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The Kasner spacetimes are exact solutions that are self-similar and of significant interest because they can describe the dynamic evolution of kinematic variables near the singularity of the Mixmaster universe. The chaotic behavior of the Mixmaster universe is related to the Kasner relations. This study investigates Kasner and Kasner-like solutions in symmetric teleparallel $f(Q)$-gravity. We consider three families of connections for the spatially flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker. We found that Kasner-like solutions are present in the theory when the nonmetricity scalar $Q$ vanishes. Kasner-like relations are introduced only for the field equations of the connection defined in the coincidence gauge. Finally, we perform a detailed phase-space analysis to understand the dynamics of $f(Q)$-gravity in the evolution of anisotropies and the effects of different connections on this evolution.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Modified theories offer a different way to address the dark energy problem, suggesting that the universe's acceleration is caused by changes to Einstein's General Relativity (GR). GR is a gravitational theory that uses a metric tensor and the Levi-Civita connection to describe physical space. In this theory, the Ricci scalar $\stackrel{o}{R}$ for the Levi-Civita connection acts as the Lagrangian.

Teleparallel Equivalence of GR (TEGR) [1] and Symmetric Teleparallel GR (STGR) [2] are two different gravitational theories which are equivalent to GR. In TEGR the geometry is described Weitzenböck connection [3], in which the corresponding dynamical fields are the four linearly independent vierbeins and the gravitational Lagrangian is related to the torsion scalar $T$ related to the antisymmetric connection of the non-holonomic basis [5, 6]. On the

[^0]other hand, in STGR, the physical space is described by the metric tensor and a symmetric and flat connection, which inherits the symmetries of the background space [2]. The gravitational Action Integral in STGR depends on the nonmetricity scalar for the connection.

The fundamental scalars of the three equivalent theories of gravity differ by a boundary term [5], from where it is easy to construct one gravitational theory from the other using proper boundary corrections. Nevertheless, the equivalency is lost when nonlinear terms of the scalars are introduced in the gravitational Lagrangian. The family of $f$-theories of gravity has been widely studied in the literature as modified theories of GR [4] and TEGR [7, 8]. It has been found that these modified theories of gravity can describe various epochs of the cosmological history, for instance, [9-20] and references therein.

Recently, there has been a direction in the literature in nonmetricity $f(Q)$-theory [30-33]. In terms of cosmology, it has been found that $f(Q)$-theory can explain the cosmological observations [21, 25, 26], and it can describe the main eras of the cosmological history [34, 35]. Isotropic and homogeneous cosmological exact solutions in the framework of $f(Q)$-theory investigated before in [27-29]. A main characteristic of the $f(Q)$-theory is that the symmetric and flat connection is not necessarily unique. For instance, for the spatially flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW), there are three families of connections [36, 37]. Recent studies on the cosmological observations indicate the pathology of $f(Q)$-cosmology in describing the matter epoch $[38,39]$. These problems may overlap in the presence of a chameleon mechanism. However, $f(Q)$-theory is a great toy model for the description of the late- or early-time acceleration phase of the universe [40, 41].

In this study, we are interested in the derivation of exact Bianchi I spacetimes in $f(Q)$-theory of gravity. The Kasner universe [43] is the most common anisotropic exact solution because it can describe the chaotic behavior as we reach the singularity at the Mixmaster universe [44]. Because of the importance [45-52] of the Kasner universe and its generalization, it has been widely studied in modified theories of gravity [53-57]. Moreover, we construct another family of solutions for the Bianchi I geometry. In [58] the dynamics in the anisotropic Bianchi I spacetime in the coincidence gauge in $f(Q)$-gravity was investigated, some exact solutions for the LRS Bianchi I geometry in the coincidence gauge studied in [59]. However, to our knowledge, there are no studies on the noncoincidence gauge for the Bianchi I spacetime. For the Kantowski-Sachs geometry and the LRS Bianchi III geometry exact solutions in $f(Q)$ theory with the connection defined in the noncoincident gauge in [60].

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the basic definitions for the nonmetricity tensor and of the symmetric teleparallel $f(Q)$-gravity. We introduce the Bianchi I line element in Section 3, where we assume the three families of connections introduced to describe the spatially flat FLRW geometry for the symmetric and teleparallel connection. For the three different connections, we derive the gravitational field equations where we observe that in the limit of isotropization, we recover the field equations of the FLRW universe. Section 4 includes the main results of this analysis, where we determine exact anisotropic solutions. Specifically, we investigate Kasner (-like) solutions, where we find that these solutions exist when the nonmetricity scalar vanishes. Moreover, in Section 5, we present the phase-space analysis for the three different sets of field equations. From this analysis, we study the effects of the different connections on the evolution of the cosmological anisotropies. Finally, we draw our conclusions in Section 6.

## 2. $f(Q)$-GRAVITY

In nonmetricity $f(Q)$-gravity we assume that the four-dimensional manifold, which describes the physical space, is characterized by the metric tensor $g_{\mu \nu}$ and symmetric and flat connection $\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\kappa}$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\kappa} g_{\mu \nu}=Q_{\kappa \mu \nu} \equiv \frac{\partial g_{\mu \nu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}-\Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\sigma} g_{\sigma \nu}-\Gamma_{\lambda \nu}^{\sigma} g_{\mu \sigma}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $Q_{\kappa \mu \nu}$ is the contorsion tensor, also known as the nonmetricity tensor [42].

Because the connection $\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\kappa}$ is symmetric, there is not any torsion component, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} \equiv \Gamma_{[\mu \nu]}^{\lambda}=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the curvature tensor is always zero

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\lambda \mu \nu}^{\kappa} \equiv \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\lambda \nu}^{\kappa}}{\partial x^{\mu}}-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\kappa}}{\partial x^{\nu}}+\Gamma_{\lambda \nu}^{\sigma} \Gamma_{\mu \sigma}^{\kappa}-\Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\sigma} \Gamma_{\mu \sigma}^{\kappa}=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gravitational Action of nonmetricity $f(Q)$-gravity is $[36,37]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{f(Q)}=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g} f(Q) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is the nonmetricity scalar defined as [2]

$$
Q=Q_{\lambda \mu \nu} P^{\lambda \mu \nu}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\mu}=Q_{\mu \nu}^{\nu}, \bar{Q}_{\mu}=Q^{\nu}{ }_{\mu \nu}, P_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=-\frac{1}{4} Q_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{(\mu \nu)}^{\lambda}+\frac{1}{4}\left(Q^{\lambda}-\bar{Q}^{\lambda}\right) g_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{4} \delta_{(\mu}^{\lambda} Q_{\nu)} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\stackrel{o}{R}$ describes the Ricci scalar defined by the Levi-Civita connection for the metric tensor $g_{\mu \nu}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g} Q \simeq \int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}^{o} R+\text { boundary terms } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that $f(Q)$-gravity in the linear case is equivalent to General Relativity. This theory is known as Symmetric Teleparallel General Relativity (STGR).

Varying of the Action Integral $S_{f(Q)}$ with respect to the metric tensor gives the modified field equations [36, 37]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \nabla_{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{-g} f^{\prime}(Q) P_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}\right)-\frac{1}{2} f(Q) g_{\mu \nu}+f^{\prime}(Q)\left(P_{\mu \rho \sigma} Q_{\nu}^{\rho \sigma}-2 Q_{\rho \sigma \mu} P_{\nu}^{\rho \sigma}\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\prime}(Q)=\frac{d f}{d Q}$.
On the other hand, variation with respect to the connection gives the equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu}\left(\sqrt{-g} f^{\prime}(Q) P_{\sigma}^{\mu \nu}\right)=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of motion is possibly identically satisfied; in this case, we shall say that the connection is defined in the "coincidence gauge". Recall that the connection has a different transformation rule from the tensors, meaning it is coordinate-dependent. Because it is flat, a coordinate system exists where all the components can be zero. However, we have already defined the coordinate system by selecting a specific metric tensor. Hence, when the equation
of motion for the connection is not trivially zero for this specific coordinate system, we shall say that the connection is defined in the "noncoincidence gauge".

## 3. BIANCHI I GEOMETRY

Bianchi I spacetime is the simplest model provided by the Bianchi classification scheme where the line element is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-d t^{2}+a^{2}(t) d x^{2}+b^{2}(t) d y^{2}+c^{2}(t) d z^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $a(t), b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are the three scale factors. The later line element admits three isometries, which are the three translations of the flat space, that is, the vector fields $\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}$ and $\partial_{z}$. When the three scale factors are equal, the Bianchi I geometry admits the additional isometries, and the spacetime is reduced to that of the spatially flat FLRW space. Therefore, the three symmetric and flat connections describe the FLRW space in nonmetricity theory and the Bianchi I geometry.

In Cartesian coordinates, the nonzero components of the three connections are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{1}: \Gamma_{t t}^{t}=\gamma(t) \\
\Gamma_{2}: \Gamma_{t t}^{t}=\gamma(t)+\frac{\dot{\gamma}(t)}{\gamma(t)}, \Gamma_{t x}^{x}=\Gamma_{t y}^{x}=\Gamma_{t z}^{x}=\gamma(t) \\
\Gamma_{3}: \Gamma_{t t}^{t}=-\frac{\dot{\gamma}(t)}{\gamma(t)}, \Gamma_{t x}^{t}=\Gamma_{t y}^{t}=\Gamma_{t z}^{t}=-\gamma(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

Function $\gamma$, as we shall see, introduces dynamic degrees of freedom in the field equations.
Connection $\Gamma_{1}$ is defined the coincidence gauge while connections $\Gamma_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$ are defined in the noncoincidence gauge. For each connection, the gravitational field equations are the same in the case of STGR, but differs for a nonlinear $f(Q)$-theory.

### 3.1. Field equations for connection $\Gamma_{1}$

For the connection $\Gamma_{1}$ we calculate the nonmetricity scalar

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=-2\left(H_{a} H_{b}+H_{a} H_{c}+H_{b} H_{c}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{a}=\frac{\dot{a}}{a}, H_{b}=\frac{\dot{b}}{b}$ and $H_{c}=\frac{\dot{e}}{c}$.
Therefore, the gravitational field equations are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f^{\prime}\left(H_{a} H_{b}+H_{a} H_{c}+H_{b} H_{c}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f-Q f^{\prime}\right)=0, \\
f^{\prime}\left(\left(H_{b}+H_{c}\right)\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\dot{H}_{b}+\dot{H}_{c}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\frac{f}{2}=0, \\
f^{\prime}\left(\left(H_{a}+H_{c}\right)\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\dot{H}_{a}+\dot{H}_{c}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{a}+H_{c}\right)+\frac{f}{2}=0 \\
f^{\prime}\left(\left(H_{a}+H_{b}\right)\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\dot{H}_{a}+\dot{H}_{b}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{a}+H_{b}\right)+\frac{f}{2}=0 . \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

Because $\Gamma_{1}$ is defined in the coincidence gauge, the equation of motion for the connection is trivially satisfied.

### 3.1.1. Minisuperspace description

The latter gravitational field equations admit a point-like Lagrangian. Indeed, by introducing the scalar field $\phi=f^{\prime}(Q)$ and the potential function $V(\phi)=\frac{1}{2}\left(f-Q f^{\prime}\right)$, it follows that the field equations can be derived from the variation of the Action Integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int L_{\Gamma_{1}}(a, \dot{a}, b, \dot{b}, c, \dot{c}, \phi, \dot{\phi}) d t \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the Lagrangian function $L_{\Gamma_{1}}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\Gamma_{1}}(a, \dot{a}, b, \dot{b}, c, \dot{c}, \phi, \dot{\phi})=\phi(c \dot{a} \dot{b}+b \dot{a} \dot{c}+a \dot{b} \dot{c})-a b c V(\phi) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. Field equations for connection $\Gamma_{2}$

For the second connection, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=-2\left(H_{a} H_{b}+H_{a} H_{c}+H_{b} H_{c}\right)+3\left(\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right) \gamma+\dot{\gamma}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the field equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime}\left(H_{a} H_{b}+H_{a} H_{c}+H_{b} H_{c}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f-Q f^{\prime}\right)+3 \gamma \dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{18}\\
& f^{\prime}\left(\left(H_{b}+H_{c}\right)\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\dot{H}_{b}+\dot{H}_{c}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{b}+H_{c}-\frac{3}{2} \gamma\right)+\frac{f}{2}=0,  \tag{19}\\
& f^{\prime}\left(\left(H_{a}+H_{c}\right)\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\dot{H}_{a}+\dot{H}_{c}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{a}+H_{c}-\frac{3}{2} \gamma\right)+\frac{f}{2}=0,  \tag{20}\\
& f^{\prime}\left(\left(H_{a}+H_{b}\right)\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\dot{H}_{a}+\dot{H}_{b}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{a}+H_{b}-\frac{3}{2} \gamma\right)+\frac{f}{2}=0, \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

with the equation of motion for the connection

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \gamma \dot{Q}^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f^{\prime \prime}\left(\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right) \dot{Q}+\ddot{Q}\right)=0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.1. Minisuperspace description

To write the point-like Lagrangian for the field equations of connection $\Gamma_{2}$ we follow [69] and we define $\phi=f^{\prime}(Q), \gamma=\dot{\psi}$ and $V(\phi)=\frac{1}{2}\left(f-Q f^{\prime}\right)$. The corresponding Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(a, \dot{a}, b, \dot{b}, c, \dot{\beta}_{2}, \phi, \dot{\phi}, \psi, \dot{\psi}\right)=\dot{\phi}(c \dot{a} \dot{b}+b \dot{a} \dot{c}+a \dot{b} \dot{c})+3 a b c \dot{\psi} \dot{\phi}-a b c V(\phi) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3. Field equations for connection $\Gamma_{3}$

For the third connection, namely $\Gamma_{3}$ we calculate the nonmetricity scalar

$$
\begin{align*}
Q\left(\Gamma_{3}\right) & =-2\left(H_{a} H_{b}+H_{a} H_{c}+H_{b} H_{c}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}+\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right) \dot{\gamma} \\
& +H_{a} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}-\frac{1}{b^{2}}-\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right)+H_{b} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}}-\frac{1}{a^{2}}-\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right)+H_{c} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{b^{2}}-\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

The field equations are derived

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{\prime}\left(H_{a} H_{b}+H_{a} H_{c}+H_{b} H_{c}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f-Q f^{\prime}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}+\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right) \gamma=0,  \tag{25}\\
f^{\prime}\left(\left(H_{b}+H_{c}\right)\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\dot{H}_{b}+\dot{H}_{c}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{b}+H_{c}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}-\frac{1}{b^{2}}-\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right)\right)+\frac{f}{2}=0, \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime}\left(\left(H_{a}+H_{c}\right)\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\dot{H}_{a}+\dot{H}_{c}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{a}+H_{c}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}}-\frac{1}{a^{2}}-\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right)\right)+\frac{f}{2}=0, \\
& f^{\prime}\left(\left(H_{a}+H_{b}\right)\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+H_{c}\right)+\dot{H}_{a}+\dot{H}_{b}\right)+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{a}+H_{b}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{b^{2}}-\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right)\right)+\frac{f}{2}=0 . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the equation of motion for the connection reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Q}^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\ddot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}+\dot{Q} f^{\prime \prime}\left(2 \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\gamma}+\frac{a^{2} b^{2}\left(H_{a}+H_{b}-H_{c}\right)+a^{2} c^{2}\left(H_{a}+H_{c}-H_{b}\right)+b^{2} c^{2}\left(H_{b}+H_{c}-H_{a}\right)}{a^{2} b^{2}+a^{2} c^{2}+b^{2} c^{2}}\right)=0 . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3.1. Minisuperspace description

Finally, we introduce $\phi=f^{\prime}(Q), \gamma=\dot{\psi}$ and $V(\phi)=\frac{1}{2}\left(f-Q f^{\prime}\right)$ [69] and the minisuperspace Lagrangian for the field equations of connection $\Gamma_{3}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\Gamma_{3}}(a, \dot{a}, b, \dot{b}, c, \dot{c}, \phi, \dot{\phi}, \psi, \dot{\psi})=\dot{\phi}(c \dot{a} \dot{b}+b \dot{a} \dot{c}+a \dot{b} \dot{c})-\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}+\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right) \dot{\phi} \dot{\psi}-a b c V(\phi) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. KASNER (-LIKE) SPACETIMES

The Kasner universe is an exact solution of GR [43] in the vacuum for the Bianchi I line element (9). The Kasner metric has three parameters, namely the Kasner indices, which must satisfy the two so-called Kasner algebraic relations, so it is a one-parameter family of solutions. Specifically, the values of the parameters are defined on the real number line by the intersection of a three-dimensional sphere of radius unity and a plane in which the sum of those parameters is one. The importance of the Kasner universe is that it describes the evolution of the Mixmaster universe (Bianchi IX) close to the singularity. In [61], it has been shown that the Kasner metric describes the general Bianchi models asymptotically at intermediate stages of their evolution and early and late-times dynamics.

For the line element (9) the Kasner solution is described by the power-law scale factors

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=t^{p_{1}}, b(t)=t^{p_{2}} \text { and } c(t)=t^{p_{3}}, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the indices $p_{i}=\left(p_{i}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ satisfy the Kasner's relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}=1, \quad p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}=1 . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kasner-like power-law solutions are spacetimes with power-law scale factors with generalized Kasner's relations. These solutions have been studied before in the literature for the analysis of anisotropies in higher spacetime dimensions and in modified theories of gravity [44, 62-68].

In the following, we investigate the existence of Kasner (-like) solutions in nonmetricity $f(Q)$-gravity for the three different connections.

### 4.1. Connection $\Gamma_{1}$

The field equations (10)-(14) for connection $\Gamma_{1}$ defined in the coincidence gauge is identical to that of teleparallel $f(t)$-gravity [54]. Hence, the results of $f(T)$-gravity hold and for the $f(Q)$-gravity. Thus, for the power-law $f(Q)=(-Q)^{n}$ model, the power-law scale factors (31) solve the field equations when the indices $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ satisfy the modified Kasner relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}=2 n-1  \tag{33}\\
& p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}=(2 n-1)^{2} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n>0$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}=\alpha, \quad p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}=\alpha^{2} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n>1$.
Indeed, the Kasner solution is recovered when $n=1$, or for $n>1$ and $\alpha=1$. Furthermore, we remark that one of the resonances always has a different sign from the others, which means that the chaotic dynamic behavior near the singularity in the Mixmaster universe is similar to that of GR.

We proceed with the investigation of the existence of Kasner (-like) solutions for the other two connections, where for simplicity of the calculations, we work, without loss of generality, in the scalar field description approach.

### 4.2. Connection $\Gamma_{2}$

We assume the scale factors' power-law solution (31). By replacing in the gravitational field equations, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{p_{1} p_{2}+p_{1} p_{3}+p_{2} p_{3}}{3 t^{2}} \phi+\frac{3}{2} \gamma \dot{\phi}+V(\phi)=0,  \tag{36}\\
& t\left(3 t \gamma-2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right) \dot{\phi}-2\left(\left(p_{2}-1\right) p_{2}+p_{3}\left(p_{3}-1\right)+p_{3}^{2}\right)\right) \phi-2 t^{2} V(\phi)=0,  \tag{37}\\
& t\left(3 t \gamma-2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right) \dot{\phi}-2\left(\left(p_{1}-1\right) p_{1}+p_{3}\left(p_{1}-1\right)+p_{3}^{2}\right)\right) \phi-2 t^{2} V(\phi)=0,  \tag{38}\\
& t\left(3 t \gamma-2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) \dot{\phi}-2\left(\left(p_{1}-1\right) p_{1}+p_{2}\left(p_{1}-1\right)+p_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \phi-2 t^{2} V(\phi)=0, \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \ddot{\phi}+\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right) \dot{\phi}=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the solution of the latter system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\phi)=0, \gamma(t)=-\frac{2\left(p_{1} p_{2}+p_{1} p_{3}+p_{2} p_{3}\right)}{3\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)} \frac{1}{t}, \phi(t)=\phi_{1} t^{1-p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By replacing in (17) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{, \phi}=Q=0 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from the definition of the scalar field $\phi=f^{\prime}(Q)$, and of the potential $V(\phi)=$ $f^{\prime}(Q) Q-f(Q)$ we end with the algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=0 . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude the power-law solution (31), which solves the field equations for the second connection for any indices $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ when $f(Q \rightarrow 0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(Q \rightarrow 0)=0$. That is, $f(Q)$ can be any function in which $Q=0$ is a zero and a stationary point, that is, $f^{\prime}(Q \rightarrow 0)=$ 0 . We remark that there is not any Kasner (-like) relation because of the function $\gamma(t)$.

On the other hand, in the case of the Kasner universe, that is, the Kasner relations (32) are satisfied, we find that $\gamma(t)=\frac{\gamma_{0}}{t}$, and $Q=0, f(Q \rightarrow 0)=0$.

### 4.3. Connection $\Gamma_{3}$

From the field equations of the third connection and for the scale factors (31) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =t\left(t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}\right)(\ddot{\phi} \gamma+2 \dot{\gamma} \dot{\phi}) \\
& +\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{3}\right) t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}+\left(p_{1}-p_{2}+p_{3}\right) t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)}+\left(-p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right) t^{2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)},  \tag{44}\\
0 & =2\left(p_{1} p_{2}+p_{1} p_{3}+p_{2} p_{3}\right) t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)} \phi  \tag{45}\\
& +t^{2}\left(t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}\right) \gamma \dot{\phi}+t^{2\left(1+p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)} V(\phi)  \tag{46}\\
0 & =\left(p_{3}^{2}+p_{3}\left(p_{2}-1\right)+p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right)\right) t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)} \phi-t^{2\left(1+p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)} V(\phi) \\
& +t\left(2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}+t\left(t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)}-t^{2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}\right) \gamma\right) \dot{\phi}  \tag{47}\\
0 & =\left(p_{3}^{2}+p_{3}\left(p_{1}-1\right)+p_{1}\left(p_{1}-1\right)\right) t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)} \phi-t^{2\left(1+p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)} V(\phi) \\
& +t\left(2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}+t\left(t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}-t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}\right) \gamma\right) \dot{\phi}  \tag{48}\\
0 & =\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{1}\left(p_{2}-1\right)+p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right)\right) t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)} \phi-t^{2\left(1+p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)} V(\phi) \\
& +t\left(2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}+t\left(t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}\right) \gamma\right) \dot{\phi} . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the only solution where $\gamma \neq 0$, it is that with $V(\phi)=0, \phi=0$, that is, $Q=0$, $f(Q \rightarrow 0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(Q \rightarrow 0)=0$ in which from the definition of the nonmetricity scalar (24) we calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma(t) & =\gamma_{0} \frac{t^{p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}}}{t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}} \\
& +\frac{2\left(p_{1} p_{2}+p_{1} p_{3}+p_{2} p_{3}\right)}{1-p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}} \frac{t^{1+2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}}{\left(t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}\right)} . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Last but not least, in the case of the Kasner solution, the connection is calculated

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(t)=\gamma_{0} \frac{t}{t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)}+t^{2\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. PHASE-SPACE ANALYSIS

In this Section, we use the dimensionless variables and investigate the stationary points for the field equations. Such analysis provides essential information regarding the cosmological history and the model's fate.

### 5.1. Connection $\Gamma_{1}$

We consider the point-like action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(a, \dot{a}, \beta_{1}, \dot{\beta}_{1}, c, \dot{\beta}_{2}, \phi\right)=\frac{3 a \phi \dot{a}^{2}}{N}-a^{3}\left(\frac{3 \phi\left(\dot{\beta}_{1}^{2}+{\dot{\beta_{2}}}^{2}\right)}{4 N}-N V(\phi)\right) . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking variations of $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ with respect to $\left\{N, a, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \phi\right\}$, and setting $N=1$ after variations we obtain the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{2}\left(3 \phi\left(\dot{\beta}_{1}^{2}+\dot{\beta}_{2}^{2}\right)+4 V(\phi)\right)-12 \phi \dot{a}^{2}=0,  \tag{53}\\
& 4 \phi \dot{a}^{2}+a\left(8 \phi \ddot{a}+8 \dot{a} \dot{\phi}+a\left(3 \phi\left({\dot{\beta_{1}}}^{2}+\dot{\beta}_{2}^{2}\right)-4 V(\phi)\right)\right)=0,  \tag{54}\\
& \dot{\beta}_{1}(3 \phi \dot{a}+a \dot{\phi})+a \phi \ddot{\beta_{1}}=0,  \tag{55}\\
& \dot{\beta}_{2}(3 \phi \dot{a}+a \dot{\phi})+a \phi \ddot{\beta_{2}}=0,  \tag{56}\\
& a^{2}\left(3\left({\dot{\beta_{1}}}^{2}+{\dot{\beta_{2}}}^{2}\right)-4 V^{\prime}(\phi)\right)-12 \dot{a}^{2}=0 . \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad \sigma_{1}=\frac{\dot{\beta_{1}}}{2}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{\dot{\beta}_{2}}{2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we investigate the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{H}=\frac{-3 \phi\left(H^{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)-2 H \dot{\phi}+V(\phi)}{2 \phi}  \tag{59}\\
& \dot{\sigma}_{1}=-\sigma_{1}(3 H \phi+\dot{\phi}) / \phi  \tag{60}\\
& \dot{\sigma}_{2}=-\sigma_{2}(3 H \phi+\dot{\phi}) / \phi  \tag{61}\\
& V^{\prime}(\phi)=3\left(-H^{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

with restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \phi\left(-H^{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)+V(\phi)=0 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define the variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{V(\phi)}{3 H^{2} \phi}, \quad \Sigma_{1}=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{H}, \quad \Sigma_{2}=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{H} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Label | Location | $y$ | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | Behavior |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | $\Sigma_{2}^{2}+\Sigma_{1}^{2}=1$ | 0 | 6 | 0 | unstable |
| $B$ | $\Sigma_{1}=0, \Sigma_{2}=0$ | 1 | -3 | -3 | sink |

TABLE I: Equilibrium points/lines of points/ surfaces of the system (70) and (71).
which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+y=1 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression can be used as a definition of $y$.
We have the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{3}{2} \Sigma_{1}\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}-y-1\right),  \tag{66}\\
& \Sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{3}{2} \Sigma_{2}\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}-y-1\right),  \tag{67}\\
& y^{\prime}=-6\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}-1\right)\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}\right), \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have defined the new derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime} \equiv \frac{1}{H} \dot{y} . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we investigate the reduced system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma_{1}^{\prime}=3 \Sigma_{1}\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}-1\right),  \tag{70}\\
& \Sigma_{2}^{\prime}=3 \Sigma_{2}\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}-1\right) . \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

The equilibrium points/lines of points/ surfaces of the system (70) and (71) are the following.

1. The circle

$$
A: \Sigma_{2}^{2}+\Sigma_{1}^{2}=1
$$

and $y=0$ with eigenvalues 6,0 . It is unstable.
2. The point $C:(0,0)$ and $y=1$ with eigenvalues $-3,-3$ that is a sink.

The equilibrium points/lines of points/ surfaces of the system (70) and (71) are listed on Table I. The lines of points $A$ represent Kasner solutions. The point $B$ is the attractor and corresponds to a potential-dominated solution.

### 5.2. Connection $\Gamma_{2}$

We consider the point-like action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{2}(N, a, \dot{a}, b, \dot{b}, c, \dot{c}, \phi, \dot{\phi}, \psi, \dot{\psi}):=\frac{3 a \phi \dot{a}^{2}}{N}-a^{3}\left(\frac{3 \phi\left(\dot{\beta}_{1}{ }^{2}+\dot{\beta}_{2}^{2}\right)}{4 N}-N V(\phi)+\frac{3 \dot{\psi} \dot{\phi}}{2 N}\right), \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=\dot{\psi}$. Taking variations of $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ with respect to $\left\{N, a, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \phi, \psi\right\}$, and setting $N=1$ after variations we obtain the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{3}\left(3 \phi\left(\dot{\beta}_{1}{ }^{2}+\dot{\beta}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+4 V(\phi)+6 \dot{\psi} \dot{\phi}\right)-12 a \phi \dot{a}^{2}=0,  \tag{73}\\
& -4 \phi \dot{a}^{2}-8 a(\phi \ddot{a}+\dot{a} \dot{\phi})+a^{2}\left(-3 \phi\left(\dot{\beta}_{1}^{2}+\dot{\beta}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+4 V(\phi)-6 \dot{\psi} \dot{\phi}\right)=0,  \tag{74}\\
& \phi\left(3 \dot{a} \dot{\beta}_{1}+a \ddot{\beta}_{1}\right)+a \dot{\beta_{1}} \dot{\phi}=0,  \tag{75}\\
& \phi\left(3 \dot{a} \dot{\beta}_{2}+a \ddot{\beta}_{2}\right)+a \dot{\beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}=0,  \tag{76}\\
& 18 a \dot{a} \dot{\psi}+12 \dot{a}^{2}+a^{2}\left(4 V^{\prime}(\phi)-3\left(\dot{\beta}_{1}{ }^{2}+\dot{\beta}_{2}^{2}-2 \ddot{\psi}\right)\right)=0,  \tag{77}\\
& 3 \dot{a} \dot{\phi}+a \ddot{\phi}=0 \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad \sigma_{1}=\frac{\dot{\beta_{1}}}{2}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{\dot{\beta_{2}}}{2} . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we investigate the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{H} & =-\frac{4 H \dot{\phi}+6 H^{2} \phi+6 \phi\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)-2 V(\phi)+3 \dot{\psi} \dot{\phi}}{4 \phi}  \tag{80}\\
\dot{\sigma_{1}} & =-\sigma_{1}(3 H \phi+\dot{\phi}) / \phi  \tag{81}\\
\dot{\sigma_{2}} & =-\sigma_{2}(3 H \phi+\dot{\phi}) / \phi  \tag{82}\\
\ddot{\phi} & =-3 H \dot{\phi}  \tag{83}\\
\ddot{\psi} & =-3 H \dot{\psi}-2 H^{2}+2\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{2}{3} V^{\prime}(\phi) \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

with restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \phi\left(-H^{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)+2 V(\phi)+3 \dot{\psi} \dot{\phi}=0 . \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining the new variables

$$
x=\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H \phi}, \quad y=\frac{V(\phi)}{3 H^{2} \phi}, \quad z=\frac{\dot{\psi}}{2 H}, \quad \Sigma_{1}=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{H}, \quad \Sigma_{2}=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{H},
$$

with inverse

$$
V(\phi)=3 H^{2} y \phi, \quad \sigma_{1}=H \Sigma_{1}, \quad \sigma_{2}=H \Sigma_{2}, \quad \dot{\phi} H x \phi, \quad \dot{\psi}=2 H z
$$

and the auxiliary variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{\phi^{2} V^{\prime \prime}(\phi)}{V(\phi)}-\frac{\phi^{2} V^{\prime 2}}{V(\phi)^{2}}+\frac{\phi V^{\prime}(\phi)}{V(\phi)}, \quad \lambda=\frac{\phi V^{\prime}(\phi)}{V(\phi)}, \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

with inverse

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(\phi)=\frac{\lambda V(\phi)}{\phi}, \quad V^{\prime \prime}(\phi)=\frac{\left(K+\lambda^{2}-\lambda\right) V(\phi)}{\phi^{2}}, \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the dynamical system

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{3}{2} \Sigma_{1}\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z-y-1\right),  \tag{88}\\
\Sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{3}{2} \Sigma_{2}\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z-y-1\right),  \tag{89}\\
x^{\prime} & =\frac{3}{2} x\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z-y-1\right),  \tag{90}\\
y^{\prime} & =y\left(x(\lambda+3 z+1)+3\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}-y+1\right)\right),  \tag{91}\\
z^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2}\left((3 z+2)\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z-1\right)-y(2 \lambda+3 z)\right),  \tag{92}\\
\lambda^{\prime} & =K x, \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have defined the new derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime} \equiv \frac{1}{H} \dot{x} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it can be assume that $K$ is an explicit function of $\lambda, K=K(\lambda)$. Therefore, we obtain a closed dynamical system.

| Label | Location | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $k_{3}$ | $k_{4}$ | Behavior |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | $\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}=1, x=0$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | unstable |
| $B$ | $\Sigma_{1}=0, \Sigma_{2}=0, x=0, z=-\frac{1}{3}(1+\lambda)$ | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | sink |
| $C$ | $\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z=1, \quad x \neq 0 \quad z \neq 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\lambda x+x+6$ | unstable |

TABLE II: Equilibrium points/lines of points/surfaces of the system (96), (97), (98) and (99) for $\lambda$ constant.

It satisfied the restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z+y=1 \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is a conservation law for the flow. Therefore, we study the reduced system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma_{1}^{\prime}=3 \Sigma_{1}\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z-1\right)  \tag{96}\\
& \Sigma_{2}^{\prime}=3 \Sigma_{2}\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z-1\right),  \tag{97}\\
& x^{\prime}=3 x\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z-1\right),  \tag{98}\\
& z^{\prime}=(\lambda+3 z+1)\left(\Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z-1\right),  \tag{99}\\
& \lambda^{\prime}=K x . \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, $\lambda$ is a function of the scalar field with its evolution equation (93). For simplicity, we investigate the simple case $\lambda$ is a constant. That is, $K \equiv 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\phi)=c_{1} \phi^{\lambda}, \quad f(Q)=Q f^{\prime}(Q)+2 c_{1} f^{\prime}(Q)^{\lambda} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second is the Clairaut equation, which has a non-trivial $\left(f(Q) \neq a_{1}+a_{2} Q\right.$ ) powerlaw solution. It follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(Q)=\alpha(-Q)^{p}, \quad p=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}, \quad \alpha=2^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}(\lambda-1)(-\lambda)^{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}}\left(-c_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} . \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Table II are presented the equilibrium points/lines of points/ surfaces of the system (96), (97), (98) and (99) for $\lambda$ constant.

The equilibrium sets are:

1. The circle

$$
A: \Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}=1, x=0
$$

It corresponds to the family Kasner's solutions derived before. The eigenvalues are $6,0,0,0$.

## 2. The equilibrium points

$$
B: \Sigma_{1}=0, \Sigma_{2}=0, x=0, z=-\frac{1}{3}(1+\lambda) .
$$

The eigenvalues are $-3,-3,-3,-3$. It is a sink. The asymptotic solution is that of the de Sitter universe.
3. The surface

$$
C: \Sigma_{1}^{2}+\Sigma_{2}^{2}+x z=1, \quad x \neq 0 \quad z \neq 0 .
$$

It corresponds to $y=0$. The eigenvalues are $\{0,0,0, \lambda x+x+6\}$. The asymptotic solution describes Kasner-like universes.

### 5.3. Connection $\Gamma_{3}$

In this example, we have the point-like Lagrangian

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{3}\left(N, a, \dot{a}, \beta_{1}, \dot{\beta}_{1}, \beta_{2}, \dot{\beta}_{2}, \phi, \dot{\phi}, \Psi, \dot{\Psi}\right):= \\
& \frac{3 a \phi \dot{a}^{2}}{N}-\frac{3 a^{3} \phi \dot{\beta}_{1}{ }^{2}}{4 N}-\frac{a N e^{\beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}}{2 \dot{\Psi}}-\frac{a N e^{\beta_{1}+\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}}{2 \dot{\Psi}}-\frac{a e^{-2 \beta_{1}} N \dot{\phi}}{2 \dot{\Psi}}-\frac{3 a^{3} \phi \dot{\beta}_{2}{ }^{2}}{4 N}-a^{3} N V(\phi) \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma=1 / \dot{\Psi}$. Taking variations of $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ with respect to $\left\{N, a, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \phi, \Psi\right\}$, and setting $N=1$ after variations, we obtain the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(3 \phi\left(\dot{\beta}_{1}{ }^{2}+{\dot{\beta_{2}}}^{2}\right)-4 V(\phi)\right) a^{2}-12 \phi \dot{a}^{2}-\frac{2 e^{-2 \beta_{1}}\left(1+e^{3 \beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}+\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{\phi}}{\dot{\Psi}}=0 \\
& -3\left(4 V(\phi)+3 \phi\left(\dot{\beta}_{1}{ }^{2}+\dot{\beta}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right) a^{2}-24(\dot{a} \dot{\phi}+\phi \ddot{a}) a  \tag{104}\\
& -2\left(6 \phi \dot{a}^{2}+\frac{e^{-2 \beta_{1}}\left(1+e^{3 \beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}+\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{\phi}}{\dot{\Psi}}\right)=0 \tag{105}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3\left(\dot{\beta_{1}} \dot{\phi}+\phi \ddot{\beta}_{1}\right) a^{2}+9 \phi \dot{a} \dot{\beta}_{1} a-\frac{e^{-2 \beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\left(e^{3 \beta_{1}}-2 e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{\phi}}{\dot{\Psi}}=0,  \tag{106}\\
& 3\left(\dot{\beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}+\phi \ddot{\beta}_{2}\right) a^{2}+9 \phi \dot{a} \dot{\beta}_{2} a-\frac{\sqrt{3} e^{\beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\left(-1+e^{2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{\phi}}{\dot{\Psi}}=0,  \tag{107}\\
& \frac{2 e^{-2 \beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}}{\dot{\Psi}^{2}}\left(\left(e^{3 \beta_{1}}+e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{a} \dot{\Psi}\right. \\
& -a\left(2 e^{2 \beta_{1}+\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} a^{2} V^{\prime}(\phi) \dot{\Psi}^{2}-\left(e^{3 \beta_{1}}-2 e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{\beta_{1} \dot{\Psi}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\sqrt{3} e^{3 \beta_{1}}\left(-1+e^{2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{\beta}_{2} \dot{\Psi}+e^{3 \beta_{1}} \ddot{\Psi}+e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \ddot{\Psi}+e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \ddot{\Psi}\right)\right) \\
& -3 a\left(a^{2}\left(\dot{\beta}_{1}{ }^{2}+\dot{\beta}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)-4 \dot{a}^{2}\right)=0,  \tag{108}\\
& -\left(e^{3 \beta_{1}}+e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{a} \dot{\phi} \dot{\Psi} \\
& -a\left(\left(e^{3 \beta_{1}}-2 e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{\beta_{1}} \dot{\phi} \dot{\Psi}+\sqrt{3} e^{3 \beta_{1}}\left(-1+e^{2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right) \dot{\beta_{2}} \dot{\phi} \dot{\Psi}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(e^{3 \beta_{1}}+e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\right)(\dot{\Psi} \ddot{\phi}-2 \dot{\phi} \ddot{\Psi})\right)=0 . \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad \sigma_{1}=\frac{\dot{\beta_{1}}}{2}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{\dot{\beta_{2}}}{2} . \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we investigate the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{H}=\frac{\dot{\phi}\left(-6 a^{2} H \dot{\Psi}+e^{\beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{\beta_{1}+\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{-2 \beta_{1}}\right)}{6 a^{2} \phi \dot{\Psi}}-3\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right),  \tag{111}\\
& \dot{\sigma_{1}}=\frac{e^{\beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}}{6 a^{2} \phi \dot{\Psi}}+\frac{e^{\beta_{1}+\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}}{6 a^{2} \phi \dot{\Psi}}-\frac{e^{-2 \beta_{1}} \dot{\phi}}{3 a^{2} \phi \dot{\Psi}}-3 H \sigma_{1}-\frac{\sigma_{1} \dot{\phi}}{\phi},  \tag{112}\\
& \dot{\sigma_{2}}=-\frac{e^{\beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}}{2 \sqrt{3} a^{2} \phi \dot{\Psi}}+\frac{e^{\beta_{1}+\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}}{2 \sqrt{3} a^{2} \phi \dot{\Psi}}-3 H \sigma_{2}-\frac{\sigma_{2} \dot{\phi}}{\phi},  \tag{113}\\
& \ddot{\phi}=\frac{\dot{\phi}}{e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}}+e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}}\left(e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\left(4 a^{2} e^{2 \beta_{1}} \dot{\Psi}\left(3 H^{2}-3\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)-V^{\prime}(\phi)\right)+H-4 \sigma_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\left(H+2\left(\sigma_{1}+\sqrt{3} \sigma_{2}\right)\right)+e^{3 \beta_{1}}\left(H+2 \sigma_{1}-2 \sqrt{3} \sigma_{2}\right)\right), \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{\Psi}=\frac{\dot{\Psi}}{e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}+e^{3 \beta_{1}}+e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}}\left(e^{\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\left(2 a^{2} e^{2 \beta_{1}} \dot{\Psi}\left(3 H^{2}-3\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)-V^{\prime}(\phi)\right)+H-4 \sigma_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+e^{3 \beta_{1}+2 \sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}\left(H+2\left(\sigma_{1}+\sqrt{3} \sigma_{2}\right)\right)+e^{3 \beta_{1}}\left(H+2 \sigma_{1}-2 \sqrt{3} \sigma_{2}\right)\right) \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

with restriction

$$
V(\phi)=-\frac{e^{\beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}}{2 a^{2} \dot{\Psi}}-\frac{e^{\beta_{1}+\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}} \dot{\phi}}{2 a^{2} \dot{\Psi}}-\frac{e^{-2 \beta_{1}} \dot{\phi}}{2 a^{2} \dot{\Psi}}-3 H^{2} \phi+3 \sigma_{1}^{2} \phi+3 \sigma_{2}^{2} \phi .
$$

We define the new variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H \phi}, \quad y=\frac{V(\phi)}{3 H^{2} \phi}, z=\frac{1}{6 a^{2} H \dot{\psi}}, \\
& u=e^{\beta_{1}-\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}, \quad v=e^{\beta_{1}+\sqrt{3} \beta_{2}}, \Sigma_{1}=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{H}, \quad \Sigma_{2}=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

which satisfies the constraint equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+y-\Sigma_{1}^{2}-\Sigma_{2}^{2}+\frac{x}{z}\left(u+v+\frac{1}{u v}\right)=0 . \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining the time variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime} \equiv \frac{1}{H} \dot{x}, \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assuming that $\lambda$ is a constant parameter similar to before and replace $y$ from the constraint equation, we end with the following dynamical system

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime} & =-\frac{x^{2}(1+u v(u+v+\lambda z))}{u v z} \\
& +\frac{x\left(1+\Sigma_{1}\left(3 \Sigma_{1}-4\right)+3 \Sigma^{2}+u^{2} v\left(1+\Sigma_{1}\left(2+3 \Sigma_{1}\right)-2 \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{2}+3 \Sigma_{2}^{2}\right)\right)}{1+u v(u+v)}+ \\
& +\frac{x u v\left(z(\lambda-2)\left(1-\Sigma_{1}^{2}-\Sigma_{2}^{2}\right)+v\left(1+\Sigma_{1}\left(2+3 \Sigma_{1}\right)+2 \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{2}+3 \Sigma_{2}^{2}\right)\right)}{1+u v(u+v)},  \tag{118}\\
2 z^{2} z^{\prime} & =x\left((2+\lambda) z-2\left(u+v+\frac{1}{u v}\right)\right)+\frac{z\left(8 \Sigma_{1}-6\left(1-\Sigma_{1}^{2}-\Sigma_{2}^{2}\right)\right)}{1+u v(u+v)} \\
& +\frac{2 z u^{2} v\left(\Sigma_{1}\left(3 \Sigma_{1}-2\right)-3+2 \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{2}+3 \Sigma_{2}^{2}\right)}{1+u v(u+v)}+ \\
& +\frac{z u v\left((\lambda-2)\left(1-\Sigma_{1}^{2}-\Sigma_{2}^{2}\right) z-2 v\left(3-2 \Sigma_{1}+3 \Sigma_{1}^{2}-2 \sqrt{3} \Sigma_{2}+3 \Sigma_{2}^{2}\right)\right)}{1+u v(u+v)}, \tag{119}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =-\frac{x\left(2+\Sigma_{1}+u v(u+v)\left(\Sigma_{1}-1\right)\right)}{u v z}-3 \Sigma_{1}\left(1-\Sigma_{1}^{2}-\Sigma_{2}^{2}\right),  \tag{120}\\
\Sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =-\frac{x\left(\Sigma_{2}-u v(u+v)\left(\sqrt{3}-\Sigma_{2}\right)\right)}{u v z}-3 \Sigma_{2}\left(1-\Sigma_{1}^{2}-\Sigma_{2}^{2}\right),  \tag{121}\\
u^{\prime} & =2 u\left(\Sigma_{1}-\sqrt{3} \Sigma_{2}\right),  \tag{122}\\
v^{\prime} & =2 v\left(\Sigma_{1}+\sqrt{3} \Sigma_{2}\right) . \tag{123}
\end{align*}
$$

The equilibrium sets are:

1. The surface

$$
D: x=0, \Sigma_{1}=0, \Sigma_{2}=0, z=\frac{6(1+u v(u+v))}{(\lambda-2) u v}, z u v \neq 0 .
$$

It corresponds to the isotropic de Sitter universe. The eigenvalues are $0,0,-5,-3,-3,\left(\frac{(\lambda-2) u v}{12(1+u v(u+v))}\right)^{2}$, which means that the point is a saddle.
2. The point

$$
E: x=0, \Sigma_{1}=0, \Sigma_{2}=0, z=-3, u=1, v=1 .
$$

It corresponds to $y=0$ and describes a homogeneous FLRW universe with a radiation source. The eigenvalues are $-1+i \sqrt{3},-1+i \sqrt{3},-1-i \sqrt{3},-1-i \sqrt{3}$, $\frac{18-19 \lambda+\sqrt{\lambda(361 \lambda-540)-540}}{36}, \frac{18-19 \lambda+\sqrt{\lambda(361 \lambda-540)-540}}{36}$, from where it follows that the point is attractor for $\frac{18}{19}<\lambda<6$.
3. The equilibrium point

$$
F: x=\frac{20}{2+3 \lambda}, \Sigma_{1}=0, \Sigma_{2}=0, z=-\frac{12}{\lambda-2}, u=1, v=1
$$

describes an isotropic universe with $y=-1-\frac{5(2-\lambda)}{2+3 \lambda}$, and the equation of state parameter for the fluid source is $\frac{14+\lambda}{3(2+3 \lambda)}$. Finally, the point is an attractor for $\lambda<-4$.

We conclude that no asymptotic solutions describe anisotropy for the connection $\Gamma_{3}$. Recall that the limit $z \rightarrow 0$, the connection $\Gamma_{3}$ reduced to that of $\Gamma_{1}$; this case is not new.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

Kasner and Kasner-like spacetimes belong to the family of self-similar solutions within the Bianchi I geometry. Such solutions hold significant importance in gravitational physics due to their association with similarity transformations, specifically Homothetic symmetry, which allows mapping solutions to equivalent ones after appropriately scaling independent variables [70]. Self-similar solutions accurately depict behavior in the asymptotic limit for more general solutions [71].

This analysis explores self-similar solutions for Bianchi I geometries under symmetric teleparallel $f(Q)$-gravity. Bianchi I geometry can be viewed as the anisotropic extension of spatially flat FLRW spacetime. Accordingly, we utilize three families of connections introduced in the case of FLRW spacetime to define the gravitational theory. Each connection yields distinct sets of gravitational field equations. We require a self-similar solution and determine the unknown variables accordingly.

For the first connection, defined in the coincident gauge, we identify Kasner(-like) solutions that extend the Kasner relations. However, the characteristics of the indices for the exact solution remain unchanged, indicating a similarity in behavior with the Kasner universe. Conversely, self-similar solutions exist for the remaining two set of field equations defined by connections in the noncoincident gauge without Kasner-like constraint equations. That suggests that the chaotic behavior observed in the Mixmaster universe near the singularity may be absent in symmetric teleparallel $f(Q)$-gravity.

Finally, we employed the phase-space analysis to understand the evolution of anisotropies in this gravitational model. The cosmological evolution was found to depend on the definition of the connection. For all the connections, the future solution is that of the de Sitter universe.

Recall that in symmetric teleparallel gravity, in the presence of spatial curvature, the connection that reproduces the field equations is defined in the noncoincidence gauge [28, 35]. Thus, in future work, we plan to investigate the evolution of the kinematic variables in the Mixmaster universe and investigate which limit of Bianchi I near the singularity exists.
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