

RANK-2 WOBBLY BUNDLES FROM SPECIAL DIVISORS ON SPECTRAL CURVES

DUONG ĐINH

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 3$ and $S \rightarrow X$ a $2 : 1$ branched covering defined by a generic quadratic differential on X . In the following notes, we explore how taking direct images of line bundles defined by certain distinguished special divisors on S gives rank-2 bundles having nonzero nilpotent Higgs fields on X . The key ingredient is the notion of Baker-Akhiezer divisors associated to Higgs bundles and choices of subbundles of the underlying rank-2 bundles [3].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g . In this paper we will assume $g \geq 3$. For a rank-2 holomorphic bundle E on X , a Higgs field on E is an element $\phi \in H^0(\text{End}(E) \otimes K)$. If E is stable and admits nonzero nilpotent Higgs fields, it is called a *wobbly* bundle. Let q be a generic quadratic differential on X (whose zeroes are all simple) and S its associated spectral curve, which is a non-degenerate compact Riemann surface of genus $\tilde{g} = 4g - 3$ together with a $2 : 1$ branched covering map $S \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ that factors through $T^*X \rightarrow X$ [1, 9]. Our first result gives the sufficient conditions to detect these wobbly rank-2 bundles as direct images $\pi_*(\mathcal{L})$ of certain distinguished line bundles \mathcal{L} on S .

To this end, denote by $B_m^k \equiv B_m^k(S) \subset \text{Pic}_m(S)$ the Brill-Noether loci that parametrizes line bundles of degree m on S that have at least k linearly independent sections. Let us also define the following notion of genericity of an effective divisor. For an effective divisor \mathbf{u} on X , if the space $Q_{\mathbf{u}}$ of quadratic differentials whose zero divisors are bounded below by \mathbf{u} has the minimal, expected dimension

$$\exp \dim Q_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} 3g - 3 - \deg(\mathbf{u}) & \text{for } \deg(\mathbf{u}) < 3g - 3 \\ 0 & \text{for } \deg(\mathbf{u}) \geq 3g - 3 \end{cases}$$

then we say that it is Q -generic. Otherwise, if $\dim Q_{\mathbf{u}} > \exp \dim Q_{\mathbf{u}}$ then we say that \mathbf{u} is Q -special. Denote by $B_m^Q \subset B_m^1(S)$ the set parameterizing line bundles of the form $\mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$ such that $\mathbf{u} = \pi(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$ is Q -special.

Proposition 1. *Let \mathcal{L} be a generic element of either B_m^Q with $3g - 3 \leq m \leq \tilde{g} - 1$ or B_n^1 with $2g - 2 < n \leq 3g - 4$. Then the rank-2 bundle $\pi_*(\mathcal{L})$ is wobbly.*

Let us now fix a line bundle Λ of degree $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$ on X and consider the moduli space \mathcal{N}_{Λ} of rank-2 stable bundles with determinant Λ . Wobbly bundles defines a divisor \mathcal{W} in \mathcal{N}_{Λ} called the *wobbly divisor*. The importance of this loci in the geometric Langlands correspondence was noticed early by Laumon [7] and later

emphasized by Donagi-Pantev [4]. It is known [10] that \mathcal{W} can be decomposed into

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{W} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{W}_\lambda \cup \mathcal{W}_{\lambda+2} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{W}_g & \text{for } g \equiv \lambda \pmod{2}, \\ \mathcal{W}_\lambda \cup \mathcal{W}_{\lambda+2} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{W}_{g-1} & \text{for } g \equiv \lambda - 1 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{W}_n is the closure in \mathcal{N}_Λ of bundles admitting some subbundle L such that $\deg(KL^2\Lambda^{-1}) = n$; here K is the canonical line bundle of holomorphic 1-forms on X . In particular, all \mathcal{W}_n for $n > 0$ are irreducible divisors while \mathcal{W}_0 is a union of 2^{2g} divisors. In addition, \mathcal{W}_g consists of all non-top strata in the Segre stratification of \mathcal{N}_Λ [6].

Our next result assigns the wobbly bundles constructed in Proposition 1 into the components \mathcal{W}_n in the decomposition (1) of the wobbly divisor, upon proper normalization. For this, recall the Norm map $\text{Nm} : \text{Pic}_m(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_m(X)$ which sends a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_S(D)$ to $\mathcal{O}_X(\pi(D))$.

Corollary 2. *For $\lambda \leq n \leq g-1$ and $m = 4g-4-n$, the set*

$$\left\{ L \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{L}) \text{ stable} \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L} \in B_m^Q, \\ KL^{-2}\Lambda \simeq \text{Nm}(\mathcal{L}) \end{array} \right\}$$

is a subset of $\mathcal{W}_n \subset \mathcal{N}_\Lambda$. Likewise,

$$\left\{ L \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{L}) \text{ stable} \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L} \in B_{3g-4}^1, \\ KL^{-2}\Lambda \simeq \text{Nm}(\mathcal{L}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

is a subset of \mathcal{W}_g .

It is not difficult to see that, for $h \geq 1$, a rank-2 bundle E admits an h -dimensional space of nilpotent Higgs fields¹ if and only if it has a subbundle L satisfying

$$(2) \quad h^0(KL^2 \det(E)^{-1}) = h.$$

Observe that condition (2) together with the fact that only components \mathcal{W}_n with $n \leq g$ enter the decomposition (1) of the wobbly divisor relates the problem of characterizing wobbly bundles and that of characterizing line bundles of degree $\leq g$ on X that have sections. In particular, a generic wobbly bundle has a one-dimensional space of nilpotent Higgs fields. Hence the problem of characterizing non-generic wobbly bundles that admit more than a one-dimensional space of nilpotent Higgs fields is related to the Brill-Noether theory on X . Our next result offers a partial study of this problem, approaching it instead using the Brill-Noether loci B_m^k of the spectral curve.

Proposition 3. *Suppose \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on S such that $\mathcal{L} \in B_m^k$ and $p(m, k) := 3g-4-m+k$ is positive. Then the rank-2 bundle $\pi_*(\mathcal{L})$ admits a space of nilpotent Higgs fields with isomorphic kernels of dimension $\geq p(m, k)$.*

Remark 1. For a spectral curve S defined by a generic quadratic differential q , recall the Brill-Noether number $\rho(m, k) = \tilde{g} - k(\tilde{g} - m + k - 1)$. For $m \geq 1$, it is known that if $\rho(m, k)$ is non-negative then B_m^k has dimension $\min\{\rho(m, k), \tilde{g}\}$ [5]. Proposition 3 hence is meaningful in the range

$$(3) \quad 3g-4 > m-k \geq 4g-4 - \frac{\tilde{g}}{k}.$$

¹In general, nilpotent Higgs fields on E does not form one single linear subspace of $H^0(\text{End}(E) \otimes K)$, but rather a union of such subspaces.

Note that a necessary condition for (3) to hold is $k < 4 - \frac{3}{g}$.

Although the main results listed in this paper are for spectral curves defined by generic quadratic differentials, i.e. $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -spectral curves, we expect a generalization to $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -spectral curves. The key ingredient in the proof is the Baker-Akhiezer (BA) divisors associated to the input data consisting of a Higgs bundle and an injection of a line bundle to the underlying rank-2 bundle. We refer to [2, 3] for a detailed discussion of these divisors. In the next section we review the definition and results relevant for the proofs of Proposition 3.

2. SPECTRAL CORRESPONDENCE IN TERMS OF SPECIAL DIVISORS

Let (E, ϕ) be a rank-2 Higgs bundle with $\text{tr}(\phi) = 0$ and suppose the quadratic differential $q = \det(\phi)$ has only simple zeroes. The spectral curve $S \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ is a subset of T^*X defined by taking the square-roots of q , i.e. solving for the eigenvalues of ϕ . There is the involution $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$ that acts on the fibers of π exchanging the two square-roots. By solving for the eigenvectors of ϕ , one can define a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{(E, \phi)}$ on S . In the celebrated work [9] Hitchin showed that one can recover such a Higgs bundle by taking the direct image of $\mathcal{L}_{(E, \phi)} \otimes \pi^*(K)$. Hence up to isomorphisms, Higgs bundles (E, ϕ) with $\det(\phi) = q$ are in 1-1 correspondence with line bundles on S (of appropriate degrees).

Since the eigenline bundle $\mathcal{L}_{(E, \phi)}$ of (E, ϕ) is a subbundle of $\pi^*(E)$, we can write $\pi^*(E)$ as an extension

$$(4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(E, \phi)} \longrightarrow \pi^*(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(E, \phi)}^{-1} \pi^*(\det(E)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let L be a line bundle on X that has an injection $L \rightarrow E$, which possibly has zeroes. Consider the composition

$$(5) \quad \pi^*(L) \longrightarrow \pi^*(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(E, \phi)}^{-1} \pi^*(\det(E)).$$

The zero divisor of this composition consists of the pull-back of the zero divisor of $L \rightarrow E$ and points where $\pi^*(L)$ coincide with $\mathcal{L}_{(E, \phi)}$ as subbundles of $\pi^*(E)$.

Definition 1. Let (E, ϕ) be a Higgs bundle on X with non-degenerate spectral curve $S \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ and L a line bundle with an injection $L \rightarrow E$. The *Baker-Akhiezer (BA) divisor* associated to these data is the involution of the zero divisor of the composition $\pi^*(L) \rightarrow \pi^*(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(E, \phi)}^{-1} \pi^*(\det(E))$.

Denote by $H^0(\text{End}_0(E) \otimes K)$ the space of trace-free Higgs fields on E . The injection $i : L \rightarrow E$ defines a map

$$c_i : H^0(\text{End}_0(E) \otimes K) \longrightarrow H^0(KL^{-2} \det(E))$$

where $c_i(\phi)$ can be identified with the composition

$$c_i(\phi) : L \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\phi} E \otimes K \longrightarrow L^{-1} \det(E) \otimes K,$$

where the last map is induced from the quotient of i .

Remark 2. If i furthermore has no zeroes and $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & \phi_- \\ \phi_+ & -\phi_0 \end{pmatrix}$ in local frames adapted to the embedding $L \xrightarrow{i} E$, then the local functions ϕ_+ glue into the global section $c_i(\phi)$.

We refer to [3] for the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 4. *Let $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ be the BA divisor of $(L \rightarrow E, \phi)$ on a nondegenerate spectral curve $S \xrightarrow{\pi} X$, and $\mathbf{u} = \pi(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$. Then:*

- (1) $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ has a summand of the form $\pi^*(\mathbf{u}')$ if and only if $L \rightarrow E$ vanishes at \mathbf{u}' , counted with multiplicity. In particular, $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ contains no summand equal to the pull-back of a divisor on X if and only if L is a subbundle of E .
- (2) The projection \mathbf{u} satisfies $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{u}) \simeq KL^{-2} \det(E)$. If L in particular is a subbundle of E , then \mathbf{u} coincides with the zero divisor of $c_i(\phi)$.
- (3) The eigen-line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{(E, \phi)}$ of (E, ϕ) is isomorphic to $\pi^*(LK^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$. In other words, (E, ϕ) is isomorphic to the direct image of $\pi^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$.

Let us define an isomorphism class $[L \rightarrow E, \phi]$ of the input data of BA divisors by saying that two representative data are isomorphic if there are isomorphisms of the underlying bundles and line bundles that commute with the injections and Higgs fields². Clearly BA divisors defined by isomorphic data coincide. The following theorem summarizes the invertible properties of the construction of BA divisors. We refer to the discussion following the proof of theorem 8.1 in Hitchin's original work [9] for an abstract proof and [2, 3] for a more explicit proof in terms of Abelian differentials.

Theorem 5. *Let q be a quadratic differential whose zeroes are all simple and $S \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ its corresponding spectral curve. Then the construction of BA divisors and remembering the line bundles define a bijection*

$$\left\{ [L \rightarrow E, \phi] \mid \det(\phi) = q \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ ([L], \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \mid \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \text{ effective on } S, \\ KL^{-2} \det(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(\pi(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})) \end{array} \right\}.$$

In particular, in the inverse direction, the injection

$$(6) \quad L \longrightarrow E \simeq L \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})),$$

is obtained by taking the direct image of the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$.

Corollary 6. *Let $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ be an effective divisor on S with $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in B_m^k$. Then it is the BA divisor of some data $(L \rightarrow E, \phi)$ where the space of injections $\{L \rightarrow E\}$ has dimension at least k .*

3. KERNELS OF NILPOTENT HIGGS FIELDS

To prepare for the proofs of the main results, let us make a few observations. First, a rank-2 bundle E is wobbly if and only if it has a subbundle L such that

$$(7) \quad h^0(L^2 \det(E)K) > 0.$$

To see this, note that if ϕ is a nilpotent Higgs field on E then its kernel will provide such a subbundle. On the other hand, if a subbundle L satisfies (7), then in local frames adapted to L a section s of $L^2 \det(E)K$ defines a nilpotent Higgs field $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & s \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with kernel L . Hence given that E admits L as a subbundle, the line bundle $L^2 \det(E)K$ is isomorphic to the subbundle of $\text{End}(E) \otimes K$ of nilpotent Higgs fields on E with kernel L .

²Since scalings are isomorphisms of line bundles, scaling the injections from line bundles to rank-2 bundles will define the same isomorphism class $[L \rightarrow E, \phi]$.

Remark 3. For a rank-2 bundle E , it is known that its Segre invariant $s(E) := \deg(E) - 2 \max_{L \subset E} \deg(L)$ has the upper bound [8]

$$(8) \quad s(E) \leq \begin{cases} g & \text{for } g \equiv \deg(E) \pmod{2}, \\ g-1 & \text{for } g \equiv \deg(E) - 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

The Segre invariant defines a stratification on the moduli space of stable bundles, called the Segre stratification [6]. Points in the top open Segre stratum defined by bundles saturating the bound (8) are called maximally stable. Note that if E is not maximally stable, then it has a subbundle L with $\deg(KL^2 \det(E)^{-1}) \geq g$ and hence has nilpotent Higgs fields with kernel L . The component \mathcal{W}_g in the decomposition (1) consists of such wobbly bundles.

The following observations are preparation for the proof of Proposition 3. First, as Hitchin already observed in his original work [9], a Higgs field ϕ on E that preserves L induces a morphism $E \rightarrow LK$. If $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a_\alpha & b_\alpha \\ c_\alpha & d_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$ in local frames adapted to L , then the induced morphism locally takes the form $(a_\alpha - d_\alpha \quad b_\alpha)$. One can check that this assignment extends globally, hence we have a map from the bundle of Higgs fields preserving L to E^*LK . In particular, E^*LK is isomorphic to the bundle of Higgs fields preserving L with zero trace. The bundle $L^2 \det(E)K$ of nilpotent Higgs fields with kernel L is hence a subbundle of E^*LK , with the quotient picking out the ‘‘diagonal’’ components,

$$0 \longrightarrow L^2 \det(E)K \longrightarrow E^*LK \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the induced long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(L^2 \det(E)^{-1}K) \longrightarrow H^0(E^*LK) \longrightarrow H^0(K) \longrightarrow H^1(L^2 \det(E)^{-1}K) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Using Riemann-Roch and Serre duality theorems one can compute

$$(9a) \quad h^0(E^*LK) = 2g - 2 - \deg(E) + 2 \deg(L) + h^0(L^{-1}E),$$

$$(9b) \quad h^0(L^2 \det(E)^{-1}K) = g - 1 - \deg(E) + 2 \deg(L) + h^0(L^{-2} \det(E)).$$

By exactness, the image in $H^0(K)$ of $H^0(E^*LK)$ has dimension

$$g - 1 + h^0(L^{-1}E) - h^0(L^{-2} \det(E)).$$

The dimension $h^0(K) = g$ yields the bound

$$(10) \quad h^0(L^{-1}E) - 1 \leq h^0(L^{-2} \det(E)).$$

The dimension of the space of nilpotent Higgs fields with kernel L hence has a lower bound

$$(11) \quad h^0(L^2 \det(E)^{-1}K) \geq g - 2 - \deg(E) + 2 \deg(L) + h^0(L^{-1}E).$$

4. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Proposition 1. Consider $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$ where $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ is an effective divisor of degree $2g - 2 < m = \deg(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \leq \tilde{g} - 1$. Given the hypothesis on the genericity of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$, we will assume that there is no point $x \in X$ with $\pi^*(x) < \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$. By Proposition 4, the rank-2 bundle $E_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}} := \pi_*(\mathcal{L})$ admits \mathcal{O}_X as a subbundle and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ is the BA divisor of $(\mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow E_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}}, \phi)$ for some Higgs field ϕ . Suppose $m \leq 3g - 4$. Then $\deg(E_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}}) \leq g - 2$, and it follows from Remark 3 that $E_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}}$ is not maximally stable and, by degree reason, admits nilpotent Higgs fields with kernel \mathcal{O}_X . Suppose now $3g - 3 \leq m \leq \tilde{g} - 1$. Consider the composition $c_i(\phi)$ defined as in (5), where i is

the embedding $\mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow E_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}}$, regarded as a section of $K \otimes \det(E)$ with zero divisor $\mathbf{u} = \pi(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$. Multiplication with this section defines an isomorphism

$$H^0(K \otimes \det(E)^{-1}) \longrightarrow Q_{\mathbf{u}}.$$

Hence $E_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}}$ admits nilpotent Higgs fields with kernel \mathcal{O}_X if and only if $Q_{\mathbf{u}}$ is non-empty, i.e. \mathbf{u} is Q -special.

To prove the genericity statement, since tensoring with a line bundle changes neither stability nor wobbliness, one can show instead that, for $g \geq 3$, the direct image of a generic element in

$$\{\pi^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \mid \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in B_m^1, 2g - 2 < m \leq 3g - 4, L \in \text{Pic}_0(X)\} \subset \text{Pic}_m(S)$$

and

$$\{\pi^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \mid \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in B_m^Q, 3g - 3 \leq m \leq 4g - 4, L \in \text{Pic}_0(X)\} \subset \text{Pic}_m(S)$$

is stable. The direct images of elements in these subsets have nilpotent Higgs fields with kernel L . The former subset has codimension at most $g - 2$, and the latter has codimension 1. On the other hand, consider the subset

$$\{\pi^*(M) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \mid \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in B_k^1, k \leq 2g - 2, M \in \text{Pic}_{(m-k)/2}(X)\} \subset \text{Pic}_m(S).$$

The direct images of elements contains all unstable rank-2 bundles of degree $m - 2g + 2$ that are destabilized by subbundles M of degree $(m - k)/2$ and constitute Higgs bundles with spectral curve S . In other words, if $\pi_*(\mathcal{L})$ is unstable then \mathcal{L} must be of such form. But the codimension of this subset is at least $g - 1$. \square

Remark 4. Given L with $h^0(KL^2\Lambda^{-1}) > 0$, consider the projective space $\mathbb{P}H^1(L^2\Lambda)$ that parametrizes rank-2 bundles that have determinant Λ and have nilpotent Higgs fields with kernel L . It has a natural stratification given by the flag of secant varieties defined by the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^1(\Lambda)$ through the linear system associated to $KL^{-2}\Lambda$. Points in sufficiently low secant varieties are defined by bundles that are unstable [6]. These give the intersection of the three subsets in the proof of Proposition 1.

Proof of Corollary 2. By Proposition 4, given an effective divisor $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ on S and a line bundle L on X such that $KL^{-2}\Lambda \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(\pi(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}))$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ is the BA divisor of some data $(L \hookrightarrow E, \phi)$. The eigen-line bundle of (E, ϕ) is isomorphic to $\pi^*(LK^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}))$, and hence E is isomorphic to $L \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{L})$ with $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}))$ and has $\det(E) \simeq \Lambda$. By the definition of the components \mathcal{W}_n and the proof of Proposition 1, we have $E \in \mathcal{W}_n$ with $\lambda \leq n \leq g - 1$ for generic $\mathcal{L} \in B_{4g-4-n}^Q$ and $E \in \mathcal{W}_g$ for generic $\mathcal{L} \in B_{3g-4}^Q$. \square

Remark 5. It would be interesting to investigate whether taking closure in \mathcal{N}_Λ of the subsets of \mathcal{W}_n defined in Corollary 2 would yield \mathcal{W}_n . The answer would be positive if a generic element in the wobbly divisor \mathcal{W} has some Higgs field with spectral curve S . By an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 1 in Beauville-Narasimhan-Ramanan's paper [1], this in turn would follow if there exists a pair (E, q) where E is wobbly and the set $\{\phi \in H^0(\text{End}_0(E) \otimes K) \mid \det(\phi) = q\}$ is zero-dimensional.

Proof of Proposition 3. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ be an effective divisor on S with $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$. Let us first suppose that $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ does not have a summand equal to the pull-back of a divisor

on X . By Proposition 4, $E_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}} := \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}))$ has \mathcal{O}_X as a subbundle with $h^0(E) \geq k$. The proposition clearly follows in this case by applying $L = \mathcal{O}_X$ to the bound (11) and noting that $\deg(E) = m - 2g + 2$.

Now suppose there is a point $p \in X$ such that $\pi^*(p) < \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}' := \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \pi^*(p)$ has no summand equal to the pull-back of a divisor on X . Suppose $\pi^*(p)$ define two base points of the linear system of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$, as otherwise by perturbing we can get to the above case. Then $\mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}')$ is an element of B_{m-2}^k and the direct image of its canonical section defines an embedding $\mathcal{O}_X(p) \hookrightarrow E_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(p) \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}'))$. Applying the bound (11) to $L = \mathcal{O}_X(p)$, we see that the space of nilpotent Higgs fields with kernel $\mathcal{O}_X(p)$ has an even stricter lower bound. The argument generalizes straightforwardly to summand of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ equal to pull-back of higher degree divisors on X . \square

Remark 6. We expect that generic bundles constructed in Proposition 3 for $m > 2g - 2$ are also stable and hence are wobbly bundles.

Remark 7. There are two mechanisms to have non-generic wobbly bundles with more than a one-dimensional space of nilpotent Higgs fields. The first is to increase the degree of the kernel subbundle L and thereby descend to lower Segre strata (cf. Remark 3). The second is to adjust either the kernel subbundle L or the determinant line bundle $\det(E)$ such that $KL^2 \det(E)^{-1}$ lies in the Brill-Noether loci $B_m^k(X)$ of X with $k \geq 2$ and $m \leq g - 1$. Proposition 3 then provides a particular strategy for the second mechanism by increasing the dimension of the space $\{L \rightarrow E\}$ (cf. Corollary 6 and equation (10)).

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Vladimir Roubtsov and Jörg Teschner for helpful discussions and encouragement, and the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for hospitality and funding. This work was partly based on the author's PhD research, which was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 “Quantum Universe” granted to the University of Hamburg.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beauville, M. S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan, *Spectral curves and the generalised theta divisor*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, vol. 398, 169–179 (1989).
- [2] D. Đinh, *Stratified description of the moduli spaces of Higgs bundles and connections*. PhD thesis, electronic publication (2023).
- [3] D. Đinh, J. Teschner, *Classical limit of the geometric Langlands correspondence for $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$* , arXiv:2312.13393 (2023).
- [4] R. Donagi, T. Pantev, *Geometric Langlands and non-abelian Hodge theory*, Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XIII. Geometry, analysis, and algebraic geometry: forty years of the Journal of Differential Geometry, volume 13 of Surv. Differ. Geom., p85–116. Int. Press, Somerville, MA (2009).
- [5] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *On the variety of special linear systems on a general algebraic curve*, Duke Math. J. 47, no. 1, 233–272 (1980).
- [6] H. Lange, M. S. Narasimhan, *Maximal subbundles of rank two vector bundles on curves*, Mathematische Annalen, vol. 266, 55–72 (1983).
- [7] G. Laumon, *Un analogue global du cône nilpotent*, Duke Math. J., 57(2):647–671, (1988).
- [8] M. Nagata, *On self intersection number of vector bundles of rank 2 on a Riemann surface*, Nagoya Math. J.37, 191–196 (1970).
- [9] N. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 55, 59–126 (1987).

- [10] S. Pal, C. Pauly, *The wobbly divisors of the moduli space of rank-2 vector bundles*, *Advances in Geometry* 21 (4), 473–482 (2018).

DUONG ĐINH. MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY. EMAIL: DUONG.DINH@MPIM-BONN.MPG.DE.