

LOCAL DERIVATION ON THE n -TH SCHRÖDINGER ALGEBRA

AMIR ALAUADINOV^{1,2}, BAKHTIYOR YUSUPOV^{1,3}, AND DOSTON JUMANIYOZOV¹

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to study local derivations on the n -th Schrödinger algebra \mathcal{S}_n . We prove that every local derivation on \mathcal{S}_n is a derivation.

MSC2020: 17A32, 17B30, 17B10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Local derivations and automorphisms have been objects of many research works in recent years. Even many results have been addressed in this direction, there are still lots of related unsolved problems. R.V.Kadison, D.R.Larson and A.R.Sourour first introduced the notion of local derivations on algebras in their remarkable paper [11,14]. After that many research papers have been published regarding local derivations of non-associative algebras (e.g. see [2, 6, 7, 12]). For instance, it is proved that every local derivation on a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathcal{L} over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero is a derivation (see [7]). Moreover, local derivations of solvable Lie algebras are investigated in [6], and it is shown that there exist solvable Lie algebras which admit local derivations which are not ordinary derivation. However, it is also proved that there are solvable Lie algebras for which every local derivation is a derivation (see [6]). Recently, in [12], the authors proved that every local derivation on solvable Lie algebras whose nilradical has maximal rank is a derivation. Further, it also is proved that every local derivation on the conformal Galilei algebra is a derivation (see [2]). Recently, in [3, 4], the authors proved that every local derivation on the Schrödinger algebra \mathfrak{s}_n in $(n + 1)$ -dimensional space-time is a derivation when $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A.Alauadinov and B.Yusupov proved similar results concerning local super-derivations on the super Schrödinger algebras in their recent paper [5]. Note that Lie algebras that are close to nilpotent admits pure local derivations. Therefore, it is natural to conjecture that any nilpotent Lie algebra with nilindex greater than 3 admits pure local derivations. In [13], it is proved that any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra over a field of arbitrary characteristic except 2, admits a local derivation which is not derivation.

We should note that all aforementioned results have been obtained in finite-dimensional algebras. In the infinite-dimensional case, it is proved that every local derivation on some class of the locally simple Lie algebras, generalized Witt algebras, Witt algebras, the Witt algebras over a field of prime characteristic is a derivation (see [8, 9, 18]).

There are many applications of non-semisimple Lie algebras and groups in physics. One of the well-known non-semisimple Lie algebras is the Schrödinger algebra \mathfrak{s}_n . The Schrödinger algebra is a Lie algebra of the Schrödinger group that is group of symmetries of the free particle Schrödinger equation (see [10, 16]). Recently there have been published a series of papers on studying the structure and representation theory of the Schrödinger algebra \mathfrak{s}_1 in the case of $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional space-time, see [1, 19, 21].

Key words and phrases. Lie algebras, n -th Schrödinger algebras, derivations, local derivations.

In this paper, we study local derivations on n -th Schrödinger algebra \mathcal{S}_n . Namely, we prove that every local derivation on \mathcal{S}_n is a derivation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we first recall the definition of \mathcal{S}_n . We know that the general linear Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_{2n} has the natural representation on \mathbb{C}^{2n} by left matrix multiplication. Let $\{u_k, v_k\}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ be a basis of \mathbb{C}^{2n} .

The Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus \mathbb{C}z$ is a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with Lie bracket given by

$$[u_k, v_k] = z, \quad [z, \mathfrak{h}_n] = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Recently, the n -th Schrödinger algebra \mathcal{S}_n was introduced [17].

Definition 2.1. *The n -th Schrödinger algebra \mathcal{S}_n is a Lie algebra with a \mathbb{C} -basis*

$$\{e, f, h, z, u_k, v_k, \mid k = 1, \dots, n\}$$

equipped with the following non-trivial commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [h, e] &= 2e, & [h, f] &= -2f, & [e, f] &= h, \\ [u_k, v_j] &= \delta_{kj}z, & [h, u_k] &= u_k, & [h, v_k] &= -v_k, \\ [e, v_k] &= u_k, & [f, u_k] &= v_k, & [z, \mathcal{S}_n] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{kj} is the Kronecker Delta defined as 1 for $k = j$ and as 0 otherwise.

From the definition one can notice that the n -th Schrödinger algebra \mathcal{S}_n is a finite-dimensional, non-semisimple and non-solvable Lie algebra, and it is the semidirect product 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra and $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg algebra, that is

$$\mathcal{S}_n = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_n)$$

where $\mathfrak{sl}_2 = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ is the 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h}_n = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{z, u_k, v_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ is the Heisenberg Lie algebra.

A derivation on a Lie algebra \mathcal{L} is a linear map $D : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ which satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)], \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \mathcal{L}. \quad (2.1)$$

The set of all derivations of \mathcal{L} is denoted by $\text{Der}(\mathcal{L})$ and with respect to the commutation operation is a Lie algebra.

For any element $y \in \mathcal{L}$ the left multiplication operator $\text{ad}_x : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, defined as $\text{ad}_x(y) = [x, y]$ is a derivation, and derivations of this form are called inner derivations. The set of all inner derivations of \mathcal{L} , denoted by $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{L})$, is an ideal in $\text{Der}(\mathcal{L})$.

Definition 2.2. *A linear operator Δ is called a local derivation if for any $x \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists a derivation $D_x : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ (depending on x) such that $\Delta(x) = D_x(x)$. The set of all local derivations on \mathcal{L} we denote by $\text{LocDer}(\mathcal{L})$.*

Let $n \geq 2$. For any $1 \leq l < k \leq n$, one can easily verify that the linear map $\sigma_{lk} : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$ defined below is a derivation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{lk}(h) &= \sigma_{lk}(e) = \sigma_{lk}(f) = \sigma_{lk}(z) = 0, \\ \sigma_{lk}(u_j) &= \delta_{lj}u_k - \delta_{lj}u_l, \quad \sigma_{lk}(v_k) = \delta_{lj}v_k - \delta_{kj}v_l. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Clearly, σ_{lk} ($1 \leq l < k \leq n$) are outer derivations. We define another outer derivation τ as follows

$$\tau(h) = \tau(e) = \tau(f) = 0, \quad \tau(u_l) = \frac{1}{2}u_l, \quad \tau(v_l) = \frac{1}{2}v_l, \quad \tau(z) = z, \quad 1 \leq l \leq n. \quad (2.3)$$

The following theorem is proved in [15].

Theorem 2.3. $\text{Der}(\mathcal{S}_n) = \text{Inn}(\mathcal{S}_n) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{C}\sigma_{ij} \oplus \mathbb{C}\tau.$

It should be noted that, if $n = 1$, then $\text{Der}(\mathcal{S}_n) = \text{Inn}(\mathcal{S}_n) \oplus \mathbb{C}\tau$, where τ is defined as (2.3).

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will prove that every local derivation on the n -th Schrödinger algebra \mathcal{S}_n is a derivation.

Theorem 3.1. *Every local derivation on the n -th Schrödinger algebra \mathcal{S}_n , $n \geq 2$ is a derivation.*

For any $x \in \mathcal{S}_n$ there exist

$$\alpha = \alpha_e e + \alpha_h h + \alpha_f f + \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{u_k} u_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{v_k} v_k + \alpha_z z,$$

and $\lambda, \mu_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that, by using Theorem 2.3 we can write

$$\Delta(x) = [\alpha_x, x] + \lambda_x \sigma(x) + \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} \mu_x \sigma_{k,j}(x),$$

where $\alpha_e, \alpha_f, \alpha_h, \alpha_z, \alpha_{u_k}, \alpha_{v_k}, \lambda, \mu_{k,j}$ are complex numbers depending on x .

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e) &= \alpha_f^{(e)} h + 2\alpha_h^{(e)} e - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{v_k}^{(e)} u_k, \\ \Delta(h) &= -2\alpha_e^{(h)} e + 2\alpha_f^{(h)} f - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{u_k}^{(h)} u_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{v_k}^{(h)} v_k, \\ \Delta(f) &= \alpha_e^{(f)} h - 2\alpha_h^{(f)} f - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{u_k}^{(f)} v_k, \\ \Delta(u_j) &= \alpha_f^{(u_j)} v_j + \left(\alpha_h^{(u_j)} + \frac{\lambda^{(u_j)}}{2} \right) u_j - \alpha_{v_j}^{(u_j)} z - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}^{(u_j)} u_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}^{(u_j)} u_l, \\ \Delta(v_j) &= \left(\frac{\lambda^{(v_j)}}{2} - \alpha_h^{(v_j)} \right) v_j + \alpha_e^{(v_j)} u_j + \alpha_{u_j}^{(v_j)} z - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}^{(v_j)} v_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}^{(v_j)} v_l, \\ \Delta(z) &= \lambda^{(z)} z. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For the proof of this Theorem we need several Lemmata.

In the following lemmas, we assume that Δ be a local derivation on \mathfrak{s}_n such that $\Delta(h + z) = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. $\Delta(h) = \Delta(z) = 0$.

Proof. We consider,

$$0 = \Delta(h + z) = \Delta(h) + \Delta(z) = -2\alpha_e^{(h)} e + 2\alpha_f^{(h)} f - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{u_k}^{(h)} u_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{v_k}^{(h)} v_k + \lambda^{(z)} z,$$

which implies $\alpha_e^{(h)} = \alpha_f^{(h)} = \alpha_{u_k}^{(h)} = \alpha_{v_k}^{(h)} = \lambda^{(z)} = 0$, where $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $\Delta(h) = \Delta(z) = 0$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Coefficients $a_f^{(e)}$, $a_e^{(f)}$, $a_f^{(u_j)}$ and $a_e^{(v_j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ in the formula (3.1) are equal to zero.*

Proof. From the equalities, take an element $x = h + e$, we consider, using the rate of local derivation we calculate the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= \Delta(h + e) = [b, h + e] + c\sigma(h + e) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(h + e) = \\ &= [a_f f + a_h h + a_e e + a_z z + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k u_k + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k v_k, h + e] + \\ &+ c\sigma(h + e) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(h + e) = a_f h + 2a_f f + *e - \sum_{k=1}^n *u_k - \sum_{k=1}^n *v_k. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta(h + e) = \Delta(h) + \Delta(e) = \alpha_f^{(e)} h + 2\alpha_h^{(e)} e - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{v_k}^{(e)} u_k.$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements h and f , we get $a_f = 0$, $a_f = \alpha_f^{(e)}$ which implies

$$\alpha_f^{(e)} = 0.$$

Consider element $x = h + f$. Then by there exists a derivation D_x with $\Delta(x) = D_x(x)$. So, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= \Delta(h + f) = [b, h + f] + c\sigma(h + f) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(h + f) = \\ &= [a_f f + a_h h + a_e e + a_z z + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k u_k + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k v_k, h + f] + \\ &+ c\sigma(h + f) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(h + f) = -2a_e e + a_e h + *f - \sum_{k=1}^n *u_k - \sum_{k=1}^n *v_k. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta(h + f) = \Delta(h) + \Delta(f) = \alpha_e^{(f)} h - 2\alpha_h^{(f)} f - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{u_k}^{(f)} v_k.$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements h and e , we get $a_e = 0$, $a_e = \alpha_e^{(f)}$ which implies

$$\alpha_e^{(f)} = 0.$$

From the equalities, take an element $x = e + u_j$, for any fixed $1 \leq j \leq n$, we consider, using the rate of local derivation we have the following chain of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= \Delta(e + u_j) = [b, e + u_j] + c\sigma(e + u_j) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l}\sigma_{k,l}(e + u_j) = \\ &= a_f h + 2a_h e - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k u_k + a_f v_j + \left(\alpha_h + \frac{1}{2}c\right) u_j - \\ &- b_j z - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} d_{k,j} u_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} d_{j,l} u_l.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we get the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= \Delta(e + u_j) = \Delta(e) + \Delta(u_j) = 2\alpha_h^{(e)} e - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{v_k}^{(e)} u_k + \\ &+ \alpha_f^{(u_j)} v_j + \left(\alpha_h^{(u_j)} + \frac{\lambda^{(u_j)}}{2}\right) u_j - \alpha_{v_j}^{(u_j)} z - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}^{(u_j)} u_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}^{(u_j)} u_l.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements h and v_j , we get $a_f = 0$, $a_f = \alpha_f^{(u_j)}$ which implies

$$\alpha_f^{(u_j)} = 0.$$

From the equalities, take an element $x = f + v_j$, for any fixed $1 \leq j \leq n$, we consider, using the rate of local derivation we calculate the following

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= \Delta(f + v_j) = [b, f + v_j] + c\sigma(f + v_j) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l}\sigma_{k,l}(f + v_j) = \\ &= a_e h - 2a_h f - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k v_k + a_e u_j + \left(\frac{1}{2}c - a_h\right) v_j - \\ &- a_j z - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} d_{k,j} v_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} d_{j,l} v_l.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= \Delta(f + v_j) = \Delta(f) + \Delta(v_j) = -2\alpha_h^{(f)} f - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{u_k}^{(f)} v_k + \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda^{(v_j)}}{2} - \alpha_h^{(v_j)}\right) v_j + \alpha_e^{(v_j)} u_j + \alpha_{u_j}^{(v_j)} z - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}^{(v_j)} v_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}^{(v_j)} v_l.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements h and u_j , we get $a_e = 0$, $a_e = \alpha_e^{(v_j)}$ which implies

$$\alpha_e^{(v_j)} = 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *Coefficients $\alpha_{v_j}^{(u_j)}$ and $\alpha_{u_j}^{(v_j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ in the formula (3.1) are equal to zero.*

Proof. From the equalities, take an element $x = h + u_j$, for any fixed $1 \leq j \leq n$, we consider, using the rate of local derivation we calculate the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= \Delta(h + u_j) = [b, h + u_j] + c\sigma(h + u_j) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(h + u_j) = \\ &= 2a_f f - b_j z - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k v_k + a_f v_j - *e + \sum_{k=1}^n *u_k. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta(h + u_j) = \Delta(h) + \Delta(u_j) = -\alpha_{v_j}^{(u_j)} z + \sum_{k=1}^n *u_k.$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements f , z and v_j , we get $a_f = a_j = 0$, $a_j = \alpha_{v_j}^{(u_j)}$ which implies

$$\alpha_{v_j}^{(u_j)} = 0.$$

From the equalities, take an element $x = h + v_j$, for any fixed $1 \leq j \leq n$, we consider, using the rate of local derivation we calculate the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= \Delta(h + v_j) = [b, h + v_j] + c\sigma(h + v_j) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(h + v_j) = \\ &= -2a_e e - a_j z - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k u_k + a_e u_j + *f - \sum_{k=1}^n *v_k. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta(h + v_j) = \Delta(h) + \Delta(v_j) = \alpha_{u_j}^{(v_j)} z + \sum_{k=1}^n *v_k.$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements e , z and u_j , we get $a_e = a_j = 0$, $a_j = \alpha_{u_j}^{(v_j)}$ which implies

$$\alpha_{u_j}^{(v_j)} = 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Coefficients $\alpha_{u_j}^{(f)}$ and $\alpha_{v_j}^{(e)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ in the formula (3.1) are equal to zero and $\frac{\lambda^{(v_j)}}{2} - \alpha_h^{(v_j)} = -\alpha_h^{(e)}$, $\alpha_h^{(u_j)} + \frac{\lambda^{(u_j)}}{2} = \alpha_h^{(e)}$.*

Proof. From the equalities, take an element $x = e + f$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= \Delta(e + f) = [b, e + f] + c\sigma(e + f) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(e + f) = \\ &= a_f h + 2a_h e - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k u_k + a_e h - 2a_h f - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k v_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta(e + f) = \Delta(e) + \Delta(f) = 2\alpha_h^{(e)} e - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{v_k}^{(e)} u_k - 2\alpha_h^{(f)} f - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{u_k}^{(f)} v_k. \tag{3.3}$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements e and f , (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain that

$$\alpha_h^{(e)} = \alpha_h^{(f)}.$$

From the equalities, take an element $x = f - \frac{1}{2}z + v_j$, for any fixed $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= \Delta\left(f - \frac{1}{2}z + v_j\right) = [b, f - \frac{1}{2}z + v_j] + c\sigma\left(f - \frac{1}{2}z + v_j\right) + \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}\left(f - \frac{1}{2}z + v_j\right) = a_e h - 2a_h f - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k v_k - \frac{c}{2}z + \\ &+ a_e u_j + \left(\frac{1}{2}c - a_h\right) v_j - a_j z - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} d_{k,j} v_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} d_{j,l} v_l. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= \Delta\left(f - \frac{1}{2}z + v_j\right) = \Delta(f) - \Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right) + \Delta(v_j) = -2\alpha_h^{(f)} f - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{u_k}^{(f)} v_k + \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda^{(v_j)}}{2} - \alpha_h^{(v_j)}\right) v_j - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}^{(v_j)} v_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}^{(v_j)} v_l. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements f , z and v_j , (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain that

$$\alpha_h^{(f)} = \alpha_h^{(v_j)} + \alpha_{u_j}^{(f)} - \frac{\lambda^{(v_j)}}{2}. \quad (3.6)$$

From the equalities, take an element $y = f + \frac{1}{2}z - v_j$ for any fixed $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(y) &= \Delta\left(f + \frac{1}{2}z - v_j\right) = [b, f + \frac{1}{2}z - v_j] + c\sigma\left(f + \frac{1}{2}z - v_j\right) + \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}\left(f + \frac{1}{2}z - v_j\right) = a_e h - 2a_h f - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k v_k + \frac{c}{2}z - \\ &- a_e u_j - \left(\frac{1}{2}c - a_h\right) v_j + a_j z + \sum_{1 \leq k < j} d_{k,j} v_k - \sum_{j < l \leq n} d_{j,l} v_l. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(y) &= \Delta\left(f + \frac{1}{2}z - v_j\right) = \Delta(f) + \Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right) - \Delta(v_j) = -2\alpha_h^{(f)} f - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{u_k}^{(f)} v_k - \\ &- \left(\frac{\lambda^{(v_j)}}{2} - \alpha_h^{(v_j)}\right) v_j + \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}^{(v_j)} v_k - \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}^{(v_j)} v_l. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements f , z and v_j , (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain that

$$\alpha_h^{(f)} = \alpha_h^{(v_j)} - \alpha_{u_j}^{(f)} - \frac{\lambda^{(v_j)}}{2}. \quad (3.9)$$

Comparing (3.6) and (3.9) we obtain that

$$\alpha_{u_j}^{(f)} = 0, \quad \alpha_h^{(f)} = \alpha_h^{(v_j)} - \frac{\lambda^{(v_j)}}{2}.$$

From the equalities, take an element $x = e + \frac{1}{2}z + u_j$, for any fixed $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x) &= \Delta\left(e + \frac{1}{2}z + u_j\right) = [b, e + \frac{1}{2}z + u_j] + c\sigma\left(e + \frac{1}{2}z + u_j\right) + \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}\left(e + \frac{1}{2}z + u_j\right) = a_f h + 2a_h e - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k u_k + \frac{c}{2}z + \\
&+ a_f v_j + \left(\alpha_h + \frac{1}{2}c\right) u_j - b_j z - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} d_{k,j} u_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} d_{j,l} u_l.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x) &= \Delta\left(e + \frac{1}{2}z + u_j\right) = \Delta(e) + \Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right) + \Delta(u_j) = 2\alpha_h^{(e)} e - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{v_k}^{(e)} u_k + \\
&+ \left(\alpha_h^{(u_j)} + \frac{\lambda^{(u_j)}}{2}\right) u_j - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}^{(u_j)} u_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}^{(u_j)} u_l.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements e , z and u_j , (3.10) and (3.11) we obtain that

$$\alpha_h^{(e)} = \alpha_h^{(u_j)} - \alpha_{v_j}^{(e)} + \frac{\lambda^{(u_j)}}{2}. \tag{3.12}$$

From the relations, take an element $y = e + \frac{1}{2}z - u_j$, for any fixed $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(y) &= \Delta\left(e + \frac{1}{2}z - u_j\right) = [b, e + \frac{1}{2}z - u_j] + c\sigma\left(e + \frac{1}{2}z - u_j\right) + \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}\left(e + \frac{1}{2}z - u_j\right) = a_f h + 2a_h e - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k u_k + \frac{c}{2}z - \\
&- a_f v_j - \left(\alpha_h + \frac{1}{2}c\right) u_j + b_j z + \sum_{1 \leq k < j} d_{k,j} u_k - \sum_{j < l \leq n} d_{j,l} u_l.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(y) &= \Delta\left(e + \frac{1}{2}z - u_j\right) = \Delta(e) + \Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right) - \Delta(u_j) = 2\alpha_h^{(e)} e - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{v_k}^{(e)} u_k - \\
&- \left(\alpha_h^{(u_j)} + \frac{\lambda^{(u_j)}}{2}\right) u_j + \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}^{(u_j)} u_k - \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}^{(u_j)} u_l.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements e , z and u_j , (3.13) and (3.14) we obtain that

$$\alpha_h^{(e)} = \alpha_h^{(u_j)} + \alpha_{v_j}^{(e)} + \frac{\lambda^{(u_j)}}{2}. \tag{3.15}$$

Comparing (3.12) and (3.15) we get that

$$\alpha_{v_j}^{(e)} = 0, \quad \alpha_h^{(e)} = \alpha_h^{(u_j)} + \frac{\lambda^{(u_j)}}{2}.$$

□

Lemma 3.6.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u_j) &= \alpha_h^{(e)}u_j + \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}u_k - \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}u_l, \\ \Delta(v_j) &= -\alpha_h^{(e)}v_j + \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}v_k - \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}v_l.\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Proof. From the equalities, take an element $x = u_p + iu_j$, for any fixed $1 \leq p < j \leq n$, and i complex number

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= \Delta(u_p + iu_j) = [b, u_p + iu_j] + c\sigma(u_p + iu_j) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} d_{k,l}\sigma_{k,l}(u_p + iu_j) = \\ &= a_f v_p + \left(\alpha_h + \frac{1}{2}c\right)u_p - b_p z - \sum_{1 \leq k < p} d_{k,p}u_k + \sum_{p < l \leq n} d_{p,l}u_l + \\ &+ ia_f v_j + i\left(\alpha_h + \frac{1}{2}c\right)u_j - ib_j z - i\sum_{1 \leq k < j} d_{k,j}u_k + i\sum_{j < l \leq n} d_{j,l}u_l.\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

On the other hand, based on (3.1), we calculate the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= \Delta(u_p + iu_j) = \Delta(u_p) + i\Delta(u_j) = \alpha_h^{(e)}u_p - \sum_{1 \leq k < p} \mu_{k,p}^{(u_p)}u_k - \\ &+ \sum_{p < l \leq n} \mu_{p,l}^{(u_p)}u_l + i\alpha_h^{(e)}u_j - i\sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j}^{(u_j)}u_k + i\sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l}^{(u_j)}u_l.\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basis elements u_p and u_j , (3.17) and (3.18) we get

$$(a_h + \frac{1}{2}c) - id_{p,j} = \alpha_h^{(e)} - i\mu_{p,j}^{(u_j)}, \quad i(a_h + \frac{1}{2}c) + d_{p,j} = i\alpha_h^{(e)} + \mu_{p,j}^{(u_p)},$$

which implies

$$\mu_{p,j}^{(u_p)} = \mu_{p,j}^{(u_j)}.$$

Now, if we look at the above element $y = v_p + iv_j$, for any fixed $1 \leq p < j \leq n$, the following result is obtained

$$\mu_{p,j}^{(v_p)} = \mu_{p,j}^{(v_j)}.$$

□

Lemma 3.7. Δ is a derivation on \mathcal{S} .

Proof. For any element $x = x_f f + x_h h + x_e e + x_z z + \sum_{j=1}^n x_{u_j} u_j + \sum_{j=1}^n x_{v_j} v_j$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= -2\alpha_h x_f f + 2\alpha_h x_e e + \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha_h x_{u_j} u_j - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j} x_{u_k} u_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l} x_{u_l} u_l + \\ &+ \alpha_h x_{v_j} v_j - \sum_{1 \leq k < j} \mu_{k,j} x_{v_k} v_k + \sum_{j < l \leq n} \mu_{j,l} x_{v_l} v_l) = [a_h h, x] + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mu_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Then, Δ is a derivation. □

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let ∇ be a local derivation of \mathcal{S}_n . For the element $h + z$ exists a derivation D_{h+z} such that

$$\nabla(h + z) = D_{h+z}(h + z).$$

Set $\Delta = \nabla - D_{h+z}$. Then Δ is a local derivation such that $\Delta(h+z) = 0$. By Lemma 3.7, $\Delta(x) = [a_h h, x] + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mu_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(x)$. Thus

$$\nabla(x) = [a_h h, x] + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mu_{k,l} \sigma_{k,l}(x) + D_{h+z}(x).$$

Then ∇ is a derivation. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.8. *It should be noted that, if $n = 1$, in [3] it is proved every local derivation is a derivation.*

Data availability There is no other data for this article

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aizawa, V. Dobrev, *Intertwining operator realization of non-relativistic holography*, Nuclear Phys B., 828 (2010) 581-593.
- [2] A. K. Alauadinov, B. B. Yusupov, *Local derivations of conformal Galilei algebra*, Communications in Algebra, 52:6 (2024), 2489-2508,
- [3] A. K. Alauadinov, B. B. Yusupov, *Local derivations of the Schrödinger algebras*, Algebra Colloquium, (2024)(inpress).
- [4] A. K. Alauadinov, B. B. Yusupov, *Local derivation on the Schrödinger Lie algebra in $(n+1)$ -dimensional space-time*, (2024), arXiv:2402.07576.
- [5] A. K. Alauadinov, B. B. Yusupov, *Local super-derivations of the super Schrödinger algebras*, (2024), arXiv:2405.18835 .
- [6] Sh. Ayupov, A. Khudoyberdiyev, *Local derivations on solvable Lie algebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 69 (2021), 7, 1286–1301.
- [7] Sh. A. Ayupov, K. K. Kudaybergenov, *Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **493**, (2016) 381-398.
- [8] Sh. A. Ayupov, K. K. Kudaybergenov, B. B. Yusupov, *Local and 2-Local Derivations of Locally Simple Lie Algebras*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **278**: 4 (2024) 613–622.
- [9] Y. Chen, K. Zhao, Y. Zhao, *Local derivations on Witt algebras*, Linear and multilinear algebra, **70**:6 (2022) 1159–1172.
- [10] V. K. Dobrev, H. D. Doebner, C. Mrugalla, *Lowest weight representations of the Schrödinger algebra and generalized heat equations*, Rept. Math. Phys., 39 (1997) 201–218.
- [11] R.V. Kadison, *Local derivations*, J. Algebra, **130** (1990) 494–509.
- [12] K.K. Kudaybergenov, B.A. Omirov, T.K. Kurbanbaev, *Local derivations on solvable Lie algebras of maximal rank*, Communications in Algebra **50**:9 (2022) 1-11.
- [13] A. Khudoyberdiyev, D. Jumaniyozov, *Local derivations and automorphisms of nilpotent Lie algebras*, (2024), arXiv:2405.04209.
- [14] D. R. Larson, A. R. Sourour, *Local derivations and local automorphisms of $B(X)$* , Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **51** (1990) 187-194.
- [15] B. Lei, H. Yang, *The derivation algebra and automorphism group of the n -th Schrödinger algebra* Communications in Algebra, 52:1 (2024), 283-294.
- [16] M. Perroud, *Projective representations of the Schrödinger group*, Helv. Phys. Acta., **50** (1997) 233–252.
- [17] W. Tao, *On simple modules of the n -th Schrödinger algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 226(5) (2022), 106944.
- [18] Y. F. Yao, *Local derivations on the Witt algebra in prime characteristic*, Linear and multilinear algebra **70**: 15 (2020), 1–15.
- [19] Y. Yang, X. Tang, *Derivation of the Schrödinger algebra and their applications*, J. Appl Math Comput., **58** (2018) 567-576.
- [20] Y. Yu, Zh. Chen, *Local derivations on Borel subalgebras of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras*, Comm. Algebra **48**:1 (2020) 1-10.
- [21] X. Zhang, Y. Cheng, *Simple Schrödinger modules which are locally finite over the positive part*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **219** (2015) 2799-2815.

¹V.I.ROMANOVSKIY INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UZBEKISTAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES. 9, UNIVERSITY STREET, 100174, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN

²KARAKALPAK STATE UNIVERSITY, CH.ABDIROV 1, NUKUS 230113, UZBEKISTAN

³DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, URGENCH STATE UNIVERSITY, H. ALIMDJAN STREET, 14, URGENCH 220100, UZBEKISTAN

Email address: amir_t85@mail.ru, baxtiyor_yusupov_93@mail.ru, dostondzhuma@gmail.com