

Mean-field stochastic linear quadratic control problem with random coefficients*

Jie Xiong[†] Wen Xu[‡]

Abstract

In this paper, we first prove that the mean-field stochastic linear quadratic (MSLQ for short) control problem with random coefficients has a unique optimal control and derive a preliminary stochastic maximum principle to characterize this optimal control by an optimality system. However, because of the term of the form $\mathbb{E}[A(\cdot)X(\cdot)]$ in the adjoint equation, which cannot be represented in the form $\mathbb{E}[A(\cdot)]\mathbb{E}[X(\cdot)]$, we cannot solve this optimality system explicitly. To this end, we decompose the MSLQ control problem into two constrained stochastic linear quadratic (SLQ for short) control problems without the mean-field terms. These constrained SLQ control problems can be solved explicitly by an extended LaGrange multiplier method developed in this article.

Keywords: Extended LaGrange multiplier method, mean-field control, linear quadratic control problem, random coefficients, Riccati equation.

AMS Subject Classification: 49N10, 60H10, 93E20.

1 Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete filtered probability space on which an one-dimensional standard Brownian motion $\{W(t); 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ is defined, where $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the natural filtration generated by $W(t)$ augmented by all the \mathbb{P} -null sets. We consider the following controlled linear mean-field stochastic differential equation (MFSDE for short) with random coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = [A(s)X(s) + A_1(s)\mathbb{E}X(s) + B(s)u(s)]ds \\ \quad + [C(s)X(s) + C_1(s)\mathbb{E}X(s) + D(s)u(s)]dW(s), & s \in [0, T], \\ X(0) = \xi, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFA1006102), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12326368).

[†]Department of Mathematics and Shenzhen International Center for Mathematics, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China (xiongj@sustech.edu.cn).

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China (12231279@mail.sustech.edu.cn).

where $A(\cdot), A_1(\cdot), C(\cdot), C_1(\cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B(\cdot), D(\cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, are matrix-valued \mathbb{F} -progressively measurable processes, and $u(\cdot)$ is an \mathbb{F} -progressively measurable process satisfying $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |u(s)|^2 ds < \infty$. The initial state $\xi \in L^2(\Omega)$ will be fixed throughout this article. In the above, $X(\cdot)$ valued in \mathbb{R}^n is the state process, and $u(\cdot)$ valued in \mathbb{R}^m is the control process.

We define the following cost functional with random coefficients

$$J(u(\cdot)) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle Q(s)X(s), X(s) \rangle + \langle Q_1(s)\mathbb{E}X(s), \mathbb{E}X(s) \rangle + \langle R(s)u(s), u(s) \rangle \right) ds + \langle GX(T), X(T) \rangle \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $Q(\cdot), Q_1(\cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $R(\cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and G is an \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random matrix. For a control $u(\cdot)$ belonging to the following space

$$\mathcal{U}[0, T] = \left\{ u(\cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \mid u(\cdot) \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_t\text{-adapted and } \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |u(t)|^2 dt < \infty \right\},$$

the mean-field stochastic linear quadratic (MFSLQ for short) optimal control problem with random coefficients can be stated as follows:

Problem (MSLQ): Find a control $u^*(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T]$ such that

$$J(u^*(\cdot)) = \inf_{u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T]} J(u(\cdot)). \quad (1.3)$$

The process $u^*(\cdot)$ is called an optimal control of Problem (MSLQ). The corresponding process $X^*(\cdot)$ is called an optimal state, and $(X^*(\cdot), u^*(\cdot))$ an optimal pair.

MFSDEs were initially used to describe physical systems involving a large number of interacting particles. The complexity in the dynamics of a stochastic differential equation (SDE for short) is reduced by replacing the interactions of all particles with their expectation. The study of the optimal control problem of the mean-field system has gained popularity in the last ten years since Buchdahn *et al.* ([5], [6]) and Carmona and Delarue ([7], [8], [9]) introduced the mean-field backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE for short) and mean-field forward-backward stochastic differential equation (FBSDE for short). However, there is a shortage of literature on studying the Problem (MSLQ). In the following, we will discuss the degeneration of this problem and the development of the related research. These results provide an affluent theoretical foundation for our research.

Problem (MSLQ) degenerates into a classical SLQ control problem when the mean-field terms both in the state equation (1.1) and in the cost functional (1.2) vanish and all the coefficients are deterministic. The study of the SLQ problem for deterministic coefficients can be traced back to the work of Kalman [11] and the related work of Kushner [12], Davis [10], and Wonham [20]. It is well known that this theory is rather well-developed under the assumptions that the weighting matrix R is positive definite and the weighting matrices G and Q are positive semi-definite. In this case, there is only one optimal control, which can be represented in the state feedback form by the solution of the Riccati equation; see the book [23] for more details.

The next step is to consider the Problem (MSLQ) without mean-field terms but with random coefficients. To our best knowledge, the SLQ problem with random coefficients at least dates back to Bismut in the 1970s, see [2] and [3]. The big challenge for this case is that the associated Riccati equation becomes a BSDE with quadratic growth which is difficult to solve. Furthermore, for the existence and uniqueness of this stochastic Riccati equation, Peng listed it as an open problem in [14], and it was solved by Tang [19]. The approach provided in [19] heavily depends on the positive (semi-) definiteness assumptions on the weighting matrices in the cost functional. The extension to the indefinite situation is given by Sun *et al.* [18]. We emphasize that the work [19] on the SLQ problem with random coefficients plays an important role in our current study.

For the case where all the coefficients are deterministic, Problem (MSLQ) is reduced to the case covered by Yong [21]. It was pointed out there that the deterministic coefficients have played an essential role in dealing with the problem, and there is a lack of method to deal with the case with random coefficients. Moreover, many authors have made contributions to the general case, where the state equation is a nonlinear SDE, and the cost functional is also non-quadratic. For example, the work of Buckdahn *et al.* [4], Andersson and Djehiche[1], Meyer-Brandis *et al.* [13], to name just a few.

We point out that the most relevant work to the current setting is Pham [15], studying the SLQ optimal control of \mathbb{F}^0 -conditional MFSDE with random coefficients, where \mathbb{F}^0 is a sub-filtration of \mathbb{F} , which is generated by another Brownian motion W^0 . However, these random coefficients are assumed to be \mathbb{F}^0 -adapted processes. Notice that the main challenge of Problem (MSLQ) is that the term of the form $\mathbb{E}[A(\cdot)X(\cdot)]$ appears in the adjoint equation, and it cannot be separated into the form $\mathbb{E}[A(\cdot)]\mathbb{E}[X(\cdot)]$. In the \mathbb{F}^0 -conditional mean-field SLQ control problem, the assumption on coefficients essentially avoids such difficulty mentioned above since $\mathbb{E}[A(s)X(s)|\mathcal{F}_s^0] = A(s)\mathbb{E}[X(s)|\mathcal{F}_s^0]$.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows. First, we establish the stochastic maximum principle (SMP for short) for Problem (MSLQ), including the existence and uniqueness of an optimal control. More importantly, we propose a new method for studying mean-field problems. Namely, we decomposed the mean-field SLQ problem into two problems without mean-field terms. The first one is an SLQ control problem with the constraint that expectation process is a given deterministic function, while the second is an SLQ with non-random control processes. Finally, we solve the constrained problem by an extended LaGrange multiplier (ELM for short) method proposed in this article. We believe that this new approach can be applied to many other mean-field problems.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some spaces and present the main results of this article. Then, in Section 3, we prove that Problem (MSLQ) has a unique optimal control, and satisfies an optimality system. Section 4 is devoted to the ELM method to represent the optimal control as a functional of the deterministic function, which is the constraint of the expectation process. We solve the SLQ with deterministic control in Section 5. Finally, we give some concluding remarks in Section 6. Throughout this article, we will use K to represent a constant whose value can be different from place to place.

2 Main results

In this section, we proceed to presenting the main results of this article. First, we introduce some notations and conditions. For Euclidean space $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbb{S}_+^n$, and $p \geq 1$, we introduce the following spaces.

- $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{H}))$: the space of continuous \mathbb{F} -progressively measurable processes $X : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with $\mathbb{E} [\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|X(s, \omega)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2] < \infty$.
- $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{H})$: the space of \mathbb{F} -progressively measurable processes $X : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with $\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T \|X(s, \omega)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 ds] < \infty$.
- $L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H})$: the space of \mathbb{F} -adapted \mathbb{H} valued bounded processes.
- $L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{H})$: the space of \mathcal{F}_T -measurable \mathbb{H} -valued square integrable random variables.
- $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of deterministic square integrable functions $\alpha : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\int_0^T \alpha(s)^2 ds < \infty$.

Throughout this article, we impose the following assumptions:

(H1) : $A(\cdot), A_1(\cdot), C(\cdot), C_1(\cdot) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, and $B(\cdot), D(\cdot) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n \times m})$.

(H2) : $Q(\cdot), Q_1(\cdot) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{S}_+^n)$, and $R(\cdot) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{S}_+^m)$, $G \in L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{S}_+^n)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$R(s) \geq \delta I_m, \text{ a.e. } s \in [0, T], \text{ a.s.},$$

where I_m is the $m \times m$ identity matrix.

The following result is a preliminary SMP.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (H1) and (H2) hold. Then, Problem (MSLQ) has a unique optimal control $u^*(\cdot)$. Further, $u^*(\cdot)$ is an optimal control for Problem (MSLQ) if and only if the adapted solution $(X^*(\cdot), Y^*(\cdot), Z^*(\cdot))$ to the following FBSDE: for $s \in [0, T]$,*

$$\begin{cases} dX^*(s) = [A(s)X^*(s) + A_1(s)\mathbb{E}X^*(s) + B(s)u^*(s)]ds \\ \quad + [C(s)X^*(s) + C_1(s)\mathbb{E}X^*(s) + D(s)u^*(s)]dW(s), \\ dY^*(s) = -\{A(s)^\top Y^*(s) + C(s)^\top Z^*(s) + Q(s)X^*(s) + \mathbb{E}Q_1(s)\mathbb{E}X^*(s) \\ \quad + \mathbb{E}[A_1(s)^\top Y^*(s) + C_1(s)^\top Z^*(s)]\}ds + Z^*(s)dW(s), \\ X^*(0) = \xi, \quad Y^*(T) = GX^*(T) \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

admits the following stationary condition:

$$R(s)u^*(s) + B(s)^\top Y^*(s) + D(s)^\top Z^*(s) = 0, \text{ a.e. } s \in [0, T], \text{ a.s.} \quad (2.2)$$

As we mentioned in the introduction, the main difficulty in solving the FBSDE (2.1) is that $\mathbb{E}[A_1(s)^\top Y^*(s)] \neq \mathbb{E}[A_1(s)^\top] \mathbb{E}[Y^*(s)]$. We will solve this problem by using an ELM method. To this end, we first consider the following stochastic Ricatti equation for process $(\Sigma(s), \Psi(s))$: for $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} d\Sigma(s) = - \{ \Sigma A + A^\top \Sigma + \Psi C + C^\top \Psi + C^\top \Sigma C + Q \\ \quad - (\Sigma B + \Psi D + C^\top \Sigma D)(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}(B^\top \Sigma + D^\top \Psi + D^\top \Sigma C) \} ds + \Psi dW(s), \\ \Sigma(T) = G, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

here, we dropped the dependence of the processes on s for notation simplicity. Note that the existence and uniqueness of the solution to this equation have been studied by Tang [19] and Sun *et al.* [18].

To obtain the existence, uniqueness, and integrability for the solution to some linear SDEs and BSDEs, we need the boundedness of the coefficients. To this end, we make the following assumption:

(H3) : Ψ is a bounded process.

We now introduce some notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} &= A - B(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}(B^\top \Sigma + D^\top \Psi + D^\top \Sigma C), \\ \hat{A}_1 &= A_1 - B(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}D^\top \Sigma C_1, \\ \hat{B} &= -B(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}B^\top, \quad \hat{B}_1 = -B(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}D^\top, \\ \hat{C} &= C - D(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}(B^\top \Sigma + D^\top \Psi + D^\top \Sigma C), \\ \hat{C}_1 &= C_1 - D(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}D^\top \Sigma C_1, \\ \hat{D} &= -D(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}B^\top, \quad \hat{D}_1 = -D(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}D^\top, \\ \hat{M} &= A^\top - (\Sigma B + \Psi D + C^\top \Sigma D)(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}B^\top, \\ \hat{N} &= C^\top - (\Sigma B + \Psi D + C^\top \Sigma D)(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}D^\top, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{Q} = C^\top \Sigma C_1 + \Psi C_1 + \Sigma A_1 - (\Sigma B + \Psi D + C^\top \Sigma D)(D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1}D^\top \Sigma C_1.$$

In the following, we will define some linear operators under assumption (H3). We first introduce the following system: for $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = [\hat{A}(s)X(s) + \hat{B}(s)\phi(s) + \hat{B}_1(s)\psi(s) + \hat{A}_1(s)\alpha(s)]ds \\ \quad + [\hat{C}(s)X(s) + \hat{D}(s)\phi(s) + \hat{D}_1(s)\psi(s) + \hat{C}_1(s)\alpha(s)]dW(s), \\ d\phi(s) = -[\hat{M}(s)\phi(s) + \hat{N}(s)\psi(s) + \hat{Q}(s)\alpha(s) + \lambda(s)]ds + \psi(s)dW(s), \\ X(0) = \xi, \quad \phi(T) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Denote the unique solution of (2.4) by (X_0, ϕ_0, ψ_0) if $\alpha = \lambda = 0$; by $(X_\lambda, \phi_\lambda, \psi_\lambda)$ if $\xi = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$; and by $(X^\alpha, \phi^\alpha, \psi^\alpha)$ if $\xi = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$. Define a linear mapping from $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\mathcal{P}\xi := \mathbb{E}X_0, \quad (2.5)$$

and two linear operators on $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \lambda := \mathbb{E}X_\lambda, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 \alpha := \mathbb{E}X^\alpha. \quad (2.6)$$

For $(\alpha^*(\cdot), \lambda^*(\cdot)) \in (L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n))^2$, let $(\phi(\cdot), \psi(\cdot))$ be the unique solution of the following BSDE: for $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} d\phi(s) = -[\hat{M}(s)\phi(s) + \hat{N}(s)\psi(s) + \lambda^*(s) + \hat{Q}(s)\alpha^*(s)]ds + \psi(s)dW(s), \\ \phi(T) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

We define process $(\tilde{X}(s), \tilde{Y}(s), \tilde{Z}(s))$ by the following system: for $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} d\tilde{X}(s) = \{A(s)\tilde{X}(s) - B(s)R(s)^{-1}[B(s)^\top \tilde{Y}(s) + D(s)^\top \tilde{Z}(s)] + A_1(s)\alpha^*(s)\}ds \\ \quad + \{C(s)\tilde{X}(s) - D(s)R(s)^{-1}[B(s)^\top \tilde{Y}(s) + D(s)^\top \tilde{Z}(s)] + C_1(s)\alpha^*(s)\}dW(s), \\ d\tilde{Y}(s) = -[A(s)^\top \tilde{Y}(s) + C(s)^\top \tilde{Z}(s) + Q(s)\tilde{X}(s) + \lambda^*(s)]ds + \tilde{Z}(s)dW(s), \\ \tilde{X}(0) = \xi, \quad \tilde{Y}(T) = G\tilde{X}(T). \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Let $(k(s), m(s), n(s))$ be the solution of the following linear FBSDE (2.9) with random coefficients whose solvability is studied by Yong [22]. For $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} dk(s) = \{A(s)k(s) - B(s)R(s)^{-1}[B(s)^\top m(s) + D(s)^\top n(s)] \\ \quad + B(s)R(s)^{-1}B(s)^\top \tilde{Y}(s) + B(s)D(s)^\top \tilde{Z}(s)\}ds \\ \quad + \{C(s)k(s) - D(s)R(s)^{-1}[B(s)^\top m(s) + D(s)^\top n(s)] \\ \quad + D(s)R(s)^{-1}D(s)^\top \tilde{Z}(s) + D(s)B(s)^\top \tilde{Y}(s)\}dW(s), \\ dm(s) = -[A(s)^\top m(s) + C(s)^\top n(s) + Q(s)^\top k(s) + Q(s)\tilde{X}(s)]ds + n(s)dW(s), \\ k(0) = 0, \quad m(T) = G\tilde{X}(T) + G^\top k(T). \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Let $(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \lambda^*) \in (L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n))^3$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[Q_1\alpha^* + A_1^\top m + C_1^\top n] - \beta^* + \mathcal{L}_2^*\beta^* = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_1^*\beta^* + \mathbb{E}k = 0, \\ \mathcal{P}\xi + \mathcal{L}_1\lambda^* + \mathcal{L}_2\alpha^* - \alpha^* = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

where \mathcal{L}_1^* denotes the adjoint operator of \mathcal{L}_1 .

Now, we are ready to present the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. Then,*

$$u^* = - (R + D^\top \Sigma D)^{-1} \{ (B^\top \Sigma + D^\top \Sigma C + D^\top \Psi) X^* + B^\top \phi + D^\top \Sigma C_1 \alpha^* + D^\top \psi \} \quad (2.11)$$

is the optimal control for Problem (MSLQ), where $(\Sigma(\cdot), \Psi(\cdot))$ is the unique solution to (2.3), $(\phi(\cdot), \psi(\cdot))$ is the unique solution to (2.7), $\alpha^*(\cdot)$ satisfies (2.10), and $X^*(\cdot)$ is the unique adapted solution to the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX^*(s) = [\hat{A}(s)X^*(s) + \hat{A}_1(s)\alpha^*(s) + \hat{B}(s)\phi(s) + \hat{B}_1(s)\psi(s)]ds \\ \quad + [\hat{C}(s)X^*(s) + \hat{C}_1(s)\alpha^*(s) + \hat{D}(s)\phi(s) + \hat{D}_1(s)\psi(s)]dW(s), \quad s \in [0, T], \\ X^*(0) = \xi. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

3 A preliminary stochastic maximum principle

In this section, we start with the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the state equation (1.1) and an adjoint BSDE (3.3) using contraction principle. Then, we prove Theorem 2.1 using convex variation principle.

For the well-posedness of the state equation (1.1), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (H1) hold. Then, for any initial state $\xi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and control $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T]$, the state equation (1.1) admits a unique adapted solution $X(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n))$, and there exists a constant $K > 0$, which is independent of ξ and $u(\cdot)$, such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |X(s)|^2 \right] \leq K \mathbb{E} \left[\xi^2 + \int_0^T |u(s)|^2 ds \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. For any $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T]$ fixed, we define an operator Γ on $L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n))$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma \tilde{X})(t) &:= \xi + \int_0^t [A(s)\tilde{X}(s) + A_1(s)\mathbb{E}\tilde{X}(s) + B(s)u(s)] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t [C(s)\tilde{X}(s) + C_1(s)\mathbb{E}\tilde{X}(s) + D(s)u(s)] dW(s). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy–Schwarz and Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} |(\Gamma \tilde{X})(t)|^2 \right] &\leq K \mathbb{E} \left[\xi^2 + \left(\int_0^\tau |A(s)\tilde{X}(s)| ds \right)^2 + \left(\int_0^\tau |A_1(s)\mathbb{E}\tilde{X}(s)| ds \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int_0^\tau |B(s)u(s)| ds \right)^2 + \int_0^\tau |C(s)\tilde{X}(s)|^2 ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\tau |C_1(s)\mathbb{E}\tilde{X}(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^\tau |D(s)u(s)|^2 ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By simple estimation, we then have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} |(\Gamma \tilde{X})(t)|^2 \right] \leq K \mathbb{E} \left[\xi^2 + K\tau \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} |\tilde{X}(t)|^2 + K(1 + \tau) \int_0^\tau |u(s)|^2 ds \right]. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence, $(\Gamma \tilde{X})(t) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n))$. For $\tilde{X}_1(\cdot), \tilde{X}_2(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} |\Gamma(\tilde{X}_1 - \tilde{X}_2)(t)|^2 \right] \\ &\leq K \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^\tau |A(s)(\tilde{X}_1 - \tilde{X}_2)(s)| ds \right)^2 + \left(\int_0^\tau |A_1(s)\mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_1 - \tilde{X}_2)(s)| ds \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\tau |C(s)(\tilde{X}_1 - \tilde{X}_2)(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^\tau |C_1(s)\mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_1 - \tilde{X}_2)(s)|^2 ds \right] \\ &\leq K\tau \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} |\tilde{X}_1 - \tilde{X}_2|^2(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\tau > 0$ small enough, by contraction mapping theorem, there exists a unique strong solution on $[0, \tau]$. Moreover, (3.2) implies that this unique solution satisfies estimation (3.1). Then we can apply the usual continuation argument to get the unique adapted solution on $[0, T]$, and (3.1) follows accordingly. \square

Next, we consider the following BSDE with random coefficients and mean-field terms: for $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} dY(s) = -\{A(s)^\top Y(s) + C(s)^\top Z(s) + \mathbb{E}[A_1(s)^\top Y(s) + C_1(s)^\top Z(s)] \\ \quad + Q(s)\}ds + Z(s)dW(s), \\ Y(T) = \zeta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

This equation is of the same form as the second equation of (2.1) with $QX + \mathbb{E}Q_1\mathbb{E}X$ there replaced by Q here, which is regarded as known after the first equation of (2.1) is solved. Also, ζ here replaces $GX(T)$ there.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (H1) and (H2) hold. Then, BSDE (3.3) has a unique solution*

$$(Y(\cdot), Z(\cdot)) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y(t)|^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T Z^2(s)ds \leq K \mathbb{E} \left[|\zeta|^2 + \int_0^T |Q(s)|^2 ds \right].$$

Proof. Define a norm $\|X(\cdot)\|_\sigma$ on $L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$\|X(\cdot)\|_\sigma = \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{\sigma t} |X(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\sigma > 0$ will be determined later. It is easy to verify that for any $(y(\cdot), z(\cdot)) \in (L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n))^2$, the following BSDE has a unique adapted solution $(Y(\cdot), Z(\cdot)) \in (L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n))^2$:

$$\begin{cases} dY(s) = -\{A^\top Y + C^\top Z + \mathbb{E}[A_1^\top y + C_1^\top z] + Q\}ds + ZdW(s), \\ Y(T) = \zeta, \quad s \in [0, T]. \end{cases}$$

Define the operator Γ as $\Gamma(y, z) := (Y, Z)$. For $(y_i, z_i) \in (L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n))^2$, $i = 1, 2$, we denote $(Y_i, Z_i) = \Gamma(y_i, z_i)$. Set

$$(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = (Y_1 - Y_2, Z_1 - Z_2) \text{ and } (\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = (y_1 - y_2, z_1 - z_2).$$

Applying Ito's formula to $e^{\sigma t} |\tilde{Y}(t)|^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{\sigma t} |\tilde{Y}(t)|^2) &= \sigma e^{\sigma t} |\tilde{Y}|^2 dt - 2e^{\sigma t} \langle \tilde{Y}, A^\top \tilde{Y} + C^\top \tilde{Z} + \mathbb{E}[A_1^\top \tilde{y} + C_1^\top \tilde{z}] \rangle dt \\ &\quad + 2e^{\sigma t} \langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z} \rangle dW(t) + e^{\sigma t} |\tilde{Z}|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\tilde{Y}(t)|^2 + \sigma \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T e^{\sigma(s-t)} |\tilde{Y}(s)|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T e^{\sigma(s-t)} |\tilde{Z}(s)|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= 2\mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T e^{\sigma(s-t)} \langle \tilde{Y}, A^\top \tilde{Y} + C^\top \tilde{Z} + \mathbb{E}[A_1^\top \tilde{y}] + \mathbb{E}[C_1^\top \tilde{z}] \rangle ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&\leq 2K\mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_t^T e^{\sigma(s-t)} \left(|\tilde{Y}|^2 + |\tilde{Z}^\top \tilde{Y}| + |\mathbb{E}(\tilde{y}^\top) \tilde{Y}| + |\mathbb{E}(\tilde{z}^\top) \tilde{Y}| \right) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right\} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_t^T e^{\sigma(s-t)} \left(2K|\tilde{Y}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{Z}|^2 + 8K^2|\tilde{Y}|^2 + \frac{\sigma}{4}|\tilde{Y}|^2 + \frac{16K^2}{\sigma} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{y}|^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\sigma}{4}|\tilde{Y}|^2 + \frac{16K^2}{\sigma} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{z}|^2 \right) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right\} \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_t^T e^{\sigma(s-t)} \left[\left(2K + 8K^2 + \frac{\sigma}{2} \right) |\tilde{Y}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{Z}|^2 + \frac{16K^2}{\sigma} (\mathbb{E}|\tilde{z}|^2 + \mathbb{E}|\tilde{y}|^2) \right] ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We then have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\sigma}{2} - 2K - 8K^2 \right) \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_t^T e^{\sigma(s-t)} |\tilde{Y}|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_t^T e^{\sigma(s-t)} |\tilde{Z}|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{16K^2}{\sigma} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_t^T e^{\sigma(s-t)} (|\tilde{z}|^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2) ds \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\sigma = 32K^2 + 4K + 2$, then Γ is a contraction mapping. Therefore, BSDE (3.3) has a unique solution $(Y(\cdot), Z(\cdot)) \in (L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n))^2$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $Y(\cdot) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n))$. Then, the existence and uniqueness of solution of BSDE (3.3) are obtained. \square

Finally, we proceed to proving Theorem 2.1. The existence of an optimal control follows from the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.2 of [23] using Mazur's theorem. The uniqueness follows from the convexity of the cost functional which we will verify in next lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (H1) and (H2) hold. Then, the cost functional $J(u)$ is strictly convex.*

Proof. The proof is inspired by Theorem 3.2 in [16]. Let $X^{0,u}$ and $X^{\xi,0}$ be the unique solution to (1.1) with $\xi = 0$ and $u = 0$, respectively. We then define two bounded linear mappings from $\mathcal{U}[0, T]$ to $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n))$ and $L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively as

$$\mathcal{H}_1 u := X^{0,u}, \quad \mathcal{H}_2 u := X^{0,u}(T),$$

and two other bounded linear mappings from $L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n))$ and $L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively as

$$\mathcal{H}_3 \xi := X^{\xi,0}, \quad \mathcal{H}_4 \xi := X^{\xi,0}(T).$$

By the uniqueness of the adapted solution of (1.1), we have $X = X^{\xi,0} + X^{0,u}$. Namely, $X = \mathcal{H}_1 u + \mathcal{H}_3 \xi$. Moreover, $X(T) = \mathcal{H}_2 u + \mathcal{H}_4 \xi$. Since $\mathbb{E}X = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{H}_1 u) + \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{H}_3 \xi)$, we can two

other bounded linear mappings $\mathcal{M}_1 : \mathcal{U}[0, T] \rightarrow L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n))$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 : L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n))$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_1 u := \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{H}_1 u), \quad \mathcal{M}_2 \xi := \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{H}_3 \xi).$$

Namely, $\mathbb{E}X = \mathcal{M}_1 u + \mathcal{M}_2 \xi$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle Q(s)X(s), X(s) \rangle + \langle Q_1(s)\mathbb{E}X(s), \mathbb{E}X(s) \rangle \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \langle R(s)u(s), u(s) \rangle \right) ds + \langle GX(T), X(T) \rangle \right\} \\ &= \langle (\mathcal{H}_1^* Q \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2^* G \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{M}_1^* Q_1 \mathcal{M}_1 + R)u, u \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \langle (\mathcal{H}_3^* Q \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_4^* G \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{M}_2^* Q_1 \mathcal{M}_1)u, \xi \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle (\mathcal{H}_3^* Q \mathcal{H}_3 + \mathcal{H}_4^* G \mathcal{H}_4 + \mathcal{M}_2^* Q_1 \mathcal{M}_2 + R)\xi, \xi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By (H2), we know that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\langle (\mathcal{H}_1^* Q \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2^* G \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{M}_1^* Q_1 \mathcal{M}_1 + R)u, u \rangle \geq \delta \mathbb{E} \int_0^T u^2(s) ds.$$

Together with (3.4), this implies the strict convexity of J . \square

Let $(u^*(\cdot), X^*(\cdot))$ be the optimal pair that satisfies equation (1.1). Let $X^\epsilon(\cdot)$ denote the state trajectory with respect to the control $u^\epsilon(\cdot) = u^*(\cdot) + \epsilon v(\cdot)$, where $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$, $v(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T]$.

We introduce the variation equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX_1(s) = [A(s)X_1(s) + A_1(s)\mathbb{E}X_1(s) + B(s)v(s)]ds \\ \quad + [C(s)X_1(s) + C_1(s)\mathbb{E}X_1(s) + D(s)v(s)]dW(s), \quad s \in [0, T], \\ X_1(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By linearity, it is easy to verify that

$$\epsilon^{-1}(X^\epsilon(s) - X^*(s)) = X_1(s), \text{ a.s., a.e., } s \in [0, T].$$

Then, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbb{E}|X^\epsilon(s) - X^*(s)|^2 \leq K\epsilon^2.$$

Now we are ready to present the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: As $u^*(\cdot)$ is an optimal control for Problem (MSLQ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u^\epsilon(\cdot)) - J(u^*(\cdot))}{\epsilon} \\ &= 2\mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle QX^*, X_1 \rangle + \langle Q_1\mathbb{E}X^*, \mathbb{E}X_1 \rangle + \langle Ru^*, v \rangle \right) ds + \langle GX^*(T), X_1(T) \rangle \right\} \\ &= 2\mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle QX^* + \mathbb{E}Q_1\mathbb{E}X^*, X_1 \rangle + \langle Ru^*, v \rangle \right) ds + \langle GX^*(T), X_1(T) \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Applying Ito's formula to $\langle Y^*(s), X_1(s) \rangle$ and taking expectation, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\langle GX^*(T), X_1(T) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle -QX^* - \mathbb{E}Q_1\mathbb{E}X^*, X_1 \rangle + \langle B^\top Y^* + D^\top Z^*, v \rangle \right) ds \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Combine (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain that

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle Ru^* + (B^\top Y^* + D^\top Z^*), v \rangle ds. \quad (3.7)$$

So we finish the proof by the arbitraryness of v . \square

Remark 3.4. Note that it is difficult to decouple the optimal system (2.1) due to the term $\mathbb{E}[A_1^\top Y^* + C_1^\top Z^*]$ in the adjoint equation. However, by virtual of the extended LaGrange multiplier methods in the next section, we will find a procedure to obtain the optimal control.

4 Extended LaGrange multiplier method

In this section, we consider the problem with the constraint that the state process $X(s)$ satisfies $\mathbb{E}X(s) = \alpha(s)$, $\forall s \in [0, T]$, for a fixed deterministic function $\alpha(\cdot)$. We relax the constraint by introducing an ELM $\lambda(\cdot)$, which is a deterministic function. More specifically, we consider the control problem with state equation (4.1) and cost functional (4.2) below. Note that the control variables are $u(\cdot)$ and $\lambda(\cdot)$ in this problem. Namely, we consider the state equation

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = [A(s)X(s) + B(s)u(s) + A_1(s)\alpha(s)]ds \\ \quad + [C(s)X(s) + D(s)u(s) + C_1(s)\alpha(s)]dW(s), \quad s \in [0, T], \\ X(0) = \xi, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

and the cost functional

$$\begin{aligned} J_\alpha(u(\cdot), \lambda(\cdot)) = & \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle Q(s)X(s), X(s) \rangle + \langle Q_1(s)\alpha(s), \alpha(s) \rangle + \langle R(s)u(s), u(s) \rangle \right) ds \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^T \langle 2\lambda(s), X(s) - \alpha(s) \rangle ds + \langle GX(T), X(T) \rangle \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\lambda(\cdot)$ is a \mathbb{R}^n -valued deterministic function satisfying

$$\int_0^T |\lambda(t)|^2 dt < \infty.$$

Problem 1 : Find a control $(u_\alpha(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T] \times L^2(0, T)$, such that

$$J_\alpha(u_\alpha(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot)) = \inf_{u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T], \lambda(\cdot) \in L^2(0, T)} J_\alpha(u(\cdot), \lambda(\cdot)). \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose (H1) and (H2) hold. We assume that $(u_\alpha(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T] \times L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is an optimal control for Problem 1. Then, the adapted solution $(X_\alpha(\cdot), Y_\alpha(\cdot), Z_\alpha(\cdot))$ to the following FBSDE: for $s \in [0, T]$,*

$$\begin{cases} dX_\alpha(s) = [A(s)X_\alpha(s) + B(s)u_\alpha(s) + A_1(s)\alpha(s)]ds \\ \quad + [C(s)X_\alpha(s) + D(s)u_\alpha(s) + C_1(s)\alpha(s)]dW(s), \\ dY_\alpha(s) = -[A(s)^\top Y_\alpha(s) + C(s)^\top Z_\alpha(s) + Q(s)X_\alpha(s) + \lambda_\alpha(s)]ds + Z_\alpha(s)dW(s), \\ X_\alpha(0) = \xi, \quad Y_\alpha(T) = GX_\alpha(T) \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

satisfies the stationary condition

$$R(s)u_\alpha(s) + B(s)^\top Y_\alpha(s) + D(s)^\top Z_\alpha(s) = 0, \text{ a.e. } s \in [0, T], \text{ a.s.} \quad (4.5)$$

On the other hand, if u_α satisfies (4.4) and (4.5), then $J_\alpha(u(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot))$ attains its minimum at u_α .

Proof. Let $(u_\alpha(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot))$ be the optimal control of Problem 1, and $X_\alpha(\cdot)$ be the solution of (4.1) corresponding to the control $u_\alpha(\cdot)$. Let $X_\epsilon(\cdot)$ denotes the state trajectory with respect to the control $u_\epsilon(\cdot) = u_\alpha(\cdot) + \epsilon v(\cdot)$, where $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T]$.

Now we introduce the variation equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX_1(s) = [A(s)X_1(s) + B(s)v(s)]ds + [C(s)X_1(s) + D(s)v(s)]dW(s), \quad s \in [0, T], \\ X_1(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{J_\alpha(u_\epsilon(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot)) - J_\alpha(u_\alpha(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot))}{\epsilon} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\int_0^T \left(\langle QX_\epsilon, X_\epsilon \rangle - \langle QX_\alpha, X_\alpha \rangle + \epsilon^2 \langle Rv, v \rangle + 2\epsilon \langle Ru_\alpha, v \rangle + \langle 2\lambda_\alpha, X_\epsilon - X_\alpha \rangle \right) ds \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \langle GX_\epsilon(T), X_\epsilon(T) \rangle - \langle GX_\alpha(T), X_\alpha(T) \rangle \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\alpha(u_\epsilon(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot)) - J_\alpha(u_\alpha(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot))}{\epsilon} \\ &= 2\mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle QX_\alpha, X_1 \rangle + \langle Ru_\alpha, v \rangle + \langle \lambda_\alpha, X_1 \rangle \right) ds + \langle GX_\alpha(T), X_1(T) \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Apply Ito's formula to $\langle Y_\alpha(s), X_1(s) \rangle$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle Y_\alpha(s), X_1(s) \rangle &= \langle -(A^\top Y_\alpha + C^\top Z_\alpha + QX_\alpha + \lambda_\alpha), X_1 \rangle ds + \langle Z_\alpha, X_1 \rangle dW(s) \\ &\quad + \langle Y_\alpha, AX_1 + Bv \rangle ds + \langle Y_\alpha, CX_1 + Dv \rangle dW(s) + \langle Z_\alpha, CX_1 + Dv \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \langle GX_\alpha(T), X_1(T) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle -(QX_\alpha + \lambda_\alpha), X_1 \rangle + \langle B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha, v \rangle \right) ds \right\}. \quad (4.8)$$

Substitute (4.8) into (4.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\alpha(u_\epsilon(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot)) - J_\alpha(u_\alpha(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot))}{\epsilon} \\ &= 2\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle Ru_\alpha + B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha, v \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, we have

$$Ru_\alpha + B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha = 0.$$

On the other hand, we take $\epsilon = 1$ and denote (X_ϵ, u_ϵ) as (X, u) . Similar to the above calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &J_\alpha(u(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot)) - J_\alpha(u_\alpha(\cdot), \lambda_\alpha(\cdot)) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle QX_1, X_1 \rangle + \langle Rv, v \rangle \right) ds + \langle GX_1(T), X_1(T) \rangle \right\} \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle Ru_\alpha + B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha, v \rangle ds \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle QX_1, X_1 \rangle + \langle Rv, v \rangle \right) ds + \langle GX_1(T), X_1(T) \rangle \right\} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the optimality of u_α with λ_α fixed. \square

Substitute (4.5) into (4.4), we have the following optimal system: for $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} dX_\alpha(s) = \{A(s)X_\alpha(s) - B(s)R(s)^{-1}[B(s)^\top Y_\alpha(s) + D(s)^\top Z_\alpha(s)] + A_1(s)\alpha(s)\}ds \\ \quad + \{C(s)X_\alpha(s) - D(s)R(s)^{-1}[B(s)^\top Y_\alpha(s) + D(s)^\top Z_\alpha(s)] + C_1(s)\alpha(s)\}dW(s), \\ dY_\alpha(s) = -[A(s)^\top Y_\alpha(s) + C(s)^\top Z_\alpha(s) + Q(s)X_\alpha(s) + \lambda_\alpha(s)]ds + Z_\alpha(s)dW(s), \\ X_\alpha(0) = \xi, Y_\alpha(T) = GX_\alpha(T). \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let (H1) and (H2) hold. Then for any initial state $\xi \in L^2(\Omega)$, the coupled system (4.9) admits a unique adapted solution $(X_\alpha(\cdot), Y_\alpha(\cdot), Z_\alpha(\cdot)) \in (L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)))^2 \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Similar to Theorem 2.1, for fixed λ_α , Problem 1 has a unique optimal control. This implies the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (4.9). The $L^2_{\mathbb{F}}$ -estimate follows from the same arguments as those in Theorem 3.1. \square

Since the FBSDE (4.9) is fully coupled, we now use the invariant embedding skill to decouple it, and after that we will obtain the optimal $\lambda_\alpha(\cdot)$. Trying an ansatz:

$$Y_\alpha(s) = \Sigma(s)X_\alpha(s) + \phi(s), \quad s \in [0, T],$$

where

$$d\Sigma(s) = \Sigma_1(s)ds + \Psi(s)dW(s), \quad \Sigma(T) = G,$$

and

$$d\phi(s) = \phi_1(s)ds + \psi(s)dW(s), \quad \phi(T) = 0,$$

with Σ_1 and ϕ_1 being determined later. Namely, we will prove that $\Sigma(\cdot)$ satisfies (2.3), and $\phi(\cdot)$ satisfies (2.7) with λ^* , α^* replaced by λ_α , α .

Applying Ito's formula to $Y_\alpha(s)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} dY_\alpha(s) &= \Psi \left(CX_\alpha - DR^{-1} (B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha) + C_1\alpha \right) ds \\ &\quad + \Sigma \left(AX_\alpha - BR^{-1} (B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha) + A_1\alpha \right) ds \\ &\quad + \Sigma \left(CX_\alpha - DR^{-1} (B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha) + C_1\alpha \right) dW(s) \\ &\quad + \Sigma_1 X_\alpha ds + \Psi X_\alpha dW(s) + \phi_1 ds + \psi dW(s). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Combining (4.10) with the second equation of the system (4.9), we obtain

$$Z_\alpha = \Sigma \left(CX_\alpha - DR^{-1} (B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha) + C_1\alpha \right) + \Psi X_\alpha + \psi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A^\top Y_\alpha + C^\top Z_\alpha + QX_\alpha + \lambda_\alpha) + \Psi \left(CX_\alpha - DR^{-1} (B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha) + C_1\alpha \right) \\ &\quad + \Sigma \left(AX_\alpha - BR^{-1} (B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha) + A_1\alpha \right) + \Sigma_1 X_\alpha + \phi_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate u_α . Since

$$Ru_\alpha + B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha = 0$$

and

$$Z_\alpha = (\Sigma C + \Psi)X_\alpha + \Sigma Du_\alpha + \Sigma C_1\alpha + \psi,$$

we obtain

$$Ru_\alpha + B^\top (\Sigma X_\alpha + \phi) + D^\top (\Sigma C + \Psi)X_\alpha + D^\top \Sigma Du_\alpha + D^\top \Sigma C_1\alpha + D^\top \psi = 0.$$

Namely

$$(R + D^\top \Sigma D) u_\alpha = - \left\{ (B^\top \Sigma + D^\top \Sigma C + D^\top \Psi) X_\alpha + B^\top \phi + D^\top \Sigma C_1\alpha + D^\top \psi \right\}.$$

If $R + D^\top \Sigma D$ is invertible, then the optimal control can be represented as

$$u_\alpha = - (R + D^\top \Sigma D)^{-1} \left\{ (B^\top \Sigma + D^\top \Sigma C + D^\top \Psi) X_\alpha + B^\top \phi + D^\top \psi + D^\top \Sigma C_1\alpha \right\}. \tag{4.11}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(A^\top (\Sigma X_\alpha + \phi) + C^\top (\Sigma C + \Psi)X_\alpha + C^\top \Sigma Du_\alpha + C^\top \Sigma C_1\alpha + C^\top \psi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + QX_\alpha + \lambda_\alpha \right) + \Psi (CX_\alpha + Du_\alpha + C_1\alpha) + \Sigma (AX_\alpha + Bu_\alpha + A_1\alpha) + \Sigma_1 X_\alpha + \phi_1. \end{aligned}$$

Namely

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (A^\top \Sigma + C^\top \Sigma C + C^\top \Psi + Q + \Psi C + \Sigma A + \Sigma_1) X_\alpha \\
&\quad + (C^\top \Sigma D + \Psi D + \Sigma B) u_\alpha + A^\top \phi + C^\top \Sigma C_1 \alpha + C^\top \psi \\
&\quad + \lambda_\alpha + \Psi C_1 \alpha + \Sigma A_1 \alpha + \phi_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left(\Sigma_1 + A^\top \Sigma + \Sigma A + C^\top \Psi + \Psi C + C^\top \Sigma C + Q \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (C^\top \Sigma D + \Psi D + \Sigma B) (R + D^\top \Sigma D)^{-1} (B^\top \Sigma + D^\top \Sigma C + D^\top \Psi) \right) X_\alpha \\
&\quad + \phi_1 + A^\top \phi + C^\top \Sigma C_1 \alpha + C^\top \psi + \lambda_\alpha + \Psi C_1 \alpha + \Sigma A_1 \alpha \\
&\quad - (C^\top \Sigma D + \Psi D + \Sigma B) (R + D^\top \Sigma D)^{-1} (B^\top \phi + D^\top \Sigma C_1 \alpha + D^\top \psi).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we can define Σ_1 and ϕ_1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &= - \{ \Sigma A + A^\top \Sigma + \Psi C + C^\top \Psi + C^\top \Sigma C + Q \\
&\quad - (\Sigma B + \Psi D + C^\top \Sigma D) (D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1} (B^\top \Sigma + D^\top \Psi + D^\top \Sigma C) \}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &= - \left\{ \lambda_\alpha + \left(A^\top - (\Sigma B + \Psi D + C^\top \Sigma D) (D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1} B^\top \right) \phi \right. \\
&\quad + \left(C^\top - (\Sigma B + \Psi D + C^\top \Sigma D) (D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1} D^\top \right) \psi \\
&\quad \left. + \left(C^\top \Sigma C_1 + \Psi C_1 + \Sigma A_1 - (\Sigma B + \Psi D + C^\top \Sigma D) (D^\top \Sigma D + R)^{-1} D^\top \Sigma C_1 \right) \alpha \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

namely, $\Sigma(s)$ satisfies the stochastic Riccati equation (2.3) and $\phi(s)$ satisfies the linear BSDE (2.7) with λ^* , α^* replaced by λ_α , α .

Lemma 4.3. *Let (H1) and (H2) hold. Then the stochastic Riccati equation (2.3) admits a unique adapted solution $(\Sigma(\cdot), \Psi(\cdot)) \in L^\infty(\Omega; C[0, T]; \mathbb{S}^n) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{S}^n)$ such that for some $c > 0$*

$$D^\top \Sigma D + R \geq c I_n, \text{ a.e on } [0, T] \text{ a.s.}$$

Moreover, the linear BSDE (2.7) admits a solution $(\phi(\cdot), \psi(\cdot)) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega, C[0, T; \mathbb{R}^n]) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}[0, T; \mathbb{R}^n]$.

Proof. Let $(X_\alpha(\cdot), Y_\alpha(\cdot), Z_\alpha(\cdot))$ be the unique solution of (4.9). Define

$$\phi(s) = Y_\alpha(s) - \Sigma(s) X_\alpha(s),$$

and

$$\psi = Z_\alpha - \left\{ \Sigma \left(C X_\alpha - D R^{-1} (B^\top Y_\alpha + D^\top Z_\alpha) + C_1 \alpha \right) + \Psi X_\alpha \right\}.$$

Applying Ito's formula to ϕ , it is easy to check that (ϕ, ψ) is a solution of (2.7). Also, we can check that $(\phi(\cdot), \psi(\cdot)) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega, C[0, T; \mathbb{R}^n]) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}[0, T; \mathbb{R}^n]$. \square

The following theorem follows from Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 directly.

Theorem 4.4. *Under Assumptions (H1), (H2) and (H3), the unique optimal control $u_\alpha(\cdot)$ of Problem 1 takes the following linear state feedback form:*

$$u_\alpha = - (R + D^\top \Sigma D)^{-1} \{ (B^\top \Sigma + D^\top \Sigma C + D^\top \Psi) X_\alpha + B^\top \phi + D^\top \Sigma C_1 \alpha + D^\top \psi \}. \quad (4.14)$$

Further, $X_\alpha(\cdot)$ is the unique solution of the following SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_\alpha(s) = [\hat{A}(s)X_\alpha(s) + \hat{A}_1(s)\alpha(s) + \hat{B}(s)\phi(s) + \hat{B}_1(s)\psi(s)]ds \\ \quad + [\hat{C}(s)X_\alpha(s) + \hat{C}_1(s)\alpha(s) + \hat{D}(s)\phi(s) + \hat{D}_1(s)\psi(s)]dW(s), s \in [0, T], \\ X_\alpha(0) = \xi, \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

and $(\Sigma(\cdot), \Psi(\cdot))$ is the unique solution of (2.3), $(\phi(\cdot), \psi(\cdot))$ is the unique solution of (2.7) with $\lambda^*(\cdot)$, $\alpha^*(\cdot)$ replaced by $\lambda_\alpha(\cdot)$, $\alpha(\cdot)$.

In order to determine λ_α , we need to solve $X_\alpha(t)$ from (4.15) explicitly. Recall that system (2.4) has a unique solution, so we have $X_\alpha = X_0 + X_{\lambda_\alpha} + X^\alpha$. Then, applying $\alpha(t) = \mathbb{E}X_\alpha(t)$, the optimal λ_α satisfies the following equation:

$$\alpha(t) = (\mathcal{P}\xi)(t) + (\mathcal{L}_1\lambda_\alpha)(t) + (\mathcal{L}_2\alpha)(t). \quad (4.16)$$

Remark 4.5. *If we can uniquely solve $\lambda_\alpha(\cdot)$ from (4.16) in terms of $\alpha(\cdot)$, then the Problem (MSLQ) is converted to an SLQ control problem with deterministic control variable $\alpha(\cdot)$. However, the uniqueness is unclear. Therefore, we will regard (4.16) as a constraint and convert the Problem (MSLQ) to a constrained SLQ control problem with control variable $(\alpha(\cdot), \lambda(\cdot))$.*

5 Optimal mean-field

In this section, we optimize the mean-field which was fixed as $\alpha(\cdot)$ in the previous section. In this case, the state equation is given as: for $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = \{A(s)X(s) - B(s)R(s)^{-1}[B(s)^\top Y(s) + D(s)^\top Z(s)] + A_1(s)\alpha(s)\}ds \\ \quad + \{C(s)X(s) - D(s)R(s)^{-1}[B(s)^\top Y(s) + D(s)^\top Z(s)] + C_1(s)\alpha(s)\}dW(s), \\ dY(s) = - [A(s)^\top Y(s) + C(s)^\top Z(s) + Q(s)X(s) + \lambda(s)]ds + Z(s)dW(s), \\ X(0) = \xi, Y(T) = GX(T), \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

and the cost functional is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J(\alpha(\cdot), \lambda(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\langle QX, X \rangle + \langle Q_1\alpha, \alpha \rangle + \langle BR^{-1}B^\top Y, Y \rangle + 2\langle DB^\top Y, Z \rangle \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \langle DR^{-1}D^\top Z, Z \rangle \right) ds + \langle GX(T), X(T) \rangle \right\} \\ + 2\langle \beta, \mathcal{P}\xi + \mathcal{L}_1\lambda + \mathcal{L}_2\alpha - \alpha \rangle_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product in $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\beta(\cdot)$ is another ELM satisfying

$$\int_0^T \beta^2(t) dt < \infty.$$

Denote the admissible control set by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}[0, T] = \left\{ (\alpha(\cdot), \lambda(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3n} \mid \int_0^T (\alpha^2(t) + \beta^2(t) + \lambda^2(t)) dt < \infty \right\}.$$

Then Problem 2 can be stated as follows.

Problem 2 : Find a control $(\alpha^*(\cdot), \lambda^*(\cdot), \beta^*(\cdot)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}[0, T]$ such that

$$J(\alpha^*(\cdot), \lambda^*(\cdot), \beta^*(\cdot)) = \inf_{(\alpha(\cdot), \lambda(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}[0, T]} J(\alpha(\cdot), \lambda(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)). \quad (5.3)$$

Let $(\alpha^*(\cdot), \lambda^*(\cdot), \beta^*(\cdot))$ be the optimal control of Problem 2, and $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})$ be the corresponding optimal processes. Recall that $(k(\cdot), m(\cdot), n(\cdot))$ satisfies (2.9). We assume that (2.9) is uniquely solvable. Let $\alpha^{\epsilon'} = \alpha^* + \epsilon' \alpha_1, \lambda^{\epsilon'} = \lambda^* + \epsilon' \lambda_1, \beta^{\epsilon'} = \beta^* + \epsilon' \beta_1$, where $\epsilon' \in [0, 1], (\alpha_1, \lambda_1, \beta_1) \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}[0, T]$, and $(X^{\epsilon'}, Y^{\epsilon'}, Z^{\epsilon'})$ be the trajectory corresponding to $(\alpha^{\epsilon'}, \beta^{\epsilon'}, \gamma^{\epsilon'})$.

We introduce the following variation FBSDE: for $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} dX^1(s) = (AX^1 - BR^{-1}B^\top Y^1 - BR^{-1}D^\top Z^1 + A_1\alpha_1) ds \\ \quad + (CX^1 - DR^{-1}B^\top Y^1 - DR^{-1}D^\top Z^1 + C_1\alpha_1) dW(s), \\ dY^1(s) = -(A^\top Y^1 + C^\top Z^1 + QX^1 + \lambda_1) ds + Z^1 dW(s), \\ X^1(0) = 0, Y^1(T) = GX^1(T). \end{cases}$$

Then it is very easy to check that

$$X^{\epsilon'}(t) = \epsilon' X^1(t) + \tilde{X}(t), \quad Y^{\epsilon'}(t) = \epsilon' Y^1(t) + \tilde{Y}(t), \quad Z^{\epsilon'}(t) = \epsilon' Z^1(t) + \tilde{Z}(t).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\epsilon' \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\alpha^{\epsilon'}, \lambda^{\epsilon'}, \beta^{\epsilon'}) - J(\alpha^*, \lambda^*, \beta^*)}{\epsilon'} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left(2\langle Q\tilde{X}, X^1 \rangle + 2\langle Q_1\alpha^*, \alpha_1 \rangle + 2\langle BR^{-1}B^\top \tilde{Y}, Y^1 \rangle \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2\langle DB^\top Y^1, \tilde{Z} \rangle + 2\langle DB^\top \tilde{Y}, Z^1 \rangle + 2\langle DR^{-1}D^\top \tilde{Z}, Z^1 \rangle \right) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\langle G\tilde{X}(T), X^1(T) \rangle \right\} + 2\langle \beta^*, \mathcal{L}_1\lambda_1 + \mathcal{L}_2\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 \rangle_{L^2} \\ &\quad + 2\langle \beta_1, \mathcal{P}\xi + \mathcal{L}_1\lambda^* + \mathcal{L}_2\alpha^* - \alpha^* \rangle_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Applying Ito's formula to $\langle m, X^1 \rangle$ and $\langle k, Y^1 \rangle$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle m, X^1 \rangle &= -\langle A^\top m + C^\top n + Q^\top k + Q\tilde{X}, X^1 \rangle dt \\ &\quad + \langle m, AX^1 - BR^{-1}B^\top Y^1 - BR^{-1}D^\top Z^1 + A_1\alpha_1 \rangle dt \\ &\quad + \langle n, CX^1 - DR^{-1}B^\top Y^1 - DR^{-1}D^\top Z^1 + C_1\alpha_1 \rangle dt + (\dots)dW(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle k, Y^1 \rangle &= \langle Ak - BR^{-1}B^\top m - BR^{-1}D^\top n + BR^{-1}B^\top \tilde{Y} + BD^\top \tilde{Z}, Y^1 \rangle dt \\ &\quad - \langle k, A^\top Y^1 + C^\top Z^1 + QX^1 + \lambda_1 \rangle dt + (\dots)dW(t) \\ &\quad + \langle Z^1, Ck - DR^{-1}B^\top m - DR^{-1}D^\top n + DR^{-1}D^\top \tilde{Z} + DB^\top \tilde{Y} \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left(\langle G\tilde{X}(T) + G^\top k(T), X^1(T) \rangle - \langle k(T), GX^1(T) \rangle \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left(-\langle Q\tilde{X}, X^1 \rangle + \langle m, A_1\alpha_1 \rangle + \langle n, C_1\alpha_1 \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle BR^{-1}B^\top \tilde{Y}, Y^1 \rangle - \langle BD^\top \tilde{Z}, Y^1 \rangle + \langle k, \lambda_1 \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle Z^1, DR^{-1}D^\top \tilde{Z} + DB^\top \tilde{Y} \rangle \right) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Combining (5.5) with (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\epsilon' \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\alpha^{\epsilon'}, \lambda^{\epsilon'}, \beta^{\epsilon'}) - J(\alpha^*, \lambda^*, \beta^*)}{\epsilon'} \\ &= \int_0^T 2\mathbb{E} \langle Q_1\alpha^* + A_1^\top m + C_1^\top n, \alpha_1 \rangle ds + \int_0^T 2\mathbb{E} \langle k, \lambda_1 \rangle ds + 2\langle \beta^*, \mathcal{L}_1\lambda_1 + \mathcal{L}_2\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 \rangle_{L^2} \\ &\quad + 2\langle \beta_1, \mathcal{P}\xi + \mathcal{L}_1\lambda^* + \mathcal{L}_2\alpha^* - \alpha^* \rangle_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\epsilon' \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\alpha^{\epsilon'}, \lambda^{\epsilon'}, \beta^{\epsilon'}) - J(\alpha^*, \lambda^*, \beta^*)}{\epsilon'} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T 2\langle Q_1\alpha^* + A_1^\top m + C_1^\top n - \beta^* + \mathcal{L}_2^*\beta^*, \alpha_1 \rangle ds \right\} \\ &\quad + \int_0^T 2\mathbb{E} \langle \mathcal{L}_1^*\beta^* + k, \lambda_1 \rangle ds + 2\langle \beta_1, \mathcal{P}\xi + \mathcal{L}_1\lambda^* + \mathcal{L}_2\alpha^* - \alpha^* \rangle_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

We then obtain (2.10).

Now we can state the following stochastic maximum principle for Problem 2.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. Then $(\alpha^*(\cdot), \lambda^*(\cdot), \beta^*(\cdot))$ is an optimal control for Problem 2 if and only if the adapted solution $(k(\cdot), m(\cdot), n(\cdot))$ of (2.9) admits stationary conditions (2.10).*

Now we are ready to present the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: Since $u^*(\cdot) = u_{\alpha^*}(\cdot)$, combining Theorems 4.4 and 5.1, we finish the proof of Theorem 2.2.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we studied the MFSLQ control problem with random coefficients. We first established the existence and uniqueness of the optimal control $u^*(\cdot)$. Further, we characterized $u^*(\cdot)$ by an optimality system. However, this system contains terms such as $\mathbb{E}[A_1(\cdot)^\top Y^*(\cdot)]$ which makes the explicit solution very difficult to obtain. To overcome this hurdle, we decompose the original MFSLQ problem into Problems 1 and 2. Problem 1 is a usual SLQ control problem with the constraint that $\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = \alpha(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, where $\alpha(\cdot)$ is a deterministic function on $[0, T]$. We introduced an ELM $\lambda(\cdot)$ and converted Problem 1 into a usual SLQ control problem with control variables $u(\cdot)$ and $\lambda(\cdot)$ without any constraint for each fixed $\alpha(\cdot)$. If the optimal control for this converted problem has a unique solution $(u(\cdot), \lambda(\cdot))$ as a functional of $\alpha(\cdot)$, then Problem 2 is a usual SLQ control problem with deterministic control variable $\alpha(\cdot)$. However, the uniqueness of the optimal $\lambda(\cdot)$ is unclear from the corresponding optimality system. Thus, we regard that optimality system as a constraint for $(\alpha(\cdot), \lambda(\cdot))$. In this case, Problem 2 becomes an SLQ control problem with constraint, and we solved it by another ELM. We believe that the ELM method developed in this article can be used in other mean-field control or game problems.

References

- [1] D. Andersson and B. Djehiche (2011). A maximum principle for SDEs of mean-field type. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **63**, no. 3, pp. 341–356.
- [2] J.-M. Bismut (1976). Linear quadratic optimal stochastic control with random coefficients. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **14**, no. 3, pp. 419–444.
- [3] J.-M. Bismut (1978). Controle des systems lineaires quadratiques: Applications de l'integrale stochastique. In : *Séminaire de Probabilités XII, Lecture Notes in Math 649*, C. Dellacherie, P. A. Meyer, and M. Weil, eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 180–264.
- [4] R. Buckdahn, B. Djehiche, and J. Li (2011). A general maximum principle for SDEs of mean-field type. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **64**, no. 2, pp. 197–216.
- [5] R. Buckdahn, B. Djehiche, J. Li and S. Peng (2009). Mean-field backward stochastic differential equations: A limit approach. *Ann. Probab.*, **37**, no. 4, pp. 1524-1565.
- [6] R. Buckdahn, J. Li and S. Peng (2009). Mean-field backward stochastic differential equations and related partial differential equations. *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, **119**, no. 10, pp. 3133-3154.
- [7] R. Carmona and F. Delarue (2013). Probabilistic analysis of mean-field games. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **51**, no. 4, pp. 2705-2734.
- [8] R. Carmona and F. Delarue (2013). Mean field forward-backward stochastic differential equations. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, **18**, no. 68, pp. 15.
- [9] R. Carmona and F. Delarue (2015). Forward-backward stochastic differential equations and controlled McKean-Vlasov dynamics. *Ann. Probab.*, **43**, no. 5, pp. 2647-2700.

- [10] M.H.A. Davis (1977). *Linear Estimation and Stochastic Control*. Chapman and Hall, London.
- [11] R.E. Kalman (1960). Contributions to the theory of optimal control. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana*, **5**, no. 2, pp. 102–119.
- [12] H. Kushner (1962). Optimal stochastic control. *IRE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **7**, no. 5, pp. 120-122.
- [13] T. Meyer-Brandis, B. Oksendal, and X. Zhou (2012). A mean-field stochastic maximum principle via Malliavin calculus. *Stochastics*, **84**, no. 5-6, pp. 643–666.
- [14] S.G. Peng (1999). Open problems on backward stochastic differential equations. In: *Control of Distributed Parameter and Stochastic Systems (Hangzhou, 1998)*, Ed. S. Chen et al, pp. 265–273.
- [15] H. Pham (2016). Linear quadratic optimal control of conditional McKean-Vlasov equation with random coefficients and applications. *Probab Uncertain Quant Risk*, **1**, no. 7.
- [16] J. Sun, and H. Wang (2021). Linear-quadratic optimal control for backward stochastic differential equations with random coefficients, *ESAIM: Control, Optim. Calc. Var.*, **27**, no. 46.
- [17] J. Sun and J.M. Yong (2014). Linear quadratic stochastic differential games: Open-Loop and Closed-Loop saddle points. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **52**, no. 6, pp. 4082-4121.
- [18] J. Sun, J. Xiong and J.M. Yong (2021). Indefinite stochastic linear-quadratic optimal control problems with random coefficients: Closed-loop representation of open-loop optimal controls. *The Ann. Appl. Probab.*, **31**, no. 1, pp. 460–499 .
- [19] S.J. Tang (2003). General linear quadratic optimal stochastic control problems with random coefficients: Linear stochastic Hamilton systems and backward stochastic Riccati equations. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **42**, no. 1, pp. 53–75.
- [20] W.M. Wonham (1968). On a matrix Riccati equation of stochastic control. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **6**, pp. 681–697.
- [21] J.M. Yong (2013). A linear-quadratic optimal control problem for mean-field stochastic differential equations. *SIAM J. Control. Optim.*, **51**, pp. 2809–2838.
- [22] J.M. Yong (2006). Linear forward-backward stochastic differential equations with random coefficients. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **135**, pp. 53–83.
- [23] J.M. Yong and X. Y. Zhou (1999). *Stochastic Controls: Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York.