

# A variable version of the quasi-kernel conjecture

Jiangdong Ai\*, Xiangzhou Liu†, Fei Peng‡

June 14, 2024

## Abstract

A quasi-kernel of a digraph  $D$  is an independent set  $Q$  such that every vertex can reach  $Q$  in at most two steps. A 48-year conjecture made by P.L. Erdős and Székely, denoted the *small QK conjecture*, says that every sink-free digraph contains a quasi-kernel of size at most  $n/2$ . Recently, Spiro posed the *large QK conjecture*, that every sink-free digraph contains a quasi-kernel  $Q$  such that  $|N^-[Q]| \geq n/2$ , and showed that it follows from the small QK conjecture.

In this paper, we establish that the large QK conjecture implies the small QK conjecture with a weaker constant. We also show that the large QK conjecture is equivalent to a sharp version of it, answering affirmatively a question of Spiro. We formulate variable versions of these conjectures, which are still open in general.

Not many digraphs are known to have quasi-kernels of size  $(1 - \alpha)n$  or less. We show this for digraphs with bounded dichromatic number, by proving the stronger statement that every sink-free digraph contains a quasi-kernel of size at most  $(1 - 1/k)n$ , where  $k$  is the digraph's *kernel-perfect number*.

## 1 Introduction

We refer readers to [2] for the standard terminology and notation not introduced in this paper. Let  $D = (V(D), A(D))$  be a digraph. If  $xy \in A(D)$ , we say that  $y$  is an out-neighbor of  $x$ , and  $x$  is an in-neighbor of  $y$ . Let  $v \in V(D)$ . The open (or closed) out-neighborhood (or in-neighborhood) of  $v$  in  $D$  is defined as follows. (The subscript  $D$  is omitted if the underlying digraph is clear.)

$$\begin{aligned} N_D^+(v) &= \{u \in V(D) : vu \in A(D)\}, \quad N_D^+[v] = N_D^+(v) \cup \{v\}, \\ N_D^-(v) &= \{u \in V(D) : uv \in A(D)\}, \quad N_D^-[v] = N_D^-(v) \cup \{v\}. \end{aligned}$$

Given vertices  $u, v$  of a digraph  $D$ , let  $\text{dist}(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$  denote the length of a shortest directed path from  $u$  to  $v$ . With a set of vertices  $S$ , denote  $\text{dist}(u, S) = \min_{v \in S} \text{dist}(u, v)$ , and analogously  $\text{dist}(S, v) = \min_{u \in S} \text{dist}(u, v)$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} N^+(S) &= \{v \in V(D) : \text{dist}(S, v) = 1\}, \quad N^+[S] = \{v \in V(D) : \text{dist}(S, v) \leq 1\}, \\ N^-(S) &= \{u \in V(D) : \text{dist}(u, S) = 1\}, \quad N^-[S] = \{u \in V(D) : \text{dist}(u, S) \leq 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

---

\*School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China. Email: jd@nankai.edu.cn. Partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Nankai University.

†Department of Mathematics, Tiangong University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China. Email: i19991210@163.com.

‡Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119076. Email: pfpf@u.nus.edu.

coinciding with the earlier definitions if  $S$  is a singleton. As a preview of what's to come, define

$$N^{--}(S) = \{u \in V(D) : \text{dist}(u, S) = 2\}, \quad N^{--}[S] = \{u \in V(D) : \text{dist}(u, S) \leq 2\}.$$

We call an independent set  $K \subseteq V(D)$  a *kernel* of  $D$  if  $N^-[K] = V(D)$ , namely each vertex not in  $K$  has an out-neighbor in  $K$ . Not every digraph has a kernel (consider an odd dicycle), although every digraph without odd dicycles has one [8]. Chvátal and Lovász [3] introduced the notion of quasi-kernels. An independent set  $Q \subseteq V(D)$  is said to be a *quasi-kernel* of  $D$  if  $N^{--}[Q] = V(D)$ . Notably, [3] proves that every digraph has a quasi-kernel. Thus, it is natural to ask if one can always find a quasi-kernel that is small (or large). Since all the quasi-kernels in a tournament must be singletons, asking for a large quasi-kernel is not as interesting as asking for a small quasi-kernel. P.L. Erdős and Székely made the following conjecture on the existence of small quasi-kernels.

**Conjecture 1.1** (Small Quasi-kernel Conjecture [5], 1976). *If  $D$  is a sink-free digraph, then  $D$  has a quasi-kernel  $Q$  with  $|Q| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V(D)|$ .*

Here, a digraph is said to be *sink-free* if it has no sinks, where *sinks* denote the vertices without in-neighbors. *Sources* and *source-free* digraphs are defined analogously, referring to the out-neighbors. The sink-free condition cannot be removed, as can be seen by considering a digraph with many sinks. The constant  $1/2$  is the best possible, as can be seen by considering (disjoint unions of) directed 2-cycles and 4-cycles.

Conjecture 1.1 is wide open: the best bound that works for all sink-free digraphs appears to be  $|Q| \leq n - \sqrt{n}$ , where  $n$  is the number of vertices [9]. However, there have been substantial results that confirm that Conjecture 1.1 holds on certain classes of digraphs. Heard and Huang [6] showed that each sink-free digraph  $D$  has two disjoint quasi-kernels if  $D$  is semicomplete multipartite, quasi-transitive, or locally semicomplete. As a consequence, Conjecture 1.1 is true for these three classes of digraphs. Van Hulst [10] showed that Conjecture 1.1 holds for all digraphs containing kernels. Kostochka, Luo and Shan [7] proved that Conjecture 1.1 holds for digraphs with chromatic number at most 4. Ai et al. [1] proved that Conjecture 1.1 holds for one-way split digraphs. We refer the interested reader to the nice survey by P.L. Erdős et al. [4] for a more thorough overview of this problem.

Recently, Spiro introduced a way to ask for a large quasi-kernel in general: that is, to measure “largeness” not by the size of  $Q$  but by that of  $N^-[Q]$ . Note the removal of the sink-free condition below.

**Conjecture 1.2** (Large quasi-kernel conjecture, [9]). *Every digraph  $D$  has a quasi-kernel  $Q$  such that  $|N^-[Q]| \geq \frac{1}{2}|V(D)|$ .*

Interestingly, [9] shows that Conjecture 1.1 implies Conjecture 1.2, and obtains several results on both conjectures. In this paper, we show that the converse is also true to some degree: namely, Conjecture 1.2 implies Conjecture 1.1 but with a weaker constant ( $2/3$ ) instead of  $1/2$ . We utilize the following conjecture scheme to enable more extended discussions:

**Conjecture Scheme 1.3.** *Fix some  $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ . One can conjecture the following, for all digraphs  $D$  on  $n$  vertices:*

- I. (Small quasi-kernel conjecture) *If  $D$  is sink-free, then it has a quasi-kernel with size at most  $(1 - \alpha)n$ .*

- II. (Small quasi-kernel conjecture with sources)  $D$  has a quasi-kernel with size at most  $n - \alpha s$ , where  $s$  is the number of sources in  $D$  that are not sinks.
- III. (Large quasi-kernel conjecture)  $D$  has a quasi-kernel  $Q$  such that  $|N^-[Q]| \geq \alpha n$ .
- IV. (Sharp large quasi-kernel conjecture)  $D$  has a quasi-kernel  $Q$  such that  $|Q|/2 + |N^-(Q)| \geq \alpha n$ .

Our next result will focus on the first three statements. At a glance, the only obvious relationship among them is that Conjecture 1.3I implies a “sink-free version” of Conjecture 1.3II (i.e., assuming additionally that  $D$  is sink-free). It is shown in [9] that Conjecture 1.3I implies Conjecture 1.3III. Here we provide a clearer picture.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Conjecture 1.3II and Conjecture 1.3III are equivalent, and equivalent respectively to their sink-free version. Conjecture 1.3I implies Conjecture 1.3III, and Conjecture 1.3III( $\alpha$ ) implies Conjecture 1.3I( $\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}$ ).*

Since a general bound of the form  $(1 - \Theta(1))n$  is not known for Conjecture 1.1, Proposition 1.4 suggests that the large quasi-kernel conjecture is a safe but also effective target to work on: proving Conjecture 1.3III, for any  $\alpha$ , would imply a breakthrough on the small quasi-kernel conjecture. It would be interesting to know whether Conjecture 1.3III is completely equivalent to Conjecture 1.3I. Of course, a negative answer would disprove Conjecture 1.1.

**Question 1.5.** *Is Conjecture 1.3III equivalent to Conjecture 1.3I? That is, for all  $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ , if all digraphs on  $n$  vertices have a quasi-kernel  $Q$  with  $|N^-[Q]| \geq \alpha n$ , then all sink-free digraphs on  $n$  vertices have a quasi-kernel with size at most  $(1 - \alpha)n$ .*

Note that we are not trying to say that these conjectures are equivalent on the same digraph  $D$ . In fact, one can see that statements I and III cannot both be false on the same digraph.

As noted in [9], Conjecture 1.3III( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) is only asymptotically sharp. For this reason, we would like to advertise the “sharp large quasi-kernel conjecture”: every disjoint union of Eulerian tournaments of arbitrary sizes witnesses the sharpness (if true) of Conjecture 1.3IV( $\frac{1}{2}$ ). In the next result, we will show that this sharp version is indeed equivalent to Conjecture 1.3III. Setting  $\alpha = 1/2$ , this implies that [9, Question 7.9] is equivalent to Conjecture 1.2, answering affirmatively a question at the end of [9, Section 7]. Moreover, we observe that if the quasi-kernel requirement in Conjecture 1.3IV( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) is relaxed to allow any independent set, it will be not only sharp but also true. This extends Lemma 4.1b of [9] to a tight result.

**Proposition 1.6.**

- (a) *Conjecture 1.3III and Conjecture 1.3IV are equivalent, and equivalent respectively to their sink-free version.*
- (b) *Every digraph  $D$  contains an independent set  $I$  with  $|I|/2 + |N^-(I)| \geq n/2$ .*

As noted before, there are not many classes of digraphs on which Conjecture 1.3I is known to hold for some  $\alpha$ . We recall the notion of *kernel-perfect* digraphs, and introduce a digraph measure called the *kernel-perfect number*.

**Definition 1.7.** A digraph  $D$  is said to be *kernel-perfect* if every induced subdigraph of it has a kernel. In this paper, we conveniently call a vertex set  $S \subseteq V(D)$  *kernel-perfect* if  $D[S]$  is kernel-perfect. The *kernel-perfect number* of a digraph  $D$ , denoted  $\text{kp}(D)$ , is the smallest  $k$  such that  $V(D)$  can be partitioned into  $k$  kernel-perfect subsets.

We recall the related notions of *chromatic number* and *dichromatic number* of digraphs.

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $D$  be a digraph.

- The chromatic number  $\chi(D)$  is the smallest  $k$  such that  $V(D)$  can be partitioned into  $k$  subsets, each of which induces an independent set.
- The dichromatic number  $\vec{\chi}(D)$  is the smallest  $k$  such that  $V(D)$  can be partitioned into  $k$  subsets, each of which induces an acyclic set.

Note that  $\text{kp}(D) \leq \vec{\chi}(D) \leq \chi(D)$ , since independent sets are acyclic and acyclic sets are kernel-perfect. Also,  $\text{kp}(D) \leq \lceil \chi(D)/2 \rceil$  because one can group the color classes of the underlying graph two by two, so that each group is odd-dicycle-free, hence kernel-perfect [8]. A main result of [7] suggests that Conjecture 1.1 holds on digraphs with kernel-perfect number at most 2, which include all digraphs with dichromatic number at most 2 or chromatic number at most 4 (hence all planar digraphs). We extend this to a variable version that applies to digraphs with any bounded kernel-perfect number, and in addition, prove the large quasi-kernel analog.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let  $D$  be a digraph and  $k = \max(\text{kp}(D), 2)$ . Then Conjecture 1.3I( $\frac{1}{k}$ ), Conjecture 1.3II( $\frac{1}{k}$ ) and Conjecture 1.3III( $\frac{1}{k}$ ) hold on  $D$ .

**Corollary 1.10.** Let  $D$  be a digraph with  $\vec{\chi}(D) \leq k$  or  $\chi(D) \leq 2k$ , where  $k \geq 2$ . Then Conjecture 1.3I( $\frac{1}{k}$ ), Conjecture 1.3II( $\frac{1}{k}$ ) and Conjecture 1.3III( $\frac{1}{k}$ ) hold on  $D$ .

**Remark 1.11.**

- When  $\text{kp}(D) \leq 2$ , Theorem 1.9I is [7, Theorem 2], except that Theorem 1.9I makes no claims when  $D$  has sinks. With more care, however, an analogous claim can be proven similarly.
- When  $\text{kp}(D) = 1$  (i.e.,  $D$  is kernel-perfect and not the null digraph), and one ignores the  $\alpha \leq 1/2$  condition in the conjecture scheme, Conjecture 1.3I(1) and Conjecture 1.3IV(1) do not hold on  $D$ , while Conjecture 1.3II(1) and Conjecture 1.3III(1) still hold on  $D$ .
- Theorem 1.9 is perhaps another indication that Question 1.5 probably has a positive answer.

We end this section with two more questions:

**Question 1.12.** Can Theorem 1.9 cover Conjecture 1.3IV as well? That is, all digraphs  $D$  on  $n$  vertices have a quasi-kernel  $Q$  such that  $|Q|/2 + |N^-(Q)| \geq n/\max(\text{kp}(D), 2)$ .

A negative answer to Question 1.12 would disprove Conjectures 1.2 and 1.1 by Propositions 1.6 and 1.4. We also ask for general upper bounds of the kernel-perfect number.

**Question 1.13.** Which graphs have the largest  $\text{kp}(D)$ ? Can this number be linear w.r.t  $n$ ?

We observe that the iterative blowup of  $C_3$  has  $\text{kp}(C_3^{\odot k}) = \lceil 1.5 \text{kp}(C_3^{\odot k-1}) \rceil = \Theta(n^{\log_3(1.5)})$ .

## 2 Equivalent formulations

Now we prove Proposition 1.4. In the proof, the Roman numerals refer to the corresponding conjecture in Conjecture Scheme 1.3, and the “sf” suffix denotes the corresponding sink-free version.

*Proof of Proposition 1.4.* The directions  $\text{I} \rightarrow \text{IIsf} \rightarrow \text{IIIsf} \rightarrow \text{III}$  are implicitly shown and used in [9, Proposition 2.7]; we prove them for completeness. In addition, we show that  $\text{III} \rightarrow \text{II} \rightarrow \text{I}(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})$ .

**I  $\rightarrow$  IIsf** This is clear: assuming  $D$  is sink-free, one can simply apply Conjecture 1.3I on  $D$ .

**IIsf  $\rightarrow$  IIIsf** Let  $D$  be a sink-free digraph on  $n$  vertices. Fix  $C \in \mathbb{N}$ , to be chosen later. Construct a digraph  $D'$  by keeping  $D$  and add, for each  $v \in D$ ,  $C$  new vertices pointing an arc towards  $v$ . Note that all these  $Cn$  new vertices are sources but not sinks in  $D'$ , so  $D'$  is still sink-free. Assuming Conjecture 1.3IIIsf, we obtain a quasi-kernel  $Q'$  of  $D'$  with size at most  $(C+1)n - \alpha Cn$ . Note that  $Q = Q' \cap V(D)$  is a quasi-kernel of  $D$ . Moreover, for each  $v \notin N_D^-[Q]$ , all the new vertices pointing to  $v$  must be included in  $Q'$ . Thus,

$$C(n - |N_D^-[Q]|) \leq |Q'| \leq (C+1)n - \alpha Cn.$$

It follows that  $|N_D^-[Q]| \geq \alpha n - n/C$ . We are done because  $C$  can be made arbitrarily large.

**IIIsf  $\rightarrow$  III** Suppose  $D$  is a minimal counterexample for Conjecture 1.3III. Assuming Conjecture 1.3IIIsf,  $D$  must have a sink  $v$ . Let  $A = V(D) \setminus N^-[v]$ . Applying Conjecture 1.3III on the smaller digraph  $D[A]$ , we obtain a quasi-kernel  $Q_A$  of  $D[A]$  with  $|N_{D[A]}^-[Q_A]| \geq \alpha|A|$ . Note that  $Q = Q_A \cup \{v\}$  is a quasi-kernel of  $D$  with

$$|N_D^-[Q]| = |N_{D[A]}^-[Q_A] \sqcup N_D^-[v]| \geq \alpha|A| + (n - |A|) \geq \alpha n,$$

so it works. This is a contradiction.

**III  $\rightarrow$  II** Let  $D$  be a digraph on  $n$  vertices. Denote  $S$  the set of sources in  $D$  that are not sinks, and  $A = V(D) \setminus S$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume each vertex in  $S$  has exactly one out-neighbor (which must be in  $A$ ), since removing an arc from  $S$  to  $A$  can only make it harder to find a small quasi-kernel. Let  $s = |S|$  and  $t = |A|$ . Fix  $C \in \mathbb{N}$ , to be chosen later. For each  $a \in A$ , let

$$n_a = C|N^-(a) \cap S| + 1.$$

Construct a digraph  $B$  based on  $D[A]$  by replacing each  $a \in A$  with  $n_a$  copies of  $a$  (vertices in  $S$  are discarded). In some sense,  $B$  is a weighted blowup of  $D[A]$ . Note that every maximal quasi-kernel of  $B$  naturally induces a maximal quasi-kernel of  $D[A]$ , and that  $|V(B)| = Cs + t$ . Let  $Q_B$  be a quasi-kernel of  $B$  that maximizes  $|N^-[Q_B]|$ , and  $Q_A$  be the induced maximal quasi-kernel of  $D[A]$ . Denote  $A'$  the set of vertices in  $A$  whose copies in  $B$  are not in  $N^-[Q_B]$  (these copies are either all in or all not in because  $Q_B$  is maximal). Note that

$$Q_A \cup \bigsqcup_{a \in A'} (N^-(a) \cap S)$$

is a quasi-kernel of  $D$ , and that its size is

$$\begin{aligned} & |Q_A| + \sum_{a \in A'} \frac{n_a - 1}{C} \\ &= |Q_A| + \frac{1}{C}(|V(B) \setminus N^-[Q_B]| - |A'|) \\ &\leq |A| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{C}|V(B)| \quad (\text{assuming Conjecture 1.3III}) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{C}\right)t + (1 - \alpha)s. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $C$  can be made arbitrarily large, there is a quasi-kernel of  $D$  with size at most  $n - \alpha s$ .

**II  $\rightarrow$  I( $\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}$ )** Let  $D$  be a sink-free digraph. We claim that it has a quasi-kernel with size at most  $n/(1+\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is such that Conjecture 1.3II( $\alpha$ ) holds. Let  $Q$  be a minimal quasi-kernel of  $D$ ,  $N = N^-(Q)$  and  $M = N^{--}(Q) = V(D) \setminus (Q \cup N)$ . Take a maximal directed matching from  $N$  to  $Q$ . Denote  $Q_1$  the set of vertices in  $Q$  it covers, and  $Q_2 = Q \setminus Q_1$ . By the maximality of the matching, every vertex in  $N$  has an out-neighbor in  $Q_1$ . Thus, by the minimality of  $Q$ , every vertex in  $Q_2$  has no out-neighbor in  $N$ , so its out-neighbors are all in  $M$ . Note that  $Q_2$  is a set of sources that are not sinks in  $D[Q_2 \cup M]$ . Let  $r = |Q_1|$ ,  $s = |Q_2|$ ,  $p = |N| \geq r$ , and  $m = |M|$ . Assuming Conjecture 1.3II, there is a quasi-kernel  $Q'$  of  $D[Q_2 \cup M]$  with size at most  $m + (1-\alpha)s$ . Note that  $Q' \cup (Q_1 \setminus N^-(Q'))$  is a quasi-kernel of  $D$ , with size at most  $r + m + (1-\alpha)s$ . This quantity and  $|Q| = r + s$  cannot be both greater than  $n/(1+\alpha)$ : otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned}
n &< (1+\alpha)(r + m + (1-\alpha)s) \\
&\leq (1+\alpha)(p + m + (1-\alpha)s) \\
&= (1-\alpha^2)(p + m) + (\alpha + \alpha^2)(n - (r + s)) + (1+\alpha)(1-\alpha)s \\
&< (1-\alpha^2)(p + m) + (\alpha + \alpha^2)(n - n/(1+\alpha)) + (1+\alpha)(1-\alpha)s \\
&\leq n,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence  $D$  has a quasi-kernel with size at most  $n/(1+\alpha)$ .  $\square$

Reusing a few of the earlier techniques, we next prove Proposition 1.6.

*Proof of Proposition 1.6.* As  $\text{IV} \rightarrow \text{III}$  is trivial, we show  $\text{IVsf} \rightarrow \text{IV}$ ,  $\text{III} \rightarrow \text{IV}$ , and then part (b).

**IVsf  $\rightarrow$  IV** We use the proof idea of  $\text{IIIsf} \rightarrow \text{III}$ . Suppose  $D$  is a minimal counterexample for Conjecture 1.3IV. Assuming Conjecture 1.3IVsf,  $D$  must have a sink  $v$ . Let  $A = V(D) \setminus N^-[v]$ . Applying Conjecture 1.3IV on the smaller digraph  $D[A]$ , we obtain a quasi-kernel  $Q_A$  of  $D[A]$  with  $|Q_A|/2 + |N_{D[A]}^-(Q_A)| \geq \alpha|A|$ . Note that  $Q = Q_A \cup \{v\}$  is a quasi-kernel of  $D$  with

$$\begin{aligned}
|Q|/2 + |N_D^-(Q)| &= |Q_A \cup \{v\}|/2 + |N_{D[A]}^-(Q_A) \sqcup N_D^-(v)| \\
&\geq (|Q_A| + 1)/2 + (\alpha|A| - |Q_A|/2) + (n - |A| - 1) \\
&= (\alpha - 1)|A| - 1/2 + n \\
&\geq (\alpha - 1)(n - 1) - 1/2 + n \\
&\geq \alpha n,
\end{aligned}$$

so it works. This is a contradiction.

**III  $\rightarrow$  IV** Let  $\alpha$  be such that Conjecture 1.3III holds. Consider

$$S = \{\beta \in [0, 1] \mid \forall \text{ digraph } D, \exists \text{ quasi-kernel } Q : \beta|Q| + |N^-(Q)| \geq \alpha|V(D)|\},$$

and observe that  $S$  is a closed interval containing 1. So let  $b = \min(S)$ . We are done if  $1/2 \in S$ , so assume  $b > 1/2$ . We claim that  $(b+1)/3 \in S$ , which would contradict with  $b = \min(S)$ .

Let  $C_3$  denote the directed triangle. For any digraph  $D$ , denote  $D'$  the  $C_3$ -blowup of  $D$ , which is the digraph obtained by replacing each vertex in  $D$  by a copy of  $C_3$ , so that the arcs between different copies are as induced by  $D$ . For  $v \in D$ , denote  $f(v)$  the set of vertices that take the

place of  $v$  in  $D'$  (so  $D'[f(v)] \simeq C_3$ ). Since  $b \in S$ , there is a quasi-kernel  $Q'$  of  $D'$  such that  $b|Q'| + |N^-(Q')| \geq \alpha|V(D')|$ . Its projection onto  $D$ ,

$$Q = \{v \in D : f(v) \cap Q' \neq \emptyset\},$$

is a quasi-kernel of  $D$ . For all  $v \in Q$ , let  $g(v) = f(v) \cap Q'$ , containing exactly one vertex of  $D'[f(v)]$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} Q' &= \bigcup_{v \in Q} g(v), \\ N^-(Q') &= \bigcup_{v \in Q} N_{D'[f(v)]}^-(g(v)) \cup \bigcup_{v \in N^-(Q)} f(v), \end{aligned}$$

where all the unions are disjoint. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha|V(D')| &\leq b|Q'| + |N^-(Q')| \\ &= b|Q| + |Q| + 3|N^-(Q)| \\ &= \frac{V(D')}{V(D)} \left( \frac{b+1}{3}|Q| + |N^-(Q)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $D$  is arbitrary, this shows  $(b+1)/3 \in S$ , a contradiction.

**Part (b)** We show that every digraph  $D$  contains an independent set  $I$  with  $|I|/2 + |N^-(I)| \geq n/2$ . The proof in [9, Lemma 4.1b] can be adapted for this. Here we simplify it slightly. We claim the slightly stronger statement that  $D$  contains a maximal independent set  $I$  with  $|N^-(I)| \geq |N^+(I)|$ . This would imply what we need because for every maximal independent set  $I$ ,  $N^-(I) \cup N^+(I) = V(D) \setminus I$ .

Suppose  $D$  is a minimal counterexample to the claim, which must be nonempty. By the hand-shaking dilemma, there is  $v \in D$  whose in-degree is no less than out-degree. By the minimality of  $D$ , the smaller, possibly empty digraph  $D' = D[V(D) \setminus (N^+[v] \cup N^-(v))]$  contains a maximal independent set  $I'$  with  $|N_{D'}^-(I')| \geq |N_{D'}^+(I')|$ . Note that  $I = I' \cup \{v\}$  is a maximal independent set in  $D$ , satisfying

$$|N^-(I)| = |N^-(v)| + |N_{D'}^-(I')| \geq |N^+(v)| + |N_{D'}^+(I')| = |N^+(I)|,$$

so it works. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3 Digraphs with bounded kernel-perfect number

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.9I. We start with a convenient lemma. As independent sets and acyclic sets are kernel-perfect, Lemma 3.1 directly implies [9, Lemma 3.1], [9, Lemma 4.3] and [9, Lemma A.1].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $D$  be a digraph with a kernel-perfect set  $P \subseteq V(D)$ . Then  $D$  has a quasi-kernel  $Q$  such that  $P \subseteq N^-[Q]$  and  $Q \cap N^-(P) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume  $P$  is the maximal kernel-perfect set in  $D[V(D) \setminus N^-(P)]$ . Since adding a sink to a kernel-perfect set keeps it kernel-perfect, this means that  $N^-[P] = V(D)$ . Thus, an arbitrary kernel of  $P$  works.  $\square$

Somewhat curiously, we need only the first property of  $Q$  to prove Theorem 1.9III and Theorem 1.9II, and only the second property to prove Theorem 1.9I, not using the fact that they can be satisfied at the same time.

*Proof of Theorem 1.9III.* This is clear: Suppose  $V(D) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  is the kernel-perfect partition. Apply Lemma 3.1 on the largest part to get a quasi-kernel  $Q$  with  $|N^-[Q]| \geq |V(D)|/k$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.9II.* We note that the proof of “III  $\rightarrow$  II” in Proposition 1.4 can also show that Theorem 1.9III implies Theorem 1.9II. Say we want to show that Conjecture 1.3II( $\frac{1}{k}$ ) holds on the digraph  $D$ . To use that reduction argument, we just need to be able to apply Conjecture 1.3III( $\frac{1}{k}$ ) on a digraph  $B$ , which is a weighted blowup of some induced subdigraph of  $D$  ( $D[A]$ ). Thus,  $\text{kp}(B) = \text{kp}(D[A]) \leq \text{kp}(D)$ , so if  $D$  has a small kernel-perfect number, so does  $B$ . Hence Theorem 1.9III can be correctly invoked.  $\square$

Actually, the proof of “II  $\rightarrow$  I( $\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}$ )” in Proposition 1.4 would also follow through with the kernel-perfect number condition, concluding a weaker bound than we need for Theorem 1.9I. To get the precise bound, we use a standalone proof inspired by [7, Theorem 2].

*Proof of Theorem 1.9I.* Suppose  $V(D) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  is a partition (empty sets allowed) such that each  $D[V_i]$  is kernel-perfect. Without loss of generality, assume that  $V_1$  is maximally kernel-perfect: in particular, every  $v \notin V_1$  has an out-neighbor in  $V_1$ . Let  $K$  be a kernel of  $D[V_1]$ , and  $K_0$  be a minimal subset of  $K$  such that  $N^-(K_0) = N^-(K)$ . Let  $V'_1 = N^-(K) \cup K_0$  and observe that  $|K_0| \leq |N^-(K)|$ : for all  $v \in K_0$ , because  $K_0$  is minimal, there must be some  $u = u(v) \in N^-(K)$  whose only out-neighbor in  $K_0$  is  $v$ . For all  $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ , let  $V'_i = V_i \setminus N^-(K)$ . Then let  $V'_0 = K \setminus K_0$ . Note that  $\{V'_i\}_{i=0, \dots, k}$  is a partition of  $V(D)$ . Let

$$W = V(D) \setminus V'_1 = V'_0 \cup V'_2 \cup \dots \cup V'_k.$$

If for some  $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $|N^-(V'_i) \cap V'_0| \geq |W|/k$ , then since  $V'_i$  is a kernel-perfect set in  $D[W]$ , by Lemma 3.1 there is a quasi-kernel  $Q$  of  $D[W]$  that is disjoint with  $N_{D[W]}^-(V'_i)$ . Observe that  $Q \cup (K_0 \setminus N^-(Q))$  is a quasi-kernel of  $D$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} |Q \cup (K_0 \setminus N^-(Q))| &\leq |W \setminus N_{D[W]}^-(V'_i)| + |K_0| \\ &\leq |W| - |W|/k + |V'_1|/2 \\ &\leq (k-1)n/k. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, observe that  $(N^-(W) \cap V'_0) \cup K_0$  is a quasi-kernel of  $D$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} |(N^-(W) \cap V'_0) \cup K_0| &\leq \sum_{i=2}^k |N^-(V'_i) \cap V'_0| + |K_0| \\ &\leq (k-1)|W|/k + |V'_1|/2 \\ &\leq (k-1)n/k. \end{aligned}$$

Either way, we find a quasi-kernel of  $D$  with size at most  $(k-1)n/k$ .  $\square$



## References

- [1] Jiangdong Ai, Stefanie Gerke, Gregory Gutin, Anders Yeo, and Yacong Zhou. Results on the small quasi-kernel conjecture. *Discrete Math.*, 346(7):Paper No. 113435, 9, 2023.
- [2] Jørgen Bang-Jensen and Gregory Z Gutin. *Digraphs: theory, algorithms and applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [3] Václav Chvátal and László Lovász. Every directed graph has a semi-kernel. In *Hypergraph Seminar (Proc. First Working Sem., Ohio State Univ., Columbus, Ohio, 1972; dedicated to Arnold Ross)*, volume Vol. 411 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, page p. 175. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [4] Péter L Erdős, Ervin Győri, Tamás Róbert Mezei, Nika Salia, and Mykhaylo Tyomkyn. On the small quasi-kernel conjecture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04112*, 2023.
- [5] Peter L Erdős and László A Székely. Two conjectures on quasi-kernels, open problems no. 4. in fete of combinatorics and computer science. *Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies*, 2010.
- [6] Scott Heard and Jing Huang. Disjoint quasi-kernels in digraphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 58(3):251–260, 2008.
- [7] Alexandr V. Kostochka, Ruth Luo, and Songling Shan. Towards the small quasi-kernel conjecture. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 29(3):Paper No. 3.49, 6, 2022.
- [8] M Richardson. On weakly ordered systems. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 52(2):113–116, 1946.
- [9] Sam Spiro. Generalized quasikernels in digraphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.07305*, 2024.
- [10] Allan van Hulst. Kernels and small quasi-kernels in digraphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.00789*, 2021.