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#### Abstract

We formulate a connection between a topological and a geometric category. The former is the idempotent completion of the (horizontal) trace of the affine Hecke category, while the latter is the equivariant derived category of the (semi-nilpotent) commuting stack. This provides a more precise and improved version of our proposal in [8].


## 1. Introduction

1.1. The problem. The main purpose of the present paper is to refine a conjecture of [8] on a connection between topology (specifically affine Hecke categories) and geometry (specifically commuting stacks). Consider the affine Hecke category ASBim ${ }_{n}$ (explicitly defined as the homotopy category of type $\widehat{A}_{n-1}$ Soergel bimodules, see Subsection (3.2), its horizontal trace $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)$ and the idempotent completion of the latter

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}
$$

Let us also consider the (semi-nilpotent) commuting stack

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Comm}_{n}=\left\{X, Y \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}, Y \text { nilpotent, }[X, Y]=0\right\} / G L_{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the $G L_{n}$ action given by simultaneous conjugation of the matrices $X$ and $Y$. There is an action of the torus $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ given by rescaling the matrices $X$ and $Y$ independently.

Problem 1.1. Construct a functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}} \rightarrow D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and identify its essential image.
In [8, Problem 1.1], we asked about the existence of a functor akin to (2), but without the word "Kar" (signifying idempotent, or Karoubi completion) in the left-hand side and by foregoing the condition that $Y$ be nilpotent in (11). This question would be implied by the existence of the functor (2), upon composing the latter with the canonical functor

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}
$$

and with the direct image functor that takes the stack (1) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{X, Y \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n},[X, Y]=0\right\} / G L_{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we may think of Problem 1.1 above as a refined version of the main question of [8]. Even so, the computations that we will do in the present paper are of substantially different nature from the ones of loc. cit., as we will explain in Subsection 2.12.
1.2. Geometry. In the present paper, we explain how the functor in Problem 1.1 should behave at the level of objects, and why we believe that it is essentially surjective. To this end, let us note that the Grothendieck group of the RHS of (2) was described in [17]

$$
K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)=\bigoplus_{\substack{\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \\ n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n}}^{\frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}}} \mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right] \cdot\left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m_{1}, n_{1}}\right] \star \cdots \star\left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m_{k}, n_{k}}\right]
$$

where $\star$ denotes the $K$-theoretic Hall product ([19], see also Subsection [2.4), $q_{1}, q_{2}$ denote the elementary characters of $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$, and $\left\{\left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m, n}\right]\right\}_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}}$ are certain $K$-theory classes on the commuting stack that we will recall in Subsection 2.8. For general $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$, it is not immediately clear how to lift the $K$-theory class $\left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m, n}\right]$ to an object in the derived category. However, formula [17, equation (4.10)] shows how to lift

$$
\left(1+q_{1}\right)\left(1+q_{1}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \ldots\left(1+q_{1}+\cdots+q_{1}^{d-1}\right) \cdot\left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m, n}\right]
$$

to an object in the derived category of $\operatorname{Comm}_{n}$, for $d=\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)$. In particular, if $m$ and $n$ are coprime, there is an object (see (19))

$$
\mathcal{H}_{m, n} \in D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right)
$$

whose $K$-theory class is precisely $\left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m, n}\right]$ in (4). Motivated by parallels with the category $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)$ (which we will recall in Subsection 1.3), we propose the existence of objects $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d} \in D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n d}\right)\right)$ for all $d \geq 1$ and coprime $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$, as follows.
Conjecture 1.2. For any coprime $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $d \geq 1$, there is an action of $S_{d}$ on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{\mathcal{H}_{m, n} \star \cdots \star \mathcal{H}_{m, n}}_{d \text { times }} \in D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n d}\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\text {md,nd }}$ denote the $S_{d}$-antisymmetric part of the object (5), then

$$
\left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d}\right]=(\text { the generator from (4) }) \in K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n d}\right)
$$

and the category $D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right)$ is generated by the objects

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m_{1}, n_{1}} \star \cdots \star \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m_{k}, n_{k}}\right\}_{\substack{\frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}} \\\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right) \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}, n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n}}^{\substack{ \\\hline}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in the present paper, "generate" means that any object in the category is isomorphic to a chain complex built out of the objects (6) and their equivariant shifts).

While the present paper was being written, Tudor Pădurariu informed us about his ongoing work with Sabin Cautis and Yukinobu Toda ([4), which independently discusses a semiorthogonal decomposition of the category $D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right)$ (their construction is more refined than ours, as it contains information on morphisms, and not just objects).
1.3. Topology. Our own interest in Conjecture 1.2 was motivated by a parallel statement in topology, which is the main result of the present paper. Recall the extended affine braid group of type $A_{n-1}$

$$
\operatorname{ABr}_{n}=\left\langle\omega, \sigma_{i}\right\rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}} /\left(\omega \sigma_{i} \omega^{-1}=\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}\right)_{\forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}, j \neq i \pm 1}
$$

The extended affine Hecke algebra of type $A_{n-1}$ is

$$
\mathrm{AH}_{n}=\mathbb{C}(q)\left[\mathrm{ABr}_{n}\right] /\left(\left(\sigma_{i}-q\right)\left(\sigma_{i}+q^{-1}\right)=0\right)_{\forall i \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}}
$$

In Subsection 3.2, we will recall the monoidal category of affine Soergel bimodules ASBim ${ }_{n}$ and its homotopy category $\mathcal{K}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n}\right)$, which categorifies the algebra $\mathrm{AH}_{n}$. The main
topological object in the present paper is the horizontal trace $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n}\right)$ (see Subsection 3.3 for details), which categorifies $\mathrm{AH}_{n} /\left[\mathrm{AH}_{n}, \mathrm{AH}_{n}\right]$. Similarly, there is a natural algebra homomorphism $\mathrm{AH}_{n} \otimes \mathrm{AH}_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathrm{AH}_{n+n^{\prime}}$ for all $n, n^{\prime}$, whose categorification is a functor

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right) \otimes \mathcal{K}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{\star} \mathcal{K}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n+n^{\prime}}\right)
$$

It is widely expected that the functor above descends to the trace categories, and experts are very close to doing so (see for instance [11]), which would yield a functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n^{\prime}}\right) " \xrightarrow{\star} " \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n+n^{\prime}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present paper, we only need to invoke the functor (7) at the level of objects, in which case its definition is clear. In [8], we constructed for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ an object ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m, n} \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.3. For any coprime $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an action of $S_{d}$ on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{H_{m, n} \star \cdots \star H_{m, n}}_{d \text { times }} \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n d}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $S_{d}$-antisymmetric part of the object (9) will be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}_{m d, n d} \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we note that it lies in the idempotent completion of the category $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n d}\right)$. In addition to constructing the $S_{d}$ action in Theorem 3.13, we also describe the full (dg) endomorphism ring of the object (9), generalizing the results of [7, 9].

Theorem 1.4. For any coprime $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $d \geq 1$, the objects $H_{m d, n d}$ of (8) can be resolved by (meaning that they are homotopy equivalent to complexes built out of)

$$
\bar{E}_{m d_{1}, n d_{1}} \star \cdots \star \bar{E}_{m d_{k}, n d_{k}}
$$

with $d_{1}+\ldots+d_{k}=d$. More generally, any product of $H_{m d, n d}$ with the same "slope"

$$
H_{m d_{1}, n d_{1}} \star \cdots \star H_{m d_{k}, n d_{k}}
$$

can be resolved by $\bar{E}_{m d_{1}^{\prime}, n d_{1}^{\prime}} \star \cdots \star \bar{E}_{m d_{s}^{\prime}, n d_{s}^{\prime}}$.
We prove Theorem 1.4 as Corollary 3.20. The proof uses the new "cabling functor" defined in Section 3.5 and the computations at slope zero from 9. This allows us to describe the slope $\frac{m}{n}$ subcategories of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}$ generalizing the slope $\frac{m}{n}$ subalgebra in the elliptic Hall algebra (namely $\Lambda^{\frac{m}{n}}$ of (51)). Furthermore, in Section 3.6 we describe an explicit resolution of $H_{m d, n d}$ by the products of $\bar{E}_{m d_{i}, n d_{i}}$.

In [8], we proved that the objects

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{H_{m_{1}, n_{1}} \star \cdots \star H_{m_{k}, n_{k}} \underbrace{\substack{m_{1}} \cdots \leq \frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}}}_{\substack{\left.m_{1} \\ m_{i}, n_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}, n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

generate $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n}\right)$ as a triangulated category. We would like to state that $\star$ products of the elements (10) generate the idempotent completions of these categories, but this requires some more refined information about morphisms in $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n}\right)$ than we currently possess. We summarize our expectations in the following.

[^0]Conjecture 1.5. The category $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}$ is generated by the objects

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\bar{E}_{m_{1}, n_{1}} \star \cdots \star \bar{E}_{m_{k}, n_{k}} \int_{\substack{\left.\frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}} \\ m_{1}+n_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}, n_{1}+n_{k}=n}}\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense that any object in the category is homotopy equivalent to a chain complex built out of the objects (12) and their grading shifts.

Note that by Theorem 1.4 any object of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n}\right)$ can be indeed resolved by the objects (12). Comparing Conjectures 1.2 and 1.5, it is natural to conjecture that the sought-for functor in Problem 1.1 sends

$$
\bar{E}_{m_{1}, n_{1}} \star \cdots \star \bar{E}_{m_{k}, n_{k}} \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m_{1}, n_{1}} \star \cdots \star \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m_{k}, n_{k}}
$$

for any $\frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}}$. By the generation statements in Conjectures 1.2 and 1.5, this would completely define the functor (2) on the level of objects.
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## 2. Geometry: Derived categories of commuting stacks

2.1. The commuting stack. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider the (semi-nilpotent) commuting variety

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{Comm}}_{n}=\left\{X, Y \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}, Y \text { nilpotent, }[X, Y]=0\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is endowed with an action of $G L_{n}$ by simultaneous conjugation of $X$ and $Y$, and with an action of $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ by rescaling $X$ and $Y$ independently. Because these two actions commute, then the $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ action descends to the so-called commuting stack

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Comm}_{n}=\overline{\operatorname{Comm}}_{n} / G L_{n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main geometric object considered in the present paper will be the equivariant derived category

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. As in [8, Remark 2.1], we posit that the correct way to think of (13) is not as an algebraic variety, but as the derived subscheme of affine space cut out by the vanishing of the characteristic polynomial of $Y$ and the quadratic equation $[X, Y]=0$. Similarly, (14) should be interpreted as a derived stack, so one should work with category (15) in the context of derived algebraic geometry. We do not stress this aspect in the present paper, as it will not be consequential for us, but we believe that working in the appropriate setting is crucial to solving Problem 1.1.
2.2. The flag commuting stack. We consider the (semi-nilpotent) flag commuting variety $\overline{\mathrm{FComm}}_{n}$ parameterizing pairs of commuting $n \times n$ matrices of the form

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & * & \ldots & *  \tag{16}\\
0 & x_{2} & \ldots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right), \quad Y=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & * & \ldots & * \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

If $B_{n} \subset G L_{n}$ denotes the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices, then we may define the flag commuting stack

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{FComm}_{n}=\overline{\mathrm{FComm}}_{n} / B_{n} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which inherits the action of $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ by independently scaling the matrices $X$ and $Y$. In the present paper, we will also encounter the stack

$$
\mathrm{FComm}_{n}^{\bullet}
$$

constructed just as above, but with the additional condition that $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}$ in (16) ( note, however, that the derived structures on $\mathrm{FComm}_{n}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{FComm}_{n}$ are different, see [8, Proposition 2.4] for details). We have natural maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi: \mathrm{FComm}_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Comm}_{n} \\
& \pi^{\bullet}: \mathrm{FComm}_{n}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{Comm}_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

induced by the inclusion of pairs of upper triangular matrices into the set of pairs of all matrices. Moreover, we have line bundles

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{n}  \tag{18}\\
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{FComm}_{n}^{\bullet}}
\end{gather*}
$$

induced by the fact that the stack $\mathrm{FComm}_{n}^{\bullet}$ is a $B_{n}$ quotient (specifically, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ stems from the character $B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ that picks out the $i$-th diagonal entry). If we combine the geometric constructions above, we may define the object

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{m, n}=\pi_{*}^{*}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{i}^{\left\lfloor\frac{m i}{n}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rfloor}\right) \in D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$.
2.3. An eccentric commuting stack. We will now define a second kind of flag commuting stack, which is inspired (and closely related to) the eccentric correspondences of [16]. We first consider the variety $\overline{\mathrm{EComm}}_{n}$ parameterizing pairs of commuting $n \times n$ matrices of the following form

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
* & * & * & \ldots & * & * & *  \tag{20}\\
* & * & * & \ldots & * & * & * \\
0 & * & * & \ldots & * & * & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & * & *
\end{array}\right), \quad Y=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & * & * & \ldots & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & * & \ldots & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & * & * & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In other words, the matrices $X$ and $Y$ behave differently with respect to the standard flag

$$
\mathbb{C}^{0} \subset \mathbb{C}^{1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

in the sense that

$$
X\left(\mathbb{C}^{i}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{i+1}, \quad Y\left(\mathbb{C}^{i+1}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{i}
$$

The commutator $[X, Y]=0$ imposes $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-1$ equations on the coefficients of $X, Y$, one for every entry on or above the main diagonal (the -1 is due to the fact that the sum of the coefficients on the diagonal of $[X, Y]$ yields a superfluous relation due to $\operatorname{Tr}[X, Y]=0$ ). As the locus of matrices (20) is preserved by conjugation with the Borel subgroup $B_{n} \subset G L_{n}$ of upper triangular matrices, we may define the eccentric flag commuting stack

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{EComm}_{n}^{\bullet}={\overline{\mathrm{EComm}_{n}}}_{n}^{\bullet} / B_{n} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has an action of $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ by independently scaling the matrices $X$ and $Y$, the map

$$
\pi^{\bullet \bullet}: \mathrm{EComm}_{n}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{Comm}_{n}
$$

induced by the inclusion of pairs of matrices (20) into the set of pairs of all matrices, as well as line bundles defined by analogy with (18)


We may then construct the following object for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$, by analogy with (19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{m, n}^{\prime}=\pi_{*}^{\prime \bullet}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{i}^{\prime}\left\lceil\frac{m i}{n}\right\rceil-\left\lceil\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rceil\right) \in D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conjecture 2.2. For any coprime $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathcal{H}_{m, n} \cong \mathcal{H}_{m, n}^{\prime} \otimes q_{2}^{n-1}$.
Our main evidence for Conjecture 2.2 is the fact that $\left[\mathcal{H}_{m, n}\right]=\left[\mathcal{H}_{m, n}^{\prime}\right] q_{2}^{n-1}$ holds in $K$-theory, see (42). More importantly, the object $\mathcal{H}_{m, n}^{\prime}$ was independently studied by other mathematicians (such as Bezrukavnikov-Loseu and Xinchun Ma, to whom we are grateful for discussing their work with us) who also developed versions of Conjecture 2.2, see for example [12, Conjecture 5.3].
2.4. Convolution. For any $n, n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, let us now recall the convolution operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right) \otimes D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n^{\prime}}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\star} D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n+n^{\prime}}\right)\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the straightforward generalization of the construction of [19] from the level of $K$-theory to derived categories. To define (24), consider the parabolic commuting variety $\overline{\mathrm{Comm}}_{n, n^{\prime}}$ parameterizing pairs of commuting $\left(n+n^{\prime}\right) \times\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)$ matrices $X, Y$ of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
n \times n \text { matrix } & *  \tag{25}\\
\hline 0 & n^{\prime} \times n^{\prime} \text { matrix }
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the diagonal blocks of the $Y$ matrix being nilpotent. Define the stack

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Comm}_{n, n^{\prime}}=\overline{\operatorname{Comm}}_{n, n^{\prime}} / P_{n, n^{\prime}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n, n^{\prime}} \subset G L_{n+n^{\prime}}$ is the parabolic subgroup of matrices of shape (25). The maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p: \operatorname{Comm}_{n, n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Comm}_{n} \\
& p^{\prime}: \operatorname{Comm}_{n, n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Comm}_{n^{\prime}} \\
& p^{\prime \prime}: \operatorname{Comm}_{n, n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Comm}_{n+n^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

remember the top left part, bottom right part and the whole of the matrices (25), respectively. Then the operation (24) is given by

$$
\star=R p_{*}^{\prime \prime} \circ\left(L p^{*} \boxtimes L p^{\prime *}\right)
$$

It is straigthforward to check that this operation is associative in the natural sense.
2.5. An open locus. Having defined the objects $\mathcal{H}_{m, n}$ and the convolution $\star$, Conjecture 1.2 is well-posed, modulo the construction of the $S_{d}$ action on the object in the left-hand side of (5). The full construction will be given in [4], but for illustration we will provide a (rather easy) particular case of this construction when restricted to the open substack

$$
\mathrm{Comm}_{n} \subset \mathrm{Comm}_{n}
$$

corresponding to the matrix $X$ having distinct eigenvalues (recall that $Y$ is nilpotent, so all its eigenvalues are 0 ). It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Comm}_{n} \cong\left(\mathbb{C} \times \mathrm{pt} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} / S_{n} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

because if $X$ has distinct eigenvalues, then we can use the $G L_{n}$ action to make $X$ diagonal, which forces the nilpotent matrix $Y$ to be 0 . On diagonal matrices, there is a residual trivial action of the maximal torus $T=\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$, and we must take the quotient by the symmetric group in (27) because $S_{n}$ preserves diagonal matrices. Similarly, define

$$
\mathrm{FComm}_{n} \subset \mathrm{FComm}_{n}
$$

as the open substack corresponding to the matrix $X$ having distinct eigenvalues. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{FComm}_{n} \cong\left(\mathbb{C} \times \mathrm{pt} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the restriction of $\mathrm{FComm}_{n} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Comm}_{n}$ to the substack $\mathrm{FComm}_{n}$ is the quotient map

$$
\rho:\left(\mathbb{C} \times \mathrm{pt} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C} \times \mathrm{pt} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} / S_{n}
$$

By definition, we have

$$
\underbrace{\mathcal{H}_{0,1} \star \cdots \star \mathcal{H}_{0,1}}_{n \text { times }}=\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{FComm}_{n}}\right)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\underbrace{\mathcal{H}_{0,1} \star \cdots \star \mathcal{H}_{0,1}}_{n \text { times }}\right|_{\text {Comim }_{n}} \stackrel{(28]}{\cong} \rho_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C} \times \mathrm{pt} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explicitly, $\mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C} \times \mathrm{pt} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}}$ is the graded ring $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, and the map $\rho_{*}$ simply remembers it as a module over $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{\text {sym }}$. In particular, the antisymmetric part of this ring is

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{\text {antisym }}=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right) \cdot \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{\text {sym }}
$$

and thus (29) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{0, n}\right|_{\operatorname{Comm}_{n}} \stackrel{(288}{\cong} q_{1}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Comm}_{n}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $q_{1}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ being the $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ weight of the Vandermonde determinant $\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)$.
2.6. $K$-theory. Consider the Grothendieck groups of the categories (15), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
K=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)
$$

The natural $K$-theoretic version of the convolution (24) makes $K$ into an algebra over $\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$, where $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are the elementary characters of the torus $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$. There is an explicit tool for studying $K$ called the shuffle algebra. To define it, consider the map

$$
\iota_{n}: \overline{\mathrm{Comm}}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{2 n^{2}}
$$

where the right-hand side is the affine space of the coefficients of the matrices $X$ of $Y$ in (13). The map $\iota_{n}$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$-module homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\mathrm{Comm}_{n}\right)=K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \times G L_{n}} & \left(\overline{\operatorname{Comm}}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota_{n}} \\
& \xrightarrow{\iota_{n *}} K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \times G L_{n}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2 n^{2}}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]\left[z_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]^{\text {sym }}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the right-most equality due to the fact that $\mathbb{A}^{2 n^{2}}$ is equivariantly contractible (above, $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ denote the elementary characters of a maximal torus of $G L_{n}$, and "sym" denotes symmetric polynomials). Putting the above maps as $n$ runs from 0 to $\infty$ together gives us a $\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$-module homomorphism

$$
K \xrightarrow{\iota_{*}} \mathcal{V}=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]\left[z_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]^{\mathrm{sym}}
$$

It is well-known that $\iota_{*}$ is injective (see [21, Lemma 2.5.1]), that its image

$$
\mathcal{S}=\iota_{*}(K)
$$

is determined by the explicit conditions in [17, Definition 3.2], and that $\iota_{*}$ intertwines the convolution $\star$ on $K$ with the following shuffle product on $\mathcal{V}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) * R^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n^{\prime}}\right)=  \tag{31}\\
& \quad=\operatorname{Sym}\left[\frac{R\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) R^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}, \ldots, z_{n+n^{\prime}}\right)}{n!n^{\prime}!} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n<j \leq n+n^{\prime}} \zeta\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(x)=\frac{\left(1-x q_{1}\right)\left(1-x q_{2}\right)\left(1-x^{-1} q_{1} q_{2}\right)}{1-x} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.7. Shuffle algebra formulas 1. From the definition of the object $\mathcal{H}_{m, n}$ in (19), it is an exercise (proved along the lines of Proposition 2.3 below) to show that its $K$-theory class satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{*}\left[\mathcal{H}_{m, n}\right]=\mathcal{H}_{m, n} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{m, n}=\left(1-q_{1}\right)^{n-1}\left(1-q_{2}\right)^{n} \cdot \operatorname{Sym}\left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{\left\lfloor\frac{m i}{n}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rfloor}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{z_{i+1} q_{1} q_{2}}{z_{i}}\right)} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \zeta\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, we have the following.

Proposition 2.3. The $K$-theory class of the object $\mathcal{H}_{m, n}^{\prime}$ in (23) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{*}\left[\mathcal{H}_{m, n}^{\prime}\right]=\mathcal{H}_{m, n}^{\prime} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{m, n}^{\prime}=\left(1-q_{1} q_{2}\right)^{n-1}\left(1-q_{2}\right)^{n} \cdot \operatorname{Sym}\left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{\left\lceil\frac{m i}{n}\right\rceil-\left\lceil\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rceil}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{z_{i} q_{1}}{z_{i+1}}\right)} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \zeta\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right] \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. Let $\mathrm{EComm}_{n} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} \mathrm{Comm}_{n}$ be the map induced by the inclusion of pairs of matrices of the form (20) into the set of all pairs of matrices. We need to prove that the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\mathrm{EComm}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{3}^{\prime}} K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\mathrm{Comm}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota_{n *}} K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \times G L_{n}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2 n^{2}}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

sends the class of the line bundle $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{i}^{\prime}\left\lceil\frac{m i}{n}\right\rceil-\left\lceil\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rceil$ to the right-hand side of (36). However, in order to better understand this composition, we choose to rewrite $\mathrm{EComm}_{n}$ as a $G L_{n}$ quotient, as follows. Consider

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{EComm}}_{n} \stackrel{f}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{A}^{2 n^{2}} \times G L_{n} / B_{n} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{A}^{2 n^{2}}
$$

where we think of $\mathbb{A}^{2 n^{2}} \times G L_{n} / B_{n}$ as parameterizing pairs of $n \times n$ matrices $X, Y$ together with a full flag

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=V_{0} \subset V_{1} \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-1} \subset V_{n}=\mathbb{C}^{n} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

(and the map $g$ forgets the flag), while $f$ denotes the derived simultaneous zero locus of the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X, Y]=0 \quad \text { and } \quad X\left(V_{i}\right) \subseteq V_{i+1} \quad \text { and } \quad Y\left(V_{i+1}\right) \subseteq V_{i} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{EComm}_{n}=\widetilde{\mathrm{EComm}_{n}} / G L_{n} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\mathrm{EComm}_{n}\right)=K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \times G L_{n}}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{EComm}}_{n}\right)$. We may therefore rewrite the composition (37) as the composition
(41) $\quad K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \times G L_{n}}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{EComm}_{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{*}} K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \times G L_{n}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2 n^{2}} \times G L_{n} / B_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{g_{*}} K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \times G L_{n}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2 n^{2}}\right)$

Because the map $f_{*}$ is the direct image of a derived zero locus, it is given by multiplication with the Koszul complex of the coordinates of the equations (39). Thus, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
f_{*}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{i}^{\prime}\left\lceil\frac{m i}{n}\right\rceil-\left\lceil\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rceil\right)= & \prod_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}^{\left\lceil\frac{m i}{n}\right\rceil-\left\lceil\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rceil} \times & \\
& \left(1-q_{1} q_{2}\right)^{n-1} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-\frac{L_{j} q_{1} q_{2}}{L_{i}}\right) \times & & (\text { from }[X, Y]=0) \\
& \prod_{1 \leq i<j-1 \leq n-1}\left(1-\frac{L_{i} q_{1}}{L_{j}}\right) \times & & \left(\text { from } X\left(V_{i}\right) \subseteq V_{i+1}\right) \\
& \left(1-q_{2}\right)^{n} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-\frac{L_{i} q_{2}}{L_{j}}\right) & & \left(\text { from } Y\left(V_{i+1}\right) \subseteq V_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}$ denote the $K$-theory classes of the tautological line bundles on $G L_{n} / B_{n}$ that parameterize the one-dimensional quotients $V_{1} / V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n} / V_{n-1}$. Together with the well-known formula

$$
g_{*}\left(R\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Sym}\left[\frac{R\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)}\right]
$$

for integration over the flag variety $G L_{n} / B_{n}$ (which holds for any Laurent polynomial $R$ ), we note that $g_{*} \circ f_{*}\left(\otimes_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{i}^{\prime}\left\lceil\frac{m i}{n}\right\rceil-\left\lceil\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rceil\right)$ precisely equals the right-hand side of (36).

As shown in [17, when $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{m, n}=\mathcal{H}_{m, n}^{\prime} q_{2}^{n-1} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which provides evidence for Conjecture 2.2.
2.8. Shuffle algebra formulas $\mathbf{2}$. We continue to assume that $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. We posit that the $K$-theory class of the object $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d}$ sought for in Conjecture 1.2 is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{*}\left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d}\right]=\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for all $d \geq 1$ we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d}=\left(1-q_{1}\right)^{n d}\left(1-q_{2}\right)^{n d} .  \tag{44}\\
& \operatorname{Sym}\left[\frac{\left.\prod_{i=1}^{n d} z_{i}^{\left\lfloor\frac{m i}{n}\right.}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rfloor}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-q_{1}^{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(q_{1}^{i}-\frac{z_{n i+1} q_{1} q_{2}}{z_{n i}}\right)} \prod_{\left.1-\frac{z_{i+1} q_{1} q_{2}}{z_{i}}\right)} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n d} \zeta\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Although the right-hand side of the above formula is not manifestly the $K$-theory class of an object in the derived category of $\mathrm{Comm}_{n}$, the main result of [17] proves that this is indeed the case. Another reason we believe that (43) holds is the following fact (proved in [17, Lemma 1.6]

$$
\mathcal{S}_{n}=\bigoplus_{\substack{\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \\ n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n}}^{\frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}}} \mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right] \cdot \overline{\mathcal{\varepsilon}}_{m_{1}, n_{1}} * \cdots * \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m_{k}, n_{k}}
$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{n}=\iota_{*}\left(K_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right)$.
Remark 2.4. By analogy with (33), note that the expression

$$
\left(1+q_{1}\right)\left(1+q_{1}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \ldots\left(1+q_{1}+\cdots+q_{1}^{d-1}\right) \bar{\varepsilon}_{m d, n d}
$$

(for all coprime $m, n$ and all $d \geq 1$ ) equals a linear combination of $K$-theory classes of line bundles pushed forward along the map $\pi^{\bullet}: \mathrm{FComm}_{n}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{Comm}_{n}$. Similarly, [17] shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d}=\left(1-q_{1}^{-1} q_{2}^{-1}\right)^{n d}\left(1-q_{2}\right)^{n d .}  \tag{46}\\
& \\
& \quad \operatorname{Sym}\left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n d} z_{i}^{\left\lfloor\frac{m i}{n}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rfloor} \prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(q_{1}^{-i} q_{2}^{-i}-\frac{z_{n i+1}}{z_{n i} q_{1}}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-q_{1}^{-i} q_{2}^{-i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n d-1}\left(1-\frac{z_{i+1}}{z_{i} q_{1}}\right)} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n d} \zeta\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

which (by analogy with formula (35)) implies that

$$
\left(1+q_{1} q_{2}\right)\left(1+q_{1} q_{2}+q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}\right) \ldots\left(1+q_{1} q_{2}+\cdots+q_{1}^{d-1} q_{2}^{d-1}\right) \bar{\varepsilon}_{m d, n d}
$$

equals a linear combination of $K$-theory classes of line bundles pushed forward along the map $\pi^{\prime \bullet}: \mathrm{EComm}_{n}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{Comm}_{n}$.
2.9. A substack. Moreover, we have the following identity in $\mathcal{V}$ (see [17, Remark 4.9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{0, n}=q_{1}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\left(1-\frac{z_{i} q_{2}}{z_{j}}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression in the right-hand side of (47) has long been recognized to play an important role in the study of the algebra $\mathcal{S}$ (see for instance [6]). Moreover, the product of factors in the right-hand side of (47) admits a geometric interpretation, via the closed substack

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n} / G L_{n} \stackrel{\nu}{\hookrightarrow} \operatorname{Comm}_{n}, \quad X \mapsto(X, 0) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.5. The structure sheaf of the substack (48) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{n *}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n} / G L_{n}}\right]=\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\left(1-\frac{z_{i} q_{2}}{z_{j}}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The left-hand side of (49) is equal to $\iota_{n *}\left(\nu_{*}(1)\right)$. However, the map $\iota_{n} \circ \nu$ is simply equal to the closed embedding of the locus of matrices $(X, 0)$ in the locus of matrices $(X, Y)$. This embedding is cut out by explicit equations (the coefficients of $Y$ ) whose Koszul complex (as $G L_{n}$ characters) is precisely the right-hand side of (49). This establishes Proposition 2.5,

With Proposition 2.5 in mind, formula (47) suggests that the correct extension of formula (30) to the entire stack $\mathrm{Comm}_{n}$ should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{0, n}=q_{1}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n} / G L_{n}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We hope that (50) holds for the objects $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{0, n}$ constructed in [4].
2.10. Slope subalgebras. Summing (45) over all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ gives a PBW-type decomposition

$$
\mathcal{S}=\bigoplus_{\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}}^{\frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}}} \mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right] \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{m_{1}, n_{1}} * \cdots * \bar{\varepsilon}_{m_{k}, n_{k}}
$$

Since the product is taken in non-decreasing order of $\frac{m_{i}}{n_{i}}$, then $\mathcal{S}$ is the ordered product of the so-called slope subalgebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{\frac{m}{n}}=\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]\left[\bar{\varepsilon}_{m, n}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{2 m, 2 n}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{3 m, 3 n}, \ldots\right] \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all coprime $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$. It is well-known that $\bar{\varepsilon}_{m, n}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{2 m, 2 n}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{3 m, 3 n}, \ldots$ all commute (see [15]), and so we have an isomorphism

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi^{\frac{m}{n}}: \Lambda \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\rightarrow} \Lambda^{\frac{m}{n}} \\
& \phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(\bar{e}_{d}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ denotes the ring of elementary symmetric polynomials in countably many variables (with two parameters $q_{1}, q_{2}$, which are none other that $q, t^{-1}$ in Macdonald's notation) and $\bar{e}_{d}$ denotes the $d$-th elementary symmetric function. We will also have the power sum functions $\bar{p}_{d}$, determined by the generating series identity

$$
1+\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{e}_{d}}{(-x)^{d}}=\exp \left(-\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{p}_{d}}{d x^{d}}\right)
$$

As shown in [17], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(\bar{p}_{d}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{m d, n d} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{m d, n d}$ is given by the formula

$$
\frac{\left(1-q_{1}\right)^{n d}\left(1-q_{2}\right)^{n d}}{1-q_{1}^{d}} \cdot \operatorname{Sym}\left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n d} z_{i}^{\left\lfloor\frac{m i}{n}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \frac{z_{n(d-1)+1} \cdots z_{n(d-i)+1}}{z_{n(d-1)} \cdots z_{n(d-i)}}\left(q_{1} q_{2}\right)^{i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n d-1}\left(1-\frac{z_{i+1} q_{1} q_{2}}{z_{i}}\right)} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n d} \zeta\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right]
$$

The ring $\Lambda$ is rich in automorphisms, as one can rescale the generators $\bar{p}_{d}$ independently and arbitrarily. Such automorphisms of $\Lambda$ are called plethysms, and the most important one for our purposes is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{d}=\bar{p}_{d}\left(1-q_{1}^{d}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider the elements $h_{d} \in \Lambda$ defined by the formula

$$
1+\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_{d}}{x^{d}}=\exp \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{d}}{d x^{d}}\right)
$$

then it was shown in [17] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(h_{d}\right)=\left(1-q_{1}\right) \mathcal{H}_{m d, n d} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all coprime $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ and all $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, if we think of $\bar{e}_{d}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d}$ for fixed coprime $m, n$ ) as elementary symmetric functions, then $h_{d}$ (resp. $\left(1-q_{1}\right) \mathcal{H}_{m d, n d}$ for fixed coprime $m, n$ ) are plethystically modified complete symmetric functions.
2.11. Ribbon Schur functions. For any pair of Young diagrams $\mu \subset \lambda$, one may define a skew Schur function $s_{\lambda \backslash \mu} \in \Lambda$. In the present paper, we will be concerned with the particular case when $\lambda \backslash \mu$ is a "ribbon", i.e. a connected collection of $1 \times 1$ lattice squares which does not contain any $2 \times 2$ lattice squares. Up to translation, such a ribbon is completely determined by a sequence

$$
\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{d-1}\right) \in\{ \pm\}^{d-1}
$$

and it is defined as the collection of $d$ lattice squares where the $(i+1)$-th square is directly below (respectively to the right of) the $i$-th square if $\varepsilon_{i}=-$ (respectively $\varepsilon_{i}=+$ ). We will write $s_{\varepsilon}$ for the corresponding skew Schur function, and note that the $s_{\varepsilon}$ are completely determined by the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\varepsilon} s_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}=s_{\varepsilon,+, \varepsilon^{\prime}}+s_{\varepsilon,-, \varepsilon^{\prime}}, \quad \forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $\varepsilon, x, \varepsilon^{\prime}$ means the concatenation of $\varepsilon,\{x\}$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{d}=s_{(+, \ldots,+)_{d-1} \text { pluses }}, \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we observe the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{e}_{d}=\sum_{\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{d-1}\right) \in\{ \pm\}^{d-1}} \frac{q_{1}^{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d-1}^{\varepsilon_{i}=+} i} \cdot s_{\varepsilon}}{\left(1-q_{1}\right)\left(1-q_{1}^{2}\right) \ldots\left(1-q_{1}^{d}\right)} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was shown in [17] that

$$
\phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(s_{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{m}{n}}
$$

where for any coprime $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{d-1}\right) \in\{ \pm\}^{d-1}$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{m}{n}}=\left(1-q_{1}\right)^{n d}\left(1-q_{2}\right)^{n d .}  \tag{59}\\
& \qquad \operatorname{Sym}\left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n d} z_{i}^{\left\lfloor\frac{m i}{n}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rfloor} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d-1}^{\varepsilon_{i}=-}\left(-\frac{z_{n i+1} q_{1} q_{2}}{z_{n i}}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n d-1}\left(1-\frac{z_{i+1} q_{1} q_{2}}{z_{i}}\right)} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n d} \zeta\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The formula above clearly categorifies, in the sense that it is equal (up to multiplication by $\left.\pm\left(1-q_{1}\right)\right)$ to the $K$-theory class of the push-forward of an obvious line bundle under the map $\pi^{\bullet}: \mathrm{FComm}_{n d}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{Comm}_{n d}$ (compare with formulas (33), (34)).
2.12. Old vs new generators. In [8], we worked with the following elements of $K \stackrel{\iota_{*}}{\cong} \mathcal{S}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\boldsymbol{d}}=\left(1-q_{1}\right)^{n-1}\left(1-q_{2}\right)^{n} \cdot \operatorname{Sym}\left[\frac{z_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots z_{n}^{d_{n}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{z_{i+1} q_{1} q_{2}}{z_{i}}\right)} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \zeta\left(\frac{z_{i}}{z_{j}}\right)\right] \in \mathcal{S} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined for all $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. For instance, the elements $\mathcal{H}_{m, n}$ of (34) are of the form above for suitably chosen $\boldsymbol{d}$. Meanwhile, the elements $\overline{\mathcal{\varepsilon}}_{m d, n d}$ of (44) are linear combinations of objects $R_{d}$ divided by certain elements of $\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$. We have

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right] \text {-span of }\left\{R_{\boldsymbol{d}}\right\}_{\boldsymbol{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \subsetneq \mathcal{S}
$$

but

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \text {-span of }\left\{R_{d}\right\}_{d \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}=\mathcal{S} \bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]} \mathbb{Q}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)
$$

In other words, the elements (60) generate a proper $\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}^{ \pm 1}, q_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$-submodule of $\mathcal{S}=\iota_{*}(K)$. By analogy with (19), the natural categorification of the element $R_{d}$ is the object

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*}^{\bullet}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \mathcal{L}_{n}^{d_{n}}\right) \in D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above objects were our main interest in [8], and we indicated corresponding objects in the trace of the affine Hecke category under the functor of Problem 1.1. However, the objects (61) have no chance of generating the category $D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right)$, since they do not even generate its $K$-theory. This is our main reason for proposing that one study the elements $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d}$ of (44) instead (especially since 4 will construct categorifications $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{m d, n d}$ of these elements and show that they generate $\left.D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{n}\right)\right)\right)$.

## 3. Topology: traces of affine Hecke categories

3.1. Notations for affine braids. We will follow the notation of [5, 8] for affine braids. Recall that the (extended) affine braid group $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$ is defined by generators $\sigma_{0}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, \omega$ and relations

$$
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}(i \neq j \pm 1), \omega \sigma_{i} \omega^{-1}=\sigma_{i+1},
$$

where the indices are understood modulo $n$. The (extended) affine symmetric group $\widetilde{S_{n}}$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$ by the additional relations $\sigma_{i}^{2}=1$. The elements $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ generate a subgroup of $\widetilde{S_{n}}$ isomorphic to the symmetric group $S_{n}$ (resp. a subgroup of $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$ isomorphic to the braid group $\mathrm{Br}_{n}$ ). We will need the braids

$$
y_{i}:=\sigma_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{1}^{-1} \omega \sigma_{n-1} \cdots \sigma_{i}, \quad \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

which are known to pairwise commute.
There is a grading on both $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$ or $\widetilde{S_{n}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(\omega)=1, \operatorname{deg}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=0 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{deg}\left(y_{i}\right)=1$. Any element of $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$ or $\widetilde{S_{n}}$ can be uniquely written as $\omega^{k} \alpha$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\alpha)=0$.

The elements $\alpha$ of $\widetilde{S_{n}}$ of degree zero form a Coxeter group (of type $\widehat{A_{n-1}}$ ) generated by $\sigma_{0}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$, and as such have the notions of length $\ell(\alpha)$ and Bruhat order. These extend to the full $\widetilde{S_{n}}$ by writing

$$
\ell\left(\omega^{k} \alpha\right)=\ell(\alpha)
$$

and

$$
\omega^{k} \alpha \preceq \omega^{m} \beta \Leftrightarrow(k=m \text { and } \alpha \preceq \beta) .
$$

Given an affine permutation $\alpha \in \widetilde{S_{n}}$, we can consider its positive braid lift to $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$ by considering an arbitrary minimal length representative of $\alpha$ and replacing the generators by their namesakes in $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$. Note that $y_{i}$ are not positive braid lifts of any permutations, but certain monomials in $y_{i}$ are (see [8, Lemma 3.2]).

We will also use the product

$$
\star: \mathrm{ABr}_{n} \times \mathrm{ABr}_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathrm{ABr}_{n+n^{\prime}}
$$

which sends the generators $\sigma_{i}, y_{i}$ of $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$ to the namesake generators of $\mathrm{ABr}_{n+n^{\prime}}$ and the generators $\sigma_{j}, y_{j}$ of $\mathrm{ABr}_{n^{\prime}}$ to $\sigma_{j+n}, y_{j+n}$ respectively. Topologically, we wrap an affine braid in $\mathrm{ABr}_{n^{\prime}}$ around an affine braid in $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$, see [8] for more details and pictures. Note that the corresponding product of finite braids

$$
\star: \mathrm{Br}_{n} \times \mathrm{Br}_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{n+n^{\prime}}
$$

is simply the disjoint union (or "horizontal stacking") of braids since there is no wrapping involved.
3.2. The affine Hecke category. We now define the category ASBim $_{n}$ of (extended) affine Soergel bimodules, abbreviated as the affine Hecke category, following [5, 13].

Let $R=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $\widetilde{R}=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \delta\right]$, and note that the symmetric group $S_{n}$ acts on both $R$ and $\widetilde{R}$ by permuting $x_{i}$ and fixing $\delta$. The rings $R$ and $\widetilde{R}$ are graded such that the variables $x_{i}$ and $\delta$ have grading 2. Apart from $S_{n}$, we have an additional endomorphism of $\widetilde{R}$ given by:

$$
\omega(\delta)=\delta, \omega\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{1}-\delta, \omega\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1
$$

It is easy to see that $\omega$ and $S_{n}$ define an action of $\widetilde{S_{n}}$ on $\widetilde{R}$. We will consider $R-R$ (respectively $\widetilde{R}-\widetilde{R}$ ) bimodules, the simplest being $\mathbb{1}=R$ (respectively $\widetilde{R}$ ) with the usual left and right multiplication. We will also encounter the Bott-Samelson bimodules:

$$
\overline{B_{i}}=R \otimes_{R^{s_{i}}} R, \quad B_{i}=\widetilde{R} \otimes_{\widetilde{R}^{s_{i}}} \widetilde{R}
$$

There is an additional $(\widetilde{R}, \widetilde{R})$-bimodule $\Omega$ which is isomorphic to $\widetilde{R}$, where the left action of $\widetilde{R}$ is standard and the right action is twisted by $\omega$. One can check that $\Omega B_{i} \Omega^{-1} \simeq B_{i+1}$, where for any $\widetilde{R}-\widetilde{R}$ bimodules $M, N$, we consider the $\widetilde{R}-\widetilde{R}$ bimodule

$$
M N=M \otimes_{R} N
$$

The category of finite Soergel bimodules $\operatorname{SBim}_{n}$ is defined as the smallest full subcategory of $R-R$ bimodules containing $R$ and $\overline{B_{i}}$ and closed under tensor products, direct sums and direct summands. Similarly, the category of (extended) affine Soergel bimodules
$\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}$ is defined as a smallest full subcategory of $\widetilde{R}-\widetilde{R}$ bimodules containing $\widetilde{R}, B_{i}$ and $\Omega$ and closed under tensor products, direct sums and direct summands.

Note that the subcategory of $\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}$ generated by $\widetilde{R}$ and $B_{i}$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{SBim}_{n}$ with scalars extended from $R$ to $\widetilde{R}$. As in [18], one can define Rouquier complexes:

$$
T_{i}=q^{-1}\left[B_{i} \xrightarrow{b_{i}} \mathbb{1}\right], \quad T_{i}^{-1}=q^{-1}\left[q^{2} \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{b_{i}^{*}} B_{i}\right]
$$

which satisfy the braid relations up to homotopy ( $q$ records the grading shift). Here the maps between $B_{i}$ and $\mathbb{1}$ are given by

$$
b_{i}(1)=1, \quad b_{i}^{*}(1)=x_{i} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{i+1}
$$

It is easy to see that $\Omega T_{i} \Omega^{-1}=T_{i+1}$, so the assignment $\sigma_{i} \mapsto T_{i}, \omega \mapsto \Omega$ induces a homomorphism from $\mathrm{ABr}_{n}$ to the homotopy category $\mathcal{K}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n}\right)$.

Given an affine permutation $v \in \widetilde{S_{n}}$, we can consider its positive braid lift and the corresponding Rouquier complex $T_{v}$. Clearly, $T_{\omega^{k} \alpha}=\Omega^{k} T_{\alpha}$. Also, we can define Rouquier complexes

$$
Y_{i}=T_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{1}^{-1} \Omega T_{n-1} \cdots T_{i}
$$

corresponding to the braids $y_{i}$. Computing Hom's between the products of $Y_{i}$ and more general Rouquier complexes remains a major open problem, see [14] for sample computations. Here we will need two partial results.

First, Elias proved in [5] that whenever $\operatorname{deg}(\alpha)=\operatorname{deg}(\beta)=0$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ASBim }_{n}}\left(\Omega^{k} T_{\alpha}, \Omega^{k^{\prime}} T_{\beta}\right)= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ASBim }_{n}}\left(T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\right) & \text { if } k=k^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ASBim }_{n}}(X, Y)$ vanishes unless $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$. Furthermore, the work of Libedinsky-Williamson [10] implies the following result.
Theorem 3.1. Let $u, v$ be two permutations in $\widetilde{S_{n}}$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T_{u}, T_{v}\right)=0$ unless $u \preceq v$ in the Bruhat order.

We expect the existence of dg bifunctors:

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right) \boxtimes \mathcal{K}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{\star} \mathcal{K}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n+n^{\prime}}\right)
$$

whose action on objects matches the product $\mathrm{ABr}_{n} \times \mathrm{ABr}_{n^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\star} \mathrm{ABr}_{n+n^{\prime}}$ above. If $T_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{K}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)$ and $T_{\beta} \in \mathcal{K}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n^{\prime}}\right)$ are Rouquier complexes for affine braids, then the object $T_{\alpha} \star T_{\beta}=T_{\alpha \star \beta}$ is well defined in $\mathcal{K}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n+n^{\prime}}\right)$ (this follows from the braid relations). However, defining $\star$ on morphisms remains an open problem. See also [11] for related higher categorical constructions.
3.3. The trace categories. We will use the formalism of categorical traces, developed in [1, 2] and further extended to dg categories in [7]. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal ( dg ) category. We define another dg category $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$, called the derived horizontal trace of $\mathcal{C}$. as following:

- The objects of $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$ are in bijection with the objects of $\mathcal{C}$. For $X \in \mathcal{C}$ there is an object $\operatorname{Tr}(X) \in \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$.
- The morphism set $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{C})}(\operatorname{Tr}(X), \operatorname{Tr}(Y))$ is defined as the following complex. For each $k \geq 1$, one considers all possible collections of objects $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}$ in $C$ and all possible sequences of morphisms

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X Z_{k}, Z_{1} Y\right) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(Z_{k-1}, Z_{k}\right)
$$

The differential, defined in [7], is a variant of the bar complex differential together with the internal differentials on these Hom complexes.

- In particular, one can consider
$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{C})}^{0}(\operatorname{Tr}(X), \operatorname{Tr}(Y))=H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{C})}(\operatorname{Tr}(X), \operatorname{Tr}(Y))\right)=\bigoplus_{Z} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X Z, Z Y) / \sim$
which corresponds to the "underived" horizontal trace in [1, 2].
- The composition of morphisms is defined as a variant of the shuffle product on the bar complex, see 7 for details.
- There is a canonical trace functor $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$ which sends $X$ to $\operatorname{Tr}(X)$.

We will be interested in the trace categories for $\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{SBim}_{n}$, ASBim $_{n}$, and implicitly identify these with $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{n}\right)\right), \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)\right)$ after pre-triangulated completion [7].

For $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{SBim}_{n}\right)$, we recall some of the main results of [7, 9]. First, we describe the endomorphism algebra of the trace of the identity object (also known as the vertical trace) in the category of Soergel bimodules.
Theorem 3.2 ([7]). We have $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{n}\right)}^{0}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)\right) \simeq R \rtimes \mathbb{C}\left[S_{n}\right]$.
As a corollary, there is an action of $S_{n}$ on $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)$ by endomorphisms. For each partition $\lambda \vdash n$, we denote by $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}\left[S_{n}\right]$ the projector to the irreducible representation labeled by $\lambda$.

Definition 3.3. We define the object $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)^{\lambda}:=\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}$ as the direct summand of $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})$ corresponding to $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}$. We will denote $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)^{(n)}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)^{\text {sym }}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}_{n}:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)^{\left(1^{n}\right)}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)^{\text {antisym }} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

We would like to emphasize that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)^{\lambda}$ are not objects in the trace category $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{n}\right)$, but rather in its idempotent completion $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{SBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}$.
Theorem $3.4([9])$. a) The objects $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)^{\lambda}$ generate the idempotent completion $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}$. In other words, any object of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{n}\right)^{\text {Kar }}$ can be resolved by a complex built from $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)^{\lambda}$.
b) The (graded) Grothendieck group of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{SBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}$ is isomorphic to the space of degree $n$ symmetric functions with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}\left[q^{ \pm 1}\right]$. The isomorphism identifies the object $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)^{\lambda}$ with the Schur function $s_{\lambda}$.

In Section 3.6 we present some explicit computations of such resolutions. Theorem 3.4 can be compared with the constructions in Sections 2.5 and 2.9 as follows. The object $\mathcal{H}_{0,1} \in D^{b}\left(\operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Comm}_{1}\right)\right)$ corresponds to $H_{0,1}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{1}\right) \subset \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{1}\right)$. Similarly, $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0,1}\right)^{\star n}$ corresponds to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{0,1}\right)^{\star n}=\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{1}\right)\right)^{\star n}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right) . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

We expect that the actions of $S_{n}$ on $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0,1}\right)^{\star n}$ and on $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right)$ agree, and the antisymmetric components $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{0, n}$ and $\bar{E}_{n}$ match as well.
Corollary 3.5. The objects $\bar{E}_{n_{1}} \star \cdots \star \bar{E}_{n_{k}}$ where $n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}=n$ generate the idempotent completion $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{SBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}$.
Proof. We have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n_{1}}\right) \star \cdots \star \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n_{k}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n}\right),
$$

and the isomorphism is compatible with the action of $S_{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times S_{n_{k}} \subset S_{n}$. Therefore $\bar{E}_{n_{1}} \star \cdots \star \bar{E}_{n_{k}}$ corresponds to the symmetric function $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{k}}$, and any Schur function of degree $n$ can be expressed in terms of these. See [9] for more details.

Note that in the notation of [9], the product $\bar{E}_{n_{1}} \star \cdots \star \bar{E}_{n_{k}}$ corresponds to $k$ concentric circles colored by $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$.

Example 3.6. Let $w_{0}$ denote the longest element in $S_{n}$, and let $B_{w_{0}}$ be the corresponding indecomposable Soergel bimodule. Then by [7, Example 8.10] one has

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(B_{w_{0}}\right)=[n!]_{q} \bar{E}_{n}=(1+q) \cdots\left(1+q+\ldots+q^{n-1}\right) \bar{E}_{n} .
$$

In particular, $[n!]_{q} \bar{E}_{n}$ belongs to the trace category before Karoubi completion, in agreement with Remark 2.4.

We also recall some basic properties of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)$ from [8]. First, it is clear that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)}(\operatorname{Tr}(X), \operatorname{Tr}(Y))=0$ unless $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$. Indeed, for (63) to be nonzero, one must have $\operatorname{deg}\left(Z_{1}\right)=\ldots=\operatorname{deg}\left(Z_{k}\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(X Z_{k}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(Z_{1} Y\right)$, which forces $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$. Furthermore, we have the following.

Lemma 3.7. [8, Lemma 3.19] For any affine braid $v \in \mathrm{ABr}_{n}$ we have a natural action of the quotient:

$$
R_{v}:=\widetilde{R} /\left(\delta, x_{1}-v\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, x_{n}-v\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

on the trace $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{v}\right)$. Here, as above, $v$ acts on $\widetilde{R}$ via its projection to $\widetilde{S_{n}}$. In particular, the action of $\delta$ on $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{v}\right)$ is trivial for all $v$.
3.4. Schur objects with arbitrary slope. In this section we would like to generalize the above results to an arbitrary slope $\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, as in (51). Following [8], we define some natural objects in the trace category. Fix $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$.
Definition 3.8. For any $d \geq 1$ and coprime $(m, n)$ as above we define

$$
H_{m d, n d}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n d} Y_{i}^{\left\lfloor\frac{m i}{n}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rfloor} \cdot T_{1} \cdots T_{n d-1}\right) \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right) .
$$

Note that for $m=0$ and $n=1$ we get

$$
H_{0, d}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{1} \cdots T_{d-1}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.9. [8, Theorems 4.7-4.8] The trace category $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)$ is generated by the products

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m_{1} d_{1}, n_{1} d_{1}} \star H_{m_{2} d_{2}, n_{2} d_{2}} \cdots \star H_{m_{k} d_{k}, n_{k} d_{k}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{1} d_{1}+\ldots+n_{k} d_{k}=n$ and $\frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}} \leq \frac{m_{2}}{n_{2}} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}}$.
In what follows we will work with the affine Hecke categories $\mathrm{ASBim}_{n}$ for different $n$, so we will denote the objects $\Omega, \mathbb{1}$ by $\Omega_{n}, \mathbb{1}_{n} \in \operatorname{ASBim}_{n}$, to avoid confusion.

Lemma 3.10. Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$. Then we have the following isomorphisms in $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ASBim}_{n d}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right) \simeq H_{m, n} \star \cdots \star H_{m, n}=\left(H_{m, n}\right)^{\star d} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{1} \cdots T_{d-1}\right) \simeq H_{m d, n d} . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Both equations follow from [8, Theorem 4.8] which states that if $T_{v}$ is the Rouquier complex for a positive braid lift of a minimal length representative in the conjugacy class of $v \in \widetilde{S_{n d}}$ then $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{v}\right)$ can be uniquely written as a product (66). Moreover, $n_{i}$ correspond to the lengths of cycles in the projection of $v$ to $S_{n d}$, and $m_{i}$ correspond to the degrees of these cycles, see [8, Lemma 3.6].

Clearly, $\Omega_{n d}^{m d}$ and $\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{1} \cdots T_{d-1}$ are Rouquier complexes for positive braid lifts of permutations. In the first case, the permutation $v_{1}=\omega_{n d}^{m d}$ has $d$ cycles of length $n$ and degree
$m$, and in the second case $v_{2}=\omega_{n d}^{m d} \sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{d-1}$ has one cycle of degree $m d$. Finally, it is easy to see that $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are minimal length representatives in their respective conjugacy classes.

For example, for $m=0$ and $n=1$ we get $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{d}^{0}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right) \simeq\left(H_{0,1}\right)^{\star d}$ in agreement with (65) and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{1} \cdots T_{d-1}\right) \simeq H_{0, d}$.

Remark 3.11. Topologically, the closures of affine braids correspond to link diagrams drawn on the torus, see [8] for more context. In particular, $\Omega_{n d}^{m d}$ corresponds to $d$ parallel copies of the $(m, n)$ torus knot while $\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{1} \cdots T_{d-1}$ corresponds to a connected knot diagram where these $d$ copies are joined together with the minimal possible number $(d-1)$ of crossings.

Lemma 3.12. If $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$ then the centralizer of $\omega_{n d}^{m d}$ in $\widetilde{S_{n d}}$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{S_{d}}$. For $d=1$ it is generated by $\omega_{n d}$, and for $d>1$ it is generated by $\omega_{n d}$ and $\widehat{\sigma_{0}}, \widehat{\sigma_{1}}, \cdots, \widehat{\sigma_{d-1}}$ where

$$
\widehat{\sigma_{i}}=\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+d} \cdots \sigma_{i+n d-d}
$$

Proof. Recall [3] that we can identify $\widetilde{S_{n d}}$ with the set of bijections $v: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $v(i+n d)=v(i)+n d$. Under this identification, $\omega_{n d}$ corresponds to the shift $\omega_{n d}(i)=i+1$, so that $\omega_{n d}^{m d}(i)=i+m d$.

We have $v \omega_{n d}^{m d}=\omega_{n d}^{m d} v$ if $v(i+m d)=v(i)+m d$ for all $i$. Since $v(i+n d)=v(i)+n d$, we conclude that $v(i+d)=i+d$ for all $i$, so $v$ corresponds to an element of $\widetilde{S_{d}}$. The generators $\widehat{\sigma_{i}}$ of $\widetilde{S_{d}}$ swap $i+t d$ with $(i+1)+t d$ for all $t$, and indeed can be written in terms of generators of $\widetilde{S_{n d}}$ as described in the statement of Lemma 3.12.

The following result generalizes Theorem 3.2 to the affine case and arbitrary slope $\frac{m}{n}$.
Theorem 3.13. Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$. Then

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{HH}_{*}\left(R_{d}\right) \rtimes \widetilde{S_{d}}
$$

where $R_{d}=R /\left(x_{i}-x_{i+m d}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$. In particular,

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)}^{0}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)\right) \simeq R_{d} \rtimes \widetilde{S_{d}} .
$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [7, Theorem 7.6], and refer to [7] for more details. By (63), the chain complex computing $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)\right)$ is generated by sequences of morphisms

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ASBim }_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} Z_{k}, Z_{1} \Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ASBim }_{n d}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(Z_{k-1}, Z_{k}\right)
$$

By [7. Theorem 5.11] we can use the semiorthogonal decomposition from Theorem 3.1 to reduce to the case when $Z_{i}=T_{w_{i}}$ for some $w_{i} \in \widetilde{S_{n d}}$. The spaces $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T_{w_{i}}, T_{w_{i+1}}\right)$ are nonzero only if $w_{i} \preceq w_{i+1}$, in particular $w_{1} \preceq w_{k}$ and $\ell\left(w_{1}\right) \leq \ell\left(w_{k}\right)$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w_{k}}, T_{w_{1}} \Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ASBim }_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w_{k}}, \Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w_{1}^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(T_{w_{k}}, T_{w_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$ where $w_{1}^{\prime}=\omega_{n d}^{-m d} w_{1} \omega_{n d}^{m d}$. This is nonzero only if $w_{k} \preceq w_{1}^{\prime}$, in particular $\ell\left(w_{k}\right) \leq \ell\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\ell\left(w_{1}\right)$. We conclude that $\ell\left(w_{k}\right)=\ell\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w_{1}\right)$, and $w_{1} \preceq w_{2} \preceq \ldots \preceq w_{k}$ implies that $w_{1}=w_{2}=\ldots=w_{k}$. Furthermore, $w_{k} \preceq w_{1}^{\prime}$ implies $w_{k}=w_{1}=w_{1}^{\prime}$, so $w_{1}$ commutes with $\omega_{n d}^{m d}$.

We conclude that the complex for $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)\right)$ is generated by the products

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w}, T_{w} \Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(T_{w}, T_{w}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(T_{w}, T_{w}\right) \simeq
$$

$$
R \otimes R \otimes \cdots \otimes R
$$

for $w$ in the centralizer $C\left(\omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)=\left\{w \omega_{n d}^{m d}=\omega_{n d}^{m d} w\right\} \simeq \widetilde{S_{d}}$. The last equation follows from Lemma 3.12. The bar complex differential does not mix different $w$, but similarly to Lemma 3.7 one can check that it identifies $x_{i}$ with $x_{i+m d}$ and sets $\delta=0$. If $R_{d}=$ $R /\left(x_{i}-x_{i+m d}\right)$ then for each $w$ we get the bar complex computing

$$
\mathrm{HH}_{*}\left(R_{d}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n d}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n d}\right] /\left(x_{i}-x_{i+m d}, \theta_{i}-\theta_{i+m d}\right) .
$$

We denote the class of the canonical isomorphism in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w}, T_{w} \Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$ by $[w]$. Then the above computation shows that we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in C\left(\omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)}[w] \cdot \operatorname{HH}_{*}\left(R_{d}\right) \simeq \operatorname{HH}_{*}\left(R_{d}\right) \rtimes \widetilde{S_{d}}
$$

To complete the proof, we need to prove that the composition of various permutations $[w] \in \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)\right)$ satisfies the relations in the subgroup $C\left(\omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$. For this, we argue as in [7, Theorem 7.6] and construct an action of $\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)\right)$ on

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right) \simeq \widetilde{R_{d}}=\widetilde{R} /\left(x_{i}-x_{i+m d}\right)
$$

by the chain of isomorphisms
$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}, \Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}, \Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w} T_{w}^{-1}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w}, \Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w}\right) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(T_{w} \Omega_{n d}^{m d}, \Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ASBim }_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}, T_{w}^{-1} \Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{w}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ASBim }_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}, \Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$.

One can check that this action is compatible with the composition of morphisms in the trace defined in [7], and induces the standard action of $C\left(\omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$ on $\widetilde{R}_{d}$ up to a sign. Since this representation is faithful, we obtain the desired result.

In particular, we have an action of $S_{d} \subset \widetilde{S_{d}}$ on the object $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$ by endomorphisms. We are ready to define our main players.
Definition 3.14. We define the slope $\frac{m}{n}$ Schur object $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)^{\text {Kar }}$ by

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\lambda}=\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)
$$

for any partition $\lambda \vdash d$. In particular, we define

$$
\bar{E}_{m d, n d}:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\text {antisym }}
$$

For $m=0$ and $n=1$ we recover $\bar{E}_{0, d}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)^{\text {antisym }}$.
3.5. Cabling functors. We would like to describe the action of $S_{d}$ on $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$ in more concrete terms.

Definition 3.15. Fix $m, n$ and $d$ as above. Given an object $Z \in \operatorname{SBim}_{d}$, we define the object $\widehat{Z} \in \operatorname{SBim}_{n d} \subset \operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}$ as

$$
\widehat{Z}=\underbrace{Z \star Z \star Z \cdots \star Z}_{n \text { times }}
$$

Recall that since $Z$ is an object of the finite Hecke category, $\widehat{Z}$ can be simply thought of a disjoint union of $n$ copies of the braid $Z$.
Lemma 3.16. For any $Z$ we have $\Omega_{n d}^{m d} \widehat{Z} \simeq \widehat{Z} \Omega_{n d}^{m d}$.

Proof. If $d=1$, then $Z \in \operatorname{SBim}_{1}$ is the identity object, and so is $\widehat{Z} \in \operatorname{SBim}_{n}$. If $d>1$, it is sufficient to prove the statement for $Z=B_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq d-1$. Note that $\Omega^{m} B_{i}=B_{i+m} \Omega^{m}$ and $\widehat{B_{i}}=B_{i} B_{i+d} \cdots B_{i+n-d}$ where all indices are understood modulo $n$. Therefore

$$
\Omega_{n d}^{m d} \widehat{B_{i}} \Omega_{n d}^{-m d}=B_{i+m d} B_{i+d+m d} \cdots B_{i+n d-d+m d}=B_{i} B_{i+d} \cdots B_{i+n d-d}=\widehat{B_{i}} .
$$

The middle equation follows from the fact that the factors in $\widehat{B_{i}}$ pairwise commute, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{i, i+d, \ldots, i+n d-d \bmod n\}=\{j \bmod n: j=i \bmod d\}= \\
\{i+m d, i+d+m d, \ldots, i+n-d+m d \bmod n\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Next, we define the cabling functor

$$
\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}: \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{d}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)
$$

as follows:

- On the level of objects, we have $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}(\operatorname{Tr}(X))=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} X\right)$ where we intepret $X$ as an object in $\operatorname{SBim}_{d} \subset \operatorname{SBim}_{n d} \subset \operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}$ supported on the first $d$ strands.
- On the level of morphisms, for any $Z \in \operatorname{SBim}_{d}$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {SBim }_{d}}(X Z, Z Y)$ we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}=f \star \operatorname{Id} \star \ldots \star \operatorname{Id} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SBim}_{n d}}(X \widehat{Z}, \widehat{Z} Y) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}(f) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} X \widehat{Z}, \widehat{Z} \Omega_{n d}^{m d} Y\right)$ as the composition

$$
\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}(f): \Omega_{n d}^{m d} X \widehat{Z} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} \Omega_{n d}^{m d} \widehat{Z} Y \simeq \widehat{Z} \Omega_{n d}^{m d} Y .
$$

We can interpret $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}(f)$ as a morphism in

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} X\right), \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} Y\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)}\left(\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}} \operatorname{Tr}(X), \Phi^{\frac{m}{n}} \operatorname{Tr}(Y)\right)
$$

In equation (69) we used the fact that

$$
X \widehat{Z}=(X Z) \star Z \star Z \cdots \star Z, \widehat{Z} Y=(Z Y) \star Z \star Z \cdots \star Z
$$

and in the last equation in (70) we used Lemma 3.16. Similarly, for each collection of morphisms (63) labeled by $Z_{i}$, one can define a collection of the corresponding morphisms labeled by $\widehat{Z}_{i}$, and verify that $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}$ extends to a dg functor between the dg trace categories.

Example 3.17. By (68) we have

$$
\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{1} \cdots T_{d-1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{1} \cdots T_{d-1}\right) \simeq H_{m d, n d} .
$$

Note that in the above construction $X$ and $Z$ play very different roles. As in Remark 3.11, we can interpret $X \in \operatorname{SBim}_{d}$ topologically as a pattern for cabling the ( $m, n$ ) torus knot. Given such a pattern on $d$ strands, we can always arrange for it to be supported on the first $d$ strands. In particular, we do not need to repeat $X$ or consider $\widehat{X}$.

In contrast, $\widehat{Z}$ represents morphisms in the trace which "wrap around the annulus", see [1, 2, 7, 9] for pictures and more details. As such, it is naturally spread along $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$.

To phrase this differently, to swap two copies of the $(m, n)$ torus knot in $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$, one needs to apply Reidemeister moves everywhere, and repeat them with period $d$, thus reproducing $\widehat{Z}$, as the following Lemma shows.

Lemma 3.18. a) The functor $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}$ intertwines the actions of $S_{d}$ on $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$. b) For all $\lambda \vdash d$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\lambda}=\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)^{\lambda}\right) .
$$

In particular, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(\bar{E}_{d}\right)=\bar{E}_{m d, n d} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we extend $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}$ to the idempotent completions of the respective categories.
Proof. We have $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$. Furthermore, the action of $S_{d}$ on $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)$ is induced by the identity maps in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SBim}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d} T_{i}, T_{i} \mathbb{1}_{d}\right), i=1, \ldots, d-1$. These correspond to the identity maps in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ASBim }_{n d}}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} \widehat{T}_{i}, \widehat{T}_{i} \Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$ which generate the action of $S_{d}$ on $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$ by Theorem 3.13, since the corresponding permutations $\widehat{\sigma_{i}}$ generate a copy of $S_{d}$ in the centralizer of $\omega_{n d}^{m d}$ by Lemma 3.12.

Therefore the $S_{d}$ actions on $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$ agree under the functor $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}$, and

$$
\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)^{\lambda}\right)=\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)\right)=\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} \Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)\right)=\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\lambda} .
$$

Corollary 3.19. For any $X \in \operatorname{SBim}_{d}$ the object $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} X\right)$ can be resolved by $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\lambda}$.
Proof. By Theorem 3.4 we can resolve $\operatorname{Tr}(X)$ by $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)^{\lambda}$. By Lemma 3.18 the functor $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}$ sends $\operatorname{Tr}(X)$ to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} X\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)^{\lambda}$ to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\lambda}$, so the result follows.

Corollary 3.20. Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$ and $d_{1}+\ldots+d_{k}=d$. Then the object

$$
H_{m d_{1}, n d_{1}} \star \cdots \star H_{m d_{k}, n d_{k}} \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right)
$$

can be resolved by $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\lambda}$ or, equivalently, by the products

$$
\bar{E}_{m d_{1}^{\prime}, n d_{1}^{\prime}} \star \cdots \star \bar{E}_{m d_{s}^{\prime}, n d_{s}^{\prime}}
$$

with $d_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots+d_{s}^{\prime}=d$.
Proof. For $k=1$ we can use (68) to write $H_{m, n}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} T_{1} \cdots T_{d-1}\right)$ and apply Corollary 3.19. See also Theorem 3.26 below for a more explicit computation.

For $k>1$ we can use (67) and write

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d_{1}}^{m d_{1}}\right) \star \cdots \star \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d_{k}}^{m d_{k}}\right) \simeq\left(H_{m, n}\right)^{\star d_{1}} \star \cdots \star\left(H_{m, n}\right)^{\star d_{k}} \simeq\left(H_{m, n}\right)^{\star d} \simeq \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right) .
$$

The isomorphism is compatible with the action of $S_{d_{1}} \times \cdots \times S_{d_{k}} \subset S_{d_{1}+\ldots+d_{k}}$, hence any product

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d_{1}}^{m d_{1}}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \star \cdots \star \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d_{k}}^{m d_{k}}\right)^{\lambda_{k}}
$$

decomposes as a direct sum of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\lambda}$. The multiplicities are given by the coefficients of Schur functions $s_{\lambda}$ in the product $s_{\lambda_{1}} \cdots s_{\lambda_{k}}$. By the $k=1$ case, we can resolve $H_{m d_{i}, n d_{i}}$ by $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d_{i}}^{m d_{i}}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}$, and hence we can resolve the product of $H_{m d_{i}, n d_{i}}$ by $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)^{\lambda}$.

In Section 3.6 we provide an explicit computation of such a resolution for $k=1$. We also conjecture that this is a special case of a more general phenomenon.

Conjecture 3.21. The products

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}_{m_{1} d_{1}, n_{1} d_{1}} \star \cdots \star \bar{E}_{m_{k} d_{k}, n_{k} d_{k}} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum n_{i} d_{i}=n$ and $\frac{m_{1}}{n_{1}} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}}$ generate the idempotent completion $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}$.
Note that by Corollary 3.20 and Theorem 3.9 the objects (72) generate $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n}\right)$. The problem is that we do not know if these objects are indecomposable for $k>1$, otherwise the idempotent completion might also contain their direct summands.
3.6. Ribbon Schur functions and braids. In this section we recall some results from 9]. To a sequence of signs $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm\}^{n-1}$, we will associate several objects. First, we can consider the complex

$$
C_{\varepsilon}=T_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \cdots T_{n-1}^{\varepsilon_{n-1}} \in \operatorname{SBim}_{n}
$$

and its trace $\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right)$. For example,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{+, \ldots,+}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{1} \cdots T_{n-1}\right) \simeq H_{0, n}
$$

Second, we can consider the parabolic subgroups $W_{\varepsilon}, W_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \subset S_{n}$ generated by simple reflections with positive (resp. negative) signs. Let $\mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon}^{-}$denote respectively the symmetrizer for $W_{\varepsilon}$ and the antisymmetrizer for $W_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$.

As in Section 2.11 we define ribbon skew Schur functions $\bar{s}_{\varepsilon}$ associated to $\varepsilon$ (these are denoted by $\Psi(\varepsilon)$ in [9]). For example, $\varepsilon=(-)^{n-1}$ corresponds to $\bar{s}_{\left(1^{n}\right)}=\bar{e}_{n}$. We also consider the plethystic substitution $p_{d}=\bar{p}_{d}\left(1-q^{d}\right)$ as in (54), and modified ribbon skew Schur functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\varepsilon}=\bar{s}_{\varepsilon}\left[\bar{p}_{d} \mapsto p_{d}\right] . \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.22. [20] The group algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[S_{n}\right]$ can be presented as a direct sum of left ideals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{C}\left[S_{n}\right]=\bigoplus_{\varepsilon \in\{ \pm\}^{n-1}} \mathbb{C}\left[S_{n}\right] \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon}^{-} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the character of the $S_{n}$-representation $V_{\epsilon}:=\mathbb{C}\left[S_{n}\right] \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon}^{-}$equals the ribbon skew Schur function $\bar{s}_{\varepsilon}$.

Example 3.23. For $\varepsilon=(+)^{n-1}$ we have $W_{\varepsilon}=S_{n}$ and $W_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ is trivial, so $V_{\varepsilon}$ is the trivial representation of $S_{n}$. For $\varepsilon=(-)^{n-1}$ we have $W_{\varepsilon}$ is trivial and $W_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=S_{n}$, so $V_{\varepsilon}$ is the sign representation of $S_{n}$.

As a warning to the reader, for general $\varepsilon$ the representation $V_{\varepsilon}$ is not irreducible, see [9, Example 2.18].

We denote by $\pi_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{C}\left[S_{n}\right]$ the idempotent projecting to $V_{\varepsilon}$ in the decomposition (74). Next, we consider the Koszul complex

$$
K_{n}=\left(\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1}) \otimes \wedge\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right), D\right) \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{n}\right), \quad D\left(\theta_{i}\right)=x_{i}-x_{i+1} .
$$

Recall that by Theorem 3.2 there is an action of $S_{n}$ on $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})$ by endomorphisms. This action extends to $K_{n}$ by declaring that $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}$ span a copy of the reflection representation. Then we have the following:

Theorem 3.24. [9, Theorem 5.25] a) For all $\varepsilon$ we have in $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{SBim}_{n}\right)^{\text {Kar }: ~}$

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right)\left[|\varepsilon|_{+}\right]=\pi_{\varepsilon} K_{n} .
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{+, \ldots,+}\right)[n-1]=K_{n}^{\text {sym. }}$
b) The class of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right)$ in $K_{0}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{SBim}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{Kar}}\right)$ equals

$$
\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]=\frac{(-1)^{|\varepsilon|_{+}}}{1-q} s_{\varepsilon}
$$

where $|\varepsilon|_{+}$is the number of pluses in $\varepsilon$.
The product formula $s_{\varepsilon} s_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}=s_{\varepsilon,+, \varepsilon^{\prime}}+s_{\varepsilon,-, \varepsilon^{\prime}}$ of (56) also has topological meaning. Let the lengths of $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ be $n-1$ and $n^{\prime}-1$ respectively, and consider the braids

$$
C_{\varepsilon}=T_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \cdots T_{n-1}^{\varepsilon_{n-1}}, C_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}^{\prime}=T_{n+1}^{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots T_{n+n^{\prime}-1}^{\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}-1}} .
$$

There is an exact triangle relating $C_{\varepsilon} C_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}^{\prime}=C_{\varepsilon} \star C_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}, C_{\varepsilon,+, \varepsilon^{\prime}}=C_{\varepsilon} T_{n} C_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $C_{\varepsilon,-, \varepsilon^{\prime}}=$ $C_{\varepsilon} T_{n}^{-1} C_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ which actually splits in the trace [9, Theorem 5.25], so that

$$
\left[q \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right) \star \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right) \star \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)\right] \simeq \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon,+, \varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)[1] \oplus \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon,-, \varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

On the level of Grothendieck groups one gets

$$
(1-q)\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)\right]=-\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon,+, \varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)\right]+\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon,-, \varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)\right]
$$

as expected by Theorem 3.24 b ).
Example 3.25. For $n=2$ we have $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1}) \simeq \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})^{\text {sym }} \oplus \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})^{\text {antisym }}$. Then

$$
K_{2}=\left[q \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1}) \xrightarrow{x_{1}-x_{2}} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})\right]
$$

decomposes into

$$
\left(K_{2}\right)^{\text {sym }}=\left[q \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})^{\text {antisym }} \xrightarrow{x_{1}-x_{2}} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})^{\text {sym }}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left(K_{2}\right)^{\text {antisym }}=\left[q \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})^{\text {sym }} \xrightarrow{x_{1}-x_{2}} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})^{\text {antisym }}\right] .
$$

Note that the actions of $S_{2}$ on the two copies of $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1})$ in $K_{2}$ differ by a sign, since $x_{1}-x_{2}$ is an antisymmetric polynomial. Furthermore,

$$
\bar{s}_{+}=\frac{\bar{p}_{1}^{2}+\bar{p}_{2}}{2}, \bar{s}_{-}=\frac{\bar{p}_{1}^{2}-\bar{p}_{2}}{2}
$$

so

$$
\frac{1}{1-q} s_{+}=\frac{(1-q) \bar{p}_{1}^{2}+(1+q) \bar{p}_{2}}{2}=\bar{h}_{2}-q \bar{e}_{2},
$$

while

$$
\frac{1}{1-q} s_{-}=\frac{(1-q) \bar{p}_{1}^{2}-(1+q) \bar{p}_{2}}{2}=\bar{e}_{2}-q \bar{h}_{2} .
$$

Finally, we can apply the functor $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}$ to transport these results to an arbitrary slope.
Theorem 3.26. Let $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$ and $d \geq 1$. Define the Koszul complex

$$
K_{m d, n d}=\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right) \otimes \wedge\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d-1}\right), D\right) \in \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ASBim}_{n d}\right), \quad D\left(\theta_{i}\right)=x_{i}-x_{i+1}
$$

There is a natural action of $S_{d}$ on $K_{m d, n d}$ and for all $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm\}^{d-1}$ one has

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} C_{\varepsilon}\right) \simeq \pi_{\varepsilon} K_{m d, n d}
$$

In particular,

$$
H_{m d, n d} \simeq \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega^{m d} T_{1} \cdots T_{d-1}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega^{m d} C_{+, \ldots,+}\right)=K_{m d, n d}^{\text {sym }} .
$$

Proof. We use the functor $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}$ which sends $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)$ to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\varepsilon}\right)$ to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d} C_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Clearly, we have

$$
\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}\left(K_{d}\right)=K_{m d, n d},
$$

and by Lemma 3.18 $\Phi^{\frac{m}{n}}$ intertwines the actions of $S_{d}$ on $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{d}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Omega_{n d}^{m d}\right)$, and on $K_{d}$ and $K_{m d, n d}$ respectively, so the result follows.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the notation of $l o c$. cit., we have $H_{m, n}=E_{\left(d_{1}(m, n), \ldots, d_{n}(m, n)\right)}$ with $d_{i}(m, n)=\left\lfloor\frac{m i}{n}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{m(i-1)}{n}\right\rfloor$.

