

SMOOTHING L^∞ RIEMANNIAN METRICS WITH NONNEGATIVE SCALAR CURVATURE OUTSIDE OF A SINGULAR SET

PAULA BURKHARDT-GUIM

*Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences
New York University*

ABSTRACT. We show that any L^∞ Riemannian metric g on \mathbb{R}^n that is smooth with nonnegative scalar curvature away from a singular set of finite $(n - \alpha)$ -dimensional Minkowski content, for some $\alpha > 2$, admits an approximation by smooth Riemannian metrics with nonnegative scalar curvature, provided that g is sufficiently close in L^∞ to the Euclidean metric. The approximation is given by time slices of the Ricci-DeTurck flow, which converge locally in C^∞ to g away from the singular set.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is natural to ask when a singular space satisfying a lower curvature bound in a weak sense can be approximated by smooth metrics satisfying the same lower curvature bound in the classical sense. In some cases, there are positive results of this type: for example, it is known that any 3-dimensional polyhedral Alexandrov space with nonnegative curvature can be approximated by smooth 3-manifolds with nonnegative sectional curvature [21] (see also [33]). However, an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by k need not, in general, be a Gromov–Hausdorff limit of Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvature bounded below by k [28]. In fact, it is conjectured that there exist Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below that admit no approximation by a sequence of Riemannian manifolds of fixed dimension with sectional curvature bounded below by k , for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ([15], [17]).

Given these results, one could ask whether any analogous statements can be made for spaces with scalar curvature bounded below, and indeed the purpose of this paper is to prove an approximation result in this setting. The synthetic theory of lower scalar curvature bounds is less established than that of Alexandrov spaces (see, for instance, [32], [13], [24], [22], [5], [6], [20] for some of the different notions of weak convergence and weak lower bounds that have been proposed for lower scalar curvature bounds). Nonetheless, examples of singular Riemannian metrics that are smooth with nonnegative scalar curvature outside of some set arise naturally in the study of spaces with scalar curvature bounded below. For

E-mail address: pbguim@cims.nyu.edu.

Date: June 10, 2024.

instance, there are a number of singular versions of the Riemannian Positive Mass Theorem for metrics that are smooth with nonnegative scalar curvature away from a singular set (see, for example, [27], [26], [30], [16], [23], [10]), in which the metric is assumed to be continuous across the singular set (often with some additional regularity), and geometric constraints on the singular set may be imposed. More generally, metrics on a punctured domain ([14, Section 3.9], [11]), metrics with “edge-type” singularities [25, Theorem 1.4], and cones over S^3 [3] are all examples of metrics that are smooth almost everywhere and that have been studied in the context of nonnegative scalar curvature.

In this paper we show that, under relatively mild assumptions, a Riemannian metric that is smooth with nonnegative scalar curvature almost everywhere admits an approximation by smooth metrics with globally nonnegative scalar curvature.

For a Riemannian metric g , let $R(g)$ denote the scalar curvature of g . Let δ denote the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^n . We show the following:

Theorem 1.1. *For all $\alpha > 2$ and $n \geq 3$ there exists $\bar{\varepsilon}(\alpha, n)$ such that the following is true:*

Suppose that g is a measurable (with respect to the Euclidean measure) Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\|g - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \bar{\varepsilon}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is measured with respect to δ . Suppose that g is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set of finite $(n - \alpha)$ -dimensional Minkowski content, and that $R(g) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Then g admits an approximation by smooth Riemannian metrics with nonnegative scalar curvature, in the sense that there exists a smooth family of Riemannian metrics $(g_t)_{t>0}$ on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$R(g_t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t > 0$$

and

$$g_t \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S})} g.$$

Note that in Theorem 1.1, g need not be continuous, and that, aside from the Minkowski content requirement, we do not impose geometric conditions on \mathcal{S} .

Question 1. *For $n \geq 3$, does there exist a L^∞ metric on \mathbb{R}^n that is uniformly bilipschitz to the Euclidean metric smooth outside of a singular set of finite $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Minkowski content, for which the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 fails? Does there exist such a metric for which the $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Minkowski content of the singular set is 0?*

Question 2. *Suppose that in the setting of Theorem 1.1, \mathcal{S} has Hausdorff dimension equal to $n - \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 2$, rather than finite $(n - \alpha)$ -dimensional Minkowski content. Does the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 still hold?*

The approximation in Theorem 1.1 is given by time slices of a solution to the Ricci-DeTurck flow, a geometric flow closely related to the Ricci flow that depends on a choice of “background” metric (see Section 2 for details), and Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from:

Theorem 1.2. *For all $\alpha > 2$ and $n \geq 3$ there exists $\bar{\varepsilon}(\alpha, n)$ such that the following is true:*

Suppose that g is a measurable metric on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\|g - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \bar{\varepsilon}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is measured with respect to δ . Suppose that g is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

is a set of finite $(n - \alpha)$ -dimensional Minkowski content, and that $R(g) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Then there exists a smooth Ricci-DeTurck flow $(g_t)_{t \in (0, \infty)}$ with respect to the background metric δ such that

$$R(g_t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t > 0$$

and

$$g_t \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S})} g.$$

Remark 1.3. Given that the scalar curvature under Ricci flow is a supersolution to the heat equation (see (2.8)), Theorem 1.2 may seem surprising by analogy: consider a negative Gaussian evolving by the heat equation on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$, which tends to 0 everywhere except at the origin as $t \searrow 0$. This example demonstrates that the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 is false when $R(g_t)$ is replaced with a solution to the heat equation with respect to δ . The key differences between this example and the statement of Theorem 1.2 are that there is a positive source term in the evolution equation for the scalar curvature under Ricci flow (2.8), and that the evolution of the volume form under Ricci flow is also influenced by the scalar curvature (see (2.9)).

Remark 1.4. We expect results analogous to Theorems 1.2 and 1.1 to hold on manifolds for ε perturbations of complete smooth metrics with bounded curvature, in view of [31] and [6]. The condition that the metric g be $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bilipschitz to a fixed complete smooth background metric of bounded curvature is used in two ways:

- (1) To guarantee the existence of a Ricci-DeTurck flow starting from g , as in Theorem 2.4, and
- (2) To ensure an a priori bound of the form $R(g_t) \geq -c\varepsilon/t$ for some $c > 0$, for all $t > 0$, which in turn is used to derive an upper bound for a modified backwards heat kernel, as in the proofs of Theorem 2.9 and [3, Theorem 2.3].

Question 3. *Is the $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bilipschitz condition necessary? That is, are Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 also true for metrics that are merely uniformly bilipschitz to some fixed complete smooth background metric of bounded curvature?*

Given the interest in C^0 metrics with scalar curvature bounded below in a synthetic sense ([13], [5], [23], [1]), we now record a version of Theorem 1.2 that implicitly makes use of the weak local notion of lower scalar curvature bounds (2.11) that was first introduced for C^0 metrics in [6]. However, note that while the local condition (2.11) was originally introduced in the context of continuous metrics, the following theorem does not assume that $(g_t)_{t>0}$ starts from continuous initial data.

Theorem 1.5. *For all $\alpha > 2$ and $n \geq 3$ there exist $\bar{\varepsilon}(\alpha, n)$, $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\beta}(\alpha, n)$ such that for all $0 < \beta < \bar{\beta}$ the following is true:*

Suppose that $(g_t)_{t>0}$ is a smooth solution to the Ricci-DeTurck flow with δ -background on \mathbb{R}^n , such that for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ there exists some $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ such that $\|\nabla^k(g_t - \delta)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \varepsilon/t^{k/2}$. Suppose that for some $\alpha > 2$, $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set of finite $(n - \alpha)$ -dimensional Minkowski content, such that for some $0 < \beta < \bar{\beta}$, $(g_t)_{t>0}$ satisfies the β -weak condition (2.11) at all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Then $R(g_t) \geq 0$ for all $t > 0$.

Under the assumption of continuous initial data, a similar result to Theorem 1.5 was proven by Lee – Tam in [23, Corollary 4.2]. Their method of proof is different from the methods in this paper.

We now describe the organization of this paper. In Section 2 we establish some preliminary material concerning Ricci and Ricci-DeTurck flow. In particular, we introduce two heat kernels that will be used in subsequent sections, and record some estimates for these kernels. In Section 3 we use the fact that scalar curvature is a super solution to the heat equation under Ricci-DeTurck flow and exponential heat kernel estimates to prove a spatial lower bound for the scalar curvature of positive time slices of the Ricci-DeTurck flow. In Section 4 we upgrade the spatial lower bound from Section 3 to a uniform nonnegative lower bound, using a backwards heat kernel introduced by Bamler – Chen [3] which makes use of the positive source term in the evolution equation of the scalar curvature under Ricci-DeTurck.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to a number of people who have suggested versions of this problem to me. They are: Richard Bamler, Bruce Kleiner, Chao Li, and Christos Mantoulidis. In addition, I would like to thank Bruce Kleiner for many helpful conversations about both the mathematics and the writing of this paper. I would also like to thank Richard Bamler for helpful discussions, especially concerning [3]. Finally, I am grateful to Vitali Kapovitch for a very illuminating correspondence about Alexandrov spaces.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. DMS 2103145.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We fix the following notation throughout: Let δ denote the Euclidean metric. For $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, let

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_\delta(x, y) < r \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Recall that, for $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the m -dimensional Minkowski content of \mathcal{S} is

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|\{x : d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) < r\}|}{\omega(n-m)r^{n-m}},$$

where here $|\cdot|$ denotes n -dimensional Lebesgue measure (with respect to δ) and $\omega(n-m)$ denotes the Euclidean volume of the $(n-m)$ -dimensional unit ball, provided that this limit exists.

Lemma 2.1. *For $n \geq 3, \alpha \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set of finite $(n-\alpha)$ -dimensional Minkowski content. Then there exists some compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subset K$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{S} has finite $(n-\alpha)$ -dimensional Minkowski content, by definition there exists some $c > 0$ such that for all sufficiently small $r > 0$,

$$|\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, r)| \leq cr^\alpha.$$

Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\gamma$ be a maximal set of points in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, r)$ such that the balls $\{B(x_i, r/2)\}_{i=1}^\gamma$ are pairwise disjoint. Then $\{B(x_i, r)\}_{i=1}^\gamma$ covers $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, r)$. Moreover, each $B(x_i, r/2) \subset \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, 1.5r)$ so if $r > 0$ is sufficiently small, we have

$$\gamma \omega(n) \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^n = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} |B(x_i, \frac{r}{2})| \leq |\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, 1.5r)| \leq cr^\alpha,$$

so $\gamma \leq c'r^{\alpha-n}$ for some $c' > 0$, that is, γ is finite. Thus, for r sufficiently small,

$$K_r := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\gamma} \overline{B(x_i, r)}$$

is a compact set containing $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, r)$ and hence \mathcal{S} . \square

2.1. Ricci and Ricci-DeTurck flow, and evolution of geometric quantities. If M is a smooth manifold and $(\tilde{g}_t)_{t \in (0, T)}$ is a smooth family of Riemannian metrics on M , recall that \tilde{g}_t evolves by Ricci flow if

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_t \tilde{g}_t = -2 \operatorname{Ric}(\tilde{g}_t).$$

We use the notation \tilde{g}_t to distinguish this flow from the Ricci-DeTurck flow, which we use more often in this paper, and which we will denote by g_t . The Ricci-DeTurck flow, introduced by DeTurck in [12], is a strongly parabolic flow that is related to the Ricci flow by pullback via a family of diffeomorphisms. More specifically, given a fixed smooth background metric \bar{g} , we define the following operator, which maps symmetric 2-forms on M to vector fields ([4, (A.7)]):

$$(2.2) \quad X_{\bar{g}}(g) := \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i}^{\bar{g}} e_i - \nabla_{e_i}^g e_i),$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is any local orthonormal frame with respect to g . Then the Ricci-DeTurck equation is

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_t g(t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}(g(t)) - \mathcal{L}_{X_{\bar{g}(t)}(g(t))} g(t),$$

where $\bar{g}(t)$ is a background Ricci flow. In this paper we often work with Ricci-DeTurck flows on \mathbb{R}^n with respect to a Euclidean background, so we take $\bar{g}(t) \equiv \delta$ and (2.3) becomes

$$(2.4) \quad \partial_t g(t) = -2 \operatorname{Ric}(g(t)) - \mathcal{L}_{X_{\delta}(g(t))} g(t).$$

Remark 2.2. If g_t solves (2.3) with respect to some background Ricci flow \bar{g}_t for $t \in (a, b)$, then, for all $\lambda > 0$, the parabolically rescaled flow $g'_t := \lambda g_{t/\lambda}$ solves (2.3) with respect to the background Ricci flow $\bar{g}'_t := \lambda \bar{g}_{t/\lambda}$ for $t \in (\lambda a, \lambda b)$. If $\bar{g}_t \equiv \delta$ and $g(x, t)$ solves (2.4), then $g'(x, t) := g(x/\sqrt{\lambda}, t/\lambda)$ also solves (2.4).

In what follows, we work with solutions g_t to the Ricci-DeTurck equation (2.3) with respect to some given background Ricci flow \bar{g}_t , such that $(g_t)_{t \in (0, T)}$ and $(\bar{g}_t)_{t \in (0, T)}$ are uniformly bilipschitz to some fixed smooth background metric \bar{g} (often we take $\bar{g} = \bar{g}_0$ and in subsequent sections we take $\bar{g}_0 = \delta$, so that $\bar{g}_t = \delta$ for all t). In this setting, unless

otherwise stated, all balls, distances, and norms are measured with respect to \bar{g} and all derivatives of g_t are taken using \bar{g}_t .

If g_t solves (2.4) then $h_t(x) = h(x, t) := g_t(x) - \delta$ evolves by ([18, (4.4)])

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t h_{ij} &= \Delta h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}(\delta + h)^{pq}(\delta + h)^{m\ell} (\nabla_i h_{pm} \nabla_j h_{q\ell} + 2\nabla_m h_{ip} \nabla_q h_{jm} - 2\nabla_m h_{ip} \nabla_\ell h_{jp} \\
&\quad - 2\nabla_p h_{i\ell} \nabla_j h_{qm} - 2\nabla_i h_{pm} \nabla_q h_{j\ell}) - \nabla_p((\delta + h)^{pq}) \nabla_q h_{ij} \\
&\quad + \nabla_p(((\delta + h)^{pq} - \delta^{pq}) \nabla_q h_{ij}) \\
&=: \Delta h_{ij} + Q^0[h] + \nabla^* Q^1[h]
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^0[h] &= \frac{1}{2}(\delta + h)^{pq}(\delta + h)^{m\ell} (\nabla_i h_{pm} \nabla_j h_{q\ell} + 2\nabla_m h_{ip} \nabla_q h_{jm} - 2\nabla_m h_{ip} \nabla_\ell h_{jp} \\
&\quad - 2\nabla_p h_{i\ell} \nabla_j h_{qm} - 2\nabla_i h_{pm} \nabla_q h_{j\ell}) - \nabla_p((\delta + h)^{pq}) \nabla_q h_{ij} = \nabla h * \nabla h, \\
\nabla^* Q^1[h] &= \nabla_p(((\delta + h)^{pq} - \delta^{pq}) \nabla_q h_{ij}) = \nabla(h * \nabla h),
\end{aligned}$$

and where Δ denotes the usual Euclidean Laplacian. If $\bar{K}(x, t; y, s)$ is the Euclidean heat kernel (see [18, Section 2.1]) then the integral equation corresponding to (2.5) with initial data h_0 is

$$h(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{K}(x, t; y, 0) h_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{K}(x, t; y, s) (Q^0[h](y, s) + \nabla^* Q^1[h](y, s)) dy ds.
\tag{2.6}$$

As mentioned, if $g(t)$ solves (2.3) then it is related to a Ricci flow via pullback by diffeomorphisms. More precisely, if $g(t)$ solves (2.3) on $(0, T)$ and $(\chi_t)_{t \in (0, T)} : M \rightarrow M$ is the family of diffeomorphisms satisfying

$$\begin{cases} X_{\bar{g}(t)}(g(t))f &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(f \circ \chi_t) \text{ for all } f \in C^\infty(M) \\ \chi_{t_0} &= \text{id}, \end{cases}
\tag{2.7}$$

for some $t_0 \in (0, T)$, then $\tilde{g}(t) := \chi_t^* g(t)$ solves (2.1) and satisfies $\tilde{g}(t_0) = g(t_0)$ (when g_t is a smooth solution to (2.3) on $[0, T)$ then typically we take $t_0 = 0$, but that is not the setting addressed in this paper).

If \tilde{g}_t evolves by the Ricci flow, the scalar curvature of \tilde{g}_t satisfies ([34, (2.5.6), (2.5.7)])

$$\partial_t R(\tilde{g}_t) \geq \Delta_{\tilde{g}_t} R(\tilde{g}_t) + \frac{2}{n} R(\tilde{g}_t)^2
\tag{2.8}$$

and the volume form of \tilde{g}_t evolves by

$$\partial_t d\tilde{g}_t = -R(\tilde{g}_t) d\tilde{g}_t.
\tag{2.9}$$

If g_t evolves by Ricci-DeTurck flow (2.3) then the scalar curvature of g_t satisfies ([6, (2.24)])

$$\partial_t R(g_t) \geq \Delta^{g_t} R(g_t) - \langle X_{\bar{g}_t}(g_t), \nabla R(g_t) \rangle + \frac{2}{n} R(g_t)^2,
\tag{2.10}$$

where X is as in (2.2). It follows from the maximum principle (see, for example, [34, Corollaries 3.2.2 and 3.2.5]) that $R(g_t) \geq -n/(2t)$ for all $t > 0$ for which the flow is defined, and that if $t_1 < t_2$ and $R(g_{t_1}) \geq \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then $R(g_{t_2}) \geq \alpha$ as well.

We will eventually make use of the following condition on the scalar curvature of time slices of the Ricci-DeTurck flow as $t \searrow 0$, first introduced in [6]:

Definition 2.3. Let M be a smooth manifold and $(g_t)_{t \in (0, T]}$ be a smooth solution to the Ricci-DeTurck flow, with respect to a smooth background Ricci flow $(\bar{g}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. Suppose that $(\bar{g}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is uniformly bilipschitz to a fixed smooth Riemannian metric \bar{g} on M . For $\beta \in (0, 1/2)$, we say that $(g_t)_{t \in (0, T]}$ satisfies the β -weak condition at x with the lower bound κ_0 for some $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$(2.11) \quad \inf_{C > 0} \left(\liminf_{t \searrow 0} \left(\inf_{B_{\bar{g}}(x, Ct^\beta)} R^{g_t} \right) \right) \geq \kappa_0.$$

We will show that there exists a Ricci-DeTurck flow for which this condition holds, and use this condition to deduce a global lower bound for the scalar curvature of time-slices of the Ricci-DeTurck flow, for positive times. Note that when $\kappa_0 = 0$ the condition (2.11) is invariant under the parabolic rescaling described in Remark 2.2.

Because the Ricci-DeTurck flow is strongly parabolic, it has a regularizing effect on the initial data:

Theorem 2.4. *There exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n)$ such that the following is true:*

Suppose that g is a measurable Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\|g - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \varepsilon$, where δ denotes the Euclidean metric, and $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is measured with respect to δ . Suppose that g is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is some subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists a solution $(g_t)_{t > 0}$ to the Ricci-DeTurck flow (with respect to the background metric δ) such that:

- (1) g_t is smooth for all $t > 0$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $c(k) > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$,

$$(2.12) \quad \|\nabla^k g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{c(k) \|g - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{t^{k/2}},$$

and

- (2) g_t converges smoothly to g as $t \searrow 0$ on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$.

The existence of a smooth solution and the derivative estimates stated in Theorem 2.4 are due to Koch – Lamm (cf. [18, Theorem 4.3]), by taking $g_t := h_t + \delta$:

Theorem 2.5 ([18]). *There exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n)$ and $C = C(n) > 0$ such that the following is true:*

Suppose that g is a measurable Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\|g - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \varepsilon$, where δ denotes the Euclidean metric, and $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is measured with respect to δ . Then there exists a solution $(h_t)_{t > 0}$ to the integral equation (2.6) with initial data $g - \delta$ such that

- (1) $\|g_t - \delta\|_{X_\infty} \leq C\|g - \delta\|$, where
- (2.13)
$$\|h\|_{X_T} := \sup_{0 < t < T} \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{0 < r < \sqrt{T}} \left(r^{-n/2} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2(B(x,r) \times (0,r^2))} + r^{2/(n+4)} \|\nabla h\|_{L^{n+4}(B(x,r) \times (r^2/2, r^2))} \right),$$
- (2) g_t is smooth for all $t > 0$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $c(k) > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$,

(2.14)
$$\|\nabla^k g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{c(k)\|g - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{t^{k/2}},$$

and

- (3) h_t is the unique solution of (2.6) with initial data $g - \delta$ in $\{h_t : \|h_t\|_X \leq C\varepsilon\}$.

Remark 2.6. Note that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_\infty}$ is invariant under the parabolic scaling described in Remark 2.2.

It remains to address the convergence of the solution g_t as $t \searrow 0$. This is due to the following result, which is proven in Appendix A:

Lemma 2.7. *Let ε' be as in Lemma A.1 and ε be as in Theorem 2.5. There exists some positive $\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon''(n) \leq \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$ such that the following is true:*

Let g be a L^∞ metric on \mathbb{R}^n that is $(1 + \varepsilon'')$ -bilipschitz to δ . Suppose that g is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is some bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then for any bounded open set U such that $\text{dist}(\overline{U}, \mathcal{S}) > 0$, any $N \in (0, \infty)$, and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_k := C_k(n, N, g, \overline{U}) > 0$ and $T_k = T_k(n, N, g, \overline{U}) > 0$ such that if $\|g - \delta\|_{C^k(\overline{U})} \leq N$ then for all $t \in [0, T_k]$ we have $\|\nabla^k g_t\|_{C^0(\overline{U})} \leq C_k$, where $g_t := h_t + \delta$ and $(h_t)_{t>0}$ is the solution to the integral equation (2.6) with initial data $g - \delta$ given by Theorem 2.5.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let ε'' be the constant from Lemma 2.7. Set $\varepsilon = \varepsilon''$. Let g be as in the statement of the theorem. By Theorem 2.5 there exists a solution $(h_t)_{t>0}$ to the integral equation (2.6) with initial data $g - \delta$. For $t > 0$ let $g_t := h_t + \delta$, so that $(g_t)_{t>0}$ solves (2.4) for $t > 0$. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$ be a compact subset, so that $r := \text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}) > 0$. Note that $\{B(x, r/2) : x \in K\}$ is an open cover of K , so there exists a finite subcover $\{B(x_1, r/2), \dots, B(x_m, r/2)\}$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_m \in K$. Let

$$U := \cup_{i=1}^m B(x_i, r/2).$$

Then U is a bounded open set and $\text{dist}(\overline{U}, \mathcal{S}) \geq \text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}) - r/2 = r/2 > 0$. Now fix $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.7, for $m = 1, \dots, k+2$ there exists $C_m > 0$ and $T_m > 0$ such that $\|\nabla^m g_t\|_{C^0(\overline{U})} \leq C_m$ for all $t \in [0, T_m]$. Let $T = \min\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$. Then, for all $x \in K$ and $t \in (0, T)$,

$$|\nabla^k g_t(x) - \nabla^k g(x)| \leq \int_0^t |\partial_s \nabla^k g_s(x)| ds \leq C(C_1, \dots, C_{k+2})t,$$

where in addition to Lemma 2.7 we have used (2.5), (A.5), and (A.4). Therefore,

$$\|\nabla^k g_t - \nabla^k g\|_{C^0(K)} \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{} 0.$$

□

2.2. Heat kernel estimates. Throughout, let $X_{\bar{g}_t}(g_t)$ denote the usual Ricci-DeTurck vector field, as in (2.2).

The *standard heat kernel* for the Ricci-DeTurck flow, $\Phi(x, t; y, s)$, is [9, Definition 23.1, 24.1] the minimal positive solution of

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \Phi(x, t; y, s) &= \Delta_{g_t, x} \Phi(x, t; y, s) - \partial_{X_{\bar{g}_t}(g_t)} \Phi(x, t; y, s) \\ \partial_s \Phi(x, t; y, s) &= -\Delta_{g_s, y} \Phi(x, t; y, s) + \partial_{X_{\bar{g}_s}(g_s)} \Phi(x, t; y, s) + R^{g_s} \Phi(x, t; y, s) \\ K(\cdot, t; y, s) &\rightarrow \delta_y \text{ as } t \searrow s \\ K(x, t; \cdot, s) &\rightarrow \delta_x \text{ as } s \nearrow t. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.8 (cf. [5]). *For any $0 < c < \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist constants $C_1 = C_1(n, c, b, v_0)$, $D_1 = D_1(n, c, b)$, $C_2 = C_2(n, c, b, v_0, \kappa)$, and $D_2 = D_2(n, c, b, \kappa)$ such that the following is true:*

Let (M^n, \bar{g}) be a smooth Riemannian manifold such that $\text{Ric}(\bar{g}) \geq \kappa$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and such that $\inf_{x \in M, 0 < r < 1} |B(x, r)|_{\bar{g}}/r^n > v_0$ for some $v_0 > 0$. Suppose that $(\bar{g}_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ is a smooth Ricci flow on M such that for some $b > 0$ and for all $t \in [0, 1]$, \bar{g}_t is $(1 + b)$ -bilipschitz to \bar{g} . Let $0 < \theta \leq 1$ and suppose that $(g_t)_{t \in [\frac{\theta}{2}, \theta]}$ is a smooth solution to the Ricci-DeTurck equation on M with respect to the background Ricci flow \bar{g}_t , such that for some $\varepsilon < 1$, g_t is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bilipschitz to \bar{g}_t for $t \in [\frac{\theta}{2}, \theta]$. Also suppose that for all $t \in [\frac{\theta}{2}, \theta]$, $|(\nabla^{\bar{g}_t})^k(g_t)|_{\bar{g}_t} \leq c/t^{k/2}$ for $k = 1, 2$. Let $\Phi(x, t; y, s)$ denote the heat kernel for the Ricci-DeTurck flow on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [\frac{\theta}{2}, \theta]$ as in (2.15). Then, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s, t \in [\frac{\theta}{2}, \theta]$ with $s < t$, we have

$$(2.16) \quad \Phi(x, t; y, s) \leq \frac{C_1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|_{\bar{g}}^2}{D_1(t-s)}\right),$$

$$(2.17) \quad \int_M \Phi(x, t; y, s) d_{g_s}(y) = 1,$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad \int_{M \setminus B_{\bar{g}}(x, r)} \Phi(x, t; y, s) d_{g_s}(y) \leq C_2 \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{D_2(t-s)}\right)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to [6, Lemma 2.9, Corollary 2.10] and [5, Lemma 3 and p.3]. The estimate (2.18) is due to the super-exponential decay (2.16) and the fact that g_s is uniformly bilipschitz to \bar{g} , which has a lower Ricci bound. □

Theorem 2.9. *Let (M^n, \bar{g}) be a smooth Riemannian manifold such that $\text{Ric}(\bar{g}) \geq \kappa$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and such that $\inf_{x \in M, 0 < r < 1} |B(x, r)|_{\bar{g}}/r^n > v_0$ for some $v_0 > 0$. Suppose that $(\bar{g}_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ is a smooth Ricci flow on M such that for some $b > 0$ and for all $t \in [0, 1]$, \bar{g}_t is*

$(1 + b)$ -bilipschitz to \bar{g} . Suppose that $(g_t)_{t \in (0,1]}$ is a smooth solution to the Ricci-DeTurck flow on M with respect to the background Ricci flow \bar{g}_t , such that for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, g_t is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bilipschitz to \bar{g}_t , for all $t \in (0, 1]$. Suppose that there exists $c > 0$ such that $|\text{Rm}(g_t)| \leq c/t$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

Then, for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a backwards heat kernel $u \in C^\infty(M \times (0, 1))$ satisfying

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{cases} -\partial_t u &= \Delta_{g_t} u - \partial_{X_{\bar{g}_t}(g_t)} u - (1 - \frac{2}{n}) R^{g_t} u \\ u(\cdot, t) &\xrightarrow{t \nearrow 1} \delta_p. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there exists $C = C(n, c)$ such that if $0 < c_0 \leq n/2$ is some constant such that $R(g_t) \geq -c_0/t$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$, then, for $0 < t \leq 1/2$,

$$(2.20) \quad u(\cdot, t) \leq C t^{-(1-2/n)c_0}.$$

Proof. First consider the Ricci flow $\tilde{g}_t := \chi_t^* g_t$, defined for $t \in (0, 1]$, where χ_t is the family of diffeomorphisms given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \chi_t &= X_{\tilde{g}_t}(g_t) \\ \chi_1 &= \text{id}. \end{cases}$$

We first show that there exists a backwards heat kernel \tilde{u} solving

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t \tilde{u} &= \Delta_{\tilde{g}_t} \tilde{u} - (1 - \frac{2}{n}) R^{\tilde{g}_t} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{u}(\cdot, t) &\xrightarrow{t \nearrow 1} \delta_p. \end{cases}$$

The existence of \tilde{u} and a corresponding estimate of the form (2.20) for \tilde{u} are essentially as in the proof of [3, Theorem 2.3]: \tilde{g}_t has uniformly bounded curvature on intervals of the form $[a, 1]$, for $a > 0$ (where the uniform curvature bound depends on a). Then, by [9, Theorem 24.40], on any such interval there exists a unique smooth positive fundamental solution $\tilde{H}^a(x, t; y, s)$ for the operator $\partial_t - \Delta_{x,t} + \frac{2}{n} R$, for $t, s \in [a, 1]$ with $s < t$. By uniqueness of the fundamental solution on $[a, 1]$, it follows that there is a fundamental solution $\tilde{H}(x, t; y, s)$ for $\partial_t - \Delta_{x,t} + \frac{2}{n} R$ defined for $t, s \in (0, 1]$ with $s < t$.

Also, since $(\tilde{g}_t)_{t \in (0,1]}$ has uniformly bounded curvature for $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $\tilde{g}_1 = g_1$ is uniformly bilipschitz to \bar{g} , it follows (see, for instance, [34, Lemma 5.3.2]) that \tilde{g}_t is uniformly bilipschitz (with a time-independent bilipschitz constant) to \bar{g} on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Therefore, by [9, Theorem 26.25], there exist uniform constants $C_1(n, c, b, v_0)$ and $C_2(n, c, b)$ such that such that for $t, s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ with $s < t$,

$$(2.21) \quad \tilde{H}(x, t; y, s) \leq \frac{C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{d_{\bar{g}}^2(x, y)}{C_2(t-s)}\right)}{(t-s)^{n/2}}.$$

Then, for $y \in M$ and $t > 0$, we define $\tilde{u}(y, t) := \tilde{H}(p, 1; y, t)$. The proof of a universal bound of the form (2.20) in [3, Theorem 2.3] only uses the maximum principle (see, for instance, [8, Theorem 12.14]) and the super-exponential spatial decay of the kernel as in

(2.21). Therefore, due to the uniform curvature bound for \tilde{g}_t for $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ in our setting, we may apply their argument to find

$$(2.22) \quad \tilde{u}(\cdot, t) \leq Ct^{-(1-2/n)c_0}$$

for all $0 < t < 1/2$. Now define u by $u(x, t) := \tilde{u}(\chi_t^{-1}(x), t)$, so that u is the backwards heat kernel for the Ricci-DeTurck flow, as expected, and the bound (2.20) is inherited from (2.22). \square

Lemma 2.10. *In the setting of Theorem 2.9, on any interval of the form $[a, 1]$ the kernel u will satisfy bounds of the form*

$$u(x, t) \leq \frac{C_a}{(1-t)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{D_a(1-t)}\right)$$

$$|\nabla^k u(x, t)| \leq \frac{C_{a,k}}{(1-t)^{(n+k)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{a(1-t)}\right)$$

where $C_a = C_a(a, n, c, b, v_0, \kappa)$, $C_{a,k} = C_{a,k}(a, k, n, c, b, v_0, \kappa)$, and $D_a = D_a(a, n, c, b, \kappa)$.

Proof. Both of these statements are due to the fact that on such intervals, the flow will have a curvature bound of the form c/a . The pointwise bound is due to [9, Theorem 26.25], since $(g_t)_{t \in (0,1]}$ is uniformly bilipschitz to \bar{g} for all $t \in (0, 1]$ (for some time-independent bilipschitz constant). The derivative estimates are due to [19, Theorem 8.12.1]. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let (M^n, \bar{g}) be a smooth Riemannian manifold such that $\text{Ric}(\bar{g}) \geq \kappa$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and such that $\inf_{x \in M, 0 < r < 1} |B(x, r)|_{\bar{g}}/r^n > v_0$ for some $v_0 > 0$. Suppose that $(\bar{g}_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a smooth Ricci flow on M such that for some $b > 0$ and for all $t \in [0, 1]$, \bar{g}_t is $(1+b)$ -bilipschitz to \bar{g} . Suppose that $(g_t)_{t \in (0,1]}$ is a smooth solution to the Ricci-DeTurck flow on M with respect to the background Ricci flow \bar{g}_t , such that for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, g_t is $(1+\varepsilon)$ -bilipschitz to \bar{g}_t , for all $t \in (0, 1]$. Suppose that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $t \in (0, 1]$ and $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $|\nabla^k(g_t)| \leq c/t^{k/2}$, where ∇ denotes the derivative with respect to \bar{g}_t . Let $p \in M$, and suppose that u is the backwards heat kernel based at $(p, 1)$ given by Theorem 2.9. Then, for all $0 < t < 1$, we have*

$$(2.23) \quad R(p, 1) \geq \int R(x, t)u(x, t)dg_t(x).$$

Proof. Let χ_t , \tilde{g}_t , and \tilde{u} be as in the proof of Theorem 2.9. Then, for any $t \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R^{g^t}(x)u_t(x)dg_t(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_t^*(R^{g^t}u_t)(x)d(\chi_t^*g_t)(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R^{\tilde{g}^t}(x)\tilde{u}_t(x)d\tilde{g}_t(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R^{g^t}(x)u_t(x)dg_t(x) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R^{\tilde{g}^t}(x)\tilde{u}_t(x)d\tilde{g}_t(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\Delta_{\tilde{g}_t} R^{\tilde{g}_t}(x) + \frac{2}{n} (R^{\tilde{g}_t}(x))^2 \right) \tilde{u}_t(x) d\tilde{g}_t(x) \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R^{\tilde{g}_t}(x) \left(-\Delta_{\tilde{g}_t} \tilde{u}_t(x) + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) R^{\tilde{g}_t}(x) \tilde{u}_t(x) \right) d\tilde{g}_t(x) \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R^{\tilde{g}_t}(x) \tilde{u}_t(x) (-R^{\tilde{g}_t}(x)) d\tilde{g}_t(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta_{\tilde{g}_t} R^{\tilde{g}_t}(x) \tilde{u}_t(x) - R^{\tilde{g}_t}(x) \Delta_{\tilde{g}_t} \tilde{u}_t(x) d\tilde{g}_t(x) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we may apply the Green's identity due to the super-exponential decay of u given by Lemma 2.10 and the fact that, in any fixed time slice, ∇R and ΔR are uniformly bounded. Thus, we have, for any $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
R(p, 1) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R_t(x) u_t(x) dg_t(x) + \int_t^1 \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R_s(x) u_s(x) dg_s(x) ds \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R_t(x) u_t(x) dg_t(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. STEP 1: SPATIAL LOWER SCALAR CURVATURE BOUND

In this step we only use the fact that the scalar curvature under Ricci-DeTurck flow is a supersolution to the heat equation; that is, at this stage we do not use the positive source term in (2.10).

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $(g_t)_{t \in (0,1]}$ is a solution to the Ricci-DeTurck flow on \mathbb{R}^n , with respect to the Euclidean background metric δ , such that g_t is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -bilipschitz to δ for some $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and for which there exists some $c > 0$ such that $|(\nabla^{\tilde{g}_t})^k(g_t)|_\delta \leq c/t^{k/2}$ for $k = 1, 2$, where ∇ is taken with respect to δ . Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that there exists some $0 < \beta < 1/2$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$, g_t satisfies the β -weak condition (2.11) at x with the lower bound κ_0 , for some $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for any $0 < \eta \leq (1 - 2\beta)/2$ there exists $\bar{C}(c, n, \kappa_0)$, $\bar{D}(c, n)$, $\bar{c}(c, n)$ such that for all $0 < t \leq 1$ and $x \notin \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, \bar{c}t^\eta)$ we have*

$$R(x, t) \geq \kappa_0 - \frac{\bar{C}}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{\bar{D}t^{1-2\beta}}\right),$$

where $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, \bar{c}t^\eta) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(y, \mathcal{S}) < \bar{c}t^\eta\}$.

Note that very similar arguments appeared in [6] and [7]; here the arguments are slightly adjusted to obtain a lower bound that depends on x .

Proof. We determine the $\bar{C}, \bar{D}, \bar{c}$ in the course of the proof. First, let $C(n, c) = C_2$ and $D(n, c) = D_2$, where C_2 and D_2 are the constants from Theorem 2.8 (with $\bar{g} = \bar{g}_t = \delta$ for

all $t \geq 0$). Let $D' = D/2$ and, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < t \leq 1$, choose $C' > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad C' \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{C}{t^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|_\delta^2}{D't/2}\right) d_\delta y,$$

and observe that the right side of (3.1) does not depend on t or x , so C' may be chosen independently of x and t as well. In particular, we have $C' = C'(n, c)$ and $D' = D'(n, c)$. Let $C''(n) = C'(n)(n + |\kappa_0|) > C'(n)$.

Fix a constant $0 < c_0 \leq 1$, which will also be determined in the course of the proof. We will choose c_0 so that it depends only on β . We will choose \bar{C} , \bar{D} , and \bar{c} so that for all $0 < t \leq 1$ and $d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) \geq \bar{c}t^\eta$,

$$(3.2) \quad -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{C''(n)}{(t/2^{i-1})} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^i)^{2\beta}}{D't/2^i}\right) < 0.$$

To see why this is possible, let $\bar{c} = \sqrt{D'/c_0^2}$. First observe that if $0 < t \leq 1$ and $d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) \geq \bar{c}t^\eta$ then

$$\exp\left(\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}\right) \geq \exp\left(\frac{1}{t^{1-2\beta-2\eta}}\right) \geq e > 2.$$

Also, $(2^{1-2\beta})^i - 1 \geq i$ for all i sufficiently large depending on β , say, for all $i \geq m(\beta)$. In particular, for $i \geq m(\beta)$ we have

$$\exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}((2^{1-2\beta})^i - 1)\right) = \left[\exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}\right)\right]^{((2^{1-2\beta})^i - 1)} \leq \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^i,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}((2^{1-2\beta})^i - 1)\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m(\beta)-1} 2^i \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}((2^{1-2\beta})^i - 1)\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=m(\beta)}^{\infty} 2^i \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}((2^{1-2\beta})^i - 1)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m(\beta)-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=m(\beta)}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{e}\right)^i \\ &\leq C(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{C''(n)}{(t/2^{i-1})} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^i)^{2\beta}}{D't/2^i}\right) \\ &= -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{C''(n)}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^i)^{2\beta}}{D't/2^i} + \frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}\right) \\
& = -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) \\
& \quad + \frac{C''(n)}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}((2^{1-2\beta})^i - 1)\right) \\
& \leq -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) + \frac{C(\beta)C''(n)}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{D't^{1-2\beta}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is negative provided that $\bar{C} > C(\beta)C''(n, c)$ and $\bar{D} > D'(n, c)/c_0^2$. This determines \bar{C} , and it also determines \bar{D} and \bar{c} once c_0 is chosen.

We now proceed to the rest of the proof. Let $\Phi(x, t; y, s)$ denote the usual heat kernel for the Ricci-DeTurck flow, as in Theorem 2.8. We argue by contradiction.

Suppose $R(x, t) < \kappa_0 - \frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right)$, for some $0 < t \leq 1$ and $d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) \geq \bar{c}t^\eta$. In what follows, all balls are measured with respect to the Euclidean metric.

Claim 1. *There exists $x' \in B(x, c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2)^\beta)$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad R(x', t/2) \leq \kappa_0 - \frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) + \frac{C''(n)}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2)^{2\beta}}{D't/2}\right).$$

Proof of Claim. Suppose not, i.e. suppose that on $B(x, c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S})t^\beta)$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad R(\cdot, t/2) - \kappa_0 > -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) + \frac{C''(n)}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2)^{2\beta}}{D't/2}\right).$$

Observe that, by (3.2), the right side of (3.4) is negative, so by Theorem 2.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) > R(x, t) - \kappa_0 \\
& \geq \int_{B(x, c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2)^\beta)} \Phi(x, t; y, t/2)[R(y, t/2) - \kappa_0] d_{t/2}y \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2)^\beta)} \Phi(x, t; y, t/2)[R(y, t/2) - \kappa_0] d_{t/2}y \\
& \geq -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) + \frac{C''(n)}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2)^{2\beta}}{D't/2}\right) \\
& \quad - \left[\frac{n}{t} + \kappa_0\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2)^\beta)} \Phi(x, t; y, t/2) dy \\
& \geq -\frac{\bar{C}(n)}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) + \frac{C''(n)}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2)^{2\beta}}{D't/2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -C'(n) \left[\frac{n}{t} + \kappa_0 \right] \exp \left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2)^{2\beta}}{D't/2} \right) \\ & \geq -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp \left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where C' , C'' , and D' are as described above. To summarize, we have shown that

$$-\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp \left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t} \right) > -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp \left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t} \right).$$

This is a contradiction, whence follows the claim. \square

Claim 2. *Suppose there is a point $x^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$R(x^k, t/2^k) < \kappa_0 - \frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp \left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{C''(n)}{(t/2^{i-1})} \exp \left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^i)^{2\beta}}{D't/2^i} \right).$$

Then there exists a point $x^{k+1} \in B(x^k, c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^{k+1})^\beta)$ such that

$$R(x^{k+1}, t/2^{k+1}) < \kappa_0 - \frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp \left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{C''(n)}{(t/2^{i-1})} \exp \left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^i)^{2\beta}}{D't/2^i} \right).$$

Proof of Claim. This is as above. Suppose the claim is false, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp \left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{C''(n)}{(t/2^{i-1})} \exp \left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^i)^{2\beta}}{D't/2^i} \right) > R(x^k, t/2^k) - \kappa_0 \\ & \geq \int_{B(x^k, c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^{k+1})^\beta)} \Phi(x^k, t; y, t/2^{k+1}) [R(y, t/2^{k+1}) - \kappa_0] dy \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x^k, c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^{k+1})^\beta)} \Phi(x^k, t; y, t/2^{k+1}) [R(y, t/2^{k+1}) - \kappa_0] dy \\ & \geq -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp \left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{C''(n)}{(t/2^{i-1})} \exp \left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^i)^{2\beta}}{D't/2^i} \right) \\ & \quad - C'(n) \left[\frac{n}{(t/2^k)} + \kappa_0 \right] \exp \left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^{k+1})^{2\beta}}{D't/2^{k+1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. \square

Claim 3. *There exists a sequence of points $(x^k)_{k=0}^\infty \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

- (1) $x^0 = x$,
- (2) $d(x^k, x^{k+1}) \leq c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^{k+1})^\beta$,
- (3) *we have*

$$R(x^k, t/2^k) < \kappa_0 - \frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp \left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{C''(n)}{(t/2^{i-1})} \exp \left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})(t/2^i)^{2\beta}}{D't/2^i} \right),$$

and

(4) $x^k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} x^\infty$ for some $x^\infty \notin \mathcal{S}$.

Proof of Claim. Construct the sequence $(x^k)_{k=0}^\infty$ inductively by the previous two claims, so that the first three items are satisfied. The last item is satisfied due to the fact that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) \left(\frac{t}{2^{k+1}} \right)^\beta$$

is a convergent series, and the tail of a convergent series tends to zero, so $(x^k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is Cauchy, and hence (by completeness of (\mathbb{R}^n, δ)) converges to some $x^\infty \in \mathbb{R}^n$. To see that $x^\infty \notin \mathcal{S}$, note that

$$d_\delta(x^\infty, \mathcal{S}) \geq d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) (t/2^k)^\beta = (1 - c_\beta c_0 t^\beta) d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) > 0,$$

where

$$c_\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^\beta} \right)^k,$$

provided that c_0 is chosen sufficiently smaller than 1 so that (since $t \leq 1$) $c_\beta c_0 t^\beta < 1$. Therefore, fix $0 < c_0 < 1$ so that this holds. This determines $\bar{D} = \bar{D}(n, \beta)$ and $\bar{c} = \bar{c}(n, \beta)$. \square

Having proven this claim, note that

$$d(x^k, x^\infty) \leq \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) (t/2^i)^\beta = c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) (t/2^k)^\beta \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (t/2^i)^\beta \leq c_\beta c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) (t/2^k)^\beta.$$

In particular, by (3.2) we have

$$(3.5) \quad \liminf_{t \searrow 0} \inf_{B(x^\infty, c_\beta c_0 d_\delta(x, \mathcal{S}) (t/2^k)^\beta)} R^{gt} \leq -\frac{\bar{C}n}{2t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S}) t^{2\beta}}{\bar{D}t}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{C''(n)}{(t/2^{i-1})} \exp\left(-\frac{c_0^2 d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S}) (t/2^i)^{2\beta}}{D' t/2^i}\right) < \kappa_0,$$

which contradicts the β -weak (2.11) condition at $x^\infty \notin \mathcal{S}$ with the lower bound κ_0 . \square

4. STEP 2: IMPROVED LOWER BOUND DUE TO SOURCE TERM

In this section we use the backwards heat kernel from Theorem 2.9 to improve the lower bound in Section 3.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. It is sufficient to show $R(g_1) \geq 0$, since the result for other $t > 0$ follows from parabolic rescaling. Choose $\bar{\beta}$ sufficiently small depending on α so that

$$\alpha \left(\frac{1 - 2\bar{\beta}}{2} \right) > 1;$$

this is possible because $\alpha > 2$. Let $c(n) > 0$ be a constant depending only on n with the property that if, for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and all $t > 0$, $\|\nabla^k(g_t - \delta)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \bar{\varepsilon}/t^{k/2}$, then $|R(g_t)|_x \leq c(n)\bar{\varepsilon}/t$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Pick $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ depending on n so that $\bar{\varepsilon} < n/(2c(n))$. Then, since $n \geq 3$,

$$1 - \frac{2}{n} \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c(n)\bar{\varepsilon} \right] > 1 - \frac{2}{n} \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c(n)\frac{n}{2c(n)} \right] = 0,$$

so we may reduce $\bar{\beta} > 0$ as necessary depending on $\bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\varepsilon}(n)$ so that

$$\bar{\beta} \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{2}{n} \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c(n)\bar{\varepsilon} \right] \right] \right).$$

Then

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1 - 2\bar{\beta}}{2} > \frac{1 + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c(n)\bar{\varepsilon}}{n},$$

and observe that for all $\varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}$ we also have

$$\frac{1 - 2\bar{\beta}}{2} > \frac{1 + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c(n)\varepsilon}{n}.$$

In particular, we may reduce $\bar{\varepsilon}$ as needed and still preserve (4.1). Reduce $\bar{\varepsilon}$ further as needed depending on n and α so that

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha \left(\frac{1 - 2\bar{\beta}}{2} \right) - 1 > \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c(n)\bar{\varepsilon}.$$

Now let $c_0 = c(n)\bar{\varepsilon}$, let $0 < \beta < \bar{\beta}$, let

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1 - 2\bar{\beta}}{2} < \eta < \frac{1 - 2\beta}{2},$$

and let $\varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}$. If $\|g - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \varepsilon$ then $R(g_t) \geq -c_0/t$ and we use Theorem 2.9, Lemma 2.11, and Theorem 3.1 to find that for all sufficiently small $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} R(p, 1) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R(t)u(t)dg_t \\ &\geq \int_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, ct^\eta)} R(t)u_t dg_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, ct^\eta)} R(t)u_t dg_t \\ &\geq -\frac{c_0}{t} C t^{-(1-2/n)c_0} |\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, ct^\eta)| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, ct^\eta)} -\frac{\bar{C}}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{\bar{D}t^{1-2\beta}}\right) ct^{-(1-2/n)c_0} dg_t \\ &\geq -C t^{-1} t^{-(1-2/n)c_0} t^{\alpha\eta} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, ct^\eta)} -\frac{\bar{C}}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d_\delta^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{\bar{D}t^{1-2\beta}}\right) ct^{-(1-2/n)c_0} dg_t \\ &\geq I_t + II_t, \end{aligned}$$

for some I_t and II_t , where Lemma 2.11 is used in the first step and Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 3.1 are used in the third step.

We now show that we can pick the lower bounds I_t and II_t so that $I_t, II_t \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{} 0$. First, to see why $I_t \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{} 0$, note that by (4.3) and (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\eta - \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c_0 - 1 &> \alpha \left(\frac{1 - 2\bar{\beta}}{2}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c_0 - 1 \\ &> \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c(n)\bar{\varepsilon} - \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)c_0 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

that so we may choose $I_t := -Ct^\omega$ for some $\omega > 0$ so that $I_t \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{} 0$.

To see why there is a lower bound II_t such that $II_t \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{} 0$, first observe that by Lemma 2.1, \mathcal{S} has finite diameter. Fix some point $p \in \mathcal{S}$. If $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $d(x, p) \geq 2 \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S})$, then $d(x, \mathcal{S}) - \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S}) \geq \frac{1}{2}d(x, p)$. In particular, by the triangle inequality we have

$$d(x, \mathcal{S}) \geq d(x, p) - \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S}) \geq \frac{1}{2}d(x, p),$$

so, adjusting the constants C and D , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(p, 2 \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S}))} \frac{C}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{Dt^{1-2\beta}}\right) ct^{-(1-2/n)c_0} dg_t \\ &\quad + \int_{B(p, 2 \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S})) \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, ct^\eta)} \frac{C}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{Dt^{1-2\beta}}\right) ct^{-(1-2/n)c_0} dg_t \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(p, 2 \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S}))} \frac{C}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(x, p)}{Dt^{1-2\beta}}\right) ct^{-(1-2/n)c_0} dg_t \\ &\quad + \int_{B(p, 2 \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S})) \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, ct^\eta)} \frac{C}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{Dt^{1-2\beta}}\right) ct^{-(1-2/n)c_0} dg_t \\ &\leq Ct^{-1}t^{-(1-2/n)c_0} t^{\frac{n}{2}(1-2\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{D}\right) d\delta \\ &\quad + Ct^{-1}t^{-(1-2/n)c_0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{t^{1-2\beta-2\eta}}\right) |B(p, 2 \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S}))|. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.3),

$$Ct^{-1}t^{-(1-2/n)c_0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{t^{1-2\beta-2\eta}}\right) |B(p, 2 \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S}))| \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{} 0,$$

and by (4.1),

$$Ct^{-1}t^{-(1-2/n)c_0} t^{\frac{n}{2}(1-2\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{D}\right) d\delta \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{} 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, ct^\eta)} -\frac{C}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(x, \mathcal{S})}{Dt^{1-2\beta}}\right) ct^{-(1-2/n)c_0} dg_t \\ &\geq -Ct^{-1}t^{-(1-2/n)c_0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{t^{1-2\beta-2\eta}}\right) |B(p, 2 \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S}))| \end{aligned}$$

$$- Ct^{-1}t^{-(1-2/n)c_0}t^{\frac{n}{2}(1-2\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{D}\right) d\delta =: II_t \xrightarrow{t \searrow 0} 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We now prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\bar{\varepsilon}$ be as in Theorem 1.5, and reduce $\bar{\varepsilon}$ as needed so that it is smaller than the constant ε in Theorem 2.4. Then, if $\|g - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \bar{\varepsilon}$, there exists a smooth Ricci-DeTurck flow $(g_t)_{t>0}$ starting from g in the sense of Theorem 2.4, so that $g_t \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S})} g$. Since $R(g)|_x \geq 0$ for $x \notin \mathcal{S}$ and since $g_t \xrightarrow[t \searrow 0]{C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S})} g$, $(g_t)_{t>0}$ satisfies the β -weak condition (2.11) at x for any $0 < \beta < 1/2$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Let $\beta < \bar{\beta}$, where $\bar{\beta}$ is the constant given by Theorem 1.5. Then, by Theorem 1.5, $R(g_t) \geq 0$ for all $t > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. DERIVATIVE ESTIMATES FOR RICCI-DETURCK FLOW FROM INITIAL DATA WITH HIGHER REGULARITY

In addition to the norms $\|\cdot\|_{X_T}$ introduced in Theorem 2.5, in this section we will work with the following norms:

$$(A.1) \quad \|f\|_{Y_T^0} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{0 < r < \sqrt{T}} \left(r^{-n} \|f\|_{L^1(B(x,r) \times (0,r^2))} + r^{4/(n+4)} \|f\|_{L^{(n+4)/2}(B(x,r) \times (r^2/2, r^2))} \right)$$

and

$$(A.2) \quad \|f\|_{Y_T^1} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{0 < r < \sqrt{T}} \left(r^{-n/2} \|f\|_{L^2(B(x,r) \times (0,r^2))} + r^{2/(n+4)} \|f\|_{L^{n+4}(B(x,r) \times (r^2/2, r^2))} \right).$$

We first show the following (cf. [2, Lemma 4.2], [29, Lemma 4.2]):

Lemma A.1. *Let ε be as in Theorem 2.5. There exists some positive $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(n) \leq \varepsilon$ such that the following is true:*

For some $k \geq 1$, let g be a C^k Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^n such that g is $(1 + \varepsilon')$ -bilipschitz to δ . For $t > 0$ let $g_t := h_t + \delta$, where $(h_t)_{t>0}$ is the solution to the integral equation (2.5) with initial data $g - \delta$ given by Theorem 2.5. Suppose there exists $N > 0$ such that $\|g - \delta\|_{C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N$. Then there exists $M = M(n, N) > 0$ and $T = T(n, N) > 0$ such that $\|g_t - \delta\|_{C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq M$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon(n)$ and $C = C(n)$ be as in Theorem 2.5. Reduce ε' depending on C (and hence on n) so that

$$(A.3) \quad C\varepsilon' < 1/8.$$

We will reduce ε' further as necessary (depending on n , but not k) in the course of this proof. Let g be a C^1 Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying the hypotheses of the lemma. Since g is $(1 + \varepsilon')$ -bilipschitz to δ , by Theorem 2.5 exists a smooth solution $(h_t)_{t>0}$ to (2.6) with initial data $g - \delta$ such that $\|h_t\|_{X_\infty} \leq C\varepsilon'$. For $t > 0$ let $g_t := h_t + \delta$.

For $m, j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $P(m, j) := \{(i_1, \dots, i_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j : i_1 + \dots + i_j = m\}$. Observe that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(A.4) \quad \nabla^k ((g^{-1} - \delta^{-1}) \star \nabla g) \Big|_t = (g^{-1} - \delta^{-1}) \star \nabla^{k+1} g + \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} (g^{-1})^j \star \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_j) \in P(k+1, j)} \nabla^{i_1} g \star \dots \star \nabla^{i_j} g \right) \Big|_t$$

and

$$(A.5) \quad \nabla^k (g^{-1} \star g^{-1} \star \nabla g \star \nabla g) \Big|_t = \sum_{j=2}^{k+2} (g^{-1})^j \star \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_j) \in P(k+2, j)} \nabla^{i_1} g \star \dots \star \nabla^{i_j} g \right) \Big|_t$$

where, for two tensors A and B , we write $A \star B$ to denote a linear combination of products of the coefficients of A and B , we write A^j to denote $A \star \dots \star A$, where the \star operation is performed $j - 1$ times.

We prove the result by induction on k . We first show the result for $k = 1$. Fix some $T > 0$, which we will specify in the course of the proof. By (2.6), (A.4), and (A.5) we have

$$(A.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla g_t(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{K}(x, t; y, 0) \nabla g(y) dy \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{K}(x, t; y, s) [\nabla^2 g_s \star \nabla g_s + \nabla g_s \star \nabla g_s \star \nabla g_s + \nabla^*(h_s \star \nabla^2 g_s + \nabla g_s \star \nabla g_s)]_y dy ds, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T} &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t; y, 0) \nabla g(y) dy \right\|_{X_T} \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t; y, s) [\nabla^2 g_s \star \nabla g_s]_y dy ds \right\|_{X_T} \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t; y, s) [\nabla g_s \star \nabla g_s \star \nabla g_s]_y dy ds \right\|_{X_T} \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t; y, s) [\nabla^*(\nabla g_s \star \nabla g_s)]_y dy ds \right\|_{X_T} \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t; y, s) [\nabla^*((g_s - \delta) \star \nabla^2 g_s)]_y dy ds \right\|_{X_T} \\ &=: A + B_1 + B_2 + C_1 + C_2. \end{aligned}$$

By [18, Lemma 2.2], we have

$$(A.7) \quad A \leq c(n) \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By [18, Lemma 4.2] and the definitions of $\|\cdot\|_{Y_T^0}$, $\|\cdot\|_{Y_T^1}$, and $\|\cdot\|_{X_T}$ (cf. [18, Lemma 4.1]) we have

$$(A.8) \quad B_1 \leq c \|\nabla^2 g_t \star \nabla g_t\|_{Y_T^0} \leq c \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T} \|h_t\|_{X_T} \leq cC\varepsilon' \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T},$$

where $c = c(n)$ is the constant from [18, Lemma 4.2]. Similarly,

$$(A.9) \quad B_2 \leq c \|\nabla g_t * \nabla g_t * \nabla g_t\|_{Y_T^0} \leq c \|\nabla g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|h_t\|_{X_t} \|h_t\|_{X_T} \leq cC(\varepsilon')^2 \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(A.10) \quad C_1 \leq c \|\nabla g_t * \nabla g_t\|_{Y_T^1} \leq c \|\nabla g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|\nabla g_t\|_{Y_T^1} \leq c \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T} \|h_t\|_{X_T} \leq cC\varepsilon' \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T}$$

and

$$(A.11) \quad C_2 \leq c \|(g_t - \delta) * \nabla^2 g_t\|_{Y_T^1} \leq c \|g_t - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|\nabla^2 g_t\|_{Y_T^1} \leq cC\varepsilon' \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T}.$$

Combining the previous equations, we find that

$$(A.12) \quad \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T} \leq c \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + cC(3\varepsilon' + (\varepsilon')^2) \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T}.$$

Therefore, reducing ε' (depending on n) so that $cC(3\varepsilon' + (\varepsilon')^2) < 1$, the previous equation implies that

$$(A.13) \quad \|\nabla g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \leq \|\nabla g_t\|_{X_T} \leq \frac{c}{1 - cC(3\varepsilon' + (\varepsilon')^2)} \|\nabla g\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This proves the result for $k = 1$, with $M = \max\{cN/(1 - cC(3\varepsilon' + (\varepsilon')^2)), C\varepsilon'\}$.

Now suppose the statement of the lemma holds for ε' as determined above, for some $k \geq 1$. Suppose that g is a C^{k+1} Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^n such that g is $(1 + \varepsilon')$ -bilipschitz to δ on \mathbb{R}^n , such that $\|g - \delta\|_{C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N$. Then $\|g - \delta\|_{C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N$, so by the inductive hypothesis there exists $M_k = M_k(n, N)$ and $T_k = T_k(n, N)$ such that $\|g_t - \delta\|_{C^k(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T_k])} \leq M_k$. We show that there exist $M_{k+1} = M_{k+1}(n, N)$ and $T_{k+1} = T_{k+1}(n, N)$ such that $\|g_t - \delta\|_{C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T_{k+1}])} \leq M_{k+1}$. To see why, let $T \leq T_k$ and note that by (A.5), (A.4), (2.5), and [18, Lemma 4.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t\|_{X_T} &\leq \|\nabla^{k+1} g_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &+ \|\nabla^{k+2} g_t * \nabla g_t\|_{Y_T^0} + \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t * \nabla^2 g_t\|_{Y_T^0} + \sum_{\ell=3}^k \|\nabla^\ell * \nabla^{k+3-\ell}\|_{Y_T^0} \\ &+ \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t * \nabla g_t * \nabla g_t\|_{Y_T^0} + \sum_{\ell=2}^k \sum_{m=1}^{k-\ell} \|\nabla^\ell g_t * \nabla^m g_t * \nabla^{k+3-\ell-m}\|_{Y_T^0} \\ &+ \sum_{j=4}^{k+3} \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_j) \in P(k+3, j)} \|\nabla^{i_1} g_t * \dots * \nabla^{i_j} g_t\|_{Y_T^0} \right) \\ &+ \|h_t * \nabla^{k+2} g_t\|_{Y_T^1} + \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t * \nabla^1 g_t\|_{Y_T^1} + \sum_{\ell=2}^k \|\nabla^\ell g_t * \nabla^{k+2-\ell}\|_{Y_T^1} \\ &+ \sum_{j=3}^{k+2} \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_j) \in P(k+2, j)} \|\nabla^{i_1} g_t * \dots * \nabla^{i_j} g_t\|_{Y_T^1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& =: \|\nabla^{k+1}g_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 \\
& + A_4 + A_5 \\
& + A_6 \\
& + B_1 + B_2 + B_3 \\
& + B_4.
\end{aligned}$$

We now estimate each term separately. By the definitions of $\|\cdot\|_{Y_T^0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{Y_T^1}$ and the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$(A.14) \quad A_1 \leq \|\nabla g_t\|_{Y_T^0} \|\nabla^{k+2}g_t\|_{Y_T^0} \leq \|h_t\|_{X_T} \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{X_T} \leq C\varepsilon' \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{X_T},$$

$$(A.15) \quad \begin{aligned} A_2 & \leq \|\nabla^2 g_t * \nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{Y_T^0} \leq \|\nabla^2 g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{Y_T^0} \\ & \leq M_k \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} T \leq M_k T \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{X_T}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(A.16) \quad A_3 \leq \sum_{\ell=3}^k \|\nabla^\ell g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|\nabla^{k+3-\ell}g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|1\|_{Y_T^0} \leq (k-2)M_k^2 T,$$

$$(A.17) \quad A_4 \leq \|\nabla g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])}^2 \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{Y_T^0} \leq M_k^2 \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|1\|_{Y_T^0} \leq M_k^2 T \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{X_T}$$

$$(A.18) \quad \begin{aligned} A_5 & \leq \sum_{\ell=2}^k \sum_{m=1}^{k-\ell} \|\nabla^\ell g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|\nabla^m g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|\nabla^{k+3-\ell-m}g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|1\|_{Y_T^0} \\ & \leq (k-1)^2 M_k^3 T \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(A.19) \quad \begin{aligned} A_6 & \leq \sum_{j=4}^{k+3} \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_j) \in P(k+3, j)} \|\nabla^{i_1}g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \cdots \|\nabla^{i_j}g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|1\|_{Y_T^0} \right) \\ & \leq c(k)M_k^{k+3}T. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(A.20) \quad B_1 \leq \|g_t - \delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|\nabla^{k+2}g_t\|_{Y_T^1} \leq \|g_t - \delta\|_{X_T} \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{X_T} \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{X_T},$$

$$(A.21) \quad B_2 \leq \|\nabla g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \sqrt{T} \leq M_k \sqrt{T} \|\nabla^{k+1}g_t\|_{X_T},$$

$$(A.22) \quad B_3 \leq \sum_{\ell=2}^k \|\nabla^\ell g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|\nabla^{k+2-\ell}g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|1\|_{Y_T^1} \leq (k-1)M_k^2 \sqrt{T},$$

and

$$(A.23) \quad B_4 \leq \sum_{j=3}^{k+2} \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_j) \in P(k+2, j)} \|\nabla^{i_1} g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \cdots \|\nabla^{i_j} g_t\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \|1\|_{Y_T^1} \right) \\ \leq c(k)kM_k^{k+2}\sqrt{T}.$$

Combining the previous equations, we find

$$(A.24) \quad \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t\|_{X_T} \leq \|\nabla^{k+1} g_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ + C\varepsilon' \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t\|_{X_T} + M_k T \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t\|_{X_T} + (k-2)M_k^2 T + (k-1)^2 M_k^3 T + c(k)M_k^{k+3} T \\ + C\varepsilon' \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t\|_{X_T} + M_k \sqrt{T} \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t\|_X + (k-1)M_k^2 \sqrt{T} + c(k)kM_k^{k+2} \sqrt{T}.$$

Choose T sufficiently small depending on M_k so that $M_k T < 1/8$ and $M_k \sqrt{T} < 1/8$. Then, after rearranging the previous equation, we have, by (A.3)

$$(A.25) \quad \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \leq \|\nabla^{k+1} g_t\|_{X_T} \\ \leq 2[\|\nabla^{k+1} g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + (k-2)M_k^2 T + (k-1)^2 M_k^3 T \\ + c(k)M_k^{k+3} T + (k-1)M_k^2 \sqrt{T} + c(k)kM_k^{k+2} \sqrt{T}].$$

This proves the statement of the lemma for $k+1$, with $T_{k+1} := T$ and $M_{k+1} := 2N + 2(k-2)M_k^2 T_{k+1} + 2(k-1)^2 M_k^3 T_{k+1} + 2c(k)M_k^{k+3} T_{k+1} + 2(k-1)M_k^2 \sqrt{T_{k+1}} + 2c(k)kM_k^{k+2} \sqrt{T_{k+1}}$. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.7. Let $\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon'$, where ε' is as in Lemma A.1. We will reduce ε'' as needed in the course of the proof. Let g and U be as in the statement of the lemma. Let $K = \overline{U}$. Suppose that there exist no such C_k and T_k , so that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $x_i \in K$ and $t_i \in [0, \frac{1}{i^{2/k}}]$ such that $|\nabla^k g_{t_i}|(x_i) > i$. To simplify notation, let $c_i = i^{1/k}$. Let $\hat{g}^i(x) := g(x/c_i + x_i)$ and let $\hat{g}^i(x, t) := g(x/c_i + x_i, t/c_i^2)$. Then g^i is $(1 + \varepsilon'')$ -bilipschitz to δ on \mathbb{R}^n . Also, by Remark 2.2, Remark 2.6, and the uniqueness statement in Theorem 2.5, $g_t^i - \delta$ is the solution to the integral equation (2.6) with initial data $g^i - \delta$ whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 2.5. Also by scaling, $|\nabla^k g_{c_i^2 t_i}^i|(0) > 1$, where here 0 denotes the origin. By the derivative estimates in Theorem 2.5 and Arzelá – Ascoli, there exists a subsequence $\{g_t^{i_m}\}$ of $\{g_t^i\}$ that converges in $C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$ to some Ricci-DeTurck flow $(g_t^\infty)_{t>0}$.

Let $r = \text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}) > 0$. Let $V = \{x : \text{dist}(x, K) < r/2\}$ and let $W = \{x : \text{dist}(x, K) < 3r/4\}$. Note that \overline{V} is compact and W is an open set containing \overline{V} so there exists a smooth cutoff function $\chi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ identically equal to 1 on \overline{V} and identically equal to 0 on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus W$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|\nabla^j \chi\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_j(r) = c_j(\text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}))$. Also, \overline{W} is compact, and $\text{dist}(\overline{W}, \mathcal{S}) \geq \text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}) - \text{dist}(\overline{W}, K) \geq r - 3r/4 = r/4 > 0$. Let $g^V := \chi g + (1 - \chi)\delta$. Then g^V is smooth with uniformly bounded derivatives (where the upper bound for $|\nabla^k g^V|$ depends on $\text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S})$ and $\|g\|_{C^k(\overline{W})}$), and $(1 + \varepsilon'')$ -bilipschitz to δ . By Theorem 2.5 there is a solution $(h_t^V)_{t>0}$ to the integral equation (2.6) with initial data

$g^V - \delta$, and by Lemma A.1, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $M_j = M_j(n, \|g - \delta\|_{C^j(V)}, \text{dist}(V, \mathcal{S}))$ and $T_j = T_j(n, \|g - \delta\|_{C^j(V)}, \text{dist}(V, \mathcal{S}))$ such that for all $t \in [0, T_j]$,

$$(A.26) \quad \|g_t^V - \delta\|_{C^j(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq M_j,$$

where $g_t^V := h_t^V + \delta$. Now, as before, consider $\hat{g}^i(x) := g^V(x/c_i + x_i)$ and the corresponding Ricci-DeTurck flow $\hat{g}^i(x, t) := g^V(x/c_i + x_i, t/c_i^2)$. Again by the derivative estimates in Theorem 2.5, there exists a subsequence $\{\hat{g}_t^{i_{m_\ell}}\}$ of $\{\hat{g}_t^{i_m}\}$ that converges in $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$ to some Ricci-DeTurck flow $(\hat{g}_t^\infty)_{t>0}$. Relabel the sequences so that $\{g_t^i\}$ denotes $\{g_t^{i_{m_\ell}}\}$ and $\{\hat{g}_t^i\}$ denotes $\{\hat{g}_t^{i_{m_\ell}}\}$.

We now show that $\hat{g}_t^\infty = g_t^\infty$ for all $t > 0$. First, let $V' = \{x : \text{dist}(x, K) < r/4\}$, and let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth cutoff function identically equal to 1 on $\overline{V'}$ and identically equal to 0 outside of V , and such that $\|\varphi\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(r) = c(\text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}))$. Note that since $\chi \equiv 1$ on V , we have $\varphi(g - \hat{g}) \equiv 0$.

Consider $\varphi^i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi^i(x) = \varphi(x/c_i + x_i)$ so that $\varphi^i \equiv 1$ on $B(0, c_i r/4)$ (since $B(x_i, r/4) \subset V'$), $\|\nabla \varphi^i\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(\text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}))/c_i$, $\|\nabla^2 \varphi^i\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(\text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}))/c_i^2$, and $\varphi^i(g^i - \hat{g}^i) \equiv 0$. We will show that

$$(A.27) \quad \|\varphi^i(g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i)\|_{X_T} \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

To see why this is true, we argue as in the proof of [6, Theorem 4.1]: first, note that

$$(A.28) \quad \begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)[\varphi^i(g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i)] &= \varphi^i[\nabla g_t^i * \nabla g_t^i - \nabla \hat{g}_t^i * \nabla \hat{g}_t^i] + \varphi^i \nabla^* [g_t^i * \nabla g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i * \nabla \hat{g}_t^i] \\ &\quad - (\Delta \varphi^i)(g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i) - (\nabla \varphi^i) * \nabla (g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i) \\ &= \varphi^i[\nabla g_t^i * \nabla g_t^i - \nabla \hat{g}_t^i * \nabla \hat{g}_t^i] + \nabla^* [\varphi^i(g_t^i * \nabla g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i * \nabla \hat{g}_t^i)] \\ &\quad - (\nabla \varphi^i) * (g_t^i * \nabla g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i * \nabla \hat{g}_t^i) - (\Delta \varphi^i)(g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i) - (\nabla \varphi^i) * \nabla (g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i). \end{aligned}$$

Fix some $T > 0$. Then, by [18, Lemma 4.2] and arguing similarly to the proofs of [18, Lemma 4.1] and [6, Theorem 4.1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi^i(g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i)\|_{X_T} &\leq c(n) \|\varphi^i(g^i - \hat{g}^i)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + C(\|g_t^i - \delta\|_{X_T} + \|\hat{g}_t^i - \delta\|_{X_T}) \|\varphi^i(g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i)\|_{X_T} \\ &\quad + c(n) \|\nabla \varphi^i\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\|g_t^i - \delta\|_{X_T}^2 + \|\hat{g}_t^i - \delta\|_{X_T}^2) \\ &\quad + c(n) \|\Delta \varphi^i\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\|g_t^i - \delta\|_{X_T} + \|\hat{g}_t^i - \delta\|_{X_T}) T \\ &\quad + c(n) \|\nabla \varphi^i\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\|g_t^i - \delta\|_{X_T} + \|\hat{g}_t^i - \delta\|_{X_T}) \sqrt{T} \\ &\leq 0 + 2C\varepsilon'' \|\varphi^i(g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i)\|_{X_T} \\ &\quad + 2c(n)C(\varepsilon'' + (\varepsilon'')^2) \left(\frac{c(\text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}))\sqrt{T}}{c_i} + \frac{c(\text{dist}(K, \mathcal{S}))T}{c_i^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where C is the product of constants from [18, Lemmata 4.1 and 4.2]. Therefore, if ε'' is sufficiently small depending on C (and hence on n), then we may rearrange this to find that for all $0 < t < T$,

$$(A.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i\|_{C^0(B(0, c_i r/4))} &\leq \|\varphi^i(g_t^i - \hat{g}_t^i)\|_{X_T} \\ &\leq \frac{2C(\varepsilon'' + (\varepsilon'')^2)}{1 - 2C\varepsilon''} \left(\frac{c(\text{dist}(V, \mathcal{S}))}{c_i} + \frac{c(\text{dist}(V, \mathcal{S}))}{c_i^2} \right) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(A.30) \quad g_t^\infty \equiv \hat{g}_t^\infty$$

for all $t > 0$.

By scaling and the estimate (A.26),

$$\|\nabla^j \hat{g}_t^i\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, c_i^2 T_j])} \leq \frac{M_j}{c_i^j}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ so

$$\|\nabla^j \hat{g}_t^\infty\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))} = 0$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, the $0 < c_i^2 t_i \leq c_i^2(1/c_i^2)$ subconverge to some $t_\infty \in [0, 1]$ so after passing to the corresponding subsequences of $\{g_t^i\}$ and $\{\hat{g}_t^i\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= |\nabla^k \hat{g}_t^\infty|(0) = |\nabla^k g_{t_\infty}^\infty|(0) \geq |\nabla^k g_{c_i^2 t_i}^i|(0) - |\nabla^k g_{c_i^2 t_i}^i - \nabla^k g_{t_\infty}^i|(0) - |\nabla^k g_{t_\infty}^i - \nabla^k g_{t_\infty}^\infty|(0) \\ &= |\nabla^k g_{c_i^2 t_i}^i|(0) - |\nabla^k \hat{g}_{c_i^2 t_i}^i - \nabla^k \hat{g}_{t_\infty}^i|(0) - |\nabla^k g_{t_\infty}^i - \nabla^k g_{t_\infty}^\infty|(0) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{c(M_{k+2})}{c_i^{k+2}} |c_i^2 t_i - t_\infty| - |\nabla^k g_{t_\infty}^i - \nabla^k g_{t_\infty}^\infty|(0) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 1, \end{aligned}$$

where again we have used scaling, (A.26), (A.30), and in the last inequality we have used the evolution equation for $\nabla^k \hat{g}_t^i$. This contradiction proves the lemma. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Gioacchino Antonelli, Mattia Fogagnolo, Stefano Nardulli, and Marco Pozzetta. Positive mass and isoperimetry for continuous metrics with nonnegative scalar curvature, 2024.
- [2] Alexander Appleton. Scalar curvature rigidity and Ricci DeTurck flow on perturbations of Euclidean space. *Calc. Var. and Par. Diff. Eq.*, 57, 2018.
- [3] Richard Bamler and Eric Chen. Degree theory for 4-dimensional asymptotically conical gradient expanding solitons, 2023.
- [4] Richard Bamler and Bruce Kleiner. Uniqueness and stability of Ricci flow through singularities. *Acta Math.*, 228, 2022.
- [5] Richard H. Bamler. A Ricci flow proof of a result by Gromov on lower bounds for scalar curvature. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 23(2):325 – 337, 2016.
- [6] Paula Burkhardt-Guim. Pointwise lower scalar curvature bounds for C^0 metrics via regularizing Ricci flow. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 29:1703 –1772, 2019.
- [7] Paula Burkhardt-Guim. ADM mass for C^0 metrics and distortion under Ricci-DeTurck flow. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 2024, 2023.

- [8] Bennett Chow, Sun-Chin Chu, David Glickenstein, Christine Guenther, James Isenberg, Tom Ivey, Dan Knopf, Peng Lu, Feng Luo, and Lei Ni. *The Ricci Flow: Techniques and Applications: Part II: Analytic Aspects*, volume 144 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [9] Bennett Chow, Sun-Chin Chu, David Glickenstein, Christine Guenther, James Isenberg, Tom Ivey, Dan Knopf, Peng Lu, Feng Luo, and Lei Ni. *The Ricci Flow: Techniques and Applications, Part III: Geometric – Analytic Aspects*, volume 163 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [10] Jianchun Chu, Man Chun Lee, and Jintian Zhu. Singular positive mass theorem with arbitrary ends, 2022.
- [11] Jianchun Chu, Man Chun Lee, and Jintian Zhu. Llarull’s theorem on punctured sphere with L^∞ metric, 2024.
- [12] Dennis DeTurck. Deforming metrics in the direction of their Ricci tensors. *J. Diff. Geo.*, 18:157 – 162, 1983.
- [13] Misha Gromov. Dirac and Plateau billiards in domains with corners. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 12(8):1109–1156, 2014.
- [14] Misha Gromov. Four lectures on scalar curvature, 2021.
- [15] Luis Guijarro and Vitali Kapovitch. Restrictions on the geometry at infinity of nonnegatively curved manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 78:257 – 276, 1995.
- [16] Wenshuai Jiang, Weimin Sheng, and Huaiyu Zhang. Removable singularity of positive mass theorem with continuous metrics. *Math. Zeitsch.*, 302:302, 2022.
- [17] Vitali Kapovitch. Restrictions on collapsing with a lower sectional curvature bound. *Math. Zeitsch.*, 249:519 – 539, 2004.
- [18] Herbert Koch and Tobias Lamm. Geometric flows with rough initial data. *Asian J. Math.*, 16:209–236, 2012.
- [19] N. V. Krylov. *Lectures on Elliptic and Parabolic Equations in Hölder Spaces*, volume 12 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society.
- [20] Tobias Lamm and Miles Simon. Ricci flow of $W^{2,2}$ -metrics in four dimensions. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 98:261 – 364, 2023.
- [21] Nina Lebedeva, Vladimir Matveev, Anton Petrunin, and Vsevolod Shevchishin. Smoothing 3-dimensional polyhedral spaces. *Elec. Res. Announ. in Math. Sci.*, 22:12 – 19, 2015.
- [22] Dan A. Lee and Philippe G. LeFloch. The positive mass theorem for manifolds with distributional curvature. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 339:99–120, 2015.
- [23] Man-Chun Lee and Luen-Fai Tam. Continuous metrics and a conjecture of Schoen, 2021.
- [24] Chao Li. Dihedral rigidity of parabolic polyhedrons in hyperbolic spaces. *SIGMA*, 219(099):8 pages, 2020.
- [25] Chao Li and Christos Mantoulidis. Positive scalar curvature with skeleton singularities. *Math. Ann.*, 374:99 – 131, 2019.
- [26] Donovan McFeron and Gábor Székelyhidi. On the positive mass theorem for manifolds with corners. 2012.
- [27] Pengzi Miao. Positive mass theorem on manifolds admitting corners along a hypersurface. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 6:1163 – 1182, 2002.
- [28] Shun-hui Zhu Peter Petersen, Fredrick Wilhelm. Spaces on and beyond the boundary of existence. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 5:419 – 426, 1995.
- [29] Wan-Xiong Shi. Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds. *J. Diff. Geo.*, 30:223 – 301, 1989.
- [30] Yuguang Shi and Luen-Fai Tam. Scalar curvature and singular metrics. *Pac. J. Math.*, 293, 2018.
- [31] Miles Simon. Deformation of C^0 Riemannian metrics in the direction of their Ricci curvature. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 10:1033 – 1074, 2002.

- [32] Christina Sormani. Scalar curvature and intrinsic flat convergence. In Nicola Gigli, editor, *Measure Theory in Non-Smooth Spaces*. De Gruyter Open, Poland, 2017.
- [33] Wolfgang Spindeler. S^1 -actions on 4-manifolds and fixed point homogeneous manifolds of nonnegative curvature, 2014.
- [34] Peter Topping. *Lectures on the Ricci Flow*, volume 325 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.