

Harder-Narasimhan filtrations of persistence modules: metric stability

Marc FERSZTAND

ABSTRACT. The Harder-Narasimhan types are a family of discrete isomorphism invariants for representations of finite quivers. Previously [20], we evaluated their discriminating power in the context of persistence modules over a finite poset, including multiparameter persistence modules (over a finite grid). In particular, we introduced the skyscraper invariant and proved it was strictly finer than the rank invariant. In order to study the stability of the skyscraper invariant, we extend its definition from the finite to the infinite setting and consider multiparameter persistence modules over \mathbb{Z}^n and \mathbb{R}^n . We then establish an erosion-type stability result for this version of the skyscraper invariant.

Introduction

Motivation. Persistent Homology is one of main tools in Topological Data Analysis (TDA). It provides a compact, computable and easy-to-read summary measuring how certain geometric and topological features of the data persist across multiple scales. The main objects of study here are sequences of vector spaces connected by linear maps, usually called *persistence modules*. These modules arise naturally when one computes the homology of a scale-indexed filtration of topological spaces built on an underlying dataset. Most Persistent Homology techniques output a discrete summary, called a *barcode*, which is complete in the sense that it characterises the given persistence module up to isomorphism [18, 39]. This barcode is known to be stable under certain natural perturbations of the persistence modules [15].


For some applications, scale is not the only parameter of interest. For instance, adding density as a second parameter makes Persistent Homology techniques more robust to outliers in the data. It therefore becomes necessary to study more general notions of persistence modules, which are given by functors from arbitrary posets to the category of vector spaces. However, Carlsson and Zomorodian showed [12] that there are no discrete complete invariants for such persistence modules with two or more parameters. They also introduced the *rank invariant*, a (necessarily incomplete) discrete invariant which associates to a multiparameter persistence module the rank of all its maps. Many other

incomplete discrete invariants have since been proposed in the TDA literature. Examples of those include invariants using ideas from homological and commutative algebra [29, 23, 3], sheaf theory [25, 32], lattice theory [26, 7, 33, 2] and beyond [13]. More details about invariants in multiparameter persistence, including the recent approach using relative homological algebra, can be found in the review articles [5] and [4].

For every pointwise finite-dimensional (p.f.d) persistence module over a finite poset, one can define its *Harder-Narasimhan* (HN) *filtration* [28, 24]. These filtrations are parameterised by a *stability condition* which can be described by two real-valued functions on the elements of the poset. The dimensions of the vector spaces appearing in a HN filtration are called a *HN type*. They can be used to build the *skyscraper invariant* which is discrete, computable in polynomial time [16] and strictly stronger than the rank invariant [20].

Stability. Multiparameter persistence modules indexed over \mathbb{Z}^n or \mathbb{R}^n are equipped with the *interleaving distance* [15, 30] which makes standard TDA pipelines robust to noise in the data. When introducing an invariant of persistence modules, it is desirable to replicate the stability property enjoyed by barcodes of one parameter persistence modules. Explicitly, one seeks a metric on the output space which makes the invariant Lipschitz continuous with respect to the interleaving distance. For instance, the *erosion distance* makes the rank invariant stable [35, 36, 27] and a similar statement holds for the generalised rank invariant introduced by Kim and Mémoli [17]. Moreover, rank exact decompositions, which are an example of the signed decompositions considered in [3, 4], are stable when equipped with a generalisation of the bottleneck distance [8].

In this work, we establish a stability result for the skyscraper invariant. In order to do so, we extend the definition of this invariant from the case of p.f.d. persistence modules over finite posets to the setting of finitely presentable persistence modules over \mathbb{Z}^n or \mathbb{R}^n . Using the erosion distance, we define the HN distance on the output of this version of the skyscraper invariant so that the rank invariant factors continuously through the skyscraper invariant.



The Harder-Narasimhan filtrations were introduced as stratifications of finite-rank vector bundles over a complex curve and were used to compute the cohomology groups of certain moduli spaces [22]. It was later adapted to the case of finite quiver representations and hence of persistence modules over a finite poset [28, 24]. HN filtrations have also been studied in categories which are not necessarily abelian [9, 1]. However,

when applied to the case of finitely presentable \mathbb{R}^n -persistence modules, these frameworks make restrictive assumptions about the stability condition.

This paper together with [20, 21] are part of an effort to use methods inspired by Geometric Invariant Theory in TDA.

Outline and main results. Fix a poset Q , the *dimension vector* of a pointwise finite-dimensional Q -persistence module $V \in \text{Vect}^Q$ is the function $\underline{\dim}_V: x \in Q \mapsto \dim V_x \in \mathbb{Z}$. A *stability condition* Z over Q is an additive function sending the dimension vector of a nonzero Q -persistence module to a complex number with strictly positive real part¹. The *Z-slope* of a nonzero Q -persistence module $V \in \text{Vect}^Q$ is the real number

$$\mu_Z(V) := \frac{\Im(Z(\underline{\dim}_V))}{\Re(Z(\underline{\dim}_V))}$$

where \Re and \Im denote the real and imaginary parts. Moreover, V is said to be *Z-semistable* if the Z -slopes of its nonzero submodules do not exceed $\mu_Z(V)$. If Q is finite, there is a unique finite-length filtration:

$$0 = V^0 \subsetneq V^1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq V^\ell = V$$

called the *HN filtration* [22] of V along Z such that the successive quotients $S^i := V^i / V^{i-1}$ are Z -semistable and have strictly decreasing Z -slopes. The *HN type* of V along Z is the discrete invariant given by the dimension vectors of the quotients S^1, \dots, S^ℓ . However, when Q is infinite, Example 2.2 shows that HN filtrations are not always well-defined.

In Section 2, we introduce two sufficient conditions on Q and Z to guarantee the existence of HN filtrations of finitely presentable Q -persistence modules along Z . The first setting defines a continuous version of the skyscraper weights introduced in [20] where $\Im Z$ is built using the functions

$$\delta_q: \underline{\dim}_V \mapsto \dim V_q$$

with $q \in Q$. In the second setting, we restrict ourselves to the case where $Q = \mathbb{R}^n$ and we consider a large family of stability conditions induced by complex-valued functions on Q . More, precisely, given an integrable function $f: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose real part is strictly positive, we consider the stability condition Z_f defined by

$$Z_f: \underline{\dim}_V \mapsto \int_Q f \underline{\dim}_V.$$

We are particularly interested in the case where f is a step function – meaning that it is constant on the parts of a locally finite partition of Q into hyperrectangles. Theorem 2.9 gives a more general version of the following statement:

THEOREM (A). *Let Z be a stability condition over an upper semilattice Q . If either*

¹ Z is a group homomorphism from the Grothendieck group of (an abelian subcategory of) Vect^Q to the additive group of complex numbers sending nonzero objects to the right half plane.

- (i) $\mathfrak{S}Z$ is a nonnegative finite linear combination of the functions $(\delta_q)_{q \in Q}$; or,
- (ii) $Q = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Z = Z_f$ is induced by an integrable step function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re f$ is strictly positive and $\mathfrak{S}f$ is compactly supported,

then HN filtrations along Z of finitely presentable Q -persistence modules exist, are unique and can be computed over a finite poset.

For the rest of this summary, Z is a stability condition over $Q = \mathbb{R}^n$ which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem (A). Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the x -shift of $V \in \text{Vect}^Q$ is the \mathbb{R}^n -persistence module T_x^*V obtained by shifting all the indices of V by x . Given a finitely presentable \mathbb{R}^n -persistence module V , temporarily denote by $V^{x,\bullet}$ the HN filtration of V along the stability condition $Z^x: \underline{\dim}_V \mapsto Z(\underline{\dim}_{T_x^*V})$. For each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define (Definition 2.13) the function $s_{Z,V}^\theta$ which sends $x \leq y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the integer

$$s_{Z,V}^\theta(x, y) := \text{rank}(V^{x,i})_{x \leq y}$$

where i is the largest index such that either $i = 0$ or $\mu_{Z^x}(V^{x,i}/V^{x,i-1}) \geq \theta$. The functions $(s_{Z,V}^\theta)$ constitute a descending filtration of the rank invariant indexed by $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We call it the *HN filtered rank invariant* of V along Z .

In Section 3, we establish a stability result for HN filtered rank invariants. Let V and W be two \mathbb{R}^n -persistence modules and denote by $\vec{\varepsilon}$ the vector $(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The *interleaving distance* $d_I(V, W)$ between V and W [30] is the infimum of all $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that there exist maps of persistence modules

$$\phi_\bullet: V \rightarrow T_{\vec{\varepsilon}}^*W \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\bullet: W \rightarrow T_{\vec{\varepsilon}}^*V$$

whose compositions $\psi_{\bullet+\vec{\varepsilon}} \circ \phi_\bullet$ and $\phi_{\bullet+\vec{\varepsilon}} \circ \psi_\bullet$ are given by the internal maps of V and W . When V is finitely presentable, we prove that each $s_{Z,V}^\theta$ can be seen as a functor from $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\text{opp}} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to $(\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\})^{\text{opp}}$. The *erosion distance* $d_E(s, r)$ between two such functors r and s [35] is the infimum of all $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that for each $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$s(x - \vec{\varepsilon}, y + \vec{\varepsilon}) \leq r(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad r(x - \vec{\varepsilon}, y + \vec{\varepsilon}) \leq s(x, y).$$

The following result, stated in full details in Theorem 3.7, shows that HN filtered rank invariants are stable:

THEOREM (B). *For every finitely presentable \mathbb{R}^n -persistence modules V and W , we have*

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} d_E(s_{Z,V}^\theta, s_{Z,W}^\theta) \leq d_I(V, W).$$

The above theorem may be used to produce a stability result for the HN filtered version of the persistence landscapes [11, 38] – see Corollary 3.12.

Finally, in Appendix A, we prove in the setting of Theorem (A)(ii) that if $\mathfrak{S}f$ is nonnegative, then the HN filtered rank invariants along Z_f are semialgebraically constructible functions in the sense of [34].

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Vidit Nanda and Ulrike Tillmann for their invaluable advice and support. He is also grateful to Emile Jacquard for many helpful discussions. The author is a member of the Centre for Topological Data Analysis, funded by the EPSRC grant EP/R018472/1.

1. Preliminaries

We first introduce discretisable persistence modules over general posets [6, 14] and over finite products of totally ordered sets [31]. We then briefly go through the concepts of stability conditions, slope stability, HN filtrations and HN types in abelian categories [9] and we define the pullback of a stability condition. Finally, in the case of persistence modules over a finite poset [24], we recall the definition of the skyscraper invariant introduced in [20].

1.1. Persistence modules. Given a field \mathbb{F} and a poset P , a P -persistence module is a functor $V : P \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ from P to the category of \mathbb{F} -vector spaces and linear maps. The *dimension vector* of a P -persistence module V is the function $\underline{\dim}_V : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ which sends $p \in P$ to $\dim V_p$. The *rank invariant* of a persistence module V is the function $\rho_V : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ given by

$$\rho_V(p, p') := \begin{cases} \text{rank } V_{p \leq p'} & \text{if } p \leq p' \text{ in } P \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We fix once and for all the field \mathbb{F} and will henceforth omit it from the notation. We further ask P -persistence modules to be pointwise finite-dimensional – meaning that the dimension vectors are integer-valued functions. The P -persistence modules define an abelian category $\text{Pers}(P)$ where morphisms are natural transformations.

A subset I of P is called a *spread* (or sometimes an interval) if it is:

- connected: for all $u, v \in I$, there is a sequence $(u = u_0, u_1, \dots, u_\ell = v)$ in I such that u_i and u_{i+1} are comparable for each i ; and
- convex: I contains the set $\{p \in P \mid u \leq p \leq v\}$ for each $u \leq v$ in I .

For instance, given $p \in P$, the set $\langle p \rangle := \{p' \in P \mid p' \geq p\}$ is a spread. The *spread module* \mathbb{F}_I is the indecomposable module whose spaces $(\mathbb{F}_I)_p$ are \mathbb{F} if $p \in I$ and 0 otherwise and whose maps between nonzero spaces are identities.

1.2. Discretisable persistence modules. Let P and Q be two posets. A *map of poset* $f : P \rightarrow Q$ is an increasing map from P to Q . The *pullback* of a Q -persistence module V by f is the P -persistence module

$$f^*V := V \circ f$$

where \circ is the composition between functors. The *pushforward* of a P -persistence module U by f is the Q -persistence module

$$f_*U := \text{Lan}_f(U)$$

where $\text{Lan}_f(U)$ is the left Kan extension of U along f . More concretely, for $q \in Q$, we have $(f_*U)_q := \varinjlim_{p|f(p) \leq q} U_p$. The functors $f^*: \text{Pers}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Pers}(P)$ and $f_*: \text{Pers}(P) \rightarrow \text{Pers}(Q)$ are respectively called the restriction (sometimes pullback) and induction (sometimes extension [4] or pushforward) functors. They form [6, Lemma 2.15] an adjoint pair (f_*, f^*) and if moreover f is an inclusion of posets (*i.e.* f is fully faithful), then the unit $\text{id}_{\text{Pers}(P)} \Rightarrow f^*f_*$ is a natural isomorphism [6, Lemma 2.19].

DEFINITION 1.1. A Q -persistence module V is said to be *f-discretisable* if it is isomorphic in $\text{Pers}(Q)$ to the pushforward by f of some P -persistence module U

$$V \simeq f_*U.$$

In that case, we say that f is *adapted* to V . A Q -persistence module is said to be *discretisable* (or *tame*) if it is f -discretisable for some map of posets f from a finite poset P to Q . We denote by $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q) \subset \text{Pers}(Q)$ the full subcategory of discretisable Q -persistence modules.

Observe that the induction functor of a map of (not necessarily finite) posets $g: Q \rightarrow Q'$ sends tame Q -persistence modules to tame Q' -persistence modules.

- EXAMPLE 1.2. • When Q is finite, we have $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q) = \text{Pers}(Q)$
 • Discretisable \mathbb{R} -persistence modules are the ones whose barcode consists of a finite number of intervals of the form $[a, b)$ with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$

A Q -persistence module is *free and finitely generated* if it decomposes as a direct sum of a finite number of spread modules of the form $\mathbb{F}_{\langle q \rangle}$ with $q \in Q$. A Q -persistence module V is said to be *finitely presentable* if there is a map f between two free and finitely generated Q -persistence modules such that $V \simeq \text{coker } f$. Our interest in finitely presentable persistence modules is justified by the fact that a Q -persistence module lies in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ if and only if it is finitely presentable [14, 10.3].

A *refinement* of $f: P \rightarrow Q$ is a map of posets $f': P' \rightarrow Q$ such that f factors through f' . Namely, there is a map of posets g fitting into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{g} & P' \\ f \downarrow & \swarrow f' & \\ Q & & \end{array}$$

REMARK 1.3. For every subset S of Q containing $f(P)$, the inclusion of posets $f': S \hookrightarrow Q$ is a refinement of f . Hence, a pair of maps of posets $f^1: P^1 \rightarrow Q$ and $f^2: P^2 \rightarrow Q$ has a common refinement given by the inclusion $f': f^1(P^1) \cup f^2(P^2) \hookrightarrow Q$.

The existence of common refinements implies that the subcategory $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ is additive; however, in general, it is not abelian. It is thus necessary to make assumptions about the poset Q . We recall that Q is an *upper semilattice* if every pair of elements of Q admits a least upper bound.

LEMMA 1.4. *Assume that Q is an upper semilattice, then,*

- (i) $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ is an abelian full subcategory of $\text{Pers}(Q)$ [14, 8.5] and
- (ii) any map of posets $f: P \rightarrow Q$ from a finite upper semilattice P has an exact induction functor $f_*: \text{Pers}(P) \rightarrow \text{Pers}(Q)$ [14, 10.5].

We now assume that Q is an upper semilattice. By remark 1.3, every discretisable Q -persistence module can be discretised by an inclusion of a finite upper semilattice $f: P \hookrightarrow Q$ into Q . In this setting, we can define the *f-floor function* which sends $q \in Q$ to

$$[q]_f := \max \{p \in P \mid f(p) \leq q\}$$

where $[q]_f \in P \cup \{-\infty\}$. By definition, the pushforward of some $U \in \text{Pers}(P)$ by f can be written pointwise as $(f_*U)_q = U_{[q]_f}$ with the convention $U_{-\infty} = 0$. We further denote

$$\text{cub}_f(p) := \{q \in Q \mid [q]_f = p\}$$

the fiber of $p \in P \cup \{-\infty\}$ by $|\cdot|_f$.

We will reuse the vocabulary defined in Definition 1.1 for functions from Q . Given a map of upper semilattices $f: P \hookrightarrow Q$ with P finite and a set Y , the restriction functor f^* is the precomposition by f and the induction functor f_* sends a function $a: P \rightarrow Y$ to

$$f_*a(q) := a([q]_f)$$

where $q \in Q$. Let $\mathcal{F}(Q, Y)$ denote the set of functions $a: Q \rightarrow Y$ which are discretisable by some map of posets $f: P \hookrightarrow Q$ with P finite. Discretisable functions over a semialgebraic set are semialgebraically constructible in the sense of Definition A.4. Observe that for $U \in \text{Pers}(P)$ and $V \in \text{Pers}(Q)$, we have

$$\underline{\dim}_{f_*V} = f^* \underline{\dim}_V \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\dim}_{f_*U} = f_* \underline{\dim}_U. \quad (1)$$

Hence, the assignment $\underline{\dim}: V \mapsto \underline{\dim}_V$ defines a surjective function from $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ to $\mathcal{F}(Q, Y)$.


1.3. Grid functions. In this subsection, the poset Q is the product of n totally ordered posets equipped with the product partial order. This setting contains our two main cases of interest where Q is either \mathbb{Z}^n or \mathbb{R}^n . We will give a more concrete definition of discretisable persistence modules.

DEFINITION 1.5 ([31, Section 2.5]). A *grid function* $G := G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ over Q is an embedding of a cube $P = P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n$ of \mathbb{Z}^n into Q which is defined coordinate-wise by strictly increasing functions $G_i: P_i \hookrightarrow Q_i$ and such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} G_i(t) = \pm\infty$ – if those limits exist. When P is finite, G is said to be a finite grid function.

Observe that by Remark 1.3, a Q -persistence module is discretisable if and only if it is discretisable by a finite grid function.

An *interval* in a totally ordered poset T is a subset $I \subset T$ such that for $x, y \in I$, we have $x \leq z \leq y \implies z \in I$. A *cube* of $Q = Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_n$ is a subset $I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n \subset Q$ such that each I_i is an interval of Q_i .

The interest of working with a finite grid function $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$ is that the floor function $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_G$ can be computed coordinate-wise and that for every $p \in P$ the fibers $\text{cub}_G(p)$ are cubes of the form $\prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i)$ where $(a_i, b_i) \in Q_i \times (Q_i \cup \{\infty\})$. In the example below, the black disks are the image of G , the dashed area is a fibre of some $p \in P$ under $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_G$ and the square node is some $q \in Q$:



Let V be a G -discretisable Q -persistence modules. For $q \in Q$ such that $\lfloor q \rfloor_G \neq -\infty$, the map $V_{G(\lfloor q \rfloor_G) \leq q}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the dimension vector $\underline{\dim}_V$ is constant in each of the $\text{cub}_G(p)$.

Most of the above notions can easily be adapted to the case where $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$ is an infinite grid function. Indeed, for $q \in Q$, the subset $\{p \in P \mid G(p) \leq q\}$ is a cube of \mathbb{Z}^n which is bounded above in each direction so that its maximum $\lfloor q \rfloor_f$ is well-defined. Hence, as before, the induction functor G_* is exact.

Let $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$ be a grid function, a refinement G' of G such that $\text{Im } G = \text{Im } G' \cap c$ for some cube c of Q is called an *extension* of G .

REMARK 1.6. For convenience, we will sometimes consider product of n maps of posets $G: P \rightarrow Q$ which are not necessarily injective. We call these grid functions *improper* grid functions.

1.4. HN filtrations in abelian categories. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. The *Grothendieck group* of \mathcal{A} is the abelian group $K(\mathcal{A})$ generated by the isomorphism classes $[V]$ of objects subject to the relations $[V'] = [V] + [V'']$ for every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V'' \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{A} . A *stability condition* Z over \mathcal{A} is a group morphism between the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{A} and $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ which sends nonzero objects to the right open half space $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re z > 0\}$. The *slope* of a nonzero object $V \in \mathcal{A}$ along Z is the real number $\mu_Z(V) := \frac{\Im Z([V])}{\Re Z([V])}$. Moreover, V is said to be *Z-stable* if all its proper subobjects have a strictly smaller slope:

$$0 \subsetneq W \subsetneq V \implies \mu_Z(W) < \mu_Z(V)$$

if instead the inequalities are weak, then V is said to be *Z-semistable*.

DEFINITION 1.7 ([22, 24, 37]). Given a nonzero object V in \mathcal{A} , a HN filtration of V along Z is a finite sequence of subobjects $0 = V^0 \subsetneq V^1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq V^\ell = V$ such that each quotient V^{j+1}/V^j is Z -semistable and $\mu_Z(V^1/V^0) > \mu_Z(V^2/V^1) > \dots > \mu_Z(V^\ell/V^{\ell-1})$.

PROPOSITION 1.8. [9, Proposition 2.4] *When they exist, HN filtrations are unique. Moreover, if the following two conditions are satisfied*

- (1) *there are no infinite sequences of subobjects $\dots \subset V_{j+1} \subset V_j \subset \dots \subset V_2 \subset V_1$ in \mathcal{A} with $\mu_Z(V_{j+1}) > \mu_Z(V_j)$ for all j ,*
- (2) *there are no infinite sequences of quotients $V_1 \twoheadrightarrow V_2 \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow V_j \twoheadrightarrow V_{j+1} \twoheadrightarrow \dots$ in \mathcal{A} with $\mu_Z(V_j) > \mu_Z(V_{j+1})$ for all j ,*

then every nonzero object in \mathcal{A} has a unique HN filtration along Z .

NOTATION 1.9. If $0 = V^0 \subsetneq V^1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq V^\ell = V$ is the HN filtration of V along Z , we use the notation $\langle V, Z \rangle^\theta = V^i$ whenever $\mu_Z(\frac{V^i}{V^{i-1}}) \geq \theta > \mu_Z(\frac{V^{i+1}}{V^i})$ with the conventions $\mu_Z(\frac{V^0}{V^{-1}}) = +\infty$ and $\mu_Z(\frac{V^{\ell+1}}{V^\ell}) = -\infty$. For convenience, we write $\langle 0, Z \rangle^\theta = 0$ for all θ .

Let $\text{Filtr}(\mathcal{A})$ be the category of \mathbb{R}^{opp} -indexed filtrations of objects of \mathcal{A} . Given a stability condition Z , we refer to the assignment $\langle -, Z \rangle: V \in \mathcal{A} \mapsto (\langle V, Z \rangle^\theta)_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{opp}}} \in \text{Filtr}(\mathcal{A})$ as the *HN functor* along Z . Indeed, it has been observed in many settings that under some finiteness assumptions on Z and \mathcal{A} , the assignment $\langle -, Z \rangle$ is well-defined and functorial – see [19], [24, Theorem 2.8] and [1, 3.1].

DEFINITION 1.10. Assume that a nonzero object $V \in \mathcal{A}$ has a HN filtration along Z . Then its *HN type* along Z is the data of the dimension vectors appearing in its HN filtration. Using Notation 1.9, we write it

$$\mathbf{T}[V; Z] := \left(\underline{\dim}_{\langle V, Z \rangle^\theta} \right)_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{opp}}}.$$

1.5. Pullback of stability conditions. Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ be an additive exact functor between abelian categories and let $Z: K(\mathcal{A}') \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a stability condition over \mathcal{A}' . We further assume that the group homomorphism $K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A}')$ induced by F is injective. Then, one can define the *pullback* $F^*Z: K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of Z by F to be the stability condition over \mathcal{A} which fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{F} & K(\mathcal{A}') \\ & \searrow^{F^*Z} & \downarrow Z \\ & & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

LEMMA 1.11. *For every nonzero object U of \mathcal{A} , one has $\mu_{F^*Z}(U) = \mu_Z(F(U))$ and moreover, $F(U)$ is Z -semistable $\implies U$ is F^*Z -semistable.*

PROOF. The equality $\mu_{F^*Z}(U) = \mu_Z(F(U))$ is a direct consequence of (2). Assume now that $F(U)$ is μ_Z -semistable and let $0 \subsetneq U' \subsetneq U$. By exactness of F , we have $F(U') \subset F(U)$ and by semistability $\mu_{F^*Z}(U') = \mu_Z(F(U')) \leq \mu_Z(F(U)) = \mu_{F^*Z}(U)$. \square

The converse does not always hold, but if it does, F commutes with the HN functor:

LEMMA 1.12. *Assume that every nonzero $U \in \mathcal{A}$ has a HN filtration along F^*Z and satisfies:*

$$U \text{ is } F^*Z\text{-semistable} \implies F(U) \text{ is } Z\text{-semistable.}$$

Then every nonzero object $U \in \mathcal{A}$ has a HN filtration along Z and we have:

$$\langle F(U), Z \rangle = F(\langle U, F^*Z \rangle).$$

PROOF. Let $U \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $0 = U^0 \subsetneq U^1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq U^\ell = U$ be its HN filtration along F^*Z . By Lemma 1.11, the modules $(F(U^i/U^{i-1}))_{1 \leq i \leq \ell}$ have decreasing Z -slopes and by assumption they are Z -semistable. The exactness of F and the uniqueness of HN filtrations ensure that $0 = F(U^0) \subsetneq F(U^1) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F(U^\ell) = F(U)$ is the HN filtration of $F(U)$ along Z . \square

1.6. HN filtrations of persistence modules over finite posets. We fix a finite poset P and consider the abelian category $\text{Pers}(P)$. Then, every stability condition satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 1.8 and is of the form

$$Z_{\alpha,\beta}([V]) = \sum_{p \in P} (\beta(p) + \sqrt{-1}\alpha(p)) \dim V_p \quad (3)$$

for some $\alpha: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

REMARK 1.13. The finite poset P can be seen as a quiver $\text{Hasse}(P)$ whose vertices are the elements of P and whose edges are given by the covering relation in P . Namely, $\text{Hasse}(P)$ is the directed simple graph such that given $p, p' \in P$, there is an edge from p to p' if and only if $p < p'$ and there is no $p'' \in P$ such that $p < p'' < p'$.

Let V be a quiver representation and for a path γ in the quiver let V_γ denote the composition of the maps of V along γ . The representation V is said to be *equalised* if for every pair of paths γ, γ' with the same sources and targets, we have $V_\gamma = V_{\gamma'}$.

Then, the P -persistence modules can be identified with the equalised representations of $\text{Hasse}(P)$. These commutativity relations are conserved by taking subrepresentations. By Lemma 1.12, it is equivalent to compute the HN filtrations of $V \in \text{Pers}(P)$ as a P -persistence module and as a representation of the quiver without relation $\text{Hasse}(P)$.

Let $p \in P$, the *skyscraper weight* at p is the function $\delta_p: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which sends p to 1 and $p' \neq p$ to 0. We fix a function $\beta: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the *skyscraper invariant* of $V \in \text{Pers}(P) \setminus \{0\}$ is the data of

$$(\mathbf{T}[V; Z_{\delta_p, \beta}])_{p \in P}. \quad (4)$$

Note that replacing $\mathbf{T}[V; Z_{\delta_p, \beta}]$ by $(\rho_{\langle V, Z \rangle^\theta})_\theta$ in (4) does not create a more informative invariant. Indeed, for every $\theta > 0$, the maps between nonzero spaces of $\langle V, Z \rangle^\theta$ are all surjective [20, Proposition 3.3].

2. HN filtrations of persistence modules

The two main goals of this Section are firstly, to find a framework where HN filtrations of nonzero discretisable \mathbb{R}^n -persistence modules exist, and secondly, to define a continuous version of the skyscraper invariant.

We first study stability conditions of discretisable persistence modules over an upper semilattice Q . Since HN filtrations do not always exist, even when $Q = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{R} – see Example 2.2 – we restrict ourselves to the family $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}(Q)$ of stability conditions whose imaginary part is induced by the Dirac delta functions. Given $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}(Q)$, we prove that every discretisable Q -persistence module has a HN filtration along Z which can be computed in any fine enough discretisation.

Focusing on the case where $Q = \mathbb{Z}^n$ or \mathbb{R}^n , we consider a larger family $\mathcal{Z}(Q)$ containing all the stability conditions induced by step functions and we establish the existence of HN filtrations along any $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$. More precisely, we show that the HN functor $\langle -, Z \rangle$ along $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ is well-defined and commutes with the induction functor G_* of a fine enough finite or infinite grid function G . Finally, we define in this setting the *HN filtered rank invariant* along Z which generalises and gives a continuous version of the skyscraper invariant defined in (4).

2.1. Stability conditions for persistence modules. Let Q be an upper semilattice.

LEMMA 2.1. *The assignment $\underline{\dim}: V \mapsto \underline{\dim}_V$ induces an isomorphism from the Grothendieck group of discretisable Q -persistence modules $K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q))$ to the discretisable functions $\mathcal{F}(Q, \mathbb{Z})$ from Q to \mathbb{Z} .*

PROOF. If $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$, then $\underline{\dim}_{V'} - \underline{\dim}_V - \underline{\dim}_{V''} = 0$ so by (1) the homomorphism $\underline{\dim}: K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Q, \mathbb{Z})$ is well-defined and surjective. Moreover, when Q is finite, this homomorphism is clearly injective. Assume now that Q is infinite and choose $V \in K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q))$ in the kernel of $\underline{\dim}$. Then, by Remark 1.3 and Lemma 1.4(ii), there is an inclusion of upper semilattices $f: P \hookrightarrow Q$ with P finite and $U \in K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(P))$ such that $V = f_*U$. So, by Equation (1), we have

$$0 = f^* \underline{\dim}(V) = \underline{\dim}(f^* f_* U) = \underline{\dim}(U).$$

By the finite case, $U = 0$ and finally $V = f_*U = 0$ in $K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q))$. \square

Hence, any stability condition Z factors through $\underline{\dim}$, and is uniquely determined by a linear form α and a strictly positive linear form β from the real vector space $\mathcal{F}(Q, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathcal{F}(Q, \mathbb{R})$. More precisely, any stability condition is of the form

$$Z_{\alpha, \beta}: [V] \mapsto \beta(\underline{\dim}_V) + \sqrt{-1}\alpha(\underline{\dim}_V). \quad (5)$$

Note that working in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ and not $\text{Pers}(Q)$ is essential to guarantee the existence of a strictly positive linear form β over the dimension vectors of \mathbb{R}^n -persistence modules. Henceforth, we use the above notation $Z_{\alpha, \beta}$ to denote the stability condition induced by the linear forms α and β .

Let $f: P \hookrightarrow Q$ be an inclusion of upper semilattices. The induction f_* is exact and induces an injective group homomorphism $K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(P)) \rightarrow K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q))$. We denote by f^*Z the pullback of Z by f_* which is defined by diagram (2) with $F = f_*$.

Moreover, when P is finite and $Z := Z_{\alpha, \beta}$ is expressed like in (5), we write $f^*Z := Z_{f^*\alpha, f^*\beta}$ like in (3). The functions $f^*\alpha, f^*\beta: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined for $p \in P$ by

$$f^*\alpha(p) := \alpha(\mathbb{1}_{\text{cub}_f(p)}) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*\beta(p) := \beta(\mathbb{1}_{\text{cub}_f(p)})$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\text{cub}_f(p)} \in \mathcal{F}(Q, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the indicator function of $\text{cub}_f(p) \subset Q$.

The notions of slope, (semi)stability and HN filtrations are defined in $\mathcal{A} := \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ as per Subsection 1.4. Importantly, if we restrict ourselves to f -discretisable Q -persistence modules where f is the inclusion of a finite upper semilattice P into Q , then all the slopes can be computed in $\text{Pers}(P)$ using the same framework as in Subsection 1.6.

2.2. Existence of HN filtrations of persistence modules. The following examples in the single-parameter setting illustrate some reasons why HN filtrations of persistence modules do not always exist:

EXAMPLE 2.2.

(1) Consider a stability condition Z over \mathbb{R} of the form

$$Z: [V] \in K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(\mathbb{R})) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b + \sqrt{-1}a) \underline{\dim}_V \in \mathbb{C}$$

where $a, b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ are integrable, $a|_{[0,1]}$ is increasing and $b|_{[0,1]}$ is constant. Then the set of Z -slopes attained by the submodules of $\mathbf{I}[0,1)$ does not have a maximum. More precisely, the submodules of $\mathbf{I}[0,1)$ can be ordered into an infinite decreasing family $(\mathbf{I}[t,1))_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(\mathbb{R})$ with increasing Z -slopes. The module $\mathbf{I}[0,1)$ has no Z -semistable submodule and hence no HN filtration.

- (1') A similar phenomenon occurs with a stability condition $Z = Z_{\alpha,\beta}$ over \mathbb{R} whose imaginary part is induced by $\alpha: f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \mapsto -f(0) \in \mathbb{R}$. The quotients of $\mathbf{I}[0,1)$ can be ordered into an infinite increasing family $(\mathbf{I}[0,t))_{t \in (0,1]}$ with increasing Z -slopes. Since the filtration $0 \subsetneq \mathbf{I}(0,1) \subsetneq \mathbf{I}[0,1)$ does not lie in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(\mathbb{R})$, the spread module $\mathbf{I}[0,1)$ has no HN filtration along Z in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Note that an abelian subcategory of $\text{Pers}(\mathbb{R})$ which contains the inclusions $\mathbf{I}(p, p') \subset \mathbf{I}[p, p')$ for $p < p' \in \mathbb{R}$, would also contain $\mathbf{I}[p, p')/\mathbf{I}(p, p') = \mathbf{I}[p, p]$, and the condition $\beta(\mathbb{F}_{\{p\}}) > 0$ would exclude many intuitive choices for β .

- (2) Let $Z = Z_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a stability condition over \mathbb{Z} whose imaginary part is induced by $\alpha: f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \lim_{+\infty} f \in \mathbb{R}$. The spread module $\mathbf{I}[0, +\infty)$ has no HN filtration along Z because its submodules can be ordered into an infinite decreasing family $(\mathbf{I}[t, +\infty))_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ of increasing Z -slope.

We will now consider certain stability conditions such that the induction functor preserves semistability. We will then use Lemma 1.12 to guarantee the existence of HN filtrations.

Let Q be an upper semilattice. The *evaluation form* at $q \in Q$ is the linear form

$$\delta_q: f \in \mathcal{F}(Q, \mathbb{R}) \mapsto f(q) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Given an inclusion f of upper semilattices, we denote by $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}(f)$ the set of stability conditions $Z := Z_{\alpha,\beta}$ over Q such that α is a nonnegative linear combination of the evaluation forms $(\delta_q)_{q \in \text{Im } f}$. If $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}(f)$, we say that f is *adapted* to Z or equivalently that Z is *f-discretisable*. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}(Q)$ the union of $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}(f)$ over all inclusions f of a finite semilattice into Q .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let f be the inclusion of a finite upper semilattice P into Q and assume that $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}(f)$. Then, for any nonzero P -persistence module U , we have*

$$U \text{ is } f^*Z\text{-semistable} \implies f_*U \text{ is } Z\text{-semistable}.$$

PROOF. Let W be a submodule of f_*U , we first prove that the pixelisation f_*f^*W is a submodule of W . For $q \in Q$, consider the linear map $\phi_q := W_{f(\lfloor q \rfloor_f) \leq q}$. Its domain is $(f^*W)_{\lfloor q \rfloor_f} = (f_*f^*W)_q$ and it is injective as it is the restriction of the isomorphism $(f_*U)_{f(\lfloor q \rfloor_f) \leq q}$. These maps induce a map of Q -persistence modules $\phi_\bullet: f_*f^*W \hookrightarrow W$ because for $q \leq q'$ in Q , we have,

$$\phi_{q'} \circ (f_*f^*W)_{q \leq q'} = \phi_{q'} \circ W_{f(\lfloor q \rfloor_f) \leq f(\lfloor q' \rfloor_f)} = W_{f(\lfloor q \rfloor_f) \leq q'} = W_{q \leq q'} \circ \phi_q.$$

Assume now that $U \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(P)$ is f^*Z -semistable and let $0 \neq W \subset f_*U$. We prove that $\mu_Z(W) \leq \mu_Z(U)$. Since $\mu_Z(V) \geq 0$, we can restrict ourselves to the nontrivial case where $\alpha(\underline{\dim}_W) > 0$. Given $p \in P$, we have $\delta_{f(p)}(\underline{\dim}_{f_*f^*W}) = \dim(f^*W)_p = \delta_{f(p)}(\underline{\dim}_W)$, whence $\alpha(\underline{\dim}_{f_*f^*W}) = \alpha(\underline{\dim}_W) > 0$. In particular, f_*f^*W is nonzero. Since f_*f^*W is a submodule of W , we have $\underline{\dim}_{f_*f^*W} \leq \underline{\dim}_W$ and $\mu_Z(f_*f^*W) \geq \mu_Z(W)$ because β is positive. But, by applying the restriction functor, $f^*W \subset f^*f_*U \simeq U$ so by semistability of U and Lemma 1.11, we finally have

$$\mu_Z(W) \leq \mu_Z(f_*f^*W) = \mu_{f^*Z}(f^*W) \leq \mu_{f^*Z}(U) = \mu_Z(f_*U).$$

□

We now restrict ourselves to the case where Q is a cube of either \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{Z}^n and state an analog of Proposition 2.3 for a larger family of stability conditions.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let G be a grid function over Q . We denote by $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{step}}(G)$ the set of stability conditions $Z: K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$Z([V]) = \int_Q (b + \sqrt{-1}a) \underline{\dim}_V$$

where $a, b: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are discretisable by an extension of G and

- a is null on all the unbounded cubes $\text{cub}_G(x)$ delimited by G
- b is strictly positive and integrable on Q

Let c be a nonempty bounded cube of Q . The dimension of c is the number $0 \leq \dim c \leq n$ of coordinates over which the projection of c has nonempty interior. We define the positive linear form δ_c which sends $f \in \mathcal{F}(Q, \mathbb{R})$ to its average over c . Namely,

$$\delta_c(f) := \frac{\int_c f}{\int_c 1} \tag{6}$$

where the integral is $\dim c$ -dimensional. If $c = \{x\}$ is a point of Q , then δ_c is the evaluation form at x , which is denoted δ_x . We now slightly extend the family considered in Definition 2.4 to allow stability conditions such that $\text{Im } Z([V]) = \delta_c(\underline{\dim}_V)$ with $\dim c \neq n$.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let $G: P \leftrightarrow Q$ be a grid function. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ the set of stability conditions over $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ of the form

$$[V] \mapsto Z([V]) + \sqrt{-1} \sum_{c \subset Q} \lambda_c \delta_c(\underline{\dim}_V)$$

where

- Z lies in $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{step}}(G)$
- the sum is over the faces of the bounded cubes $(\text{cub}_G(x))_{x \in P}$ delimited by Q
- the λ_c are nonnegative coefficients

REMARK 2.6. If $Z = Z_{\alpha,\beta}$ lies in $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{step}}(G)$, we can write α as a finite linear combination of $(\delta_{\text{cub}_G(x)})_{x \in P}$ and β is a countable strictly positive linear combination of $(\delta_{\text{cub}_{\tilde{G}}(x)})_{x \in \tilde{P}}$ for some extension $\tilde{G}: \tilde{P} \hookrightarrow Q$ of G .

We use the same vocabulary and notations with \mathcal{Z} than with $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}$. Namely, $\mathcal{Z}(Q) := \bigcup_{G \text{ finite}} \mathcal{Z}(G)$ and we say that Z is G -discretisable or that G is adapted to Z when $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$.

REMARK 2.7. In the case where Q is a cube of \mathbb{Z}^n , the conditions of Definition 2.5 can be simplified. Indeed, for each cube c of Q , the function $\mathbb{1}_c$ is G -discretisable, so $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{step}}(G) = \mathcal{Z}(G)$. Moreover, id_Q is a refinement of any grid function over Q . As a consequence, a stability condition Z over Q lies in $\mathcal{Z}(Q)$ if and only if it is of the form

$$Z_{\alpha,\beta}([V]) = \sum_{y \in Q} (\beta(y) + \sqrt{-1}\alpha(y)) \dim V_y$$

where $\alpha: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is compactly supported and $\beta: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is integrable. Furthermore, any grid function $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$ where Q is a cube of \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{Z}^n induces an assignment

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Z}(Q) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}(P) \\ Z & \mapsto & G^*Z \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$ be a finite grid function and let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$. Then for every nonzero P -persistence module U :*

$$U \text{ is } G^*Z\text{-semistable} \implies G_*U \text{ is } Z\text{-semistable.}$$

We defer the proof of Proposition 2.8 to the next Subsection. We now state our existence theorem for HN filtrations of discretisable persistence modules:

THEOREM 2.9. *Assume that either*

- (i) Q is an upper semilattice and $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}(Q)$
- (ii) Q is a cube of \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{Z}^n and $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$

Then, every nonzero discretisable Q -persistence module $V \in \text{Pers}_{fp}(Q)$ has a unique HN filtration along Z . Moreover, for each $f: P \hookrightarrow Q$ adapted to Z with P finite, the following diagram is well-defined and commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pers}(P) & \xrightarrow{\langle -, f^*Z \rangle} & \text{Filtr}(\text{Pers}(P)) \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* \\ \text{Pers}_{fp}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\langle -, Z \rangle} & \text{Filtr}(\text{Pers}_{fp}(Q)) \end{array} \quad (7)$$

where f is either (i) an inclusion of upper semilattices or (ii) a grid function.

PROOF. Let $f: P \hookrightarrow Q$ be adapted to Z with P finite. Recall from Subsection 1.6 that HN filtrations exist in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(P) = \text{Pers}(P)$ along any stability condition. By combining Lemma 1.12 and Propositions 2.3 and 2.8, we obtain that the diagram (7) is well-defined and commutes.

Given a nonzero $V \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$, there exists $f: P \hookrightarrow Q$ with P finite adapted to both Z and V . By diagram (7), the HN filtration $\langle V, Z \rangle \simeq \langle f_* f^* V, Z \rangle$ is well-defined. \square

The above Theorem can be generalised to the case of infinite grid functions:

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$ be a (potentially infinite) grid function and let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$. Then, for $U \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(P)$, we have $\langle G_* U, Z \rangle = G_* \langle U, G^* Z \rangle$.*

PROOF. By Remark 2.7, we have $G^* Z \in \mathcal{Z}(P)$ and by Theorem 2.9, both of the assignments $\langle -, Z \rangle: \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(P) \rightarrow \text{Filtr}(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(P))$ and $\langle -, G^* Z \rangle: \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Filtr}(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q))$ are well-defined. Let $U \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(P) \setminus \{0\}$, there is a finite grid function $G': P' \hookrightarrow P$ such that U is G' -discretisable. We can choose G' such that Z lies in $\mathcal{Z}_{G \circ G'}(Q)$. Indeed, we only need to refine G' so that for all $p' \in P'$, either $\text{cub}_{G \circ G'}(p')$ does not intersect the support of $\mathfrak{S}Z$ or $\text{cub}_{G'}(p')$ has cardinal 1.

Assume that U is $G^* Z$ -semistable and let U' be a G' -discretisation of U . By Lemma 1.11, U' is $(G \circ G')^* Z$ -semistable, and by Proposition 2.8, the Q -persistence module $G_* U \simeq (G \circ G')_* U'$ is Z -semistable. Finally, with Lemma 1.12 applied to G , we have $\langle G_* U, Z \rangle = G_* \langle U, G^* Z \rangle$. \square

2.3. Proof of Proposition 2.8. Fix a finite grid function $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$, a stability condition $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ and a nonzero P -persistence module U which is $G^* Z$ -semistable. Like in the proof of Proposition 2.3, the key to show that $G_* U$ is Z -semistable is to pixelate discretisable submodules $H_* X \subset G_* U$ while controlling their slope. In order to do so, we first study how the slope of $H_* X$ evolves when the grid function H is perturbed.

Let $H^\bullet: R \rightarrow Q$ be a family of grid functions indexed by an interval C of \mathbb{R} of positive length. Namely, the (potentially improper) grid functions $(H^x)_{x \in C}$ all have the same (co)domain, but their values are parametrised by x . Let $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $p \in R_i$.

DEFINITION 2.11. The family $H^\bullet: R \rightarrow Q$ of grid functions is said to be *affine at (i, p)* if $x \mapsto H_i^x(p) \in Q_i$ is affine and for each other $1 \leq i' \leq n$ and $p' \in R_{i'}$, the function $x \mapsto H_{i'}^x(p')$ is constant.

Henceforth, we assume that H^\bullet is affine at (i, p) with $p \in R_i \setminus \{\inf R_i, \sup R_i\}$ and that the constant $\frac{dH_i^x(p)}{dx}$ is strictly positive. The largest possible interval of parameters is the closed interval $C := [x^-, x^+]$ such that $H_i^{x^\pm}(p)$ coincides with the constant $H_i^x(p \pm 1)$. The

restriction of H^x to

$$R' := \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} R_j \right) \times (R_i \setminus \{p\}) \times \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^n R_j \right) \quad (8)$$

can be identified with a grid function $\hat{H}: \hat{R} \rightarrow Q$. Indeed, the functions $x \mapsto H^x(p')$ are constant for each $p' \in R'$ and there is an isomorphism of posets $\hat{\tau}$, independent of x , between R' and a cube \hat{R} of \mathbb{Z}^n .

We denote respectively by π_i and e_i the i -th orthogonal projection and the i -th canonical basis vector in a cube of either \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{Z}^n .

LEMMA 2.12. *Using the above notation, let $H^\bullet: R \rightarrow Q$ be affine at some (i, p) , let c be a face of a bounded cube induced by an extension of \hat{H} and let $X \in \text{Pers}(R)$.*

If $\pi_i(c)$ is not a point, the function $a: x \in [x^-, x^+] \mapsto \delta_c(\underline{\dim}_{H_^x X}) \in \mathbb{R}$ is affine. Otherwise, $a|_{(x^-, x^+]}$ is constant and $a(x^-) - a(x^+)$ is a nonnegative linear combination of $(\dim X_r - \dim X_{r-e_i})_{r \in \pi_i^{-1}(p)}$.*

PROOF. The function a decomposes as

$$a(x) = \sum_{r \in R} \dim X_r \prod_{j=1}^n \delta_{\pi_j(c)}(\mathbb{1}_{\text{cub}_{H_j^x}(r_j)})$$

where r_j is the j -th component of r . Observe that the function $x \mapsto \text{cub}_{H_j^x}(r_j)$ is constant unless $i = j$ and $r_j \in \{p-1, p\}$. Hence, we only need to compute the functions $b_s: x \mapsto \delta_{\pi_i(c)}(\mathbb{1}_{\text{cub}_{H_i^x}(s)})$ for $s \in \{p-1, p\}$.

By definition, $\pi_i(c)$ is either a face of a bounded interval delimited by \hat{H}_i or is included in an unbounded interval delimited by \hat{H}_i . Hence, b_s can only be nonzero for $\pi_i(c) = [H_i^x(p-1), H_i^x(p+1))$ or $\pi_i(c) = \{H_i^x(p-1)\}$. In the first case, we have $\text{cub}_{H_i^x}(s) \subset \pi_i(c)$ for all $x \in [x^-, x^+]$ so

$$b_s(x) = \text{len}(\text{cub}_{H_i^x}(s)) = \begin{cases} H_i^x(p) - H_i^x(p-1) & \text{if } s = p-1 \\ H_i^x(p+1) - H_i^x(p) & \text{if } s = p \end{cases}$$

which is affine. In the second case, we have

$$b_s(x) = \mathbb{1}_{H_i^x(p-1) \in \text{cub}_{H_i^x}(s)} = \begin{cases} 1 - \mathbb{1}_{x^-} & \text{if } s = p-1 \\ \mathbb{1}_{x^-} & \text{if } s = p \end{cases}$$

which is constant on $(x^-, x^+]$. We rewrite the function a as

$$a(x) = C + \sum_{r \in \pi_i^{-1}(p)} a_r (\dim X_r b_p + \dim X_{r-e_i} b_{p-1})$$

where $C = \sum_{\pi_i(r) \notin \{p-1, p\}} \dim X_r \delta_c(\mathbb{1}_{\text{cub}_{H^x}(r)})$ and $a_r = \prod_{j \neq i} \delta_{\pi_j(c)}(\mathbb{1}_{\text{cub}_{H_j^x}(r_j)})$. The result follows from the expression of $b_s(x)$ and the fact that C and a_r are nonnegative constants. \square

PROPOSITION (Restatement of Proposition 2.8). *Given a finite grid function $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$ and a stability condition $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$, the pushforward G_*U of a G^*Z -semistable nonzero P -persistence module U is Z -semistable.*


PROOF. Let U be a G^*Z -semistable nonzero P -persistence module and let $H: R \hookrightarrow Q$ be a finite refinement of G . We prove by induction over the cardinal

$$N_H := \left| \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \text{Im } H_i \setminus \text{Im } G_i \right|$$

that every nonzero H -discretisable submodule $W \subset G_*U$ satisfies $\mu_Z(W) \leq \mu_Z(G_*U)$. We denote by X the R -persistence module H^*W .

Base Case: when $N_H = 0$, we can assume that $G = H$ and we have $\mu_Z(W) = \mu_Z(G_*X) = \mu_{G^*Z}(X) \leq \mu_{G^*Z}(U) = \mu_Z(G_*U)$.

Induction Case: assume that $N_H > 0$ and that the result holds for all refinements H' of G such that $N_{H'} = N_H - 1$. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let $p \in \text{Im } H_i \setminus \text{Im } G_i$. There is a family of finite grid functions $H^\bullet: R \rightarrow Q$ affine at (i, p) such that $H = H^x$ for some x . Note that when $p = \inf R_i$, one has $H(p) \in \text{cub}_G(-\infty)$ so $H_*^x X \simeq W$ for all x . We will investigate later the case $p = \sup R_i$ and assume that $p \notin \{\inf R_i, \sup R_i\}$. Like in the above discussion, we parametrise H^\bullet by $[x^-, x^+]$ such that $H_i^{x^\pm}(p) = H_i(p \pm 1)$.



We consider the two Q -persistence modules $W^\pm := (H^{x^\pm})_*X$ and we prove that the following partial function admits a maximum which is reached either at x^- or at x^+

$$m: \begin{cases} [x^-, x^+] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x & \longmapsto \mu_Z(H_*^x X) \end{cases} .$$

m is monotonic on (x^-, x^+) : since $W \subset G_*U$ and $p \notin \text{Im } G_i$, we have that for every $r \in \pi_i^{-1}(p)$, the linear map $X_{r-e_i} \rightarrow X_r$ is a restriction of the isomorphism $(G_*U)_{H(r-e_i)} \rightarrow (G_*U)_{H(r)}$ and is hence injective. By Lemma 2.12, the nonnegative function $x \in [x^-, x^+] \mapsto \beta(\underline{\dim}_{H_*^x X})$ is affine. Moreover, since the family $(\dim X_r - \dim X_{r-e_i})_{r \in \pi_i^{-1}(p)}$ is nonnegative, $x \in [x^-, x^+] \mapsto \alpha(\underline{\dim}_{H_*^x X})$ is the sum of an affine function and of $\lambda \mathbb{1}_{x^-}$ with $\lambda \geq 0$. Hence, the function $m|_{(x^-, x^+)}$ is well-defined and monotonic as the quotient of an affine function by a strictly positive affine function.

m has a maximum and it is reached at x^- or x^+ : since $R \setminus \text{Im}(\lfloor \rfloor_{H^{x^-}}) = \pi_i^{-1}(p-1)$ and $W \neq 0$, the injectivity of $X_{r-e_i} \hookrightarrow X_r$ for $r \in \pi_i^{-1}(p)$ ensures that W^- is never null. Thus, the function m is well-defined and upper semicontinuous at x^- . If $W^+ \neq 0$, m is also well-defined at x^+ and monotonic on $(x^-, x^+]$. It thus admits a maximum which is reached at x^- or x^+ . Otherwise, if $W^+ = 0$, we then have that both $x \mapsto \alpha(\underline{\dim}_{H_*^x X})$ and $x \mapsto \beta(\underline{\dim}_{H_*^x X})$ are affine on $(x^-, x^+]$ and null at x^+ . Whence, m is constant on (x^-, x^+) and we have $m(x^-) \geq m(x)$ for all $x \in [x^-, x^+)$.

Case $p = \sup R_i$: if $p = \sup R_i$, we write $x^+ := +\infty$ and $W^+ := (H_{R'})_*X$. The function $x \in (x^-, x^+) \mapsto \alpha(\underline{\dim}_{H_*^x X})$ is constant while $x \in (x^-, x^+) \mapsto \beta(\underline{\dim}_{H_*^x X})$ is decreasing and positive. Hence, like in the general case, m is monotonic on (x^-, x^+) , upper semicontinuous at x^- and – if $W^+ \neq 0$ – continuous at $x^+ = +\infty$. Moreover, as before, $W^+ = 0$ implies that m is constant on (x^-, x^+) .

In any case, we found a nonzero $W' \in \{W^-, W^+\}$ such that $\mu_Z(W') \geq \mu_Z(W)$.

W' is submodule of G_*U : there is a finite grid function $\tau: P \hookrightarrow R$, independent of x , such that $G = H^x \circ \tau$ for all $x \in [x^-, x^+]$. Indeed, using the notation in (8), each H^x is a refinement of \hat{H} (with an associated finite grid function $\hat{\tau}$ independent of x) which is itself a refinement of G . By definition, $W' = H_*^{x^\pm} H^* W$, and by Lemma 1.4(ii),

$$W' \subset H_*^{x^\pm} H^* G_* U \simeq H^{x^\pm} H^* H_* \tau_* U \simeq H_*^{x^\pm} \tau_* U \simeq G_* U.$$

Finally, $0 \neq W' \subset G_*U$ is \hat{H} -discretisable and by induction hypothesis, $\mu_Z(W) \leq \mu_Z(W') \leq \mu_Z(G_*U)$. \square

2.4. HN filtered rank invariants. For this subsection Q is either \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{Z}^n . Let $x \in Q$, the map of posets

$$T_x: y \in Q \mapsto x + y \in Q$$

is called the x -shift. Its pullback $T_x^*: V \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q) \mapsto V \circ T_x \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ induces an endofunctor of $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ which we call the x -shift functor.

We fix a stability condition $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q) \cup \mathcal{Z}^{\text{eval}}(Q)$ like in Theorem 2.9. Following the idea behind the skyscraper invariant of computing HN filtrations along translations of Z , we define the following invariant of discretisable Q -persistence modules:

DEFINITION 2.13. The *HN filtered rank invariant* of $V \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ along Z is the filtration $(s_{Z,V}^\theta)_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{OPP}}}$ of integer-valued functions on $Q \times Q$ given by

$$s_{Z,V}^\theta: \begin{cases} Q \times Q & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\} \\ (x, y) & \mapsto \begin{cases} \text{rank}(\langle T_x^* V, Z \rangle_{0 \leq y-x}^\theta) & \text{if } x \leq y \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.9 ensures that $s_{Z,V}^\theta$ is well-defined and that for $(x, y) \in Q \times Q$, the integer $s_{Z,V}^\theta(x, y)$ can be computed in any suitable discretisation of V . Note that by Lemma 1.12, we can translate Z instead of V . Namely, for $x \leq y$ in Q and $V \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$, we have

$$s_{Z,V}^\theta(x, y) = \text{rank}(\langle V, T_*^x Z \rangle)_{x \leq y},$$

where $T_*^x Z := (T_x^*)^* Z$ is the pullback of Z by T_x^* like in Equation (2).

PROPOSITION 2.14. *There is $\theta_{\min} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\theta \leq \theta_{\min} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $V \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$, we have*

$$s_{Z,V}^\theta = \rho_V.$$

PROOF. Given $x \in Q$, by definition, $s_{Z,V}^\theta(x, \bullet) = \rho_V(x, \bullet)$ for θ small enough. We now find a uniform lower bound for θ . Assume that $Z = Z_{\alpha,\beta}$ lies in $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ for some finite grid function $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$. Following Remark 2.6, we write

$$\alpha = \sum_c a_c \delta_c \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \sum_c b_c \delta_c$$

where the sums are over the partition into cubes delimited by an extension of G . Given $W \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$, we have the inequalities

$$\alpha(\underline{\dim}_W) \geq \min(0, \min_c a_c) \sum_c \delta_c(\underline{\dim}_W)$$

$$\beta(\underline{\dim}_W) \geq \min(b_c) \sum_c \delta_c(\underline{\dim}_W)$$

where the minima and sums are over the bounded cubes $\text{cub}_G(x)$ delimited by G . Let θ_{\min} be the real number $\min(0, \min_c a_c) / \min_c(b_c)$. If $V^0 \subsetneq V^1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq V^{\ell-1} \subsetneq V^\ell = T_x^* V$ is the HN filtration of $T_x^* V$ along Z , we have $\mu_Z(V^\ell / V^{\ell-1}) \geq \theta_{\min}$. Then for $\theta \leq \theta_{\min}$, we have $\langle T_x^* V, Z \rangle^\theta = T_x^* V$ and $s_{Z,V}^\theta(x, y) = \text{rank}(T_x^* V)_{0 \leq y-x} = \rho_V(x, y)$. \square

The *continuous skyscraper invariant* is the HN filtered rank invariant along $Z_{\delta_{\{0\}}, \beta}$ where $\beta \in \mathcal{F}(Q, \mathbb{R})^*$ is any positive linear form. It is closely related to the finite version of the skyscraper invariant defined in (4). Indeed, by combining Theorem 2.9 and [20, Proposition 3.3], one gets that for all $x \in Q$, the function $s_{Z_{\delta_0, \beta}, V}^\bullet(x, \bullet)$ can be computed as the HN type of the discretisation $G^* V$ along the stability condition $G^* T_*^x Z_{\delta_0, \beta} = (T_{-x} \circ G)^* Z_{\delta_0, \beta}$ where G is a finite grid function adapted to V whose image contains x . Moreover, we will see in Appendix A, that if β is induced by a step function, then $s_{Z_{\delta_0, \beta}, V}^\bullet(x, \bullet)$ only needs

to be evaluated at finitely many values of $x \in Q$. Conversely, given a finite grid function $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$, the HN type of $U \in \text{Pers}(P)$ along a skyscraper weight at $p \in P$ can be read from the filtered rank invariant of G_*U along the stability condition $Z_{\delta_{G(p)}, \beta}$ for some choice of positive $\beta \in \mathcal{F}(Q, \mathbb{R})^*$.

3. Stability theorems

The rank invariant, equipped with the erosion distance introduced by Patel [35] has been shown to be stable [27] with respect to the interleaving distance. We extend this result to the HN filtered rank invariants obtained in Definition 2.13. The HN filtrations also induce filtered persistence landscapes which are a generalisation of the multiparameter persistence landscapes introduced by Vipond [38]. As a consequence of the above result, this last invariant is also stable. For this section, the poset Q will be either \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{Z}^n .

3.1. Functoriality of HN filtrations. The key to adapt the existing stability theorems to the case of HN filtered rank invariants will be the functoriality of HN filtrations. We restate [24, Theorem 2.8] using Remark 1.13:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let P be a finite poset and let Z be a stability condition on $\text{Pers}(P)$. Then for every map $f: U \rightarrow U'$ in $\text{Pers}(P)$ and every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$f(\langle U, Z \rangle^\theta) \subset \langle U', Z \rangle^\theta.$$

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $f: V \rightarrow V'$ be a map in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ and let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$. Then for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$f(\langle V, Z \rangle^\theta) \subset \langle V', Z \rangle^\theta.$$

PROOF. Assume that V and V' are in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ and choose a finite grid function $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$ such that $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ and V and V' both have a G -discretisation, respectively U and U' . By Proposition 3.1, G^*f induces a map of P -persistence modules $\tilde{f}: \langle U, G^*Z \rangle^\theta \rightarrow \langle U', G^*Z \rangle^\theta$ where $\tilde{f} = G^*(G_*U \simeq V \xrightarrow{f} V' \simeq G_*U')$. By Theorem 2.9, \tilde{f} extends to a map

$$G_*\tilde{f}: \langle G_*U, Z \rangle^\theta \rightarrow \langle G_*U', Z \rangle^\theta.$$

Finally, by exactness of G_* , the map $\langle V, Z \rangle^\theta \simeq \langle G_*U, Z \rangle^\theta \xrightarrow{G_*\tilde{f}} \langle G_*U', Z \rangle^\theta \simeq \langle V', Z \rangle^\theta$ is the restriction of f . Hence, $f(\langle V, Z \rangle^\theta) \subset \langle V', Z \rangle^\theta$ in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$. \square

3.2. Stability of HN filtered rank invariants. The x -shift map of V is the map of Q -persistence modules $\text{sh}_V^x: V \rightarrow T_x^*V$ defined by $(\text{sh}_V^x)_y := V_{y \leq x+y}$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, $\vec{\varepsilon}$ denotes the vector $(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon) \in Q$ and we see Q and $\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ as posetal categories (associated with the standard partial order). We recall the definition of the following two extended pseudometrics:

DEFINITION 3.3 ([30]). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, an ε -interleaving between two Q -persistence modules V and W is a pair of maps $f: V \rightarrow T_{\vec{\varepsilon}}^*W$ and $g: W \rightarrow T_{\vec{\varepsilon}}^*V$ in $\text{Pers}(Q)$ such that

$$T_{\vec{\varepsilon}}^*g \circ f = \text{sh}_V^{2\vec{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\vec{\varepsilon}}^*f \circ g = \text{sh}_W^{2\vec{\varepsilon}}.$$

The *interleaving distance* between V and W , denoted by $d_I(V, W)$, is the infimum over $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that there is an ε -interleaving between V and W .

DEFINITION 3.4 ([35, 27]). Consider two functors

$$F, G: Q^{\text{opp}} \times Q \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\})^{\text{opp}}.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that there is an ε -erosion between F and G if for all $(a, b) \in Q^{\text{opp}} \times Q$

$$F(a - \vec{\varepsilon}, b + \vec{\varepsilon}) \leq G(a, b) \quad \text{and} \quad G(a - \vec{\varepsilon}, b + \vec{\varepsilon}) \leq F(a, b).$$

The *erosion distance* between F and G , denoted by $d_E(F, G)$, is the infimum over $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that there is an ε -erosion between F and G .

An example of such functors is the rank invariant ρ_V of some persistence module V . In particular, it is known to be stable with respect to the erosion and interleaving distances:

THEOREM 3.5 ([27, Theorem 6.2][36, Theorem 3.11]). *Given two Q persistence modules V and W , we have $d_E(\rho_V, \rho_W) \leq d_I(V, W)$ where d_I is the interleaving distance.*

We fix a stability condition $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ and two persistence modules V and W in $\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$. For convenience, we drop Z from the $s_{Z, V}^{\theta}$ notation. The main argument of the proof of Theorem 3.5 can be adapted to our setting and results in the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $x \leq x' \leq y' \leq y$ in Q and assume the existence of maps*

$$f: V \rightarrow T_{x'-x}^*W \quad \text{and} \quad g: W \rightarrow T_{y-y'}^*V$$

such that $g \circ f = \text{sh}_V^{(y-x)-(y'-x')}$. Then for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, one has $s_V^{\theta}(x, y) \leq s_W^{\theta}(x', y')$.

PROOF. The properties of f and g make the following diagram well-defined and commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle T_x^*V, Z \rangle_0^{\theta} & \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} & \langle T_x^*V, Z \rangle_{y-x}^{\theta} \\ & \searrow f_x & \nearrow g_{y'} \\ & T_x^*f(\langle T_x^*V, Z \rangle_0^{\theta}) & \longrightarrow & T_x^*f(\langle T_x^*V, Z \rangle_{y'-x'}^{\theta}) \end{array}$$

Here, the top horizontal map is the restriction of $V_{x \leq y} = (T_x^*V)_{0 \leq y-x}$ to the submodule $\langle T_x^*V, Z \rangle^{\theta} \subset T_x^*V$. By definition, its rank is $s_V^{\theta}(x, y)$. The bottom horizontal map is the restriction of $W_{x' \leq y'} = T_{x'}^*W_{0 \leq y'-x'}$ to the submodule $(T_x^*f)(\langle T_x^*V, Z \rangle^{\theta}) \subset T_x^*W$. We denote its rank as $s(x', y')$.

Since the above diagram commutes, we have $s_V^\theta(x, y) \leq s(x', y')$. Moreover, Corollary 3.2 implies that $(T_x^* f)(\langle T_x^* V, Z \rangle^\theta) \subset \langle T_{x'}^* W, Z \rangle^\theta$. Finally, $s_V^\theta(x, y) \leq s(x', y') \leq s_W^\theta(x', y')$. \square

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ be a stability condition over Q and let $V, W \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ be two Q -persistence modules, then for each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, their HN filtered rank invariant $s_{Z,V}^\theta$ and $s_{Z,W}^\theta$ are functors $Q^{\text{opp}} \times Q \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\})^{\text{opp}}$. Moreover,*

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} d_E(s_{Z,V}^\theta, s_{Z,W}^\theta) \leq d_I(V, W).$$

PROOF. Let $V \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ and let $x \leq x' \leq y' \leq y$, the shift maps $\text{sh}_V^{x'-x}$ and $\text{sh}_V^{y-y'}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.6. Hence, $s_V^\theta(x, y) \leq s_V^\theta(x', y')$ or in other words $s_V^\theta : Q^{\text{opp}} \times Q \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\})^{\text{opp}}$ is a functor.

Let $V, W \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ and assume that there is an ε -interleaving $f: V \leftrightarrow W : g$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we show that $d_E(s_V^\theta, s_W^\theta) \leq \varepsilon$. For $a \leq b$ in Q , the maps f and g satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.6 with $(x \leq x' \leq y' \leq y) := (a - \bar{\varepsilon} \leq a \leq b \leq b + \bar{\varepsilon})$. Hence, $s_V^\theta(a - \bar{\varepsilon}, b + \bar{\varepsilon}) \leq s_W^\theta(a, b)$ and by symmetry $s_W^\theta(a - \bar{\varepsilon}, b + \bar{\varepsilon}) \leq s_V^\theta(a, b)$. \square

REMARK 3.8. Theorem 3.5 for discretisable persistence modules is a special case of Theorem 3.7 obtained when the image of $Z: K(\text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is included in \mathbb{R} .

NOTATION 3.9. Given two Q -persistence modules $V, V' \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$ and a stability condition $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$, we write

$$d_{\text{HN}}(V, V') := \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} d_E(s_{Z,V}^\theta, s_{Z,W}^\theta)$$

and we call this extended pseudometric the *HN distance* along Z .

3.3. Stability of HN filtered landscapes. The HN filtered rank invariants induce filtered persistence landscapes. We show that those are also stable.

DEFINITION 3.10. Given a functor $s: Q^{\text{opp}} \times Q \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\})^{\text{opp}}$, the *persistence landscape* of s is the function $\lambda_s \in L^\infty(\mathbb{N} \times Q)$ given for $(k, x) \in \mathbb{N} \times Q$ by

$$\lambda_s(k, x) := \sup \{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid s(x - h, x + h) \geq k, \forall \|h\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \}.$$

The persistence landscape introduced in [11, 38] is the invariant $V \in \text{Pers}(Q) \mapsto \lambda_{\rho_V} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{N} \times Q)$. They are stable with respect to the interleaving distance [38, Theorem 30].

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Given two functors $r, s: Q^{\text{opp}} \times Q \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\})^{\text{opp}}$ we have*

$$\|\lambda_r - \lambda_s\|_\infty \leq d_E(r, s).$$

PROOF. Let $\varepsilon > d_E(r, s)$ and let $k, x \in \mathbb{N} \times Q$. We show that $|\lambda_r(k, x) - \lambda_s(k, x)| \leq \varepsilon$. Without loss of generality, we restrict ourselves to the case where $\varepsilon \leq \lambda_r(k, x) \leq \lambda_s(k, x)$. Let $h \in Q$ such that $\|h\|_\infty \leq \lambda_r(k, x) - \varepsilon$. By definition,

$$s(x - h, x + h) \geq r(x - h - \vec{\varepsilon}, x + h + \vec{\varepsilon}) \geq k.$$

Hence, $\lambda_s(k, x) \geq \lambda_r(k, x) - \varepsilon$ and $\lambda_r(k, x) - \lambda_s(k, x) \leq d_E(r, s)$. \square

As before, let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ and let $V \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$. The *HN filtered persistence landscape* of V along Z is the filtration $(\lambda_{s_{Z,V}^\theta})_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{opp}}}$ of λ_{ρ_V} in $L^\infty(\mathbb{N} \times Q)$. The stability of this last invariant is a direct corollary of Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 3.11.

COROLLARY 3.12. *Given two discretisable persistence modules V and W , their HN filtered persistence landscapes satisfy*

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\lambda_{s_V^\theta} - \lambda_{s_W^\theta}\|_\infty \leq d_I(V, W).$$

Appendix A. Discreteness of HN filtered rank invariants

Let Q be either \mathbb{Z}^n or \mathbb{R}^n , let V be a discretisable Q -persistence module and let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ be a discretisable stability condition over Q . Example A.1 shows that, in general, the HN filtered rank invariants over Q are not discretisable functions in the sense of Subsection 1.4. We show instead that when $\Im Z$ is nonnegative, the HN filtered rank invariants over Q are constant on the parts of a subdivision of its domain into a finite number of semialgebraic sets.

EXAMPLE A.1. Let $Q = \mathbb{R}^n$, $V = \mathbb{F}_{[0,1]^n}$, $\alpha = \delta_0$ and $\beta: f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} bf$ where $b > 0$ is an integrable step function such that $b|_{[0,1]^n} = 1$. Given $\theta > 0$ and $x \leq y$ in \mathbb{R}^n , the rank $s_{Z_{\alpha,\beta},V}^\theta(x, y)$ lies in $\{0, 1\}$. Moreover, $s_{Z_{\alpha,\beta},V}^\theta(x, y) = 1$ if and only if $x \leq y$ lie in $[0, 1]^n$ and $\text{vol}(\langle x \rangle \cap [0, 1]^n) \leq 1/\theta$. This last condition is a polynomial inequality of degree $n + 1$ in $(x, y, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

The wall-chamber structure of the space of stability conditions has been well-studied for representations of finite quivers [24] and more generally for modules over a finite-dimensional algebra [10]. For the purpose of this paper, we use the following definitions inspired by [24, Section 3]. Let P be a finite poset and let $U \in \text{Pers}(P)$. Following equation (3), we see a stability condition \hat{Z} over P as a vector of $\mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^P$. A *wall system* $\{W^i\}_I$ for U is a set of codimension 1 algebraic subvarieties of $\mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}^P$ such that for each $I' \subset I$, and each connected component C of $(\bigcap_{I'} W^i) \setminus (\bigcup_{I'} W^i)$, the HN filtration $0 \subsetneq U^1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq U^\ell = U$ of U along \hat{Z} does not depend on $\hat{Z} \in C \cap (\mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^P)$. In that case, the semialgebraic sets $C \cap (\mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^P)$ are called *chambers* and each W^i is called a *wall*. A key

observation is that the codimension 1 algebraic subvarieties among

$$\left(\left\{ \widehat{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^P \mid \frac{\Im \widehat{Z}(d)}{\Re \widehat{Z}(d)} = \frac{\Im \widehat{Z}(d')}{\Re \widehat{Z}(d')} \right\} \right)_{0 \leq d, d' \leq \dim U} \quad (9)$$

provide a finite wall system for U .

In order to extend the wall-chamber structure to the infinite case, we first study common refinements between two families of grid functions. Let $(G^{1,x}: P^1 \hookrightarrow Q)_x$ and $(G^{2,x}: P^2 \hookrightarrow Q)_x$ be two families of grid functions over Q whose values (but not their domains) depend on a parameter $x \in C$. An *independent common refinement* of $(G^{1,x})_x$ and $(G^{2,x})_x$ is a choice for each x of a common refinement $G^x: P \hookrightarrow Q$ of $G^{1,x}$ and $G^{2,x}$ such that there are two grid functions $\tau^1: P^1 \hookrightarrow P$ and $\tau^2: P^2 \hookrightarrow P$ independent of x which fit for all x into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P^1 & \xleftarrow{\tau^1} & P & \xleftarrow{\tau^2} & P^2 \\ & \searrow G^{1,x} & \downarrow G^x & \swarrow G^{2,x} & \\ & & Q & & \end{array} \quad (10)$$

LEMMA A.2. *If for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $(p^1, p^2) \in P_i^1 \times P_i^2$, the functions*

$$x \mapsto \left(G_i^{1,x}(p^1) - G_i^{2,x}(p^2) \right) \quad (11)$$

have all constant sign (in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$), then $(G^{1,x})_x$ and $(G^{2,x})_x$ have an independent common refinement (G^x) whose image is $\text{Im } G^x = \prod_i \text{Im } G_i^{1,x} \cup \text{Im } G_i^{2,x}$.

PROOF. We first assume that $n = 1$. A minimal common refinement $G: P \hookrightarrow Q$ of two 1-dimensional grid functions G^1 and G^2 over Q is a grid function $G: P \cong \text{Im } G^1 \cup \text{Im } G^2 \subset Q$ and is unique up to translations of $P \subset \mathbb{Z}$. For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, the grid function $\tau^k := (G|_{P \rightarrow \text{Im } G})^{-1} \circ G^k$ from P^k to P is entirely determined by the signs of $\tau^k(p^k) - p$ for $p^k \in P^k$ and $p \in P$. By minimality, $G(p)$ is of the form $G^\ell(p^\ell)$ with $p^\ell \in P^\ell$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2\}$ and we have

$$\text{sign}(\tau^k(p^k) - p) = \text{sign}(G^k(p^k) - G(p)) = \begin{cases} \text{sign}(p^k - p^k) & \text{if } \ell = k \\ \text{sign}(G^k(p^k) - G^\ell(p^\ell)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence, if we parametrise G^1 and G^2 by x , the grid function τ^k clearly fits into (10) and only depends on P^k and the signs of the functions in (11).

Assume now that $n > 1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the existence of the i -th component of G^x is obtained by applying the result of the Lemma to $(G_i^{1,x})_x$ and $(G_i^{2,x})_x$. \square

A family of grid functions $G^x: P \hookrightarrow Q$ indexed by x is said to be *affine* if $x \in C \mapsto G^x(p) \in Q$ is affine in x for each $p \in P$. For $x \in Q$, let $T_x: Q \rightarrow Q$ denote the x -shift and let $G^0: \{0\} \rightarrow Q$ denote the null grid function over Q . Let $G^V: P^V \hookrightarrow Q$ and $G^Z: P^Z \hookrightarrow Q$

be finite grid functions adapted to respectively V and Z . We further choose an extension $\tilde{G}^Z: \mathbb{Z}^n \hookrightarrow Q$ of G^Z such that $\Re Z$ is induced by a \tilde{G}^Z -discretisable function.

LEMMA A.3. *There exists a partition \mathcal{P} of Q into a finite number of cubes such that for each part $C \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a finite affine independent common refinement $(G^x: P \rightarrow Q)_{x \in C}$ of $(T_{-x} \circ G^V)_x$, $(G^Z)_x$ and $(G^0)_x$ so that the function*

$$x \in C \mapsto (G^x)^*Z \in \mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^P$$

is a polynomial in x whenever C is bounded.

PROOF.

Construction of \mathcal{P} and G^x : The functions in (11) with $((G^{1,x}), (G^{2,x}))$ being every possible pair of elements of $\{(T_{-x} \circ G^V), G^Z, G^0\}$ delimit a finite partition $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ of Q where inside each part, the sign (in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$) of each of those functions is constant. Moreover, since G^Z and G^0 are independent of x and each $(T_{-x} \circ G^V)_i$ is affine in x_i and independent of $(x_j)_{j \neq i}$, the parts of this partition are cubes.

Let C be a cube in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$, by Lemma A.2, there is a finite independent common refinement $G^x: P \hookrightarrow Q$ of $(T_{-x} \circ G^V)$, G^Z and G^0 indexed by $x \in C$. And we can choose $(G^x)_x$ to be affine since $(T_{-x} \circ G^V)$, G^Z and G^0 are all affine.

Let C be a bounded cube in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$. Since (G^x) is affine, there is a bounded cube B of Q which contains $\text{Im } G^x$ for each $x \in C$. Hence, $\text{Im } \tilde{G}_i^Z \cap B_i$ is finite for all i , and by Lemma A.2, we can further partition C into a finite number of cubes C' inside which (G^x) and \tilde{G}^Z have an affine independent common refinement $(\tilde{G}^x: \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow Q)$. Let \mathcal{P} be the refinement of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ induced by this partition of each bounded $C \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}$.

Semialgebraicity of $(G^x)^*Z$: Assume that $C \in \mathcal{P}$ is bounded. For $x \in C$, we denote by $Z^x = (\Im Z^x, \Re Z^x) \in \mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^P$ the stability condition $(G^x)^*Z$. Let $\tau: P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a grid function such that $\tilde{G}^x \circ \tau = G^x$ for all $x \in C$. Fix $p \in P$, one can check that for $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$\text{cub}_{\tilde{G}^x}(z) \cap \text{cub}_{G^x}(p) = \begin{cases} \text{cub}_{\tilde{G}^x}(z) & \text{if } z \in \text{cub}_\tau(p) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So for a face c of a cube of the form $\text{cub}_{\tilde{G}^x}(z)$, the map $x \in C \mapsto \delta_c(\mathbb{1}_{\text{cub}_{G^x}(p)})$ is polynomial of degree at most n . Since $\Im Z_p^x$ is a linear combination of finitely many such maps, it is also polynomial in $x \in C$. By independence of \tilde{G}^x , there is $b: \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for every $x \in C$ we have $\Re Z^x([V]) = \int_Q (\tilde{G}_*^x b) \underline{\dim}_V$. The function $x \in C \mapsto \Re Z_p^x$ can now be expressed as the pointwise limit when $N \rightarrow +\infty$ of the polynomials

$$R_N: x \in C \mapsto \sum_{z \in [-N, N]^n \cap \text{cub}_\tau(p)} b_z \text{vol}(\text{cub}_{\tilde{G}^x}(z)).$$

whose variables are the x_i such that C_i is not a point. Finally, since the degree of R_N is bounded by n , by Lagrangian approximation, the coefficients of R_N are also bounded and the limit $\mathfrak{R}Z_p^x$ is itself polynomial. \square

DEFINITION A.4 ([34, Section 1]). A function $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ from a real algebraic set X is called *semialgebraically constructible* if it can be written as a finite sum

$$\phi = \sum_i m_i \mathbb{1}_{X_i}$$

where for each i , $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and X_i is a semialgebraic subset of X .

We denote by Q_+^2 the half-space $\{(x, y) \in Q^2 \mid x \leq y\}$ of Q^2 .

PROPOSITION A.5. Assume that $\mathfrak{S}Z$ is nonnegative. The HN filtered rank invariant

$$s_{Z,V}^\bullet \begin{cases} Q_+^2 \times \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ (x, y, \theta) & \longmapsto s_{Z,V}^\theta(x, y) \end{cases}$$

is a semialgebraically constructible function.

PROOF. Let $C = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_n$ be a cube of a partition given by Lemma A.3 and let $\tilde{U} \in \text{Pers}(P^V)$ be a G^V -discretisation of V .

Unbounded below: If one of the components C_i is unbounded below, then for all $x \in C$, we have

$$s_{Z,V}^\theta(x, y) = \text{rank} \langle T_x^* V, Z \rangle_{0 \leq y-x}^\theta = \begin{cases} \text{rank } V_{x \leq y} & \text{if } \theta \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, for all $(p^V, p^Z) \in P_i^V \times P_i^Z$, the function $x_i \in C_i \mapsto G_i^V(p^V) - x_i - G_i^Z(p^Z) \in Q_i$ is of constant sign and is hence positive – meaning that the supports of $T_x^* V$ and $\mathfrak{S}Z$ are disjoint.

Bounded: If C is bounded, then using the result and notations of Lemma A.3, there is $U \in \text{Pers}(P)$ such that $T_x^* V \simeq G_*^x U$ for all $x \in C$. Indeed, one can choose $U = \tau_* \tilde{U}$ where $G^x \circ \tau = G^V$. By Theorem 2.9, we obtain $\langle G_*^x U, Z \rangle^\theta = G_*^x \langle U, (G^x)^* Z \rangle^\theta$ for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, and in particular,

$$s_{Z,V}^\theta(x, y) = \text{rank} \langle U, (G^x)^* Z \rangle_{[0]_{G^x} \leq [y-x]_{G^x}}^\theta.$$

Since $x \in C \mapsto (G^x)^* Z \in \mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^P$ is polynomial, the inverse image of the chambers defined in (9) are again semialgebraic. Fix one such semialgebraic set C' , the HN filtration $0 \subsetneq U^1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq U^\ell = U$ of U along $(G^x)^* Z$ does not depend on $x \in C'$. Let $p \in P$ and $0 \leq i \leq \ell$, the set $S_{C',p,i}$ of all $(x, y, \theta) \in C' \times Q \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$y - x \in \text{cub}_{G^x}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{(G^x)^* Z}(U^i / U^{i-1}) \geq \theta > \mu_{(G^x)^* Z}(U^{i+1} / U^i) \quad (12)$$

is semialgebraic. There are finitely many $S_{C',p,i}$ and inside each of them, $s_{Z,V}^\theta(x, y)$ is the constant given by $\text{rank}(U^i)_{0 \leq p}$.

Unbounded above: Finally, assume that each component of $C = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_n$ is either bounded or unbounded above. Let I_b and I_u denote the set of indices $1 \leq i \leq n$ where C_i is respectively bounded and unbounded above. Let \tilde{x} be the join of 0 and of $G^Z(\inf P^Z)$; for $W \in \text{Pers}_{\text{fp}}(Q)$, define the discretisable submodule $W^{\geq \tilde{x}}$ of W determined by the subspaces

$$W_x^{\geq \tilde{x}} := \begin{cases} W_x & \text{if } x \geq \tilde{x} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathfrak{S}Z$ is nonnegative, if $0 \subsetneq W^{\geq \tilde{x}} \subsetneq W$, we have $\mu_Z(W^{\geq \tilde{x}}) > \mu_Z(W)$. Hence, every Z -semistable W satisfies $W = W^{\geq \tilde{x}}$ and

$$\langle V, Z \rangle^{\geq \tilde{x}} = \langle V^{\geq \tilde{x}}, Z \rangle.$$

Let $x_u \in \prod_{i \in I_u} C_i$, the cube $C' := \{x_u\} \times \prod_{i \in I_b} C_i$ is bounded and by the previous case $C' \times Q \times \mathbb{R}$ can be partitioned into finitely many semialgebraic sets $(S_j)_j$ such that each $(s_{Z,V}^\bullet)_{|S_j}$ is constant. Given $(x, y) \in C \times Q$, we denote $x' := x_u + \pi_{I_b}(x)$ and $y' := y + x' - x$ where π_{I_b} is the projection onto $\prod_{i \in I_b} C_i$. Moreover, for $i \in I_u$ and $p_i^V \in P_i^V$, the expression $x_i \in C_i \mapsto G_i^V(p_i^V) - x_i - \tilde{x}_i$ has constant sign and is hence negative. Thus, we have $(T_x^*V)^{\geq \tilde{x}} \simeq (T_{x'}^*V)^{\geq \tilde{x}}$ and for every $y \geq x$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{Z,V}^\bullet(x, y) &= \text{rank}[\langle (T_x^*V, Z)^\bullet \rangle^{\geq \tilde{x}}]_{0 \leq y-x} = \text{rank}\langle (T_x^*V)^{\geq \tilde{x}}, Z \rangle_{0 \leq y-x}^\bullet \\ &= \text{rank}\langle (T_{x'}^*V)^{\geq \tilde{x}}, Z \rangle_{0 \leq y'-x'}^\bullet \\ &= s_{Z,V}^\bullet(x', y'). \end{aligned}$$

Since the map $\pi: (x, y, \theta) \mapsto (x', y', \theta)$ is affine, the set $C \times Q \times \mathbb{R}$ can be partitioned into finitely many semialgebraic sets $(\pi^{-1}(S^j))_j$ inside which $s_{Z,V}^\bullet$ is constant. \square

The polynomial inequalities defining the semialgebraic sets used in the proof of Proposition A.5 are given by (9), (11) and (12). Using the result from [16], they can be computed algorithmically. In general, this set of inequalities is not minimal and its size is not polynomial in $|P^V|$ and $\max \underline{\dim}_V$. However, one could hope to approximate $s_{Z,V}^\theta$ by restricting it to a fine enough regular grid function.

References

- [1] Y. André. Slope filtrations. *Confluentes Mathematici*, 1(01):1–85, 2009.
- [2] H. Asashiba, E. G. Escolar, K. Nakashima, and M. Yoshiwaki. On approximation of 2D persistence modules by interval-decomposables. *Journal of Computational Algebra*, 6:100007, 2023.
- [3] B. Blanchette, T. Brüstle, and E. J. Hanson. Homological approximations in persistence theory. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, pages 1–24, 2021.
- [4] B. Blanchette, T. Brüstle, and E. J. Hanson. Exact structures for persistence modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.01790*, 2023.
- [5] M. Botnan and M. Lesnick. An introduction to multiparameter persistence. In *Representations of Algebras and Related Structures*, pages 77–150. EMS Press, 2023.

- [6] M. B. Botnan, J. Curry, and E. Munch. A relative theory of interleavings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.14286*, 2020.
- [7] M. B. Botnan, S. Oppermann, and S. Oudot. Signed barcodes for multi-parameter persistence via rank decompositions. In *38th International Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2022)*, volume 224 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 19:1–19:18, 2022.
- [8] M. B. Botnan, S. Oppermann, S. Oudot, and L. Scoccola. On the bottleneck stability of rank decompositions of multi-parameter persistence modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.00300*, 2022.
- [9] T. Bridgeland. Stability conditions on triangulated categories. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 317–345, 2007.
- [10] T. Brüstle, D. Smith, and H. Treffinger. Wall and chamber structure for finite-dimensional algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 354:106746, 2019.
- [11] P. Bubenik. Statistical topological data analysis using persistence landscapes. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 16(1):77–102, 2015.
- [12] G. Carlsson and A. Zomorodian. The theory of multidimensional persistence. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 1(42):71–93, 2009.
- [13] A. Cerri, B. D. Fabio, M. Ferri, P. Frosini, and C. Landi. Betti numbers in multidimensional persistent homology are stable functions. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 36(12):1543–1557, 2013.
- [14] W. Chachólski, A. Jin, and F. Tombari. Realisations of posets and tameness. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.12209*, 2021.
- [15] F. Chazal, D. Cohen-Steiner, M. Glisse, L. J. Guibas, and S. Y. Oudot. Proximity of persistence modules and their diagrams. In *Proceedings of the twenty-fifth annual symposium on Computational geometry*, pages 237–246, 2009.
- [16] C.-Y. Cheng. A deterministic algorithm for Harder–Narasimhan filtrations for representations of acyclic quivers. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 18(2):319–347, 2024.
- [17] N. Clause, W. Kim, and F. Memoli. The discriminating power of the generalized rank invariant. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.11591*, 2022.
- [18] H. Edelsbrunner, D. Letscher, and A. Zomorodian. Topological persistence and simplification. In *Proceedings 41st annual symposium on foundations of computer science*, pages 454–463. IEEE, 2000.
- [19] G. Faltings. Mumford-stabilität in der algebraischen geometrie. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians: August 3–11, 1994 Zürich, Switzerland*, pages 648–655. Springer, 1995.
- [20] M. Fersztand, E. Jacquard, V. Nanda, and U. Tillmann. Harder-Narasimhan filtrations of persistence modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.16075*, 2023.
- [21] M. Fersztand, V. Nanda, and U. Tillmann. Harder-Narasimhan filtrations and zigzag persistence. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 153:102634, 2024.
- [22] G. Harder and M. S. Narasimhan. On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves. *Mathematische Annalen*, 212:215–248, 1974.
- [23] H. Harrington, N. Otter, H. Schenck, and U. Tillmann. Stratifying multiparameter persistent homology. *SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry*, 3(3):439–471, 2019.
- [24] L. Hille and J. A. de la Peña. Stable representations of quivers. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 172(2-3):205–224, 2002.
- [25] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira. Persistent homology and microlocal sheaf theory. *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology*, 2:83–113, 2018.
- [26] W. Kim and F. Mémoli. Generalized persistence diagrams for persistence modules over posets. *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology*, 5(4):533–581, 2021.
- [27] W. Kim and F. Mémoli. Spatiotemporal persistent homology for dynamic metric spaces. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 66:831–875, 2021.

- [28] A. D. King. Moduli of representations of finite dimensional algebras. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 45(4):515–530, 1994.
- [29] K. P. Knudson. A refinement of multi-dimensional persistence. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 10(1):259–281, 2008.
- [30] M. Lesnick. The theory of the interleaving distance on multidimensional persistence modules. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 15(3):613–650, 2015.
- [31] M. Lesnick and M. Wright. Interactive visualization of 2-d persistence modules. *arXiv:1512.00180*, 2015.
- [32] R. MacPherson and A. Patel. Persistent local systems. *Advances in Mathematics*, 386:107795, 2021.
- [33] A. McCleary and A. Patel. Edit distance and persistence diagrams over lattices. *SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry*, 6(2):134–155, 2022.
- [34] C. McCrory and A. Parusiński. Algebraically constructible functions. *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 30(4):527–552, 1997.
- [35] A. Patel. Generalized persistence diagrams. *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology*, 1(3-4):397–419, 2018.
- [36] V. Puuska. Erosion distance for generalized persistence modules. *Homology, Homotopy & Applications*, 22(1), 2020.
- [37] A. Rudakov. Stability for an abelian category. *Journal of Algebra*, 197(1):231–245, 1997.
- [38] O. Vipond. Multiparameter persistence landscapes. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(1):2262–2299, 2020.
- [39] A. Zomorodian and G. Carlsson. Computing persistent homology. In *Proceedings of the twentieth annual symposium on Computational geometry*, pages 347–356, 2004.