

TWISTS OF TWISTED GENERALIZED WEYL ALGEBRAS

JASON GADDIS AND DANIELE ROSSO

ABSTRACT. We study graded twisted tensor products and graded twists of twisted generalized Weyl algebras (TGWAs). We show that the class of TGWAs is closed under these operations assuming mild hypotheses. We generalize a result on cocycle equivalence amongst multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras to the setting of TGWAs. As another application we prove that certain TGWAs of type A_2 are noetherian.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Weyl algebras $A_n(\mathbb{C})$ are fundamental objects in noncommutative algebra. It is well-known that $A_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong A_1(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_1(\mathbb{C})$. More generally, if A and B are two rank one generalized Weyl algebras (GWAs), then $A \otimes B$ is a rank two GWA. There is a notion of a *twisted generalized Weyl algebras* (TGWAs), and under suitable hypotheses, the tensor product of two TGWAs is again a TGWA [9]. In this work we extend the above results to the case of graded *twisted tensor products*. The definition of this is given in Section 2.2.

Theorem (Theorem 3.17). *Let A and B be regular, consistent TGWAs. Let $\tau : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ be a twisting map satisfying certain conditions (see Hypothesis 3.2 and (3.8)). Then $A \otimes_\tau B$ is a regular, consistent TGWA.*

A partial converse to Theorem 3.17 is given in Theorem 3.19. In [11], the authors along with Robert Won consider twisted tensor products of BR algebras [4, 10]. These results have mild overlap in the case of TGWAs of Cartan type $(A_1)^n$.

We also consider twists of TGWAs by graded twisting systems. A definition is given in Definition 2.9, along with that of the graded twist ${}^{\chi}A$ of a graded algebra A . We show that the class of TGWAs is invariant under graded twisting.

Theorem (Theorem 4.4). *Let $A = A_\mu(R, \sigma, t)$ be a TGWA and χ a twisting system for A that respects the \mathbb{Z}^n -grading on A . Then ${}^{\chi}A$ is again a TGWA.*

When considering a graded twist of the tensor product of two TGWAs, the two notions coincide under certain conditions. See Proposition 4.10.

A GWA over a noetherian base ring is again noetherian. However, whether this holds for TGWAs is an open question. In [9], the authors proved this for TGWAs of Cartan type $(A_1)^n$ as well as certain TGWAs of Cartan type A_2 . Here we obtain additional rank 2 examples by graded twisting (Example 4.8).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W50, 16S32, 16W22, 16D90 .

Key words and phrases. Twisted generalized Weyl algebra, twisted tensor product, graded twist, cocycle twist, graded modules.

2. BACKGROUND

Throughout \mathbb{k} is a field and we denote by \mathbb{k}^\times the group of units of \mathbb{k} . All tensor products should be assumed to be over \mathbb{k} . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

All algebras will be considered as unital \mathbb{k} -algebras. For an algebra A , $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ denotes the center of A and A_{reg} the set of regular elements of A . The algebra A is *graded* by a monoid Γ if there is a vector space decomposition $A = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma$ satisfying $A_\alpha \cdot A_\beta \subset A_{\alpha\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. A map $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ of Γ -graded algebras is *graded* if $\psi(A_\gamma) \subset B_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

We begin by discussing our main objects of interest (TGWAs). Subsequently we will define twisted tensor products, graded twists, and cocycle twists.

2.1. TGWAs. The definition of a TGWA is due to Mazorchuk and Turowska [15]. This construction, in particular, realizes all generalized Weyl algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let n be a positive integer. A *twisted generalized Weyl datum (TGWD)* of rank n is the triple (R, σ, t) where R is an algebra, $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ is an n -tuple of commuting automorphisms of R , and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{Z}(R)^n$. Given such a TGWD and $\mu = (\mu_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{k}^\times)$, the associated *twisted generalized Weyl construction (TGWC)*, $\mathcal{C}_\mu(R, \sigma, t)$, is the algebra generated over R by the $2n$ indeterminates X_1^\pm, \dots, X_n^\pm subject to the relations

$$X_i^\pm r - \sigma_i^{\pm 1}(r)X_i^\pm, \quad X_i^- X_i^+ - t_i, \quad X_i^+ X_i^- - \sigma_i(t_i), \quad X_i^+ X_j^- - \mu_{ij} X_j^- X_i^+.$$

for all $r \in R$ and $i, j \in [n]$, $i \neq j$. There is a \mathbb{Z}^n -grading on $\mathcal{C}_\mu(R, \sigma, t)$ obtained by setting $\deg(r) = \mathbf{0}$ for all $r \in R$ and $\deg(X_i^\pm) = \pm \mathbf{e}_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. The associated *twisted generalized Weyl algebra (TGWA)* is $\mathcal{A}_\mu(R, \sigma, t) = \mathcal{C}_\mu(R, \sigma, t)/\mathcal{J}$ where \mathcal{J} is the sum of all graded ideals $J = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n} J_g$ such that $J_0 = \{0\}$.

Definition 2.2. The TGWD (R, σ, t) is *regular* if $t_i \in R_{\text{reg}}$ for all $i \in [n]$. For a parameter matrix μ , (R, σ, t) is μ -*consistent* if the canonical map $R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\mu(R, \sigma, t)$ is injective. The TGWA $\mathcal{A}_\mu(R, \sigma, t)$ is *regular* (resp. μ -consistent) if the underlying TGWD is regular (resp. μ -consistent).

Suppose (R, σ, t) is a regular TGWD. By [14], the ideal \mathcal{J} in Definition 2.1 can also be defined as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{J} = \{c \in \mathcal{C} : rc = 0 \text{ for some } r \in R_{\text{reg}} \cap \mathcal{Z}(R)\}.$$

By [8, Theorem 6.2], (R, σ, t) is μ -consistent if and only if the following hold:

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma_i \sigma_j(t_i t_j) = \mu_{ij} \mu_{ji} \sigma_i(t_i) \sigma_j(t_j) \text{ for all distinct } i, j \in [n],$$

$$(2.5) \quad t_j \sigma_i \sigma_k(t_j) = \sigma_i(t_j) \sigma_k(t_j) \text{ for all pairwise distinct } i, j, k \in [n].$$

Let $A = \mathcal{A}_\mu(R, \sigma, t)$ be a regular, μ -consistent TGWA. For $i, j \in [n]$, define

$$(2.6) \quad V_{ij} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{\sigma_i^k(t_j) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then A is \mathbb{k} -*finitistic* if $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} V_{ij} < \infty$ for all i, j . In this case, let $p_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}[x]$ denote the minimal polynomial for σ_i acting on V_{ij} , and let $C_A = (a_{ij})$ where $a_{ii} = 2$ and $a_{ij} = 1 - \deg p_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$. We say A is of type T when C_A is a (generalized) Cartan matrix of type T .

2.2. Twisted tensor products. We recall the definition of a twisted tensor product, due to Čap, Schichl, and Vanžura [5].

Definition 2.7. A *twisted tensor product* of algebras A and B is a triple (C, ι_A, ι_B) where C is an algebra, and $\iota_A : A \rightarrow C$ and $\iota_B : B \rightarrow C$ are injective (algebra) homomorphisms such that the map $a \otimes b \mapsto \iota_A(a) \cdot \iota_B(b)$ is a linear isomorphism.

A *twisting map* for (A, B) is a \mathbb{k} -linear map $\tau : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ such that $\tau(b \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes b$ and $\tau(1 \otimes a) = a \otimes 1$. Let μ_A and μ_B be the multiplication maps on A and B , respectively. Define C to be $A \otimes B$ (as a vector space) with multiplication

$$\mu_\tau := (\mu_A \otimes \mu_B) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}_B).$$

Define $\iota_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ by $\iota_A(a) = a \otimes 1$ and ι_B is defined similarly. Then (C, ι_A, ι_B) is a twisted tensor product of A and B if and only if μ_τ is associative. By [5, Proposition 2.3], this holds if and only if the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & B \otimes A \otimes B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes \tau} & A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B \\ & \nearrow \text{id}_B \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}_A & & & \searrow \text{id}_A \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}_B \\ B \otimes B \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mu_B \otimes \mu_A} & B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\tau} & A \otimes B & \xleftarrow{\mu_A \otimes \mu_B} & A \otimes A \otimes B \otimes B \end{array}$$

When this holds, we simplify the notation (C, ι_A, ι_B) to $A \otimes_\tau B$. By [5, Proposition 2.7], all twisted tensor products can be realized, up to isomorphism, through a twisting map.

Example 2.8. (1) Given any two algebras A and B , one can take the trivial twisting map defined by $\tau(b \otimes a) = a \otimes b$ for all $b \otimes a \in B \otimes A$. The corresponding twisted tensor product $A \otimes_\tau B$ is then just the usual tensor product $A \otimes B$.

(2) Let $A = \mathbb{k}[x]$, $B = \mathbb{k}[y]$, and $q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. Let $\tau : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ be the linear map defined by $\tau(y^n \otimes x^m) = q^{mn}(x^m \otimes y^n)$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then τ is a twisting map and $A \otimes_\tau B \cong \mathbb{k}_q[x, y] = \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \mid yx - qxy \rangle$.

(3) [1, Section 1.5] Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{k}^\times)$ satisfying $\lambda_{ii} = 1$ for all i and $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}^{-1}$ for $i \neq j$. Let $q = (q_i) \in (\mathbb{k}^\times)^n$. The *alternative quantized Weyl algebra* is the algebra generated by $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n$ with relations

$x_i x_j - \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i$, $x_i y_j - \lambda_{ji} y_j x_i$, $y_j y_i - \lambda_{ji} y_i y_j$, $x_j y_i - \lambda_{ij} y_i x_j$, $x_j y_j - q_j y_j x_j - 1$, for all $i, j \in [n]$. We can realize this algebra as an iterated twisted tensor product over copies of the first quantum Weyl algebra. See Example 3.20.

Suppose that A is \mathbb{Z}^m -graded and that B is \mathbb{Z}^n -graded. We say that a twisting map $\tau : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ is *graded* if $\tau(B_\beta \otimes A_\alpha) \subset A_\alpha \otimes B_\beta$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. That is, τ respects the gradings on A and B . Given a graded twisting map τ , the twisted tensor product $A \otimes_\tau B$ is then (naturally) \mathbb{Z}^{m+n} -graded.

2.3. Graded twists. The notion of a graded twist is integral to the study of noncommutative projective algebraic geometry. Our treatment follows Zhang [17].

Definition 2.9. Let Γ be a monoid and let A be a Γ -graded algebra. A set of graded \mathbb{k} -linear bijections of A , $\chi = \{\chi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ is a *left twisting system* if

$$(2.10) \quad \chi_\alpha(\chi_\beta(c)b) = \chi_{\beta\alpha}(c)\chi_\alpha(b) \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma, b \in A_\beta, c \in A_\gamma.$$

Given a left twisting system χ of A , the *left graded twist of A by χ* is the algebra ${}^\chi A$ that is A as a \mathbb{k} -vector space but with multiplication \star given by $a \star b = \chi_\beta(a)b$ for $a \in A_\alpha$ and $b \in A_\beta$.

Condition (2.10) guarantees that the product in ${}^{\chi}A$ is associative. (By [17, Prop 2.5], graded twisting gives an equivalence relation on the set of Γ -graded algebras.) Similarly, the condition $\chi_{\alpha}(b\chi_{\beta}(c)) = \chi_{\alpha}(b)\chi_{\alpha\beta}(c)$ defines a *right twisting system* and there is an associative multiplication $a \star b = a\chi_{\alpha}(b)$ for the *right graded twist of A by χ* , denoted by A^{χ} .

Remark 2.11. Condition (2.10) is satisfied if all the maps χ_{α} are algebra automorphisms of A and the map $\Gamma^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$, given by $\alpha \rightarrow \chi_{\alpha}$, is a homomorphism. We focus on this setting and we take $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$. In this case we have $\chi_0 = \text{id}_A$ and hence $1_A = 1_{{}^{\chi}A}$ (see [17, §2]).

2.4. Cocycle twists. Let Γ be a group. A \mathbb{k}^{\times} -valued 2-cocycle on Γ is a map $\mathbf{c} : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^{\times}$ such that

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbf{c}(\alpha, \beta\gamma)\mathbf{c}(\beta, \gamma) = \mathbf{c}(\alpha\beta, \gamma)\mathbf{c}(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

The set of all \mathbb{k}^{\times} -valued 2-cocycles on Γ is denoted $Z^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{k}^{\times})$. Cocycle twists provide an alternative way to construct twists of graded algebras and are common in the quantum groups literature (see, e.g., [2]). The cocycle condition guarantees that the twisted algebra $A^{\mathbf{c}}$, defined below, is associative.

Definition 2.13. Let A be a Γ -graded algebra and $\mathbf{c} \in Z^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{k}^{\times})$. The *cocycle twist of A by \mathbf{c}* is the algebra $A^{\mathbf{c}}$ which is A as a \mathbb{k} -vector space but with multiplication $*_{\mathbf{c}}$ given by $a *_{\mathbf{c}} b = \mathbf{c}(\alpha, \beta)ab$ for all $a \in A_{\alpha}$, $b \in A_{\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

If Γ is a group, $\mathbf{c} \in Z^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{k}^{\times})$, and A is a Γ -graded algebra, then for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we can define a graded \mathbb{k} -linear map by $\chi_{\alpha}(x) = \mathbf{c}(\beta, \alpha)x$, where $x \in A_{\beta}$. It is immediate to check that the cocycle condition (2.12) implies the twisting system condition (2.10) and that ${}^{\chi}A \simeq A^{\mathbf{c}}$ as algebras, with the isomorphism being the identity map on A (see, e.g., [6, Thm 2.2.19]). Similarly, we could define a right twisting system by $\chi'_{\alpha}(x) = \mathbf{c}(\alpha, \beta)x$, with $x \in A_{\beta}$, and have $A^{\chi'} \simeq A^{\mathbf{c}}$. Hence, cocycle twists can be considered as special cases of graded twists.

3. TWISTED TENSOR PRODUCTS OF TGWAS

In this section, we show that the twisted tensor product of two TGWAs is again a TGWA under mild hypotheses. Our proof, including the following preparatory lemma, closely follows [9, Theorem 2.16].

Lemma 3.1. *Let R and S be algebras. Suppose that $t \in R$ and $h \in S$ are regular. Then $t \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes h$ are regular in $R \otimes S$.*

We assume the following hypothesis throughout this section.

Hypothesis 3.2. Assume that R and S are algebras. Let $C = \mathcal{C}_{\mu}(R, \sigma, t)$ be a regular, μ -consistent TGWC of rank m with canonical generators $\{X_j^{\pm}\}$, \mathcal{J} the canonical ideal associated to C , and $A = C/\mathcal{J}$ the resulting TGWA. Let $D = \mathcal{C}_{\nu}(S, \rho, h)$ be a regular, ν -consistent TGWC of rank n with canonical generators $\{Y_i^{\pm}\}$, \mathcal{I} the canonical ideal associated to D , and $B = D/\mathcal{I}$ the resulting TGWA. We extend the σ_j and the ρ_i to commuting automorphisms of $R \otimes S$ such that $\rho_i(R \otimes 1) \subset R \otimes 1$ for each i and $\sigma_j(1 \otimes S) \subset 1 \otimes S$ for each j .

Hypothesis 3.2 implies that each ρ_i (resp. σ_j) may be viewed as an automorphism of R (resp. S), which, by an abuse of notation, we also call ρ_i (resp. σ_j). One can always extend the automorphisms in a trivial way (e.g., $\sigma_j|_S = \text{id}_S$.)

To simplify notation, for $w \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, let \mathfrak{s} be the sign function where $\mathfrak{s}(w) = +$ if $w > 0$ and $\mathfrak{s}(w) = -$ if $w < 0$. Given $u \in \pm[m]$, set $X_u = X_{|u|}^{\mathfrak{s}(u)}$. If $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_k) \in (\pm[m])^k$, we define $X_{\mathbf{u}} = X_{u_1} \cdots X_{u_k}$ and $d(\mathbf{u}) = \deg X_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ we define an automorphism $\sigma^\alpha = \sigma_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \sigma_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then $X_{\mathbf{u}} r = \sigma^{d(\mathbf{u})}(r) X_{\mathbf{u}}$ for all $r \in R$. For $\mathbf{v} \in (\pm[n])^\ell$, we define similarly $Y_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $d(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The $X_{\mathbf{u}}$ (resp. $Y_{\mathbf{v}}$) generate the TGWC C (resp. D) over R (resp. S).

In order to define a twisting map, we will first need to define certain scalars. For $1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n$, let $q_{ij}^{(\pm, \pm)} \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. For $v \in \pm[n]$ and $u \in \pm[m]$, set $q_{v,u} = q_{|v|,|u|}^{(\mathfrak{s}(v), \mathfrak{s}(u))}$. Now let $\mathbf{u} \in (\pm[m])^k$ and $\mathbf{v} \in (\pm[n])^\ell$. Then

$$(3.3) \quad q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})} = \prod_{v_i \in \mathbf{v}, u_j \in \mathbf{u}} q_{v_i, u_j}.$$

Remark 3.4. It is not necessary that all of the $q_{ij}^{(\pm, \pm)}$ belong to the field \mathbb{k} . It is sufficient that the $q_{ij}^{(+, +)}$ and $q_{ij}^{(-, -)}$ are central units of $R \otimes S$ that are fixed by the extensions of the ρ_i and σ_j . See Theorem 3.19.

Let \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}' and \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' be sequences. We denote by $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'$ the concatenation of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{u}' (and similarly for \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}'). Thus, $X_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'} = X_{\mathbf{u}} X_{\mathbf{u}'}$ and so $d(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}') = d(\mathbf{u}) + d(\mathbf{u}')$. It is then clear that

$$(3.5) \quad q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})} q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}')} = q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}')} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})} q_{(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{u})} = q_{(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{u})}.$$

In the setting of Hypothesis 3.2, we define a \mathbb{k} -linear map $\tau : D \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes D$ for all $r \in R, s \in S, \mathbf{u} \in (\pm[m])^k$, and $\mathbf{v} \in (\pm[n])^\ell$, as

$$(3.6) \quad \tau(s Y_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes r X_{\mathbf{u}}) = q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})} \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(r) X_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u})}(s) Y_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

Equation (3.6) implies that $\tau(s \otimes r) = r \otimes s$ for all $s \in S$ and $r \in R$. Moreover, the map respects the \mathbb{Z}^{m+n} -grading on $C \otimes D$ (and $D \otimes C$).

Lemma 3.7. *The map in (3.6) is well defined if and only if for all i, j we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \rho_i(t_j) = q_{ij}^{(+, -)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)} t_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_j(h_i) = q_{ij}^{(-, -)} q_{ij}^{(+, -)} h_i.$$

If that is the case, then

$$(3.9) \quad q_{ij}^{(-, -)} q_{ij}^{(-, +)} q_{ij}^{(+, -)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)} = 1.$$

Proof. Assume (3.8). If $X_{\mathbf{u}} = \mu X_{\mathbf{u}'}$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ and \mathbf{u}' containing the same indices as \mathbf{u} but potentially in a different order, then $d(\mathbf{u}) = d(\mathbf{u}')$ and $q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})} = q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}')}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(s Y_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes r \mu X_{\mathbf{u}'}) &= q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}')} \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(r \mu) X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u}')} (s) Y_{\mathbf{v}} \\ &= q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})} \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(r) \mu X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u})} (s) Y_{\mathbf{v}} \\ &= q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})} \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(r) X_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u})} (s) Y_{\mathbf{v}} = \tau(s Y_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes r X_{\mathbf{u}}). \end{aligned}$$

If $X_{\mathbf{u}} = \mu \sigma^\alpha(t_i) X_{\mathbf{u}'}$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{k}^\times, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, and \mathbf{u}' containing the same indices as \mathbf{u} minus $\{\pm i\}$ (and potentially in a different order), then $d(\mathbf{u}) = d(\mathbf{u}')$ and

$q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = q(\mathbf{v}, (-i, i))q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}')$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(sY_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes r\mu\sigma^\alpha(t_i)X_{\mathbf{u}'}) &= q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}')\rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(r\mu\sigma^\alpha(t_i))X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u}')}(\mathbf{s})Y_{\mathbf{v}} \\ &= q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})q_{(\mathbf{v}, (-i, i))}^{-1}\rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(r)\sigma^\alpha(\rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(t_i))\mu X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{s})Y_{\mathbf{v}} \\ &= q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})q_{(\mathbf{v}, (-i, i))}^{-1}q(\mathbf{v}, (-i, i))\rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(r)\mu\sigma^\alpha(t_i)X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{s})Y_{\mathbf{v}} \\ &= q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})\rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(r)X_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{s})Y_{\mathbf{v}} = \tau(sY_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes rX_{\mathbf{u}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from (3.8). This shows that τ preserves the relations in C . An analogous argument using $Y_{\mathbf{v}}$ shows that it also preserves the relations in D , so it is well defined.

Now suppose that τ is well defined on $D \otimes C$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i(t_j) \otimes Y_i^+ &= \tau(Y_i^+ \otimes t_j) = \tau(Y_i^+ \otimes X_j^- X_j^+) \\ &= q_{ij}^{(+, -)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)} X_j^- X_j^+ \otimes Y_i^+ = q_{ij}^{(+, -)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)} t_j \otimes Y_i^+. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\rho_i^{-1}(t_j) \otimes Y_i^- = q_{ij}^{(-, -)} q_{ij}^{(-, +)} t_j \otimes Y_i^-$. This implies (3.8) for the ρ_i and further, $t_j = \rho_i^{-1}(\rho_i(t_j))$ implies (3.9). One obtains (3.8) for the σ_j similarly. \square

We are now ready to show that τ defines a twisting map.

Lemma 3.10. *Assume Hypothesis 3.2 and (3.8). The map τ defined in (3.6) satisfies the associativity conditions of Section 2.2.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u}'' = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'$ and $\mathbf{v}'' = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}'$. Using (3.5) repeatedly, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_C \otimes \mu_D)(\text{id}_C \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}_D)(\tau \otimes \tau)(\text{id}_D \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}_C)(sY_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes s'Y_{\mathbf{v}'} \otimes rX_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes r'X_{\mathbf{u}'}) \\ = q(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{u})(\mu_C \otimes \mu_D)(\text{id}_C \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}_D)(\tau \otimes \tau)(sY_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \rho^{d(\mathbf{v}')}(\mathbf{r})X_{\mathbf{u}} \\ \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{s}')Y_{\mathbf{v}'} \otimes r'X_{\mathbf{u}'}) \\ = q(\mathbf{v}'', \mathbf{u})q(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{u}')(\mu_C \otimes \mu_D)(\text{id}_C \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}_D)(\rho^{d(\mathbf{v}'')}(\mathbf{r})X_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{s})Y_{\mathbf{v}} \\ \otimes \rho^{d(\mathbf{v}')}(\mathbf{r}')X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u}'')}(\mathbf{s}')Y_{\mathbf{v}'}) \\ = q(\mathbf{v}'', \mathbf{u}'')(\rho^{d(\mathbf{v}'')}(\mathbf{r})\sigma^{d(\mathbf{u})}(\rho^{d(\mathbf{v}'')}(\mathbf{r}'))X_{\mathbf{u}''} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u}'')}(\mathbf{s})\rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(\sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u}'')}(\mathbf{s}'))Y_{\mathbf{v}''}) \\ \stackrel{\star}{=} q(\mathbf{v}'', \mathbf{u}'')(\rho^{d(\mathbf{v}'')}(\mathbf{r})\sigma^{d(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{r}'))X_{\mathbf{u}''} \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u}'')}(\mathbf{s})\rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{s}')Y_{\mathbf{v}''}) \\ = \tau(s\rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{s}')Y_{\mathbf{v}''} \otimes r\sigma^{d(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{r}')X_{\mathbf{u}''}) \\ = \tau \circ (\mu_D \otimes \mu_C)(sY_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes s'Y_{\mathbf{v}'} \otimes rX_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes r'X_{\mathbf{u}'}), \end{aligned}$$

where the step marked \star follows because the σ_i and ρ_j are assumed to commute. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Assume Hypothesis 3.2 and (3.8). For each i , we extend the automorphism $\rho_i \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(R)$ to a multiplicative map $\rho_i : C \rightarrow C$ by defining $\rho_i(X_j^\pm) = q_{ij}^{(+, \pm)} X_j^\pm$. Then $\rho_i \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(C)$ is a graded algebra automorphism. Similarly, for each j , $\sigma_j \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(S)$ extends to a graded automorphism in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(D)$.*

Proof. Let $j, k \in [m]$ and $r \in R$. That ρ_i preserves the relations $X_j^+ X_k^- - \mu_{jk} X_k^- X_j^+$ is clear. Because ρ_i and σ_j commute, ρ_i preserves $X_j^\pm r - \sigma_j^\pm(r)X_j^\pm$. By (3.8),

$$\rho_i(X_j^-)\rho_i(X_j^+) - \rho_i(t_j) = q_{ij}^{(+, -)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)} (X_j^- X_j^+ - t_j) = 0.$$

That ρ_i preserves $X_j^+ X_j^- - \sigma_j(t_j)$ is similar. \square

By Lemma 3.11, we may rewrite (3.6) more simply as

$$(3.12) \quad \tau(sY_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes rX_{\mathbf{u}}) = \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(rX_{\mathbf{u}}) \otimes \sigma^{-d(\mathbf{u})}(sY_{\mathbf{v}}).$$

Proposition 3.13. *Assume Hypothesis 3.2 and (3.8). The map τ in (3.6) satisfies $\tau(D \otimes \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{I} \otimes C) \subset \mathcal{J} \otimes D + C \otimes \mathcal{I}$. Hence $\tau : D \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes D$ descends to the quotient to a twisting map $B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.11, we may view each ρ_i as a graded automorphism of C . Since \mathcal{J} is the sum of all graded ideals having trivial intersection with R , then it follows that $\rho_i(\mathcal{J}) \subset \mathcal{J}$ for each $i \in [n]$. Similarly, $\sigma_j(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}$ for each $j \in [m]$. The result now follows from (3.6). \square

By an abuse of notation, the twisting map $B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ implied by Proposition 3.13 will also be denoted τ . It is then clear that the properties expressed in Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.10 apply equally to this twisting map.

Lemma 3.14. *Assume Hypothesis 3.2 and (3.8). Set $T = R \otimes S$. Let $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{m+n})$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{m+n})$ where*

$$(3.15) \quad \pi_i = \begin{cases} \sigma_i & \text{if } i \leq m \\ \rho_{i-m} & \text{if } i > m, \end{cases} \quad w_i = \begin{cases} t_i \otimes 1 & \text{if } i \leq m \\ 1 \otimes h_{i-m} & \text{if } i > m, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.16) \quad \eta_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mu_{ij} & \text{if } i, j \leq m \\ \left(q_{j-m,i}^{(-,+)}\right)^{-1} & \text{if } i \leq m \text{ and } j > m \\ q_{i-m,j}^{(+,-)} & \text{if } i > m \text{ and } j \leq m \\ \nu_{ij} & \text{if } i, j > m. \end{cases}$$

Then (T, π, w) is a regular, η -consistent TGWD of rank $m + n$.

Proof. By hypothesis, the π_i are commuting automorphisms of T , and the w_i are nonzero and central in T . Thus, (T, π, w) is a TGWD. Lemma 3.1 implies regularity.

Since $A = A_\mu(R, \sigma, t)$ (resp. $B = B_\nu(S, \rho, h)$) is μ -consistent (resp. ν -consistent), then clearly (T, π, w) satisfies (2.4) with respect to η for $i, j \leq m$ (resp. $i, j > m$). If $i > m$ and $j \leq m$, then by (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i \pi_j (w_i w_j) &= \rho_{i-m} \sigma_j (t_j \otimes h_{i-m}) = \sigma_j \rho_{i-m} (t_j) \otimes \rho_{i-m} \sigma_j (h_{i-m}) \\ &= \sigma_j (q_{i-m,j}^{(+,-)} q_{i-m,j}^{(+,+)} t_j) \otimes \rho_{i-m} (q_{i-m,j}^{(-,-)} q_{i-m,j}^{(+,-)} h_{i-m}) \\ &= \sigma_j (q_{i-m,j}^{(+,-)} q_{i-m,j}^{(+,+)} \rho_{i-m} (q_{i-m,j}^{(-,-)} q_{i-m,j}^{(+,-)}) \pi_i (w_i) \pi_j (w_j)) \\ &= \left(q_{i-m,j}^{(-,+)}\right)^{-1} q_{i-m,j}^{(+,-)} \pi_i (w_i) \pi_j (w_j) = \eta_{ji} \eta_{ij} \pi_i (w_i) \pi_j (w_j). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument shows that $\pi_i \pi_j (w_i w_j) = \eta_{ji} \eta_{ij} \pi_i (w_i) \pi_j (w_j)$ when $i \leq m$ and $j > m$. Thus, (T, π, w) satisfies (2.4) with respect to η .

Now we check (2.5). Again, if $i, j, k \leq m$ (resp. $i, j, k > m$), then this follows from the consistency of A (resp. B). Suppose $i, k \leq m$ and $j > m$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_j \pi_i \pi_k (w_j) &= h_{j-m} \sigma_i \sigma_k (h_{j-m}) = \left(q_{j-m,i}^{(-,-)} q_{j-m,i}^{(-,+)} h_{j-m}\right) \left(q_{j-m,k}^{(-,-)} q_{j-m,k}^{(-,+)} h_{j-m}\right) \\ &= \sigma_i (h_{j-m}) \sigma_k (h_{j-m}) = \pi_i (w_j) \pi_k (w_j). \end{aligned}$$

The other checks are similar. Hence, since (T, π, w) is regular, it is η -consistent. \square

Theorem 3.17. *Assume Hypothesis 3.2 and (3.8), and keep the notation of Lemma 3.14. Then $A \otimes_\tau B$ is a regular η -consistent TGWA of rank $m + n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.14, (T, π, w) is a regular, η -consistent TGWD of rank $m + n$. Let $\widehat{C} = \mathcal{C}_\eta(T, \pi, w)$ be the TGWC associated to (T, π, w) and η with canonical ideal $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ and canonical generators $\{Z_i^\pm\}$.

Since (T, π, w) is η -consistent, the degree zero component of \widehat{C} is T . Define $\psi : \widehat{C} \rightarrow A \otimes_\tau B$ to be the additive and multiplicative map that is the identity on T and maps

$$Z_i^\pm \mapsto \begin{cases} X_i^\pm \otimes 1 & \text{if } i \leq m \\ 1 \otimes Y_{i-m}^\pm & \text{if } i > m. \end{cases}$$

The map ψ is clearly surjective. To verify it is well defined, we check that ψ respects the defining relations on T . The only nontrivial check is given below. Let $i \leq m$ and $j > m$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(Z_j^- Z_i^+) &= (1 \otimes Y_{j-m}^-) \cdot_\tau (X_i^+ \otimes 1) = (\mu_A \otimes \mu_B)(1 \otimes \tau(Y_{j-m}^- \otimes X_i^+) \otimes 1) \\ &= q_{j-m,i}^{(-,+)}(X_i^+ \otimes Y_{j-m}^-) = q_{j-m,i}^{(-,+)}(X_i^+ \otimes 1) \cdot_\tau (1 \otimes Y_{j-m}^-) = \eta_{ij}^{-1} \psi(Z_i^+ Z_j^-). \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation follows by the choice of $\eta_{ij} = q_{i-m,j}^{(+,-)}$ when $i > m$ and $j \leq m$.

Since ψ is a \mathbb{Z}^{m+n} -graded map, then $\ker \psi$ is a graded ideal. Moreover, ψ restricts to the identity on T , hence $(\ker \psi)_0 = \{0\}$ and $\ker \psi \subset \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$.

For the other direction, let $c \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ be homogeneous of degree $g = (g_1, g_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^{m+n}$ and write $\psi(c) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i$ such that the $\{a_i\}_i$ (and the $\{b_i\}_i$) are \mathbb{k} -linearly independent. Because ψ respects the grading on \widehat{C} and $A \otimes_\tau B$, we may assume that the a_i have degree g_1 while the b_i have degree g_2 . Choose $X_{\mathbf{u}} \in A$ such that $d(\mathbf{u}) = -g_1$, and $Y_{\mathbf{v}} \in B$ such that $d(\mathbf{v}) = -g_2$. Thus, $(X_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes Y_{\mathbf{v}})\psi(c) \in T$. Taking a preimage $c^* \in \psi^{-1}(X_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes Y_{\mathbf{v}})$ gives $c^*c \in T \cap \widehat{\mathcal{J}} = \{0\}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \psi(c^*c) = (X_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes Y_{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot_\tau \psi(c) \\ &= (X_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes Y_{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot_\tau \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i = \sum_i X_{\mathbf{u}} \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(a_i) \otimes \sigma^{-g_1}(Y_{\mathbf{v}}) b_i. \end{aligned}$$

So, there is a \mathbb{k} -relation amongst the $\{X_{\mathbf{u}} \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(a_i)\}_i$ or the $\{\sigma^{-g_1}(Y_{\mathbf{v}}) b_i\}_i$. Suppose we are in the first case and the second case is similar. Then for some $k_i \in \mathbb{k}$, we have $0 = \sum_i k_i X_{\mathbf{u}} \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(a_i) = X_{\mathbf{u}} \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(\sum_i k_i a_i)$. Since A is a regular TGWA, [13, Theorem 4.3 (iv)] implies $0 = \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})}(\sum_i k_i a_i)$, and so $0 = \sum_i k_i a_i$, which, since $\{a_i\}_i$ were assumed to be linearly independent, means that $\psi(c) = 0$. Thus, $\ker \psi = \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ and the induced map $\widehat{C}/\widehat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow A \otimes_\tau B$ is an isomorphism. \square

The following now generalizes [9, Corollary 2.17] and the proof is identical.

Corollary 3.18. *Assume Hypothesis 3.2 and suppose further that A and B are \mathbb{k} -finitistic. Let τ be the twisting map from (3.6) and let η be as above. Then $A \otimes_\tau B$ is a regular η -consistent TGWA of rank $m + n$ which is again \mathbb{k} -finitistic with Cartan matrix $C_{A \otimes_\tau B} = \text{diag}(C_A, C_B)$.*

The following is a partial converse to Theorem 3.17. In particular, this shows that the twisting maps defined in (3.6) are in some sense the *only* graded twisting maps between two TGWAs in which the twisted tensor product is again a TGWA.

Theorem 3.19. *Let A and B be TGWAs satisfying Hypothesis 3.2. Suppose that $\tau : B \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes B$ is a graded twisting map such that $A \otimes_\tau B$ is a regular TGWA generated over $R \otimes S$ by the $X_j^\pm \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes Y_i^\pm$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *the σ_j 's and ρ_i 's extend to commuting automorphisms of $R \otimes S$, and*
- (2) *τ satisfies (3.6) where the $q_{ij}^{(\pm, \pm)}$ are central units of $R \otimes S$ which are fixed by (the extensions of) σ_j and ρ_i for all i, j .*

Proof. (1) Let $r \otimes s \in R \otimes S$ and $j \in [n]$. Then $(1 \otimes Y_i)(r \otimes s) = \varrho_i(r \otimes s)(1 \otimes Y_i)$ for some automorphisms ϱ_i of $R \otimes S$. By taking $r = 1_R$, we see that ϱ_i restricts to ρ_i on S . A similar argument holds for σ_j . Then the extensions of σ_j and ρ_i commute by definition of a TGWA.

(2) As τ is a well-defined graded twisting map, then necessarily τ satisfies (3.6) for some $q_{ij}^{(\pm, \pm)} \in R \otimes S$, and we have (3.8) (applied to $t_j \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes h_i$) and (3.9). By definition of a TGWA, $(1 \otimes Y_i^+)(X_j^- \otimes 1) = \eta(X_j^- \otimes 1)(1 \otimes Y_i^+)$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ and, by regularity, $\eta = q_{ij}^{(+, -)}$. A similar argument shows that $q_{ij}^{(-, +)} \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. Thus, all claims in (2) trivially apply to $q_{ij}^{(+, -)}$ and $q_{ij}^{(-, +)}$.

By (3.8), since ρ_i is invertible, then $q_{ij}^{(+, -)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)}$ is a unit, which in turn implies that $q_{ij}^{(+, +)}$ is a unit since $q_{ij}^{(+, -)} \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. Furthermore, $t_j \otimes 1$ is central in $R \otimes S$ and automorphisms preserve the center, so $q_{ij}^{(+, -)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)}$ is central. Thus, $q_{ij}^{(+, +)}$ is central. Now we observe that for any $i, k \in [n]$, $i \neq k$, and $j \in [m]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_{ik} \rho_i(q_{kj}^{(-, +)}) q_{ij}^{(+, +)} (X_j^+ \otimes Y_k^- Y_i^+) \\ &= \rho_i \left(q_{kj}^{(-, +)} \right) q_{ij}^{(+, +)} (X_j^+ \otimes Y_i^+ Y_k^-) = (1 \otimes Y_i^+) (1 \otimes Y_k^-) (X_j^+ \otimes 1) \\ &= \nu_{ik} (1 \otimes Y_k^-) (1 \otimes Y_i^+) (X_j^+ \otimes 1) = \nu_{ik} \rho_k(q_{kj}^{(+, +)}) q_{kj}^{(-, +)} (X_j^+ \otimes Y_k^- Y_i^+). \end{aligned}$$

Since $q_{kj}^{(-, +)} \in \mathbb{k}^\times$, then by comparing coefficients we have $\rho_k(q_{ij}^{(+, +)}) = q_{ij}^{(+, +)}$ for $i \neq k$. For $j \in [m]$, $i \in [n]$ we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{ij}^{(-, +)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)} (X_j^+ \otimes \rho_i(h_i)) = q_{ij}^{(-, +)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)} (X_j^+ \otimes Y_i^+ Y_i^-) \\ &= (1 \otimes Y_i^+) q_{ij}^{(-, +)} (X_j^+ \otimes 1) (1 \otimes Y_i^-) = (1 \otimes Y_i^+) (1 \otimes Y_i^-) (X_j^+ \otimes 1) \\ &= (1 \otimes \rho_i(h_i)) (X_j^+ \otimes 1) = (X_j^+ \otimes 1) (1 \otimes \sigma_j^{-1} \rho_i(h_i)) \\ &= (X_j^+ \otimes 1) q_{ij}^{(-, +)} q_{ij}^{(+, +)} (1 \otimes \rho_i(h_i)) = q_{ij}^{(-, +)} \sigma_j(q_{ij}^{(+, +)}) (X_j^+ \otimes \rho_i(h_i)) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\sigma_j(q_{ij}^{(+, +)}) = q_{ij}^{(+, +)}$. A similar computation but with the order of Y_i^+ and Y_i^- reversed now shows that

$$q_{ij}^{(-, +)} \rho_i^{-1}(q_{ij}^{(+, +)}) (X_j^+ \otimes h_i) = q_{ij}^{(-, +)} \sigma_j(q_{ij}^{(+, +)}) (X_j^+ \otimes h_i),$$

which gives $\rho_i^{-1}(q_{ij}^{(+, +)}) = \sigma_j(q_{ij}^{(+, +)}) = q_{ij}^{(+, +)}$. Hence $q_{ij}^{(+, +)}$ is invariant under ρ_k for all $k \in [m]$ and similar arguments show that it is also invariant under σ_ℓ , $\ell \in [n]$, and analogously for $q_{ij}^{(-, -)}$, which proves that all the claims in (2) apply. \square

We end this section with some examples. The second shows that it is possible to extend σ and ρ to commuting automorphisms of $R \otimes S$ non-trivially.

Example 3.20. (1) Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra from Example 2.8(3) with $n = 2$. For each i , let A_i be the (rank one) GWA $\mathbb{k}[z_i](\sigma_i, a_i)$ with $\sigma_i(z_i) = q_i z_i + 1$ and $a_i = z_i$. Let x_i, y_i be the canonical generators of A_i (so $x_i = X_i^+$ and $y_i = X_i^-$). Then

$$x_i y_i - q_i y_i x_i = \sigma(a_i) - q_i a_i = (q_i z_i + 1) - q_i z_i = 1.$$

Thus, $A_i \cong A_1^{q_i}(\mathbb{k})$, the first quantum Weyl algebra, and so A_i is (trivially) a TGWA of Cartan type A_1 . We extend σ_1 and σ_2 trivially to automorphisms of $\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]$. For $i \neq j$, set $q_{ij}^{(+,+)} = \lambda_{ij}$, $q_{ij}^{(-,+)} = \lambda_{ji}$, $q_{ij}^{(+,-)} = \lambda_{ji}$, and $q_{ij}^{(-,-)} = \lambda_{ij}$. It is clear that these parameters satisfy (3.9). Let τ be the twisting map defined in (3.6) (with $A = A_1$ and $B = A_2$). Then $\mathcal{A} \cong A_1 \otimes_\tau A_2$. Inductively, we may obtain any algebra from Example 2.8(3).

(2) Let $R = \mathbb{k}[t_1, t_2]$. Define $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Aut}(R)$ by

$$\sigma_1(t_1) = t_1 + t_2^2, \quad \sigma_1(t_2) = t_2, \quad \sigma_2(t_1) = t_1, \quad \sigma_2(t_2) = -t_2.$$

Let A be the rank 2 TGWA defined by this data. Then A is of Cartan type $(A_1)^2$ since the V_{ij} , $i \neq j$, are both 1-dimensional. It is not clear whether or not A can be expressed as the twisted tensor product of two rank 1 GWAs.

Let $S = \mathbb{k}[h]$ and define $\rho \in \text{Aut}(S)$ by $\rho(h) = qh$ for some nonroot of unity $q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. This data defines a rank one GWA denoted B .

We now construct a twisted tensor product of A and B . Extend the automorphisms σ_1 and σ_2 to $R \otimes S$ trivially. On the other hand, extend ρ to $R \otimes S$ by setting $\rho(t_1) = -t_1$ and $\rho(t_2) = it_2$. Then ρ and σ commute. Thus, it is clear that $A \otimes_\tau B$ is (isomorphic to) a rank 3 TGWA of Cartan type $(A_1)^3$.

4. GRADED TWISTS OF TGWAS

In this section we prove that the graded twist of a TGWA is again a TGWA. First we begin with a discussion of TGWCs.

Hypothesis 4.1. Keep Hypothesis 3.2. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, let χ_α be a graded \mathbb{k} -linear automorphism of C such that $\chi : \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(C)$ is a homomorphism and

$$(4.2) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}(X_j^\pm) = q_{ij}^{(+,\pm)} X_j^\pm, \quad \chi_{-\mathbf{e}_i}(X_j^\pm) = q_{ij}^{(-,\pm)} X_j^\pm, \quad q_{ij}^{(\pm,\pm)} \in \mathbb{k}^\times, i, j \in [m].$$

Note that, since $\chi_{-\mathbf{e}_i} = (\chi_{\mathbf{e}_i})^{-1}$, then $q_{ij}^{(-,+)} q_{ij}^{(+,+)} = q_{ij}^{(-,-)} q_{ij}^{(+,-)} = 1$.

As in Remark 3.4, the restriction that the scalars $q_{ij}^{(\pm,\pm)}$ in (4.2) are in \mathbb{k}^\times may be relaxed to assuming that they are central units of R fixed by the σ_i . (However, we are most interested in the case that the graded twists χ correspond to cocycle twists and so we keep this hypothesis.)

By Remark 2.11, Hypothesis 4.1 implies that $\chi = \{\chi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m\}$ is a (left and right) twisting system on C and further that $\chi_{\mathbf{0}} = \text{id}_C$. The action χ_α on an arbitrary monomial can be computed using a similar formula as (3.3).

Lemma 4.3. *Assume Hypothesis 4.1. Then the following hold:*

- (1) $\chi_\alpha \sigma_i = \sigma_i \chi_\alpha$ for each $i \in [m]$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, and
- (2) $\chi_{\pm \mathbf{e}_i}(t_j) = q_{ij}^{(\pm,+)} q_{ij}^{(\pm,-)} t_j$.

Proof. (1) It suffices to prove this for $\alpha = \mathbf{e}_j$, $j \in [m]$. Let $r \in R$, then

$$0 = \chi_{\mathbf{e}_j}(X_i^+ r - \sigma_i(r) X_i^+) = q_{ji}^{(+,+)} (\sigma_i(\chi_{\mathbf{e}_j}(r)) - \chi_{\mathbf{e}_j}(\sigma_i(r)) X_i^+).$$

Since C is regular, then the result follows.

- (2) For $i, j \in [m]$, $\chi_{\pm \mathbf{e}_i}(t_j) = \chi_{\pm \mathbf{e}_i}(X_j^- X_j^+) = q_{ij}^{(\pm,-)} q_{ij}^{(\pm,+)} t_j$. □

The automorphisms χ_α of C defined in (4.2) clearly descend to automorphisms of A . Thus, χ satisfying Hypothesis 4.1 and (4.2) may equally be regarded as a twisting system of A .

Theorem 4.4. *Assume Hypothesis 4.1 with χ defined as in (4.2).*

- (1) *If $\nu_{ij} = \mu_{ij}q_{ji}^{(-,+)}q_{ij}^{(-,-)}$ for all $i \neq j$, then ${}^{\times}C \cong C_\nu(R, \chi^{-1}\sigma, t)$ is a TGWC.*
- (2) *If ${}^{\times}\mathcal{J}$ denotes the canonical ideal for ${}^{\times}C$, then $\mathcal{J} = {}^{\times}\mathcal{J}$ as sets.*
- (3) *Then ${}^{\times}A \cong {}^{\times}C/{}^{\times}\mathcal{J}$. So, ${}^{\times}A \cong A_\nu(R, \chi^{-1}\sigma, t)$ is a rank m TGWA.*

Proof. (1) For generators in ${}^{\times}C$ we take X_i^+ and $(X_i^-)' = q_{ii}^{(-,-)}X_i^-$, $i \in [m]$. Then, for $i, j \in [m]$, $i \neq j$, we have in ${}^{\times}C$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_i^+ \star (X_j^-)' &= \chi_{-\mathbf{e}_j}(X_i^+)(X_j^-)' = q_{ji}^{(-,+)}q_{ii}^{(-,-)}X_i^+X_j^- = q_{ji}^{(-,+)}\mu_{ij}q_{ii}^{(-,-)}X_j^-X_i^+ \\ &= q_{ji}^{(-,+)}\mu_{ij}\chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1}((X_j^-)') \star X_i^+ = \mu_{ij}q_{ji}^{(-,+)}q_{ij}^{(-,-)}(X_j^-)' \star X_i^+. \end{aligned}$$

For $r \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_i^+ \star r - \chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1}\sigma_i(r) \star X_i^+ &= X_i^+r - \sigma_i(r)X_i^+ = 0 \\ (X_i^-)' \star r - (\chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1}\sigma_i)^{-1}(r) \star (X_i^-)' &= q_{ii}^{(-,-)}X_i^-r - q_{ii}^{(-,-)}\sigma_i^{-1}(r)X_i^- = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$(X_i^-)' \star X_i^+ - t_i = q_{ii}^{(-,-)}\chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}(X_i^-)X_i^+ - t_i = X_i^-X_i^+ - t_i = 0.$$

Finally, applying Lemma 4.3 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} X_i^+ \star (X_i^-)' - \chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1}\sigma_i(t_i) &= q_{ii}^{(-,-)}\chi_{-\mathbf{e}_i}(X_i^+)X_i^- - q_{ii}^{(-,+)}q_{ii}^{(-,-)}\sigma_i(t_i) \\ &= q_{ii}^{(-,+)}q_{ii}^{(-,-)}(X_i^+X_i^- - \sigma_i(t_i)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1).

(2) Let $c \in \mathcal{J}$. Then there exists an $r \in Z(R) \cap R_{\text{reg}}$ such that $rc = 0$. Since \mathcal{J} is a graded ideal, we can assume that $c \in C_\alpha$ is homogeneous, hence $\chi_\alpha^{-1}(r) \star c = rc = 0$, with $\chi_\alpha^{-1}(r) \in Z(R) \cap R_{\text{reg}}$. Thus, $c \in {}^{\times}\mathcal{J}$ so $\mathcal{J} \subset {}^{\times}\mathcal{J}$. The converse follows by noting that $\chi^{-1}({}^{\times}\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J}$.

(3) Let $\pi : C \rightarrow C/\mathcal{J} = A$ be the quotient map. Since, as sets, $C = {}^{\times}C$, $\mathcal{J} = {}^{\times}\mathcal{J}$, and $A = {}^{\times}A$, then $\pi : {}^{\times}C \rightarrow {}^{\times}A$ is a \mathbb{k} -linear surjection. The fact that it is an algebra homomorphism follows directly because $\mathcal{J} = {}^{\times}\mathcal{J}$ is a homogeneous ideal and hence the grading (and the twisting) on $C = {}^{\times}C$ is the same as the one on $A = {}^{\times}A$, so ${}^{\times}A \cong {}^{\times}C/\ker \pi = {}^{\times}C/{}^{\times}\mathcal{J}$. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Assume Hypothesis 4.1 with χ defined as in (4.2).*

- (1) *If A is regular, then ${}^{\times}A$ is regular.*
- (2) *If A is μ -consistent, then ${}^{\times}A$ is ν -consistent, with ν as in Thm 4.4(1).*
- (3) *If A is \mathbb{k} -finitistic, then ${}^{\times}A$ is \mathbb{k} -finitistic of the same Cartan type.*

Proof. Since $\chi_0 = \text{id}_A$ acts trivially on R , then (1) is clear.

For (2), suppose A is μ -consistent. Then by Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu_{ij}\nu_{ji}\chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1}\sigma_i(t_i)\chi_{\mathbf{e}_j}^{-1}\sigma_j(t_j) \\ &= \mu_{ij}q_{ji}^{(-,+)}q_{ij}^{(-,-)}\mu_{ji}q_{ij}^{(-,+)}q_{ji}^{(-,-)}q_{ii}^{(-,+)}q_{ii}^{(-,-)}\sigma_i(t_i)q_{jj}^{(-,+)}q_{jj}^{(-,-)}\sigma_j(t_j) \\ &= \left(q_{ji}^{(-,+)}q_{ij}^{(-,-)}\right)\left(q_{ij}^{(-,+)}q_{ji}^{(-,-)}\right)\left(q_{ii}^{(-,+)}q_{ii}^{(-,-)}\right)\left(q_{jj}^{(-,+)}q_{jj}^{(-,-)}\right)\sigma_i\sigma_j(t_it_j) \\ &= (\chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1}\sigma_i)(\chi_{\mathbf{e}_j}^{-1}\sigma_j)(t_it_j) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t_j \chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1} \sigma_i \chi_{\mathbf{e}_k}^{-1} \sigma_k(t_j) &= t_j q_{ij}^{(-,+)} q_{ij}^{(-,-)} q_{kj}^{(-,+)} q_{kj}^{(-,-)} \sigma_i \sigma_k(t_j) \\ &= q_{ij}^{(-,+)} q_{ij}^{(-,-)} q_{kj}^{(-,+)} q_{kj}^{(-,-)} \sigma_i(t_j) \sigma_k(t_j) = \chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1} \sigma_i(t_j) \chi_{\mathbf{e}_k}^{-1} \sigma_k(t_j). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that ${}^{\chi}A$ is ν -consistent.

(3) Since $\chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1} \sigma_i(t_j)$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of $\sigma_i(t_j)$, then

$$\text{Span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{\sigma_i^k(t_j) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{(\chi_{\mathbf{e}_i}^{-1} \sigma_i)^k(t_j) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and the result follows. \square

The next result may be seen as a generalization of a known result in the context of multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras (see [7, 12]).

Corollary 4.6. *Let $C = C_{\mu}(R, \sigma, t)$, and $A = A_{\mu}(R, \sigma, t)$ as in Hypothesis 3.2, and let $C' = C_{\nu}(R, \sigma, t)$, $A' = A_{\nu}(R, \sigma, t)$. Then $C' \cong {}^{\chi}C$ (resp. $A' \cong {}^{\chi}A$), for some χ as in Hypothesis 4.1, if and only if $\nu_{ij} \nu_{ji} = \mu_{ij} \mu_{ji}$ for all $i \neq j$.*

Proof. If $C' \cong {}^{\chi}C$ for some χ , by Theorem 4.4(1) we have $C' = C_{\nu}(R, \sigma, t) \cong C_{\nu}(R, \chi^{-1}\sigma, t) = {}^{\chi}C$, with $\nu_{ij} = \mu_{ij} q_{ji}^{(-,+)} q_{ji}^{(-,-)}$, in particular $\chi_{\alpha} = \text{id}_R$ for all α . Consequently, by Lemma 4.3(2),

$$(4.7) \quad q_{ij}^{(+,+)} q_{ij}^{(+,-)} = q_{ij}^{(-,+)} q_{ij}^{(-,-)} = 1 \quad \text{for all } i, j \in [m].$$

Then

$$\nu_{ij} \nu_{ji} = \left(\mu_{ij} q_{ji}^{(-,+)} q_{ji}^{(-,-)} \right) \left(\mu_{ji} q_{ij}^{(-,+)} q_{ij}^{(-,-)} \right) = \mu_{ij} \mu_{ji}.$$

Conversely, we can define the needed χ by choosing any $q_{ij}^{(\pm, \pm)}$ satisfying (4.7). \square

Example 4.8. Let $R = \mathbb{k}[h]$. Define $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Aut}(R)$ by $\sigma_1(h) = h + 1$ and $\sigma_2(h) = h - 1$. Set $t_1 = h$ and $t_2 = h + 1$, and set $\mu_{12} = \mu_{21} = 1$. Then $A = A_{\mu}(R, \sigma, t)$ is a \mathbb{k} -finitistic TGWA of Cartan type A_2 with minimal polynomials $p_{12} = p_{21} = (x - 1)^2$. By [9, Theorem 5.27], A is noetherian. From [17, Proposition 5.1], ${}^{\chi}A$ is also noetherian. By Theorem 4.4, it follows that $A_{\nu}(R, \sigma, t)$ is noetherian provided $\nu_{12} \nu_{21} = 1$.

4.1. Twisted tensor products and graded twists. Assume Hypothesis 3.2, where the automorphisms σ and ρ are extended trivially to the other factor in $R \otimes S$. Since $\rho_i(t_j) = t_j$ and $\sigma_j(h_i) = h_i$ for all i, j , (3.8) implies that

$$(4.9) \quad q_{ij}^{(+,-)} = \left(q_{ij}^{(+,+)} \right)^{-1} = \left(q_{ij}^{(-,-)} \right)^{-1} = q_{ij}^{(-,+)}.$$

We simplify notation and write $q_{ij} = q_{ij}^{(+,+)} = q_{ij}^{(-,-)}$, with $q_{ij}^{-1} = q_{ij}^{(+,-)} = q_{ij}^{(-,+)}$.

For each $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ we define $\chi_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(A \otimes B)$ as follows:

$$\chi_{(\alpha, \beta)}(r \otimes s) = r \otimes s, \quad \chi_{(\alpha, \beta)}(X_j^{\pm} \otimes 1) = X_j^{\pm} \otimes 1, \quad \chi_{(\alpha, \beta)}(1 \otimes Y_i^{\pm}) = \prod_{j=1}^m (q_{ij}^{\pm 1})^{\alpha_j} (1 \otimes Y_i^{\pm}),$$

for all $r \in R$, $s \in S$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

It is clear that $\chi_{(\alpha + \alpha', \beta + \beta')} = \chi_{(\alpha, \beta)} \chi_{(\alpha', \beta')}$. Therefore $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(A \otimes B)$ is a homomorphism and $\chi = \{\chi_{(\alpha, \beta)} \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \otimes \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is a twisting system on $A \otimes B$, considered as a Γ -graded algebra with $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^m \otimes \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proposition 4.10. *Assume that A and B are TGWAs satisfying Hypothesis 3.2, where the automorphisms σ and ρ are extended trivially. Let χ be as above and τ as in (3.6). Then ${}^\chi(A \otimes B) \cong A \otimes_\tau B$, with the isomorphism given by $\text{id}_{A \otimes B}$.*

Proof. We use \star_χ (resp. \star_τ) to denote the multiplication in ${}^\chi(A \otimes B)$ (resp. $A \otimes_\tau B$). It suffices to prove that, for any pair of elements $x, y \in A \otimes B$, $x \star_\chi y = x \star_\tau y$, and by linearity it is enough to check it for homogeneous elements. Let $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}' \in (\pm[m])^k$, $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \in (\pm[n])^\ell$, $r, r' \in R$, and $s, s' \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (rX_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes sY_{\mathbf{v}}) \star_\tau (r'X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes s'Y_{\mathbf{v}'}) &= q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}')} r \sigma^{d(\mathbf{u})} (r') X_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'} \otimes s \rho^{d(\mathbf{v})} (s') Y_{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}'} \\ &= q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}')} (rX_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes sY_{\mathbf{v}}) (r'X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes s'Y_{\mathbf{v}'}) = \chi_{(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}')} (rX_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes sY_{\mathbf{v}}) (r'X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes s'Y_{\mathbf{v}'}) \\ &= (rX_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes sY_{\mathbf{v}}) \star_\chi (r'X_{\mathbf{u}'} \otimes s'Y_{\mathbf{v}'}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5. MODULES OVER TWISTS

We conclude by discussing Proposition 4.10 in the context of graded modules over twisted tensor products and graded twists of TGWAs. Unless otherwise stated, all modules are left modules.

Let Γ be an additive abelian group with identity $\mathbf{0}$. If A is a Γ -graded algebra, then an A -module M is *graded* if M has a vector space decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} M_\gamma$ such that $A_\alpha M_\gamma = M_{\gamma+\alpha}$. Given a graded module M and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the *graded shift* $M\langle\alpha\rangle$ is a graded module $M\langle\alpha\rangle = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} M\langle\alpha\rangle_\gamma$ where $M\langle\alpha\rangle_\gamma = M_{\gamma-\alpha}$. The category $\text{GrMod}_\Gamma A$ consists of graded A -modules and homomorphisms $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ satisfying $\phi(M_\gamma) \subset N_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Let $M \in \text{GrMod}_\Gamma A$, and let χ be a twisting system of A , then we define ${}^\chi M \in \text{GrMod}_\Gamma {}^\chi A$ to be the same as M as a vector space, with action given by $a \cdot w = \chi_\gamma(a)w$ for $a \in {}^\chi A$, $w \in M_\gamma$. This gives an equivalence of categories between $\text{GrMod}_\Gamma A$ and $\text{GrMod}_\Gamma {}^\chi A$, which is the identity on vector spaces and on morphisms, see [17, Theorem 3.1].

5.1. Modules over twisted tensor products. Here we assume Hypothesis 3.2. Let M be a graded A -module and N a graded B -module. Then $M \otimes N$ is naturally a graded $(A \otimes B)$ -module. Following [5], we show how we may consider extending this structure to the twisted tensor products defined above.

Let $\tau : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ be as in (3.6). Let λ_A and λ_B denote the actions of A and B on M and N , respectively. An *exchange map* for the pair (B, M) is a \mathbb{k} -linear map $\tau_M : B \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes B$ such that $\tau_M(1_B \otimes w) = w \otimes 1_B$ for all $w \in M$. We can define an action $\tau_{\lambda_M} : A \otimes B \otimes M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$ by

$$\tau_{\lambda_M} = (\lambda_A \otimes \lambda_B) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \tau_M \otimes \text{id}_N).$$

Then $M \otimes N$ is an $(A \otimes_\tau B)$ -module if and only if the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & B \otimes A \otimes B \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes \tau_M} & A \otimes B \otimes M \otimes B \\ & \nearrow \text{id}_B \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}_M & & & \searrow \text{id}_A \otimes \tau_M \otimes \text{id}_B \\ B \otimes B \otimes A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\mu_B \otimes \lambda_A} & B \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\tau_M} & M \otimes B \xleftarrow{\lambda_A \otimes \mu_B} A \otimes M \otimes B \otimes B \end{array}$$

This diagram matches the one for τ when $M = A$, $\lambda_A = \mu_A$, and $\tau_M = \tau$ (that is, by considering the action of A on itself).

Proposition 5.1. *Assume Hypothesis 3.2 where the automorphisms σ and τ are extended trivially. Let M and N be graded modules over A and B , respectively. Define the scalars $q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})}$ as in (3.3). For $w \in M_\alpha$ and $sY_{\mathbf{v}} \in B$, set*

$$(5.2) \quad \tau_M(sY_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes w) = q_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})} w \otimes sY_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

Where $\mathbf{u} \in (\pm[m])^k$ is any sequence such that $d(\mathbf{u}) = \alpha$. Then τ_M is a twisting map and makes $M \otimes N$ into a $A \otimes_\tau B$ module.

Proof. The fact that the definition of τ_M does not depend on the choice of \mathbf{u} follows from (4.9). The rest of the proof is analogous to Lemma 3.10. \square

5.2. Relating graded module categories. Keep the notation of Proposition 4.10 and let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^{m+n}$. Applying [17, Theorem 3.1], we have

$$(5.3) \quad \text{GrMod}_\Gamma A \otimes B \equiv \text{GrMod}_\Gamma {}^X(A \otimes B) \equiv \text{GrMod}_\Gamma A \otimes_\tau B.$$

The action in the case of the graded twist is the standard one and the corresponding action on the twisted tensor product is the one given in Proposition 5.1.

Example 5.4. Suppose that \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed. The n th Weyl algebra $A_n(\mathbb{k})$ is a TGWA of Cartan type $(A_1)^n$ over $R = \mathbb{k}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ with $\sigma_i(t_j) = t_j - \delta_{ij}$ and $\mu_{ij} = 1$ for all $i, j \in [n]$.

If $\mathbf{u} \in (\pm[n])^k$, then $[t_i, X_{\mathbf{u}}] = d(\mathbf{u})_i X_{\mathbf{u}}$ for any i . Thus, the grading on $A_n(\mathbb{k})$ is given by commuting with the t_i . Said another way, a graded left $A_n(\mathbb{k})$ -module is a $(A_n(\mathbb{k}), R)$ -bimodule. The right R -module structure is given by $w \cdot r = \sigma^\alpha(r)w$ for any $r \in R$, $w \in M_\alpha$. Hence, the graded $A_n(\mathbb{k})$ -modules are those that decompose as a sum of weight subspaces over R . By [3, Section 5.3], up to ungraded isomorphism, the simple $A_n(\mathbb{k})$ -weight modules are isomorphic to a tensor product of $A_1(\mathbb{k})$ -weight modules.

Let N be a simple weight module of $A_n(\mathbb{k})$, so $N \cong N_1 \otimes \dots \otimes N_n$ for $A_1(\mathbb{k})$ -weight modules N_i . The graded isomorphism classes of N are obtained by shifts. So if $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $N\langle\alpha\rangle \cong N_1\langle\alpha_1\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes N_n\langle\alpha_n\rangle$. That is, up to isomorphism, the graded simple $A_n(\mathbb{k})$ -modules are tensor products of graded simple $A_1(\mathbb{k})$ -modules. Define the $A = A_1(\mathbb{k})$ -modules

$$U = A/AX_1^+, \quad V = (A/AX_1^-)\langle 1 \rangle, \quad M_\lambda = A/A(t_1 + \lambda)$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{k} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. By [16, Lemma 4.1], the graded simple $A_1(\mathbb{k})$ -modules are (up to isomorphism) the M_λ along with the shifts $U\langle n \rangle$ and $V\langle n \rangle$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By an inductive version of (5.3), this also describes the graded simple modules of graded twists of $A_n(\mathbb{k})$, as well as graded twisted tensor products of copies of $A_1(\mathbb{k})$ where the automorphisms are always extended trivially. In this way one obtains the simple graded modules of the algebras in Example 3.20.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

D.R. was supported in this work by a Grant-in-Aid of Research and a Summer Faculty Fellowship from Indiana University Northwest. The authors thank Robert Won for helpful conversations related to this project.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Akhavizadegan and D. A. Jordan. Prime ideals of quantized Weyl algebras. *Glasgow Math. J.*, 38:283–297, 1996.
- [2] M. Artin, W. Schelter, and J. Tate. Quantum deformations of GL_n . *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 44(8-9):879–895, 1991.
- [3] V. Bekkert, G. Benkart, and V. Futorny. Weight modules for Weyl algebras. In *Kac-Moody Lie algebras and related topics*, volume 343 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 17–42. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [4] J. Bell and D. Rogalski. \mathbb{Z} -graded simple rings. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 368:4461–4496, 2016.
- [5] A. Cap, H. Schichl, and J. Vanžura. On twisted tensor products of algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 23(12):4701–4735, 1995.
- [6] A. Davies. *Cocycle twists of algebras*. Phd thesis, University of Manchester, July 2014. Available at <https://www.escholar.manchester.ac.uk/api/datastream?publicationPid=uk-ac-man-scw:229719&datastreamId=FU>
- [7] V. Futorny and J. T. Hartwig. Multiparameter twisted Weyl algebras. *J. Algebra*, 357:69–93, 2012.
- [8] V. Futorny and J. T. Hartwig. On the consistency of twisted generalized Weyl algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140(10):3349–3363, 2012.
- [9] J. Gaddis and D. Rosso. Fixed rings of twisted generalized Weyl algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 227(4):107257, 2023.
- [10] J. Gaddis, D. Rosso, and R. Won. Weight modules over Bell-Rogalski algebras. *J. Algebra*, 633:270–297, 2023.
- [11] J. Gaddis, D. Rosso, and R. Won. Higher rank Bell-Rogalski algebras. *Preprint*, 2024.
- [12] K. R. Goodearl and M. T. Yakimov. Twist invariants of graded algebras. In *Advances in rings and modules*, volume 715 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 141–170. Amer. Math. Soc., 2018.
- [13] J. T. Hartwig and J. Öinert. Simplicity and maximal commutative subalgebras of twisted generalized Weyl algebras. *J. Algebra*, 373:312–339, 2013.
- [14] J. T. Hartwig. Noncommutative fiber products and lattice models. *J. Algebra*, 508:35–80, 2018.
- [15] V. Mazorchuk and L. Turowska. Simple weight modules over twisted generalized Weyl algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 27(6):2613–2625, 1999.
- [16] S. J. Sierra. Rings graded equivalent to the Weyl algebra. *Journal of Algebra*, 321(2):495–531, 2009.
- [17] J. J. Zhang. Twisted graded algebras and equivalences of graded categories. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 72(2):281–311, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OHIO 45056
 Email address: gaddisj@miamioh.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND ACTUARIAL SCIENCE, INDIANA UNIVERSITY NORTHWEST,
 GARY, IN 46408
 Email address: drosso@iu.edu