

Phonon-induced renormalization of exchange interactions in metallic two-dimensional magnets

Danis I. Badrtdinov,¹ Mikhail I. Katsnelson,¹ and Alexander N. Rudenko^{1,*}

¹*Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University,
Heijendaalseweg 135, NL-6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands*

(Dated: August 20, 2024)

The presence of spin-polarized charge carriers in metallic magnets provides a mechanism for spin-lattice interactions mediated by electron-phonon coupling. Here, we present a theory of this mechanism used to estimate its effect on the exchange interactions in 2D magnets. Starting from a square lattice model at half filling, we show that the presence of electron-phonon coupling with equilibrium phonon distribution leads to a notable suppression of exchange interactions with temperature. We then apply our approach to the prototypical 2D metallic ferromagnet, Fe₃GeTe₂, with moderate electron-phonon coupling. We find that the exchange interactions undergo a renormalization, leading to a softening of the magnon modes, and suppression of the Curie temperature by ~10%. We expect that this effect can be further enhanced in systems with strong electron-phonon coupling, as well as for non-equilibrium distribution of phonons induced by strong laser fields or charge currents.

Introduction.— Magnetism is a quantum phenomenon that plays an important role in technology. Although fundamental aspects of magnetism had been established decades ago, understanding the behavior of magnetic materials at the microscopic scale is still the subject of intensive research. Recently, the interest to fundamental aspects of magnetism has been revived due to the emergence of novel materials that host low-dimensional forms of magnetism, allowing for its efficient manipulation and control [1–5]. Among these materials, metallic two-dimensional (2D) magnets with the most prominent example of Fe₃GeTe₂ [6, 7] constitutes a special class of objects where charge carriers provide additional degree of freedom for tailoring the magnetic properties.

One of the most basic models in magnetism is the Heisenberg model

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{i>j} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j \quad (1)$$

that describes interaction between magnetic moments \mathbf{S}_i via the pairwise exchange interaction J_{ij} determined by the electronic structure of a material. A standard way to calculate J_{ij} is to employ the so-called magnetic force theorem, yielding a convenient expression in the form [8–10]

$$J_{ij} = 2 \text{Tr}_{\omega L} \left[\Delta_i G_{ij}^{\uparrow}(i\omega_n) \Delta_j G_{ji}^{\downarrow}(i\omega_n) \right] S^{-2}, \quad (2)$$

where $G_{ij}^{\sigma}(i\omega_n)$ is the spin-polarized electron propagator, Δ_i is the exchange splitting of electrons at lattice site i , and $\text{Tr}_{\omega L}$ denote the sum over Matsubara frequencies $i\omega_n$ and orbital indices L . In most of the practical situations, Δ_i and G_{ij}^{σ} are derived from single-particle

Hamiltonians, disregarding explicit treatment of many-body effects. A modification of Eq. (2) has been proposed in the context of strongly correlated systems [9], giving the possibility to take into account renormalization of J_{ij} due to electron-electron interactions. In this case Δ is replaced by spin-dependent part of the local electron self-energy $\Sigma^{\sigma}(i\omega_n)$, obtained self-consistently within dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT). This self-energy accounts for dynamical spin fluctuations and ensures temperature-dependence of the exchange.

An alternative way to describe temperature dependence of J_{ij} due to magnetic fluctuations is to introduce magnetic disorder within the formalism of disordered local moments [11–13]. The degree of disorder is temperature dependent and typically determined from atomistic spin simulations for each temperature. Subsequently, Eq. (2) is modified to take the disorder into account [14, 15]. This approach can be considered as a semiclassical approximation to DMFT [16]. Recently, semianalytical methods have been proposed to treat this problem, in which temperature-dependent corrections to the exchange are evaluated from spin correlation functions [17].

At nonzero temperatures, thermal lattice fluctuations provide additional contribution to the temperature dependence of exchange interactions [18]. This effect is supposed to be more pronounced in low dimensions where electronic structure is more susceptible to perturbations of the atomic structure. Earlier attempts to describe spin-lattice effects in 2D magnets were limited to insulators, such as CrI₃ [19], with the main effect originating from a direct modification of the hopping integrals upon atomic displacements. In contrast, metallic magnets allow for a different mechanism of spin-lattice interaction related to the coupling of phonons with conducting electrons that is strongly temperature dependent.

In this Letter, we study temperature-dependent renormalization of exchange interactions in 2D materials induced by the electron-phonon coupling. We pro-

* a.rudenko@science.ru.nl




FIG. 1. (Top) Electron spectral functions and densities of states (DOS) for a square lattice calculated in the presence of the electron-phonon interactions at different temperatures. (Bottom) Temperature dependence of exchange interaction renormalization in square lattice calculated for different coupling constants λ and t/Δ ratios. c is the renormalization constant introduced in Eq. (6).

pose to generalize Eq. (2) for the case of electron-phonon coupling. To this end, we perform renormalization of the Green's functions using the Dyson equation $G_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(i\omega_n) \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(i\omega_n) = G_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(i\omega_n) - \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_n)$, which also leads to renormalization of the exchange splitting $\Delta \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_n) = \Delta + \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\uparrow}(i\omega_n) - \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\downarrow}(i\omega_n)$, where we introduced the spin-polarized self-energy to be evaluated via the standard expression [20–22]

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}(i\omega_n) = -T \sum_{\mathbf{k}'\nu m} G_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}(i\omega_n) |g_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\nu\sigma}|^2 D_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}(i\omega_n - i\omega_m), \quad (3)$$

which connects the electron $G_{\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_n)$ and phonon $D_{\mathbf{q}}^{\nu}(i\omega_n)$ propagators defined on the fermionic Matsubara frequency axis $\omega_n = \pi T(2n + 1)$ via the interaction vertex $g_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\nu\sigma}$ at temperature T . The vertex corrections can be neglected according to the Migdal's theorem [20], due to smallness of phonon frequencies in comparison with typical electron energies.

Square lattice.— To illustrate the effect of electron-phonon coupling on the magnetic exchange in metallic 2D magnets, we begin with a minimal model. Specifically, we consider spin-polarized electrons in a square lattice at half-filling interacting with acoustic phonons in the

equilibrium. The model is described by the Hamiltonian,

$$H = t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sum_i (n_i^{\uparrow} - n_i^{\downarrow}) + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \omega_{\mathbf{q}} b_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{q}} + \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \langle ij \rangle \sigma} g_{\mathbf{q}} (b_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} + b_{-\mathbf{q}}) c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma}, \quad (4)$$

where $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}$ ($c_{i\sigma}$) and $b_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}$ ($b_{\mathbf{q}}$) are the creation (annihilation) operator of electrons at site i and spin σ , and phonons with wave vector \mathbf{q} and frequency $\omega_{\mathbf{q}}$, $n_i^{\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma}$, t is the nearest-neighbor hopping, and $\langle ij \rangle$ labels the nearest-neighbour pairs. Δ is the on-site interaction giving rise to the exchange splitting of electronic bands, and $g_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the electron-phonon coupling. In the insulating state determined by the condition $t/\Delta < 0.125$, Eq. (2) results in $J \simeq 4t^2/\Delta$ according to the Anderson superexchange theory [23]. In the metallic state ($t/\Delta \geq 0.125$) the electron-phonon coupling is, in general, not negligible, and must be taken into account.

For simplicity, we approximate phonon dispersion by a simple Debye-like model $\omega_{\mathbf{q}} = vq$ keeping in mind $\hbar\omega_D \gg k_B T$, where $\omega_D = v\pi/a$ is the characteristic frequency and v is the sound velocity. The electron-phonon interaction matrix element is then takes the form $g_{\mathbf{q}} = g_0 \sqrt{\hbar q / (2Mv)}$, where g_0 is the interaction constant and M is the nuclear mass. At long wavelengths and not too low temperatures ($\hbar v q \ll k_B T$) phonons can be considered classically with the occupation $\langle b_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \simeq k_B T / \hbar v q$. Then the electron self-energy [Eq. (3)] can be recast in a simple form as

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}(\omega, T) \simeq 2\lambda \frac{k_B T}{N_F^{\sigma}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} G_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{\sigma}(\omega), \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda = g_{\mathbf{q}}^2 N_F^{\sigma} / \omega_{\mathbf{q}} = g_0^2 N_F^{\sigma} / 2Mv^2$ is the dimensionless electron-phonon coupling constant, $N_F^{\sigma} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma})$ is the electron densities of states (DOS) at the Fermi energy. One can see that in this minimal model, temperature correction to the exchange is only determined by the constant λ and by details of the electronic structure.

In the top panel of Fig. 1, we show the spectral function $A_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}(\omega, T) = -1/\pi \text{Im}[\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}(\omega, T)]$ calculated for spin-polarized electrons in a square lattice in the presence of a moderate ($\lambda = 0.5$) electron-phonon coupling at different temperatures. The coupling results in a \mathbf{k} -dependent band broadening and energy shifts of the band edges, which scale linearly with temperature. The bottom panel of Fig. 1 shows relative renormalization of the exchange interaction $J(T)/J(0)$ for different coupling constants λ and t/Δ ratios. Although the spectral function temperatures does not display any qualitatively new features at finite temperature, even weak electron-phonon coupling results in a noticeable renormalization of J_{ij} . Under the assumptions made above, one can expand the many-body correction to the exchange keeping the linear terms only, yielding

$$J(T) = J(0) - c\lambda T, \quad (6)$$

where c is a renormalization constant determined by the electronic structure of the system. This expression assumes that the electron-phonon contribution to the self-energy can be considered as a perturbation and taken into account in the linear approximation which seems to be reasonable in the most of cases. Importantly, the temperature correction $\delta J = -c\lambda T$ does not scale with $J(0)$ meaning that the renormalization effect is more significant when $J(0) \lesssim c\lambda T$. As we are mostly interested in the ordered phase below the critical temperature, one can recast the renormalization criterion as $c \gtrsim T_c/\lambda T$, keeping in mind the estimate $T_c \sim J(0)$. In Fig. 1, we provide these constants fitted for the three cases considered. We emphasize that the renormalization effect persists even for spin-independent electron-phonon coupling, i.e., when $\lambda^\uparrow = \lambda^\downarrow$. For unequal λ^\uparrow and λ^\downarrow the effect is expected to be stronger.

As one can see from Fig. 1, at the point of metal-insulator transition $t/\Delta = 0.125$, even small $\lambda = 0.05$ already leads to a $\sim 10\%$ suppression of the exchange interaction at $T = 50$ K, which increases further at higher temperatures reaching up to 90% for $\lambda \gtrsim 0.5$. At larger t/Δ , the absolute value of J_{ij} increases, which limits its renormalization due to electron-phonon coupling. In this case the effect can still be significant for high enough coupling constants and temperatures, and will be further enhanced provided $\lambda^\uparrow \neq \lambda^\downarrow$. Potentially strong temperature-dependence of exchange interactions suggests that it must be taken into account while calculating thermodynamic averages. In particular, we expect suppression of the ordering temperatures for magnetic systems with strong electron-phonon coupling.

Exchange renormalization in Fe_3GeTe_2 .— One of well-known examples among 2D magnets is metallic Fe_3GeTe_2 , for which experimental studies demonstrate fingerprints of strong spin-lattice coupling [29, 30]. Let us now estimate the role of electron-phonon coupling in the magnetic properties of this system using the approach presented above. The magnetic lattice of Fe_3GeTe_2 is schematically shown in the inset of Fig. 2, which exhibits two distinct positions Fe_I and Fe_{II} with magnetic moments $2.4 \mu_B$ and $1.6 \mu_B$ [31]. As a starting point, we calculate the electronic structure of Fe_3GeTe_2 within density functional theory (DFT) using the plane-wave pseudopotential method as implemented in QUANTUM ESPRESSO (QE) [32, 33] package adopting the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional [34]. The phonon-related properties spectra are calculated within the density functional perturbation theory in the linear response regime [35]. In the calculations, we use the same numerical parameters as in our previous work [36] summarized in the SM [24]. We restrict ourselves to the monolayer structure with the experimental lattice parameters of bulk Fe_3GeTe_2 [37].

The electronic structure of Fe_3GeTe_2 in the vicinity of the Fermi energy is mainly formed by Fe ($3d$) hybridized with Te ($5p$) states [36], which allows us to parameterize them by maximally localized Wannier functions [38, 39].




FIG. 2. (Top) Spin-resolved electron spectral function of monolayer Fe_3GeTe_2 calculated in the presence of the electron-phonon coupling at $T = 100$ K for the states near the Fermi level. Left and right panels correspond to the spin-up and spin-down states, respectively. Original single-particle DFT band structure ($T = 0$) is shown by the red solid line. (Bottom) Temperature dependence of the renormalized leading exchange interactions shown schematically in the inset. The numerical values of J_{ij} are given in the Supplemental Material [24] (see also references [8, 25–28] therein).

Using such parametrization, we construct a tight-binding Hamiltonian in the basis of Wannier functions to calculate the electron propagators as well as electron-phonon matrix elements needed to obtain the electron self-energy in the Migdal approximation (see the SM for details [24]). For this purpose, we use the EPW code [40, 41] modified to treat spin-polarized states. Fig. 2 shows spin-resolved spectral function calculated for monolayer Fe_3GeTe_2 at $T = 0$ and $T = 100$ K. One can see that spin-down electrons are more susceptible to the electron-phonon coupling, which is consistent with distinct coupling constants $\lambda^\uparrow \sim 0.5$ and $\lambda^\downarrow \sim 0.26$ [36]. Such behavior indicates a phonon-induced renormalization of the exchange splitting $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)$, which provides a direct contribution to the exchange interactions J_{ij} according to Eq. (2).

The resulting temperature dependence of the renormalized leading exchange interactions between iron atoms in Fe_3GeTe_2 is presented in Fig. 2 (see the SM for further details [24]). Overall, the effect of exchange renormalization is not large, and found to be within 10% for $T = 200$ K. This can be ascribed to a relatively large effective $\tilde{t}/\tilde{\Delta}$ ratio, in accordance with the results presented in Fig. 1. Indeed, our first-principles calculations yield the average exchange splitting $\tilde{\Delta}$ of Fe(d) electrons to be ~ 2 eV, while the effective hopping \tilde{t} determined from the bandwidth $W_d \simeq 10$ eV is estimated




FIG. 3. (Top) Spin-wave spectra of Fe_3GeTe_2 calculated for different temperatures taking into account bare (no EPC) and renormalized (with EPC) exchange interactions J . The values in the left corner correspond to the spin stiffness. (Bottom) Temperature-dependent magnetization in Fe_3GeTe_2 calculated with and without renormalization by the electron-phonon coupling.

to be $\tilde{t} \simeq W_d/2N_{nn} \approx 1.6$ eV, where $N_{nn} = 3$ is the number of nearest neighbors in the honeycomb lattice. The resulting effective parameters ratio $\tilde{t}/\Delta \sim 0.8$ suggests a small renormalization effect, in agreement with Fig. 1. The corresponding renormalization constant c introduced in Eq. (6) is estimated to be around 0.1–0.2 in Fe_3GeTe_2 , which also indicates weak renormalization. Despite the effect is relatively small, the renormalization can still affect the observables such as the spectrum of spin-wave excitations or the Curie temperature. We note that Eq. (2) for the exchange is only applicable to the ordered phase ($T < T_C$), since in the paramagnetic phase both Δ_i and $\langle S_i^z \rangle$ tends to zero, and this limit requires a special careful treatment [42, 43]. Here we restrict ourselves only to the ferromagnetic phase leaving the problem of electron-phonon interaction in the paramagnetic phase for the future studies.

Spin-wave renormalization in Fe_3GeTe_2 .— Let us now discuss the role of exchange renormalization in the stability of magnetic order. For 2D magnets with long-range order, it is crucial to take magnetic anisotropy into account, in accordance with the Mermin-Wagner theorem [44]. Here, we consider a spin-1 Heisenberg model in the presence of single-ion anisotropy (SIA) described by the

Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + A \sum_i (S_i^z)^2, \quad (7)$$

where \mathcal{H}_0 is the isotropic term given by Eq. (1), and $A \simeq -0.35$ meV is the anisotropy parameter [36].

For a system with multiple magnetic sublattices, the magnons frequencies $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}$ can be calculated by diagonalization of the spin-wave Hamiltonian [45],

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{SW}(\mathbf{q}) = \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} \left(2A\Phi + \sum_x J_{\mu x}(\mathbf{0}) \right) - J_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q}) \right] \langle S_z \rangle, \quad (8)$$

where $J_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q})$ are the Fourier transform of the exchange interaction matrix, $\Phi = 1 - [1 - \langle S_z^2 \rangle] / 2$ is the Anderson-Callen decoupling factor [46, 47] for $S = 1$, which satisfies the kinematic condition. The indices μ and ν run from 1 to 3 over magnetic sublattices. From Eq. (8) follows that the magnon energies $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}} \sim \langle S_z \rangle$, which is not always correct because the magnon excitations are known to exist beyond the critical temperature, assuming strong short-range magnetic order. Here, we are not interested in the paramagnetic regime, but note that the behavior at $T > T_C$ can be restored by introducing short-range spin-order parameter as it is done, e.g., in self-consistent spin-wave theory [48].

Fig. 3 shows the calculated spin-wave spectra of monolayer Fe_3GeTe_2 that exhibit two optical branches and one acoustic branch dispersing quadratically $\Omega_{\mathbf{q}} \simeq \Omega_0 + Dq^2$ near the Γ point, where D is the spin-stiffness constant and Ω_0 is the SIA-induced gap of the order of meV. Temperature dependence of the magnetization $\langle S_z \rangle$ already leads to a softening of the magnon branches, which drops to 0 at $T = T_C$. As the same time, taking into account the temperature dependence of magnetic interactions increases this softening even stronger, reducing the spin-stiffness constant D .

At nonzero temperatures, the magnetization $\langle S_z \rangle$ is determined by spin-wave excitations, which suppress long-range magnetic order. Within the Green's function formalism, $\langle S_z \rangle$ can be determined from the equations [26–28],

$$\langle (S_i^z)^n S_i^- S_i^+ \rangle = \langle [S_i^+, (S_i^z)^n S_i^-] \rangle \sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} \langle b_{\mathbf{q}\nu}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{q}\nu} \rangle \quad (9)$$

obtained by Tyablikov (also known as the RPA result). Here, S_i^- and S_i^+ are the ladder operators, $\langle b_{\mathbf{q}\nu}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{q}\nu} \rangle = [\exp(\Omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}/k_B T) - 1]^{-1}$ is the equilibrium magnon distribution, and $n = 0, 1$ for $S = 1$. Equations (9) and (8) are to be solved self-consistently with respect to $\langle S_z \rangle$ and $\langle S_z^2 \rangle$ (see the SM [24] for details).

The exchange parameters calculated at zero temperature lead to $T_C \simeq 315$ K, which overestimate the experimental temperature $T_C \sim 200$ K [6, 7, 49–51]. At the same time, considering the temperature-dependent renormalization of magnetic interactions, we obtain a

$\sim 10\%$ reduction of the Curie temperature down to $T_C \simeq 295$ K. Although the resulting effect is not large, we observe a correct trend toward the experimental values. Other possible reasons for the discrepancy can be related to strong correlation effects addressed earlier in Ref. 52.

Discussion. — It is natural to ask whether the renormalization of exchange could be enhanced in real materials. For most of the systems, electron-phonon coupling can be treated adiabatically, reflecting the fact that typical phonon energies E_{ph} are much smaller than typical electron energies E_{el} . In this situation, electron-phonon contribution to the self-energy is important only within a narrow energy layer of the order of E_{ph} near the Fermi energy. Hence, its contribution to the quantities integrated over the whole energy range has a smallness in the parameter E_{ph}/E_{el} [53]. Therefore, one possible way to achieve stronger renormalization effect is to focus on systems for which the adiabatic approximation does not hold, i.e., when $E_{el} \lesssim E_{ph}$. Such systems may include, for instance, materials with narrow (or flat) electron bands close to the Fermi energy, which potentially leads to exceptionally strong electron-phonon coupling [54–56]. However, theoretical description of this regime goes beyond the Migdal theorem Eq. (3), and requires a separate consideration. In this context, theory of the antiadiabatic limit developed for conventional superconductivity appears as a promising direction for further studies of the exchange

renormalization [57].

Another possible approach to enhance the effect is to make use of out-of-equilibrium distributions in describing the coupled dynamics of electrons and phonons. Such distributions could be achieved by charge or heat currents flowing through the system, as well as by optically-driven excitations, leading to enhancement of the electron-phonon coupling [58, 59]. In the presence of strong visible or ultraviolet laser fields, corrections are required to compute the exchange interactions, whose contribution might lead to novel effects [60–62]. The role of adiabaticity in these cases is much less clear. One can also expect strong effects under infrared laser fields, keeping in mind the possibility to reach much larger atomic displacements than the equilibrium ones [63, 64]. Last but not the least, in this study we limited ourselves to the isotropic exchange interaction, which is typically the dominant magnetic interaction in real magnetic materials. Given that temperature corrections to the exchange obtained in this work do not scale with the exchange itself, one can expect stronger renormalization effects for anisotropic magnetic interactions, such as DMI. This case constitutes another interesting problem, which we leave for further studies.

The work was supported by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under European Research Council synergy grant 854843 “FAST-CORR”.

-
- [1] C. Gong, L. Li, Z. Li, H. Ji, A. Stern, Y. Xia, T. Cao, W. Bao, C. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Q. Qiu, R. J. Cava, S. G. Louie, J. Xia, and X. Zhang, “Discovery of intrinsic ferromagnetism in two-dimensional van der Waals crystals,” *Nature* **546**, 265–269 (2017).
- [2] B. Huang, G. Clark, E. Navarro-Moratalla, D. R. Klein, R. Cheng, K. L. Seyler, D. Zhong, E. Schmidgall, M. A. McGuire, D. H. Cobden, W. Yao, D. Xiao, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and X. Xu, “Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a van der Waals crystal down to the monolayer limit,” *Nature* **546**, 270–273 (2017).
- [3] D. R. Klein, D. MacNeill, J. L. Lado, D. Soriano, E. Navarro-Moratalla, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, S. Manni, P. Canfield, J. Fernández-Rossier, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, “Probing magnetism in 2D van der Waals crystalline insulators via electron tunneling,” *Science* **360**, 1218 (2018).
- [4] B. Huang, G. Clark, D. R. Klein, D. MacNeill, E. Navarro-Moratalla, K. L. Seyler, N. Wilson, M. A. McGuire, D. H. Cobden, D. Xiao, W. Yao, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and X. Xu, “Electrical control of 2D magnetism in bilayer CrI_3 ,” *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **13**, 544–548 (2018).
- [5] S. Jiang, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, “Electric-field switching of two-dimensional van der Waals magnets,” *Nat. Mater.* **17**, 406–410 (2018).
- [6] Y. Deng, Y. Yu, Y. Song, J. Zhang, N. Z. Wang, Z. Sun, Y. Yi, Yi Z. Wu, S. Wu, J. Zhu, J. Wang, X. H. Chen, and Y. Zhang, “Gate-tunable room-temperature ferromagnetism in two-dimensional Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Nature* **563**, 94–99 (2018).
- [7] Z. Fei, B. Huang, P. Malinowski, W. Wang, T. Song, J. Sanchez, W. Yao, D. Xiao, X. Zhu, A. F. May, W. Wu, D. H. Cobden, J.-H. Chu, and X. Xu, “Two-dimensional itinerant ferromagnetism in atomically thin Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Nat. Mater.* **17**, 778–782 (2018).
- [8] A. I. Liechtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, V. P. Antropov, and V. A. Gubanov, “Local spin density functional approach to the theory of exchange interactions in ferromagnetic metals and alloys,” *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **67**, 65 – 74 (1987).
- [9] M. I. Katsnelson and A. I. Lichtenstein, “First-principles calculations of magnetic interactions in correlated systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 8906–8912 (2000).
- [10] A. Szilva, Y. Kvashnin, E. A. Stepanov, L. Nordström, O. Eriksson, A. I. Lichtenstein, and M. I. Katsnelson, “Quantitative theory of magnetic interactions in solids,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **95**, 035004 (2023).
- [11] A. J. Pindor, J. Staunton, G. M. Stocks, and H. Winter, “Disordered local moment state of magnetic transition metals: a self-consistent KKR CPA calculation,” *J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.* **13**, 979–989 (1983).
- [12] J. Staunton, B. L. Gyorffy, A. J. Pindor, G. M. Stocks, and H. Winter, “Electronic structure of metallic ferromagnets above the curie temperature,” *J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.* **15**, 1387–1404 (1985).
- [13] J. Staunton, B. L. Gyorffy, G. M. Stocks, and J. Wadsworth, “The static, paramagnetic, spin susceptibility of metals at finite temperatures,” *J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.* **16**, 1761–1788 (1986).
- [14] D. Böttcher, A. Ernst, and J. Henk, “Temperature-

- dependent heisenberg exchange coupling constants from linking electronic-structure calculations and monte carlo simulations,” *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **324**, 610 (2012).
- [15] A. Szilva, M. Costa, A. Bergman, L. Szunyogh, L. Nordström, and O. Eriksson, “Interatomic exchange interactions for finite-temperature magnetism and nonequilibrium spin dynamics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 127204 (2013).
- [16] A. M. N. Niklasson, J. M. Wills, M. I. Katsnelson, I. A. Abrikosov, O. Eriksson, and B. Johansson, “Modeling the actinides with disordered local moments,” *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 235105 (2003).
- [17] L. Rózsa and U. Atxitia, “Temperature dependence of spin-model parameters in antiferromagnets,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, 023139 (2023).
- [18] S. Mankovsky, S. Polesya, and H. Ebert, “Exchange coupling constants at finite temperature,” *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 134434 (2020).
- [19] B. Sadhukhan, A. Bergman, Y. O. Kvashnin, J. Hellsvik, and A. Delin, “Spin-lattice couplings in two-dimensional CrI_3 from first-principles computations,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 104418 (2022).
- [20] A. B. Migdal, “Interaction between electrons and lattice vibrations in a normal metal,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **7**, 996 (1958).
- [21] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, *Methods of quantum field theory in statistical physics* (Dover, New York, NY, 1975).
- [22] G. D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2000).
- [23] P. W. Anderson, “New approach to the theory of superexchange interactions,” *Phys. Rev.* **115**, 2–13 (1959).
- [24] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for details.
- [25] V. V. Mazurenko and V. I. Anisimov, “Weak ferromagnetism in antiferromagnets: $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ and La_2CuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 184434 (2005).
- [26] S. V. Tyablikov, *Methods in Quantum Theory of Magnetism* (Springer, New York, 1983).
- [27] W. Nolting and A. Ramakanth, *Quantum Theory of Magnetism* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009).
- [28] P. Fröbrich and P. J. Kuntz, “Many-body Green’s function theory of Heisenberg films,” *Phys. Rep.* **432**, 223–304 (2006).
- [29] L. Du, J. Tang, Y. Zhao, X. Li, R. Yang, X. Hu, X. Bai, X. Wang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. Shi, G. Yu, X. Bai, T. Hasan, G. Zhang, and Z. Sun, “Lattice dynamics, phonon chirality, and spin-phonon coupling in 2D itinerant ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **29**, 1904734 (2019).
- [30] N. Bansal, Q. Li, P. Nufer, L. Zhang, A.-A. Haghighirad, Y. Mokrousov, and W. Wulfhchel, “Magnon-phonon coupling in Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” (2023), [arXiv:2308.10774](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.10774).
- [31] G. V. Pushkarev, D. I. Badrtdinov, I. A. Iakovlev, V. V. Mazurenko, and A. N. Rudenko, “An effective spin model on the honeycomb lattice for the description of magnetic properties in two-dimensional Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **588**, 171456 (2023).
- [32] P. Giannozzi, O. Baseggio, P. Bonfà, D. Brunato, R. Car, I. Carnimeo, C. Cavazzoni, S. de Gironcoli, P. Delugas, F. Ferrari Ruffino, A. Ferretti, N. Marzari, I. Timrov, A. Urru, and S. Baroni, “Quantum ESPRESSO toward the exascale,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, 154105 (2020).
- [33] P. Giannozzi, O. Andreussi, T. Brumme, O. Bunau, M. Buongiorno Nardelli, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, M. Cococcioni, N. Colonna, I. Carnimeo, A. Dal Corso, S. de Gironcoli, P. Delugas, R. A. DiStasio, A. Ferretti, A. Floris, G. Fratesi, G. Fugallo, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, F. Giustino, T. Gorni, J. Jia, M. Kawamura, H.-Y. Ko, A. Kokalj, E. Küçükbenli, M. Lazzeri, M. Marsili, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, N. L. Nguyen, H.-V. Nguyen, A. Otero de-la Roza, L. Paulatto, S. é, D. Rocca, R. Sabatini, B. Santra, M. Schlipf, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, I. Timrov, T. Thonhauser, P. Umari, N. Vast, X. Wu, and S. Baroni, “Advanced capabilities for materials modelling with Quantum ESPRESSO,” *J. Phys. Condens. Matter.* **29**, 465901 (2017).
- [34] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, “Generalized gradient approximation made simple,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996).
- [35] S. Baroni, St. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi, “Phonons and related crystal properties from density-functional perturbation theory,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 515–562 (2001).
- [36] D. I. Badrtdinov, G. V. Pushkarev, M. I. Katsnelson, and A. N. Rudenko, “Electron transport and scattering mechanisms in ferromagnetic monolayer Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *npj 2D Mater. Appl.* **7**, 52 (2023).
- [37] H.-J. Deiseroth, K. Aleksandrov, C. Reiner, L. Kienle, and R. K. Kremer, “ Fe_3GeTe_2 and Ni_3GeTe_2 – two new layered transition-metal compounds: Crystal structures, HRTEM investigations, and magnetic and electrical properties,” *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, 1561–1567 (2006).
- [38] N. Marzari and D. Vanderbilt, “Maximally localized generalized Wannier functions for composite energy bands,” *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 12847–12865 (1997).
- [39] Nicola Marzari, Arash A. Mostofi, Jonathan R. Yates, Ivo Souza, and David Vanderbilt, “Maximally localized wannier functions: Theory and applications,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 1419–1475 (2012).
- [40] S. Poncé, E.R. Margine, C. Verdi, and F. Giustino, “EPW: Electron-phonon coupling, transport and superconducting properties using maximally localized Wannier functions,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **209**, 116–133 (2016).
- [41] F. Giustino, “Electron-phonon interactions from first principles,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 015003 (2017).
- [42] L. V. Pourovskii, “Two-site fluctuations and multipolar intersite exchange interactions in strongly correlated systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 115117 (2016).
- [43] A. A. Katanin, A. S. Belozеров, A. I. Lichtenstein, and M. I. Katsnelson, “Exchange interactions in iron and nickel: DFT + DMFT study in paramagnetic phase,” *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 235118 (2023).
- [44] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, “Absence of ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism in one- or two-dimensional isotropic heisenberg models,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1133–1136 (1966).
- [45] J. Ruzs, I. Turek, and M. Diviš, “Random-phase approximation for critical temperatures of collinear magnets with multiple sublattices: GdX compounds ($X = \text{Mg, Rh, Ni, Pd}$),” *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 174408 (2005).
- [46] F. Burr Anderson and Herbert B. Callen, “Statistical mechanics and field-induced phase transitions of the heisenberg antiferromagnet,” *Phys. Rev.* **136**, A1068–A1087 (1964).
- [47] P. Fröbrich, P. J. Jensen, and P. J. Kuntz, “Field-

- induced magnetic reorientation and effective anisotropy of a ferromagnetic monolayer within spin wave theory,” *Eur. Phys. J. B* **13**, 477–489 (2000).
- [48] V. Yu. Irkhin, A. A. Katanin, and M. I. Katsnelson, “Self-consistent spin-wave theory of layered heisenberg magnets,” *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 1082–1099 (1999).
- [49] V. Yu. Verchenko, A. A. Tsirlin, A. V. Sobolev, I. A. Presniakov, and A. V. Shevelkov, “Ferromagnetic order, strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy, and magnetocaloric effect in the layered telluride $\text{Fe}_{3-\delta}\text{GeTe}_2$,” *Inorg. Chem.* **54**, 8598–8607 (2015).
- [50] B. Chen, J. Yang, H. Wang, M. Imai, H. Ohta, C. Michioka, K. Yoshimura, and M. Fang, “Magnetic properties of layered itinerant electron ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **82**, 124711 (2013).
- [51] R. Roemer, C. Liu, and K. Zou, “Robust ferromagnetism in wafer-scale monolayer and multilayer Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *npj 2D Mater. Appl.* **4**, 33 (2020).
- [52] S. Ghosh, S. Ershadrad, V. Borisov, and B. Sanyal, “Unraveling effects of electron correlation in two-dimensional Fe_nGeTe_2 ($n=3, 4, 5$) by dynamical mean field theory,” *npj Comput. Mater.* **9**, 86 (2023).
- [53] E. G. Brovman and Y. M. Kagan, “Phonons in nontransition metals,” *Sov. Phys. Uspekhi* **17**, 125–152 (1981).
- [54] A. C. Hewson, “A scaling approach to locally coupled electron-phonon systems,” *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **14**, 2747–2758 (1981).
- [55] M. I. Katsnelson and A. V. Trefilov, “Anomalies caused in phonon spectra by charge density fluctuations,” *JETP Lett.* **42**, 485–489 (1985).
- [56] A. V. Lugovskoi, M. I. Katsnelson, and A. N. Rudenko, “Strong electron-phonon coupling and its influence on the transport and optical properties of hole-doped single-layer inse,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 176401 (2019).
- [57] M. V. Sadovskii, “Antiadiabatic phonons and superconductivity in Eliashberg–McMillan theory,” *J. Supercond. Nov. Magn.* **33**, 19–26 (2020).
- [58] P. Maldonado, K. Carva, M. Flammer, and P. M. Oppeneer, “Theory of out-of-equilibrium ultrafast relaxation dynamics in metals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 174439 (2017).
- [59] U. Ritzmann, P. M. Oppeneer, and P. Maldonado, “Theory of out-of-equilibrium electron and phonon dynamics in metals after femtosecond laser excitation,” *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 214305 (2020).
- [60] R. V. Mikhaylovskiy, E. Hendry, A. Secchi, J. H. Mentink, M. Eckstein, A. Wu, R. V. Pisarev, V. V. Kruglyak, M. I. Katsnelson, Th. Rasing, and A. V. Kimel, “Ultrafast optical modification of exchange interactions in iron oxides,” *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8190 (2015).
- [61] A. Secchi, S. Brener, A. I. Lichtenstein, and M. I. Katsnelson, “Non-equilibrium magnetic interactions in strongly correlated systems,” *Ann. Phys.* **333**, 221–271 (2013).
- [62] A. Secchi, A. I. Lichtenstein, and M. I. Katsnelson, “Nonequilibrium itinerant-electron magnetism: A time-dependent mean-field theory,” *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 085153 (2016).
- [63] R. Mankowsky, A. von Hoegen, M. Först, and A. Cavalleri, “Ultrafast reversal of the ferroelectric polarization,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 197601 (2017).
- [64] A. von Hoegen, M. Fechner, M. Först, N. Taherian, E. Rowe, A. Ribak, J. Porras, B. Keimer, M. Michael, E. Demler, and A. Cavalleri, “Amplification of superconducting fluctuations in driven $\text{YBa}_2\text{CuO}_{3+x}$,” *Phys.*

Supplemental Materials: Phonon-induced renormalization of exchange interactions in metallic two-dimensional magnets

Temperature-dependent exchange interactions were calculated using local force theorem approach [S1, S2]:

$$J_{ij}(T) = -\frac{1}{2\pi S^2} \int_{-\infty}^{E_F} d\omega \operatorname{Im} \left[\tilde{\Delta}_i(\omega, T) \tilde{G}_{ij}^\downarrow(\omega, T) \tilde{\Delta}_j(\omega, T) \tilde{G}_{ji}^\uparrow(\omega, T) \right]. \quad (\text{S1})$$

Here $\tilde{\Delta}_i(\omega, T)$ and $\tilde{G}_{ij}^\sigma(\omega, T)$ stands for Fourier transformed component of correlated intra-orbital spin-splitting energy and Green's function due to electron-phonon coupling:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_i(\omega, \mathbf{k}, T) &= H_i^\uparrow(\mathbf{k}) - H_i^\downarrow(\mathbf{k}) + \Sigma^\uparrow(\omega, \mathbf{k}, T) - \Sigma^\downarrow(\omega, \mathbf{k}, T), \\ \tilde{G}_{ij}^{-1}(\omega, \mathbf{k}, T) &= G_{ij}^{-1}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) - \Sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k}, T). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S2})$$

The electron self-energy of electron-phonon interaction in Migdal approximation has the form

$$\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}^\sigma(\omega, T) = \sum_{m, \mathbf{q}\nu} |g_{mn, \nu}^\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})|^2 \times \left[\frac{b_{\mathbf{q}\nu} + f_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^\sigma}{\omega - \varepsilon_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^\sigma + \hbar\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu} + i\eta} + \frac{b_{\mathbf{q}\nu} + 1 - f_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^\sigma}{\omega - \varepsilon_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^\sigma - \hbar\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu} + i\eta} \right], \quad (\text{S3})$$

where $b_{\mathbf{q}\nu} = (\exp[\hbar\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}/k_B T] - 1)^{-1}$ corresponds to the Bose occupation function for phonons with wave vector \mathbf{q} , mode index ν and frequency $\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}$. In turn, $f_{m\mathbf{k}}^\sigma = (\exp[(\varepsilon_{m\mathbf{k}}^\sigma)/k_B T] + 1)^{-1}$ is the Fermi occupation function for the electron states with energy $\varepsilon_{m\mathbf{k}}^\sigma$ given with respect to the Fermi level. The electron-phonon matrix elements contain the information about the derivative of self-consistent spin dependent electronic potential $\partial_{\mathbf{q}\nu} V^\sigma$ in the basis of Bloch functions $\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}^\sigma$:

$$g_{mn, \nu}^\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}}} \langle \psi_{m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^\sigma | \partial_{\mathbf{q}\nu} V^\sigma | \psi_{n\mathbf{k}}^\sigma \rangle. \quad (\text{S4})$$

Expectation values of $\langle S_z \rangle$ and $\langle S_z^2 \rangle$ in case of $S = 1$ are the following [S3–S5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_z \rangle &= S \frac{1 + 2 \sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} b_{\mathbf{q}\nu}}{1 + 3 \sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} b_{\mathbf{q}\nu} + 3(\sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} b_{\mathbf{q}\nu})^2}, \\ \langle S_z^2 \rangle &= \frac{2 \sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} b_{\mathbf{q}\nu}}{1 + 3 \sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} b_{\mathbf{q}\nu}} + \frac{1 + \sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} b_{\mathbf{q}\nu}}{1 + 3 \sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} b_{\mathbf{q}\nu}} \langle S_z \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S5})$$

-
- [S1] A. I. Liechtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, V. P. Antropov, and V. A. Gubanov, “Local spin density functional approach to the theory of exchange interactions in ferromagnetic metals and alloys,” *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **67**, 65 – 74 (1987).
[S2] V. V. Mazurenko and V. I. Anisimov, “Weak ferromagnetism in antiferromagnets: α -Fe₂O₃ and La₂CuO₄,” *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 184434 (2005).
[S3] S. V. Tyablikov, *Methods in Quantum Theory of Magnetism* (Springer, New York, 1983).
[S4] W. Nolting and A. Ramakanth, *Quantum Theory of Magnetism* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009).
[S5] P. Fröbrich and P.J. Kuntz, “Many-body Green's function theory of Heisenberg films,” *Phys. Rep.* **432**, 223–304 (2006).




TABLE I. Temperature evolution of exchange interaction (in meV) of Fe₃GeTe₂ due to electron-phonon coupling.

| i | $d(\text{\AA})$ | Fe _{i} - Fe _{j} | $J(T = 0 \text{ K})$ | $J(T = 50 \text{ K})$ | $J(T = 100 \text{ K})$ | $J(T = 200 \text{ K})$ | $J(T = 300 \text{ K})$ | $J(300)/J(0)$ |
|-----|-----------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | 2.493 | I - I | -110.1 | -107.4 | -106.8 | -105.0 | -102.8 | 0.93 |
| 2 | 2.624 | I - II | -37.1 | -36.5 | -36.2 | -35.8 | -35.2 | 0.95 |
| 3 | 3.991 | (I - I)/(II - II) | 1.6/7.7 | 1.6/7.3 | 1.6/7.2 | -1.6/6.9 | -1.6/6.6 | 1.0/0.85 |
| 4 | 4.712 | I - I | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.64 |
| 5 | 4.782 | I - II | -6.4 | -6.2 | -6.1 | -5.9 | -5.6 | 0.88 |
| 6 | 6.234 | I - II | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.88 |
| 7 | 6.926 | (I - I)/(II - II) | -0.8/-3.6 | -1.0/-3.4 | -0.8/-3.2 | -0.7/-3.0 | -0.6/-2.8 | 0.75/0.77 |
| 8 | 7.361 | I - I | -2.0 | -1.8 | -1.7 | -1.7 | -1.6 | 0.80 |
| 9 | 7.998 | (I - I)/(II - II) | -2.0/0.5 | -2.0/0.5 | -2.0/0.4 | -1.9/0.4 | -1.9/0.3 | 0.95/0.60 |
| 10 | 8.377 | I - I | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.7 | 0.87 |