

# Long-term memory induced correction to Arrhenius law

A. Barbier-Chebbah<sup>1,2</sup>, O. Bénichou<sup>2</sup>, R. Voituriez<sup>2,3</sup>, T. Guérin<sup>4,1,2,3,4</sup>

<sup>11</sup> *Decision and Bayesian Computation, USR 3756 (C3BI/DBC) and Neuroscience Department CNRS UMR 3751, Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris, CNRS, 75015 Paris, France*

<sup>22</sup> *Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de la Matière Condensée, CNRS/UPMC, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France*

<sup>33</sup> *Laboratoire Jean Perrin, CNRS/UPMC, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France*

<sup>44</sup> *Laboratoire Ondes et Matière d'Aquitaine, CNRS/University of Bordeaux, F-33400 Talence, France*

(Dated: June 10, 2024)

The Kramers escape problem is a paradigmatic model for the kinetics of rare events, which are usually characterized by Arrhenius law. So far, analytical approaches have failed to capture the kinetics of rare events in the important case of non-Markovian processes with long-term memory, as occurs in the context of reactions involving proteins, long polymers, or strongly viscoelastic fluids. Here, based on a minimal model of non-Markovian Gaussian process with long-term memory, we determine quantitatively the mean FPT to a rare configuration and provide its asymptotics in the limit of a large energy barrier  $E$ . Our analysis unveils a correction to Arrhenius law, induced by long-term memory, which we determine analytically. This correction, which we show can be quantitatively significant, takes the form of a second effective energy barrier  $E' < E$  and captures the dependence of rare event kinetics on initial conditions, which is a hallmark of long-term memory. Altogether, our results quantify the impact of long-term memory on rare event kinetics, beyond Arrhenius law.

Many physical and chemical processes are controlled by “rare” events, referring to events that are qualitatively unlikely, but nonetheless important because their realization has exceptional consequences [1, 2]. Such events are ubiquitous in the context of chemical physics, as exemplified at the molecular scale by the formation or rupture of bonds [1] (e.g. in force spectroscopy experiments [3–5] or adhesion kinetics [6]), protein folding [7], molecular motor dynamics [8–10], or more generally nucleation events. Rare events are also relevant in other contexts, such as stock market crashes [11] or climate [12] or population [13, 14] dynamics. The kinetics of such events, quantified by the first-passage time (FPT) to a target configuration, generally follows Arrhenius (also called Kramers, or Eyring-Kramers) law: the mean waiting time for a rare event is exponentially large with the energy barrier that has to be crossed to reach the target configuration [1]. This picture is also valid in non-equilibrium systems with the definition of a pseudo-potential [15–18]. In the weak-noise limit, the mean FPT is generally obtained by analyzing the dynamics at the top of the (pseudo-)potential barrier, by expanding around the most probable path leading to the target configuration. In this limit the waiting time for a rare event becomes larger than all relaxation times of the dynamics, and is thus independent of initial conditions.

While the effect of memory on first passage [19–25] and rare event kinetics [4, 26–39] has been the object of recent studies, an important open question arises as to whether Arrhenius law is still valid for stochastic processes (or “reaction coordinates”)  $x(t)$  displaying *infinite* relaxation times, i.e. with correlation functions decaying as a power-law rather than exponentially:

$$\phi(\tau) \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle x(t)x(t+\tau) \rangle}{\langle x^2(t) \rangle} \underset{\tau \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} \frac{A}{\tau^\alpha}, \quad (1)$$

where  $A > 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\langle x(t) \rangle = 0$  by convention. Stochastic processes possessing the property (1) will be called hereafter *long-term memory* processes [48, 49] and arise when their dynamics results from the evolution of an infinite number of degrees of freedom. Examples of processes with long-term memory are provided by the dynamics of polymers [40], proteins [41, 42] or interfaces [19], but also earthquakes [43] or rainfalls [44]. It is known that long-term memory induces dispersed kinetics [45, 46] and correlations between successive realizations of rare events [47–49]; its impact on the kinetics of rare events however remains to be elucidated. In fact, this question was considered in Ref. [34] by means of a generalized Fokker-Planck equation, a controversial [4, 50, 51] method which leads to the notable prediction that the mean FPT to a rare configuration is infinite for a class of processes with long-term




FIG. 1: (a) Sketch of the problem. Let  $x(t)$  be a random walker in a potential at temperature  $\mathcal{T}$ , submitted to a power-law friction kernel. In this example of long-term memory (meaning that the correlation function of  $x(t)$  decay as a power-law), what is the mean FPT to a target at  $x = L$  that can be reached only by overcoming an energy barrier  $E = V(L) - V(0)$ ? (b) Sketch of the FPT for a single stochastic trajectory of  $x(t)$ .

memory ; in Ref. [35], it was noted that the standard so-called “Wilemski-Fixman” approximation [52] also predicts infinite mean FPTs [when the exponent  $\alpha$  defined in (1) satisfies  $\alpha < 1$ ] [53]. Nevertheless, these predictions of infinite mean FPTs for processes with long-term memory seem inconsistent with numerical simulations [35, 50, 51] and the mathematical results of Refs. [54–56], which point to finite mean FPTs. Such contradiction shows that the above mentioned methods cannot be used to analyse the impact of long-term memory on rare event kinetics.

Here, on the basis of a simple model of a particle in a potential  $V(x)$  at finite temperature with retarded friction force, we resolve this issue and quantify the impact of long-term memory on the kinetics of rare events. We generalize to processes with long-term memory a formalism that was so far restricted to the analysis of either FPTs in large confining volumes with flat energy landscapes [24], or of rare events without long-term memory [37]. Our theory predicts finite mean FPTs, and is supported quantitatively by numerical simulations. In the limit of large energy barriers – called hereafter rare events limit, we show that Arrhenius law does hold, with however sub-exponential corrections induced by the long-term memory, which we determine explicitly. We find that long term memory effectively induces a second effective energy barrier of size  $E' = E(1 - \alpha)$  (for  $\alpha < 1$ ), where  $E = V(L) - V(0)$  is the size of the real barrier (see Fig. 1). We find that the prefactor of this correction, which we explicitly calculate, is much larger than the prefactor of the leading-order Arrhenius law, which implies that this correction is significant for a broad range of energy barriers.

### Minimal model

We consider a minimal model of non-Markovian process  $x(t)$  with long term memory at temperature  $\mathcal{T}$ , in a confining potential that is assumed harmonic, see Fig. 1(a). We assume that  $x(t)$  obeys the overdamped Generalized Langevin Equation (GLE) :

$$\int_0^t dt' K(t-t') \dot{x}(t') = -k x(t) + \xi(t). \quad (2)$$

Here, the 1-dimensional random variable  $x(t)$  stands typically for the position of a particle,  $K(t)$  represents the friction kernel,  $k$  is the stiffness of the harmonic potential applied to the particle, and  $\xi(t)$  is a Gaussian thermal force with zero mean whose magnitude is set by the fluctuation dissipation theorem  $\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = k_B \mathcal{T} K(|t-t'|)$ . With these definitions the process  $x(t)$  is Gaussian and its stationary probability density function (pdf) is  $p_s(x) = e^{-\frac{kx^2}{2k_B \mathcal{T}}} / \sqrt{2\pi l^2}$ , where  $l = \sqrt{k_B \mathcal{T} / k}$  is the confinement length. Memory effects are encoded in the friction kernel  $K(t)$ , and result typically from complex interactions of the variable  $x(t)$  with other, potentially hidden, degrees of freedom. The dynamics (2) describes a variety of physical processes: (i) the motion of a tracer particle in a viscoelastic fluid [57–59], (ii) the motion of a tagged particle attached to a polymer chain [40, 60, 61], (iii) the dynamics of the distance between two protein residues as experimentally observed [42]. In the following we will mainly focus on scale invariant friction




FIG. 2: Survival probabilities  $S(t)$  for (a)  $H = 3/8$  and (b)  $H = 1/4$ , as measured in numerical simulations. Here  $x_0$  is drawn from the equilibrium distribution  $p_s(x)$ . The black line represents  $S(t) = e^{-t/\langle T \rangle}$ . Error bars represent 68% confidence intervals, due to statistical uncertainties.

kernels:

$$K(t) = \frac{K_\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)t^\alpha}, \quad (3)$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $K_\alpha$  is a transport coefficient, and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the gamma function. While the theory presented below could be applied to other kernels, this choice (3) is relevant to the physical examples (i),(ii),(iii) above. Furthermore, in absence of target, the correlation function defined in (1) is  $\phi(t) = E_\alpha[-(t/\tau_d)^\alpha]$  [34, 45] where  $\tau_d = (K_\alpha/k)^{1/\alpha}$  and  $E_\alpha(\cdot)$  is the Mittag-Leffler function. Since  $E_\alpha(-u) \sim 1/[\Gamma(1-\alpha)u]$  for large arguments, the choice of kernel (3) ensures that the process  $x(t)$  displays long-term memory as defined in (1): there is no finite relaxation time in the correlation function, and  $A = K_\alpha/[\Gamma(1-\alpha)k]$  (SM, Section A).

If one imposes the initial condition  $x(0) = x_0$ , the average path  $m_0(t) \equiv \langle x(t) \rangle_{x(0)=x_0}$  and the covariance  $\sigma(t, t') \equiv \text{Cov}(x(t), x(t'))_{x(0)=x_0}$  conditional to  $x(0) = x_0$  read [67]

$$m_0(t) = x_0 \phi(t), \quad \sigma(t, t') = l^2 [\phi(|t-t'|) - \phi(t)\phi(t')]. \quad (4)$$

We also define  $\psi(t) = \sigma(t, t)$  as the Mean Squared Displacement (MSD) of  $x(t)$ . In absence of potential ( $k = 0$ ),  $x(t)$  is the fractional Brownian motion of Hurst exponent  $H = \alpha/2$ ; for finite  $k$  this regime is realized at short times, when the harmonic force is negligible, as seen from the MSD:

$$\psi(t) \underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \kappa t^{2H}, \quad \kappa = \frac{2k_B \mathcal{T}}{K_\alpha \Gamma(1+\alpha)}, \quad H = \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (5)$$

Hereafter we study the mean FPT of the process  $x(t)$  defined by (2), (3) to a target threshold  $x = L$ , with an initial configuration either drawn from the equilibrium distribution or set by  $x(0) = x_0$ .

### Numerical analysis

We have performed numerical simulations of the GLE (2) by using a modified version of the circulant matrix algorithm [62] described in Ref. [63], which is an exact generator of  $x(t)$  at sampling times  $t_n = n \times dt$  for any value of the time step  $dt$ . The used values of  $dt$  are indicated in the Supplemental Material (SM, Section D) and are always smaller than  $2 \times 10^{-5} \tau_d$ . We used the two values of  $H = \alpha/2$  that are used in classical polymer models: either a semi-flexible chain ( $H = 3/8$ ) or a flexible (Rouse) chain without hydrodynamic interactions ( $H = 1/4$ ). For each trajectory  $\{x(t_n)\}$  we measured the FPT to  $L$ . The resulting survival probability  $S(t)$  (defined as the probability that the FPT is larger than  $t$ ) is shown in Fig. 2. Our numerical results are consistent with the mathematical results of Refs [54–56]: an exponential decay of  $S(t)$  in the rare events limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , and a stretched exponential behavior for  $L = 0$ . This numerical analysis thus further supports that the mean FPT is finite (see Fig. 3).

### General non-Markovian analysis

We now proceed to the theoretical determination of the mean FPT to  $x = L$ , denoted  $\langle T \rangle$ , with fixed initial condition




FIG. 3: Mean FPT when the initial position is  $x_0 = 0$  for (a)  $H = 3/8$  and (b)  $H = 1/4$ . Symbols: numerical simulations; dots: numerical integration of Eqs. (6, 8); dashed red line: Arrhenius law at leading order, Eq. (10); orange full line: refined Arrhenius law (13), including the corrections due to long-term memory. We have used the values  $\nu_{3/8} = 5.26$  and  $\nu_{1/4} = 5.0$  calculated in Ref. [37].

$x(0) = x_0$  [the case of stationary initial conditions can be obtained by averaging over  $p_s(x_0)$ ]. Our approach consists in generalizing the tools developed in Refs. [24, 37, 64], which, in the context of rare event kinetics, have been used so far only to analyze processes with a *finite* maximal relaxation time [37]. We describe the main steps of the approach for completeness ; details can be found in SM (Section B). We start with the following general exact expression of the mean FPT, derived in Ref. [24]:

$$\langle T \rangle p_s(L) = \int_0^\infty dt [p_\pi(L, t) - p(L, t)], \quad (6)$$

where we have introduced  $p_\pi(x, t)$  as the pdf of the process  $x_\pi(t) \equiv x(t + T)$ , where  $T$  is the FPT;  $x_\pi(t)$  is thus the process after a first-passage event. To characterize  $p_\pi(x, t)$ , we assume that the process  $x_\pi(t)$  is Gaussian (as is  $x(t)$ ), and thus fully characterized by its first moment  $m_\pi(t) = \langle x_\pi(t) \rangle$ , and covariance  $\sigma_\pi(t, t') \simeq \sigma(t, t')$  that is assumed to be identical to that of the unconditioned process  $x(t)$ . The validity of these hypotheses has been checked numerically [Fig. 4(a) and SM, Section D] and analytically for weakly non-Markovian processes (SM, Sections E). With these approximations, Eq. (6) becomes

$$\langle T \rangle p_s(L) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi\psi(t)}} \left[ e^{-\frac{[m_\pi(t)-L]^2}{2\psi(t)}} - e^{-\frac{[x_0\phi(t)-L]^2}{2\psi(t)}} \right]. \quad (7)$$

The so-far unknown quantity  $m_\pi(t)$  can then be determined self-consistently by analyzing a generalized version of the renewal equation (see SM, Section B), leading to

$$\int_0^\infty dt \left\{ \frac{e^{-\frac{[m_\pi(t)-L]^2}{2\psi(t)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\psi(t)}} \left( m_\pi(t+\tau) - [m_\pi(t) - L] \frac{\sigma(t+\tau, t)}{\sigma(t, t)} - L\phi(\tau) \right) - \frac{e^{-\frac{[x_0\phi(t)-L]^2}{2\psi(t)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\psi(t)}} \left( x_0\phi(t+\tau) - [x_0\phi(t) - L] \frac{\sigma(t+\tau, t)}{\sigma(t, t)} - L\phi(\tau) \right) \right\} = 0. \quad (8)$$

This equation generalizes similar equations in Refs. [24, 37], which were restricted on the determination of  $p_\pi(L, t)$  at short times and thus did not enable the analysis of long-term memory effects. This integral equation, together with the condition  $m_\pi(0) = L$ , allows to determine the only unknown  $m_\pi(t)$  : this finally gives access to  $\langle T \rangle$  thanks to Eq. (7).

### General results

This approach first shows unambiguously that the mean FPT is finite. Indeed, we show in SM (Section B) that the solution to Eq. (8) satisfies at long times

$$m_\pi(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} x_0 \phi(t), \quad (9)$$




FIG. 4: (a) Check of the stationary covariance approximation (i.e.  $\sigma_\pi(t, t') \simeq \sigma(t, t')$ ): comparison between  $\psi_\pi(t) = \text{Var}(x_\pi(t))$  measured in numerical simulations (symbols) and  $\psi(t)$  (dashed line:  $H = 3/8$ , full line  $H = 1/4$ ). (b): Check of Eq. (48): comparison between the value  $m_\pi(t)$  in simulations (symbols) and  $x_0\phi(t)$  (full line:  $x_0 = l/2$  for  $H = 3/8$ ; dashed line  $x_0 = l$  for  $H = 1/4$ ). Note that  $m_\pi(t) \simeq x_0\phi(t)$  is expected at large times only. (c) Check of the short-time scaling regime for  $H = 3/8$ . (d) Check of the long-time scaling regime (11) for  $H = 3/8$ . In (a),(c),(d), the initial position is drawn from an equilibrium distribution, corresponding to our predictions for  $x_0 = 0$ . When present, error bars represent 68% confidence intervals.

which can be checked directly in numerical simulations, see figure 4(b). This scaling, together with Eq. (6), shows that the mean FPT is finite. This contradicts the results obtained with the generalized Fokker-Planck equation [34] or with the Wilemski-Fixman approximation [52]. The latter amounts to assuming that the process is at all times in an equilibrium state, and would thus yield  $m_\pi(t) \simeq L\phi(t)$ , leading to an infinite mean FPT when  $\alpha < 1$  (as noted earlier in a similar, but out of equilibrium, situation [35]). Beyond this proof of finiteness, our approach yields a quantitative determination of  $\langle T \rangle$  by solving numerically the integral equation (8) for  $m_\pi(t)$  and next using Eq. (6); this shows quantitative agreement with numerical simulations in Fig. 3.

### Rare events limit $L \rightarrow \infty$

We now consider the rare event limit to determine explicitly the impact of long-term memory on rare events kinetics. The mean FPT obtained by the method of matched asymptotics which we sketch here; calculation details are provided in SM (Section C). The dynamics involves different time and length scales; two can be readily identified: (i) the confinement length  $l$  and (ii) the length  $l^* = k_B T / F$ , where  $F = kL$  is the slope of the potential at  $L$ . The associated time scales are respectively (i)  $\tau_d$  and (ii) the time  $t^*$  at which the characteristic fluctuations  $\sqrt{\kappa}(t^*)^H$  of the trajectories near the target become comparable to  $l^*$ , this leads to  $t^* = (l^*/\sqrt{\kappa})^{1/H}$ . Note that in the rare events limit  $t^* \ll \tau_d$ .

The leading order term  $T_{RE}$  of  $\langle T \rangle$  in the  $L \rightarrow \infty$  limit results from the contribution of timescales  $t \sim t^* \ll \tau_d$  only in (6). Indeed, after a time  $t \gg t^*$ , a particle initially at  $L$  has typically moved away from the target, so that  $p_\pi(L, t)$  is exponentially small, whereas  $p_\pi$  is of order 1 at very short times  $t \sim t^*$ . In turn, if the starting position is typically not close from  $L$ ,  $p(L, t)$  is exponentially small with  $L$  at all times. The above consideration suggests to look for solutions of the form  $m_\pi(t) \simeq L - l^* f(t/t^*)$ ; inserting this ansatz in (8) and taking the rare event limit leads to an equation for  $f$  that depends only on  $H$ , justifying our ansatz. The mean FPT at leading order is then obtained as

$$\langle T \rangle_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{l^{\frac{2}{H}-1} \nu_H}{L^{\frac{1}{H}-1} \kappa^{\frac{1}{2H}}} \times e^{\beta E} \equiv T_{RE}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\nu_H = \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{u^H} e^{-f^2(u)/2u^{2H}}$  depends only on  $H$ ,  $E = kL^2/2$  is the energy barrier and  $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$ . This leading order result displays the usual Arrhenius factor  $e^{\beta E}$ , which is the hallmark of rare event kinetics, and is compatible with the mathematical results of Pickands [55]. Of note, it is controlled only by the short time behavior of the MSD  $\psi(t)$ , and is independent of the long time relaxation of correlations, and thus of long-term memory. It is indeed identical to the results of Ref. [37] obtained for non Markovian processes with the same MSD at short times but finite

relaxation time. To prove this result self-consistently, we need to estimate the contributions to  $\langle T \rangle$  in (6), that are induced by the behaviour of the integrand at time scales  $t \gg t^*$ . These contributions are expected to be relevant in the case of long-term memory, due to the slow decay of correlation functions.

Here, the key point is to note that, in addition to the previously identified timescales  $\tau_d$  and  $t^*$ , a third relevant timescale for the dynamics of  $x_\pi(t)$  is the time  $T_{\text{RE}}$  it-self. Indeed, we show in SM that  $m_\pi(t, L)$  can be written for  $t \gg t^*$  :

$$m_\pi(t) \simeq \begin{cases} L \phi_\pi(t) & (t^* \ll t = \mathcal{O}(\tau_d) \ll T_{\text{RE}}) \\ \frac{LA}{T_{\text{RE}}^\alpha} \chi\left(\frac{t}{T_{\text{RE}}}\right) & (\tau_d \ll t = \mathcal{O}(T_{\text{RE}})) \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

where  $A$  is defined in (1) and  $\chi$  and  $\phi_\pi$  are scaling functions. The analysis of Eq. (8) at timescales  $\tau_d$  and  $T_{\text{RE}}$ , respectively, enables us to obtain equations for  $\phi_\pi$  and  $\chi$  that can be solved, leading to

$$\phi_\pi(t) = \phi(t), \quad \chi(y) = \alpha \left(1 - \frac{x_0}{L}\right) \Gamma(-\alpha, y) e^y + \frac{x_0}{y^\alpha L}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\Gamma(s, y) = \int_y^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$  is the upper incomplete gamma function. Finally, inserting the scaling forms for  $m_\pi(t)$  into Eq. (6), we obtain

$$\langle T \rangle \simeq T_{\text{RE}} + T_{\text{RE}}^{1-\alpha} \times \frac{A}{l^2} L(L - x_0) \Gamma(1 - \alpha). \quad (13)$$

This is the central result of this Letter. It confirms the validity of the leading order term  $T_{\text{RE}}$ , which is independent of long-term memory, and explicitly determines the subleading term, which is induced by long-term memory, as seen by the factor  $A$  that characterizes the long-time decay of fluctuations. Several remarks are in order: (i) Since  $T_{\text{RE}} \propto e^{\beta E}$ , the correction due to long-term memory is of order  $e^{\beta E'}$  with an effective energy barrier  $E' = E(1 - \alpha)$ . The smaller the value of  $\alpha$  the larger the value of  $E'$ , so that the convergence to the rare event limit is expected to be slower for small  $\alpha$  (where non-Markovian effects are stronger). (ii) Furthermore, the pre-exponential factor is clearly much larger for the corrective term than for the leading order term in the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , so that the corrective term can be quantitatively important. Indeed, as observed in figure 3, taking into account this correction is essential to predict the rare event kinetics for not-too-large values of  $L$ . (iii) Eq. (13) shows that the subleading correction depends on the initial position  $x_0$ : because of long-term memory, initial conditions can thus impact quantitatively rare event kinetics. (iv) As a further validation of our analysis, the expected scaling behaviors of  $m_\pi$  are given in Fig. 4 (c),(d) and hold in the large  $L$  limit, with discrepancies at small times in Fig. 4(c) due to limitations in the choice of the time step (see SM, Section D for additional parameters).

## Conclusion

We have proposed a theoretical analysis of the classical Kramers escape problem for non Markovian processes with long-term memory. Although our approach is approximate, it captures the essence of memory effects and allows for a quantitative determination of the mean FPT to a target, which we unambiguously show is finite, whereas all existing theoretical approaches so far incorrectly predicted infinite mean FPTs (for  $\alpha < 1$ ). This comes from the assumption of a system at equilibrium at all times that is implicitly made in the methods that have been employed so far, namely the Wilemski-Fixman approximation or the generalized Fokker-Planck equation approach. Such hypothesis is too strong to take properly into account long-term memory effects. In our approach, the genuine non-equilibriumness of the system upon a first passage event manifests itself in the trajectory  $m_\pi(t)$ , whose behaviour at very long times is affected by long-term memory. In the rare event limit, we have explicitly determined the correction to Arrhenius laws, which is due to long-term memory. This takes the form of a second effective energy barrier of size  $E' = E(1 - \alpha)$ , which we show can be quantitatively significant, and captures the dependence of the kinetics on initial conditions. It is known that Arrhenius laws can be identified for non-Gaussian models by considering the linearized dynamics around the target [37]. Since our study reveals that the effect of long-term memory on rare event kinetics comes from the slow dynamics at the bottom of the potential only, we may expect that our main result (13) could be generalized to non-Gaussian models. Moreover, although we have focused here on a simple model of a particle with viscoelastic

friction at equilibrium at constant temperature, it is clear that our arguments to identify the mean FPT could be adapted to active models where the fluctuation-dissipation theorem does not hold. Indeed, Eq. (7) and (8) would still be valid, and would involve similarly the properties of the process in absence of target  $(A, \phi, p_s, \kappa, \dots)$ , which are in principle still accessible from the definition of the process in Eq. (2), even if the fluctuation-dissipation relation does not hold because of active effects [35]. Last, because our approach puts forward deviations from Arrhenius law due to long-term memory, we also anticipate deviations from exponential laws for the distribution of FPTs, that could be studied by generalizing our approach to higher moments of the FPT, possibly giving access to the analytical study of extreme events clustering and dispersed kinetics. Altogether, our results shed light on the effect of long-term memory on rare event kinetics, beyond Arrhenius laws.

### Acknowledgments

T. G. acknowledges the support of the grant *ComplexEncounters*, ANR-21-CE30-0020. Computer time for this study was provided by the computing facilities MCIA (Mesocentre de Calcul Intensif Aquitain) of the Université de Bordeaux and of the Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour.

## Supplemental Material

In this Supplemental Material, we provide

1. calculation details to obtain the solution of the GLE equation (without target) [Section A].
2. a detailed derivation of the equations of the non-Markovian theory [Section B]
3. calculation details for the asymptotic analysis in the rare event limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$  [Section C].
4. Details on simulations and additional simulation data to check the Gaussian behavior of trajectories in the future of first passage events and our scaling arguments [Section D].
5. A note on the exactness of the approach for weakly non-Markovian processes [Section E].

### A. Solution of the Generalized Langevin Equation (without absorbing target)

Here, we consider the dynamics given by the overdamped GLE

$$\int_0^t dt' K(|t-t'|) \dot{x}(t') = -k x(t) + \xi(t), \quad \langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = k_B \mathcal{T} K(|t-t'|). \quad (14)$$

In absence of target, the solution of this equation is well known [34], it is reminded here for the sake of completeness. Since the above equation is linear, the resulting process  $x(t)$  is Gaussian and is fully characterized by its two first

moments. Denoting  $\tilde{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-st}dt$  the Laplace transform of a function  $f$ , we obtain

$$\tilde{x}(s) = \frac{\tilde{\xi}(s) + x(0)\tilde{K}(s)}{s\tilde{K}(s) + k}. \quad (15)$$

We also write

$$\langle \tilde{\xi}(s)\tilde{\xi}(s') \rangle = k_B\mathcal{T} \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty dt' e^{-(st+s't')} K(|t-t'|), \quad (16)$$

$$= k_B\mathcal{T} \int_0^\infty dt' \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-(s+s')t'-s\tau} K(\tau) + k_B\mathcal{T} \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty d\tau' e^{-(s+s')t-s'\tau'} K(\tau'), \quad (17)$$

$$= k_B\mathcal{T} \frac{\tilde{K}(s) + \tilde{K}(s')}{s + s'}, \quad (18)$$

where Eq. (17) has been obtained by setting  $t = t' + \tau$  for  $t > t'$  and  $t' = t + \tau'$  for  $t' > t$ . Using this result and (15) yields, for an initially equilibrated initial position  $\langle x(0)^2 \rangle = k_B\mathcal{T}/k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{x}(s)\tilde{x}(s') \rangle &= \frac{k_B\mathcal{T}}{[s\tilde{K}(s) + k][s'\tilde{K}(s') + k]} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{K}(s) + \tilde{K}(s')}{s + s'} + \frac{\tilde{K}(s)\tilde{K}(s')}{k} \right\}, \\ &= \frac{k_B\mathcal{T}}{k(s + s')} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{K}(s)}{s\tilde{K}(s) + k} + \frac{\tilde{K}(s')}{s'\tilde{K}(s') + k} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

We may recognize that if one sets

$$\langle x(t)x(t') \rangle = l^2\phi(|t-t'|), \quad (20)$$

then, using the same procedure as in Eq. (17),

$$\langle \tilde{x}(s)\tilde{x}(s') \rangle = \frac{l^2}{s + s'} [\tilde{\phi}(s) + \tilde{\phi}(s')]. \quad (21)$$

Comparing the above equation with (19) leads to

$$\tilde{\phi}(s) = \frac{\tilde{K}(s)}{s\tilde{K}(s) + k}. \quad (22)$$

This formula is valid for arbitrary kernel. For the power-law kernel (3) of the main text, we obtain  $\tilde{K} = K_\alpha/s^{1-\alpha}$  and  $\phi(t)$  is a Mittag-Leffler function:

$$\tilde{\phi}(s) = \frac{K_\alpha s^\alpha}{s[s^\alpha K_\alpha + k]}, \quad \phi(t) = E_\alpha \left( - \left[ \frac{t}{\tau_d} \right]^\alpha \right). \quad (23)$$

The mean and covariance of the process when  $x(0) = x_0$  is fixed can be obtained by using general formulas on conditional means and covariances for Gaussian processes, see e.g. chapter 3 in Ref. [67]:

$$\mathbb{E}(A|Y = y) = \mathbb{E}(A) - \frac{\text{Cov}(A, Y)}{\text{Var}(A)} (\mathbb{E}(Y) - y), \quad (24)$$

$$\text{Cov}(A, B|Y = y) = \text{Cov}(A, B) - \frac{\text{Cov}(A, Y)\text{Cov}(B, Y)}{\text{Var}(A)}. \quad (25)$$

These formulas relate conditional averages and covariances to non-conditional ones, here  $\mathbb{E}(A|Y = y)$  is the average of the variable  $A$  given that the variable  $Y$  takes the value  $y$ , and  $\text{Cov}(A, B|Y = y)$  is the covariance of  $A, B$  given that  $Y = y$ . Using these formulas, the average and the covariance of the process  $x(t)$  conditional to  $x(0) = x_0$  read

$$m_0(t) \equiv \mathbb{E}(x(t)|x(0) = x_0) = x_0\phi(t), \quad (26)$$

$$\sigma(t, t') \equiv \text{Cov}(x(t), x(t')|x(0) = 0) = l^2[\phi(|t-t'|) - \phi(t)\phi(t')]. \quad (27)$$

We can also check these expressions by using directly (15).

### B. Derivation of the equations of the non-Markovian theory [Eqs. (7,8,9,10,11)]

Here we derive the equations that will give access to the mean first passage time (mean FPT) to  $x = L$ , when the stochastic process starts at  $x_0$  at  $t = 0$ . Let us start with a two-point generalized version of the renewal equation:

$$p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1) = \int_0^t dt' F(t') p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1 | \text{FPT} = t'). \quad (28)$$

This exact equation comes from the fact that, if  $x$  is observed at position  $L$  at  $t$ , since the process is non-smooth, it means that  $L$  was reached for the first time at some time  $t'$ , and the above equation is obtained by partitioning the event of observing  $(L, x_1)$  at times  $t, t + t_1$  over the value of the FPT. Here,  $p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1)$  is the joint probability density function (pdf) of observing  $x = L$  at time  $t$  and the position  $x = x_1$  at a later time  $t + t_1$ . The fact that the initial position is fixed is implicitly understood in this notation. Next,  $p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1 | \text{FPT} = t')$  represents the probability density of observing  $x = L$  at time  $t$  and  $x = x_1$  at a later time  $t + t_1$  given that the FPT is  $t'$ . Note that, as originally noted in Ref. [23], for non-Markovian processes, it is necessary to keep the information that the target was reached at  $t'$  for the first time in the propagators, this condition is different from the condition that  $x(t') = L$  which would hold for Markovian processes.

Now, we introduce the process in the future of the FPT,  $x_\pi(t) \equiv x(t + \text{FPT})$  and we denote as  $p_\pi(y, t)$  its pdf at time  $t$  (after the FPT). By definition,

$$p_\pi(L, t; x_1, t + t_1) = \int_0^\infty d\tau F(\tau) p(L, t + \tau; x_1, t + t_1 + \tau | \text{FPT} = \tau). \quad (29)$$

We also define the stationary probability density of observing  $x = L$  at some time and  $x_1$  after a time  $t_1$  has elapsed:

$$p_s(L; x_1, t_1) \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1). \quad (30)$$

We now consider Eq. (28), where we subtract  $p_s(L; x_1, t_1)$  on both sides, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1) - p_s(L; x_1, t_1) &= \int_0^t dt' F(t') [p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1 | \text{FPT} = t') - p_s(L; x_1, t_1)] \\ &\quad - \int_t^\infty d\tau F(\tau) p_s(L; x_1, t_1), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where we have used the fact that  $\int_0^\infty dt F(t) = 1$ . To proceed further, we remark that

$$\int_0^\infty dt \int_t^\infty dt' F(t') = \int_0^\infty dt' \int_0^{t'} dt F(t) = \int_0^\infty dt' t' F(t') = \langle T \rangle. \quad (32)$$

We also note the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty dt \int_0^t dt' F(t') [p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1 | \text{FPT} = t') - p_s(L; x_1, t_1)], \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt' \int_{t'}^\infty dt F(t) [p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1 | \text{FPT} = t') - p_s(L; x_1, t_1)], \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty dt' \int_0^\infty du F(t') [p(L, t' + u; x_1, t' + t_1 + u | \text{FPT} = t') - p_s(L; x_1, t_1)], \quad (34)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty du \int_0^\infty dt' F(t') [p(L, t' + u; x_1, t' + t_1 + u | \text{FPT} = t') - p_s(L; x_1, t_1)], \quad (35)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty du [p_\pi(L, u; x_1, u + t_1) - p_s(L; x_1, t_1)], \quad (36)$$

where the successive calculation steps are: (i) the inversion of the order of integration for the variables  $(t, t')$  in Eq. (33), (ii) the change of variable  $t = u + t'$  in Eq. (34), (iii) again a change in the order of integration between the

variables  $u, t'$  in (35), and (iv) finally the use of the definition (29) to simplify the integral. Next, using Eqs. (32) and (36), we see that that integrating Eq. (31) over  $t$  leads to

$$\int_0^\infty dt [p_\pi(L, t; x_1, t + t_1) - p(L, t; x_1, t + t_1)] = \langle T \rangle p_s(L; x_1, t + t_1). \quad (37)$$

This equation is general and exact, as soon as  $p_s$  exists, for any continuous non-smooth stochastic process (even non-Gaussian). Integrating over  $x_1$  leads to a general expression for the mean FPT:

$$\langle T \rangle p_s(L) = \int_0^\infty dt [p_\pi(L, t) - p(L, t)]. \quad (38)$$

Next, we write  $p_\pi(L, t; x_1, t + t_1) = p_\pi(L, t)p_\pi(x_1, t + t_1|L, t)$  (this is Bayes' formula). Using this, multiplying Eq. (37) by  $x_1$  and integrating over  $x_1$  yields

$$\int_0^\infty dt [p_\pi(L, t)m_\pi^*(t + t_1|L, t) - p(L, t)m_0^*(t + t_1|L, t)] = \langle T \rangle p_s(L)m_s^*(t_1|L, 0), \quad (39)$$

where  $m_\pi^*(t + t_1|L, t)$  is the conditional average of  $x_\pi(t + t_1)$  given that  $x_\pi(t) = L$ , and (similarly)  $m_0^*(t + t_1|L, t)$  is the conditional average of  $x(t + t_1)$  given that  $x(t) = L$ . Finally,  $m_s^*(t_1)$  is the average of  $x(t_1)$  given that the system is equilibrated at  $t = 0$ , with the condition  $x(0) = L$ . Combining Eqs. (38) and (39), we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty dt \{p_\pi(L, t)[m_\pi^*(t + t_1|L, t) - m_s^*(t_1)] - p(L, t)[m_0^*(t + t_1|L, t) - m_s^*(t_1)]\} = 0. \quad (40)$$

To proceed further, we assume that, in the future of the FPT, the process  $x_\pi(t)$  is Gaussian, with a mean  $m_\pi(t)$  and a covariance  $\sigma_\pi(t, t') \simeq \sigma(t, t')$  that is approximated by the stationary covariance conditioned to  $x = 0$  at  $t = 0$ . The next step consists in using the above equations as closure relations to determine the mean FPT.

We now write explicit expressions for  $m_\pi^*, m_0^*, m_s^*$ . Using the general formula (24) for conditional averages, where we use  $A = x_\pi(t)$ ,  $Y = x_\pi(t + t_1)$  and  $y = L$ , we obtain

$$m_\pi^*(t + t_1|L, t) = m_\pi(t + t_1) - \frac{\sigma(t + t_1, t)}{\psi(t)} [m_\pi(t) - L], \quad (41)$$

where  $\psi(t) = \sigma(t, t) = l^2[1 - \phi(t)^2]$  is the mean square displacement of the process  $x(t)$  conditioned to  $x(0) = 0$ . Similarly, applying again Eq. (24) for  $A = x(t)$ ,  $Y = x(t + t_1)$  and  $y = L$ , we obtain

$$m_0^*(t + t_1|L, t) = m_0(t + t_1) - \frac{\sigma(t + t_1, t)}{\psi(t)} [m_0(t) - L]. \quad (42)$$

Taking the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in the above formula enables us to identify  $m_s^*$ :

$$m_s^*(t_1|L, 0) = L\phi(t_1). \quad (43)$$

We also note that, for Gaussian propagators,

$$p_\pi(L, t) = \frac{e^{-[L - m_\pi(t)]^2/2\psi(t)}}{\sqrt{2\pi\psi(t)}}, \quad p(L, t) = \frac{e^{-[L - m_0(t)]^2/2\psi(t)}}{\sqrt{2\pi\psi(t)}}. \quad (44)$$

Collecting these results, the closure equation (40) for  $m_\pi(t)$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\tau) \equiv & \int_0^\infty dt \left\{ \frac{e^{-[L - m_\pi(t)]^2/2\psi(t)}}{[\psi(t)]^{1/2}} \left[ m_\pi(t + \tau) - [m_\pi(t) - L] \frac{\phi(\tau) - \phi(t)\phi(t + \tau)}{1 - \phi^2(t)} - L\phi(\tau) \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{e^{-[L - x_0\phi(t)]^2/2\psi(t)}}{[\psi(t)]^{1/2}} \left[ x_0\phi(t + \tau) - [x_0\phi(t) - L] \frac{\phi(\tau) - \phi(t)\phi(t + \tau)}{1 - \phi^2(t)} - L\phi(\tau) \right] \right\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and the expression (38) for the mean FPT becomes

$$\langle T \rangle p_s(L) = \int_0^\infty dt \left\{ \frac{e^{-[L - m_\pi(t)]^2/2\psi(t)}}{[2\pi\psi(t)]^{1/2}} - \frac{e^{-[L - x_0\phi(t)]^2/2\psi(t)}}{[2\pi\psi(t)]^{1/2}} \right\}. \quad (46)$$

*Behavior of  $m_\pi(t)$  at large times and consequence for the mean FPT*

We note that, for large times,  $\phi(t)$  becomes a small quantity for large times. Then we see that the second line of the integrande in Eq. (45) behaves as

$$\frac{e^{-(L-x_0\phi(t))^2/2\psi(t)}}{[2\pi\psi(t)]^{1/2}} \left[ x_0\phi(t+\tau) - [x_0\phi(t) - L] \frac{\phi(\tau) - \phi(t)\phi(t+\tau)}{1-\phi^2(t)} - L\phi(\tau) \right] \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} x_0(1-\phi(\tau))\phi(t). \quad (47)$$

Since  $\phi(t) \simeq A/t^{2H}$  and  $H < 1/2$ , we see that these terms have to be compensated so that the integral (45) exists; this implies that

$$m_\pi(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} x_0 \phi(t), \quad (48)$$

and this equality should hold at all orders of  $t^{-a}$  with  $a < 1$ . If the behavior (48) holds then the mean FPT predicted by Eq. (46) is finite.

### C. Asymptotic analysis in the rare event limit, $L \rightarrow \infty$

Here, we analyze the structure of the solution  $m_\pi(t, L)$  in the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . As mentioned in the main text, a natural length scale for the dynamics near the top of the potential is  $l^* = k_B \mathcal{T}/F$ , where  $F = kL$  is the slope of the potential. Hence  $l^* = l^2/L$ . The associated time scale  $t^*$  is the time at which  $\psi(t^*)$  is of order  $l^*$ , this leads to  $t^* = (l^*/\sqrt{\kappa})^{1/H}$ . This suggests the ansatz

$$m_\pi(t, L) \simeq L - \frac{l^2}{L} f(t/t^*), \quad t^* = \left( \frac{l^2}{L\sqrt{\kappa}} \right)^{1/H}. \quad (49)$$

Note that  $t^* \rightarrow 0$  when  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . Here  $f$  is a scaling function that is determined by requiring that  $\mathcal{H}(\tau = t^*v)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined in Eq. (45), vanishes in the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$  (at fixed  $v$ ):

$$\mathcal{H}(t^*v) \underset{L \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} \frac{l^2(t^*)^{1-H}}{L\sqrt{\kappa}} \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{u^H} e^{-\frac{f^2(u)}{2u^{2H}}} \left[ -f(u+v) + f(u) \frac{u^{2H} + (u+v)^{2H} - v^{2H}}{2u^{2H}} + \frac{v^{2H}}{2} \right] = 0, \quad (50)$$

where we have used  $\phi(\tau) \simeq 1 - \tau^{2H}\kappa/(2l^2)$  for small  $\tau$  (so that  $\psi(\tau) \simeq \kappa\tau^{2H}$ ). Solving this equation yields the scaling function  $f$ . Next, we investigate the behavior of  $m_\pi(t)$  at time scales larger than  $t^*$ . It is natural to assume that  $m_\pi(t)$  admits a regime that varies at the same time scale  $\tau_d$  as the original dynamics for  $x(t)$ , which leads us to the ansatz

$$m_\pi(t, L) \simeq \begin{cases} L - \frac{l^2}{L} f(t/t^*) & t = \mathcal{O}(t^*), \quad (t \ll \tau_d), \\ L \phi_\pi(t) & t = \mathcal{O}(\tau_d), \quad (t \gg t^*), \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

where  $\phi_\pi$  is a scaling function that is independent of  $L$ . The linear term in  $L$  in factor of  $\phi_\pi$  is justified by the fact that the matching with the solution at scale  $t^*$  can be achieved with the conditions

$$\phi_\pi(t) \underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} 1 - c \frac{\kappa t^{2H}}{l^2}, \quad f(u \rightarrow \infty) \simeq c u^{2H}, \quad (52)$$

where  $c$  is a numerical constant. The equation for  $\phi_\pi$  is obtained by looking at the behavior of  $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$  for  $L \rightarrow \infty$  at fixed  $\tau$ , the integrals can in fact be evaluated at times  $t^*$  (all other terms are exponentially small) so that we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(\tau) \underset{L \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} \frac{(t^*)^{1-H}}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \int_0^\infty du \frac{e^{-f^2(u)/2u^{2H}}}{u^H} [L \phi_\pi(\tau) - L \phi(\tau)]. \quad (53)$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$  has to vanish for all  $\tau$  we conclude that  $\phi_\pi = \phi$ : thus at this time scale  $\tau_d$  the average trajectory in the future of the FPT is, at leading order, the same as the trajectory constrained to  $x(0) = L$  starting from an equilibrium

configuration. However, it is obvious that this behavior (51) cannot hold at very long times since at this stage it is not possible to connect the long-time behavior of  $m_\pi = L\phi(t)$  to the already identified behavior given by Eq. (48), where  $m_\pi(t) \simeq x_0\phi(t)$ . Hence, we have to postulate the existence of at least one additional longer time scales. Let us define now  $T_{\text{RE}}$  as

$$T_{\text{RE}} = e^{L^2/(2l^2)} \frac{(t^*)^{1-H} l}{\kappa^{1/2}} \nu_H, \quad \nu_H = \int_0^\infty du \frac{e^{-f^2(u)/2u^{2H}}}{u^H}. \quad (54)$$

It turns out that  $T_{\text{RE}}$  will be the value of the mean FPT at leading order when  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , but since this is not obvious for our long-term memory process we use the above equation as a definition for  $T_{\text{RE}}$ . Note that  $L^2/(2l^2) = E/k_B\mathcal{T}$  is the value of the energy barrier to be crossed to reach the target point. Anticipating the final result, we postulate that  $T_{\text{RE}}$  is also a characteristic time scale for  $m_\pi$ . Considering this third time scale, the behavior of  $m_\pi$  reads

$$m_\pi(t, L) \simeq \begin{cases} L - \frac{l^2}{L} f(t/t^*) & t = \mathcal{O}(t^*), (t \ll \tau_d), \\ L \phi(t) & t = \mathcal{O}(\tau_d), (t^* \ll t \ll T_{\text{RE}}), \\ \frac{LA}{T_{\text{RE}}^{2H}} \chi\left(\frac{t}{T_{\text{RE}}}\right) & t = \mathcal{O}(T_{\text{RE}}), (\tau_d \ll t) \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

where  $\chi$  is a scaling function. The term  $LA/T_{\text{RE}}^{2H}$  in factor of  $\chi$  is justified by the fact that the solutions at scales  $\tau_d$  and  $T_{\text{RE}}$  are matched (i.e. predict the same value for  $m_\pi$ ) at the condition

$$\chi(u) \underset{u \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} 1/u^{2H}. \quad (56)$$

We find the equation for  $\chi$  by calculating  $\mathcal{H}(\tau = \bar{\tau}T_{\text{RE}})$  when  $L \rightarrow \infty$  at fixed  $\bar{\tau}$ . The key remark is that since  $T_{\text{RE}}$  is exponentially large with  $L$ , the integral (45) has two contributions: a first one coming from  $\tau$  of order  $t^*$  and a second one coming from  $\tau = \mathcal{O}(T_{\text{RE}})$ . We note that

$$\frac{\phi(\tau) - \phi(t+\tau)\phi(t)}{1 - \phi^2(t)} \underset{t \ll \tau_d \ll \tau}{\simeq} \frac{A}{2\tau^{2H}}, \quad (57)$$

so that, with  $\tau = T_{\text{RE}}\bar{\tau}$  and  $t = ut^*$ , we have

$$m_\pi(t + \tau) = m_\pi(ut^* + \bar{\tau}T_{\text{RE}}) \simeq \frac{LA}{T_{\text{RE}}^{2H}} \chi(\bar{\tau}), \quad (58)$$

$$(m_\pi(t) - L) \frac{\phi(\tau) - \phi(t+\tau)\phi(t)}{1 - \phi^2(t)} = -\frac{l^2}{L} \frac{f(u)}{T_{\text{RE}}^{2H}} \frac{A}{2\bar{\tau}^{2H}} \ll m_\pi(t + \tau). \quad (59)$$

Following these considerations, we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\tau = T_{\text{RE}}\bar{\tau}) \underset{L \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} & \frac{(t^*)^{1-H}}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \frac{LA}{T_{\text{RE}}^{2H}} \int_0^\infty du \frac{e^{-f^2(u)/2u^{2H}}}{u^H} \left[ \chi(\bar{\tau}) - \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}^{2H}} \right] \\ & + \frac{LA}{l} \frac{T_{\text{RE}}}{T_{\text{RE}}^{2H}} \int_0^\infty d\bar{t} e^{-\frac{l^2}{2\bar{t}^2}} \left[ \chi(\bar{t} + \bar{\tau}) - \frac{\tilde{x}_0}{(\bar{t} + \bar{\tau})^{2H}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where  $\tilde{x}_0 = x_0/L$  and one keeps  $\tilde{x}_0$  constant when taking the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . Equating this expression to zero and using the definition of  $T_{\text{RE}}$  in Eq. (54) we thus obtain

$$\chi(\bar{\tau}) - \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}^{2H}} + \int_0^\infty d\bar{t} \left[ \chi(\bar{t} + \bar{\tau}) - \frac{\tilde{x}_0}{(\bar{t} + \bar{\tau})^{2H}} \right] = 0. \quad (61)$$

This equation can be solved by setting  $G(\bar{\tau}) = \chi(\bar{\tau}) - \tilde{x}_0/\bar{\tau}^{2H}$ , and differentiating with respect to  $\bar{\tau}$ :

$$G'(\bar{\tau}) + (1 - \tilde{x}_0) \frac{2H}{\bar{\tau}^{2H+1}} - G(\bar{\tau}) = 0, \quad (62)$$

where one has assumed that  $G(\infty) = 0$ . The only solution that does not diverge exponentially for large arguments is

$$G(\bar{\tau}) = (1 - \tilde{x}_0) 2H \Gamma(-2H, \bar{\tau}) e^{\bar{\tau}}, \quad \chi(\bar{\tau}) = (1 - \tilde{x}_0) 2H \Gamma(-2H, \bar{\tau}) e^{\bar{\tau}} + \frac{\tilde{x}_0}{\bar{\tau}^{2H}}. \quad (63)$$

where  $\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$  is the upper incomplete gamma function. We note that, the above expression satisfies the matching condition Eq. (56), suggesting that our analysis is consistent. We also note that, when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the predictions of Eqs. (55) and (63) coincide with the behavior (48). This means that the complete structure of  $m_\pi(t)$  has been determined, at all time scales.

To evaluate the mean FPT, we introduce two intermediate time scales  $\varepsilon, \lambda$  that satisfy

$$t^* \ll \varepsilon \ll \tau_d \ll \lambda \ll T_{RE}. \quad (64)$$

The mean FPT is evaluated by splitting the integral (46) over the three intervals  $]0, \varepsilon[$ ,  $]\varepsilon, \lambda[$  and  $]\lambda, \infty[$ , and by using the appropriate form of  $m_\pi$  in Eq. (55) for each interval. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T \rangle p_s(L) = & \frac{(t^*)^{1-H}}{\sqrt{k}} \int_0^{\varepsilon/t^*} du \frac{e^{-f^2(u)/2u^{2H}}}{[2\pi u^{2H}]^{1/2}} + \int_\varepsilon^\lambda dt \left\{ \frac{e^{-[L(1-\phi)]^2/2\psi(t)}}{[2\pi\psi(t)]^{1/2}} - \frac{e^{-(L-x_0\phi(t))^2/2\psi(t)}}{[2\pi\psi(t)]^{1/2}} \right\} \\ & + \frac{ALT_{RE}^{1-2H}}{l^3\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-L^2/2l^2} \int_{\lambda/T_{RE}}^\infty du L \left[ \chi(u) - \frac{\tilde{x}_0}{u^{2H}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

where for  $t > \lambda$  we have used the fact that  $m_\pi(t) \ll L$  and  $x_0\phi \ll L$ , and we have set  $t = uT_{RE}$ . Replacing  $\chi$  by its value, and taking the limit  $\lambda/T_{RE} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varepsilon/t^* \rightarrow \infty$ , we finally obtain

$$\langle T \rangle \simeq T_{RE} + T_{RE}^{1-2H} \times \frac{AL(L-x_0)\Gamma(1-2H)}{l^2}, \quad (66)$$

which is Eq. (15) in the main text.

#### D. Details on simulations and additional numerical controls

Here, we present additional numerical results supporting our findings. In Fig. 5 we present additional tests of the validity of the Gaussian approximation and of the stationary covariance approximation. In Fig. 6 we present a test of the scaling behavior of  $m_\pi$  for large  $L$ . Last, we report the used values of the time step  $dt$  for all simulations of this work in table I.




FIG. 5: (a) Additional check of the stationary covariance hypothesis. Here,  $\psi_\pi(t) = \text{var}(x_\pi(t))$  and one represents  $1 - \psi_\pi(t)/l^2$  to determine whether the stationary covariance approximation is valid at long times (where  $\psi_\pi(t) \rightarrow l^2$ ). Symbols are simulation results (parameter values are indicated in legend) and are compared to  $\phi^2(t)$  obtained in the stationary covariance approximation (dashed and full lines). (b) Check of the Gaussian approximation. Here, one represents the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the rescaled variable  $\tilde{x}_\pi(t) = [x_\pi(t) - \langle x_\pi(t) \rangle]/\psi_\pi^{1/2}(t)$ . The red line is the CDF of a normalized Gaussian. Other dashed lines represent simulation results, with parameters indicated in the legend. The collapse of the curves suggests that the stochastic process  $x_\pi(t)$  is well approximated by a Gaussian process.




FIG. 6: Additional checks for scaling behavior of  $m_\pi(t)$  for  $H = 1/4$ . (a) Check of the short time scaling (49)  $m_\pi(t) = L - l^* f(t/t^*)$  in the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . Here  $f$  is calculated by numerically solving (50). Note that the larger discrepancy between  $f$  and the data at short times comes from the finiteness of the time step  $\Delta t$  compared to  $t^*$  (since  $t^* \propto 1/L^4$  here). The fact that one needs to generate trajectories that are longer than  $\langle T \rangle \propto e^{L^2/2l^2}$  prevents us from using smaller time steps for large  $L$ . (b) Check of the long time scaling regime given by Eq. (13) in the main text. Here the initial position is drawn from an equilibrium distribution, corresponding to our predictions for  $x_0 = 0$ .

$H$	$L/l$	$dt/\tau_d$	Figures
3/8	0	$5.96 \times 10^{-6}$	Fig 2(a)
3/8	1	$5.96 \times 10^{-6}$	Figs. 2(a), 3(a), 4(b), 4(d), S1(b)
3/8	2	$5.96 \times 10^{-6}$	Figs. 2(a), 3(a), 4(a), 4(c), 4(d), S1(a)
3/8	3	$7.45 \times 10^{-6}$	Figs. 2(a), 3(a), 4(c), 4(d), S1(b)
3/8	3	$1.49 \times 10^{-5}$	Figs. 4(a), S1(a)
3/8	4	$1.86 \times 10^{-5}$	Figs. 3(a), 4(d)
3/8	4	$7.45 \times 10^{-6}$	4(c)
1/4	0	$1.86 \times 10^{-7}$	Figs. 2(b)
1/4	1	$1.86 \times 10^{-7}$	Figs. 2(b), 3(b), S1
1/4	2	$7.45 \times 10^{-7}$	Figs. 2(b), 3(b), 4(a), 4(b), S1, S2
1/4	3	$3.72 \times 10^{-6}$	Figs. 2(b), 3(b), 4(a), S1, S2
1/4	4	$1.30 \times 10^{-5}$	Figs. 3(b), S2

TABLE I: Value of the time steps used in the simulations.

### E. Exactness of the theory at first order for weakly non-Markovian processes

Let us consider the case of weakly non-Markovian processes, for which the covariance and mean of the process  $x(t)$  are given by Eqs. (26) and (27), with

$$\phi(t) = e^{-\lambda t} + \varepsilon \phi_1(t), \quad (67)$$

with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is a small parameter, and  $\phi_1(t)$  is an arbitrary function. For simplicity, and without loss of generality, we set  $\lambda = 1$  and  $l = 1$ . We start with the generalization of Eq. (29) for an arbitrary number of positions and times  $x_i, t_i$ :

$$p_\pi(L, t; x_1, t + t_1; x_2, t + t_2; \dots; x_N, t + t_N) = \int_0^\infty d\tau F(\tau) p(L, t + \tau; x_1, t + t_1 + \tau; x_2, t + t_2 + \tau; \dots; x_N, t + t_N + \tau | \text{FPT} = \tau). \quad (68)$$

Following the approach of Section B, this equation leads to

$$\int_0^\infty dt [p_\pi(0, t; x_1, t + t_1; x_2, t + t_2; \dots) - p(0, t; x_1, t + t_1; x_2, t + t_2; \dots)] = \langle T \rangle p_s(0; x_1, t_1; x_2, t_2; \dots). \quad (69)$$

We may write formally a continuous version of this equation, for all paths  $[y(\tau)]$  with  $y(0) = L$ :

$$\langle T \rangle P_s([y(\tau)]) - \int_0^\infty dt \{ \Pi([y(\tau)], t) - P([y(\tau)], t) \} = 0, \quad (70)$$

where  $P_s([y(\tau)])$  is the stationary probability to follow the path  $[y(\tau)]$ ,  $\Pi([y(\tau)], t)$  is the probability to follow the path  $[y]$  in the future  $t$  of the FPT (ie, the probability density that  $x(\text{FPT} + \tau + t) = y(\tau)$  for all  $\tau > 0$ ), and  $P([y(\tau)], t)$  is the probability density that  $x(t + \tau) = y(\tau)$  for all  $\tau > 0$ . Using Bayes' formula, we can write (70) as

$$\int_0^\infty dt \{ \Pi([y(\tau)], t | y(0) = L) p_\pi(L, t) - P([y(\tau)], t | y(0) = L) p(L, t) \} - \langle T \rangle p_s(L) P_s([y(\tau)] | y(0) = L) = 0, \quad (71)$$

which is valid for all paths  $[y(\tau)]$  (if  $y(0) \neq L$  the above equation is simply  $0 = 0$ ). Let us define a functional  $\mathcal{F}([k])$  as the value of the above expression when multiplied by  $e^{\int_0^\infty d\tau k(\tau)y(\tau)}$  and integrated over all paths  $y$ . In principle  $\mathcal{F}([k])$  should vanish for all functions  $k(\tau)$ . Let us evaluate  $\mathcal{F}$  for a distribution of paths  $\Pi$  that satisfies our hypotheses, i.e. by assuming that the process in the future of the first passage time is Gaussian with mean  $m_\pi(t)$  and with the stationary covariance approximation. Using formulas for the moment generating function of Gaussian processes, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}([k(\tau)]) &= \langle T \rangle p_s(L) e^{\int_0^\infty d\tau k(\tau)m_\pi^*(\tau)} e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_0^\infty d\tau' k(\tau)k(\tau')\sigma(\tau, \tau')} \\ &- \int_0^\infty dt \left[ p_\pi(0, t) e^{\int_0^\infty d\tau k(\tau)m_\pi^*(t+\tau|L, t)} - p(0, t) e^{\int_0^\infty d\tau k(\tau)m_0^*(t+\tau|L, t)} \right] e^{\int_0^\infty d\tau \int_0^\infty d\tau' \frac{k(\tau)k(\tau')}{2} \sigma(t+\tau, t+\tau'|t)}, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where we remind that  $\langle T \rangle$  is evaluated with Eq. (46), and

$$\sigma(t + \tau, t + \tau' | t) = \sigma(t + \tau, t + \tau') - \frac{\sigma(t + \tau, t)\sigma(t + \tau', t)}{\sigma(t, t)}. \quad (73)$$

If one could find a function  $m_\pi(t)$  so that  $\mathcal{F}([k(\tau)])$  vanishes for all  $k(\tau)$ , it would mean that the theory is exact. It does not seem to be the case in general. However, when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , assuming that

$$m_\pi(t) = Le^{-\lambda t} + \varepsilon \mu_1(t), \quad (74)$$

we can evaluate (72) as

$$\mathcal{F}([k(\tau)]) = -\varepsilon \int_0^\infty d\tau k(\tau) Q_1(\tau) \times e^{\int_0^\infty du \int_0^\infty du' k(u)k(u') \frac{1}{2} \sigma(u, u')} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad (75)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(\tau) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi(1 - e^{-2t})}} \left\{ e^{-\frac{[L(1 - e^{-t})]^2}{2(1 - e^{-2t})}} [\mu_1(t + \tau) - \mu_1(t)e^{-\tau} - Le^{-t}S_1(t, \tau) - L\phi_1(\tau)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{-\frac{(L - x_0 e^{-t})^2}{2(1 - e^{-2t})}} [m_1^*(t, \tau) - L\phi_1(\tau)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where we have defined  $S_1$  and  $m_1^*$  such that

$$\frac{\sigma(t + \tau, t)}{\sigma(t, t)} = e^{-\tau} + \varepsilon S_1(t, \tau) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad m_0^*(t + \tau | L, t) = Le^{-\tau} + \varepsilon m_1^*(t, \tau) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \quad (77)$$

Note that, to obtain (75), it is important to remark that

$$\sigma(t + \tau, t + \tau' | t) = \sigma(\tau, \tau') + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \quad (78)$$

We observe that the equality  $Q_1(\tau) = 0$  for all  $\tau$  can be realized by a proper choice of  $\mu_1$ , so that  $\mathcal{F}([k(\tau)])$  vanishes at order  $\varepsilon$  for all functions  $k(\tau)$ . This suggests that our theory is exact at order  $\varepsilon$ .

---

[1] Hänggi, P., Talkner, P. & Borkovec, M. Reaction-rate theory: fifty years after kramers. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 251 (1990).

- [2] Pollak, E. & Talkner, P. Reaction rate theory: What it was, where is it today, and where is it going? *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* **15**, 026116 (2005).
- [3] Bullerjahn, J. T., Sturm, S. & Kroy, K. Theory of rapid force spectroscopy. *Nat. Comm.* **5** (2014).
- [4] Bullerjahn, J. T., Sturm, S. & Kroy, K. Non-markov bond model for dynamic force spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, 064104 (2020).
- [5] Bullerjahn, J. & Kroy, K. Analytical catch-slip bond model for arbitrary forces and loading rates. *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 012404 (2016).
- [6] Jeppesen, C. *et al.* Impact of polymer tether length on multiple ligand-receptor bond formation. *Science* **293**, 465–468 (2001).
- [7] Ayaz, C. *et al.* Non-markovian modeling of protein folding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **118**, e2023856118 (2021).
- [8] Badoual, M., Jülicher, F. & Prost, J. Bidirectional cooperative motion of molecular motors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**, 6696–6701 (2002).
- [9] Guérin, T., Prost, J. & Joanny, J.-F. Motion reversal of molecular motor assemblies due to weak noise. *Phys Rev Lett* **106**, 068101 (2011).
- [10] Guerin, T., Prost, J. & Joanny, J. F. Bidirectional motion of motor assemblies and the weak-noise escape problem. *Phys Rev E* **84**, 041901 (2011).
- [11] Bouchaud, J. P. & Cont, R. A Langevin approach to stock market fluctuations and crashes. *Eur Phys J B* **6**, 543–550 (1998).
- [12] Ragone, F., Wouters, J. & Bouchet, F. Computation of extreme heat waves in climate models using a large deviation algorithm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 24–29 (2018).
- [13] Kamenev, A., Meerson, B. & Shklovskii, B. How colored environmental noise affects population extinction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 268103 (2008).
- [14] Dykman, M. I., Schwartz, I. B. & Landsman, A. S. Disease extinction in the presence of random vaccination. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 078101 (2008).
- [15] Freidlin, M. I. & Wentzell, A. D. *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems* (Springer-Verlage, New-York, Berlin, 1984).
- [16] Maier, R. & Stein, D. Transition-rate theory for nongradient drift fields. *Phys Rev Lett* **69**, 3691–3695 (1992).
- [17] Bouchet, F. & Reygner, J. Generalisation of the Eyring–Kramers transition rate formula to irreversible diffusion processes. In *Annales Henri Poincaré*, vol. 17, 3499–3532 (Springer, 2016).
- [18] de la Cruz, R., Perez-Carrasco, R., Guerrero, P., Alarcon, T. & Page, K. M. Minimum action path theory reveals the details of stochastic transitions out of oscillatory states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 128102 (2018).
- [19] Bray, A. J., Majumdar, S. N. & Schehr, G. Persistence and first-passage properties in nonequilibrium systems. *Adv. Phys.* **62**, 225–361 (2013).
- [20] Metzler, R., Redner, S. & Oshanin, G. *First-passage phenomena and their applications* (World Scientific, 2014).
- [21] Lindenberg, K., Metzler, R. & Oshanin, G. *Chemical Kinetics: beyond the textbook* (World Scientific, 2019).
- [22] Sokolov, I. M. Cyclization of a polymer: first-passage problem for a non-markovian process. *Phys Rev Lett* **90**, 080601 (2003).
- [23] Likhtman, A. E. & Marques, C. M. First-passage problem for the rouse polymer chain: An exact solution. *Europhys. Lett.* **75**, 971–977 (2006).
- [24] Guérin, T., Levernier, N., Bénichou, O. & Voituriez, R. Mean first-passage times of non-markovian random walkers in confinement. *Nature* **534**, 356–359 (2016).
- [25] Delorme, M. & Wiese, K. J. Maximum of a fractional brownian motion: analytic results from perturbation theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 210601 (2015).
- [26] Ferrer, B. R., Gomez-Solano, J. R. & Arzola, A. V. Fluid viscoelasticity triggers fast transitions of a brownian particle in a double well optical potential. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 108001 (2021).
- [27] Ginot, F., Caspers, J., Krüger, M. & Bechinger, C. Barrier crossing in a viscoelastic bath. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 028001 (2022).
- [28] Lavacchi, L., Kappler, J. & Netz, R. R. Barrier crossing in the presence of multi-exponential memory functions with unequal friction amplitudes and memory times. *Europhys. Lett.* **131**, 40004 (2020).
- [29] Lavacchi, L., Daldrop, J. O. & Netz, R. R. Non-Arrhenius barrier crossing dynamics of non-equilibrium non-Markovian systems. *Europhys. Lett.* **139**, 51001 (2022).
- [30] Kappler, J., Daldrop, J. O., Brüning, F. N., Boehle, M. D. & Netz, R. R. Memory-induced acceleration and slowdown of barrier crossing. *J. Chem. Phys.* **148**, 014903 (2018).
- [31] Caraglio, M., Put, S., Carlon, E. & Vanderzande, C. The influence of absorbing boundary conditions on the transition

- path time statistics. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **20**, 25676–25682 (2018).
- [32] Carlon, E., Orland, H., Sakaue, T. & Vanderzande, C. Effect of memory and active forces on transition path time distributions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* (2018).
- [33] Medina, E., Satija, R. & Makarov, D. E. Transition path times in non-Markovian activated rate processes. *J. Phys. Chem. B* (2018).
- [34] Goychuk, I. & Hänggi, P. Anomalous escape governed by thermal  $1/f$  noise. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 200601 (2007).
- [35] Sliusarenko, O. Y., Gonchar, V. Y., Chechkin, A. V., Sokolov, I. M. & Metzler, R. Kramers-like escape driven by fractional gaussian noise. *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 041119 (2010).
- [36] Arutkin, M., Walter, B. & Wiese, K. J. Extreme events for fractional brownian motion with drift: Theory and numerical validation. *Phys. Rev. E* **102**, 022102 (2020).
- [37] Levernier, N., Bénichou, O., Voituriez, R. & Guérin, T. Kinetics of rare events for non-markovian stationary processes and application to polymer dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 012057 (2020).
- [38] Delorme, M., Rosso, A. & Wiese, K. J. Pickands’ constant at first order in an expansion around brownian motion. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **50**, 16LT04 (2017).
- [39] Goswami, K. & Metzler, R., Effects of active noise on transition-path dynamics. *Journal of Physics: Complexity* **4**, 025005 (2023).
- [40] Panja, D. Anomalous polymer dynamics is non-Markovian: memory effects and the generalized Langevin equation formulation. *J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp.* **2010**, P06011 (2010).
- [41] Kou, S. & Xie, X. S. Generalized Langevin equation with fractional gaussian noise: subdiffusion within a single protein molecule. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 180603 (2004).
- [42] Min, W., Luo, G., Cherayil, B. J., Kou, S. & Xie, X. S. Observation of a power-law memory kernel for fluctuations within a single protein molecule. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 198302 (2005).
- [43] Lennartz, S., Livina, V., Bunde, A. & Havlin, S. Long-term memory in earthquakes and the distribution of interoccurrence times. *Europhys. Lett.* **81**, 69001 (2008).
- [44] Bunde, A., Büntgen, U., Ludescher, J., Luterbacher, J. & Von Storch, H. Is there memory in precipitation? *Nature Climate Change* **3**, 174–175 (2013).
- [45] Min, W. & Xie, X. S. Kramers model with a power-law friction kernel: Dispersed kinetics and dynamic disorder of biochemical reactions. *Phys. Rev. E* **73**, 010902 (2006).
- [46] Goychuk, I. Viscoelastic subdiffusion: From anomalous to normal. *Phys. Rev. E* **80**, 046125 (2009).
- [47] Eichner, J. F., Kantelhardt, J. W., Bunde, A. & Havlin, S. Statistics of return intervals in long-term correlated records. *Phys. Rev. E* **75**, 011128 (2007).
- [48] Santhanam, M. & Kantz, H. Return interval distribution of extreme events and long-term memory. *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 051113 (2008).
- [49] Bunde, A., Eichner, J. F., Kantelhardt, J. W. & Havlin, S. Long-term memory: A natural mechanism for the clustering of extreme events and anomalous residual times in climate records. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 048701 (2005).
- [50] Singh, R. Comment on “anomalous escape governed by thermal  $1/f$  noise”. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 238901 (2019).
- [51] Bullerjahn, J. T. *A Unified Theory for Single-molecule Force Spectroscopy Experiments and Simulations*. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Leipzig (2017).
- [52] Wilemski, G. & Fixman, M. Diffusion-controlled intrachain reactions of polymers. 1. theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* **60**, 866–877 (1974).
- [53] Note that in the non-equilibrium model of Ref. [35], the correlation function decays as  $\langle x(t)x(0) \rangle \sim 1/t^\alpha$  with  $\alpha = 2 - 2H$ , where  $H$  is defined so that  $\langle x^2 \rangle \propto t^{2H}$  in absence of potential. As a consequence, the long-term memory property  $\alpha < 1$  corresponds to  $H > 1/2$ , with an infinite mean FPT predicted by the Wilemski-Fixman approximation.
- [54] Newell, G. F. & Rosenblatt, M. Zero crossing probabilities for Gaussian stationary processes. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **33**, 1306–1313 (1962).
- [55] Pickands, J. Upcrossing probabilities for stationary gaussian processes. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **145**, 51–73 (1969).
- [56] Pickands, J. Asymptotic properties of the maximum in a stationary gaussian process. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **145**, 75–86 (1969).
- [57] Mason, T. G. & Weitz, D. Optical measurements of frequency-dependent linear viscoelastic moduli of complex fluids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 1250 (1995).
- [58] Gisler, T. & Weitz, D. A. Tracer microrheology in complex fluids. *Current opinion in colloid & interface science* **3**, 586–592 (1998).

- [59] Mason, T., Ganesan, K., Van Zanten, J., Wirtz, D. & Kuo, S. Particle tracking microrheology of complex fluids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 3282 (1997).
- [60] Panja, D. Generalized Langevin equation formulation for anomalous polymer dynamics. *J. Stat. Mech. - Theor. Exp.* (2010).
- [61] Bullerjahn, J. T., Sturm, S., Wolff, L. & Kroy, K. Monomer dynamics of a wormlike chain. *Europhys. Lett.* **96**, 48005 (2011).
- [62] Davies, R. B. & Harte, D. Tests for hurst effect. *Biometrika* **74**, 95–101 (1987).
- [63] Dietrich, C. R. & Newsam, G. N. Fast and Exact Simulation of Stationary Gaussian Processes through Circulant Embedding of the Covariance Matrix. *SIAM J. Sci. Comp.* **18**, 1088–1107 (1997).
- [64] Levernier, N., Dolgushev, M., Bénichou, O., Voituriez, R. & Guérin, T. Survival probability of stochastic processes beyond persistence exponents. *Nat. Comm.* **10**, 1–7 (2019).
- [65] Krug, J. *et al.* Persistence exponents for fluctuating interfaces. *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 2702–2712 (1997).
- [66] Molchan, G. Maximum of a fractional Brownian motion: Probabilities of small values. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **205**, 97–111 (1999).
- [67] Eaton, M. L. *Multivariate Statistics, A Vector Space Approach*, vol. 53 (Institute of Mathematical Statistics Beachwood, Ohio, USA, 1983).