High-precision simulation of finite-size thermalizing systems at long times

Yichen Huang (黃溢辰)*

Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

June 11, 2024

Abstract

To simulate thermalizing systems at long times, the most straightforward approach is to calculate the thermal properties at the corresponding energy. In a quantum manybody system of size N, for local observables and many initial states, this approach has an error of O(1/N), which is reminiscent of the finite-size error of the equivalence of ensembles. In this paper, we propose a simple and efficient numerical method so that the simulation error is of higher order in 1/N. This finite-size error scaling is proved by assuming the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis.

1 Introduction

Thermalization is one of the most remarkable phenomena in nature. Consider an isolated quantum many-body system governed by the Hamiltonian H and initialized in a pure state $|\psi(0)\rangle$. Let S be a small subsystem and \bar{S} be its complement (rest of the system). Let

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt}|\psi(0)\rangle, \quad \psi(t)_S := \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{S}}|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)| \tag{1}$$

be the state and its reduced density matrix of S at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$\rho = e^{-\beta H} / \operatorname{tr}(e^{-\beta H}) \tag{2}$$

be a thermal state at the same energy, i.e., the inverse temperature β is determined from

$$E_{\psi} := \langle \psi(0) | H | \psi(0) \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(\rho H).$$
(3)

Thermalization means [1] that at long times,

^{*}yichenhuang@fas.harvard.edu

- $\psi(t)_S$ becomes almost independent of time, i.e., its temporal fluctuation vanishes in the thermodynamic limit. This is known as equilibration.
- $\|\psi_S^{\infty} \rho_S\|_1$ vanishes in the thermodynamic limit, where ψ_S^{∞} is the equilibrated $\psi(t)_S$, and $\rho_S := \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{S}} \rho$ is the reduced density matrix of the thermal state (2).

Despite the existence of counterexamples, it is generally believed that thermalization usually occurs.

Our goal is to compute ψ_S^{∞} in thermalizing systems. To this end, the baseline is to compute ρ_S . For one-dimensional systems, this can be done very efficiently on a (classical) computer [2–4]. While it is (numerically) exact in the thermodynamic limit, this baseline has a finite-size error: In a system of size N, for many initial states (e.g., states with exponential decay of correlations),

$$\|\psi_S^{\infty} - \rho_S\|_1 = O(1/N).$$
(4)

Note that the prefactor hidden in the big-O notation is generically nonzero (although in fine-tuned cases, $\|\psi_S^{\infty} - \rho_S\|_1$ can be of higher order in 1/N).

The scaling (4) can be understood as the finite-size error of the equivalence of ensembles (here we only explain the intuition; a quantitative argument is given later in this paper). Let $\rho^{\rm mc}$ be the uniform classical mixture of eigenstates in a small energy window such that

$$\operatorname{tr}(\rho^{\mathrm{mc}}H) = \operatorname{tr}(\rho H),\tag{5}$$

i.e., $\rho^{\rm mc}$ represents the microcanonical ensemble at the same energy. Let $\rho_S^{\rm mc} := \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{S}} \rho^{\rm mc}$. It is well known that

$$\|\rho_S^{\rm mc} - \rho_S\|_1 \sim 1/N.$$
 (6)

Let $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=1,2,\dots}$ be a complete set of eigenstates of H. We write the initial state as a superposition of eigenstates

$$|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_{j} c_{j}|j\rangle.$$
(7)

Under mild assumptions (e.g., the spectrum of H has non-degenerate gaps), equilibration and dephasing can be proved [5, 6] so that $\psi_S^{\infty} = \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{S}} \psi^{\infty}$, where

$$\psi^{\infty} := \sum_{j} p'_{j} |j\rangle \langle j|, \quad p'_{j} := |c_{j}|^{2}$$
(8)

is the so-called diagonal ensemble [7]. While the microcanonical and diagonal ensembles are different, it is unsurprising that their finite-size error scaling with respect to the canonical ensemble is the same.

For a moderate system size, say, N = 50 spins, Eq. (4) predicts a finite-size error of $\simeq 0.02$, which may not be small enough for practical purposes. Unfortunately, Eq. (4), as a physical scaling relation, cannot be improved. In this paper, we computationally overcome the limitation suggested by Eq. (4). We propose a simple and efficient numerical method that constructs an approximation to ψ_S^{∞} from ρ (rather than directly outputs ρ_S) such that the approximation error is of higher order in 1/N.

The eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) [7–11] provides an explanation for the emergence of statistical mechanics from the unitary evolution of quantum systems. Although

not all thermalizing systems satisfy the ETH [12], almost all of them do. The ETH says that the eigenstate expectation values are a smooth function of energy density. It implies the equivalence of ensembles with the finite-size error scaling (4), (6) [13]. We show that in the thermodynamic limit, not only the scaling of $\|\psi_S^{\infty} - \rho_S\|_1$ but also the leading term of $\psi_S^{\infty} - \rho_S$ can be calculated using the ETH. Adding this term to ρ_S , the error is reduced to higher order in 1/N.

2 Results

Consider a chain of N spins so that the dimension of the Hilbert space is $d = d_{loc}^N$, where d_{loc} (a constant) is the local dimension of each spin. The system is governed by a translationinvariant local Hamiltonian H with periodic boundary conditions. (We impose translational invariance and periodic boundary conditions for simplicity. Neither is absolutely necessary for our argument below.) Let T be the (unitary) lattice translation operator, which acts on the computational basis states as

$$\mathbb{T}(|x_1\rangle \otimes |x_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |x_N\rangle) = |x_2\rangle \otimes |x_3\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |x_N\rangle \otimes |x_1\rangle \tag{9}$$

with $x_l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d_{loc} - 1\}$ for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. We write the Hamiltonian as

$$H = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} H_l, \quad H_l = \mathbb{T}^{-l} h \mathbb{T}^l,$$
(10)

where h is a Hermitian operator acting on spins at positions 1, 2, ..., k for some constant k. Assume without loss of generality that tr h = 0 (traceless) and ||h|| = 1 (unit operator norm). Let $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=1}^d$ be a complete set of translation-invariant eigenstates of H with corresponding energies $\{E_j\}$.

Suppose that the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle$ has exponential decay of correlations. This includes all product states (each spin is disentangled from all other spins), whose correlation length is zero. Note that $|\psi(0)\rangle$ may not be translationally invariant.

If we measure the energy density of $|\psi(0)\rangle$, we obtain E_j/N with probability p'_j . Exponential decay of correlations implies that this probability distribution is concentrated around the energy density $e_{\psi} := E_{\psi}/N$ of $|\psi(0)\rangle$ [14]. Thus, only a neighborhood of e_{ψ} is relevant. We assume the ETH in such a neighborhood.

Assumption 1 (eigenstate thermalization hypothesis). Let ϵ be an arbitrarily small positive constant. For any local operator A with ||A|| = O(1), there is a sequence of functions $\{f_N : [e_{\psi} - \epsilon, e_{\psi} + \epsilon] \rightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = O(1)\}\}$ (one for each system size N) such that

$$\left|\langle j|A|j\rangle - f_N(E_j/N)\right| \le 1/\mathsf{poly}(N) \tag{11}$$

for all j with $|E_j/N - e_{\psi}| \leq \epsilon$, where $\operatorname{poly}(N)$ denotes a polynomial of sufficiently high degree in N. We assume that each $f_N(x)$ is smooth in the sense of having a Taylor expansion to some low order around $x = e_{\psi}$:

$$f_N(e_{\psi} + \delta) = f_N(e_{\psi}) + f'_N(e_{\psi})\delta + f''_N(e_{\psi})\delta^2/2 + f'''_N(e_{\psi})\delta^3/6 + O(\delta^4), \quad \forall |\delta| \le \epsilon.$$
(12)

In quantum chaotic systems, it was proposed analytically [13] and supported by numerical simulations [15] that the left-hand side of (11) is exponentially small in N. For our purposes, however, a (much weaker) inverse polynomial upper bound suffices.

We are ready to present our main result. Suppose E_{ψ} is not too close to the edges of the spectrum such that β , determined from Eq. (3), is O(1). For notational simplicity, let

$$G := H - E_{\psi}, \quad g := h - e_{\psi}. \tag{13}$$

Theorem 1. For any local operator A with ||A|| = O(1), Assumption 1 implies that

$$\operatorname{tr}(\psi^{\infty}A) = \operatorname{tr}(\rho A) + O(1/N^{2}) + \frac{1}{2N\operatorname{tr}(\rho Gg)} \left(1 - \frac{\langle \psi(0)|G^{2}|\psi(0)\rangle}{N\operatorname{tr}(\rho Gg)}\right) \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{2}g)\operatorname{tr}(\rho GA)}{\operatorname{tr}(\rho Gg)} + \operatorname{tr}\left(\rho G^{2}\left(\operatorname{tr}(\rho A) - A\right)\right)\right).$$
(14)

The second line of Eq. (14) is O(1/N) and can be calculated from the thermal properties of the system at energy density e_{β} .

In the language of reduced density matrices, Eq. (14) can be stated as¹

Thus, we obtain an approximation to ψ_S^{∞} such that the finite-size error is $O(1/N^2)$.

3 Proofs

If we measure the energy density of either ψ^{∞} or ρ , we obtain a probability distribution concentrated around e_{ψ} . Thus, it suffices to study $f_N(e_{\psi}), f'_N(e_{\psi}), f''_N(e_{\psi}), \ldots$, which characterize f(x) near $x = e_{\psi}$. Our proof consists of two steps. First, Lemma 3 expresses $f_N(e_{\psi}), f'_N(e_{\psi}), f''_N(e_{\psi})$ with ρ , whose properties can be computed efficiently. Then, we use this information to calculate $\operatorname{tr}(\psi^{\infty} A)$. Both steps make heavy use of the Taylor expansion (12). Similar methods were used in Refs. [10, 13, 16–18]. Our main technical contribution is a rigorous calculation of the finite-size error, especially in the finite-temperature case. Both our intermediate and final results (Theorem 1, Lemma 3, Corollary 1) are new.

The support of an operator is the set of spins it acts non-trivially on. Let $dist(A_1, A_2)$ be the distance between the supports of two operators A_1, A_2 . In one-dimensional translationinvariant systems, the thermal state ρ at any inverse temperature $\beta = O(1)$ has exponential decay of correlations [2, 4]

$$|\operatorname{tr}(\rho A_1 A_2) - \operatorname{tr}(\rho A_1) \operatorname{tr}(\rho A_2)| = ||A_1|| ||A_2||O(e^{-\operatorname{dist}(A_1, A_2)/\xi}),$$
(16)

where the correlation length ξ is a constant that depends on β .

¹I thank Soonwon Choi for pointing out that Eq. (14) can be stated as Eq. (15).

Let

$$p_j := e^{-\beta E_j} / \sum_{j=1}^d e^{-\beta E_j}, \quad F_j := E_j - E_\psi, \quad G_l := H_l - e_\psi$$
 (17)

so that F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_d are the eigenvalues of G.

Lemma 1 (moments).

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j F_j = \text{tr}(\rho G) = 0,$$
(18)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j F_j^2 = \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^2) = N \operatorname{tr}(\rho G g) = \Theta(N),$$
(19)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j F_j^3 = \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^3) = N \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^2 g) = O(N),$$
(20)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j F_j^4 = \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^4) = 3 \operatorname{tr}^2(\rho G^2) + O(N) = 3N^2 \operatorname{tr}^2(\rho G g) + O(N),$$
(21)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j F_j^5 = \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^5) = O(N^2),$$
(22)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_j F_j^6 = \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^6) = O(N^3).$$
(23)

Proof of Eq. (19). The second equality is due to the translational invariance of G and ρ . $-\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^2)$ is the heat capacity with respect to the inverse temperature β . Exponential decay of correlations (16) implies that it is extensive.

Proof of Eqs. (20), (22), (23). Equation (23) is a special case of Lemma 4.1 in Ref. [14]. To prove Eq. (20), we improve the proof of Lemma 4.1 in Ref. [14]. Let

$$D(l_1, l_2) := \min\{|l_1 - l_2|, N - |l_1 - l_2|\}$$
(24)

be the distance between G_{l_1} and G_{l_2} . For any tuple (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n) , let

$$D(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n) := \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \min_{j \neq i} D(l_i, l_j)$$
(25)

so that

$$|\{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^3 : D(l_1, l_2, l_3) = r\}| = NO(r+1).$$
(26)

Since $tr(\rho G_j) = 0$ for all j, exponential decay of correlations (16) implies that

$$\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1} G_{l_2} G_{l_3}) = O(e^{-D(l_1, l_2, l_3)/\xi}).$$
(27)

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{3}) = \sum_{l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_{1}} G_{l_{2}} G_{l_{3}}) = \sum_{r \ge 0} \sum_{l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}: D(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3})=r} \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_{1}} G_{l_{2}} G_{l_{3}}) \\ \le \sum_{r \ge 0} NO(r+1)e^{-r/\xi} = O(N). \quad (28)$$

Equation (22) can be proved in the same way as Eq. (20).

Proof of Eq. (21). Let

$$T_r := \{ (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^4 : D(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) = r \},$$
(29)

$$\Gamma_{r,1}' := \left\{ (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^4 : \max\{D(l_1, l_2), D(l_3, l_4)\} = r \right\},$$
(30)

$$T'_{r,1} := \{(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^4 : \max\{D(l_1, l_2), D(l_3, l_4)\} = r\},$$
(20)
$$T'_{r,1} := \{(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^4 : \max\{D(l_1, l_3), D(l_2, l_4)\} = r\},$$
(30)
$$T'_{r,2} := \{(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^4 : \max\{D(l_1, l_4), D(l_2, l_3)\} = r\},$$
(31)
$$T'_{r,3} := \{(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^4 : \max\{D(l_1, l_4), D(l_2, l_3)\} = r\},$$
(32)

$$(l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^4 : \max\{D(l_1, l_4), D(l_2, l_3)\} = r\},$$
 (32)

$$T_{r,i} = T_r \cap T'_{r,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$
(33)

so that

$$T_r = T_{r,1} \cup T_{r,2} \cup T_{r,3}, \quad |T_{r,1} \cap T_{r,2}| = NO(r+1), \quad |T'_{r,i} \setminus T_{r,i}| = NO(r^2).$$
(34)

Exponential decay of correlations (16) implies that

$$\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1} G_{l_2} G_{l_3} G_{l_4}) = O(e^{-D(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4)/\xi}), \quad \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1} G_{l_2}) = O(e^{-D(l_1, l_2)/\xi}).$$
(35)

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{4}) = \sum_{l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_{1}}G_{l_{2}}G_{l_{3}}G_{l_{4}}) = \sum_{r \ge 0} \sum_{(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}) \in T_{r}} \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_{1}}G_{l_{2}}G_{l_{3}}G_{l_{4}})$$
$$\approx \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{r \ge 0} \sum_{(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}) \in T_{r,i}} \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_{1}}G_{l_{2}}G_{l_{3}}G_{l_{4}}), \quad (36)$$

where the approximation error is upper bounded by

$$\sum_{r\geq 0} NO(r+1)e^{-r/\xi} = O(N).$$
(37)

Since $\min\{\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1}G_{l_2}), \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_3}G_{l_4})\} = O(e^{-r/\xi})$ for $(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in T'_{r,1}$,

$$\operatorname{tr}^{2}(\rho G^{2}) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}) \in T_{r,1}'} \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_{1}} G_{l_{2}}) \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_{3}} G_{l_{4}})$$
$$\approx \sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}) \in T_{r,1}} \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_{1}} G_{l_{2}}) \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_{3}} G_{l_{4}}), \quad (38)$$

where the approximation error is upper bounded by

$$\sum_{r \ge 0} NO(r^2) e^{-r/\xi} = O(N).$$
(39)

Since

$$\sum_{\substack{(l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4)\in T_{r,1}\\\text{dist}(G_{l_1}G_{l_2},G_{l_3}G_{l_4})\leq r}} |\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1}G_{l_2}G_{l_3}G_{l_4}) - \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1}G_{l_2})\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_3}G_{l_4})| = NO(r^2+1)e^{-r/\xi}, \quad (40)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4)\in T_{r,1}\\\text{dist}(G_{l_1}G_{l_2},G_{l_3}G_{l_4})>r}} |\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1}G_{l_2}G_{l_3}G_{l_4}) - \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1}G_{l_2})\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_3}G_{l_4})|$$

$$=\sum_{r'>r}\sum_{\substack{(l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4)\in T_{r,1}\\\operatorname{dist}(G_{l_1}G_{l_2},G_{l_3}G_{l_4})=r'}}O(e^{-r'/\xi})=\sum_{r'>r}NO(r+1)e^{-r'/\xi}=NO(r+1)e^{-r/\xi},$$
(41)

we obtain

$$\sum_{r\geq 0} \sum_{(l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4)\in T_{r,1}} |\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1}G_{l_2}G_{l_3}G_{l_4}) - \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1}G_{l_2})\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_3}G_{l_4})| = O(N).$$
(42)

Similarly,

$$\sum_{r \ge 0} \sum_{(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in T_{r,2}} |\operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1} G_{l_2} G_{l_3} G_{l_4}) - \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_1} G_{l_3}) \operatorname{tr}(\rho G_{l_2} G_{l_4})| = O(N).$$
(43)

We complete the proof by combining the equations above.

If we measure the energy of the thermal state
$$\rho$$
, the measurement results are concentrated.
Lemma 2 ([14]). For any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j:|F_j|\ge N\epsilon} p_j = O(e^{-\Omega(\epsilon\sqrt{N})}).$$
(44)

This lemma allows us to upper bound the total contribution of all eigenstates away from E_{ψ} . Let C = O(1) be a sufficiently large constant such that

$$\sum_{j:|F_j| \ge \Lambda} p_j |F_j|^m \le q, \quad \Lambda := C\sqrt{N} \log N, \quad q := 1/\mathsf{poly}(N)$$
(45)

for m = 0, 1, 2, where poly(N) denotes a polynomial of sufficiently high degree in N.

For notational simplicity, let $x \stackrel{\delta}{=} y$ denote $|x - y| \leq \delta$.

Lemma 3. For any local operator A with ||A|| = O(1), Assumption 1 implies that

$$f_N(e_{\psi}) = \operatorname{tr}(\rho A) + \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^2 g) \operatorname{tr}(\rho G A)}{2N \operatorname{tr}^2(\rho G g)} + \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^2(\operatorname{tr}(\rho A) - A))}{2N \operatorname{tr}(\rho G g)} + O(1/N^2), \quad (46)$$

$$f'_N(e_\psi) = \operatorname{tr}(\rho G A) / \operatorname{tr}(\rho G g) + O(1/N), \tag{47}$$

$$f_N''(e_{\psi}) = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^2(A - \operatorname{tr}(\rho A)))}{\operatorname{tr}^2(\rho Gg)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^2g)\operatorname{tr}(\rho GA)}{\operatorname{tr}^3(\rho Gg)} + O(1/N).$$
(48)

Proof. We have

$$\operatorname{tr}(\rho A) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \langle j | A | j \rangle \stackrel{O(q)}{=} \sum_{j:|F_{j}| < \Lambda} p_{j} \langle j | A | j \rangle \stackrel{1/\operatorname{poly}(N)}{=} \sum_{j:|F_{j}| < \Lambda} p_{j} f_{N}(E_{j}/N)$$

$$= \sum_{j:|F_{j}| < \Lambda} p_{j} \left(f_{N}(e_{\psi}) + \frac{f_{N}'(e_{\psi})F_{j}}{N} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{2}}{2N^{2}} + \frac{f_{N}'''(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{3}}{6N^{3}} + O(F_{j}^{4}/N^{4}) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{O(q)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \left(f_{N}(e_{\psi}) + \frac{f_{N}'(e_{\psi})F_{j}}{N} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{2}}{2N^{2}} + \frac{f_{N}'''(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{3}}{6N^{3}} + O(F_{j}^{4}/N^{4}) \right)$$

$$= f_{N}(e_{\psi}) + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi})\operatorname{tr}(\rho G g)}{2N} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi})\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{2} g)}{6N^{2}} + O(1/N^{2})$$

$$= f_{N}(e_{\psi}) + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi})\operatorname{tr}(\rho G g)}{2N} + O(1/N^{2}),$$

$$(49)$$

where we used inequality (45), the ETH (11), and the Taylor expansion

$$f_N(E_j/N) = f_N(e_{\psi}) + f'_N(e_{\psi})F_j/N + f''_N(e_{\psi})F_j^2/(2N^2) + f'''_N(e_{\psi})F_j^3/(6N^3) + O(F_j^4/N^4)$$
(50)

in the steps marked with "O(q)," " $1/\mathsf{poly}(N)$," and from the first to the second line, respectively. Similarly,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\rho GA) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j}F_{j}\langle j|A|j\rangle \stackrel{O(q)}{=} \sum_{j:|F_{j}|<\Lambda} p_{j}F_{j}\langle j|A|j\rangle \stackrel{1/\operatorname{poly}(N)}{=} \sum_{j:|F_{j}|<\Lambda} p_{j}F_{j}f_{N}(E_{j}/N)$$

$$= \sum_{j:|F_{j}|<\Lambda} p_{j} \left(f_{N}(e_{\psi})F_{j} + \frac{f_{N}'(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{2}}{N} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{3}}{2N^{2}} + O(F_{j}^{4}/N^{3}) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{O(q)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \left(f_{N}(e_{\psi})F_{j} + \frac{f_{N}'(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{2}}{N} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{3}}{2N^{2}} + O(F_{j}^{4}/N^{3}) \right)$$

$$= f'(e_{\psi})\operatorname{tr}(\rho Gg) + \frac{f''(e_{\psi})\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{2}g)}{2N} + O(1/N) = f'(e_{\psi})\operatorname{tr}(\rho Gg) + O(1/N).$$

$$(51)$$

Thus, we obtain Eq. (47). Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{2} A) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} F_{j}^{2} \langle j | A | j \rangle \stackrel{O(q)}{=} \sum_{j:|F_{j}| < \Lambda} p_{j} F_{j}^{2} \langle j | A | j \rangle \stackrel{1/\operatorname{poly}(N)}{=} \sum_{j:|F_{j}| < \Lambda} p_{j} F_{j}^{2} f_{N}(E_{j}/N)$$

$$= \sum_{j:|F_{j}| < \Lambda} p_{j} \left(f_{N}(e_{\psi}) F_{j}^{2} + \frac{f_{N}'(e_{\psi}) F_{j}^{3}}{N} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi}) F_{j}^{4}}{2N^{2}} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi}) F_{j}^{5}}{6N^{3}} + O(F_{j}^{6}/N^{4}) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{O(q)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \left(f_{N}(e_{\psi}) F_{j}^{2} + \frac{f_{N}'(e_{\psi}) F_{j}^{3}}{N} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi}) F_{j}^{4}}{2N^{2}} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi}) F_{j}^{5}}{6N^{3}} + O(F_{j}^{6}/N^{4}) \right)$$

$$= f_{N}(e_{\psi}) \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{2}) + f_{N}'(e_{\psi}) \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{2}g) + \frac{f_{N}'(e_{\psi}) \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{4})}{2N^{2}} + \frac{f_{N}''(e_{\psi}) \operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{5})}{6N^{3}} + O(1/N)$$

$$= f_{N}(e_{\psi}) N \operatorname{tr}(\rho Gg) + \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^{2}g) \operatorname{tr}(\rho GA)}{\operatorname{tr}(\rho Gg)} + \frac{3f_{N}''(e_{\psi}) \operatorname{tr}^{2}(\rho Gg)}{2} + O(1/N).$$

$$(52)$$

We complete the proof of the lemma by solving (49) and (52).

Equation (46) shows the difference between the eigenstate and thermal expectation values.

Corollary 1. Let $|j\rangle$ be an eigenstate whose energy E_j is (very close to) zero. For any traceless local operator A with ||A|| = 1, Assumption 1 implies that

$$\langle j|A|j\rangle = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(H^2h)\operatorname{tr}(HA) - \operatorname{tr}(Hh)\operatorname{tr}(H^2A)}{2N\operatorname{tr}^2(Hh)} + O(1/N^2).$$
(53)

There always exists [18] a traceless local operator A such that the coefficient of the 1/N term on the right-hand side of Eq. (53) is non-zero.

In the language of reduced density matrices, Eq. (46) can be stated as²

$$\left\| \rho_S + \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^2 g) \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{S}}(\rho G)}{2N \operatorname{tr}^2(\rho G g)} + \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\rho G^2) \rho_S - \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{S}}(\rho G^2)}{2N \operatorname{tr}(\rho G g)} - \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{S}} |j\rangle \langle j| \right\|_1 = O(1/N^2), \quad (54)$$

where ρ is the thermal state whose energy is E_i .

Lemma 4. Recall the definition (8) of p'_{j} .

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j}' F_{j} = \langle \psi(0) | G | \psi(0) \rangle = 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j}' F_{j}^{2} = \langle \psi(0) | G^{2} | \psi(0) \rangle = O(N), \tag{55}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j}' F_{j}^{3} = \langle \psi(0) | G^{3} | \psi(0) \rangle = O(N), \quad \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j}' F_{j}^{4} = \langle \psi(0) | G^{4} | \psi(0) \rangle = O(N^{2}).$$
(56)

Proof. Let $G'_l := H_l - \langle \psi(0) | H_l | \psi(0) \rangle$ so that

$$G = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} G'_l, \quad \langle \psi(0) | G'_l | \psi(0) \rangle = 0.$$
(57)

Since $|\psi(0)\rangle$ has exponential decay of correlations, the lemma can be proved by expanding G^2, G^3, G^4 using Eq. (57).

Since $|\psi(0)\rangle$ has exponential decay of correlations, Lemma 2 and Eq. (45) remain valid upon replacing p_j by p'_j .

²Again I thank Soonwon Choi for pointing out that Eq. (46) can be stated as Eq. (54).

Proof of Theorem 1.

$$\operatorname{tr}(\psi^{\infty}A) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j}^{\prime} \langle j|A|j \rangle \stackrel{O(q)}{=} \sum_{j:|F_{j}|<\Lambda} p_{j}^{\prime} \langle j|A|j \rangle \stackrel{1/\operatorname{poly}(N)}{=} \sum_{j:|F_{j}|<\Lambda} p_{j}^{\prime} f_{N}(E_{j}/N)$$

$$= \sum_{j:|F_{j}|<\Lambda} p_{j}^{\prime} \left(f_{N}(e_{\psi}) + \frac{f_{N}^{\prime}(e_{\psi})F_{j}}{N} + \frac{f_{N}^{\prime\prime}(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{2}}{2N^{2}} + \frac{f_{N}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime}(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{3}}{6N^{3}} + O(F_{j}^{4}/N^{4}) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{O(q)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j}^{\prime} \left(f_{N}(e_{\psi}) + \frac{f_{N}^{\prime}(e_{\psi})F_{j}}{N} + \frac{f_{N}^{\prime\prime}(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{2}}{2N^{2}} + \frac{f_{N}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime}(e_{\psi})F_{j}^{3}}{6N^{3}} + O(F_{j}^{4}/N^{4}) \right)$$

$$= f_{N}(e_{\psi}) + \frac{f_{N}^{\prime\prime}(e_{\psi})\langle\psi(0)|G^{2}|\psi(0)\rangle}{2N^{2}} + O(1/N^{2}). \tag{58}$$

We complete the proof by using Lemma 3.

Notes

Recently, I became aware of a related work [19]. It studies a different problem, but there is some overlap between the intermediate technical aspects of their work and mine.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Fernando G.S.L. Brandão for pointing out the use of exponential decay of correlations in the finite-temperature case while collaborating on a related project [20]; Yi Tan and Norman Y. Yao for discussions and collaboration on related work; Nicole Yunger Halpern for pointing out important references; Daniel K. Mark for discussions; Soonwon Choi for suggestions on improving the presentation and for his contribution acknowledged in the footnotes.

References

- [1] C. Gogolin and J. Eisert. Equilibration, thermalisation, and the emergence of statistical mechanics in closed quantum systems. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 79(5):056001, 2016.
- [2] H. Araki. Gibbs states of a one dimensional quantum lattice. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 14(2):120–157, 1969.
- [3] T. Kuwahara, A. M. Alhambra, and A. Anshu. Improved thermal area law and quasilinear time algorithm for quantum Gibbs states. *Physical Review X*, 11(1):011047, 2021.
- [4] A. Bluhm, Á. Capel, and A. Pérez-Hernández. Exponential decay of mutual information for Gibbs states of local Hamiltonians. *Quantum*, 6:650, 2022.
- [5] P. Reimann. Foundation of statistical mechanics under experimentally realistic conditions. *Physical Review Letters*, 101(19):190403, 2008.
- [6] N. Linden, S. Popescu, A. J. Short, and A. Winter. Quantum mechanical evolution towards thermal equilibrium. *Physical Review E*, 79(6):061103, 2009.

- [7] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii. Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems. *Nature*, 452(7189):854–858, 2008.
- [8] J. M. Deutsch. Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system. *Physical Review A*, 43(4):2046–2049, 1991.
- [9] M. Srednicki. Chaos and quantum thermalization. *Physical Review E*, 50(2):888–901, 1994.
- [10] L. D'Alessio, Y. Kafri, A. Polkovnikov, and M. Rigol. From quantum chaos and eigenstate thermalization to statistical mechanics and thermodynamics. *Advances in Physics*, 65(3):239– 362, 2016.
- J. M. Deutsch. Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. Reports on Progress in Physics, 81(8):082001, 2018.
- [12] A. W. Harrow and Y. Huang. Thermalization without eigenstate thermalization. arXiv:2209.09826.
- [13] M. Srednicki. The approach to thermal equilibrium in quantized chaotic systems. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 32(7):1163–1175, 1999.
- [14] A. Anshu. Concentration bounds for quantum states with finite correlation length on quantum spin lattice systems. *New Journal of Physics*, 18(8):083011, 2016.
- [15] H. Kim, T. N. Ikeda, and D. A. Huse. Testing whether all eigenstates obey the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. *Physical Review E*, 90(5):052105, 2014.
- [16] M. Srednicki. Thermal fluctuations in quantized chaotic systems. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 29(4):L75–L79, 1996.
- [17] Y. Huang. Finite-size scaling analysis of eigenstate thermalization. Annals of Physics, 438:168761, 2022.
- [18] Y. Huang. Convergence of eigenstate expectation values with system size. Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 26(6):1771–1785, 2022.
- [19] L. Capizzi, J. Wang, X. Xu, L. Mazza, and D. Poletti. Hydrodynamics and the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. arXiv:2405.16975.
- [20] Y. Huang, F. G. S. L. Brandão, and Y.-L. Zhang. Finite-size scaling of out-of-time-ordered correlators at late times. *Physical Review Letters*, 123(1):010601, 2019.