

ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS OF THE AUXILIARY FUNCTION

J. ARIAS DE REYNA

ABSTRACT. Siegel in 1932 published a paper on Riemann's posthumous writings, including a study of the Riemann-Siegel formula. In this paper we explicitly give the asymptotic developments of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ suggested by Siegel. We extend the range of validity of these asymptotic developments. As a consequence we specify a region in which the function $\mathcal{R}(s)$ has no zeros. We also give complete proofs of some of Siegel's assertions.

We also include a theorem on the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} - it)$ for $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Although the real part of $e^{-i\theta(t)} \mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} - it)$ is $Z(t)$ the imaginary part grows exponentially, this is why for the study of the zeros of $Z(t)$ it is preferable to consider $\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ for $t > 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION.

We study the asymptotic expansions of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ considered in [9]. We improve on Siegel in several points. Extending the domain of validity of asymptotic expansions. Giving the form of the terms and obtaining them in a way that, at most, is only suggested by Siegel. We also give a complete proof of equation (85) of Siegel, extending and specifying his statements.

We consider here the original Riemann-Siegel expansion in [9]. This is different from the one given in Arias de Reyna [1]. The terms of the Riemann-Siegel expansion in [9] are analytic functions. The terms in the expansion in [1] are not analytic functions. This has several advantages that I will consider in a forthcoming paper. The main difference is that $a = \sqrt{t/2\pi}$ in [1] that is always real, is replaced by $\eta = \sqrt{(s-1)/2\pi i}$, that is complex. But the coefficients are related; for example, our polynomials $P_k(z)$ in (9) coincide with the polynomials $P_k(z)$ in [1, eq. (3.7)] when in [1] we take $\sigma = 0$.

The derivation of the expansion in [9] starts from the first representation integral of $\zeta(s)$ in the Riemann paper. While Gabcke [8], and I following him [1], start from the integral representation of $\mathcal{R}(s)$. Here I also follow this path, so that our derivation of Siegel expansions in [9] would be simpler and very similar to [1].

The main asymptotic expansion for $\mathcal{R}(s)$ is given in Theorem 5, it is valid on the plane except for any small angle with vertex at 0 and bisector the negative real axis. Using the relation $\zeta(s) = \mathcal{R}(s) + \chi(s)\overline{\mathcal{R}(1-s)}$ another asymptotic expansion is found in Theorem 21 valid on the plane except an angle with vertex at 1 and bisector the half line $[1, +\infty)$.

From 5 we derive in Theorem 12 that $\mathcal{R}(s)$ is approximated by an adequate zeta sum in the first and part of the fourth quadrant. In Theorem 16 obtains an asymptotic expression valid in most of the third and part of the fourth quadrant. There is a narrow zone in the fourth quadrant separating the regions in which the above Theorems apply. In this zone a line of zeros of the function $\mathcal{R}(s)$ is found.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M06; Secondary 30D99.

Key words and phrases. zeta function, Riemann's auxiliar function.

From Theorem 21 we derive Theorem 29, an asymptotic expression valid for the third quadrant and part of the second. This proves that in this region the zeros are the trivial ones at $s = -2n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

The behaviour of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ for $t > 0$ and $-2 < -\sigma \leq t^\alpha$ is delicate. Siegel proved that it contains many zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ connected with the zeros of $\zeta(s)$. Following Siegel, we consider the behaviour in this zone in Theorem 22, and Corollaries 24, 25 and 26.

For $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$, the Riemann-Siegel function is given by $Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}(s)\}$. This is true for real t , Siegel only considers the case $t > 0$. For good reason. We give (see Theorem 18) the asymptotic value of $\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} - it)$ for $t \rightarrow +\infty$, which shows why there is not much interest in this equation for $t < 0$.

2. FORMAL DERIVATION OF THE FIRST EXPANSION.

Start from the definition

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\pi i x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} dx.$$

The integrand is meromorphic for x in the complex plane with a cut along the negative real axis, taking $x^{-s} = \exp(-s \log x)$ with $\log x$ denoting the principal value. The saddle point for this integral is at any value of the square root $\xi = \sqrt{s/2\pi i}$. There are two possible values, but we take the root contained in the sector $-3\pi/4 < \arg \xi < \pi/4$ so that we can change the path of integration to a parallel line passing through ξ within the domain of the integrand.

In what follows, we assume that s is given with $-\pi < \arg(s) < \pi$. So $s \in \mathbf{C}$ is not a negative real number, but except for this restriction may be arbitrary. Then we define

$$(2) \quad \xi := \sqrt{\frac{s}{2\pi i}}, \quad -\frac{3\pi}{4} < \arg \xi < \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \xi_1 := \operatorname{Re}(\xi), \quad \xi_2 := \operatorname{Im}(\xi),$$

$$(3) \quad \ell := \lfloor \xi_1 - \xi_2 \rfloor, \quad w(z) := \exp\left\{-2\pi i \xi^2 \left(\log\left(1 + \frac{z}{\xi}\right) - \frac{z}{\xi} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{\xi}\right)^2\right)\right\}.$$

We transform the integrand in the usual way (see [8] or [1]). The integrand is a meromorphic function in the region $-\pi < \arg x < \pi$. Due to the election of the argument of ξ , we have $\ell \geq 0$. So we may apply Cauchy's Theorem to move the line of integration between ℓ and $\ell + 1$ without leaving the region $-\pi < \arg x < \pi$.

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} + \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} \int_{\ell \swarrow \ell+1} \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-\xi)^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} w(x - \xi) dx$$

Now we change variable (from x to v) in the integral, where

$$(5) \quad x = \frac{v}{2} + \ell + \frac{1}{2}, \quad q := -2(\ell + \frac{1}{2} - \xi), \quad x - \xi = \frac{1}{2}(v - q)$$

and introduce

$$(6) \quad z := i\sqrt{\pi}(v - q), \quad \tau := -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}\xi}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\pi i \xi^2 \left(\log \left(1 + \frac{x - \xi}{\xi} \right) - \frac{x - \xi}{\xi} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x - \xi}{\xi} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= 2\pi i \xi^2 \log \left(1 + \frac{v - q}{2\xi} \right) - 2\pi i \xi \frac{v - q}{2} + \pi i \xi^2 \frac{(v - q)^2}{4\xi^2} = \frac{i}{8\tau^2} \log(1 + 2i\tau z) + \frac{z}{4\tau} - i \frac{z^2}{4}, \\ & 2\pi i (x - \xi)^2 = \frac{\pi i}{2} (v - q)^2 \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x} = 2i \sin \pi x = 2i \sin \pi \left(\frac{v}{2} + \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right) = 2i \cos \pi \left(\frac{v}{2} + \ell \right) = 2i(-1)^\ell \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}.$$

Equation (4) transform into

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} + \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} \int_{0 \swarrow} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} g(\tau, i\sqrt{\pi}(v-q)) dv$$

where the transformed path of integration pass through 0 and also near q and

$$(8) \quad g(\tau, z) := \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{8\tau^2} \log(1 + 2i\tau z) - \frac{z}{4\tau} + i \frac{z^2}{4} \right\}.$$

For any non negative integer K we consider the expansion

$$(9) \quad g(\tau, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(z) \tau^k = \sum_{k=0}^K P_k(z) \tau^k + Rg_K(\tau, z), \quad (2|\tau z| < 1).$$

This defines $Rg_K(\tau, z)$ whenever $g(\tau, z)$ is defined, independently of the convergence of the Taylor series. We insert this expansion in (7)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} + \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \tau^k \int_{0 \swarrow} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} P_k(i\sqrt{\pi}(v-q)) dv \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{0 \swarrow} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} Rg_K(\tau, i\sqrt{\pi}(v-q)) dv \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of τ we may write this as

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} + (-1)^\ell \frac{1}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{D_k(q)}{\xi^k} + RS_K \right\}$$

where

$$(11) \quad D_k(q) := \left(-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^k \int_{0 \swarrow} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} P_k(i\sqrt{\pi}(v-q)) dv$$

$$(12) \quad RS_K := \int_{0 \swarrow} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} Rg_K(\tau, i\sqrt{\pi}(v-q)) dv$$

3. FORMULAS FOR THE COMPUTATION OF THE TERMS.

The first $D_0(q)$ may be computed explicitly. (This is due to Riemann [9], and a proof may be found in [6])

$$(13) \quad D_0(q) = \int_{0 \swarrow} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} dv = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}q^2} - \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}} \cos \frac{\pi q}{2}}{\cos \pi q} =: G(q).$$

We may compute the derivative of G (with respect to q) differentiating under the integral sign. From the definition of the Hermite polynomials,

$$(14) \quad D^k(e^{-x^2}) = (-1)^k e^{-x^2} H_k(x)$$

we get (here D denotes differentiation with respect to q)

$$(15) \quad D^k(e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2} \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^k H_k\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}(v-q)\right)$$

It follows that

$$(16) \quad G^{(k)}(q) = \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^k \int_{0\swarrow} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-p)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} H_k\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}(v-q)\right) dv.$$

From (8) and (9) it is easy to see that the polynomial $P_k(z)$ has degree $3k$, and it is also an even or an odd polynomial. We may expand $P_k(z)$ in terms of Hermite polynomials. Thus, there are certain numbers $d_j^{(k)}$ such that

$$(17) \quad \frac{\pi^{2k} P_k(i\sqrt{\pi}(v-q))}{(-4\sqrt{\pi})^k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor 3k/2 \rfloor} \left(\frac{\pi}{2i}\right)^j d_j^{(k)} \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{3k-2j} H_{3k-2j}\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}(v-q)\right).$$

From this we get the formula for $D_k(q)$

$$(18) \quad D_k(q) = \frac{1}{\pi^{2k}} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor 3k/2 \rfloor} \left(\frac{\pi}{2i}\right)^j d_j^{(k)} G^{(3k-2j)}(q).$$

Now we show how to compute the numbers $d_j^{(k)}$. First, observe that (17) may be written as

$$(19) \quad U_k(x) := \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^k P_k(x\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor 3k/2 \rfloor} d_j^{(k)} H_{3k-2j}(x).$$

These polynomials $U_k(x)$ satisfy the following

$$(20) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_k(x)\tau^k = g\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}}\tau, x\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}\right) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4\tau^2} \log(1-2x\tau) + \frac{x}{2\tau} + \frac{x^2}{2}\right\}$$

To determine the polynomials $U_k(x)$, we differentiate logarithmically with respect to x and get

$$(21) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U'_k(x)\tau^k = -\frac{2x^2\tau}{1-2x\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_k(x)\tau^k$$

from which we derive the relation

$$(22) \quad U'_k(x) = -2x^2 U_{k-1}(x) + 2x U'_{k-1}(x).$$

We extend the definition of $d_j^{(k)}$ so that $d_j^{(k)} = 0$ for $j < 0$ and for $j > 3k/2$. Then by (19) we have

$$(23) \quad \sum_j d_j^{(k)} H'_{3k-2j}(x) = -2x^2 \sum_j d_j^{(k-1)} H_{3k-3-2j}(x) + 2x \sum_j d_j^{(k-1)} H'_{3k-3-2j}(x).$$

It is well known that the Hermite polynomials satisfy

$$(24) \quad H'_n(x) = 2nH_{n-1}(x), \quad xH_n(x) = \frac{1}{2}H_{n+1}(x) + nH_{n-1}(x)$$

so that

$$(25) \quad x^2 H_n(x) = \frac{1}{4} H_{n+2}(x) + (n + \frac{1}{2}) H_n(x) + n(n-1) H_{n-2}(x).$$

Therefore, the above relation can be written as

$$(26) \quad \sum_j d_j^{(k)} (6k - 4j) H_{3k-2j-1} = -2 \sum_j d_j^{(k-1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} H_{3k-1-2j} + (3k-3-2j+\frac{1}{2}) H_{3k-3-2j} + (3k-3-2j)(3k-4-2j) H_{3k-5-2j} \right\} + 2 \sum_j d_j^{(k-1)} 2(3k-3-2j) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} H_{3k-3-2j} + (3k-4-2j) H_{3k-5-2j} \right\}.$$

Separating the coefficients of $H_{3k-2j-1}$ and simplifying, we arrive at the equation

$$(27) \quad (6k - 4j) d_j^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{2} d_j^{(k-1)} - d_{j-1}^{(k-1)} + 2(3k-2j)(3k-2j+1) d_{j-2}^{(k-1)}.$$

Starting from $d_0^{(0)} = 1$, $d_j^{(0)} = 0$ for $j \neq 0$, these equations determine the $d_j^{(k)}$ except those with $3k = 2j$. To determine these for $k > 0$ we use the relation (19) for $x = 0$, where $P_k(0) = 0$:

$$(28) \quad d_{3k/2}^{(k)} = - \sum_{j=0}^{3k/2-1} (-1)^{3k/2-j} d_j^{(k)} \frac{(3k-2j)!}{(3k/2-j)!}, \quad 3k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Remark 1. The numbers $d_j^{(k)}$ are related to the ones defined in [1]. The numbers defined in [1] are polynomials in σ , denoting these polynomials by $d_j^{(k)}(\sigma)$ we have the relation $d_j^{(k)} = (-1)^k d_j^{(k)}(0)$. This can be easily proved by comparing the recurrence definitions given here and in [1].

Remark 2. Equation (18) gives us the following explicit formulas:

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} D_0(q) &= G(q), \\ D_1(q) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\pi} G'(q) - \frac{1}{3\pi^2} G^{(3)}(q) \right), \\ D_2(q) &= \frac{1}{16} \left(-\frac{2i}{3\pi} G(q) + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} G''(q) - \frac{i}{3\pi^3} G^{(4)}(q) + \frac{1}{18\pi^4} G^{(6)}(q) \right), \\ D_3(q) &= \frac{1}{64} \left(-\frac{1}{3\pi^2} G'(q) + \frac{31i}{18\pi^3} G^{(3)}(q) - \frac{11}{30\pi^4} G^{(5)}(q) + \frac{i}{18\pi^5} G^{(7)}(q) - \frac{1}{162\pi^6} G^{(9)}(q) \right). \end{aligned}$$

4. BOUND FOR THE ERROR.

Next, we obtain an integral representation of the remainder $Rg_K(\tau, z)$ in the expansion (9).

Lemma 3. Let $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < \phi < \pi$, denote by L the line $r + xe^{i\phi}$ with $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Then for any any complex numbers $\tau \neq 0$ and z such that $-2iz\tau$ is in the half plane limited by L containing 0 and any non negative integer K we have

$$(30) \quad Rg_K(\tau, z) = \frac{(-2iz\tau)^{K+1}}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{e^{-\frac{iz^2}{2} f(\zeta)}}{(\zeta + 2iz\tau)\zeta^K \zeta} d\zeta,$$

where

$$(31) \quad f(\zeta) := -\frac{\log(1-\zeta)}{\zeta^2} - \frac{1}{\zeta} - \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof. For $z = 0$, the result is trivial. Assuming that $z \neq 0$, by (9) and Cauchy's Theorem we have

$$Rg_K(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{g(\zeta, z)}{\zeta - \tau} \left(\frac{\tau}{\zeta}\right)^{K+1} d\zeta$$

where C is a simple path in the region where $\zeta \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic and has 0 and τ in its interior. We change the variables by putting $i\zeta/2z$ instead of ζ . In this way, we show that

$$(32) \quad Rg_K(\tau, z) = \frac{(-2iz\tau)^{K+1}}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{e^{-\frac{iz^2}{2}f(\zeta)}}{(\zeta + 2iz\tau)\zeta^K} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}$$

where f is defined in (31), denoting by \log the main branch of the logarithm and now C denotes a simple path that circles the points 0 and $-2iz\tau$, and is contained in the region obtained from \mathbf{C} by making a cut along the positive real axis from 1 to $+\infty$ (the domain where f is holomorphic).

Note that $f(\zeta)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbf{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$ and is bounded in this domain except for a small neighborhood of $\zeta = 1$. Therefore, a standard application of Cauchy's theorem yields

$$Rg_K(\tau, z) = \frac{(-2iz\tau)^{K+1}}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{e^{-\frac{iz^2}{2}f(\zeta)}}{(\zeta + 2iz\tau)\zeta^K} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}. \quad \square$$

Definition 4. For $q \in \mathbf{C}$ define

$$(33) \quad \mu = \mu(q) := \frac{\operatorname{Re}(q) + \operatorname{Im}(q)}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \nu = \nu(q) = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(q) - \operatorname{Re}(q)}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

So that $q = (\mu + i\nu)e^{\pi i/4}$.

Theorem 5. Let $0 < \theta < \pi$ be given, and let

$$(34) \quad \Delta = \{s \in \mathbf{C} : -\pi + \theta \leq \arg(s) \leq \pi - \theta, |s| \geq 2\pi\}.$$

For $s \in \Delta$ and $K \geq 1$ a natural number, define ξ as in (2), ℓ as in (3), q as in (5), μ as in (33), $D_k(q)$ as in (11) and RS_K as in (12). For $s \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$(35) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} + \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{D_k(q)}{\xi^k} + \mathcal{O}_{K,\theta}(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} |\xi|^{-K-1}) \right\}.$$

Proof. Since we restrict s to Δ we have $|\xi| \geq 1$ and $-\frac{3\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta}{2} \leq \arg(\xi) \leq \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\theta}{2}$. We have defined ℓ as the only integer with $\ell \leq \operatorname{Re} \xi - \operatorname{Im} \xi < \ell + 1$. It follows that $\ell \geq 0$. Let us define q_1 and q_2 as the real and imaginary parts of $q = q_1 + iq_2$. Since $q = -2\ell - 1 + 2\xi$ we have (putting $\xi = \xi_1 + i\xi_2$)

$$q_1 - q_2 = 2\xi_1 - 2\ell - 1 - 2\xi_2 = 2(\xi_1 - \xi_2 - \lfloor \xi_1 - \xi_2 \rfloor - \frac{1}{2}) \in [-1, 1)$$

So, q is contained in the strip $-1 \leq q_1 - q_2 < 1$.

Recall that the error is given by (12)

$$RS_K = \int_{0\swarrow} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} Rg_K(\tau, i\sqrt{\pi}(v-q)) dv$$

where the path is a line through the origin of the direction $e^{-3\pi i/4}$. By Cauchy's theorem we may change the line of integration to any parallel if we do not pass through the singularity of Rg_K at the point v where $2\tau\sqrt{\pi}(v-q) = 1$ nor through the poles at $v = -1$ and $v = 1$.

Pick a small and positive β (we shall see that a convenient election is $\beta = \frac{1}{3} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$). Then the path of integration $0 \swarrow$ will be changed to a parallel line R in the following way. If $-1 + \beta\sqrt{2} \leq q_1 - q_2 \leq 1 - \beta\sqrt{2}$ we take $v = q + xe^{-3\pi i/4}$. In this case, the path will pass exactly through the point q . If $-1 \leq q_1 - q_2 < -1 + \beta\sqrt{2}$ then we take $v = q + xe^{-3\pi i/4} + \beta e^{-\pi i/4}$. If $1 - \beta\sqrt{2} < q_1 - q_2 < 1$ then we take $v = q + xe^{-3\pi i/4} - \beta e^{-\pi i/4}$. So in all cases we will have a path R given by $v = q + xe^{-3\pi i/4} + \gamma e^{-\pi i/4}$, where γ may be $\pm\beta$ or 0.

For the points v of this line R we have in the integrand $Rg_K(\tau, z)$ where $z = i\sqrt{\pi}(v - q)$. Therefore, for $z = i\sqrt{\pi}(xe^{-3\pi i/4} + \gamma e^{-\pi i/4})$ with $x \in \mathbf{R}$. For these values, we have

$$-2iz\tau = 2\sqrt{\pi}(v - q)\tau = 2\sqrt{\pi}(xe^{-3\pi i/4} + \gamma e^{-\pi i/4}) \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{\pi}\xi} = \frac{xe^{\pi i/4}}{2\xi} + \frac{\gamma e^{3\pi i/4}}{2\xi} = \frac{x + i\gamma}{2|\xi|} e^{i\phi},$$

where $\phi = \frac{\pi}{4} - \arg \xi$, is contained in $[\frac{\theta}{2}, \pi - \frac{\theta}{2}]$. Hence $-2iz\tau$ is contained in a line of direction $e^{i\phi}$ that cuts the real axis at the point $-\frac{\gamma}{2|\xi|\sin\phi}$. The absolute value of this is

$$\left| -\frac{\gamma}{2|\xi|\sin\phi} \right| = \frac{\beta}{2|\xi|\sin\phi} \leq \frac{\beta}{2|\xi|\sin\frac{\theta}{2}} = \frac{1}{6|\xi|} \leq \frac{1}{6},$$

since $|\xi| \geq 1$. In this way the line R satisfies the needed conditions to apply Cauchy's Theorem so that

$$(36) \quad RS_K := \int_R \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} Rg_K(\tau, i\sqrt{\pi}(v - q)) dv$$

Hence, taking L as a line with direction $e^{i\phi}$ and passing through the point $1/2$, Lemma 3 applies to any value of z that interests us.

Substituting (30) into (36) and applying Fubini's Theorem, we get

$$(37) \quad RS_K = \frac{(2\tau\sqrt{\pi})^{K+1}}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^{K+1}} \int_R \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}}{2 \cos \frac{\pi v}{2}} \frac{(v - q)^{K+1} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2} f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - 2\sqrt{\pi}(v - q)\tau)} dv$$

First, we shall bound the inner integral.

We bound the terms of the integrand. Since $\zeta \in L$ we have $\zeta = 1/2 + ye^{i\phi}$ with $y \in \mathbf{R}$ and

$$|\zeta - 2\sqrt{\pi}(v - q)\tau| = \left| \frac{1}{2} + ye^{i\phi} - \frac{x + i\gamma}{2|\xi|} e^{i\phi} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2} + \left(y - \frac{x}{2|\xi|} \right) e^{i\phi} - \frac{\gamma i}{2|\xi|} e^{i\phi} \right|$$

This is greater than

$$\geq \min_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \left| \frac{1}{2} + xe^{i\phi} \right| - \frac{\beta}{2|\xi|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sin \phi - \frac{\beta}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{1}{3} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$(38) \quad |\zeta - 2\sqrt{\pi}(v - q)\tau| \geq \frac{1}{3} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$$

The point v of our path of integration is always on the strip $-1 + \beta\sqrt{2} \leq v_1 - v_2 \leq 1 - \beta\sqrt{2}$. Since $\cos \frac{\pi v}{2} \rightarrow \infty$ when $v \rightarrow \infty$ in this strip, and it is a continuous function that does not vanish on this strip, we will get a constant $M(\theta) > 0$ such that

$$(39) \quad \left| \cos \frac{\pi v}{2} \right| \geq M(\theta), \quad -1 + \beta\sqrt{2} \leq v_1 - v_2 \leq 1 - \beta\sqrt{2}.$$

This can be improved for μ large. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \frac{\pi v}{2} &= \cos \frac{\pi}{2} [(\mu + i\nu)e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} - (x + i\gamma)e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} [(\mu - x) - (\nu - \gamma) + i((\mu - x) + (\nu - \gamma))]\end{aligned}$$

For real numbers a and b , $e^{-|b|} |\cos(a + ib)| \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2|b|})$. Hence,

$$\left| \cos \frac{\pi v}{2} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} |(\mu - x) + (\nu - \gamma)|\right)$$

for $|(\mu - x) + (\nu - \gamma)| > 1$. Since $|\gamma| \leq |\beta| \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and $|\nu| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|q_1 - q_2| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, there is an absolute constant c_0 with

$$\left| \cos \frac{\pi v}{2} \right| \geq c_0 e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu-x|}, \quad |\mu - \nu| > c_0.$$

Combining the two inequalities, there is some constant $M(\theta)$ (perhaps different from the previous one such that

$$(40) \quad \left| \cos \frac{\pi v}{2} \right| \geq M(\theta) e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu-x|}, \quad v \in R.$$

$$\frac{\pi i}{2}(v - q)^2 = \frac{\pi i}{2}(xe^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}} + \gamma e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}})^2 = -\frac{\pi x^2}{2} + \frac{\pi \gamma^2}{2} - \pi \gamma i x.$$

Therefore,

$$(41) \quad |e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2}| \leq e^{\frac{\pi \beta^2}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{2}}.$$

The point $\zeta - \frac{1}{2} = ye^{i\phi}$, so it is in one of the angles $-\pi + \frac{\theta}{2} < \arg(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}) < -\frac{\theta}{2}$ or $\frac{\theta}{2} < \arg(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}) < \pi - \frac{\theta}{2}$. Put $f(\zeta) = V(\zeta) + iU(\zeta)$. The two harmonic functions are continuous on the closed angle and $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} f(\zeta) = -1/2$. Therefore, there are constants $V_0 = V_0(\theta)$ and $U_0 = U_0(\theta)$ (only depending on θ) such that for every ζ we have $|U(\zeta)| \leq U_0$ and $|V(\zeta)| \leq V_0$. These values are taken at the boundary of the angles.

Then we have

$$|e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(v-q)^2} f(\zeta)| = e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{2}} V e^{\frac{\pi \gamma^2}{2}} e^{\pi \gamma U x} \leq e^{\frac{\pi \beta^2}{2}} V_0 e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{2}} e^{\pi \beta U_0 |x|}$$

Therefore, the inner integral in (37) is bounded as

$$(42) \quad \left| \int_R \dots \right| \leq \frac{4e^{\frac{\pi \beta^2}{2}(1+V_0)}}{M(\theta) \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{2}(1+V)} e^{\pi \beta U_0 |x| - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu-x|} (x^2 + \beta^2)^{\frac{K+1}{2}} dx$$

It is important here to note that $V > -1$ for all values of ζ . This easily follows from the fact that $V(\bar{\zeta}) = V(\zeta)$ and V is a harmonic function on the upper half-plane, $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} V(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{2}$, and on the real axis it is always $V(x) \geq -1$ the equality only in the case $x = 2$. Thus, the above integral is finite and there is a function $a(\theta) > 0$ with $a(\theta) < 1 + V(\zeta)$ for all the values of ζ that interest us.

Applying Lemma 32 in the appendix, we find a constant $C(\theta)$ only depending on θ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{2}a(\theta)} e^{2\pi \beta U_0 |x| - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}|\mu-x|} dx \leq C(\theta)^2 e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}|\mu|},$$

and by Schwarz inequality we get

$$\left| \int_R \dots \right| \leq C(\theta) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x^2 + \beta^2)^{K+1} e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{2}a(\theta)} dx \right)^{1/2}$$

changing here $(x^2 + \beta^2)^{K+1} \leq (2x^2)^{K+1} + (2\beta^2)^{K+1}$ we get

$$(43) \quad \left| \int_R \dots \right| \leq C(\theta) \left(\frac{(2\beta^2)^{K+1}}{\sqrt{2a(\theta)}} + \frac{2^K \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + K)}{(\frac{\pi}{2}a(\theta))^{\frac{3}{2}+K}} \right)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|}$$

Let us call $C_K(\theta)$ this bound. Then

$$|RS_K| \leq C_K(\theta) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} \frac{(2\tau\sqrt{\pi})^{K+1}}{2\pi} \int_L \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta|^{K+1}}$$

This integral is bounded when $K \geq 1$. So, by the definition of τ we get

$$(44) \quad |RS_K| \leq \frac{C_K(\theta) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|}}{|\xi|^{K+1}}$$

with a new constant $C_K(\theta)$. □

5. PROPERTIES OF THE FUNCTION $G(q)$.

To use the asymptotic expansion, we need to consider the function $G(q)$. Recall that we are interested in the values of q contained in the strip $-1 \leq \operatorname{Re}(q) - \operatorname{Im}(q) \leq 1$. Here we will consider more general strips. For $a > 0$ we define

$$(45) \quad B_a = \{(\mu + i\nu)e^{\pi i/4} : \mu, \nu \in \mathbf{R}, |\nu| \leq a/\sqrt{2}\}$$

Hence $q = q_1 + iq_2 \in B_1$ is equivalent to $|q_1 - q_2| \leq 1$.

Let B_a^+ denote the set of $q = (\mu + i\nu)e^{\pi i/4} \in B_a$ with $\mu \geq 0$. Since $(\mu + i\nu)e^{\pi i/4} \in B_a$ is equivalent to $(-\mu - i\nu)e^{\pi i/4} \in B_a$ and $G(q)$ is an even function, the behavior of $G(q)$ in B_a is determined by its behaviour on B_a^+ .

Proposition 6. *The function $G(q)$ does not vanish on the strip B_1 .*

Proof. Assume that $q \in B_1$, by definition, there exist real numbers μ and ν with $q = (\mu + i\nu)e^{\pi i/4}$ and $|\nu| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$. First, we show that the function does not vanish for $|\mu| \geq 2$. Since $G(-q) = G(q)$ we may assume that $\mu > 2$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}q^2}| &= |e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\mu+i\nu)^2}| = e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\mu^2-\nu^2)} \leq e^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\mu^2}, \\ |e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}q}| &= |e^{\frac{\pi}{2}(\mu+i\nu)(\frac{-1+i}{\sqrt{2}})}| = e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}(-\mu-\nu)} \leq e^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi\mu}{2\sqrt{2}}}, \\ |e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}q}| &= e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}(\mu+\nu)} \geq e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{\frac{\pi\mu}{2\sqrt{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}q^2} - \sqrt{2}e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}q| &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{\frac{\pi\mu}{2\sqrt{2}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi\mu}{2\sqrt{2}}} - e^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\mu^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{\pi\mu}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) - e^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\mu^2} \end{aligned}$$

This is > 0 for $\mu = 2$, and since it is increasing for all $\mu \geq 2$.




FIGURE 1. x-ray of $G(q)$ for $q \in (-10, 10)^2$ with the region B_1 marked.

The image of the parallelogram determined by $|\mu| \leq 2$ and $|\nu| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$ is given in the figure 2. Since the image of the border does not enclose 0 the function does not take the value 0 on this parallelogram. This is also shown in the x-ray of the function $G(q)$. Both can be transformed into a conventional computational proof. \square

Proposition 7. For $q = (\mu + i\nu)e^{\pi i/4} \in B_a^+$, and $q \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$(46) \quad G(q) = -\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}(\mu + \nu - i(\mu - \nu))\right)(1 + \mathcal{O}_a(e^{-\pi\mu/\sqrt{2}})).$$

Proof. Let $q = (\mu + i\nu)e^{\pi i/4} \in B_a$ with $\mu > 0$ be given, then $|e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}q^2}| = \exp(-\frac{\pi\mu^2}{2} + \frac{\pi\nu^2}{2})$, and we will see that this term is of lesser order than $\cos \frac{\pi q}{2}$ when $q \in B_a$ and μ large.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \frac{\pi q}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}(-\mu - \nu + i(\mu - \nu))\right) + \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}(\mu + \nu - i(\mu - \nu))\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}(\mu + \nu - i(\mu - \nu))\right)(1 + \mathcal{O}_a(e^{-\pi\mu/\sqrt{2}}))\end{aligned}$$

```
In[1]:= f[z_] :=  $\frac{\text{Exp}[\text{Pi I } z^2 / 2] - \text{Sqrt}[2] \text{Exp}[\text{Pi I } / 8] \text{Cos}[\text{Pi } z / 2]}{\text{Cos}[\text{Pi } z]}$ ;
b = 1 / Sqrt[2];
A = ParametricPlot[{Re[f[(2 + I y) Exp[Pi I / 4]]], Im[f[(2 + I y) Exp[Pi I / 4]]]}, {y, -b, b}];
B = ParametricPlot[{Re[f[(x + I b) Exp[Pi I / 4]]], Im[f[(x + I b) Exp[Pi I / 4]]]}, {x, -2, 2}];
Show[A, B, PlotRange -> {{-0.6, 0.6}, {-1.2, 0.17}}]
```




FIGURE 2. image of the region $|\mu| \leq 2$, $|\nu| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Analogously,

$$\cos \pi q = \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}(\mu + \nu - i(\mu - \nu))\right)(1 + \mathcal{O}_a(e^{-\pi\mu\sqrt{2}}))$$

Hence, the explicit form of $G(q) = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} q^2} - \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}} \cos \frac{\pi q}{2}}{\cos \pi q}$ yields (46). \square

Proposition 8. (a) For any given $a > 0$, there exist constants $c_0(a) > 0$ and $C_0(a)$ such that for $q = (\mu + i\nu)e^{\pi i/4} \in B_a$ we have

$$(47) \quad c_0(a)e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} \leq |G(q)| \leq C_0(a)e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|}$$

(b) For any given $a > 0$, and non-negative integer k there exist constants $C_k(a)$ such that

$$(48) \quad |G_k(q)| \leq C_k(a)e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|}, \quad q \in B_a.$$

Proof. As noted above, we only have to prove (a) and (b) for $x > 0$. By Proposition 7 the function $G(q)e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu}$ is bounded in B_a^+ . For $q \rightarrow \infty$ in B_a^+ we have by (46)

$$G(q)e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu} = -\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}(\nu - i(\mu - \nu))\right)(1 + \mathcal{O}_a(e^{-\pi\mu/\sqrt{2}})).$$

For $q \in B_a^+$ we have $|\nu| \leq a/\sqrt{2}$, therefore given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is some $\mu_0 > 0$ such that for $\mu > \mu_0$

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{\pi a}{4}} \leq |G(q)|e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{\pi a}{4}}.$$

The continuous function $|G(q)e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu}|$ is bounded in the compact set B_a^+ and $0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_0$. These two observations prove the inequality (b) for $k = 0$. Inequality (b) for $k \geq 1$ follows from Cauchy's formula

$$G^{(k)}(q) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{G(\zeta)}{(\zeta - q)^{k+1}} d\zeta.$$

If $q \in B_a$, taking C as a circle with center at q and radius 1, we have $\zeta \in B_{a+1}$. Since $|\mu(\zeta)| \geq |\mu(q)| - 1$ it follows that

$$|G^{(k)}(q)| \leq k!C_0(a+1)e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|}.$$

It remains only to prove the left inequality in (a). By the above reasoning, we have proved that

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{\pi a}{4}} \leq |G(q)|e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu}, \quad q \in B_1^+, \mu > \mu_0.$$

In the compact set B_1^+ , $0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_0$, the continuous function $|G(q)|e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu}$ takes a minimum value that according to Proposition 6 is strictly positive. This is all we need. \square

6. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR ON THE RIGHT.

The asymptotic expansion simplifies in a closed set L containing the first and most of the fourth quadrant. The next Lemma is useful to define L .

Lemma 9. *Let $P \subset \mathbf{C}$ defined by*

$$(49) \quad P = \{\xi \in \mathbf{C} : |\xi| \geq e, \quad |\xi^{-2\pi i \xi^2} e^{\pi i \xi^2 + \pi \xi}| \leq 1, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \arg \xi \leq -\frac{\pi}{4}\},$$

where $\xi^z = \exp(z \log \xi)$ is defined taking $\log \xi = \log |\xi| + i \arg \xi$ and $-\pi/2 \leq \arg \xi \leq 0$. In P we have $\xi = \xi_1 + i\xi_2$, with $\xi_1 \geq 0$ and $\xi_2 \leq 0$.

There is a function $\varphi: [e, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, \pi/4]$ such that

$$(50) \quad P = \{\xi \in \mathbf{C} : |\xi| \geq e, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi(|\xi|) \leq \arg \xi \leq -\pi/4\}.$$

Proof. Let $\xi = \xi_1 + i\xi_2$ be in the fourth quadrant with $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \arg \xi \leq -\frac{\pi}{4}$, then

$$\operatorname{Re}(-2\pi i \xi^2 \log \xi + \pi i \xi^2 + \pi \xi) = 4\pi \xi_1 \xi_2 \log |\xi| + 2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) \arg \xi - 2\pi \xi_1 \xi_2 + \pi \xi_1.$$

Let $\varphi = \arctan(-\xi_1/\xi_2)$. Polar coordinates (r, φ) satisfies

$$0 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \xi_1 = r \sin \varphi, \quad \xi_2 = -r \cos \varphi, \quad |\xi| = r \geq e, \quad \arg \xi = \varphi - \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

In terms of these coordinates, a point $\xi \in P$ just when $r \geq e$ and

$$u(r, \varphi) := (2 \log r) \sin(2\varphi) - 2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi\right) \cos(2\varphi) - \sin(2\varphi) - \frac{\sin \varphi}{r} \geq 0.$$

For $r \geq e$ we have $u(r, 0) = -\pi < 0$ and $u(r, \pi/4) = 2 \log r - 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r} > 0$. Therefore, there is a value $\varphi(r)$ such that $u(r, \varphi(r)) = 0$. It can be shown that $\frac{du}{d\varphi} > 0$ for $0 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$, hence $\varphi(r)$ is unique and $u(r, \varphi) \geq 0$ just for $-\frac{\pi}{4} \geq \arg \xi \geq \varphi(r) - \frac{\pi}{2}$. \square

Proposition 10. *For $r \rightarrow +\infty$, the function $\varphi(r)$ defined in Lemma 9 satisfies*

$$(51) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4 \log r}{\pi} \sin \varphi(r) = 1.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi(r) \in [0, \pi/4]$ for all $r \geq e$, we only have to show that for any sequence (r_n, φ_n) with $\varphi(r_n) = \varphi_n$ and such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi_0$ and $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $4(\log r_n) \sin \varphi_n \rightarrow \pi$. We know that

$$4 \log r_n \sin \varphi_n \cos \varphi_n = (\pi - 2\varphi_n)(1 - 2 \sin^2 \varphi_n) + 2 \sin \varphi_n \cos \varphi_n + \frac{\sin \varphi_n}{r_n}.$$

Here the right-hand side converges to

$$A = (\pi - 2\varphi_0)(1 - 2 \sin^2 \varphi_0) + 2 \sin \varphi_0 \cos \varphi_0 \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Therefore $4 \log r_n \sin \varphi_n \cos \varphi_n \rightarrow A$. Since $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq \pi/4$ and $\log r_n \rightarrow +\infty$, it follows that $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$. Hence $A = \pi$. \square

Remark 11. The function $\varphi(r)$ have an asymptotic expansion for $r \rightarrow +\infty$ that starts by

$$(52) \quad \varphi(r) = \frac{\pi}{4 \log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{48 \log^3 r} + \frac{\pi^3}{96 \log^4 r} + \frac{\pi^5}{320 \log^5 r} + \mathcal{O}(\log^{-6} r).$$

This is obtained making a power series that vanish

$$(2 \log r) \sin(2\varphi) - 2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi\right) \cos(2\varphi) - \sin(2\varphi) = 0.$$

Also, numerically it is found that

$$(53) \quad \frac{1}{2} < \frac{4(\log r) \sin(\varphi(r))}{\pi} \leq 1.$$

Theorem 12. Let $L \subset \mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$(54) \quad L = \{s \in \mathbf{C} : |s| > 2\pi e^2, -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\varphi(\sqrt{|s|/2\pi}) < \arg s < \frac{\pi}{2}\}.$$

where $\varphi(r)$ is the function considered in the Lemma 9.

For $s \in L$ with $t > 0$ we have

$$(55) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n^s} + \mathcal{O}(|s/2\pi e|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}).$$

For $s \in L$ and $t < 0$ we have for some constant $c > 0$

$$(56) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n^s} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-c \frac{\sqrt{|s|}}{\log |s|}}).$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 5 with $\theta = \pi/2$ and $K = 1$ and we get that for $\sigma > 0$ and $s \rightarrow \infty$

$$(57) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n^s} + \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} \left(D_0(q) + \frac{D_1(q)}{\xi} + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-2}) \right).$$

We have $q = q_1 + iq_2$ with $-1 \leq q_1 - q_2 \leq 1$. By Proposition 8, $D_0(q)$ and $D_1(q)$ are bounded on this strip.

The hypothesis $\sigma > 0$ is equivalent to $\xi_1 > 0$ and $\xi_2 < 0$, i.e. ξ is in the fourth quadrant. The relationship between ξ and s may be expressed by

$$(58) \quad \sigma = -4\pi \xi_1 \xi_2, \quad t = 2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2),$$

and a simple computation yields

$$(59) \quad |\xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2}| = \exp(4\pi \xi_1 \xi_2 \log |\xi| + 2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) \arg(\xi) - 2\pi \xi_1 \xi_2).$$




FIGURE 3. The region L in Theorem 12 and the zeros on the fourth quadrant.

When $t > 0$, we have $\xi_1 \geq -\xi_2$ the term $2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) \arg(\xi) \leq 0$ and for $s \in L$, we have

$$(60) \quad |\xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2}| \leq e^{4\pi \xi_1 \xi_2 \log(|\xi| e^{-1/2})} = \left| \frac{s}{2\pi e} \right|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}.$$

This proves the first assertion.

When $t < 0$ we have $-\pi/2 < \arg \xi < -\pi/4$. Since $s \in L$

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\varphi(\sqrt{|s|/2\pi}) < \arg s < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

We have $2 \arg \xi = \arg s - \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore,

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi(|\xi|) < \arg \xi < 0.$$

Since $-\pi/2 < \arg \xi < -\pi/4$ and $|\xi| > e$, we get $\xi \in P$ (see Lemma 9) and it follows that $|\xi^{-2\pi i \xi^2} e^{\pi i \xi^2 + \pi \xi}| \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$|\xi^{-2\pi i \xi^2} e^{\pi i \xi^2}| \leq |e^{-\pi \xi}| = e^{-\pi \xi_1(s)}.$$

We have $\xi_1(s) = |\xi(s)| \sin \phi$, and by Proposition 10, we have $\sin \varphi > c/\log |\xi|$ for some constant $c > 0$. Noticing that

$$\frac{|\xi|}{\log |\xi|} \gg \frac{\sqrt{|s|}}{\log |s|}.$$

The inequality in (56) is proved. \square

Remark 13. Siegel restricts the domain to the first quadrant. Figure 3 represents the region L defined in Theorem 12. The line to the left of the region in the fourth quadrant is obtained by putting a small dot on each of the 472 zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ contained in the square $(0, 1000) \times (0, -1000)$.

Corollary 14. *Let L be the region defined in Theorem 12. There is a certain $r_0 > 0$ such that $s \in L$, $\sigma \geq 2$ and $|s| \geq r_0$, we have*

$$(61) \quad |\mathcal{R}(s) - 1| \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{R}(s)$ do not vanish on this closed set.

Proof. When $s \in L$ we have $\sigma > 0$ and ξ is in the fourth quadrant. Since $|\xi|^2 = |s/2\pi|$, the conditions $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ are equivalent for $s \in L$. Since ξ is in the fourth quadrant, we have $\xi_1 - \xi_2 = |\xi_1| + |\xi_2| \sim |\xi|$. Therefore, $s \rightarrow \infty$ in L implies $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ (note the definitions in (2), 3).

By Theorem 12 we have for $s \in L$

$$\left| \mathcal{R}(s) - \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n^s} \right| \leq \begin{cases} C|s/2\pi e|^{-\sigma/2} & \text{if } t > 0, \\ C e^{-c\sqrt{|s|/\log |s|}} & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Take a $\delta > 0$ with $\pi^2/6 - 1 + \delta < 3/4$. Then for $s \in L$, $\sigma > 2$ and $|s|$ big enough, we will have

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n^s} - 1 \right| + \delta \leq \delta + \sum_{n=2}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n^2} \leq \delta + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1 < \frac{3}{4}.$$

When $t \geq 0$ the error $C|s/2\pi e|^{-\sigma/2} \leq C|s/2\pi e|^{-1} < \delta$ if s is large enough. Analogously, when $t < 0$ we have $C e^{-c\sqrt{|s|/\log |s|}} < \delta$ for s large enough. This proves our claim. \square

Corollary 15. *For $\sigma \geq 1/2$ and $t > 0$ we have $\mathcal{R}(s) = \mathcal{O}(t^{1/4})$ when $s \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 12. For $\sigma \geq 2$ and $t > 0$ we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n^s} = \mathcal{O}(1)$. For $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 2$ we have $\ell = \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2})$ and the sum is $\mathcal{O}(t^{1/4})$. \square

7. ASYMPTOTIC ON THE THIRD AND FOURTH QUADRANTS.

Theorem 16. For a given $0 < \theta < \pi/2$ let $M = M_\theta \subset \mathbf{C}$ the closed set

$$(62) \quad M = \{s \in \mathbf{C} : |s| > 2\pi e^2, \quad -\pi + \theta < \arg s < -\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan \frac{\pi}{2 \log |\xi|}\}.$$

On this set we have

$$(63) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} G(q) (1 + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-1})).$$

Proof. First, notice that for $s \in M$ we have $|\xi| > e$. So, for $s \in M$ we have $-\pi + \theta < \arg s < 0$, and $-\frac{3\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta}{2} < \arg \xi < -\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{\pi}{2 \log |\xi|}$. Also $|\xi|^2 = |s|/2\pi$ and $s \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to $\xi \rightarrow \infty$.

Applying Theorem 5 with $K = 1$, we get for all $s \in M$

$$(64) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} + \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} \left(D_0(q) + \frac{D_1(q)}{\xi} + \mathcal{O}_\theta(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} |\xi|^{-2}) \right)$$

The explicit formulas for the coefficients $D_k(q)$ in (29), the inequalities in (47) and (48) and the fact that $G(q)$ does not vanish for $q \in B_1$ implies that

$$(65) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} G(q) \left(1 + \frac{2i(-1)^\ell \xi^s e^{-\pi i \xi^2}}{G(q)} \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} + \mathcal{O}_\theta(|\xi|^{-1}) \right).$$

We only need to show that for $s \in M$

$$\left| \frac{\xi^s e^{-\pi i \xi^2}}{G(q)} \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} \right| \leq \frac{c}{|\xi|}.$$

Let $\varphi = -\frac{\pi}{2} - \arg \xi \in [-\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{\pi}{2 \log |\xi|}, \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\theta}{2}]$, and $r = |\xi|$. In terms of r and φ with $s = \sigma + it$ we have

$$|\xi| = r, \quad \xi_1 = -r \sin \varphi, \quad \xi_2 = -r \cos \varphi, \quad \arg(\xi) = -(\frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi).$$

$$\ell = \lfloor r(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) \rfloor, \quad \sigma = -4\pi r^2 \sin \varphi \cos \varphi, \quad t = -2\pi r^2 (\cos^2 \varphi - \sin^2 \varphi).$$

Notice that in the range of φ considered we have $\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi > 0$, so that for $r = |\xi| \rightarrow +\infty$ we have $\ell \rightarrow +\infty$.

$$q_1 = 2\xi_1 - 2\ell - 1 = -2r \sin \varphi - 2\ell - 1, \quad q_2 = 2\xi_2 = -2r \cos \varphi,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-2r(\sin \varphi + \cos \varphi) - 2\lfloor r(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) \rfloor - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-4r \cos \varphi + 2r(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) - 2\lfloor r(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) \rfloor - 1) \\ &= -2\sqrt{2}r \cos \varphi + w, \quad |w| \leq 2^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider apart the cases $\sigma \leq 0$ and $\sigma > 0$.

Consider first the case $\sigma \leq 0$, or equivalently $\varphi \geq 0$. In this case

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} \right| \leq \ell \ell^{-\sigma} \leq r(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) \exp(2\pi r^2 (\log(r(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi)) \sin 2\varphi))$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi^s e^{-\pi i \xi^2}| &= \exp(-4\pi \xi_1 \xi_2 \log |\xi| - 2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) \arg(\xi) + 2\pi \xi_1 \xi_2) \\ &= \exp(-2\pi r^2 (\log r) \sin 2\varphi - 2\pi(\frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi)r^2 \cos 2\varphi + \pi r^2 \sin 2\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

By (47)

$$G(q)^{-1} \ll e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} \ll e^{\pi r \cos \varphi} \leq e^{\pi r}.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{\xi^s e^{-\pi i \xi^2}}{G(q)} \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} \right| \ll r e^{\pi r + \pi r^2 W},$$

where

$$W := -2 \sin(2\varphi) \log \frac{1}{\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi} - 2(\frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi) \cos 2\varphi + \sin 2\varphi$$

This is a continuous function on $[0, \pi/4]$ that is always negative. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi) \cos 2\varphi &> 1, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi/6, \\ 2 \sin(2\varphi) \log \frac{1}{\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi} &> 1, \quad \pi/6 < \varphi \leq \pi/4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists $W_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\xi^s e^{-\pi i \xi^2}}{G(q)} \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} \right| \ll r e^{\pi r - \pi r^2 W_0}.$$

For $\sigma > 0$ we change the bound of the zeta sum

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} \right| \leq \ell \leq r(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi).$$

Except that now $\varphi < 0$ the above bounds are valid and we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\xi^s e^{-\pi i \xi^2}}{G(q)} \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} \right| \ll r e^{\pi r + \pi r^2 W},$$

with a new W that is given by

$$W := -2(\log r) \sin 2\varphi - 2(\frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi) \cos 2\varphi + \sin 2\varphi$$

with $-\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{\pi}{2 \log |\xi|} < \varphi < 0$, so that $0 < -\tan(2\varphi) < \frac{\pi}{2 \log |\xi|}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} W &\leq (2 \log r - 1) \frac{\pi}{2 \log r} \cos(2\varphi) - (\pi + 2\varphi) \cos(2\varphi), \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2 \log r} \cos(2\varphi) - 2\varphi \cos(2\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < -\tan 2\varphi < \frac{\pi}{2 \log r}$

$$0 < -2\varphi < \arctan \frac{\pi}{2 \log r} < \frac{\pi}{2 \log r} - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \log r} \right)^3 + \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \log r} \right)^5.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2 \log r} \cos(2\varphi) - 2\varphi \cos(2\varphi) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \log r} \right)^3 \cos(2\varphi) + \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \log r} \right)^5 \cos(2\varphi) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \log r} \right)^3, \quad r \geq r_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{\xi^s e^{-\pi i \xi^2}}{G(q)} \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-s} \right| \ll r e^{\pi r - \frac{\pi r^2}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \log r} \right)^3} \leq \frac{C}{r}, \quad r \geq r_0. \quad \square$$

Remark 17. According to (52) and (54) a point s is in L when

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2 \log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{24 \log^3 r} + \frac{\pi^3}{48 \log^4 r} + \cdots < \arg s < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

while it is in M when

$$-\pi + \theta < \arg s < -\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2 \log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{24 \log^3 r} + \frac{\pi^5}{160 \log^5 r} + \cdots$$

We will see in [5] that there is a line of zeros in the strip

$$\frac{\pi}{2 \log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{24 \log^3 r} + \frac{\pi^5}{160 \log^5 r} + \cdots < \frac{\pi}{2} + \arg s < \frac{\pi}{2 \log r} - \frac{\pi^3}{24 \log^3 r} + \frac{\pi^3}{48 \log^4 r} + \cdots$$

Corollary 18. For $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(66) \quad \mathcal{R}(\tfrac{1}{2} - it) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\pi t}{2} - \left(\frac{\pi t}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ i \left(\frac{t}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2} + \frac{3\pi}{8} \right) \right\} \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}))
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Theorem (16) for $s = \frac{1}{2} - it$ with $t \gg 0$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}(\tfrac{1}{2} - it) = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} G(q) (1 + \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-1})).$$

We have

$$\xi = \sqrt{-\frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{i}{4\pi}} = -i \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2t} \right)^{1/2}$$

It follows that the real and imaginary parts of ξ are

$$(67) \quad \xi_1 = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5/2}), \quad \xi_2 = -\sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}).$$

and

$$(68) \quad |\xi| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{4} + t^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2})).$$

Then

$$(69) \quad \log |\xi| = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2})$$

and

$$(70) \quad \arg(\xi) = -\arctan \frac{-\xi_2}{\xi_1} = -\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan \frac{\xi_1}{-\xi_2} = -\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{4t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}).$$

After some computations

$$(71) \quad -s \log \xi + \pi i \xi^2 = \frac{\pi t}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}) + i \left(\frac{t}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \right)$$

By definition, $\ell = \lfloor \xi_1 - \xi_2 \rfloor$, it follows that

$$(72) \quad \ell = \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2}) \right\rfloor.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} q = 2\xi - 2\ell - 1 &= \frac{2}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}} - 2\ell - 1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5/2}) - 2i\sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}} + i\mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2}) = \\ &= -2\sqrt{2} \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}} + 2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}} - \ell \right) - 1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2}) \end{aligned}$$

with $-1 \leq \lambda < 1$ we have then

$$(73) \quad q = -2e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} + \lambda + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2}) = -2e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2})).$$

Then

$$\frac{\pi i}{2} q^2 = \frac{\pi i}{2} 4i \frac{t}{\pi} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})) = -2t(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}))$$

and the term

$$(74) \quad e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} q^2} = e^{-2t} \mathcal{O}(1)$$

is very small for $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Continue with the computation of $G(q)$

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \pi q &= \cos \pi(2\xi - 2\ell - 1) = -\cos 2\pi\xi = -\frac{1}{2} e^{2\pi i \xi} (1 + e^{-4\pi i \xi}) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2\pi t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2})} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-5/2})} (1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\sqrt{2\pi t}})) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(75) \quad \cos \pi q = -\frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi i}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}}} \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2})).$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \frac{\pi q}{2} &= \cos \left(\pi\xi - \pi\ell - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = (-1)^\ell \sin \pi\xi = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} e^{\pi i \xi} (1 - e^{-2\pi i \xi}) = \\ &= \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} e^{\frac{\sqrt{2\pi t}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})} \cdot e^{\frac{\pi i}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{5}{2}})} (1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\sqrt{2\pi t}})) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(76) \quad \cos \frac{\pi q}{2} = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi t}} \cdot e^{\frac{\pi i}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}}} \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{2} e^{\pi i/8} \cos \frac{\pi q}{2} - e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} q^2}}{\cos \pi q} &= \frac{(-1)^\ell \sqrt{2} e^{\pi i/8} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}}} \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}))}{2i \cdot -\frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi i}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}}} \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2}))} = \\ &= -\frac{(-1)^\ell}{i} \sqrt{2} e^{\pi i/8} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2})) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these values into (63) the two terms $(-1)^\ell$ cancel. The discontinuity in (63) is mainly apparent. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right) &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{\frac{\pi t}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}} e^{i\left(\frac{t}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4\sqrt{2\pi t}}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2})). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(77) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{\frac{\pi t}{2} - \left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \exp\left\{i\left(\frac{t}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2} + \frac{3\pi}{8}\right)\right\} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 19. The Riemann-Siegel function $Z(t)$ is given by

$$Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\vartheta(t)} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\}$$

(see [2] for a proof). Taking the complex conjugate, we have for $t > 0$,

$$Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\vartheta(t)} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right)\},$$

since

$$\vartheta(t) = \frac{t}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}).$$

It follows that the product

$$e^{-i\vartheta(t)} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left\{\frac{\pi t}{2} - \left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \cdot i \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2}))$$

is almost purely imaginary and very large. We know that the real part is $Z(t)$, which is relatively small. Therefore, for $t < 0$ our expression for $Z(t)$ is almost useless. As Siegel knew, this is not the same for $t > 0$.

8. SECOND EXPANSION

We have [2, eq. (2)]

$$(78) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \chi(s) \{\zeta(1-s) - \overline{\mathcal{R}}(1-s)\}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{R}}(s) := \overline{\mathcal{R}(\overline{s})}$, replacing it with its asymptotic expansion we get other expressions for $\mathcal{R}(s)$.

We introduce some notation similar to (2). Fix $0 < \theta < \pi$ and consider $1-s \in \Delta$ (where Δ is the region defined in (34)), we define

$$(79) \quad \eta := \sqrt{\frac{s-1}{2\pi i}}, \quad -\frac{\pi}{4} < \arg \eta < \frac{3\pi}{4}, \quad \eta_1 := \operatorname{Re}(\eta), \quad \eta_2 := \operatorname{Im}(\eta),$$

in this case $\eta_1 + \eta_2 \geq 0$ and define

$$(80) \quad m := \lfloor \eta_1 + \eta_2 \rfloor, \quad p := -2(m + \frac{1}{2} - \eta).$$

Theorem 20. *Let $0 < \theta < \pi$ be given. Let $\Delta = \Delta_\theta$ the closed set defined in Theorem 5. Let $K \geq 1$ be an integer. Then for $1 - s \in \Delta$ we have with the notation in (79), (80)*

$$(81) \quad \overline{\mathcal{R}}(1 - s) = \sum_{n=1}^m n^{s-1} - \frac{(-1)^m}{2i} \eta^{s-1} e^{-\pi i \eta^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{\overline{D}_k(p)}{\eta^k} + \mathcal{O}_{K,\theta}(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} |\eta|^{-K-1}) \right\}.$$

Proof. Theorem 5 applied to $z = 1 - \bar{s} \in \Delta$ yields

$$\mathcal{R}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} n^{-z} + \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2i} \xi^{-z} e^{\pi i \xi^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{D_k(q)}{\xi^k} + \mathcal{O}_{K,\theta}(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} |\xi|^{-K-1}) \right\}.$$

Taking the complex conjugate $\overline{\mathcal{R}(z)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}}(1 - s)$. $\xi = \sqrt{\frac{z}{2\pi i}}$ with $-\frac{3\pi}{4} < \arg \xi < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and it follows that $\bar{\xi} = \eta$. Therefore $\ell = \lfloor \xi_1 - \xi_2 \rfloor = \lfloor \eta_1 + \eta_2 \rfloor = m$. Then $\bar{q} = -2(\ell + \frac{1}{2} - \xi) = -2(m + \frac{1}{2} - \eta) = p$. And with $q = (\mu + i\nu)e^{\pi i/4} \in B_1$ we obtain $p = (\mu - i\nu)e^{-\pi i/4} \in \overline{B}_1$. Therefore, we obtain (81) by conjugating the above equation. \square

Theorem 21. *Let $0 < \theta < \pi$ be given. For $s \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\theta < \arg(s - 1) < 2\pi - \theta$ we have with the notations in (79), (80)*

$$(82) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \chi(s) \left(\zeta(1 - s) - \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n^{1-s}} + \frac{(-1)^m}{2i} \eta^{s-1} e^{-\pi i \eta^2} \overline{G}(p) (1 + \mathcal{O}_\theta(|\eta|^{-1})) \right).$$

Proof. In (78) we apply Theorem 20 with $K = 1$. In the resulting equation, the terms appear

$$\overline{D}_0(p) + \frac{\overline{D}_1(p)}{\eta} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} |\xi|^{-2}).$$

$D_0(z) = G(z)$ and $\bar{p} \in B_1$, so that $\overline{D}_0(p) \neq 0$ and we may write these three terms as

$$\overline{G}(p) \left(1 + \frac{\overline{D}_1(p)}{\overline{G}(p)\eta} + \overline{G}(p)^{-1} \mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} |\xi|^{-2}) \right).$$

The bounds in (47) and (48) transform this into

$$\overline{G}(p) (1 + \mathcal{O}_\theta(|\eta|^{-1})).$$

Substituting on the expression for $\mathcal{R}(s)$ yields our result. \square

Theorem 22. *Let G be the set of points $s \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|s - 1| > 2\pi e$ and $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \arg(s - 1) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + 2 \arctan \sqrt{\log \frac{|s-1|}{2\pi}}$. With the notations of (2) and (3) we have*

$$(83) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \chi(s) \left(\zeta(1 - s) - \sum_{n=1}^m n^{s-1} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right), \quad s \in G, \quad s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 21. Observe also that by Proposition 8 the function $\overline{G}(p)$ is bounded by an absolute constant. Therefore, we only need to show that $\eta^{s-1} e^{-\pi i \eta^2}$ is bounded in our region G .

In G we have $0 < \arg(\eta) < \pi/2$ so that η_1 and $\eta_2 \geq 0$

$$(84) \quad |\eta^{s-1} e^{-\pi i \eta^2}| = |\eta^{2\pi i \eta^2} e^{-\pi i \eta^2}| = e^{-2\pi(\eta_1^2 - \eta_2^2) \arg(\eta) - 4\pi \eta_1 \eta_2 \log |\eta| + 2\pi \eta_1 \eta_2}.$$

When $\eta_1 \geq \eta_2$ and $\log |\eta| > \frac{1}{2}$, it is clear that $|\eta^{s-1} e^{-\pi i \eta^2}| \leq 1$. When $\eta_1 < \eta_2$ we have $\arg(\eta) < \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\pi(\eta_1^2 - \eta_2^2) \arg(\eta) - 4\pi\eta_1\eta_2 \log |\eta| + 2\pi\eta_1\eta_2 \leq \\ & \leq 2\pi(\eta_2^2 - \eta_1^2) \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1} - 4\pi\eta_1\eta_2 \log |\eta| + 2\pi\eta_1\eta_2 = 2\pi \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1} (\eta_2^2 - 2\eta_1^2 \log |\eta|) \end{aligned}$$

is negative for $\frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1} < \sqrt{2 \log |\eta|}$. This condition is equivalent to

$$\arg \frac{s-1}{2\pi i} < 2 \arctan \sqrt{\log \frac{|s-1|}{2\pi}}. \quad \square$$

Remark 23. With Theorem 29 we will see that in most of the region where Theorem 22 applies, the term we have bounded is smaller than the sum of the others. Thus this Theorem makes sense only for $t > 0$ and $-1 < -\sigma < t^\alpha$, for some $\alpha > 0$.

Corollary 24. For $\sigma := \operatorname{Re}(s) < 0$ in the set G defined in Theorem 22 and for $s \rightarrow \infty$

$$(85) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \chi(s) \mathcal{O}(\log |s|)$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 22. For $\sigma \leq 0$ and $|t| \geq 2$ it is well known [7, p. 184 Corollary 1] that $\zeta(1-s) = \mathcal{O}(\log |t|)$. For $|t| \leq 2$ and $\sigma \leq -2$ we have $\zeta(1-s) = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Also, the sum $\sum_{n=1}^m n^{s-1}$ is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for $\sigma \leq -1$ and when $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 0$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^m n^{s-1} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n} = \mathcal{O}(\log(2+m)).$$

But in this case $0 \leq m \leq |\eta_1| + |\eta_2| \leq \sqrt{2}|\eta| = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{1/2})$. The result follows now from Theorem 22. \square

Corollary 25. Let $\alpha > 0$. In the region defined by $t > 0$ and $0 < -\sigma < t^\alpha$ we have

$$(86) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \chi(s) \mathcal{O}(\log |t|)$$

Proof. In the region defined by $t > 0$ and $0 < -\sigma < t^\alpha$ we may apply Corollary 24. But in this region $\log |t| \sim \log |s|$ when $s \rightarrow \infty$.

This corollary proves and extends the third formula in Siegel [9, eq. (85)]. \square

Note. Next corollary 26 extends the domain of the second equation contained in Siegel [9, eq. (85)].

Corollary 26. For $t > 0$ and $\sigma < 0$ such that $1 < 1 - \sigma < t^{1/2}$ and for $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$(87) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \chi(s) \mathcal{O} \left[\left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{\sigma/2} |\sigma|^{-1} \right], \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. First, notice that in the region $1 < 1 - \sigma < t^{1/2}$, with $t > 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} + i \frac{1-\sigma}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 + i \frac{1-\sigma}{t} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 + i \frac{1-\sigma}{2t} + \frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{8t^2} - i \frac{(1-\sigma)^3}{16t^3} + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\frac{(1-\sigma)}{t} < t^{-1/2}$ we obtain

$$(88) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{t^2}\right)\right) = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})), \\ \eta_2 &= \frac{1-\sigma}{2\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{t^2}\right)\right) = \frac{1-\sigma}{2\sqrt{2\pi t}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})), \\ \eta_1 + \eta_2 &= \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2})), \quad m = \lfloor \eta_1 + \eta_2 \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Theorem 21. Since we assume that $1 - \sigma > 1$, Proposition 14 in [3] applies and yields with $x = \eta_1 + \eta_2$

$$\left| \zeta(1-s) - \sum_{n=1}^m n^{s-1} \right| \leq \frac{x^\sigma}{|\sigma|} \left(1 + \frac{|\sigma|}{x}\right)$$

For $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we have $|\sigma| \leq 1 + t^{1/2}$ and $x = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \gg t^{1/2}$ we obtain

$$\left| \zeta(1-s) - \sum_{n=1}^m n^{s-1} \right| \ll \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\sigma/2} |\sigma|^{-1}.$$

By Proposition 8 the function $G(p)$ is bounded by an absolute constant. It remains to be bounded

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta^{s-1} e^{-\pi i \eta^2}| &= |e^{(\sigma-1+it)(\log|\eta|+i\arg\eta) - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma-1+it)}| \\ &= \exp\left\{(\sigma-1)(\log|\eta| - \frac{1}{2}) - t \arg\eta\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\log|\eta| = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2})$. According to our hypothesis $\sigma = \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2})$. We also have $0 < \arg\eta \sim \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2})$. It follows that

$$|\eta^{s-1} e^{-\pi i \eta^2}| = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2} - t \arg\eta\right).$$

We only need to show that

$$\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\sigma| \exp\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2} - t \arg\eta\right) \leq C \exp\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2} - t \arg\eta\right)$$

is bounded.

Since η is in the first quadrant we have (recall that $\frac{1-\sigma}{t} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2})$)

$$\begin{aligned} \arg\eta &= \arctan \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1} = \arctan \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{1/2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})) \right) \\ &= \frac{1-\sigma}{2t} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2}) = \frac{1-\sigma}{2t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\frac{1-\sigma}{2} - t \arg\eta = \mathcal{O}(t^{-3/2})$ and its exponential is bounded. \square

Remark 27. In equation (85) of Siegel's paper, he writes two formulas valid on the range $0 < -\sigma < t^{\frac{3}{7}}, t > 0$

$$\mathcal{R}(s) = \pi^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} |\sigma|^{-1}\right), \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \pi^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} \mathcal{O}(\log t).$$

Notice that for $\sigma < -2/\log \frac{t}{2\pi}$ we have

$$\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} |\sigma|^{-1} < \frac{1}{2e} \log \frac{t}{2\pi}$$

and for $-2/\log \frac{t}{2\pi} < \sigma < 0$ the inequality is reversed. Therefore, the result of Siegel is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{R}(s) = \begin{cases} \pi^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} |\sigma|^{-1}\right) & \text{for } 2/\log \frac{t}{2\pi} < -\sigma < t^{3/7}, \\ \pi^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} \mathcal{O}(\log t) & \text{for } 2/\log \frac{t}{2\pi} < -\sigma < 0. \end{cases}$$

An analogous remark can be formulated to our Corollaries 25 and 26.

Remark 28. The three equations (83), (86) and (87) extend and prove Siegel's equation [9, eq. (85)].

Theorem 29. Let N be the set of complex numbers $s = \sigma + it$ with $|s - 1| > 2\pi e^2$, and $\sigma < 1$ and either $t \leq 2\pi e$ or $t > 2\pi e$ and $\sigma \leq 1 - 8\pi\left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \log \frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2}$. For $s \in N$:

$$(89) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \frac{(-1)^m}{2i} \chi(s) \eta^{s-1} e^{-\pi i \eta^2} \overline{G}(p) (1 + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-1})).$$

Proof. Take $0 < \theta < \pi/4$, for example, in Theorem 21. Then, for all $s \in N$, we have (82). Then to prove our theorem, we only need to show that for $s \in N$

$$H := \left| \zeta(1-s) - \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n^{1-s}} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\eta^{1-s} e^{\pi i \eta^2}}{\overline{G}(p)} \right| \ll |\eta|^{-1}.$$

For $s \in N$, we have η in the first quadrant, we introduce polar coordinates $\eta = r e^{i\varphi}$ with $0 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $r > e$.

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= r \cos \varphi, & \eta_2 &= r \sin \varphi, & m &= \lfloor r(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi) \rfloor \leq r(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi), \\ 1-s &= -2\pi i \eta^2 = 4\pi \eta_1 \eta_2 - 2\pi(\eta_1^2 - \eta_2^2)i, & \log \eta &= \log r + i\varphi \\ \sigma - 1 &= -4\pi \eta_1 \eta_2 = -2\pi r^2 \sin(2\varphi), & t &= 2\pi r^2 \cos(2\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p &= -2m - 1 + 2\eta = (2(\eta_1 + \eta_2) - 2\lfloor \eta_1 + \eta_2 \rfloor - 1) - 2\eta_2(1-i) \\ &= (\lambda e^{\pi i/4} - 2\sqrt{2}\eta_2) e^{-\pi i/4} = (\mu - i\nu) e^{-\pi i/4}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mu = -2\sqrt{2}\eta_2 + \lambda/\sqrt{2}, \quad |\lambda| \leq 1.$$

Since $\sigma < 1$, $1 - \sigma > 0$. We apply Proposition 14 in [3] with $x = r(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi)$ so that $m = \lfloor x \rfloor$. The hypothesis of this proposition are satisfied since

$$x = r(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi) < 2\pi r^2 = |1-s|, \quad |s| \geq |s-1| - 1 \geq 2\pi e^2 - 1 > 2.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \zeta(1-s) - \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n^{1-s}} \right| &\leq 7|1-s|x^{\sigma-1} = 14\pi r^2 x^{-2\pi r^2 \sin(2\varphi)} \\ &\leq Br^2 \exp(-2\pi r^2 \sin(2\varphi) \log(r(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi))) \end{aligned}$$

We compute $|\eta^{1-s} e^{\pi i \eta^2}|$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{(1-s) \log \eta + \pi i \eta^2\} &= \operatorname{Re}\{-2\pi i \eta^2 \log \eta + \pi i \eta^2\} \\ &= 2\pi r^2 (\log r) \sin(2\varphi) + 2\pi r^2 \varphi \cos(2\varphi) - \pi r^2 \sin(2\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

By (47) we have

$$|\overline{G}(p)|^{-1} \ll e^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}|\mu|} \ll e^{\pi\eta_2} = e^{\pi r \sin \varphi}$$

With these inequalities and (47) we get

$$H \ll r^2 e^V,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V &= 2\pi r^2(\log r) \sin(2\varphi) + 2\pi r^2 \varphi \cos(2\varphi) - \pi r^2 \sin(2\varphi) \\ &\quad + \pi r \sin \varphi - 2\pi r^2 \sin(2\varphi) \log(r(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi)) \\ &= \pi r^2 \left(-2 \sin(2\varphi) \log(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi) + 2\varphi \cos(2\varphi) - \sin(2\varphi) + \frac{\sin \varphi}{r} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The lemma 33 then proves

$$H \ll r^2 \exp\left(-\pi r^2 \frac{4 \log r}{\pi r^2}\right) \ll r^{-2}.$$

This proves (89) when $\eta = r e^{i\varphi}$ with $\varphi_0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi/2$ and $r \geq e$ where $\varphi_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r}$.

Assume now that $s \in N$ and let $\eta = r e^{i\varphi}$ corresponding to this s , we must prove $\varphi_0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi/2$ and $r \geq e$. If $t < 0$, then $\pi/4 < \varphi < \pi/2$ and $r = (|s-1|/2\pi)^{1/2} \geq e$.

If we assume that $s \in N$ with $t > 0$, we have $r > e$. We have r and φ such that $s-1 = 2\pi i r^2 e^{2i\varphi}$. Then $\sigma-1 = -2\pi r^2 \sin(2\varphi)$, $t = 2\pi r^2 \cos(2\varphi)$.

If $0 < t < 2\pi e$, and $|s-1| \geq 2\pi e^2$, then $\arg(s-1) = \pi - \arctan \frac{t}{|s-1|}$. And then $\varphi = \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{t}{|s-1|}$, and we want to show $\varphi_0 \leq \varphi$. That is,

$$\frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r} + \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{t}{2\pi r^2} \leq \pi/4.$$

This is true since for $r \geq e$ and $t \leq 2\pi e$ we have

$$\frac{\pi}{4} > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{e} + \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{1}{e} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r} + \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{e}{r^2} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r} + \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{t}{2\pi r^2}.$$

For $t > 2\pi e$ and $r \geq e$. In this case we have $t < 2\pi r^2$, and since $s \in N$, we have $1-\sigma > 8\pi \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \log \frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \frac{1}{2} \arg(s-1) - \frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{1-\sigma}{t} \geq \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{8\pi \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \log \frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2}}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{4 \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{4\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 30. Siegel proves that we can enlarge the region N where (89) is valid taking $1-\sigma > t^{3/7}$. He also asserts that he can prove this for $1-\sigma > t^\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary. In [4] we have shown that Siegel's proof does not extend beyond the exponent 2/5. It is an open problem to determine the right-hand boundary of the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the upper half-plane.

9. RIEMANN-SIEGEL FORMULA.

From (78) and the functional equation, we get

$$(90) \quad \zeta(s) = \mathcal{R}(s) + \chi(s) \overline{\mathcal{R}}(1-s).$$

Now we take $0 < \theta < \pi$ and apply Theorem 5 and Theorem 20. Let G be the closed set defined by $t \geq 2\pi$ and $\theta \leq \arg(s-1) \leq \pi$ and $0 \leq \arg(s) \leq \pi - \theta$. G is essentially an angle of $\pi - 2\theta$ radians. For $s \in G$ we may apply the two expansions to obtain

$$(91) \quad \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n^s} + \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2i} \xi^{-s} e^{\pi i \xi^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{D_k(q)}{\xi^k} + \mathcal{O}_{K,\theta}(|\xi|^{-K-1}) \right\} + \\ + \chi(s) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{s-1} - \frac{(-1)^m}{2i} \chi(s) \eta^{s-1} e^{-\pi i \eta^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{\bar{D}_k(p)}{\eta^k} + \mathcal{O}_{K,\theta}(|\eta|^{-K-1}) \right\}$$

APPENDIX: SOME LEMMAS

Lemma 31. *For $a > 0$, there is a constant $C(a) > 0$ such that for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$*

$$(92) \quad I(a, \lambda) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2} e^{-|\lambda-x|} dx \leq C(a) e^{-|\lambda|}.$$

Proof. Since $I(a, \lambda) = I(a, -\lambda)$ we may assume that $\lambda > 0$. Then we have for $\lambda > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} I(a, \lambda) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} e^{-ax^2} e^{-\lambda+x} dx + \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2} e^{-x+\lambda} dx \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2} e^x dx + \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{a}} e^{1/4a} e^{-\lambda} + \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{2ax}{2a\lambda} e^{-ax^2} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{a}} e^{1/4a} e^{-\lambda} + \frac{e^{-a\lambda^2}}{2a\lambda} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{a}} e^{1/4a} + \frac{e^{-a\lambda^2+\lambda}}{2a} \right) e^{-\lambda} \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{a}} e^{1/4a} + \frac{e^{1/4a}}{2a} \right) e^{-\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $I(a, \lambda)$ is continuous in λ it is clear that for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ the inequality is true, taking $C(a)$ sufficiently great. \square

Lemma 32. *Given positive real numbers a, b , and c , there exists a constant $C = C(a, b, c)$ such that*

$$(93) \quad J(a, b, c, \lambda) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2+b|x|} e^{-c|\lambda-x|} dx \leq C(a, b, c) e^{-c|\lambda|}.$$

Proof. Again $J(a, b, c, \lambda) = J(a, b, c, -\lambda)$ and we may assume that $\lambda > 1$. We have $e^{b|x|} \leq e^{bx} + e^{-bx}$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that for $\lambda > 1$ there exist $C_1(a, b, c)$ and $C_2(a, b, c)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2+bx} e^{-c|\lambda-x|} dx &\leq C_1(a, b, c) e^{-c|\lambda|}, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2-bx} e^{-c|\lambda-x|} dx &\leq C_2(a, b, c) e^{-c|\lambda|}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider, for example, the first one, the other is similar. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2+bx} e^{-c|\lambda-x|} dx &= e^{b^2/4a^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a(x-\frac{b}{2a})^2} e^{-c|\lambda-x|} dx \\ &= e^{b^2/4a^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ay^2} e^{-c|\lambda-\frac{b}{2a}-y|} dy = e^{b^2/4a^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ay^2} e^{-|c(\lambda-\frac{b}{2a})-cy|} dy \\ &= e^{b^2/4a^2} \frac{1}{c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ay^2/c^2} e^{-|c(\lambda-\frac{b}{2a})-y|} dy \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 31 we obtain

$$\leq e^{b^2/4a^2} \frac{1}{c} C(a/c^2) e^{-c|\lambda-\frac{b}{2a}|} \leq e^{b^2/4a^2} \frac{1}{c} C(a/c^2) e^{cb/2a} e^{-c|\lambda|}.$$

For $|\lambda| \leq 1$ we proceed as in Lemma 31. \square

Lemma 33. For $r > e$ the function

$$f(r, \varphi) := \frac{4 \log r}{\pi r^2} - 2 \sin(2\varphi) \log(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi) + 2\varphi \cos(2\varphi) - \sin(2\varphi) + \frac{\sin \varphi}{r}$$

is negative for $\varphi \in [\frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Proof. Let $\varphi_0 = \sqrt{2 \log r}/r$, first we prove that $f(r, \varphi_0) < 0$ and then that $\partial f/\partial \varphi < 0$ for $\varphi \in [\varphi_0, \pi/4]$. Then we prove $f(r, \varphi) < 0$ for $\varphi \in [\pi/4, \pi/2]$, always assuming that $r > e$.

The function $2\varphi \cos(2\varphi) - \sin(2\varphi)$ is decreasing for $\varphi \in [0, \pi/4]$ and vanishes at $\varphi = 0$; and $\sin \varphi_0 \leq \varphi_0$ so that

$$f(r, \varphi_0) \leq \frac{4 \log r}{\pi r^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r^2} - 2 \sin(2\varphi_0) \log(\cos \varphi_0 + \sin \varphi_0).$$

We have the Taylor expansion

$$-2 \sin(2\varphi) \log(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi) = -4\varphi^2 + 4\varphi^3 - \frac{64}{45}\varphi^6 + \dots$$

We may show that for $r > e$

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, \varphi_0) &\leq \frac{4 \log r}{\pi r^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r^2} - 2 \sin(2\varphi_0) \log(\cos \varphi_0 + \sin \varphi_0) \\ &\leq \frac{4 \log r}{\pi r^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r^2} - \frac{8 \log r}{r^2} + \frac{4(2 \log r)^{3/2}}{r^3} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\log r}} - 8 + \frac{8\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r} \right) \frac{\log r}{r^2} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the derivative on $[\varphi_0, \pi/4]$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(r, \varphi)}{\partial \varphi} &= \frac{\cos \varphi}{r} - 4 \cos(2\varphi) \log(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi) - 4\varphi \sin(2\varphi) \\ &\quad - \frac{2(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) \sin(2\varphi)}{\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{4}$ all terms are negative except for the first. In the interval $\varphi_0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi/6$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\cos \varphi}{r} - \frac{2(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) \sin(2\varphi)}{\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi} &= \frac{\cos \varphi}{r} - \frac{2(\cos \varphi - \sin \varphi) \sin(2\varphi)}{(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi)\varphi} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{18 - 6\sqrt{3}}{\pi(1 + \sqrt{3})} \frac{\sqrt{2 \log r}}{r} < 0, \quad r > e. \end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ we have

$$\frac{\cos \varphi}{r} - 4\varphi \sin(2\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} < 0.$$

Only remains to show that $f(r, \varphi) < 0$ for $\pi/4 \leq \varphi \leq \pi/2$. In the interval $\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ the term $-2 \sin(2\varphi) \log(\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi) \leq 0$ and therefore

$$f(r, \varphi) \leq \frac{4 \log r}{\pi r^2} + \frac{\sin \varphi}{r} + 2\varphi \cos(2\varphi) - \sin(2\varphi).$$

Since $\cos(2\varphi) < 0$ we have

$$f(r, \varphi) \leq \frac{4 \log r}{\pi r^2} + \frac{\sin \varphi}{r} + \cos(2\varphi) - \sin(2\varphi) \leq \frac{4 \log r}{\pi r^2} + \frac{1}{r} - 1 < 0, \quad r > e. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *High precision computation of Riemann's zeta function by the Riemann-Siegel formula, I*, Math. Comp. **80** (2011), no. 274, 995–1009.
- [2] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Riemann's auxiliary function: Basic Results*, [arXiv:2406.02403](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.02403)
- [3] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Simple bounds of the auxiliary function of Riemann*, preprint (92).
- [4] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Region without zeros for the auxiliary function of Riemann*, [arXiv:2406.03825](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.03825)
- [5] J. ARIAS DE REYNA, *Zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ on the fourth quadrant*, preprint (108).
- [6] K. CHANDRASEKHARAN, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [7] H. M. EDWARDS, *Riemann's Theta Function*, Academic Press, 1974, [Dover Edition in 2001].
- [8] W. GABCKE, *Neue Herleitung und explizite Restabschätzung der Riemann-Siegel-Formel*, Dissertation, Göttingen (1979). [Electronic version](#).
- [9] C. L. SIEGEL, *Über Riemann Nachlaß zur analytischen Zahlentheorie*, Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Mathematik Astronomie und Physik **2** (1932) 45–80. (Reprinted in [10], 1, 275–310.) [English version](#).
- [10] C. L. SIEGEL, *Carl Ludwig Siegel's Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, (edited by K. Chandrasekharan and H. Maaß), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [11] E. C. TITCHMARSH *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Second edition. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.

UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, C/TARFIA, SN, 41012-SEVILLA, SPAIN.
 Email address: arias@us.es, ariasdereyna1947@gmail.com