

# Gravitational reheating in Starobinsky inflation

**Gláuber C. Dorsch, Luiz Miranda, Nelson Yokomizo**

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG),  
Av. Antônio Carlos 6627, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

E-mail: [glauber@fisica.ufmg.br](mailto:glauber@fisica.ufmg.br), [luizcarlos.miranda@hotmail.com](mailto:luizcarlos.miranda@hotmail.com),  
[yokomizo@fisica.ufmg.br](mailto:yokomizo@fisica.ufmg.br)

**Abstract.** We investigate the possibility of achieving post-inflationary reheating exclusively through the gravitational interaction in Starobinsky inflation, which itself assumes nothing but gravity. We consider the possibility that the reheating sector couples to gravity via a non-minimal coupling. Our analysis is performed both in a perturbative and in a non-perturbative approach, where particle production is computed from Bogoliubov coefficients. Our findings indicate that, for sufficiently large non-minimal coupling ( $\xi \gtrsim \text{few} \times 10$ ), non-perturbative particle production allows for temperatures of order  $10^{12}$  GeV to be reached while also ensuring that the Universe becomes radiation-dominated. This shows that the gravitational interaction could be the sole responsible for reheating the Universe after inflation, without the need to assume other *ad hoc* inflaton interactions.

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Inflation and reheating</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Perturbative approach</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Non-perturbative approach</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	Bogoliubov transformations and particle creation from vacuum	8
4.2	Numerical method	10
4.3	Case $\mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV}) \ll m_\chi \ll H_e$ and $\xi < 1$	13
4.4	Case $m_\chi \sim \mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV})$	14
4.5	Does the Universe become radiation dominated?	15
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>16</b>

---

## 1 Introduction

In standard cosmologies, the Universe is assumed to undergo a period of exponential growth in its infancy, causing its subsequent flatness and homogeneity as observed in the cosmic microwave background (CMB). After this inflationary period, all pre-existing energy density would be extremely diluted, and the Universe would be cold, nearly empty. In order to recover the successful  $\Lambda$ CDM paradigm one then needs a mechanism of *reheating*, to convert into radiation all the energy stored in the post-inflationary epoch.

The detailed mechanism that drives this inflationary period and the subsequent reheating are still largely unknown. One possibility is to assume the existence of a new scalar field, called the “inflaton”, whose potential energy drives the exponential expansion whenever the field is slowly “rolling down” a flat region in this potential, with a comparably negligible kinetic energy [1]. Alternatively, inflation can arise from modified theories of gravity, as in the Starobinsky model, but also here one can rephrase the dynamics in terms of a slow-rolling scalar field [2–4]. After inflation, most of the energy density of the Universe is stored in this inflaton field. Reheating then consists in the “decay” of this energy into other particles that will constitute the hot plasma familiar from Big Bang cosmology.

To compute the details of this decay process one must know how the inflaton field couples to the Standard Model (SM) particles. Lacking experimental guidance in this direction, some working hypotheses are often made in the literature, such as assuming Yukawa-like couplings of inflaton to fermions or quartic couplings of inflatons to bosons, and treating these couplings as free parameters [5–12]. However, such couplings are introduced *ad hoc*, and we have no evidence about their values or even whether they are actually present at a fundamental level. In fact, all we can say for sure regarding the inflaton’s interactions with matter is that *a gravitational coupling must be present*. Moreover, the form of this gravitational interaction is well known, since the equivalence principle ensures that gravity affects every field in the same way. In light of this, one can take a more minimalist approach and investigate the dynamics of inflation and reheating assuming only a gravitational interaction for the inflaton.

A number of recent works have tackled the issue of gravitational particle production during reheating [9, 13–17], but focusing mostly on the production of dark matter relics, assuming the reheating temperature as given and/or that it is achieved via some other coupling. In this work we are instead interested in investigating the possibility of gravitationally reheating the Universe. This problem has also gained renewed attention in the recent literature [18, 19], with recent results showing that gravity alone could suffice to achieve a reheating temperature  $T_{\text{reh}} \gtrsim \text{few MeV}$ , as required by Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN). However, these recent works have tackled the issue only from a perturbative perspective.

In this work we investigate gravitational post-inflationary reheating both from a perturbative as well as from a non-perturbative perspective. Motivated by this minimalist philosophy we consider a Starobinsky inflationary scenario, in which inflation is a purely gravitational effect due to an additional  $R^2$  term in the gravitational action. Not only are these scenarios extremely successful when facing experimental constraints on inflation [20], but they are also well motivated from a theoretical perspective, since an  $R^2$  term emerges naturally from 1-loop quantum corrections to gravity [21, 22]. We also consider the possibility of a non-minimal gravitational coupling for matter fields. Our results reaffirm the viability of purely gravitational reheating in this scenario, especially when calculated from a non-perturbative perspective, reaching reheating temperatures up to  $T_{\text{reh}} \sim 10^{12}$  GeV and ensuring that inflation indeed completes and the Universe does become radiation dominated.

We start in section 2 with a general overview of inflation in the Starobinsky model and of the dynamics of the *reheaton* field, i.e. the field to which the inflaton decays and which represents the radiation content after reheating.

Then in section 3 we study the dynamics of reheating in a perturbative approach, assuming the “inflaton decay” to be mediated by graviton exchange only. The Feynman rules for the gravitational coupling of the inflaton to other fields are well known, and the rate of an inflaton  $\rightarrow$  radiation production can be calculated from standard Feynman diagram techniques. The evolution of the inflaton and radiation energy densities are then computed using the Boltzmann equation, and we consider the end of reheating to be reached when the radiation energy density equals the inflaton’s. In this case we show that sufficient reheating can only be reached for rather large values of the non-minimal coupling,  $\xi \gtrsim 600$ . This puts the reliability of this perturbative approach in question.

Next we consider in section 4 a non-perturbative production where the inflaton energy density is converted to radiation due to the dynamics of the spacetime background itself. As this background evolves, the very notion of vacuum changes, and an initial zero-particle state later corresponds to a state full of particles. We use the so-called Bogoliubov transformations to compute this particle production from parametric resonance and “instabilities” in the evolution of the *reheaton* field. One advantage of our approach is that we use fully numerical solutions to the equations of motion of the inflaton and the curved spacetime background, starting from initial conditions well within the inflationary period. This is a step forward in comparison to recent approaches that focus only on the oscillatory phase of the inflaton, performing semi-analytical fits to connect it to the inflationary period [14]. This fully numerical approach also allows us to use CMB observations from Planck [20] to fix inflationary parameters, such as the inflaton mass and the initial conditions for the background. In this case we find that sufficient reheating temperatures can be achieved for  $\xi \gtrsim \text{few} \times 10$  (depending on the reheaton mass), which is a large improvement over the perturbative result.

Section 5 is reserved for discussing our results in light of the rich literature that has recently emerged on this topic.

## 2 Inflation and reheating

Let us assume a Starobinsky inflationary model, described in the Jordan frame by the action

$$S = \frac{M_{\text{P}}^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( R + \frac{R^2}{6m_\phi^2} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $R$  is the Ricci Scalar,  $m_\phi$  is a mass term, and  $M_{\text{P}} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi G}$  is the reduced Planck mass. This model fits the observed data from CMB extremely well [20], and is a natural candidate for inflation in that it is a minimal extension of General Relativity, with the inclusion of an additional  $R^2$  term which is expected to emerge from quantum corrections [21, 22]. It can be shown [3] that, after performing a conformal transformation on the metric,  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^\phi g_{\mu\nu}$  (i.e. going to the so-called Einstein frame), the model is equivalent to a theory of gravity plus a scalar field  $\phi$ , called the inflaton, with dynamics dictated by the action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{M_{\text{P}}^2}{2} R + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + V(\phi) \right), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $V(\phi)$  is the inflaton potential, given by

$$V(\phi) = \frac{3m_\phi^2 M_{\text{P}}^2}{4} \left( 1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi/M_{\text{P}}} \right)^2. \quad (2.3)$$

To describe the effects of the expansion of a homogeneous and isotropic universe we work with the FLRW metric,

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -dt^2 + a^2(t) d\mathbf{x}^2, \quad (2.4)$$


where  $a(t)$  is the scale factor of universe. In this background geometry we consider a homogeneous configuration of the scalar field,  $\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \phi(t)$ . We can study the dynamics of the inflaton coupled to the gravitational field by varying the action (2.2) with respect to the scalar field  $\phi$  and the scale factor  $a(t)$ . The resulting equations of motion read

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3M_{\text{P}}^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right)}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3 \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right) \dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $V'(\phi)$  is the derivative of the potential with respect to  $\phi$ . For some initial time  $t_*$ , the initial conditions for the evolution of the system consist of the data:  $a(t_*)$ ,  $\phi(t_*)$ ,  $\dot{\phi}(t_*)$ . In addition, we must fix the value of the inflaton mass  $m_\phi$ . These parameters can be fixed so as to produce an inflationary regime consistent with the observations of the CMB, as discussed in [23, 24], and briefly reviewed in what follows.

Looking at figure 1 one sees that, for  $\phi \gg M_{\text{P}}$ , the potential is approximately flat and the field rolls down slowly. This means the kinetic term  $\dot{\phi}^2$  in eq. (2.5) can be neglected as compared to the potential  $V(\phi)$ , while this potential can be assumed to be approximately constant. Solving eq. (2.5) in this regime then leads to an exponential growth of the scale factor, as expected for inflation. This exponential growth ends when the kinetic energy is no



**Figure 1.** Starobinsky potential. The black dashed vertical line divides the inflationary regime and reheating.  $\phi_e$  represent the inflaton at the end of inflation, when it leaves the plateau of the potential.

longer negligible, i.e. when the field starts rolling down the potential well in figure 1. In order to be more quantitative, one introduces the so-called slow-roll parameters,

$$\epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \quad \delta = \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}}, \quad (2.7)$$

such that the slow-roll regime is characterized by the conditions

$$\epsilon \ll 1, \quad |\delta| \ll 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Neglecting the kinetic energy of the inflaton in the Friedmann equation (2.5), and also the term  $\ddot{\phi}$  in the Klein-Gordon equation (2.6), during the slow-roll phase the first slow-roll parameter satisfies

$$(\epsilon - 3)\dot{\phi}^2 + 2\epsilon V = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

The number of  $e$ -folds from an initial time  $t_*$  until the end of inflation can also be determined from the equations of motion in the slow-roll approximation, and for the Starobinsky potential (2.3) it reads

$$N_* \simeq -1.04 + \frac{3}{4}e^{\sqrt{16\pi G/3}\phi_*} - \sqrt{3\pi G}\phi_*. \quad (2.10)$$

In the base  $\Lambda$ CDM model, two parameters refer to the primordial universe: the scalar power spectrum amplitude  $A_s$  and the scalar spectral index  $n_s$ . In an inflationary model, these parameters are related to background quantities through

$$A_s = \frac{GH_*^2}{\pi\epsilon}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$n_s = 1 - 4\epsilon - 2\delta, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $H_*$  is the Hubble parameter at the time  $t_*$  when a pivot mode  $k_*$  leaves the horizon during inflation. In the PLANCK papers one usually sets  $k_* = 0.05 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ , and at this scale the observational constraints on  $A_s$  and  $n_s$  determined from the PLANCK observations read  $A_s = 2.10(3) \times 10^{-9}$  and  $n_s = 0.9649(42)$  [20]. However, in discussions related to inflation an

alternative choice of  $k_* = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  is employed. Translating the scalar amplitude found by PLANCK to our choice of pivot scale gives a best estimate of

$$A_s = 2.42 \times 10^{-9} \quad \text{and} \quad n_s = 0.9649 \quad (k_* = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}). \quad (2.13)$$

We neglect any running of the spectral index  $n_s$ , so our value is the same as PLANCK's even though our choices of pivot scale differ. Moreover, the duration of inflation for the Starobinsky model is constrained from the PLANCK analysis to the interval

$$49 < N_* < 59 \quad (2.14)$$

with respect to the inflationary pivot mode  $k_* = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ .

Setting  $N_* = 54$ , in the middle of the allowed range, and fixing  $A_s$  and  $n_s$  as in eq. (2.13), the background equations of motion (2.5) and (2.6) under the slow-roll approximations, together with the Eqs. (2.9)–(2.12), form a system of six coupled equations that can be solved for  $H_*$ ,  $\phi(t_*)$ ,  $\dot{\phi}(t_*)$ ,  $m_\phi$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\delta$ . The scale factor  $a(t_*)$  can then be determined from the horizon crossing condition for the pivot mode. From this we can also obtain an inflaton mass of  $m_\phi = 3.19 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$ . The initial conditions obtained through this procedure are used in order to determine the numerical evolution of the scale factor and scalar field. The properties of the resulting background evolution are discussed in detail in Section 4.

After the slow-roll phase, the inflaton oscillates around the minimum of the potential. These oscillations are damped by the friction-like term in the Klein-Gordon equation due to the Hubble expansion. This means the inflaton energy-density decreases. Physically, it is interpreted as being converted to other particles which, after thermalizing, will reheat the post-inflationary Universe. The end of reheating is reached when the radiation energy density dominates over the energy density of the inflaton.

The dynamics of particle production in reheating is commonly described by considering an arbitrary coupling between the inflaton and its decay products. In this work, we are only interested in the gravitational interaction, so the only coupling considered between the inflaton and the decay products is gravity. We will mimic the post-inflationary radiation content by a scalar field  $\chi$  dubbed the *reheaton*, and assume it also couples to gravity via a non-minimal coupling  $\xi$ . The action that describes the dynamics of particle production is then

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (M_{\text{P}}^2 f(R) - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi + m_\chi^2 \chi^2 + \xi R \chi^2). \quad (2.15)$$

Varying the action with respect to  $\chi$  yields the equation of motion

$$\square \chi + m_\chi^2 \chi + \xi R \chi = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

In both approaches used in this work the temperature is determined according to the density  $\rho_\chi$  of the thermalized particles  $\chi$ .

### 3 Perturbative approach

In the Boltzmann approach the transition rates of inflaton decay are calculated neglecting the expansion of the universe, computing the amplitude of Feynman diagrams in Minkowski space. The expansion will only enter in this approach in the dilution factor in the time evolution of these energy densities, described by the Boltzmann equations. In order to describe the

gravitational interactions between the inflaton and the reheaton  $\chi$ , we use gravitation as an effective field theory by linearizing the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{M_{\text{P}}} h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.1)$$


where  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  is the Minkowski metric and  $h_{\mu\nu}$  is the graviton field [22]. The interaction between the scalar fields and the graviton is described by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2M_p} (h_{\mu\nu} T_\phi^{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} T_\chi^{\mu\nu}), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $T_\phi^{\mu\nu}$  and  $T_\chi^{\mu\nu}$  are the stress-energy tensors of the inflaton and of the reheaton, given by

$$T_S^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu S \partial^\nu S - g^{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu S \partial_\mu S - V(S) \right), \quad S = \phi, \chi. \quad (3.3)$$

Since we are interested in the regime where the inflaton is oscillating around the bottom of the potential well, we can approximate the Starobinsky potential as  $V(\phi) = m_\phi^2 \phi^2/2$ . For the production of particles, we consider the  $\phi\phi \rightarrow \chi\chi$  process<sup>1</sup> via the exchange of a graviton as in fig. 2.



**Figure 2.** Gravitational production of reheaton  $\chi$  from the inflaton through the exchange of a graviton. The arrows indicate the momentum flow along the external legs.

To calculate the amplitude of the process we use

$$i\mathcal{M} = V_{\mu\nu} \Pi^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} V_{\rho\sigma}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $V_{\mu\nu}$  and  $V_{\rho\sigma}$  are the vertices of interaction between the scalar fields and the graviton and are expressed as [9–11, 25]

$$V_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{M_p} [p'_\mu p_\nu + p_\mu p'_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} (p' \cdot p + m_\phi^2)] - \frac{\xi}{M_p} (\eta_{\mu\nu} q' \cdot q - q_\mu q_\nu), \quad (3.5)$$

<sup>1</sup>If we choose to use the expression for R in terms of  $h_{\mu\nu}$  [25] in the interaction with  $\chi$ , interaction terms such as  $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\xi}{M_p} \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi \chi^2$  and  $\frac{1}{M_p^3} \partial_\mu (h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi) \chi^2$  will appear. The second is clearly highly suppressed by a factor  $M_p^2$  compared to the one we consider. As for the first term, it consists in a cubic interaction describing a direct decay of the inflaton, with rate proportional to  $\xi$ . In the case  $\xi \gg 1$ , which is the relevant limit for the perturbative approach, this process will be subdominant compared to  $\phi\phi \rightarrow \chi\chi$ , whose amplitude scales with  $\xi^2$ , as we show below.

with  $p$  and  $p'$  the momenta of the scalar particles flowing into the vertex and  $q$  is the momentum of the graviton. The propagator of the graviton is given by [25]

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}(k) = \frac{1}{2q^2}(\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma}\eta_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\sigma}). \quad (3.6)$$

Using the above expressions, we determine the amplitude of the process as a function of the energy density of the inflaton  $\rho_\phi$  and  $\xi$ ,

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{m_\phi^4}{M_p^4} \left[ 1 + \frac{m_\chi^2}{2m_\phi^2} + \xi \left( 10 + \frac{2m_\chi^2}{m_\phi^2} \right) + 24\xi^2 \right]^2. \quad (3.7)$$

To calculate the process rate we must consider the initial state of the inflaton as a condensate at the beginning of reheating, with a homogeneous distribution function given by

$$f(p, t) = (2\pi)^3 n_\phi \delta(p), \quad (3.8)$$

where  $n_\phi$  is the inflaton number density. The expression for the rate is then

$$\Gamma = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2m_\phi} \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3 2m_\phi} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3 2E'} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p + p' - k - k') |\mathcal{M}|^2 f_1(p, t) f_2(p', t). \quad (3.9)$$

Solving the integral we get

$$\Gamma_{\phi\phi\rightarrow\chi\chi} = \frac{\rho_\phi^2}{512\pi M_p^4} \left[ 1 + \frac{m_\chi^2}{2m_\phi^2} + \xi \left( 10 + \frac{2m_\chi^2}{m_\phi^2} \right) + 24\xi^2 \right]^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_\chi^2}{m_\phi^2}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Notice that typically  $m_\chi \lesssim m_\phi$ , so for  $\xi \gg 1$  (which is the case of interest in this approach) the amplitude will be dominated by the very last term in brackets, which is  $m_\chi$  independent.

To complete the reheating period, the energy density of the decay products (reheaton) must equal the energy density of the inflaton. We define the reheating temperature  $T_{\text{reh}}$  as the temperature at which such a condition is achieved. To analyze the evolution of energy densities we use the Boltzmann equations for  $\rho_\phi$  and  $\rho_R$ ,

$$\frac{d\rho_R}{dt} + 4H\rho_R = \Gamma_{\phi\phi\rightarrow\chi\chi} m_\phi, \quad (3.11)$$


$$\frac{d\rho_\phi}{dt} + 3H\rho_\phi = -\Gamma_{\phi\phi\rightarrow\chi\chi} m_\phi, \quad (3.12)$$

where  $H$  is the expansion rate of the universe, given by

$$H = \frac{\sqrt{\rho_\phi + \rho_R}}{\sqrt{3}M_p}. \quad (3.13)$$

We solved the Boltzmann equations numerically for a set of  $\xi$  values. The initial condition for  $\rho_\phi$  was obtained by considering the point at which the slow-roll condition in Starobinsky inflation breaks down, i.e. when  $\epsilon = 1$ . For the numerical evolution we set  $a(t_e) = a_e = 1$  at the end of inflation. Our results are shown in figure 3 for the case of  $m_\chi = 100$  GeV, such that the reheaton mimics the Standard Model particle content. However, as mentioned above, the rate in eq. (3.10) is essentially independent of  $m_\chi$ , since we will be interested in  $\xi \gg 1$  as discussed below.





**Figure 3.** Numerical solution of equations (3.11) and (3.12) for energy densities as a function of the scale factor. Different values of  $\xi$  are considered for a fixed  $m_\chi$ .

Indeed, one notices from the typical curves in figure 3 that the density  $\rho_R$  has a maximum (with a related temperature  $T_{\max}$ ) before decreasing and eventually crossing the curve for  $\rho_\phi$ , where radiation starts to dominate at a temperature  $T_{\text{reh}}$ . However, radiation energy density falls with  $a^{-4}$  whereas the inflaton energy density decays slower, with  $a^{-3}$ . If the peak of  $\rho_R$  is not sufficiently large, the two curves never actually cross, which means the Universe will never be dominated by radiation. Figure 3 shows that, due to the feeble interaction produced by a graviton mediation, a successful reheating is only achieved for very large values of the non-minimal coupling, namely  $\xi \gtrsim 600$ . This also means that reheating in the Boltzmann approach is extremely fast, completing at  $t/t_e \approx 1$ , so that differences between  $T_{\max}$  and  $T_{\text{reh}}$  are not relevant, and the reheating temperature can be obtained using the equation  $\rho_R = \sigma T_{\text{reh}}^4$ , where  $\sigma = \pi^2 g_{\text{reh}}/30$  and  $g_{\text{reh}} \approx 100$  is the effective number of relativistic species in reheating. Because gravitational reheating in the perturbative approach is so fast, the inflaton hasn't yet decayed significantly from its initial energy density right at the end of the slow-roll regime. For this reason, whenever reheating is successful, one always reaches temperatures of the order of  $T \approx 10^{16}$  GeV.

However, the large values of  $\xi$  required for a successful reheating in this scenario puts the validity of the perturbativity approach into question. This motivates us to consider the case of non-perturbative particle production as well.

## 4 Non-perturbative approach

### 4.1 Bogoliubov transformations and particle creation from vacuum

In the non-perturbative approach, the effects of curved spacetime on the quantum vacuum are taken into account. The particle creation process will be calculated differently from the previous section, with the gravitational field as an external field being responsible for creating  $\chi$  particles. In order to study particle production in the external expanding background, we

must expand the reheaton  $\chi$  in annihilation and creation operators,

$$\hat{\chi}(t, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int d^3k \left[ a_k \chi_k(t) e^{-ik \cdot x} + a_k^\dagger \chi_k(t)^* e^{ik \cdot x} \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

Each mode  $k$  of the field must satisfy the equation (2.16), which for the FLRW metric reduces to the form

$$\ddot{\chi}_k + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\chi}_k + \left( \frac{k^2}{a^2} + m_\chi^2 + \xi R \right) \chi_k = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

In addition, the set of modes  $\{(2\pi)^{-3/2} \chi_k(t) e^{-ik \cdot x}\}$  must form an orthonormal basis for a space of solutions with positive norm of the Klein-Gordon equation [26]. In order to remove the friction term present in the equation, we introduce the conformal time  $d\eta = a dt$  and the new rescaled field  $\bar{\chi} = a\chi$ . This leads to an equation of motion for this new field of the form

$$\bar{\chi}_k'' + \omega_k^2 \bar{\chi}_k = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\omega_k$  is the time-varying frequency, expressed by

$$\omega_k^2 = k^2 + a^2 m_\chi^2 + \left( \xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) a^2 R. \quad (4.4)$$

Transforming the Klein-Gordon equation (2.6) and the Friedmann equation (2.5) to conformal time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a'}{a} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{3M_P^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi'^2 + a^2 V(\phi) \right)}, \\ \phi'' + 2 \left( \frac{a'}{a} \right) \phi' + a^2 \frac{dV(\phi)}{d\phi} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $'$  denotes derivative with respect to the conformal time. Unlike in the perturbative approach, no approximation is made to the form of the Starobinsky potential during the reheating period.


Since we are working in a curved spacetime, the choice of decomposition of the field  $\hat{\chi}$  in terms of the operators  $a_k$  and  $a_k^\dagger$  is not unique [26]. It is also possible to decompose it in a new basis  $v_k(\eta)$  of solutions of equation (4.3), and in terms of these functions the expansion of  $\hat{\chi}$  is similar to equation (4.1) but with operators  $b_k$  and  $b_k^\dagger$ . In conformal time, the condition that the modes are normalized reduces to

$$v_k^* v_k' - v_k v_k^{*'} = 2i. \quad (4.6)$$

One can perform a so-called Bogoliubov transformation that allows us to write  $b_k$  in terms of  $a_k$  and  $a_k^\dagger$  in the form [26]

$$b_k = \alpha_k a_k + \beta_k^* a_k^\dagger, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  are so-called Bogoliubov coefficients satisfying  $|\alpha_k|^2 - |\beta_k|^2 = 1$ . If  $\beta_k \neq 0$ , a state annihilated by all  $a_k$ 's will not necessarily be annihilated by the  $b_k$ 's, since these also include terms with  $a_k^\dagger$ . In other words, a vacuum state in one basis does *not* correspond to a vacuum in the other basis. If we take the initial basis to define the vacuum state at an initial time, and the other basis to define the vacuum at a final time, then a nonzero  $\beta_k \neq 0$  can



**Figure 4.** (Left) Oscillatory behavior of the inflaton after the end of inflation as a function of the duration of reheating in  $e$ -folds. (Right) Oscillatory regime of the Ricci scalar at the beginning of reheating as a function of the number of  $e$ -folds from the end of inflation.

be interpreted as particle production. The coefficients  $\beta_k$  can be computed by projecting the original modes into the new modes, and are given by

$$\beta_k = \langle v_k^* | \bar{\chi}_k \rangle = iW(v_k^*, \bar{\chi}_k), \quad (4.8)$$

where  $W$  is the Wronskian. The number density of particles produced in each mode  $k$  depends directly on  $\beta_k$  through the expression

$$n_k = |\beta_k|^2. \quad (4.9)$$

## 4.2 Numerical method


Our task is now to numerically solve eqs. (4.5) and (4.3) for the time evolution of the inflaton field, the background geometry and the reheaton. The initial conditions for equations (4.5) are obtained from the inflationary parameters provided by PLANCK, as discussed in section 2. Having determined the evolution of the inflaton and the scale factor during reheating, we can calculate the Ricci scalar from  $R = 6a''/a^3$ . Figure 4 shows the oscillations of the inflaton after the end of the inflationary regime (left panel) and the behaviour of the Ricci scalar (right panel). Note that the Ricci scalar can oscillate down to negative values, which in turn can make  $\omega_k^2$  in equation (4.4) to become negative if  $\xi \neq 1/6$  and if  $m_\chi^2$  is too small. A negative  $\omega_k^2$  leads to exponential growth in  $\bar{\chi}_k$ , which can be interpreted as particle production due to so-called *tachyonic instabilities* [27]. We will have more to say about this later on in our subsequent discussions.

With these solutions we can determine the value of the conformal Hubble parameter at the end of inflation as

$$H_e = \frac{a'(\eta_e)}{a(\eta_e)} \simeq 8.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ GeV}, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $\eta_e$  is the conformal time when the slow roll parameter is equal to 1. Figure 5 shows the evolution of the Hubble parameter throughout reheating and indicates the point corresponding to  $H_e$  shown above.

To study particle production, we must solve equation (4.3) numerically using the solutions already obtained for  $\phi(\eta)$  and  $a(\eta)$ . At each instant of time  $\eta$  we can introduce normal



**Figure 5.** Hubble parameter as a function of  $e$ -folds. The horizontal dashed line represents the value  $H_e$  of the Hubble parameter when the first slow-roll parameter satisfies  $\epsilon = 1$ , i.e. at the end of slow-roll inflation, and corresponds to  $H_e = 8.8 \times 10^{14}$  GeV.

modes  $v_{k,\eta}$  that define an instantaneous vacuum state. An adiabatic vacuum of order zero at time  $\eta$  is defined through the choice of normal modes satisfying

$$v_{k,\eta}(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}}, \quad v'_{k,\eta}(\eta) = -i\sqrt{\frac{\omega_k}{2}}. \quad (4.11)$$

As initial condition at the start of the numerical integration, we set the field  $\hat{\chi}$  at the Bunch-Davies vacuum, characterized by modes satisfying the vacuum conditions (4.11) at the initial instant  $\eta_0$ , i.e.

$$\bar{\chi}_k(\eta_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\chi}'_k(\eta_0) = -i\sqrt{\frac{\omega_k}{2}}, \quad (4.12)$$

where we assume the mode to be well within the horizon at  $\eta_0$ .

To find the time evolution of  $\beta_k$  we apply the general formula (4.8) to the case of adiabatic vacua of order zero at each instant of time,


$$\beta_k(\eta) = \langle v_{k,\eta}^* | \bar{\chi}_k \rangle = iW(v_{k,\eta}^*, \bar{\chi}_k). \quad (4.13)$$

Computing the scalar product and determining  $|\beta_k|^2$  in order to find the total number of particles leads to

$$n_k = |\beta_k|^2 = \frac{1}{2\omega_k} |\bar{\chi}'_k|^2 + \frac{\omega_k}{2} |\bar{\chi}_k|^2 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad (4.14)$$

giving the evolution in conformal time of the total number of particles produced. This evolution depends only on the solution of equation (4.3). Therefore, we will obtain the evolution of the  $\chi_k$  field in conformal time through the numerical solution of the mode equation. Note that (4.14) only makes sense when  $\omega_k^2 > 0$ . This will be of relevance later on.

We start the numerical integration at the end of the inflation, when  $\epsilon = 1$ , and stop it after approximately 10  $e$ -folds. We have checked that it typically takes 5  $e$ -folds for the inflaton to begin oscillating during reheating, and after 10  $e$ -folds the oscillations typically become very small, requiring great numerical precision to be computed with any confidence.



**Figure 6.** The top plot shows the number of particles increasing throughout the reheating until stabilization occurs. The bottom plot shows the adiabatic parameter increasing causing particle production, and subsequently  $A_k \rightarrow 0$  when 10  $e$ -folds are reached.

In order to check that at the end of the integration the production of particle is already negligible, we use the adiabatic parameter [28], defined as

$$A_k \equiv \frac{\omega'_k}{\omega_k^2}. \quad (4.15)$$

When  $A_k$  significantly deviates from 0 we leave the adiabatic regime and the number of particles increases. We stop the integration when the adiabatic parameter approaches 0 again. In most scenarios considered in this work this typically happens before 10  $e$ -folds have elapsed (but see section 4.4). Figure 6 shows an example of the time evolution of  $|\beta_k|^2$  together with the adiabatic parameter  $A_k$ , showing that particle production takes place when  $A_k \neq 0$ .


From the evolution of  $\beta_k$  in time, we calculate its local average when  $\eta \rightarrow \eta_f = 10$   $e$ -folds as an approximation to the limit  $\beta_k(\eta \rightarrow \infty)$ . This gives the final spectral shape of particle production as a function of mode  $k$ . The total number density of particles are obtained from integration of the spectrum over all momenta  $k$  and dividing by the volume  $a^3$ ,

$$n_k(\eta \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 a(\eta)^3} \int_0^\infty k^2 |\beta_k(\eta \rightarrow \infty)|^2 dk. \quad (4.16)$$

Likewise, the reheaton energy density at the end of reheating can be calculated from [13, 28]

$$\rho_\chi(\eta \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 a^4} \int_0^\infty \omega_k k^2 |\beta_k|^2 dk \approx m_\chi n_\chi(\eta \rightarrow \infty), \quad (4.17)$$

where the last approximation is valid for heavy modes only, i.e.  $m_\chi \gg k$  in the region where  $|\beta_k|^2$  is not negligible. From this we can determine the reheating temperature, assuming that



**Figure 7.** Particle production for  $\xi = 0$  and  $m_\chi = 0.01H_e$ . The gray region contains the  $k > H_e$  modes, where production occurs only in the oscillatory regime.

the  $\chi$  particles thermalize quickly after being produced, and using the Stefan-Boltzmann law


$$\rho_\chi(\eta \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{g_{\text{reh}}\pi^2}{30} T_{\text{reh}}^4. \quad (4.18)$$

### 4.3 Case $\mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV}) \ll m_\chi \ll H_e$ and $\xi < 1$

Let us first consider the case where the reheaton mass satisfies  $H_e \gtrsim m_\chi \gg 100 \text{ GeV}$  and the non-minimal coupling is  $\xi < 1$ . This choice is motivated by the fact that, in this case, the term  $a^2 m_\chi^2$  is *not* negligible, and is in fact large enough to compensate for the negative oscillations of the Ricci scalar at sufficiently large times. This means that  $\omega_k^2(\eta_f)$  is actually positive, so we can use eq. (4.14) to compute the total number of particles for every  $k$ .

For  $\xi = 0$  and  $m_\chi = 0.01H_e$  this yields the plot shown in figure 7. Notice that the largest contribution to particle production comes from superhorizon modes,  $k < H_e$ . In this window, production takes place even before the oscillations start, in the transition between the slow-roll regime and reheating. There will be some particle production also for subhorizon modes, due to the oscillations of the Ricci scalar, as can be seen in the zoomed in region in figure 7. It can be shown that the amount of produced particles in this window  $k > H_e$  is the same whether we compute it with the Boltzmann or with the Bogoliubov approaches [16, 29]. However, the contribution from these modes is clearly subdominant. This is just the well-known result that the explosive production in *preheating* (i.e. in our non-perturbative regime) is much more efficient than the subsequent decay of the inflaton computed perturbatively. If we integrate over the entire  $k$  range shown in figure 7, we would find an energy density  $\rho_\chi \sim 10^{52} \text{ GeV}^4$ , whereas the subhorizon modes  $k > H_e$  contribute only an amount of  $1.5 \times 10^{49} \text{ GeV}^4$ .

In figure 8 we show the production spectra for small but non-vanishing non-minimal couplings  $\xi < 1$ . From these plots it can be seen that more particles are produced as the reheaton mass decreases, as one would intuitively expect from the increasing size of accessible phase space. It is also noted that for the conformal coupling,  $\xi = 1/6$ , we have particle production due to the term  $a^2 m_\chi^2$ , whereas for values of  $\xi \neq 1/6$  there are two regions where particles are produced. This is because the oscillations of the Ricci scalar in eq. (4.4) excite different  $k$  modes. The production of particles can be due to parametric resonance effects



**Figure 8.** (Left) Particle production spectra for different  $k$  modes, using a fixed mass  $m_\chi = 0.01H_e = 8.8 \times 10^{12}$  GeV and three different values of  $\xi$ . (Right) Same as the left panel, but for  $m_\chi = 0.001H_e$ .

of the oscillations or also the instabilities caused by  $\omega_k^2 < 0$ , as long as when we stop the integration the energy density is well defined.

For the values of  $m_\chi$  and the range of  $k$  modes shown in figure 8, integration yields an energy density after 10  $e$ -folds of the order

$$\rho_\chi \approx 10^{49} \text{ GeV}^4 \implies T_{\text{reh}} \sim 10^{12} \text{ GeV}, \quad (4.19)$$


large enough to provide a sufficiently hot thermal bath for the onset of the  $\Lambda$ CDM regime after the reheating period. However, there is still the caveat that these  $\chi$  masses are much higher than the typical Standard Model particle masses, so we still have to assume that the thermalized reheaton will later decay into the SM bath.

#### 4.4 Case $m_\chi \sim \mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV})$

In the case discussed in the previous section the reheaton  $\chi$  would still have to decay into the SM particle content to recover the known  $\Lambda$ CDM scenario. An analysis of this process would require a further step in our discussions, possibly with extra assumptions, which goes against our minimalist approach.

Instead, it would also be interesting to consider the case  $m_\chi \sim \mathcal{O}(100) \text{ GeV}$ , so that the field  $\chi$  could mimic the Standard Model particle content. However, the challenge in this case is that, for  $m_\chi/H_e \ll 1$ , the term  $a^2 m_\chi^2$  in equation (4.4) remains irrelevant throughout the integration period and the oscillations of the Ricci scalar tend to dominate. If  $k \ll H_e$  as well, then  $\omega_k^2$  is still oscillating at negative values when we stop our integration (i.e. at  $\eta_f = 10$   $e$ -folds), and we are not able to reliably calculate the number of particles via eq. (4.14). To remedy this issue we should extend the integration to even larger conformal times, where the curvature oscillations are so damped that the  $k^2$  term in eq. (4.4) dominates. But this is numerically challenging precisely because of the smallness of these oscillations, which causes the result to be swamped in numerical noise.

Instead, in this case we are only able to determine the final number of particles for modes  $k \gtrsim H_e$ , since for these modes the frequency is well-behaved (i.e.  $\omega_k^2 > 0$ ) when the integration ends. The result is shown in figure 9 for  $\xi = 0$ . If we could expand the plot in figure 9 to modes  $k < H_e$  we would actually see *more* particles being produced. In fact,



**Figure 9.** Particle production for  $m_\chi \lll H_e$  considering only modes  $k > H_e$  where there is production due to instability.

since for  $k \rightarrow 0$  the frequency  $\omega_k^2$  would keep oscillating at negative values for longer time intervals, there should be more particle production and the spectrum should keep increasing in the infrared, at least until the tiny term  $a^2 m_\chi^2$  starts to contribute. This is the infrared growth also found analytically in [29, 30]. Our point here is that, even though we lack the numerical precision to determine the spectrum in this window, this can only correspond to *additional* contributions to the total particle density. We can then estimate a *lower bound* on the total energy density due to particles that have already been produced in the  $k > H_e$  modes, which are under numerical control. Having thus determined a lower bound on the energy density, we can use the equation (4.18) to determine a lower bound  $T_{\text{reh,min}}$  on the reheating temperature of at least

$$\rho_{\chi,\text{min}} \approx 10^{49} \text{ GeV}^4 \implies T_{\text{reh,min}} \sim 10^{12} \text{ GeV}. \quad (4.20)$$


#### 4.5 Does the Universe become radiation dominated?

Even though the temperature reached in the above scenarios in the Bogoliubov approach is sufficient for successful reheating, we must also verify that the energy density of produced particles dominate over the inflaton's, so that the Universe can become radiation-dominated and the  $\Lambda$ CDM paradigm can take over. Clearly, for some large enough time the inflaton will have completely decayed, since its oscillations are damped and the field will eventually come to rest at the bottom of the potential well, so  $\rho_\phi(\eta \rightarrow \infty) = 0$ . The problem is that we must ensure that this will happen at a sufficiently early time to allow for the appropriate subsequent  $\Lambda$ CDM regime (say, we must at least ensure that it will have taken place before the Universe reaches its current age). But ensuring this condition is a challenge for our numerics, which are limited to 10 e-folds of reheating. So it is worth investigating at least the conditions that ensure  $\rho_\chi > \rho_\phi$  after 10 e-folds. As we will see, there is indeed a window where this is satisfied.

We start noticing that, in the oscillatory regime of reheating, the inflaton behaves like matter, with the equation of state given by  $\omega = 0$  [6]. Hence, its evolution during reheating is given by

$$\rho_\phi = \rho_e \left( \frac{a_e}{a} \right)^3, \quad (4.21)$$





**Figure 10.** Particle production for different  $k$  modes, using a fixed mass  $m_\chi = 0.01H_e$  and three values of  $\xi > 10$ .

where we set  $a_e = 1$  and  $\rho_e$  is the energy density of the inflaton at the end of inflation, which we can compute numerically from the evolution of the background equations. In this way, we can determine the energy density of the inflaton after 10  $e$ -folds of integration, and we find

$$\rho_\phi(\eta = 10 \text{ } e\text{-folds}) \approx 5.4 \times 10^{55} \text{ GeV}^4. \quad (4.22)$$


This is to be compared to the energy density of the reheaton field  $\chi$ , which we require to be larger. It turns out that, for all the cases considered up to this point (which involved  $\xi < 1$ ) this will *not* be satisfied. But we expect that  $\rho_\chi$  will grow with  $\xi$ , which motivates us to investigate larger values of the non-minimal coupling.

Indeed, for the case  $m_\chi = 0.01H_e$ , the spectra of particles produced for  $\xi \gtrsim 10$  are shown in figure 10. Comparing figures 8 and 10, it can be seen that particle production is greatly increased for subhorizon modes  $k > H_e$  as we increase  $\xi$ . This is because a large  $\xi$  magnifies the contribution of the Ricci scalar oscillations in  $\omega_k$  (see eq. (4.4)), which causes an increase in particle production due to resonance effects, as well as the appearance of temporary instabilities at intermediate times where the squared frequency  $\omega_k^2$  becomes negative.

The enhancement in particle production implies a much larger energy density  $\rho_\chi$  at the end of reheating. We show in figure 11 the reheaton energy density  $\rho_\chi$  after 10  $e$ -folds as a function of the coupling constant  $\xi$  for  $m_\chi = 0.01H_e$  and  $m_\chi = 0.001H_e$ . In the first case one needs  $\xi \gtrsim 40$  for the energy density of the produced particles to exceed the energy density of the inflaton. In the second case one would need  $\xi \gtrsim 55$ . As  $m_\chi$  decreases, the density of particles produced grows (as expected from phase space availability), but the energy density decreases (as shown in figure 11) because the energy contribution of each particle decreases by an even larger factor. However, one cannot extrapolate this trend for ever decreasing values of  $m_\chi$ , because eventually the regime of tachyonic instabilities will dominate the production, leading to a much more efficient reheating than the ones we consider in figure 11 (see discussion below figure 9 and also ref. [14, 27]).

## 5 Conclusions

We have investigated the possibility that inflation and reheating can proceed exclusively due to the gravitational interaction. For this purpose we worked in Starobinsky inflation with



**Figure 11.** Value of  $\rho_\chi$  at the end of reheating and reheating temperature as a function of  $\xi$ . The dashed horizontal line is the value of the inflaton’s energy density at the end of reheating. One sees that the Universe becomes  $\chi$ -dominated after 10 e-folds for  $\xi \gtrsim 40$  for  $m_\chi = 0.01H_e$ . For  $m_\chi = 0.001H_e$  the required value is  $\xi \gtrsim 55$ .

a non-minimal coupling  $\xi$  of the inflaton to matter, and analyzed the purely gravitational production of particles during reheating in two ways.

First, we considered a perturbative approach, where the amplitude of the production process is calculated from perturbative Feynman diagrammatic techniques, and the evolution of energy densities is given by the Boltzmann equation. Our results show that the non-minimal coupling has to be rather large,  $\xi \gtrsim 600$ , to ensure that the radiation energy density will dominate over the inflaton’s. This result is independent of the reheaton mass  $m_\chi$ , since for such large couplings an  $m_\chi$ -independent term dominates the production rate in eq. (3.10). In this case, whenever reheating is successful, the Universe always reaches temperatures of  $T_{\text{reh}} \sim 10^{16}$  GeV.

Since these large couplings put the validity of the perturbative analysis in question, we have also considered a non-perturbative approach, where particles are produced from the dynamics of curved spacetime during reheating. This causes the notion of vacuum to evolve with time, such that an initial vacuum state will later correspond to a state with plenty of particles, leading to reheating. The transition between these vacua are computed from the so-called Bogoliubov coefficients, as in equations (4.8) and (4.9).

In Bogoliubov’s approach we solve the background equations fully numerically, which allows us to use the PLANCK data [20] to set initial conditions, as well as to faithfully reproduce the start of reheating and determine the initial field amplitudes. The production of particles takes place due to parametric resonance effects and so-called tachyonic instabilities caused by the dynamics of the inflaton and of the spacetime curvature. For small values of  $\xi < 1$  we found temperatures of  $\sim 10^{12}$  GeV for the produced particles.

We also checked explicitly that, for  $\xi = 0$ , most of the production occurs at the beginning of reheating (also called *preheating* in the literature), when the field starts rolling down the potential well, and before it starts oscillating around the minimum. The contributions from the oscillatory regime affect mainly the subhorizon modes, and we expect that in this case they are well described by the perturbative approach, as shown in refs. [16, 29]. Thus, our finding that the subhorizon ( $k > H_e$ ) contributions are subdominant (cf. figure 7) reproduces the well-known result that the explosive period of preheating is much more efficient for particle

production than subsequent inflaton decays [31].

Importantly, even though we can find large enough reheating temperatures for  $\xi < 1$ , we cannot ensure that the Universe becomes radiation dominated, as it should in order to kick-start a successful  $\Lambda$ CDM paradigm. Our numerical integrations are only reliable up to 10 e-folds after the start of reheating, and at this stage the inflaton is still the dominant energy source for  $\xi < 1$ . This does not mean that reheating is impossible for such small couplings, but further investigations are necessary in this case.

We then analyzed the case of  $\xi > 1$ . For large  $\xi$ , the effect of the oscillations of the scalar of curvature in the evolution of the modes of the reheaton is amplified, leading to stronger resonance effects in the  $k > H_e$  region, enhancing the production of particles. As a result, there is a significant increase in the reheaton energy density, allowing for a radiation-dominated universe when  $\xi > 40$  for  $m_\chi = 0.01H_e \sim 10^{12}$  GeV, and a reheating temperature of  $T_{\text{reh}} \approx 10^{12}$  GeV. Similar results can be obtained for  $m_\chi = 0.001H_e$  and  $\xi \gtrsim 55$ . We emphasize that these large values do not place problems because the Bogoliubov approach does not rely on perturbativity of the couplings.

Altogether, we conclude that the gravitational interaction alone, coupled non-minimally to a scalar reheaton field, suffices to generate successful inflation and reheating, with a subsequent radiation-dominated Universe with  $T_{\text{reh}} \sim 10^{12}$  GeV. This shows that gravitational particle production is definitely a viable possibility for post-inflationary reheating in the non-perturbative regime.

It is worth mentioning that this very mechanism of gravitational particle production could also lead to abundant production of inflationary gravitational waves. In fact, recent results show that, in Einstein's gravity, this effect is so large that it would even be in tension with BBN constraints [32]. Further investigation is necessary to check whether the same constraints would apply to a Starobinsky scenario considered here.

## References

- [1] D. Baumann, *Inflation*, in *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics: Physics of the Large and the Small*, pp. 523–686, 2011, DOI [0907.5424].
- [2] A. A. Starobinsky, *A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity*, *Physics Letters B* **91** (1980) 99.
- [3] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *f(R) theories*, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13** (2010) 3 [1002.4928].
- [4] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, *Extended Theories of Gravity*, *Phys. Rept.* **509** (2011) 167 [1108.6266].
- [5] M. A. G. Garcia, K. Kaneta, Y. Mambrini and K. A. Olive, *Reheating and Post-inflationary Production of Dark Matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 123507 [2004.08404].
- [6] M. A. G. Garcia, K. Kaneta, Y. Mambrini and K. A. Olive, *Inflaton Oscillations and Post-Inflationary Reheating*, *JCAP* **04** (2021) 012 [2012.10756].
- [7] N. Bernal, J. Rubio and H. Veermäe, *UV Freeze-in in Starobinsky Inflation*, *JCAP* **10** (2020) 021 [2006.02442].
- [8] M. A. G. Garcia, K. Kaneta, Y. Mambrini, K. A. Olive and S. Verner, *Freeze-in from preheating*, *JCAP* **03** (2022) 016 [2109.13280].
- [9] S. Clery, Y. Mambrini, K. A. Olive and S. Verner, *Gravitational portals in the early Universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 075005 [2112.15214].

- [10] S. Clery, Y. Mambrini, K. A. Olive, A. Shkerin and S. Verner, *Gravitational portals with nonminimal couplings*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 095042 [[2203.02004](#)].
- [11] Y. Mambrini and K. A. Olive, *Gravitational Production of Dark Matter during Reheating*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 115009 [[2102.06214](#)].
- [12] B. Barman, N. Bernal and Y. Xu, *Resonant Reheating*, [2404.16090](#).
- [13] Y. Ema, K. Nakayama and Y. Tang, *Production of Purely Gravitational Dark Matter*, *JHEP* **09** (2018) 135 [[1804.07471](#)].
- [14] J. A. R. Cembranos, L. J. Garay and J. M. Sánchez Velázquez, *Gravitational production of scalar dark matter*, *JHEP* **06** (2020) 084 [[1910.13937](#)].
- [15] S. Ling and A. J. Long, *Superheavy scalar dark matter from gravitational particle production in  $\alpha$ -attractor models of inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 103532 [[2101.11621](#)].
- [16] M. A. G. Garcia, M. Pierre and S. Verner, *Scalar dark matter production from preheating and structure formation constraints*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 043530 [[2206.08940](#)].
- [17] C. Capanelli, L. Jenks, E. W. Kolb and E. McDonough, *Gravitational Production of Completely Dark Photons with Nonminimal Couplings to Gravity*, [2405.19390](#).
- [18] B. Barman, N. Bernal and J. Rubio, *Rescuing Gravitational-Reheating in Chaotic Inflation*, [2310.06039](#).
- [19] M. R. Haque and D. Maity, *Gravitational reheating*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 043531 [[2201.02348](#)].
- [20] PLANCK collaboration, *Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A10 [[1807.06211](#)].
- [21] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, *One-loop divergencies in the theory of gravitation*, *Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré. Section A, Physique Théorique* **20** (1974) 69.
- [22] J. F. Donoghue, *General relativity as an effective field theory: The leading quantum corrections*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 3874 [[gr-qc/9405057](#)].
- [23] B. Bonga and B. Gupt, *Phenomenological investigation of a quantum gravity extension of inflation with the Starobinsky potential*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 063513 [[1510.04896](#)].
- [24] B. Bonga, B. Gupt and N. Yokomizo, *Inflation in the closed FLRW model and the CMB*, *JCAP* **10** (2016) 031 [[1605.07556](#)].
- [25] B. R. Holstein, *Graviton Physics*, *Am. J. Phys.* **74** (2006) 1002 [[gr-qc/0607045](#)].
- [26] L. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum field theory in curved spacetime: quantized fields and gravity*. Cambridge university press, 2009.
- [27] T. Markkanen and S. Nurmi, *Dark matter from gravitational particle production at reheating*, *JCAP* **02** (2017) 008 [[1512.07288](#)].
- [28] E. W. Kolb and A. J. Long, *Cosmological gravitational particle production and its implications for cosmological relics*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09042* (2023) .
- [29] K. Kaneta, S. M. Lee and K.-y. Oda, *Boltzmann or Bogoliubov? Approaches compared in gravitational particle production*, *JCAP* **09** (2022) 018 [[2206.10929](#)].
- [30] M. R. de Garcia Maia, *Spectrum and energy density of relic gravitons in flat Robertson-Walker universes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 647.
- [31] L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. A. Starobinsky, *Reheating after inflation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 3195 [[hep-th/9405187](#)].

- [32] E. Babichev, D. Gorbunov, S. Ramazanov and L. Reverberi, *Gravitational reheating and superheavy Dark Matter creation after inflation with non-minimal coupling*, *JCAP* **09** (2020) 059 [[2006.02225](#)].