, we will show only the case $G := _ \otimes [1]$. As for any integer n , $\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]$ admits a loop free and atomic basis, we can then show the desired assertion after applying the functor λ . Suppose first that $k < n - 1$. By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial f(e_n \otimes \{\alpha\}) &= \partial(e_n^0 \otimes \{\alpha\}) + e_n^1 \otimes \{\alpha\} \\
 \partial f(e_n \otimes [1]) &= \partial(e_n^0 \otimes [1]) + \partial(e_n^1 \otimes [1])
 \end{aligned}$$

This forces the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(e_n \otimes \{\alpha\}) &= e_n^0 \otimes \{\alpha\} + e_n^1 \otimes \{\alpha\} \\
 f(e_n \otimes [1]) &= e_n^0 \otimes [1] + e_n^1 \otimes [1]
 \end{aligned}$$

and f is then equal to $\nabla_{n,k} \otimes [1]$. The case $k = n - 1$ is similar. \square

Lemma 1.2.4.20. *The set of elements of $(0, \omega)$ - $\text{cat}_{\mathbb{B}}$ admitting no non-trivial automorphisms is stable by the Gray cylinder, the Gray cone, the Gray \circ -cone, and by the iterated suspensions, and contains globular sums.*

Proof. Let S be the set of elements of $(0, \omega)$ - $\text{cat}_{\mathbb{B}}$ admitting no non-trivial automorphisms. We first show that S contains globular sums. We proceed by induction, supposing that S contains any globular sum of

dimension k . Let $[\mathbf{a}, n]$ be a globular sum of dimension $k+1$, and let $\phi : [\mathbf{a}, n] \rightarrow [\mathbf{a}, n]$ be an isomorphism. In particular, ϕ induces an automorphism on $[n]$, so $\phi i = i$ for any $i \leq n$. The automorphism ϕ then induces, for all $i < n$, an automorphism $\phi_i : [a_i, 1] \cong [a_i, 1]$. However, proposition 1.2.3.12 states that S is stable by suspension and the induction hypothesis then implies that for any $i < n$, $[a_i, 1]$ has no non-trivial automorphisms, so ϕ_i is the identity. This implies that ϕ is also the identity, which concludes the proof.

It remains to show that S is stable under the Gray cylinder, the Gray cone, the Gray \circ -cone, and the iterated suspensions. Using theorem 1.2.1.23, it is sufficient to show that $\lambda(S)$ is stable under the Gray cylinder, the Gray cone, the Gray \circ -cone, and the iterated suspensions. This directly follows from propositions 1.2.3.4, 1.2.3.8, 1.2.3.12, and from the fact that S is closed under dualities. \square

Proof of theorem 1.2.4.16. The lemma 1.2.4.20 implies that for any globular sum a , $G(a)$ has no non-trivial isomorphisms. As every globular sum is a colimit of globes, ψ uniquely extends to a (*a priori* non-natural) invertible transformation, $\psi : F|_{\Theta} \rightarrow G|_{\Theta}$. Let Θ' be the maximal subcategory of Θ such that $\psi|_{\Theta'}$ is natural. The category Θ' is closed under colimits. The assumption implies that Θ' fulfills the first condition of lemma 1.2.4.17. Lemma 1.2.4.18 implies that it fulfills the second condition, and an easy induction on $(n - k)$ using lemma 1.2.4.19 implies that it fulfills the last condition. Applying lemma 1.2.4.17, $\psi : F|_{\Theta} \rightarrow G|_{\Theta}$ is then natural. Eventually, we can extend ψ to a natural transformation between F and G by colimits. \square

1.2.5 Gray tensor product of simplicial sets

Notation 1.2.5.1. We denote by

$$\text{Psh}(\Theta) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \\ \xleftarrow{\iota} \end{array} (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$$

the adjunction between presheaves on Θ and $(0, \omega)$ -categories.

Construction 1.2.5.2. We define the functor $_ \otimes _ : \text{Psh}(\Theta) \times \text{Psh}(\Theta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta)$, called once again the *Gray tensor product*, as the left Kan extension of the functor

$$\Theta \times \Theta \xrightarrow{\otimes} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Psh}(\Theta)$$

where $\otimes : \Theta \times \Theta \rightarrow (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$ is the Gray tensor product defined in theorem 1.2.4.1.

By construction, the functor \mathbf{F} preserves the Gray tensor product, and the functor ι preserves the Gray tensor product of globular sums.

The aim of this section is to prove the following result:

Theorem 1.2.5.3. *The functor*

$$_ \otimes _ : \text{Psh}(\Delta) \times \text{Psh}(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta_2)$$

sends $W_1 \times W_1$ onto $\overline{W_2}$, where W_1 and W_2 are defined in 1.1.2.19, and $\overline{_}$ in 1.1.3.2.

Informally, this result implies that we can define a Gray tensor product for $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. It is therefore a special case of the main theorem of Campion's paper [Cam23a].

Proposition 1.2.5.4. *The Θ -set $[1] \otimes [1]$ is the colimit, computed in $\text{Psh}(\Theta)$, of the diagram*

$$[2] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [1] \xrightarrow{[d^1, 1]} [[1], 1] \xleftarrow{[d^0, 1]} [1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [2]$$

Proof. We denote by P the colimit of this diagram. Remark that \mathbf{FP} is the $(0, \omega)$ -category generated by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

and we then have $\mathbf{FP} \cong [1] \otimes [1]$. To conclude the proof, we have to show that P is a $(0, \omega)$ -category, i.e. that it has the unique right lifting property against W .

Let $f : [\mathbf{a}, n] \rightarrow P$ (resp. $f : \mathrm{Sp}_{[a, n]} \rightarrow p$) be a morphism. If there exists an integer $i < n$ such that $f(i) = 00$ and $f(i+1) = 11$, then f uniquely factors through $[[1], 1] \rightarrow P$. If there exists an integer i such that $f(i) = 10$, then f uniquely factors through the left inclusion $[2] \rightarrow P$. If there exists an integer i such that $f(i) = 01$, then f uniquely factors through the right inclusion $[2] \rightarrow P$. If none of these conditions is satisfied, then f factors through 00 or 11 .

As $[2]$ and $[[1], 1]$ are $(0, \omega)$ -categories, they have the unique right lifting property against W , and so has P . \square

Lemma 1.2.5.5. *Let C, D, E be three $(0, \omega)$ -categories with loop-free and atomic bases. Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism such that f sends every generator of C to a cell which is not a unit. The following square is then cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \otimes C & \xrightarrow{f \otimes E} & E \otimes D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \xrightarrow{f} & D \end{array}$$

Proof. We can show this result at the level of the corresponding augmented directed complex, where it is an easy computation. \square

Lemma 1.2.5.6. *Let $(a)_{i \leq n}$ be a sequence of elements of Θ . There exists a diagram $F : I \rightarrow \Theta_+$ such that the presheaf $a_0 \times \dots \times a_n$ is the colimit of F .*

Proof. Let I be the full subcategory of $\Theta_{/a_0 \times \dots \times a_n}$ whose objects are n -tuples of morphisms $(j_i : b \rightarrow a_i)_{i \leq n}$ such that there exists no morphism $b \rightarrow b'$ in Θ_- that factors all the j_i . Morphisms are the ones such that $b \rightarrow b'$ is in Θ_+ .

Let $(j_i : b \rightarrow a_i)_{i \leq n}$ be any element of $\Theta_{/a_0 \times \dots \times a_n}$. We claim that it is sufficient to show that there exists a unique degenerate morphism $g : b \rightarrow b'$ that factors the morphisms $b \rightarrow a_i$ for all $i < n$, and such that the induced family of morphisms $(b' \rightarrow a_i)_{i < n}$ is an element of I . Indeed, suppose that such an element exists and is unique. Let $(h_i : b'' \rightarrow a_i)_{i \leq n}$ be an element of I and $b \rightarrow b''$ be a morphism between $(j_i)_{i \leq n}$ and $(h_i)_{i \leq n}$. We can factor $b \rightarrow b''$ as a degenerate morphism $p : b \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ followed by a monomorphism $l : \tilde{b} \rightarrow b''$. Lemma 1.1.3.13 implies that $(h_i l)_{i \leq n}$ is in I , and $p : (j_i)_{i \leq n} \rightarrow (h_i l)_{i \leq n}$ is then equal to g . As all the operations are functorial, this provides a right retraction structure to the morphism $\{g\} \rightarrow I_{(j_i)_{i \leq n}/}$. This inclusion is then final and as a consequence, the morphism $\alpha : I \rightarrow \Theta_{/a_0 \times \dots \times a_n}$ is final. This will then conclude the proof.

As any infinite sequence of degenerate morphisms is constant at some point, the existence of such element is immediate. Suppose given two morphisms $b \rightarrow b'$, $b \rightarrow b''$ fulfilling the previous condition. By proposition 1.1.2.11, the category Θ is Reedy elegant and the proposition 3.8 of [BR13] then implies that

there exists a globular sum \tilde{b} and two degenerate morphisms $b' \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ and $b'' \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ such that the induced square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b & \longrightarrow & b' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ b'' & \longrightarrow & \tilde{b} \end{array}$$

is cocartesian. The universal property of pushout implies that $b \rightarrow \tilde{b}$ also fulfills the previous condition. By definition of b' and b'' , this implies that they are equal to \tilde{b} , and this shows the uniqueness. \square

Lemma 1.2.5.7. *Let C be a $(0, \omega)$ -category such that there exists a diagram $F : I \rightarrow \Theta_+$ with $\iota(C)$ being the colimit of F . Let a be an element of Θ . The canonical morphism $a \otimes \iota(C) \rightarrow \iota(a \otimes C)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The lemma 1.2.5.5 implies that the natural transformation $a \otimes F(i) \rightarrow F(i)$ is cartesian. As a consequence, for any i , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a \otimes F(i) & \longrightarrow & a \otimes (\operatorname{colim}_I F) \cong a \otimes \iota(C) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ F(i) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim}_I F \cong \iota(C) \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

Now, to show the desired result, we have to demonstrate that the Θ -set $a \otimes \iota(C)$ already has a structure of (∞, ω) -category, i.e. that it is W -local. It is sufficient to show that for all $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in W , any square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & a \otimes \iota(C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & \iota(C) \end{array}$$

admits a unique lift. Indeed, as $\iota(C)$ is an $(0, \omega)$ -category, it is W -local, and this will imply that $a \otimes \iota(C)$ also is. Suppose then given such a square. As every codomain of morphism of W is representable, there exists a (not necessarily unique) element i of I , such that the bottom morphism factors as $Y \rightarrow F(i) \rightarrow \iota(C)$. The previous square then factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & a \otimes F(i) & \longrightarrow & a \otimes \iota(C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & F(i) & \longrightarrow & \iota(C) \end{array}$$

where the right square is a pullback. The middle vertical morphism is W -local because its domain and codomain are, and this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 1.2.5.8. *Given $(a)_{i \leq n}$ and b elements of Θ , we have*

$$\iota(b \otimes (a_0 \times \dots \times a_n \otimes b)) \cong b \otimes (a_0 \times \dots \times a_n)$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of lemmas 1.2.5.6 and 1.2.5.7 \square

Lemma 1.2.5.9. *Let A, B, C be three presheaves on Θ . We have a canonical morphism*

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes (B \times C)$$

Proof. It is sufficient to demonstrate the result when A , B and D are representable. In this case the lemma 1.2.5.8 implies that $A \otimes (B \times C)$ is in the image of ι . By adjunction, the desired comparison morphism is induced by

$$\iota((A \otimes B) \otimes C) \cong \iota(A) \otimes \iota(B) \otimes \iota(C) \rightarrow \iota(A) \otimes (\iota(B) \times \iota(C))$$

□

Lemma 1.2.5.10. *Let A , B , C , D , and E be presheaves on Θ , and k, m, n be integers. There exists a natural morphism*

$$(_)_A : \text{Hom}([B, m], [C, n] \otimes D) \rightarrow \text{Hom}([B \otimes A, m], [C \otimes A, n] \otimes D)$$

such that for any pair of morphisms $f : [B, m] \rightarrow [n] \otimes D$ and $g : [F, k] \rightarrow [m] \otimes E$,

$$\mathbf{F}(((f \otimes E) \circ g_B)_A) = \mathbf{F}((E \otimes f_A) \circ (g_B)_A)$$

Proof. It is sufficient to describe this morphism when A, B, C, D , and E are representable. This allows us the use of Steiner theory to construct this application. Let $f : [B, m] \rightarrow [C, n] \otimes D$ be a morphism. We set $f_A : [B \otimes A, m] \rightarrow [C \otimes A, n] \otimes D$ as the unique morphism of $(0, \omega)$ -categories such that for every $a \in B_A$, $b \in B_B$, and $m \in B_m$

$$\lambda f_A([b \otimes a, m]) := \sum_{i \leq n} [c_i \otimes a_i, n_i] \otimes [d_i]$$

where (c_i, d_i, n_i) is the unique sequence of elements of $B_C \times B_D \times B_{[n]}$ such that $\lambda f([b, m]) = \sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{i \leq n} [c_i, n_i] \otimes [d_i]$. The equality $\lambda f_A \partial = \partial \lambda f_A$ and the equality $\mathbf{F}(((E \otimes f) \circ g_B)_A) = \mathbf{F}((E \otimes f_A) \circ (g_B)_A)$ are straightforward computation using Steiner theory. □

Lemma 1.2.5.11. *Let n and m be two integers. The canonical morphism*

$$\text{Sp}_{[n]} \otimes \text{Sp}_{[m]} \rightarrow [n] \otimes [m]$$

is in \overline{W}_2 .

Proof. We recall that the functors τ_0 and τ_1 are defined in 1.1.1.11 and correspond, respectively, to the functor that forgets cells of dimension strictly higher than 0 and to the functor that forgets cells of dimension strictly higher than 1. Let Δ^{glob} be the subcategory of Δ whose morphisms are the globular ones. We consider the functor $g : \Delta^{glob} \times \Delta^{glob} \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta_2)$ defined by the formula

$$g([n], [m]) := \tau_0([n] \otimes [m]) \cup_{x \in S_{n,m}} x$$

where $S_{n,m}$ is the set of 1-generators of $\tau_1([n] \otimes [m])$. We have a canonical transformation $g(n, m) \rightarrow \tau_1([n] \otimes [m])$ which is pointwise in \overline{W}_2 by repeated application of theorem 1.2.2.1. For any pair of integers n, m , the morphism

$$g([n], [m]) \cong \text{colim}_{\text{Sp}_{[n]} \times \text{Sp}_{[m]}} g \rightarrow \tau_1(\text{Sp}_{[n]} \otimes \text{Sp}_{[m]})$$

then also belongs to \overline{W}_2 . By two out of three, so is the morphism

$$\tau_1(\text{Sp}_{[n]} \otimes \text{Sp}_{[m]}) \rightarrow \tau_1([n] \otimes [m])$$

Remark now that we have a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_1(\mathrm{Sp}_{[n]} \otimes \mathrm{Sp}_{[m]}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}_{[n]} \otimes \mathrm{Sp}_{[m]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau_1([n] \otimes [m]) & \longrightarrow & \tau_1([n] \otimes [m]) \cup_{x \in T_{n,m}} x \end{array}$$

where $T_{n,m}$ is the set of 2-generators of $[n] \otimes [m]$. The theorem 1.2.2.1 implies that

$$\tau_1([n] \otimes [m]) \cup_{x \in T_{n,m}} x \rightarrow [n] \otimes [m]$$

is in $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_2$, and by stability by composition and pushout, so is

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{[n]} \otimes \mathrm{Sp}_{[m]} \rightarrow [n] \otimes [m].$$

□

Proposition 1.2.5.12. *Let K be a simplicial set. The canonical morphism*

$$1 \coprod_{K \otimes \{0\}} K \otimes [1] \coprod_{K \otimes \{1\}} 1 \rightarrow [K, 1]$$

is in $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_2$.

Proof. As K is a colimit of representables indexed by the Reedy cofibrant diagram $\Delta^+ / K \rightarrow \mathrm{Psh}(\Delta)$ (definition 1.1.3.1), and as $1 \coprod_{_ \otimes \{0\}} _ \otimes [1] \coprod_{_ \otimes \{1\}} 1$ and $[_, 1]$ preserve cofibrations, it is sufficient to demonstrate the result when $K := [n]$ for n an integer or the empty simplicial set. The cases $K := \emptyset$ and $K := [0]$ are trivial. As $[_, 1]$ and, by lemma 1.2.5.11, $_ \otimes [1]$ send $\mathrm{Sp}_{[n]} \rightarrow [n]$ to $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_2$, it is sufficient to demonstrate the result when $[n] = [1]$. By proposition 1.2.5.4, the morphism

$$1 \coprod_{[1] \otimes \{0\}} [1] \otimes [1] \coprod_{[1] \otimes \{1\}} 1 \rightarrow [[1], 1]$$

fits in the cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [0] \coprod_{[1]} [2] \coprod [0] \coprod_{[1]} [2] & \longrightarrow & 1 \coprod_{[1] \otimes \{0\}} [1] \otimes [1] \coprod_{[1] \otimes \{1\}} 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [1] \coprod [1] & \longrightarrow & [[1], 1] \end{array}$$

As the canonical morphisms $[0] \coprod_{[1]} [2] \rightarrow [1]$ and $[2] \coprod_{[1]} [0] \rightarrow [1]$ are in $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_2$, this concludes the proof. □

Lemma 1.2.5.13. *Let n be an integer. The two morphisms*

$$E^{eq} \otimes [n] \rightarrow [n] \quad \text{and} \quad [n] \otimes E^{eq} \rightarrow [n]$$

are in $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_2$.

Proof. As \otimes sends spine inclusions to $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_2$, we can reduce to the case where $n = 1$. By stability by pushouts along monomorphisms, and using lemma 1.2.5.12, the composite

$$E^{eq} \otimes [1] \rightarrow 1 \coprod_{E^{eq} \otimes \{0\}} E^{eq} \otimes [1] \coprod_{E^{eq} \otimes \{1\}} 1 \rightarrow [E^{eq}, 1]$$

is in $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}_2$. As $[E^{eq}, 1] \rightarrow [1]$ is in \mathbb{W}_2 , this concludes the first assertion. We show the second one similarly. □

Proof of theorem 1.2.5.3. This is the content of lemmas 1.2.5.11 and 1.2.5.13. □

Chapter 2

The $(\infty, 1)$ -category of (∞, ω) -categories

Contents

2.1 Preliminaries	67
2.1.1 Explicit computation of some colimits	67
2.1.2 Factorization systems	69
2.1.3 Reflexive localization	73
2.1.4 Monomorphisms	75
2.2 Basic constructions	77
2.2.1 (∞, ω) -Categories	77
2.2.2 Discrete Conduché functors	92
2.3 Gray Operations	96
2.3.1 Gray operations on (∞, ω) -categories	96
2.3.2 Gray deformation retract	103
2.3.3 Gray operations and strict objects	106

The first section is a recollection of results on presentable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. In particular, we provide a very useful technical lemma that gives conditions for calculating colimits in ∞ -presheaves using (strict) presheaves. We also present results on factorization systems and the localizations they induce. Finally, we conclude by giving some results on monomorphisms in $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

In the second section, we define (∞, ω) -categories and give some basic properties. We also define and study *discrete Conduché functor*, which are morphisms having the unique right lifting property against units $\mathbb{I}_{n+1} : \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for any integer n , and against compositions $\nabla_{k,n} : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_k} \mathbf{D}_n$ for any pair of integers $k \leq n$. This notion was originally defined and studied in the context of strict ω -category by Guetta in [Gue18]. We then demonstrate the following result:

Theorem 2.2.2.10. *Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a discrete Conduché functor. The pullback functor $f^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/D} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/C}$ preserves colimits.*

In the third section, using the Gray tensor product for $(0, \omega)$ -categories, we construct a colimit-preserving functor

$$_ \otimes _ : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, 1)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$$

again called the Gray tensor product. To be able to define lax phenomena, in particular lax colimits and limits, we will need to extend the functor $_ \otimes _$ to $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$. Although one might at first be tempted to use the "general" Gray tensor product, this is not the operation that will be used subsequently, particularly for stating the universal property of lax colimits and limits. We then introduce a cocontinuous bifunctor:

$$\ominus : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$$

called the *enhanced Gray tensor product*. This new operation can be seen as a generalization of the Gray product with $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

We then introduce the Gray operations, starting with the Gray cylinder $_ \otimes [1]$ which is the Gray tensor product with the directed interval $[1] := 0 \rightarrow 1$. Then, we have the *Gray cone* and the *Gray o-cone*, denoted by $_ \star 1$ and $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} _$, that send an (∞, ω) -category C onto the following pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \end{array}$$

We then provide several formulas expressing the relationship between the Gray operations and the suspension $[_, 1] : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, identical to those in the strict case.

Proposition 2.3.1.10. *There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \otimes [1]$ and the colimit of the diagram*

$$[1] \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C \otimes [1], 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1] \quad (2.0.0.1)$$

Proposition 2.3.1.18. *There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \ominus [b, 1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[b, 1] \vee [C, 1] \leftarrow [C \otimes \{0\} \times b, 1] \rightarrow [(C \otimes [1]) \times b, 1] \leftarrow [C \otimes \{1\} \times b, 1] \rightarrow [C, 1] \vee [b, 1] \quad (2.0.0.2)$$

Proposition 2.3.1.22. *There is a natural identification between $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} [C, 1]$ and the colimit of the diagram*

$$[1] \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C \star 1, 1] \quad (2.0.0.3)$$

There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \star 1$ and the colimit of the diagram

$$[1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1] \quad (2.0.0.4)$$

We conclude this chapter by proving results of strictification. In particular, we demonstrate the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3.3.21. *Let $C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism between strict (∞, ω) -categories. The (∞, ω) -categories $D \coprod_C C \star 1$, $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \coprod_C D$, and $D \coprod_{C \otimes \{0\}} C \otimes [1]$ are strict. In particular, $C \star 1$, $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C$, and $C \otimes [n]$ for any integer n , are strict.*

In the process, we will demonstrate another fundamental equation combining $C \otimes [1]$, $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C$, $C \star 1$, and $[C, 1]$.

Theorem 2.3.3.25. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. The five squares appearing in the following canonical diagram are both cartesian and cocartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]
 \end{array}$$

where $[C, 1]$ is the suspension of C .

Cardinality hypothesis. We fix during this chapter three Grothendieck universes $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{W}$, such that $\omega \in \mathbf{U}$. All defined notions depend on a choice of cardinality. When nothing is specified, this corresponds to the implicit choice of the cardinality \mathbf{V} . With this convention in mind, we denote by \mathbf{Set} the \mathbf{W} -small 1-category of \mathbf{V} -small sets, $\infty\text{-grd}$ the \mathbf{W} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -category of \mathbf{V} -small ∞ -groupoids and $(\infty, 1)\text{-cat}$ the \mathbf{W} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -category of \mathbf{V} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

2.1 Preliminaries

2.1.1 Explicit computation of some colimits

Definition 2.1.1.1. For a category B , we denote by $\mathbf{Psh}(B)$ the category of functors $B^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. For a $(\infty, 1)$ -category A , we denote by $\mathbf{Psh}^\infty(A)$ the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of functors $A^{op} \rightarrow \infty\text{-grd}$. A presheaf on B , (resp. a ∞ -presheaves on A) is \mathbf{U} -small if it is pointwise a \mathbf{U} -small set (resp. a \mathbf{U} -small ∞ -groupoid).

Definition 2.1.1.2. We have an adjunction:

$$\pi_0 : \infty\text{-grd} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \rightarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{Set} : \iota \quad (2.1.1.3)$$

If A is a 1-category, the previous adjunction induces an adjunction:

$$\pi_0 : \mathbf{Psh}^\infty(A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \rightarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{Psh}(A) : \iota \quad (2.1.1.4)$$

We recall that the notion of elegant Reedy category is defined in definition 1.1.2.9. The following lemma provides a powerful way to compute simple colimits in $(\infty, 1)$ -categories by reducing to computations in (stricts) categories. These techniques will be used freely in the rest of this text.


Lemma 2.1.1.5. *Let A be a \mathbf{V} -small category. We denote $\iota : \mathbf{Psh}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}^\infty(A)$ the canonical inclusion.*

(1) *The functor ι preserves cocartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 a & \longrightarrow & b \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 c & \longrightarrow & d
 \end{array}$$

where the left vertical morphism is a monomorphism.

(2) The functor ι preserves colimit of finite diagrams of shape:



where morphisms labeled \hookrightarrow are monomorphisms.

(3) The functor ι preserves transfinite composition.

(4) For any \mathbf{V} -small elegant Reedy category I , and any functor $F : I \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(A)$ that is Reedy cofibrant, i.e. such that for any $i \in I$, $\text{colim}_{\partial_i} F \rightarrow F(i)$ is a monomorphism, the canonical comparison

$$\iota \text{ colim } F \rightarrow \text{colim } \iota F$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, if A is itself an elegant Reedy category, for any set-valued presheaf X on A , there is an equivalence

$$\iota(X) \sim \text{colim}_{A/X} a.$$

Proof. For this result, we use model categories. We consider the interval induces by the constant functor $I : A \rightarrow \mathbf{Psh}(\Delta)$ with value $[1]$. We then consider the model structure on $\mathbf{Psh}(A \times \Delta)$ produced by [Cis06, theorem 1.3.22] and induces by the homotopical data $(I \times _, \emptyset)$. This model structure represent $\mathbf{Psh}^\infty(A)$. To conclude, we then have to show that all the given colimits, seen as (simplicially constant) presheaves on $\Delta \times A$ are also homotopy colimits of the same diagrams. The first three assertions are [Cis19, proposition 2.3.26 and 2.3.13]. For the last one, using the characterization of elegant Reedy categories given by [BR13, proposition 3.8] and [Hir03, proposition 15.10.2], it's easy to see that they have fibrant constants in the sense of [Hir03, definition 15.10.1]. We can then apply theorem 19.9.1 of [Hir03]. \square

Definition 2.1.1.6. Let C be a $(\infty, 1)$ -category. A full sub ∞ -groupoid of the ∞ -groupoid of arrows of C is *cocomplete* if it is closed under colimit and composition. For a ∞ -groupoid S , we define \widehat{S} as the smallest cocomplete full sub ∞ -groupoid of the ∞ -groupoid of arrows containing S .

Definition 2.1.1.7. Let C be a $(\infty, 1)$ -category. A full sub ∞ -groupoid U of the ∞ -groupoid of arrows of C is *closed under left cancellation* (resp. *closed under right cancellation*), if for any pair of composable morphisms f and g , if gf and f are in U , so is g (resp. if gf and g are in U , so is f).

Lemma 2.1.1.8. Let C be an $(\infty, 1)$ -category, and U a cocomplete full sub- ∞ -groupoid of the ∞ -groupoid of arrows of C . The ∞ -groupoid U is stable under transfinite composition, pushout, and left cancellation.

Proof. Let κ be a cardinal and $x_- : \kappa \rightarrow C$ be a functor such that for any $\alpha < \kappa$, $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_{\alpha+1}$ is in U . We show by transfinite composition that for any $\alpha \leq \kappa$, $x_0 \rightarrow x_\alpha$ is in U .

Suppose first that α is of the form $\beta + 1$. The morphism $x_0 \rightarrow x_{\beta+1}$ is equal to the composite $x_0 \rightarrow x_\beta \rightarrow x_{\beta+1}$ and is then in U . If α is a limit ordinal, we have $x_0 \rightarrow x_\alpha$ as the colimit of the sequence of morphisms $\{x_0 \rightarrow x_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ and is then in U .

Suppose now given a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & b \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ c & \longrightarrow & d \end{array}$$

with f in U . Remark that f' is the horizontal colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} a & \xleftarrow{id} & a & \longrightarrow & b \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow id \\ c & \longleftarrow & a & \longrightarrow & b \end{array}$$

and then is in U .

Eventually, suppose given $f : a \rightarrow b$, $g : b \rightarrow c$ such that gf and f are in U . As g is the horizontal colimit of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} b & \longleftarrow & a & \longrightarrow & a \\ \downarrow id_b & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow gf \\ b & \longleftarrow & b & \longrightarrow & c \end{array}$$

it is in U . □

Proposition 2.1.1.9. *Let T be a set of morphisms of $\text{Psh}(A)$. We have an inclusion $\iota(\overline{T}) \subset \widehat{T}$ where \overline{T} is the smallest precomplete class of morphisms containing T (definition 1.1.3.2).*

Proof. This directly follows from the definition of a precomplete class of morphisms, and from lemmas 2.1.1.5 and 2.1.1.8. □

2.1.2 Factorization systems

For the rest of the section, we fix a *presentable* $(\infty, 1)$ -category C , i.e a $(\infty, 1)$ -category C that is a reflexive and \mathbf{V} -accessible localization of a $(\infty, 1)$ -category of ∞ -presheaves on a \mathbf{V} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

We recall some standard results on factorization systems, which appear in many places in the literature, such as in section 5.5.5 of [Lur09] for the $(\infty, 1)$ -case and [Joy] for the strict case.

Definition 2.1.2.1. Let S be a \mathbf{V} -small ∞ -groupoid of maps of C . We denote by $\text{Arr}_S(C)$ the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{Arr}(C)$ whose objects correspond to arrows of S .

Definition 2.1.2.2. A *weak factorization system* in (L, R) is the data of two full sub ∞ -groupoids L and R of the ∞ -groupoid of arrows of C , stable under composition and containing equivalences, and of a section $\text{Arr}_R(C) \rightarrow \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C)$ of the functor $\text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) \rightarrow \text{Arr}(C)$ sending two arrows onto their composite. This is a *factorization system* if the functor $\text{Arr}(C) \rightarrow \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C)$ is an equivalence.

Until the end of this section, we suppose given such factorization system in (L, R) .

Definition 2.1.2.3. Let i and p be two morphisms, and consider a commutative square of shape:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & b \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ c & \longrightarrow & d \end{array}$$

A *lift* is a factorization of this square as two commutative triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & b \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow h & \\ c & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & b \\ & \nearrow h & \downarrow p \\ c & \longrightarrow & d \end{array}$$

Equivalently, we can see a square of the previous shape as a morphism $s : 1 \rightarrow \text{Sq}(i, p) := \text{Hom}(a, b) \times_{\text{Hom}(a, d)} \text{Hom}(c, d)$ and a lift as the data of a morphism $h : 1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(c, d)$ and of a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Hom}(c, b) & \\ & \nearrow h & \downarrow \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{s} & \text{Sq}(i, p) \end{array}$$

The ∞ -groupoid of lift of s is the fibers of $\text{Hom}(c, b) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(i, p)$ at s .

Definition 2.1.2.4. Let i and p be two morphisms. The morphism i has the unique left lifting property against p , or equivalently, p has the unique right lifting property against i , if for any square $s \in \text{Sq}(i, p)$, the ∞ -groupoid of lift of s is contractible. This is equivalent to asking for the morphism $\text{Hom}(c, d) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(i, p)$ to be an equivalence.

Lemma 2.1.2.5. Suppose that we have a weak factorization system in (L', R') such that morphisms in R' have the unique right lifting property against morphisms of L' . The weak factorization system is a factorization system.

Proof. Our goal is to demonstrate that the fibers of $\text{Arr}_{L'}(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_{R'}(C) \rightarrow \text{Arr}(C)$ are contractible. Let f be a morphism of C . As we have a weak factorization system, there exists an element in the fiber at f . Suppose given two elements in this fiber. This corresponds to a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{i'} & \cdot \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow p' \\ \cdot & \xrightarrow{p} & \cdot \end{array}$$

Morphisms between these two factorizations correspond to lifts in the previous square, which are contractible by assumption, and the fiber is then contractible. \square

We recall that in this section, we suppose that we have a factorization system in (L, R) .

Lemma 2.1.2.6. Morphisms in L have the unique left lifting property with respect to morphisms in R .

Proof. Let $i : a \rightarrow c$ be a morphism of L and $p : b \rightarrow d$ a morphism of R . The factorization functor induces an equivalence between squares $s \in \text{Sq}(i, p)$ and diagrams of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} a & & & & b \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & e & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ c & & & & d \end{array}$$

where all the morphisms of the left triangle are in L and the ones of the right triangle are in R . Such diagrams are then in equivalence between composite $c \rightarrow e \rightarrow b$ where the first morphism is in S and the second in R . Using once again the factorization functor, we can see that this data is exactly equivalent to a lift in the square s . \square

We now show the converse of the previous lemma.

Lemma 2.1.2.7. A morphism having the unique left lifting property against morphisms of R is in L . Analogously, a morphism having the unique right lifting property against morphisms of L is in R .

Proof. Let f be a morphism having the unique left lifting property against morphisms in R . We factorize the morphism f in $i \in L$ followed by $p \in R$ and we want to produce an equivalence $f \sim i$. The previous data induces by construction a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{i} & b \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow p \\ c & \xlongequal{\quad} & d \end{array}$$

By hypothesis, this square admits a lift $l : c \rightarrow b$, that we factorize in a morphism $r' \in L$ followed by a morphism $p' \in R$. The commutativity of the lower triangle implies equivalences $pl' \sim pp'r' \sim id$, and by unicity, $r' \sim id$ and $pp' \sim id$. The lift l is equivalent to p' and is then in R . The commutativity of the upper triangle implies $lf \sim lpi \sim i$ and by unicity again, $p'p \sim id$. The morphism p is then an isomorphism, this implies that $f \sim i$, and f is then in L . We proceed similarly for the dual assertion. \square

Proposition 2.1.2.8. *A morphism is in L (resp. in R) if and only if it has the unique left lifting property against morphisms of R (resp. the unique right lifting property against the morphisms of R).*

Proof. This is the content of lemma 2.1.2.6 and 2.1.2.7. \square

Proposition 2.1.2.9. *The forgetful functor from the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of squares with lifts, and whose left (resp. right) vertical morphism is in L (resp. in R), to the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of squares whose left (resp. right) vertical morphism is in L (resp. in R), is an equivalence.*

Roughly speaking, the formation of the lift in squares whose left (resp. right) vertical morphism is in L (resp. in R) is functorial.

Proof. The $(\infty, 1)$ -category of squares with lifts, and whose left (resp. right) vertical morphism is in L (resp. in R), is the $(\infty, 1)$ -category

$$\text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C)$$

and the $(\infty, 1)$ -category whose left (resp. right) vertical morphism is in L (resp. in R) of squares is the limit of the diagram

$$\text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}(C) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \text{Arr}(C) \xleftarrow{\nabla} \text{Arr}(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C)$$

The forgetful functor is induced by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) & \xrightarrow{\nabla \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C)} & \text{Arr}(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) \\ \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \nabla \downarrow & & \downarrow \nabla \\ \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}(C) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \text{Arr}(C) \end{array}$$

and we then have to show that it is cartesian.

By definition of factorization system, the morphism

$$\nabla : \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) \rightarrow \text{Arr}(C)$$

is an equivalence. The previous square is then equivalent to the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}(C)_L \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) & \xrightarrow{\nabla \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C)} & \text{Arr}(C)_L \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) \\ \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \nabla \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \nabla \\ \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) & \xrightarrow{\nabla \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C)} & \text{Arr}_L(C) \times_C \text{Arr}_R(C) \end{array}$$

which is obviously cartesian. \square

Proposition 2.1.2.10. *The ∞ -groupoid L is stable under colimit, transfinite composition, pushout, left cancellation and retract. The ∞ -groupoid R is stable under limit, composition, pullback, right cancellation and retract.*

Proof. Let $p : b \rightarrow d$ be a morphism of R and $\{i_j : a_j \rightarrow c_j\}_{j:J}$ a family of morphisms of L indexed by a functor $J \rightarrow \text{Arr}_L(C)$, admitting a colimit $\bar{i} : \bar{a} \rightarrow \bar{c}$. Both functors $r \mapsto \text{Sq}(r, p)$ and $c \mapsto \text{Hom}(c, b)$ send colimits on limits. This implies that the morphism

$$\text{Hom}(\bar{c}, b) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(\bar{i}, p)$$

is the limit in $\text{Arr}(\text{Sp})$ of the family of morphisms

$$\text{Hom}(c_j, b) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(i_j, p).$$

Each of these morphisms is an equivalence by assumption, so that implies that $\text{Hom}(\bar{c}, b) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(\bar{i}, p)$ is an equivalence. As this is true for any p in R , proposition 2.1.2.8 implies that \bar{i} is in L .

This implies that L is closed under colimit. As it is obviously closed under composition, lemma 2.1.1.8 implies that L is closed under transfinite composition, pushout, and left cancellation.

Consider now a retract diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & id & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ a & \xrightarrow{\quad} & a' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & a \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow i' & & \downarrow i \\ c & \xrightarrow{\quad} & c' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & c \\ & & id & & \end{array}$$

such that i' is in L . For any morphism $p : b \rightarrow d$ of R , this induces a retract diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & id & & \\ \text{Hom}(c, b) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Hom}(c', b) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Hom}(c, b) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Sq}(i, p) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Sq}(i', p) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Sq}(i, p) \\ & & id & & \end{array}$$

As equivalences are stable under retract, $\text{Hom}(c, b) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(i, p)$ is an equivalence, and as it is true for any p in R , i is in L .

The other assertion follows by duality. \square

We fix an ∞ -groupoid S of arrows of C with \mathbf{U} -small domain and codomain.

Definition 2.1.2.11. We define $L_S := \widehat{S}$, i.e. as the smallest full sub ∞ -groupoid of arrows of C stable under colimits, composition and including S , and R_S as the full sub ∞ -groupoid of arrows of C having the unique right lifting property against morphisms of S .

Construction 2.1.2.12 (Small object Argument). Let $f : x \rightarrow y$ be an arrow. We define by induction on \mathbf{U} a sequence $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \mathbf{U}}$ sending \emptyset on x . For a limit ordinal $\alpha < \mathbf{U}$, we set $x_\alpha := \text{colim}_{\alpha' < \alpha} x_{\alpha'}$. For a

successor ordinal, we define $x_{\alpha+1}$ as the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \operatorname{colim}_{a \rightarrow b \in S} \left(\operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{Sq}(a \rightarrow b, x_\alpha \rightarrow y)} a \coprod_{\operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{Hom}(b, x_\alpha)} a} \operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{Hom}(b, x_\alpha)} b \right) & \longrightarrow & x_\alpha \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \lrcorner \\
 \operatorname{colim}_{a \rightarrow b \in S} \left(\operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{Sq}(a \rightarrow b, x_\alpha \rightarrow y)} b \right) & \longrightarrow & x_{\alpha+1} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \text{---} \\
 & & y
 \end{array}$$

Let $i : x \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ be the transfinite composition of this sequence. There is an induced morphism $p : \tilde{x} \rightarrow y$, and an equivalence $f \sim pi$.

Proposition 2.1.2.13. *The previous construction defines a factorization system between L_S and R_S .*

Proof. Let $f : x \rightarrow y$ be any morphism. The previous construction produces functorially morphisms $i : x \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ and $p : \tilde{x} \rightarrow y$ whose composite is f . The morphism i is in L_S by lemma 2.1.1.8. We then need to show that p has the unique right lifting property against any morphism of L_S . Let $j : a \rightarrow b$ be any morphism in L_S , n an integer and consider a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 a \coprod_{\operatorname{colim}_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} a} \operatorname{colim}_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} b & \longrightarrow & \tilde{x} \\
 j \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
 b & \longrightarrow & y
 \end{array}$$

By stability by ω -small colimits, the object $a \coprod_{\operatorname{colim}_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} a} \operatorname{colim}_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} b$ is \mathbf{U} -small. There exists then $\alpha < \mathbf{U}$ such that the top morphism factors through x_α , and by construction there exists a morphism $l : b \rightarrow x_{\alpha+1}$ and a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 a \coprod_{\operatorname{colim}_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} a} \operatorname{colim}_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} b & \longrightarrow & x_\alpha & \longrightarrow & \tilde{x} \\
 \downarrow j & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\
 & & & x_{\alpha+1} & \\
 & \nearrow l & & \downarrow & \\
 b & \longrightarrow & & & y
 \end{array}$$

The induced diagonal is a lift in the first square. This implies that $\operatorname{Hom}(b, x) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sq}(j, p)$ has the right lifting property against $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \rightarrow 1$. Eventually, this implies that $\operatorname{Hom}(b, x) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sq}(j, p)$ is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoid, and p then has the unique right lifting property against i . We then have a weak factorization system, which is a factorization system according to lemma 2.1.2.5. \square

2.1.3 Reflexive localization

For the rest of the section, we fix a *presentable* $(\infty, 1)$ -category C , and an ∞ -groupoid S of arrows of C with \mathbf{U} -small domain and codomain.

We recall that L_S is \widehat{S} , i.e., the smallest full sub- ∞ -groupoid of arrows of C stable under colimits, composition, and including S , and R_S is the full sub- ∞ -groupoid of arrows of C having the unique right lifting property against morphisms of S .

Definition 2.1.3.1. An object x is S -local if $x \rightarrow 1$ is in R_S , or equivalently if for any $i : a \rightarrow b \in S$, the induced functor $\text{Hom}(i, x) : \text{Hom}(b, x) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(a, x)$ is an equivalence.

We define C_S as the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of C consisting of S -local objects.

Remark 2.1.3.2. As R_S is closed under right cancellation, any morphism between S -local objects is in R_S .

Theorem 2.1.3.3. *The inclusion $\iota : C_S \rightarrow C$ is part of an adjunction*

$$\mathbf{F}_S : C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} C_S : \iota$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{F}_S : C \rightarrow C_S$ is the localization of C by \widehat{S} .

Proof. For an object x , the small object argument provides a factorization of $x \rightarrow 1$ into a morphism $x \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_S x$ of L_S followed by a morphism $\mathbf{F}_S x \rightarrow 1$ in R_S . The object $\mathbf{F}_S x$ is then in C_S . As the factorization is functorial, this defines a functor $\mathbf{F}_S : C \rightarrow C_S$, and a natural transformation $\nu : id \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_S$ constant on S -local objects. As $\mathbf{F}_S \iota$ is equivalent to the identity, this induces the claimed adjunction.

For the second proposition, let $F : C \rightarrow D$ be a functor sending morphisms of L_S on equivalences. We define $\mathbf{D}(F) := F \circ \iota$, and we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{F} & D \\ \mathbf{F}_S \searrow & \Downarrow & \nearrow \mathbf{D}(F) \\ & C_S & \end{array}$$

that commutes up to the natural transformation $F \circ \nu : F \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(F) \circ \mathbf{F}_S$. However, the natural transformation ν is pointwise in L_S , which implies that $F \circ \nu$ is pointwise an equivalence, and the previous diagram then commutes. Now, let $G : C_S \rightarrow D$ be any other functor such that $G \circ \mathbf{F}_S \sim F$. By precomposing with ι , this implies that $G \sim F \circ \iota$. \square

Corollary 2.1.3.4. *The $(\infty, 1)$ -category C_S is cocomplete. Moreover, if $F : C \rightarrow D$ is a colimit preserving functor sending S onto equivalences, the induced functor $\mathbf{D}F : C_S \rightarrow D$ preserves colimits.*

Proof. The first assertion is a direct consequence of the adjunction given in theorem 2.1.3.3.

This adjunction also implies that the colimit of a functor $G : A \rightarrow C_S$ is given by $\mathbf{F}_S(\text{colim}_{a:A} \iota G(a))$. As the canonical morphism $\text{colim}_{a:A} \iota G(a) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_S(\text{colim}_{a:A} \iota G(a))$ is by construction in \widehat{S} this proves the second assertion. \square

Construction 2.1.3.5. Suppose given an adjunction between two $(\infty, 1)$ -categories

$$F : C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} D : G$$

with unit ν and counit ϵ , as well as an ∞ -groupoid of morphisms S of C and T of D such that $F(S) \subset \widehat{T}$. By adjunction property, it implies that for any T -local object $d \in D$, $G(d)$ is S -local. The previous adjunction induces a derived adjunction

$$\mathbf{L}F : C_S \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} D_T : \mathbf{R}G$$

where $\mathbf{L}F$ is defined by the formula $c \mapsto \mathbf{F}_T F(c)$ and $\mathbf{R}G$ is the restriction of G to D_T . The unit is given by $\nu \circ \mathbf{F}_S$ and the counit by the restriction of ϵ to D_T .

Example 2.1.3.6. Let C be a presentable $(\infty, 1)$ -category, S a full sub ∞ -groupoid of morphisms of $\mathbf{Psh}^\infty(A)$ with \mathbf{U} -small codomain and domain. Eventually, we set $S_{/c}$ as the ∞ -groupoid of morphisms of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & b \\ & \nearrow s & \downarrow \\ a & \longrightarrow & c \end{array}$$

where $s : S$.

A morphism $f : c \rightarrow d$ induces an adjunction

$$f_! : C_{/c} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} C_{/d} : f^*$$

where the left adjoint is the composition and the right adjoint is the pullback. By construction, $f_!(S_{/c}) \subset S_{/d}$. The previous adjunction can then be derived, and induced an adjunction:

$$\mathbf{L}f_! : (C_{/c})_{S_{/c}} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} (C_{/d})_{S_{/d}} : \mathbf{R}f^*$$

where the right adjoint is just the restriction of f^* to $S_{/d}$ -local objects.

If the functor $f^* : C_{/d} \rightarrow C_{/c}$ preserves colimits and $f^*(S_{/c}) \subset S_{/d}$, the adjunction

$$f^* : C_{/d} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} C_{/c} : f_*$$

induces an adjunction

$$\mathbf{L}f^* : (C_{/d})_{S_{/d}} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} (C_{/c})_{S_{/c}} : \mathbf{R}f_*$$

2.1.4 Monomorphisms

We fix a cocomplete $(\infty, 1)$ -category C until the end of the section.

Definition 2.1.4.1. A morphism $i : a \rightarrow b$ in C is a *monomorphism* if the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

Definition 2.1.4.2. A morphism $i : a \rightarrow b$ in C is an *epimorphism* if the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

is cocartesian.

Remark 2.1.4.3. A morphism f in C is a monomorphism if and only if the corresponding morphism f' in C^{op} is an epimorphism.

In what follows, we will focus on monomorphisms, but all results have obvious analogues for epimorphisms thanks to the previous remark.

Proposition 2.1.4.4. *Monomorphisms are closed by limits.*

Proof. This directly follows from the fact that cartesian squares are closed by limits. \square

Lemma 2.1.4.5. *Let $i : a \rightarrow b$ be a monomorphism. For any integer n , the morphism*

$$a \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_n} a \times_{\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_n} b} b$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. As cartesian squares are stable by limits, the ∞ -groupoid of morphisms of spaces $S \rightarrow T$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi_T a & \longrightarrow & \Pi_T b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Pi_S a & \longrightarrow & \Pi_S b \end{array}$$

is cartesian is closed by colimit. We will now show by induction on n that it includes $\mathbb{S}_n \rightarrow 1$. The case $n = -1$ is trivial, and the case $n = 0$ follows from the definition of monomorphisms.

Suppose the result is true at stage n . The induction step follows from the fact that $\mathbb{S}_{n+1} \rightarrow 1$ is the horizontal colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{S}_n & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longleftarrow & 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

\square

Proposition 2.1.4.6. *Consider a commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & c \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ b & \longrightarrow & d \end{array}$$

where the right vertical morphism is a monomorphism. The groupoid of lifts of this square is either empty or contractible.

Proof. We have to show that the fibers of the morphism $\text{Hom}(b, c) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(i, p)$, defined in 2.1.2.3, are either contractible or empty. This is equivalent to showing that $\text{Hom}(b, c) \rightarrow \text{Sq}(i, p)$ has the right lifting property against $\mathbb{S}_n \rightarrow 1$ for any $n \geq 0$, which in turn is equivalent to showing that $a \rightarrow b$ has the left lifting property against $a \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbb{S}_n} a \times_{\Pi_{\mathbb{S}_n} b} b$ for any $n \geq 0$. As this last morphism is an equivalence by lemma 2.1.4.5, this concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.1.4.7. *Suppose given a $(\infty, 1)$ -category J and a family of squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_j & \longrightarrow & c_j \\ i_j \downarrow & & \downarrow p_j \\ b_j & \longrightarrow & d_j \end{array} \tag{2.1.4.8}$$

natural in $j : J$ and such that for any j , p_j is a monomorphism. The following are equivalent:

- (1) *for any j , the square (2.1.4.8) admits lifts $\alpha_j : b_j \rightarrow c_j$,*

- (2) for any j , the square (2.1.4.8) admits unique lifts $\alpha_j : b_j \rightarrow c_j$,
- (3) there exists a family of lifts $\alpha_j : b_j \rightarrow c_j$ natural in $j : J$,
- (4) there exists a unique family of lifts $\alpha_j : b_j \rightarrow c_j$ natural in $j : J$.

Proof. The equivalence between (1) and (2) follows from proposition 2.1.4.6. The equivalence between (3) and (4) follows from proposition 2.1.4.6 applied to the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\text{Fun}(J, C)$. The implication (3) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious.

To conclude the proof, we suppose (2) and we want to demonstrate (4). We denote

$$_ \boxtimes _ : \text{Fun}(J^{op}, \infty\text{-grd}) \times \text{Fun}(J, C) \rightarrow C$$

the functor that sends a presheaf X and a functor $F : J \rightarrow C$ to

$$\text{colim}_{J/X} F.$$

We want to show that $p_ : c_ \rightarrow d_$ has the unique right lifting property against $i_ \sim 1 \boxtimes i_ : a_ \rightarrow b_$ where 1 denotes the terminal presheaf. As the morphisms having the unique right lifting property against $p_$ are closed by colimit, it is sufficient to demonstrate that $p_$ has the unique right lifting property against $h_j \boxtimes i$ where h_j denotes the presheaf represented by j .

Note that by adjunction, for any j , lifts in the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_j \boxtimes a_ & \longrightarrow & c_ \\ h_j \boxtimes i \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p_ \\ h_j \boxtimes b_ & \longrightarrow & d_ \end{array}$$

correspond to lifts in the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_j & \longrightarrow & c_j \\ i_j \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p_j \\ b_j & \longrightarrow & d_j \end{array}$$

and thus exist and are unique by assumption. This concludes the proof. \square

2.2 Basic constructions

2.2.1 (∞, ω) -Categories

The definitions of section 1.1.2 will be used freely here.

Definition of (∞, ω) -categories

Definition 2.2.1.1. We denote by

$$[_, _] : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$$

the extension by colimit of the functor $\Theta \times \Delta \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ sending (a, n) onto $[a, n]$. For an integer n , we denote

$$[_, n] : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)^n \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$$

the extension by colimit of the functor $\Theta^n \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ sending $\mathbf{a} := \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ onto $[\mathbf{a}, n]$.

Construction 2.2.1.2. We have an adjunction

$$i_! : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta]) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) : i^* \quad (2.2.1.3)$$

where the left adjoint is the left Kan extension of the functor $\Delta[\Theta] \xrightarrow{i} \Theta \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$. The sets of morphisms W and M are respectively defined in 1.1.2.19 and 1.1.2.20. There is an obvious inclusion $i_!(M) \subset W$. The previous adjunction then induced a derived adjunction

$$\mathbf{L}i_! : \text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta])_M \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Psh}(\Theta)_W : \mathbf{R}i^* \quad (2.2.1.4)$$

Proposition 2.2.1.5. *The unit and counit of the adjunction (2.2.1.3) are respectively in \widehat{M} and \widehat{W} . As a consequence, the adjunction (2.2.1.4) is an adjoint equivalence.*

Proof. We denote by $\iota : \text{Psh}(\Theta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ and $\iota : \text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta]) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ the two canonical inclusions. By the proposition 2.1.1.9, we have inclusions $\iota(\overline{W}) \subset \widehat{W}$ and $\iota(\overline{M}) \subset \widehat{M}$. The result then directly follows from theorem 1.1.3.4. \square

Definition 2.2.1.6. A (∞, ω) -category is a W -local ∞ -presheaf $C \in \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$. We then define

$$(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} := \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)_W.$$

Proposition 2.2.1.5 implies that (∞, ω) -cat identifies itself with the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ of M -local objects:

$$(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \sim \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])_M.$$

We recall that the sets of morphisms W and M are respectively defined in 1.1.2.19 and 1.1.2.20

Construction 2.2.1.7. We denote by $\pi_0 : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(\Theta)$ the functor sending an ∞ -presheaf X onto the presheaf

$$\pi_0 X : a \mapsto \pi_0(X_a)$$

This functor admits a fully faithful right adjoint: $N : \text{Psh}(\Theta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$. As π_0 preserves W , it induces an adjoint pair:

$$\pi_0 : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} : N$$

where the right adjoint N is fully faithful. Every $(0, \omega)$ -category can then be seen as an (∞, ω) -category and we will call *strict* the (∞, ω) -categories lying in the image of this functor.

Equivalence between (∞, ω) -categories

Definition 2.2.1.8. A n -cell of an (∞, ω) -category is a morphism $\mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow C$. If C is an (∞, ω) -category, we denote by C_n the value of C on \mathbf{D}_n .

Proposition 2.2.1.9. *Let C, D be two (∞, ω) -categories, and $f : C \rightarrow D$ any map. The morphism f is an equivalence if and only if for any n , the induced morphism $f_n : C_n \rightarrow D_n$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. This is a necessary condition. For the converse, let f be a morphism fulfilling this condition. To show that f is an equivalence, we have to show that for any globular sum a , $f_a : C_a \rightarrow D_a$ is an equivalence. This is true as

$$f_a : C_a \rightarrow D_a \sim \lim_{\mathbf{D}_n \in \text{Sp}_a} f_n : C_n \rightarrow D_n.$$

\square

Lemma 2.2.1.10. *A functor is an equivalence if it has the unique right lifting property against $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for any $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. This is a necessary condition. For the converse, let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism fulfilling this condition. By definition of unique left lifting property, it implies that the induced morphism $f_n : C_n \rightarrow D_n$ is an equivalence for any $n \geq 0$. Using proposition 2.2.1.9, f is an equivalence. \square

Suspension

Construction 2.2.1.11. Let $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)_{\bullet, \bullet}$ be the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of ∞ -presheaves on Θ with two distinguished points, i.e. of triples (C, a, b) where a and b are elements of C_0 . The functor $[_, 1] : \Theta \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)_{\bullet, \bullet}$ that sends a onto $([a, 1], \{0\}, \{1\})$ induces by extension by colimit an adjunction

$$[_, 1] : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \xrightleftharpoons[\leftarrow]{\rightarrow} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)_{\bullet, \bullet} : \text{hom}__([_, 1]) \quad (2.2.1.12)$$

As the left adjoint preserves representables, the right adjoint commutes with colimit. It is then easy to check on representables that the unit of this adjunction is an equivalence. As a consequence, the left adjoint is fully faithful.

Remark 2.2.1.13. We recall that the category Θ^+ , defined in 1.1.2.3, is the full subcategory of $(0, \omega)$ -cat whose objects are globular sums or the empty category. Now remark that for any Θ -presheaves C , we have

$$[C, 1] \sim \text{colim}_{\Theta^+ / C} [_, 1].$$

Lemma 2.2.1.14. *Let C be an ∞ -presheaves on Θ . The canonical morphisms*

$$C \rightarrow \text{hom}_{[C, 1]}(0, 1) \quad \text{hom}_{[C, 1]}(0, 0) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{hom}_{[C, 1]}(1, 1) \sim 1 \quad \emptyset \rightarrow \text{hom}_{[C, 1]}(1, 0)$$

are equivalences.

Proof. As both hom and $[_, 1]$ preserve colimits, it is sufficient to check this property on representables, where it is an easy computation. \square

Proposition 2.2.1.15. *The functor $[_, 1] : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)_{\bullet, \bullet}$ preserves (∞, ω) -categories.*

Proof. By construction, for any pair of integers $k < n$, and any pair $([\mathbf{a}, n], b)$ where $[\mathbf{a}, n]$ is a globular sum and b is a globular sum or the empty (∞, ω) -category, we have cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Theta([\mathbf{a}, n], [b, 1]) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \{\epsilon\} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Delta([n], [1]) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_\Theta(a_k, b) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Theta([\mathbf{a}, n], [b, 1]) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \{\alpha_k\} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Delta([n], [1]) \end{array}$$

where ϵ denote any constant functor with value 0 or 1, and α_k the morphism that sends k on 0 and $k+1$ on 1. Let C be an object of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$. We then have $[C, 1] \sim \text{colim}_{\Theta^+ / C} [_, 1]$. As the evaluation commutes with colimits and as the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\infty\text{-grd}$ is locally cartesian closed, the previous cartesian squares induce cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)}([\mathbf{a}, n], [C, 1]) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \{\epsilon\} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Delta([n], [1]) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)}(a_k, C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)}([\mathbf{a}, n], [C, 1]) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \{\alpha_k\} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Delta([n], [1]) \end{array} \quad (2.2.1.16)$$

Using the fact that pullbacks are stable by limits and using the two previous squares in the case where $[\mathbf{a}, n]$ is a globe, we get two cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)}(\mathrm{Sp}_{[\mathbf{a}, n]}, [C, 1]) & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)}(\mathrm{Sp}_{a_k}, C) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)}(\mathrm{Sp}_{[\mathbf{a}, n]}, [C, 1]) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & \downarrow \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{\epsilon\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([n], [1]) & \{\alpha_k\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([n], [1]) \end{array}$$

This directly implies that $[C, 1]$ is W_{Seg} -local when C is.

Furthermore, for any integer $n > 0$, the cartesian squares (2.2.1.16) induces cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)}(\Sigma^n E^{eq}, [C, 1]) & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)}(\Sigma^{n-1} E^{eq}, C) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)}(\Sigma^n E^{eq}, [C, 1]) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & \downarrow \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{\epsilon\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([1], [1]) & \{\alpha_0\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([1], [1]) \end{array}$$

which implies that $[C, 1]$ is local with respect to $\Sigma^n E^{eq} \rightarrow \Sigma^n 1$ when C is.

Eventually, suppose given a diagram of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^{eq} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \\ \downarrow & & \\ 1 & & \end{array} \quad (2.2.1.17)$$

The canonical morphism $E^{eq} \rightarrow [C, 1] \xrightarrow{\pi} [1]$ then factors through 0 or 1. As the two fibers of π are trivial, the diagram (2.2.1.17) admits a unique lift, which concludes the proof. \square

Construction 2.2.1.18. As $[_, 1]$ sends W to a subset of M , the functor $\mathrm{hom}_{_, _}(_)$ preserves (∞, ω) -categories. Combined with the proposition 2.2.1.15, this implies that the adjunction (2.2.1.12) restricts to an adjunction:

$$[_, 1] : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightleftharpoons{\perp} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\bullet, \bullet} : \mathrm{hom}_{_, _}(_, _) \quad (2.2.1.19)$$

The left adjoint is the *suspension functor*.

Proposition 2.2.1.20. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -categories. We have natural equivalences*

$$\mathrm{hom}_{[C, 1]}(0, 1) \sim C \quad \mathrm{hom}_{[C, 1]}(0, 0) \sim \mathrm{hom}_{[C, 1]}(1, 1) \sim 1 \quad \mathrm{hom}_{[C, 1]}(1, 0) \sim \emptyset.$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of lemma 2.2.1.14. \square

Construction 2.2.1.21. Suppose given an (∞, ω) -category C and a 1-cells $f : x' \rightarrow x$. As C is an (∞, ω) -category, for any globular sum a , the morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}([1] \vee [a, 1], C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}([1], C) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}([0], C)} \mathrm{Hom}([a, 1], C)$$

is an equivalence. This induces a morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(a, \mathrm{hom}_C(x, y)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}([1] \vee [a, 1], (C, x', y)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(a, \mathrm{hom}_C(x', y))$$

where the two distinguished points of $[1] \vee [a, 1]$ are the extremal ones, and where the left-hand morphism is the restriction of the inverse of the previous morphism. By the Yoneda lemma, this corresponds to a morphism

$$f_! : \mathrm{hom}_C(x', y) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_C(x, y).$$

Conversely, a 1-cell $g : y \rightarrow y'$ induces a morphism

$$g_! : \mathrm{hom}_C(x, y) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_C(x, y').$$

Special colimits

Definition 2.2.1.22. We denote by ι the inclusion of (∞, ω) -cat into $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$. A functor $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)$ -cat has a *special colimit* if the canonical morphism

$$\text{colim}_{i:I} \iota F(i) \rightarrow \iota(\text{colim}_{i:I} F(i)) \quad (2.2.1.23)$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -presheaves.

Similarly, we say that a functor $\psi : I \rightarrow \text{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat})$ has a *special colimit* if the canonical morphism

$$\text{colim}_{i:I} \iota \psi(i) \rightarrow \iota(\text{colim}_{i:I} \psi(i))$$

is an equivalence in the arrow $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$.

Remark 2.2.1.24. A functor $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)$ -cat then has a special colimit if and only if $\text{colim}_I F$, computed in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$, already is an (∞, ω) -category.

Remark 2.2.1.25. Let $F, G : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)$ -cat be two functors, and $\psi : F \rightarrow G$ a cartesian natural transformation admitting a special colimit. As $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is cartesian closed, this implies that for any object i of I , the canonical square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(i) & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_I F \\ \psi(i) \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{colim}_I \psi \\ G(i) & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_I G \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

Example 2.2.1.26. Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. The canonical diagram $\Theta_{/C} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)$ -cat has a special colimit, given by C .

Proposition 2.2.1.27. Let $F, G : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)$ -cat be two functors, and $\psi : F \rightarrow G$ a natural transformation. If ψ is cartesian, and G has a special colimit, then ψ and F have special colimits.

Proof. We have to show that F has a special colimit, it will directly imply that ψ also has one. The morphism (2.2.1.23) is always in \widehat{W} . To conclude, one then has to show that $\text{colim}_{i:I} \iota \psi(i)$ is W -local. To this extend, it is enough to demonstrate that the canonical morphism

$$\text{colim}_{i:I} \iota \psi(i) : \text{colim}_{i:I} \iota F(i) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{i:I} \iota G(i)$$

has the unique right lifting property against W . We then consider a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_{i:I} \iota F(i) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{colim}_{i:I} \iota \psi(i) \\ b & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_{i:I} \iota G(i) \end{array} \quad (2.2.1.28)$$

where $f \in W$. As the domain of f is representable, there always exists $j : I$, such that the bottom horizontal morphism factors through $G(j)$. As ψ is cartesian, the square (2.2.1.28) factors in two squares, where the right one is cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} a & \longrightarrow & F(i) & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_{i:I} \iota F(i) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi(i) & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{colim}_{i:I} \iota \psi(i) \\ b & \longrightarrow & G(i) & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_{i:I} \iota G(i) \end{array}$$

Lifts in the square (2.2.1.28) are then equivalent to lifts in the left square, which exist and are unique as $F(i) \rightarrow G(i)$ has the unique right lifting property against W . \square

Proposition 2.2.1.29. *For any integer n , and globular sums a and b , the equalizer diagram*

$$\coprod_{k+l=n-1} [a, k] \vee [a \times b, 1] \vee [a, l] \rightrightarrows \coprod_{k+l=n} [a, k] \vee [b, 1] \vee [a, l]$$

where the top diagram is induced by $[a \times b, 1] \rightarrow [a, 1] \vee [b, 1]$ and to bottom one by $[a \times b, 1] \rightarrow [b, 1] \vee [a, 1]$, has a special colimit, which is $[a, n] \times [b, 1]$.

Proof. The lemma 2.1.1.5 implies that the colimit of the previous diagram, computed in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is strict. It is then enough to show that this colimit, computed in $\text{Psh}(\Theta)$, is equivalent to $[a, n] \times [b, 1]$. As this last object is W -local, this will conclude the proof. The remaining combinatorial exercise is left to the reader. \square

Proposition 2.2.1.30. *Any sequence of (∞, ω) -categories has a special colimit.*

Proof. Suppose given such sequence. If the sequence is finite, this is obviously true. Suppose now that the sequence is non finite. As codomains and domains of morphism of W are ω -small, the colimit of the sequence, computed in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is W -local, which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2.1.31. *For any (∞, ω) -category C , the functor $[_, 1] : \Theta_C^+ \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ has a special colimit given by $[C, 1]$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 2.2.1.15. \square

Lemma 2.2.1.32. *We denote by*

$$\begin{aligned} [_, 1] \vee [1] : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} &\rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{[0]\amalg[1]} \\ (\text{resp. } [1] \vee [_, 1] : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} &\rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{[1]\amalg[0]}) \end{aligned}$$

the colimit preserving functor that sends an element a of Θ onto the globular sum $[a, 1] \vee [1]$ (resp. $[1] \vee [a, 1]$).

For any (∞, ω) -category C , the functors $[_, 1] \vee [1]$ and $[1] \vee [_, 1]$ have special colimits given respectively by $[C, 1] \vee [1]$ and $[1] \vee [C, 1]$.

Proof. To prove this, we establish a result analogous to the one given in the proposition 2.2.1.15. We omit its proof because it is long but essentially identical. \square

Proposition 2.2.1.33. *Suppose given two cartesian squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \longrightarrow & C & \longleftarrow & D \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [1] & \longleftarrow & \{1\} \end{array}$$

The diagram

$$[1] \vee [B, 1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [B, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \longleftarrow [D, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [D, 1] \vee [1]$$

has a special colimit.

Proof. Remark firsts that the colimit, computed in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$, of the diagram

$$[1] \vee [1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [1] \xrightarrow{\{\{0\},1\}} [[1],1] \xleftarrow{\{\{1\},1\}} [1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1] \vee [1]$$

is strict. We leave it to the reader to check that the colimit of this diagram, computed in $\text{Psh}(\Theta)$, already is an (∞, ω) -category. This implies that the diagram admits a special colimit.

We are now willing to show that the two squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [B,1] & \longrightarrow & [C,1] & \longleftarrow & [D,1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [1] & \xrightarrow{\{\{0\},1\}} & [[1],1] & \xleftarrow{\{\{1\},1\}} & [1] \end{array}$$

are cartesian. We recall that Θ^+ is the subcategory of $(0, \omega)$ -cat whose objects are globular sums or the empty (∞, ω) -category. Lemma 2.2.1.31 implies that $[C, 1]$ is the special colimit of the functor $[_, 1] : \Theta_{/C}^+ \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$. As $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is cartesian closed, the pullback along morphisms between (∞, ω) -categories preserves special colimits. We can then restrict to the case where C is a globular sum or the empty (∞, ω) -category. In this case, it directly follows from the fact that Θ^+ is closed by pullback and that $\Theta^+ \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ preserves them.

By the same argument, one can demonstrate that the two squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [B,1] & \longrightarrow & [1] \vee [B,1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [1] & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & [1] \vee [1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [D,1] & \longrightarrow & [D,1] \vee [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [1] & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & [1] \vee [1] \end{array}$$

are cartesian by reducing to the case where B and D are globular sums or empty (∞, ω) -categories.

The result then follows from proposition 2.2.1.27. \square

Proposition 2.2.1.34. *Suppose given a cartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [1] \end{array}$$

The diagram

$$[1] \vee [B,1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [B,1] \longrightarrow [C,1]$$

has a special colimit.

Proof. The proof is similar to the previous one. \square

(∞, n) -Categories and truncation functors

Construction 2.2.1.35. We have an adjunction

$$i_! : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta_{n-1}]) \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_n) : i^* \quad (2.2.1.36)$$

where the left adjoint is the left Kan extension of the functor $\Delta[\Theta_{n-1}] \xrightarrow{i} \Theta_n \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_n)$. We recall that the sets of morphisms W_n and M_n are respectively defined in 1.1.2.19 and 1.1.2.20 Remark that there is an obvious inclusion $i_!(M_n) \subset W_n$. The previous adjunction then induced a derived adjunction

$$\mathbf{L}i_! : \text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta_{n-1}])_M \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \text{Psh}(\Theta_n)_W : \mathbf{R}i^* \quad (2.2.1.37)$$

Proposition 2.2.1.38. *The unit and counit of the adjunction (2.2.1.36) are respectively in \widehat{M}_n and \widehat{W}_n . As a consequence, the adjunction (2.2.1.37) is an adjoint equivalence.*

Proof. We denote by $\iota : \text{Psh}(\Theta_n) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_n)$ and $\iota : \text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta_{n-1}]) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta_{n-1}])$ the two canonical inclusions. By the proposition 2.1.1.9, we have inclusions $\iota(\widehat{W}_n) \subset \widehat{W}_n$ and $\iota(\widehat{M}_n) \subset \widehat{M}_n$. The result then directly follows from theorem 1.1.3.4. \square

Definition 2.2.1.39. Let $n > 0$ be an integer. An (∞, n) -category is a W_n -local ∞ -presheaf $C \in \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_n)$. We then define

$$(\infty, n)\text{-cat} := \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_n)_{W_n}.$$

Proposition 2.2.1.38 implies that (∞, n) -cat identifies itself with the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta_{n-1}])$ of M_n -local objects:

$$(\infty, n)\text{-cat} \sim \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta_{n-1}])_{M_n}.$$

Construction 2.2.1.40. The inclusion $i_n : \Theta_n \rightarrow \Theta$ fits in an adjunction

$$\tau_n^i : \Theta \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \Theta_n : i_n$$

where the left adjoint sends \mathbf{D}_k on $\mathbf{D}_{\min(n,k)}$. By extension by colimits, this induces an adjoint pair

$$\tau_n^i : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_n) : i_n. \quad (2.2.1.41)$$

where the two functors are colimit preserving. As the image of every morphism of W by τ_n^i is in W_n or is an equivalence, and as the image of W_n by i_n is included in W , the previous adjunction induces by localization an adjunction

$$\tau_n^i : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} (\infty, n)\text{-cat} : i_n \quad (2.2.1.42)$$

where the two adjoints are colimit preserving. The left adjoint is called the *intelligent n -truncation*.

Proposition 2.2.1.43. *The functor $i_n : (\infty, n)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. We have to check that the unit of the adjunction (2.2.1.42) is an equivalence. As the two functors preserve colimits, we have to show that the restriction to Θ of the unit is an equivalence which is obvious. \square

Construction 2.2.1.44. Being colimit preserving, the functor i_n is also part of an adjunction

$$i_n : (\infty, n)\text{-cat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} : \tau_n \quad (2.2.1.45)$$

The right adjoint is called the *n -truncation*.

Notation 2.2.1.46. We will identify objects of (∞, n) -cat with their image in (∞, ω) -cat and we will then also note by τ_n and τ_n^i the composites $i_n \tau_n^i$ and $i_n \tau_n^i$.

Proposition 2.2.1.47. *The functor $\tau_n : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ preserves special colimits.*

Proof. As i_n preserves representable objects, the functor $\tau_n : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, n)\text{-cat}$ preserves colimits. Since $i_n : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_n) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ preserves colimits and sends W_n to a subset of W , τ_n preserves (∞, ω) -categories. This concludes the proof. \square

Definition 2.2.1.48. A *trivialization map* is a morphism in the smallest cocomplete class of morphisms including the morphisms $\mathbb{I} : \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for any integer n .

Example 2.2.1.49. For all (∞, ω) -category C , the canonical morphism $C \rightarrow \tau_n^i C$ is a trivialization.

Proposition 2.2.1.50. *Trivialization maps are epimorphisms (definition 2.1.4.2).*

Proof. Let $i : C \rightarrow D$ be a trivialization map. We have to show that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

is cocartesian. Since cocartesian squares are closed under colimits, we can reduce to the case where $C \rightarrow D$ is $\mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for an integer n . We then need to show that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}} & \mathbf{D}_n \\ \mathbb{I} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \end{array}$$

is a pushout. By definition, this corresponds to showing that for any (∞, ω) -category A , the canonical morphism

$$\alpha : \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, A)} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A)$$

is an equivalence. We consider the morphism

$$\beta : \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, A)} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, A) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, A)} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A) \sim \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A)$$

that sends a triplet $(p, q, \psi : p \circ \mathbb{I} \sim q \circ \mathbb{I})$ to $(p \circ \mathbb{I}, q, \psi : p \circ \mathbb{I} \sim q \circ \mathbb{I})$. As β is a projection, we have $\beta\alpha \sim id$. Furthermore, as the endomorphism $\mathbb{I} \circ i_{n+1}^+ : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ is the identity, α is equivalent to the morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A) \sim \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, A) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, A)} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, A)} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, A)$$

that sends $(p', q, \psi : p' \sim q \circ \mathbb{I})$ to $(p' \circ i_{n+1}^+, q, \psi : p' \circ i_{n+1}^+ \sim q \circ \mathbb{I})$ and we then also have $\alpha\beta \sim id$. \square

Construction 2.2.1.51. The family of truncation functor induces a sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow (\infty, n+1)\text{-cat} \xrightarrow{\tau_n} (\infty, n)\text{-cat} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (\infty, 1)\text{-cat} \xrightarrow{\tau_0} (\infty, 0)\text{-cat}$$

which induces an adjunction

$$\mathrm{colim}_{n:\mathbb{N}} : \lim_{n:\mathbb{N}} (\infty, n)\text{-cat} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} : (\tau_n)_{n:\mathbb{N}} \quad (2.2.1.52)$$

where the left adjoint sends a sequence $(C_n, C_n \sim \tau_n C_{n+1})_{n:\mathbb{N}}$ to the colimit of the induced sequence

$$i_0 C_0 \rightarrow i_1 C_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i_n C_n \rightarrow \dots,$$

and the right adjoint sends an (∞, ω) -category C to the sequence $(\tau_n C, \tau_n C \sim \tau_n \tau_{n+1} C)_{n:\mathbb{N}}$. Indeed, we have equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{colim}_{n:\mathbb{N}} i_n C_n, D) &\sim \lim_{n:\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{Hom}(C_n, \tau_n D) \\ &\sim \mathrm{Hom}((C_n, C_n \sim \tau_n C_{n+1})_{n:\mathbb{N}}, (\tau_n D, \tau_n D \sim \tau_n \tau_{n+1} D)_{n:\mathbb{N}}) \end{aligned}$$

natural in $(C_n, C_n \sim \tau_n C_{n+1})_{n:\mathbb{N}}$ and D .

Proposition 2.2.1.53. *The adjunction (2.2.1.52) is an adjoint equivalence. As a consequence, we have an equivalence*

$$(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \sim \lim_{n:\mathbb{N}}(\infty, n)\text{-cat}.$$

Proof. According to proposition 2.2.1.30, any sequence $(C_n)_{n:\mathbb{N}} : \lim_{n:\mathbb{N}}(\infty, n)\text{-cat}$ has a special colimit. Let k be an integer. According to proposition 2.2.1.47, this implies the equivalence

$$\tau_k(\operatorname{colim}_{n:\mathbb{N}} C_n) \sim \operatorname{colim}_{n:\mathbb{N}}(\tau_k C_n).$$

Furthermore, the sequence $(\tau_k C_n)_{n:\mathbb{N}}$ is constant after the rank k . We then have

$$\tau_k \operatorname{colim}_{n:\mathbb{N}} C_n \sim \tau_k C_n.$$

This directly implies that the unit of the adjunction (2.2.1.52) is an equivalence.

To conclude, one has to show that the right adjoint is conservative, i.e that a morphism f is an equivalence if and only if for any n , $\tau_n f$ is an equivalence. This last statement is a direct consequence of proposition 2.2.1.9. \square

(∞, ω) -cat is Cartesian closed

Proposition 2.2.1.54. *The cartesian product in (∞, ω) -cat preserves colimits in both variables.*

Definition 2.2.1.55. For any C in (∞, ω) -cat, we define

$$\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(C, _) : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$$

the right adjoint of the colimit-preserving functor $_ \times C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$.

We need several lemmas to prove proposition 2.2.1.54.

Lemma 2.2.1.56. *Let a, b be two globular sums, and n, m two integer. The colimit in $\operatorname{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ of the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod_{k \leq n} [a \times b, \{k\} \times [m]] & & \coprod_{l \leq m} [a \times b, [n] \times \{l\}] & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \\ \coprod_{k \leq n} [b, m] & & [a \times b, [n] \times [m]] & & \coprod_{l \leq m} [a, n] \end{array}$$

is $[a, n] \times [b, m]$.

Proof. The lemma 2.1.1.5 implies that the object

$$K := \coprod_{k \leq n} [b, m] \quad \coprod_{\coprod_{k \leq n} [a \times b, \{k\} \times [m]]} [a \times b, [n] \times [m]]$$

is strict. As the induced morphism $\coprod_{l \leq m} [a \times b, [n] \times \{l\}] \rightarrow K$, is a monomorphism, the lemma *op cit* implies that the colimit of the diagram given in the statement is strict. We can then show the result in the category of set valued presheaves on $\Delta[\Theta]$ and we leave this combinatorial exercise to the reader. \square

Lemma 2.2.1.57. *Let f be a morphism of \widehat{W}_1 and n an integer. The morphism $f \times [n]$ is in \widehat{W}_1 .*

Proof. Suppose first that f is of shape $\mathrm{Sp}_m \rightarrow [m]$. Remark first that for any k , the Δ -space $[k] \times [m]$ is W_1 -local as both $[k]$ and $[m]$ are. We then have $\mathbf{F}_{W_1}([k] \times [m]) \sim [k] \times [m]$ where \mathbf{F}_{W_1} is the left adjoint appearing in the adjunction given in theorem 2.1.3.3. As the fibrant replacement preserves colimits and as the cartesian product in $(\infty, 1)$ -categories preserves colimits, we have a sequence of equivalences in $(\infty, 1)$ -cat:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{W_1}(\mathrm{Sp}_m \times [n]) &\sim \mathbf{F}_{W_1}([1] \times [n]) \coprod_{\mathbf{F}_{W_1}([0] \times [n])} \cdots \coprod_{\mathbf{F}_{W_1}([0] \times [n])} \mathbf{F}_{W_1}([1] \times [n]) \\ &\sim [1] \times [n] \coprod_{[0] \times [n]} \cdots \coprod_{[0] \times [n]} [1] \times [n] \\ &\sim [m] \times [n] \end{aligned}$$

As by construction the morphism $\mathrm{Sp}_m \times [n] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{W_1}(\mathrm{Sp}_m \times [n])$ is in \widehat{W}_1 , this concludes the proof of this case. We proceed similarly for the case $f := E^{eq} \rightarrow [0]$. \square

Proof of proposition 2.2.1.54. As the cartesian product on $\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ preserves colimits in both variables, according to corollary 2.1.3.4, we then have to show that for any globular sum a , and any $f \in W$, $f \times a$ is in \widehat{W} .

We demonstrate by induction on k that for any $f \in W_k$ and any globular sum a , $f \times a$ is in W_k . The case $k = 0$ is trivial as W_0 is the singleton $\{id_{[0]}\}$.

Suppose then the statement is true at this stage k . We recall that we denote (i_l, i^*) the left and right adjoints between $\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ and $\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$. As i^* preserves cartesian product, proposition 2.2.1.5 implies that it is enough to show that for any $f \in M_{k+1}$ and any object $[b, n]$, $f \times [b, n]$ is in \widehat{M} .

Suppose first that f is of shape $[a, 1] \rightarrow [c, 1]$ for $a \rightarrow c \in W_k$. According to lemma 2.2.1.56, the morphism $f \times [b, m]$ is the colimit in depth of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod_{k \leq 1} [a \times b, \{k\} \times [m]] & & \coprod_{l \leq m} [a \times b, [1] \times \{l\}] & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ \coprod_{k \leq 1} [b, m] & [a \times b, [1] \times [m]] & \coprod_{l \leq m} [a, 1] & & \\ & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \\ & \coprod_{k \leq 1} [c \times b, \{k\} \times [m]] & \coprod_{l \leq m} [c \times b, [1] \times \{l\}] & & \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & \coprod_{k \leq 1} [b, m] & [c \times b, [1] \times [m]] & \coprod_{l \leq m} [c, 1] & \end{array}$$

The lemma 1.1.3.7 and the induction hypothesis implies that all the depth morphisms are in \widehat{M} . By stability by colimit, this implies that $f \times [b, m]$ belongs to \widehat{M} .

Suppose now that f is of shape $[a, \mathrm{Sp}_n] \rightarrow [a, n]$. According to lemma 2.2.1.56, the morphism $f \times [b, m]$ is the colimit in depth of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod_{k \leq n} [a \times b, \{k\} \times [m]] & & \coprod_{l \leq m} [a \times b, \mathrm{Sp}_n \times \{l\}] & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ \coprod_{k \leq n} [b, m] & [a \times b, \mathrm{Sp}_n \times [m]] & \coprod_{l \leq m} [a, \mathrm{Sp}_n] & & \\ & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \\ & \coprod_{k \leq n} [a \times b, \{k\} \times [m]] & \coprod_{l \leq m} [a \times b, [n] \times \{l\}] & & \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & \coprod_{k \leq n} [b, m] & [a \times b, [n] \times [m]] & \coprod_{l \leq m} [a, n] & \end{array}$$

The lemma 2.2.1.57 implies that $\mathrm{Sp}_n \times [m] \rightarrow [n] \times [m]$ is in \widehat{W}_1 . Combined with lemma 1.1.3.7, this implies that all the morphisms in depth are in \widehat{M} . By stability by colimit, so is $f \times [b, m]$.

It remains to show the case $f = E^{eq} \rightarrow [0]$. According to lemma 2.2.1.56, the morphism $f \times [b, m]$ is the horizontal colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod_{k \leq m} E^{eq} & \longleftarrow & \coprod_{k \leq m} [b, E^{eq} \times \{k\}] & \longrightarrow & [b, E^{eq} \times [m]] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{k \leq m} [0] & \longleftarrow & \coprod_{k \leq m} [0] & \longrightarrow & [b, m] \end{array}$$

The lemma 2.2.1.57 implies that $E^{eq} \times [m] \rightarrow [m]$ is in \widehat{W}_1 . Combined with lemma 1.1.3.7, this implies that all the vertical morphisms are in \widehat{M} . By stability by colimit, so is $f \times [b, m]$. \square

Corollary 2.2.1.58. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category, S an ∞ -groupoid, and $f : C \rightarrow S$ any morphism. The functor $f^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}/_S \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}/_C$ preserves colimits.*

Proof. As $\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is locally cartesian closed, we just have to verify that for any cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C'' & \xrightarrow{j} & C' & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ a & \xrightarrow{i} & b & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

if i is in W , then j is in \widehat{W} . Suppose given such cartesian squares. As b is a globular form, $\tau_0^i(b) \sim 1$ and as S is an ∞ -groupoid, there exists an object s of S such that the morphism $b \rightarrow S$ factor through $\{s\} \rightarrow S$. If we denote by C_s the fiber of f in $\{s\}$, the morphisms i and j then fit in the following cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_s \times a & \xrightarrow{j} & C_s \times b & \longrightarrow & C_s & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ a & \xrightarrow{i} & b & \longrightarrow & \{s\} & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

The proposition 2.2.1.54 implies that j verifies the desired property, which concludes the proof. \square

The following proposition implies that a natural transformation is an equivalence if and only if it is pointwise one.

Proposition 2.2.1.59. *For any (∞, ω) -categories X and C , the following natural square is cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_0 \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, C) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\tau_0 X, \tau_0 C) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\tau_0 X, C) \end{array}$$

Proof. By adjunction, we have to show that for any X and Y , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_0 Y \times X & \longrightarrow & Y \times X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau_0 Y \times \tau_0^i X & \longrightarrow & Y \times \tau_0^i X \end{array}$$

is cocartesian. As τ_0^i preserves colimits, and as τ_0 preserves special colimits, we can reduce to the case where X and Y are representable. Since these two functors send W_{Seg} to weak equivalences, we can further reduce to the case where X is \mathbf{D}_n and Y is \mathbf{D}_m for n and m two integers. We are then reduced to showing that the following square is cocartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\tau_0 \mathbf{D}_n) \times \mathbf{D}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \times \mathbf{D}_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau_0 \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \end{array} \quad (2.2.1.60)$$

To show the cocartesianess of (2.2.1.60), remark that if either n or m is null, this is trivial. If not, proposition 2.2.1.29 states that $\mathbf{D}_n \times \mathbf{D}_m$ is the colimit of the span:

$$[\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] \vee [\mathbf{D}_{m-1}, 1] \leftarrow [\mathbf{D}_{n-1} \times \mathbf{D}_{m-1}, 1] \rightarrow [\mathbf{D}_{m-1}, 1] \vee [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1]$$

Using the two cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathbf{D}_{m-1}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_{m-1}, 1] \vee [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [0] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [\mathbf{D}_{m-1}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] \vee [\mathbf{D}_{m-1}, 1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [0] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] \end{array}$$

this implies that the pushout of the upper span of (2.2.1.60) is then the colimit of the diagram:

$$[\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] \leftarrow [\mathbf{D}_{n-1} \times \mathbf{D}_{m-1}, 1] \rightarrow [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] \quad (2.2.1.61)$$

The proposition 2.2.1.50 states that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

is cocartesian. Combined with proposition 2.2.1.54, this implies that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \times \mathbf{D}_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \end{array}$$

is cocartesian. As a consequence, the colimit of the span (2.2.1.61), and so of the upper span of (2.2.1.60), is $[\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] \sim \mathbf{D}_n$, which concludes the proof. \square

Dualities

Definition 2.2.1.62. In definition 1.1.1.6, for any subset S of \mathbb{N}^* , we have defined the duality $(_)^S : (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$. These functors restrict to functors $\Theta \rightarrow \Theta$ that induce by extension by colimit functors

$$(_)^S : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$$

which are once again called *dualities*. It is easy to see that this functor preserves (∞, ω) -categories and then induces functors

$$(_)^S : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}.$$

In particular, we have the *odd duality* $(_)^{op}$, corresponding to the set of odd integer, the *even duality* $(_)^{co}$, corresponding to the subset of non negative even integer, the *full duality* $(_)^\circ$, corresponding to \mathbb{N}^* and the *transposition* $(_)^t$, corresponding to the singleton $\{1\}$. Eventually, we have equivalences

$$((_)^{co})^{op} \sim (_)^\circ \sim ((_)^{op})^{co}.$$

Monomorphisms and surjections

Definition 2.2.1.63. A morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ is an *surjection* if it is in the smallest cocomplete ∞ -groupoid of arrows of (∞, ω) -cat that includes the codiagonal $\mathbf{D}_n \amalg \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for any $n \geq 0$. A morphism is a *monomorphism* if it has the unique right lifting property against surjections.

Remark 2.2.1.64. A morphism $i : C \rightarrow D$ is then a monomorphism if and only if for any n , $C_n \rightarrow D_n$ is a monomorphism.

Remark 2.2.1.65. As equivalence are detected on globes, the morphism

$$D \rightarrow C \times_D C$$

is an equivalence if and only if

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, C) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, D)} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_n, C)$$

is an equivalence for any integer n . As this last condition is equivalent to having the unique right lifting property against $\mathbf{D}_n \amalg \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$, monomorphisms in the sense of definition 2.2.1.63 correspond to monomorphisms in the sens of definition 2.1.4.1. However, surjections are not necessarily epimorphisms.

Construction 2.2.1.66. The small object argument induces a factorization system:

$$C \rightarrow \mathrm{Im} i \rightarrow D \tag{2.2.1.67}$$

of any morphism $i : C \rightarrow D$, where the left map is a surjection, and the right one is a monomorphism. The object $\mathrm{Im} i$ is called the *image of i* .

We then have by construction the following result:

Proposition 2.2.1.68. *A morphism is an equivalence if and only if it is both a monomorphism and a surjection.*

Proposition 2.2.1.69. *The image is stable under the cartesian product.*

Proof. One has to show that both surjections and monomorphisms are stable under the functor $_ \times A$ for A any (∞, ω) -category. For monomorphisms, it is a direct consequence of the fact that this notion has been defined with a right lifting property. For surjections, as $_ \times A$ commutes with colimit, we can reduce to show that for any n ,

$$(\mathbf{D}_n \amalg \mathbf{D}_n) \times A \sim \mathbf{D}_n \times A \amalg \mathbf{D}_n \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n \times A$$

is a surjection. However, the ∞ -groupoid of object B such that $B \amalg B \rightarrow B$ is a surjection is closed by colimits and contains globes. This ∞ -groupoid then contains all the object and so in particular $\mathbf{D}_n \times A$. \square

Lemma 2.2.1.70. *For any integer n , the morphism $\mathbb{I} : \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ is a surjection.*

Proof. Remark first that we have a cocartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial \mathbf{D}_n \amalg \partial \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \amalg \mathbf{D}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \partial \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & \partial \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \end{array}$$

As the left hand morphism is a surjection, so is the right one. By stability by left cancellation, this implies that $\partial \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ is a surjection. Now, the map \mathbb{I} can be factored as:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial \mathbf{D}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbf{D}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \amalg \partial \mathbf{D}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & \partial \mathbf{D}_{n+2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \end{array}$$

and by stability under pushouts and composition, this implies that \mathbb{I} is a surjection. \square

Proposition 2.2.1.71. *For any integer n , the canonical natural transformation $id \rightarrow \tau_n^i$ is pointwise a surjection.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of lemma 2.2.1.70. \square

Proposition 2.2.1.72. *For any integer n , any (∞, n) -category C , and any (∞, ω) -category D , the canonical morphisms*

$$\alpha : \coprod_{C_n} \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow C \quad \beta : \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \coprod_{D_n} \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow D$$

are surjections.

Proof. Let I be the image of α . We are willing to show that the canonical morphism $j : I \rightarrow C$ is an equivalence. According to lemma 2.2.1.10, we have to show that j has the unique right lifting property against $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_k$ for any $k \leq n$. As j is a monomorphism, lifts against it are always unique according to proposition 2.1.4.6, and it is then sufficient to show that α has the non-unique right lifting property against $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_k$ for any $k \leq n$, which is obviously true.

We proceed similarly for β . \square

Proposition 2.2.1.73. *Let $i : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjection and n an integer. The canonical square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau_n^i(A) & \xrightarrow{\tau_n^i(i)} & \tau_n^i(B) \end{array}$$

is cocartesian.

Proof. We can reduce to the case where i is $\mathbf{D}_k \amalg \mathbf{D}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_k$. If $n \geq k$, it is directly true, and we then suppose $n < k$. In this case, the colimit of the span:

$$\mathbf{D}_n \amalg \mathbf{D}_n \leftarrow \mathbf{D}_k \amalg \mathbf{D}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_k$$

is $\mathbf{D}_n \amalg_{\mathbf{D}_k} \mathbf{D}_n$. The proposition 2.2.1.50 implies that this pushout is \mathbf{D}_n , which concludes the proof. \square

Fully faithful functors

Definition 2.2.1.74. A functor $f : C \rightarrow D$ is *fully faithful* if for any pair of objects $a, b \in C$, the induced morphism $\text{hom}_C(a, b) \rightarrow \text{hom}_D(fa, fb)$ is an equivalence.

Proposition 2.2.1.75. *A functor is fully faithful if and only if it has the unique right lifting property against $\{0\} \coprod \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for $n > 0$.*

Proof. Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a functor having the unique right lifting property against $\{0\} \coprod \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for $n > 0$. As $[\emptyset, 1] = \{0\} \coprod \{1\}$ and $[\mathbf{D}_n, 1] = \mathbf{D}_{n+1}$, this is equivalent to asking for any pair of objects c, d and for any integer n , that $f(c, d) : \text{hom}_C(c, d) \rightarrow \text{hom}_D(f(c), f(d))$ has the unique right lifting property against $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$, which in turn is equivalent to f being fully faithful according to lemma 2.2.1.10. \square

Proposition 2.2.1.76. *Fully faithful functors are stable under limits.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the fact that fully faithful functors are characterized by unique right lifting properties. \square

Lemma 2.2.1.77. *Let $p : C \rightarrow D$ be a fully faithful functor. The induced morphism $C_0 \rightarrow D_0$ is a monomorphism.*

Proof. To this extent, we have to show that $p : C \rightarrow D$ has the unique right lifting property against $1 \coprod 1 \rightarrow 1$. This is equivalent to show that p has the unique right lifting property against $\iota : 1 \coprod 1 \rightarrow E^{eq}$.

The proposition 2.2.1.75 implies that p as the unique right lifting property against $1 \coprod 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_1$. By stability under colimits, p has the unique right lifting property against $1 \coprod 1 \rightarrow \partial\mathbf{D}_2$. By left cancellation, this implies that p has the unique right lifting property against $\partial\mathbf{D}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_1$. As ι is a composition of pushouts along $1 \coprod 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_1$ and $\partial\mathbf{D}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_1$, this directly concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.2.1.78. *A morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ is an equivalence if and only if it is fully faithful and induces a surjection on objects.*

Proof. This is necessary. Suppose that f is fully faithful. According to 2.2.1.75, for any $n > 0$, $f_n : C_n \rightarrow D_n$ is an equivalence. If f induces a surjection on objects, lemma 2.2.1.77 implies that $f_0 : C_0 \rightarrow D_0$ is an equivalence. We can then apply proposition 2.2.1.9. \square

2.2.2 Discrete Conduché functors

Notation 2.2.2.1. We denote $\nabla_{k,n}$ the unique globular morphism between \mathbf{D}_n and $\mathbf{D}_n \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_k} \mathbf{D}_n$.

Definition 2.2.2.2. A morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ between (∞, ω) -categories is a *discrete Conduché functor* if it has the unique right lifting property against units $\mathbb{L}_{n+1} : \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ for any integer n , and against compositions $\nabla_{k,n} : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_k} \mathbf{D}_n$ for any pair of integers $k \leq n$.

Lemma 2.2.2.3. *The two following full sub ∞ -groupoids of morphisms of (∞, ω) -cat are equivalent:*

- (1) *The smallest cocomplete full sub ∞ -groupoid of morphisms containing the family of morphism $\{\mathbb{L}_{n+1} : \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n, \}$ and the family $\{\nabla_{k,n} : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_k} \mathbf{D}_n \mid k \leq n\}$.*
- (2) *The smallest cocomplete full sub ∞ -groupoid of morphisms containing algebraic morphisms of Θ (this notion is defined in definition 1.1.2.13).*

Proof. For any pair of integers $k \leq n$, \mathbb{I}_{n+1} and $\nabla_{k,n}$ are algebraic morphisms. This directly induces the inclusion of the first ∞ -groupoid in the second one. To conclude, one has to show that every algebraic morphism $i : a \rightarrow b$ is contained in the first ∞ -groupoid.

We proceed by induction on $|a| + |b|$. Suppose first that there exists n such that $a = \mathbf{D}_n$. In this case two cases have to be considered. Either $n > 0$ and i factors as $\mathbf{D}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}_n} \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{j} b$. The result then follows by the induction hypothesis. Suppose now that i does not factor through \mathbb{I}_n . In this case, there exists k such that i factors as $\mathbf{D}_n \xrightarrow{\nabla_{k,n}} \mathbf{D}_n \amalg_{\mathbf{D}_k} \mathbf{D}_n \xrightarrow{j} b$. The unique factorization system between algebraic and globular morphisms given in proposition 1.1.2.15 produces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathbf{D}_n & \xrightarrow{j_2} & b_2 \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & \mathbf{D}_k & \xrightarrow{j_1} & b_1 & \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 \mathbf{D}_n & \xrightarrow{j_0} & b_0 & & \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \mathbf{D}_n \amalg_{\mathbf{D}_k} \mathbf{D}_n & \xrightarrow{j} & b
 \end{array}$$

where arrows labeled by \hookrightarrow are globular and the other ones are algebraic. Remark that we have a cocartesian square in $(\infty, 1)$ -category of arrows of (∞, ω) -cat:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 j_1 & \longrightarrow & j_2 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 j_0 & \longrightarrow & j
 \end{array}$$

As j_0 , j_1 and j_2 are in the first ∞ -groupoid by induction hypothesis, so is j . By stability by composition, the morphism i is then in the first ∞ -groupoid.

Suppose now that the domain of $i : a \rightarrow b$ is not a globe. Using once again the unique factorization system between algebraic and globular, we can construct a functor $\mathrm{Sp}_a \rightarrow \mathrm{Arr}(\Theta)$ whose value on $\mathbf{D}_n \hookrightarrow a$ is given by the unique algebraic morphism j fitting in a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{D}_n & \xrightarrow{j} & b' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 a & \xrightarrow{i} & b
 \end{array}$$

where arrows labeled by \hookrightarrow are globular. By induction hypothesis, j is in the first ∞ -groupoid. The colimit of $\mathrm{Sp}_a \rightarrow \mathrm{Arr}(\Theta) \rightarrow \mathrm{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat})$ is then in the first ∞ -groupoid. As this colimit is i , this concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.2.2.4. *A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a discrete Conduché functor if and only if it has the unique right lifting property against algebraic morphism of Θ (this notion is defined in definition 1.1.2.13).*

Proof. Given a morphism f , the full sub ∞ -groupoid of morphisms having the unique left lifting property against f is cocomplete. The result is then a direct implication of lemma 2.2.2.3. \square

Example 2.2.2.5. The proposition 1.1.2.15 implies that a morphism $a \rightarrow b$ between globular sums is a discrete Conduché functor if and only if it is globular.

Lemma 2.2.2.6. *Let $p : C \rightarrow a$ be discrete Conduché functor with a a globular sum. We denote by $(\Theta/p)^{Cd}$ the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of Θ/p whose objects are triangles*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b & \longrightarrow & C \\ & \searrow & \downarrow p \\ & & a \end{array}$$

where every arrow is a discrete Conduché functor. The canonical inclusion of $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\iota : (\Theta/p)^{Cd} \rightarrow \Theta/p$ is final.

Proof. To prove this statement, we will endow ι with a structure of right deformation retract. We then first build a right inverse of ι . Any triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b & \longrightarrow & C \\ & \searrow & \downarrow p \\ & & a \end{array}$$

induces a diagram of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & \nearrow l & \downarrow p \\ b' & \longrightarrow & a \end{array}$$

where b' is obtained in factorizing $b \rightarrow a$ in a algebraic morphism followed by a globular morphism, and l comes from the unique right lifting property of p against algebraic morphisms. By right cancellation, this implies that l is a discrete Conduché functor.

As these two operations are functorial, this defines a retraction $r : \Theta/p \rightarrow (\Theta/p)^{Cd}$ sending the triangle spotted by b, C and a to the triangle spotted by b', C and a . Moreover, this retraction comes along with a natural transformation $id \rightarrow r\iota$. As right deformation retracts are final, this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2.2.7. *Let $p : C \rightarrow D$ be a discrete Conduché functor. Then for any globular sums a , and any cartesian squares in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C'' & \xrightarrow{j} & C' & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow p'' \lrcorner & & \downarrow p' \lrcorner & & \downarrow p \\ \text{Sp}_a & \longrightarrow & a & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

the morphism j is in \widehat{W}_{Seg} .

Proof. By stability under pullback, the morphism p' is a discrete Conduché functor. Taking the notations of lemma 2.2.2.6, p' is equivalent to $\text{colim}_{(\Theta/p)^{Cd}} b \rightarrow a$ where this colimit is taken in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)_{/a}$. As $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is locally cartesian closed and as \widehat{W} is by definition closed by colimits, we can then reduce to the case where p' is a discrete Conduché functor between globular sums, i.e a globular morphism $b \rightarrow a$. In this case, the following canonical square is a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sp}_b & \longrightarrow & b \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow p' \\ \text{Sp}_a & \longrightarrow & a \end{array}$$

and this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2.2.8. *Consider a cartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j} & Y \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^n E^{eq} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \end{array}$$

in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$. The morphism j is in $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}$.

Proof. If we are in the case $n = 0$, this directly follows from the preservation of \mathbf{W} by cartesian product, demonstrated in the proof of proposition 2.2.1.54. We now suppose the result is true at stage n , and we first show that for any square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j} & Y \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p \\ [\Sigma^n E^{eq}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, 1] \end{array}$$

in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$, j is in $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$. As $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ is locally cartesian closed and $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ closed under colimits, one can suppose that Y is of shape $[a, k]$ and we denote $f : [k] \rightarrow [1]$ the morphism induced by p . By stability under pullback, X is then set-valued. Furthermore, we can then check in $\text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta])$ that this presheaf fits in a cocartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\Sigma^n E^{eq} \times_{\mathbf{D}_n} a, f^{-1}(0)] \amalg [\Sigma^n E^{eq} \times_{\mathbf{D}_n} a, f^{-1}(1)] & \longrightarrow & [\Sigma^n E^{eq} \times_{\mathbf{D}_n} a, k] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [a, f^{-1}(0)] \amalg [a, f^{-1}(1)] & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis $[\Sigma^n E^{eq} \times_{\mathbf{D}_n} a, l] \rightarrow [a, l]$ is in $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ for any integer l . As $X \rightarrow [a, k]$ is the colimit in depth of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [\Sigma^n E^{eq} \times_{\mathbf{D}_n} a, f^{-1}(0)] & & [\Sigma^n E^{eq} \times_{\mathbf{D}_n} a, f^{-1}(1)] & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ [a, f^{-1}(0)] & & [\Sigma^n E^{eq} \times_{\mathbf{D}_n} a, k] & & [a, f^{-1}(1)] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & [a, f^{-1}(0)] & & [a, f^{-1}(1)] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & [a, f^{-1}(0)] & & [a, f^{-1}(1)] \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & [a, k] & & [a, f^{-1}(1)] \end{array}$$

this implies that this morphism is in $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$.

We now return to ∞ -presheaves on Θ . We recall that we denote by $(i_!, i^*)$ the adjunction between $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ and $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$. Suppose given a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j} & Y \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^{n+1} E^{eq} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \end{array}$$

This induces two squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 i^*X & \xrightarrow{i^*j} & i^*Y \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 [\Sigma^n E^{eq}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1]
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 i_!i^*X & \xrightarrow{i_!i^*j} & i_!i^*Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X & \longrightarrow & Y
 \end{array}$$

Where the cartesianess of the left square comes from the fact that i^* preserves cartesian squares as it is a right adjoint. We just have demonstrated that i^*j is in $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$. Using proposition 2.2.1.5, and by left cancellation, the right square implies that j is in $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}$, which concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.2.2.9. *Let $p : C \rightarrow D$ be a functor between (∞, ω) -categories. Then for any globular sums a , and any cartesian squares in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C'' & \xrightarrow{j} & C' & \longrightarrow & C \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p \\
 \Sigma^n E^{eq} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & D
 \end{array}$$

the morphism j is in $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of lemma 2.2.2.8. \square

Theorem 2.2.2.10. *Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a discrete Conduché functor. The pullback functor $f^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/D} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/C}$ preserves colimits.*

Proof. As $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is locally cartesian closed, we can use the corollary 2.1.3.4. The hypotheses are provided by lemmas 2.2.2.7 and proposition 2.2.2.9. \square

2.3 Gray Operations

2.3.1 Gray operations on (∞, ω) -categories

Construction 2.3.1.1. We construct by induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}$ a colimit-preserving functor

$$_ \otimes _ : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_m) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \tag{2.3.1.2}$$

If $m = 1$, the functor is the left Kan extension of the functor

$$\Delta \times \Delta \xrightarrow{\otimes} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$$

where $\otimes : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$ is the Gray tensor product defined in theorem 1.2.4.1.

Suppose the functor constructed at the stage m . We define the colimit-preserving functor

$$_ \otimes _ : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta_m]) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \tag{2.3.1.3}$$

whose value on $([a, k], [n])$ fits in the cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \coprod_{i \leq k} \text{colim}_{[l, p] \rightarrow \{k\} \otimes [n]} [a \otimes [l], p] & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_{[l, p] \rightarrow [k] \otimes [n]} [a \otimes [l], p] \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \coprod_{i \leq k} \text{colim}_{[l, p] \rightarrow \{k\} \otimes [n]} [[l], p] & \longrightarrow & [a, k] \otimes [n]
 \end{array}$$

Eventually, we define the functor

$$_-\otimes_- : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_{m+1}) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$$

as the left Kan extension of the functor (2.3.1.3) along the inclusion

$$\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta_m]) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_{m+1}) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta).$$

As Θ is equivalent to $\cup_n \Theta_n$, the functor (2.3.1.2) induces a by extension by colimit functor

$$_-\otimes_- : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \quad (2.3.1.4)$$

Proposition 2.3.1.5. *The functor*

$$_-\otimes_- : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$$

constructed in (2.3.1.4) sends $W_1 \times W$ to \widehat{W} .

Proof. We show by induction on m that the functor

$$_-\otimes_- : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_m) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$$

constructed in (2.3.1.2) sends $W_1 \times W_m$ to \widehat{W} .

For $m = 0$, this is directly true as this functor corresponds to the inclusion of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta)$ in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ induced by the canonical inclusion $\Delta \rightarrow \Theta$.

Suppose the result is true at the stage m . We first want to show that the functor (2.3.1.3):

$$_-\otimes_- : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta_m]) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$$

sends $M_{m+1} \times W_1$ to \widehat{W} .

We first fix an object a in Θ_m . The functor $[a, _]\otimes_- : \text{Psh}(\Delta) \times \text{Psh}(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is the composite

$$\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \xrightarrow{-\otimes-} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_2) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Delta]) \xrightarrow{\Delta[a\otimes-]} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta]) \xrightarrow{i!} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$$

According to proposition 1.1.3.19, lemma 2.1.1.5, theorem 1.2.5.3, and by the induction hypothesis, this functor then sends $W_1 \times W_1$ to \widehat{W} .

We now fix two integers n and k . Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in W_m . The morphism $[X, k] \otimes [n] \rightarrow [Y, k] \otimes [n]$ is a colimit of natural transformations that is pointwise in \widehat{W} and so is also in \widehat{W} .

This implies that the functor (2.3.1.2) sends $M_{m+1} \times W_1$ to \widehat{W} . By propositions 1.1.3.19 and 2.1.1.9, this implies that the functor

$$_-\otimes_- : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_{m+1}) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$$

sends $W_{m+1} \times W_1$ to \widehat{W} . □

Definition 2.3.1.6. By proposition 2.3.1.5, the functor (2.3.1.4) induces a functor

$$_-\otimes_- : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, 1)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat},$$

called the *Gray tensor product*.

Proposition 2.3.1.7. *There is an equivalence $(C \otimes K)^\circ \sim C^\circ \otimes K^\circ$ natural in C and K .*

Proof. It is sufficient to construct this equivalence on pairs $(a, [n])$ where a is a globular sum. We then proceed by induction on the dimension in a , using the natural equivalence $[k] \otimes [l] \sim [k]^\circ \otimes [l]^\circ$ provided by proposition 1.2.4.3. \square

Construction 2.3.1.8. We construct by induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}$ a natural transformation ψ between the two functors

$$\begin{aligned} (_ \otimes _) \otimes _ &: \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_m) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \\ _ \otimes (_ \times _) &: \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_m) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \times \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \end{aligned}$$

If $m = 0$, this corresponds to the canonical morphism $_ \otimes _ \rightarrow _ \times _$. Suppose the natural transformation is defined at the stage m . Let a be an object of Θ_m and l, m, n three integers. By construction, $([a, n] \otimes [m]) \otimes [l]$ is a quotient of

$$P_{a,l,m,n} := \text{colim}_{[[k_0], k_1] \rightarrow [n] \otimes [m]} \text{colim}_{[[k_2], k_3] \rightarrow [k_1] \otimes [l]} [a \otimes [k_0] \otimes [k_2], k_3],$$

while $[a, n] \otimes ([m] \times [l])$ is a quotient of

$$Q_{a,l,m,n} := \text{colim}_{[[k_4], k_3] \rightarrow [m] \otimes ([n] \times [l])} [a \otimes [k_4], k_3].$$

Lemma 1.2.5.10 and the induction hypothesis then induce a morphism

$$P_{a,l,m,n} \rightarrow Q_{a,l,m,n}.$$

We can check that this morphism passes to the quotient and then induces a natural morphism

$$([a, n] \otimes [m]) \otimes [l] \rightarrow [a, n] \otimes ([m] \otimes [l])$$

By extension by colimit, this induces, for any C in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_m)$, and any pair K, L of objects of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta)$, a natural morphism

$$C \otimes K \otimes L \rightarrow C \otimes (K \times L).$$

The Gray tensor product will allow us to define numerous operations, called the *Gray operations*.

Gray cylinder

Definition 2.3.1.9. The restricted functor

$$_ \otimes [1] : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$$

is called the *Gray cylinder*.

Proposition 2.3.1.10. *There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \otimes [1]$ and the colimit of the diagram*

$$[1] \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C \otimes [1], 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1] \quad (2.3.1.11)$$

In the previous diagram, morphisms $[C, 1] \rightarrow [1] \vee [C, 1]$ and $[C, 1] \rightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1]$ are the whiskerings.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the construction of the Gray cylinder and of proposition 1.2.5.4. \square

Example 2.3.1.12. The (∞, ω) -category $\mathbf{D}_1 \otimes [1]$ corresponds to the polygraph

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

The (∞, ω) -category $\mathbf{D}_2 \otimes [1]$ corresponds to the polygraph

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \leftarrow & & & & \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \leftarrow \\ & 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 & & 00 & \longrightarrow & 01 & \\ & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \equiv & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \\ & 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 & & 10 & \longrightarrow & 11 & \\ & \rightarrow & & & & \rightarrow & & & \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.3.1.13. We have a natural diagram whose vertical morphisms are equivalences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (C \otimes \{1\})^\circ & \longrightarrow & (C \otimes [1])^\circ & \longleftarrow & (C \otimes \{0\})^\circ \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ C^\circ \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C^\circ \otimes [1] & \longleftarrow & C^\circ \otimes \{1\} \end{array}$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 2.3.1.7. \square

Definition 2.3.1.14. We denote by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \\ C & \mapsto & C^{[1]} \end{array}$$

the right adjoint of the Gray cylinder.

Remark 2.3.1.15. The proposition 2.3.3.6 provides, for any (∞, ω) -category C and any pair of objects a, b of C , a canonical cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_C(a, b) & \longrightarrow & C^{[1]} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{a\} \times \{b\} & \longrightarrow & C \times C \end{array}$$

Enhanced Gray tensor product

Definition 2.3.1.16. For any $C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, we denote by m_C the colimit preserving functor $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ whose value on a representable $[a, n]$ is $[a \times C, n]$. Remark that the assignation $C \mapsto m_C$ is natural in C and that m_1 is the identity.

Construction 2.3.1.17. We define the colimit preserving functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \\ (X, Y) & \mapsto & X \ominus Y, \end{array}$$

called the *enhanced Gray tensor product* where for any (∞, ω) -category C and any element $[b, n]$ of $\Delta[\Theta]$, $X \ominus [b, n]$ is the following pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{k \leq n} m_b(C \otimes \{k\}) & \longrightarrow & m_b(C \otimes [n]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{k \leq n} m_1(C \otimes \{k\}) & \longrightarrow & C \ominus [b, n] \end{array}$$

By construction, the functor $_ \ominus _$ commutes with colimits in both variables. Moreover, for any (∞, ω) -category C and $(\infty, 1)$ -category K , we have a canonical identification $C \ominus K \sim C \otimes K$.

Proposition 2.3.1.18. *There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \ominus [b, 1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[b, 1] \vee [C, 1] \leftarrow [C \otimes \{0\} \times b, 1] \rightarrow [(C \otimes [1]) \times b, 1] \leftarrow [C \otimes \{1\} \times b, 1] \rightarrow [C, 1] \vee [b, 1] \quad (2.3.1.19)$$

Proof. This is a consequence of proposition 2.3.1.10. \square

Corollary 2.3.1.20. *Let A and B two (∞, ω) -categories. There is an equivalence*

$$(A \ominus B)^\circ \sim A^\circ \ominus B^\circ$$

natural in A and B .

Proof. It is sufficient to construct the equivalence when A is a globular sum a and B is of shape $[b, n]$. Remark first that the proposition 2.3.1.7 implies that $(a \otimes [n])^\circ$ and $a^\circ \otimes [n]^\circ$ are isomorphic. The results then directly follows from the definition of the operation \ominus and from the equivalence $(m_b(_))^\circ \sim m_{b^\circ}((_)^\circ)$. \square

Gray cone and \circ -cone

Construction 2.3.1.21. We define the *Gray cone* and the *Gray \circ -cone*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_\bullet \\ C & \mapsto & C \star 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_\bullet \\ C & \mapsto & 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \end{array}$$

where $C \star 1$ and $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C$ are defined as the following pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.3.1.22. *There is a natural identification between $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} [C, 1]$ and the colimit of the diagram*

$$[1] \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C \star 1, 1] \quad (2.3.1.23)$$

There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \star 1$ and the colimit of the diagram

$$[1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1] \quad (2.3.1.24)$$

In each of the two previous diagrams, morphisms $[C, 1] \rightarrow [1] \vee [C, 1]$ and $[C, 1] \rightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1]$ are the whiskerings.

Proof. This is a consequence of proposition 2.3.1.10. \square

Example 2.3.1.25. The (∞, ω) -categories $\mathbf{D}_1 \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_1$ correspond respectively to the polygraphs:



The (∞, ω) -categories $\mathbf{D}_2 \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_2$ correspond respectively to the polygraphs:



Proposition 2.3.1.26. We have an invertible natural transformation

$$C \star 1 \sim (1 \overset{co}{\star} C^\circ)^\circ.$$

Proof. This directly follows from proposition 2.3.1.13 and from the construction of the Gray cone and \circ -cone. \square

Definition 2.3.1.27. We denote by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_\bullet & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_\bullet & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \\ (C, c) & \mapsto & C_{/c} & (C, c) & \mapsto & C_{c/} \end{array}$$

the right adjoints of the Gray cone and the Gray \circ -cone, respectively called the *slice of C over c* and the *slice of C under c* .

Remark 2.3.1.28. The proposition 2.3.1.26 induces an invertible natural transformation

$$C_{/c} \sim (C_{c/}^\circ)^\circ.$$

Remark 2.3.1.29. The proposition 2.3.3.6 provides, for any (∞, ω) -category C and any pair of objects a, b of C , two canonical cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_C(a, b) & \longrightarrow & C_{/b} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{a\} & \longrightarrow & C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_C(a, b) & \longrightarrow & C_{a/} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{b\} & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

k -Gray cylinder

Let C be an (∞, ω) -category and K a $(\infty, 1)$ -category. There is a canonical morphism $C \otimes K \rightarrow C \times K$. In a way, one can see $C \times K$ as an intelligent truncated version of the Gray tensor product $C \otimes K$. We will make this intuition precise by constructing a hierarchy of Gray tensor products with $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

Definition 2.3.1.30. For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$, we define the functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, 1)\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \\ (C, K) & \mapsto & C \otimes_k K \end{array}$$

where $C \otimes_k K$ fits in the cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq k} (\tau_n C) \otimes K & \longrightarrow & C \otimes K \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq k} \tau_n^i ((\tau_n C) \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_k K \end{array}$$

The induced functors $_ \otimes_k [1] : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ are called the k -Gray cylinder.

Remark 2.3.1.31. Remark that the endofunctor $_ \otimes_0 [1]$ is the identity, the endofunctor $_ \otimes_1 [1]$ is equivalent to $_ \times [1]$, and the endofunctor $\otimes_\omega [1]$ is just the normal Gray cylinder.

Proposition 2.3.1.32. For any integer $k > 0$, $_ \otimes_k [1]$ preserves colimits.

Proof. In order to simplify the notation, for a functor $F : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, the ∞ -presheaves $\operatorname{colim}_{\Theta / \Sigma^n E^{eq}} \iota F$, where ι is the inclusion $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow \operatorname{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$, will just be denoted by $F(\Sigma^n E^{eq})$.

As τ and τ^i preserves colimits in $\operatorname{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ and \widehat{W}_{Seg} , and as $_ \otimes [1]$ preserves colimits, we just have to show that for any n , $(\Sigma^n E^{eq}) \otimes_k [1] \rightarrow (\Sigma^n 1) \otimes_k [1]$ is in \widehat{W} .

We then proceed by induction on k . The cases $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ are trivial as $_ \otimes_0 [1]$ is the identity and $_ \otimes_1 [1]$ is the tensor product with $[1]$.

Suppose the result is true at the stage k for $k > 1$. If $n = 0$, remark that $E^{eq} \otimes_k [1]$ (resp. $1 \otimes_k [1]$) is equivalent to $E^{eq} \otimes [1]$ (resp. $1 \otimes [1]$) and the morphism is then in \widehat{W} . Now, if $n > 0$, formula (2.3.1.34) implies that $(\Sigma^n E^{eq}) \otimes_k [1] \rightarrow (\Sigma^n 1) \otimes_k [1]$ is the colimit in depth of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [\Sigma^{n-1} E^{eq} \otimes_{k-1} \{0\}, 1] & & [\Sigma^{n-1} E^{eq} \otimes_{k-1} \{1\}, 1] & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ [1] \vee [\Sigma^{n-1} E^{eq}, 1] & \rightarrow & [\Sigma^{n-1} E^{eq} \otimes_{k-1} \{1\}, 1] & \rightarrow & [\Sigma^{n-1} E^{eq}, 1] \vee [1] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & [\Sigma^{n-1} 1 \otimes_{k-1} \{0\}, 1] & \rightarrow & [\Sigma^{n-1} 1 \otimes_{k-1} \{1\}, 1] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ [1] \vee [\Sigma^{n-1} 1, 1] & \rightarrow & [\Sigma^{n-1} 1 \otimes_{k-1} \{1\}, 1] & \rightarrow & [\Sigma^{n-1} 1, 1] \vee [1] \end{array}$$

by induction hypothesis, and using lemma 1.1.3.7, all the morphisms in depth are in \widehat{W} , and so is their colimit. \square

Proposition 2.3.1.33. There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \otimes_{k+1} [1]$ and the colimit of the following diagram

$$[1] \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes_k \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C \otimes_k \{1\}, 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes_k \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1] \quad (2.3.1.34)$$

Proof. This is a consequence of proposition 2.3.1.10. \square

Definition 2.3.1.35. We denote by

$$(_)^{[1]k} : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}.$$

k -Gray cylinder. the right adjoint of the k -Gray cylinder.

2.3.2 Gray deformation retract

Definition 2.3.2.1. A *left k -Gray deformation retract structure* for a morphism $i : C \rightarrow D$ is the data of a *retract* $r : D \rightarrow C$, a *deformation* $\psi : D \otimes_k [1] \rightarrow D$, and equivalences

$$ri \sim id_C \quad \psi|_{D \otimes_k \{0\}} \sim ir \quad \psi|_{D \otimes_k \{1\}} \sim id_D \quad \psi|_{C \otimes_k [1]} \sim i \text{cst}_C$$

A morphism $i : C \rightarrow D$ between (∞, ω) -categories is a *left k -Gray deformation retract* if it admits a left deformation retract structure. By abuse of notation, such data will just be denoted by (i, r, ψ) .

Definition 2.3.2.2. We define dually the notion of *right k -Gray deformation retract structure* and of *right k -Gray deformation retract* in exchanging 0 and 1 in the previous definition.

Definition 2.3.2.3. A *left k -Gray deformation retract structure* for a morphism $i : f \rightarrow g$ in the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of arrows of (∞, ω) -cat is the data of a *retract* $r : g \rightarrow f$, a *deformation* $\psi : g \otimes_k [1] \rightarrow g$ and equivalences

$$ri \sim id_f \quad \psi|_{g \otimes_k \{0\}} \sim ir \quad \psi|_{g \otimes_k \{1\}} \sim id_D \quad \psi|_{f \otimes_k [1]} \sim i \text{cst}_C$$

A morphism $i : C \rightarrow D$ between arrows of (∞, ω) -cat is a *left k -Gray deformation retract* if it admits a left deformation retract structure. By abuse of notation, such data will just be denoted by (i, r, ψ) .

Definition 2.3.2.4. We define dually the notion of *right k -Gray deformation retract structure* and of *right k -Gray deformation retract* in exchanging 0 and 1 in the previous definition.

Example 2.3.2.5. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ and let C be an (∞, k) -category. We consider the morphism $i : C \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow C \otimes [1]$. We define $r : C \otimes [1] \xrightarrow{C \otimes \mathbb{I}} C \otimes \{0\}$. Eventually, we set

$$\psi : C \otimes [1] \otimes [1] \rightarrow C \otimes ([1] \times [1]) \xrightarrow{C \otimes \phi} C \otimes [1]$$

where the first morphism is constructed in 2.3.1.8, and where $\phi : [1] \times [1]$ is the morphism sending (i, j) on the minimum of i and j .

As C is an (∞, k) -category, ψ factors through $C \otimes [1] \rightarrow \tau_k^i(C \otimes [1]) \sim C \otimes_k [1]$. We denote by $\phi : C \otimes_k [1] \rightarrow C \otimes \{0\}$ the induced morphism. The triple (i, r, ϕ) is a left k -Gray deformation retract structure. Conversely, $C \otimes \{1\} \rightarrow C \otimes [1]$ is a right deformation retract.

One can show similarly that $1 \rightarrow 1 \overset{co}{\star} C$ is a left k -Gray deformation retract, and $1 \rightarrow C \star 1$ is a right k -Gray deformation retract.

The ∞ -groupoid of left and right Gray retracts enjoys many stability properties:

Proposition 2.3.2.6. Let (i_a, r_a, ψ_a) be a natural family of left (resp. right) k -Gray deformation retract structures indexed by an $(\infty, 1)$ -category A . The triple $(\text{colim}_A i_a, \text{colim}_A r_a, \text{colim}_A \psi_a)$ is a left (resp. right) k -Gray deformation retract structure.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the fact that $_ \otimes_k [1]$ preserves colimits. \square

Proposition 2.3.2.7. Suppose that we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{p} & Y & \xleftarrow{q} & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{p'} & Y' & \xleftarrow{q'} & Z' \end{array}$$

such that $p \rightarrow p'$ and $q \rightarrow q'$ are left (resp. right) k -Gray deformation retract. The induced square $q^*p \rightarrow (q')^*p'$ is a left (resp. right) k -Gray deformation retract.

Proof. The proof is an easy diagram chasing. \square

Proposition 2.3.2.8. *If $p \rightarrow p'$ and $p' \rightarrow p''$ are two left (resp. right) k -Gray deformation retracts, so is $p \rightarrow p''$.*

Proof. The proof is an easy diagram chasing. \square

Proposition 2.3.2.9. *Let $(i : C \rightarrow D, r, \psi)$ be a left (resp. right) $(k + 1)$ -Gray deformation structure. For any $x : C$ and $y : D$ (resp. $x : D$ and $y : C$), the morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hom}_C(x, ry) &\xrightarrow{i} \text{hom}_D(ix, iry) \xrightarrow{\psi_{y!}} \text{hom}_D(ix, y) \\ (\text{resp. } \text{hom}_C(rx, y) &\xrightarrow{i} \text{hom}_D(irx, iy) \xrightarrow{\psi_{x!}} \text{hom}_D(x, iy)) \end{aligned}$$

is a right (resp. left) k -Gray deformation retract, whose retract is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hom}_D(ix, y) &\xrightarrow{r} \text{hom}_C(x, ry) \\ (\text{resp. } \text{hom}_D(x, iy) &\xrightarrow{r} \text{hom}_C(rx, y)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By currying ψ , this induces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C & \xrightarrow{i} D \\ & \nearrow r & \nearrow \\ D & \xrightarrow{\psi} D^{[1]_{k+1}} & \\ & \searrow & \searrow id \\ & & D \end{array}$$

For any pair of objects (z, y) of D , according to proposition

Proposition 2.3.2.10. *prop:eq:for k cylinder, this induces a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{id} \text{hom}_D(z, y) & \\ \text{hom}_D(z, y) & \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{hom}_D(irz, iry) \times_{\text{hom}_D(irz, y)} \text{hom}_D(irz, y)^{[1]_k} \times_{\text{hom}_D(irz, y)} \text{hom}_D(z, y) & \uparrow \\ \downarrow r & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_C(rz, ry) & \xrightarrow{i} \text{hom}_D(irz, iry) & \end{array}$$

If z is of shape ix , the diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xrightarrow{id} \text{hom}_D(ix, y) & \xrightarrow{id} & \text{hom}_D(ix, y) & \\ \text{hom}_D(ix, y) & \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{hom}_D(ix, iry) \times_{\text{hom}_D(ix, y)} \text{hom}_D(ix, y)^{[1]_k} & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_D(ix, y)^{[1]_k} & \\ \downarrow r & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_C(x, ry) & \xrightarrow{i} \text{hom}_D(ix, iry) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{y!}} & \text{hom}_D(ix, y) & \end{array}$$

By decurrying, this induces a morphism $\phi : \text{hom}_D(ix, y) \otimes_k [1] \rightarrow \text{hom}_D(ix, y)$. We leave the reader verify that the triple $(\psi_{y!}i, r, \phi)$ is a right k -Gray deformation retract structure. We proceed similarly for the other case. \square

Proposition 2.3.2.11. For any left (resp. right) $(k + 1)$ -Gray deformation retract between p and p' :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{i} & D \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p' \\ C' & \xrightarrow{i'} & D' \end{array}$$

and for any pair of objects $x : C$ and $y : D$ (resp. $x : D$ and $y : C$), the outer square of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{hom}_C(x, ry) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{hom}_D(ix, iry) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{y!}} & \text{hom}_D(ix, y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_{C'}(px, pr'y) & \xrightarrow{i'} & \text{hom}_{D'}(p'i'x, p'i'r'y) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{p'y!}} & \text{hom}_{D'}(p'i'x, p'y) \end{array}$$

(resp.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{hom}_C(rx, y) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{hom}_D(irx, iy) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{x!}} & \text{hom}_D(x, iy) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_{C'}(pr'x, py) & \xrightarrow{i'} & \text{hom}_{D'}(p'i'r'x, p'i'y) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{p'x!}} & \text{hom}_{D'}(p'x, p'i'y) \end{array}$$

is a left (resp. right) $(k + 1)$ -Gray deformation retract, whose retract is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_D(ix, y) & \xrightarrow{r} & \text{hom}_C(x, ry) & & (\text{resp. } \text{hom}_D(x, iy) & \xrightarrow{r} & \text{hom}_C(rx, y)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_{D'}(p'i'x, p'y) & \xrightarrow{r'} & \text{hom}_{C'}(px, pr'y) & & \text{hom}_{D'}(p'x, p'i'y) & \xrightarrow{r'} & \text{hom}_{C'}(pr'x, py) \end{array}$$

Proof. This comes from the fact that the construction of the retraction and the deformation in the previous proposition was functorial. \square

Proposition 2.3.2.12. If i is a left k -Gray deformation retract, $[i, 1]$ is a right $(k + 1)$ -Gray deformation retract. Conversely, if i is a right k -Gray deformation retract, $[i, 1]$ is a left $(k + 1)$ -Gray deformation retract morphism.

Proof. Let $(i : C \rightarrow D, r, \phi)$ be a left k -Gray deformation retract structure. Using proposition 2.3.1.33, we define the morphism $\psi : [D, 1] \otimes_{k+1} [1] \rightarrow [D, 1]$ as the horizontal colimit of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} [1] \vee [D, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D \otimes_k \{0\}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D \otimes_k \{1\}, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D, 1] \vee [1] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow [r, 1] & & \downarrow [\phi, 1] & & \swarrow \downarrow [id, 1] \\ & & [C, 1] & \xrightarrow{[i, 1]} & [D, 1] & \xleftarrow{[id, 1]} & [D, 1] \end{array}$$

Eventually, remark that the triple $([i, 1], [r, 1], \psi)$ is a right $(k + 1)$ -Gray deformation retract. The other assertion is demonstrated similarly. \square

Proposition 2.3.2.13. For any integer n , if n is even, $i_n^- : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{n+1}$ is a left n -Gray deformation retract and $i_n^+ : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{n+1}$ is a right n -Gray deformation retract, and if n is odd, i_n^- is a right n -Gray deformation retract and i_n^+ is a left n -Gray deformation retract.

Proof. It is obvious that $\{0\} \rightarrow [1]$ is a left 1-Gray deformation retract and $\{1\} \rightarrow [1]$ is a right 1-Gray deformation retract. A repeated application of 2.3.2.12 proves the assertion. \square

Proposition 2.3.2.14. *Let a be a globular sum of dimension $(n + 1)$. We denote by $s_n(a)$ and $t_n(a)$ the globular sum defined in definition 1.1.2.17.*

If n is even, $s_n(a) \rightarrow a$ is a left n -Gray deformation retract and $t_n(a) \rightarrow a$ is a right n -Gray deformation retract, and if n is odd, $s_n(a) \rightarrow a$ is a right n -Gray deformation retract and $t_n(a) \rightarrow a$ is a left n -Gray deformation retract.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 2.3.2.13 and 2.3.2.6 as $s_n(a) \rightarrow a$ is a composition of pushouts of $i_n^- : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$. The other assertion is proved similarly. \square

2.3.3 Gray operations and strict objects

Recall that in construction 2.2.1.7 we defined an adjunction

$$\pi_0 : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} : \mathbf{N}$$

An (∞, ω) -category lying in the image of the nerve functor \mathbf{N} is called *strict*.

Proposition 2.3.3.1. *The functor π_0 preserves the Gray cylinder (defined in 1.2.4.4 for $(0, \omega)$ -categories and in 2.3.1.9 for (∞, ω) -categories), the suspension (defined in 1.1.1.7 for $(0, \omega)$ -categories and in 2.2.1.18 for (∞, ω) -categories), the Gray cone, and the Gray \circ -cone (defined in 1.2.4.8 for $(0, \omega)$ -categories and in 2.3.1.21 for (∞, ω) -categories).*

Proof. We will demonstrate the result only in the case of the Gray cylinder, as the other cases are similar. As π_0 and $_ \otimes [1]$ preserve colimits, it is sufficient to demonstrate that the following triangle commutes up to an invertible natural transformation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta & \xrightarrow{_ \otimes [1]} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \pi_0 \\ & _ \otimes [1] & (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \end{array}$$

Furthermore, an induction using proposition 1.2.4.12 and proposition 2.3.1.10 provides a natural isomorphism between $\pi_0(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1])$ and $\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]$. The theorem 1.2.4.16 then provides the desired invertible natural transformation. \square

The strict categories play an important role as they allow us to make explicit calculations. In particular, it will be very useful to know which cocontinuous functors preserve them.

Proposition 2.3.3.2. *An (∞, ω) -category C is strict if and only if C_0 is a set and for any pair of objects x, y , $\text{hom}_C(x, y)$ is strict.*

Proof. By definition, an (∞, ω) -category is strict if and only if, for any globular sum $[\mathbf{b}, n]$, $\text{Hom}([\mathbf{b}, n], C)$ is a set. However, as C is W -local, we have an equivalence between $\text{Hom}([\mathbf{b}, n], C)$ and

$$\coprod_{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in C_0} \text{Hom}(b_1, \text{hom}_C(x_0, x_1)) \times \dots \times \text{Hom}(b_n, \text{hom}_C(x_{n-1}, x_n))$$

As all the objects of the previous expression are set by hypothesis, and as the inclusion of set into ∞ -groupoid is stable under coproduct and product, $\text{Hom}([b, n], C)$ is a set. \square

Proposition 2.3.3.3. *If C is a strict (∞, ω) -category, so is $[C, 1]$.*

Proof. If C is a globular sum of the empty (∞, ω) -category, this is obvious.

Suppose now that C is any strict (∞, ω) -category. Proposition 1.1.2.10 and corollary 1.1.2.12 imply that $[_, 1] : \Theta^+_{/C} \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is Reedy cofibrant. According to proposition 2.2.1.15, the colimit of this diagram, computed in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$, is $[C, 1]$. Lemma 2.1.1.5 then implies that this object is strict. \square

Lemma 2.3.3.4. *For any n , $\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]$, $\mathbf{D}_n \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_n$ are strict.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . The result is obviously true for $n = 0$. Suppose it is true as the stage n . According to proposition 2.3.1.10, $\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]$ is the colimit of the following diagram

$$[1] \vee \mathbf{D}_n \longleftarrow \mathbf{D}_n \longrightarrow [\mathbf{D}_{n-1} \otimes [1], 1] \longleftarrow \mathbf{D}_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_n \vee [1] \quad (2.3.3.5)$$

The induction hypothesis and proposition 2.3.3.3 implies that all the objects are strict. The proposition 1.2.4.14 then implies that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{D}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \otimes [1] & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [1] & \longleftarrow & \{1\} \end{array}$$

verifies the hypothesis of proposition 2.2.1.33. This implies that the colimit of (2.3.3.5) is special. The inductive hypothesis and lemma 2.1.1.5 imply that its colimit is then strict. As this colimit is $\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]$, this concludes the proof by induction.

We proceed similarly for the Gray cone and the Gray \circ -cone. \square

Proposition 2.3.3.6. *There exists a natural transformation $C \otimes [1] \rightarrow [C, 1]$ whose restriction to $C \otimes \{0\}$ (resp. to $C \otimes \{1\}$) is constant on $\{0\}$ (resp. on $\{1\}$) and such that the following square is cocartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{0\} \amalg C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} \amalg \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array} \quad (2.3.3.7)$$

Proof. As $_ \otimes [1]$ and $[_, 1]$ preserve colimits, it is sufficient to construct the natural transformation on globular sums. As π_0 commutes with the Gray cylinder, proposition 1.2.4.5 induces a comparison

$$\pi_0(a \otimes [1]) \rightarrow [a, 1]$$

natural in $a : \Theta$. By adjunction, this induces a natural transformation

$$a \otimes [1] \rightarrow [a, 1].$$

It remains to demonstrate the cocartesianness of the square (2.3.3.7). As cocartesian squares are stable under colimits, we can reduce to the case where C is equivalent to \mathbf{D}_n for n an integer. We then proceed by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial, as proposition 2.3.1.10 implies that $\mathbf{D}_0 \otimes [1]$ is equivalent to $[1]$. Suppose the result is true at the stage n . Using once again proposition 2.3.1.10, we have that

$$\mathbf{D}_{n+1} \otimes [1] \amalg_{\mathbf{D}_{n+1} \otimes (\{0\} \amalg \{1\})} \{0\} \amalg \{1\}$$

is equivalent to the colimit of the diagram

$$[1] \longleftarrow [\mathbf{D}_n \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1], 1] \longleftarrow [\mathbf{D}_n \otimes \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [1]$$

and by induction hypothesis, it is equivalent to

$$[\mathbf{D}_{n+1}, 1],$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3.3.8. *Let α be $-$ if n is even (resp. odd) and $+$ if n is odd (resp. even). Consider a cartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0 & \longrightarrow & D \\ p \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p' \\ \mathbf{D}_n & \xrightarrow{i_n^\alpha} & \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \end{array} \quad (2.3.3.9)$$

such that $p \rightarrow p'$ is a left $(n+1)$ -Gray deformation retract (resp. a right $(n+1)$ -Gray deformation retract). Let C_1 be the (∞, ω) -category fitting in the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_1 & \longrightarrow & D \\ p \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p' \\ \mathbf{D}_n & \xrightarrow{i_n^{1-\alpha}} & \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \end{array} \quad (2.3.3.10)$$

Then if C_0 and C_1 are strict, so is D .

Proof. We denote by (i, r, ϕ) the deformation retract structure corresponding to $C_0 \rightarrow D$. We show this result by induction, and let's start with the case $n = 0$. This corresponds to the case where $C_0 \rightarrow D$ fits in a pullback diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0 & \longrightarrow & D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [1] \end{array}$$

Let x, y be two objects of D . Suppose first that x and y are over the same object of $[1]$. In this case, $\text{hom}_D(x, y)$ is equivalent to either $\text{hom}_{C_0}(x, y)$ or $\text{hom}_{C_1}(x, y)$ and is then strict. If x is over 1 and y over 0, the ∞ -groupoid $\text{hom}_D(x, y)$ is empty. If x is over 0 and y is over 1, $\text{hom}_D(x, y)$ is equivalent to $\text{hom}_{C_0}(x, ry)$ according to 2.3.2.9 and is then strict by hypothesis. Eventually, $\tau_0(D)$ is equivalent to $\tau_0(C_1)$ and is then a set. According to 2.3.3.2, this implies that D is strict.

Suppose now the result is true at stage $(n-1)$. Let $p' \rightarrow p$ be a square verifying the condition. Remark that, at the level of objects, the inclusion $C_0 \rightarrow D$, its retract, and its deformation, are the identity.

Let x and y be two objects of D . As before, the only interesting case is when x is over 0 and y is over 1. In this case, applying $\text{hom}(_, _)$ to the square (2.3.3.9), we get a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_{C_0}(x, y) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_D(x, y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}^\alpha} & \mathbf{D}_n \end{array}$$

which is a right n -Gray deformation retract according to proposition 2.3.2.9. Applying $\text{hom}(_, _)$ to the square (2.3.3.10), we get a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_{C_1}(x, y) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_D(x, y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}^{1-\alpha}} & \mathbf{D}_n \end{array}$$

As C_1 is strict, so is $\text{hom}_{C_1}(x, y)$. We can then apply the induction hypothesis, which implies that $\text{hom}_D(x, y)$ is strict. As $\tau_0 D$ is equivalent to $\tau_0 C_0$, it is a set. We can apply proposition 2.3.3.2 which implies that D is strict. \square

Construction 2.3.3.11. For an integer $n > 0$, we define by induction

- a left $(n + 1)$ -Gray retract structure for the inclusion

$$\mathbf{D}_n \star \emptyset \cup \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \star 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n \star 1 \quad (2.3.3.12)$$

where the gluing is performed along $i_n^\alpha : \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \star \emptyset \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n \star \emptyset$ with α being $+$ if n is odd and $-$ if not,

- a right $(n + 1)$ -Gray retract structure for the inclusion

$$1 \star \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \cup \emptyset \star \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow 1 \star \mathbf{D}_n \quad (2.3.3.13)$$

where the gluing is performed along $i_n^\alpha : \emptyset \star \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \rightarrow \emptyset \star \mathbf{D}_n$ with α being $-$ if n is odd and $+$ if not.

If $n = 1$, the first morphism corresponds to the inclusion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & & \bullet \\ \downarrow & \longrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \bullet & \longrightarrow & \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & & \bullet \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ \bullet & \longrightarrow & \bullet \end{array}$$

and the second one to the inclusion:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & & \bullet \\ \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow \\ \bullet & \longrightarrow & \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & & \bullet \\ \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow \\ \bullet & \longrightarrow & \bullet \end{array}$$

The propositions 2.3.2.13 and 2.3.2.6 imply that the first morphism is a left 2-Gray deformation retract and the second one a right 2-Gray deformation retract. Suppose now that these two morphisms are constructed at stage n . The proposition 2.3.1.22 implies that $\mathbf{D}_{n+1} \star \emptyset \cup \mathbf{D}_n \star 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{n+1} \star 1$ fits in the cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [1 \star \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \cup \emptyset \star \mathbf{D}_n, 1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \star \emptyset \cup \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [1 \star \mathbf{D}_n, 1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \star 1 \end{array}$$

The induction hypothesis and the propositions 2.3.2.12 and 2.3.2.6 endow this morphism with a left $(n + 2)$ -Gray retract structure. We constructs similarly the right $(n + 2)$ -Gray retract structure for the inclusion $1 \star \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \cup \emptyset \star \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow 1 \star \mathbf{D}_n$.

Proposition 2.3.3.14. *Let C be a strict (∞, ω) -category, a a globular sum, and $f : a \rightarrow C$ any morphism. The (∞, ω) -categories $C \coprod_a a \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} a \coprod_a C$ are strict. In particular, $a \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} a$ are strict.*

Proof. We will prove the result by induction on the number of non-identity cells of a . Remark that for any globular sum b , there exists a globular sum a , an integer n , and a cocartesian square composed of globular morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & a \\ i_{n-1}^\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_n & \xrightarrow[l]{} & b \end{array} \quad (2.3.3.15)$$

with $\alpha = +$ if n is odd, and $\alpha = -$ if n is even, and such that l admits a retract r . As i_{n-1}^α is globular, the pullback along this morphism preserves colimits according to theorem 2.2.2.10. We then have a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow r \\ \mathbf{D}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}^\alpha} & \mathbf{D}_n \end{array}$$

We also define a' as the pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a' & \longrightarrow & b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow r \\ \mathbf{D}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}^{-\alpha}} & \mathbf{D}_n \end{array}$$

and remark that a' is a globular sum. Eventually, we fix a morphism $b \rightarrow C$. As a and a' are sub globular sum of b , the number of non-identity cells in each of them is strictly less than the one of b . We then suppose that for any strict (∞, ω) -category C , and any morphism $b \rightarrow C$, the two induced (∞, ω) -category $C \coprod_a a \star 1$ and $C \coprod_{a'} a' \star 1$ are strict.

We are first willing to demonstrate that the two following squares are cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b \coprod_a a \star 1 & \longrightarrow & b \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] & \xrightarrow{[i_{n-1}^\alpha]} & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} b \coprod_{a'} a' \star 1 & \longrightarrow & b \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] & \xrightarrow{[i_{n-1}^{-\alpha}]} & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] \end{array} \quad (2.3.3.16)$$

As i_{n-1}^α , $i_{n-1}^{-\alpha}$, $[i_{n-1}^\alpha, 1]$, and $[i_{n-1}^{-\alpha}, 1]$ are globular, they are Conduché functors, and pullback along them preserves colimits according to theorem 2.2.2.10. The subcategory of Θ consisting of globular sums b such that the two squares of (2.3.3.16) are cartesian is then closed under colimits, and we can then reduce to the case where b is a globe.

In this case, lemma 2.3.3.4, proposition 2.3.3.3, and the induction hypothesis imply that all the objects of these squares are strict. We can then show the cartesianess in $(0, \omega)$ -cat, where it follows from lemma 1.2.4.15. This concludes the proof of the cartesianess of the square (2.3.3.16).

We claim that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{0\} & \longrightarrow & \{0\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] \end{array}$$

is cartesian. This directly follows from proposition 1.2.4.14 as all the objects are strict according to proposition 2.3.3.3. This implies that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes \{0\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] \end{array} \quad (2.3.3.17)$$

is cartesian. Using one more time the fact that pullback along $[i_{n-1}^-, 1]$ and $[i_{n-1}^+, 1]$ preserves colimits, the cartesian squares (2.3.3.16) and (2.3.3.17) induces two cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \coprod_a a \star 1 & \longrightarrow & C \coprod_b b \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] & \xrightarrow{[i_{n-1}^{\alpha}, 1]} & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C \coprod_{a'} a' \star 1 & \longrightarrow & C \coprod_b b \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\mathbf{D}_{n-1}, 1] & \xrightarrow{[i_{n-1}^{-\alpha}, 1]} & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] \end{array} \quad (2.3.3.18)$$

By the induction hypothesis, the two top left objects are strict. Eventually, remark that the cocartesian (2.3.3.15) induces a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_n \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_{n-1}} \mathbf{D}_{n-1} \star 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \star 1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ C \coprod_a a \star 1 & \longrightarrow & C \coprod_b b \star 1 \end{array}$$

As the top horizontal morphism is a left $(n+1)$ -Gray deformation retract, proposition 2.3.2.6 implies that the left square of (2.3.3.18) also is. Lemma 2.3.3.8 then implies that $C \coprod_b b \star 1$ is strict. We prove similarly that $1 \overset{co}{\star} b \coprod_b C$ is strict. \square

We want to generalize proposition 2.3.3.14 and provide an analogue for the Gray Cylinder. In what follows, we will utilize the results of sections 3.2.4 (specifically the corollaries 3.2.4.10, 3.2.4.11, 3.2.4.12). We assure the reader that this is not a tautology, as the proofs of these results are not based on the following propositions and theorems.

Lemma 2.3.3.19. *Let $j : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories. The following squares are cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \overset{co}{\star} C \coprod_C D & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} D \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [C, 1] & \xrightarrow{[j, 1]} & [D, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D \coprod_C C \star 1 & \longrightarrow & D \star 1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [C, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] \end{array}$$

Proof. This is the content of corollary 3.2.4.11. \square

Lemma 2.3.3.20. *Let $j : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories. The following square is cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D \coprod_{C \otimes \{0\}} C \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & D \star 1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 \overset{co}{\star} C & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] \end{array}$$

Proof. The (∞, ω) -category $1 \overset{co}{\star} C$ is equivalent to the colimit $\text{colim}_{\Theta^+} 1 \overset{co}{\star} _$ where Θ^+ defined in 1.1.2.3. Corollary 3.2.4.12 states that pullback along the morphism $D \star 1 \rightarrow [D, 1]$ preserves colimits. We can then reduce to the case where C is the empty (∞, ω) -category or a globe. If C is the empty (∞, ω) -category, the result follows from lemma 2.3.3.19. It remains to demonstrate the case where C is of shape \mathbf{D}_n for n an integer.

Remark now that the square factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_n \otimes \{0\}} \mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & D \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_n} \mathbf{D}_n \star 1 & \longrightarrow & D \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] \end{array}$$

The lemma 2.3.3.19 implies that the right one is cartesian, and it is sufficient to demonstrate that the left one also is cartesian.

Using corollary 3.2.4.12 that states that pullback along the morphism $1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow [D, 1]$ preserves colimits, it is sufficient to demonstrate that the two following squares are cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D & \longrightarrow & D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] \end{array}$$

For the first one, as all the objects are strict according to lemma 2.3.3.4. We can then show the result in $(0, \omega)$ -cat where it follows from proposition 1.2.4.14. Remark now that the second square factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \longrightarrow & D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \{0\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1] \end{array}$$

The top square is obviously cartesian. Remark that all objects of the second one are strict according to lemma 2.3.3.4, and we can then show the cartesianess in $(0, \omega)$ -cat where it follows from proposition 1.2.4.14. \square

Theorem 2.3.3.21. *Let $C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism between strict (∞, ω) -categories. The (∞, ω) -categories $D \coprod_C C \star 1$, $1 \overset{co}{\star} C \coprod_C D$, and $D \coprod_{C \otimes \{0\}} C \otimes [1]$ are strict. In particular, $C \star 1$, $1 \overset{co}{\star} C$, and $C \otimes [n]$ for any integer n , are strict.*

Proof. The proposition 2.3.3.3 implies that $[C, 1]$ and $[D, 1]$ are strict. As right adjoints preserve strict objects, so are $[C, 1]_{0/}$, $[C, 1]_{1/}$, $[D, 1]_{0/}$, and $[D, 1]_{1/}$. Corollary 3.2.4.10 then implies that $1 \overset{co}{\star} C$, $C \star 1$, $1 \overset{co}{\star} D$, and $C \star 1$ are strict. As strict objects are closed by limits, lemmas 2.3.3.19 and 2.3.3.20 conclude the proof. \square

Corollary 2.3.3.22. *Let F be an endofunctor of (∞, ω) -cat such that the induced functor (∞, ω) -cat $\rightarrow (\infty, \omega)$ -cat $_{F(\emptyset)}$ is colimit preserving and ψ an invertible natural transformation between $F(\mathbf{D}_n)$ and $H(\mathbf{D}_n)$ where H is either the Gray cylinder, the Gray cone, the Gray \circ -cone or an iterated suspension.*

Then, the natural transformation ψ can be uniquely extended to an natural transformation between F and G . Moreover, this natural transformation is unique.

Proof. We denote by Θ^+ the category obtained from Θ by adding an initial element \emptyset . Remark first that the theorem 1.2.4.16 implies that we have an invertible natural transformation

$$\pi_0 \circ F|_{\Theta^+} \rightarrow \pi_0 \circ H|_{\Theta^+}.$$

The theorem 2.3.3.21 and 2.3.3.3 imply that the canonical morphism

$$H|_{\Theta^+} \rightarrow N \circ \pi_0 \circ H|_{\Theta^+}$$

is an equivalence. The two previous morphisms then induce a comparison:

$$F|_{\Theta^+} \rightarrow N \circ \pi_0 \circ F|_{\Theta^+} \rightarrow H|_{\Theta^+}$$

By extension by colimits, this produces a natural transformation $\phi : F \rightarrow H$ extending ψ . The full sub ∞ -groupoid of objects C such that $\phi_C : F(C) \rightarrow H(C)$ is an equivalence is closed by colimits, contains globes, and so is the maximal sub ∞ -groupoid. \square

The previous corollary implies that the propositions 2.3.1.10 and 2.3.1.22 characterize respectively the Gray cylinder, the Gray cone, and the Gray \circ -cone.

Corollary 2.3.3.23. *The colimit preserving endofunctor $F : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, sending $[a, n]$ to the colimit of the span*

$$\coprod_{k \leq n} \{k\} \leftarrow \coprod_{k \leq n} a \otimes \{k\} \rightarrow a \otimes [n]$$

is equivalent to the identity.

Proof. The proposition 2.3.3.6 implies that the restriction of F to globes is equivalent to the restriction of the identity to globes. As the identity is the 0-iterated suspension, we can apply corollary 2.3.3.22. \square

Remark 2.3.3.24. The last corollary implies that for any (∞, ω) -category C and any globular sum a , the simplicial ∞ -groupoid

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{op} & \rightarrow & \infty\text{-grd} \\ [n] & \mapsto & \text{Hom}([a, n], C) \end{array}$$

is a $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

Theorem 2.3.3.25. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. The two following canonical squares are cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

The five squares appearing in the following canonical diagram are both cartesian and cocartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

Proof. The five squares of the second diagram are cocartesian by construction and by proposition 2.3.3.6.

By remarking that $[\emptyset, 1] \sim \{0\} \amalg \{1\}$ and $\emptyset \star 1 \sim 1$, lemma 2.3.3.19 induces a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \amalg 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{0\} \amalg \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

This implies that the squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

are cartesian. We can similarly demonstrate that the square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

are cartesian. The lemma 2.3.3.20 implies that the square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes [1] & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 \overset{co}{\star} C & \longrightarrow & [C, 1] \end{array}$$

is cartesian. The cartesianess of the remaining square follows by right cancellation of cartesian squares. \square

Chapter 3

The $(\infty, 1)$ -category of marked (∞, ω) -categories

Contents

3.1	Marked (∞, ω)-categories	117
3.1.1	Definition of marked (∞, ω) -categories	117
3.1.2	Gray tensor product of marked (∞, ω) -categories	124
3.1.3	Gray tensor product and Cartesian product	131
3.1.4	Marked Gray deformation retract	135
3.2	Cartesian fibrations	137
3.2.1	Initial and final morphisms	137
3.2.2	Left and right cartesian fibrations	139
3.2.3	Cartesian fibration are exponentiable	149
3.2.4	Colimits of cartesian fibrations	154
3.2.5	Smooth and proper morphisms	159
3.2.6	The \mathbf{W} -small (∞, ω) -category of \mathbf{V} -small left cartesian fibrations	165

This chapter is dedicated to the study of *marked (∞, ω) -categories*, which are pairs (C, tC) , where C is an (∞, ω) -category and $tC := (tC_n)_{n>0}$ is a sequence of full sub ∞ -groupoids of C_n that include identities and are stable under composition and whiskering with (possibly unmarked) cells of lower dimensions. There are two canonical ways to mark an (∞, ω) -category C . In the first, denoted by C^b , we mark as little as possible. In the second, denoted by C^\sharp , we mark everything.

The first section of the chapter defines these objects and establishes analogs of many results on (∞, ω) -categories to this new framework. In particular, the *marked Gray cylinder* $_ \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is defined. If A is an (∞, ω) -category, the underlying (∞, ω) -category of $A^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is $A \times [1]$, and the underlying (∞, ω) -category of $A^b \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is $A \otimes [1]$. By varying the marking, and at the level of underlying (∞, ω) -categories, we "continuously" move from the cartesian product with the directed interval to the Gray tensor product with the directed interval.

The motivation for introducing markings comes from the notion of *left (and right) cartesian fibrations*. A left cartesian fibration is a morphism between marked (∞, ω) -categories such that only the marked cells

of the codomain have cartesian lifting, and the marked cells of the domain correspond exactly to such cartesian lifting. For example, a left cartesian fibration $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$ is just a "usual" left cartesian fibration where we have marked the cartesian lifts of the domain, and every morphism $C^b \rightarrow D^b$ is a left cartesian fibration.

After defining and enumerating the stability properties enjoyed by this class of left (and right) cartesian fibration, we give several characterizations of this notion in theorem 3.2.2.24.

The more general subclass of left cartesian fibrations that still behaves well is the class of *classified left cartesian fibrations*. This corresponds to left cartesian fibrations $X \rightarrow A$ such that there exists a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & A^\sharp \end{array}$$

where the right vertical morphism is a left cartesian fibration and A^\sharp is obtained from A by marking all cells. In the second section, we prove the following fundamental result:

Theorem 3.2.3.15. *Let $p : X \rightarrow A$ be a classified left cartesian fibration. Then the functor $p^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/A} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/X}$ preserves colimits.*

The third subsection is devoted to the proof of the following theorem

Theorem 3.2.4.3. *Let A be an (∞, ω) -category and $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/A^\sharp}$ be a diagram that is pointwise a left cartesian fibration. The colimit $\text{colim}_I F$, computed in $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/A^\sharp}$, is a left cartesian fibration over A^\sharp .*

In the fourth subsection we study *smooth* and *proper* morphisms and we obtain the following expected result:

Proposition 3.2.5.21. *For a morphism $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$, and an object a of A , we denote by $X_{/a}$ the marked (∞, ω) -category fitting in the following cartesian squares.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{/a} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ A^\sharp_{/a} & \longrightarrow & A^\sharp \end{array}$$

We denote by $\perp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ the functor sending a marked (∞, ω) -category to its localization by marked cells.

- (1) Let E, F be two elements of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/A^\sharp}$ corresponding to morphisms $X \rightarrow A^\sharp, Y \rightarrow A^\sharp$, and $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ a morphism between them. We denote by $\mathbf{F}E$ and $\mathbf{F}F$ the left cartesian fibrant replacement of E and F .

The induced morphism $\mathbf{F}\phi : \mathbf{F}E \rightarrow \mathbf{F}F$ is an equivalence if and only if for any object a of A , the induced morphism

$$\perp X_{/a} \rightarrow \perp Y_{/a}$$

is an equivalence of (∞, ω) -categories.

- (2) A morphism $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$ is initial if and only if for any object a of A , $\perp X_{/a}$ is the terminal (∞, ω) -category.

Finally, in the last subsection, for a marked (∞, ω) -category I , we define and study a (huge) (∞, ω) -category $\underline{\text{LCart}}^c(I)$ that has classified left cartesian fibrations as objects and morphisms between classified left cartesian fibrations as arrows.

Cardinality hypothesis. We fix during this chapter two Grothendieck universes $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{W}$, such that $\omega \in \mathbf{U}$. When nothing is specified, this corresponds to the implicit choice of the cardinality \mathbf{V} . We then denote by Set the \mathbf{W} -small 1-category of \mathbf{V} -small sets, $\infty\text{-grd}$ the \mathbf{W} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -category of \mathbf{V} -small ∞ -groupoids and $(\infty, 1)\text{-cat}$ the \mathbf{W} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -category of \mathbf{V} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

3.1 Marked (∞, ω) -categories

3.1.1 Definition of marked (∞, ω) -categories

Definition 3.1.1.1. A *marked $(0, \omega)$ -category* is a pair (C, tC) where C is an $(0, \omega)$ -category and $tC := (tC_n)_{n>0}$ is a sequence of subsets of C_n , containing identities, stable by composition and by whiskering with (possibly unmarked) cells of lower dimension. A n -cell $a : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (C, tC)$ is *marked* if it belongs to tC_n .

A *morphism of marked (∞, ω) -categories* $f : (C, tC) \rightarrow (D, tD)$ is the data of a morphism on the underlying $(0, \omega)$ -categories such that $f(tC_n) \subset tD_n$. The category of marked $(0, \omega)$ -categories is denoted by $(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$.

Construction 3.1.1.2. There are two canonical ways to mark an $(0, \omega)$ -category. For $C \in (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$, we define $C^\sharp := (C, (C_n)_{n>0})$ and $C^\flat := (C, (\mathbb{I}(C_{n-1})_{n>0}))$. The first one corresponds to the case where all cells are marked, and the second one where only the identities are marked. These two functors fit in the following adjoint triple:

$$(_)^\flat : (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightleftharpoons{\perp} (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m : (_)^\sharp \quad (_)^\sharp : (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \xrightleftharpoons{\perp} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} : (_)^\flat$$

where $(_)^\sharp$ is the obvious forgetfull functor. To simplify notations, for a marked $(0, \omega)$ -category C , the marked $(0, \omega)$ -categories $(C^\sharp)^\flat$ and $(C^\flat)^\sharp$ will be simply denoted by C^\flat and C^\sharp .

Example 3.1.1.3. For n an integer, we denote by $(\mathbf{D}_n)_t$ the marked $(0, \omega)$ -category whose underlying $(0, \omega)$ -category is \mathbf{D}_n and whose only non-trivial marked cell is the top dimensional one.

Definition 3.1.1.4. We define the category $t\Theta$ as the full subcategory of $(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ whose objects are of shape a^\flat for a a globular sum, or $(\mathbf{D}_n)_t$ for an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Remark that this subcategory is dense in $(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$.

Definition 3.1.1.5. We define the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of *stratified ∞ -presheaves on Θ* , noted by $t\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$, as the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{Psh}^\infty(t\Theta)$ whose objects correspond to ∞ -presheaves X such that the induced morphism $X((\mathbf{D}_n)_t) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{D}_n)$ is a monomorphism.

Proposition 3.1.1.6. *The $(\infty, 1)$ -category $t\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is locally cartesian closed.*

Proof. The $(\infty, 1)$ -category $t\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is the localization of the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\text{Psh}^\infty(t\Theta)$ along the set of map \widehat{I} with

$$I := \{(\mathbf{D}_n)_t \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_n} (\mathbf{D}_n)_t \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_n)_t\}_n.$$

As $\text{Psh}^\infty(t\Theta)$ is locally cartesian closed, we have to show that for any integer $n > 0$ and any cartesian square in $\text{Psh}^\infty(t\Theta)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{D}_n)_t & \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_n} & (\mathbf{D}_n)_t \longrightarrow (\mathbf{D}_n)_t \end{array}$$

the top horizontal morphism is in \widehat{I} . Using once again the locally cartesian closeness of $\text{Psh}^\infty(t\Theta)$, it is sufficient to show that for any integer $n > 0$ and for any morphism $j : b \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_n)_t$ between elements of $t\Theta$, the morphism i appearing in the following cartesian square of $\text{Psh}^\infty(t\Theta)$ is an equivalence or is in I :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{i} & b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ (\mathbf{D}_n)_t & \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_n} & (\mathbf{D}_n)_t \longrightarrow (\mathbf{D}_n)_t \end{array}$$

Two cases have to be considered. If j is the identity this is trivially true. If j is any other morphism, it factors through $\mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_n)_t$. Remark now that we have two cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b & \longrightarrow & b \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_n & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{D}_n)_t \end{array}$$

This implies that $b \sim \mathbf{D}_n \times_{(\mathbf{D}_n)_t} b$ and then that $B \sim b \coprod_b b \sim b \sim b$. In particular, the morphism i is the identity, which concludes the proof. \square

Construction 3.1.1.7. For a stratified ∞ -presheaf X on Θ , we denote by tX_n the ∞ -groupoid $X((\mathbf{D}_n)_t)$. A stratified ∞ -presheaves on Θ is then the data of a pair (X, tX) such that $X \in \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ and $tX := (tX_n)_{n>0}$ is a sequence of ∞ -groupoid such that for any $n > 0$, tX_n is a full sub ∞ -groupoid of X_n including all units.

For $X \in \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$, we define $X^\sharp := (X, (X_n)_{n>0})$ and $X^\flat := (X, (\mathbb{I}(X_{n-1})_{n>0})$ and we have an adjoint triple

$$(_)^\flat : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta) : (_)^\sharp \quad (_)^\sharp : \text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{Psh}(\Theta) : (_)^\flat$$

where $(_)^\sharp$ is the obvious forgetful functor.

Definition 3.1.1.8. We define the category $t\Delta[t\Theta]$ as the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t\Delta[t\Theta] & \longrightarrow & t\Theta \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (_)^\sharp \\ \Delta[\Theta] & \longrightarrow & \Theta \end{array}$$

The objects of $t\Delta[t\Theta]$ then are of shape $[1]^\sharp$ or $[a, n]$ with $a \in t\Theta$ and $n \in \Delta$. The $(\infty, 1)$ -category of *stratified presheaves on $\Delta[\Theta]$* , denoted by $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$, is the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{Psh}^\infty(t\Delta[t\Theta])$ whose objects correspond to ∞ -presheaves X such that the induced morphism $X((\mathbf{D}_n)_t) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{D}_n)$ is a monomorphism.

Proposition 3.1.1.9. *The $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ is locally cartesian closed.*

Proof. The proof is almost identical to the one of proposition 3.1.1.6 \square

Definition 3.1.1.10. For a stratified ∞ -presheaf X on $\Delta[\Theta]$, we denote by tX_1 the ∞ -groupoid $X([1]^\sharp)$, and for any $n > 1$, we denote by tX_n the ∞ -groupoid $X((\mathbf{D}_n)_t)$.

A stratified ∞ -presheaf on $\Delta[\Theta]$ is then the data of a pair (X, tX) such that $X \in \mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ and $tX := (tX_n)_{n>0}$ is a sequence of ∞ -groupoid such that for any $n > 0$, tX_n is a full sub ∞ -groupoid of X_n including all units.

For $X \in \mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$, we define once again $X^\sharp := (X, (X_n)_{n>0})$ and $X^\flat := (X, (\mathbb{I}(X_{n-1}))_{n>0})$ and we still have an adjoint triple

$$(_)^\natural \mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta]) \xrightarrow{\perp} \mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta]) : (_)^\natural \quad (_)^\natural : \mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta]) \xrightarrow{\perp} \mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta]) : (_)^\sharp$$

where $(_)^\natural$ is the obvious forgetfull functor.

Construction 3.1.1.11. We once again have an adjunction:

$$i_! : \mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta]) \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta) : i^*$$

induced by the canonical inclusion $t\Delta[t\Theta] \rightarrow t\Theta$. For an integer n , we define the functor $(_)^\sharp_n : \mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta) \rightarrow \mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$ and $(_)^\sharp_n : \mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta]) \rightarrow \mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ sending a ∞ -presheaf X onto $(X, (X_k^n)_{k>0})$ where $X_k^n := \mathbb{I}(X_{k-1})$ if $k < n$, and $X_k^n := X_k$ if not. We eventually set

$$tW := \prod_n (\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{Seg}})^\sharp_n \prod (\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{Sat}})^\flat \quad tM := \prod_n (\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Seg}})^\sharp_n \prod (\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Sat}})^\flat$$

As $i_!(tM)$ is contained in tW , the previous adjunction induces a derived one:

$$\mathbf{L}i_! : \mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])_{tM} \xrightarrow{\perp} \mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)_{tW} : i^*\mathbf{R} \quad (3.1.1.12)$$

Proposition 3.1.1.13. *The derived adjunction (3.1.1.12) is an adjoint equivalence.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for any element $a : t\Delta[t\Theta]$ and any $b : t\Theta$, $a \rightarrow i^*i_!a$ and $i_!i^*b \rightarrow b$ are respectively in \widehat{tM} and \widehat{tW} . If a is of shape $[b, n]^\flat$, this is a direct consequence of proposition 2.2.1.5, and if a is $(\mathbf{D}_n)_t$ the unit is the identity. We proceed similarly for $i_!i^*b \rightarrow b$. \square

Remark 3.1.1.14. The inclusion $t\Theta \rightarrow (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ induces an adjunction

$$\mathrm{tPsh}(\Theta) \xrightarrow{\perp} (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$$

and we can easily check that this induces an equivalence between $(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ and the sub-category of $\mathrm{tPsh}(\Theta)$ of tW -local objects. Together with proposition 3.1.1.13, this induces equivalences

$$\mathrm{tPsh}(\Theta)_{tM} \cong \mathrm{tPsh}(\Delta[\Theta])_{tW} \cong (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$$

Definition 3.1.1.15. A *marked (∞, ω) -category* is a tW -local stratified ∞ -presheaves on Θ . We then define

$$(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m := \mathrm{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)_{tW}.$$

Proposition 3.1.1.13 implies that $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ identifies itself with the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ of tM -local objects:

$$(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \sim \text{tPsh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])_{\text{tM}}.$$

Unfolding the definition, a marked (∞, ω) -category is a pair (C, tC) where C is an (∞, ω) -category and $tC := (tC_n)_{n>0}$ is a sequence of full sub ∞ -groupoids of C_n , containing identities, stable by composition and by whiskering with cells of lower dimension. A n -cell $a : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (C, tC)$ is *marked* if it belongs to the image of tC_n .

Construction 3.1.1.16. There are two obvious ways to mark a (∞, ω) -category. For $C \in (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, we define $C^\sharp := (C, (C_n)_{n>0})$ and $C^\flat := (C, (\mathbb{I}(C_{n-1})_{n>0}))$. The first one corresponds to the case where all cells are marked, and the second one where only the identities are marked. These two functors fit in the following adjoint triple:

$$(_)^\flat : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightarrow{\leftarrow \perp} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m : (_)^\sharp \quad (_)^\sharp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \xrightarrow{\leftarrow \perp} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} : (_)^\flat$$

where $(_)^\sharp$ is the obvious forgetful functor. To simplify notations, for a marked (∞, ω) -category C , the marked (∞, ω) -categories $(C^\flat)^\flat$ and $(C^\sharp)^\sharp$ will be simply denoted by C^\flat and C^\sharp .

Construction 3.1.1.17. Following definition 2.2.1.62, for any subset S of \mathbb{N}^* , we define the duality

$$(_)^S : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$$

whose value on (C, tC) is (C^S, tC) . In particular, we have the *odd duality* $(_)^{op}$, corresponding to the set of odd integer, the *even duality* $(_)^{co}$, corresponding to the subset of non negative even integer, the *full duality* $(_)^\circ$, corresponding to \mathbb{N}^* and the *transposition* $(_)^t$, corresponding to the singleton $\{1\}$. Eventually, we have equivalences

$$((_)^{co})^{op} \sim (_)^\circ \sim ((_)^{op})^{co}.$$

Remark 3.1.1.18. Given a functor $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$, the colimit of F is given by the marked (∞, ω) -category (C, tC) with

$$C := \text{colim}_I F^\sharp$$

and for any n , $(tC)_n$ is the image of the morphism

$$\text{colim}_I tF_n \rightarrow (\text{colim}_I F)^\sharp_n.$$

The case of the limit is easier as we have

$$\lim_I F := (\lim_I F^\flat, (\lim_I (tF_n)_{n>0})).$$

In particular, if (C, tC) and (D, tD) are two marked (∞, ω) -categories, we have

$$(C, tC) \times (D, tD) := (C \times D, (tC_n \times tD_n)_{n>0}).$$

Proposition 3.1.1.19. *The cartesian product in $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ preserves colimits in both variables.*

Proof. Let $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ be a diagram and C a marked (∞, ω) -category. The underlying (∞, ω) -categories of $\text{colim}_I (F \times C)$ and $(\text{colim}_I F) \times C$ are the same as the cartesian product preserves colimits in $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$. The equivalence of the two markings is a direct consequence of the fact that the cartesian product in $\infty\text{-grd}$ preserves both colimits and the formation of image. \square

Definition 3.1.1.20. For any C in $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$, we define

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(C, _) : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$$

as the right adjoint of the colimit-preserving functor $_ \times C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$.

Construction 3.1.1.21. We denote again $\pi_0 : \text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta) \rightarrow \text{tPsh}(\Theta)$ the colimit preserving functor sending a stratified ∞ -presheaf X to the stratified presheaf $a \mapsto \pi_0(X_a)$. As this functor preserves tW , it induces an adjoint pair:

$$\pi_0 : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{N}]{\perp} (0, \omega)\text{-cat} : \text{N}$$

where the right adjoint N is fully faithful. A marked (∞, ω) -category lying in the image of the nerve is called *strict*. Remark eventually that the following square is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m & \xrightarrow{\text{N}} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \\ (_)^\natural \downarrow & & \downarrow (_)^\natural \\ (0, \omega)\text{-cat} & \xrightarrow{\text{N}} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \end{array}$$

A marked (∞, ω) -category is then strict if and only if it's underlying (∞, ω) -category is.

Construction 3.1.1.22. The *marked suspension* is the colimit preserving functor

$$[_, 1] : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m\bullet, \bullet}$$

sending a^b onto $[a, 1]^b$ and $(\mathbf{D}_n)_t$ to $([\mathbf{D}_n, 1])_t$. It then admits a right adjoint:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m\bullet, \bullet} & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \\ (C, a, b) & \mapsto & \text{hom}_C(a, b) \end{array}$$

With the same computation than the one of construction 2.2.1.21, we show that for a marked (∞, ω) -category C , any 1-cell $f : x \rightarrow x'$ induces for any object y , a morphism

$$f_! : \text{hom}_C(x', y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_C(x, y).$$

Conversely, a 1-cell $g : y \rightarrow y'$ induces for any object x a morphism

$$g_! : \text{hom}_C(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_C(x, y')$$

In section 2.2.1, we define the notion of fully faithful morphism of (∞, ω) -categories. There is an equivalent notion for marked (∞, ω) -categories:

Fully faithful functor

We now give some adaptation of the result on fully faithful functors to the case of marked (∞, ω) -categories without proofs, as they are obvious modifications to this new framework.

Definition 3.1.1.23. A morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ is *fully faithful* if for any pair of objects x, y , the morphism of marked (∞, ω) -categories $\text{hom}_C(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_D(fx, fy)$ is an equivalence, and if a 1-cell v is marked whenever $f(v)$ is.

Proposition 3.1.1.24. *A morphism is fully faithful if and only if it has the unique right lifting property against $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n$ and $\mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_n)_t$ for $n > 0$.*

Proposition 3.1.1.25. *Fully faithful morphisms are stable under limits.*

Proposition 3.1.1.26. *A morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ is an equivalence if and only if it is fully faithful and surjective on objects.*

Marked discrete Conduché functor

Definition 3.1.1.27. A morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ between marked (∞, ω) -categories is a *discrete Conduché functor* if for any triplet of integers $k < n \leq m$, f has the unique right lifting property against

$$\mathbb{I}_{m+1} : \mathbf{D}_{m+1}^{\flat} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_m^{\flat} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{k,m}^{\sharp n} : \mathbf{D}_m^{\sharp n} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_m^{\sharp n} \coprod_{\mathbf{D}_k^{\flat}} \mathbf{D}_m^{\sharp n}.$$

Example 3.1.1.28. If f is a discrete Conduché functor between marked (∞, ω) -categories, f^{\sharp} is a discrete Conduché functor. Conversely, if g is a discrete Conduché functor between (∞, ω) -categories, so are g^{\sharp} , g^{\flat} and $g^{\sharp n}$ for any integer n .

Definition 3.1.1.29. A *marked globular sum* is a marked (∞, ω) -category whose underlying (∞, ω) -category is a globular sum and such that for any pair of integers $k \leq n$, and any pair of k -composable n -cells (x, y) , $x \circ_k y$ is marked if and only if x and y are marked.

A morphism $i : a \rightarrow b$ between marked globular sum is *globular* if the morphism i^{\flat} is globular.

Remark 3.1.1.30. The proposition 1.1.2.15 implies that a morphism $a \rightarrow b$ between marked globular sums is a discrete Conduché functor if and only if it is globular.

Lemma 3.1.1.31. Let $p : C \rightarrow D^{\flat}$ be a discrete Conduché functor between marked (∞, ω) -categories. The canonical morphism $(C^{\flat})^{\flat} \rightarrow C$ is an equivalence.

Proof. Suppose given a marked n -cell $v : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow C$. As the marking on D is trivial, this induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_n & \xrightarrow{v} & C^{\flat} \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow l & \downarrow p^{\flat} \\ \mathbf{D}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

that admits a lift l as p^{\flat} is a discrete Conduché functor, which concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.1.1.32. Let $p : C \rightarrow D$ be a discrete Conduché functor between marked (∞, ω) -categories. The pullback functor $p^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/D} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/C}$ preserves colimits.

Proof. As $\text{tPsh}^{\infty}(\Theta)$ is locally cartesian closed, one has to show that for any pair of cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C'' & \xrightarrow{j} & C' & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow p \\ D'' & \xrightarrow{i} & D' & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

if i is tW , then j is in $\widehat{\text{tW}}$. Suppose first that i is in $\text{W}_{\text{Sat}}^{\flat}$. According of the lemma 3.1.1.31 the (∞, ω) -categories C' and C'' are of shape $(E)^{\flat}$ and $(E')^{\flat}$ for E and E' two (∞, ω) -categories. The proposition 2.2.2.9 then implies that i is in $\widehat{\text{W}}^{\flat} \subset \widehat{\text{tW}}$. If i is in $(\text{W}_{\text{Seg}})^{\sharp n}$ the proof is an easy adaptation of the one of lemma 2.2.2.7. \square

Special colimits

We now give some adaptation of the result on special colimits stated in the previous chapter to the case of marked (∞, ω) -categories without proofs, as they are easy modifications.

Definition 3.1.1.33. We denote by ι the inclusion of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ into $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$. A functor $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ has a *special colimit* if the canonical morphism

$$\text{colim}_{i:I} \iota F(i) \rightarrow \iota(\text{colim}_{i:I} F(i)) \quad (3.1.1.34)$$

is an equivalence of stratified presheaves.

Similarly, we say that a functor $\psi : I \rightarrow \text{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m)$ has a *special colimit* if the canonical morphism

$$\text{colim}_{i:I} \iota \psi(i) \rightarrow \iota(\text{colim}_{i:I} \psi(i))$$

is an equivalence in the arrow $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$.

Remark 3.1.1.35. Let $F, G : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ be two functors, and $\psi : F \rightarrow G$ a cartesian natural transformation admitting a special colimit. As $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is cartesian closed, this implies that for any object i of I , the canonical square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(i) & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_I F \\ \psi(i) \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{colim}_I \psi \\ G(i) & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_I G \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

Example 3.1.1.36. Let C be a marked (∞, ω) -category. The canonical diagram $t\Theta_{/C} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ has a special colimit, given by C .

Proposition 3.1.1.37. Let $F, G : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ be two functors, and $\psi : F \rightarrow G$ a natural transformation. If ψ is cartesian, and G has a special colimit, then ψ and F have special colimits.

Proposition 3.1.1.38. For any integer n , and element $a \in t\Theta$ and $b \in \Theta$, the equalizer diagram

$$\coprod_{k+l=n-1} [a, k] \vee [a \times b^\sharp, 1] \vee [a, l] \rightrightarrows \coprod_{k+l=n} [a, k] \vee [b, 1]^\sharp \vee [a, l]$$

where the top diagram is induced by $[a \times b^\sharp, 1] \rightarrow [a, 1] \vee [b, 1]^\sharp$ and to bottom one by $[a \times b^\sharp, 1] \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]$, has a special colimit, which is $[a, n] \times [b, 1]^\sharp$.

Proposition 3.1.1.39. Any sequence of marked (∞, ω) -categories has a special colimit.

Proposition 3.1.1.40. Suppose given a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

The diagram

$$[1]^\sharp \vee [B, 1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [B, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1]$$

has a special colimit.

Proposition 3.1.1.41. *Suppose given two cartesian squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \longrightarrow & C & \longleftarrow & D \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & \{1\} \end{array}$$

The diagram

$$[1]^\sharp \vee [B, 1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [B, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \longleftarrow [D, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [D, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp$$

has a special colimit.

3.1.2 Gray tensor product of marked (∞, ω) -categories

Construction 3.1.2.1. We define the *Gray tensor product*.

$$_-\otimes(-)^\sharp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \times (\infty, 1)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$$

sending a marked (∞, ω) -category C and a $(\infty, 1)$ -category K to the marked (∞, ω) -category $C \otimes K^\sharp$, such that $(C \otimes K^\sharp)^\natural$ fits in the cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{tC} \mathbf{D}_n \otimes K & \longrightarrow & C^\natural \otimes K \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{tC} \tau_n^i(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & (C \otimes K^\sharp)^\natural \end{array}$$

and such that $t(C \otimes K^\sharp)_n$ consists of n -cells lying in the image of the morphism

$$\tau_{n-1} C \otimes K \coprod (tC)_n \otimes K_0 \rightarrow (C \otimes K^\sharp)^\natural.$$

Proposition 3.1.2.2. *There is an equivalence*

$$(C \otimes K^\sharp)^\circ \sim C^\circ \otimes (K^\sharp)^\circ$$

natural in $C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ and $K : (\infty, 1)\text{-cat}$.

Proof. Proposition 3.1.2.2 provides an invertible transformation

$$(C^\natural \otimes K)^\circ \sim (C^\natural)^\circ \otimes K.$$

The result follows from the definition of the Gray tensor product for marked (∞, ω) -categories. \square

Proposition 3.1.2.3. *The functor $_-\otimes(-)^\sharp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \times (\infty, 1)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ preserves colimits.*

Proof. By construction, we have two cocartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\text{colim } tF} \mathbf{D}_n \otimes K & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}(F^\natural \otimes K) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{\text{colim } tF} \tau_n^i(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}(F \otimes K^\sharp)^\natural \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{t(\text{colim } F)} \mathbf{D}_n \otimes K & \longrightarrow & (\text{colim } F)^\natural \otimes K \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{t(\text{colim } F)} \tau_n^i(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & ((\text{colim } F) \otimes K^\sharp)^\natural \end{array}$$

By the preservation of colimit by the Gray tensor product for (∞, ω) -categories and by the functor $(_)^\sharp$, we have an equivalence

$$\operatorname{colim}(F^\sharp \otimes K) \sim (\operatorname{colim} F^\sharp) \otimes K$$

However, the canonical morphism $\operatorname{colim} tF \rightarrow t(\operatorname{colim} F)$ is a surjection, and according to proposition 2.2.1.73, the following canonical square is cocartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\operatorname{colim} tF} \mathbf{D}_n \otimes K & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{t(\operatorname{colim} F)} \mathbf{D}_n \otimes K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{\operatorname{colim} tF} \tau_n^i(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{t(\operatorname{colim} F)} \tau_n^i(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes K) \end{array}$$

Combined with the first two cocartesian squares, this implies that that $\operatorname{colim}(F \otimes K^\sharp)^\sharp$ and $((\operatorname{colim} F) \otimes K^\sharp)^\sharp$ are equivalent.

According to proposition 2.2.1.71 and by construction, the morphisms

$$\operatorname{colim}(\tau_n^i F^\sharp \otimes K) \rightarrow \tau_n^i(\operatorname{colim} F^\sharp \otimes K) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{colim}(tF \otimes K_0) \rightarrow t(\operatorname{colim} F \otimes K_0)$$

are surjections. The marked (∞, ω) -categories $\operatorname{colim}(F \otimes K^\sharp)$ and $(\operatorname{colim} F) \otimes K^\sharp$ then have the same marked cells. \square

Proposition 3.1.2.4. *Let C be a (∞, ω) -category and K a $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. The underlying (∞, ω) -category of $C^\flat \otimes K^\sharp$ is $C \otimes K$.*

Proof. This is obvious. \square

Marked Gray cylinder

Definition 3.1.2.5. The Gray tensor product for marked (∞, ω) -category restricts to a functor

$$_ \otimes [1]^\sharp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$$

called the *marked Gray cylinder*.

Proposition 3.1.2.6. *Let C be a marked (∞, ω) -category. There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp$ and the colimit of the diagram*

$$[1]^\sharp \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C \otimes [1]^\sharp, 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp \quad (3.1.2.7)$$

In the previous diagram, morphisms $[C, 1] \rightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [C, 1]$ and $[C, 1] \rightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp$ are the whiskerings.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 2.3.1.10 and the definition of the marked Gray cylinder. \square

Example 3.1.2.8. In all the following diagrams, marked cells are represented by crossed-out arrows.

The object $\mathbf{D}_1^\flat \otimes [1]^\sharp$ corresponds to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \not\rightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \not\rightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

the object $(\mathbf{D}_1)^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp$ corresponds to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \not\rightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 10 & \not\rightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

the object $\mathbf{D}_2^b \otimes [1]^\sharp$ corresponds to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \not\rightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \not\rightarrow & 11 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \not\rightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \not\rightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

and the object $(\mathbf{D}_2)_t \otimes [1]^\sharp$ corresponds to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \not\rightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \not\rightarrow & 11 \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} 00 & \not\rightarrow & 01 \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ 10 & \not\rightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.1.2.9. *There is diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (C \otimes \{1\})^\circ & \longrightarrow & (C \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\circ & \longleftarrow & (C \otimes \{0\})^\circ \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ C^\circ \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C^\circ \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & C^\circ \otimes \{1\} \end{array}$$

natural in $C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$, where all vertical arrows are equivalences.

Proof. This directly follows from proposition 3.1.2.2. \square

Proposition 3.1.2.10. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. There exists a natural transformation $C^b \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow [C^b, 1]$ whose restriction to $C^b \otimes \{0\}$ (resp. to $C^b \otimes \{1\}$) is constant on $\{0\}$ (resp. on $\{1\}$) and such that the following square is cocartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^b \otimes \{0\} \amalg C^b \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C^b \otimes [1]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} \amalg \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [C^b, 1] \end{array} \quad (3.1.2.11)$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 2.3.3.6 and of the definition of the marked Gray cylinder. \square

Definition 3.1.2.12. We denote by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \\ C & \mapsto & C^{[1]^\sharp} \end{array}$$

the right adjoint of the marked Gray cylinder.

Remark 3.1.2.13. The proposition 3.1.2.10 provides, for any (∞, ω) -category C and any pair of objects a and b of C , a canonical cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_C(a, b)^b & \longrightarrow & (C^\sharp)^{[1]} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{a\} \times \{b\} & \longrightarrow & C^\sharp \times C^\sharp \end{array}$$

Marked Enhanced Gray tensor product

Definition 3.1.2.14. For any $C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, we denote by $m_{C^\#}$ the colimit preserving functor $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ whose value on $[a, n]^\flat$ is $[a \times C^\#, n]$, on $[1]^\#$ is $[C, 1]^\#$, and on $[(\mathbf{D}_n)_t, 1]$ is $[(\mathbf{D}_n)_t \times C^\#, 1]$. Remark that the assignation $C \mapsto m_{C^\#}$ is natural in C and that m_1 is the identity.

Construction 3.1.2.15. We define the colimit preserving functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \\ (X, Y) & \mapsto & X \ominus Y^\# \end{array}$$

called the *marked enhanced Gray tensor product*, where for any marked (∞, ω) -category C and element $[b, n]$ of $\Delta[\Theta]$, $C \ominus [b, n]^\#$ is the following pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{k \leq n} m_{b^\#}(C \otimes \{k\}) & \longrightarrow & m_{b^\#}(C \otimes [n]^\#) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{k \leq n} m_1(C \otimes \{k\}) & \longrightarrow & C \ominus [b, n]^\# \end{array} \quad (3.1.2.16)$$

By construction, the functor $_ \ominus _$ commutes with colimits in both variables. Moreover, for any marked (∞, ω) -category C and $(\infty, 1)$ -category K , we have a canonical identification $C \ominus K^\# \sim C \otimes K^\#$.

Proposition 3.1.2.17. *There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \ominus [b, 1]^\#$ and the colimit of the following diagram*

$$[b, 1]^\# \vee [C, 1] \leftarrow [C \otimes \{0\} \times b^\#, 1] \rightarrow [(C \otimes [1]^\#) \times b^\#, 1] \leftarrow [C \otimes \{1\} \times b^\#, 1] \rightarrow [C, 1] \vee [b, 1]^\# \quad (3.1.2.18)$$

Proof. This directly follows from the construction of the marked enhanced Gray tensor product and from proposition 3.1.2.6. \square

Proposition 3.1.2.19. *There is an equivalence*

$$(C \ominus B^\#)^\circ \sim C^\circ \ominus (B^\circ)^\#$$

natural in C and B .

Proof. It is sufficient to construct this equivalence when B is of shape $[b, n]$. The proposition 3.1.2.2 induces an equivalence

$$(C \otimes [n]^\#)^\circ \sim C^\circ \otimes ([n]^\circ)^\#.$$

The results then directly follows from the definition of the operation \ominus and from the equivalence $(m_{b^\#}(_))^\circ \sim m_{(b^\#)^\circ}((_)^\circ)$. \square

Marked Gray cone and \circ -cone

Construction 3.1.2.20. We define the *marked Gray cone* and the *marked Gray \circ -cone*:

$$_ \star 1 : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \quad 1 \overset{co}{\star} _ : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m,$$

where for any marked (∞, ω) -category C , $C \star 1$ and $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C$, fit in the following cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & C \star 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.1.2.21. *For any marked (∞, ω) -category C , there is a natural identification between $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} [C, 1]$ and the colimit of the diagram*

$$[1]^\sharp \vee [C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C \star 1, 1] \quad (3.1.2.22)$$

There is a natural identification between $[C, 1] \star 1$ and the colimit of the diagram

$$[1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C, 1] \longleftarrow [C, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp \quad (3.1.2.23)$$

Proof. This directly follows from proposition 3.1.2.6 and from the definition of the Gray cone and \circ -cone. \square

Example 3.1.2.24. In all the following diagrams, marked cells are represented by crossed-out arrows.

The objects $\mathbf{D}_1^\flat \star 1$ and $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} \mathbf{D}_1^\flat$ correspond respectively the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & \star \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \star & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & 1 \end{array}$$

the objects $(\mathbf{D}_1)_t \star 1$ and $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} (\mathbf{D}_1)_t$ correspond respectively the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & \star \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \star & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & 1 \end{array}$$

the objects $\mathbf{D}_2^\flat \star 1$ and $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} \mathbf{D}_2^\flat$ correspond respectively the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \curvearrowleft & 0 & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & \star \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & \star \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & \\ \nearrow & \downarrow & \\ \star & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & \curvearrowright \\ \nearrow & \downarrow & \\ \star & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & 1 \end{array}$$

and the objects $(\mathbf{D}_2)_t \star 1$ and $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} (\mathbf{D}_2)_t$ correspond respectively the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \curvearrowright & 0 & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & \star \end{array} \quad = \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & \star \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & \\ \nearrow & \downarrow & \\ \star & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & 1 \end{array} \quad = \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & \curvearrowleft \\ \nearrow & \downarrow & \\ \star & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & 1 \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.1.2.25. *There is a natural equivalence*

$$(C \star 1)^\circ \sim 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C^\circ$$

Proof. This directly follows from proposition 3.1.2.9 and from the definition of the Gray cone and \circ -cone. \square

Definition 3.1.2.26. We denote by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}\bullet} & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}\bullet} & \rightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}} \\ (C, c) & \mapsto & C_{/c} & (C, c) & \mapsto & C_{c/} \end{array}$$

the right adjoints of Gray cone and of the Gray \circ -cone, respectively called the *slice of C over c* and the *slice of C under c* .

Remark 3.1.2.27. The proposition 3.1.2.25 induces an invertible natural transformation:

$$C_{/c} \sim (C_{c/})^\circ.$$

Remark 3.1.2.28. The proposition 3.1.2.10 provides, for any (∞, ω) -category C and any pair of objects a, b of C , two canonical cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_C(a, b)^\flat & \longrightarrow & C_{/b}^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{a\} & \longrightarrow & C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_C(a, b)^\flat & \longrightarrow & C_{a/}^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{b\} & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

Marked Gray operations and strict objects

Recall that in construction 3.1.1.21, we defined an adjunction

$$\pi_0 : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \leftarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}} : \mathbb{N}$$

A marked (∞, ω) -category lying in the image of the nerve is called *strict*. Remark eventually that the following square is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}} \\ (_)^\sharp \downarrow & & \downarrow (_)^\sharp \\ (0, \omega)\text{-cat} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \end{array}$$

A marked (∞, ω) -category is then strict if and only if it's underlying (∞, ω) -category is.

Proposition 3.1.2.29. *If C is a strict marked (∞, ω) -category, so is $[C, 1]$.*

Proof. This directly follows from proposition 2.3.3.3 and from the canonical equivalence $[C, 1]^\sharp \sim [C^\sharp, 1]$. \square

Lemma 3.1.2.30. *Let C be a marked (∞, ω) -category. The canonical squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \longrightarrow & C \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & \{1\} \end{array}$$

are cartesian.

Proof. As the morphisms $\{\epsilon\} \rightarrow [1]$ for $\epsilon \leq 1$ are discrete Conduché functors, pullback along them preserves colimits, and we can then reduce to the case where C is of the shape $[1]^\sharp$ or $[a, 1]$ with a is an

element of $t\Theta$. The case $C := [1]^\sharp$ is obvious as we have $[1]^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp \sim [1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp$ according to proposition 3.1.3.1. We then focus on the case $C := [a, 1]$.

We claim that for any marked (∞, ω) -category D , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\epsilon\} & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{\epsilon\} & \longrightarrow & [1]^\sharp \end{array} \quad (3.1.2.31)$$

is cartesian. To show this, as the morphisms $\{\epsilon\} \rightarrow [1]$, are discrete Conduché functors one can reduce to the case where D is in Θ_t or the empty (∞, ω) -category, where it is obvious.

We now return to the proof of the assertion. Using the proposition 3.1.2.6, the morphism $[a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow [1]^\sharp$ is the horizontal colimit of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1] & \longleftarrow & [a \otimes \{0\}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [a \otimes [1]^\sharp, 1] & \longleftarrow & [a \otimes \{1\}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [a, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp \\ s^1 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow s^0 \\ [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

The results is then a direct application of the cartesian square (3.1.2.31) and of the fact that pullbacks along morphisms $\{\epsilon\} \rightarrow [1]$ for $\epsilon \leq 1$ preserves colimits. \square

Proposition 3.1.2.32. *For any object a of $t\Theta$, the marked (∞, ω) -categories $a \otimes [1]^\sharp$, $a \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} a$ are strict.*

Proof. We will show only the the strictness of the object $a \otimes [1]^\sharp$, as the proofs for $a \star 1$ and $1 \overset{co}{\star} a$ are similar.

Suppose first that a is of shape b^\flat . The first assertion of proposition 3.1.2.4 implies that the underlying (∞, ω) -categories of $b^\flat \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is $b \otimes [1]$ which is strict according to theorem 2.3.3.21.

To conclude, we have to show that for any integer n , $(\mathbf{D}_n)_t \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is strict. We proceed by induction. Suppose first that a is $(\mathbf{D}_1)_t$. The proposition 3.1.3.1 implies that $(\mathbf{D}_1)_t \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is $([1] \times [1])^\sharp$ which is a strict object.

Suppose now that $(\mathbf{D}_n)_t \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is strict. The proposition 3.1.2.6 stipulates that $(\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is the colimit of the diagram.

$$[1]^\sharp \vee [(\mathbf{D}_n)_t, 1] \leftarrow [(\mathbf{D}_n)_t \otimes \{0\}, 1] \rightarrow [(\mathbf{D}_n)_t \otimes [1]^\sharp, 1] \leftarrow [(\mathbf{D}_n)_t \otimes \{1\}, 1] \rightarrow [(\mathbf{D}_n)_t, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp$$

The induction hypothesis and the proposition 3.1.2.29 implies that all the objects are strict. According to proposition 3.1.1.41, whose hypotheses are provided by lemma 3.1.2.30, this diagram admits a special colimit. As all the morphisms are monomorphism, this implies that $(\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is strict, which concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.1.2.33. *Let $C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism between strict (∞, ω) -categories. The marked (∞, ω) -categories $D^\flat \coprod_{C^\flat} C^\flat \star 1$, $1 \overset{co}{\star} C^\flat \coprod_{C^\flat} D^\flat$, and $D^\flat \coprod_{D^\flat \otimes \{0\}} D^\flat \otimes [1]^\sharp$ are strict. In particular, $C^\flat \star 1$, $1 \overset{co}{\star} C^\flat$, and $C^\flat \otimes [n]^\sharp$ for any integer n , are strict.*

Proof. The proposition 3.1.2.4 and theorem 3.1.2.33 imply that the underlying (∞, ω) -categories of these marked (∞, ω) -categories are strict. \square

Proposition 3.1.2.34. *The colimit preserving endofunctor $F : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$, sending $[a, n]$ to the colimit of the span*

$$\coprod_{k \leq n} \{k\} \leftarrow \coprod_{k \leq n} a^b \otimes \{k\} \rightarrow a^b \otimes [n]^\sharp$$

is equivalent to the functor $(_)^\sharp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 3.1.2.4, of corollary 2.3.3.23 and of the definition of the marking of the Gray tensor product for marked (∞, ω) -categories. \square

Remark 3.1.2.35. The last proposition implies that for any marked (∞, ω) -category C and any globular sum a , the simplicial ∞ -groupoid

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{op} & \rightarrow & \infty\text{-grd} \\ [n] & \mapsto & \text{Hom}([a, n]^\sharp, C) \end{array}$$

is a $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

Theorem 3.1.2.36. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. The two following canonical squares are cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]^\sharp \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & C^b \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

The five squares appearing in the following canonical diagram are both cartesian and cocartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C^b \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^b \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & C^b \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & C^b \star 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 3.1.2.4, of theorem 2.3.3.25 and of the definition of the marking of the Gray tensor product for marked (∞, ω) -categories. \square

3.1.3 Gray tensor product and Cartesian product

Proposition 3.1.3.1. *Let C be a (∞, ω) -category and K a $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. The canonical morphism $C^\sharp \otimes K^\sharp \rightarrow C^\sharp \times K^\sharp$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. As the Gray tensor product and the cartesian product preserve colimits, it is sufficient to demonstrate the equivalence when C is a globe \mathbf{D}_n and K is $[1]$. We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 0$, this is trivial. For $n = 1$, proposition 3.1.2.6 implies that $[1]^b \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is the colimit of the diagram:

$$[1]^\sharp \vee [1] \xleftarrow{\nabla} [1] \longrightarrow [[1]^\sharp, 1] \longleftarrow [1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1] \vee [1]^\sharp$$

By construction, we have a cocartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [1]^b \otimes \{0\} \coprod [1]^b \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & \tau_1^i([1]^b \otimes [1]^\sharp) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [1]^\sharp \otimes \{0\} \coprod [1]^\sharp \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [1]^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

This implies that $[1]^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is then equivalent to the colimit of the diagram:

$$[1]^\sharp \vee [1]^\sharp \xleftarrow{\nabla} [1]^\sharp \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1]^\sharp \vee [1]^\sharp$$

which is equivalent to $[1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp$ by proposition 2.2.1.29.

We now suppose that

$$\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp \sim \mathbf{D}_n^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp.$$

Combined with proposition 3.1.2.6, this implies that $[\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is the colimit of the diagram:

$$[1]^\sharp \vee [\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp, 1] \xleftarrow{\quad} [\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp \times \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp, 1] \xleftarrow{\quad} [\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp \times \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp$$

By construction, we have a cocartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{0\}, 1 \otimes [1]^\sharp \amalg \{1\}, 1 \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\}, 1 \times [1]^\sharp \amalg \{1\}, 1 \times [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1]^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

Eventually, the cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\{0\} \amalg \{1\}) \times [1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \times [1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} \amalg \{1\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n \end{array}$$

constructed in the proof of proposition 2.2.1.59 then implies that $[\mathbf{D}_n, 1]^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is the colimit of the diagram:

$$[1]^\sharp \vee [\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp, 1] \xleftarrow{\quad} [\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp, 1] \longrightarrow [\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp$$

and is then equivalent to $[\mathbf{D}_n, 1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp$ by proposition 2.2.1.29. \square

Proposition 3.1.3.2. *Let D be an (∞, ω) -category, C a marked (∞, ω) -category and K an $(\infty, 1)$ -category. The canonical morphism $(D^\sharp \times C) \otimes K^\sharp \rightarrow D^\sharp \times (C \otimes K^\sharp)$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. As \times and \otimes preserve colimits, we can reduce to the case where D is an element of Θ , C of $t\Theta$ and K of Δ , and we proceed by induction on the dimension of D . Remark first that if D is $[0]$, the result is obvious, and if it is $(\mathbf{D}_1)_t$, the result follows from proposition 3.1.3.1. Suppose then the result is true at the stage n . Using once again the fact that \times and \otimes preserve colimits, we can reduce to the case where D^\sharp is $[a, 1]^\sharp$, C is $[b, 1]$ with b an element of Θ_t of dimension n , and K^\sharp is $[1]^\sharp$.

The proposition 3.1.1.38 implies that $([a, 1]^\sharp \times [b, 1]) \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is the colimit of the sequence:

$$([a, 1]^\sharp \vee [b, 1]) \otimes [1]^\sharp \xleftarrow{\quad} [a^\sharp \times b, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp \longrightarrow ([b, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\sharp) \otimes [1]^\sharp \quad (3.1.3.3)$$

The marked (∞, ω) -category $([a, 1]^\sharp \vee [b, 1]) \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is then the colimit of the diagram

$$[a, 1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp \xleftarrow{\quad} [1]^\sharp \longrightarrow [b, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp$$

and using the propositions 3.1.2.6 and 3.1.1.38, $([a, 1]^\# \vee [b, 1]) \otimes [1]^\#$ is the colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [1]^\# \vee [a, 1]^\# \vee [b, 1] & \longleftarrow & [a, 1]^\# \vee [b, 1] & \longrightarrow & [a, 1]^\# \vee [1]^\# \vee [b, 1] \\
 & & & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & [a, 1]^\# \vee [b \otimes \{0\}, 1] \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & [a, 1]^\# \vee [b \otimes [1]^\#, 1] \\
 & & & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & [a, 1]^\# \vee [b \otimes \{1\}, 1] \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & [a, 1]^\# \vee [b, 1] \vee [1]^\#
 \end{array}$$

Similarly, $([b, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\#) \otimes [1]^\#$ is the colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [1]^\# \vee [b, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\# & & \\
 \uparrow & & \\
 [b \otimes \{0\}, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\# & & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 [b \otimes [1]^\#, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\# & & \\
 \uparrow & & \\
 [b \otimes \{1\}, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\# & & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 [b, 1] \vee [1]^\# \vee [a, 1]^\# & \longleftarrow & [b, 1] \vee [a, 1] & \longrightarrow & [b, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\# \vee [1]^\#
 \end{array}$$

Eventually, the proposition 3.1.2.6 and the induction hypothesis imply that $[a^\# \times b, 1] \otimes [1]^\#$ is the colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [1]^\# \vee [a^\# \times b, 1] & & \\
 \swarrow & & \\
 [a^\# \times b \otimes \{0\}, 1] & & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 [a^\# \times (b \otimes [1]^\#), 1] & & \\
 \uparrow & & \\
 [a^\# \times b \otimes \{1\}, 1] & & \\
 \searrow & & \\
 [a^\# \times b, 1] \vee [1]^\# & &
 \end{array}$$

All put together, $([a, 1]^\sharp \times [b, 1]) \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is the colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 [1]^\sharp \vee [b, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\sharp \leftarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [a^\sharp \times b, 1] \triangleright [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]^\sharp \vee [b, 1] \leftarrow [a, 1]^\sharp \vee [b, 1] \rightarrow [a, 1]^\sharp \vee [1]^\sharp \vee [b, 1] & & & & \\
 \uparrow & \swarrow & & \searrow & \uparrow \\
 [b \otimes \{0\}, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\sharp \longleftarrow [a^\sharp \times b \otimes \{0\}, 1] \longrightarrow [a, 1]^\sharp \vee [b \otimes \{0\}, 1] & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 [b \otimes \{1\}, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\sharp \longleftarrow [a^\sharp \times (b \otimes \{1\}), 1] \longrightarrow [a, 1]^\sharp \vee [b \otimes \{1\}, 1] & & & & \\
 \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
 [b \otimes \{1\}, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\sharp \longleftarrow [a^\sharp \times b \otimes \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [a, 1]^\sharp \vee [b \otimes \{1\}, 1] & & & & \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow & & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 [b, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]^\sharp \leftarrow [b, 1] \vee [a, 1] \rightarrow [b, 1] \vee [a, 1]^\sharp \vee [1]^\sharp \leftarrow [a^\sharp \times b, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp \triangleright [a, 1]^\sharp \vee [b, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Now, using the formula given in proposition 3.1.1.38, and taking the colimit line by line of the previous diagram, $([a, 1]^\sharp \times [b, 1]) \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is the colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c}
 [a, 1]^\sharp \times ([1]^\sharp \vee [b, 1]) \\
 \uparrow \\
 [a, 1]^\sharp \times [b \otimes \{0\}, 1] \\
 \downarrow \\
 [a, 1]^\sharp \times [b \otimes \{1\}, 1] \\
 \uparrow \\
 [a, 1]^\sharp \times [b \otimes \{1\}, 1] \\
 \downarrow \\
 [a, 1]^\sharp \times ([b, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp)
 \end{array}$$

Using for the last times proposition 3.1.2.6, $([a, 1]^\sharp \times [b, 1]) \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is equivalent to $[a, 1]^\sharp \times ([b, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)$. \square

Proposition 3.1.3.4. *Let D be a marked (∞, ω) -category and K, L two $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. There is a natural equivalence $(D \otimes K^\sharp) \otimes L^\sharp \rightarrow D \otimes (K \times L)^\sharp$.*

Proof. The construction 2.3.1.8 induces a natural transformation

$$\phi_{D,K,L} : ((D^\sharp \otimes K) \otimes L)^\flat \rightarrow (D^\sharp \otimes (K \times L))^\flat \rightarrow D \otimes (K \times L)^\sharp.$$

Remark now that the canonical natural transformation $\psi_{D,K,L} : ((D^\sharp \otimes K) \otimes L)^\flat \rightarrow (D \otimes K^\sharp) \otimes L^\sharp$ is pointwise a colimit of morphisms of shape $\mathbb{I} : \mathbf{D}_{n+1}^\flat \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n^\flat$ and $\mathbf{D}_n^\flat \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_n)_t$ for n an integer. Remark now that proposition 2.2.1.50 and the definition of marked (∞, ω) -categories imply that the morphisms $\mathbb{I} : \mathbf{D}_{n+1}^\flat \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n^\flat$ and $\mathbf{D}_n^\flat \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_n)_t$ are epimorphisms in the sense of definition 2.1.4.2.

As a consequence, by the dual of proposition 2.1.4.4, ψ is pointwise an epimorphism. The dual of proposition 2.1.4.7 then implies that ϕ factors through ψ if and only if for any D, K, L , $\phi_{D,K,L}$ uniquely factors through $\psi_{D,K,L}$.

Remark that $\psi_{D,K,L}$ obviously factors if D, K or L is $[0]$. As the marked Gray tensor product and the cartesian product commute with colimits, the full sub ∞ -groupoid of elements (D, K, L) of

$(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \times (\infty, 1)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, 1)\text{-cat}$ such that $\phi_{D,K,L}$ factors through $\psi_{D,K,L}$ and induces the desired equivalence is closed by colimits.

It is then sufficient to show that it includes $([1]^\sharp, [1], [1])$ and $([a, 1], [1], [1])$ for $a \in t\Theta$. We can then proceed as in the proof of proposition 3.1.3.2, making these two objects explicit thanks to the equations given in proposition 3.1.2.6. As the proof takes up a lot of space and is very similar to that of proposition *prop:associativity of Gray2*, we leave it to the reader. \square

Proposition 3.1.3.5. *Let C be a (∞, ω) -category, D a marked (∞, ω) -category and $[b, n]$ a globular sum.*

- (1) *The underlying (∞, ω) -category of $C^\flat \ominus [b, n]^\sharp$ is $C \ominus [b, n]$.*
- (2) *The canonical morphism $C^\sharp \ominus [b, n]^\sharp \rightarrow C^\sharp \times [b, n]^\sharp$ is an equivalence.*
- (3) *The canonical morphism $(C^\sharp \times D) \ominus [b, n]^\sharp \rightarrow C^\sharp \times (D \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. This is a consequence of propositions 3.1.2.4, 3.1.3.1, 3.1.3.2 and 3.1.3.4 and of the construction of \ominus . \square

3.1.4 Marked Gray deformation retract

We provide analogous results for section 2.3.2, with proofs that are entirely similar and, therefore, omitted.

Definition 3.1.4.1. A *left Gray deformation retract structure* for a morphism $i : C \rightarrow D$ between marked (∞, ω) -categories is the data of a *retract* $r : D \rightarrow C$, a *deformation* $\psi : D \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow D$, and equivalences

$$ri \sim id_C \quad \psi|_{D \otimes \{0\}} \sim ir \quad \psi|_{D \otimes \{1\}} \sim id_D \quad \psi|_{C \otimes [1]^\sharp} \sim icst_C$$

A morphism $i : C \rightarrow D$ between marked (∞, ω) -categories is a *left Gray deformation retract* if it admits a left deformation retract structure. By abuse of notation, such data will just be denoted by (i, r, ψ) .

We define dually the notion of *right Gray deformation retract structure* and of *right Gray deformation retract* in exchanging 0 and 1 in the previous definition.

We define similarly the notion of *left or right deformation retract* by replacing \otimes by \times .

Definition 3.1.4.2. A *left Gray deformation retract structure for a morphism $i : f \rightarrow g$* in the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of arrows of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ is the data of a *retract* $r : g \rightarrow f$, a *deformation* $\psi : g \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow g$ and equivalences

$$ri \sim id_f \quad \psi|_{g \otimes \{0\}} \sim ir \quad \psi|_{g \otimes \{1\}} \sim id_D \quad \psi|_{f \otimes [1]^\sharp} \sim icst_C$$

A morphism $i : C \rightarrow D$ between two arrows of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ is a *left Gray deformation retract* if it admits a left deformation retract structure. By abuse of notation, such data will just be denoted by (i, r, ψ) .

We define dually the notion of *right Gray deformation retract structure* and of *right Gray deformation retract* in exchanging 0 and 1 in the previous definition.

We define similarly the notion of *left and right deformation retract* by replacing \otimes by \times .

Example 3.1.4.3. Let C be a marked (∞, ω) -category. The morphism $C \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow C \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is a left Gray deformation retract. Indeed, the retract is given by $C \otimes \mathbb{I} : C \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow C \otimes \{0\}$, and the deformation is induced by

$$(C \otimes [1]^\sharp) \otimes [1]^\sharp \sim C \otimes ([1] \times [1])^\sharp \xrightarrow{C \otimes \psi^\sharp} C \otimes [1]^\sharp$$

where the first equivalence is the one of proposition 3.1.3.4, and $\psi : [1] \times [1] \rightarrow [1]$ is the unique morphism sending (ϵ, ϵ') to $\epsilon \wedge \epsilon'$.

Similarly, the morphism $C \otimes \{1\} \rightarrow C \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is a right deformation retract.

Left and right Gray retracts enjoy many stability properties:

Proposition 3.1.4.4. *Let (i_a, r_a, ψ_a) be a natural family of left (resp. right) Gray deformation retract structures indexed by an $(\infty, 1)$ -category A . The triple $(\operatorname{colim}_A i_a, \operatorname{colim}_A r_a, \operatorname{colim}_A \psi_a)$ is a left (resp. right) k -Gray deformation retract structure.*

Proposition 3.1.4.5. *Suppose given a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{p} & Y & \xleftarrow{q} & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{p'} & Y' & \xleftarrow{q'} & Z' \end{array}$$

*such that $p \rightarrow p'$ and $q \rightarrow q'$ are left (resp. right) Gray deformation retract. The induced square $q^*p \rightarrow (q')^*p'$ is a left (resp. right) k -Gray deformation retract.*

Proposition 3.1.4.6. *If $p \rightarrow p'$ and $p' \rightarrow p''$ are two left (resp. right) Gray deformation retracts, so is $p \rightarrow p''$.*

Proposition 3.1.4.7. *Let $(i : C \rightarrow D, r, \psi)$ be a left (resp. right) Gray deformation structure. For any $x : C$ and $y : D$ (resp. $x : D$ and $y : C$), the morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{hom}_C(x, ry) &\xrightarrow{i} \operatorname{hom}_D(ix, iry) \xrightarrow{\psi_{y!}} \operatorname{hom}_D(ix, y) \\ (\text{resp. } \operatorname{hom}_C(rx, y) &\xrightarrow{i} \operatorname{hom}_D(irx, iy) \xrightarrow{\psi_{x!}} \operatorname{hom}_D(x, iy)) \end{aligned}$$

is a right (resp. left) Gray deformation retract, whose retract is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{hom}_D(ix, y) &\xrightarrow{r} \operatorname{hom}_C(x, ry) \\ (\text{resp. } \operatorname{hom}_D(x, iy) &\xrightarrow{r} \operatorname{hom}_C(rx, y)) \end{aligned}$$

If $(i : C \rightarrow D, r, \psi)$ is a left (resp. right) deformation structure, for any $x : C$ and $y : D$ (resp. $x : D$ and $y : C$), the two morphisms above are inverses one of each other.

Proposition 3.1.4.8. *For any left (resp. right) Gray deformation retracts between p and p' :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{i} & D \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p' \\ C' & \xrightarrow{i'} & D' \end{array}$$

and for any pair of objects $x : C$ and $y : D$ (resp. $x : D$ and $y : C$), the outer square of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{hom}_C(x, ry) & \xrightarrow{i} & \operatorname{hom}_D(ix, iry) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{y!}} & \operatorname{hom}_D(ix, y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{hom}_{C'}(px, pr'y) & \xrightarrow{i'} & \operatorname{hom}_{D'}(p'i'x, p'i'r'y) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{p'y!}} & \operatorname{hom}_{D'}(p'i'x, p'y) \end{array}$$

(resp.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{hom}_C(rx, y) & \xrightarrow{i} & \operatorname{hom}_D(irx, iy) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{x!}} & \operatorname{hom}_D(x, iy) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{hom}_{C'}(pr'x, py) & \xrightarrow{i'} & \operatorname{hom}_{D'}(p'i'r'x, p'i'y) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{p'x!}} & \operatorname{hom}_{D'}(p'x, p'i'y)) \end{array}$$

is a left (resp. right) Gray deformation retract, whose retract is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_D(ix, y) & \xrightarrow{r} & \text{hom}_C(x, ry) & \quad & (\text{resp. } \text{hom}_D(x, iy) & \xrightarrow{r} & \text{hom}_C(rx, y)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_{D'}(p'i'x, p'y) & \xrightarrow{r'} & \text{hom}_{C'}(px, pr'y) & \quad & \text{hom}_{D'}(p'x, p'i'y) & \xrightarrow{r'} & \text{hom}_{C'}(pr'x, py) \end{array}$$

If $p \rightarrow p'$ is a left (resp. right) deformation structure, for any $x : C$ and $y : D$ (resp. $x : D$ and $y : C$), the two morphisms above are inverses one of each other.

Proposition 3.1.4.9. *If i is a left Gray deformation retract, $[i, 1]$ is a right Gray deformation retract. Conversely, if i is a right Gray deformation retract, $[i, 1]$ is a left Gray deformation retract morphism.*

Proposition 3.1.4.10. *Let a be a globular sum of dimension $(n + 1)$. We denote by $s_n(a)$ and $t_n(a)$ the globular sum defined in 1.1.2.17. If n is even, $s_n(a)^b \rightarrow a^{\sharp n}$ is a left Gray deformation retract, and $t_n(a)^b \rightarrow a^{\sharp n}$ is a right Gray deformation retract. Dually, if n is odd, $t_n(a)^b \rightarrow a^{\sharp n}$ is a left Gray deformation retract, and $s_n(a)^b \rightarrow a^{\sharp n}$ is a right Gray deformation retract.*

Proposition 3.1.4.11. *Let $i : C \rightarrow D$ be a left Gray deformation retract and A a marked (∞, ω) -category. The morphism $A \times i$ is a left Gray deformation retract.*

Proof. Let r and ψ be retracts and deformation of i . We define ψ_A as the composite

$$(A \times D) \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow A \times (D \otimes [1]^\sharp) \xrightarrow{A \times \psi} A \times D$$

Remark that the triple $(A \times i, A \times r, \psi_A)$ is a left Gray deformation retract structure. \square

3.2 Cartesian fibrations

3.2.1 Initial and final morphisms

Definition 3.2.1.1. We denote by \mathbf{I} the set of morphisms of shape $X \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow X \otimes [1]^\sharp$ for X being either \mathbf{D}_n^b or $(\mathbf{D}_n)_t$. A morphism is *initial* if it is in $\widehat{\mathbf{I}}$. Conversely, we denote by \mathbf{F} the set of morphisms of shape $X \otimes \{1\} \rightarrow X \otimes [1]^\sharp$ for X being either \mathbf{D}_n^b or $(\mathbf{D}_n)_t$. A morphism is *final* if it is in $\widehat{\mathbf{F}}$.

Initial and final morphisms are stable under colimits, retract, composition and left cancellation according to lemma 2.1.1.8.

Remark 3.2.1.2. The proposition 3.1.2.9 implies that the full duality $(_)^\circ$ sends final (resp. initial) morphisms to initial (resp. final) morphisms.

Example 3.2.1.3. By stability of initial and final morphisms by colimits, for any marked (∞, ω) -category C , $C \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow C \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is initial, and $C \otimes \{1\} \rightarrow C \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is final.

Proposition 3.2.1.4. *Left Gray deformation retracts (resp. left deformation retract) are initial and right Gray deformation retracts (resp. right deformation retract) are final.*

Proof. Let $i : C \rightarrow D$ be a left Gray deformation retract. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{i} & D \otimes \{0\} & \xrightarrow{r} & C \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ D \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & D \otimes [1]^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\psi} & D \end{array}$$

expresses i as a retract of $D \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow D \otimes [1]^\sharp$, which is an initial morphism according to example 3.2.1.3. The morphism i is then initial.

As left deformation retracts are left Gray deformation retracts, they are initial. The case of right (Gray) deformation retracts follows by duality. \square

Corollary 3.2.1.5. *Let a be a globular sum of dimension $(n + 1)$. We denote by $s_n(a)$ and $t_n(a)$ the globular sum defined in 1.1.2.17. If n is even, $s_n(a)^\flat \rightarrow a^{\sharp n}$ is initial, and $t_n(a)^\flat \rightarrow a^{\sharp n}$ is final. Dually, if n is odd, $t_n(a)^\flat \rightarrow a^{\sharp n}$ is initial, and $s_n(a)^\flat \rightarrow a^{\sharp n}$ is final*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of propositions 3.1.4.10 and 3.2.1.4. \square

Definition 3.2.1.6. A *marked trivialization* is a morphism in the smallest cocomplete class of morphisms that includes $\mathbb{I}_n : (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n^\flat$ for any n .

Proposition 3.2.1.7. *Marked trivializations are both initial and final.*

Proof. As final and initial morphisms are closed under colimits, it is sufficient to demonstrate that for any n , the morphism $\mathbb{I}_n : (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n^\flat$ is both initial and final. According to lemma 3.2.1.5 there exists $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$ such that $i_n^\alpha : (\mathbf{D}_n)^\flat \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ is initial. As \mathbb{I}_n is a retraction of this morphism, and as initial morphisms are closed under left cancellation, \mathbb{I}_n is initial. The second case follows by duality. \square

Proposition 3.2.1.8. *Let C be a marked (∞, ω) -category. The morphism $C \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow C$ is a marked trivialization. In particular, this morphism is both initial and final.*

Proof. As marked trivializations are stable under colimits, it is sufficient to demonstrate the result for C being either \mathbf{D}_n^\flat or $(\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ for n an integer. We will then proceed by induction. Suppose first that C is \mathbf{D}_0^\flat or $(\mathbf{D}_1)_t$. The first case is trivial, for the second one, remark that $(\mathbf{D}_1)_t \otimes [1]^\sharp \sim [1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp \rightarrow [1]^\sharp$ is the horizontal colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [2]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [2]^\sharp \\ s^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow s^1 \\ [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

and is then a marked trivialization. Suppose now the result is true at the stage $(n - 1)$. Let C be \mathbf{D}_n^\flat (resp. $(\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$). We set $D := \mathbf{D}_{n-1}^\flat$ (resp. $D := (\mathbf{D}_n)_t$). We then have $C \sim [D, 1]$. The proposition 3.1.2.6 implies that $C \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow C$ is the horizontal colimit of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} [1]^\sharp \vee [D, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D \otimes \{0\}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D \otimes [1]^\sharp, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D \otimes \{1\}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [D, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] \end{array}$$

The leftest and rightest morphisms obviously are marked trivializations. As marked trivializations are stable by suspension, the induction hypothesis implies that the middle vertical morphisms of the previous diagram are in K , which concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.2.1.9. *Let C be a marked (∞, ω) -category. The morphism $C \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow C \times [1]^\sharp$ is a marked trivialization. In particular, this morphism is both initial and final.*

Proof. As marked trivializations are stable by colimit, it is sufficient to demonstrate the result for C being either \mathbf{D}_n^b or $(\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ for n an integer. If C is either $(\mathbf{D}_0)^b$ or $(\mathbf{D}_1)_t$ the considered morphism is the identity. We then suppose that $n > 0$. Let C be \mathbf{D}_n^b (resp. $(\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$). We set $D := \mathbf{D}_{n-1}^b$ (resp. $D := (\mathbf{D}_n)_t$). We then have $C \sim [D, 1]$. The propositions 3.1.2.6 and 3.1.1.38 imply that $C \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow C \times [1]^\sharp$ is the horizontal colimit of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 [1]^\sharp \vee [D, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D \otimes \{0\}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D \otimes [1]^\sharp, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D \otimes \{1\}, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 [1]^\sharp \vee [D, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] & \longleftarrow & [D, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp
 \end{array}$$

The proposition 3.2.1.8 then states that the middle vertical morphisms of the previous diagram are marked trivializations, which concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.2.1.10. *If i is an initial morphism, $[i, 1]$ is a final morphism. Conversely, if i is a final morphism, $[i, 1]$ is an initial morphism.*

Proof. As the suspension preserves colimits, we can restrict to the case where i is of shape $C \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow C \otimes [1]^\sharp$, and this is then a consequence of propositions 3.1.4.9 and 3.2.1.4. \square

Proposition 3.2.1.11. *For any marked (∞, ω) -category K , the functor $K \times _ : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ preserves initial and final morphisms.*

Proof. The functor $K \times _$ preserves colimits and this is then enough to show that it preserves left and right Gray deformation retracts, which is a consequence of proposition 3.1.4.11. \square

3.2.2 Left and right cartesian fibrations

Definition 3.2.2.1. A *left cartesian fibration* is a morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ between marked (∞, ω) -categories having the unique right lifting property against initial morphisms. A *right cartesian fibration* is a morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ between marked (∞, ω) -categories having the unique right lifting property against final morphisms.

Remark 3.2.2.2. Left and right cartesian fibrations are stable under limits, retract, composition and right cancellation according to the result of section 2.1.2.

Remark 3.2.2.3. The proposition 3.1.2.9 implies that the full duality $(_)^\circ$ sends left (resp. right) cartesian fibrations to right (resp. left) cartesian fibrations.

Construction 3.2.2.4. The construction 2.1.2.12 produces a unique factorization system between initial (resp final) morphisms and left (resp. right) cartesian fibrations. If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is any morphism, we will denote by $\mathbf{F}f : A' \rightarrow B$ the left cartesian fibration obtained via this factorization system. This morphism will be called the *left Cartesian replacement* of f .

Proposition 3.2.2.5. *If $f : C \rightarrow D^b$ is a left cartesian fibration, then the canonical morphism $(C^b)^b \rightarrow C$ is an equivalence. Conversely, any morphism $C^b \rightarrow D^b$ is a left cartesian fibration.*

Proof. The first assertion is a consequence of the fact that marked trivializations are initial. The second assertion is a direct consequence of proposition 3.2.1.8. \square

Proposition 3.2.2.6. *Let $p : X \rightarrow C$ be a morphism, and x, y two objects of X . Then, if p is a right (resp. left) cartesian fibration, the induced morphism $p : \text{hom}_X(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_C(x, y)$ is a left (resp. right) cartesian fibration.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 3.2.1.10. \square

Proposition 3.2.2.7. *Consider a cocartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{j} & X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ p'' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p \downarrow \\ Y'' & \xrightarrow{i} & Y' & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

If p is a left (resp. right) cartesian fibration and i is a right (resp. left) Gray deformation retract, then $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a right (resp. left) Gray deformation retract. Moreover, this left (resp. right) Gray deformation retract structure is functorial in p .

Similarly, if p is a left (resp. right) cartesian fibration and i is a right (resp. left) deformation retract, then $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a right (resp. left) deformation retract. This left (resp. right) deformation retract structure is functorial in p .

Proof. We suppose that p is a right cartesian fibration. By stability under pullbacks, so is p' . Let $(i : C \rightarrow D, r, \phi)$ be a left Gray deformation retract structure. We define the morphism ψ as the lift of the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'' \otimes [1]^\sharp \cup X' \otimes \{0\} & \xrightarrow{(X'' \otimes \mathbb{I}) \cup \text{id}} & X' \\ \downarrow & \searrow \psi & \downarrow p' \\ X' \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & Y'' \otimes [1]^\sharp \longrightarrow Y' \end{array}$$

Remark that the restriction of ψ to $X' \otimes \{1\}$ factors through X'' and then defines a retract $s : Y \rightarrow X$ of j . This provides a right Gray deformation structure for $p \rightarrow p''$. We proceed similarly for the dual case.

The functoriality of the Gray deformation retract structure comes from the fact that only functorial operations were used. Indeed, pullbacks, pushouts and the Gray tensor product are functorial. The formation of the lift ψ is also functorial according to proposition 2.1.2.9.

To verify the second claim, one may utilize the same proof, exchanging \otimes with \times . \square

Corollary 3.2.2.8. *Let $p : X \rightarrow B^\sharp$ and $q : Y \rightarrow B^\sharp$ be two left cartesian fibrations and $\phi : p \rightarrow q$ a morphism over B^\sharp . The morphism ϕ is an equivalence if and only if, for any object b of B , the induced morphism $\{b\}^* \phi : \{b\}^* X \rightarrow \{b\}^* Y$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. As $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is locally cartesian closed, pullback commutes with special colimits, and as every (∞, ω) -category is the special colimit of its k -truncation for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ according to proposition 3.1.1.39, one can suppose that B is a marked (∞, k) -category for $k < \omega$, and we then proceed by induction on k . The initialization is trivial. Suppose then the result is true for (∞, k) -categories and that B is an $(\infty, k + 1)$ -category. Remark first that ϕ induces an equivalence between $\tau_0(X)$ and $\tau_0(Y)$.

Let x and y be two objects of X and $v : [1]^\sharp \rightarrow B^\sharp$ be a cell whose source is px and target py . This induces cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_v & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow \phi_1 \lrcorner & & \downarrow \phi_v \lrcorner & & \downarrow \phi \\ Y_1 & \longrightarrow & Y_v & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [1]^\sharp & \xrightarrow{v} & B^\sharp \end{array}$$

By hypothesis, ϕ_1 is an equivalence. According to proposition 3.2.2.7, $\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_v$ is a right deformation retract, and according to proposition 3.1.4.7, this induces a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_{X_1}(x, ry) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{X_v}(x, y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_{Y_1}(\phi x, r\phi y) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{Y_v}(\phi x, \phi y) \end{array}$$

where horizontal morphisms are equivalences. By hypothesis, the left vertical one is an equivalence, and then, by two out of three, so is the right vertical one.

We then have, for any 1-cell v , the following cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_{X_v}(x, y) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_X(x, y) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_{Y_v}(\psi x, \psi y) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_Y(\psi x, \psi y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{v\} & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_B(px, py)^\sharp \end{array}$$

where the arrow labeled by \sim is an equivalence. As $\text{hom}_B(px, py)^\sharp$ is an (∞, k) -category, the induction hypothesis implies that $\text{hom}_X(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(\psi x, \psi y)$ is an equivalence. The morphism ϕ is then fully faithful, and as we already know that it is essentially surjective, this concludes the proof. \square

We have by construction 3.2.2.4 a factorization system in initial morphism followed by left cartesian fibration, and another one in final morphism followed by right cartesian fibration. We are willing to find an explicit expression for such factorization in some easy cases. We then fix $i : C^b \rightarrow D$ with D being any marked (∞, ω) -category.

Definition 3.2.2.9. If $C^b \rightarrow D$ is a functor between marked (∞, ω) -categories, we define $D_{/C^b}$ and $D_{C^b/}$ as the following pullbacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{C^b/} & \longrightarrow & D^{[1]^\sharp} \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow (i_0^-) \lrcorner \\ C^b & \longrightarrow & D \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D_{/C^b} & \longrightarrow & D^{[1]^\sharp} \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow (i_1^-) \lrcorner \\ C^b & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

If C is the terminal (∞, ω) -category, this notation is compatible with the one of the slice over and under introduced in paragraph.

Lemma 3.2.2.10. *The morphism $i : C^b \rightarrow D_{/C^b}$ appearing in the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & D \\
 & & & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 C^b & \overset{i}{\dashrightarrow} & D_{C^b/} & \longrightarrow & D^{[1]^\#} \\
 \searrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (i_0^-)! \\
 & id & C^b & \longrightarrow & D
 \end{array}$$

is initial.

Proof. Using proposition 3.1.3.4, we have a natural transformation

$$(_ \otimes [1]^\#) \otimes [1]^\# \sim _ \otimes ([1]^\# \times [1]^\#) \xrightarrow{-\otimes \psi} _ \otimes [1]^\#$$

where ψ sends (ϵ, ϵ') on $\max(\epsilon, \epsilon')$. This induces squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 D_{/C^b} & \longrightarrow & D^{[1]^\#} & \longrightarrow & (D^{[1]^\#})^{[1]^\#} \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 C^b & \longrightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{D^{s_0}} & D^{[1]^\#}
 \end{array}$$

As we have two squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (D_{/C^b})^{[1]^\#} & \longrightarrow & (D^{[1]^\#})^{[1]^\#} \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 (C^b)^{[1]^\#} & \xrightarrow{D^{s_0}} & D^{[1]^\#}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 C^b & \longrightarrow & D \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (C^b)^{[1]^\#} & \longrightarrow & D^{[1]^\#}
 \end{array}$$

This induces, by the universal property of pullback, a morphism $\phi : D_{/C^b} \rightarrow (D_{/C^b})^{[1]^\#}$ and, by adjunction, a morphism $\phi : D_{/C^b} \otimes [1]^\# \rightarrow D_{/C^b}$. We set $r : D_{C^b/} \rightarrow C^b$ as the canonical projection. Eventually, remark that (i, r, ϕ) is a left Gray deformation retract. According to proposition 3.2.1.4, this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2.2.11. *The composite $q : D_{C^b/} \rightarrow D^{[1]^\#} \xrightarrow{D^{i_0^+}} D$ is a left cartesian fibration.*

Proof. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & D_{C^b/} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K \otimes [1]^\# & \longrightarrow & D
 \end{array}
 \tag{3.2.2.12}$$

The ∞ -groupoid of lifts of this previous diagram is equivalent to the ∞ -groupoid of pairs consisting of a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K \otimes \{0\} \otimes \{0\} & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow f & \\
 K \otimes [1]^\# \otimes \{0\} & \dashrightarrow & C^b
 \end{array}$$

where f is induced by $K \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow D_{C^b/} \rightarrow C^b$, and a lift in the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \otimes \{0\} \otimes [1]^\sharp \cup K \otimes [1]^\sharp \otimes \{1\} \cup K \otimes [1]^\sharp \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & D \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ K \otimes [1]^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

According to proposition 3.2.1.8, the morphism $K \otimes [1]^\sharp \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow C^b$ factors through a morphism $K \rightarrow C^b$, and is then uniquely determined by $f : K \otimes \{0\} \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow C^b$, and proposition 3.1.3.4 provides a natural equivalence between $(K \otimes [1]^\sharp) \otimes [1]^\sharp$ and $K \otimes ([1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp)$. The ∞ -groupoid of lifts of the diagram (3.2.2.12) is then equivalent to the ∞ -groupoid of lifts of the left square of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K \otimes \{0\} \otimes [1]^\sharp \cup K \otimes [1]^\sharp \otimes \{1\} \cup K \otimes [1]^\sharp \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & K \otimes [1]^\sharp \cup K \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ K \otimes [1]^\sharp \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & K \otimes [2]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

As $K \otimes [1]^\sharp \coprod_{K \otimes [0]} K \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow K \otimes [2]^\sharp$ is an equivalence, this ∞ -groupoid is contractible. \square

Proposition 3.2.2.13. *The factorisation of $p : C^b \rightarrow D$ in an initial morphism followed by a left cartesian fibration is*

$$C^b \xrightarrow{i} D_{C^b/} \xrightarrow{q} D,$$

and its factorization in a final morphism and a right cartesian fibration is

$$C^b \xrightarrow{i} D_{/C^b} \xrightarrow{q} D.$$

Proof. This is a direct application of lemma 3.2.2.10 and 3.2.2.10 and of their dual version. \square

Remark 3.2.2.14. The more important example of the previous proposition is the case $C := \{a\}$. In this case, the corresponding left cartesian fibration is the slice of D under a

$$D_{a/} \rightarrow D$$

defined in 3.1.2.26, and the corresponding right cartesian fibration is the slice of D over a

$$D_{/a} \rightarrow D$$

also defined in 3.1.2.26,

Definition 3.2.2.15. Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories. A marked 1-cell $v : x \rightarrow x'$ is *left cancellable* if for any y , the following natural square is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_X(x', y) & \xrightarrow{v!} & \text{hom}_X(x, y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_Y(px', py) & \xrightarrow{p(v)!} & \text{hom}_Y(px, py) \end{array}$$

Conversely, a 1-cell $v : y \rightarrow y'$ is *right cancellable* if for any x , the following natural square is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_X(x, y) & \xrightarrow{v!} & \text{hom}_X(x, y') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_Y(px, py) & \xrightarrow{p(v)!} & \text{hom}_Y(px, py') \end{array}$$

Notation 3.2.2.16. Given a an element of Θ_t . The morphism $[1]^\sharp \hookrightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]^\sharp$ and $[a, 1]^\sharp \hookrightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]^\sharp$ denote the canonical globular morphisms.

Lemma 3.2.2.17. *Let p be a morphism. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) p has the unique right lifting property against $\{0\} \rightarrow [1]^\sharp$ and marked 1-cells are left cancellable.
- (2) p has the unique right lifting property against $[a, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]$ for any object a of $t\Theta$.
- (3) p has the unique right lifting property against $[a, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]$ and $[1]^\sharp \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1]^\sharp \vee [1]^\sharp$ for any object a of $t\Theta$.

Conversely, the following are equivalent:

- (1)' p has the unique right lifting property against $\{1\} \rightarrow [1]^\sharp$ and marked 1-cells are right cancellable.
- (2)' p has the unique right lifting property against $[a, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [a, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp$ for any object a of $t\Theta$.
- (3)' p has the unique right lifting property against $[a, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [a, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp$ and $[1]^\sharp \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1]^\sharp \vee [1]^\sharp$ for any object a of $t\Theta$.

Proof. The fact that 1-cells are left cancellable is equivalent to asking that i has the unique right lifting property against

$$[a, 1] \amalg_{\{0\}} [1]^\sharp \rightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]$$

for any object a of $t\Theta$, and where $[a, 1] \amalg_{\{0\}} [1]^\sharp$ is the pushout of the span

$$[a, 1] \xleftarrow{i_0^-} \{0\} \xrightarrow{i_0^-} [1]$$

Suppose that p fulfills (1). The class of morphisms having the unique right lifting property against p is closed under pushout. The morphism p then has the unique left lifting property against

$$[a, 1] \rightarrow [a, 1] \amalg_{\{0\}} [1]^\sharp.$$

As remarked above, the fact that marked 1-cells are left cancellable implies that p has the unique right lifting property against

$$[a, 1] \amalg_{\{0\}} [1]^\sharp \rightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1].$$

By stability by composition, p then has the unique right lifting property against

$$[a, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1].$$

This implies (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Suppose now that p fulfills (2). Remark that we have a retract

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [1] & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}} & \{0\} \\ \downarrow & & \nabla \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [1]^\sharp & \hookrightarrow & [1]^\sharp \vee [1] & \xrightarrow{id \vee \mathbb{I}} & [1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

and as the class of morphisms having the unique right lifting property against p is closed under retracts, this implies that p has the unique right lifting property against $\{0\} \rightarrow [1]^\sharp$. By stability under pushout, p has the unique right lifting property against

$$[a, 1] \rightarrow [a, 1] \amalg_{\{0\}} [1]^\sharp.$$

By stability under left cancellation, p then has the unique right lifting property against

$$[a, 1] \amalg_{\{0\}} [1]^\# \rightarrow [1]^\# \vee [a, 1].$$

As remarked above, this implies that 1-cells are left cancellable. We then have (1) \Leftrightarrow (2).

There is an obvious implication (3) \Rightarrow (2). To show the converse, we suppose that p fulfills (1) and (2). As the class of morphisms having the unique right lifting property against p is closed under pushout and composition, it then contains $\{0\} \rightarrow [1]^\#$ and $\{0\} \rightarrow [1]^\# \rightarrow [1]^\# \vee [1]^\#$. By left cancellation, it also includes $[1]^\# \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1]^\# \vee [1]^\#$. The proof of the equivalence of (1)', (2)' and (3)' is symmetrical. \square

Lemma 3.2.2.18. *Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism having the unique right lifting property against marked trivializations, such that for any element a of $t\Theta$, and any cartesian squares:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{k} & X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ p'' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p \downarrow \\ [a, 1] & \longleftarrow & [1]^\# \vee [a, 1] & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

the square $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a right deformation retract. Then, p has the unique right lifting property against $[a, 1] \xrightarrow{\nabla} [1]^\# \vee [a, 1]$ for any object a of $t\Theta$.

Proof. Suppose given a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [a, 1] & \longrightarrow & X \\ \nabla \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ [1]^\# \vee [a, 1] & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

and let p' and p'' be the morphisms appearing in the following cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{k} & X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ p'' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p \downarrow \\ [a, 1] & \longleftarrow & [1]^\# \vee [a, 1] & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

Let $(k : X'' \rightarrow X', r, \phi)$ the left deformation retract existing by hypothesis.

We first demonstrate that any diagram of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\{x\}} & X' \\ \downarrow & & p' \downarrow \\ [1]^\# & \longleftarrow & [1]^\# \vee [a, 1] \end{array} \tag{3.2.2.19}$$

admits a unique lifting given by $\phi(x) : x \rightarrow r(x)$. Let $l : [1]^\# \rightarrow X'$ be any lifting. Remark that $l(1)$ is in X'' . The deformation ϕ then induces a square in X' of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{\cancel{f}} & l(1) \\ \phi(x) \downarrow & & \parallel \\ r(x) & \xrightarrow{\cancel{f}} & l(1) \end{array}$$

Moreover, the marked 1-cell $r(x) \rightarrow r(x)$ is over a unit, and as p' has the unique right lifting property against marked trivializations, this implies that this 1-cell is an equivalence. As all the operations to

produce the previous square were functorial, we have constructed a retraction structure for the inclusion of $\{\phi(x) : x \rightarrow r(x)\}$ into the space of lifts of the square (3.2.2.19).

To conclude the proof of the proposition, one has to demonstrate that the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [a, 1] & \xrightarrow{j} & X' \\ \nabla \downarrow & & \downarrow p' \\ [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1] & \xrightarrow{id} & [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1] \end{array}$$

admits a unique lifting. We denote by x_0 and x_2 the image of the object of $[a, 1]$ via the morphism j . As demonstrated above, the unique marked 1-cell in X' over $[1]^\sharp \hookrightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]$ with x_0 for source is $\phi(x_0) : x_0 \rightarrow r(x_0)$. The ∞ -groupoid of lifts of this diagram is then equivalent to the ∞ -groupoid of lifts of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{X'}(rx_0, x_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{x_0!} \\ a & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{X'}(x_0, x_2) \end{array}$$

However, the right vertical morphism is an isomorphism according to proposition 3.1.4.7 which concludes the proof. \square

Definition 3.2.2.20. Keeping in mind the last lemma, we define I_g and F_g as the smallest sets of morphisms of $(0, \omega)$ -cat_m fulfilling these conditions:

- (1) for any $a \in \Theta^t$, the globular morphism $[a, 1] \hookrightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]$ is in F_g and the globular morphism $[a, 1] \hookrightarrow [a, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp$ is in I_g
- (2) for any i in F_g , $[i, 1]$ is in I_g , for any j in I_g , $[i, 1]$ is in F_g ,

Remark 3.2.2.21. Propositions 3.1.4.4 and 3.1.4.9 then imply that morphisms of I_g are left Gray deformation retracts and morphisms of F_g are right Gray deformation retracts.

Definition 3.2.2.22. We extend by induction the definition of right and left cancellable to cells of any dimension as follows: a n -cell v is *left or right cancellable* (resp. *right cancellable*) if the corresponding $(n - 1)$ -cell of $\text{hom}_X(x, y)$ is left cancellable (resp. right cancellable) for the morphism $\text{hom}_X(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(px, py)$, where x and y denote the 0-sources and 0-but of v .

Lemma 3.2.2.23. *Let $p' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ be a morphism such that p has the unique right lifting property against marked trivializations and suppose that we have a left Gray deformation retract $p' \rightarrow p$. We denote by $(r : Y' \rightarrow Y, i, \phi)$ the left deformation retract structure induced on the codomain, and suppose that the deformation $\phi : Y \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow Y$ factors through $\psi : Y \times [1]^\sharp \rightarrow Y$. Then, the square $p' \rightarrow p$ is a left deformation retract.*

Proof. Proposition 3.2.1.9 states that $Y \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow Y \times [1]^\sharp$ is a marked trivialization. There is then a lift in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes [1]^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \psi' & \downarrow \\ X \times [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & Y \times [1]^\sharp \xrightarrow{\psi} Y \end{array}$$

where ϕ' is the deformation induced on domains. This endows $p' \rightarrow p$ with a structure of left deformation retract, where the retraction is the same, and the deformation is given by (ψ', ψ) . \square

Theorem 3.2.2.24. *Consider the following shape of diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X'' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & X \\
 p'' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p \downarrow \\
 Y'' & \xrightarrow{i} & Y' & \longrightarrow & Y
 \end{array} \tag{3.2.2.25}$$

The following are equivalent:

- (1) *The morphism p is a left cartesian fibration.*
- (2) *p has the unique right lifting property against marked trivialization, and for any diagram of shape (3.2.2.25), if i is a right Gray deformation retract, so is $p'' \rightarrow p'$.*
- (3) *p has the unique right lifting property against marked trivialization and, for any diagram of shape (3.2.2.25), if i is in F_g , the square $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a right Gray deformation retract.*
- (4) *For any even integer n , p has the unique right lifting property against $i_n^+ : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ and marked n -cells are right cancellable; for any odd integer p has the unique right lifting property against $i_n^- : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ and marked n -cells are left cancellable.*
- (5) *p as the unique right lifting property against $\{0\} \rightarrow [1]^\sharp$, marked 1-cells are left cancellable, and for any pair of objects (x, y) of X , $\text{hom}_X(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(px, py)$ is a right cartesian fibration.*

Conversely, the following are equivalent:

- (1)' *The morphism p is a right cartesian fibration.*
- (2)' *p has the unique right lifting property against marked trivialization and for any diagram of shape (3.2.2.25), if i is a left Gray deformation retract, so is $p'' \rightarrow p'$.*
- (3)' *p has the unique right lifting property against marked trivialization, and for any diagram of shape (3.2.2.25), if i is in I_g , the square $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a left Gray deformation retract.*
- (4)' *For any even integer n , p has the unique right lifting property against $i_n^- : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ and marked n -cells are left cancellable; for any odd integer p has the unique right lifting property against $i_n^+ : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ and marked n -cells are right cancellable.*
- (5)' *p as the unique right lifting property against $\{1\} \rightarrow [1]^\sharp$, marked 1-cells are right cancellable, and for any pair of objects (x, y) of X , $\text{hom}_X(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(px, py)$ is a left cartesian fibration.*

Proof. The implication from (1) to (2) and (1)' to (2)' is the content of proposition 3.2.2.7.

The implication from (2) to (3) and (2)' to (3)' comes from the fact that I_g (resp. F_g) consists of right (resp. left) Gray deformation retracts.

Suppose now that p fulfills condition (3). Lemma 3.2.2.23 implies that if i is of shape $[a, 1] \hookrightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]$ for $a : t\Theta$, $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a right deformation retract. Lemma 3.2.2.18 and 3.2.2.17 then imply that p has the unique right lifting property against $\{0\} \rightarrow [1]^\sharp$ and marked 1-cells are left cancellable.

We are now willing to show that for any pair of objects (x, y) , $\text{hom}_X(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(px, py)$ fulfills condition (3)', and an obvious induction will complete the proof of (3) \Rightarrow (4). We then consider x, y two objects of X , $i : b \rightarrow a$ in I_g and any morphism $a \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(px, py)$. The previous data induces a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X'' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & X \\
 p'' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p \downarrow \\
 [b, 1] & \xrightarrow{[i, 1]} & [a, 1] & \longrightarrow & Y
 \end{array}$$

where the bottom right morphism sends $\{0\}$ to px and $\{1\}$ to py . By construction, $[i, 1]$ is in F_g , and so by assumption, the morphism $p' \rightarrow p''$ is a right Gray deformation retract. Applying the functor $\text{hom}_-(_, _)$ we get the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{hom}_{X''}(x, y) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{X'}(x, y) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_X(x, y) \\ \tilde{p}'' \downarrow & \lrcorner & \tilde{p}' \downarrow & \lrcorner & \tilde{p} \downarrow \\ b & \xrightarrow{i} & a & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_Y(px, py) \end{array}$$

and the dual version of proposition 3.1.4.8 implies that $\tilde{p}'' \rightarrow \tilde{p}'$ is a left Gray deformation retract. As this is true for any $i : b \rightarrow a$ in I_g , for any object of X , and any $a \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(px, py)$, this implies that $\text{hom}_X(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(px, py)$ fulfills condition (3)'. As mentioned above, an obvious induction induces (3) \Rightarrow (4). We show similarly (3)' \Rightarrow (4)'.

Now let's show (4) \Rightarrow (1) and (4)' \Rightarrow (1)'. We show by induction on n that for any element a of $tG_n := \{\mathbf{D}_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n} \cup \{(\mathbf{D}_k)_t\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$, if p fulfills (4) (resp. (4)') p has the unique right lifting property against $a \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow a \otimes [1]^\sharp$ (against $a \otimes \{1\} \rightarrow a \otimes [1]^\sharp$).

Suppose then that this is true at the stage n , and suppose that p fulfills (4). Let a be an object of tG_n . Remark that according to the proposition 3.1.2.6, $[a, 1] \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow [a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp$ fits in the sequence of pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [0] & \xrightarrow{i_0^+} & [a, 1] \otimes \{0\} & & \\ i_0^- \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \\ [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [a, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & [a \otimes \{1\}, 1] \\ & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ [a, 1] & \longrightarrow & [a, 1] \vee [1]^\sharp \cup [a \otimes [1]^\sharp, 1] & \longleftarrow & [a \otimes [1]^\sharp, 1] \\ \nabla \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \\ [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1] & \longrightarrow & [a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp & & \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis, for any pair of objects (x, y) of X , $\text{hom}_X(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(px, py)$ has the unique right lifting property against $a \otimes \{1\} \rightarrow a \otimes [1]^\sharp$ for $a \in tG_n$. Furthermore, lemma 3.2.2.17 implies that p has the unique right lifting property against $\nabla : [a, 1] \rightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]$. The morphism p then has the unique right lifting property against $[a \otimes \{1\}, 1] \rightarrow [a \otimes [1]^\sharp, 1]$ for $a \in tG_n$. The class of morphisms having the unique right lifting property against p being closed under colimits, this implies that it includes $[a, 1] \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow [a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp$. To conclude, one has to show that p has the unique right lifting property against $[1]^\sharp \times \{0\} \rightarrow [1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp$. Remark that according to proposition 3.1.1.38, $[1]^\sharp \times \{0\} \rightarrow [1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp$ fits in the sequence of pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [0] & \xrightarrow{i_0^+} & [1]^\sharp \times \{0\} & & \\ i_0^- \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \\ [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & [1]^\sharp \vee [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow \nabla & [1]^\sharp \\ & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \nabla \\ & & [1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & [1]^\sharp \vee [1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

According to lemma 3.2.2.17, p has the unique right lifting property against $\nabla : [1]^\sharp \rightarrow [1]^\sharp \vee [1]^\sharp$ and so

also against $[1]^\sharp \times \{0\} \rightarrow [1]^\sharp \times [1]^\sharp$. This concludes the proof of the implication (4) \Rightarrow (1). We show similarly (4)' \Rightarrow (1)'.

Eventually, the implication (1) \Rightarrow (5) and (1)' \Rightarrow (5)' are a consequence of proposition 3.2.2.6 and of the implications (1) \Rightarrow (4) and (1)' \Rightarrow (4)'. The implications (5) \Rightarrow (4) and (5)' \Rightarrow (4)' are a consequence of the equivalences (1)' \Leftrightarrow (4)' and (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) applied to the morphisms $\text{hom}_X(x, y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_Y(px, py)$ for all objects x, y . \square

Corollary 3.2.2.26. *A morphism $p : X \rightarrow A^\sharp$ is a left cartesian fibration if and only if for any globular sum b and morphism $j : b \rightarrow A$, j^*p is a left cartesian fibration over b^\sharp .*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the equivalence between conditions (1) and (3) of theorem 3.2.2.24, and the fact that the codomains of marked trivializations and the codomains of morphisms of F_g are marked globular sums. \square

3.2.3 Cartesian fibration are exponentiable

We recall that a marked globular sum is a marked (∞, ω) -category whose underlying (∞, ω) -category is a globular sum and such that for any pair of integers $k \leq n$, and any pair of k -composable n -cells (x, y) , $x \circ_k y$ is marked if and only if x and y are marked.

A morphism $i : a \rightarrow b$ between marked globular sums is globular if the morphism i^\sharp is globular.

A globular morphism i between marked globular sums is then a discrete Conduché functor, which implies according to proposition 3.1.1.32 that the functor $i^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/b} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/a}$ preserves colimits.

Definition 3.2.3.1. Let b be a globular sum and $f : X \rightarrow b^\sharp$ a morphism. We say that f is *b-exponentiable* if the canonical morphism

$$\text{colim}_{i:\text{Sp}_b^\sharp} i^* f \rightarrow f$$

is an equivalence.

Remark 3.2.3.2. Let b be a marked globular sum and $f : X \rightarrow b^\sharp$ a b -exponentiable morphism. As the canonical morphism $\iota : b \rightarrow b^\sharp$ is a marked discrete Conduché functor, it is exponentiable by proposition 3.1.1.32, and we have an equivalence

$$\text{colim}_{i:\iota^*\text{Sp}_b^\sharp} i^* f \rightarrow \iota^* f$$

Proposition 3.2.3.3. *Let $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/b^\sharp}$ be a functor which is pointwise b -exponentiable. The morphism $\text{colim}_I F$ is b -exponentiable*

Proof. Remark that all morphisms $\mathbf{D}_n^\sharp \rightarrow b^\sharp$ in Sp_b^\sharp are globular, and so are discrete Conduché functors. We then have a sequence of equivalences

$$\text{colim}_{i:\text{Sp}_b^\sharp} i^* \text{colim}_I F \sim \text{colim}_I \text{colim}_{i:\text{Sp}_b^\sharp} i^* F \sim \text{colim}_I F.$$

\square

Proposition 3.2.3.4. *Let a be a globular sum, and $f : X \rightarrow a^\sharp$ be a morphism. The induced morphism $\text{colim}_{i:\text{Sp}_a^\sharp} i^* f$ is a -exponentiable.*

Proof. As marked globular morphisms are marked discrete Conduché functors, for any $j : \mathbf{D}_n^\sharp \rightarrow a^\sharp \in \text{Sp}_a$, $j^* \text{colim}_{i: \text{Sp}_a^\sharp} i^* f$ is equivalent to $j^* f$. We then have a sequence of equivalences

$$\text{colim}_{j: \text{Sp}_a^\sharp} j^* \text{colim}_{i: \text{Sp}_a^\sharp} i^* f \sim \text{colim}_{j: \text{Sp}_a^\sharp} j^* f.$$

□

Proposition 3.2.3.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow b^\sharp$ be b -exponentiable and $j : a^\sharp \rightarrow b^\sharp$ a globular morphism. The morphism $j^* f : X \rightarrow a^\sharp$ is a -exponentiable.*

Proof. The data induces a diagram in $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X' & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow j & & \nearrow \\ X''' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X'' & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & \nearrow & \text{Sp}_b^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\quad} & b^\sharp \\ \text{Sp}_a^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\quad} & a^\sharp & & \end{array}$$

where, by stability by composition and right cancelation, all squares are cartesian. The hypothesis implies that i is in $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}$. As j is a conduché fibration, the morphism i' is in $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}$. This directly implies that $j^* f$ is a -exponentiable. □

Lemma 3.2.3.6. *Suppose given a cocartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{k} & c \\ l \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow i \\ d & \xrightarrow{j} & b \end{array}$$

where all objects are marked globular sums and all morphisms are globular, and let $f : X \rightarrow b^\sharp$ be a b^\sharp -exponentiable morphism. We denote $\iota : b \rightarrow b^\sharp$ the canonical morphism. The morphism $\iota^* f$ is the coproduct in the category of arrows of the span

$$j^* f \leftarrow (ik)^* f \rightarrow i^* f.$$

Proof. This directly follows from remark 3.2.3.2 and proposition 3.2.3.5. □

Lemma 3.2.3.7. *Let $i : c \rightarrow d$ be a morphism in the set F_g defined in 3.2.2.20, b a globular sum, and $f : d \rightarrow b^\sharp$ any morphism. Then, there exists a commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} c' & \xrightarrow{i'} & d' & \longrightarrow & b^\sharp \\ \uparrow h & & \uparrow g & \nearrow f & \\ c & \xrightarrow{i} & d & & \end{array}$$

- (1) $d \rightarrow d'$ is a finite composition of pushouts of morphism of shape $i_n^\alpha : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ with n an integer and $\alpha := +$ if n is even, and $-$ if not.

(2) $d' \rightarrow b^\sharp$ is globular.

(3) $h \rightarrow g$ is a right Gray deformation retract.

Proof. We obtain $(d')^\sharp$ by factorizing f^\sharp into an algebraic morphism g^\sharp followed by a globular morphism. The marking d' is the smaller one that makes g a morphism of marked $(0, \omega)$ -categories. By construction, $c \rightarrow d$ fits in a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_n^b & \longrightarrow & c \\ i_n^\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t & \longrightarrow & d \end{array}$$

where all morphisms are globular, and where α is $+$ if n is even, and $-$ if not. As the procedure is similar for any n , we will suppose that $n = 0$, and d is then equivalent to $[1]^\sharp \vee [a, 1]$ for $a \in t\Theta$. The fact that g is algebraic implies that there exists a marked globular sum c' and an integer k , such that d' is of shape $[k]^\sharp \vee c'$ and such that g factors through c' . These data verify the desired condition. \square

Proposition 3.2.3.8. *Let $p : X \rightarrow b^\sharp$ be a morphism exponentiable in b . Consider also the following shape of diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ p'' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p \downarrow \\ c & \xrightarrow{i} & d & \xrightarrow{j} & b^\sharp \end{array} \quad (3.2.3.9)$$

The following are equivalent.

- (1) For any globular morphism $i : [a, 1]^\sharp \rightarrow b^\sharp$, i^*p is a left cartesian fibration.
- (2) For any diagram of shape (3.2.3.9), if i is $i_n^\alpha : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ with n an integer and $\alpha := +$ if n is even and $-$ if not, and j is globular, then $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a right Gray deformation retract.
- (3) For any diagram of shape (3.2.3.9), if i marked globular and is a finite composition of pushouts of morphism of shape $i_n^\alpha : \mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ with n an integer and $\alpha := +$ if n is even and $-$ if not, and j is globular, then $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a right Gray deformation retract.
- (4) For any diagram of shape (3.2.3.9), if i is in the set \mathbb{F}_g defined in 3.2.2.20, then $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a right Gray deformation retract.
- (5) The morphism p is a left cartesian fibration.

Proof. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) comes from theorem 3.2.2.24 as morphisms of shape i_n^α are right Gray deformation retracts according to proposition 3.1.4.10, and as every globular morphism $\mathbf{D}_{n+1} \rightarrow b$ factors through a globular morphism $[a, 1] \rightarrow b$.

We suppose that the second condition is fulfilled. As right Gray deformation retracts are stable under composition according to proposition 3.1.4.6, we can restrict to the case where $i' : c \rightarrow d$ fits in a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_n^b & \longrightarrow & c \\ i_n^\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t & \longrightarrow & d \end{array}$$

where all morphisms are globular, and where α is $+$ if n is even, and $-$ if not. Let p_0 and p_1 be the morphism fitting in cocartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X \\ p_0 \downarrow & & p_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & p \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_n^b & \xrightarrow{i_n^\alpha} & (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t & \longrightarrow & b^\sharp \end{array}$$

This defines a diagram in the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of arrows of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} p_0 & \longleftarrow & p_0 & \longrightarrow & p'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p_1 & \longleftarrow & p_0 & \longrightarrow & p'' \end{array}$$

By assumption, p is b -exponentiable and the proposition 3.2.3.5 then implies that p' is d -exponentiable. The lemma 3.2.3.6 implies that the morphism $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is the horizontal colimit of the previous diagram. As p fulfills condition (2), $p_0 \rightarrow p_1$ is a right Gray deformation retract, and proposition 3.1.4.4 implies that $p'' \rightarrow p'$ also is a right Gray deformation retract. This proves (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Suppose now that condition (3) is fulfilled and let i be in F_g . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} c' & \xrightarrow{i'} & d' & \longrightarrow & b^\sharp \\ h \uparrow & & g \uparrow & \nearrow f & \\ c & \xrightarrow{i} & d & & \end{array}$$

induced by lemma 3.2.3.7. We denote by \tilde{p}'' and \tilde{p}' the morphisms fitting in the following cartesian squares.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X}'' & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X}' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \tilde{p}'' \downarrow & \lrcorner & \tilde{p}' \downarrow & \lrcorner & p \downarrow \\ c' & \xrightarrow{i'} & d' & \longrightarrow & b^\sharp \end{array}$$

As p fulfills (3), $\tilde{p}'' \rightarrow \tilde{p}'$ is a right Gray deformation retract. By construction, the square $h \rightarrow g$ also is a right Gray deformation retract. As p'' and p' are respectively the pullback of \tilde{p}'' along h and the pullback of \tilde{p}' along g , the dual version of 3.1.4.5 implies that $p'' \rightarrow p'$ is a right Gray deformation retract.

The implication (4) \Rightarrow (5) is induced by theorem 3.2.2.24. Eventually, the implication (5) \Rightarrow (1) is a consequence of the preservation of left cartesian fibration under pullback. \square

Corollary 3.2.3.10. *Any left cartesian fibration p over a^\sharp is a -exponentiable.*

Proof. We define $q := \text{colim}_{i: \text{Sp}_a^\sharp} i^*p$. This morphism comes with a canonical comparison $q \rightarrow p$. According to proposition 3.2.3.4, q is a -exponentiable. For any globular morphism $j : [b, 1]^\sharp \rightarrow a$, we have $j^*q \sim j^*p$ as j is a discrete Conduché functor. In particular, j^*q is a left cartesian fibration and q then verifies the first condition of proposition 3.2.3.8. This implies that q is a left cartesian fibration.

As all morphisms $j : 1 \rightarrow a^\sharp$ are marked globular, and so are discrete Conduché functors, there are equivalences

$$j^* \text{colim}_{i: \text{Sp}_a^\sharp} i^*p \sim j^*p$$

and the morphism $q \rightarrow p$ induces an equivalence on fiber. This morphism is then an equivalence according to corollary 3.2.2.8. \square

Lemma 3.2.3.11. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B^\sharp$ be a left cartesian fibration, n an integer, and consider a diagram of $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$ of shape*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A'' & \xrightarrow{j} & A' & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow f'' \lrcorner & & \downarrow f' \lrcorner & & \downarrow f \\ (\Sigma^n E^{eq})^b & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{D}_n^b & \longrightarrow & B^\sharp \end{array}$$

Then j is in $\widehat{\text{tW}}$.

Proof. As f' and f'' are left cartesian fibrations, the only marked cell in A' and A'' are the identities according to proposition 3.2.2.5. We can then suppose that the left square lies in $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, and then apply proposition 2.2.2.9. \square

Lemma 3.2.3.12. *Let b be a globular sum, and n an integer. For any cartesian squares in $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A'' & \xrightarrow{j} & A' & \longrightarrow & b^{\sharp n} \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ B'' & \xrightarrow{i} & B' & \longrightarrow & b^\sharp \end{array}$$

if i is in $\widehat{\text{tW}}$, so is j .

Proof. As $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is cartesian closed, one can suppose that i is in W . In this case the diagram can be seen as a diagram in $\text{Psh}(\Theta)$. The proof is an easy verification of all the possible cases. \square

Proposition 3.2.3.13. *For any cartesian square of $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A'' & \xrightarrow{j} & A' & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow f \\ B'' & \xrightarrow{i} & B' & \longrightarrow & B^\sharp \end{array}$$

where f is a left cartesian fibration, if i is in $\widehat{\text{tW}}$, so is j .

Proof. As $\text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is cartesian closed, one can suppose that i is in W . Several cases have to be considered. If i is of shape $(\Sigma^n E^{eq})^b \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_n^b$, this is lemma 3.2.3.11. Suppose now that i is of shape $\text{Sp}_b^{\sharp n} \rightarrow b^{\sharp n}$. This induces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A'' & \xrightarrow{j} & A' & \longrightarrow & A & & \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & & & \\ & & A''' & \xrightarrow{j'} & A''' & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{Sp}_b^{\sharp n} & \xrightarrow{i} & b^{\sharp n} & \longrightarrow & B^\sharp & & \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & & & \\ & & \text{Sp}_b^\sharp & \xrightarrow{i'} & b^\sharp & & \end{array}$$

where all squares are cartesian. Corollary 3.2.3.10 implies that j' is in $\widehat{\text{W}}$, and according to lemma 3.2.3.12, so is j . \square

Definition 3.2.3.14. A left cartesian fibration $A \rightarrow B$ is *classified* if there exists a cocartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A' \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B^\sharp \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.2.3.15. Let $p : A \rightarrow B$ be a classified left cartesian fibration. The functor $p^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\text{m}/B} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\text{m}/A}$ preserves colimits.

Proof. As $\text{tPsh}(\Theta)$ is locally cartesian closed, it is enough to show that the functor $p^* : \text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)_{/B} \rightarrow \text{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)_{/A}$ sends tW onto $\widehat{\text{tW}}$. As morphisms fulfilling this property are stable under pullback, one can suppose that p is of shape $B \rightarrow A^\sharp$, then applies proposition 3.2.3.13. \square

Corollary 3.2.3.16. Let B be the colimit of a diagram $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, and $p : X \rightarrow \text{colim}_i B_i$ a left cartesian fibration. The canonical morphism

$$\text{colim}_{i:B_i \rightarrow B} i^* p \rightarrow p$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. This morphism corresponds to the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{colim}_{i:I} p^* B_i & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \text{colim}_{i:I} B_i & \longrightarrow & B^\sharp \end{array}$$

The lower horizontal morphism is an equivalence by hypothesis, and the upper one is an equivalence as p^* preserves colimits. \square

3.2.4 Colimits of cartesian fibrations

Through this section, we will identify any marked (∞, ω) -category C with the canonical induced morphism $C \rightarrow 1$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism, $f \times C$ then corresponds to the canonical morphism $X \times C \rightarrow Y$.

Lemma 3.2.4.1. Let b be a globular sum and $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\text{m}/b^\sharp}$ be a diagram that is pointwise a left cartesian fibration. The induced morphism $\text{colim}_I F$ is a left cartesian fibration over b^\sharp .

Proof. We denote $X : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\text{m}}$ the diagram induced by F by taking the domain. Remark first that proposition 3.2.3.3 and corollary 3.2.3.10 imply that $\text{colim}_I F$ is b -exponentiable. Let n be an integer. Suppose given cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \longrightarrow & \text{colim}_I X \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{colim}_I F \\ \mathbf{D}_n^b & \xrightarrow{i_n^\alpha} & (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t & \xrightarrow{j} & b^\sharp \end{array}$$

where α is $+$ if n is even and $-$ if not and with j globular. According to proposition 3.2.3.8, we have to show that f is a right Gray deformation retract to conclude. As F is pointwise a left cartesian fibration,

proposition 3.2.2.7 implies that for any $i : I$, the morphism $f(i)$ appearing in the cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{f(i)} & Y & \longrightarrow & X(i) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow F(i) \\ \mathbf{D}_n^b & \xrightarrow{i_n^\alpha} & (\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t & \xrightarrow{j} & b^\sharp \end{array}$$

is a right Gray deformation retract, and that the corresponding Gray deformation retract structure is functorial in $i : I$. As j and $j i_n^\alpha$ are marked globular, they are discrete Conduché functors, and so exponentiable according to proposition 3.1.1.32. The following canonical morphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_I f(i) \rightarrow f$$

is then an equivalence. As right Gray deformation retract structures are stable by colimits, this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2.4.2. *Let A be an (∞, ω) -category and $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/A^\sharp}$ be a diagram that is pointwise a left cartesian fibration. Let $i : a^\sharp \rightarrow b^\sharp$ be a morphism between globular sums and $j : b^\sharp \rightarrow A^\sharp$ any morphism. The canonical comparison*

$$\operatorname{colim}_I (ji)^* F \rightarrow i^* \operatorname{colim}_I j^* F$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Lemma 3.2.4.1 implies that the two morphisms are left cartesian fibrations. As equivalences between these morphisms are detected on fibers, we can suppose that a is $[0]$. In this case, the morphism i is a discrete Conduché functor, and is then exponentiable according to proposition 3.1.1.32. This directly concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2.4.3. *Let A be an (∞, ω) -category and $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/A^\sharp}$ be a diagram that is pointwise a left cartesian fibration. The induced morphism $\operatorname{colim}_I F$ is a left cartesian fibration over A^\sharp .*

Proof. Consider the functor $\psi : \Theta_{/A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}})$ whose value on $j : b \rightarrow A$ is $\operatorname{colim}_I j^* F$. As F is pointwise a left cartesian fibration, the corollary 3.2.3.16 induces equivalences

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\Theta_{/A}} \psi := \operatorname{colim}_{j:b \rightarrow A} \operatorname{colim}_I j^* F \sim \operatorname{colim}_I \operatorname{colim}_{j:b \rightarrow A} j^* F \sim \operatorname{colim}_I F$$

The functor ψ is cartesian according to lemma 3.2.4.2, and as $\operatorname{codom} \psi$ as a special colimit (given by A^\sharp), so has ψ according to proposition 3.1.1.37. As seen in remark 3.1.1.35, the fact that $\operatorname{tPsh}^\infty(\Theta)$ is cartesian closed implies that for any $j : b \rightarrow A$, the following canonical morphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_I j^* F =: \psi(j) \rightarrow j^* \operatorname{colim}_{\Theta_{/A}} \psi \sim j^* \operatorname{colim}_I F$$

is an equivalence. As the left object is a left cartesian fibration according to lemma 3.2.4.1, so is the right one. As this is true for any $j : b \rightarrow A$, the corollary 3.2.2.26 implies that $\operatorname{colim}_I F$ is a left cartesian fibration. \square

Corollary 3.2.4.4. *Let A be an (∞, ω) -category. The inclusion $\operatorname{LCart}(A^\sharp) \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/A^\sharp}$ preserves both colimits and limits.*

Proof. The preservation of limits is a consequence of the fact that this inclusion is a right adjoint. The preservation of colimits is a direct consequence of the theorem 3.2.4.3. \square

We now use the last theorem to provide an alternative explicit expression of the left cartesian fibration $\mathbf{F}h_{[C,1]}^0$. We obtain this in the theorem 3.2.4.9.

Proposition 3.2.4.5. *Let C be an $(0, \omega)$ -category with an atomic and loop free basis such that $1 \overset{co}{\star} C$ is strict. The canonical projection $\gamma : 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b \rightarrow [C, 1]^\sharp$ is a left cartesian fibration.*

Proof. Let C be such $(0, \omega)$ -category. The hypothesis and the proposition 3.1.2.29 imply that both the domain and the codomain of γ are strict. We can then show the result in $(0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ and use Steiner theory. By construction, the basis of $1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C$ is given by the graduated set:

$$(B_{1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C})_n := \begin{cases} \{\emptyset \overset{co}{\star} c, c \in (B_C)_0\} \cup \{\emptyset \overset{co}{\star} c, c \in (B_C)_0\} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \{1 \overset{co}{\star} c, c \in (B_C)_{n-1}\} \cup \{\emptyset \overset{co}{\star} c, c \in (B_C)_n\} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

where B_C is the basis of C . The derivative is induced by:

$$\partial(1 \overset{co}{\star} c) := 1 \overset{co}{\star} \partial c + (-1)^{|c|} \emptyset \otimes c \quad \partial(\emptyset \star c) := \emptyset \overset{co}{\star} \partial c$$

where we set the convention $\partial c := 0$ if $|c| = 0$. Let n be an integer and x an element of $(1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_n$. The induced morphism $\mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b$ is marked if and only if there is no element of shape $\emptyset \star c$ in the support of x .

For an integer $n > 0$, we define $s_n : (\Sigma \lambda C)_n \rightarrow (1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_n$ as the unique group morphism fulfilling

$$s_n(\Sigma c) := 1 \overset{co}{\star} c$$

for c any element of λC_{n-1} . Remark that for any non negative integer n , and any element d of $(1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_n$, $s_n(d)$ is contained in d . However, the family of morphism $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not commute with the derivative. Let n be an integer and x an element of $(1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_n$. The induced morphism $\mathbf{D}_n \rightarrow 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b$ is therefore marked if and only if x is equal to $s_n \gamma_n(x)$.

Eventually, we recall that $(\mathbf{D}_n)_t \otimes [1]^\sharp$ is the colimit of the diagram:

$$(\mathbf{D}_n)_t \otimes \{0\} \coprod (\mathbf{D}_n)_t \otimes \{1\} \longleftarrow \mathbf{D}_n^b \otimes \{0\} \coprod \mathbf{D}_n^b \otimes \{1\} \longrightarrow \tau_n^i(\mathbf{D}_n^b \otimes [1]^\sharp)$$

We then have to show that for any integer n , any diagram of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda \mathbf{D}_n \otimes \{0\} \cup \lambda \partial \mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1] & \xrightarrow{g} & 1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \lambda \mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1] & \xrightarrow{f} & \Sigma \lambda C \end{array}$$

with $f(e_n \otimes [1])$ and $f(e_k^\alpha \otimes [1])$ for $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$ and $k < n$ corresponding to a marked cell, admits a unique lifting l with the following extra condition: if $n > 0$, if $f(e_n \otimes [1])$ is null and if $g(e_n \otimes \{0\})$ corresponds to a marked cell, then $l(e_n \otimes [1])$ is null and $l(e_n \otimes \{1\})$ corresponds to a marked cell.

Suppose first that $n = 0$. We set $l_0 : \lambda(\mathbf{D}_0 \otimes [1])_0 \rightarrow (1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_0$ as the unique group morphism extending g_0 and such that

$$l_0(e_0 \otimes \{1\}) := \partial s_1(f_1(e_0 \otimes [1]) + g_0(e_0 \otimes \{1\})).$$

We also define $l_1 : \lambda(\mathbf{D}_0 \otimes [1])_1 \rightarrow (1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_1$ as the group morphism characterized by:

$$l_1(e_0 \otimes [1]) := s_1(f_1(e_0 \otimes [1])).$$

For $k > 1$, we set $l_k : \lambda(\mathbf{D}_0 \otimes [1])_k \rightarrow (1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_k$ as the constant morphism on 0. We directly deduce the equality $\partial l = l \partial$. We then have defined the desired lifting, which is obviously the unique one possible.

Suppose now that $n > 0$. We set $l_k := g_k : \lambda(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1])_k \rightarrow (1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_k$ for $k < n$ and $l_n : \lambda(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1])_n \rightarrow (1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_n$ as the unique group morphism extending g_n and such that

$$l_n(e_n \otimes \{1\}) := (-1)^\alpha \partial s_{n+1}(f(e_n \otimes [1])) - (-1)^\alpha s_n(f((\partial e_n) \otimes [1])) + g_n(e_n \otimes \{0\})$$

where α is $+$ if n is even and $-$ if not. We define $l_{n+1} : \lambda(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1])_{n+1} \rightarrow (1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_{n+1}$ as the group morphism characterized by:

$$l_{n+1}(e_n \otimes [1]) := s_{n+1}(f_{n+1}(e_n \otimes [1])).$$

Eventually, for $k > n$, we set $l_k : \lambda(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1])_k \rightarrow (1 \overset{co}{\star} \lambda C)_k$ as the constant morphism on 0.

For an integer $k < n$ and $\alpha \in \{-, +\}$, as the $(k+1)$ -cell corresponding to $g_{k+1}(e_k^\alpha \otimes [1])$ is marked, we have an equality

$$g_{k+1}(e_k^\alpha \otimes [1]) = s_{k+1}f_{k+1}(e_k^\alpha \otimes [1]).$$

This then implies the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(l_{n+1}(e_n \otimes [1])) &= l_{n+1}(\partial(e_n \otimes [1])) \\ \partial(l_n(e_n \otimes \{1\})) &= g_{n-1}(\partial e_n \otimes \{1\}) \end{aligned}$$

As it was the only non trivial case, we have $l \partial = \partial l$. We then have defined the desired lifting, which is obviously the unique one possible. Moreover, if we suppose that $f(e_n \otimes [1])$ is null and $g(e_n \otimes \{0\})$ corresponds to a marked cell, this implies that $s_{n+1}(f(e_n \otimes [1])) = 0$ and that the $g_n(e_n \otimes \{0\})$ is in the image of s_n . The object $f(e_n \otimes [1])$ also is in the image of s_n and so corresponds to a marked cell. \square

Lemma 3.2.4.6. *There is a unique morphism $1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b \rightarrow [C, 1]_{0/}^\sharp$ fitting in a square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]_{0/}^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

This morphism is an equivalence whenever C is a globular sum.

Proof. We have by construction a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^b \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C^b \otimes [1]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b \end{array}$$

which implies that $1 \rightarrow 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b$ is initial. This directly implies the first assertion. We now prove the second assertion. We suppose that C is a globular sum a . The (∞, ω) -categories $1 \overset{co}{\star} a$ is strict according to proposition 2.3.3.14. Proposition 3.2.4.5 states that the canonical morphism $1 \overset{co}{\star} a^b \rightarrow [a, 1]^\sharp$ is a left Cartesian fibration. As the comparison map is initial by left cancellation, it is an equivalence. \square

Proposition 3.2.4.7. *Let $j : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories. The following diagram is cartesian*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C^b \amalg_{C^b} D^b & \longrightarrow & [D, 1]_{0/}^\sharp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [C, 1]^\sharp & \xrightarrow{[j, 1]^\sharp} & [D, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

Proof. Suppose first that C is a globular form b . The lemma 3.2.4.6 implies that the morphism $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} b^b \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{F}h_0^{[b, 1]}$. We then have to check that the canonical morphism

$$\mathbf{F}h_0^{[b, 1]} \amalg_{b^b} C^b \rightarrow [j, 1]^\sharp \mathbf{F}h_0^{[D, 1]} \quad (3.2.4.8)$$

is an equivalence. According to theorem 3.2.4.3, the two objects are left Cartesian fibrations, and we then have to check that this morphism induces equivalences on fibers. Furthermore, remark that the two morphisms $\{0\} \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp$ and $\{1\} \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp$ are discrete Conduché functors and then exponentiable according to proposition 3.1.1.32. The fibers on 0 and 1 of the morphism (3.2.4.8) then correspond to the equivalences

$$1 \amalg_{\emptyset} \emptyset \sim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b \amalg_b D \sim D.$$

Suppose now that C is any (∞, ω) -category. Remark that we have an equivalence

$$\text{colim}_{b \rightarrow C} [b, 1] \sim [C, 1]$$

where b ranges over globular sums or the empty (∞, ω) -category. The theorem 3.2.3.15 and the already proved case then induce equivalences

$$[C, 1]^\sharp \times_{[D, 1]^\sharp} [D, 1]_{0/}^\sharp \sim \text{colim}_{i: b \rightarrow C} 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} b^b \amalg_{b^b} D^b \sim 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C^b \amalg_{C^b} D^b$$

over $[C, 1]^\sharp$. This concludes the proof. □

Theorem 3.2.4.9. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. The left Cartesian fibration*

$$\mathbf{F}h_{[C, 1]}^0 : [C, 1]_{0/}^\sharp \rightarrow [C, 1]^\sharp$$

is equivalent to the projection

$$1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C^b \rightarrow [C, 1]^\sharp.$$

Proof. This directly follows from proposition 3.2.4.7 applied to the morphism $id : C \rightarrow C$. □

Corollary 3.2.4.10. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. We have equivalences*

$$1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \sim [C, 1]_{0/} \quad C \star 1 \sim [C, 1]_{/1}.$$

Proof. By forgetting the marking, theorem 3.2.4.9 implies that $1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C$ is equivalent to $[C, 1]_{0/}$. The proof of the second assertion is dual. □

Corollary 3.2.4.11. *Let $j : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories. The following squares are cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \amalg_C D & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} D \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [C, 1] & \xrightarrow{[j, 1]} & [D, 1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D \amalg_C C \star 1 & \longrightarrow & D \star 1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [C, 1] & \longrightarrow & [D, 1] \end{array}$$

Proof. To show the cartesianness of the first square, we apply the functor $(_)\sharp$ to the cartesian square given in proposition 3.2.4.7 and the equivalence given in theorem 3.2.4.9. The proof of the cartesianness of the second square is dual. \square

Corollary 3.2.4.12. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. We denote by $\gamma : C \star 1 \rightarrow [C, 1]$ and $\gamma' : 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C \rightarrow [C, 1]$ the two canonical projections. The functors $\gamma^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/[C, 1]} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/C \star 1}$ and $\gamma'^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/[C, 1]} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C}$ preserve colimits.*

Proof. We have a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C)^b & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} C^b \\ \gamma^b \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ [C, 1]^b & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

The theorem 3.2.4.9 implies that the right hand morphism is a left cartesian fibration, and γ^b is then a classified left cartesian fibration. The result is then a direct consequence of theorem 3.2.3.15. The proof of the second assertion is dual. \square

3.2.5 Smooth and proper morphisms

Definition 3.2.5.1. For a marked (∞, ω) -category C , we denote by $\text{LCart}(C)$ (resp. $\text{RCart}(C)$) the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/C}$ whose objects are left cartesian fibrations. By theorem 2.1.3.3, we can equivalently define $\text{LCart}(C)$ as the localization of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/C}$ along \widehat{I}_C .

Definition 3.2.5.2. For E, F two objects of $\text{LCart}(C)$ corresponding respectively to two left cartesian fibrations $p : X \rightarrow C$ and $q : X \rightarrow C$, we denote by $\text{Map}(E, F)$ the (∞, ω) -category fitting in the cocartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}(E, F) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow q \\ \{p\} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, C) \end{array}$$

We recall that a left cartesian fibration $X \rightarrow C$ is *classified* when there exists a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & C^\sharp \end{array}$$

Definition 3.2.5.3. We denote by $\text{LCart}^c(C)$ the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{LCart}(C)$ whose objects are classified left cartesian fibrations.

Construction 3.2.5.4. Remark that every morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ induces an adjunction

$$f_! : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}/C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}/D : f^*$$

where the left adjoint $f_!$ is the composition and the right one is the pullback. This induces an adjunction at the level of localized $(\infty, 1)$ -category:

$$\mathbf{L}f_! : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(C) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(D) : \mathbf{R}f^* = f^*$$

Definition 3.2.5.5. A morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ is *smooth* if $f^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/D} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/C}$ preserves colimits, and for every cartesian square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C'' & \xrightarrow{v'} & C' & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow f \\ D'' & \xrightarrow{v} & D' & \longrightarrow & D \end{array} \quad (3.2.5.6)$$

if v is initial, so is v' .

Remark 3.2.5.7. As seen in example 2.1.3.6, when f is smooth, the functor f^* admits a left adjoint

$$f_* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/D} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \end{array} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/C} : f_*$$

and as f^* preserves initial morphisms, this induces a derived adjunction:

$$\mathbf{L}f_* : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(D) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \end{array} \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(C) : \mathbf{R}f_*$$

where $\mathbf{R}f_*$ is just the restriction of f_* .

Proposition 3.2.5.8. *Let I, J be two marked (∞, ω) -categories. The projection $I \times J \rightarrow I$ is smooth.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the fact that cartesian product preserves colimits and initial morphisms. \square

Proposition 3.2.5.9. *Classified right cartesian fibrations are smooth.*

Proof. The theorem 3.2.3.15 states that f^* preserves colimits. Suppose given a diagram of shape (3.2.5.6). As initial morphisms are the smallest cocomplete class containing morphism I , and as f^* preserves colimits, one can suppose that v belongs to I , and then is a left Gray deformation retract. To conclude, one applies proposition 3.2.2.7. \square

Definition 3.2.5.10. A morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ is *proper* if $f^* : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/D} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/C}$ preserves colimits and for every cartesian square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C'' & \xrightarrow{v'} & C' & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow f \\ D'' & \xrightarrow{v} & D' & \longrightarrow & D \end{array} \quad (3.2.5.11)$$

if v is final, so is v' .

Remark 3.2.5.12. As $(_)^\circ$ sends initial to final morphisms, a morphism f is then proper if and only if f° is smooth. Propositions 3.2.5.8 and 3.2.5.9 then imply that projections and classified right cartesian fibrations are proper.

Definition 3.2.5.13. We denote by $\perp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ the left Kan extension of the functor $t\Theta \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ that sends a^\flat on a and $(\mathbf{D}_{n+1})_t$ on \mathbf{D}_n . Roughly speaking, \perp sends a marked (∞, ω) -category to its localization by marked cells. By abuse of notation, we also denote $\perp : \text{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m) \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, the composite functor

$$\text{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m) \xrightarrow{\text{dom}} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \xrightarrow{\perp} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}.$$

Lemma 3.2.5.14. *The functor \perp preserves colimits and sends initial and final morphisms to equivalences.*

Proof. The functor \perp obviously preserves colimits. It is then sufficient to show that it sends $C \otimes [1]^\sharp \rightarrow C$ to an equivalence for any marked (∞, ω) -category C . As \perp sends marked trivializations to equivalences, this directly follows from Proposition 3.2.1.8. \square

Construction 3.2.5.15. The previous lemma implies that for any object E of $\text{LCart}(A)$ and for any morphism $i : A \rightarrow B$, we then have a canonical equivalence

$$\perp \mathbf{L}i_! E \sim \perp E. \quad (3.2.5.16)$$

Let A be an (∞, ω) -category and $a : 1 \rightarrow A^\sharp$ an object of A . According to proposition 3.2.2.13, the factorisation of $a : 1 \rightarrow A^\sharp$ in a final morphism followed by a right cartesian fibration is given by the canonical inclusion $\{a\} \rightarrow A^\sharp_{/a}$ and the canonical projection $\pi_a : A^\sharp_{/a} \rightarrow A^\sharp$. Let E be an object of $\text{LCart}(A^\sharp)$ corresponding to a left cartesian fibration $p : X \rightarrow A^\sharp$. We then have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_a & \xrightarrow{i} & X_{/a} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p \\ \{a\} & \longrightarrow & A^\sharp_{/a} & \xrightarrow{\pi_a} & A^\sharp \end{array}$$

and the morphism i is final as p is proper. As \perp sends final morphisms to equivalences, we then have an invertible natural transformation:

$$\mathbf{R}a^* E \sim \perp \mathbf{R}a^* E \sim \perp \mathbf{R}\pi_a^* E \quad (3.2.5.17)$$

Proposition 3.2.5.18. *The functor $\mathbf{R}a^* : \text{LCart}(A^\sharp) \rightarrow \text{LCart}(1) \sim (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ preserves colimits.*

Proof. As π_a is a right cartesian fibration, it is smooth and $\mathbf{R}\pi_a^*$ then preserves colimits. The functor \perp also preserves them. The result then follows from the equivalence (3.2.5.17). \square

Construction 3.2.5.19. Let E be an object of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/A^\sharp}$ corresponding to a morphism $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$. We denote $\tilde{X} \rightarrow A^\sharp$ the left cartesian replacement of E (constructed in 3.2.2.4). We then have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{/a} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X}_{/a} & \longrightarrow & A^\sharp_{/a} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi_a \\ X & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}E} & A^\sharp \end{array}$$

As π_a is smooth, the canonical morphism $X_{/a} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{/a}$ is initial. Combined with (3.2.5.17), this induces an equivalence:

$$\mathbf{R}a^*(\mathbf{F}E) \sim \perp X_{/a} \quad (3.2.5.20)$$

Proposition 3.2.5.21. *For a morphism $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$, and an object a of A , we denote by $X_{/a}$ the marked (∞, ω) -category fitting in the following cartesian square:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{/a} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ A^\sharp_{/a} & \longrightarrow & A^\sharp \end{array}$$

We denote by $\perp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ the functor sending a marked (∞, ω) -category to its localization by marked cells.

- (1) *Let E, F be two elements of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m/A^\sharp$ corresponding to morphisms $X \rightarrow A^\sharp, Y \rightarrow A^\sharp$, and $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ a morphism between them. The induced morphism $\mathbf{F}\phi : \mathbf{F}E \rightarrow \mathbf{F}F$ is an equivalence if and only if for any object a of A , the induced morphism*

$$\perp X_{/a} \rightarrow \perp Y_{/a}$$

is an equivalence of (∞, ω) -categories.

- (2) *A morphism $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$ is initial if and only if for any object a of A , $\perp X_{/a}$ is the terminal (∞, ω) -category.*

Proof. The first assertion is a direct consequence of the equation (3.2.5.20) and of the fact that equivalences between left cartesian fibrations are detected on fibers.

A morphism $p : X \rightarrow A$ is initial if and only if $\mathbf{F}p$ is equivalent to the identity of A^\sharp , and according to the first assertion, if and only if for any object a of A , the canonical morphism $\perp X_{/a} \rightarrow \perp A^\sharp_{/a}$ is an equivalence. However, the canonical morphism $\{a\} \rightarrow A^\sharp_{/a}$ is final, and $\perp A^\sharp_{/a}$ is then the terminal (∞, ω) -category. This concludes the proof of the second assertion. \square

Construction 3.2.5.22. Suppose given a commutative square of marked (∞, ω) -categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{j} & C \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ B^\sharp & \xrightarrow{i} & D^\sharp \end{array} \quad (3.2.5.23)$$

This induces a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(C) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}j^*} & \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(A) \\ \mathbf{L}u_! \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \mathbf{L}v_! \\ \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(D^\sharp) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}i^*} & \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(B^\sharp) \end{array} \quad (3.2.5.24)$$

that commutes up to a natural transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}v_! \circ \mathbf{R}j^* &\rightarrow \mathbf{L}v_! \circ \mathbf{R}j^* \circ \mathbf{R}u^* \circ \mathbf{L}u_! \\ &\sim \mathbf{L}v_! \circ \mathbf{R}v^* \circ \mathbf{R}i^* \circ \mathbf{L}u_! \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}i^* \circ \mathbf{L}u_! \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.5.25)$$

Definition 3.2.5.26. A square (3.2.5.32) verifies the *Beck-Chevalley condition* if this natural transformation (3.2.5.25) is an equivalence. This square verifies the *weak Beck-Chevalley condition* if the natural transformation once composed with \perp becomes an equivalence.

Proposition 3.2.5.27. *If the square (3.2.5.32) is cartesian and i is smooth, then it verifies the Beck-Chevalley condition.*

Proof. By construction, $\mathbf{L}v_! \circ \mathbf{R}j^*$ sends an object E of $\mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(C)$ onto the fibrant replacement of $v_!j^*E$. As i is smooth, $\mathbf{R}i^* \circ \mathbf{L}u_!$ sends an object E of $\mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(C)$ onto the fibrant replacement of $i^*u_!E$. As pullbacks are stable under composition, we have $i^*u_! \sim v_!j^*$. \square

Lemma 3.2.5.28. *A square (3.2.5.32) where both j and i are final verifies the weak Beck-Chevalley condition.*

Proof. As \perp sends initial and final morphisms to equivalences, for any $E : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(A)$ and any $F : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(C)$, we have equivalences

$$\perp \mathbf{L}v_!E \sim \perp E \quad \text{and} \quad \perp \mathbf{L}v_!F \sim \perp F.$$

Moreover, as classified left cartesian fibrations are proper, for any $G : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(C)$ and $H : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(D^\sharp)$, we have equivalences

$$\perp \mathbf{R}j^*G \sim \perp G \quad \text{and} \quad \perp \mathbf{R}i^*H \sim \perp H.$$

This implies the result. \square

Lemma 3.2.5.29. *Suppose given a cartesian square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{j} & C \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ B^\sharp & \xrightarrow{i} & D^\sharp \end{array}$$

such that for any object b of B^\sharp , the outer square of the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_{b/} & \xrightarrow{\pi'_b} & A & \xrightarrow{j} & C \\ v' \downarrow & \lrcorner & v \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow u \\ B^\sharp_{/b} & \xrightarrow{\pi_b} & B^\sharp & \xrightarrow{i} & D^\sharp \end{array}$$

verifies the weak Beck Chevalley condition. Then the right hand square verifies the Beck Chevalley condition.

Proof. Let E be an element of $\mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(C)$. Using the hypothesis, the fact that π_a is a right cartesian fibration, and so smooth,, we have a sequence of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \perp \mathbf{R}\pi_b^* \mathbf{L}v_! \mathbf{R}j^* E &\sim \perp \mathbf{L}v'_! \mathbf{R}\pi_b'^* \mathbf{R}j^* E & (3.2.5.27) \\ &\sim \perp \mathbf{R}\pi_b^* \mathbf{R}i \mathbf{L}u_! E & (\text{hypothesis}) \end{aligned}$$

Using the equivalence (3.2.5.17), this implies that for any element b of B , we have an equivalence

$$\mathbf{R}b^* \mathbf{L}v_! \mathbf{R}j^* E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}b^* \mathbf{R}i \mathbf{L}u_! E$$

which concludes the proof as equivalences between left cartesian fibrations are detected fiberwise. \square

Proposition 3.2.5.30. *Let $i : I \rightarrow A^\sharp$ and $j : C^\sharp \rightarrow D^\sharp$ be two morphisms. The square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\sharp \times I & \longrightarrow & D^\sharp \times I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^\sharp \times A^\sharp & \longrightarrow & D^\sharp \times A^\sharp \end{array}$$

verifies the Beck-Chevalley condition.

Proof. According to lemma 3.2.5.29, one has to show that for any pair (a, c) where a is an object of A^\sharp and c of C^\sharp , the induced cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{c/}^\sharp \times I_{a/} & \longrightarrow & D^\sharp \times I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_{c/}^\sharp \times A_{a/}^\sharp & \longrightarrow & D^\sharp \times A^\sharp \end{array}$$

verifies the weak Beck-Chevalley condition. Remark that this square factors as two cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_{c/}^\sharp \times I_{a/} & \longrightarrow & D_{j(c)/}^\sharp \times I_{a/} & \longrightarrow & D^\sharp \times I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_{c/}^\sharp \times A_{a/}^\sharp & \longrightarrow & D_{j(c)/}^\sharp \times A_{a/}^\sharp & \longrightarrow & D^\sharp \times A^\sharp \end{array}$$

The two morphisms $\{c\} \rightarrow C_{c/}^\sharp$ and $\{c\} \rightarrow D_{j(c)/}^\sharp$ are initial, and by stability by left cancellation, so is $C_{c/}^\sharp \rightarrow D_{j(c)/}^\sharp$. By stability by cartesian product, the two horizontal morphisms of the left square are initial. Lemma 3.2.5.28 then implies that the left square verifies the weak Beck-Chevalley condition. According to proposition 3.2.5.27, the right square fulfills the Beck-Chevalley condition, and so *a fortiori*, the weak one. The outer square then verified the weak Beck-Chevalley condition, which concludes the proof. \square

Construction 3.2.5.31. Suppose given a commutative square of marked (∞, ω) -categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{j} & C^\sharp \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ B & \xrightarrow{i} & D^\sharp \end{array} \quad (3.2.5.32)$$

where j and i are smooth. This induces a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{LCart}^c(B) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}i_*} & \mathrm{LCart}(D^\sharp) \\ \mathbf{L}v^* \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \mathbf{L}u^* \\ \mathrm{LCart}^c(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}j_*} & \mathrm{LCart}(C^\sharp) \end{array} \quad (3.2.5.33)$$

that commutes up to a natural transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}u^* \circ \mathbf{R}i_* &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}j_* \circ \mathbf{L}j^* \circ \mathbf{L}u^* \circ \mathbf{R}i_* \\ &\sim \mathbf{R}j_* \circ \mathbf{L}v^* \circ \mathbf{L}i^* \circ \mathbf{R}i_* \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}j_* \circ \mathbf{L}v^* \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.5.34)$$

Definition 3.2.5.35. A square (3.2.5.32) verifies the *opposed Beck-Chevalley condition* if i and j are smooth and the natural transformation (3.2.5.34) is an equivalence.

Proposition 3.2.5.36. *If the square (3.2.5.34) is cartesian, and i and j are smooth, then it verifies the opposed Beck-Chevalley condition.*

Proof. By adjunction, it is sufficient to show that the induced natural transformation

$$\mathbf{L}v_! \circ \mathbf{R}j^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}i^* \circ \mathbf{L}u_! : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(C^\sharp) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(B)$$

is an equivalence. By construction, $\mathbf{L}v_! \circ \mathbf{R}j^*$ sends an object E of $\mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(C^\sharp)$ onto the fibrant replacement of $v_!j^*E$. As i is smooth, $\mathbf{R}i^* \circ \mathbf{L}u_!$ sends an object E of $\mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(C^\sharp)$ onto the fibrant replacement of $i^*u_!E$. As pullbacks are stable under composition, we have $i^*u_! \sim v_!j^*$. \square

Proposition 3.2.5.37. *Let $i : I \rightarrow A^\sharp$ be a smooth morphism and $j : C^\sharp \rightarrow D^\sharp$ any morphism. The square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\sharp \times I & \longrightarrow & C^\sharp \times A^\sharp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^\sharp \times I & \longrightarrow & D^\sharp \times A^\sharp \end{array}$$

verifies the opposed Beck-Chevalley condition.

Proof. As $id_{C^\sharp} \times i$ and $id_{D^\sharp} \times i$ are pullbacks of i , they are smooth. The result is then follows from proposition 3.2.5.36. \square

3.2.6 The \mathbf{W} -small (∞, ω) -category of \mathbf{V} -small left cartesian fibrations

Let I be a marked (∞, ω) -category, and a a globular sum. We recall that the pullback along the canonical projection $\pi_a : I \times a^\flat \rightarrow I$ induces an adjunction

$$\pi_a! : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/I \times a^\flat} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I} : \pi_a^*$$

Lemma 3.2.6.1. *Let E and F be two objects of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I}$ and $\psi : \pi_{[a,1]}^*E \rightarrow \pi_{[a,1]}^*F$ an equivalence. There exists a unique commutative diagram of shape*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi_{[a,1]})! \pi_{[a,1]}^* E & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{[a,1]})! \psi} & (\pi_{[a,1]})! \pi_{[a,1]}^* F \\ \epsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ E & \xrightarrow{\phi} & F \end{array}$$

Moreover, the arrow ϕ is an equivalence.

Proof. We denote by $p : X \rightarrow I$ and $q : Y \rightarrow I$ the morphisms corresponding to E and F . Unfolding the definition, we have to show the existence and uniqueness of lifts in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times [a, 1]^\flat & \xrightarrow{\text{dom } \psi} & Y \times [a, 1]^\flat & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \searrow \alpha & & \downarrow q \\ X & \xrightarrow{p} & I & & \end{array} \quad (3.2.6.2)$$

and that the unique lift is an equivalence.

Remark that $[a, 1] \rightarrow 1$ in a trivialization in the sense of definition 2.2.1.48. This morphism is then an epimorphism according to proposition 2.2.1.50. As $(_)^\flat$ and $(_) \times A$ are left adjoints, they preserve epimorphisms, and $X \times [a, 1]^\flat \rightarrow X$ is then an epimorphism. The dual of proposition 2.1.4.6 then implies that the space of lifts of the square (3.2.6.2) is empty or contractible.

Suppose now that we already know that lifts in squares of shape (3.2.6.2) always exist. By the unicity of lifts, an inverse to a lift α in this square is given by a lift β in the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times [a, 1]^\flat & \xrightarrow{\text{dom } \phi} & X \times [a, 1]^\flat & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \searrow \beta & & \downarrow p \\ Y & & & & I \\ & \xrightarrow{q} & & & \end{array}$$

where $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ is an inverse of ψ . As a consequence, if lifts exist, they are equivalences.

It then remains to show that there exists a lift. We will denote also $[a, 1]$ the canonical morphism $[a, 1] \rightarrow 1$. Let ϕ be an inverse of ψ . We denote $\tilde{\psi} : E \times [a, 1] \rightarrow F$ and $\tilde{\phi} : F \times [a, 1] \rightarrow E$ the morphisms induced by the adjunction from ψ and ϕ . For $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, we denote by $\psi_{\{\epsilon\}} : E \times \{\epsilon\} \rightarrow F$ and $\phi_{\{\epsilon\}} : F \times \{\epsilon\} \rightarrow E$ the induced morphisms. In particular, ψ_ϵ and ϕ_ϵ are inverses of each other.

By construction, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times [a, 1]^\flat \times [a, 1]^\flat & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi} \times [a, 1]^\flat} & F \times [a, 1]^\flat \\ X \times \nabla \uparrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi} \\ E \times [a, 1]^\flat & \xrightarrow{\pi} & E \end{array}$$

where ∇ is the diagonal. This corresponds to a commutative diagram in the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $[n] \mapsto \text{Hom}_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I}}(E \times [a, n]^\flat, E)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}_E \sim \phi_0 \circ \psi_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi_0 \circ \tilde{\psi}} & \phi_0 \circ \psi_1 \\ \tilde{\phi}_0 \circ \psi_0 \downarrow & \searrow \text{id}_{\text{id}_E} & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_0 \circ \psi_1 \\ \phi_1 \circ \psi_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1 \circ \psi} & \phi_1 \circ \psi_1 \sim \text{id}_E \end{array}$$

As $\phi_0 \circ \psi_0 \sim \text{id}$ and $\phi_1 \circ \psi_1 \sim \text{id}$, the previous diagram induces two commutative triangles in the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $[n] \mapsto \text{Hom}_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I}}(E \times [a, n]^\flat, F)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi_1 & & \psi_0 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} \psi_1 \\ \psi_1 \circ \tilde{\phi}_0 \circ \psi_0 \downarrow & \searrow \text{id}_{\psi_1} & \downarrow \psi_0 \circ \tilde{\phi}_0 \circ \psi_1 \\ \psi_0 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & \psi_1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\psi_0} \\ & & \psi_0 \end{array}$$

Viewed as a 1-cell of $[n] \mapsto \text{Hom}_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I}}(E \times [a, n]^\flat, F)$, $\tilde{\psi}$ is then an equivalence. This implies the existence of lifts in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [a, 1]^\flat & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & \text{Map}(E, F) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \alpha & \\ 1 & & \end{array}$$

which corresponds to a lift in the square (3.2.6.2). □

Lemma 3.2.6.3. *Let I be a marked (∞, ω) -category and a a globular form. The canonical morphisms of ∞ -groupoids:*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{[a,1]}^* &: \tau_0(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I} \rightarrow \tau_0(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I \times [a,1]^b} \\ \pi_{[a,1]}^* &: \tau_0 \text{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I}) \rightarrow \tau_0 \text{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I \times [a,1]^b}) \end{aligned}$$

are fully faithful.

Proof. Let E and F be two objects of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I}$. The morphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\tau_0(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I}}(E, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\tau_0(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I \times [a,1]^b}}(\pi_{[a,1]}^* E, \pi_{[a,1]}^* F)$$

has an inverse that sends $\psi : \pi_{[a,1]}^* E \rightarrow \pi_{[a,1]}^* F$ onto the morphism $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ appearing in the commutative square provided by lemma 3.2.6.1.

The second assertion is demonstrated similarly. \square

Proposition 3.2.6.4. *Let I be a marked (∞, ω) -category and a a globular form. We denote by $\pi_a : I \times a^b \rightarrow I$ the canonical projection. The canonical morphisms of ∞ -groupoids:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\pi_a^* &: \tau_0 \text{LCart}^c(I) \rightarrow \tau_0 \text{LCart}^c(I \times a^b) \\ \mathbf{R}\pi_a^* &: \tau_0 \text{Arr}(\text{LCart}^c(I)) \rightarrow \tau_0 \text{Arr}(\text{LCart}^c(I \times a^b)) \end{aligned}$$

are fully faithful.

Proof. Let $[\mathbf{b}, n] := a$. Consider first the adjunction:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{LCart}^c(I \times [b_0, 1]^b) \times_{\text{LCart}^c(I)} \cdots \times_{\text{LCart}^c(I)} \text{LCart}^c(I \times [b_{n-1}, 1]^b) \\ \uparrow \downarrow \text{colim}_I \\ \text{LCart}^c(I^b \times [\mathbf{b}, n]) \end{array}$$

The corollary 3.2.3.16 implies that the counit of this adjunction is an equivalence. This implies that the right adjoint

$$\text{LCart}^c(I^b \times [\mathbf{b}, n]) \rightarrow \text{LCart}^c(I \times [b_0, 1]^b) \times_{\text{LCart}^c(I)} \cdots \times_{\text{LCart}^c(I)} \text{LCart}^c(I \times [b_{n-1}, 1]^b)$$

is fully faithful. By right cancellation and using the fact that fully faithful functors are stable by limits, it is sufficient to show that for any $k < n$,

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_{[b_i, 1]}^* : \tau_0 \text{LCart}^c(I) \rightarrow \tau_0 \text{LCart}^c(I \times [b_k, 1]^b)$$

is fully faithful. Moreover, for any such k , we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_0 \text{LCart}^c(I) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}\pi_{[b_k, 1]}^*} & \tau_0 \text{LCart}^c(I \times [b_k, 1]^b) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau_0(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{[b_k, 1]}^*} & \tau_0(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I \times [b_k, 1]^b} \end{array}$$

whose vertical morphisms are fully faithful by construction. The results the follows from lemma 3.2.6.3 by right cancellation.

The second assertion is demonstrated similarly. \square

Definition 3.2.6.5. For an (∞, ω) -category A and a globular sum a , we define $\mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp; a)$ as the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\mathbf{LCart}^c(A^\sharp \times a^\flat)$ whose objects are of shape $E \times id_a^\flat$ for E an object of $\mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp)$. The proposition 3.2.6.4 implies that the canonical morphism

$$\tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp) \rightarrow \tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp; a)$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoid.

Definition 3.2.6.6. We define $\underline{\mathbf{LCart}}(A^\sharp)$ as the \mathbf{W} -small (∞, ω) -category whose value on $[a, n]$ is given by:

$$\underline{\mathbf{LCart}}(A^\sharp)([a, n]) := \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp; a)).$$

For a marked (∞, ω) -category I and a globular sum a , we define similarly $\mathbf{LCart}^c(I; a)$ as the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\mathbf{LCart}^c(I \times a^\flat)$ whose objects are of shape $E \times id_a^\flat$ for E an object of $\mathbf{LCart}^c(I)$. The proposition 3.2.6.4 implies that the canonical morphism

$$\tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}^c(I) \rightarrow \tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}^c(I; a)$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoid. We define $\underline{\mathbf{LCart}}^c(I)$ as the \mathbf{W} -small (∞, ω) -category whose value on $[a, n]$ is given by:

$$\underline{\mathbf{LCart}}^c(I)([a, n]) := \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathbf{LCart}^c(I; a)).$$

These two definitions are compatible as we have an equivalence between $\underline{\mathbf{LCart}}^c(I)$ and $\underline{\mathbf{LCart}}(A^\sharp)$.

Remark 3.2.6.7. Let E and F be two objects of $\underline{\mathbf{LCart}}^c(I)$, and a a globular sum. Remark that a morphism $[a, 1] \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{LCart}}^c(I)$ corresponds to a morphism $E \times id_a^\flat \rightarrow F \times id_a^\flat$, and so to a morphism $X \times a^\flat \rightarrow Y$ over I where X and Y are respectively the domain of E and F . We then have an equivalence:

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathbf{LCart}}(I)}(E, F) \sim \mathrm{Map}(E, F). \quad (3.2.6.8)$$

This then implies that $\mathbf{LCart}^c(I)$ is locally \mathbf{V} -small.

Construction 3.2.6.9. Let $i : I \rightarrow J$ be a morphism between marked (∞, ω) -category, a a globular sum, and p a classified left cartesian fibration over $a^\flat \times J$. Remark that we have a canonical equivalence

$$\mathbf{R}(i \times id_{a^\flat})^*(p \times id_{a^\flat}) \sim (\mathbf{R}i^*p) \times id_{a^\flat}$$

natural in $a : \Theta^{op}$. The functor $\mathbf{R}(i \times id_{a^\flat})^*$ then restricts to a functor

$$(i_a)^* : \mathbf{LCart}^c(J; a) \rightarrow \mathbf{LCart}^c(I; a)$$

natural in $a : \Theta^{op}$, and then to a morphism of (∞, ω) -categories:

$$i^* : \underline{\mathbf{LCart}}^c(J) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{LCart}}^c(I) \quad (3.2.6.10)$$

Construction 3.2.6.11. Let $i : I \rightarrow A^\sharp$ be a morphism between marked (∞, ω) -categories. We are now willing to construct a morphism $i_! : \underline{\mathbf{LCart}}^c(I) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{LCart}}(A^\sharp)$ which corresponds to $\mathbf{L}i_! : \mathbf{LCart}^c(I) \rightarrow \mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp)$ on the maximal sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

We denote by E_0 and E_1 the $(\infty, 1)$ -categories fitting in the cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Theta \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \psi_0 \\ \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m) & \xrightarrow{\text{codom}} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Theta \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \psi_1 \\ \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m) & \xrightarrow{\text{codom}} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \end{array}$$

where $\text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m)$ is the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m)$ whose objects are classified left cartesian fibrations, and where ψ_0 and ψ_1 send respectively a on $I \times a^b$ and $A^\sharp \times a^b$. The morphism i induces an adjunction

$$i_! : E_0 \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} E_1 : i^* \quad (3.2.6.12)$$

where the left adjoint sends a left cartesian fibration p over $I \times a^b$ to $\mathbf{L}(i \times id_a^b)_! p$ and the right adjoint sends a left cartesian fibration q over $A^\sharp \times a^b$ to $\mathbf{R}(i \times id_a^b)^* q$.

Lemma 3.2.6.13. *Let p be a left cartesian fibration over I^\sharp . We have an equivalence*

$$\mathbf{L}(i \times id_{a^b})_!(p \times id_{a^b}) \sim (\mathbf{L}i_! p) \times id_{a^b}.$$

Let q be a left cartesian fibration over A^\sharp . We have an equivalence

$$\mathbf{R}(i \times id_{a^b})^*(q \times id_{a^b}) \sim (\mathbf{R}i^* q) \times id_{a^b}.$$

Proof. The first assertion is straightforward as the cartesian product with a^b preserves initial morphisms and left cartesian fibrations. The second assertion is obvious. \square

Construction 3.2.6.14. We define \tilde{E}_0 and \tilde{E}_1 as the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -categories of E_0 and E_1 whose objects are respectively of shape $p \times id_a^b$ and $q \times id_a^b$ for p and q classified left cartesian fibrations over I and A^\sharp . The last lemma implies that (3.2.6.12) restricts to an adjunction

$$i_! : \tilde{E}_0 \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \tilde{E}_1 : i^* \quad (3.2.6.15)$$

Lemma 3.2.6.16.

- (1) *Let $q \rightarrow q'$ be a morphism in \tilde{E}_0 corresponding to a cartesian square. The induced morphism $i_!(q) \rightarrow i_!(q')$ also corresponds to a cartesian square.*
- (2) *Let $q \rightarrow q'$ be a morphism in \tilde{E}_1 corresponding to a cartesian square. The induced morphism $i^*(q) \rightarrow i^*(q')$ also corresponds to a cartesian square.*

Proof. Cartesian morphisms in \tilde{E}_0 corresponds to cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times a^b & \longrightarrow & X \times b^b \\ p \times id_a^b \downarrow & & \downarrow p \times id_b^b \\ I \times a^b & \longrightarrow & I \times b^b \end{array}$$

and cartesian morphisms in \tilde{E}_1 corresponds to cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times a^b & \longrightarrow & Y \times b^b \\ q \times id_a^b \downarrow & & \downarrow q \times id_b^b \\ A^\sharp \times a^b & \longrightarrow & A^\sharp \times b^b \end{array}$$

The results directly follows from lemma 3.2.6.13. \square

Construction 3.2.6.17. The canonical projection $\tilde{E}_0 \rightarrow \Theta$ and $\tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow \Theta$ are Grothendieck fibrations in $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. The cartesian lifting is given by cartesian squares. Moreover, their Grothendieck deconstructions correspond respectively to $a \mapsto \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(I; a)$ and $a \mapsto \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(A^\sharp; b)$. As both $i_!$ and i^* preserve cartesian lifting according to lemma 3.2.6.16, they induce by Grothendieck deconstruction a family of adjunction

$$(i_a)_! : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(I; a) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(A^\sharp; a) : (i_a)^* \quad (3.2.6.18)$$

natural in $a : \Theta^{op}$. The family of functors $(i_a)_!$ then induces a morphism of (∞, ω) -category

$$i_! : \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}^c(I) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}(A^\sharp) \quad (3.2.6.19)$$

which corresponds to $\mathbf{L}i_! : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(I) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(A^\sharp)$ on the maximal sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category. The unit and counit of adjunction (3.2.6.18) induce morphisms

$$\mu : id \rightarrow i^* i_! \quad \epsilon : i_! i^* \rightarrow id \quad (3.2.6.20)$$

and equivalences $(\epsilon \circ_0 i_!) \circ_1 (i_! \circ_0 \mu) \sim id_{i_!}$ and $(i^* \circ_0 \epsilon) \circ_1 (\mu \circ_0 i^*) \sim id_{i^*}$.

Construction 3.2.6.21. Let $j : C^\sharp \rightarrow D^\sharp$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories. We claim that the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}(D^\sharp \times A^\sharp) & \xrightarrow{(id_{D^\sharp} \times i)^*} & \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}^c(D^\sharp \times I) \\ (j \times id_{A^\sharp})^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (j \times id_I)^* \\ \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}(C^\sharp \times A^\sharp) & \xrightarrow{(id_{C^\sharp} \times i)^*} & \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}^c(C^\sharp \times I) \end{array}$$

induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}^c(D^\sharp \times I) & \xrightarrow{(j \times id_I)^*} & \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}^c(C^\sharp \times I) \\ (id_{D^\sharp} \times i)_! \downarrow & & \downarrow (id_{C^\sharp} \times i)_! \\ \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}(D^\sharp \times A^\sharp) & \xrightarrow{(j \times id_{A^\sharp})^*} & \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}(C^\sharp \times A^\sharp) \end{array} \quad (3.2.6.22)$$

A priori, the natural transformations (3.2.6.20) implies that this square commutes up the natural transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} (id_{C^\sharp} \times i)_! \circ (j \times id_I)^* &\rightarrow (id_{C^\sharp} \times i)_! \circ (j \times id_I)^* \circ (id_{D^\sharp} \times i)^* \circ (id_{D^\sharp} \times i)_! \\ &\sim (id_{C^\sharp} \times i)_! \circ (id_{C^\sharp} \times i)^* \circ (j \times id_{A^\sharp})^* \circ (id_{D^\sharp} \times i)_! \\ &\rightarrow (j \times id_{A^\sharp})^* \circ (id_{D^\sharp} \times i)_! \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2.5.30 implies that this natural transformation is pointwise an equivalence, and so is globally an equivalence.

Construction 3.2.6.23. We now suppose that the morphism $i : I \rightarrow A^\sharp$ is smooth, and we are willing to construct a morphism $i_* : \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}(A^\sharp) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{L}}\text{Cart}(I)$ which corresponds to $\mathbf{R}i_* : \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}^c(I) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}\text{Cart}(A^\sharp)$ on the sub maximal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories..

As smooth morphisms are stable by pullback, the maps $i \times id_b^\sharp$ are smooth for any $b : \Theta$. The morphism $i^* : E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ then preserves colimits and fits into an adjunction

$$i^* : E_1 \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} E_0 : i_* \quad (3.2.6.24)$$

where the left adjoint sends a left cartesian fibration p over $A^\sharp \times a^b$ to $(i \times id_a^\sharp)^* p$ and the right adjoint sends a left cartesian fibration q over $I \times a^b$ to $\mathbf{R}(i \times id_a^\sharp)_* q$.

Lemma 3.2.6.25. *Let p be a left cartesian fibration over I . We have an equivalence*

$$\mathbf{R}(i \times id_{a^b})_*(p \times id_{a^b}) \sim (\mathbf{R}i_*p) \times id_{a^b}.$$

Proof. This is a straightforward calculation. \square

Construction 3.2.6.26. We recall that \tilde{E}_0 and \tilde{E}_1 are defined as the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -categories of E_0 and E_1 whose objects are respectively of shape $p \times id_a^b$ and $q \times id_a^b$ for p and q classified left cartesian fibrations over I and A^\sharp . The lemma 3.2.6.25 and the second assertion of lemma 3.2.6.13 imply that the adjunction (3.2.6.24) restricts to an adjunction

$$i^* : \tilde{E}_1 \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{\perp} \tilde{E}_0 : i_* \quad (3.2.6.27)$$

Lemma 3.2.6.28. *Let $q \rightarrow q'$ be a morphism in \tilde{E}_0 corresponding to a cartesian square. The induced morphism $i_*(q) \rightarrow i_*(q')$ also corresponds to a cartesian square.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of the lemma 3.2.6.16, using lemma 3.2.6.25 instead of lemma 3.2.6.13. \square

Construction 3.2.6.29. The lemmas 3.2.6.16 and 3.2.6.28 imply that the two adjoints of (3.2.6.27) preserve the cartesian cells of the Grothendieck fibrations $\tilde{E}_0 \rightarrow \Theta$ and $\tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow \Theta$. These two adjoints then induce by Grothendieck deconstruction a family of adjunction

$$(i_a)^* : \mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp; a) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{\perp} \mathbf{LCart}^c(I; a) : (i_a)_* \quad (3.2.6.30)$$

natural in $a : \Theta^{op}$. The family of functors $(i_a)_*$ then induces a morphism of (∞, ω) -categories

$$i_* : \mathbf{LCart}^c(I) \rightarrow \mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp) \quad (3.2.6.31)$$

which is equivalent to $\mathbf{R}i_* : \mathbf{LCart}^c(I) \rightarrow \mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp)$ on the sub maximal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. The unit and counit of adjunction (3.2.6.30) induce natural transformation

$$\mu : id \rightarrow i_*i^* \quad \epsilon : i^*i_* \rightarrow id \quad (3.2.6.32)$$

and equivalences $(\epsilon \circ_0 i^*) \circ_1 (i^* \circ_0 \mu) \sim id_{i^*}$ and $(i_* \circ_0 \epsilon) \circ_1 (\mu \circ_0 i_*) \sim id_{i_*}$.

Construction 3.2.6.33. Let $j : C^\sharp \rightarrow D^\sharp$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories. We claim that the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{LCart}(D^\sharp \times A^\sharp) & \xrightarrow{(id_{D^\sharp} \times i)^*} & \mathbf{LCart}^c(D^\sharp \times I) \\ (j \times id_{A^\sharp})^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (j \times id_I)^* \\ \mathbf{LCart}(C^\sharp \times A^\sharp) & \xrightarrow{(id_{C^\sharp} \times i)^*} & \mathbf{LCart}^c(C^\sharp \times I) \end{array}$$

induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{LCart}^c(D^\sharp \times I) & \xrightarrow{(id_{D^\sharp} \times i)_*} & \mathbf{LCart}(D^\sharp \times A^\sharp) \\ (j \times id_I)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (j \times id_{A^\sharp})^* \\ \mathbf{LCart}^c(C^\sharp \times I) & \xrightarrow{(id_{C^\sharp} \times i)_*} & \mathbf{LCart}(C^\sharp \times A^\sharp) \end{array} \quad (3.2.6.34)$$

A priori, the natural transformations (3.2.6.32) implies that this square commutes up the natural transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (j \times id_{A^\#})^* \circ (id_{D^\#} \times i)_* &\rightarrow (id_{C^\#} \times i)_* \circ (id_{C^\#} \times i)^* \circ (j \times id_{A^\#})^* \circ (id_{D^\#} \times i)_* \\
 &\sim (id_{C^\#} \times i)_* \circ (j \times id_I)^* \circ (id_{D^\#} \times i)^* \circ (id_{D^\#} \times i)_* \\
 &\rightarrow (id_{C^\#} \times i)_* \circ (j \times id_I)^*
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2.5.37 implies that this natural transformation is pointwise an equivalence, and so is globally an equivalence.

Chapter 4

The (∞, ω) -category of (∞, ω) -categories

Contents

4.1 Lax Grothendieck construction	176
4.1.1 Internal category	176
4.1.2 Grothendieck construction	182
4.1.3 Lax Univalence	191
4.1.4 Lax Grothendieck construction	201
4.2 Yoneda lemma and other results	206
4.2.1 Yoneda lemma	206
4.2.2 Adjoint functors	211
4.2.3 Lax colimits	216
4.2.4 Kan extentions	227

This chapter aims to establish generalizations of the fundamental categorical constructions to the (∞, ω) case. In this new theory, the Gray product plays an essential role. Firstly, it allows the definition of the notion of *lax transformation*:

Definition Let $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ be two morphisms between (∞, ω) -categories. A *lax transformation* between f and g is given by a morphism

$$\psi : A \otimes [1] \rightarrow B,$$

whose restriction to $A \otimes \{0\} \sim A$ is equivalent to f , and whose restriction to $A \otimes \{1\} \sim A$ is equivalent to g .

We can then show that a lax transformation corresponds to the following data:

- for every object a in A , a morphism $f(a) \rightarrow g(a)$,
- for every 1-cell $a \rightarrow b$, a 2-cell in B fitting into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(a) & \longrightarrow & g(a) \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ f(b) & \longrightarrow & g(b) \end{array}$$

- for every n -cell in A , an $(n + 1)$ -cell in B fitting into a more complex version of the above diagram,
- multiple coherences that all these cells satisfy.

The usefulness of the Gray product lies in the fact that it compactly encodes all these data and coherences.

The notion of lax transformation allows us to state the Grothendieck Lax construction.

Theorem 4.1.4.2. *Let $\underline{\omega}$ be the (∞, ω) -category of small (∞, ω) -categories, and A an (∞, ω) -category. There is an equivalence*

$$\int_A : \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(A, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}^c(A)$$

where $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(A, \underline{\omega})$ is the (∞, ω) -category of morphisms from A to $\underline{\omega}$, with 1-cells being the lax transformations $A \otimes [1] \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$, and $\underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}^c(A)$ is the (∞, ω) -category of left cartesian fibrations over A , with 1-cells being morphisms that do not necessarily preserve cartesian liftings.

We also obtain a very precise construction of the functor \int_A . Given a functor $f : A \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$, the left cartesian fibration $\int_A f$ is a colimit (calculated in $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}/A}$) of a simplicial object whose value at n is of the form

$$\coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n : A_0} X(x_0) \times \text{hom}_A(x_0, \dots, x_n) \times A_{x_n} \rightarrow A,$$

where A_{x_j} is the *lax slice* of A over x . This formula is similar to the one given in [GHN15] for $(\infty, 1)$ -categories, and to the one given in [War11] for strict ω -categories.

The result we provide is actually stronger than previously stated, as it allows us to choose "to what extent" the transformations between functors $A \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ are lax, which induces "to what extent" the morphisms between the fibrations preserve cartesian liftings. The result we have presented corresponds to the case where the transformations are "totally lax". Applying it to the case where the transformations between functors are "not lax at all" - that is, are natural transformations - we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4.1.4.3. *Let A be an (∞, ω) -category. There is an equivalence*

$$\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}(A)$$

where $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$ is the (∞, ω) -category of morphisms from A to $\underline{\omega}$, with 1-cells being the natural transformations $A \times [1] \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$, and $\underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}(A)$ is the (∞, ω) -category of left cartesian fibrations over A , with 1-cells being morphisms that preserve cartesian liftings.

In the (∞, n) -categorical case, the equivalence between $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$ and $\underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}(A)$ given in Corollary 4.1.4.3 was already proven by Nuiten in [Nui21] and by Rasekh in [Ras21]. In the $(\infty, 1)$ -case, the equivalence between $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(A, \underline{\omega})$ and $\underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{Cart}^c(A)$ was already proven by Haugseng-Hebestreit-Linskens-Nuiten in [HHLN23].

Given a locally small (∞, ω) -category C , we construct the Yoneda embedding $y : C \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ where $\widehat{C} := \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(C^t, \underline{\omega})$. We then prove the Yoneda lemma:

Theorem 4.2.1.20. *Let C be a locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category. The Yoneda embedding $C \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ is fully faithful. Furthermore, there is an equivalence between the functor*

$$\text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_-, -) : C^t \times \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$$

and the functor

$$\text{ev} : C^t \times \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}.$$

Given an object c of C , the induced equivalence on fibers:

$$\text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, y_c) \sim \text{hom}_C(c, c)$$

sends $\{id_{y_c}\}$ to $\{id_c\}$.

Having the Yoneda lemma at our disposal with all the correct functorialities is an extremely powerful tool for developing the theory of (∞, ω) -categories, as it encodes many and complex coherences.

In the (∞, n) -categorical context, the equivalence, non-functorial in c , between the functors $\text{hom}(y_c, _): \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ and $\text{ev}(c, _): \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ for any object c of C is demonstrated in [Ras21], [Hin21], and [Hei23].

We then define the notion of a *lax colimit*:

Definition Let $f : I \rightarrow C$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories, and tI a marking on I .

A *lax colimit* for f relative to $(tI_n)_{n>0}$ is the universal data of

- an object $\text{laxcolim}_I F$,
- for every 1-cell $i : a \rightarrow b$ in I , a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{F(i)} & F(b) \\ & \Downarrow & \downarrow \\ F(a) & \longrightarrow & \text{laxcolim}_I F \end{array}$$

where the 2-cell present is an equivalence if i is in tI_1 .

- for every 2-cell $u : i \rightarrow j$, a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{F(j)} & F(b) \\ & \Downarrow & \downarrow \\ F(a) & \longrightarrow & \text{laxcolim}_I F \end{array} & \xRightarrow{\quad} & \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{F(j)} & F(b) \\ & \Downarrow & \downarrow \\ F(a) & \longrightarrow & \text{laxcolim}_I F \end{array} \end{array}$$

where the 3-cell present is an equivalence if u is in tI_2 ,

- etc.

Varying tI thus allows us to adjust the "laxness" of the universal property that this object must satisfy.

We conclude this chapter by establishing generalizations of the standard results in category theory to the (∞, ω) -categorical case, including the characterization of presheaves as completion by lax colimits, the calculation of lax Kan extensions using slices, the relationships between duality and (co)lax limits, as well as various characterizations and properties of adjunctions.

Cardinality hypothesis. We fix during this chapter three Grothendieck universes $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{W}$, such that $\omega \in \mathbf{U}$. All defined notions depend on a choice of cardinality. When nothing is specified, this corresponds to the implicit choice of the cardinality \mathbf{V} . We denote by \mathbf{Set} the \mathbf{W} -small 1-category of \mathbf{V} -small sets, $\infty\text{-grd}$ the \mathbf{W} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -category of \mathbf{V} -small ∞ -groupoids and $(\infty, 1)\text{-cat}$ the \mathbf{W} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -category of \mathbf{V} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

4.1 Lax Grothendieck construction

4.1.1 Internal category

Definition 4.1.1.1. For X an object of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$ and K a simplicial ∞ -groupoid, we define the simplicial object $\langle X, K \rangle$ of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ whose value on n is given by

$$\langle X, K \rangle_n := X \times K_n$$

If K is the representable $[n]$, this object is simply denoted by $\langle X, n \rangle$. We also define the following set of morphism of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta \times \Theta)$:

$$\mathbf{T} := \{\langle a, f \rangle, a \in \Theta, f \in \mathbf{W}_1\} \cup \{\langle g, n \rangle, g \in \mathbf{W}, [n] \in \Delta\}$$

Definition 4.1.1.2. A $(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -category is a \mathbf{T} -local ∞ -presheaf $C \in \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta \times \Delta)$. We then naturally define

$$(\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat} := \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta \times \Delta)_{\mathbf{T}}.$$

Remark 4.1.1.3. Unfolding the definition, an $(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -category is a simplicial object $C : \Delta^{op} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ such that the induced morphisms

$$C_0 \rightarrow \lim_{[k] \rightarrow E^{eq}} C_k \quad \text{and} \quad C_n \rightarrow C_1 \times_{C_0} \times \dots \times_{C_0} C_1 \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

are equivalences. Remark that we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat} & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(\Theta^{op}, (\infty, 1)\text{-cat}) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(\Theta^{op}, \infty\text{-grd}) \times \text{Fun}(\Theta^{op}, \infty\text{-grd}) \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal morphism is induced by the canonical inclusion of (∞, ω) -category onto ∞ -presheaves on Θ , and the right vertical one is induced by the functor that maps an $(\infty, 1)$ -category to the pair consisting of the ∞ -groupoid of objects and the ∞ -groupoid of arrows.

Definition 4.1.1.4. A morphism $p : X \rightarrow A$ between two ∞ -presheaves on $\Theta \times \Delta$ is a *left fibration* if it has the unique right lifting property against the set of morphism

$$\mathbf{J} := \{\langle a, \{0\} \rangle \rightarrow \langle a, n \rangle, a \in \Theta, [n] \in \Delta\} \cup \{\langle g, 0 \rangle, g \in \mathbf{W}\}$$

Remark 4.1.1.5. Unfolding the notation, this is equivalent to asking that $X_0 \rightarrow A_0$ is \mathbf{W} -local, and that the natural square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n & \longrightarrow & X_{\{0\}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_n & \longrightarrow & A_{\{0\}} \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

Proposition 4.1.1.6. *We have an inclusion $T \subset \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$.*

Proof. Let a be an object of Θ . The ∞ -groupoid of morphisms i of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta)$ such that $\langle a, i \rangle$ is in $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ contains by definition $\{0\} \rightarrow [n]$, and is closed by colimits and left cancelation. This ∞ -groupoid then contains all initial morphism between ∞ -presheaves on Δ . As morphisms of W_1 are initial, $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ includes morphisms of shape $\langle a, f \rangle$ for $a \in \Theta$ and $f \in W_1$.

Let $g : a \rightarrow b$ be a morphism of W and n an integer. We have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle a, \{0\} \rangle & \longrightarrow & \langle a, n \rangle \\ \langle g, \{0\} \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle g, n \rangle \\ \langle b, \{0\} \rangle & \longrightarrow & \langle b, n \rangle \end{array}$$

The two horizontal morphisms are in $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$. By left cancellation, this implies that $\langle g, n \rangle$ is in $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.1.1.7. If $X \rightarrow A$ is a left fibration, with A a $(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -category, the last proposition implies that X is also a $(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -category. We denote by $\text{LFib}(A)$ the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $(\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}_{/A}$ whose objects are left fibrations.

Proposition 4.1.1.8. *There is a canonical equivalence:*

$$\text{LFib}(\langle a, C \rangle) \sim \text{Fun}(C, (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/a})$$

natural in $a : \Theta^{op}$ and $C : (\infty, 1)\text{-cat}^{op}$.

Proof. Let a be an object of Θ^{op} and C an $(\infty, 1)$ -category. We have a canonical equivalence

$$\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta \times \Delta)_{/\langle a, C \rangle} \sim \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta_{/a} \times \Delta_{/C}) \sim \text{Fun}(\Theta_{/a}^{op}, \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta)_{/C})$$

The previous equivalence induces an equivalence

$$(\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta \times \Delta)_{/\langle a, C \rangle})_{\{\langle b, \{0\} \rangle \rightarrow \langle b, [n] \rangle\}_{/\langle a, C \rangle}} \sim \text{Fun}(\Theta_{/a}^{op}, (\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta)_{/C})_{I_{/C}^0})$$

where $I_{/C}^0$ corresponds to the ∞ -groupoid of morphisms of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta)_{/C}$ of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & [n] \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

for n any integer. The $(\infty, 1)$ -category $(\text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta)_{/C})_{I_{/C}^0}$ is equivalent to the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of Grothendieck \mathbf{V} -small opfibrations fibered in ∞ -groupoid over C , which is itself equivalent to $\text{Fun}(C, \infty\text{-grd})$ according to the Grothendieck construction. We then have an equivalence

$$(\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta \times \Delta)_{/\langle a, C \rangle})_{\{\langle b, \{0\} \rangle \rightarrow \langle b, [n] \rangle\}_{/\langle a, C \rangle}} \sim \text{Fun}(\Theta_{/a}^{op}, \text{Fun}(C, \infty\text{-grd})) \sim \text{Fun}(C, \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)_{/a}) \quad (4.1.1.9)$$

By definition, $\text{LFib}(\langle a, C \rangle)$ is the fully faithful sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of the left hand $(\infty, 1)$ -category corresponding to objects that are local with respect to the set of morphism $\{\langle g, 0 \rangle, g \in W\}_{/\langle a, C \rangle}$. Such ∞ -presheaves corresponds via the equivalence (4.1.1.9) to functors $C \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)_{/a}$ that are pointwise $W_{/a}$ -local. As $W_{/a}$ -local ∞ -presheaves on $\Theta_{/a}$ corresponds to elements of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/a}$, we have an equivalence

$$\text{LFib}(\langle a, C \rangle) \sim \text{Fun}(C, (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/a}).$$

\square

Construction 4.1.1.10. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ between two ∞ -presheaves on $\Theta \times \Delta$ induces an adjunction

$$f_! : (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}/A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}/B : f^* \quad (4.1.1.11)$$

where $f_!$ is the composition and f^* is the pullback. As $\text{LFib}(A)$ is the localization of $(\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}/A$ along the class of morphisms \widehat{J}/A , the previous adjunction induces a derived adjunction:

$$\mathbf{L}f_! : \text{LFib}(A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{LFib}(B) : \mathbf{R}f^* \quad (4.1.1.12)$$

where $\mathbf{L}f_!$ sends E onto $\mathbf{F}f_!E$ and $\mathbf{R}f^*$ is just the restriction of f^* to $\text{LFib}(B)$.

Construction 4.1.1.13. We denote by $\pi_! : \text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta])$ the functor induced by extension by colimits by the canonical morphism $\pi : \Delta \times \Theta \rightarrow \Delta[\Theta]$. We also define $N_{(\omega, 1)} : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta[\Theta]) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta))$ as the right adjoint of $\pi_!$. As $\pi_!$ preserves representable, $N_{(\omega, 1)}$ preserves colimits. Remark that the image of T by $\pi_!$ is contained in \widehat{M} , and $N_{(\omega, 1)}$ induces then by restriction a functor

$$N_{(\omega, 1)} : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}.$$

If C is an (∞, ω) -category, $N_{(\omega, 1)} C$ corresponds to the simplicial object in (∞, ω) -cat:

$$\cdots \quad \coprod_{x_0, x_1, x_2: \tau_0 C} \text{hom}_C(x_0, x_1, x_2) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \coprod_{x_0, x_1: \tau_0 C} \text{hom}_C(x_0, x_1) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \coprod_{x_0: \tau_0 C} 1$$

Notation 4.1.1.14. If $p : X \rightarrow N_{(\omega, 1)} C$ is a left fibration, and x an object of C , we will denote by $X(x)$ the fiber of $p_0 : X_0 \rightarrow N_{(\omega, 1)} C$ on x , and $E(x)$ the canonical morphism $X(x) \rightarrow 1$. Unfolding the definitions, and using corollary 2.2.1.58, we then have for any integer n a canonical equivalence:

$$X_n \sim \coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n} X(x_0) \times \text{hom}_C(x_0, \dots, x_n)$$

Proposition 4.1.1.15. Let C be an (∞, ω) -category, and E, F two objects of $\text{LFib}(N_{(\omega, 1)} C)$ corresponding to morphisms $X \rightarrow N_{(\omega, 1)} C$, $Y \rightarrow N_{(\omega, 1)} C$. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ be a morphism. The following are equivalent:

- (1) ϕ is an equivalence,
- (2) for any object x of C , the induced morphism $\mathbf{R}x^* \phi : \mathbf{R}x^* E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}x^* F$ is an equivalence,
- (3) for any object x of C , the induced morphism $\phi(x) : X(x) \rightarrow Y(x)$ is an equivalence,

Proof. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is direct. The implication (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from the fact that for any object x of C , the value on 0 of the simplicial object $\mathbf{R}x^* E$ (resp. $\mathbf{R}x^* F$) is $X(x) \rightarrow 1$ (resp. $Y(x) \rightarrow 1$).

Suppose now that ϕ fulfills the last condition. As $N_{(\omega, 1)} C$ is $C_0 \sim \coprod_{C_0} 1$, we have equivalences

$$X_0 \sim \coprod_{x: C_0} X(x) \quad Y_0 \sim \coprod_{x: C_0} Y(x).$$

The morphism $\phi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is then an equivalence. Eventually, as E and F are left fibrations, we have

$$X_n \sim X_{\{0\}} \times_{(N_{(\omega, 1)} C)_{\{0\}}} (N_{(\omega, 1)} C)_n \sim Y_{\{0\}} \times_{(N_{(\omega, 1)} C)_{\{0\}}} (N_{(\omega, 1)} C)_n \sim Y_n.$$

This implies (3) \Rightarrow (1), which concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.1.1.16. *There is an equivalence, natural in $C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}^{op}$, between $\text{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[C, 1])$ and the $(\infty, 1)$ -category whose objects are arrows of shape*

$$X(0) \times C \rightarrow X(1)$$

and morphisms are natural transformations such that the induced morphism $X(0) \times C \rightarrow Y(0) \times C$ is of shape $f \times id_C$.

For a left fibration E corresponding to a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[C, 1]$, this arrow is the one appearing in the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_0 \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X(0)^b \times C^b & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X(1)^b & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & \nearrow & \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}([C, 1])_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}([C, 1])_{\{1\}} \\
 (C^b, 0, 1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{1\}
 \end{array}$$

where the left and the right squares are cartesian.

Proof. Left fibrations are detected on pullback along representable. The functor $\text{LFib}(_)$ then sends colimits of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta \times \Delta)$ to limits. Remark that we have a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \langle C, \{0\} \rangle \amalg \langle C, \{1\} \rangle & \longrightarrow & \langle C, 1 \rangle \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \langle [0], \{0\} \rangle \amalg \langle [0], \{1\} \rangle & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[C, 1]
 \end{array}$$

According to proposition 4.1.1.8, and as $\text{LFib}(_)$ send colimits to limits, $\text{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[C, 1])$ fits in the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[C, 1]) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}([1], (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/C}) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \longrightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/C} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/C}
 \end{array}$$

Using the adjunction

$$\text{dom} : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/C} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{\perp} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} : _ \times C$$

the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\text{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[C, 1])$ fits in the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[C, 1]) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}([1], (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \xrightarrow[_ \times C, id]{\quad} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}
 \end{array}$$

The first assertion then follows from the last cartesian square and the proposition 3.2.6.4 applied to $I := 1$. The second is obtained by walking through the equivalences used in the proof of proposition 4.1.1.8. \square

Proposition 4.1.1.17. *There is an equivalence natural in $C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m^{op}$ between $\text{LFib}([C, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\natural$ and the $(\infty, 1)$ -category whose objects are diagrams of shape*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X(0, 0) \times C^\natural \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & X(0, 1) \times C^\natural & & \\
 & \searrow & & \searrow & \\
 & & X(0, 0) \times (C \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\natural & \longrightarrow & X(1, 1) \\
 & \nearrow & & \nearrow & \\
 X(0, 0) \times C^\natural \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & X(1, 0) & &
 \end{array}$$

such that $X(0, 0) \times C^\natural \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow X(0, 1) \times C^\natural$ is of shape $f \times id_{C^\natural}$. Morphisms are natural transformations such that the induced morphisms $X(0, 1) \times C^\natural \rightarrow Y(0, 1) \times C^\natural$ and $X(0, 0) \times (C \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\natural \rightarrow Y(0, 0) \times (C \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\natural$ are of shape $g \times C^\natural$ and $h \times (C \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\natural$.

Proof. The proposition 3.1.2.6 implies that $([C, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\natural$ is the colimit of the diagram

$$[1] \vee [C, 1]^\natural \longrightarrow [C \otimes^\natural \{0\}, 1] \longleftarrow [C \otimes [1]^\sharp, 1]^\natural \longleftarrow [C^\natural \otimes \{1\}, 1] \longrightarrow [C, 1]^\natural \vee [1]$$

According to proposition 3.1.1.41 and lemma 3.1.2.30, this colimit is special, and the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $N_{(\omega, 1)}([C, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\natural$ is then the colimit, computed in $\text{Psh}(\Theta \times \Delta)$, of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \langle C^\natural, 1 \rangle \amalg \langle C^\natural, \{2\} \rangle & & \langle C^\natural \otimes \{0\}, 1 \rangle & & \langle C^\natural \otimes \{1\}, 1 \rangle & & \langle C^\natural, \{0\} \rangle \amalg \langle C^\natural, 1 \rangle \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 \langle [0], 1 \rangle \amalg \langle [0], 1 \rangle & & \langle C^\natural, 2 \rangle & & \langle (C \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\natural, 1 \rangle & & \langle [0], 1 \rangle \amalg \langle [0], 1 \rangle \\
 & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow
 \end{array}$$

We then deduce the result from the proposition 4.1.1.8 in the same way as in the previous proof. \square

Proposition 4.1.1.18. *Let $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ be a \mathbf{W} -small diagram. The canonical functor*

$$\text{LFib}(N_{(\omega, 1)} \text{colim}_I F) \rightarrow \lim_I \text{LFib}(N_{(\omega, 1)} F)$$

is an equivalence, where $\text{colim}_I F$ denotes the colimit taken in $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$.

Proof. Let C be an object of $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$. As left fibrations are detected by unique right lifting property against morphisms whose codomains are of shape $\langle a, n \rangle$, a morphism $p : X \rightarrow N_{(\omega, 1)} C$ is a left fibration if and only if for any $i : [a, n] \rightarrow C$, $(N_{(\omega, 1)} i)^* p$ is a left fibration. The functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Psh}(\Delta[\Theta])^{op} & \rightarrow & (\infty, 1)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{W}} \\
 X & \mapsto & \text{LFib}(N_{(\omega, 1)} X)
 \end{array}$$

then sends colimits to limits, where $(\infty, 1)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{W}}$ denotes the (huge) $(\infty, 1)$ -category of \mathbf{W} -small $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. To conclude the proof, we then have to show that it sends any morphism $f \in M$ to an equivalence. If f is of shape $[g, 1]$ for $g \in \mathbf{W}$, this directly follows from proposition 4.1.1.16. Suppose now that f is $[a, \text{Sp}_n] \rightarrow [a, n]$. Remark that we have a cocartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \langle a, \text{Sp}_n \rangle & \longrightarrow & N_{(\omega, 1)}(\langle a, \text{Sp}_n \rangle) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \langle a, n \rangle & \longrightarrow & N_{(\omega, 1)}(\langle a, n \rangle)
 \end{array}$$

The morphism $\mathrm{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[a, \mathrm{Sp}_n]) \rightarrow \mathrm{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[a, n])$ then fits in the cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[a, n]) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{LFib}(\langle a, n \rangle) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[a, \mathrm{Sp}_n]) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{LFib}(\langle a, \mathrm{Sp}_n \rangle) \end{array}$$

According to proposition 4.1.1.8, we have equivalences

$$\mathrm{LFib}(\langle a, \mathrm{Sp}_n \rangle) \sim \lim_{[k] \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_n} \mathrm{Fun}([k], (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}/_a) \sim \mathrm{Fun}([n], (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}/_a) \sim \mathrm{LFib}(\langle a, n \rangle)$$

It remains the case $f := E^{eq} \rightarrow 1$. We have equivalences $\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} E^{eq} \sim \langle [0], E^{eq} \rangle$ and $\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} 1 \sim 1$. The proposition 4.1.1.8 induces equivalences

$$\mathrm{LFib}(\langle [0], E^{eq} \rangle) \sim \lim_{[k] \rightarrow E^{eq}} \mathrm{Fun}([k], (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}) \sim \mathrm{Fun}(1, (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat})$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Definition 4.1.1.19. Let A be an $(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -category. An object $E : (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}/_A$ is **U-small** if for any morphism $i : \langle b, n \rangle \rightarrow A$, the space of morphism between i and E is **U-small**. Remark that an object F of $\mathrm{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} A)$ corresponding to a left fibration $X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} A$ is **U-small** if and only if for any object a of A , $X(a)$ is **U-small**.

Eventually, we define $\mathrm{LFib}_{\mathbf{U}}(A)$ as the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\mathrm{LFib}(A)$ whose objects correspond to **U-small** left fibrations. In particular, $\mathrm{LFib}_{\mathbf{U}}(A)$ is a **V-small** $(\infty, 1)$ -category unlike $\mathrm{LFib}(A)$ which is a **W-small** $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

Construction 4.1.1.20. The proposition 4.1.1.18 implies that the functor

$$C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \mapsto \tau_0 \mathrm{LFib}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)$$

sends colimits to limits. We then define $\underline{\omega}$ as the (∞, ω) -category that represents this object:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\omega} : \Theta^{op} &\rightarrow \infty\text{-grd} \\ a &\mapsto \tau_0 \mathrm{LFib}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} a) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.1.21}$$

We then have by definition an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}(C, \underline{\omega}) \sim \tau_0 \mathrm{LFib}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C). \tag{4.1.1.22}$$

As the functor $\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}$ preserves product, for any (∞, ω) -category D , we also have a canonical equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}(C, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(D, \underline{\omega})) \sim \tau_0 (\mathrm{LFib}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C \times \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} D)). \tag{4.1.1.23}$$

Eventually, by construction, the ∞ -groupoid of objects of $\underline{\omega}$ corresponds to the ∞ -groupoid of **U-small** (∞, ω) -categories, and according to proposition 4.1.1.16, we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\omega}}(C, D) \sim \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(C, D). \tag{4.1.1.24}$$

The ι -category $\underline{\omega}$ is called the ι -category of small ι -categories.

Construction 4.1.1.25. Let S be a subset of \mathbb{N}^* . We define the subset $\Sigma S = \{i + 1, i \in S\}$. Remark that for any n , we have

$$((N_{(\omega,1)} C)_n)^S \sim (N_{(\omega,1)} C^{\Sigma S})_n$$

We then set the functor

$$(_)^S : \underline{\omega} \rightarrow (\underline{\omega})^{\Sigma S}$$

sending a \mathbf{U} -small left fibration $X \rightarrow N_{(\omega,1)} C$ to the left fibration $n \mapsto (X_n^S \rightarrow (N_{(\omega,1)} C^{\Sigma S})_n^S)$. These functors are called *dualities*. In particular, we have the *odd duality* $(_)^{op} : \underline{\omega} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{co}$, corresponding to the set of odd integer, the *even duality* $(_)^{co} : \underline{\omega} \rightarrow (\underline{\omega}^t)^{op}$, corresponding to the subset of non negative even integer, the *full duality* $(_)^\circ : \underline{\omega} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{t^\circ}$, corresponding to \mathbb{N}^* and the *transposition* $(_)^t : \underline{\omega} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{\Sigma t}$, corresponding to the singleton $\{1\}$. Eventually, we have equivalences

$$((_)^{co})^{op} \sim (_)^\circ \sim ((_)^{op})^{co}.$$

4.1.2 Grothendieck construction

Notation. Through this section, we will identify any marked (∞, ω) -categories C with the canonical induced morphism $C \rightarrow 1$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism, $f \times C$ then corresponds to the canonical morphism $X \times C \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 4.1.2.1. Let A be an (∞, ω) -category and a an object of A , we denote by h_a^A the morphism $1 \rightarrow A^\sharp$ induces by a .

We recall that the notion of left cartesian fibrant replacement is defined in construction 3.2.2.4. Given a morphism $f : X \rightarrow I$, its left cartesian fibrant replacement is denoted $\mathbf{F}f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow I$. Proposition 3.2.2.13 states that the left fibrant replacement of h_a^A , which we then denote by $\mathbf{F}h_a^A$, is the fibration $A_{a/}^\sharp \rightarrow A^\sharp$. Remark 3.1.2.28 provides, for any object b of A^\sharp , a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hom}_A(a, b)^b & \longrightarrow & A_{a/}^\sharp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{F}h_a^A \\ \{b\} & \longrightarrow & A^\sharp \end{array} \quad (4.1.2.2)$$

which induces a canonical morphism $h_b^A \times \mathrm{hom}_A(a, b)^b \rightarrow \mathbf{F}h_a^A$, and consequently, a morphism $\mathbf{F}h_b^A \times \mathrm{hom}_A(a, b)^b \rightarrow \mathbf{F}h_a^A$.

The case of $A := [C, 1]$ will be of particular interest. The morphism $\mathbf{F}h_1^{[C,1]}$ is just $h_1^{[C,1]}$ and theorem 3.2.4.9 implies that $\mathbf{F}h_0^{[C,1]}$ is the canonical morphism $1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b \rightarrow [C, 1]^\sharp$. In this last case, the square (4.1.2.2) corresponds to the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^b & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} C^b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{F}h_0^{[C,1]} \\ \{1\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

induces by the one of theorem 3.1.2.36.

Notation 4.1.2.3. When nothing is specified, the morphism $C^b \rightarrow \mathbf{F}h_0^{[C,1]}$ will always corresponds to this square.

Definition 4.1.2.4. Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. We define the simplicial marked (∞, ω) -category C_{\cdot} and the simplicial arrow of marked (∞, ω) -categories \mathbf{Fh}^C whose value on an integer n is given by the following pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C_{\cdot})_n & \longrightarrow & (C^{\sharp})^{[n+1]^{\sharp}} \\ (\mathbf{Fh}_{\cdot})_n \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_n^{\flat} \times C^{\sharp} & \longrightarrow & (C^{\sharp})^{[n]^{\sharp}} \times (C^{\sharp})^{\{n+1\}} \end{array}$$

and where the functoriality in n is induced by the universal property of pullback. Unfolding the definition, on all integer n , the canonical morphism $(C_{\cdot})_n \rightarrow C^{\sharp}$ corresponds to the morphism

$$\coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n: C_0} \text{hom}_C^{\flat}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \times \mathbf{Fh}_{x_n}^C$$

and is then a left cartesian fibration according to theorem 3.2.4.3.

Construction 4.1.2.5. Let E be an object of $(\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}/\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C$ corresponding to an arrow $X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C$. The *Grothendieck construction* of E , is the object of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}}/C^{\sharp}$ defined by the formula

$$\int_C E := \text{colim}_n (X^{\flat} \times_{(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)^{\flat}} \mathbf{Fh}_{\cdot})_n.$$

As the Grothendieck construction is by definition a colimit of left cartesian fibrations, the theorem 3.2.4.3 implies that it is also a left cartesian fibration. The Grothendieck construction then defines a functor

$$\int_C : (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}/\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C \rightarrow \text{LCart}(C^{\sharp}).$$

Unfolding the definition, if E is a left fibration, $\int_C E$ is the colimit of a simplicial diagram whose value on n is:

$$\coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n: C_0} X(x_0) \times \text{hom}_C^{\flat}(x_0, \dots, x_n) \times \mathbf{Fh}_{x_n}^C$$

Example 4.1.2.6. Let C be an (∞, ω) -category. Let E be an object of $\text{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}[C, 1])$ corresponding to a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}([C, 1])$. According to proposition 4.1.1.16, this object corresponds to a morphism $X(0) \times C \rightarrow X(1)$. The arrow $\int_{[C,1]} E$ corresponds to the colimit of the following diagram:

$$X(0)^{\flat} \times \mathbf{Fh}_0^{[C,1]} \longleftarrow X(0)^{\flat} \times C^{\flat} \longrightarrow X(1)^{\flat}$$

The domain of this arrow is then the colimit of the following diagram:

$$X(0)^{\flat} \times [C, 1]_{0/}^{\sharp} \longleftarrow X(0)^{\flat} \times C^{\flat} \longrightarrow X(1)^{\flat}$$

Lemma 4.1.2.7. *The functor $\int_C : (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}/\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C \rightarrow \text{LCart}(C^{\sharp})$ preserves colimits. Moreover, it sends morphisms of \mathbf{J} to equivalences.*

Proof. According to corollary 3.2.4.4, it is sufficient to show that the composite

$$(\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}/\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C \xrightarrow{\int_C} \text{LCart}(C^{\sharp}) \xrightarrow{\text{dom}} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

preserves colimits.

To this extend, we consider the functor

$$\alpha : \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta \times \Delta)_{/N_{(\omega,1)}C} \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(t\Theta \times \Delta)$$

sending an object E of $\text{LFib}(N_{(\omega,1)}C)$ corresponding to a morphism $X \rightarrow (N_{(\omega,1)}C)$ to $X \times_{(N_{(\omega,1)}C)^b} C./$, and the functor

$$\beta : \text{Psh}^\infty(t\Theta \times \Delta) \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$$

that is the left Kan extension of the functor $t\Theta \times \Delta \rightarrow t\Theta \rightarrow \text{mPsh}(\Theta)$. As $\text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta \times \Delta)$ is locally cartesian closed, α preserves colimits. The composite $\beta \circ \alpha$ then preserves colimits. Moreover, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Psh}^\infty(\Theta \times \Delta)_{/N_{(\omega,1)}C} & \xrightarrow{\beta \circ \alpha} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \\ \mathbf{F} \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{dom} \\ (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}_{/N_{(\omega,1)}C} & \xrightarrow{\int_C} & \text{LCart}(C^\sharp) \end{array}$$

According to proposition 4.1.1.6, one then has to show that $\beta \circ \alpha$ sends any morphism of \mathbf{J} to an equivalence to conclude. Indeed, it will implies that $\beta \circ \alpha$ lifts to a colimit preserving functor

$$\mathbf{D}(\beta \circ \alpha) : (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}_{/N_{(\omega,1)}C} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m,$$

and the previous square implies that this morphism is equivalent to $\text{dom} \int_C$.

Suppose given two cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & X' & \longrightarrow & C./ \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \langle a, \{0\} \rangle & \xrightarrow{f} & \langle a, [n] \rangle & \longrightarrow & (N_{(\omega,1)}C)^b \end{array}$$

By currying, we see these objects as functors $t\Theta^{op} \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(\Delta)$. The right vertical morphism is then pointwise a right fibration of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories fibered in ∞ -groupoids, as it corresponds, for a fixed $a : t\Theta$ and $n : \Delta$, to the morphism of ∞ -groupoid:

$$\coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n : C_0} \text{Hom}(a, \text{hom}_C(x_0, \dots, x_n)^b) \times \text{Hom}(a, C_{x_n}^\sharp) \rightarrow \coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n : C_0} \text{Hom}(a, \text{hom}_C(x_0, \dots, x_n)^b).$$

As the morphism f is pointwise initial, so is g . As β sends pointwise initial morphisms to equivalence, this implies that $\beta\alpha(f) := \beta(g)$ is an equivalence.

Suppose now given two cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & X' & \longrightarrow & C./ \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \langle a, 0 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\langle f, 0 \rangle} & \langle b, 0 \rangle & \longrightarrow & (N_{(\omega,1)}C)^b \end{array}$$

with $f \in W$. By currying, we see these objects as functors $\Delta \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\infty(t\Theta)$. The right vertical morphism is then pointwise a right cartesian fibration. As the morphism $\langle f, 0 \rangle$ is pointwise in \widehat{tW} , so is g . The morphism $\text{colim}_n g_n$ is then in \widehat{tW} and $\beta\alpha(f) := \beta(g)$ is an equivalence. \square

Construction 4.1.2.8. We will denote also by

$$\int_C : \text{LFib}(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} C) \rightarrow \text{LCart}(C^\sharp)$$

the restriction of the Grothendieck construction. This will not cause any confusion as from now on we will only consider the Grothendieck construction of left fibration. The lemma 4.1.2.7 then implies that this functor is colimit preserving, and it is then part of an adjunction

$$\int_C : \text{LFib}(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} C) \xrightleftharpoons[\leftarrow]{\rightarrow} \text{LCart}(C^\sharp) : \partial_C \quad (4.1.2.9)$$

Lemma 4.1.2.10. *Let $i : C^\sharp \rightarrow D^\sharp$ be a morphism. The natural transformation*

$$\partial_C \circ \mathbf{R}i^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} i)^* \circ \partial_D$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. As equivalences between left fibrations are detected on fibers, one can suppose that C is the terminal (∞, ω) -category. Let c denote the object of D corresponding to i . Let E be an object of $\text{LFib}(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} 1)$, corresponding to a morphism $A \rightarrow 1$. According to lemma 4.1.2.7, we then have equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}i_! \int_1 E &\sim \mathbf{L}i_!(A^\flat \times h_1^1) \\ &\sim A^\flat \times \mathbf{F}h_c^D \\ &=: \int_D \mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} i_! E \\ &\sim \int_D \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} i)_! E \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.2.7)$$

The canonical morphism $\mathbf{L}i_! \circ \int_1 \rightarrow \int_D \circ \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} i)_!$ is then an equivalence, which implies by adjunction that $\partial_1 \circ \mathbf{R}i^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} i)^* \circ \partial_D$ also is. \square

Definition 4.1.2.11. Let C be an (∞, ω) -category and c an object of C^\sharp . We define $(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_{/c}$ as the simplicial object in (∞, ω) -cat whose value on (a, n) fits in the cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_{/c})_{(a,n)} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_{(a,n+1)} \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ \{c\} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_{(a,\{n+1\})} \end{array}$$

Unfolding the definition, $(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_{/c}$ is the simplicial diagram whose value on n is

$$\coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n} \text{hom}_C(x_0, \dots, x_n, c)$$

Lemma 4.1.2.12. *There is an equivalence*

$$((\mathbf{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_{/c})^\flat \sim c^* \mathbf{F}h..$$

Proof. A morphism $\langle a, n \rangle \rightarrow (c^* \mathbf{F}h.)^\sharp$ is the data of a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} a^\flat \otimes \{n+1\} & \longrightarrow & a^\flat \otimes [n+1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & \coprod_{k \leq n} a^\flat \otimes \{k\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{c\} & \longrightarrow & C^\sharp & \longleftarrow & \coprod_{k \leq n} \{k\} \end{array}$$

which is, according to proposition 3.1.2.34, equivalent to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{n+1\} & \longrightarrow & [a, n] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{c\} & \longrightarrow & C^\sharp \end{array}$$

and so to a morphism $\langle a, n \rangle \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_{c/}$. As $c^* \mathbf{Fh}$. has a trivial marking, this shows the desired equivalence. \square

Lemma 4.1.2.13. *Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C$ be a left fibration, and c an object of C . The canonical morphism*

$$X(c) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_n (X \times_{\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C} (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_{/c})_n$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. We will show a slightly stronger statement, which is that the morphism

$$X(c) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_n (X \times_{(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)} (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_{/c})_n$$

is an equivalence when the colimit is taken in ∞ -presheaves on Θ . As the colimit in presheaves commutes with evaluation, one has to show that for any globular sum a , the canonical morphism of ∞ -groupoids

$$\operatorname{Hom}(a, X(c)) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_n (\operatorname{Hom}(a, X_n) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}(a, (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_n)} \operatorname{Hom}(a, (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_{/c})_n)$$

is an equivalence. Remark that the simplicial ∞ -groupoid $\operatorname{Hom}(a, ((\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_{/c})_\bullet)$ is equivalent to the simplicial ∞ -groupoid $(\operatorname{Hom}(a, \mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_\bullet)_{/c}$. If we denote also by $\operatorname{Hom}(a, X(c))$ the constant simplicial ∞ -groupoid $n \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}(a, X(c))$, we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{Hom}(a, X(c)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(a, X_\bullet) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}(a, (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_\bullet)} \operatorname{Hom}(a, (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_\bullet)_{/c} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}(a, X_\bullet) & & \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \{c\} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}(a, (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_\bullet)_{/c} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}(a, (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_\bullet) \end{array}$$

Moreover, the left vertical morphism is a left fibration of $(\infty, 1)$ -category fibered in ∞ -groupoid. As pullbacks along left fibrations preserve final morphisms, the morphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}(a, X(c)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(a, X_\bullet) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}(a, (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_\bullet)} \operatorname{Hom}(a, (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_\bullet)_{/c}$$

is final. Taking the colimit, this implies the result. \square

Lemma 4.1.2.14. *Let $i : C^\sharp \rightarrow D^\sharp$ be a morphism. The natural transformation*

$$\int_D \circ \mathbf{R}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} i)^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}i^* \circ \int_C$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. As equivalences between left cartesian fibrations are detected on fibers, one can suppose that C is the terminal (∞, ω) -category. Let c denote the object of D corresponding to i and let E be an object of $\operatorname{LFib}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)$, corresponding to a left fibration $X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C$. By construction, $\int_C E$ is a colimit of left cartesian fibrations. However, as proposition 3.2.5.18 states that $\mathbf{R}i^*$ commutes with colimit, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}i^* \int_C E &\sim \operatorname{colim}_n X_n^b \times_{(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_n^b} \mathbf{R}i^* \mathbf{Fh}^C \\ &\sim \operatorname{colim}_n (X \times_{\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C} (\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_{/c})_n^b \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.2.12)$$

Moreover, remark that $\int_1 \mathbf{R}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} i)^* E$ is equivalent to $X(c)$, and the canonical morphism $\int_D \mathbf{R}(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega, 1)} i)^* E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}i^* \int_C E$ is then the image by $(_)^b$ of the equivalence given by lemma 4.1.2.13. \square

Proposition 4.1.2.15. *The functors \int_C and ∂_C are natural in $C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}^{op}$.*

Proof. We denote by $\text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m)$ (resp. $\text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat})$) the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\text{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m)$ (resp. $\text{Arr}((\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat})$) whose objects are \mathbf{U} -small left cartesian fibrations (resp. \mathbf{U} -small left fibrations). We also set $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m} \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m)$ and $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times_{(\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}} \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat})$ as the pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m} \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m) & \longrightarrow & \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{codom} \\ (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \xrightarrow{(_)\sharp} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times_{(\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}} \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{codom} \\ (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \xrightarrow{N_{(\omega, 1)}} & (\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat} \end{array}$$

The two left vertical morphism inherit from the right vertical morphisms of a structure of Grothendieck fibrations fibered in $(\infty, 1)$ -categories, where cartesian liftings are given by morphisms between arrows corresponding to cartesian squares.

As the assignation $C \mapsto \mathbf{Fh}^C$ can be promoted in a functor $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow \text{Arr}(\text{Fun}(\Delta, (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m))$ the functors \int_C and ∂_C are the restrictions of two functors \int and ∂ fitting in commutative triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m} \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m) & \\ & \nearrow \int & \downarrow \\ (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times_{(\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}} \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}) & \longrightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times_{(\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}} \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}) & \\ & \nearrow \partial & \downarrow \\ (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \times_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m} \text{Arr}^{fib}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m) & \longrightarrow & (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \end{array}$$

Lemmas 4.1.2.10 and 4.1.2.14 imply that these two functors preserve cartesian arrows, and the Grothendieck deconstruction then implies the desired result. \square

Theorem 4.1.2.16. *For any (∞, ω) -category C , the adjunction*

$$\int_C : \text{LFib}(N_{(\omega, 1)} C) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{LCart}(C^\sharp) : \partial_C$$

defined in (4.1.2.9), is an adjoint equivalence.

Proof. As equivalences between left fibrations and between left cartesian fibrations are detected on fibers, and as the two functors are natural in C , it is sufficient to show the result for C being the terminal (∞, ω) -category. In this case remark that $\text{LFib}(N_{(\omega, 1)} 1) \sim \text{LCart}(1)$ and that both \int_1 and ∂_1 are the identities. \square

Corollary 4.1.2.17. *Let $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ be a \mathbf{W} -small diagram. The canonical functor*

$$\mathrm{LCart}^c(\mathrm{colim}_I F) \rightarrow \lim_I \mathrm{LCart}^c(F)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. This functor fits in an adjunction:

$$\mathrm{colim}_I : \lim_I \mathrm{LCart}^c(F) \xrightleftharpoons{\perp} \mathrm{LCart}^c(\mathrm{colim}_I F)$$

The corollary 3.2.3.16 implies that the counit of this adjunction is an equivalence. To conclude, we have to show that the right adjoint is essentially surjective. By definition, the morphism $\tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}(I^\sharp) \rightarrow \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}^c(I)$ is an equivalence. According to theorem 4.1.2.16, on the ∞ -groupoid of objects, the right adjoint corresponds to the equivalence

$$\tau_0 \mathrm{LFib}(\mathrm{N}_{(\omega,1)} \mathrm{colim}_I F^\sharp) \rightarrow \lim_I \tau_0 \mathrm{LFib}(\mathrm{N}_{(\omega,1)} F^\sharp)$$

given in proposition 4.1.1.18. □

Corollary 4.1.2.18. *Let C be an (∞, ω) -category and c be an object of c . The left fibration $\partial_C \mathbf{F}h_c$ is the morphism of simplicial objects:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \cdots & \coprod_{x_0, x_1, x_2: C_0} \mathrm{hom}_C(y, x_0, x_1, x_2) & \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} & \coprod_{x_0, x_1: C_0} \mathrm{hom}_C(y, x_0, x_1) & \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} & \coprod_{x_0: C_0} \mathrm{hom}_C(y, x_0) \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \coprod_{x_0, x_1, x_2: C_0} \mathrm{hom}_C(x_0, x_1, x_2) & \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} & \coprod_{x_0, x_1: C_0} \mathrm{hom}_C(x_0, x_1) & \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} & \coprod_{x_0: C_0} 1 \end{array}$$

Proof. We denote by $E := X \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{(\omega,1)} C$ this left fibration. According to theorem 4.1.2.16, we can equivalently show that the Grothendieck integral of E is the morphism $C_{c/}^\sharp \rightarrow C$. Remark that we have by construction a family of cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_n \times_{(\mathrm{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_n} (C./)_n & \longrightarrow & (C^\sharp)^{[1+n+1]^\sharp} & \xrightarrow{(C^\sharp)^{h_n}} & (C^\sharp)^{[1]^\sharp} \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \{c\} \times (\mathrm{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_n \times C^\sharp & \longrightarrow & C^\sharp \times (C^\sharp)^{[n]^\sharp} \times C^\sharp & \longrightarrow & C^\sharp \times C^\sharp \end{array}$$

natural in n , where $h_n : [1] \rightarrow [1+n+1]$ is the simplicial morphism preserving the extremal points. The outer square factors in two cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_n \times_{(\mathrm{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_n} (C./)_n & \longrightarrow & C_{c/}^\sharp & \longrightarrow & (C^\sharp)^{[1]^\sharp} \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \{c\} \times (\mathrm{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_n \times C^\sharp & \longrightarrow & \{c\} \times C^\sharp & \longrightarrow & C^\sharp \times C^\sharp \end{array}$$

This provides a canonical morphism

$$\int_C E := \mathrm{colim}_n (X_n \times_{(\mathrm{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_n} (\mathbf{F}h.)_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}h_c^C$$

Using the naturality of the integral given in proposition 4.1.2.15, we can see that on fibers, this morphism corresponds to the identity

$$\mathrm{id} : \mathrm{hom}_C(c, d) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_C(c, d).$$

As $\int_C E$ and $\mathbf{F}h_c^C$ are left cartesian fibrations, this implies that they are equivalent. □

Corollary 4.1.2.19. *There is an equivalence, natural in $C : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$, between $\text{LCart}([C, 1]^\sharp)$ and the $(\infty, 1)$ -category whose objects are arrows of shape*

$$\phi : X_0 \times C \rightarrow X_1$$

and morphisms are natural transformations such that the induced morphism $X_0 \times C \rightarrow Y_0 \times C$ is of shape $f \times id_C$.

For a left cartesian fibration $p : X \rightarrow [C, 1]^\sharp$, the corresponding arrow is

$$\phi : X_0 \times C \rightarrow X_1$$

where X_0 and X_1 fit in the cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_0^b & \longrightarrow & X & \longleftarrow & X_1^b \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]^\sharp & \longleftarrow & \{1\} \end{array}$$

and ϕ^b is the restriction to $X_0^b \times C^b \rightarrow X_0^b \times [C, 1]_{0/}^\sharp$ of the lift appearing in the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0^b & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \phi & \downarrow p \\ X_0^b \times [C, 1]_{0/}^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [C, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

Conversely, given a morphism $\phi : X_0 \times C \rightarrow X_1$, the corresponding left cartesian fibration is the horizontal colimit of the diagram

$$X_0^b \times \mathbf{F}h_0^{[C, 1]} \longleftarrow X_0^b \times C^b \xrightarrow{\phi} X_1$$

Proof. This is a consequence of proposition 4.1.1.16, theorem 4.1.2.16, and example 4.1.2.6. \square

Corollary 4.1.2.20. *Let E be an object of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{/[b, 1]^\sharp}$ corresponding to a morphism $p : X \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp$. Consider the induced cartesian squares:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_0 \times b^b & \xrightarrow{g} & X_{/1} & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow p \\ & X_0 & \longrightarrow & & X \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ b^b & \longrightarrow & [b, 1]_{/1}^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [b, 1]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & \{0\} & \longrightarrow & & [b, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

The arrow associated to $\mathbf{F}E$ via the equivalence of corollary 4.1.2.19 is equivalent to

$$(\perp X_0) \times b \xrightarrow{\perp g} \perp X_{/1} \tag{4.1.2.21}$$

where \perp is the functor defined in 3.2.5.13.

Proof. We denote $\tilde{X} \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp$ the morphism associated with the left cartesian fibrant replacement of E , denoted $\mathbf{F}E$. As $[b, 1]^\sharp_{/1} \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp$ and $\{0\} \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp$ are right cartesian fibrations, they are smooth, and the canonical morphisms

$$X_{/1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{/1} \quad \text{and} \quad X_0 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_0$$

are initial. As \perp sends initial morphisms to equivalences, the induced morphisms

$$\perp X_{/1} \rightarrow \perp \tilde{X}_{/1} \quad \text{and} \quad \perp X_0 \rightarrow \perp \tilde{X}_0$$

are equivalences. We can then suppose that E corresponds to a left cartesian fibration.

As $\{1\} \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp$ is a right Gray deformation retract, so is the inclusion $X_1 \rightarrow X_{/1}$ according to proposition 3.2.2.7. The right Gray deformation retract structure induces a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{/1} \otimes \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\quad id \quad} & X_{/1} \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & X_{/1} \otimes [1]^\sharp \xrightarrow{-\phi} X_{/1} \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow \\ X_{/1} \otimes \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\quad r \quad} & X_1 \otimes \{1\} \end{array}$$

By post composing with $g : X_0 \otimes b^\flat \rightarrow X_{/1}$ and post composing $f : X_{/1} \rightarrow X$, we get a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_0 \times b^\flat) \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & X_0 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & (X_0 \times b^\flat) \otimes [1]^\sharp \longrightarrow X \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow \\ (X_0 \times b^\flat) \otimes \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\quad rg \quad} & X_1 \otimes \{1\} \end{array}$$

Remark furthermore that the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X_0 \times b^\flat) \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & X_0 \times \{0\} & \longrightarrow & X_0 \times \mathbf{F}h_0^{[b,1]} \\ \downarrow & & \searrow \text{---} l \text{---} & & \downarrow \\ (X_0 \times b^\flat) \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & [b, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

admits a lift l . Indeed, the left vertical morphism is initial, and the right vertical one is a left cartesian fibration. All put together, we get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 \times b^\flat & \longrightarrow & X_0 \times \mathbf{F}h_0^{[b,1]} \\ rg \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_1 & \longrightarrow & E \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal morphism is induced by the restriction of l to $(X_0 \times b^\flat) \otimes \{1\}$. As $X_1 \rightarrow X_{/1}$ is initial, we have $\perp X_1 \sim \perp X_{/1}$ and $\perp r$ is an equivalence. As X_0 and X_1 are fibers of a left cartesian

fibration, they are trivially marked, and we then have $(\perp X_0)^b \sim X_0$ and $(\perp X_1)^b \sim X_1$. The previous diagram then corresponds to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\perp X_0)^b \times b^b & \longrightarrow & (\perp X_0)^b \times \mathbf{F}h_0^{[b,1]} \\ (\perp g)^b \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\perp X_{/1})^b & \longrightarrow & E \end{array}$$

We denote by F the left fibration associated to the span (4.1.2.21) by corollary 4.1.2.19. The previous square then corresponds to a morphism

$$\int_{[b,1]} F \rightarrow E$$

Using the naturality of $\int_{[b,1]}$, one can see that this morphism induces an equivalence on fibers, and is then an equivalence. Applying $\partial_{[b,1]}$ and using theorem 4.1.2.16, this concludes the proof. \square

Definition 4.1.2.22. A left cartesian fibration is **U-small** if its fibers are **U-small** (∞, ω) -categories.

For an (∞, ω) -category A , we denote by $\mathbf{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}(A^\sharp)$ the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\mathbf{LCart}(A^\sharp)$ whose objects correspond to **U-small** left cartesian fibrations over A^\sharp .

Corollary 4.1.2.23. Let $\underline{\omega}$ be the **V-small** (∞, ω) -category of **U-small** (∞, ω) -categories and A a **V-small** (∞, ω) -category. There is an equivalence

$$\int_A : \mathbf{Hom}(A, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}(A^\sharp)$$

natural in $A : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}^{op}$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the theorem 4.1.2.16 and the definition of $\underline{\omega}$. \square

Corollary 4.1.2.24. The left cartesian fibration $\int_{\underline{\omega}} id$ is the universal left cartesian fibration with **U-small** fibers, i.e for any left cartesian fibration $X \rightarrow A^\sharp$ with **U-small** fibers, there exists a unique morphism $X \rightarrow \int_{\underline{\omega}} id$ and a unique cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \text{dom } \int_{\underline{\omega}} id \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \int_{\underline{\omega}} id \\ A^\sharp & \longrightarrow & \underline{\omega}^\sharp \end{array}$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the corollary 4.1.2.23 and the functoriality of the Grothendieck construction given in proposition 4.1.2.15. \square

4.1.3 Lax Univalence

Notation. Through this section, we will identify any marked (∞, ω) -category C with the canonical induced morphism $C \rightarrow 1$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism, $f \times C$ then corresponds to the canonical morphism $X \times C \rightarrow Y$.

For the remaining of this section, we fix a marked (∞, ω) -category I . As $[n]_{\{k\}^\sharp}^\sharp \sim [n-k]^\sharp$, proposition 3.2.2.13 implies that $\mathbf{F}h_k^{[n]}$, the left cartesian fibrant replacement of $h_k : \{k\} \rightarrow [n]$, corresponds to the inclusion $(d_0^\sharp)^k : [n-k]^\sharp \rightarrow [n]^\sharp$.

Construction 4.1.3.1. We define the functor

$$\oint_{n,I} : \text{Fun}([n], (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I}) \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I \otimes [n]^\sharp}$$

whose value on a morphism $E : [n] \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I}$ corresponding to a sequence $E_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_n$, is

$$\oint_{n,I} E := \text{colim}_m \coprod_{i_0 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq n} E_{i_0} \otimes \mathbf{F}h_{i_m}^{[n]}.$$

As this functor is colimit preserving, it induces an adjunction

$$\oint_{n,I} : \text{Fun}([n], (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I}) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I \otimes [n]^\sharp} : \mathring{\partial}_{n,I} \quad (4.1.3.2)$$

Lemma 4.1.3.3. *The functor $\oint_{n,I}$ sends a natural transformation that is pointwise initial to an initial morphism.*

Proof. As initial morphisms are closed under colimits, we have to show that for any integer k , and any morphism $E \rightarrow F$ of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I}$ corresponding to a triangle $X \xrightarrow{i} Y \rightarrow I$, the induced morphism $X \otimes [n-k]^\sharp \rightarrow Y \otimes [n-k]^\sharp$ over $I \otimes [n]^\sharp$ is initial whenever i is. For this, remark that there is a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & X \otimes [n-k]^\sharp \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow \\ Y \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & Y \otimes [n-k]^\sharp \end{array}$$

where the two horizontal morphisms are initial. By stability by composition and left cancellation of initial morphism, this implies the result. \square

Construction 4.1.3.4. According to the last lemma, the adjunction (4.1.3.2) induces a derived adjunction

$$\mathbf{L}\oint_{n,I} : \text{Fun}([n], \text{LCart}(I)) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{LCart}(I \otimes [n]^\sharp) : \mathbf{R}\mathring{\partial}_{n,I} \quad (4.1.3.5)$$

where $\mathbf{R}\mathring{\partial}_{n,I}$ is just the restriction of $\mathring{\partial}_{n,I}$ to $\text{LCart}(I \otimes [n]^\sharp)$.

Remark 4.1.3.6. Let $E : [n] \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{m/I}$ corresponding to a sequence $E_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_n$. By construction, we have

$$\mathbf{L}\oint_{n,I} E := \text{colim}_m \coprod_{i_0 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq n} \mathbf{F}(E_{i_0} \otimes \mathbf{F}h_{i_m}^{[n]}).$$

Lemma 4.1.3.7. *Let $i : [n]^\sharp \rightarrow [m]^\sharp$ and $j : I \rightarrow J$ be two morphisms. Let E be an object of $\text{LCart}(I \otimes [n]^\sharp)$. The natural transformation*

$$\mathring{\partial}_{n,I}(j \otimes i)^* E \rightarrow j^* \circ \mathring{\partial}_{m,J} E \circ i^\sharp$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. As invertible natural transformations are detected pointwise, one can suppose that $n = 0$, and let k be the image of $[0]$ by i . Let $E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_m$ be the sequence of morphisms of $\text{LCart}(J)$ corresponding to $\mathring{\partial}_{m,J} E$.

The object $j^* \circ \mathring{\partial}_{m,I} E \circ i^\sharp$ is then equivalent to $j^* E_k$ by definition. As $\mathring{\partial}_{0,I}$ is the identity, we have to show that the canonical morphism $(j \otimes \{k\})^* E \rightarrow j^* E_k$ is an equivalence. Remark that for any F of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m/I$, we have by adjunction a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}(F, (j \otimes \{k\})^* E) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(F, j^* E_k) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathrm{Hom}((j \otimes \{k\})! F, E) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}((j! F) \otimes h_k^{[n]}, E) \end{array}$$

where the two vertical morphisms are equivalences. As $((j \otimes \{k\})! F \sim (j! F) \otimes h_k^{[n]}$, the lower morphism is an equivalence, and so is the top one. This implies the desired result. \square

In the following lemmas and proposition, we focus on the case where I is of the form A^\sharp , where everything happens more simply thanks to the equivalence $A^\sharp \otimes [n]^\sharp \sim A^\sharp \times [n]^\sharp$ provided by proposition 3.1.3.1.

Lemma 4.1.3.8. *Let $j : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism between (∞, ω) -categories and $i : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ a morphism of Δ . Let E be an object of $\mathrm{Fun}([n], \mathrm{LCart}(A^\sharp))$. The canonical morphism*

$$\mathbf{L} \int_{n, A^\sharp} (\mathbf{R} j^* \circ E \circ i) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(j \times i^\sharp)^* \mathbf{L} \int_{m, B^\sharp} E$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. As equivalences in $\mathrm{Fun}([m], \mathrm{LCart}(B^\sharp))$ are detected on points, an equivalences on $\mathrm{LCart}(B^\sharp \times [m]^\sharp)$ are detected on fibers, we can suppose that $n = 0$, $A = 1$, and we denote by k the image of i and a the image of B . As $\mathbf{L} \int_{0,1}$ is the identity, one has to show that the canonical morphism

$$\mathbf{R} a^* E_k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(a \times \{k\})^* \mathbf{L} \int_{m, B^\sharp} E \tag{4.1.3.9}$$

is an equivalence.

Moreover, for any $l \leq n$, the proposition 3.2.1.9 implies that the canonical morphism $\mathbf{F}(E_l \otimes \mathbf{F} h_l^{[n]}) \rightarrow E_l \times \mathbf{F} h_l^{[n]}$ is an equivalence, as this two left cartesian fibrations are replacement of $E_l \otimes h_l^{[n]} \sim E_l \times h_l^{[n]}$. Furthermore, we have $\mathbf{R}\{k\}^* h_l^{[n]} \sim \emptyset$ if $l > k$ and $\{k\}^* h_l^{[n]} \sim 1$ if not. As a consequence,

$$\mathbf{R}(a \times \{k\})^* \mathbf{F}(E_l \otimes \mathbf{F} h_l^{[n]}) \sim \emptyset \text{ if } l > k, \text{ and } \mathbf{R}(a \times \{k\})^* \mathbf{F}(E_l \otimes \mathbf{F} h_l^{[n]}) \sim \mathbf{R} a^* E_l \text{ if not.}$$

According to proposition 3.2.5.18, $\mathbf{R}(a \times \{k\})^*$ preserves colimits, we then have

$$\mathbf{R}(a \times \{k\})^* \mathbf{L} \int_{m, B^\sharp} E \sim \mathrm{colim}_m \prod_{i_0 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq k} \mathbf{R} a^* E_{i_0} \sim \mathrm{colim}_{i:[k]} \mathbf{R} a^* E_i \sim \mathbf{R} a^* E_k.$$

The morphism (4.1.3.9) is then an equivalence, which concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.1.3.10. *The functor $\mathbf{R} \mathring{\partial}_{n,I}$ is natural in $n : \Delta^{op}$ and $I : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m^{op}$. The functor $\mathbf{L} \int_{n, A^\sharp}$ is natural in $n : \Delta^{op}$ and $A : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}^{op}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of proposition 4.1.2.15, using lemma 4.1.3.7 and lemma 4.1.3.8 instead of lemma 4.1.2.10 and lemma 4.1.2.14. \square

Proposition 4.1.3.11. *For any (∞, ω) -category A and any integer n , the adjunction*

$$\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{f}_{n, A^\sharp} : \text{Fun}([n], \text{LCart}(A^\sharp)) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{LCart}((A \times [n])^\sharp) : \mathbf{R}\mathfrak{d}_{n, A^\sharp}$$

is an adjoint equivalence.

Proof. As in both case equivalences are detected on fibers, and as these functors are natural in A and n , one can show the result for A being the terminal (∞, ω) -category and $n = 0$. In this case remark that these two functors are the identities. \square

We return to the general case.

Construction 4.1.3.12. We set $\text{Fun}^c([n], \text{LCart}(I))$ as the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}^c([n], \text{LCart}(I)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}([n], \text{LCart}(I)) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \text{LCart}(I^\sharp) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \text{Fun}(\{k\}, \text{LCart}(I)) \end{array}$$

where I^\sharp stand for $(I^\natural)^\sharp$. An object of this $(\infty, 1)$ -category is then a sequence in $\text{LCart}(I)$:

$$F_0 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F_n$$

such that for any integer $i \leq n$, F_i is classified. A 1-cell of this $(\infty, 1)$ -category is a sequence of square in $\text{LCart}(I)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_0 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & F_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_0 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & G_n \end{array}$$

such that for any $k \leq n$, the morphism $F_k \rightarrow G_k$ comes from a morphism between the corresponding objects of $\text{LCart}(I^\sharp)$.

Proposition 4.1.3.13. *Let $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$ be a \mathbf{W} -small diagram. The canonical functor*

$$\text{Fun}^c([n], \text{LCart}(\text{colim}_I F)) \rightarrow \lim_I \text{Fun}^c([n], \text{LCart}(F))$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. This morphism fits in an adjunction:

$$\text{colim}_I : \lim_I \text{Fun}^c([n], \text{LCart}(F)) \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \text{Fun}^c([n], \text{LCart}(\text{colim}_I F))$$

The corollary 3.2.3.16 implies that the counit of this adjunction is an equivalence. To conclude, we have to show that the right adjoint is essentially surjective. On objects, this adjunction corresponds to the canonical equivalence

$$\lim_I \text{Hom}([n], \text{LCart}^c(F)) \sim \text{Hom}([n], \text{LCart}^c(\text{colim}_I F))$$

induced by corollary 4.1.2.17 \square

Construction 4.1.3.14. As $\mathbf{R}\partial_{0,I}$ is the identity, lemma 4.1.3.7 implies that the functor

$$\mathrm{LCart}((I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp) \rightarrow \mathrm{LCart}(I \otimes [n]^\sharp) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}\partial_{n,I}} \mathrm{Fun}([n], \mathrm{LCart}(I))$$

factors through a functor

$$\partial_{n,I}^c : \mathrm{LCart}((I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}^c([n], \mathrm{LCart}(I)) \quad (4.1.3.15)$$

We are now willing to show that this functor is an equivalence, and to this extent, we will construct an inverse.

Notation 4.1.3.16. For a an object of $t\Theta$. We set $[a, 1]^\sharp := ([a, 1]^\natural)^\sharp$ and we denote ι the canonical inclusion $[a, 1] \rightarrow [a, 1]^\sharp$.

Lemma 4.1.3.17. *Let a be an object of $t\Theta$. We have an equivalence*

$$\mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* \mathbf{F}h_1^{[a^\natural, 1]} \sim \mathbf{F}h_1^{[a^\natural, 1]}$$

and an equivalence

$$\mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* \mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\natural, 1]} \sim \mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\natural, 1]} \coprod_{a^\flat \otimes \{0\}} (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\flat.$$

Moreover the morphism $\mathbf{L}\iota_!(a^\flat \rightarrow \mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\natural, 1]})$ corresponds to the inclusion

$$(a \otimes \{0\})^\flat \rightarrow (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\flat \rightarrow \mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\natural, 1]} \coprod_{a^\flat \otimes \{0\}} (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\flat.$$

Proof. The first assertion is trivial after noting that $\mathbf{F}h_1^{[a^\natural, 1]}$ is the inclusion $\{1\} \rightarrow [a, 1]^\sharp$. The theorem 3.2.4.9 implies that $\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* \mathbf{F}h_0^{[b, 1]}$ and $\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* \mathbf{F}h_0^{[(\mathbf{D}_n)_t, 1]}$ are respectively equivalent to

$$(1 \overset{co}{\star} b)^\flat \rightarrow [b, 1]^\sharp \quad \text{and} \quad (1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_n)^{\sharp_{n+1}} \rightarrow [\mathbf{D}_n, 1]^\sharp$$

The theorem 3.1.2.36 induces cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b^\flat \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & (b \otimes [1])^\flat \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ b^\flat & \longrightarrow & b^\flat \star 1 \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [b, 1]^\sharp \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_n^\flat \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1])^{\sharp_{n+1}} \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_n^\flat & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n^\flat \star 1 \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

Remark furthermore that we have an equivalence

$$\perp(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1])^{\sharp_{n+1}} \sim \tau_n^i(\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1]) =: ((\mathbf{D}_n)_t \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\natural.$$

Applying the full duality to theorem 3.2.4.9 and using the corollary 4.1.2.20, this proves the first assertion.

The second assertion follows from the naturality in E of the construction given in corollary 4.1.2.20 and from the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b^\flat \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & b^\flat \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (b \otimes [1])^\flat & \longrightarrow & (1 \overset{co}{\star} b)^\flat \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ b^\flat \star 1 & \longrightarrow & [b, 1]^\sharp \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_n^\flat \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_n^\flat \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{D}_n \otimes [1])^{\sharp_{n+1}} & \longrightarrow & (1 \overset{co}{\star} \mathbf{D}_n)^{\sharp_{n+1}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_n^\flat \star 1 & \longrightarrow & [\mathbf{D}_n, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

that are cartesian according to theorem 3.1.2.36. □

Lemma 4.1.3.18. *Let a be an object of $t\Theta$ and E an object of $\mathbf{LCart}([a, 1]^\sharp)$. The left cartesian fibration $\mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* E$ is the left cartesian fibration*

$$X_0^b \times (\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]} \coprod_{a^b} (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^b) \coprod_{X_0^b \times (a \otimes \{1\})^b} X_1^b$$

where $X_0 \times a^\sharp \rightarrow X_1$ is the arrow corresponding to E via the equivalence given in corollary 4.1.2.19.

Proof. By corollary 4.1.2.19, E corresponds to the left cartesian fibration

$$X_0^b \times \mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]} \coprod_{X_0^b \times a^b} X_1^b.$$

Lemma 4.1.3.17 provides an initial morphism from $\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* E$ to this object, and theorem 3.2.4.3 implies that this object is a left cartesian fibration. \square

Lemma 4.1.3.19. *Let $\psi : \iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* \rightarrow \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^*$ be a natural transformation, endowed with a family of natural commutative squares:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^*(B^b \times E) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{B^b \times E}} & \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^*(B^b \times E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B^b \times \iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* E & \xrightarrow{B^b \times \psi_E} & B^b \times \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* E \end{array}$$

where we identify marked (∞, ω) -categories with their canonical morphisms to the terminal marked (∞, ω) -category.

The natural transformation ψ is then the one obtained by the functorial factorization in initial morphisms followed by left cartesian fibrations.

Proof. The natural transformation ψ induces a natural transformation $\mathbf{D}\psi : \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* \rightarrow \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^*$ and we have to check that this last natural transformation is the identity. The corollary 4.1.2.19 states that E is a colimit of left cartesian fibration of shape $B^b \times \mathbf{F}h_\epsilon^{[a^\sharp, 1]}$ for $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. The hypothesis implies that we just have to show that $\mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]}}$ and $\mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_1^{[a^\sharp, 1]}}$ are equivalences, and we will check this on fibers.

Using the explicit expression of $\mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^*$ given in lemma 4.1.3.18, we have equivalences

$$\{0\}^* \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* \mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]} \sim 1 \quad \{0\}^* \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* \mathbf{F}h_1^{[a^\sharp, 1]} \sim \emptyset \quad \{1\}^* \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^* \mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]} \sim 1$$

which directly implies that $\{0\}^* \mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]}}$, $\{0\}^* \mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_1^{[a^\sharp, 1]}}$ and $\{1\}^* \mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_1^{[a^\sharp, 1]}}$ are equivalences. The only case remaining is $\{1\}^* \mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]}}$. This morphism corresponds to an endomorphism of $(a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp$, which is a strict object according to 3.1.2.32. By right cancellation, the morphism induced by the domain of $\mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]}}$ is a left cartesian fibration. There exists then a lift in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [a, 1]_{0/}^\sharp \coprod_{a^b \otimes \{0\}} (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^b \\ \downarrow & \nearrow l & \downarrow \text{dom } \mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]}} \\ [a, 1]_{0/}^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & [a, 1]_{0/}^\sharp \coprod_{a^b \otimes \{0\}} (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^b \end{array} \quad (4.1.3.20)$$

where γ is the canonical inclusion. As l and γ are lifts in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{0\} & \longrightarrow & [a, 1]_{0/}^\sharp \coprod_{a^b \otimes \{0\}} (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^b \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ [a, 1]_{0/}^\sharp & \longrightarrow & [a, 1]^\sharp \end{array}$$

they are equivalent. Taking the fiber on $\{1\}$ of the square (4.1.3.20), this induces a commutative triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (a \otimes \{0\})^\sharp & \longrightarrow & (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \{1\}^* \mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]}} \\ & & (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp \end{array}$$

Eventually, the naturality induces a commutative squares.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (a \otimes \{1\})^\sharp & \longrightarrow & (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp \\ \{1\}^* \mathbf{D}\psi_{a^\sharp \times \mathbf{F}h_1^{[a^\sharp, 1]}} \sim id \downarrow & & \downarrow \{1\}^* \mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]}} \\ (a \otimes \{1\})^\sharp & \longrightarrow & (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp \end{array}$$

The restriction of the morphism $\{1\}^* \mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]}} : (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp \rightarrow (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp$ to $a \otimes \{0\}$ and $a \otimes \{1\}$ is therefore the identity. Using Steiner theory, we can easily show that it forces $\{1\}^* \mathbf{D}\psi_{\mathbf{F}h_0^{[a^\sharp, 1]}}$ to also be the identity. \square

Construction 4.1.3.21. Let F be an object of $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{t}([a, 1]^\sharp)$, and $\phi : \mathbf{R}\mathcal{L}^* E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{L}^* F$ a morphism. By adjunction, this corresponds to a morphism $\tilde{\phi} : \iota_! \mathbf{R}\mathcal{L}^* E \rightarrow F$, and as F corresponds to a left cartesian fibration, this induces a morphism $\mathbf{D}\tilde{\phi} : \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\mathcal{L}^* E \rightarrow F$. Using once again theorem 4.1.2.16, this induces a morphism $\partial_{[a^\sharp, 1]} \mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\mathcal{L}^* E \rightarrow \partial_{[a^\sharp, 1]} F$, that corresponds, according to the explicit expression of $\mathbf{L}\iota_! \mathbf{R}\mathcal{L}$ given in lemma 4.1.3.18, to a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 \times a^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}\tilde{\phi}_0 \times a^\sharp} & Y_0 \times a^\sharp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_0 \times (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp \amalg_{X_0 \times a^\sharp} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}\tilde{\phi}_1} & Y_1 \end{array}$$

where $Y_0 \times a^\sharp \rightarrow Y_1$ corresponds to $\partial_{[a^\sharp, 1]} F$ via the equivalence given in proposition 4.1.1.16. This is equivalent to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 \times a^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}\tilde{\phi}_0 \times a^\sharp} & Y_0 \times a^\sharp \\ & \searrow & \searrow \\ & X_0 \times (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp & \longrightarrow & Y_1 \\ & \nearrow & \nearrow \\ X_0 \times a^\sharp & \longrightarrow & X_1 \end{array} \quad (4.1.3.22)$$

According to proposition 4.1.1.17, this corresponds to an object $\xi(\phi)$ of $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)}([a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp)$ endowed with two equivalences:

$$\partial_{[a^\sharp, 1]} E \sim \mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)}([a, 1] \otimes \{0\})^* \xi(\phi) \quad \partial_{[a^\sharp, 1]} F \sim \mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)}([a, 1] \otimes \{1\})^* \xi(\phi)$$

Using the naturality of \int_C demonstrated in proposition 4.1.2.15, these equivalences induce equivalences:

$$E \sim ([a, 1] \otimes \{0\})^* \int_{([a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp} \xi(\phi) \quad F \sim ([a, 1] \otimes \{1\})^* \int_{([a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp} \xi(\phi) \quad (4.1.3.23)$$

All the operations we performed were functorial and admitted inverses. We then have constructed an equivalence

$$\int_{([a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp} \xi : \mathbf{F}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{n}^c([1], \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{t}([a, 1])) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{t}([a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp \quad (4.1.3.24)$$

Lemma 4.1.3.25. *Let a be an object of $t\Theta$, E, F two objects of $\mathbf{LCart}([a, 1]^\sharp)$ and $\phi : \mathbf{R}\iota^*E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\iota^*F$ a morphism. By adjunction, this corresponds to a morphism $\tilde{\phi} : \iota_! \mathbf{R}\iota^*E \rightarrow F$.*

There is a unique commutative square of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \iota_! \iota^* E \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & E \otimes \{0\} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \iota_! \iota^* E \otimes id_{[1]^\sharp} & \longrightarrow & \int_{([a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp} \xi(\phi) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \iota_! \iota^* E \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & F \otimes \{1\}
 \end{array} \tag{4.1.3.26}$$

where the upper horizontal morphism is induced by the unit of the adjunction $(\iota_!, \iota^*)$.

Moreover, the bottom horizontal morphism is $\tilde{\phi}$.

Proof. The unicity and existence of the middle horizontal morphism come from the initiality of the morphism $\iota_! \iota^* E \otimes \{0\} \rightarrow \iota_! \iota^* E \otimes [1]^\sharp$. The unicity and existence of the lower horizontal morphism is a consequence of the equation (4.1.3.23). As the diagram (4.1.3.22) factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & Y(0) \times a^\sharp & & \\
 & & \uparrow & \searrow & \\
 X(0) \times a^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}\tilde{\phi}(0) \times a^\sharp} & X(0) \times a^\sharp & & Y(1) \\
 & \searrow & \searrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & X(0) \times (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp & \longrightarrow & X(0) \times (a \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp \amalg_{X(0) \times a^\sharp} X(1) \\
 & \nearrow & \nearrow & & \\
 X(0) \times a^\sharp & \longrightarrow & X(1) & &
 \end{array}$$

the downer square of the diagram of (4.1.3.26) factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \iota_! \iota^* E \otimes [1]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & \int_{([a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp} \xi(\mu_E) & \longrightarrow & \int_{([a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp} \xi(\phi) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \iota_! \iota^* E \otimes \{1\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}\iota_! \iota^* E \otimes \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}\tilde{\phi}} & F \otimes \{1\}
 \end{array}$$

where μ_E denotes the canonical morphism $\iota_! \iota^* E \rightarrow \mathbf{L}\iota_! \iota^* E$. To conclude, one has to show that the lower left horizontal morphism is μ_E . As these constructions are natural, and commute with the cartesian product with $B^\flat \rightarrow 1$ for B an (∞, ω) -category, the lemma 4.1.3.19 implies the desired result. \square

Lemma 4.1.3.27. *Let a be an object of $t\Theta$. The functor $\tilde{\partial}_{1, [a, 1]}^c$ defined in (4.1.3.15) is an equivalence.*

Proof. The lemma 4.1.3.25 induces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \iota^* E \otimes \mathbf{F}h_1^{[1]} & \longrightarrow & \iota^* E \otimes \mathbf{F}h_0^{[1]} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \iota^* F \otimes \mathbf{F}h_1^{[1]} & \longrightarrow & (\iota \otimes id_{[1]})^* \int_{([a, 1] \otimes [1]^\sharp)^\sharp} \xi(\phi)
 \end{array}$$

which corresponds to a natural transformation

$$\oint_{1,[a,1]} \phi \rightarrow (\iota \otimes id_{[1]})^* \int_{([a,1] \otimes [1])^\sharp} \xi(\phi) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \phi \rightarrow \partial_{1,[a,1]}^c \int_{([a,1] \otimes [1])^\sharp} \xi(\phi)$$

Eventually, remark that proposition 4.1.3.10 and the equivalences (4.1.3.23) imply that this natural transformation is pointwise an equivalence. The functor (4.1.3.24) is then a left inverse of $\partial_{1,[a,1]}^c$. As it is an equivalence, so is $\partial_{1,[a,1]}^c$. \square

Proposition 4.1.3.28. *For any marked (∞, ω) -category I , and integer n , the morphism*

$$\partial_{n,I}^c : \mathbf{LCart}((I \otimes [n])^\sharp) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}^c([n], \mathbf{LCart}(I))$$

defined in (4.1.3.15) is an equivalence of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

Proof. Corollary 4.1.2.17, and propositions 3.1.2.3 and 4.1.3.13 imply that the two functors on $\Delta^{op} \times (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m^{op}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (n, I) &\mapsto \mathbf{LCart}^c(I \otimes [n])^\sharp \\ (n, I) &\mapsto \mathbf{Fun}^c([n], \mathbf{LCart}^c(I)) \end{aligned}$$

send colimits to limits. We can then reduce to the case where I is an element of $t\Theta$ and $n = 1$. If I is $[1]^\sharp$, remark that $\partial_{n,[1]^\sharp}^c$ is equivalent to $\partial_{n,[1]^\sharp}^{\circ}$ which is an equivalence according to proposition 4.1.3.11. If I is of shape $[a, 1]$ for a in $t\Theta$, this is the content of lemma 4.1.3.27. \square

Definition 4.1.3.29. We recall that a left cartesian fibration is \mathbf{U} -small if its fibers are \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. For an (∞, ω) -category A , we denote by $\mathbf{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}(A^\sharp)$ the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\mathbf{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}(A^\sharp)$ whose objects correspond to \mathbf{U} -small left cartesian fibrations over A^\sharp . For a marked (∞, ω) -category I , we define similarly $\mathbf{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(I)$ as the full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\mathbf{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(I)$ whose objects correspond to \mathbf{U} -small classified left cartesian fibrations over I .

Corollary 4.1.3.30. *Let $\underline{\omega}$ be the \mathbf{V} -small (∞, ω) -category of \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. Let n be an integer and I be a \mathbf{V} -small marked (∞, ω) -category. We denote by I^\sharp the marked (∞, ω) -category obtained from I by marking all cells, and $\iota : I \rightarrow I^\sharp$ the induced morphism. There is an equivalence, natural in $[n] : \Delta^{op}$ and $I : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m^{op}$, between functors*

$$f : I \otimes [n]^\sharp \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^\sharp$$

and sequences

$$\iota^* \int_{I^\sharp} f_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \iota^* \int_{I^\sharp} f_n$$

where for any $k \leq n$, f_k is the functor $I^\sharp \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ induced by $I \otimes \{k\} \rightarrow I \otimes [n]^\sharp \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^\sharp$.

Proof. This is a direct application of the equivalence

$$\tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}((I \otimes [n])^\sharp) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}([n], \mathbf{LCart}^c(I))$$

induced by proposition 4.1.3.28. \square

Corollary 4.1.3.31. *Let n be an integer, I a \mathbf{V} -small marked (∞, ω) -category, and A an (∞, ω) -category. We denote by I^\sharp the marked (∞, ω) -category obtained from I by marking all cells, and $\iota : I \rightarrow I^\sharp$ the induced morphism. There is an equivalence, natural in $[n] : \Delta^{op}$ and $I : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m^{op}$, between functors*

$$f : I \otimes [n]^\sharp \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$$

and sequences

$$(\iota \times A^\sharp)^* \int_{I^\natural \times A} f_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (\iota \times A^\sharp)^* \int_{I^\natural \times A} f_n$$

where for any $k \leq n$, f_k is the functor $I^\natural \times A \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ induced by $(I \otimes \{k\}) \times A^\sharp \rightarrow (I \otimes [n]^\sharp) \times A^\sharp \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^\sharp$.

Proof. This is a direct application of the last corollary and the equivalence $(I \otimes [n]^\sharp) \times A^\sharp \sim (I \times A^\sharp) \otimes [n]^\sharp$ given in proposition 3.1.3.2. \square

Corollary 4.1.3.32. *Let I be a \mathbf{V} -small marked (∞, ω) -category and c an object of $\underline{\omega}$. We denote by I^\sharp the marked (∞, ω) -category obtained from I by marking all cells, and $\iota : I \rightarrow I^\sharp$ the induced morphism. There is an equivalence, natural in $I : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m^{op}$, between functors*

$$f : I \rightarrow \underline{\omega}_{c/}^\sharp$$

and arrows:

$$I \times \int_1 c \rightarrow \iota^* \int_{I^\natural} \tilde{f}$$

where \tilde{f} is the induced functor $I^\natural \rightarrow \underline{\omega}_{c/} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$.

Proof. By construction, we have a cocartesian square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & I \otimes [1]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} I \end{array}$$

As $\tau_0\text{LCart}(_)$ sends colimits to limits, this is a consequence of the last corollary. \square

Corollary 4.1.3.33. *Let I be a \mathbf{V} -small marked (∞, ω) -category, A an (∞, ω) -category, and g an object of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$. We denote by I^\sharp the marked (∞, ω) -category obtained from I by marking all cells, and $\iota : I \rightarrow I^\sharp$ the induced morphism. There is an equivalence, natural in $I : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m^{op}$, between functors*

$$f : I \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})_{g/}^\sharp$$

and arrows:

$$I \times \int_A g \rightarrow (\iota \times A^\sharp)^* \int_{I^\natural \times A} \tilde{f}$$

where $\tilde{f} : I^\natural \times A \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ is the functor corresponding to $I^\natural \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})_{g/} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$.

Proof. We once again have a cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I \otimes \{0\} & \longrightarrow & I \otimes [1]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \overset{co}{\star} I \end{array}$$

As $\tau_0\text{LCart}(_)$ sends colimits to limits, this is a consequence of the last corollary and the equivalence $(I \otimes [1]^\sharp) \times A^\sharp \sim (I \times A^\sharp) \otimes [1]^\sharp$ given in proposition 3.1.3.2. \square

4.1.4 Lax Grothendieck construction

Definition 4.1.4.1. For I a marked (∞, ω) -category and A an (∞, ω) -category, we define the (∞, ω) -category $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A)$, whose value on a globular sum a , is given by

$$\text{Hom}(a, \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A)) := \text{Hom}(I \ominus a^{\sharp}, A^{\sharp})$$

The section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1.4.2. *Let I be a \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -category. Let $\underline{\omega}$ be the \mathbf{V} -small (∞, ω) -category of \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories, and $\underline{\text{LCart}}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(I)$ the \mathbf{V} -small (∞, ω) -category of \mathbf{U} -small left cartesian fibrations. There is an equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\text{LCart}}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(I)$$

natural in I . On the maximal sub ∞ -groupoid, this equivalence corresponds to the Grothendieck construction of theorem 4.1.2.16.

Corollary 4.1.4.3. *Let A be a \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category. Let $\underline{\text{LCart}}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(A^{\sharp})$ be the \mathbf{V} -small (∞, ω) -category of \mathbf{U} -small left cartesian fibrations. There is an equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\text{LCart}}_{\mathbf{U}}(A^{\sharp})$$

natural in A . On the maximal sub ∞ -groupoid, this equivalence corresponds to the Grothendieck construction of theorem 4.1.2.16.

Proof. This is a consequence of the equivalences $\underline{\text{LCart}}_{\mathbf{U}}(A^{\sharp}) \sim \underline{\text{LCart}}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(A^{\sharp})$, of the previous theorem and of the equivalence $\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(A^{\sharp}, \underline{\omega})$ induced by the second assertion of proposition 3.1.3.5. \square

Construction 4.1.4.4. The theorem 4.1.4.2 provides equivalences

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\text{LCart}}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(I) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\text{LCart}}_{\mathbf{U}}(A^{\sharp})$$

By construction, for any morphism $f : I \rightarrow J$ between marked ω -categories, we have a morphism

$$f^* : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(J, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(I, \underline{\omega})$$

Suppose now that the codomain of f is of shape A^{\sharp} . The morphism (3.2.6.19) induces a morphism

$$f_! : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$$

and (3.2.6.20) induces natural transformations:

$$\mu : id \rightarrow f^* f_! \quad \epsilon : f_! f^* \rightarrow id$$

coming along with equivalences: $(\epsilon \circ_0 f_!) \circ_1 (f_! \circ_0 \mu) \sim id_{f_!}$ and $(f^* \circ_0 \epsilon) \circ_1 (\mu \circ_0 f^*) \sim id_{f^*}$. When f is proper, the morphism (3.2.6.31) induces a morphism

$$f_* : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$$

and (3.2.6.32) induces natural transformations:

$$\mu : id \rightarrow f_* f^* \quad \epsilon : f^* f_* \rightarrow id$$

coming along with equivalences: $(\epsilon \circ_0 f^*) \circ_1 (f^* \circ_0 \mu) \sim id_{f^*}$ and $(f_* \circ_0 \epsilon) \circ_1 (\mu \circ_0 f_*) \sim id_{f_*}$. Moreover, for every morphism $j : C \rightarrow D^\sharp$, (3.2.6.22) induces a canonical commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(D^\sharp \times I, \underline{\omega}) & \xrightarrow{(id_{D^\sharp} \times f)_!} & \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(D \times A, \underline{\omega}) \\ (j \times id_I)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (j \times id_{A^\sharp})^* \\ \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(C^\sharp \times I, \underline{\omega}) & \xrightarrow{(id_{C^\sharp} \times f)_!} & \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(C \times A, \underline{\omega}) \end{array}$$

and when f is proper, (3.2.6.34) induces a canonical commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(D^\sharp \times I, \underline{\omega}) & \xrightarrow{(id_{D^\sharp} \times f)^*} & \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(D \times A, \underline{\omega}) \\ (j \times id_I)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (j \times id_{A^\sharp})^* \\ \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(C^\sharp \times I, \underline{\omega}) & \xrightarrow{(id_{C^\sharp} \times f)^*} & \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(C \times A, \underline{\omega}) \end{array}$$

We now turn our attention back to the proof of the theorem 4.1.4.2.

Notation 4.1.4.5. We denote by $\pi_b : I \times b^\flat \rightarrow I$ the canonical projection.

Lemma 4.1.4.6. *Let I be a marked (∞, ω) -category and b^\flat a globular sum. There is an equivalence of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:*

$$\mathbf{LCart}(I \times b^\flat) \sim \mathbf{LCart}(I)_{/\pi_b}$$

Proof. Remark first that we have an equivalence

$$((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I})_{/\pi_b} \sim (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I \times b}$$

Now suppose given a triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & I \times b^\flat \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \pi_b \\ X & \longrightarrow & I \end{array}$$

As left cartesian fibrations are stable by composition and right cancellation, and as π_b is a left cartesian fibration, the diagonal morphism is a left cartesian fibration if and only if the horizontal morphism is.

The $(\infty, 1)$ -categories $\mathbf{LCart}(I)_{/\pi_b}$ and $\mathbf{LCart}(I \times b^\flat)$ then identify with the same full sub $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I})_{/\pi_b} \sim (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I \times b}$. \square

Lemma 4.1.4.7. *There is a family of cartesian squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}([a \times b, n]^\sharp) & \longrightarrow & \tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}([a, n]^\sharp \times b^\flat) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}(\{k\}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathbf{LCart}(\{k\} \times b^\flat) \end{array}$$

natural in a, b and n .

Proof. Remark first that the proposition 3.1.2.34 provides cocartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{k \leq n} (a^b \times b^b) \otimes \{k\} & \longrightarrow & (a^b \times b^b) \otimes [n]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{k \leq n} \{k\} & \longrightarrow & [a \times b, n]^\sharp \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{k \leq n} a^b \otimes \{k\} & \longrightarrow & a^b \otimes [n]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{k \leq n} \{k\} & \longrightarrow & [a, n]^\sharp \end{array}$$

According to the corollary 4.1.2.17, and proposition 4.1.3.28, and as $\mathbf{R}(\pi_-)_! : \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(_{}^b)$ factors through $\mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(_{}^b)$, this induces cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}([a \times b, n]^\sharp) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}([n], \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b \times b^b)) & \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}([a, n]^\sharp) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}([n], \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b)) & \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & \downarrow \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(1) \longrightarrow \prod_{k \leq n} \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b \times b^b) & \prod_{k \leq n} \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(1) \longrightarrow \prod_{k \leq n} \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b) & \end{array} \quad (4.1.4.8)$$

As the $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical slice and the maximal full sub ∞ -groupoid preserve cartesian squares, the second cartesian square induces a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_0(\mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}([a, n]^\sharp)_{/\pi_b}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b)_{/\pi_b}) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0(\mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(1)_{/b^b}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0(\mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b)_{/\pi_b}) \end{array}$$

and according to lemma 4.1.4.6, this corresponds to a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}([a, n]^\sharp \times b^b) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b \times b^b)) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(b^b) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b \times b^b) \end{array}$$

Combined with the first cartesian square of (4.1.4.8), this induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}([a \times b, n]^\sharp) & \longrightarrow & \tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}([a, n]^\sharp \times b^b) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b \times b^b)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(1) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(b^b) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(a^b \times b^b) \end{array}$$

where the right and the outer square are cartesian. By right cancellation, the left square is cartesian which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.1.4.9. Remark that $\mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(1) \sim \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}(1)$. The proposition 3.2.6.4 and the construction of $\mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(_{}^b)$ implies that the bottom horizontal morphism of the square given in lemma 4.1.4.7 is a limit of fully faithful morphisms. As fully faithful morphisms are stable by limits and pullbacks, we can rephrase lemma 4.1.4.7 by saying that $\tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}([a \times b, n]^\sharp)$ is the sub ∞ -groupoid of $\tau_0 \mathbf{L}\mathrm{Cart}([a, n]^\sharp \times b^b)$ whose objects are left cartesian fibrations $X \rightarrow [a, n]^\sharp \times b^b$ such that for any $k \leq n$, there exists a cartesian square of the shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times b^b & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \{k\} \times b^b & \longrightarrow & [a, n] \times b^b \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.1.4.10. *Let b be a globular sum and let $F : I \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ be a \mathbf{W} -small diagram. The canonical morphism*

$$\mathrm{LCart}(\mathrm{colim}_I F^\sharp \times b^b) \rightarrow \lim_I \mathrm{LCart}(F^\sharp \times b^b)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. The corollary 4.1.2.17 implies that the canonical morphism

$$\mathrm{LCart}(\mathrm{colim}_I F^\sharp) \rightarrow \lim_I \mathrm{LCart}(F^\sharp)$$

is an equivalence. As the $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical slice preserves limits, the previous equivalence induces an equivalence

$$\mathrm{LCart}(\mathrm{colim}_I F^\sharp)_{/\pi_b} \rightarrow \lim_I \mathrm{LCart}(F^\sharp)_{/\pi_b}.$$

The results then follows from lemma 4.1.4.6. \square

Lemma 4.1.4.11. *There is a family of cartesian squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}((I \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)^\sharp) & \longrightarrow & \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}((I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp \times b^b) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}(I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}((I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}) \times b^b) \end{array}$$

natural in I, b and n .

Proof. By definition, $(I \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)^\sharp$ fits in the following cocartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{colim}_{[a, m] \rightarrow \coprod_k I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}} [a \times b, m]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{colim}_{[a, m] \rightarrow \coprod_k I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}} [a, m]^\sharp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{colim}_{[a, m] \rightarrow (I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp} [a \times b, m]^\sharp & \longrightarrow & (I \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)^\sharp \end{array}$$

Combined with corollary 4.1.2.17, this implies that the ∞ -groupoid $\tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}((I \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)^\sharp)$ fits in the cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}((I \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)^\sharp) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{[a, m] \rightarrow \coprod_k I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}([a, m]^\sharp) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \lim_{[a, m] \rightarrow (I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}([a \times b, m]^\sharp) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{[a, m] \rightarrow \coprod_k I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}([a \times b, m]^\sharp) \end{array}$$

By the remark 4.1.4.13 and by the fact that any morphism $\{I\} \rightarrow [a, m] \rightarrow (I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp$ uniquely factors through $\prod_k I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}$, we get a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}((I \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)^\sharp) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{[a, m] \rightarrow \coprod_k I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}([a, m]^\sharp) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \lim_{[a, m] \rightarrow (I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}([a, m]^\sharp \times b^b) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{[a, m] \rightarrow \coprod_k I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}([a, m]^\sharp \times b^b) \end{array}$$

Eventually, the lemma 4.1.4.10 induces equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{[a, m] \rightarrow (I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}([a, m]^\sharp \times b^b) &\sim \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}((I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp \times b^b) \\ \lim_{[a, m] \rightarrow I^\sharp} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}([a, m]^\sharp \times b^b) &\sim \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}(I^\sharp \times b^b) \\ \lim_{[a, m] \rightarrow I^\sharp} \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}([a, m]^\sharp) &\sim \tau_0 \mathrm{LCart}(I^\sharp) \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.1.4.12. *There is a family of cartesian squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I; b)) & \longrightarrow & \tau_0 \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}((I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp \times b^b) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}(I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}((I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}) \times b^b) \end{array}$$

natural in I, b and n .

Proof. By the construction of $\mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I; b)$, we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I; b)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}(I \times b^b)) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}(I \times b^b) \end{array}$$

According to lemma 4.1.4.6, this implies that the outer square of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I; b)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I)_{/\pi_b}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}(I)_{/\pi_b}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 (\mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I)_{/\pi_b}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 (\mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}(I)_{/\pi_b}) \end{array}$$

is cartesian. As $\mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(_) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}(_)$ is fully faithful, the right-hand square is cartesian, and so is the left one by right cancellation. Now, remark that the naturality in n of the equivalence $\hat{\partial}_{n, I}^c$ from proposition 4.1.3.28 implies that it sends $\pi_b : (I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp \times b^b \rightarrow b^b$ to the constant functor with value $\pi_b : I \otimes b^b \rightarrow b^b$. Combined with proposition 4.1.3.28, the left-hand square in the previous diagram induces a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I; b)) & \longrightarrow & \tau_0 (\mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}((I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp)_{/\pi_b}) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}(I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \leq n} \tau_0 (\mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}(I^\sharp \otimes \{k\})_{/\pi_b}) \end{array}$$

Eventually, a last application of lemma 4.1.4.6 concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.1.4.13. The proposition 3.2.6.4 and the construction of $\mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(_)$ imply that the bottom horizontal morphism of squares given in lemmas 4.1.4.11 and 4.1.4.12 is a limit of fully faithful morphisms. As fully faithful morphisms are stable by limits and pullbacks, we can rephrase lemmas 4.1.4.11 and 4.1.4.12 by saying that $\tau_0 \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}((I \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)^\sharp)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I; b))$ are equivalent to the full subcategory of $\tau_0 \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}((I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp \times b^b)$ whose objects are left cartesian fibrations $X \rightarrow (I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp \times b^b$ such that for any $k \leq n$, there exists a cartesian square of the shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times b^b & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ (I^\sharp \otimes \{k\}) \times b^b & \longrightarrow & (I \otimes [n]^\sharp)^\sharp \times b^b \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.1.4.14. *There is an equivalence*

$$\tau_0 (\mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}((I \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)^\sharp)) \sim \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{L}\mathrm{Cart}^c(I; b))$$

natural in $I : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m^{op}$, $b : \Theta^{op}$ and $[n] : \Delta^{op}$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of lemmas 4.1.4.11 and 4.1.4.12 as these two objects fit in the same cartesian square. \square

Proof of theorem 4.1.4.2. The lemma 4.1.4.14 provides an equivalence

$$\tau_0(\mathrm{LCart}((I \ominus [b, n]^\sharp)^\sharp)) \sim \mathrm{Hom}([n], \mathrm{LCart}^e(I; b))$$

that preserves \mathbf{U} -smallness. \square

4.2 Yoneda lemma and other results

4.2.1 Yoneda lemma

Definition 4.2.1.1. An (∞, ω) -category C is *locally \mathbf{U} -small* if for any pair of objects x and y , $\mathrm{hom}_C(x, y)$ is \mathbf{U} -small.

Proposition 4.2.1.2. *Let A be a \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category, and C is a locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category. The (∞, ω) -category $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, C)$ is locally \mathbf{U} -small.*

Proof. We have to check that for any globular sum b , the morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A \times [b, 1], C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(A \times (\{0\} \amalg \{1\}), C)$$

has \mathbf{U} -small fibers. As A , seen as an ∞ -presheaves on Θ , is a \mathbf{U} -small colimit of representables, we can reduce to the case where $A \in \Theta$. As C is local with respect to Segal extensions, and as the cartesian product conserves them, we can reduce to the case where A is of shape $[a, 1]$ for a a globular sum. We now fix a morphism $f : [a, 1] \times (\{0\} \amalg \{1\}) \rightarrow C$. Eventually, using the canonical equivalence between $[a, 1] \times [b, 1]$ and the colimit of the span

$$[a, 1] \vee [b, 1] \leftarrow [a \times b, 1] \rightarrow [b, 1] \vee [a, 1],$$

the ∞ -groupoid $\mathrm{Hom}([a, 1] \times [b, 1], C)_f$ fits in a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}([a, 1] \times [b, 1], C)_f & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(b, \mathrm{hom}_C(f(0, 0), f(0, 1))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}(b, \mathrm{hom}_C(f(1, 0), f(1, 1))) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(a \times b, \mathrm{hom}_C(f(0, 0), f(1, 1))) \end{array}$$

As all these objects are \mathbf{U} -small by assumption, this concludes the proof. \square

Construction 4.2.1.3. Let C be an (∞, ω) -category C . We define the simplicial object $S(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)$ by the formula

$$S(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C)_n := \coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n: A_0} \coprod_{y_0, \dots, y_n: A_0} \mathrm{hom}_C(x_n, \dots, x_0, y_0, \dots, y_n)$$

This object comes along with a canonical projection

$$S(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C^t \times \mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C. \quad (4.2.1.4)$$

which obviously is a left fibration. As this construction is functorial, it induces a functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Arr}((\infty, \omega, 1)\text{-cat}) \\ C & \mapsto & (S(\mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C^t \times \mathbf{N}_{(\omega, 1)} C) \end{array}$$

Until the end of this section, we fix a locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category C .

Construction 4.2.1.5. The left fibration (4.2.1.4) is then \mathbf{U} -small, and by the definition of $\underline{\omega}$ given in 4.1.1.20, this induces a morphism

$$\mathrm{hom}_C(_, _) : C^t \times C \rightarrow \underline{\omega} \quad (4.2.1.6)$$

Remark 4.2.1.7. We recall for a (∞, ω) -category A and an object x of A , $\mathbf{F}h_x^A$ denote the left cartesian fibrant replacement of the morphism $h_x^A : \{x\} \rightarrow A$. By the explicit construction of $\mathbf{F}h_x^A$ given in proposition 3.2.2.13, we have a canonical equivalence

$$\mathbf{F}h_{(x,y)}^{C^t \times C} \sim \mathbf{F}h_x^{C^t} \times \mathbf{F}h_y^C$$

The Grothendieck construction (defined in (4.2.1.6)) of the morphism (4.2.1.6) is then the colimit of a simplicial object whose value on n is given by:

$$\coprod_{x_0, \dots, x_n} \coprod_{y_0, \dots, y_n} \mathbf{F}h_{x_n}^{C^t} \times \mathrm{hom}_C(x_n, \dots, x_0, y_0, \dots, y_n)^\flat \times \mathbf{F}h_{y_n}^C$$

Definition 4.2.1.8. We define the (∞, ω) -category of (∞, ω) -presheaves on C :

$$\widehat{C} := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(C^t, \underline{\omega}).$$

This (∞, ω) -category is locally \mathbf{U} -small according to proposition 4.2.1.2. The *Yoneda embedding* $y : C \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ is the functor induced by the hom functor (4.2.1.6) by currying.

Definition 4.2.1.9. An (∞, ω) -presheaves is *representable* if it is in the image of y .

Construction 4.2.1.10. We recall that for a subset S of \mathbb{N}^* , and an object X of $(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -cat, we denote by X^S the simplicial object $n \mapsto X_n^S$. We also set $\Sigma S := \{i + 1, i \in S\}$. We then have equivalences

$$(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)^S \sim \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}(C^{\Sigma S}) \quad \text{and} \quad S(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)^S \sim S(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}(C^{\Sigma S}))$$

For an object X of $(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -cat, we denote by X_{op} the simplicial object $n \mapsto X_{n^{op}}$. We then have equivalences

$$(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_{op} \sim \mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}(C^t) \quad \text{and} \quad S(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)} C)_{op} \sim S(\mathbb{N}_{(\omega,1)}(C^t))$$

Using the dualities defined in construction 4.1.1.25, we then have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C^{t\Sigma S} \times C^{\Sigma S})^{\Sigma S} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hom}_{C^{\Sigma S}}^{\Sigma S}} & \underline{\omega}^{\Sigma S} \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow (_)^S \\ C^t \times C & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hom}_C} & \underline{\omega} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C \times C^t & & \\ \mathrm{tw} \downarrow & \searrow \mathrm{hom}_{C^t} & \\ C^t \times C & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hom}_C} & \underline{\omega} \end{array}$$

where tw is the functor exchanging the argument. This two diagram corresponds to invertible natural transformations

$$\mathrm{hom}_{C^{\Sigma S}}(x, y) \sim \mathrm{hom}_C(x, y)^S \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{hom}_{C^t}(x, y) \sim \mathrm{hom}_C(y, x).$$

In combining the two previous diagrams, we get a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C^{ot} \times C^o)^{ot} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hom}_{C^o}^{ot}} & \underline{\omega}^{ot} \\ \mathrm{tw} \downarrow & & \downarrow (_)^o \\ C^t \times C & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hom}_C} & \underline{\omega} \end{array}$$

corresponding to the natural transformation

$$\mathrm{hom}_{C^\circ}(x, y) \sim \mathrm{hom}_C(y, x)^\circ.$$

Proposition 4.2.1.11. *Let A be an locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category. Let a be an object of A . There is an equivalence*

$$\int_A \mathrm{hom}_A(a, _) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}h_a^A$$

Taking the fibers on a , the induced morphism $\mathrm{hom}_A(a, a) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_A(a, a)$ preserves the identity.

In particular, for any object c of C , this induces an equivalence

$$\int_{C^t} y_c \rightarrow \mathbf{F}h_c^{C^t}$$

Proof. By construction, $\int_A \mathrm{hom}_A(a, _)$ is the Grothendieck construction of the left fibration:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \cdots & \coprod_{x_0, x_1, x_2: A_0} \mathrm{hom}_A(a, x_0, x_1, x_2) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \coprod_{x_0, x_1: A_0} \mathrm{hom}_A(a, x_0, x_1) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \coprod_{x_0: A_0} \mathrm{hom}_A(a, x_0) \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \coprod_{x_0, x_1, x_2: A_0} \mathrm{hom}_A(x_0, x_1, x_2) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \coprod_{x_0, x_1: A_0} \mathrm{hom}_A(x_0, x_1) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \coprod_{x_0: A_0} 1 \end{array}$$

The results then follow from the corollary 4.1.2.18. □

Definition 4.2.1.12. The identity $\widehat{C} \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ induces by currying a canonical morphism

$$\mathrm{ev} : C^t \times \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$$

called the *evaluation functor*.

Remark 4.2.1.13. Given an object c of C and f of \widehat{C} , we then have $\mathrm{ev}(c, f) \sim f(c)$ and so

$$(c, \{f\})^* \int_{C \times \widehat{C}} \mathrm{ev} \sim c^* \int_{C^t} f$$

Proposition 4.2.1.14. *For any object c of C , there exists a unique pair consisting of a morphism*

$$\int_{\widehat{C}} \mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, _) \rightarrow \int_{\widehat{C}} \mathrm{ev}(c, _)$$

and a commutative square of shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{id_{y_c}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, y_c) \sim \{y_c\}^* \int_{\widehat{C}} \mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, _) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \{id_c\} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_C(c, c) \sim \{y_c\}^* \int_{\widehat{C}} \mathrm{ev}(c, _) \end{array} \quad (4.2.1.15)$$

Moreover, this comparison morphism is an equivalence.

Proof. The proposition 4.2.1.11 implies that $\int_{\widehat{C}} \mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, _)$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{F}h_{y_c}^{\widehat{C}}$. A natural transformation $\int_{\widehat{C}} \mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, _) \rightarrow \int_{\widehat{C}} \mathrm{ev}(c, _)$ then corresponds to a morphism $\mathbf{F}h_{y_c}^{\widehat{C}} \rightarrow \int_{\widehat{C}} \mathrm{ev}(c, _)$ and is then uniquely characterized by the value on $\{id_{y_c}\}$, which proves the uniqueness.

It remains to show the existence. Let E be an object of $(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/\widehat{C}^\sharp}$ corresponding to a morphism $g : X \rightarrow \widehat{C}^\sharp$. We denote $\iota : X \rightarrow X^\sharp$ the canonical inclusion. According to proposition 4.2.1.11, a

morphism $E \rightarrow \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, _)$ corresponds to a morphism $E \rightarrow \mathbf{F}h_{y_c}^{\widehat{C}}$, and by proposition 3.2.2.13, to a triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \widehat{C}_{y_c}^{\sharp} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & \widehat{C}^{\sharp} \end{array}$$

According to corollary 4.1.3.33, this data is equivalent to the one of a morphism

$$X \times \int_{C^t} y_c \rightarrow (\iota \times (C^t)^{\sharp})^* \int_{X^{\natural} \times C^t} \tilde{g}$$

where \tilde{g} is the morphism defined by currying from $g^{\natural} : X^{\natural} \rightarrow \widehat{C}$.

As the proposition 4.2.1.11 states that $\int_{C^t} y_c \sim \mathbf{F}h_c^{C^t}$, we have then constructed an equivalence.

$$\text{Hom}(E, \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, _)) \sim \text{Hom}(X \times \mathbf{F}h_c^{C^t}, (\iota \times (C^t)^{\sharp})^* \int_{X^{\natural} \times C^t} \tilde{g}) \quad (4.2.1.16)$$

natural in E .

Now, a morphism

$$E \rightarrow \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{ev}(c, _)$$

corresponds by adjunction to a morphism

$$id_X \rightarrow g^* \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{ev}(c, _) \quad (4.2.1.17)$$

However, we have a canonical commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\natural} & \xrightarrow{g^{\natural}} & \widehat{C} \\ X^{\natural} \times \{c\} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}(c, _) \\ X^{\natural} \times C^t & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & \underline{\omega} \end{array}$$

where \tilde{g} is the morphism obtained by currying from $g^{\natural} : X^{\natural} \rightarrow \widehat{C}$. Using the naturality of the Grothendieck construction, the previous commutative square implies that the data of (4.2.1.17) corresponds to a morphism

$$id_X \rightarrow (\iota \times \{c\})^* \int_{X^{\natural} \times C^t} \tilde{g}$$

an by adjunction, to a morphism

$$X \times \mathbf{F}h_c^{C^t} \rightarrow (\iota \times (C^t)^{\sharp})^* \int_{X^{\natural} \times C^t} \tilde{g}$$

We then have constructed an equivalence

$$\text{Hom}(E, \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{ev}(c, _)) \sim \text{Hom}(X \times \mathbf{F}h_c^{C^t}, (\iota \times (C^t)^{\sharp})^* \int_{X^{\natural} \times C^t} \tilde{g}) \quad (4.2.1.18)$$

natural in E .

Combining the equivalences (4.2.1.16) and (4.2.1.18), we get an equivalence

$$\text{Hom}(E, \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, _)) \sim \text{Hom}(E, \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{ev}(c, _))$$

natural in E . Walking through all the equivalences, we can see that when E is $h_{y_c}^{\widehat{C}}$, this equivalence sends the upper horizontal morphism of (4.2.1.15) to the lower horizontal one.

By the Yoneda lemma for $(\infty, 1)$ -categories, this induces an equivalence

$$\int_{\widehat{C}} \text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, _) \sim \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{ev}(c, _).$$

that comes along with the desired commutative square. \square

Lemma 4.2.1.19. *Let $i : C \rightarrow D$ be a morphism between locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. The canonical morphism of $\mathbf{L}\text{Cart}((C^t)^\sharp \times D^\sharp)$:*

$$\mathbf{L}(id \times i)! \int_{C^t \times C} \text{hom}_C \rightarrow \int_{C^t \times D} \text{hom}_D(i(_), _)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Let c, d be any objects of respectively C and D . We then have equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}(c, d)^* \mathbf{L}(id \times i)! \int_{C^t \times C} \text{hom}_C &\sim \mathbf{R}\{d\}^* \mathbf{L}i! \mathbf{R}(id \times \{c\})^* \int_{C^t \times C} \text{hom}_C & (3.2.5.30) \\ &\sim \mathbf{R}\{d\}^* \mathbf{L}i! \mathbf{F}h_c^C & (4.2.1.11) \\ &\sim \mathbf{R}\{d\}^* \mathbf{F}h_{i(c)}^D \\ &\sim \text{hom}_D(i(c), d)^b \end{aligned}$$

Remark that we also have an equivalence

$$\mathbf{R}(c, d)^* \int_{C^t \times D} \text{hom}_D(i(_), _) \sim \text{hom}_D(i(c), d)^b$$

and that the induced endomorphism of $\text{hom}_D(i(c), d)^b$ is the identity. As equivalences are detected pointwise, this concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.2.1.20. *Let C be a locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category. The Yoneda embedding $C \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ is fully faithful. Furthermore, there is an equivalence between the functor*

$$\text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y__, _) : C^t \times \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$$

and the functor

$$\text{ev} : C^t \times \widehat{C} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}.$$

Given an object c of C , the induced equivalence on fibers:

$$\text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, y_c) \sim \text{hom}_C(c, c)$$

sends $\{id_{y_c}\}$ onto $\{id_c\}$.

Proof. The triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & & \\ y \downarrow & \searrow y & \\ \widehat{C} & \xrightarrow{id} & \widehat{C} \end{array}$$

induces by adjunction a triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^t \times C & & \\ id \times y \downarrow & \searrow \text{hom} & \\ C^t \times \widehat{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & \widehat{C} \end{array}$$

This corresponds to an equivalence

$$\int_{C^t \times C} \text{hom}_C(_, _) \rightarrow (id \times y)^* \int_{C^t \times \widehat{C}} \text{ev}.$$

By naturality, for any object c of C , the pullback of the previous equivalence along $C^t \times \{c\}$ is the identity. In particular, the induced morphism $\text{hom}(c, c) \rightarrow \text{hom}(c, c)$ between the fibers over (c, c) preserves the object $\{id_c\}$. According to lemma 4.2.1.19, the previous equivalence induces by adjunction a morphism

$$\mathbf{L}(id \times y)! \int_{C^t \times C} \text{hom}_C(_, _) \sim \int_{C^t \times \widehat{C}} \text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_, _) \rightarrow \int_{C^t \times \widehat{C}} \text{ev}. \quad (4.2.1.21)$$

that comes along, by construction, with a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{id_{y_c}\} & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, y_c) \sim \{y_c\}^* \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, _) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \{id_c\} & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_C(c, c) \sim \{y_c\}^* \int_{\widehat{C}} \text{ev}(c, _) \end{array}$$

for any object c of C . The restriction of the morphism (4.2.1.21) to $\widehat{C} \times \{c\}$ is then equivalent to the natural transformation given in proposition 4.2.1.14, and is an equivalence. As equivalences between left cartesian fibrations are detected on fibers, the morphism (4.2.1.21) is an equivalence, and by the Grothendieck deconstruction given in corollary 4.1.2.23, this induces the desired equivalence between the functors $\text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_, _)$ and $\text{ev}_{\widehat{C}}(y_, _)$.

It remains to show that the Yoneda embedding is fully faithful. Remark that the morphism (4.2.1.21) induces by adjunction a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \int_{C^t \times C} \text{hom}_C(_, _) & \longrightarrow & (id \times y)^* \mathbf{L}(id \times y)! \int_{C^t \times C} \text{hom}_C(_, _) \sim (id \times y)^* \int_{C^t \times \widehat{C}} \text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_, _) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & (id \times y)^* \int_{C^t \times \widehat{C}} \text{ev} \end{array}$$

where the diagonal and the right vertical morphism are equivalences. By two out of three, so is the horizontal one. By taking the fibers, this implies that the canonical morphism

$$\text{hom}(y_, y_) : \text{hom}_C(c, d) \sim \text{hom}_{\widehat{C}}(y_c, y_d)$$

is an equivalence. This shows that the Yoneda embedding is fully faithful. \square

Corollary 4.2.1.22. *The universal left cartesian fibration with \mathbf{U} -small fibers is the canonical projection $\underline{\omega}_{1/}^\sharp \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^\sharp$.*

Proof. The corollary 4.2.1.22 states that universal left cartesian fibration with \mathbf{U} -small fibers is $\int_{\underline{\omega}} id$. The Yoneda lemma implies that this left cartesian fibration is equivalent to $\int_{\underline{\omega}} \text{hom}_{\underline{\omega}}(1, _)$. Eventually, the proposition 4.2.1.11 states that this left cartesian fibration is equivalent to $\underline{\omega}_{1/}^\sharp \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^\sharp$. \square

4.2.2 Adjoint functors

Definition 4.2.2.1. Let C and D be two locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories and $u : C \rightarrow D$, $v : D \rightarrow C$ two functors. An *adjunction structure* for the pair (u, v) is the data of a invertible natural transformation

$$\phi : \text{hom}_D(u(_), _) \sim \text{hom}_C(_, v(_))$$

In this case, u is a *left adjoint* of v and v is a *right adjoint* of u .

Proposition 4.2.2.2. *Let $u : C \rightarrow D$ be a functor between locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. For b an object of D , we define $(C^t)^\sharp_{b/}$ and $C^\sharp_{b/}$ as the marked (∞, ω) -categories fitting in the cartesian squares:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C^t)^\sharp_{b/} & \longrightarrow & (D^t)^\sharp_{b/} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ (C^t)^\sharp & \xrightarrow{u^t} & (D^t)^\sharp \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^\sharp_{b/} & \longrightarrow & D^\sharp_{b/} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ C^\sharp & \xrightarrow{u} & D^\sharp \end{array}$$

The following are equivalent.

- (1) The functor u admits a right adjoint.
- (2) For any element b of D , the marked (∞, ω) -category $(C^t)^\sharp_{b/}$ admits an initial element.

Similarly, the following are equivalent.

- (1)' The functor u admits a left adjoint.
- (2)' For any element b of D , $C^\sharp_{b/}$ admits an initial element.

Proof. Suppose first that (1) is fulfilled, and let $v : D \rightarrow C$ be a functor and $\phi : \text{hom}(u(a), b) \sim \text{hom}(a, v(b))$ be an invertible natural transformation. In particular, this implies that we have an equivalence

$$\int_{C^t \times D} \text{hom}_D(u(a), b) \sim \int_{C^t \times D} \text{hom}_C(a, v(b))$$

Pulling back along $C^t \times \{b\}$ where b is any object of D , we get an equivalence between $(C^t)^\sharp_{b/}$ and $(C^t)^\sharp_{v(b)/}$. As this last marked (∞, ω) -category admits an initial element, given by the image $id_{v(b)}$, this shows the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2).

For the converse, suppose that u fulfills condition (2). The functor $\text{hom}_D(u(_), _): C^t \times D \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ corresponds by adjunction to a functor $v' : D \rightarrow \widehat{C}$. By assumption, for any $b \in B$, $v'(b)$ is a representable (∞, ω) -presheaf. The Yoneda lemma then implies that v factors through a functor $v : D \rightarrow C$. Using once again Yoneda lemma, we have a sequence of equivalences

$$\text{hom}_D(u(a), b) \sim v'(b)(a) \sim \text{hom}_C(b, v(a)).$$

The equivalence between (1)' and (2)' is proved similarly. □

Construction 4.2.2.3. Let (u, v, ϕ) be an adjunction structure. There is a transformation

$$\text{hom}_C(a, a') \rightarrow \text{hom}_D(u(a), u(a')) \rightarrow \text{hom}_C(a, vu(a'))$$

natural in $a : C^t$, $a' : C$. According to the Yoneda lemma, this corresponds to a natural transformation $\mu : id_C \rightarrow vu$, called the *unit of the adjunction*. Similarly, the natural transformation:

$$\text{hom}_D(b, b') \rightarrow \text{hom}_C(v(b), v(b')) \rightarrow \text{hom}_C(uv(b), b')$$

induces a natural transformation $\epsilon : uv \rightarrow id_D$, called the *counit of the adjunction*.

Theorem 4.2.2.4. *Let $u : C \rightarrow D$ and $v : D \rightarrow C$ be two functors between locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. The two following are equivalent.*

(1) The pair (u, v) admits an adjunction structure.

(2) There exists a pair of natural transformations $\mu : id_C \rightarrow vu$ and $\epsilon : uv \rightarrow id_D$ together with equivalences $(\epsilon \circ_0 u) \circ_1 (u \circ_0 \mu) \sim id_u$ and $(v \circ_0 \epsilon) \circ_1 (\mu \circ_0 v) \sim id_v$.

Given an adjunction structure, the two natural transformations are the units and the counits defined in construction 4.2.2.3. Given the data of (2), the adjunction structure of (u, v) is given by the composite

$$\text{hom}_D(u(a), b) \rightarrow \text{hom}_C(vu(a), v(b)) \xrightarrow{(\mu_a)!} \text{hom}_C(a, v(b)).$$

Moreover, the unit of this adjunction is μ and its counit is ϵ .

Before giving the proof of this theorem, we give some corollaries.

Corollary 4.2.2.5. *Let $(u : B \rightarrow C, v : C \rightarrow B)$ be an adjoint pair between locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories and D a locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category. If C and B are \mathbf{U} -small, this induces an adjunction*

$$_ \circ u : \underline{\text{Hom}}(C, D) \xrightleftharpoons[_]{_} \underline{\text{Hom}}(B, D) : _ \circ v$$

and if D is \mathbf{U} -small an adjunction

$$u \circ _ : \underline{\text{Hom}}(D, C) \xrightleftharpoons[_]{_} \underline{\text{Hom}}(D, B) : v \circ _$$

Proof. Let μ and ϵ be the unit and the counit of the adjunction. We define $\mu' : \underline{\text{Hom}}(C, D) \times [1] \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(C, D)$, induced by currying the morphism

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(C, D) \times [1] \times C \xrightarrow{id \times \mu} \underline{\text{Hom}}(C, D) \times C \xrightarrow{ev} D$$

and $\epsilon' : \underline{\text{Hom}}(B, D) \times [1] \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(B, D)$ by currying the morphism

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(B, D) \times [1] \times B \xrightarrow{id \times \epsilon} \underline{\text{Hom}}(B, D) \times B \xrightarrow{ev} B$$

We can easily check that μ' and ϵ' fulfill the triangle identities, and theorem 4.2.2.4 then implies that the pair $(_ \circ u, _ \circ v)$ admits an adjunction structure. We proceed similarly for the second assertion. \square

Corollary 4.2.2.6. *Let $i : I \rightarrow A^\sharp$ be a morphism between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. The functor $i^* : \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_\ominus(I, \underline{\omega})$ has a left adjoint given by the functor $i_! : \underline{\text{Hom}}_\ominus(I, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$. If i is proper, the functor i^* has a right adjoint $i_* : \underline{\text{Hom}}_\ominus(I, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$.*

Proof. In construction 4.1.4.4, for a morphism $i : I \rightarrow A^\sharp$ between marked (∞, ω) -categories, we defined the morphism $i_! : \underline{\text{Hom}}_\ominus(I, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$ and when i is proper, a morphism $i_* : \underline{\text{Hom}}_\ominus(I, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$.

With the characterization of adjunction given in theorem 4.2.2.4, this is a direct consequence of natural transformations given in construction 4.1.4.4 and of the lax Grothendieck construction given in theorem 4.1.4.2 \square

The remaining of the section is devoted to the proof of theorem 4.2.2.4.

Lemma 4.2.2.7. *Suppose we have two morphisms $f : C \rightarrow D$ and $g : C \rightarrow D$ between locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories as well as a natural transformation $\nu : f \rightarrow g$. This induces a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hom}_C(a, b) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_D(g(a), g(b)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (\nu_a)! \\ \mathrm{hom}_D(f(a), f(b)) & \xrightarrow{(\nu_b)!} & \mathrm{hom}_D(f(a), g(b)) \end{array}$$

natural in $a : C^t, b : C$.

Proof. Remark that $\mathrm{hom}_{[1]}(0, 1) \sim \mathrm{hom}_{[1]}(1, 1) \sim \mathrm{hom}_{[1]}(0, 0) = 1$. Using the naturality of the hom functor, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hom}_C(a, b) \times \mathrm{hom}_{[1]}(0, 0) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_D(f(a), f(b)) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow (\nu_b)! \\ \mathrm{hom}_C(a, b) \times \mathrm{hom}_{[1]}(0, 1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_D(f(a), g(b)) \\ \sim \uparrow & & \uparrow (\nu_a)! \\ \mathrm{hom}_C(a, b) \times \mathrm{hom}_{[1]}(1, 1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_D(g(a), g(b)) \end{array}$$

where the left-hand vertical morphisms are equivalences. □

Lemma 4.2.2.8. *Let $u : C \rightarrow D$ and $v : D \rightarrow C$ be two functors between locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories, $\mu : id_C \rightarrow vu$, $\epsilon : uv \rightarrow id_D$ be two natural transformations coming along with equivalences*

$$(\epsilon \circ_0 u) \circ_1 (u \circ_0 \mu) \sim id_u \quad (v \circ_0 \epsilon) \circ_1 (\mu \circ_0 v) \sim id_v.$$

If we set ϕ as the composite

$$\mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_C(vu(a), v(b)) \xrightarrow{(\mu_a)!} \mathrm{hom}_C(a, v(b)),$$

the triple (u, v, ϕ) is an adjunction structure. Moreover, the unit of the adjunction is μ and its counit is ϵ .

Proof. Suppose we have such data. We define ψ as the composite

$$\mathrm{hom}_C(a, v(b)) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), uv(b)) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_a)!} \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b)$$

natural in $a : C^t$ and $b : D$. We then have to show that ψ and ϕ are inverse of each other. For this consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_C(vu(a), v(b)) & \xrightarrow{(\mu_a)!} & \mathrm{hom}_C(a, v(b)) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathrm{hom}_D(uvu(a), uv(b)) & \xrightarrow{(u(\mu_a))!} & \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), uv(b)) \\ & & \downarrow (\epsilon_b)! & & \downarrow (\epsilon_b)! \\ \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b) & \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_{u(a))!}} & \mathrm{hom}_D(uvu(a), b) & \xrightarrow{(u(\mu_a))!} & \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b) \end{array}$$

which is commutative thanks to lemma 4.2.2.7 applied to the natural transformation $\epsilon : uv \rightarrow id$ and thanks to the naturality of the hom. By hypothesis, the left lower horizontal morphism is equivalent to

the identity. The outer square then defines an equivalence between $\psi \circ \phi$ and the identity. We show similarly $\phi \circ \psi \sim id$.

For the second assertion, remark that the composition

$$\mathrm{hom}_C(a, a') \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), u(a')) \xrightarrow{\phi(a, u(a'))} \mathrm{hom}_C(a, vu(a'))$$

is by definition equivalent to

$$\mathrm{hom}_C(a, a') \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_D(vu(a), vu(a')) \xrightarrow{(\mu_a)!} \mathrm{hom}_C(a, vu(a'))$$

and according to the lemma 4.2.2.7, to

$$\mathrm{hom}_C(a, a') \xrightarrow{(\mu_{a'})!} \mathrm{hom}_C(a, vu(a'))$$

The Yoneda lemma then implies that the unit of the adjunction is μ . We proceed similarly for the counit. \square

For the remaining, we fix two functors $u : C \rightarrow D$ and $v : D \rightarrow C$ between (∞, ω) -categories as well as an adjunction structure

$$\phi : \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b) \sim \mathrm{hom}_C(a, v(b))$$

natural in $a : C^t$ and $b : D$. We will consider the unit $\mu : id_C \rightarrow vu$ and the counit $\epsilon : uv \rightarrow id$ constructed in 4.2.2.3.

Lemma 4.2.2.9. *The natural transformation*

$$\mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_C(vu(a), v(b)) \xrightarrow{(\mu_a)!} \mathrm{hom}_C(a, v(b))$$

is equivalent to $\phi : \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_D(a, v(b))$. Similarly, the natural transformation

$$\mathrm{hom}_C(a, v(b)) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), uv(b)) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_b)!} \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b)$$

is equivalent to $\phi^{-1} : \mathrm{hom}_D(a, v(b)) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), b)$.

Proof. Remark that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{hom}_C(a, b) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), u(b)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_C(vu(a), vu(b)) \\ & & \searrow^{(\mu_b)!} & & \downarrow^{(\mu_a)!} \\ \mathrm{hom}_D(u(a), u(b)) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hom}_D(a, vu(b)) \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the left triangle comes from the definition of μ , and the second one, from the lemma 4.2.2.7, applied to μ . This then induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \int_{C^t \times C} \mathrm{hom}_C & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}(id \times u)^* \int_{C^t \times D} \mathrm{hom}_D(u(_), _) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{R}(id \times u)^* \int_{C^t \times D} (\mu_a)! \circ \mathrm{hom}_v \\ \mathbf{R}(id \times u)^* \int_{C^t \times D} \mathrm{hom}_D(u(_), _) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}(id \times u)^* \int_{C^t \times D} \phi} & \mathbf{R}(id \times u)^* \int_{C^t \times D} \mathrm{hom}_C(_, v(_)) \end{array}$$

By adjunction, this corresponds to a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{L}(id \times u)! \int_{C^t \times C} \text{hom}_C & \longrightarrow & \int_{C^t \times D} \text{hom}_D(u(_), _) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \int_{C^t \times D} (\mu_a)! \circ \text{hom}_v \\ \int_{C^t \times D} \text{hom}_D(u(_), _) & \xrightarrow{\int_{C^t \times D} \phi} & \int_{C^t \times D} \text{hom}_C(_, v(_)) \end{array}$$

However, the top horizontal and left vertical morphisms are equivalences according to lemma 4.2.1.19. We then have an equivalence

$$\int_{C^t \times D} (\mu_a)! \circ \text{hom}_v \sim \int_{C^t \times D} \phi$$

which implies the result. The other assertion is shown similarly. \square

Lemma 4.2.2.10. *There are equivalences $(\epsilon \circ_0 u) \circ_1 (u \circ_0 \mu) \sim id_u$ and $(v \circ_0 \epsilon) \circ_1 (\mu \circ_0 v) \sim id_v$.*

Proof. As the proof of the two assertions are similar, we will only show the second one. To demonstrate this, it is enough to show that the induced natural transformation

$$\text{hom}_C(a, v(b)) \xrightarrow{(\mu_{v(b)})!} \text{hom}_C(a, vuv(b)) \xrightarrow{(v(\epsilon_b))!} \text{hom}_C(a, v(b)) \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} \text{hom}_D(u(a), b) \quad (4.2.2.11)$$

is equivalent to ϕ^{-1} . By definition, the first morphism is equivalent to the composition

$$\text{hom}_C(a, v(b)) \rightarrow \text{hom}_D(u(a), uv(b)) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{hom}_C(a, vuv(b))$$

and as ϕ^{-1} is a natural transformation, we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_C(a, vuv(b)) & \xrightarrow{(v(\epsilon_b))!} & \text{hom}_C(a, v(b)) \\ \phi^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^{-1} \\ \text{hom}_C(u(a), uv(b)) & \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_b)!} & \text{hom}_D(u(a), b) \end{array}$$

The composite of the sequence (4.2.2.11) is then equivalent to

$$\text{hom}_C(a, v(b)) \rightarrow \text{hom}_D(u(a), uv(b)) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_b)!} \text{hom}_D(u(a), b)$$

which is itself equivalent to ϕ^{-1} according to lemma 4.2.2.9. \square

Proof of theorem 4.2.2.4. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is given by lemma 4.2.2.8 and the contraposited by the lemma 4.2.2.10. \square

4.2.3 Lax colimits

For I a marked (∞, ω) -category and A an (∞, ω) -category, we recall that $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A)$ is the (∞, ω) -category whose value on a globular sum a is given by:

$$\text{Hom}(a, \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A)) := \text{Hom}(I \ominus a^{\sharp}, A^{\sharp})$$

Remark 4.2.3.1. Let B be an (∞, ω) -category. We want to give an intuition of the object $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(B^{\flat}, \omega)$. The objects of this (∞, ω) -category are the functors $I \rightarrow \omega$. The 1-cells are the lax transformations $F \Rightarrow G$. For $n > 1$, the n -cells are the lax transformations $F^{\times \mathbf{D}_{n-1}} \Rightarrow G$ where $F^{\times \mathbf{D}_{n-1}} : I \rightarrow \omega$ is the functor that sends i onto $F(i) \times \mathbf{D}_{n-1}$. This last assertion is a consequence of the equivalence

$$\tau_0(\text{LCart}((I \ominus [b, n]^{\sharp})^{\sharp})) \sim \text{Hom}([n], \text{LCart}^c(I; b))$$

provided by the lemma 4.1.4.14.

Proposition 4.2.3.2. *If I is \mathbf{U} -small and A is locally \mathbf{U} -small, the (∞, ω) -category $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A)$ is locally \mathbf{U} -small.*

Proof. We have to check that for any globular sum b , the morphism

$$\mathbf{Hom}(I \ominus [b, 1]^{\sharp}, A^{\sharp}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(I \ominus (\{0\} \amalg \{1\}), A^{\sharp})$$

has \mathbf{U} -small fibers. As I , seen as an ∞ -presheaves on $t\Theta$, is a \mathbf{U} -small colimit of representables, we can reduce to the case where $I \in t\Theta$. As A is local with respect to Segal extensions, and as \ominus conserves them, we can reduce to the case where I is of shape $[1]^{\sharp}$ or $[a, 1]$ for a a in $t\Theta$. If I is $[1]^{\sharp}$, according to the second assertion of proposition 3.1.3.5, $[1]^{\sharp} \ominus [b, 1]^{\sharp}$ is equivalent to $([1] \times [b, 1])^{\sharp}$ and the result follows from proposition 4.2.1.2.

For the second case, we fix a morphism $f : [a, 1] \times (\{0\} \amalg \{1\}) \rightarrow A$. Using the canonical equivalence between $[a, 1] \ominus [b, 1]^{\sharp}$ and the colimit of the diagram (3.1.2.18), the ∞ -groupoid $\mathbf{Hom}(I \ominus [b, 1]^{\sharp}, A^{\sharp})_f$ is the limit of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Hom}(a^{\sharp}, \mathbf{hom}(f(1, 0), f(1, 1))) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Hom}((a \otimes \{0\}^{\sharp})^{\sharp} \times b, \mathbf{hom}(f(0, 0), f(1, 1))) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{Hom}((a \otimes [1]^{\sharp})^{\sharp} \times b, \mathbf{hom}(f(0, 0), f(1, 1))) & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Hom}(a^{\sharp}, \mathbf{hom}(f(0, 0), f(1, 0))) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Hom}((a \otimes \{0\}^{\sharp})^{\sharp} \times b, \mathbf{hom}(f(0, 0), f(1, 1))) \end{array}$$

As all these objects are \mathbf{U} -small by assumption, this concludes the proof. \square

Definition 4.2.3.3. Let I be a \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -category, A a locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category A and $F : I \rightarrow A^{\sharp}$ a functor. A *lax colimit* of F is an object $\mathbf{laxcolim}_I F$ of A together with an equivalence

$$\mathbf{hom}_A(\mathbf{laxcolim}_I F, b) \sim \mathbf{hom}_{\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A)}(F, \mathbf{cst} b)$$

natural in $b : A$. Conversely, a *lax limit* of F is an object $\mathbf{laxlim}_I F$ of A together with an equivalence

$$\mathbf{hom}_A(b, \mathbf{laxlim}_I F) \sim \mathbf{hom}_{\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A)}(\mathbf{cst} b, F)$$

natural in $b : A$.

Remark 4.2.3.4. The proposition 3.1.2.19 induces an equivalence

$$\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A)^{\circ} \sim \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I^{\circ}, A^{\circ})$$

As a consequence, a functor $F : I \rightarrow A^{\sharp}$ admits a lax colimit if and only if $F^{\circ} : I^{\circ} \rightarrow (A^{\circ})^{\sharp}$ admits a lax limit. If F admits such lax colimit, the lax limit of F° is the image by the canonical equivalence $A_0 \sim A_0^{\circ}$ of the lax colimit of F and we then have

$$\mathbf{laxcolim}_I F \sim \mathbf{laxlim}_{I^{\circ}} F^{\circ}.$$

Definition 4.2.3.5. We say that a locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category C is *lax \mathbf{U} -complete* (resp. *lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete*), if for any \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -category I and any functor $F : I \rightarrow C$, F admits limits (resp. colimits).

Remark 4.2.3.6. Using proposition 4.2.2.2, C is lax \mathbf{U} -complete (resp. lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete) if and only if for any \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -category I , the functor $\text{cst} : C \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, C)$ admits a right adjoint (resp. a left adjoint).

Remark 4.2.3.7. We want to give an intuition of the lax colimits. Let I be a \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -category, A a locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category A and $F : I \rightarrow A^{\sharp}$ a functor admitting a lax colimit $\text{laxcolim}_I F$. For any 1-cell $i : a \rightarrow b$ in I , we have a triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F(i) & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ & & F(b) \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ F(a) & \longrightarrow & \text{laxcolim}_I F \end{array}$$

If i is marked, the preceding 2-cell is an equivalence. For any 2-cell $u : i \rightarrow j$, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} F(j) & \curvearrowright & F(b) \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ F(a) & \longrightarrow & \text{laxcolim}_I F \end{array} & \xRightarrow{\quad} & \begin{array}{ccc} F(j) & \curvearrowright & F(b) \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ F(a) & \longrightarrow & \text{laxcolim}_I F \end{array} \end{array}$$

If u is marked, the 3-cell is an equivalence. We can continue these diagrams in higher dimensions and we have similar assertions for lax limits.

The marking therefore allows us to play on the "lax character" of the universal property that the lax colimit must verify.

Proposition 4.2.3.8. *Let A be a \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category and I a \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -category. The (∞, ω) -category \widehat{A} is lax \mathbf{U} -complete and lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete.*

For a morphism $g : I \rightarrow \widehat{A}^{\sharp}$ corresponding to an object E of $\text{LCart}^c(I \times (A^t)^{\sharp})$, we then have

$$\int_{A^t} \text{laxcolim}_I g \sim \mathbf{L}(t \times \text{id}_{(A^t)^{\sharp}})_! E \quad \int_{A^t} \text{laxlim}_I g \sim \mathbf{R}(t \times \text{id}_{(A^t)^{\sharp}})_* E$$

Proof. Recall that $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A})$ is equivalent to $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I \times (A^t)^{\sharp}, \underline{\omega})$. Let t be the canonical morphism $I \rightarrow 1$. As t is smooth, corollary 4.2.2.6 induces adjunctions

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(t \times \text{id}_A)_!} \\ \xleftarrow{(t \times \text{id}_A)^*} \\ \xrightarrow{(t \times \text{id}_A)_*} \end{array} \widehat{A}$$

As the functor

$$(t \times \text{id}_A)^* : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A}) \rightarrow \widehat{A}$$

is equivalent to the functor $\text{cst} : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A}) \rightarrow \widehat{A}$, this concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2.3.9. *Let $i : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories and I a \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -category. We have canonical commutative squares:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{B}) & \xrightarrow{\text{laxcolim}_I} & \widehat{B} & \xleftarrow{\text{laxlim}_I} & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{B}) \\ (id_I \times i^t)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow i^* & & \downarrow (id_I \times i^t)^* \\ \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{laxcolim}_I} & \widehat{A} & \xleftarrow{\text{laxlim}_I} & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A}) \end{array}$$

Proof. This directly follows from the squares given in 4.1.4.4 and from proposition 4.2.3.8. \square

Remark 4.2.3.10. In particular, choosing $A := 1$, the previous proposition implies that the lax colimits and lax limits in (∞, ω) -presheaves commute with evaluation.

The next proposition implies that limits and colimits in (∞, ω) -presheaves can be detected as the level of the sub maximal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories of $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A})$ and \widehat{A} . We recall that the sub maximal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories of $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A})$, denoted by $\tau_1 \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A})$, is the adjoint of the functor $[n] \mapsto (I \otimes [n]^{\sharp})^{\sharp}$.

Proposition 4.2.3.11. *Let I be a \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -category, and $g : I \rightarrow A^{\sharp}$ a functor. An object f of \widehat{A} has a structure of colimit of the functor g if and only if there exists an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\tau_1 \widehat{A}}(f, h) \sim \mathrm{Hom}_{\tau_1 \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A})}(F, \mathrm{cst} h)$$

natural in $h : (\tau^1 \widehat{A})^{op}$. Similarly, the object f has a structure of limit of the functor F if and only if there exists an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\tau_1 \widehat{A}}(h, f) \sim \mathrm{Hom}_{\tau_1 \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, \widehat{A})}(\mathrm{cst} h, F)$$

natural in $h : (\tau^1 \widehat{A})^{op}$.

Proof. We recall that theorem 4.1.4.2 and corollary 4.1.4.3 induces equivalences

$$\tau_1 \widehat{A} \sim \mathrm{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}((A^t)^{\sharp}) \quad \tau_1 \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A) \sim \mathrm{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(I \otimes (A^t)^{\sharp})$$

and that we have a triplet of adjoints

$$\mathrm{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(I \otimes (A^t)^{\sharp}) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}(t \times id_{A^t})!} \\ \mathbf{R}(t \times id_{A^t})^* \\ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}(t \times id_{A^t})^*} \end{array} \cdot \mathrm{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}((A^t)^{\sharp})$$

which is the image by τ_1 of the triplet of adjoints given in the proof of proposition 4.2.3.8. As $\mathbf{R}(t \times id_{A^t})^* : \mathrm{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}((A^t)^{\sharp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{LCart}_{\mathbf{U}}^c(I \otimes (A^t)^{\sharp})$ is equivalent to the functor $\mathrm{cst} : \tau_1 \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\ominus}(I, A) \rightarrow \tau_1 \widehat{A}$, this concludes the proof. \square

Example 4.2.3.12. We recall that we denote by $\perp : \mathrm{Arr}((\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m) \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ the functor sending a left fibration $Y \rightarrow A$ to the localization of Y by marked cells. This functors sends initial and final morphisms to equivalences. If E is a left cartesian fibration over a marked (∞, ω) -category I , we then have $\perp E \sim \mathbf{L}t_! E$ where t denotes the morphism $I \rightarrow 1$.

Let $g : I \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ be a diagram. We denote $\iota : I \rightarrow I^{\sharp}$ the canonical inclusion. By the explicit expression of lax colimit given in proposition 4.2.3.8, we then have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{laxcolim}_I g \sim \perp \iota^* \int_{I^{\sharp}} g^{\sharp}.$$

If I is equivalent to I^{\flat} , we then have

$$\mathrm{laxcolim}_I g \sim \mathrm{dom}\left(\int_{I^{\flat}} g^{\sharp}\right)^{\sharp}.$$

- Let $c : 1 \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ be a morphism corresponding to an (∞, ω) -category C . For any (∞, ω) -category A , we then have

$$\mathrm{laxcolim}_{A^{\sharp}} \mathrm{cst}_c \sim (\tau_0 A) \times C \quad \mathrm{laxcolim}_{A^{\flat}} \mathrm{cst}_c \sim A \times C$$

– Let $f : [b, 1] \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ be a morphism corresponding to a morphism $A \times b \rightarrow B$. We then have

$$\operatorname{laxcolim}_{[b,1]^b} f \sim A \times (1 \overset{co}{\star} b) \prod_{A \times b} B$$

Example 4.2.3.13. Using the explicit expression of lax limit given in proposition 4.2.3.8, we have an equivalence

$$\operatorname{laxlim}_I g \sim \operatorname{Map}(id_I, \iota^* \int_{I^\natural} g^\natural)$$

– Let $c : 1 \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ be a morphism corresponding to an (∞, ω) -category C . For any (∞, ω) -category A , we then have

$$\operatorname{laxlim}_{A^\natural} \operatorname{cst}_c \sim \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\tau_0 A, C) \quad \operatorname{laxlim}_{A^b} \operatorname{cst}_c \sim \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, C)$$

– Let $f : [b, 1] \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ be a morphism corresponding to a morphism $A \times b \rightarrow B$. Let c be a globular sum. According to corollary 4.1.3.32, a morphism $id_{[b,1]^b} \times c^b \rightarrow \iota^* \int_{[b,1]^b} g^\natural$ corresponds to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\{c\}} & \underline{\omega} \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & 1 \overset{co}{\star} [b, 1] \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow \\ [b, 1] & \xrightarrow{f} & \underline{\omega} \end{array}$$

and according to proposition 4.1.1.17, to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c \times b \otimes \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \times b \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & c \times (b \otimes [1]) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ c \times b \otimes \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & c \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & B \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & & c \end{array} \quad (4.2.3.14)$$

where the upper horizontal morphism is of shape $g \times b$. As the cartesian product commutes with colimits, proposition 2.3.1.10 implies that the following square is cocartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c \times b \otimes \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & c \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ c \times (b \otimes [1]) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & c \times 1 \star b \end{array}$$

The diagram (4.2.3.14) then corresponds to a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c \times b \otimes \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \times b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c \times b \star 1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \end{array}$$

We then have

$$\operatorname{laxlim}_{[b,1]^b} f \sim A \prod_{\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(b, B)} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(b \star 1, B).$$

Lemma 4.2.3.15. *Let $F : I \rightarrow A^\sharp$ be a morphism between \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -categories. There is an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, A)}(\mathrm{cst}_a, F) \sim \mathrm{laxlim}_I \mathrm{hom}_A(a, F(_))$$

natural in $F : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, A)$ and $a : A^t$.

Proof. Remark that there is a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cst}} & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, A) \\ y \downarrow & & \downarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, y) \\ \widehat{A} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cst}} & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, \widehat{A}) \end{array}$$

and that the right vertical morphism is fully faithful as y is. We then have a sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, A)}(\mathrm{cst}_a, F) &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, \widehat{A})}(\mathrm{cst}_{y_a}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, y)(F)) \\ &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{A}}(y_a, \mathrm{laxlim}_I \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, y)(F)) \\ &\sim (\mathrm{laxlim}_I \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, y)(F))(a) && \text{(Yoneda lemma)} \\ &\sim \mathrm{laxlim}_I \mathrm{hom}_A(a, F(i)) \end{aligned}$$

where the last one comes from the fact that evaluations commute with lax limits. \square

Proposition 4.2.3.16. *Consider a functor $F : I \rightarrow A^\sharp$ between \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -categories. We have an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{hom}_A(a, \mathrm{laxlim}_I F) \sim \mathrm{laxlim}_I \mathrm{hom}_A(a, F(_))$$

natural in $a : A^t$. Dually, we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{hom}_A(\mathrm{laxcolim}, a) \sim \mathrm{laxlim}_{I^t} \mathrm{hom}_A(F(_), a)^\circ$$

natural in $a : A$.

Proof. By lemma 4.2.3.15 and by definition of lax limit, we have two equivalences

$$\mathrm{hom}_A(a, \mathrm{laxlim}_I F) \sim \mathrm{laxlim}_I \mathrm{hom}_A(a, F(_)) \sim \mathrm{hom}(\mathrm{cst}_a, F)$$

This proves the first assertion. The second one follows by duality, using the fact that the functor

$$(_)^\circ : \underline{\omega} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{t^\circ}$$

preserves limits as it is an equivalence. \square

Corollary 4.2.3.17. *Left adjoints between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories preserve lax colimits and right adjoints preserve lax limits.*

Proof. Let $u : C \rightarrow D$ and $v : D \rightarrow C$ be two adjoint functors. Let $F : I \rightarrow C^\sharp$ be a functor admitting a lax colimit. We then have a sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{hom}_C(u(\mathrm{laxcolim}_I F), b) &\sim \mathrm{hom}_D(\mathrm{laxcolim}_I F, v(b)) \\ &\sim \mathrm{laxlim}_{I^t} \mathrm{hom}_D(F, v(b))^\circ && (4.2.3.16) \\ &\sim \mathrm{laxlim}_{I^t} \mathrm{hom}_C(u(F), b)^\circ \\ &\sim \mathrm{hom}_C(\mathrm{laxcolim}_I u(F), b) && (4.2.3.16) \end{aligned}$$

natural in $b : D$. The result then follows from the Yoneda lemma applied to C^t . The other assertion is proved similarly. \square

Corollary 4.2.3.18. *Consider a functor $F : I \rightarrow A^\sharp$ between \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -categories. Then F admits a lax limit if and only if there exists an object l and an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{hom}_A(a, l) \sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, \underline{\omega})}(\mathrm{cst} 1, \mathrm{hom}_A(a, F(_)))$$

natural in $a : A^t$. If such an object exists, then l is a lax limit of F .

Proof. Remark that we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(I, \underline{\omega})}(\mathrm{cst} 1, \mathrm{hom}_A(a, F(_))) \sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\omega}}(1, \mathrm{laxlim}_I \mathrm{hom}_A(a, F(_)))$$

Eventually, the Yoneda lemma implies that

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\omega}}(1, \mathrm{laxlim}_I \mathrm{hom}_A(a, F(_))) \sim \mathrm{laxlim}_I \mathrm{hom}_A(a, F(_))$$

The result then follows from proposition 4.2.3.16. \square

Remark 4.2.3.19. The characterization of lax limit given in previous corollary is the generalization to the case (∞, ω) of the characterization of lax limit for $(\infty, 2)$ -categories given in [GHL20, corollary 5.1.7]. The other follows by duality.

The proof of the following proposition is a direct adaptation of the one of proposition 5.1 of [GHN15].

Proposition 4.2.3.20. *Let $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ be two morphisms between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. There is an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, B)}(f, g) \sim \mathrm{laxlim}_{a \rightarrow b : S(A)} \mathrm{hom}_B(f(a), g(a)).$$

Proof. Remark first that the right term is in fact equivalent to

$$\mathrm{laxlim}_{a \rightarrow b : S(A)} h^* \mathrm{hom}_B(_, _)$$

where h is the left cartesian fibration $S(A) \rightarrow A^t \times A$ corresponding to $\mathrm{hom}_A : A^t \times A \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{laxlim}_{a \rightarrow b : S(A)} \mathrm{hom}_B(f(a), g(a)) &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\omega}}(1, \mathrm{laxlim}_{a \rightarrow b : S(A)} h^* \mathrm{hom}_B(_, _)) & (4.2.1.20) \\ &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\ominus(S(A), \underline{\omega})}(\mathrm{cst} 1, h^* \mathrm{hom}_B(_, _)) \\ &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A^t \times A, \underline{\omega})}(h_! \mathrm{cst} 1, \mathrm{hom}_B(_, _)) & (4.2.2.6) \end{aligned}$$

By construction, $h_! \mathrm{cst} 1$ is the Grothendieck deconstruction of the left cartesian fibration $\mathbf{L}h_! \mathrm{id} \sim h$, and so is equivalent to hom_A . We then have

$$\mathrm{laxlim}_{a \rightarrow b : S(A)} \mathrm{hom}_B(f(a), g(a)) \sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A^t \times A, \underline{\omega})}(\mathrm{hom}_A(_, _), \mathrm{hom}_B(f(_), g(_)))$$

We have a canonical equivalence $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A^t \times A, \underline{\omega}) \sim \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, \widehat{A})$ sending the functor hom_A to the Yoneda embedding y^A , and $\mathrm{hom}_B(f(_), g(_))$ is $f^*(y^B \circ g)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{hom}(\mathrm{hom}_A(_, _), \mathrm{hom}_B(f(_), g(_))) &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, \widehat{A})}(y^A, f^*(y^B \circ g)) \\ &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, \widehat{B})}(f_! \circ y^A, y^B \circ g) & (4.2.2.6) \\ &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, \widehat{B})}(y^B \circ f, y^B \circ g) & (4.2.4.6) \\ &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, B)}(f, g) & (\text{Yoneda lemma}) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 4.2.3.21. *Let $i : I \rightarrow J$ be a morphisms between \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -categories. We denote $\perp : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$ the functor sending a marked (∞, ω) -category to its localization by marked cells.*

The following are equivalent

- (1) *For any morphism $F : J \rightarrow A^\sharp$ that admits a lax colimit, the functor $F \circ i$ also admits a lax colimit, and the canonical morphism:*

$$\text{laxcolim}_J F \circ i \rightarrow \text{laxcolim}_I F$$

is an equivalence.

- (2) *For any object j in J , the canonical morphism*

$$\perp I_{j/} \rightarrow \perp J_{j/}$$

is an equivalence, where $I_{j/}$ and $J_{j/}$ fit in the cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I_{j/} & \longrightarrow & J_{j/} & \longrightarrow & J_{j/}^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ I & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & J^\sharp \end{array}$$

Dually, the following are equivalent

- (1)' *For any morphism $F : J \rightarrow A^\sharp$ that admits a lax limit, the functor $F \circ i$ also admits a lax limit, and the canonical morphism:*

$$\text{laxlim}_I F \rightarrow \text{laxlim}_J F \circ i$$

is an equivalence.

- (2)' *For any object j in J , the canonical morphism*

$$\perp I_{/j} \rightarrow \perp J_{/j}$$

is an equivalence, where $I_{/j}$ and $J_{/j}$ fit in the cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I_{/j} & \longrightarrow & J_{/j} & \longrightarrow & J_{/j}^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ I & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & J^\sharp \end{array}$$

We directly give a corollary.

Corollary 4.2.3.22. *Let $i : I \rightarrow J$ and $F : J \rightarrow A^\sharp$ be two morphisms between \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -categories. If i is final, and F admits a lax colimit, the functor $F \circ i$ also admits a lax colimit, and the canonical morphism:*

$$\text{laxcolim}_J F \circ i \rightarrow \text{laxcolim}_I F$$

is an equivalence.

Dually, if i is initial, and F admits a lax limit, the functor $F \circ i$ also admits a lax limit, and the canonical morphism:

$$\text{laxlim}_I F \rightarrow \text{laxlim}_J F \circ i$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. This directly follows from theorem 4.2.3.21 and proposition 3.2.5.21. \square

To prove theorem 4.2.3.21, we need several lemmas.

Lemma 4.2.3.23. *Let $i : I \rightarrow J$ be a morphism between \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -categories and $f : J \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ a morphism. If i is initial, then the canonical morphism*

$$\mathrm{laxlim}_I f \rightarrow \mathrm{laxlim}_J f \circ i$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. We denote by E (resp. H) the object of $\mathrm{LCart}(J)$ (resp. $\mathrm{LCart}(I)$) corresponding to f (resp. $f \circ i$), and $p : X \rightarrow J$ (resp. $q : Y \rightarrow I$) the corresponding left cartesian fibration. We then have a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i'} & X \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ I & \xrightarrow{i} & J \end{array}$$

By proposition 4.2.3.8, for any (∞, ω) -category C , we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(C, \mathrm{laxlim}_J f) \sim \mathrm{Hom}_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/J}}(C \times id_i, p)$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}(C, \mathrm{laxlim}_I f \circ i) \sim \mathrm{Hom}_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I}}(C \times id_j, q) \sim \mathrm{Hom}_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I}}(C \times i, p)$$

where we denote by C the canonical morphism $C \rightarrow 1$. As i is initial and p is a left cartesian fibration, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/J}}(C \times id_i, p) \sim \mathrm{Hom}_{(\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_{\mathbf{m}/I}}(C \times i, p)$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2.3.24. *Let $i : I \rightarrow J$ be a morphism between \mathbf{U} -small marked (∞, ω) -categories, A an locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category, and $f : J \rightarrow A^\sharp$ a morphism. If i is final, then the canonical morphism*

$$\mathrm{laxcolim}_I f \circ i \rightarrow \mathrm{laxcolim}_J f$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Suppose that i is final. Remark that according to 4.2.3.16, it is sufficient to demonstrate for any integer a that the morphism

$$\mathrm{laxlim}_{J^t} \mathrm{hom}(f(_), a)^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{laxlim}_{I^t} \mathrm{hom}(f \circ i(_), a)^\circ$$

is an equivalence. Using the equivalence $(_)^\circ : \underline{\omega}^{t\circ} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$, this is equivalent to demonstrating that the morphism

$$\mathrm{laxlim}_{J^\circ} \mathrm{hom}(f(_), a)^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{laxlim}_{I^\circ} \mathrm{hom}(f \circ i(_), a)^\circ$$

is an equivalence. As $I^\circ \rightarrow J^\circ$ is initial, this follows from lemma 4.2.3.24. \square

Proof of theorem 4.2.3.21. Let $i : I \rightarrow J$ be a morphism fulfilling condition (1). Let $f : J \rightarrow A^\sharp$ be a morphism. Remark first that f factors as

$$J \xrightarrow{\iota} J^\sharp \xrightarrow{g} A^\sharp.$$

We denote $p : K \rightarrow J^\sharp$ the left fibrant cartesian replacement of ι . By proposition 3.2.5.21, we then have a canonical triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I & \xrightarrow{i} & J \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & K \end{array}$$

where the two morphisms with codomain K are initial. This induces a triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{laxcolim}_I f \circ i & \longrightarrow & \text{laxcolim}_J f \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \text{laxcolim}_K p \end{array}$$

According to lemma 4.2.3.24, the vertical and the diagonal morphisms are equivalences, and so is the horizontal one by two out of three. This concludes the proof of the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2).

To show the converse, let $i : I \rightarrow J$ be a morphism fulfilling condition (2) and j an element of J . Consider the functor

$$f : J \rightarrow J^\sharp \xrightarrow{\text{hom}_{J^\sharp}(j, _)} \underline{\omega}$$

By proposition 4.2.1.11, f and $f \circ i$ correspond via the Grothendieck construction to the classified left cartesian fibrations $J_{j/} \rightarrow J$ and $I_{j/} \rightarrow I$. By proposition 4.2.3.8, and by assumption, we then have equivalences

$$\perp I_{j/} \sim \text{laxcolim}_I f \circ i \sim \text{laxcolim}_J f \sim \perp J_{j/}$$

The equivalence between (1) and (2) follows by duality. □

We suppose the existence of a Grothendieck universe \mathbf{Z} containing \mathbf{W} . As a consequence, we can use all the results of the last three subsections to respectively \mathbf{V} -small and locally \mathbf{V} -small objects.

Definition 4.2.3.25. Let A be a \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category. Let f be an object of \widehat{A} . We define $A^\sharp_{/f}$ as the following pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^\sharp_{/f} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A}^\sharp_{/f} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A^\sharp & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A}^\sharp \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.2.3.26. *The colimit of the functor $\pi : A^\sharp_{/f} \rightarrow A^\sharp \rightarrow \widehat{A}^\sharp$ is f .*

Proof. We denote by π' the canonical projection $\widehat{A}^\sharp_{/f} \rightarrow \widehat{A}^\sharp$, and $t_{A^\sharp_{/f}} : A^\sharp_{/f} \rightarrow 1$, $t_{\widehat{A}^\sharp_{/f}} : \widehat{A}^\sharp_{/f} \rightarrow 1$ the canonical morphisms. Let E be the object of $\text{LCart}(A^\sharp \times A^\sharp_{/f})$ induced by currying π , and F the object of $\text{LCart}(A^\sharp \times \widehat{A}^\sharp_{/f})$ induced by currying π' . By proposition 4.2.3.8, we have equivalences

$$\int_{A^t} \text{colim}_{A^\sharp_{/f}} \pi \sim (id_{(A^t)^\sharp} \times t_{A^\sharp_{/f}})_! E \quad \int_{A^t} \text{colim}_{\widehat{A}^\sharp_{/f}} \pi' \sim (id_{(A^t)^\sharp} \times t_{\widehat{A}^\sharp_{/f}})_! F$$

We denote by $X \rightarrow A^\sharp \times A_{/f}^\sharp$ the left cartesian fibration corresponding to E , and by $Y \rightarrow (A^t)^\sharp \times \widehat{A}_{/f}^\sharp$ the left fibration corresponding to F . All this data fits in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S(A) & & \\
 \downarrow E & \searrow j & \downarrow & \searrow i & \\
 (A^t)^\sharp \times A_{/f}^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (A^t)^\sharp \times A^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{dom}(\int_{A^t \times \widehat{A}} \text{ev}) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow F & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 & & (A^t)^\sharp \times (\widehat{A})_{/f}^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (A^t)^\sharp \times \widehat{A}^\sharp
 \end{array}$$

where all squares are cartesian. Furthermore, according to the Yoneda lemma, $\text{dom}(\int_{A^t \times \widehat{A}} \text{ev})$ is equivalent to $\text{dom}(\int_{A^t \times \widehat{A}} \text{hom}_{\widehat{A}}(y_-, _))$, and lemma 4.2.1.19 implies that i is initial. As the lower horizontal morphism is a right cartesian fibration, and the dual version of proposition 3.2.5.9 induces that j is initial. This implies that the canonical morphism

$$(id_{(A^t)^\sharp} \times \perp_{A_{/f}^\sharp})!E \rightarrow (id_{(A^t)^\sharp} \times \perp_{\widehat{A}_{/f}^\sharp})!F$$

is an equivalence, and we then have

$$\text{colim}_{A_{/f}^\sharp} \pi \sim \text{colim}_{\widehat{A}_{/f}^\sharp} \pi'$$

However, $A_{/f}^\sharp$ admits a terminal element, given by id_f , and according to corollary 4.2.3.22, we have

$$\text{colim}_{A_{/f}^\sharp} \pi \sim f.$$

□

Corollary 4.2.3.27. *A \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category A is lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete if and only if the Yoneda embedding has a left adjoint, which we will also note by laxcolim .*

Proof. If such a left adjoint exists, as \widehat{A} is lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete, corollary 4.2.3.17 implies that A is lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete. Suppose now that A is lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete and let $f : A^t \rightarrow \underline{\omega}$ be a functor. Let c be the colimit of the functor $A_{/f}^\sharp \rightarrow A^\sharp$. According to theorem 4.2.3.26, we have a sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{hom}_{\widehat{A}}(f, y(a)) &\sim \text{hom}_{\widehat{A}}(\text{laxcolim}_{A_{/f}^\sharp} y(_), y(a)) \\
 &\sim \text{laxlim}_{(A_{/f}^\sharp)^t} \text{hom}_{\widehat{A}}(y(_), y(a))^\circ \\
 &\sim \text{laxlim}_{(A_{/f}^\sharp)^t} \text{hom}_A(_, a)^\circ \\
 &\sim \text{hom}_A(c, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

natural in $a : A^t$. The functor

$$a : A \mapsto \text{hom}_{\widehat{A}}(f, y(a))$$

is then representable, which concludes the proof according to proposition 4.2.2.2. □

Definition 4.2.3.28. Let $i : A \rightarrow B$ be a functor between two \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. We define $N_i : B \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ as

$$a : A^t, b : B \mapsto \text{hom}_B(i(a), b)$$

Corollary 4.2.3.29. Let $i : A \rightarrow B$ be a functor between two \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories with B lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete. The morphism $N_i : B \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ admits a left adjoint that sends an (∞, ω) -presheaf f to $\text{laxcolim}_{A/f} i(_)$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of corollary 4.2.3.27. \square

4.2.4 Kan extentions

We suppose the existence of a Grothendieck universe \mathbf{Z} containing \mathbf{W} . As a consequence, we can use all the results of the last three subsections to respectively \mathbf{V} -small and locally \mathbf{V} -small objects.

Definition 4.2.4.1. Let $f : A \rightarrow B^\sharp$ be a morphism between marked \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. This induces for any (∞, ω) -category C a morphism

$$_ \circ f : \underline{\text{Hom}}_\ominus(B, C) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, C).$$

Let $g : A \rightarrow C$ be a morphism. A *left Kan extension* of g along f is a functor $\text{Lan}_f g : B \rightarrow C$ and an equivalence

$$\text{hom}_{\underline{\text{Hom}}(B, C)}(\text{Lan}_f g, h) \sim \text{hom}_{\underline{\text{Hom}}_\ominus(A, C)}(g, h \circ f).$$

Remark that if the left Kan extension along f exists for any g , the proposition 4.2.2.2 implies that the assignation $g \mapsto \text{Lan}_f g$ can be promoted to a left adjoint, which is called the *global left Kan extension* of f .

Proposition 4.2.4.2. Let C be a \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category, $f : I \rightarrow B^\sharp$ a functor between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories and $g : I \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(C, \underline{\omega})$ a functor. The functor g then corresponds to a morphism $\tilde{g} : \underline{\text{Hom}}_\ominus(C^\sharp \times I, \underline{\omega})$. The left Kan extension of f along g corresponds to the morphism $(\text{id}_{C^\sharp} \times f)_! \tilde{g}$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of corollary 4.2.2.6. \square

Corollary 4.2.4.3. Let $i : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. The left Kan extension of the Yoneda embedding $y : A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ along i is $N_i : B \rightarrow \widehat{A}$.

Proof. According to proposition 4.2.4.2, the desired left Kan extension is given by

$$(B^t \times i)_! \text{hom}_B$$

which is N_i according to lemma 4.2.1.19. \square

Construction 4.2.4.4. According to corollary 4.2.2.6, a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories induces an adjoint pair:

$$f_! : \widehat{A} \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \widehat{B} : f^* \quad (4.2.4.5)$$

Proposition 4.2.4.6. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. There is an equivalence

$$f_!(y_a) \sim y_{f(a)}$$

natural in $a : A$.

Proof. Consider the sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{B}}(f!(y_a), g) &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{A}}(y_a, f^*(g)) & (4.2.4.4) \\
 &\sim \mathrm{ev}(a, f^*(g)) & (\text{Yoneda lemma}) \\
 &\sim \mathrm{ev}(f(a), g) & (\text{naturality of ev}) \\
 &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\widehat{B}}(y_{f(a)}, g) & (\text{Yoneda lemma})
 \end{aligned}$$

Eventually, the Yoneda lemma applied to $(\widehat{B})^t$ concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2.4.7. *Let $i : A \rightarrow B$ a functor between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories. The left Kan extension of $y^B \circ i$ along y^A is given by $i_!$.*

Proof. Let $i : A \rightarrow B$ be any functor. Remark first that the Yoneda lemma and the corollary 4.2.4.3 imply that the left Kan extension of $y : A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ along $y : A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is the identity of \widehat{A} . We then have a sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\widehat{A}, \widehat{A})}(i!, f) &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\widehat{A}, \widehat{A})}(id, i^* \circ f) & (4.2.2.6) \\
 &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, \widehat{A})}(y_A, i^* \circ f \circ y^A) & (\text{Yoneda lemma}) \\
 &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, \widehat{B})}(i! \circ y^A, f \circ y^A) & (4.2.2.6) \\
 &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, \widehat{B})}(y_B \circ i, f \circ y^A) & (4.2.4.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

natural in $f : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\widehat{A}, \widehat{B})$. \square

Corollary 4.2.4.8. *For any morphism $A \rightarrow B$ between \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories with B lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete, there exists a unique colimit preserving functor $\widehat{A} \rightarrow B$ extending i .*

Proof. Let $|_|_i : \widehat{A} \rightarrow B$ be the functor defined in corollary 4.2.3.29. As this functor is an extension of A , it fulfills the desired condition, that shows the existence. The (∞, ω) -category of functors verifying the desired property is given by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_!(\widehat{A}, B)_i & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_!(\widehat{A}, B) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \{i\} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, B)
 \end{array}$$

where $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_!(\widehat{A}, B)$ is the full sub (∞, ω) -category of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\widehat{A}, B)$ whose objects are colimit preserving functors. As $|_|_i$ is the left Kan extension of i along the Yoneda embedding, there is a transformation

$$|_|_i \rightarrow h$$

natural in $h : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\widehat{A}, B)_i$. To conclude, one has to show that for any object h of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\widehat{A}, B)_i$, $|_|_i \rightarrow h$ is an equivalence, and so that for any object f of \widehat{A} , $|f|_i \rightarrow h(f)$ is an equivalence. As f is a lax colimit of representables as shown in theorem 4.2.3.26 and as both $|_|_i$ and h preserve lax colimits, this is immediate. \square

Corollary 4.2.4.9. *Let A, B and C be three \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -categories with B lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete, and $i : A \rightarrow C$ and $f : A \rightarrow B$ two functors. The left Kan extension of i along f is given by the composite functor.*

$$B \xrightarrow{N_f} \widehat{A} \xrightarrow{i_!} \widehat{C} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{laxcolim}} C$$

Proof. We have a sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(C,B)}(\mathrm{laxcolim} \circ i_! \circ N_f, h) &\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(C,\widehat{A})}(N_f, i^* \circ y^B \circ h) \\
&\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A,\widehat{A})}(y^A, i^* \circ y^B \circ h \circ f) & (4.2.4.3) \\
&\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A,\widehat{B})}(i_! \circ y^A, y^B \circ h \circ f) & (4.2.2.6) \\
&\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A,\widehat{B})}(y^B \circ i, y^B \circ h \circ f) & (4.2.4.6) \\
&\sim \mathrm{hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A,B)}(i, h \circ f) & (4.2.1.20)
\end{aligned}$$

natural in $h : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(C, B)$. □

Index of symbols

$(0, n)$ -cat	21		
$(0, \omega)$ -cat	21		
$(0, \omega)$ -cat _B	36		
$(0, \omega)$ -cat _m	117		
(∞, n) -cat	84		
(∞, ω) -cat	78		
$(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -cat	176		
$(_)^\sharp$			
for (marked) (∞, ω) -categories	118		
for (marked) $(0, \omega)$ -categories	117		
$(_)^\flat$			
for (marked) (∞, ω) -categories	118		
for (marked) $(0, \omega)$ -categories	117		
$(_)^\natural$			
for (marked) (∞, ω) -categories	118		
for (marked) $(0, \omega)$ -categories	117		
$(_)^\sharp_n$	119		
$(_)^\mathcal{S}$			
for (∞, ω) -categories	89		
for $\underline{\omega}$	182		
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	120		
$(_)^\text{co}$			
for (∞, ω) -categories	90		
for $\underline{\omega}$	182		
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	20		
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	120		
$(_)^\text{op}$			
for (∞, ω) -categories	90		
for $\underline{\omega}$	182		
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	20		
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	120		
$(_)^\text{t}$			
for (∞, ω) -categories	90		
for $\underline{\omega}$	182		
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	20		
			for marked (∞, ω) -categories
			120
$(_)^\circ$			
for (∞, ω) -categories	90		
for $\underline{\omega}$	182		
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	20		
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	120		
\otimes			
for (∞, ω) -categories	97		
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	54		
for augmented directed complexes	47		
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	124		
\otimes_n	102		
\ominus			
for (∞, ω) -categories	99		
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	127		
$_ \otimes [1]$			
for (∞, ω) -categories	98		
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	54		
for augmented directed complexes	48		
$_ \otimes [1]^\sharp$	125		
$_ \star 1$			
for (∞, ω) -categories	100		
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	55		
for augmented directed complexes	49		
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	127		
$1 \overset{\text{co}}{\star} _$			
for (∞, ω) -categories	100		
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	55		
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	127		
$[_, 1]$			
for (∞, ω) -categories	80		
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	20		
for augmented directed complexes	51		
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	121		
$[\mathbf{a}, n]$	22		

$[a, n]$	22	<i>for marked (∞, ω)-categories</i>	121
$[a_0, n_0] \vee [a_1, n_1] \vee \dots \vee [a_k, n_k]$	22	I	137
$\langle a, n \rangle$	176	I_g	146
\perp	161	Im	90
ADC	32	$\oint_{n,I}$	192
ADC_B	35	J	176
$C^{[1]^\sharp}$	126	$\lambda : \omega\text{-cat} \rightarrow \text{ADC}$	32
$C^{[1]}$	99	$\text{Lan}_f g$	227
\widehat{C}	207	<i>laxcolim</i>	217
$C_{/c}$		<i>laxcolim : $\widehat{C} \rightarrow C$</i>	226
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	101	<i>laxlim</i>	217
<i>for marked (∞, ω)-categories</i>	129	LCart ($_$)	159
$C_{c/}$		LCart^c ($_$)	159
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	101	LCart ($_$)	168
<i>for marked (∞, ω)-categories</i>	129	LCart^c ($_$)	168
$C^{[1]_k}$	102	LF	74
$C_{./}$	183	Lf_! : $(C_{/c})_{S_{c/}} \rightarrow (C_{d/})_{S_{d/}}$	75
C_S	74	Lf[*] : $(C_{/d})_{S_{d/}} \rightarrow (C_{c/})_{S_{c/}}$	75
D_n	19	LFib ($_$)	177
$\Delta[\Theta]$	25	L_S	72
$\Delta[\Theta_n]$	25	M	25
$(\mathbf{D}_n)_t$	117	Map ($_, _$)	159
E^{eq}	25	m_C	99
ev	208	m_{C^\sharp}	127
F	137	M_{Sat}	25
F	74	M_{Seg}	25
$f_! : C_{/c} \rightarrow C_{d/}$	75	$\nabla_{k,n}$	92
$f^* : C_{/d} \rightarrow C_{c/}$	75	N_(\omega,1)	178
$f_* : C_{/c} \rightarrow C_{d/}$	75	$\nu : \text{ADC} \rightarrow \omega\text{-cat}$	33
$f_! : \underline{\text{LCart}}^c(I) \rightarrow \underline{\text{LCart}}(A^\sharp)$	170	∂_C	185
$f^* : \underline{\text{LCart}}^c(J) \rightarrow \underline{\text{LCart}}^c(I)$	168	$\dot{\partial}_{n,I}$	192
$f_* : \underline{\text{LCart}}^c(I) \rightarrow \underline{\text{LCart}}(A^\sharp)$	171	$\dot{\partial}_{n,I}^c$	195
$f_! : \underline{\text{Hom}}_\Theta(I, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$	201	$\partial_n^+(_)$	35
$f^* : \underline{\text{Hom}}_\Theta(I, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_\Theta(J, \underline{\omega})$	201	$\partial_n^-(_)$	35
$f_* : \underline{\text{Hom}}_\Theta(I, \underline{\omega}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, \underline{\omega})$	201	$\pi_0 : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (0, \omega)\text{-cat}$	78
F_g	146	$\pi_0 : (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$	121
Fh^C	183	Psh[∞] ($_$)	67
Fh_a^A	182	RCart ($_$)	159
$\text{Fun}^c([_], _)$	194	Rf[*] : $(C_{/d})_{S_{d/}} \rightarrow (C_{c/})_{S_{c/}}$	75
h_a^A	182	Rf_* : $(C_{/c})_{S_{c/}} \rightarrow (C_{d/})_{S_{d/}}$	75
Hom_∅ ($_, _$)	201	RG	74
$\text{hom}__ (_, _)$		R_S	72
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	80	\widehat{S}	68

S/c	75
Σ^n	
<i>for</i> (∞, ω) -categories	25
<i>for</i> $(0, \omega)$ -categories	20
Sp_a	24
$\mathrm{Sq}(i, p)$	70
\overline{S}	26
T	176
$t\Delta[t\Theta]$	118
$t\mathrm{Psh}^\infty(\Theta)$	117
$t\Theta$	117
τ_n	
<i>for</i> (∞, ω) -category	84
<i>for</i> $(0, \omega)$ -categories	21
τ_n^i	
<i>for</i> (∞, ω) -categories	84
<i>for</i> $(0, \omega)$ -categories	21
Θ	22
Θ_n	22
Θ^+	22
tM	119
tW	119
W	25
W_{Sat}	25
W_{Seg}	25
y_-	207
S -local	74
$N : (0, \omega)\text{-cat} \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}$	78
$N : (0, \omega)\text{-cat}_m \rightarrow (\infty, \omega)\text{-cat}_m$	121

Index of notions

A	
adjunction structure	211
algebraic morphism of Θ	23
array	33
atomic basis	36
B	
basis	
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	35
<i>for augmented directed complexes</i>	34
Beck-Chevalley condition	162
C	
ω -category	19
$(0, \omega)$ -category	21
$(0, n)$ -category	21
(∞, n) -category	84
(∞, ω) -category	78
$(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -category	176
n -cell	
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	78
<i>for $(0, \omega)$-categories</i>	19
classified left cartesian fibration	154
closed under left or right cancellation	68
cocomplete ∞ -groupoid of arrows	68
coherent array	33
D	
degenerate morphism of Θ	23
dimension of a globular sum	22
discrete Conduché functor	
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	92
<i>for marked (∞, ω)-categories</i>	122
dualities	
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	89
<i>for $\underline{\omega}$</i>	182
<i>for $(0, \omega)$-categories</i>	20
E	
elegant Reedy category	23
enhanced Gray tensor product	
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	99
<i>for marked (∞, ω)-categories</i>	127
epimorphism	75
equivalence	21
even duality	
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	90
<i>for $\underline{\omega}$</i>	182
<i>for $(0, \omega)$-categories</i>	20
<i>for marked (∞, ω)-categories</i>	120
b -exponentiable	149
F	
factorization system in (L, R)	69
final morphism	137
full duality	
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	90
<i>for $\underline{\omega}$</i>	182
<i>for $(0, \omega)$-categories</i>	20
<i>for marked (∞, ω)-categories</i>	120
fully faithful	
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	92
<i>for marked (∞, ω)-categories</i>	121
G	
generated by composition	35
global left Kan extension	227
n -globe	19
globular morphism	
<i>for $(0, \omega)$-categories</i>	23
<i>for marked $(0, \omega)$-categories</i>	122
globular set	19
globular sum	22
Gray \circ -cone	
<i>for (∞, ω)-categories</i>	100

for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	55	left or right deformation retract	135
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	127	left or right Gray deformation retract	135
Gray cone		left or right Gray deformation retract structure	
for (∞, ω) -categories	100	135	
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	55	lift in a square	69
for augmented directed complexes	49	locally \mathbf{U} -small (∞, ω) -category	206
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	127	loop free basis	
Gray cylinder		for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	36
for (∞, ω) -categories	98	for augmented directed complexes	35
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	54	M	
for augmented directed complexes	48	marked (∞, ω) -category	119
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	125	marked $(0, \omega)$ -category	117
n -Gray cylinder	102	marked n -cell	
Gray tensor product		for marked (∞, ω) -categories	120
for (∞, ω) -categories	97	for marked $(0, \omega)$ -categories	117
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	54	marked globular sum	122
for augmented directed complexes	47	marked trivializations	138
for marked (∞, ω) -categories	124	monomorphism	75, 90
Grothendieck construction	183	morphism of ω -categories	19
I		N	
image of a morphism	90	non trivial n -cell	19
initial morphism	137	O	
intelligent n -truncation		odd duality	
for (∞, ω) -categories	84	for (∞, ω) -categories	90
for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	21	for $\underline{\omega}$	182
L		for $(0, \omega)$ -categories	20
lax \mathbf{U} -cocomplete	217	for marked (∞, ω) -categories	120
lax \mathbf{U} -complete	217	opposed Beck-Chevalley condition	165
lax colimit	217	P	
lax limit	217	polygraph	20
left and right deformation retract	135	precomplete set of arrows	26
left cancellable n -cell	146	(∞, ω) -presheaves	207
left cancellation	27	proper morphism	160
left Cartesian replacement	139	Q	
left fibration	176	quasi-rigid morphism	36
left Kan extension	227	R	
left or right k -Gray deformation retract	103	Reedy category	23
left or right k -Gray deformation retract structure		Reedy cofibrant functor	26
103		representable (∞, ω) -presheaves	207
left or right adjoint	211		
left or right cancellable 1-cell	143		
left or right cartesian fibration	139		

S

slice over
 for (∞, ω) -categories 101
 for marked (∞, ω) -categories 129

slice under
 for (∞, ω) -categories 101
 for marked (∞, ω) -categories 129

U-small left cartesian fibration 191

U-small object of $(\infty, \omega, 1)$ -cat_{/A} 181

smooth morphism 160

special colimit
 for (∞, ω) -categories 81
 for marked (∞, ω) -categories 123

stratified ∞ -presheaf on $\Delta[\Theta]$ 118

stratified ∞ -presheaf on Θ 117

strict (∞, ω) -category 78

strict marked (∞, ω) -category 121

surjection 90

suspension
 for (∞, ω) -categories 80
 for $(0, \omega)$ -categories 20
 for augmented directed complexes 51
 for marked (∞, ω) -categories 121

T

transposition
 for (∞, ω) -categories 90
 for $\underline{\omega}$ 182
 for $(0, \omega)$ -categories 20
 for marked (∞, ω) -categories 120

trivialization map 85

n -truncation
 for (∞, ω) -category 84
 for $(0, \omega)$ -categories 21

U

unique left or right lifting property 70

unit and counit of an adjunction 212

unitary basis 35

W

weak Beck-Chevalley condition 162

weak factorization system in (L, R) 69

Y

Yoneda embedding 207

Bibliography

- [AGOR23] Dimitri Ara, Andrea Gagna, Viktoriya Ozornova, and Martina Rovelli. A categorical characterization of strong steiner ω -categories. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 227(7):107313, 2023.
- [AM20] Dimitri Ara and Georges Maltsiniotis. *Joint et tranches pour les ∞ -catégories strictes*. Société Mathématique de France, 2020.
- [Ara10] Dimitri Ara. *Sur les ∞ -groupoïdes de Grothendieck et une variante ∞ -catégorique*. PhD thesis, Université Paris 7, 2010.
- [BD95] John C Baez and James Dolan. Higher-dimensional algebra and topological quantum field theory. *Journal of mathematical physics*, 36(11):6073–6105, 1995.
- [Ber02] Clemens Berger. A cellular nerve for higher categories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 169(1):118–175, 2002.
- [Bou77] A.K. Bousfield. Constructions of factorization systems in categories. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 9(2):207–220, 1977.
- [BR13] Julia E Bergner and Charles Rezk. Reedy categories and the Θ -construction. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 274(1-2):499–514, 2013.
- [BSP21] Clark Barwick and Christopher Schommer-Pries. On the unicity of the theory of higher categories. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 34(4):1011–1058, 2021.
- [Cam23a] Timothy Champion. The gray tensor product of (∞, n) -categories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.00205*, 2023.
- [Cam23b] Timothy Champion. An (∞, n) -categorical pasting theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.00200*, 2023.
- [Cis06] Denis-Charles Cisinski. *Les préfaisceaux comme modèles des types d’homotopie*. Société mathématique de France, 2006.
- [Cis19] Denis-Charles Cisinski. *Higher categories and homotopical algebra*, volume 180. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [CN22] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Hoang Kim Nguyen. The universal cocartesian fibration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.08945*, 2022.

- [CNW] Denis-Charles Cisinski, Hoang Kim Nguyen, and Tashi Walde. Univalent directed type theory. *in preparation*.
- [CS19] Damien Calaue and Claudia Scheimbauer. A note on the (∞, n) -category of cobordisms. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 19(2):533–655, 2019.
- [GHL20] Andrea Gagna, Yonatan Harpaz, and Edoardo Lanari. Fibrations and lax limits of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.04537*, page 2, 2020.
- [GHN15] David Gepner, Rune Haugseng, and Thomas Nikolaus. Lax colimits and free fibrations in ∞ -categories. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.02161*, 2015.
- [GP21] Daniel Grady and Dmitri Pavlov. The geometric cobordism hypothesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.01095*, 2021.
- [GR19] Dennis Gaitsgory and Nick Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry: Volume I: correspondences and duality*, volume 221. American Mathematical Society, 2019.
- [Gue18] Léonard Guetta. Polygraphs and discrete conduced ω -functors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.05332*, 2018.
- [Hei23] Hadrian Heine. An equivalence between enriched ∞ -categories and ∞ -categories with weak action. *Advances in Mathematics*, 417:108941, 2023.
- [HHLN23] Rune Haugseng, Fabian Hebestreit, Sil Linskens, and Joost Nuiten. Lax monoidal adjunctions, two-variable fibrations and the calculus of mates. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 127(4):889–957, 2023.
- [Hin21] Vladimir Hinich. Colimits in enriched ∞ -categories and day convolution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.09538*, 2021.
- [Hir03] Philip S Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*. Number 99. American Mathematical Soc., 2003.
- [HL23] Simon Henry and Félix Loubaton. An inductive model structure for strict ∞ -categories. *accepté sous réserve de modification dans le Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 2023.
- [hsp] Chris Schommer-Pries (<https://mathoverflow.net/users/184/chris-schommer-pries>). Is there an accepted definition of (∞, ∞) category? [MathOverflow. https://mathoverflow.net/q/134099\(version:2017-12-15\)](https://mathoverflow.net/q/134099(version:2017-12-15)).
- [Joy] André Joyal. Factorisation systems. joyalscatlab. <https://ncatlab.org/joyalscatlab/show/Factorisation>
- [Joy02] André Joyal. Quasi-categories and Kan complexes. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 175(1-3):207–222, 2002.
- [Lou23] Félix Loubaton. Conditions de Kan sur les nerfs des ω -catégories. *Bulletin de la société mathématique de France*, 151:331–406, 2023.
- [Lur08] Jacob Lurie. On the classification of topological field theories. *Current developments in mathematics*, 2008(1):129–280, 2008.

- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Nor19] Paige Randall North. Towards a directed homotopy type theory. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 347:223–239, 2019.
- [Nui21] Joost Nuiten. On straightening for segal spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.11431*, 2021.
- [Ras21] Nima Rasekh. Yoneda lemma for \mathcal{D} -simplicial spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.06168*, 2021.
- [Rez10] Charles Rezk. A cartesian presentation of weak n -categories. *Geometry & Topology*, 14(1):521–571, 2010.
- [RS17] Emily Riehl and Michael Shulman. A type theory for synthetic ∞ -categories. *Higher Structures*, 2017.
- [RV22] Emily Riehl and Dominic Verity. *Elements of ∞ -Category Theory*, volume 194. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [Ste04] Richard Steiner. ω -categories and chain complexes. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 6(1):175 – 200, 2004.
- [War11] Michael A Warren. The strict ω -groupoid interpretation of type theory. *Models, logics, and higher-dimensional categories*, 53:291–340, 2011.