

GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL BOUSSINESQ-PEREGRINE SYSTEM UNDER SMALL BOTTOM VARIATION

LUC MOLINET¹ AND RAAFAT TALHOUK^{2,3}

ABSTRACT. The Boussinesq-Peregrine system is derived from the water waves system in presence of topographic variation under the hypothesis of shallowness and small amplitude regime. The system becomes significantly simpler (at least in the mathematical sens) under the hypothesis of small topographic variation. In this work we study the long time and global well-posedness of the Boussinesq-Peregrine system. We start by showing the intermediate time well-posedness in the case of general topography (i.e. the amplitude of the bottom graph $\beta = O(1)$). The novelty resides in the functional setting, $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$. Then we show our main result establishing that the global existence result obtained in [14] in the flat bottom case is still valid for the Boussinesq-Peregrine system under the hypothesis of small amplitude bottom variation (i.e. $\beta = O(\mu)$). More precisely we prove that this system is unconditionally globally well-posed in the Sobolev spaces of type $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$. Finally, we show the existence of a weak global solution in the Schonbek sense [18], i.e. existence of low regularity entropic solutions of the small bottom amplitude Boussinesq-Peregrine equations emanating from $u_0 \in H^1$ and ζ_0 in an Orlicz class as weak limits of regular solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with the system introduced by D. H. Peregrine [15], in the case of small amplitude bottom variation and one space dimension. The Peregrine system was derived from the Euler water waves one to describe weak amplitude ($\varepsilon = O(\mu)$) long wave propagation at the surface of ideal incompressible liquid for irrotational flow over variable bottom topography submitted to gravitational force where the surface tension has been neglected. This system is the generalization of the system introduced by J. V. Boussinesq in 1871 ([6], [7], see also [4], [5]) to model the same flow over flat bottom. The corresponding PDE's system, is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_t + (hu)_x & = 0, \\ (1 + \mu\mathcal{T}[h_b])u_t + \zeta_x + \varepsilon uu_x & = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35,35L56,35B30.

Key words and phrases. Boussinesq-Peregrine system, small bottom variation, global existence, entropy solution.

¹Institut Denis Poisson, Université de Tours, Université d'Orléans, CNRS, Parc Grandmont, 37200, France (luc.molinet@univ-tours.fr).

²Léonard de Vinci Pôle Universitaire, Research Center, 92 916 Paris La Défense, France. (raafat.talhouk@devinci.fr).

³Laboratoire de mathématiques-EDST, Faculté des Sciences et EDST, Université Libanaise, Hadat, Liban.

The quantity $h = 1 + \varepsilon\zeta(x, t) - \beta b(x)$ corresponds to the normalized total height of the liquid and $1 + \varepsilon\zeta(x, t)$ describes the free surface of the liquid, b being the function representing the bottom. ε and β are related respectively to the free surface wave amplitude and the bottom one, x is the spatial position which is proportional to distance in the direction of propagation. The quantity $u(x, t)$ is the horizontal velocity field of the liquid particle which is at position x at time t . Finally the operator $\mathcal{T}[h_b]$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{T}[h_b]u = -\frac{1}{3h_b} (h_b^3 u_x)_x + \frac{\beta}{2h_b} [(h_b^2 b_x u)_x - h_b^2 b_x u_x] + \beta^2 b_x^2 u \quad (1.2)$$

with $h_b = 1 - \beta b$.

Mésognon-Gireau in [13] gave the first result concerning a long time existence (i.e. existence time of order $O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$) for a modified Boussinesq-Peregrine equation (1.1) in dimension 1. The existence and uniqueness are showed in $H^N \times H_\mu^{N+1}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 4$. He also showed a local existence (existence time of order $O(1)$) and uniqueness result in all dimension. V. Duchêne and S. Israwi in [9] have shown existence and uniqueness in long time for the system (1.1) in dimension one and two in a regular functional setting ($H^N \times H_\mu^{N+1}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$), $N \geq 4$. These results are obtained for free amplitude bottom. (i.e. no smallness hypothesis on the size of bottom amplitude β). Here H_μ^{s+1} stand for the Sobolev space $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ endowed with the norm

$$|u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}^2 := |u|_{H^s}^2 + \mu |u_x|_{H^s}^2. \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper, we study the well-posedness of system (1.1) in low Sobolev regularity $H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$. In a first time, we show that the system (1.1) is well-posed in intermediate time (i.e. existence time of order $O((\varepsilon \vee \beta)^{-1} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}})$). Then, we consider system (1.1) under the hypothesis of small amplitude bottom $\beta = O(\mu)$ (see also [10], p. 155). This hypothesis enables to write

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \mu \mathcal{T}[h_b]u &= 1 - \frac{\mu}{3h_b} (h_b^3 u_x)_x + \frac{\mu\beta}{2h_b} [(h_b^2 b_x u)_x - h_b^2 b_x u_x] + \mu\beta^2 b_x^2 u \\ &= 1 - \frac{\mu}{3} u_{xx} + O(\beta\mu). \end{aligned}$$

that leads to the following simplified system after dropping all terms of order $O(\mu\beta)$:

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_t + (hu)_x &= 0, \\ u_t + \zeta_x + \varepsilon uu_x - \frac{\mu}{3} u_{txx} &= 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Our main result is that this last system is unconditionally globally well-posedness in $H^s \times H^{s+1}$ for $s > \frac{1}{2}$. As it was first noticing by Schonbek ([18]) for the "classical" Boussinesq equation, the crucial point consists in showing that the entropy functional associated with the hyperbolic system, of which system (1.4) may be seen as a perturbation, can be adapted to obtain a sort of "entropy" functional for (1.4). It is worth emphasizing that, in contrast to the flat bottom case (see [18] and also [14]), in our context we cannot prove that this functional is non increasing but only that it is locally bounded, which is sufficient for our purpose. Finally, we show the existence

of weak global solution in the sense of Schonbek [18] as a limit of regular solution.

In our knowledge these results for this system are new and pertinent. In particular this is the first global existence result in the context of non flat bottom for Boussinesq type system.

1.1. Statement of the main results.

Definition 1.1. Let $s > 1/2$, $T > 0$ and $b \in H^{s+1} \cap W^{\frac{5}{2}^+, \infty}$. We will say that $(\zeta, u) \in L^\infty(]0, T[; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$ is a solution to (1.1) (or (1.4)) associated with the initial datum $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ if (ζ, u) satisfies (1.1) (or (1.4)) in the distributional sense, i.e. for any test function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(]-T, T[\times \mathbb{R})$, it holds

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(\psi_t + \psi_x u(1 + \varepsilon \zeta - \beta b))] dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(0, \cdot) \zeta_0 dx = 0 \\ \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(1 + \mu \mathcal{T}[h_b]) \psi_t u + \psi_x \zeta + \psi_x u^2 / 2] dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(0, \cdot) u_0 dx = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Remark 1.1. Note that $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is an algebra for $s > 1/2$ and thus ζu and u^2 are well-defined and belong to $L^\infty(]0, T[; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$. Therefore (1.5) forces $(\zeta_t, u_t) \in L^\infty(]0, T[; H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1})$ and thus (1.1) (or (1.4)) is satisfied in $L^\infty(]0, T[; H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1})$. In particular, $(\zeta, u) \in C([0, T]; H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1})$ and (1.5) forces $(\zeta(0), u(0)) = (\zeta_0, u_0)$.

Definition 1.2. Let $s > 1/2$ and $b \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. We will say that the Cauchy problem associated with (1.4) is unconditionally globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ if

- (1) for any initial data $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$, satisfying $1 + \varepsilon \zeta_0 - \beta b > 0$ on \mathbb{R} , there exists a solution $(\zeta, u) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$ to (1.4) emanating from (ζ_0, u_0) .
- (2) for any $T > 0$, this solution is the unique solution to (1.4) associated with (ζ_0, u_0) that belongs to $L^\infty(]0, T[; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$.
- (3) for any $T > 0$, the solution-map $(\zeta_0, u_0) \mapsto (\zeta, u)$ is continuous from $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ into $C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$.

Theorem 1.1. For any $s > 1/2$ and $b \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$, then the Cauchy problem (1.4) is unconditionally globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ in the sense of Definition 1.2 .

Theorem 1.2. Let $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in \Lambda_{\sigma_0} \times H_\mu^1$ (in particular $1 + \varepsilon \zeta_0 - \beta b > 0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}) then there exists a weak solution for the Boussinesq system (1.4) with initial data (ζ_0, u_0) verifying that $(\zeta, u) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \Lambda_{\sigma_0} \times H_\mu^1)$.

For the definition of Λ_{σ_0} see (4.9).

For the system (1.1) we also derived an intermediate time existence result under the following hypothesis on the parameter β .

Hypothesis 1.

$$0 < \beta \leq C_0 = C_0\left(\frac{1}{h_0}, \|b\|_{W^{\frac{5}{2}^+, \infty}}\right)$$

where C_0 is the constant that appears in Lemma 6.2.

This condition ensures that the algebraic inverse of the operator

$$\mathfrak{T}_b = h_b(1 + \mu\mathcal{T}[h_b])$$

is Lipschitz from $H^\theta(\mathbb{R})$ to $H_\mu^{\theta+1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $-3/2 < \theta \leq 0$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mu > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $s > 1/2$, $b \in W^{s+2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that Hypothesis 1 is satisfied and that there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that*

$$h_b := 1 - \beta b \geq h_0 > 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.6)$$

Then the Cauchy problem associated with (1.1) is unconditionally locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, the maximal time of existence T^ of the solution satisfies*

$$T^* \gtrsim T_0 \sim (\varepsilon \vee \beta)^{-1} \left(1 + \mu^{-1/2} (|\zeta_0|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}} + |u_0|_{H_\mu^{\frac{3}{2}+}}) \right)^{-1}$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} |\zeta(t)|_{H^s}^2 + |u(t)|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}^2 \lesssim |\zeta_0|_{H^s}^2 + |u_0|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}^2$$

Remark 1.2. *We do not know if we can reach the long time existence (existence time of order $0(\frac{1}{\varepsilon \vee \beta})$) for (1.1). The loss of the factor $\mu^{1/2}$ with respect to this long time existence result seems to follow from a loss of symmetry in the formulation of (1.1) with respect to the 1D Green-Naghdi system. Indeed to inverse the operator $1 + \mu\mathcal{T}[h_b]u$ we need to multiply (1.1)₂ by h_b whereas a multiplication by h would be needed to obtain a cancellation with $(hu)_x$ that appears in (1.1)₁. However this difficulty can be dropped if we consider an approximate consistent version of system (1.1) up to $O(\varepsilon\mu)$ (which is of order $O(\mu^2)$) by multiplying the second equation of (1.1) by h and writing*

$$\mu h \mathcal{T}[h_b]u = \mu h_b \mathcal{T}[h_b]u + O(\varepsilon\mu).$$

System (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_t + (hu)_x & = 0, \\ (h + \mu h_b \mathcal{T}[h_b])u_t + h\zeta_x + \varepsilon h u u_x & = 0. \end{cases}$$

For this system theorem 4.3, at least in a regular functional setting (say $s > \frac{3}{2}$), still valid with long time existence, i.e. with time T^ such that*

$$T^* \gtrsim T_0 \sim (\varepsilon \vee \beta)^{-1} \left(|\zeta_0|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}} + |u_0|_{H_\mu^{\frac{5}{2}+}} \right)^{-1}.$$

Remark 1.3. *In the case of weak bottom variation hypothesis the results of theorem 4.3 still valid for system (1.4) without Hypothesis 1 and in a longer time*

$$T^* \gtrsim T_0 \sim (\varepsilon \vee \beta)^{-1} \left(|\zeta_0|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}} + |u_0|_{H_\mu^{\frac{3}{2}+}} \right)^{-1}.$$

However, one has to replace (1.6) by a similar hypothesis on h at time 0, i.e. $h(0, \cdot) \geq h_0 > 0$ on \mathbb{R} . Indeed, under this hypothesis, one can multiply (1.4)₂ by h to obtain the desired cancellation with the term $(hu)_x$ that appears in (1.4)₁.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: The second section is dedicated to the notations and preliminary results that will be useful throughout the paper. In the third section we prove the local well-posedness of (1.1) in $H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}$, $s > 1/2$, under a smallness assumption on β . In Section 4, we explain how a local existence result for (1.4) can be easily obtained by following the same steps as in Section 3 with obvious simplifications and we show that the local solution constructed satisfies an entropic energy estimate. Using this estimate and the results established previously, we prove our main theorem 1.1. Finally in Section 5 we recover the result of M. E. Schonbek concerning the existence of a weak entropic solution for the Boussinesq system and this using a sequence of regular solutions given by our main theorem.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY

2.1. Notations and function spaces. In the following, C denotes any non negative constant whose exact expression is of no importance. The notation $a \lesssim b$ means that $a \leq C_0 b$.

We denote by $C(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ a non negative constant depending on the parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ and whose dependence on the λ_j is always assumed to be nondecreasing.

Let p be any constant with $1 \leq p < \infty$ and denote $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R})$ the space of all Lebesgue-measurable functions f with the standard norm

$$|f|_{L^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

When $p = 2$, we denote the norm $|\cdot|_{L^2}$ simply by $|\cdot|_2$. The real inner product of any functions f_1 and f_2 in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is denoted by

$$(f_1, f_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_1(x) f_2(x) dx.$$

The space $L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ consists of all essentially bounded, Lebesgue-measurable functions f with the norm

$$|f|_\infty = \text{ess sup } |f(x)| < \infty.$$

For convenience, we denote the norm of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R})$ by $\|\cdot\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}$.

For any real constant $s \geq 0$, $H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the Sobolev space of all tempered distributions f with the norm $|f|_{H^s} = |\Lambda^s f|_2 < \infty$, where Λ is the pseudo-differential operator $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{1/2}$.

Definition 2.1. For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu > 0$ and $f \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ we set

$$|f|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}^2 := |f|_{H^s}^2 + \mu |f_x|_{H^s}^2.$$

For $s > 0$, we denote by $W^{s,\infty} = W^{s,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f, \Lambda^s f \in L^\infty\}$ endowed with its canonical norm.

For any functions $u = u(t, x)$ and $v(t, x)$ defined on $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$ with $T > 0$, we denote the inner product, the L^p -norm and especially the L^2 -norm, as well as the Sobolev norm, with respect to the spatial variable x , by $(u, v) = (u(t, \cdot), v(t, \cdot))$, $|u|_{L^p} = |u(t, \cdot)|_{L^p}$, $|u|_{L^2} = |u(t, \cdot)|_{L^2}$, and $|u|_{H^s} = |u(t, \cdot)|_{H^s}$, respectively.

For $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ a Banach space, we denote as usually $L^p([0, T]; X)$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, the space of measurable functions equipped by the norm:

$$\|u\|_{L_T^p X} = \left(\int_0^T \|u(t, \cdot)\|_X^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < +\infty,$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L_T^\infty X} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [0, T[} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_X \quad \text{for } p = +\infty.$$

Finally, $C^k([0, T]; X)$ is the space of k -times continuously differentiable functions from $[0, T]$ with value in X , equipped with its standard norm

$$\|u\|_{C^k([0, T]; X)} = \max_{0 \leq l \leq k} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u^{(l)}(t, \cdot)|_X.$$

Let $C^k(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of k -times continuously differentiable functions. For any closed operator T defined on a Banach space X of functions, the commutator $[T, f]$ is defined by $[T, f]g = T(fg) - fT(g)$ with f, g and fg belonging to the domain of T .

Throughout the paper, we fix a smooth even bump function η such that

$$\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \eta|_{[-1, 1]} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{supp}(\eta) \subset [-2, 2]. \quad (2.1)$$

We set $\phi(\xi) := \eta(\xi) - \eta(2\xi)$. For $l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, we define

$$\phi_{2^l}(\xi) := \phi(2^{-l}\xi).$$

By convention, we also denote

$$\phi_1(\xi) := \eta(\xi).$$

Any summations over capitalized variables such as N, K are presumed to be dyadic. Unless stated otherwise, we work with non-homogeneous decompositions for space, time and modulation variables, i.e. these variables range over numbers of the form $\{2^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ respectively. Then, we have that

$$\sum_{N \geq 1} \phi_N(\xi) = 1 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \operatorname{supp}(\phi_N) \subset \left\{ \frac{N}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2N \right\}, \quad N \in \{2^k : k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\},$$

Let us now define the following Littlewood-Paley multipliers :

$$P_N u := \mathcal{F}_x^{-1}(\phi_N \mathcal{F}_x u), \quad \tilde{P}_N := \sum_{N/4 \leq K \leq 4N} P_K, \quad P_{\gtrsim N} := \sum_{K \gtrsim N} P_K \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\ll N} := \sum_{1 \leq K \ll N} P_K,$$

2.2. Some preliminary estimates. The following product and commutator estimates will be used intensively throughout the paper.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $N \gg 1$ then*

$$|[P_N, P_{\ll N} f]g_x|_{L^2} \lesssim |f_x|_{L^\infty} |\tilde{P}_N g|_{L^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

We give a short proof of (2.2) in the appendix for the sake of completeness. We will also need the two following product estimates in Sobolev spaces :

(1) For every p, r, t such that $r + p > t + 1/2 > 0$ and $r, p \geq t$,

$$|fg|_{H^t} \lesssim |f|_{H^p} |g|_{H^r}. \quad (2.3)$$

(2) For any $s \geq 0$

$$|fg|_{H^s} \lesssim |f|_{L^\infty} |g|_{H^s} + |f|_{H^s} |g|_{L^\infty}. \quad (2.4)$$

Inequality (2.3) is a standart Sobolev product estimate, the second one (2.4) is the well known Moser product estimate (see for instance [20] or [10], and references therein.) With (2.3)-(2.4) in hand, it is straightforward (see Appendix) to prove the two following frequency localized product estimates given in Proposition (2.2) that we will extensively use in the next section.

Proposition 2.2. *For any $N \gg 1$ and $s > 0$ it holds*

$$N^s |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_x)|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta_N \min(|f|_{H^{s+1}} |g|_{L^\infty}, |f|_{H^s} |g_x|_{L^\infty}) \quad (2.5)$$

whereas for $s > 1/2$ it holds

$$N^{s-1} |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_x)|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta_N |f|_{H^{s+1}} |g|_{H^{s-1}} \quad (2.6)$$

with $|(\delta_{2^j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}|_{l^2} \leq 1$.

We will also need the following product and commutator estimates to control the multiplication by a smooth bounded function (see the proof in the Appendix).

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $g \in H^\theta$ and $f \in W^{|\theta|+1+\infty}$. Then it holds*

$$|fg|_{H^\theta} \lesssim |f|_{W^{|\theta|+\infty}} |g|_{H^\theta} \quad (2.7)$$

and for any $N \geq 1$

$$N^\theta |[P_N, f]g_x|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta_N |f_x|_{W^{|\theta|+\infty}} |g|_{H^\theta} . \quad (2.8)$$

with $|(\delta_{2^j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}|_{l^2} \leq 1$.

3. LOCAL EXISTENCE AND ENERGY ESTIMATES FOR (1.1)

In all this section we assume that $b \in W^{s+2}(\mathbb{R})$. This is not necessary and actually to prove the LWP of (1.1) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$, $b \in C_b^{[s]+3}(\mathbb{R})$ would be enough.

3.1. Local well-posedness and estimates for a Bona-Smith's approximation. We fix $\epsilon, \mu, \beta > 0$ in (1.1). For $\nu > 0$ we consider the Bona-Smith type regularization problem associated to (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_t - \nu \zeta_{txx} + (h_b u)_x + \epsilon(\zeta u)_x & = 0, \\ (1 + \mu \mathcal{T}[h_b])u_t + \zeta_x + \epsilon u u_x & = 0, \\ (\zeta, u)(0) & = (\zeta_0, u_0). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Since $h_b > 0$ on \mathbb{R} , multiplying the second equation in (3.1) by h_b and setting $V = (\zeta, u)$, (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt} V = \Omega_\nu(V) \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\Omega_\nu(V) = \left((1 - \nu \partial_x^2)^{-1} [-((1 - \beta b)u)_x - \epsilon(u\zeta)_x], \mathfrak{F}_b^{-1} [-h_b \partial_x (\zeta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} u^2)] \right)$$

with $\mathfrak{F}_b = h_b(1 + \mu \mathcal{T}[h_b])$ (see (1.2)). Recall that $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is an algebra for $s > 1/2$. Since according to (6.3) in Lemma 6.2, for $s > 1/2$, \mathfrak{F}_b^{-1} is continuous from $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and this is also the case for $(1 - \nu \partial_x^2)^{-1}$, it is straightforward to check that Ω_ν is a locally Lipschitz mapping from $(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))^2$ into itself for $s > 1/2$. Therefore by the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem in Banach spaces we infer that (3.1) is locally well-posed in $(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))^2$, i.e. for

any $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in (H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))^2$ there exists $T_{s,\mu,\nu} = T_{s,\mu,\nu}(|\zeta_0|_{H^{s+1}} + |u_0|_{H^{s+1}})$ and a unique solution $(\zeta, u) \in C^1([0, T_{s,\mu,\nu}]; (H^{s+1})^2)$. Moreover, for any $R > 0$, the mapping that to (ζ_0, u_0) associates (ζ, u) is continuous from $(B(0, R)_{H^{s+1}})^2 \subset (H^{s+1})^2$ into $C([0, T_{s,\mu,\nu}(R)]; (H^{s+1})^2)$.

We start by stating some energy estimates fundamental to prove our result. For $s \geq 0$ and $\nu \geq 0$ we define $E_{\mu,\nu}^s : (H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$E_{\mu,\nu}^s(\zeta, u) = |\zeta|_{H^s}^2 + \nu |\zeta_x|_{H^s}^2 + |u|_{H_{\mu}^{s+1}}^2 \quad (3.3)$$

In the sequel we denote by $(\delta_N)_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}}$ a generic sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_{2^j}^2 \leq 1 .$$

3.1.1. H^s estimate. Applying the operator P_N , $N \geq 1$, to the first equation in (3.1), multiplying by $N^{2s} P_N \zeta$ and integrating with respect to x the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N^{2s}}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (|P_N \zeta|_{L^2}^2 + \nu |P_N \zeta_x|_{L^2}^2) &= -\epsilon N^{2s} (P_N(\zeta u)_x, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - N^{2s} (P_N(h_b u)_x, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where, by integrating by parts, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} -(P_N(h_b u)_x, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} &= (P_N(h_b u), P_N \zeta_x)_{L^2} \\ &= (h_b P_N u, P_N \zeta_x)_{L^2} + ([P_N, h_b]u, P_N \zeta_x)_{L^2} \\ &= (h_b P_N u, P_N \zeta_x)_{L^2} - (\partial_x([P_N, h_b]u), P_N \zeta)_{L^2} \\ &= (h_b P_N u, P_N \zeta_x)_{L^2} - ([P_N, \partial_x h_b]u, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - ([P_N, h_b]u_x, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Now we notice that

$$\mathfrak{T}_b v = -\frac{\mu}{3} (h_b^3 v_x)_x + \left(h_b + \frac{\beta \mu}{2} \partial_x (h_b^2 b_x) + \beta^2 \mu b_x \right) v \quad (3.6)$$

so that \mathfrak{T}_b is L_x^2 self-adjoint and it holds

$$P_N(\mathfrak{T}_b v) = \mathfrak{T}_b P_N v - \frac{\mu}{3} \partial_x ([P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x]v) + [P_N, g_b]v \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$g_b := h_b + \frac{\beta \mu}{2} \partial_x (h_b^2 b_x) + \beta^2 \mu b_x^2 \quad (3.8)$$

belongs to $C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$ (as soon as $b \in C_b^3(\mathbb{R})$) and satisfies $|g_b|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1$ and $|\partial_x g_b|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \beta$.

Multiplying the second equation in (3.1) by h_b we get

$$\mathfrak{T}_b u_t = -h_b \zeta_x - \epsilon h_b u u_x = -\partial_x (h_b \zeta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} h_b u^2) + \partial_x h_b \zeta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \partial_x h_b u^2 . \quad (3.9)$$

According to (3.9) and Lemma 6.2 we thus infer that for $s > 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_t|_{H_{\mu}^s} &\lesssim |\partial_x (h_b \zeta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} h_b u^2)|_{H^{s-1}} + |\partial_x h_b \zeta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \partial_x h_b u^2|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim C(|b|_{W^{s+1+\infty}}) \left(|\zeta|_{H^s} + \epsilon |u|_{L_x^\infty} |u|_{H^s} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Note that for $1/2 < s < 1$ we have $s - 1 < 0$ and thus we already have to assume Hypothesis 1 here. Applying the operator P_N to (3.9), multiplying by $N^{2s}P_N u$, integrating with respect to x the resulting equation and using (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N^{2s}}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\mathfrak{T}_b P_N u, P_N u)_{L_x^2} &= -N^{2s} (h_b P_N \zeta_x, P_N u)_{L^2} - \epsilon N^{2s} (P_N (h_b u u_x), P_N u)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - N^{2s} \left([P_N, h_b] \zeta_x - \frac{\mu}{3} \partial_x ([P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] u_t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [P_N, g_b] u_t, P_N u \right)_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Summing the identities (3.4) and (3.11) and noticing that the contribution of the first term in the right-hand side of (3.5) vanishes with the contribution of the first term in the right-hand side of (3.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N^{2s}}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(|P_N \zeta|_{L^2}^2 + \nu |P_N \zeta_x|_{L^2}^2 + (\mathfrak{T}_b P_N u, P_N u)_{L_x^2} \right) &= -\epsilon N^{2s} (P_N (h_b u u_x), P_N u)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \epsilon N^{2s} (P_N (\zeta u)_x, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} - N^{2s} ([P_N, \partial_x h_b] u, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - N^{2s} ([P_N, h_b] u_x, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - N^{2s} \left([P_N, h_b] \zeta_x - \frac{\mu}{3} \partial_x ([P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] u_t) + [P_N, g_b] u_t, P_N u \right)_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Let us estimate one by one the terms appearing in the right-hand side of (3.12). For $N \lesssim 1$, (2.7) directly yields

$$N^{2s} |(P_N (h_b u u_x), P_N u)_{L^2}| \lesssim |h_b (u^2)_x|_{H^{-1}} |u|_{L_x^2} \lesssim C(|b|_{W^{1+\infty}}) |u|_{L_x^\infty} |u|_{L_x^2}^2.$$

Now for $N \gg 1$, we first decompose this term as follows

$$P_N (h_b u u_x) = P_N (P_{\ll N} (h_b u) u_x) + P_N (P_{\gtrsim N} (h_b u) u_x) = A_{1,N} + A_{2,N}$$

where on account of (2.2) and using integration by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} N^{2s} |(A_{1,N}, P_N u)_{L_x^2}| &\lesssim \delta_N |(\partial_x (h_b u))|_{L_x^\infty} |u|_{H^s}^2 \\ &\lesssim C(|b|_{W^{1,\infty}}) \delta_N |u|_{H^s}^2 \left(\beta |u|_{L_x^\infty} + |u_x|_{L_x^\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we may rewrite $A_{2,N}$ as

$$A_{2,N} = P_N \left(\sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} P_{N_1} (h_b u) P_{\lesssim N_1} u_x \right)$$

that leads, by using (2.7), to

$$\begin{aligned} N^s |A_{2,N}|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \left(\frac{N}{N_1} \right)^s \delta_{N_1} |h_b u|_{H^s} |u_x|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N |h_b u|_{H^s} |u_x|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim C(|b|_{W^{s+\infty}}) \delta_N |u|_{H^s} |u_x|_{L_x^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we eventually get for $N \geq 1$,

$$\epsilon N^{2s} |(P_N (h_b u u_x), P_N u)_{L^2}| \lesssim C(|b|_{W^{s+1,\infty}}) \epsilon \delta_N^2 (|u|_{L_x^\infty} + |u_x|_{L_x^\infty}) |u|_{H^s}^2.$$

At this point we notice that Sobolev inequality leads for $s \in]1/2, 3/2]$ to

$$|u_x|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |u|_{H^s}^{(s-1/2)-} |u|_{H^{s+1}}^{(3/2-s)+} \lesssim \mu^{\frac{1}{2}(s-3/2)-} |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}$$

whereas $|u_x|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |u|_{H_\mu^s}$ for $s > 3/2$. This means that in the estimate of this term we loose a factor $\mu^{\frac{1}{2}(s-3/2)-} \lesssim \mu^{-1/2}$ when working at regularity $s \in]1/2, 3/2]$. Even if this factor do depend on $s > 1/2$ (it disappears for $s > 3/2$), since we will loose anyway a factor $\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in the estimate of the next term we do not take this into consideration and simply keep the baddest case (i.e. $s = \frac{1}{2}+$) to get

$$\epsilon N^{2s} |(P_N(h_b u u_x), P_N u)_{L^2}| \lesssim \epsilon \delta_N^2 \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} |u|_{H^s}^2. \quad (3.13)$$

Now, we tackle the estimate of the second term of the right-hand side of (3.12). At this stage, it is worth noticing that there is a kind of lost of symmetry in the Boussinesq-Peregrin system since h appears in the first equation whereas only h_b appears in the second equation. Somehow, we will pay this lost of symmetry here since we will loose a factor $\mu^{-1/2}$ even in high regularity. Indeed by using (2.4) we get, for $N \gg 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon N^{2s} |(P_N(u_x \zeta), P_N \zeta)_{L^2}| &\lesssim \epsilon N^s \delta_N |u_x \zeta|_{H^s} |P_N \zeta|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon \delta_N^2 \left(|u|_{H^{s+1}} |\zeta|_{L^\infty} + |u_x|_{L^\infty} |\zeta|_{H^s} \right) |\zeta|_{H^s} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon \delta_N^2 \left(\mu^{-1/2} |\zeta|_{L^\infty} + |u_x|_{L^\infty} \right) |\zeta|_{H^s} (|\zeta|_{H^s} + |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

On the other hand, integrating by parts and using (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon N^{2s} |(P_N(u \zeta_x), P_N \zeta)_{L^2}| &= \epsilon N^{2s} \left| -\frac{1}{2} (P_{\ll N} u_x P_N \zeta, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + ([P_N, P_{\ll N} u] \zeta_x, P_N \zeta)_{L^2} + (P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} u \zeta_x), P_N \zeta)_{L^2} \right| \\ &\lesssim \epsilon N^{2s} |u_x|_{L^\infty} |\tilde{P}_N \zeta|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + |P_N \zeta|_{L^2} \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \left(\frac{N}{N_1} \right)^s |P_{N_1} u|_{H^{s+1}} |P_{\lesssim N_1} \zeta|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon \delta_N^2 \left(|u_x|_{L^\infty} |\zeta|_{H^s}^2 + |\zeta|_{L_x^\infty} |u|_{H^{s+1}} |\zeta|_{H^s} \right) \\ &\lesssim \epsilon \delta_N^2 \left(|u_x|_{L^\infty} + |\zeta|_{L_x^\infty} \mu^{-1/2} \right) |\zeta|_{H^s} (|\zeta|_{H^s} + |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

where in the penultimate step we use the discrete Young convolution inequality. Note however that, as in (3.13), we could improve this last inequality in order to loose again a factor $\mu^{\frac{1}{2}(s-3/2)-} \lesssim \mu^{-1/2}$ when working at regularity $s \in]1/2, 3/2]$ and nothing when $s > 3/2$. But since anyway we loose a factor $\mu^{-1/2}$ in (3.14) we do not try to get an optimal result here. To complete the bound on this term we notice that for $N \lesssim 1$ we directly get

$$\epsilon N^{2s} |(\partial_x P_N(u_x \zeta), P_N \zeta)| \lesssim \epsilon |u_x|_{L_x^2} |\zeta|_{L_x^2}^2$$

To control the third and fourth terms in the right-hand side of (3.12) we make use of (2.7)-(2.8) to get, for any $N \geq 1$,

$$N^s \left(|[P_N, \partial_x h_b]u|_{L_x^2} + |[P_N, h_b]u_x|_{L_x^2} \right) \lesssim \beta \delta_N |b_x|_{W^{s+, \infty}} |u|_{H^s}. \quad (3.15)$$

It thus remains to estimate the terms in the last line of the right-hand side of (3.12). For this we first notice that (2.8) leads to

$$N^{2s} \left| \left([P_N, h_b] \zeta_x, P_N u \right)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \delta_N^2 \beta |b_x|_{W^{s+, \infty}} |\zeta|_{H^s} |u|_{H^s}. \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand, by benefiting of the coefficient μ , (2.8) and (3.10) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \mu N^{2s} \left| \left(\partial_x ([P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] u_t), P_N u \right)_{L^2} \right| &= \mu N^{2s} \left| \left([P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] u_t, P_N u_x \right)_{L^2} \right| \\ &\lesssim \mu \delta_N^2 |\partial_x h_b^3|_{W^{s+, \infty}} |u_t|_{H^s} |u_x|_{H^s} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N^2 \beta |\partial_x h_b^3|_{W^{s+, \infty}} |u_t|_{H_\mu^s} |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} \\ &\lesssim C(|b_x|_{W^{s+, \infty}}) \delta_N^2 \beta (1 + \epsilon |u|_{L_x^\infty}) \\ &\quad \times (|\zeta|_{H^s} + |u|_{H^s}) |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for any $s > 1/2$.

Finally we notice that the expression of g_b in (3.8) (recall that $h_b = 1 - \beta b$) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} N^s [P_N, g_b] u_t &= \beta N^s \left(-[P_N, b] u_t + \mu [P_N, \frac{1}{2} \partial_x (h_b^2 b_x) + \beta b_x^2] u_t \right) \\ &= B_1 + B_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Applying (2.7) and then (3.10) we eventually obtain

$$|B_2|_{L^2} \leq \delta_N \beta \mu |u_t|_{H^s} \lesssim \delta_N \beta \sqrt{\mu} |u_t|_{H_\mu^s} \lesssim \delta_N \beta \sqrt{\mu} (|\zeta|_{H^s} + \epsilon |u|_{L_x^\infty} |u|_{H^s}),$$

where the implicit constant depends on $|b_x|_{W^{s+1, \infty}}$.

To estimate the L^2 -norm of B_1 we separate the contribution of $P_{\lesssim 1} u_t$ and $P_{\gg 1} u_t$. For the first contribution we use again (2.7) and then (3.10) to get

$$\beta N^s \left| [P_N, b] P_{\lesssim 1} u_t \right|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta_N \beta |P_{\lesssim 1} u_t|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta_N \beta (|\zeta|_{H^s} + \epsilon |u|_{L_x^\infty} |u|_{H^s})$$

whereas for the second one we use (2.8) and (3.10) to get

$$\beta N^s \left| [P_N, b] P_{\gg 1} u_t \right|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta_N \beta |P_{\gg 1} u_t|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim \delta_N \beta (|\zeta|_{H^s} + \epsilon |u|_{L_x^\infty} |u|_{H^s})$$

where the implicit constants depend respectively on $|b|_{W^{s+, \infty}}$ and on $|b_x|_{W^{s+, \infty}}$. Gathering the two above estimates we eventually get, for any $N \geq 1$,

$$N^{2s} \left| \left([P_N, g_b] u_t, P_N u \right)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \delta_N^2 \beta (1 + \epsilon |u|_{L_x^\infty}) (|\zeta|_{H^s}^2 + |u|_{H^s}^2) \quad (3.19)$$

that concludes the estimates on the terms appearing in right-hand side of (3.12).

Gathering the above estimates, integrating in time and summing in $N \geq 1$, (3.12) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in (0, T)} E_{\mu, \nu}^s(\zeta(t), u(t)) &\lesssim E_{\mu, \nu}^s(\zeta_0, u_0) \\ &+ T(\epsilon \vee \beta) \left(1 + |u|_{L_{T_x}^\infty} + |u_x|_{L_{T_x}^\infty} + (1 + \mu^{-1/2}) |\zeta|_{L_{T_x}^\infty} \right) \sup_{t \in (0, T)} E_{\mu, \nu}^s(\zeta(t), u(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

for any $0 < T < T_{s, \mu, \nu}^\infty$, where $T_{s, \mu, \nu}^\infty$ denotes the maximal time of existence of the solution (ζ, u) to (3.1) in $(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))^2$.

According to classical Sobolev inequalities, the local well-posedness of (3.1) in $(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))^2$ together with (3.20) ensure that for any $s > 1/2$, $T_{s, \mu, \nu}^\infty = T_{\frac{1}{2}^+, \mu, \nu}^\infty$ (the common maximal time of existence of solutions). On the other hand, since

$$1 + |u|_{L_{T_x}^\infty} + |u_x|_{L_{T_x}^\infty} + (1 + \mu^{-1/2}) |\zeta|_{L_{T_x}^\infty} \lesssim 1 + \mu^{-1/2} \sup_{t \in (0, T)} E_{\mu, \nu}^{\frac{1}{2}^+}(\zeta(t), u(t)),$$

(3.20) with $s = \frac{1}{2}^+$ together with a classical continuity argument ensure that

$$T_{\frac{1}{2}^+, \mu, \nu}^\infty \gtrsim T_0 := C(\epsilon \vee \beta)^{-1} \left(1 + \mu^{-1/2} E_{\mu, \nu}^{\frac{1}{2}^+}(\zeta_0, u_0)^{1/2} \right)^{-1} \quad (3.21)$$

where C is independent of μ, ν, ϵ and β . Moreover, for any $s > 1/2$, it holds

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} E_{\mu, \nu}^s(\zeta, u)(t) \lesssim E_{\mu, \nu}^s(\zeta_0, u_0). \quad (3.22)$$

with an implicit constant that is independent of μ, ν, ϵ and β .

3.1.2. H^{s-1} estimate for the difference of two solutions. Let (ζ_i, u_i) be two solutions to (3.1) with respectively ν_1 and ν_2 , then setting $\eta = \zeta_1 - \zeta_2$ and $v = u_1 - u_2$ it holds

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t - \nu_1 \eta_{txx} + h_b v_x + \epsilon(u_1 \eta + v \zeta_2)_x &= (\nu_1 - \nu_2) \partial_t \zeta_{2,xx}, \\ \mathfrak{I}_b v_t + h_b \eta_x + \frac{\epsilon}{2} h_b((u_1 + u_2)v)_x &= 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

For $N \geq 1$, applying the operator P_N to the equations in (4.3), multiplying respectively by $N^{2(s-1)} P_N \zeta$ and $N^{2(s-1)} P_N v$ the first and the second equation, integrating with respect to x , adding the resulting equations and proceeding as above we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{N^{2(s-1)}}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(|P_N \eta|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_1 |P_N \eta_x|_{L^2}^2 + (\mathfrak{I}_b P_N v, P_N v)_{L_x^2} \right) \\ &= -N^{2(s-1)} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(P_N(h_b((u_1 + u_2)v)_x), P_N v \right)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - N^{2(s-1)} \epsilon \left(P_N(u_1 \eta + v \zeta_2)_x, P_N \eta \right)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - N^{2(s-1)} \left([P_N, \partial_x h_b] v, P_N \eta \right)_{L^2} - N^{2(s-1)} \left([P_N, h_b] v_x, P_N \eta \right)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - N^{2(s-1)} \left([P_{\leq N}, h_b] \eta_x - \frac{\mu}{3} \partial_x([P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] v_t) + [P_N, g_b] v_t, P_N v \right)_{L^2} \\ &\quad + N^{2(s-1)} (\nu_1 - \nu_2) \left(P_N \partial_t \zeta_{2,xx}, P_N \eta \right)_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Let us estimate the terms in the right-hand side one by one. Actually we will proceed as in the a priori estimates on the solution except that for the difference we do not care of losing powers of μ^{-1} . First, for $N \lesssim 1$, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} N^{2(s-1)} \left| \left(P_N(h_b((u_1 + u_2)v)_x), P_N v \right)_{L^2} \right| &\lesssim |h_b((u_1 + u_2)v)_x|_{H^{s-1}} |v|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim (|u_1|_{H^s} + |u_2|_{H^s}) |v|_{H^s} |v|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|u_1|_{H^s} + |u_2|_{H^s}) |v|_{H_\mu^s} |v|_{H^{s-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for $N \gg 1$ we rewrite $\frac{1}{2}((u_1 + u_2)v)_x$ as $u_1 v_x + v u_{2,x}$. Since $s > 1/2$, (2.3) leads to

$$N^{s-1} |P_N(h_b v u_{2,x})|_{L^2} \leq \delta_N |v u_{2,x}|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim \delta_N \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|_{H^{s-1}} |u_2|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}.$$

On the other hand, decomposing $P_N(h_b u_1 v_x)$ as

$$P_N(h_b u_1 v_x) = P_N(P_{\ll N}(h_b u_1) v_x) + P_N(P_{\gtrsim N}(h_b u_1) v_x) = A'_{1,N} + A'_{2,N},$$

we first notice that (2.2) and integration by parts lead to

$$N^{2(s-1)} |(A'_{1,N}, P_N v)_{L_x^2}| \lesssim \delta_N^2 |(\partial_x(h_b u_1))|_{L_x^\infty} |v|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \lesssim \delta_N^2 \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} |u_1|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} |v|_{H^{s-1}}^2.$$

Then rewriting $A'_{2,N}$ as

$$A'_{2,N} = P_N \left(\sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} P_{N_1}(h_b u_1) P_{\lesssim N_1} v_x \right)$$

we observe that (2.7) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} N^{s-1} |A'_{2,N}|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim N^{-1} \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} \left(\frac{N}{N_1} \right)^s N_1 |P_{N_1}(h_b u_1)|_{H^s} |P_{\lesssim N_1} v|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim N^{-1} \delta_N |(h_b u_1)_x|_{H^s} |v|_{H^s} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N \mu^{-1} |u_1|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} |v|_{H_\mu^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering the above estimates we eventually get ,

$$\epsilon N^{2(s-1)} |(P_N(h_b((u_1 + u_2)v)_x), P_N v)_{L_x^2}| \lesssim \delta_N^2 \mu^{-1} |u_1|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} |v|_{H^{s-1}}^2, \quad \forall N \geq 1.$$

Let us now tackle the second term in the right-hand side of (3.24). For $N \lesssim 1$, (2.7) and then (2.3) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} N^{2(s-1)} \left| \left(P_N(u_1 \eta + v \zeta_2)_x, P_N \eta \right)_{L^2} \right| &\lesssim |(u_1 \eta + v \zeta_2)_x|_{H^{s-2}} |\eta|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim (|u_1|_{H^s} |\eta|_{H^{s-1}} + |\zeta_2|_{H^s} |v|_{H^{s-1}}) |\eta|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim (|u_1|_{H^s} + |\zeta_2|_{H^s} |v|_{H_\mu^s}) (|v|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + |\eta|_{H^{s-1}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

where we used that for $s > 1/2$, $s + (s - 1) = 2s - 1 > (s - 1) + \frac{1}{2}$.

Now, for $N \gg 1$, by using again (2.3) we get

$$N^{s-1} |P_N(v \zeta_2)_x|_{L^2} \lesssim |P_N(v \zeta_2)|_{H^s} \lesssim \delta_N |v \zeta_2|_{H^s} \lesssim \delta_N |v|_{H^s} |\zeta_2|_{H^s}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon N^{(s-1)} |P_N(u_{1,x} \eta)|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \epsilon \delta_N |u_{1,x} \eta|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim \epsilon \delta_N |u_{1,x}|_{H^s} |\eta|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon \delta_N \mu^{-1/2} |u_1|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} |\eta|_{H^{s-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

On the other hand, integrating by parts and using (2.2) and (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon N^{2(s-1)} |(P_N(u_1 \eta_x), P_N \eta)_{L^2}| &= \epsilon N^{2(s-1)} \left| -\frac{1}{2} (P_{\ll N} u_{1,x} P_N \eta, P_N \eta)_{L^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + ([P_N, P_{\ll N} u_1] \eta_x, P_N \eta)_{L^2} + (P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} u_1 \eta_x), P_N \eta)_{L^2} \right| \\ &\lesssim \epsilon \delta_N^2 \left(|u_{1,x}|_{L^\infty} |\eta|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + |u_1|_{H^{s+1}} |\eta|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim \epsilon \delta_N^2 \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} |u_1|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} |\eta|_{H^{s-1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

To control the third and fourth terms in the right-hand side of (3.24) we make use of (2.7)-(2.8) to get

$$N^{s-1} \left(|[P_N, \partial_x h_b] v|_{L_x^2} + |[P_N, h_b] v_x|_{L_x^2} \right) \lesssim \beta \delta_N |v|_{H^{s-1}}. \quad (3.26)$$

For the fifth term we note that (2.8) leads to

$$N^{2(s-1)} \left| ([P_N, h_b] \eta_x, P_N v)_{L^2} \right| \lesssim \delta_N^2 \beta |b_x|_{W^{|s-1|+\infty}} |\eta|_{H^{s-1}} |v|_{H^{s-1}}. \quad (3.27)$$

Now, according to (3.23) and Lemma 6.2 we infer that for $s > 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |v_t|_{H_\mu^{s-1}} &\lesssim \left| \partial_x \left(h_b \eta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} h_b (u_1 + u_2) v \right) \right|_{H^{s-2}} + \left| \partial_x h_b \eta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \partial_x h_b (u_1 + u_2) v \right|_{H^{s-2}} \\ &\lesssim |\eta|_{H^{s-1}} + \epsilon (|u_1|_{H^s} + |u_2|_{H^s}) |v|_{H^{s-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

(2.8) and (3.28) then lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \mu N^{2(s-1)} \left| \left(\partial_x ([P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] v_t), P_N v \right)_{L^2} \right| &= \mu N^{2(s-1)} \left| \left([P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] v_t, P_N v_x \right)_{L^2} \right| \\ &\lesssim \mu \delta_N^2 |\partial_x h_b^3|_{W^{s+\infty}} |v_t|_{H^{s-1}} |v_x|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N^2 \beta |v_t|_{H_\mu^{s-1}} |v|_{H_\mu^s} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N^2 \beta \left(|\eta|_{H^{s-1}} + \epsilon (|u_1|_{H^s} + |u_2|_{H^s}) |v|_{H^{s-1}} \right) |v|_{H_\mu^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

for any $s > 1/2$.

It remains to estimate the contribution of $[P_N, g_b] v_t$. Clearly (3.18) also holds when substituting u_t by v_t . Applying (2.7) and then (3.10) we eventually get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta N^{s-1} \mu | [P_N, \frac{1}{2} \partial_x (h_b^2 b_x) + \beta b_x^2] v_t |_{L^2} &\lesssim \delta_N \beta \mu |v_t|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim \delta_N \beta \sqrt{\mu} |v_t|_{H_\mu^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N \beta \sqrt{\mu} (|\eta|_{H^{s-1}} + \epsilon (|u_1|_{H^s} + |u_2|_{H^s}) |v|_{H^{s-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Also using again (2.7) and then (3.28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta N^{s-1} \left| [P_N, b] P_{\lesssim 1} v_t \right|_{L^2} &\lesssim \delta_N \beta |P_{\lesssim 1} v_t|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N \beta (|\eta|_{H^{s-1}} + \epsilon (|u_1|_{H^s} + |u_2|_{H^s}) |v|_{H^{s-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

whereas for the second one we use (2.8) and (3.28) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta N^{s-1} \left| [P_N, b] P_{\gg 1} v_t \right|_{L^2} &\lesssim \delta_N \beta |P_{\gg 1} v_t|_{H^{s-2}} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N \beta (|\eta|_{H^{s-1}} + \epsilon (|u_1|_{H^s} + |u_2|_{H^s}) |v|_{H^{s-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Gathering all the estimates above we eventually get

$$\begin{aligned} N^{2(s-1)} \frac{d}{dt} & \left(|P_N \eta|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_1 |P_N \eta_x|_{L^2}^2 + (\mathfrak{I}_b P_N v, P_N v)_{L^2_x} \right) \\ & \lesssim \delta_N^2 \mu^{-1} (1 + |u_1|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} + |u_2|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} + |\zeta_2|_{H^s}) (|v|_{H_\mu^s}^2 + |\eta|_{H^{s-1}}^2) \\ & \quad + \delta_N^2 |\nu_1 - \nu_2|^2 |\partial_t \zeta_{2,xx}|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Therefore integrating (3.30) on $(0, t)$ for $0 < t < T$ and summing in $N \geq 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mu, \nu_1}^{s-1}(\eta(t), v(t)) & \lesssim E_{\mu, \nu_1}^{s-1}(v(0), \eta(0)) + T |\nu_1 - \nu_2|^2 |\zeta_{2,t}|_{L_T^\infty H^{s+1}}^2 \\ & \quad + T \mu^{-1} (1 + |u_1|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}} + |u_2|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}} + |\zeta_2|_{L_T^\infty H^s}) (|v|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^s}^2 + |\eta|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

3.2. Local well-posedness of (1.1). We will prove the local well-posedness of (1.1) using a standard compactness method.

Proposition 3.1 (LWP and intermediate time existence for (1.1)). *Let $\mu > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $s > 1/2$ and $b \in C_b^{s+2+}(\mathbb{R})$. Assume moreover that (1.6) and Hypothesis 1 are satisfied. Then for any $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $T_0 = T_0(|\zeta_0|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}} + |u_0|_{H_\mu^{\frac{3}{2}+}})$ verifying*

$$T_0 \gtrsim (\varepsilon \vee \beta)^{-1} (1 + \mu^{-1/2} E_{\mu,0}^{\frac{1}{2}+}(\zeta_0, u_0)^{1/2})^{-1} \quad (3.32)$$

such that there exists a solution (ζ, u) of the Cauchy problem (1.1) in $C([0, T_0]; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$. This is the unique solution to the IVP (1.1) that belongs to $L^\infty([0, T_0]; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$.

Moreover,

$$|(\zeta, u)|_{L_{T_0}^\infty H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}} \lesssim |(\zeta_0, u_0)|_{H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}}$$

and for any $\alpha > 0$, the solution map $S : (\zeta_0, u_0) \rightarrow (\zeta, u)$ is continuous from $B(0, \alpha)_{H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}}$ into $C([0, T_0(\alpha)]; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$. Finally, let T^* be the maximal time of existence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ of the solution (ζ, u) emanating from $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for any $0 < T' < T^*$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & |\zeta|_{L_{T'}^\infty H^s}^2 + \mu |u|_{L_{T'}^\infty H^{s+1}}^2 \\ & \lesssim \exp\left(C T' (\varepsilon \vee \beta) \left(1 + |u|_{L_{T'}^\infty} + |u_x|_{L_{T'}^\infty} + (1 + \mu^{-1/2}) |\zeta|_{L_{T'}^\infty}\right)\right) E_\mu^s(\zeta_0, u_0) \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

for some universal constant $C > 0$.

Proof. • **Unconditional uniqueness.** Let (ζ_i, u_i) , $i = 1, 2$ be two solution of the IVP (1.1) that belong to $L^\infty([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1})$ for some $T > 0$. Setting $\eta = \zeta_1 - \zeta_2$ and $v = u_1 - u_2$, exactly the same calculations as in 3.1.2 on the difference of two solutions to (3.1) that lead to (3.31) but with $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = 0$ (note that all the computations are justified since for any N ,

$P_N u_i$ and $P_N \zeta_i$ belong to $C^1([0, T]; H^\infty)$ lead for $0 < T' < T$ to

$$\begin{aligned} |v|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^s}^2 + |\eta|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2 &\lesssim E_{\mu,0}^{s-1}(v(0), \eta(0)) \\ &+ T' \mu^{-1} (1 + |u_1|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}} + |u_2|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}} + |\zeta_2|_{L_T^\infty H^s}) \\ &\quad \times (|v|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^s}^2 + |\eta|_{L_T^\infty H^{s-1}}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

that proves the uniqueness in this class by taking

$$0 < T' < \mu(1 + |u_1|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}} + |u_2|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}} + |\zeta_2|_{L_T^\infty H^s})^{-1}$$

and repeating the argument a finite number of times.

• *Existence.* Let $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. We regularize the initial data by setting $\zeta_{0,n} = S_n \zeta$ and $u_{0,n} = S_n u_0$ where S_n is the Fourier multiplier by $\chi_{[-n,n]}$. It is straightforward to check that for $n \geq 1$, $(\zeta_{0,n}, u_{0,n}) \in (H^\infty(\mathbb{R}))^2$ with

$$|u_{0,n}|_{H^{s+r}} \leq n^r |u_0|_{H^s} \quad \text{and} \quad |\zeta_{0,n}|_{H^{s+r}} \leq n^r |\zeta_0|_{H^s} \quad \text{for any } r \geq 0. \quad (3.35)$$

Setting $\nu = \nu_n = n^{-5}$, we thus obtain that for any $s > 0$ and any $r \geq 0$

$$E_{\mu,\nu_n}^{s+r}(\zeta_{0,n}, u_{0,n}) = |\zeta_{0,n}|_{H^{s+r}}^2 + n^{-5} |\partial_x \zeta_{0,n}|_{H^{s+r}}^2 + |u_{0,n}|_{H_\mu^{s+r+1}}^2 \lesssim n^{2r} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta_0, u_0)$$

In particular setting, for $s > 1/2$,

$$T_s \sim \mu \left(1 + |u_0|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} + |\zeta_0|_{H^s}\right)^{-1} \leq T_0, \quad (3.36)$$

we deduce from Subsection 3.1 and (3.21), that we can construct a sequence $(\zeta_n, u_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset C^1([0, T_s]; (H^\infty(\mathbb{R}))^2)$ such that for any $n \geq 1$, (ζ_n, u_n) satisfies (3.1) with $\nu = \nu_n = n^{-5}$. Moreover, from (3.22) and (3.35) we infer that for $s > 1/2$ and $r \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} E_{\mu,\nu_n}^{s+r}(\zeta_n, u_n)(t) &\leq 2E_{\mu,\nu_n}^{s+r}(\zeta_{0,n}, u_{0,n}) \\ &\lesssim n^{2r} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta_0, u_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

On the other hand from the first equation in (3.1) and (2.4) we obtain that on $[0, T_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t \zeta_n|_{H^{s+2}} &\leq |(1 - \nu_n \partial_x^2)^{-1} \left((h_b u_n)_x + \epsilon (\zeta_n u_n)_x \right)|_{H^{s+2}} \\ &\leq |u_{n,x}|_{H^{s+2}} + |(u_n \zeta_n)_x|_{H^{s+2}} \\ &\lesssim |u_n|_{H^{s+3}} (1 + |\zeta_n|_{L^\infty}) + |\zeta_n|_{H^{s+3}} |u_n|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{1 + E_0^s(u_n, \zeta_n)} \sqrt{E_0^{s+3}(u_n, \zeta_n)} \lesssim n^3 (1 + E_{\mu,0}^s(u_0, \zeta_0)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

For $n_1 \geq n_2$ applying (3.31) with $(\zeta_i, u_i) = (\zeta_{n_i}, u_{n_i})$, $i = 1, 2$, using (3.37)-(3.38) and that $|\frac{1}{n_1^5} - \frac{1}{n_2^5}| \leq \frac{1}{n_2^5}$ we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_{n_1} - \zeta_{n_2}\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^{s-1}}^2 + \|u_{n_1} - u_{n_2}\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^s}^2 &\lesssim E_{\mu,0}^{s-1}(\zeta_{0,n_1} - \zeta_{0,n_2}, u_{0,n_1} - u_{0,n_2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n_2^4} \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

where

$$T_s \sim \mu \left(1 + |u_0|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} + |\zeta_0|_{H^s}\right)^{-1} \leq T_0.$$

This forces $((\zeta_n, u_n))_{n \geq 1}$ to be a Cauchy sequence in $C([0, T_s]; H^{s-1} \times H^s)$. Since according to (3.22), $((\zeta_n, u_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $C([0, T_0]; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$, it follows that there exists $(\zeta, u) \in L^\infty([0, T_s]; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$ such that

$$(\zeta_n, u_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\zeta, u) \quad \text{in } C([0, T_s]; H^{s'} \times H_\mu^{s'+1}), \quad \forall 0 < s' < s \quad (3.40)$$

that is a solution of the IVP (1.1).

• *Continuity in the strong norm* To prove the continuity of (ζ, u) in $H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}$ we use Bona-Smith arguments to check that the sequence $((\zeta_n, u_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is actually a Cauchy sequence in $C([0, T_s]; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$. Let $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq 1$ and set $(\eta, v) = (\zeta_{n_1} - \zeta_{n_2}, u_{n_1} - u_{n_2})$, $\nu_i = \nu_{n_i} = n_i^{-5}$. By the definition of (ζ_n, u_n) for any $0 < r < s$

$$E_{\mu, \nu_{n_2}}^{s-r}(\eta(0), v(0)) \leq n_2^{-2r} E_{\mu, 0}^s(\eta(0), v(0)) \quad (3.41)$$

Therefore, (3.39) together with (3.37) and (3.36) ensure that

$$\|\eta\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^{s-1}}^2 + \|v\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^s}^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{n_2} E_0^s(\eta(0), v(0)) + \frac{1}{n_2^4} \leq \left(\frac{1}{n_2} \gamma(n_2)\right)^2. \quad (3.42)$$

with $\gamma(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. On the other hand, (3.37) ensures that for any $r > 0$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_{\frac{1}{2}+}]} E_{\mu, \nu_{n_i}}^{s+r}(\zeta_{n_i}(t), u_{n_i}(t)) \lesssim n_i^{2r} E_{\mu, 0}^s(\zeta_0, u_0). \quad (3.43)$$

Now observing that (η, v) satisfies (3.23) with $(\zeta_i, u_i) = (\zeta_{n_i}, u_{n_i})$ and proceeding as for the obtention of (3.30) we eventually get

$$\begin{aligned} N^{2s} \frac{d}{dt} E_{\mu, \nu_{n_1}}^0(P_N \eta, P_N v) &\lesssim \delta_N^2 \mu^{-1} (1 + |u_1|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} + |u_2|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}) (|v|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}^2 + |\eta|_{H^s}^2) \\ &\quad + \delta_N N^s |P_N \eta|_{L^2} \left(|v|_{H^s} |\zeta_{n_2}|_{H^{s+1}} + |v|_{H^{s+1}} |\zeta_{n_2}|_{H^s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n_2^{-5} |\partial_t \zeta_{n_2, xx}|_{H^s} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

But in view of (3.37) and (3.42)

$$\|\zeta_{n_2}\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^{s+1}} \|v\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^s} \lesssim n_2 \frac{1}{n_2} \gamma(n_2) \xrightarrow{n_2 \rightarrow +\infty} 0$$

and (3.38) yields

$$\frac{1}{n_2^5} |\partial_t \zeta_{n_2}|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^{s+2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{n_2^2} (1 + E_{\mu, 0}^s(u_0, \zeta_0)).$$

Integrating in time and summing in N , it thus follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^s}^2 + \nu_{n_1} |\eta|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^{s+1}}^2 + |v|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}}^2 &\leq E_{\mu, \nu_{n_1}}^s(\eta(0), v(0)) + T_s \tilde{\gamma}(n_2) \\ &\quad + T_s \mu^{-1} (1 + |u_{n_1}|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}} + |u_{n_2}|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}} + |\zeta_{n_2}|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^s}) \\ &\quad \times (|\eta|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^s}^2 + |v|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

that proves that $((\zeta_n, u_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is indeed a Cauchy sequence in $C([0, T_s]; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$ and thus $(\zeta, u) \in C([0, T_s]; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$. Observe also that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mu, \nu_{n_1}}^s(\zeta_{0, n_1} - \zeta_{0, n_2}, u_{0, n_1} - u_{0, n_2}) &\xrightarrow{n_1 \rightarrow +\infty} E_{\mu, 0}^s(\zeta_0 - \zeta_{0, n_2}, u_0 - u_{0, n_2}) \\ &= E_{\mu, 0}^s((1 - S_{n_2})\zeta_0, (1 - S_{n_2})u_0), \end{aligned}$$

and thus letting $n_1 \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.45) we get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta - \zeta_n, u - u_n)(t) \lesssim E_{\mu,0}^s((1 - S_n)\zeta_0, (1 - S_n)u_0) + \tilde{\gamma}(n). \quad (3.46)$$

• *Continuity of the flow-map.* Let now $((\zeta_0^k, u_0^k))_{k \geq 1} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $(\zeta_0^k, u_0^k) \rightarrow (\zeta_0, u_0)$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. We want to prove that the emanating solution (ζ^k, u^k) to (1.1) tends to (ζ, u) in $C([0, T_s]; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$. We set $\zeta_{0,n}^k = S_n \zeta_0^k$ and $u_{0,n}^k = S_n u_0^k$ and we call $(\zeta_n^k, u_n^k) \in C([0, T_s]; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$ the associated solution to (3.1) with $\nu = \nu_n = n^{-5}$. By the triangle inequality, for k large enough, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta - \zeta^k, u - u^k)(t) &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta - \zeta_n, u - u_n)(t) \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta_n - \zeta_n^k, u_n - u_n^k)(t) \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta_n^k - \zeta^k, u_n^k - u^k)(t) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate (3.46) on the solution to (3.1) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta - \zeta_n, u - u_n)(t) + \sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta^k - \zeta_n^k, u^k - u_n^k)(t) \\ \lesssim E_{\mu,0}^s((1 - S_n)\zeta_0, (1 - S_n)u_0) \\ + E_{\mu,0}^s((1 - S_n)\zeta_0^k, (1 - S_n)u_0^k) + \gamma(n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

and thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta - \zeta_n, u - u_n)(t) + \sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta^k - \zeta_n^k, u^k - u_n^k)(t) \right) = 0. \quad (3.48)$$

Therefore, it remains to prove that for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta_n - \zeta_n^k, u_n - u_n^k)(t) = 0 \quad (3.49)$$

For this we first notice that (3.31) with $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T_s]} E_{\mu,0}^{s-1}(\zeta_n - \zeta_n^k, u_n - u_n^k)(t) &\lesssim E_{\mu,0}^{s-1}(\zeta_{0,n} - \zeta_{0,n}^k, u_{0,n} - u_{0,n}^k) \\ &\lesssim E_{\mu,0}^{s-1}(\zeta_0 - \zeta_0^k, u_0 - u_0^k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

and that (3.43) leads for $r \geq 0$ to

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_{\frac{1}{2}}]} E_{\mu,0}^{s+r}(\zeta_n^k(t), u_n^k(t)) \lesssim n^{2r} E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta_{0,n}^k, u_{0,n}^k) \lesssim n^{2r} (E_{\mu,0}^s(\zeta_0, u_0) + 1). \quad (3.51)$$

Now, setting $(\eta, v) = (\zeta_n - \zeta_n^k, u_n - u_n^k)$, observing that (η, v) satisfies (3.23) with $(\zeta_1, u_1) = (\zeta_n, u_n)$, $(\zeta_2, u_2) = (\zeta_n^k, u_n^k)$ and $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = n^{-5}$ and proceeding as in (3.44) we get

$$\begin{aligned} N^{2s} \frac{d}{dt} E_{\mu, \nu_n}^0(P_N \eta, P_N v) &\lesssim \delta_N^2 \mu^{-1} (1 + |u_n|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} + |u_n^k|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}) (|v|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}^2 + |\eta|_{H^s}^2) \\ &\quad + \delta_N N^s |P_N \eta|_{L^2} \left(|v|_{H^s} |\zeta_n^k|_{H^{s+1}} + |v|_{H^{s+1}} |\zeta_n^k|_{H^s} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

But (3.50)-(3.51) ensure that

$$|v|_{H^s} |\zeta_n^k|_{H^{s+1}} \lesssim n \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[(E_{\mu, 0}^s(\zeta_0, u_0) + 1) E_{\mu, 0}^{s-1}(\zeta_0 - \zeta_0^k, u_0 - u_0^k) \right]^{1/2}.$$

Therefore integrating in time and summing in $N \geq 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^s}^2 + \|v\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}}^2 &\lesssim E_0^s(\eta(0), v(0)) + T_s \mu^{-1} n^2 (E_0^s(\zeta_0, u_0) + 1) \\ &\quad \times E_0^{s-1}(\zeta_0 - \zeta_0^k, u_0 - u_0^k) + T_s \mu^{-1} (1 + \|u_n\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^{s+1}} \\ &\quad + \|u_n^k\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^{s+1}} + \|\zeta_n^k\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^s}) (\|\eta\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}^2 + \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

which ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H^s}^2 + \|v\|_{L_{T_s}^\infty H_\mu^{s+1}}^2 &\lesssim E_0^s(\eta(0), v(0)) + T_s \mu^{-1} n^2 (E_0^s(\zeta_0, u_0) + 1) \\ &\quad \times E_0^{s-1}(\zeta_0 - \zeta_0^k, u_0 - u_0^k), \end{aligned}$$

and proves (3.49). Combining (3.48) and (3.49), we thus obtain the continuity of the flow map in $C([0, T_s]; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$. Hence the IVP (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ with a minimal time of existence T_s that satisfies (3.36). Estimates (3.21)-(3.22) then force

$$T_s \gtrsim (\epsilon \vee \beta)^{-1} (1 + \mu^{-1/2} E_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{1}{2}+}(\zeta_0, u_0)^{1/2})^{-1}.$$

Finally, let $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}$ and T_s^* be the maximal time of existence in $H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}$ of the emanating solution (ζ, u) . Then proceeding exactly as in the obtention of (3.20) in the preceding subsection we get for any $0 < t_0 < t_0 + \Delta t < T' < T_s^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty([t_0, t_0 + \Delta t]; H^s)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty([t_0, t_0 + \Delta t]; H_\mu^{s+1})}^2 &\lesssim E_\mu^s(\zeta(t_0), u(t_0)) \\ &\quad + \Delta t (\epsilon \vee \beta) \left(1 + \|u\|_{L_{T'_x}^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L_{T'_x}^\infty} + (1 + \mu^{-1/2}) \|\zeta\|_{L_{T'_x}^\infty} \right) \\ &\quad \times (\|\zeta\|_{L^\infty([t_0, t_0 + \Delta t]; H^s)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty([t_0, t_0 + \Delta t]; H_\mu^{s+1})}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

Therefore, for $\Delta t \sim (\epsilon \vee \beta)^{-1} \left(1 + \|u\|_{L_{T'_x}^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L_{T'_x}^\infty} + (1 + \mu^{-1/2}) \|\zeta\|_{L_{T'_x}^\infty} \right)^{-1}$, it holds

$$\|\zeta\|_{L^\infty([t_0, t_0 + \Delta t]; H^s)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty([t_0, t_0 + \Delta t]; H_\mu^{s+1})}^2 \lesssim E_{\mu, 0}^s(\zeta(t_0), u(t_0))$$

This proves (3.33) by dividing $[0, T']$ in small intervals of length

$$\Delta t \sim \min \left[T', (\epsilon \vee \beta)^{-1} \left(1 + \|u\|_{L_{T'_x}^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L_{T'_x}^\infty} + (1 + \mu^{-1/2}) \|\zeta\|_{L_{T'_x}^\infty} \right)^{-1} \right].$$

□

4. A PRIORI ESTIMATES AND GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF STRONG SOLUTIONS TO (1.4)

In this section, we establish the global existence for any fixed μ, ϵ and $\beta \geq 0$ of (1.4) always under hypothesis $\varepsilon = O(\mu)$ and $\beta = O(\mu)$. This leads to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Recall that ζ and u are solution of system (1.4)

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_t + (hu)_x & = 0, \\ u_t + \zeta_x + \varepsilon uu_x - \frac{\mu}{3} u_{txx} & = 0. \end{cases}$$

This system is simpler than (1.1) and thus proceeding in the same way as in the preceding section it is clear that we can get a LWP result. Before stating this result, we would like to make some remarks. First note that this time Hypothesis 1 is not needed on β since $1 + \mu\mathcal{T}[h_b]$ is replaced by $(1 - \mu\partial_x^2)$ that does not depend on β and has an algebraic inverse that is continuous from $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. Second, we do not need to multiply (1.4)₂ by h or h_b here since we do not attempt to get a long time existence result (our goal is to prove a global existence result by deriving suitable a priori bounds thus a local existence result is sufficient). Therefore looking at the Bona-Smith approximation system associated with (1.4) and using the trivial estimates $N^{2s}\beta|(P_N(bu)_x, P_N\zeta)| \lesssim \beta\delta_N^2|bu|_{H^{s+1}}|\zeta|_{H^s} \lesssim C(|b|_{H^{s+1}})\beta\mu^{-1/2}|u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}|\zeta|_{H^s}$ and

$$N^{2s}|(P_N\zeta_x, P_Nu)| \lesssim \delta_N^2\mu^{-1/2}|u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}|\zeta|_{H^s}$$

we can get the following a priori estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in (0, T)} E_{\mu, \nu}^s(\zeta(t), u(t)) &\lesssim E_{\mu, \nu}^s(\zeta_0, u_0) + \\ &+ T \left[\mu^{-1/2} + (\varepsilon \vee \beta) \left(1 + |u|_{L_{T_x}^\infty} + |u_x|_{L_{T_x}^\infty} + (1 + \mu^{-1/2})|\zeta|_{L_{T_x}^\infty} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \sup_{t \in (0, T)} E_{\mu, \nu}^s(\zeta(t), u(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for any $0 < T < T_{s, \mu, \nu}^\infty$, where $T_{s, \mu, \nu}^\infty$ denotes the maximal time of existence solution (ζ, u) to the Bona-Smith approximation of (1.4) in $(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))^2$. This estimate combining with a similar estimate on the difference of two solutions in $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^s(\mathbb{R})$ and the same types of consideration as in the preceding section lead to the following LWP result for (1.4).

Proposition 4.1 (LWP for (1.4)). *Let $\mu > 0, \varepsilon > 0, \beta > 0, s > 1/2$ and $b \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for any $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $T_0 = T_0(|\zeta_0|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}} + |u_0|_{H_\mu^{\frac{3}{2}+}})$ verifying*

$$T_0 \gtrsim \left[\mu^{-1/2} + (\varepsilon \vee \beta) \left(1 + \mu^{-1/2} (|\zeta_0|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}} + |u_0|_{H_\mu^{\frac{3}{2}+}}) \right) \right]^{-1}$$

such that there exists a solution (ζ, u) of the Cauchy problem (1.4) in $C([0, T_0]; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$ that verifies estimate (4.1). This is the unique solution to the IVP (1.4) that belongs to $L^\infty(]0, T_0[; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H_\mu^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$.

To obtain the uniform estimates, we proceed as in [18] by constructing a convex positive entropy for the associated hyperbolic system. To do so, we transform slightly the system (1.4) by multiplying the first equation (1.4)₁ by

ϵ and rewriting the system in terms of (h, u) as new unknown. Unfortunately this operation will harmlessly influence the uniformity of the estimation on u , with respect of ϵ . However, the obtained estimation is enough to deduce the globalism of our solution.

System (1.4) becomes:

$$\begin{cases} h_t + (\epsilon hu)_x & = 0, \\ u_t + (\frac{1}{\epsilon}h + \frac{\epsilon}{2}u^2)_x - \frac{\mu}{3}u_{txx} + \frac{\beta}{\epsilon}b_x & = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

The hyperbolic part of the system is given by

$$\begin{cases} h_t + (\epsilon hu)_x & = 0, \\ u_t + (\frac{1}{\epsilon}h + \frac{\epsilon}{2}u^2)_x & = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Let us we recall the notion of entropy for a hyperbolic system. Consider the system

$$V_t + f(V)_x = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where $V = V(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a smooth function. We say that a pair of functions $\eta, q : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an entropy-entropy flux pair if all smooth solutions of (4.4) satisfy the additional conservation law

$$\eta(V)_t + q(V)_x = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

which can also be written

$$\nabla \eta V_t + \nabla q V_x = 0.$$

On the other hand, multiplying (4.4) by $\nabla \eta$, we obtain

$$\nabla \eta V_t + \nabla \eta \nabla f V_x = 0.$$

This ensures that the compatibility condition

$$\nabla \eta \nabla f = \nabla q, \quad (4.6)$$

forces any smooth solutions of (4.4) to satisfy the additional conservation law (4.5). We recall $h = 1 + \epsilon \zeta - \beta b$, and defining $\sigma(h) = h \ln h$, $\sigma_L(h) = \sigma(1) + \sigma'(1)(h - 1) = h - 1$ and $\sigma_0 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\sigma_0(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) - \sigma_L(x) = x \ln x - x + 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Note that σ_0 is a convex function on $[0, +\infty[$ and enjoys the following property.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $s > 1/2$. The functional*

$$\zeta \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0(1 + \epsilon \zeta - \beta b) dx$$

is well defined and continuous from the set

$$\Theta := \{ \zeta \in H^s(\mathbb{R}), 1 + \epsilon \zeta - \beta b \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \}.$$

of $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ into \mathbb{R} . Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $\zeta \in \Theta$,

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0(1 + \epsilon \zeta - \beta b) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\epsilon^2 \zeta^2 + \beta^2 b^2) dx. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. First it is easy to check that $\sigma_0 \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ . Moreover, as $\sigma_0(1+x) \sim x \ln x$ at $+\infty$ and $\sigma_0(1+x) \sim x^2$ near the origin, there exists $M > 1$ and $c_1^M, c_2^M > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (c_1^M)^{-1}x^2 &\geq \sigma_0(1+x) \geq c_1^M x^2 & \text{for } -1 \leq x < M \\ \text{and } (c_2^M)^{-1}x^2 &\geq \sigma_0(1+x) \geq c_2^M x \ln x \geq x & \text{for } x \geq M. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

This clearly leads to (4.7) and proves that $\zeta \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0(1+\zeta - \beta b) dx$ is well defined for $\zeta \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ when $b \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Now, since $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > 1/2$, the continuity of $\zeta \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0(1+\varepsilon\zeta - \beta b) dx$ from Θ into \mathbb{R} , as soon as $b \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, follows from (4.1) together with the Lebesgue convergence theorem. \square

We introduce the Orlicz class associated to the function $\sigma_0(1+\cdot - \beta b)$

$$\Lambda_{\sigma_0} := \left\{ \zeta \text{ measurable} / \varepsilon\zeta \geq -1 + \beta b \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta(x) - \beta b) dx < +\infty \right\}, \quad (4.9)$$

with the notation $|\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta(x) - \beta b(x)) dx$.

Now, we shall establish a uniform crucial entropic estimate for our solution.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s \times H_{\mu}^{s+1}$, $b \in H^{s+1}$ for $s > 1/2$, and such that $1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 - \beta b > 0$. Then, the solution $(\zeta, u) \in C([0, T_0]; H^s \times H^{s+1})$ to (1.4), constructed in Proposition 4.1, satisfies $1 + \varepsilon\zeta - \beta b > 0$ in $[0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\zeta \in L^\infty([0, T_0]; \Lambda_{\sigma_0})$ and the following inequality holds true:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}|u(t)|_{H_{\mu}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta(t, x) - \beta b(x)) dx \leq \\ \left(|u_0|_{H_{\mu}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0(x) - \beta b(x)) dx + \left(\frac{\beta}{2\varepsilon}\right)^2 |b_x|_{L^2}^2 \right) e^t, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

$\forall t \in [0, T_0].$

Proof. We first assume that $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in (H^\infty(\mathbb{R})) \times H^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

According to Proposition 4.1, (1.4) has got a unique solution $(\zeta, u) \in C([0, T_0]; H^\infty \times H^\infty)$ emanating from (ζ_0, u_0) , where T_0 only depends on $|\zeta_0|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}} + |u_0|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}$.

We return now to the system (4.2)

$$\begin{cases} h_t + (\varepsilon u h)_x & = 0, \\ u_t + (\frac{1}{\varepsilon} h + \varepsilon u^2/2)_x & = \frac{\mu}{3} u_{xxt} - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} b_x, \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

with initial data $h(0, x) = h_0(x) = 1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0(x) - \beta b(x)$ and $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$. The associated hyperbolic system becomes

$$\begin{cases} h_t + (\varepsilon u h)_x & = 0, \\ u_t + (\frac{1}{\varepsilon} h + \varepsilon u^2/2)_x & = 0. \end{cases}$$

As in (4.4), let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by

$$f(h, u) = (\varepsilon h u, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h + \varepsilon u^2/2).$$

Let $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a pair of functions satisfying the compatibility condition (4.6). Then, setting $V = (h, u)^T$, the solution of (4.11) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(h, u)_t + q(h, u)_x &= \nabla \eta(V) V_t + \nabla q(V) V_x \\ &= \nabla \eta \left(V_t + (f(V))_x \right) \\ &= \nabla \eta(V) \left(0, \frac{\mu}{3} u_{xxt} - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} b_x \right)^T \\ &= \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial u} \left(\frac{\mu}{3} u_{xxt} - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} b_x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Let η be the function of the form

$$\eta(h, u) = u^2/2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \alpha(h),$$

for some function α . Thus, (4.12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(h, u)_t + q(h, u)_x &= \frac{\mu}{3} u_{xxt} u - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} b_x u. \\ &= -\frac{\mu}{3} (u_x^2/2)_t + \frac{\mu}{3} (u u_{xt})_x - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} b_x u. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

In order to get an a priori estimate on solutions to (4.11), we have to choose α to be a convex function and η to be a convex and positive function. Since $u \mapsto u^2/2$ is convex and positive, it actually suffices to ask α to be also convex and positive. At this stage, it is worth noticing that Lemma 6.3 in the Appendix ensures that $\inf_{[0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}} 1 + \varepsilon \zeta - \beta b > 0$.

We set $\alpha(h) = \sigma(h) = h \ln h$ that is a convex function on $]0, +\infty[$. It is straightforward to check that with this α , η satisfies the compatibility condition (4.6) with the entropy flux given by

$$q(h, u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \alpha(h) u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h u + \varepsilon u^3/3.$$

Thus we have found an entropy which is convex but not positive. To obtain a positive convex entropy $\tilde{\eta}$, it suffices to subtract from α its linear part at 1 that leads to

$$\tilde{\alpha}(h) = \alpha(h) - \alpha'(1)(h - 1) = h \ln h - h + 1 = \sigma_0(h),$$

so that the entropy function becomes

$$\tilde{\eta}(h, u) = u^2/2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sigma_0(h). \quad (4.14)$$

Note that, in order for (4.6) to hold, the entropy flux function q has to be modified consequently and becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}(h, u) &= q(h, u) - q(1, 0) - \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \alpha'(1), 0 \right) [f(h, u) - f(1, 0)], \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \alpha(h) u + \varepsilon \frac{u^3}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

where we choose the constant so that $\tilde{q}(1, 0) = 0$. Now, by omitting the tilde, the new η and q satisfy the equation (4.13) which will be the starting point of our calculations. As in [18], we consider the space time square

$$R = \{(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 / 0 \leq s \leq t, -N \leq x \leq N\}, \text{ for } N > 0.$$

Then integrating equation (4.13) over R and using the divergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-N}^N (\eta(h, u)(t, x) - \eta(h, u)(0, x)) dx + \int_0^t (q(h, u)(s, N) - q(h, u)(s, -N)) ds \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_{-N}^N b_x(x) u(s, x) dx ds - \frac{\mu}{3} \int_{-N}^N (u_x^2/2(t, x) - u_x^2/2(0, x)) dx \\ & \quad + \frac{\mu}{3} \int_0^t (u u_{xt}(s, N) - u u_{xt}(s, -N)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The regularity on ζ, u, b and the fact that, by lemma (4.1), $\sigma_0(h(t)) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t \in [0, T_0]$ we Letting N go to $+\infty$ in the above equality, we can thus use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(h, u)(t, x) dx + \frac{\mu}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{u_x^2}{2}(t, x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(h, u)(0, x) + \frac{\mu}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{u_x^2}{2}(0, x) dx - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} b_x(x) u(s, x) dx ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Equality (4.15) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & |u(t)|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{3} |u_x(t)|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(h(t, x)) dx \\ & \leq |u_0|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{3} |u_{0x}|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(h_0(x)) dx \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 |b_x|_{L^2}^2 t + \int_0^t |u(s)|_{L^2}^2 ds \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

This implies that $y(t) = |u(t)|_{L^2}^2$ verifies the following differential inequality :

$$y(t) \leq \phi(t) + \int_0^t y(s) ds,$$

where ϕ is define by :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) &= |u_0|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{3} |u_{0x}|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(h_0(x)) dx + \left(\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 |b_x|_{L^2}^2 t \\ &= Z_0 + \left(\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 |b_x|_{L^2}^2 t \end{aligned}$$

Now using Gronwall's lemma we get :

$$\int_0^t y(s) ds \leq y(0)(e^t - 1) + Z_0(e^t - t - 1) + \left(\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 |b_x|_{L^2}^2 (e^t - \frac{t^2}{2} - t - 1).$$

Replace this bound in (4.16) and a simple majorations we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{3}|u_x(t)|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(h(t, x)) dx \\ \leq \left(2Z_0 + \left(\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 |b_x|_{L^2}^2 \right) e^t. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

This proves (4.10) for $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times H^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. The result for $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in (H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$ follows by using the continuity of the flow-map together with Lemma 4.1. \square

Next, we state the global well-posedness result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $b \in H^{s+1}$, $s > 1/2$, such that $1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 - \beta b > 0$. Then the unique solution (ζ, u) to (1.4) constructed in Proposition 3.1 can be extended for all positive times and thus belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^s \times H^{s+1})$. Moreover, for any $T > 0$ there exists a constants $C_{T,s} > 0$ only depending on $|\zeta_0|_{H^s}$, $|u_0|_{H^{s+1}}$, $|b|_{H^{s+1}}$ and the parameters μ , ε and β such that*

$$|\zeta|_{L^\infty([0,T]; H^s)} + |u|_{L^\infty([0,T]; H^{s+1})} \leq C_{T,s} \quad (4.18)$$

and the flow-map $S : (\zeta_0, u_0) \longrightarrow (\zeta, u)$ is continuous from $H^s \times H^{s+1}$ into $C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$.

Proof. According to (4.1) and the local well-posedness result, it suffices to prove that for any $T > 0$ there exists $c_T > 0$ only depending on T , $|\zeta_0|_{H^s}$, $|u_0|_{H^{s+1}}$, $|b|_{H^{s+1}}$ and the parameters μ , ε and β , such that if the solution (ζ, u) to (1.4) belongs to $C([0, T]; H^s \times H^{s+1})$ then

$$|\zeta|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} + |u_x|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})} \leq c_T. \quad (4.19)$$

We mainly follow the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [2]. Let N be a positive odd integer, we start by deriving an estimate on $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\zeta(t)|_{L^N}$. For this we multiply the first equation of (1.4) by ζ^N and integrate with respect to x , to get

$$\frac{1}{N+1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N+1} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^N ((1 - \beta b)u)_x - \varepsilon \frac{N}{N+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N+1} u_x.$$

Therefore integrating the above identity on $(0, t)$, using that $N+1$ is an even integer, we get

$$\frac{1}{N+1} |\zeta(t)|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1} = \frac{1}{N+1} |\zeta_0|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1} - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^N ((1 - \beta b)u)_x - \varepsilon \frac{N}{N+1} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N+1} u_x. \quad (4.20)$$

Now, we make use of the fact that for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ it holds $(1 - \frac{\mu}{3} \partial_x^2)^{-1} f = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\mu}} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{\mu}} |x|} * f = K_\mu * f$ and $\partial_x^2 (1 - \frac{\mu}{3} \partial_x^2)^{-1} f = -\frac{3}{\mu} f + \frac{3}{\mu} (1 - \frac{\mu}{3} \partial_x^2)^{-1} f$.

Differentiating the second equation of (1.4) with respect to x we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tx} &= \frac{3}{\mu}\zeta - \frac{3}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{\mu}(\cdot - z) \left(\zeta - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}b\right)(z) dz + \frac{3\varepsilon}{\mu} \frac{u^2}{2} - \frac{3\varepsilon}{2\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{\mu}(\cdot - z) u^2(z) dz \\ &\quad - \frac{3\beta}{\mu\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{\mu}(\cdot - z) b(z) dz \\ &= \frac{3}{\mu}\zeta + f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

We would like to estimate the L^{∞} and the L^2 -norms of the f_i . The terms with u in the above right-hand side can be easily estimate in the following way

$$\left|f_2 + f_3\right|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{3}{\mu} |u|_{L^{\infty}}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} |K_{\mu}(\cdot)|_{L^1}\right) \leq \frac{3}{\mu} |u|_{H^1}^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left|f_2 + f_3\right|_{L^2} &\leq \frac{3}{2\mu} |u|_{L^4}^2 + \frac{3}{2\mu} |K_{\mu}(\cdot) * u^2|_{L^2} \leq \frac{3}{2\mu} (|u|_{L^4}^2 + |K_{\mu}|_{L^1} |u^2|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim \frac{3}{\mu} |u|_{L^4}^2 \lesssim \frac{3}{\mu} |u|_{H^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have used that $|K_{\mu}|_{L^1} = 1$. To estimate f_1 we will make use of (4.8). Denoting by $A(t)$ the measurable set of \mathbb{R} defined by

$$A(t) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}, / \varepsilon\zeta(t, z) - \beta b(z) \geq M\},$$

with $M > 1$ satisfying (4.8), Young's convolution estimates, (4.8) and the fact that $|K_{\mu}|_{L^{\infty}} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{\mu}}$ lead to

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{\mu} * (\zeta - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}b)|_{L^{\infty}} &\leq \left|K_{\mu} * ((\zeta - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}b)\chi_{A^c})\right|_{L^{\infty}} + \left|K_{\mu} * ((\zeta - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}b)\chi_A)\right|_{L^{\infty}} \\ &\leq |K_{\mu}|_{L^1} |(\zeta - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}b)\chi_{A^c}|_{L^{\infty}} + |K_{\mu}|_{L^{\infty}} |(\zeta - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}b)\chi_A|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}M + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\mu}} |\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$|f_1|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu}M + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu\sqrt{\mu}} |\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}}.$$

In the same way, since $|K_{\mu}|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{4}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{\mu} * (\zeta - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}b)|_{L^2} &\leq |K_{\mu}|_{L^1} |(\zeta - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}b)\chi_{A^c}|_{L^2} + |K_{\mu}|_{L^2} |(\zeta - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}b)\chi_A|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{C_1^M \varepsilon} |\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} (\frac{3}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{4}} |\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon\sqrt{\mu}} |\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

and therefore

$$|f_1|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu\sqrt{\mu}} |\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}}.$$

The last term in (4.21) is estimate by, $|K_{\mu} * b|_{L^{\infty}} \leq |b|_{L^{\infty}}$ and $|K_{\mu} * b|_{L^2} \leq |b|_{L^2}$ And then

$$|f_4|_{L^{\infty}} + |f_4|_{L^2} \leq \frac{3\beta}{\varepsilon\mu} (|b|_{L^{\infty}} + |b|_{L^2})$$

Integrating (4.21) on $[0, t]$ we get

$$u_x(t) = u_{0,x} + \frac{3}{\mu} \int_0^t \zeta(s) ds + F \quad (4.23)$$

where, according to the above estimates and Proposition 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} |F(t)|_{L^\infty} + |F(t)|_{L^2} &\lesssim t \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu} + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon\mu} |b|_{H^1} \right) + \\ &\quad (e^t - 1) \left(\frac{1}{\mu^2} |u_0|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{\mu\sqrt{\mu}} |\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} + \left(\frac{\beta}{2\varepsilon\sqrt{\mu}} \right)^2 |b_x|_{L^2}^2 \right), \\ &\leq C(\mu, \varepsilon, \beta) (e^t - 1) \left(1 + |u_0|_{H^1}^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. |\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} + |b|_{H^1}^2 \right), \\ &\quad \forall t \in [0, T[. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of Holder's inequality, this enables to bound the second term of the right-hand side member to (4.20) in the following way :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^N(s) ((1 - \beta b)u)_x(s) ds \right| &= \left| - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^N(s) (1 - \beta b)u_x(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^N(s) (1 - \beta b)_x u(s) ds \right| \\ &= \left| - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t \zeta^N(s) (1 - \beta b) (u_{0,x} + F) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^N(s) (1 - \beta b) \int_0^s \zeta(\tau) d\tau ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^N(s) (1 - \beta b)_x u(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq (1 + \beta |b|_{W^{1,\infty}}) \left(|u_{0,x}|_{L^{N+1}} + |F|_{L^{N+1}} + |u|_{L^{N+1}} \right) \int_0^t |\zeta|_{L^{N+1}}^N(s) ds \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta |b|_{L^\infty}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t |\zeta(s)|^N ds \int_0^t |\zeta(s)| ds \\ &\lesssim (1 + \beta |b|_{W^{1,\infty}}) \left(|u_{0,x}|_{L^{N+1}} + |F|_{L^2} + |F|_{L^\infty} + |u|_{L^{N+1}} \right) \int_0^t (1 + |\zeta(s)|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1}) ds \\ &\quad + t(1 + \beta |b|_{L^\infty}) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\zeta(s)|^{N+1} ds \\ &\leq C(\mu, \varepsilon, \beta) (e^t - 1) (1 + |b|_{W^{1,\infty}}) \left(1 + |b|_{H^1}^2 + |u_0|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}^2 + |\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \int_0^t |\zeta|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1}(s) ds \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where in the penultimate step we perform Holder's inequalities in time.

Finally, since $\frac{3}{\mu}\zeta \geq -\frac{3}{\varepsilon\mu}(1 - \beta b)$ on $[0, t]$, (4.23) leads to

$$u_x(t) \geq u_{0,x} - \frac{3}{\varepsilon\mu} (1 - \beta b)t + F.$$

Since $N + 1$ is even, this enables to control the last term of the right-hand side member to (4.20) in the following way :

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{N}{N+1} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N+1} u_x &\leq \left(t + |u_{0,x}|_{L^\infty} + |F|_{L^\infty(]0,t[\times \mathbb{R})} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N+1} \\ &\leq C(\mu, \varepsilon, \beta) e^t \left(1 + |u_0|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}^2 + |\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} + |b|_{H^1}^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t |\zeta|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Gathering (4.20) and (4.24)-(4.25), we infer that $\gamma(t) = \left(\int_0^t |\zeta(s)|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{N+1}}$ satisfies the following differential inequality on $]0, T[$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \gamma^{N+1}(t) &\lesssim |\zeta_0|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1} + e^t (N+1) (1 + |b|_{W^{1,\infty}}) \left(1 + |u_0|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}^2 + |\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} + |b|_{H^1}^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \gamma^{N+1}(t) \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Making use of Sobolev inequalities and (4.7), Gronwall's inequality ensures that there exists $\tilde{C}_T > 0$ only depending on T , $|u_0|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}$, $|\zeta_0|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}}$ and $|b|_{W^{1,\infty} \cap H^1}$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\gamma^{N+1}(t) \lesssim \exp\left((N+1)\tilde{C}_T\right) (1 + |\zeta_0|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1}) .$$

Then re-injecting this estimate in (4.26) we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T[} |\zeta(t)|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1} \lesssim \tilde{C}_T (N+1) \exp\left((N+1)\tilde{C}_T\right) (1 + |\zeta_0|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1})$$

which leads to

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T[} |\zeta(t)|_{L^{N+1}} \leq C_T ,$$

where C_T and $\tilde{C}_T > 0$ only depend on T , $|u_0|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}$, $|\zeta_0|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}}$ and $|b|_{W^{1,\infty} \cap H^1}$. Letting $N \rightarrow +\infty$ this proves the estimate on the first term in (4.19). Finally, the estimate on the second term in (4.19) follows directly from the first one together with (4.23).

The continuity of the flow-map follows directly from Proposition 3.1. \square

Finally we can state the following theorem as a consequence of the previous results together with Lemma 6.3 in the appendix.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $s > 1/2$ and $b \in H^{s+1}$. For $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}$ such that $1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 - \beta b > 0$, the Boussinesq system (1.4) has a solution (ζ, u) in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{s-1} \times H_\mu^s)$. This solution satisfies $1 + \varepsilon\zeta(t) - \beta b > 0$, for any $t \geq 0$, and is the unique solution of (1.4) that belongs to $L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$.*

For any $T > 0$, the flow-map $S : (\zeta_0, u_0) \longrightarrow (\zeta, u)$ is continuous from $H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1}$ into $C([0, T]; H^s \times H_\mu^{s+1})$.

5. GLOBAL ENTROPY SOLUTIONS OF THE BOUSSINESQ SYSTEM

In this section, we study the existence of weak solutions for the Boussinesq system (1.4) for initial condition $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in \Lambda_{\sigma_0} \times H_{\mu}^1$ with $1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 + \beta b > 0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R} . To do so, we suppose $b \in H^1$, we regularize the initial data by a mollifiers sequence $(\rho_n)_n \subset D(\mathbb{R})$ by setting $b_n = \rho_n * b$, $\zeta_{0,n} = \rho_n * \zeta_0$ and $u_{0,n} = \rho_n * u_0$, where $\rho_n(\cdot) = n\rho(n\cdot)$, $\rho \in D(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \text{ supp } \rho \subset [0, 1] \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho dx = 1.$$

Note that $(u_{0,n})_n \subset H^s$ and it is bounded in H^1 with $\|u_{0,n}\|_{H_{\mu}^1} \leq \|u_0\|_{H_{\mu}^1}$ and $u_{0,n} \rightarrow u_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. For $\zeta_{0,n}$, we first notice that $\zeta_0 \in \Lambda_{\sigma_0}$ and $1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 - \beta b > 0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R} . Since $1 + \varepsilon\zeta_{0,n} - \beta b_n = \rho_n * (1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 - \beta b)$, it follows that $1 + \varepsilon\zeta_{0,n} - \beta b_n > 0$ on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, using (4.8) and proceeding exactly as (4.22) by replacing $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{\mu}}e^{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{\mu}}|\cdot|}$ by ρ_n it is straightforward to check that $\zeta_{0,n} \in L^2$ with $|\zeta_{0,n}|_{L^2} \leq \frac{c_n}{\varepsilon}|\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}|b|_{L^2}$ where c_n depends on $\|\rho_n\|_{L^2}$. Similarly, we can verify that $\zeta_{0,n} \in H^s$, for $s \geq 0$.

Now, consider (ζ_n, u_n) the solution of (1.4) emanating from $(\zeta_{0,n}, u_{0,n})$ given by Proposition 4.3. we will prove that (ζ_n, u_n) has a subsequence which converges to a weak solution of the Boussinesq system (1.4) with initial data (ζ_0, u_0) . Note that (ζ_n, u_n) satisfies the entropy estimate (4.10) which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}|u_n(t)|_{H_{\mu}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b_n(x))dx \leq \\ \left(|u_{0,n}|_{H_{\mu}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta_{0,n}(x) - \beta b_n(x))dx + \left(\frac{\beta}{2\varepsilon}\right)^2 |b_{n,x}|_{L^2}^2 \right) e^t, \\ \forall t \in [0, T_0]. \end{aligned}$$

The H^1 -convergence of $(u_{0,n})$ towards u_0 ensures that the first term of the above right-hand side converges to $\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2$. For the second term one has to work a little more. We follow [18] and use the convexity of σ_0 and Jensen inequality to get that

$$\sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta_{0,n} - \beta b_{0,n}) = \sigma_0\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 - \beta b)\rho_n(\cdot - z)dz\right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 - \beta b)\rho_n(\cdot - z)dz.$$

Therefore, integrating on \mathbb{R} , using Fubini and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n = 1$, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta_{0,n} - \beta b_{0,n})dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 - \beta b)dx.$$

We thus are lead to the following uniform estimate on \mathbb{R}_+ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}|u_n(t)|_{H_{\mu}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b_n(x))dx \leq \\ \left(|u_0|_{H_{\mu}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0(x) - \beta b(x))dx + \left(\frac{\beta}{2\varepsilon}\right)^2 |b_x|_{L^2}^2 \right) e^t, \\ \forall t \in [0, T_0]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

In the sequel we will make a constant use of the following lemma that can be easily deduced from (4.8) and (5.1).

Lemma 5.1. *let $\chi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\zeta_n(t, x) \chi(x)| dx \leq c, \quad (5.2)$$

for all t , where c is independent of n .

Proof. Let M be defined as in (4.8). Then by (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\zeta_n(t, x)| |\chi(x)| dx &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{x/-1 < \varepsilon \zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b_n(x) \leq M\}} |\varepsilon \zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b_n(x)| |\chi(x)| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{x/\varepsilon \zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b(x) \geq M\}} |\varepsilon \zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b_n(x)| |\chi(x)| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |b_n(x)| |\chi(x)| dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |b_n|_{L^\infty} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi(x)| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\chi|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0 (1 + \varepsilon \zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b_n) dx \leq c. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

□

Proposition 5.1. *Consider the sequence (ζ_n, u_n) constructed above for $(\zeta_0, u_0) \in \Lambda_{\sigma_0} \times H_\mu^1$ (in particular $1 + \varepsilon \zeta_0 - \beta b > 0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}). Then there exists a subsequence $((\zeta_{n_k}, u_{n_k}))_k$ and $(\zeta, u) \in L_{loc}^1([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}) \times (L_{loc}^\infty([0, +\infty[, H_\mu^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}))$ such that $(\zeta_{n_k})_k$ converges weakly to ζ in L^1 on every compact of $]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ and $(u_{n_k})_k$ converges weakly-* in $L_{loc}^\infty([0, +\infty[, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ and strongly, for any $T > 0$, in $C([0, T], C(\mathbb{R}))$ (and then in $C([0, T], L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}))$) to u .*

Proof. First, applying the above lemma with $\chi = \mathbb{1}_{[-A, A]}$ for $A > 0$ we obtain that $(\zeta_n(t))_n$ is bounded in $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R})$ uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In particular, $(\zeta_n)_n$ is bounded in $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$. According to Dunford-Pettis Theorem (see [8] Vol I p.294), to prove that $(\zeta_n)_n$ is weakly compact in $L^1([0, T[\times]-A, A])$, it suffices to check that for any $\nu > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any bounded measurable set $B \subset]0, T[\times]-A, A[$ with $|B| < \delta$ it holds

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_B |\zeta_n|(t, x) dx dt < \nu.$$

But this follows directly from (4.8) and (5.1). Indeed, proceeding as in the proof of the above lemma, we easily check that for any $k \geq M$ and any $B \subset]0, T[\times]-A, A[$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B |\zeta_n|(t, x) dx dt &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B \cap \{-1 < \varepsilon \zeta_n - \beta b_n \leq k\}} |\varepsilon \zeta_n - \beta b_n| dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B \cap \{\varepsilon \zeta_n - \beta b_n > k\}} |\varepsilon \zeta_n - \beta b_n| dx dt + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \int_B |b_n| dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (k + \beta |b|_\infty) |B| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (C_2^M \ln k)^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0 (1 + \varepsilon \zeta_n - \beta b_n) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (k + \beta |b|_\infty) |B| + \frac{T}{\varepsilon} |\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}} (C_2^M \ln k)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

that clearly gives the desired result by taking k large enough.

Now, let us tackle the strong convergence of $(u_n)_n$. By (5.1), we have that $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $L^\infty([0, T], H_\mu^1(\mathbb{R}))$. Then, $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $L^\infty(]0, T[, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$. We deduce that it has a weakly-* convergent subsequence in $L^\infty(]0, T[, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$. Now, we will prove that $(\partial_t u_n)_n$ is bounded in $L^\infty(]0, T[, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ and after we use a theorem of Aubin-Simon to get the strong convergence. To do so, we recall that by the equation it holds

$$\partial_t u_n(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\mu(x - z)(\zeta_n + \varepsilon u_n^2/2)(t, z) dz.$$

where $k_\mu(x) := \partial_x K_\mu(x) = \frac{3}{2\mu} \text{sign}(x) e^{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{\mu}}|x|}$. Now by (5.1) and Young's convolution estimates, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\mu(x - z) u_n^2/2(t, z) dz = |k * u_n^2/2|_{L^2}^2 \leq c |k_\mu|_{L^1} |u_n^2|_{L^2} \leq C(\mu).$$

For the first integral on ζ_n , we will use, as in [2], the fact that $|\sigma_0(1 + \zeta_n)|_{L^1} \leq cte$ given by (5.1) and the property of the mapping $\sigma_0(1 + \cdot)$ giving in (4.8). Again denoting by $A_n(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} / \varepsilon \zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b(x) \geq M\}$, with $M \geq 1$ as in (4.8),

we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(x - z) \zeta_n(t, z) dz &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A_n^c} k(x - z)(\varepsilon \zeta_n - \beta b_n)(t, z) dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{A_n} k(x - z)(\varepsilon \zeta_n - \beta b_n)(t, z) dz + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(x - z) b_n(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f_1 + f_2 + f_3). \end{aligned}$$

By Young's convolution estimates, (4.8) and (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(t, \cdot)|_{L^2} &\leq |k|_{L^1} |\zeta_n 1_{A_n^c}|_{L^2} \leq (C_1^M)^{-1/2} \left(\int_{A_n^c} \sigma_0(1 + \varepsilon \zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b(x)) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}}^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$|f_2(t, \cdot)|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_n} |\varepsilon \zeta_n(t, x) - \beta b(x)| dx \lesssim |\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}}$$

$$|f_2(t, \cdot)|_{L^1} \leq |k|_{L^1} |\zeta_n 1_{A_n}|_{L^1} \lesssim |\zeta_0|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_0}}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$|f_2(t, \cdot)|_{L^2} \leq |f_2|_{L^\infty}^{1/2} |f_2|_{L^1}^{1/2} \leq cte,$$

and

$$|f_3(t, \cdot)|_{L^2} \leq \beta |b|_{L^\infty}^{1/2} |k|_{L^1}^{1/2}.$$

Combining the above estimates, we deduce that $\|\partial_t u_n\|_{L^2}$ is bounded uniformly in n and t .

Next, we prove that $(u_n)_n$ has a strongly convergent subsequence in $C([0, T]; C(\mathbb{R}))$, i.e. in $C([0, T]; C(K))$ for every compact K of \mathbb{R} . For this end, set $K_m = [-m, m]$, by compact Sobolev injection and Aubin-Simon

theorem (see [19], Corollary 4 p. 85), we have that $E_{\infty,\infty}^m$ is compactly embedded in $C([0, T], C(K_m))$ where

$$E_{\infty,\infty}^m = \{u \in L^\infty(]0, T[, H^1(K_m)) \text{ such that } \partial_t u \in L^\infty(]0, T[, L^2(K_m))\}.$$

By the preceding calculations, we have proved that $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $E_{\infty,\infty}^m$. We deduce that it has a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_k$ strongly convergent in $C([0, T], C(K_m))$ (also in $C([0, T], L^2(K_m))$). By applying the diagonal extraction process, we can construct a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_k$ which is strongly convergent in $C([0, T], C(K_m))$, for every $m \geq 1$ and thus in $C([0, T], C(K))$ (and then in $C([0, T], L^2(K))$) for every compact K of \mathbb{R} . This completes the proof of the theorem. In the next theorem we construct a weak solution for the Boussinesq system.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (ζ_n, u_n) be as in Proposition 5.1. Then, the limit functions (ζ, u) obtained by Proposition 5.1 is a weak solution for the Boussinesq system with initial data (ζ_0, u_0) verifying that $(\zeta, u) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \Lambda_{\sigma_0} \times H_\mu^1)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R})$. Multiplying (1.4) by φ and integrating, we obtain

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_n \varphi_t dx dt + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_n + u_n(\varepsilon \zeta_n - \beta b_n)) \varphi_x dx dt = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_n \varphi_t dx dt + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varepsilon u_n^2 / 2 + \zeta_n) \varphi_x dx dt - \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_n \varphi_{xxt} dx dt \\ = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

By taking the limit when n tends to infinity, we have to prove that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta \varphi_t dx dt + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u + u(\varepsilon \zeta - \beta b)) \varphi_x dx dt = 0$$

and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \varphi_t dx dt + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varepsilon u^2 / 2 + \zeta) \varphi_x dx dt - \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \varphi_{xxt} dx dt = 0$$

Since φ is with compact support in $]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ and $(\zeta_n)_n$ converges weakly in L_{loc}^1 to ζ we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_n \varphi_t dx dt = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta \varphi_t dx dt.$$

And the strong convergence of u_n to u in $C([0, T], L^2(K))$ implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_n - u) \varphi_x dx dt = 0.$$

Let S be the support of φ and suppose that $S \subset]0, T[\times]c, d[\subset]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$. Then, we write

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta_n u_n - \zeta u) \varphi_x dx dt = \int_S \zeta_n (u_n - u) \varphi_x dx dt + \int_S u (\zeta_n - \zeta) \varphi_x dx dt$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $C([0, T]; C(K))$, for every K compact of \mathbb{R} and $(\zeta_n)_n$ is bounded in L_{loc}^1 we deduce that the first term in the above right-hand side

member tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Notice that the limit for second term follows directly from the weak convergence of $(\zeta_n)_n$ in L^1_{loc} and the fact that $u\varphi_x \in L^\infty(S)$. In the same way we write

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b_n u_n - bu)\varphi_x dx dt = \int_S b_n (u_n - u)\varphi_x dx dt + \int_S u(b_n - b)\varphi_x dx dt,$$

again using the convergence of u_n to u in $C([0, T], L^2(K))$ and the one of b_n to b in $L^2(K)$ we deduce that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b_n u_n - bu)\varphi_x dx dt \longrightarrow 0.$$

We finally obtain

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta \varphi_t dx dt + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u + u(\varepsilon \zeta - \beta b))\varphi_x dx dt = 0,$$

which implies that (ζ, u) satisfies the first equation of (1.4) in the distribution sense.

For the second equation (5.5), the proof is direct using the weak convergence of (ζ_n) and the strong convergence of (u_n) .

It is still to prove that the limit (ζ, u) satisfies the initial data (ζ_0, u_0) . Recall that (u_n) converges to u in $C([0, T], C(K))$ (i.e. in $C([0, T] \times K)$) for every compact K of \mathbb{R} and that $u_{0,n}$ converges to u_0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. This enough to implies that $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u(t, \cdot) - u_0(\cdot))\|_{\infty, K} &\leq \|(u(t, \cdot) - u_n(t, \cdot))\|_{\infty, K} \\ &\quad + \|(u_n(t, \cdot) - u_{0,n}(\cdot))\|_{\infty, K} + \|(u_{0,n}(\cdot) - u_0(\cdot))\|_{\infty, K}. \end{aligned}$$

The above convergence results force the first and the third term of the above right-hand side to converge towards 0 uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$ whereas the continuity of u_n force the second term to tends to 0 as $t \searrow 0$ for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us now prove that ζ satisfies the initial condition. For $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $(t_k)_k \in [0, T]$ converging to 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta(t_k, x) - \zeta_0(x))\varphi dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta(t_k, x) - \zeta_n(t_k, x))\varphi dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta_n(t_k, x) - \zeta_{0,n}(x))\varphi dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta_{0,n}(x) - \zeta_0(x))\varphi dx \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

For the first integral, we proceed as in [18], Claim 4.2 of Theorem 4.2]. So by Dunford's lemma and Lemma 5.1, for each t there exists a subsequence $(\zeta_n^t)_n$ of $(\zeta_n)_n$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta(t, x) - \zeta_n^t(t, x))\varphi dx = 0.$$

Now applying the diagonalization process to $(\zeta_n^t)_{n,k}$, we can extract a subsequence $(\zeta_k)_k$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta(t_k, x) - \zeta_k(t_k, x))\varphi dx = 0.$$

For the second integral, using the integral representation of ζ_k given by (1.4)₁, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta_k(t_k, x) - \zeta_{0,k}(t, x)) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{t_k} ((u_k(s, x) + u_k(\varepsilon \zeta_k(s, x) - \beta b_k(x)))_x \varphi(x) ds dx \quad (5.7) \\ &= \int_0^{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((u_k(s, x) + u_k(\varepsilon \zeta_k(s, x) - \beta b_k(x))) \varphi_x(x) dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1 it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((u_k(s, x) + u_k(\varepsilon \zeta_k(s, x) - \beta b_k(x))) \varphi_x(x) dx ds \right| \\ & \leq |u_k|_{L^\infty} \int_0^{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\varphi_x(x)| + |\zeta_k(s, x) \varphi_x(x)| + |b_k(x) \varphi_x(x)|) dx \\ & \leq c_1 t_k, \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is independent of k . So for the subsequence ζ_k , we obtain that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta_k(t_k, x) - \zeta_{0,k}(x)) \varphi dx \right| \leq c_1 t_k.$$

The last integral in (5.6) goes to 0 since $\zeta_0 \in L^1_{loc}$ and thus $(\zeta_{0,k})_k$ converges to ζ_0 in L^1_{loc} . Then, by using the subsequence $(\zeta_k)_k$ in (5.6), we deduce that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta(t_k, x) - \zeta_0(x)) \varphi dx \right| = 0.$$

Now to finish the proof we can proceed as Schonbek in [18] (Theorem 5.2) to show that $(\zeta, u) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \Lambda_{\sigma_0} \times H^1_\mu)$. \square

6. Appendix

6.1. Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $N > 0$. We follow [10]. By Plancherel and the mean-value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| ([P_N, P_{\ll N} f] g_x)(x) \right| = \left| ([P_N, P_{\ll N} f] \tilde{P}_N g_x)(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}_x^{-1}(\varphi_N)(x-y) P_{\ll N} f(y) \tilde{P}_N g_x(y) dy \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\ll N} f(x) \mathcal{F}_x^{-1}(\varphi_N)(x-y) \tilde{P}_N g_x(y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (P_{\ll N} f(y) - P_{\ll N} f(x)) N \mathcal{F}_x^{-1}(\varphi)(N(x-y)) \tilde{P}_N g_x(y) dy \right| \\ & \leq \|P_{\ll N} f_x\|_{L^\infty_x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} N |x-y| |\mathcal{F}_x^{-1}(\varphi)(N(x-y))| |\tilde{P}_N g_x(y)| dy \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $N|\cdot| |\mathcal{F}_x^{-1}(\varphi)(N\cdot)| = |\mathcal{F}_x^{-1}(\varphi')(N\cdot)|$ we deduce from Young's convolution and Bernstein inequalities that

$$\left| [P_N, P_{\ll N} f] g_x \right|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{-1} \|P_{\ll N} f_x\|_{L^\infty_x} \|\tilde{P}_N g_x\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|P_{\ll N} f_x\|_{L^\infty_x} \|\tilde{P}_N g\|_{L^2}.$$

This completes the proof of estimation (2.2).

6.2. Proof of Proposition 2.2. Let us prove estimate (2.5). Using Bernstein inequality and discrete Young' inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^s |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f_x g)|_{L^2} &\lesssim N^s |g|_{L_x^\infty} \left(\sum_{K \gtrsim N} |P_K f_x|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |g|_{L_x^\infty} \sum_{K \gtrsim N} \left(\frac{N}{K} \right)^s |P_K f_x|_{H^s} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N |g|_{L_x^\infty} |f|_{H^{s+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to prove

$$N^s |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_x)|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta_N |f|_{H^{s+1}} |g|_{L^\infty}.$$

To do so, we write $P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_x) = \partial_x P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f g) - P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f_x g)$. The second term can be treated as above to obtain

$$N^s |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f_x g)|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta_N |f|_{H^{s+1}} |g|_{L^\infty}.$$

For the first term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^s |\partial_x P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f g)|_{L^2} &\lesssim N^s N |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f g)|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim N^s N |P_N(\sum_{K \gtrsim N} P_K f g)|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim |f|_{H^{s+1}} |g|_{L_x^\infty} \sum_{K \gtrsim N} \delta_K \left(\frac{N}{K} \right)^{s+1} \\ &\leq \delta_N |f|_{H^{s+1}} |g|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

Finally to prove estimate (2.6), we first notice that it follows directly from (2.5) for $s > 3/2$ since $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. For $1/2 < s \leq 3/2$, we start by noticing that

$$P_N(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_x) = P_N(P_{\sim N} P_{\lesssim N} g_x) + P_N\left(\sum_{K \gtrsim N} P_K f \tilde{P}_K g_x\right).$$

The contribution of the first term of the above right-hand side is easily estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} N^{s-1} |P_N(P_{\sim N} f P_{\lesssim N} g_x)|_{L^2} &\lesssim N^{s-1} |P_{\sim N} f|_{L^\infty} N^{2-s} |g|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim N |P_{\sim N} f|_{L^\infty} |g|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim \delta_N |f|_{H^{s+1}} |g|_{H^{s-1}} \end{aligned}$$

since $s > 1/2$. On the other hand, the contribution of the second term can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} N^{s-1} \left| P_N\left(\sum_{K \gtrsim N} P_K f \tilde{P}_K g_x\right) \right|_{L^2} &\lesssim N^{s-1} N^{1/2} \left| P_N\left(\sum_{K \gtrsim N} P_K f \tilde{P}_K g_x\right) \right|_{L^1} \\ &\lesssim N^{s-1/2} \sum_{K \gtrsim N} K^{-1-s} |P_{\sim K} f|_{H^{s+1}} K^{2-s} |\tilde{P}_K g|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim N^{s-1/2} |f|_{H^{s+1}} |g|_{H^{s-1}} \sum_{K \gtrsim N} K^{1-2s} \\ &\lesssim N^{1/2-s} |f|_{H^{s+1}} |g|_{H^{s-1}} \end{aligned}$$

that is suitable since $s > 1/2$.

6.3. Proof of Proposition 2.3. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
|fg|_{H^s}^2 &\lesssim |P_{\lesssim 1}(fg)|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2s} |P_N(fg)|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim |P_{\lesssim 1}(P_{\lesssim 1}f P_{\lesssim 4}g)|_{L_x^2}^2 + |P_{\lesssim 1}(P_{\gg 1}fg)|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2s} (|P_N(P_{\ll N}f \tilde{P}_N g)|_{L_x^2}^2 + |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N}fg)|_{L_x^2}^2) \tag{6.1}
\end{aligned}$$

For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, it is direct to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
|P_{\lesssim 1}(P_{\lesssim 1}f P_{\lesssim 4}g)|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2s} |P_N(P_{\ll N}f \tilde{P}_N g)|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
\lesssim |P_{\lesssim 1}f|_{L_x^\infty}^2 |P_{\lesssim 4}g|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2s} |P_{\ll N}f|_{L_x^\infty} | \tilde{P}_N g|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
\lesssim |f|_{L_x^\infty}^2 |g|_{H^s}^2
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the other terms in the right-hand side of (6.1) we separate the cases $s < 0$ and $s \geq 0$. For $s \geq 0$, we notice that

$$|P_{\lesssim 1}(P_{\gg 1}fg)|_{L_x^2} \lesssim |f|_{L_x^\infty} |g|_{L_x^2} \lesssim |f|_{L_x^\infty} |g|_{H^s}$$

and that Bernstein inequalities lead to

$$\sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2s} |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N}fg)|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim |g|_{L_x^2}^2 \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{0-} |P_{\gtrsim N}f|_{W^{s+, \infty}}^2 \lesssim |g|_{H^s}^2 |f|_{W^{s+, \infty}}^2.$$

This completes the proof of (2.7) for $s \geq 0$. Now for $s < 0$ we use Bernstein inequalities to get

$$\begin{aligned}
|P_{\lesssim 1}(P_{\gg 1}fg)|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \sum_{K \gg 1} |P_K f P_{\lesssim K} g|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{K \gg 1} |P_K f|_{L_x^\infty} |P_{\lesssim K} g|_{L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{K \gg 1} K^{-(|s|+)} |P_K f|_{W^{|s|+, \infty}} K^{|s|} |P_{\lesssim K} g|_{H^s} \\
&\lesssim |f|_{W^{|s|+, \infty}} |g|_{H^s}
\end{aligned}$$

and in the same way

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2s} |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N}fg)|_{L_x^2}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2s} \sum_{K \gtrsim N} \left(K^{-(|s|+)} |P_K f|_{W^{|s|+, \infty}} K^{|s|} |\tilde{P}_{\lesssim K} g|_{H_x^s} \right)^2 \\
&\lesssim |f|_{W^{|s|+, \infty}} |g|_{H^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the case $s < 0$.

It remains to prove (2.8). We separate the case $N \lesssim 1$ and $N \gg 1$. For $N \lesssim 1$ we have

$$N^\theta |[P_N, P_{\ll N}f]g_x|_{L_x^2} \lesssim |P_{\ll N}f|_{L_x^\infty} |P_{\lesssim 1}g_x|_{L_x^2} \lesssim |f|_{L_x^\infty} |g|_{H^\theta}.$$

For $N \gg 1$, we separate the contributions of $P_{\ll N}f$ and $P_{\gtrsim N}f$.

The contribution of the first term is easily estimated thanks to (2.2) by

$$N^\theta |[P_N, P_{\ll N}f]g_x|_{L_x^2} \lesssim N^\theta |f|_{L_x^\infty} |\tilde{P}_N g|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \delta_N |f|_{L_x^\infty} |g|_{H^\theta}.$$

For the second term we start by writing

$$N^\theta |[P_N, P_{\gtrsim N}f]g_x|_{L_x^2} \lesssim N^\theta |P_{\gtrsim N}f P_N g_x|_{L_x^2} + N^\theta |P_N(P_{\gtrsim N}f g_x)|_{L_x^2}$$

Then Bernstein inequalities lead to

$$N^\theta |P_{\gtrsim N} f P_N g_x|_{L_x^2} \lesssim N^\theta N |P_{\gtrsim N} f|_{L_x^\infty} |P_N g|_{H^\theta} \lesssim \delta_N |f_x|_{L_x^\infty} |g|_{H^\theta}$$

and proceeding as above we get for $\theta \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2\theta} |P_N (P_{\gtrsim N} f g_x)|_{L_x^2}^2 &= \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2\theta} |P_N (P_{\gtrsim N} f P_{\lesssim 4N} g_x)|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim |g|_{L_x^2}^2 \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{0-} N^2 |P_{\gtrsim N} f|_{W^{\theta+, \infty}}^2 \lesssim |g|_{H^\theta}^2 |f_x|_{W^{\theta+, \infty}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

and for $\theta < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2\theta} |P_N (P_{\gtrsim N} f g_x)|_{L_x^2}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{N \gg 1} N^{2\theta} \sum_{K \gtrsim N} \left(K^{-(|\theta|+)} |P_K f|_{W^{|\theta|+, \infty}} K K^{|\theta|} |\tilde{P}_{\lesssim K} g|_{H^\theta} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim |f_x|_{W^{|\theta|+, \infty}}^2 |g|_{H^\theta}^2. \end{aligned}$$

6.4. Ellipticity and estimates for the operator $\mathfrak{T}_b := h_b(1 + \mu \mathcal{T}[h_b])$.

In the following we give two lemmas concerning the operator $\mathfrak{T}_b := h_b(1 + \mu \mathcal{T}[h_b])$, and its inverse. The first lemma is a straightforward adaptation of Lemma 1 in [12]. We give the proof for sake of completeness.

Lemma 6.1. *Let be given $\mu > 0$ and $b \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (1.6). Then the self-adjoint operator*

$$\mathfrak{T}_b : H^1(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$$

is well defined, one-to-one and onto.

Proof. We rewrite $\mathfrak{T}_b u$ as

$$\mathfrak{T}_b u = h_b u - \frac{\mu}{3} (h_b^3 u_x)_x + \frac{\beta \mu}{2} \left((h_b^2 b_x u)_x - h_b^2 b_x u_x \right) + \beta^2 \mu h_b b_x^2 u$$

We notice that for $b \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{T}_b u$ is clearly continuous from $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ into $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and we define the continuous bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ on H^1 by

$$a(u, v) = (\mathfrak{T}_b u, v)_{H^{-1}, H^1}.$$

Observe that, the writing (3.6) of $\mathfrak{T}_b u$ ensures that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric as soon as $b \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$. The crucial algebraic property is that $a(u, u)$ may be rewritten as

$$a(u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_b u^2 + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_b \left(\frac{h_b}{\sqrt{3}} u_x - \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} b_x u \right)^2 + \frac{\mu\beta^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_b b_x^2 u^2$$

so that

$$a(u, u) \geq h_0 \left(|u|_{L_x^2}^2 + \mu \left| \frac{h_b}{\sqrt{3}} u_x - \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} b_x u \right|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{\mu\beta^2}{4} |b_x u|_{L_x^2}^2 \right). \quad (6.2)$$

On the other hand, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{H^1}^2 &\leq |u|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{3}{h_0^2} \left| \frac{h_b}{\sqrt{3}} u_x \right|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ &\leq |u|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{6}{h_0^2} \left(\left| \frac{h_b}{\sqrt{3}} u_x - \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} b_x u \right|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{3\beta^2}{4} |b_x u|_{L_x^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\max(1, \frac{18}{\mu h_0^2}) \left(|u|_{L_x^2}^2 + \mu \left| \frac{h_b}{\sqrt{3}} u_x - \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{2} b_x u \right|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{\mu\beta^2}{4} |b_x u|_{L_x^2}^2 \right) \geq |u|_{H^1}^2 .$$

Combining this last inequality with (6.2), we get

$$a(u, u) \geq \frac{h_0}{\max(1, \frac{18}{\mu h_0^2})} |u|_{H^1}^2 .$$

This proves that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and Lax-Milgram theorem then ensures that for any $f \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a unique $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathfrak{T}_b u = f$, i.e. $\mathfrak{T}_b u$ is a bijection from $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ to $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. \square

The following lemma gives more properties of the inverse operator \mathfrak{T}_b^{-1} .

Lemma 6.2. *Let $s > -\frac{3}{2}$ and $b \in W^{(s+2)\vee\frac{5}{2}+\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that (1.6) is satisfied. Then*

(1) *For any $s \geq 0$ and $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ it holds*

$$|\mathfrak{T}_b^{-1} f|_{H^s} + \sqrt{\mu} |\partial_x \mathfrak{T}_b^{-1} f|_{H^s} \leq C |f|_{H^s} . \quad (6.3)$$

where $C = C(\frac{1}{h_0}, |b|_{W^{(s+2)\vee\frac{5}{2}+\infty}})$.

(2) *For $-\frac{3}{2} < s < 0$ there exists $C_0 = C_0(\frac{1}{h_0}, |b|_{W^{\frac{5}{2}+\infty}}) > 0$ such that if $0 < \beta < C_0$ then (6.3) holds actually for any $f \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. The proof in the case $s \geq 0$ is given in [12]. According to Lemma 6.1, \mathfrak{T}_b^{-1} is well defined from $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ into $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. So let $f \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and set $u = \mathfrak{T}_b^{-1} f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. According to (3.7), for any $N \geq 1$ it holds

$$\mathfrak{T}_b(P_N u) = P_N f - \frac{\mu}{3} \partial_x \left([P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] u \right) - [P_N, g_\beta] u = y_N + \sqrt{\mu} \partial_x z_N$$

with $y_N = P_N f - [P_N, g_\beta] u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $z_N = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{3} [P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then according to ([12], proof of Lemma 2, Step 1.) we have

$$\|P_N u\|_{H_\mu^1} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{h_0} \right) \left(\|y_N\|_{L^2} + \|z_N\|_{L^2} \right) . \quad (6.4)$$

We notice that $[P_N, g_\beta] = \beta [P_N, \tilde{g}_\beta]$ with $\tilde{g}_\beta = b + \frac{\mu}{2} \partial_x (h_b^2 b_x) + \mu \beta b_x^2$. Therefore making use of (2.7) we obtain for $-3/2 < s < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} N^s |P_N f - [P_N, g_\beta] u|_{L^2} &\lesssim \delta_N \left(|P_N f|_{H^s} + \beta |b|_{W^{\frac{3}{2}+\infty}} |u|_{H^s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta (|(h_b b_x)_x|_{W^{\frac{1}{2}+\infty}} + |b_x|_{W^{\frac{1}{2}+\infty}}) |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \delta_N \left(|P_N f|_{H^s} + C (|b|_{W^{\frac{5}{2}+\infty}}) \beta |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

where we used the factor μ and the fact that $s+1 > -1/2$. On the other hand, making use of (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} N^s |[P_N, h_b^3 \partial_x] u|_{L^2} &\lesssim |\partial_x (h_b^3)|_{L^\infty} |\tilde{P}_N u|_{H^s} + N^{0-} |\partial_x (h_b^3)|_{W^{\frac{3}{2}+\infty}} |u|_{H^{-\frac{3}{2}+}} \\ &\lesssim \beta |b_x|_{W^{\frac{3}{2}+\infty}} \left(|\tilde{P}_N u|_{H^s} + N^{0-} |u|_{H^{-\frac{3}{2}+}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Gathering (6.4)-(6.6), we eventually get for $s < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}^2 &\leq \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{2s} |P_N u|_{H_\mu^1}^2 \\ &\lesssim |f|_{H^s}^2 + C(|b|_{W^{\frac{5}{2}+, \infty}}) \beta^2 |u|_{H_\mu^{s+1}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

where the implicit constant only depends on $\frac{1}{h_0}$. Therefore there exists $C_0 = C_0(\frac{1}{h_0}, |b|_{W^{\frac{5}{2}+, \infty}})$ such that for any $0 < \beta < C_0$ and any $-\frac{3}{2} \leq s < 0$, (6.3) holds. \square

6.5. Proof of the positivity of $h = 1 + \varepsilon\zeta - \beta b$. We prove the positivity of $h = 1 + \varepsilon\zeta - \beta b$ as soon as $\zeta_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, with $s > 1/2$,

Lemma 6.3. *Let $s > 1/2$ and let $\zeta_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $\zeta \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ satisfying the first equation of (1.4) with $b \in H^{s+1}$ and $u \in C([0, T]; H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$. If $1 + \varepsilon\zeta_0 - \beta b > 0$ on \mathbb{R} then $\inf_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}} 1 + \varepsilon\zeta - \beta b > 0$.*

Proof. We start by assuming that $\zeta_0 \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\zeta \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$. Since $u \in C([0, T]; H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; C^1(\mathbb{R}))$ we can introduce the flow $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ associated with u that is defined by

$$\begin{cases} q_t(t, x) &= \varepsilon u(t, q(t, x)) &, (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \\ q(0, x) &= x &, x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}. \quad (6.8)$$

Then the first equation in (4.11) ensures that

$$\frac{d}{dt} h(t, q(t, x)) = -\varepsilon u_x(t, q(t, x)) h(t, q(t, x))$$

which leads to

$$h(t, q(t, x)) = h(0, x) \exp\left(-\varepsilon \int_0^t u_x(s, q(s, x)) ds\right), \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular, since for any $t \in [0, T]$, $q(t, \cdot)$ is an increasing C^1 -diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R} we deduce that

$$\inf_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}} |h| \geq e^{-\varepsilon T \|u_x\|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})}} \inf_{\mathbb{R}} h_0 \quad (6.9)$$

which proves the result at this regularity. For $\zeta_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, with $s > 1/2$, it suffices to approximate ζ_0 by a sequence of smooth initial data $(\zeta_{0,n})_{n \geq 0}$ and pass to the limit on the associated sequence of solutions $(\zeta_n)_{n \geq 0}$ to the first equation of (1.4). The same commutator estimates that we used in Section 3 enable to prove that the sequence of smooth solutions $(\zeta_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges toward ζ in $C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \hookrightarrow C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ and thus $h = 1 + \varepsilon\zeta - \beta b$ also satisfies (6.9). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Adamy, Existence of solutions for a Boussinesq system on the half line and on finite interval, *D.C.D.S A* **29** (2011), 25-49.
- [2] C. J. Amick, Regularity and Uniqueness of Solutions for the Boussinesq System of Equations, *Journal of Differential Equations* **54** (1984), 231-247. , no.1, 49-96.
- [3] T. B. Benjamin, J. L. Bona and J. J. Mahoney, Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A* **227** (1972), 47-78.

- [4] J. L. Bona, M. Chen and J. C. Saut, Boussinesq equations and other systems for small-amplitude long waves in nonlinear dispersive media I: Derivation and the linear theory, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **12** (2002), 283-318.
- [5] J. L. Bona, M. Chen and J. C. Saut, Boussinesq equations and other systems for small-amplitude long waves in nonlinear dispersive media II: The nonlinear theory, *J. Nonlinearity* **17** (2004), 925-952.
- [6] J. Boussinesq. Théorie générale des mouvements qui sont propagés dans un canal rectangulaire horizontal. *Comptes Rendus des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences. Paris.* (1871), 256–260.
- [7] J. Boussinesq. Théorie des ondes et des remous qui se propagent le long d'un canal rectangulaire horizontal, en communiquant au liquide contenu dans ce canal des vitesses sensiblement pareilles de la surface au fond. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées. Paris.* (1872), 55–108.
- [8] Dunford-Schwartz, Linear Operators. Part I. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Vol VII, *Interscience, New York.*
- [9] V. Duchêne, S. Israwi, Well-posedness of the Green-Naghdi and Boussinesq-Peregrine systems, *Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal*, (2018)
- [10] D. Lannes. *The water waves problem: mathematical analysis and asymptotics.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs (AMS) (2013).
- [11] D. Lannes. Sharp estimates for pseudo-differential operators with symbols of limited smoothness and commutators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **232** (2006), 495-539.
- [12] S. Israwi. Large time existence for 1D Green-Naghdi equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, **74** (2011), 81–93.
- [13] B. Mésognon-Gireau. The Cauchy problem on large time for a Boussinesq-Peregrine equation with large topography variations. *Adv. Differential Equations*, **22(7/8)**(2017), 457–504.
- [14] L. Molinet, R. Talhouk and I. Zaiter. The Boussinesq Systems revisited. *Nonlinearity* **34**, (2021), 744-775.
- [15] D. H. Peregrine. Long waves on a beach. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, (1967), 815–827.
- [16] J. C. Saut and L. Xu, The Cauchy Problem on Large Time for Surface-Waves-Type Boussinesq Systems, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **97** (2012), 635-662.
- [17] J. C. Saut, C. Wang and L. Xu, The Cauchy Problem on Large Time for Surface-Waves-Type Boussinesq Systems II, *SIAM Math. Anal.* **49 (4)** (2017), 2321-2386.
- [18] M. E. Schonbek, Existence of Solutions to the Boussinesq System of Equations, *Journal of Differential Equations* **42** (1981), 325-352.
- [19] J. Simon, compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; b)$, *Ann. Mat. Pura appl.* **146** (1987), 65-96.
- [20] M. I. Taylor, *Tools for PDE, Pseudodifferential Operators, Paradifferential Operators, and Layer Potentials.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs vol. 81(AMS) (1991).