

C^0 -flexibility of Legendrian discs in \mathbb{R}^5

Maksim Stokić

Abstract

We construct a compactly supported contact homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^5 , with the standard contact structure, which maps a Legendrian disc to a smooth nowhere Legendrian disc.

1 Introduction

A *contact homeomorphism* of a contact manifold (Y, ξ) is by definition homeomorphism that arises as a C^0 -limit of some sequence of contact diffeomorphisms supported in a single compact subset $K \subset Y$. The contact version of the celebrated Eliashberg-Gromov rigidity theorem states that the group of contact diffeomorphisms is C^0 -closed in the group of all diffeomorphisms. Consequently, any smooth contact homeomorphism is indeed a contact diffeomorphism. We want to further understand which contact invariants are preserved by contact homeomorphisms, and in this paper, we are particularly interested in the Legendrian submanifolds.

Question: *Let $\Lambda \subset (Y, \xi)$ be a Legendrian submanifold, and let $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a contact homeomorphism such that $\phi(\Lambda)$ is a smooth submanifold of Y . Must $\phi(\Lambda)$ be Legendrian?*

The above question was extensively studied before, and we recall some of the results. Rosen and Zhang (see [6]) proved C^0 -rigidity of Legendrians under the assumption that the approximating sequence of contact diffeomorphisms has conformal factors that uniformly converge. Usher [8] proved the rigidity under milder assumptions on the sequence of conformal factors. The first result without any assumption on the conformal factors was obtained in dimension 3 by Dimitroglou Rizell and Sullivan when they proved [1] that a *Legendrian knot* cannot be mapped to smooth non-Legendrian knot via contact homeomorphism. Shortly after, another proof of the C^0 -rigidity of *Legendrian knots* appeared in [7] and inspired Dimitroglou Rizell and Sullivan to prove C^0 -rigidity of *properly embedded Legendrians* in any dimension (see [2]).

Contrary to all previous results, we provide a negative answer to the above question when Λ is smoothly embedded Legendrian disc in $(\mathbb{R}^5, \ker dz - ydx - pdq)$.

Theorem 1. *There exists a contact homeomorphism Φ of \mathbb{R}^5 with the standard contact form, that maps smoothly embedded Legendrian disc $\Lambda : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ to a smooth nowhere Legendrian embedding*

$$\Lambda_\infty : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5 \quad \Lambda_\infty(t, s) = (0, 0, t, s, 0).$$

Remark 1.1. The C^0 -rigidity of Lagrangian submanifolds (see [4]) holds for both open and closed Lagrangian submanifolds, meaning that the C^0 -Lagrangian rigidity is local property. However, Theorem 1 implies that the C^0 -Legendrian rigidity (see [2]) is a global property.

Remark 1.2. We believe that the same construction works in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^3 \times T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, where we map a Legendrian n -disc to a non-Legendrian submanifold defined as a product of a transverse curve in \mathbb{R}^3 with the $(n-1)$ -disc in the zero-section of $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Note that the standard contact form on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} can be written as a direct sum of the standard contact form on \mathbb{R}^3 and Liouville one-form on $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

The construction uses properties of *wrinkled Legendrian* embeddings, and ideas from Murphy's work [5], where *loose charts* and *twist markings* were used to resolve Legendrian wrinkle singularities. Similar ideas were used in the proof of C^0 -flexibility of subcritical isotropic curves (see [7]), however, instead of using quantitative h -principle, we work directly with the previously mentioned tools.

1.1 Acknowledgements

I thank Lev Buhovsky, Yakov Eliashberg, and Leonid Polterovich for the valuable discussions and interest in this work. The author was partially supported by ERC Starting Grant 757585 and ISF Grant 0603603171.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Step I) We construct a sequence of Legendrian embeddings $\{\Lambda_i : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5\}_{i=1}^\infty$ with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{C^0}(\Lambda_i, \Lambda_\infty) < \infty \quad (1)$$

Step II) We construct a sequence of contactomorphisms $\{\Psi_i : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5\}_{i=1}^\infty$, supported in a single compact subset of \mathbb{R}^5 , such that

$$\Psi_i \circ \Lambda_i = \Lambda_{i+1}, \quad \|\Psi_i\|_{C^0} \leq C \cdot d_{C^0}(\Lambda_i, \Lambda_{i+1}) \quad (2)$$

$$(\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \text{Im } \Lambda_1)(\exists m \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \Phi_m(p) = \Phi_{m+1}(p) = \Phi_{m+2}(p) = \dots \quad (3)$$

where $\Phi_i := \Psi_i \circ \Psi_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_1$.

Claim 2.1. If sequences $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ satisfy properties (1), (2), (3), then the sequence Ψ_i C^0 -converges to a homeomorphism Φ which satisfies $\Phi \circ \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_\infty$.

Proof. Properties (1) and (2) imply that $\{\Phi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence, and therefore it converges uniformly to a continuous map Φ . Moreover, property (1) implies that $\Phi \circ \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_\infty$. Let us prove that Φ is an injective map, and hence a homeomorphism. Let $x \neq y$ be different points in \mathbb{R}^5 . If $x, y \in \text{Im } \Lambda_1$ then $\Phi(x) = \Lambda_\infty \circ \Lambda_1^{-1}(x) \neq \Lambda_\infty \circ \Lambda_1^{-1}(y) = \Phi(y)$. If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \text{Im } \Lambda_1$, then the property (3) implies that for m large enough we have

$\Phi(x) = \Phi_m(x) \neq \Phi_m(y) = \Phi(y)$. Finally, assume $x \in \text{Im } \Lambda_1$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \text{Im } \Lambda_1$, and let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ be an open ball centered in y , such that $\overline{B} \cap \text{Im } \Lambda_1 = \emptyset$. The property (3) implies that for m large enough we have $\Phi_m|_B = \Phi|_B$, and hence $\Phi_m(\text{Im } \Lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \Phi_m(B) = \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \Phi(B)$. Passing to the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ yields $\Phi(x) \in \Phi(\text{Im } \Lambda_1) \subset \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \Phi(B)$, and so $\Phi(x) \neq \Phi(y)$. \square

Let us prove using induction that the sequences with properties (1),(2), and (3) do exist. We will strengthen these conditions in order to be able to complete the induction.

Strengthening condition (1): Let $\gamma_m : [-1, 1] \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \ker dz - ydx)$ be a sequence of Legendrian embeddings defined as

$$\gamma_m(t) = \left(\frac{\sin m^2 t - \cos m^2 t}{m}, \frac{\sin m^2 t + \cos m^2 t}{m}, t - \frac{\cos 2m^2 t}{2m^2} \right) \quad (4)$$

We require that the sequence $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has form

$$\Lambda_i(t, s) := (\gamma_{m_i}(t), s, 0) \quad (5)$$

where $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of natural numbers that satisfies

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad m_{i+1} > \max\{2^i, m_i\} \quad (6)$$

Note that γ_m C^0 -converges to a transverse curve $\gamma_\infty(t) := (0, 0, t)$. The condition (6) implies that the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty 1/m_i$ converges, and therefore the sequence $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ satisfies condition (1).

Strengthening condition (3): To each Legendrian $\Lambda_i(t, s) = (\gamma_{m_i}(t), s, 0)$ we assign an open subset $\mathcal{V}_i \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ defined as

$$\mathcal{V}_i = \left\{ |x| < \frac{10}{m_i}, |y| < \frac{10}{m_i}, |z| < 1 + \frac{10}{m_i}, |q| < 1 + \frac{10}{m_i}, |p| < \frac{10}{m_i} \right\} \supset \text{Im } \Lambda_i \cup \text{Im } \Lambda_\infty \quad (7)$$

Let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a decreasing sequence of open sets with $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathbb{R}^5$ and $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{U}_i = \text{Im } \Lambda_1$. Finally, we require that a sequence of contactomorphisms $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ satisfies (2) and

$$\text{supp } \Psi_i \subset \mathcal{V}_i \subset \Phi_{i-1}(\mathcal{U}_i), \quad \text{where } \Phi_0 := \text{Id} \text{ and } \Phi_i = \Psi_i \circ \Psi_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_1 \quad (8)$$

Claim 2.2. *The condition (8) implies condition (3). Additionally, it implies that all contactomorphisms Ψ_i have support in a compact set $\overline{\mathcal{V}_1}$.*

Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \text{Im } \Lambda_1$ and pick m_0 large enough so that $p \in \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \mathcal{U}_{m_0}$ and consequently $\Phi_{m_0}(p) \subset \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \Phi_{m_0}(\mathcal{U}_{m_0})$. Note that the property (8) implies that for $m > m_0$ $\Psi_m|_{\mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \Phi_{m_0}(\mathcal{U}_{m_0})} = \text{Id}$, and therefore $\Phi_m(p) = \Psi_m \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{m_0+1} \circ \Phi_{m_0}(p) = \Phi_{m_0}(p)$. \square

Using the induction we will prove that the sequences $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ that satisfy properties (5),(6),(2) and (8) do exist. Then the Theorem 1 follows from Claims 2.1 and 2.2.

Assume we have constructed natural numbers $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k$, Legendrian embeddings $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k$ and contact diffeomorphisms $\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_{k-1}$ that satisfy all the properties listed above. We need to construct $m_{k+1} > 2 \cdot m_k$ and a contact diffeomorphism Ψ_k supported inside \mathcal{V}_k such that $\mathcal{V}_{k+1} \subset \Psi_k \circ \Phi_{k-1}(\mathcal{U}_{k+1})$, $\Psi_k \circ \Lambda_k = \Lambda_{k+1}$ and $d_{C^0}(\Psi_k, \text{Id}) < C/2^k$.

Step 1:

In this step, we want to construct a C^0 -small contactomorphism Ψ'_k that "stretches" the open set $\Phi_{k-1}(\mathcal{U}_{k+1}) \supset \text{Im } \Lambda_k$ all the way to the $\text{Im } \Lambda_\infty$. Moreover, we want to find an embedding $\Pi : [-1, 1]^2 \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, with $\Pi(t, s, 0) = \Lambda_k(t, s)$ and $\Pi(t, s, 1) = \Lambda_\infty(t, s)$ so that $\text{Im } \Pi \subset \Psi'_k \circ \Phi_{k-1}(\mathcal{U}_{k+1})$, and it will serve as a guideline for constructing isotopies between Λ_k and Λ_{k+1} . First we focus on the contact manifold \mathbb{R}^3 , where we find an embedded surface $\Sigma : [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\Sigma(\cdot, 0) = \gamma_m$, $\Sigma(\cdot, 1) = \gamma_\infty$, and then we extend results to the product $\mathbb{R}^5 = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ where we define $\Pi(t, s, \tau) = (\Sigma(t, \tau), s, 0)$.

Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \pi_{xyz}(\mathcal{V}_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^3(x, y, z)$ be an open neighbourhood of $\text{Im } \gamma_{m_k}$ such that

$$\mathcal{W} \times [-1, 1] \times \{0\} \subset \Phi_{k-1}(\mathcal{U}_{k+1}).$$

Claim 2.3. *There exists an embedded surface $\Sigma : [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and a contact isotopy $\{\phi^\tau\}_{\tau \in [0, 1]}$ supported in \mathcal{V}_k such that*

1. $\text{Im } \Sigma \subset \phi^1(\mathcal{W})$, and $\phi^1 \circ \gamma_{m_k} = \gamma_{m_k}$,
2. $\Sigma(\cdot, 0) = \gamma_{m_k}$ and $\Sigma(\cdot, 1) = \gamma_\infty$,
3. $\forall t \in [-1, 1]$ $\text{diam } \Sigma(\{t\} \times [0, 1]) < \frac{10}{m_k}$,
4. $\alpha\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Sigma(t, \zeta(t))\right) \geq 0$ for any smooth function $\zeta : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$,
5. $\text{size}_{\tau \in [0, 1/2]} \phi^\tau + \text{size}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \phi^\tau < \frac{10}{m_k}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\Sigma} : [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be an embedded surface defined as

$$\tilde{\Sigma}(t, w) = \left(\frac{1-w}{m_k} (\sin m_k^2 t - \cos m_k^2 t), \frac{1-w}{m_k} (\sin m_k^2 t + \cos m_k^2 t), t - \frac{(1-w)^2}{2m_k^2} \cos 2m_k^2 t \right).$$

Note that $\tilde{\Sigma}(\cdot, 0) = \gamma_{m_k}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}(\cdot, 1) = \gamma_\infty$. Pick $w_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\tilde{\Sigma}([-1, 1] \times [0, w_0]) \subset \mathcal{W}.$$

The idea now is to isotope the curve $t \mapsto \tilde{\Sigma}(t, w_0)$ to $\gamma_\infty = \tilde{\Sigma}(t, 1)$ going along the surface $\tilde{\Sigma}$.

Let $T_0, T_1 \subset [-1, 1]$ such that $T_0 \cap T_1 = \emptyset$ and the sets $[-1, 1] \setminus T_0$ and $[-1, 1] \setminus T_1$ are disjoint unions of intervals of length less than ε . Let $\lambda : [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [w_0, 1]$ be a smooth function which satisfies

- $\lambda(\cdot, 0) = w_0$ and $\lambda(\cdot, 1) = 1$,
- $\lambda(t, \tau) = w_0$ for $(t, \tau) \in T_0 \times [0, 1/2]$, and $\lambda(t, \tau) = 1$ for $(t, \tau) \in T_1 \times [1/2, 1]$.

Finally, we consider the isotopy $\Gamma_\tau : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$ defined as

$$\Gamma_\tau(t) = \tilde{\Sigma}(t, \lambda(t, \tau)).$$

The isotopy Γ_τ is transverse since

$$\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\Sigma}(t, \lambda(t, \tau)) \right) = \lambda(t, \tau)(2 - \lambda(t, \tau)) > 0,$$

therefore it can be realized via an ambient contact isotopy. Moreover, the support of the isotopy Γ_τ satisfies

$$\text{supp}_{\tau \in [0, 1/2]} \Gamma_\tau \subset \tilde{\Sigma}([-1, 1] \setminus T_0) \times [w_0, 1],$$

$$\text{supp}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma_\tau \subset \tilde{\Sigma}([-1, 1] \setminus T_1) \times [w_0, 1].$$

Since for each $t \in [-1, 1]$ we have $\text{diam } \Sigma(\{t\} \times [0, 1]) < \frac{10}{m_k}$, we conclude that diameters of the connected components of sets $\tilde{\Sigma}([w_0, 1] \times \{[-1, 1] \setminus T_i\})$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, are less than $\frac{10}{m_k}$ if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. This, together with the Lemma 4.3, implies we can realize this isotopy via an ambient contact isotopy ϕ^τ that will satisfy all required properties if we define $\Sigma(t, w) := \phi^1 \circ \tilde{\Sigma}(t, w_0 \cdot w)$. \square

We define an embedding $\Pi : [-1, 1]^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ as $\Pi(t, s, \tau) = (\Sigma(t, \tau), s, 0)$.

Claim 2.4. *There exists a contactomorphism Ψ'_k supported inside \mathcal{V}_k such that $d_{C^0}(\Psi'_k, \text{Id}) < 10/2^k$, $\Psi'_k \circ \Lambda_k = \Lambda_k$ and $\text{Im } \Lambda_\infty \subset \text{Im } \Pi = \text{Im } \Sigma \times [-1, 1] \times \{0\} \subset \Psi'_k \circ \Phi_{k-1}(\mathcal{U}_{k+1})$.*

Proof. The claim follows from Claim 2.3 and Lemma 4.4. \square

Step 2:

We start by picking an open neighbourhood $\mathcal{N} = \text{Op}(\text{Im } \Pi) \subset \Psi'_k \circ \Phi_{k-1}(\mathcal{U}_{k+1})$. Then we choose m_{k+1} large enough, so that we can find a Legendrian isotopy supported inside \mathcal{N} which takes $\Lambda_{k+1}(t, s) = (\gamma_{m_{k+1}}(t), s, 0)$ arbitrary C^0 -close to Λ_k . Lastly, we extend this isotopy to get a C^0 -small contactomorphism Ψ''_k supported inside \mathcal{N} , and denote $\tilde{\Lambda}_{k+1} = \Psi''_k \circ \Lambda_{k+1}$. As in previous step, we start in \mathbb{R}^3 and extend results to $\mathbb{R}^5 = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $\mathcal{N}_1 \subset \pi_{xyz}(\mathcal{V}_k)$ and $\mathcal{N}_2 \subset \pi_{qp}(\mathcal{V}_k)$ be open subsets such that

$$\text{Im } \Pi = \text{Im } \Sigma \times [-1, 1] \times \{0\} \subset \mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \subset \Psi'_k \circ \Phi_{k-1}(\mathcal{U}_{k+1}).$$

For every $M \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\mathcal{T}_M = \{t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathcal{T}_M|}\} = \left\{ \frac{(8k+1)\pi}{4M^2} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cap (-1, 1) \quad (9)$$

be the subset of points where the front projection of γ_M intersects the z -axis, such that $t_i < t_j$ for $i < j$, and there is a single zig-zag in the front projection of γ_M on each interval (t_i, t_{i+1}) .

Claim 2.5. *Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $M \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, there exists a contact isotopy $\psi^\tau : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$, supported inside \mathcal{N}_1 which additionally satisfies*

1. $d_{C^0}(\psi^1 \circ \gamma_M, \gamma_{m_k}) < \varepsilon$, and $\gamma_{m_k}|_{\text{Op}(\mathcal{T}_M)} = \psi^1 \circ \gamma_M|_{\text{Op}(\mathcal{T}_M)}$,

2. ($\forall 1 \leq i \leq |\mathcal{T}_M| - 1$) there is a single zig-zag in the front projection of $\psi^\tau \circ \gamma_M$ on the interval (t_i, t_{i+1}) ,

3. $\text{size}_{\tau \in [0, 1/2]} \psi^\tau + \text{size}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \psi^\tau < \frac{10}{m_k}$.

Proof. Let $T_0, T_1 \subset [-1, 1]$ such that $T_0 \cap T_1 = \emptyset$ and the sets $[-1, 1] \setminus T_0$ and $[-1, 1] \setminus T_1$ are disjoint unions of intervals of length less than $\delta > 0$. Let $\lambda : [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function which satisfies

- $\lambda(\cdot, 0) = 1$ and $\lambda(\cdot, 1) = 0$,
- $\lambda(t, \tau) = 1$ for $(t, \tau) \in T_0 \times [0, 1/2]$, and $\lambda(t, \tau) = 0$ for $(t, \tau) \in T_1 \times [1/2, 1]$.

We assume that δ is small enough, so that $\text{diam} \Sigma([-1, 1] \setminus T_j \times [0, 1]) < \frac{10}{m_k}$ for $j \in \{0, 1\}$. Define Legendrian isotopy $\Gamma_\tau : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ as follows:

- ($\forall 1 \leq i \leq K$) $\Gamma_\tau(t_i) = \Sigma(t_i, \lambda(t_i, \tau))$,
- on each interval (t_i, t_{i+1}) , Γ_τ is a zig-zag with the slope in interval

$$(\pi_y \circ \Gamma_\tau(t_i) - \varepsilon/10, \pi_y \circ \Gamma_\tau(t_i) + \varepsilon/10),$$

- if $\frac{d}{d\tau} \Sigma(t, \lambda(t, \tau)) = 0$ for $(t, \tau) \in [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times I$, then $\frac{d}{d\tau} \Gamma_\tau(t) = 0$ for $(t, \tau) \in [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times I$.

Since the isotopy $\tau \mapsto \Sigma(t, \lambda(t, \tau))$ satisfies $\alpha(\frac{d}{dt} \Sigma(t, \lambda(t, \tau))) \geq 0$, we conclude that for M large enough all conditions will be satisfied. \square

Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon(\gamma_{m_k}) \subset \mathcal{N}_1$, where $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon(\gamma_{m_k})$ stands for ε -tubular neighbourhood of $\text{Im} \gamma_{m_k}$. For such ε , we apply the previous claim and we define $m_{k+1} = M$. Moreover, we ensure that m_{k+1} is large enough so that $\mathcal{V}_{k+1} \subset \mathcal{N}$ (see (7)). Denote $\tilde{\gamma}_{m_{k+1}} = \psi^1 \circ \gamma_{m_{k+1}}$, and define $\tilde{\Lambda}_{k+1} : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{k+1}(t, s) = (\tilde{\gamma}_{m_{k+1}}(t), s, 0).$$

Claim 2.6. *There exists a contactomorphism Ψ''_k supported inside \mathcal{N} such that $d_{C^0}(\Psi''_k, \text{Id}) < 10/2^k$ and $\Psi''_k \circ \Lambda_{k+1} = \tilde{\Lambda}_{k+1}$.*

Proof. The claim follows from Claim 2.5 and Lemma 4.4. \square

Step 3:

This is the final, and most important step, where we construct a C^0 -small contactomorphism between $\Lambda_k(t, s) = (\gamma_{m_k}(t), s, 0)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{k+1}(t, s) = (\tilde{\gamma}_{m_{k+1}}(t), s, 0)$. Note that front projection of Λ_k consists of many "equally-distributed" zig-zag strips, so the aim of this step is to find a C^0 -small contactomorphism Ψ which creates additional zig-zag strips in the front projection of Λ_k , so that $\Delta := \Psi \circ \Lambda_k$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{k+1}$ end up having the same number of zig-zag strips in the front projection.

Using equation (21) we get

$$\#(\text{right cusps in front projection of } \gamma_m) = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{m^2}{2\pi} - \frac{3}{8} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m^2}{2\pi} + \frac{3}{8} \right\rfloor$$

$$\#(\text{left cusps in front projection of } \gamma_m) = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{m^2}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{8} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m^2}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{8} \right\rfloor.$$

Since the sequence $\frac{m^2}{2\pi} \pmod{1}$ is equidistributed in $[0, 1)$, we may assume that all m_k that we choose satisfy $\frac{m_k^2}{2\pi} \pmod{1} \in (0, 1/8)$, and hence the number of left cusps and the right cusps of γ_{m_k} is even and equal to $2\lfloor m^2/(2\pi) \rfloor$. Let

$$\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_l\} \subset \mathcal{T}_{m_{k+1}} \quad (\text{see (9) for the definition of } \mathcal{T}_{m_{k+1}}) \quad (10)$$

be the subset of points such that $T_1 < T_2 < \dots < T_l$, and γ_{m_k} has either 4 or 6 cusps in the front projection on each interval in the collection

$$\mathcal{I} := \{(0, T_1), (T_1, T_2), \dots, (T_l, 1)\} \quad (11)$$




Figure 1: Front projection of γ_{m_k} ; red dots represent $\text{ZigZags}(I)$

For each interval $I = (T_i, T_{i+1}) \in \mathcal{I}$ we pick a point $z_I \in I$ such that both intervals (T_i, z_I) and (z_I, T_{i+1}) contain at least 2 cusps, and such that the front of γ_{m_k} intersects the z -axis at the point z_I (see 22 for description of z_I). Moreover, denote by $C(z_I) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ the open neighbourhood as in subsection 4.1, and denote

$$\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} C(z_I) \quad (12)$$

Additionally, for each interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$ we pick a finite set of points $\text{ZigZags}(I) \subset I$, such that $\gamma_{m_k}(\text{ZigZags}(I)) \cap C(z_I) = \emptyset$ and cardinality of the set $\text{ZigZags}(I)$ is equal to the difference between number of right cusps of $\tilde{\gamma}_{m_{k+1}}$ and γ_{m_k} on the interval I , and denote

$$\text{ZigZags} := \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \text{ZigZags}(I) \quad (13)$$

For each $t \in \text{ZigZags}$ let $\mathcal{U}_t = \text{Op}(\gamma_{m_k}(t)) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and denote

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigsqcup_{t \in \text{ZigZags}} \mathcal{U}_t \quad (14)$$

Define a Legendrian curve

$$\chi : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \text{Op}(\text{Im } \gamma_{m_k}) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

which has the same front projection as γ_{m_k} , except at the neighbourhood of points in ZigZags , where for each $t \in \text{ZigZags}$ χ has a zig-zag with image in \mathcal{U}_t . Therefore, Legendrian χ satisfies

- χ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{m_{k+1}}$ have the same number of left and right cusps (in the front projection) on each interval from the collection \mathcal{I} .
- the front projection of χ has no self-intersections.

Finally, define a Legendrian embedding $\Delta : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ as

$$\Delta(t, s) = (\chi(t), s, 0) \quad (15)$$

Claim 2.7. *There exists a contactomorphism Ψ_k''' supported inside \mathcal{N} such that $d_{C^0}(\Psi_k''', \text{Id}) < 30/2^k$ and $\Psi_k''' \circ \tilde{\Lambda}_{k+1} = \Delta$.*

Proof. Based on the properties of the curve χ , we conclude that we can find a Legendrian isotopy $\Gamma_\tau : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \tau \in [0, 1]$ between $\gamma_{m_{k+1}}$ and χ which additionally satisfies

$$\text{supp}_{\tau \in [0, 1]} \Gamma_\tau \subset \bigsqcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \text{Op}(\gamma_{m_k}(I)) \subset \mathcal{N}_1.$$

For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the diameter of the set $\gamma_{m_k}(I)$ is at most $30/m_k$ (follows from the fact that $\gamma_{m_k}(I)$ has at most 6 cusps in the front), so the claim follows from the Lemma 4.4. \square

Proposition 2.8. *There exists a contactomorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ supported in \mathcal{N} with*

1. $\Psi \circ \Lambda_k = \Delta$,
2. $d_{C^0}(\Psi, \text{Id}) < 100/m_k$.

We finish the induction step by defining $\Psi_k := (\Psi_k'')^{-1} \circ (\Psi_k''')^{-1} \circ \Psi \circ \Psi_k'$.

3 Proof of Proposition 2.8

We will get Ψ by extending a Legendrian isotopy between Λ_k and Δ . However, we need to address the upper bound of the C^0 norm of Ψ , and this is achieved by extending special type of isotopy that we call *isotopy by parts*:

Definition 3.1 (isotopy by parts (IBP)). An isotopy $\Gamma_t : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$, $t \in [0, 1]$ is called the isotopy by parts if it satisfies

$$\forall t \in [0, 1/2] \text{ supp}(\Gamma_t) \subset \mathcal{A}, \quad \forall t \in [1/2, 1] \text{ supp}(\Gamma_t) \subset \mathcal{B} \quad (16)$$

where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} consist of finite number of connected disjoint open subsets of \mathbb{R}^5 , and the diameter of each connected component of $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ is less than $\frac{C}{2} \cdot d_{C^0}(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$.

If we find a Legendrian IBP between Λ_k and Δ , the Lemma 4.3 guarantees that we can extend this isotopy to get a contactomorphism Ψ that satisfies $\|\Psi\|_{C^0} \leq C \cdot d_{C^0}(\Lambda_k, \Delta)$. The construction of the Legendrian IBP will be done indirectly in 3 steps.

Step I:

We construct a **formal Legendrian IBP** between Λ_k and Δ (see A.1 for definition of formal Legendrian embeddings). Since we are working with Legendrian discs, it is easy to overcome topological obstructions of formal Legendrian isotopies, and we are essentially constructing a smooth IBP from Λ_k to Δ .

Let $S_0, S_1 \subset [-1, 1]$ be two disjoint subsets such that

- $[-1, 1] \setminus S_0$ and $[-1, 1] \setminus S_1$ are both disjoint unions of intervals of length $< 10/m_k$,
- $[-1, 1] \setminus (S_0 \cup S_1)$ is disjoint union of intervals of length $> 4/m_k$.

Fix $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1/m_k$ and define two subsets of \mathbb{R}^5 , consisting of disjoint union of connected sets of small diameter

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U} \times ([-1, 1] \setminus S_0) \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{U} \times ([-1, 1] \setminus S_1) \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \quad (17)$$

Claim 3.2. *There exists a formal Legendrian isotopy $\Gamma_\tau : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$, between Λ_k and Δ which additionally satisfies*

$$\text{supp}_{\tau \in [0, 1/2]} \Gamma_\tau \subset \mathcal{A}, \quad \text{supp}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma_\tau \subset \mathcal{B} \quad (18)$$

Proof. Recall that $\Lambda_k(t, s) = (\gamma_{m_k}(t), s, 0)$ and $\Delta(t, s) = (\chi(t), s, 0)$, where γ_{m_k} and χ are Legendrian curves that coincide outside the set $[-1, 1] \setminus \text{Op}(\text{ZigZags})$, and for each $t_0 \in \text{ZigZags}$ the restriction $\chi|_{\text{Op}(t_0)}$ has a zig-zag in the front projection, while $\gamma_{m_k}|_{\text{Op}(t_0)}$ has a smooth front. Denote by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\tau : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$




Figure 2: Front projection of $\tilde{\gamma}_\tau$ ($\tau < 0$ on the left, and $\tau > 0$ on the right)

a homotopy of topological embeddings between γ_{m_k} and χ with support in \mathcal{U} (see (14)) that is singular in the neighbourhood of points $\tau = 0, t \in \text{ZigZags}$ and Legendrian otherwise, with the local model near the singularities

$$(t, \tau) \mapsto \left(t^3 - \tau \cdot t, \frac{15}{4}(t^2 - \tau/3), \frac{9}{4}t^5 - \frac{5\tau}{2}t^3 + \frac{5\tau^2}{4}t \right),$$

The next step is to extend $\tilde{\gamma}_\tau$ to a smooth isotopy Γ_τ between Λ_{m_k} and Δ (which can be further extended to a formal Legendrian isotopy). For this purpose we need some auxiliary functions. Let $\zeta : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [1/4, 3/4]$ be a smooth function which satisfies $\zeta|_{S_0} = 1/4$ and $\zeta|_{S_1} = 3/4$. Next, define a function $\lambda : [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $\lambda(s, 0) = -1$, $\lambda(s, 1) = 1$, $\lambda(s, \zeta(s)) = 0$, $\lambda|_{S_0 \times [0, 1/2]} = -1$, $\lambda|_{S_1 \times [1/2, 1]} = 1$ and $\frac{d}{d\tau} \lambda(s, \tau) > 0$ (see figure 3).




Figure 3: Properties of the function $\lambda(s, \tau)$. A neighbourhood of the zero set of λ is coloured in violet.

Additionally, define smooth function $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, \varepsilon)$ supported inside $\mathcal{U} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ such that for each $t_0 \in \text{ZigZags}$ we have $h(x, y) = x - t_0$ for (x, y) near $(t_0, 0)$. One then easily verify that the smooth isotopy defined as

$$\Gamma_\tau(t, s) = (\tilde{\gamma}_{\lambda(s, \tau)}(t), s, h(t, \lambda(s, \tau)))$$

satisfies all required properties, and can be extended to a formal Legendrian isotopy because the Reeb vector field $\partial/\partial z$ is transverse to $T\Gamma_\tau$, so we can project $T\Gamma_\tau$ to ξ . \square

Step II:

We use *relative C^0 -dense approximation* (see Proposition A.4) of the formal Legendrian isotopy Γ_τ from the previous step by a *wrinkled Legendrian isotopy* Γ'_τ (see Definition A.3). The condition (18) and the fact that Γ'_τ is arbitrary C^0 -close to Γ_τ implies that we can assume

$$\text{supp}_{\tau \in [0, 1/2]} \Gamma'_\tau \subset \mathcal{A}, \quad \text{supp}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma'_\tau \subset \mathcal{B} \quad (19)$$

Let $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the number of embryo singularities of the isotopy Γ'_τ where Legendrian wrinkles are created in the forward time. For each $1 \leq i \leq K$ denote by τ_i^+ the time when the i -th wrinkle was born, and by τ_i^- the time when the i -th wrinkle disappeared. Additionally, denote by W_i^τ (with $\tau \in (\tau_i^+, \tau_i^-)$) the i -th Legendrian wrinkle. The condition (19) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall \tau \in (0, 1/2])(\forall 1 \leq i \leq K) \quad \tau_i^+ < \tau < \tau_i^- &\implies \Gamma'_\tau(W_i^\tau) \subset \mathcal{A}, \\ (\forall \tau \in [1/2, 1])(\forall 1 \leq i \leq K) \quad \tau_i^+ < \tau < \tau_i^- &\implies \Gamma'_\tau(W_i^\tau) \subset \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Step III:

Lastly, we resolve Legendrian wrinkle singularities using twist markings (see Definition A.6) with the same techniques as Emmy Murphy used in [5] to prove that loose Legendrians satisfy h -principle. However, we choose twist markings more carefully, so that the resulting Legendrian isotopy satisfies condition (16).

For each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ (see 11) define

$$\tilde{C}(I) = \{|x| < 2/m, |y| < 2/m, z \in I\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and denote $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \tilde{C}(I)$. Note that $C(z_I) \subset \tilde{C}(I)$ (see 12) and hence $\mathcal{C} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Additionally, define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \times ([-1, 1] \setminus S_0) \times (-3/m_k, 3/m_k), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \times ([-1, 1] \setminus S_1) \times (-3/m_k, 3/m_k)$$

and note that $\mathcal{A} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and the connected components of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ are in "one to one" correspondence (as a subsets) with the connected components of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ (and same holds with the connected components of \mathcal{B} and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$).

Claim 3.3. *For each $1 \leq i \leq K$ there exists an open subset*

$$\mathcal{B}_i \subset (\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \mathcal{A}) \cap (\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \setminus \mathcal{B})$$

with the following properties

1. *sets \mathcal{B}_i are pairwise disjoint, and $\mathcal{B}_i \cap \text{Im } \Lambda_k$ are loose charts,*
2. *For $\tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\Gamma'_\tau(W_i^\tau)$ and \mathcal{B}_i are in the same connected component of the set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and for $\tau \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\Gamma'_\tau(W_i^\tau)$ and \mathcal{B}_i are in the same connected component of the set $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. Based on property (20) and the fact that $\tau \mapsto W_i^\tau$ is continuous, we conclude that every Legendrian wrinkle can visit at most one connected component of the set \mathcal{A} when $\tau \leq 1/2$, and at most one connected component of the set \mathcal{B} when $\tau \geq 1/2$. Therefore, to each Legendrian wrinkle we can assign a loose chart of the form

$$C(z_I) \times J \times (-3/m_k, 3/m_k) \quad (J \text{ is a connected component of } [-1, 1] \setminus (S_0 \cup S_1), \quad I \in \mathcal{I}),$$

so that condition 2. is satisfied (see (11) for definition of \mathcal{I} and z_I , and Claim 4.5 for description of loose chart). However, it might happen that we assign the same loose chart to a different Legendrian wrinkles, and this is resolved using the Proposition A.9. \square

Define a new family Γ_τ'' of wrinkled Legendrians that is equal to Γ_τ' outside $\sqcup_{i=1}^K \mathcal{B}_i$, and on each $\Lambda_k^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_i) = (\Gamma_\tau')^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_i)$ we replace the loose chart with an inside-out wrinkle (see (23)) with the same boundary conditions, constant in τ .

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ and $\tau \in [0, 1]$, we find a marking $\Phi_\tau^i \subset [-1, 1]^2$ with the following properties

- near the ends of the interval $[0, 1]$, Φ_τ^i is an interval contained in $(\Gamma_\tau'')^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_i)$, exactly as defined for the model inside-out wrinkle,
- Φ_τ^i is either diffeomorphic to an interval $[0, 1]$ or a union of 2 intervals $S^0 \times [0, 1]$,
- $\Phi_{\tau_i^+}^i$ and $\Phi_{\tau_i^-}^i$ contain i -th embryo singularities,
- other than the component in $(\Gamma_\tau'')^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_i)$, the boundary of Φ_τ^i is exactly the 0-sphere of Legendrian wrinkles W_i^τ ,
- $(\forall \tau \in [0, 1/2]) \Gamma_\tau''(\Phi_\tau^i) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $(\forall \tau \in [1/2, 1]) \Gamma_\tau''(\Phi_\tau^i) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

The markings which satisfy first four out of five listed properties can always be found (those are exactly the same properties as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. in [5]), and the last property follow from the property 2. in Claim 3.3. We now apply Lemma A.7 for each Φ_τ^i , one at a time. The resulting family

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\tau'' : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^5, dz - ydx - pdq), \quad \tau \in [0, 1]$$

is a family of smooth Legendrians which furthermore satisfies:

1. $(\forall \tau \in [0, 1/2]) \tilde{\Gamma}_\tau''(\Phi_\tau^i) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $(\forall \tau \in [1/2, 1]) \tilde{\Gamma}_\tau''(\Phi_\tau^i) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$,
2. $(\forall \tau \in \{0, 1\}) \tilde{\Gamma}_\tau''$ is isotopic to Γ_τ , via an isotopy supported in $\sqcup_{i=1}^K \mathcal{B}_i$.

The above properties imply we can construct a Legendrian isotopy $\Gamma_\tau''' : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ such that $\Gamma_0''' = \Gamma_0 = \Lambda_k$, $\Gamma_1'''(t, s) = \Gamma_1 = \Delta$ and

$$(\forall \tau \in [0, 1/2]) \Gamma_\tau'''(\Phi_\tau^i) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (\forall \tau \in [1/2, 1]) \Gamma_\tau'''(\Phi_\tau^i) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Finally, we get a contactomorphism Ψ using the Lemma 4.3 that satisfies $d_{C^0}(\Psi, \text{Id}) < 100/m_k$.

4 Technical Lemmas

The majority of contact diffeomorphisms we will construct by extending Legendrian (or transverse) isotopies. Additionally, we want to control the C^0 norm of the extension in terms of the C^0 size (defined below) of the isotopy.

Definition 4.1 (support on the time interval). Let $\Gamma_\tau : X \rightarrow Y$, $\tau \in I$ be a smooth isotopy. The support of Γ_τ at time $\tau_0 \in I$ is defined as

$$\text{supp } \Gamma_{\tau_0} := \text{Closure}\{\Gamma_{\tau_0}(x) \mid \frac{d}{d\tau}|_{\tau=\tau_0} \Gamma_\tau(x) \neq 0\} \subset Y.$$

The support of the isotopy Γ_τ on the interval $J \subset I$ is defined to be

$$\text{supp}_{\tau \in J} \Gamma_\tau := \bigcup_{\tau \in J} \text{supp } \Gamma_\tau.$$

Definition 4.2 (size of the isotopy). Let $\Gamma_\tau : X \rightarrow Y$, $\tau \in I$ be an isotopy, and let d be a Riemannian distance on Y . We define the size of the isotopy Γ_τ on the interval $J \subset I$ as the supremum of the diameters of the connected components of $\text{supp}_{\tau \in J} \Gamma_\tau$

$$\text{size}_{\tau \in J} \Gamma_\tau := \sup\{\text{diam}(S) \mid S \subset \text{supp}_{\tau \in J} \Gamma_\tau \text{ and } S \text{ is connected}\}.$$

The next lemma is the main C^0 estimate for a contact diffeomorphism that we get by extending the Legendrian isotopy.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Gamma_\tau : \Lambda \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$ be an isotopy of Legendrian embeddings. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a contact isotopy $\phi^\tau : (Y, \xi) \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$ such that $\phi^\tau \circ \Gamma_0 = \Gamma_\tau$ and*

$$\max_{\tau \in [0, 1]} d_{C^0}(\phi^\tau, \text{Id}) < \text{size}_{\tau \in [0, 1/2]} \Gamma_\tau + \text{size}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma_\tau + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\text{supp}_{\tau \in [0, 1/2]} \Gamma_\tau = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k A_i \subset Y$ and $\text{supp}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma_\tau = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^l B_j \subset Y$, where $A_1, \dots, A_k, B_1, \dots, B_l$ are connected closed subsets of Y . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ let \mathcal{A}_i be an open subset of Y , and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ let \mathcal{B}_j be an open subset of Y such that the following holds

- $A_i \subset \mathcal{A}_i$, and $B_j \subset \mathcal{B}_j$,
- $\text{diam}(\mathcal{A}_i) < \text{diam}(A_i) + \varepsilon/2$ and $\text{diam}(\mathcal{B}_j) < \text{diam}(B_j) + \varepsilon/2$,
- $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k$ are pairwise disjoint, and $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$ are pairwise disjoint.

Denote $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{j=1}^l \mathcal{B}_j$. The Legendrian isotopy Γ_τ can be extended to an ambient contact isotopy ϕ_H^τ , where $H_\tau : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a time-dependent contact Hamiltonian which is equal to 0 outside an arbitrary small tubular neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \Gamma_\tau$ (see Theorem 2.41. in [3]). Thus, we can ensure that H_τ satisfies

$$H_\tau(x) = 0 \text{ for } (x, \tau) \in (Y \setminus \mathcal{A}) \times [0, 1/2] \cup (Y \setminus \mathcal{B}) \times [1/2, 1].$$

It only remains to verify that $\phi^\tau := \phi_H^\tau$ satisfies the required C^0 bound, so we consider the following cases:

- $x \in Y \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})$, then $d(\phi^\tau(x), x) = 0$ for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$,
- $x \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{A}$, then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $\phi^\tau(x) \in \mathcal{B}_j$ for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$. Therefore we have $d(\phi^\tau(x), x) \leq \text{diam}(\mathcal{B}_j) < \text{diam}(B_j) + \varepsilon/2 \leq \text{size}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma_\tau + \varepsilon/2$,
- $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\phi^\tau(x) \in \mathcal{A}_i$ for all $\tau \in [0, 1/2]$, thus we have

$$(\forall \tau \in [0, 1/2]) d(\phi^\tau(x), x) \leq \text{diam}(\mathcal{B}_j) < \text{diam}(B_j) + \varepsilon/2 \leq \text{size}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma_\tau + \varepsilon/2$$

For $\tau \in [1/2, 1]$, we further distinguish two cases:

- $\phi^{1/2}(x) \in \mathcal{B}$, then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $\phi^\tau(x) \in \mathcal{B}_j$ for all $\tau \in [1/2, 1]$. Therefore we have

$$d(\phi^\tau(x), \phi^{1/2}(x)) \leq \text{diam}(\mathcal{B}_j) < \text{diam}(B_j) + \varepsilon/2 \leq \text{size}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma_\tau + \varepsilon/2.$$

Finally, the triangle inequality gives

$$d(\phi^\tau(x), x) \leq d(\phi^\tau(x), \phi^{1/2}(x)) + d(\phi^{1/2}(x), x) < \text{size}_{\tau \in [0, 1/2]} \Gamma_\tau + \text{size}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma_\tau + \varepsilon.$$

- $\phi^{1/2}(x) \notin \mathcal{B}$, then $\phi^\tau(x) = \phi^{1/2}(x)$ for all $\tau \in [1/2, 1]$, therefore we have $d(\phi^\tau(x), x) \leq \text{diam}(\mathcal{A}_i) < \text{diam}(A_i) + \varepsilon/2 \leq \text{size}_{\tau \in [1/2, 1]} \Gamma_\tau + \varepsilon/2$.

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\{\phi^t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ be a compactly supported contact isotopy of $(\mathbb{R}^3, dz - ydx)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a contactomorphism $\Psi : (\mathbb{R}^5, dz - ydx - pdq) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^5, dz - ydx - pdq)$ supported in an arbitrary small neighbourhood of $(\text{supp}_{t \in [0, 1]} \phi^t) \times [-1, 1] \times \{0\}$, such that*

- $\Psi|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [-1, 1] \times \{0\}} = \phi^1 \times \text{Id}_{[-1, 1] \times \{0\}}$,
- $d_{C^0}(\Psi, \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^5}) < \text{size}_{t \in [0, 1/2]} \phi^t + \text{size}_{t \in [1/2, 1]} \phi^t + \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$ be small enough. Let $Q_0, Q_1 \subset (-1 - \delta, 1 + \delta)$ such that $Q_0 \cap Q_1 = \emptyset$ and the sets $(-1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \setminus Q_0$ and $(-1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \setminus Q_1$ are disjoint unions of intervals of length less than δ . Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function which satisfies

- $\lambda(q, t) = 0$ for $|q| \geq 1 + \delta$,
- $\lambda(\cdot, 0) = 0$ and $\lambda(q, 1) = 1$ for $q \in [-1, 1]$,
- $\lambda(q, t) = 0$ for $(q, t) \in Q_0 \times [0, 1/2]$, and $\lambda(q, t) = 1$ for $(q, t) \in Q_1 \times [1/2, 1]$.

Additionally, define a smooth function $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ supported inside $(-\delta, \delta)$ such that $\chi|_{(-\delta/2, \delta/2)} = 1$. Consider the contact isotopy

$$\Phi^t(x, y, z, q, p) = (\phi^{\lambda(q, t)}(x, y, z), q, p \cdot e^{f_{\lambda(q, t)}(x, y, z)}),$$

where $f_t \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a conformal factor corresponding to ϕ^t . The isotopy Φ^t is generated by the contact Hamiltonian function $H_t = \beta\left(\frac{d}{dt} \Phi^t\right)$. Define another contact Hamiltonian function $G_t : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $G_t = \chi(p) \cdot H_t$. Denote by $\Psi^t : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ the contact flow generated by G_t . Then we have

- $\Psi^t|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [-1,1] \times \{0\}} = \Phi^t$, and hence $\Psi^1|_{\Omega} = \phi^1 \times \text{Id}_{[-1,1] \times \{0\}}$,
- $\text{supp}_{t \in [0, 1/2]} \Psi^t \subset \text{supp}_{t \in [0, 1/2]} \phi^t \times ((-1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \setminus Q_0) \times (-\delta, \delta)$,
- $\text{supp}_{t \in [1/2, 1]} \Psi^t \subset \text{supp}_{t \in [1/2, 1]} \phi^t \times ((-1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \setminus Q_1) \times (-\delta, \delta)$.

Finally, define $\Psi = \Psi^1$ and using the Lemma 4.3 we get

$$d_{C^0}(\Psi, \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^5}) < \text{size}_{t \in [0, 1/2]} \phi^t + \text{size}_{t \in [1/2, 1]} \phi^t + \varepsilon.$$

□

4.1 Loose charts on Λ_k

In the front projection $\mathbb{R}^2(x, z)$, the curve γ_{m_k} (see (4) for the explicit description) has cusps for

$$t \in \text{Cusps}(\gamma_{m_k}) := \left\{ \frac{(4i-1)\pi}{4m_k^2} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cap (-1, 1) \quad (21)$$

Coordinates of the cusps of γ_{m_k} in the front projection have form

$$Q_i = \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2} \cos(i\pi)}{m}, \frac{(4i-1)\pi}{4m_k^2} \right) \subset \mathbb{R}^2(x, z).$$

The front projection of the curve γ_{m_k} intersects the z -axis at points

$$z_i = \pi_z \circ \gamma_m \left(\frac{(4i+1)\pi}{4m_k^2} \right) = \frac{(4i+1)\pi}{4m_k^2} \quad (22)$$




Figure 4: Front projection of γ_{m_k} (gray area represents a projection of a loose chart)

For each z_i defined as above, $\rho > 1$ and $q_0 \in (-1, 1)$ define

$$C(z_i) = \left\{ |x| < \frac{3}{2m_k}, |y| < \frac{3}{2m_k}, |z - z_i| < \frac{9}{2m_k^2} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3(x, y, z),$$

$$V_\rho(q_0) = \left\{ |q - q_0| < \frac{3\rho}{2m_k}, |p| < \frac{3\rho}{2m_k} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2(q, p),$$

$$Z_\rho(q_0) = \left\{ (q, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |q - q_0| < \frac{3\rho}{2m_k} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2(q, p).$$

Claim 4.5. *The pair $(C(z_i) \times V_\rho(q_0), (C(z_i) \times V_\rho(q_0)) \cap \text{Im } \Lambda_k)$ is a loose chart.*

Proof. Define a contact diffeomorphism

$$\Phi(x, y, z, q, p) = \left(\frac{m_k}{3}x, \frac{m_k}{3}y, \frac{m_k^2}{9}(z - z_i), \frac{m_k}{3}(q - q_0), \frac{m_k}{3}p \right),$$

and note that $\Phi(C \times V_\rho) = [-1/2, 1/2]^3 \times [-\rho/2, \rho/2]^2$ and moreover

$$\Phi((C \times V_\rho) \cap \text{Im } \Lambda_k) = \{\text{zig-zag in } [-1/2, 1/2]^3\} \times [-\rho/2, \rho/2] \times \{0\}.$$

□

A Appendix

A.1 Formal Legendrian embeddings

Let (Y, ξ) be a contact manifold of dimension $2n+1$, and let Λ be a n -dimensional manifold. A *Legendrian embedding* is a smooth embedding $f : \Lambda \rightarrow Y$ so that $df(T\Lambda) \subset \xi$. The simplest possible invariant one can develop beyond smooth isotopy type is the *formal Legendrian isotopy type*.

Definition A.1. A formal Legendrian embedding is a pair (f, F_s) , where $f : \Lambda \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth embedding, and $F_s : T\Lambda \rightarrow TY$ is a homotopy of bundle maps covering f , so that:

- $F_0 = df$,
- F_s is fiberwise injective for all $s \in [0, 1]$, and
- the image of F_1 is contained in ξ , and furthermore its image is Lagrangian with respect to the linear conformal symplectic structure on ξ .

Two Legendrian embeddings $f_0, f_1 : \Lambda \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$ are formally isotopic if there is a path of formal Legendrian embeddings interpolating between them.

We note that every Legendrian embedding is a formal Legendrian embedding, by letting $F_s = df$ for all s . The advantage of working with formal Legendrians is that they are purely algebro-geometric objects. In particular, if Λ is contractible, then any smooth isotopy of Λ is a formal Legendrian isotopy.

A.2 Wrinkled embeddings and wrinkled Legendrians

We start by describing the model for singularities needed to define wrinkled embeddings. For $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, define a plane curve $\psi_\delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\psi_\delta(u) = \left(u^3 - \delta u, \frac{9}{4}u^5 - \frac{5\delta}{2}u^3 + \frac{5\delta^2}{4}u \right).$$

We will assume that each ψ_δ is a compactly supported curve, which is false as written but it is easily accomplished with a cut-off function.

A *wrinkle* is a map $w : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ given by

$$w(x, u) = (x, \psi_{1-\|x\|^2}(u)), \quad (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

A wrinkle is singular on the sphere $\{\|x\|^2 + 3u^2 = 1\}$, and we distinguish *cusplike singularities* on the upper and lower hemispheres, as well as *unfurled swallowtail* singularities along the equator $\{u = 0\}$. In parametric families of wrinkles $w_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ given by

$$w_t(x, u) = (x, \psi_{t-\|x\|^2}(u))$$

we define an *embryo* singularity to be the singularity of w_t at $t = x = u = 0$. As we can see, an embryo singularity is a singularity allowing wrinkles to appear or disappear in parametric families of maps.

Definition A.2. Let V and W be manifolds, with $\dim W - 1 = \dim V = n$. A *wrinkled embedding* is a smooth map $f : V \rightarrow W$, which is a topological embedding, and which is singular on some finite collection of codimension 1 spheres $S_j^{n-1} \subset V$, which bound disks $D_j^n \subset V$. Near each S_j^{n-1} the map f is required to be modeled on a wrinkle. In parametric families, wrinkled embeddings are allowed to have embryo singularities.

We are now ready to give the definition of wrinkled Legendrian embeddings.

Definition A.3. Let Λ be a smooth n -manifold and (Y, ξ) a contact $(2n + 1)$ -manifold. A *wrinkled Legendrian embedding* is a smooth map $f : \Lambda \rightarrow Y$, which is a topological embedding, satisfying the following properties

- the image of df is contained in ξ everywhere, and df is full rank outside a subset of codimension 2,
- the singular set is required to be diffeomorphic to a disjoint union of $(n - 2)$ -spheres $\{S_j^{n-2}\}$, called *Legendrian wrinkles*,
- Each S_j^{n-2} is contained in a Darboux chart U_j , so that $\Lambda \cap U_j$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , and the front projection $\pi_j \circ f : \Lambda \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of f is a wrinkled embedding.

In particular, the front projection of each S_j^{n-2} is the unfurled swallowtail singular set of a single wrinkle in the front. For parametric families of wrinkled Legendrians we also allow *Legendrian embryos*; Legendrian lifts from the front projection of embryo singularities.

Proposition A.4 (See Proposition 3.4. in [5]). *Let $(f_t, F_{s,t})$ be a parametric family of formal Legendrian embeddings $\Lambda \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$, $t \in I$. Then the family $(f_t, F_{s,t})$ is homotopic through formal Legendrian embeddings to a family $\bar{f}_t : \Lambda \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$ of wrinkled Legendrian embeddings. If $(f_t, F_{s,t})$ is already a wrinkled Legendrian embedding on a closed subset $A \subset \Lambda \times I$, then we can take $\bar{f}_t = f_t$ on this set. Moreover, the statement is C^0 -dense.*

Remark A.5. If Λ is contractible, any smooth isotopy is formal Legendrian isotopy, hence the theorem holds if f_t is a smooth isotopy.

A.3 Twist markings and loose Legendrians

Definition A.6. Let $f : \Lambda \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$ be a wrinkled Legendrian with k wrinkles, and let $\Phi \subset \Lambda$ be an embedded codimension 1 smooth compact submanifold with boundary. Assume Φ has the topology of a sphere with k open disks removed. Then Φ is called a *twist marking* if the singular set of Λ is equal to $\partial\Phi$, and there is a small neighborhood of the singular set so that $\Phi = \{u = 0, \|x\| \geq 1\} \subset \Lambda$ in the local model $(\pi_j \circ f)(\Lambda \cap U_j) \cong w(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In parametric families $f_t : \Lambda \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$, we require the family $\Phi_t \subset \Lambda$ to be smoothly varying in t whenever we are disjoint from the set of embryo singularities. At an embryo singularity modeled in the front projection $(\pi_j \circ f_t)(\Lambda \cap U_j) \cong w_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we require $\Phi_t = \{u = 0, \|x\|^2 \geq t\}$.

The idea of a marking is that it is a pattern that gives us a canonical way to desingularize wrinkles.

Lemma A.7 (Lemma 4.2. in [5]). *Let $f_t : \Lambda \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$ be a family of wrinkled Legendrians with $t \in D^k$, and let $\Phi_t \subset \Lambda$ be a family of markings for f_t . Then f_t is C^0 -close to a wrinkled Legendrian family $\tilde{f}_t : \Lambda \rightarrow (Y, \xi)$, so that \tilde{f}_t is smooth on a neighborhood of Φ_t and equal to f_t outside that neighborhood.*

So far, if we want to construct a smooth family of Legendrians, it is enough to find a marking. This motivates the definition of loose Legendrians: intuitively they are smooth Legendrians which look like resolutions of some wrinkled Legendrian along some marking.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the cube of side length 1, and let $\Lambda_0 \subset C$ be a properly embedded Legendrian arc whose front is a zig-zag and which is equal to the set $\{y = z = 0\}$ near the boundary. Define open sets

$$V_\rho = \{|q| < \rho, |p| < \rho\} \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad Z_\rho = V_\rho \cap \{p = 0\}.$$

The set $\Lambda_0 \times Z_\rho$ is a Legendrian submanifold of the open subset $C \times V_\rho \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, with respect to the standard contact form.

Definition A.8. Let $\Lambda \subset (Y, \xi)$ be a Legendrian submanifold, and let $\rho > 1$. We say that Λ is *loose* if there is an open subset $U \subset Y$, so that $(U, U \cap \Lambda)$ is contactomorphic as a pair to $(C \times V_\rho, \Lambda_0 \times Z_\rho)$. The pair $(U, U \cap \Lambda)$ is then called a *loose chart*.

Proposition A.9 (See Proposition 4.4. in [5]). *For any $\bar{\rho} > 1$, an arbitrary loose chart contains another loose chart of size parameter $\bar{\rho}$. In particular a loose chart contains two disjointly embedded loose charts, and therefore a loose chart contains infinitely many disjointly embedded loose charts.*

Define an *inside-out wrinkle* to be the map $\bar{w} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ defined by

$$\bar{w}(x, u) = (x, \psi_{\|x\|^2-1}(u)) \tag{23}$$

Then \bar{w} is singular on the hyperbola $\{\|x\|^2 - 3u^2 = 1\}$, which has unfurled swallowtails on the subset $\{u = 1 - \|x\|^2 = 0\}$ and cusps elsewhere. The wrinkled Legendrian $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^1\mathbb{R}^n$ whose front projection is \bar{w} is smooth outside of a compact set, but it is not standard. Let $\Phi = \{u = 0, \|x\| \leq 1\}$. Then Φ is a marking for f . Moreover, if we resolve f along Φ to obtain \tilde{f} , then $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^{2n+1}(\rho)$ is contactomorphic to a loose chart for any $\rho > 1$. (see section 4.2. in [5] for more details).

References

- [1] G. Dimitroglou Rizell, M. G. Sullivan, *C^0 -limits of Legendrian knots and contact non-squeezing*, arXiv:2201.04579
- [2] G. Dimitroglou Rizell, M. G. Sullivan, *C^0 -limits of Legendrians and positive loops*, arXiv:2212.09190
- [3] Geiges, H. (2008). *An Introduction to Contact Topology* (Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Vincent Humilière, Remi Leclercq, Sobhan Seyfaddini *Coisotropic rigidity and C^0 -symplectic geometry*, Duke Math. J. 164(4), 767-799, (15 March 2015)
- [5] E. Murphy, *Loose Legendrian embeddings in high dimensional contact manifolds*, arXiv:arXiv:1201.2245v5
- [6] D. Rosen, J. Zhang, *Chekanov's dichotomy in contact topology*, Math. Res. Lett., Volume 27, Number 4, 1165–1193, 2020.
- [7] M. Stokic, *New steps in C^0 symplectic and contact geometry of smooth submanifolds*, arXiv:2202.07996
- [8] M. Usher, *Local rigidity, contact homeomorphisms, and conformal factors*, arXiv:2001.08729v2