

A GRAPH WITHOUT ZERO IN ITS SPECTRA

COLETTE ANNÉ, HELA AYADI, MARWA BALTI, AND NABILA TORKI-HAMZA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the discrete Laplacian acting on 1-forms and we study its spectrum relative to the spectrum of the 0-form Laplacian. We show that the non zero spectrum can coincide for these Laplacians with the same nature. We examine the characteristics of 0-spectrum of the 1-form Laplacian compared to the cycles of graphs.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Preliminaries	2
1.1. Definition and notation	2
1.2. Weighted graphs	3
1.3. Functional spaces	3
1.4. Operators and properties	4
2. Finite case	5
2.1. Spectrum	6
2.2. Example	8
2.3. Weighted finite graph	8
3. Infinite case	8
3.1. Weyl's criterion	9
3.2. Spectrum on χ -complete Graph	10
3.3. Examples	12
References	17

INTRODUCTION

Spectral graph theory stands for an active area of research. Recently, many authors are interested in studying the spectra of the Laplacians on infinite graphs and the relationship to the structure and characteristic properties of graphs and operators, we can cite [8], [9], [11], [12], [13],[18], [20], [22], [23], [24], [25], and [26]. This question has been studied in several topics, for example, harmonic analysis on graphs, probability theory on Markov chains, potential theory, and so on... In 1996, John Lott adressed the following question [17]: let M be a complete oriented Riemannian n -manifold, then the Laplacian Δ_p is an essentially self-adjoint non negative operator on square-integrable p -forms, $0 \leq p \leq n$, and thus has a spectrum which is a subset of \mathbb{R}^+ ; must zero always belong to the spectrum of some Δ_p ? In 2001, Farber and Weinberger [10] disproved the conjecture "zero-in-the-spectrum" for manifolds. They show that for any $n \geq 6$ there exists a closed manifold M^n such that, for any degree, zero does not belong to the spectrum of the Hodge-Laplace operator acting on L^2 differential

Date: Version of June 7, 2024, *file :* 2023V10.tex.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39A12, 05C63, 47B25, 05C12, 05C50.

Key words and phrases. Graph, 1-form Laplacian, eigenvalues, Spectrum, Essential spectrum, χ -completeness.

forms of the universal covering \tilde{M} . In the discrete case, Ayadi [5] showed in 2017 that 0 is either in the spectrum of the Laplacian on 0-forms, or in the spectrum of the Laplacian on 1-forms on a weighted infinite normalized graph such that the weight on edges is bounded from above and from below. **In this paper we generalize this result.** Indeed, we study how the spectrum of 0-form and 1-form Laplacians coincide outside zero. And in order to characterize the spectrum outside zero, we study the conjecture "zero-in-the-spectrum" how this is related with the structure of the graph. We define two Laplacians, mentioned in [4], [5] and [6], one as an operator acting on functions on vertices denoted by Δ_0 and the other one acting on skew-symmetric functions on edges denoted by Δ_1 . We discuss the relation between

- The eigenvalues of Δ_0 and these of Δ_1 counting their multiplicities for the finite case.
- The spectrum of Δ_0 and that of Δ_1 for the infinite case.
- The essential and the discrete spectrum of Δ_0 and Δ_1 .
- The problem of 0 in the spectrum.

After Section 1 devoted to the preliminaries, we have three sections: In Section 2, we consider a finite connected graph G . We introduce the adjacency matrix associated to the 1-form Laplacian Δ_1 such that it is easier to examine its spectrum. We study its eigenvalues. Although its relation to that of 0-forms Δ_0 . We can see that it reflects in a very natural way the structure of the graph, in particular the aspects related to the cycles of the graph. We show that the eigenvalues are the same outside of zero and they have the same multiplicity. In section 3, we extended this result to infinite graphs. We explore in the case of unbounded 1-form Laplacian, Weyl's criterion. Section 3.3 presents some examples which support our results.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Definition and notation.

- A graph G is a couple $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ where \mathcal{V} is a set at most countable whose elements are called vertices and \mathcal{E} is a set of oriented edges, considered as a subset of $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$.
- If the graph G has a finite set of vertices, it is called a finite graph. Otherwise, G is called an infinite graph.

- We assume that \mathcal{E} has no self-loops and is symmetric:

$$v \in \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow (v, v) \notin \mathcal{E}, \quad (v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow (v_2, v_1) \in \mathcal{E}.$$

- Choosing an orientation of G consists of defining a partition of \mathcal{E} : $\mathcal{E}^+ \sqcup \mathcal{E}^- = \mathcal{E}$

$$(v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{E}^+ \Leftrightarrow (v_2, v_1) \in \mathcal{E}^-.$$

- For $e = (v_1, v_2)$, we denote

$$e^- = v_1, \quad e^+ = v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad -e = (v_2, v_1).$$

- We write $v_1 \sim v_2$ for $e = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{E}$.

- The graph G is connected if any two vertices x, y in \mathcal{V} can be joined by a path of edges γ_{xy} , that means $\gamma_{xy} = \{e_k\}_{k=1, \dots, n}$ such that

$$e_1^- = x, \quad e_n^+ = y \quad \text{and, if } n \geq 2, \quad \forall j; 1 \leq j \leq (n-1) \Rightarrow e_j^+ = e_{j+1}^-.$$

- A cycle of G is a path of edges $\gamma_{xy} = \{e_k\}_{k=1, \dots, n}$ satisfying more over

$$e_n^+ = e_1^-.$$

- The degree (or valence) of a vertex x is the number of edges emanating from x . We denote

$$\deg(x) := \#\{e \in \mathcal{E}; e^- = x\}.$$

- The graph G is called a locally finite graph, if all its vertices are of finite valence.

1.2. Weighted graphs.

Definition 1. A weighted graph (G, m, c) is given by a graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, a weight on the vertices $m : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ and a weight on the edges $c : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ such that

- $c(x, y) > 0, \forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{E}$.
- $c(x, y) = c(y, x), \forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{E}$.

Examples: - An infinite electrical network is a weighted graph (G, m, c) where the weights of the edges correspond to the conductances c ; their inverses are the resistances. And the weights of the vertices are given by $m(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{1}{c(x, y)} < \infty, \forall x \in \mathcal{V}$, that means the vertices have no charge.

-The graph G is called a simple graph if the weights of the edges and the vertices equal 1.

All the graphs we shall consider in the sequel will be connected, locally finite and weighted as given in Definition 1.

1.3. **Functional spaces.** We denote the set of real functions on \mathcal{V} by:

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V}) = \{f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$$

and the set of functions of finite support by $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V})$.

Moreover, we denote the set of real skew-symmetric functions (or 1-forms) on \mathcal{E} by:

$$\mathcal{C}^a(\mathcal{E}) = \{\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \varphi(-e) = -\varphi(e)\}$$

and the set of functions of finite support by $\mathcal{C}_0^a(\mathcal{E})$.

We define on the weighted graph (G, m, c) the following function spaces endowed with the scalar products.

a)

$$l^2(\mathcal{V}) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V}); \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f^2(x) < \infty \right\},$$

with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} m(x) f(x) g(x)$$

and the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}}.$$

b)

$$l^2(\mathcal{E}) := \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^a(\mathcal{E}); \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} c(e) \varphi^2(e) < \infty \right\},$$

with the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} c(e) \varphi(e) \psi(e)$$

and the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \sqrt{\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}}.$$

Then, $l^2(\mathcal{V})$ and $l^2(\mathcal{E})$ are separable Hilbert spaces (since \mathcal{V} is countable).

1.4. Operators and properties. The difference operator

$$d : \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^a(\mathcal{E}),$$

is given by

$$d(f)(e) = f(e^+) - f(e^-).$$

The coboundary operator is δ , the formal adjoint of d . Thus it satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \langle df, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle f, \delta\varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V})$ and for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^a(\mathcal{E})$.

As consequence, we have the following formula characterizing δ , given by [3]:

Lemma 1.1. *The coboundary operator δ is characterized by the formula*

$$\delta\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{e, e^+=x} c(e)\varphi(e),$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^a(\mathcal{E})$.

Definition 2. *The Laplacian on 0-forms Δ_0 defined by δd on $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V})$ is given by*

$$\Delta_0 f(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} c(x, y) (f(x) - f(y)).$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 f(x) &= \delta(df)(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{e, e^+=x} c(e)df(e) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{e, e^+=x} c(e) (f(e^+) - f(e^-)) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} c(x, y) (f(x) - f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3. *The Laplacian on 1-forms Δ_1 defined by $d\delta$ on $\mathcal{C}_0^a(\mathcal{E})$ is given by*

$$\Delta_1 \varphi(e) = \frac{1}{m(e^+)} \sum_{e_1, e_1^+=e^+} c(e_1)\varphi(e_1) - \frac{1}{m(e^-)} \sum_{e_2, e_2^+=e^-} c(e_2)\varphi(e_2).$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 \varphi(e) &= d(\delta\varphi)(e) \\ &= \delta\varphi(e^+) - \delta\varphi(e^-) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(e^+)} \sum_{e_1, e_1^+=e^+} c(e_1)\varphi(e_1) - \frac{1}{m(e^-)} \sum_{e_2, e_2^+=e^-} c(e_2)\varphi(e_2). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. (1) *As the graph G is connected and locally finite the operators d and δ are closable, see [3].*

- (2) The operator Δ_0 is a positif symmetric operator on $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V})$, as we have $\langle \Delta_0 f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle df, df \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V})$ and $\langle \Delta_0 f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle f, \Delta_0 g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}$, $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V})$.
- (3) The operator Δ_1 is a positif symmetric operator on $\mathcal{C}_0^a(\mathcal{E})$, as we have $\langle \Delta_1 \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle \delta \varphi, \delta \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^a(\mathcal{E})$ and $\langle \Delta_1 \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle \varphi, \Delta_1 \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^a(\mathcal{E})$.

2. FINITE CASE

In this section, first we will consider a finite simple graph G , that means a graph with a finite number of vertices and edges and the weights $m = c = 1$. We denote k the number of vertices and n the number of edges on G . Then we can write the Laplacian on 1-forms Δ_1 as a matrix to find results in its 0-spectrum. After that we will generalize the result for weighted finite graph.

Now we give the matrix associated to the Laplacian on 1-forms. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_1 \varphi(e) &= d(\delta \varphi)(e) \\
 &= \delta \varphi(e^+) - \delta \varphi(e^-) \\
 &= \sum_{e_1, e_1^+ = e^+} \varphi(e_1) + \sum_{e_2, e_2^+ = e^-} \varphi(e_2) \\
 &= 2\varphi(e) + \sum_{x, x \neq e^-} \varphi(x, e^+) + \sum_{y, y \neq e^+} \varphi(y, e^-)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\Delta_1 = 2I + A_1$$

where I is the identity matrix of size n and A_1 is the adjacency matrix on 1-forms.


More precisely, we have $A_1 = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ $i \neq j$ and e_i and e_j are two edges with commun vertex, such that

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_j^\pm = e_i^\pm \\ -1 & \text{if } e_j^\pm = e_i^\mp \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Examples:

- (1) We consider the complete graph K_5 with five vertices and twenty edges. But the skew-symmetric functions are defined by ten values. Then the size of the matrix associated to the operator Δ_1 is 10×10 .

$$\Delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

FIGURE 1. The complete graph K_5

Then we have the eigenvalues of Δ_1 equal to 5 with multiplicity 4 and 0 with multiplicity 6. And its known that the eigenvalues of Δ_0 equal to 5 with multiplicity 4 and 0 with multiplicity 1. So we have the same non-zero eigenvalues with same multiplicity and the zero eigenvalue with different multiplicity.

(2) Let G a graph with 4 vertices and 4 edges then we have the matrix on 1-forms given by

$$\Delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence we obtain the eigenvalues of Δ_1 equal to 2 with multiplicity 2, 4 with multiplicity 1 and 0 with multiplicity 1, which is the same spectrum of Δ_0 .

We remark that the spectrum of Δ_0 and Δ_1 coincide in certain cases, indeed the difference occur only for the value 0. So in the next section we will study the spectrum question of the different operators.

2.1. Spectrum. Denote by $\sigma_p(A)$ the point spectrum of a linear operator A which consists of eigenvalues. The spectrum of Δ_0 and Δ_1 respectively consist of non negative eigenvalues. We denote them $0 = \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_m$ and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ repeated according to their multiplicity.

Proposition 2.1. *The operators Δ_0 and Δ_1 have the same set of positive eigenvalues.*

Proof. Let μ be a non zero eigenvalue of Δ_0 and f an associated non constant eigenfunction, we have if $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V})$, then $df \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E})$, $\|df\|^2 = \mu\|f\|^2 \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 df &= d\delta df \\ &= d\mu f \\ &= \mu df, \end{aligned}$$

therefore df is an eigenfunction of Δ_1 , and $\mu \in \sigma_p(\Delta_1)$.

For the spectrum of Δ_1 we show in the same way that if φ is an eigenfunction 1-form of Δ_1 , then $\delta\varphi$ is the eigenfunction associated to Δ_0 . \square

Proposition 2.2. *The multiplicity of the non-zero eigenvalues of Δ_0 and Δ_1 are the same.*

Proof. Let λ be a nonzero eigenvalue of multiplicity m_0 for Δ_0 and multiplicity m_1 for Δ_1 . Then there exists a family of associated eigenfunctions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m_0} linearly independent. So we obtain a family of

eigenfunctions $(df_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m_0}$ associated to the eigenvalue λ for the operator Δ_1 . Let $(\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m_0}$ be real numbers such that $\alpha_1 df_1 + \dots + \alpha_{m_0} df_{m_0} = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\alpha_1 df_1 + \dots + \alpha_{m_0} df_{m_0}) &= \lambda(\alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_{m_0} f_{m_0}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

but $\lambda \neq 0$ therefore $\alpha_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m_0$ because the f_i are linearly independent. This implies that $df_1, df_2, \dots, df_{m_0}$ are linearly independent. Hence, $m_1 \geq m_0$.

For the second inequality, we changes the roles of d and δ . □

Corollary 2.1.

$$\sigma_p(\Delta_0) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_p(\Delta_1) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Remark 2. *The multiplicity of $0 \in \sigma(\Delta_0)$ coincides with the number of connected components of the graph see [19].*

Proposition 2.3. *If G contains a cycle, then $0 \in \sigma(\Delta_1)$.*

Proof. For the second fact, let $C_\ell = (e_1, \dots, e_\ell)$ be a cycle in G , we must prove that there exists an eigenfunction φ corresponding to 0 for Δ_1 . We define a skewsymmetric function φ on $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E})$ by

$$\varphi(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in C_\ell \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases} .$$

We discuss three cases

- If $e \in C_\ell$, then there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $e = e_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 \varphi(e) &= \Delta_1 \varphi(e_i) \\ &= 2\varphi(e_i) - \varphi(e_{i+1}) - \varphi(e_{i-1}) \\ &= 2 - 1 - 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- If $e \notin C_\ell$ and related to an edge $e_i \in C_\ell$ means $e^\pm = e_i^\pm$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 \varphi(e) &= 2\varphi(e) + \varphi(e_i) - \varphi(e_{i-1}) \\ &= 0 + 1 - 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- If e is not related to any edge of C_ℓ , we obtain $\Delta_1 \varphi(e) = 0$.

□

Definition 4. *The circuit rank, denoted \mathbf{r} , is the minimum number of edges that must be removed from the graph to break all its cycles, making it into a tree or a forest.*

Remark 3. A spanning tree T of a connected graph G contains $(k - 1)$ edges (where k is the number of vertices and n is the number of edges on G). Therefore, the number of edges you need to delete on G in order to get a spanning tree equals to $n - (k - 1)$, which is *the circuit rank* of G . Also *the circuit rank* \mathbf{r} is equal to the number of independent cycles in the graph, that is the size of a cycle basis (see [7]).

Proposition 2.4. *If the graph is connected, then the multiplicity of 0 in the spectrum of Δ_1 equals \mathbf{r} .*

Proof. From Proposition 2.1, the non zero eigenvalues of Δ_0 and Δ_1 coincide with the same multiplicity. But by hypothesis 0 is an eigenvalue of Δ_0 of multiplicity 1, so Δ_0 has $k - 1$ non zero eigenvalues, and Δ_1 as well. Hence the multiplicity of 0 in the spectrum of Δ_1 equal $n - (k - 1) = \mathbf{r}$. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a connected tree, then $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_1)$.*

2.2. Example. Let us consider the complete graph K_5 , we have $\sigma(\Delta_1) = \{0, 5\}$, with 0 is of multiplicity 6 and the circuit rank of K_5 is $r = 6$.

2.3. Weighted finite graph. Let (G, m, c) be a weighted finite graph with k vertices and n edges. All the previous results can be generalized.

We recall from Definition 3, the Laplacian on 1-forms Δ_1 defined by $d\delta$ on $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1\varphi(e) &= d(\delta\varphi)(e) \\ &= \delta\varphi(e^+) - \delta\varphi(e^-) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(e^+)} \sum_{e_1, e_1^+ = e^+} c(e_1)\varphi(e_1) - \frac{1}{m(e^-)} \sum_{e_2, e_2^+ = e^-} c(e_2)\varphi(e_2).\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\Delta_1 = I + A_1$$

where I is the diagonal matrix of size n and A_1 is the adjacency matrix on 1-forms.

More precisely, we have $I = (a_{i,i})_{1 \leq i \leq n} = \left(c(e_i) \left(\frac{1}{m(e_i^+)} + \frac{1}{m(e_i^-)} \right) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $A_1 = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ $i \neq j$ and e_i and e_j are two edges with common vertex, such that

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{c(e_j)}{m(e_i^\pm)} & \text{if } e_j^\pm = e_i^\pm \\ -\frac{c(e_j)}{m(e_i^\mp)} & \text{if } e_j^\pm = e_i^\mp \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Moreover the proofs are the same except for Proposition 2.3. If the graph contains a cycle $C_\ell = (e_1, \dots, e_\ell)$, we consider the 1-form

$$\varphi(e) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c(e)} & \text{if } e \in C_\ell \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Then, if $x = e_i^+ = e_{i+1}^-$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ (with the convention $e_{\ell+} = e_1^-$) which gives that

$$\delta\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)}(1 - 1) = 0$$

and if x does not belong to the cycle then $\delta\varphi(x) = 0$ also. So we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let (G, m, c) be a weighted finite graph, then*

$$\sigma_p(\Delta_0) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_p(\Delta_1) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Moreover the multiplicity of $0 \in \sigma_p(\Delta_0)$ equals the number of connected components of the graph; if G possesses a cycle then $0 \in \sigma_p(\Delta_1)$ and if the graph is connected then the multiplicity of $0 \in \sigma_p(\Delta_1)$ equals the circuit rank of the underlying combinatorial graph.

3. INFINITE CASE

The purpose of this part is to extend the previous results to the infinite weighted graph and to find the relation between the spectrum of Δ_0 and Δ_1 . We are interested in the study of the problem of 0-spectrum.

3.1. Weyl's criterion. We consider the unbounded and self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space, then we can use Weyl's criterion to characterize its spectrum like in [5] and [14].

Weyl's criterion : Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space, and let Δ be an unbounded self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} . Then λ is in the spectrum of Δ if and only if there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $\|f_n\| = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Delta - \lambda)f_n\| = 0$.

We denote $\sigma(\Delta)$ the spectrum of Δ and we set

- $\sigma_d(\Delta)$ is the set of $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta)$ which is an isolated point and an eigenvalue with finite multiplicity.
- $\sigma_{ess}(\Delta) := \sigma(\Delta) \setminus \sigma_d(\Delta)$.

Weyl's criterion for essential spectrum : Let Δ be an unbounded self-adjoint operator. Then we have $\lambda \in \sigma_{ess}(\Delta)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in D(\Delta)$ so that $\|f_n\| = 1$, $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges to zero and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Delta - \lambda)f_n\| = 0$.

Proposition 3.1. *If the operators Δ_0 and Δ_1 are essentially selfadjoint then*

$$\sigma_{ess}(\Delta_1) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_{ess}(\Delta_0) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_0)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_1)$, the domains of the selfadjoint extensions of Δ_0 resp. Δ_1 .

Let $\lambda \neq 0$ in the essential spectrum of Δ_0 then, by Weyl's criterion, there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_0)$ such that

$$n \in \mathbb{N}, \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{V}} = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = 0, \forall f \in l^2(\mathcal{V}) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Delta_0 - \lambda)f_n\|_{\mathcal{V}} = 0.$$

So, we want to find a sequence $(\varphi_n)_n$ of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E})$ such that

$$n \in \mathbb{N}, \|\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi_n, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = 0, \forall \varphi \in l^2(\mathcal{E}) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Delta_1 - \lambda)\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0.$$

As Δ_0 is essentially selfadjoint, $df \in l^2(\mathcal{E})$ and we set

$$\varphi_n := \frac{df_n}{\|df_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}}.$$

- So $\|\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we first prove that φ_n , converges weakly to 0.

(1) We see that $\langle (\Delta_0 - \lambda)f_n, f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \|df_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 - \lambda \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, by hypothesis on the sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. But $\lambda \neq 0$ so there exist n_0 and two positive constants α, β such that

$$\forall n \geq n_0, \quad 0 < \alpha < \|df_n\|_{\mathcal{E}} < \beta.$$

(2) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_1)$ then, for any $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_n, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} &= \frac{1}{\|df_n\|} \langle f_n, \delta\varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle f_n, \delta\varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

(3) for any $\varphi \in l^2(\mathcal{E})$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, as $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_1)$ is dense in $l^2(\mathcal{E})$ there exists $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{E}} &\leq \varepsilon/2 \Rightarrow \\ |\langle \varphi_n, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}| &\leq |\langle \varphi_n, \bar{\varphi} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}| + |\langle \varphi_n, \varphi - \bar{\varphi} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}| \\ &\leq |\langle \varphi_n, \bar{\varphi} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}| + \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

But there exists $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that

$$\forall n \geq n_1 \quad |\langle \varphi_n, \bar{\varphi} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}| \leq \varepsilon/2$$

by the application of (2). So we have proved:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_1 > 0 \text{ such that } \forall n \geq n_1 \quad |\langle \varphi_n, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

• Finally we prove that we can choose (f_n) such that moreover $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Delta_1 - \lambda)\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0$.

Pick $\bar{\lambda} > \lambda$ and denote P_{Ω} , the spectral projector of Δ_0 relative to the borelian Ω . Applying the Spectral Theorem (Thm VIII.5 of [21]) we have that

$$f_n = P_{]-\infty, \bar{\lambda}[}(f_n) + P_{[\bar{\lambda}, +\infty[}(f_n)$$

an orthogonal decomposition and we know that $\Delta_0 \geq \bar{\lambda}$ in $\text{Ran}(P_{[\bar{\lambda}, +\infty[})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Delta_0 - \lambda)(f_n)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 &= \|(\Delta_0 - \lambda)P_{]-\infty, \bar{\lambda}[}(f_n)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 + \|(\Delta_0 - \lambda)P_{[\bar{\lambda}, +\infty[}(f_n)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \\ &\geq \|(\Delta_0 - \lambda)P_{]-\infty, \bar{\lambda}[}(f_n)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 + (\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \|P_{[\bar{\lambda}, +\infty[}(f_n)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{[\bar{\lambda}, +\infty[}(f_n)\|_{\mathcal{V}} = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{]-\infty, \bar{\lambda}[}(f_n)\|_{\mathcal{V}} = 1$$

It means that we can work with $\bar{f}_n = P_{]-\infty, \bar{\lambda}[}(f_n) / \|P_{]-\infty, \bar{\lambda}[}(f_n)\|_{\mathcal{V}}$ witch satisfies also

$$\|\bar{f}_n\|_{\mathcal{V}} = 1, \quad \bar{f}_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ weakly, } \|(\Delta_0 - \lambda)\bar{f}_n\|_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Define now $\bar{\varphi}_n = d\bar{f}_n / \|d\bar{f}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}$. Then, as before, $\|\bar{\varphi}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 1$, $\bar{\varphi}_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ weakly, but now, as

$$(3.2) \quad \forall f \in \text{Ran } P_{]-\infty, \bar{\lambda}[}, \quad \|df\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \langle \Delta_0 f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \|\Delta_0 f\| \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \bar{\lambda} \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2$$

and also $(\Delta_0 - \lambda)\bar{f}_n \in \text{Ran } P_{]-\infty, \bar{\lambda}[}$ we have

$$\|(\Delta_1 - \lambda)\bar{\varphi}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{\|d(\Delta_0 - \lambda)\bar{f}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}}{\|d\bar{f}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}} \leq \sqrt{\bar{\lambda}} \frac{\|(\Delta_0 - \lambda)\bar{f}_n\|_{\mathcal{V}}}{\|d\bar{f}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}}}{\alpha} \|(\Delta_0 - \lambda)\bar{f}_n\|_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

If now $\mu \neq 0$ in the essential spectrum of Δ_1 we can do the same thing inverting the roles of d and δ . \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a weighted, connected, locally finite graph containing at least one cycle, then 0 is an eigenvalue of Δ_1 .*

Proof. Note $C_n = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$ a cycle of G .

Let φ be a function in $\mathcal{C}_0^q(\mathcal{E})$ defined by

$$\varphi(e) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c(e)} & \text{if } e = e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We follow the same approach as that of the proof of proposition 2.3 fo find that $\Delta_1 \varphi(e) = 0$ for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$. \square

3.2. Spectrum on χ -complete Graph. In the unbounded case we need at least some completeness. In [3] we have introduced the notion of χ -completeness. Let us recall the definition.

Definition 5. *The graph G is χ -complete if there exists an increasing sequence of finite sets $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathcal{V} = \cup_n B_n$ and there exist related functions χ_n satisfying the following three conditions:*

- (1) $\chi_n \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V})$, $0 \leq \chi_n \leq 1$
- (2) $v \in B_n \Rightarrow \chi_n(v) = 1$
- (3) $\exists C > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathcal{V}, \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{e, e^{\pm} = x} c(e) d\chi_n(e)^2 \leq C$.

We know by [3] that on a χ -complete graph the Gauß-Bonnet operator, and then the Laplacian is essentially self adjoint, let $\Delta = \Delta_0 \oplus \Delta_1$ its unique extension. Moreover it was proved in [16] that χ -completeness is equivalent to a geometric property : the existence of an intrinsic pseudo-metric with finite balls.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a connected, locally finite χ -complete graph. Suppose that $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_0)$, then*

$$\inf(\sigma(\Delta_1) \setminus \{0\}) = \inf \sigma(\Delta_0) > 0.$$

Proof. As the graph is χ -complete, $d_{min} = d_{max}$ and $\delta_{min} = \delta_{max}$. As Δ_0 is invertible we have $\text{Ker } d = \{0\}$ and thus the decomposition

$$l^2(\mathcal{V}) = \overline{\text{Im } \delta} \quad l^2(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Ker } \delta \oplus \overline{\text{Im } d}.$$

But Δ_0 invertible tells us more :

$$\exists h > 0, \forall f \in C_0(\mathcal{V}) \quad \|\Delta_0(f)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \geq h \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Thus $\inf \sigma(\Delta_0) > 0$. Moreover, by the spectral theorem, \sqrt{h} is a lower bound of $\sqrt{\Delta_0}$, but

$$\|\sqrt{\Delta_0}(f)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 = \langle \Delta_0(f), f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \|d(f)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2.$$

It means that

$$(3.3) \quad \forall f \in C_0(\mathcal{V}) \quad \|d(f)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 \geq h \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2$$

and, indeed, this inequality is true for any function in the domain of d_{max} .

Now let $\varphi \in (\text{Ker } \delta)^\perp \cap \delta$ with $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 1$. As $C_0(\mathcal{V})$ is dense in $l^2(\mathcal{V})$

$$\|\delta(\varphi)\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \sup_{f \in C_0(\mathcal{V}), \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}}=1} \langle \delta(\varphi), f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \sup_{f \in C_0(\mathcal{V}), \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}}=1} \langle \varphi, d(f) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$$

But, as $\varphi \in \overline{\text{Im}(d)}$

$$\exists (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f_n \in C_0(\mathcal{V}) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|d(f_n) - \varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0.$$

We have then

$$(3.4) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \langle \varphi, d(f_n) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|\delta(\varphi)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

We apply now the inequality (3.3) to f_n

$$(3.5) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \langle \varphi, d(f_n) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|\delta(\varphi)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\|d(f_n)\|_{\mathcal{E}}}{\sqrt{h}}$$

and thus, at the limit

$$(3.6) \quad \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|\delta(\varphi)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}}}{\sqrt{h}}.$$

We have proved that h is also a lower bound of $\sigma(\Delta_1) \setminus \{0\}$. If we take $h = \inf \sigma(\Delta_0)$, it proves that

$$\inf(\sigma(\Delta_1) \setminus \{0\}) \geq \inf \sigma(\Delta_0) > 0.$$

Using that $l^2(\mathcal{V}) = \overline{\text{Im } \delta}$ one can show in the same way

$$\inf(\sigma(\Delta_1) \setminus \{0\}) \leq \inf \sigma(\Delta_0).$$

□

Corollary 3.1. *Let $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a connected, locally finite χ -complete graph. Suppose that $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_0)$, then if $0 \in \sigma(\Delta_1)$, then it is an isolated value and, as a consequence, it is an eigenvalue (maybe with infinite multiplicity).*

This is a direct application of the Theorem VII.11 of [21].

On the other hand we have the following generalization of [5]

Theorem 2. *Let $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a connected, locally finite χ -complete weighted graph. We suppose that the weights (m, c) satisfy the following properties :*

- (1) $\exists M > 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{V} \sum_{y \sim x} c(x, y) \leq Mm(x)$
- (2) $\exists \gamma > 0, \forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{E}, c(x, y) \geq \gamma$

then $0 \in \sigma(\Delta_0)$ or $0 \in \sigma(\Delta_1)$.

Remark 4. *The hypothesis (1) assures that the Laplacians are bounded and if $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_0)$ then the Cheeger constant is positive and the Cheeger inequality holds. With (1) and (2) the proof of lemma 3.5 of [5] still true.*

3.3. Examples. The idea of this examples comes from [1] and [2].

Example 3.1. *We recall that on the simple graph $(\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E} = \{(x, y), |x - y| = 1\})$ the spectra are purely continuous :*

$$\sigma(\Delta_0) = \sigma(\Delta_1) = [0, 4].$$

Example 3.2. *Let us consider the graph G_1 with $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}_1 = \{(x, y), |x - y| = 1\}$, conductivity $c(j, j + 1) = (j + 1)^2 + 1$ and voltage mass constant equal to 1.*

Proposition 3.4. *The graph G_1 is χ -complete.*

Indeed, let, for $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\chi_n(x) = \left(2 - \frac{|x|}{n+1} \vee 0\right) \wedge 1$$

Then $\chi_n(x) = 1$ on $[-(n+1), n+1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\chi_n(x) = 0$ outside of $[-2(n+1), 2(n+1)] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

We remark that if $y \sim x$ then, by the triangle inequality, $\|x\| - \|y\| \leq 1$ so

$$(3.7) \quad y \sim x \Rightarrow |d^0 \chi_n(x, y)| = |\chi_n(x) - \chi_n(y)| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Thus, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, x \in \mathcal{V}_1$

$$\frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{e, e^\pm = x} c(e) d\chi_n(e)^2 \leq \sup_{|j| \leq (n+1)+2n} \frac{2(|j|^2 + 1)}{(n+1)^2} \leq \frac{4(9n^2 + 1)}{n^2} \leq 40.$$

Proposition 3.5. $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_0)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(k)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k+1-k) |f(k)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k+1) |f(k)|^2 - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k |f(k)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k (|f(k-1)|^2 - |f(k)|^2) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k (|f(k-1)| - |f(k)|) (|f(k-1)| + |f(k)|). \end{aligned}$$

From Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^2 |f(k-1) - f(k)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (|f(k-1)| + |f(k)|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^2 |f(k-1) - f(k)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(4 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(k)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{4} \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k^2 + 1) |f(k-1) - f(k)|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{\langle \Delta_0 f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}}{\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}} = \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k^2 + 1) |f(k-1) - f(k)|^2}{\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}}.$$

Proposition 3.6. $0 \in \sigma(\Delta_1)$.

We recall that $\ker(\Delta_1) = \ker(\delta)$ and we want to construct an eigenfunction $\varphi \neq 0$ such that $\Delta_1(\varphi) = 0$, which gives $\delta(\varphi) = 0$. We define

$$\varphi_{n+1} = \varphi(n, n+1), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We have

$$\delta(\varphi)(n) = ((n+1)^2 + 1)\varphi_{n+1} - (n^2 + 1)\varphi_n = 0.$$

So, the 1-form φ must satisfy

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, ((n+1)^2 + 1)\varphi_{n+1} = (n^2 + 1)\varphi_n = \dots = \varphi_0 \Rightarrow \varphi_{n+1} = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 + 1} \varphi_0$$

$$\text{and in the same way } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi_{-n} = \frac{1}{n^2 + 1} \varphi_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varphi_0|^2 ((n)^2 + 1) \frac{1}{((n)^2 + 1)^2} = |\varphi_0|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(n)^2 + 1}.$$

Then we obtain, $\varphi \in l^2(\mathcal{E})$.

Moreover Proposition 3.5 can be generalized as follows

Proposition 3.7. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$ with the weight on vertices $m = 1$ and the weight c on edges. If there exists a function A on \mathcal{E} and a constant $a > 0$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, A(n, n+1) - A(n-1, n) \geq a \text{ and } A^2(n, n+1) = c(n, n+1)$$

then

$$\sigma(\Delta_0) \subset \left[\frac{a^2}{4}, +\infty \right).$$

Proof. Let f be any function defined on \mathbb{Z} with finite support

$$\begin{aligned}
a\|f\|^2 &= a \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n)^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (A(n, n+1) - A(n-1, n)) f(n)^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A(n, n+1) (f(n)^2 - f(n+1)^2) \\
&\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A(n, n+1) (f(n) - f(n+1))(f(n) + f(n+1)) \\
&\leq \sqrt{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c(n, n+1) (f(n) - f(n+1))^2} \sqrt{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (f(n) + f(n+1))^2} \\
&\leq 2\|df\| \|f\|
\end{aligned}$$

But Δ_0 is essentially self-adjoint in this case by [25], the conclusion follows then by the minimax formula. \square

In addition, Theorem 14.29 of [15] tells that on the graph \mathbb{Z} with constant weight on vertices, and weight c on edges the existence of an harmonic function with finite energy is equivalent to the condition $\sum_e \frac{1}{c(e)}$ is finite. We list in the following few results concerning this specific situation.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$ with the weight on vertices $m = 1$ and the weight c on edges. Then we have*

$$\sum_e \frac{1}{c(e)} < \infty \iff \ker(\delta_1) \neq \{0\} \text{ on } l^2(\mathcal{E}).$$

Proof of the proposition. We start by the first implication, supposing that the $(\frac{1}{c(n, n+1)})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is sommable we have to find $\varphi \in l^2(\mathcal{E})$, $\varphi \neq 0$ and $\delta_1 \varphi = 0$.

We set $\varphi(e) = \frac{1}{c(e)}$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 \varphi(n) &= c(n-1, n) \varphi(n-1, n) - c(n, n+1) \varphi(n, n+1) \\
&= c(n-1, n) \frac{1}{c(n-1, n)} - c(n, n+1) \frac{1}{c(n, n+1)} = 1 - 1 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

And we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \sum_e c(e) \varphi(e)^2 = \sum_e c(e) \left(\frac{1}{c(e)} \right)^2 = \sum_e \frac{1}{c(e)} < \infty$$

so the form φ is in $l^2(\mathcal{E})$.

Now, we suppose that there exists $\varphi \in l^2(\mathcal{E})$, $\varphi \neq 0$ satisfying $\delta_1 \varphi = 0$. We obtain

$$c(n-1, n) \varphi(n-1, n) - c(n, n+1) \varphi(n, n+1) = 0$$

which gives

$$c(n-1, n) \varphi(n-1, n) = c(n, n+1) \varphi(n, n+1) = a \in \mathbb{R}$$

We know that $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}} < \infty$, but

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \sum_e c(e) \left(a \frac{1}{c(e)} \right)^2 = a^2 \sum_e \frac{1}{c(e)}$$

So, we have $a \neq 0$ and $\sum_e \frac{1}{c(e)} < \infty$. \square

Remark 5. *The harmonic function given in [15] is then the integral of our φ .*

Example 3.3. *Thanks to [15], we consider the graph $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{Z}$ with weights $m(n) = 1$ and $c(n, n + 1) = \alpha^{|n|}$, $\alpha > 1$.*

- *By Proposition 3.7, 0 is not an eigenvalue of Δ_0 . Indeed, we take*

$$A(n, n + 1) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{n/2} & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ -\alpha^{-n/2} & \text{if } n \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\alpha^{n/2} - \alpha^{(n-1)/2} = \alpha^{(n-1)/2}(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1) \geq (\sqrt{\alpha} - 1) > 0.$$

For $n = 0$ we have

$$1 - (-\sqrt{\alpha}) = 1 + \sqrt{\alpha} \geq (\sqrt{\alpha} - 1).$$

For $n \leq -1$ we have

$$-\alpha^{-n/2} + \alpha^{(-n+1)/2} = \alpha^{-n/2}(\alpha^{1/2} - 1) \geq (\sqrt{\alpha} - 1).$$

So the proposition applies with $a = (\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)$.

- *By Proposition 3.8 we have, 0 is an eigenvalue of Δ_1 because the following is satisfied*

$$\sum_e \frac{1}{c(e)} < \infty$$

◇

Example 3.4. *Let us consider the graph G_2 with $\mathcal{V}_2 = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{(x, y), |x - y| = 1 \text{ or } x = -y\}$, conductivity $c(j, j + 1) = (j + 1)^2 + 1$, $c(j, -j) = 1$ and the voltage mass m equal to 1. Let us consider the following infinite weighted graph G_2 , see Figure 2, with (almost) constant degree. We denote the origin by $x_0 = 0$ and by S_n the spheres for the combinatoric distance of the symmetric underlying graph:*

$$d_{\text{comb}}(x_0, x) = \inf\{k; \exists \gamma = (x_0, \dots, x_k) \text{ a chain such that } x_k = x\}$$

So $S_n = \{x \in V, d_{\text{comb}}(x_0, x) = n\} = \{n, -n\}$.




FIGURE 2. A graph with almost constant degree

Proposition 3.9. *The graph G_2 is χ -complete. And we have*

$$0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_0) \text{ and } 0 \in \sigma(\Delta_1).$$

Proof.

- (1) First, we show that G_2 is χ -complete from the criterion given in Theorem 5.11 of [6]. We remark that the sets S_n^+ and S_n^- introduced in [6] coincide with S_n and for $x \in S_n$ the weighted degree is constant and for $n \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^+ &= \sup_{x \in S_n} \frac{1}{m(x)} \left(\sum_{y \in S_{n+1}} c(x, y) \right) = (n+1)^2 + 1, \quad a_n^- = \sup_{x \in S_n} \frac{1}{m(x)} \left(\sum_{y \in S_{n-1}} c(x, y) \right) = n^2 + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n^+ + a_{n+1}^-}} \geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(n+2)} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, this graph satisfies the hypothesis of [6].

- (2) Next, we show that $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_0)$. Indeed, we see that the graph G_2 is obtained by adding the edges to the graph G_1 considered in the previous example, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_0 f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} &= \left(\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{E}_2} c(x, y) |f(x) - f(y)|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{E}_1} c(x, y) |f(x) - f(y)|^2 + \sum_{\substack{(k,-k) \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} |f(k) - f(-k)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k^2 + 1) |f(k-1) - f(k)|^2 + \sum_{\substack{(k,-k) \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} |f(k) - f(-k)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k^2 + 1) |f(k-1) - f(k)|^2}{\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}} \leq \frac{\langle \Delta_0 f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}}{\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}}.$$

- (3) Finally, We remark that this graph contains an infinity number of cycles, then we can construct a sequence of independent eigenfunctions f_k corresponding to 0 in the spectrum of Δ_1 , acting on the independent cycles $\{C_{2k+1}\}_{k \geq 0}$ where

$$C_1 = ((0, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 0)) \text{ and } C_k = ((k-1, k), (k, -k), (-k, -k+1), (-k+1, k-1)).$$

We define φ_k by

$$\varphi_k(e) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c(e)} & \text{if } e \in C_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It follows that 0 is an eigenvalue of Δ_1 with infinite multiplicity. Hence 0 is in the essential spectrum. □

Acknowledgments: All the authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading, numerous remarks, useful suggestions and valuable reference.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Anné, M. Balti, N. Torki-Hamza, Sectoriality and essential spectrum of non symmetric graph Laplacians, *Complex Anal. Oper Theory* **13** (2019) 967–983.
- [2] C. Anné, M. Balti, N. Torki-Hamza, m-accretive Laplacian on a non symmetric graph, *Indagationes Mathematicae* **31** (2020) 277–293.
- [3] C. Anné, N. Torki-Hamza, The Gauss-Bonnet Operator of an Infinite Graph, *Analysis Mathematical Physics* **5** (2015) 137–159.
- [4] H. Ayadi, Semi-Fredholmness of the discrete Gauss-Bonnet operator, *Filomat* **31** (2017) No 7 1909–1926.
- [5] H. Ayadi, Spectra of Laplacians on forms on an infinite graph, *Operators and Matrices* **11** (2017) 567–586.
- [6] H. Baloudi, S. Golénia, A. Jeribi, The Adjacency Matrix and the Discrete Laplacian Acting on Forms, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, **22**, 9 (2019) 27pp.
- [7] C. Berge, *Graphes et hypergraphes*, Monographies universitaires de mathématiques (Paris) **37**; Dunod, (1970).
- [8] Y. Colin de Verdière, *Spectre de Graphes*, Cours spécialisés, volume **4** Société Mathématique de France (1998).
- [9] D. E. Dutkay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, Spectral theory for discrete Laplacians, *Complex analysis and operator theory* **1** (2010) 1–38.
- [10] M. Farber, S. Weinberger, On the zero-in-the-spectrum conjecture, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **154** (2001), no. 1, 139–154.
- [11] K. Fujiwara, The Laplacian on rapidly branching trees, *Duke mathematical journal* **83** (1996) 191–202.
- [12] A. Grigor’yan, Analytic and geometric background of recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **36** (1999) 135–249.
- [13] A. Grigor’yan, *Introduction to Analysis on graphs*, a text book, AMS, University Lecture Series **71**, (2018).
- [14] P.D. Hislop and I.M. Sigal, *Introduction to Spectral Theory With Applications to Shrodinger Operators*. New York Springer-Verlag (1996).
- [15] P.E.T. Jorgensen, E.P.J. Pearse, *Operator theory and analysis of infinite networks. Theory and applications. Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications: Monographs, Expositions and Lecture Notes 7*, World Scientific Singapore. (2023).
- [16] D. Lenz, M. Schmidt, M. Wirth, Uniqueness of form extensions and domination of semigroups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **280**, no. 6 (2021) Article ID 108848, 28p.
- [17] J. Lott, The zero-in-the spectrum question, *Enseign. Math* **42** (1996) 341–376.
- [18] R. Lyons, Y. Peres, *Probability on Trees and Networks*, Cambridge University Press, New York (2016). Available at <http://rdlyons.pages.iu.edu/prbtree/book.pdf>.
- [19] B. Mohar, *The Laplacian spectrum of graphs*, Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications, Y. Alavi et al.,eds.,John Wiley, New York, (1991), pp.871-898.
- [20] B. Mohar, W. Woess, A survey on spectra of infinite graphs *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **21**, (1989) 209–234.
- [21] M. Reed, B. Simon *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I*, Academic Press (1980).
- [22] P. M. Soardi, Potential Theory on Infinite Networks, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1590** (1994).
- [23] P. M. Soardi, W. Woess, Uniqueness of currents in infinite resistive networks, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **31** (1991) 37–49.
- [24] R. S. Strichartz, Transformation of spectra of graph laplacians, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Math*, **40** (6) (2010).
- [25] N. Torki-Hamza, Laplacien de graphes infinis I-Graphes métriquement complets, *Confluentes Mathematici* **2** (2010) 333–350 . Translated to: *Laplacians of infinite graphs. I: Metrically complete graphs*, *arXiv:1201.4644*.
- [26] W. Woess, Random walks on infinite graphs and groups, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* **26** (1994) 1–60.

CNRS/NANTES UNIVERSITÉ, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUE JEAN LERAY, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, BP 92208, 44322 NANTES, (FRANCE).

Email address: colette.anne@univ-nantes.fr

UNIVERSITÉ DE MONASTIR, (LR/18ES15) & ACADÉMIE NAVALE, 7050 MENZEL-BOURGUIBA (TUNISIE)

Email address: halaayadi@yahoo.fr

KING FAISEL UNIVERSITY

Email address: balti-marwa@hotmail.fr

UNIVERSITÉ DE MONASTIR, LR/18ES15 & INSTITUT SUPÉRIEUR D’INFORMATIQUE DE MAHDIA (ISIMA) B.P 05, CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE DE MAHDIA; 5147-MAHDIA (TUNISIE).

Email address: natorki@gmail.com