

More on spin-2 operators in holographic quantum mechanics

Shuo Zhang^{1,2,*}

¹Kavli Institute for Theoretical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.

²School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, No.19A Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, China.

Abstract

We study the spectrum, unitarity bound and holographic central charge of spin-2 operators in a warped $\text{AdS}_2 \times S^2 \times T^4 \times \mathcal{I}_\psi \times \mathcal{I}_\rho$ background in the Type IIB theory. We were able to identify a class of solutions that is completely independent of the functions that define the background solution. We comment on the relation of our results to the previous ones in the literature.

June 13, 2024

*zhangshuo163@mails.ucas.ac.cn

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	The supergravity solutions	4
2.2	The field theory interpretation	8
3	Holographic spin-2 fluctuations	9
4	Unitarity bound and universal solutions	12
5	The holographic central charge	14
6	Discussion and outlook	16

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence [1–3] relates string theories defined in an AdS_{d+1} space-time to superconformal field theories in d -dimensions. Since its inception there has been a flurry of activities in the systematic study of conformal and/or supersymmetric field theories using both field theory methods as well as supergravity techniques. Tremendous efforts have been put towards the classification of supersymmetric AdS_{d+1} backgrounds in (massive) type IIA/B and M-theory. In the special case that supersymmetry is half-maximal and $d \geq 2$ there has been significant progress in the supergravity classification and the mapping of these solutions to specific quantum field theories [4–13].

The $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ case is of particular interest both for the microscopical description and understanding of extremal black holes since it appears as the near-horizon limit [14, 15], and also in the context of studying holographic defects. Despite its interesting status much less is known due to subtle points that arise in $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$ and are not present in the higher-dimensional examples [16–19]. To begin with, when one considers the backreaction effect of finite-energy excitations, one ends up with a divergence at one of the asymptotic boundaries. In addition to that, global AdS_2 has two boundaries that are disconnected. Therefore, naively, it appears that the proper holographic description is in terms of two copies of the same 1-dimensional field theory. This, however, is in contradiction with the calculations of black hole entropy in string theory. Furthermore, gravity in AdS_2 is non-dynamical¹.

An interesting case study was presented in [21]. The author presented a general class of regular geometries that asymptote to $\text{AdS}_2 \times \text{S}^2$. These are solutions that resemble wormholes and the presence of the two boundaries appears as a feature of the construction instead of a problematic description. Expanding these regular solutions results to metric perturbations very close to the ones we will consider in this work, although in a completely different string theory setup.

Recently we have a lot and exciting examples of new supergravity solutions with an AdS_2 factor [20, 22–30]. From these recent works, it was understood that the additional fluxes and warp factors lead to an AdS_2 theory where gravity is no longer non-dynamical. These solutions are generalizations of previous studies with constant fluxes [31–35]. In some of the recently derived AdS_2 solutions, the dual field theories have been identified and several checks have been performed [20, 22–28].

¹This argument is true in the case of pure AdS_2 . More specifically, adding matter and/or any other warp factors bypasses this hindrance, see e.g. [20].

Based on the principles of holography the spectrum of field operators is derived by considering the linearized fluctuations of the fields in the bulk for a specific supergravity solution. However, computing the complete set of linearized fluctuations for the full supergravity background is a formidable task. To this day, we have a handful of explicit examples at our disposal [36–38].

In spite of this complication, the work of [39] provided a proof that if we focus only on the spin-2 operators and their spectrum the situation is dramatically simplified. In particular, the authors proved that the spin-2 fluctuations, which result as perturbations of the Minkowski part of the geometry, satisfy an equation depending solely on the geometrical data of the background supergravity configuration. While the authors of that paper focused on an AdS_4 solution, a generalization of their logic to arbitrary space-time dimensions is straightforward. This laid the foundations for many new and exciting results on the spectrum of holographic spin-2 operators across all dimensions [40–51].

It is worthwhile mentioning that for theories with 8 Poincaré supersymmetries in $\text{AdS}_{4,5,6,7}$, the spin-2 fluctuations have been put into a universal treatment [52]. We note, however, that only dynamics were described, and the equations were not solved except for the case of the AdS_7 backgrounds.

This paper is organized as the following: In section 2 we briefly show the background we are going to focus on and it was derived in [20]. In section 3 we study the linear fluctuations and solve the equation of motion. We find that it becomes a Sturm-Liouville problem. In section 4 we calculate the unitarity bound and so-called “minimal universal class of solutions”. Here “universal” means that the solutions do not depend on the warp factors of the backgrounds and “minima” is in the sense that we get these solutions under the condition that we saturate the unitarity bound. In the section 5 we compute the holographic central charge. We show our conclusions and outlooks in section 6.

2 Preliminaries

The purpose of this section is to present and discuss the supergravity backgrounds that we will examine in this work and their holographic field theory descriptions. In doing so, we also set up our conventions. These AdS_2 solutions were obtained in the work [20], which we will closely follow in the forthcoming presentation.

2.1 The supergravity solutions

Let us begin by reviewing the main characteristics of the AdS₂ solutions that will be the focus of this work. We will be following [20], as we have already mentioned, in our presentation where this class of backgrounds was originally developed. The family of supergravity backgrounds has the schematic form AdS₂ × S² × CY₂ × I_ψ × I_ρ. For the purposes of our analysis we make a concrete choice for the Calabi-Yau 2-fold and we choose it to be the 4-dimensional torus, which means CY₂ ≡ T⁴. This solution was obtained after a T-duality transformation in an AdS-coordinate from the mother massive type IIA backgrounds that assume the form AdS₃ × S² × T⁴ × I_ρ and were originally developed in [10]. In the AdS₂ picture, ψ is the T-dual coordinate and has a U(1) isometry. We note that the interval I_ρ is, also, bounded as required for the 8-dimensional manifold to be compact [20]. The NS-NS sector of the AdS₂ solutions that we examine in this work is given by [20]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds^2 &= \frac{u}{\sqrt{h_4 h_8}} \left(\frac{1}{4} ds_{\text{AdS}_2}^2 + \frac{h_4 h_8}{4h_4 h_8 + (u')^2} ds_{S^2}^2 \right) + \sqrt{\frac{h_4}{h_8}} ds_{T^4}^2 + \frac{\sqrt{h_4 h_8}}{u} (d\rho^2 + d\psi^2), \\
 e^{-2\Phi} &= h_8^2 + \frac{h_8 (u')^2}{4h_4}, \\
 H_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{vol}_{S^2} \wedge d \left(\rho - \frac{uu'}{4h_4 h_8 + (u')^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{vol}_{\text{AdS}_2} \wedge d\psi,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where we wrote the above in the string frame. We have used Φ for the dilaton, H = dB₂ is the NS-NS flux 3-form and the functions h₄, h₈ and u are functions of the ρ-coordinate²³. We have used u' to represent ∂_ρu, and the same is true for h₄ and h₈. The ψ is the T-dual-coordinate taking values within [0, 2π] as we have already explained. The torus is given by:

$$ds_{T^4}^2 = d\theta_1^2 + d\theta_2^2 + d\theta_3^2 + d\theta_4^2. \tag{2.2}$$

While it will not be necessary in our computations, for completeness we present the R-R

²Note that in [20] the authors have used both h₄ and \hat{h}_4 and in that context there is a subtle difference between the two. We will be using h₄ without hat here for convenience with the understanding that h₄^{here} = \hat{h}_4^{there} .

³Note that there is another possibility where we have h₄ = h₄(ρ, z_{CY₂}) and h₈ = h₈(ρ, z_{CY₂}) [20]. We do not consider this kind of solutions in this paper.

sector of the theory. It is given by :

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1 &= h'_8 d\psi, \\
F_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(h_8 - \frac{h'_8 u' u}{4h_8 h_4 + (u')^2} \right) \text{vol}_{S^2} \wedge d\psi + \frac{1}{4} \left(d \left(\frac{u' u}{2h_4} \right) + 2h_8 d\rho \right) \wedge \text{vol}_{\text{AdS}_2}, \\
F_5 &= -(1 + *)h'_4 \text{vol}_{T^4} \wedge d\psi = -h'_4 \text{vol}_{T^4} \wedge d\psi + \frac{h'_4 h_8 u^2}{4h_4(4h_8 h_4 + (u')^2)} \text{vol}_{\text{AdS}_2} \wedge \text{vol}_{S^2} \wedge d\rho, \\
F_7 &= \frac{4h_4^2 h_8 - uu'h'_4 + h_4(u')^2}{8h_4 h_8 + 2(u')^2} \text{vol}_{T^4} \wedge \text{vol}_{S^2} \wedge d\psi - \frac{4h_4 h_8^2 - uu'h'_8 + h_8(u')^2}{8h_8^2} \text{vol}_{\text{AdS}_2} \wedge \text{vol}_{T^4} \wedge d\rho, \\
F_9 &= -\frac{h_4 h'_8 u^2}{4h_8(4h_8 h_4 + (u')^2)} \text{vol}_{\text{AdS}_2} \wedge \text{vol}_{T^4} \wedge \text{vol}_{S^2} \wedge d\rho.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

It is obvious from equations (2.1) and (2.3) that the functions u, h_4 and h_8 determine completely the supergravity class of solutions. These functions are constrained such that the BPS equations and the Bianchi identities are satisfied. One can explicitly check that satisfying the type IIB equations of motion implies:

$$h''_4(\rho) = 0, \quad h''_8(\rho) = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$u''(\rho) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

In the above, the first set of equations is a consequence of the Bianchi identities, while the condition on the function $u(\rho)$ is the BPS equation. In passing and for completeness, we mention that, in principle, we can have a violation of the Bianchi identities at some specific points where explicit brane sources are located. In this work we consider that the Bianchi identities always hold.

Various cases for the definitions of h_4 and h_8 can be considered in relevance to the corresponding ones in the mother AdS₃ theories [53–55]. In this work, we focus on an infinite class of solutions defined in a such a way that the these characteristic functions are piecewise continuous. In particular they are given by:

$$h_4(\rho) = \Upsilon \begin{cases} \beta_0 \frac{\rho}{2\pi} & 0 \leq \rho \leq 2\pi \\ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta_i + \beta_k \left(\frac{\rho}{2\pi} - k \right) & 2\pi k < \rho \leq 2\pi(k+1), \quad k = 1, \dots, P-1 \\ \alpha_P + \alpha_P \left(-\frac{\rho}{2\pi} + P \right) & 2\pi P < \rho \leq 2\pi(P+1), \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

$$h_8(\rho) = \begin{cases} \nu_0 \frac{\rho}{2\pi} & 0 \leq \rho \leq 2\pi \\ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \nu_i + \nu_k \left(\frac{\rho}{2\pi} - k \right) & 2\pi k < \rho \leq 2\pi(k+1), \quad k = 1, \dots, P-1 \\ \mu_P + \mu_P \left(-\frac{\rho}{2\pi} + P \right) & 2\pi P < \rho \leq 2\pi(P+1). \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

In the above Υ is an overall normalisation⁴. The variables $\alpha_P, \beta_k, \mu_P, \nu_k$ with ($k = 0, \dots, P-1$) are integration constants. Demanding that the functions $h_4(\rho)$ and $h_8(\rho)$ are continuous we have:

$$\alpha_P = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta_i, \quad \mu_P = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \nu_i. \quad (2.8)$$

We are interested in such a case that the ρ -coordinate defines a finite interval [20] denoted by \mathcal{I}_ρ within the range $[0, \rho^*]$. It is convenient to set the value ρ^* to be equal to $\rho^* = 2\pi(P+1)$, where P is a large integer [20]. In the class of solutions relevant to our studies, the functions $h_4(\rho)$ and $h_8(\rho)$ should vanish at the endpoints of the \mathcal{I}_ρ , namely $h_4(0) = h_8(0) = h_4(\rho^*) = h_8(\rho^*) = 0$ ⁵. We show an example of this behavior in figure 1:




Figure 1: An example of the characteristic functions $h_4(\rho), h_8(\rho)$ and u . Here we show a background for which the functions $h_4(\rho), h_8(\rho)$ vanish at the endpoints of the interval \mathcal{I}_ρ and u is being given by a constant.

It is clear from the BPS condition that the solution for the characteristic function $u(\rho)$

⁴The precise relation is $\hat{h}_4 = \Upsilon h_4$, see e.g. [53].

⁵We would like to stress that there are more exotic choices that could be made for the characteristic functions h_4 and h_8 related to the more exotic behaviour of the corresponding functions in the mother AdS₃ theories [56]. We will not examine this kind of solutions here.

can be at most linear in ρ . Hence, we distinguish between two different behaviours that are equally well-defined:

- $u(\rho) = u_1\rho$,
- $u(\rho) = u_0$,

where in the above $u_{0,1}$ are just constants. With an eye towards the KK-spectrum, we mention that the case $u(\rho) = u_1\rho$ has been analyzed in [40], and thus in this work we focus on $u(\rho) = u_0$. To avoid cluttering our equations, we use the shortcut $u_0 = u$ in the following. This result to the following simplification of the NS-NS sector:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{u}{4\sqrt{h_4 h_8}}(ds_{\text{AdS}_2}^2 + ds_{\text{S}^2}^2) + \sqrt{\frac{h_4}{h_8}} ds_{\text{T}^4}^2 + \frac{\sqrt{h_4 h_8}}{u}(d\rho^2 + d\psi^2), \\ e^{-2\Phi} &= h_8^2, \\ H_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(\text{vol}_{\text{S}^2} \wedge d\rho + \text{vol}_{\text{AdS}_2} \wedge d\psi), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

and the relevant simplification of the R-R sectors readily follows, and we refrain from presenting the simplified R-R sectors as it is not relevant in our computations.

The supergravity background presented above has the following brane realization, see table 1.

	x^0	x^1	x^2	x^3	x^4	x^5	x^6	x^7	x^8	x^9
D1	—	•	•	•	•	—	•	•	•	•
D3	—	•	•	•	•	•	—	—	—	•
D5	—	—	—	—	—	—	•	•	•	•
D7	—	—	—	—	—	•	—	—	—	•
NS5	—	—	—	—	—	•	•	•	•	—
F1	—	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	—

Table 1: A visualization of the supergravity solution given by equations (2.1) and (2.3) in terms of branes. We have used (—) to denote that the brane extends along that direction. A • represents a coordinate that is transverse to the brane.

In table 1, x^0 is the direction associated with time in the ten-dimensional spacetime. x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4 are the directions of T^4 . x^5 is the ρ -dimension, and x^6, x^7, x^8 are the three coordinates of the $\text{SO}(3)$ symmetry. Finally, x^9 is the ψ -internal.

2.2 The field theory interpretation

In this section we will discuss the basic features regarding the CFT which is dual to the AdS_2 background that we described in equations (2.1) and (2.3). However, we find it more convenient to start by spelling out the basic characteristics of the 2-dimensional seed CFT theories.

As we have already mentioned, the AdS_2 backgrounds that we examine in this work are related, by means of a T-duality transformation, to the seed AdS_3 solution of [54]. In [53] it was shown that these AdS_3 solutions are the duals of the IR fixed-point limit of the quiver theory presented in figure 2. In particular, the quiver diagram depicted in figure 2 flows to an IR fixed-point solution with dynamics that is captured by the AdS_3 background solution of [54].




Figure 2: The general 2d quiver field theory that is the seed of the CFT_1 considered in this work. The black line denotes a (4,4) hypermultiplet, the red line represents a (4,0) hypermultiplet and the blue line a (2,0) multiplet. Each gauge node has $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ vector multiplets degree of freedom.

Let us explain the basic building blocks of the quiver diagram depicted in figure 2. We have used a circle to denote a gauge node in the quiver with the associated group being SU . Hence, the β_0 in the circle means $\text{SU}(\beta_0)$ and likewise for the rest. We have, also, flavor groups which are denoted by squares and hence a square with F_0 is the flavor group $\text{SU}(F_0)$.

The black lines connecting the different gauge nodes represent $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ hypermultiplets, and similarly, the red lines in here denote $\mathcal{N} = (4, 0)$ hypermultiplets. We have used blue lines to denote $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ Fermi multiplets between two gauge nodes. Each gauge node is equipped with $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ vector multiplets degrees of freedom.

Note that there are two rows of flavour groups, and the same is true for the color nodes. Crucially, the F 's and \tilde{F} 's are not independent of the other characteristic numbers of the quiver theory. The reasoning, as was explicitly observed and resolved in [53], is that the field theory is chiral and hence we have to make sure to cancel gauge anomalies. This cancellation was carried out explicitly in [53], leading to:

$$F_0 = \nu_0 - \nu_1, \quad \tilde{F}_0 = \beta_0 - \beta_1. \quad (2.10)$$

We note that the above is an application to cancel out the anomalies at the first node and that we have to insist on anomaly cancellation at each gauge node of the quiver. Similar relations can be derived straightforwardly, see [53] for further details. It is worthwhile to note that the numbers in equation (2.10) correspond to the number of color and flavor branes in the bulk description of the field theory.

In [20] the authors argued that the type IIB solutions they derived are dual to the IR limit of a 1-dimensional quiver. This 1-dimensional quiver inherits its shape directly from the seed 2-dimensional theory that is depicted in figure 2.

The way to think about the dynamics and matter content of the superconformal quantum mechanics theory is to regard it as the result of a dimensional reduction along the spatial direction of the 2-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (4, 0)$ quivers that were previously derived in [53] and reviewed above. There are some additional twisted multiplets obtained after the dimensional reduction and we refer the reader to [20, Appendix B] for a detailed exposition.

3 Holographic spin-2 fluctuations

We start from the perturbations of the metric. We find it most convenient for the purposes of our analysis to re-write the metric in Einstein frame. To do so, we multiply by a factor of the dilaton, more precisely $e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}$. We will use the shorthand definitions for simplicity,

$$\hat{g}_{ab} dz^a dz^b = e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}} \left(\frac{u}{4\sqrt{h_4 h_8}} ds_{S^2}^2 + \sqrt{\frac{h_4}{h_8}} ds_{T^4}^2 + \frac{\sqrt{h_4 h_8}}{u} (d\rho^2 + d\psi^2) \right), \quad (3.1)$$

$$f_1 = \frac{u}{4\sqrt{h_4 h_8}},$$

such that the metric in the Einstein frame becomes:

$$ds^2 = e^{-\frac{\Phi}{2}} f_1 ds_{\text{AdS}_2}^2 + \hat{g}_{ab} dz^a dz^b. \quad (3.2)$$

We consider fluctuations of the metric, which we will denote by h , along the directions of the AdS_2 part of the 10-dimensional background. In particular:

$$ds^2 = e^{-\frac{\Phi}{2}} f_1 (ds_{\text{AdS}_2}^2 + h_{\mu\nu}(x, z) dx^\mu dx^\nu) + \hat{g}_{ab} dz^a dz^b. \quad (3.3)$$

We decompose $h_{\mu\nu}(x, z)$ into the transverse-traceless part in the bulk and a scalar in the internal part like:

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x, z) = h_{\mu\nu}^{(tt)}(x) \psi(z). \quad (3.4)$$

Here the transverse-traceless tensor $h_{\mu\nu}^{(tt)}(x)$ satisfies:

$$\square_{\text{AdS}_2}^{(2)} h_{\mu\nu}^{(tt)}(x) = (M^2 - 2) h_{\mu\nu}^{(tt)}(x) = (\square_{\text{AdS}_2} - 2) h_{\mu\nu}^{(tt)}(x). \quad (3.5)$$

The $\square_{\text{AdS}_2}^{(2)}$ here is the Laplacian in AdS_2 acting on a rank-2 tensor and \square_{AdS_2} is the scalar Laplacian in AdS_2 [57].

In order to make further progress, we recall that in [39] the authors showed that the linearized Einstein equations can be reduced to the ten dimensional Laplace equation for the $h_{\mu\nu}$ given by:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_M \sqrt{-g} g^{MN} \partial_N h_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

The above is the starting point of our analysis for the dynamics in the setup we consider here. In the above, the capital indices M, N denote directions in the ten-dimensional background, and schematically are split into $M = \{\mu, a\}$; that is in an index taking values in the non-compact space, μ , and another one along the compact directions. After separating equation (3.6) into the two different parts as described above and a straightforward computation, we obtain:

$$\hat{\square} \psi(z) = -M^2 \psi(z), \quad (3.7)$$

where we have defined the operator $\hat{\square}$ to be given by:

$$\hat{\square} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \partial_a (f_1 e^{-\frac{\Phi}{2}} \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ab} \partial_b), \quad (3.8)$$

for notational convenience and we have also used equation (3.5) in deriving the above. As we

see, by using the eigenvalues for the mode in the 2-dimensional AdS subspace, we have, now, simplified the 10-dimensional equations of motion to an 8-dimensional dynamical problem with a mass term. Upon evaluating the contributions from the different parts of the internal space, we arrive at:

$$\left(\nabla_{S^2} + \frac{u}{4h_4} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{\theta_i}^2 + \frac{u^2}{4h_4h_8} (\partial_\psi^2 + \partial_\rho^2) + M^2 \right) \psi = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

In order to solve the differential equation obtained above we decompose the internal part of the metric perturbation ψ into the appropriate eigenstates along the different parts of the internal space in the following manner:

$$\psi = \sum_{\ell m n p} \Psi_{\ell m n p} Y_{\ell m} e^{in \cdot \theta} e^{ip \cdot \psi}, \quad (3.10)$$

where in the above $n \cdot \theta = n_1 \theta_1 + n_2 \theta_2 + n_3 \theta_3 + n_4 \theta_4$. We proceed by inserting the decomposition given by equation (3.10) into equation (3.9) and we obtain:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} \Psi - \left(\frac{h_8 n^2}{u} + p^2 \right) \Psi + 4 \frac{M^2 - \ell(\ell + 1)}{u^2} h_4 h_8 \Psi = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

where in the above, to avoid cluttering our notation, we have suppressed the quantum numbers as subscripts; $\Psi_{\ell m n p} = \Psi$.

This ordinary differential equation is a Sturm-Liouville problem. We can write it in a more suggestive way, namely in the standard way of expressing any Sturm-Liouville problem in the following way:

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} \left(L(\rho) \frac{d}{d\rho} \right) \Psi + Q(\rho) \Psi = -\lambda W(\rho) \Psi, \quad (3.12)$$

with the characteristic quantities being given by:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\rho) &= 1, \\ Q(\rho) &= - \left(\frac{h_8 n^2}{u} + p^2 \right), \\ W(\rho) &= h_4 h_8, \\ \lambda &= 4 \frac{M^2 - \ell(\ell + 1)}{u^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and, of course, λ being the eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville problem. The coordinate ρ is valued in the range of $[0, 2\pi(P + 1)]$, where we remind the reader that P is a large integer.

We have already mentioned that the defining functions vanish at the endpoints of \mathcal{I}_ρ . This defines what is known in the mathematical literature as a singular Sturm-Liouville problem. We discuss the boundary conditions necessary to fully define the Sturm-Liouville problem in the next section, where we also proceed to derive its solutions.

4 Unitarity bound and universal solutions

The next step we wish to make in this work is to obtain a bound for that mass eigenvalue M^2 from the Sturm-Liouville problem we derived in the previous section, see equation (3.11). From this bound and by using the basic holographic relation between the mass and the conformal dimension, we will derive the unitarity bound. To obtain an inequality for M^2 from the equation of motion, we focus on a particular kind of solutions that is known in the existing literature as “minimal universal class of solution”. Said class of solutions is universal in the sense that it does not depend on the exact form of h_4 and h_8 .

Let us begin by deriving the mass inequality that will eventually lead to the unitarity bound. To that end, we multiply the Sturm-Liouville problem, defined in equation (3.11), by Ψ and then integrate over the \mathcal{I}_ρ . This yields:

$$\int_{\mathcal{I}_\rho} \Psi \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} \Psi d\rho - \int_{\mathcal{I}_\rho} \left(\frac{h_8 n^2}{u} + p^2 \right) \Psi^2 d\rho + 4 \frac{M^2 - \ell(\ell + 1)}{u^2} \int_{\mathcal{I}_\rho} h_4 h_8 \Psi^2 d\rho = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where we remind the reader that the interval \mathcal{I}_ρ is bounded as $[0, \rho^*]$ and $\rho^* > 0$. Firstly, we focus on the term that contains the second derivative of Ψ . We perform integration by part, such that we obtain:

$$4 \frac{M^2 - \ell(\ell + 1)}{u^2} \int_0^{\rho^*} h_4 h_8 \Psi^2 d\rho + \Psi \Psi' \Big|_0^{\rho^*} = \int_0^{\rho^*} \left((\Psi')^2 + \left(\frac{h_8 n^2}{u} + p^2 \right) \Psi^2 \right) d\rho. \quad (4.2)$$

In the above, Ψ' is Ψ 's derivative of ρ .

Although the interval \mathcal{I}_ρ does not possess an exact U(1) isometry, we have seen that the ρ^* endpoint is an integer multiple of 2π . In other words, it is topologically, but not metrically, equivalent to S^1 . Demanding single-valuedness for the wave-function leads to the choice of the boundary conditions $\Psi|_{\rho=0} = \Psi|_{\rho=\rho^*}$ and $\Psi'|_{\rho=0} = \Psi'|_{\rho=\rho^*}$. Focusing on the Hilbert space of such functions, it is clear that the term $\Psi \Psi' \Big|_0^{\rho^*}$ vanishes.

Because h_4, h_8, u, n, p are non-negative, we obtain straightforwardly:

$$M^2 \geq \ell(\ell + 1). \quad (4.3)$$

The next step is to obtain the “minimal universal class of solutions”. We have already explained what “universal” is in this context. The “minimal” refers to this fact that we wish to saturate the bound we obtained earlier for M^2 , see equation (4.3). Upon setting $M^2 = \ell(\ell + 1)$ the Sturm-Liouville problem given by equations (3.12) and (3.13) reduces to:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2}\Psi = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

that has the obvious solution

$$\Psi = a\rho + \Psi_0, \quad (4.5)$$

where in the above a and Ψ_0 are constants of integration. Notice, however, that we need to examine the compatibility of the general solution with the boundary conditions imposed earlier. A simple inspection yields:

$$\Psi = \Psi_0, \quad (4.6)$$

namely the “minimal universal class of solutions” that describe these spin-2 fluctuations is given by just a constant Ψ_0 . Note that this situation is strikingly different compared to the solutions for a linear function $u(\rho)$ obtained in [40]. Some commentary is in order. The modern intuition regarding these spin-2 operators in AdS₂ holography has been that the additional fluxes in the NS-NS and R-R sectors of the theory as well as the non-trivial warp factors in the geometry are sufficient to provide us with a richer spectrum in the CFT [20, 23, 27, 40, 58, 59]. Our findings suggest, however, that a more important role is played by the constraints arising from the BPS conditions of the background supergravity theory. Our reasoning for suggesting this comes from carefully observing the background for $u = \text{constant}$ given by equation (2.9), for which we obviously have non-trivial fluxes and warp factors and the only difference with [40] is the solution to the BPS equations for u .

The supergravity mode solutions that correspond to the metric perturbations we studied in this work are dual to operators in the field theory that carry conformal dimension Δ . We can relate the mass, M^2 , of the supergravity states to the conformal dimension of the operators using the standard AdS/CFT formula

$$M^2 = \Delta(\Delta - 1). \quad (4.7)$$

From the inequality we derived earlier, see equation (4.3), we can conclude for the scaling

weight of the operators a lower bound given by

$$\Delta \geq \Delta_{\min}, \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta_{\min} = \ell + 1. \quad (4.8)$$

We note that we can, in principle, study non-minimal solution, namely solutions for which either $M^2 > \ell(\ell + 1)$ or there are non-trivial excitations along T^4 or \mathcal{I}_ρ . However, in order to study this latter kind of solutions we need to make very specific choices for h_4 and h_8 and we do not examine these solutions here.

5 The holographic central charge

The analysis we performed in the previous sections has provided us with all the necessary information, in order to proceed to the computation of the central charge for the class of solutions described in equation (2.9). This is the purpose of this section.

Before we proceed with the computational part of this section, however, we feel that some comments are in order. Defining the notion of the central charge in a one-dimensional conformal field theory is a delicate and subtle issue. The reasoning is quite straightforward. Let us consider a general one-dimensional conformal field theory. The energy-momentum tensor, denoted by $T_{\mu\nu}$, has only one component. Let us denote this component by T_{00} . Then by virtue of the tracelessness property, this component vanishes, $T_{00} = 0$.

Taking the above into consideration, we wish to clarify that the quantity we call the ‘‘holographic central charge’’ has the interpretation as the number of vacuum states in the dual conformal quantum mechanics theory. Our reasoning for choosing to name said quantity as the central charge is to remain consistent with past literature.

In order to be concrete on how we make progress with this computation, we borrow intuition from higher-dimensional examples where this methodology has been successfully applied see, e.g. [41, 45, 46]. In these examples, using the unitarity bound and the minimal universal class of solutions, the analogous expressions to the ones we have obtained in the previous sections, the task at hand was to compute the normalization of the two-point functions of the operators $T_{\mu\nu}$. This is the operator that is sourced by the the graviton fluctuations. For the case of the minimal solution with no excitation in the internal space the supergravity mode is that of the massless graviton fluctuation, which is dual to the the energy-momentum tensor. Using holography, the normalization of the two-point function of the energy-momentum tensor can be determined from the effective action for the lower-dimensional graviton⁶. While

⁶By lower-dimensional graviton we mean that in an AdS₅ theory we have the five-dimensional graviton

some might argue that this is a flawed analogy, recent studies suggest the same principles also apply to the AdS₂ case since the computation of the holographic central charge agrees with the entanglement entropy as they should [20, 40]. This gives us confidence on how to proceed and indeed we will see that even when the function u is simply given by a constant the final result is consistent with the expression of the entanglement entropy.

Our starting point is the Einstein-frame Type IIB action which we can write schematically in the following form:

$$S_{\text{IIB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int \sqrt{-g}(R + \dots) d^{10}x \quad (5.1)$$

Note that in the above \dots represent terms in the action that are irrelevant to our computation.

Expanding the action given by equation (5.1) to quadratic order in the metric fluctuations yields:

$$\delta^2 S = \frac{1}{\kappa_{10}^2} \int h^{\mu\nu} \partial_M \sqrt{-g} g^{MN} \partial_N h_{\mu\nu} d^{10}x + \dots \quad (5.2)$$

where in the above \dots denote a boundary term. Expanding out the action given by equation (5.2) explicitly and dropping the boundary term leads to:

$$\delta^2 S[h_{\mu\nu}] = \frac{1}{\kappa_{10}^2} \int \sqrt{-g_{\text{AdS}_2}} \sqrt{\hat{g}} h^{\mu\nu} \left(\square_{\text{AdS}_2}^{(2)} + 2 + \hat{\square} \right) h_{\mu\nu} d^{10}x \quad (5.3)$$

We remind the reader that the operator $\hat{\square}$ in the above relation is defined in equation (3.8).

Expanding the $h_{\mu\nu}$ mode according to equation (3.10)

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\ell m n p} (h_{\ell m n p}^{(tt)})_{\mu\nu} Y_{\ell m} \Psi_{\ell m n p} e^{in\cdot\theta} e^{ip\cdot\psi} \quad (5.4)$$

leads to

$$\delta^2 S = \sum_{\ell m n p} C_{\ell m n p} \int_{\text{AdS}_2} \sqrt{-g_{\text{AdS}_2}} (h_{\ell m n p}^{(tt)})^{\mu\nu} \left(\square_{\text{AdS}_2}^{(2)} + 2 - \ell(\ell + 1) \right) (h_{\ell m n p}^{(tt)})_{\mu\nu} d^2x \quad (5.5)$$

with spherical harmonics begin normalized as:

$$\int Y_{\ell m} Y_{\ell' m'} d^2x = 1 \quad (5.6)$$

and likewise for other examples.

and the coefficients C_{lmnp} being given by:

$$C_{lmnp} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}_\rho} \sqrt{\hat{g}} (e^{-\frac{\Phi}{2}} f_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Psi_{lmnp}|^2 \quad (5.7)$$

The integral in equation (5.7) is finite for the fluctuations we have considered in this work, since they remain finite at every point of the background spacetime. We specialize to the “minimal universal solution” obtained in section 4. Unlike the situation in [20], in our case Ψ is just a constant. Setting all the quantum numbers to be equal to zero, we can set $|\Psi_{0000}|^2 = 1$, such that we obtain:

$$C_{0000} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\rho^*} h_4 h_8 d\rho, \quad (5.8)$$

where in deriving the above we have used the standard results:

$$\text{vol}_{S^2} = 4\pi, \quad \text{vol}_{T^4} = (2\pi)^4, \quad \text{vol}_{\mathcal{I}_\psi} = 2\pi, \quad 2\kappa_{10}^2 = (2\pi)^7. \quad (5.9)$$

The above result, equation (5.8), agrees with the computation of holographic entanglement entropy derived in [20], up to an irrelevant numerical factor, as it should.

6 Discussion and outlook

In this paper we considered aspects of spin-2 perturbations around a warped solution in the Type IIB theory of the schematic form $\text{AdS}_2 \times S^2 \times T^4 \times \mathcal{I}_\psi \times \mathcal{I}_\rho$. The solution comes equipped with fluxes in the NS-NS and R-R sectors. They are derived after a T-duality transformation from a seed $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^2 \times T^4 \times \mathcal{I}_\rho$ family of solutions in massive Type IIA supergravity. We have derived the equations of motion governing the dynamics of these spin-2 states following the blueprint of [39]. As expected from earlier studies, we can distinguish them into two broad classes; the universal and non-universal. We note that the universal class of solutions are independent of the defining functions of the background, and thus are present in all 1-dimensional field theories dual to the backgrounds examined here.

In this work we have explicitly computed the so-called “minimal universal class of solutions”. This is a class of solutions that saturates the bound on the mass. These supergravity states source operators in the dual field theory of classical dimension $\Delta = \ell + 1$, where ℓ is the quantum number on the S^2 ; the holographic realization of the $SU(2)_R$ R-symmetry.

Using this class of solutions and the expansion of supergravity action we were able to

compute the expression for the holographic central charge. We checked that it agrees with the computation of the holographic entanglement entropy that was performed in [20] as it should.

We mention that our work is complementary to [40]. The difference in these two works is the choice of the function $u(\rho)$. In particular, we chose it to be a constant, while [40] examined a linear solution. The difference between the different choices of $u(\rho)$ is reflected on the solutions of the metric perturbations $h_{\mu\nu}(x, z)$. More specifically, we found that $u(\rho) = \text{constant}$ leads to a solution given by a constant, while the non-trivial functional dependence of $h_{\mu\nu}(x, z)$ is achieved only for $u(\rho)$ being a linear function. Of course the expressions for the unitarity bound and central charge agree in the two pictures.

Our results lead us to the following interpretation. In backgrounds with pure AdS₂ factors, it was well-understood that the modes examined here are non-trivial if and only if the mass is zero, see e.g [18, 60–62]. The more recent explorations of AdS₂ supergravity solutions have been suggesting that non-trivial warp factors and fluxes lead to a richer and more exotic description [20, 40, 59]. However, our choice of u led to trivial spin-2 states without making the warp factors and fluxes equal to some constants. Therefore, we conclude that a more pivotal role is played by the supersymmetry conditions.

Before we finish this section we would like to discuss some interesting future topics.

Based on [40, 41], we know that we can extract concrete information for the seed AdS₃ theories from the daughter AdS₂ and vice versa. More precisely, it was found that the expressions for the “minimal universal class of solutions” and central charge of the theories were the same. It would be interesting to examine if this connections persists for the choice $u = \text{constant}$ by a direct computation. This would be strong indicative suggestions on the importance of the BPS conditions in this type of quiver theories.

Another interesting avenue of future work is to delineate more aspects of the dual superconformal quantum mechanics following the recent progress of [56] in the mother 2-dimensional theories. In that work the author found that in some cases the dual quiver theories were anomalous and additional matter had to be added, in order to cancel gauge anomalies. The way that this mechanism was realized in supergravity was via bound states of D-branes. Interestingly, these anomalous quiver arose for choices of both $u(\rho)$ given by a linear function or a constant. Owing to the connections of the 2-dimensional CFT to the conformal quantum mechanics, it would be very desirable to understand these phenomena in the AdS₂ solutions.

Furthermore, another interesting question is the status of (non)integrability and chaos in

these theories. This is a rich subject, with well-developed analytical and numerical methods. We cannot do justice to the literature, so we mention as representative examples [63–71]. The gist of the approach is to carefully consider the embedding of a fundamental string in the background and examine the resulting dynamics.

Finally, there has been recent activity in the construction of warped AdS_2 solutions that have a holographic realization as defect within higher-dimensional solutions [22, 23, 25, 27, 72]. We find it interesting to address the question of the spectrum in those theories as well.

We hope to report on some of the above in the near future.

Acknowledgments

We thank Carlos Nunez and Xinan Zhou for feedback on the draft and Kostas Rigatos for suggesting this problem to us. We are, also, grateful to Xinan Zhou and Kostas Rigatos for help with the English language in writing this paper. The work of Shuo Zhang is supported by funds from the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Sciences (KITS).

References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252, [hep-th/9711200](#).
- [2] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291, [hep-th/9802150](#).
- [3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998) 105–114, [hep-th/9802109](#).
- [4] H. Lin, O. Lunin, and J. M. Maldacena, “Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries,” *JHEP* **10** (2004) 025, [hep-th/0409174](#).
- [5] D. Gaiotto and J. Maldacena, “The Gravity duals of N=2 superconformal field theories,” *JHEP* **10** (2012) 189, [0904.4466](#).
- [6] S. Ferrara, A. Kehagias, H. Partouche, and A. Zaffaroni, “AdS(6) interpretation of 5-D superconformal field theories,” *Phys. Lett. B* **431** (1998) 57–62, [hep-th/9804006](#).
- [7] A. Brandhuber and Y. Oz, “The D-4 - D-8 brane system and five-dimensional fixed points,” *Phys. Lett. B* **460** (1999) 307–312, [hep-th/9905148](#).
- [8] F. Apruzzi, M. Fazzi, D. Rosa, and A. Tomasiello, “All AdS₇ solutions of type II supergravity,” *JHEP* **04** (2014) 064, [1309.2949](#).
- [9] B. Assel, C. Bachas, J. Estes, and J. Gomis, “Holographic Duals of D=3 N=4 Superconformal Field Theories,” *JHEP* **08** (2011) 087, [1106.4253](#).
- [10] Y. Lozano, N. T. Macpherson, C. Nunez, and A. Ramirez, “AdS₃ solutions in Massive IIA with small $\mathcal{N} = (4, 0)$ supersymmetry,” *JHEP* **01** (2020) 129, [1908.09851](#).
- [11] C. Núñez, D. Roychowdhury, S. Speziali, and S. Zacarías, “Holographic aspects of four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs and their marginal deformations,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **943** (2019) 114617, [1901.02888](#).
- [12] A. Legramandi and C. Nunez, “Electrostatic description of five-dimensional SCFTs,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **974** (2022) 115630, [2104.11240](#).
- [13] M. Akhond, A. Legramandi, and C. Nunez, “Electrostatic description of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ linear quivers,” *JHEP* **11** (2021) 205, [2109.06193](#).

- [14] B. Bertotti, “Uniform electromagnetic field in the theory of general relativity,” *Phys. Rev.* **116** (1959) 1331.
- [15] I. Robinson, “A Solution of the Maxwell-Einstein Equations,” *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.* **7** (1959) 351–352.
- [16] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, “Models of AdS₂ backreaction and holography,” *JHEP* **11** (2015) 014, [1402.6334](#).
- [17] F. Denef, D. Gaiotto, A. Strominger, D. Van den Bleeken, and X. Yin, “Black Hole Deconstruction,” *JHEP* **03** (2012) 071, [hep-th/0703252](#).
- [18] J. M. Maldacena, J. Michelson, and A. Strominger, “Anti-de Sitter fragmentation,” *JHEP* **02** (1999) 011, [hep-th/9812073](#).
- [19] D. Harlow and D. Jafferis, “The Factorization Problem in Jackiw-Teitelboim Gravity,” *JHEP* **02** (2020) 177, [1804.01081](#).
- [20] Y. Lozano, C. Nunez, A. Ramirez, and S. Speziali, “New AdS₂ backgrounds and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ conformal quantum mechanics,” *JHEP* **03** (2021) 277, [2011.00005](#).
- [21] O. Lunin, “Bubbling geometries for AdS₂ × S²,” *JHEP* **10** (2015) 167, [1507.06670](#).
- [22] Y. Lozano, C. Nunez, A. Ramirez, and S. Speziali, “AdS₂ duals to ADHM quivers with Wilson lines,” *JHEP* **03** (2021) 145, [2011.13932](#).
- [23] Y. Lozano, C. Nunez, and A. Ramirez, “AdS₂ × S² × CY₂ solutions in Type IIB with 8 supersymmetries,” *JHEP* **04** (2021) 110, [2101.04682](#).
- [24] A. Ramirez, “AdS₂ geometries and non-Abelian T-duality in non-compact spaces,” *JHEP* **10** (2021) 020, [2106.09735](#).
- [25] Y. Lozano, N. Petri, and C. Risco, “New AdS₂ supergravity duals of 4d SCFTs with defects,” *JHEP* **10** (2021) 217, [2107.12277](#).
- [26] Y. Lozano, N. Petri, and C. Risco, “Line defects as brane boxes in Gaiotto-Maldacena geometries,” *JHEP* **02** (2023) 193, [2212.10398](#).
- [27] Y. Lozano, N. Petri, and C. Risco, “AdS₂ near-horizons, defects, and string dualities,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023), no. 10 106012, [2212.11095](#).

- [28] G. Dibitetto, Y. Lozano, N. Petri, and A. Ramirez, “Holographic description of M-branes via AdS₂,” *JHEP* **04** (2020) 037, [1912.09932](#).
- [29] J. Hong, N. T. Macpherson, and L. A. Pando Zayas, “Aspects of AdS₂ classification in M-theory: solutions with mesonic and baryonic charges,” *JHEP* **11** (2019) 127, [1908.08518](#).
- [30] A. Legramandi, N. T. Macpherson, and A. Passias, “G-structures for black hole near-horizon geometries,” [2309.01714](#).
- [31] A. Strominger, “AdS(2) quantum gravity and string theory,” *JHEP* **01** (1999) 007, [hep-th/9809027](#).
- [32] V. Balasubramanian, A. Naqvi, and J. Simon, “A Multiboundary AdS orbifold and DLCQ holography: A Universal holographic description of extremal black hole horizons,” *JHEP* **08** (2004) 023, [hep-th/0311237](#).
- [33] T. Hartman and A. Strominger, “Central Charge for AdS(2) Quantum Gravity,” *JHEP* **04** (2009) 026, [0803.3621](#).
- [34] M. Alishahiha and F. Ardalan, “Central Charge for 2D Gravity on AdS(2) and AdS(2)/CFT(1) Correspondence,” *JHEP* **08** (2008) 079, [0805.1861](#).
- [35] V. Balasubramanian, J. de Boer, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, and J. Simon, “What is a chiral 2d CFT? And what does it have to do with extremal black holes?,” *JHEP* **02** (2010) 017, [0906.3272](#).
- [36] H. J. Kim, L. J. Romans, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Mass spectrum of chiral ten-dimensional N=2 supergravity on S⁵,” *Phys. Rev. D* **32** (Jul, 1985) 389–399.
- [37] S. Deger, A. Kaya, E. Sezgin, and P. Sundell, “Spectrum of D = 6, N=4b supergravity on AdS in three-dimensions x S^{**3},” *Nucl. Phys. B* **536** (1998) 110–140, [hep-th/9804166](#).
- [38] L. Eberhardt, M. R. Gaberdiel, R. Gopakumar, and W. Li, “BPS spectrum on AdS₃ × S³ × S³ × S¹,” *JHEP* **03** (2017) 124, [1701.03552](#).
- [39] C. Bachas and J. Estes, “Spin-2 spectrum of defect theories,” *JHEP* **06** (2011) 005, [1103.2800](#).

- [40] K. C. Rigatos, “Spin-2 operators in $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$,” *JHEP* **06** (2023) 026, [2212.09139](#).
- [41] S. Speziali, “Spin 2 fluctuations in $1/4$ BPS $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$,” *JHEP* **03** (2020) 079, [1910.14390](#).
- [42] M. Lima, N. T. Macpherson, D. Melnikov, and L. Ypanaque, “On generalised D1-D5 near horizons and their spectra,” *JHEP* **04** (2023) 060, [2211.02702](#).
- [43] I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, and F. D. Rocha, “The Squashed, Stretched, and Warped Gets Perturbed,” *JHEP* **06** (2009) 019, [0904.1009](#).
- [44] G. Itsios, J. M. Penín, and S. Zacarías, “Spin-2 excitations in Gaiotto-Maldacena solutions,” *JHEP* **10** (2019) 231, [1903.11613](#).
- [45] K. Chen, M. Gutperle, and C. F. Uhlemann, “Spin 2 operators in holographic 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs,” *JHEP* **06** (2019) 139, [1903.07109](#).
- [46] M. Gutperle, C. F. Uhlemann, and O. Varela, “Massive spin 2 excitations in $\text{AdS}_6 \times S^2$ warped spacetimes,” *JHEP* **07** (2018) 091, [1805.11914](#).
- [47] A. Passias and P. Richmond, “Perturbing $\text{AdS}_6 \times_w S^4$: linearised equations and spin-2 spectrum,” *JHEP* **07** (2018) 058, [1804.09728](#).
- [48] A. Passias and A. Tomasiello, “Spin-2 spectrum of six-dimensional field theories,” *JHEP* **12** (2016) 050, [1604.04286](#).
- [49] S. Roychowdhury and D. Roychowdhury, “Spin 2 spectrum for marginal deformations of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs,” *JHEP* **03** (2023) 083, [2301.12757](#).
- [50] F. Apruzzi, G. Bruno De Luca, A. Gnechchi, G. Lo Monaco, and A. Tomasiello, “On AdS_7 stability,” *JHEP* **07** (2020) 033, [1912.13491](#).
- [51] F. Apruzzi, G. Bruno De Luca, G. Lo Monaco, and C. F. Uhlemann, “Non-supersymmetric AdS_6 and the swampland,” *JHEP* **12** (2021) 187, [2110.03003](#).
- [52] M. Lima, “Spin-2 Universal Minimal Solutions on Type IIA and IIB Supergravity,” [2310.16536](#).
- [53] Y. Lozano, N. T. Macpherson, C. Nunez, and A. Ramirez, “Two dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$ quivers dual to AdS_3 solutions in massive IIA,” *JHEP* **01** (2020) 140, [1909.10510](#).

- [54] Y. Lozano, N. T. Macpherson, C. Nunez, and A. Ramirez, “1/4 BPS solutions and the AdS₃/CFT₂ correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020), no. 2 026014, [1909.09636](#).
- [55] Y. Lozano, N. T. Macpherson, C. Nunez, and A. Ramirez, “AdS₃ solutions in massive IIA, defect CFTs and T-duality,” *JHEP* **12** (2019) 013, [1909.11669](#).
- [56] K. Filippas, “Holography for 2D $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$ quantum field theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021), no. 8 086003, [2008.00314](#).
- [57] A. Polishchuk, “Massive symmetric tensor field on AdS,” *JHEP* **07** (1999) 007, [hep-th/9905048](#).
- [58] C. Couzens, Y. Lozano, N. Petri, and S. Vandoren, “N=(0,4) black string chains,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022), no. 8 086015, [2109.10413](#).
- [59] A. Conti, Y. Lozano, and N. T. Macpherson, “New AdS₂/CFT₁ pairs from AdS₃ and monopole bubbling,” *JHEP* **07** (2023) 041, [2304.11003](#).
- [60] J. Michelson and M. Spradlin, “Supergravity spectrum on AdS(2) x S**2,” *JHEP* **09** (1999) 029, [hep-th/9906056](#).
- [61] J. Lee and S. Lee, “Mass spectrum of D = 11 supergravity on AdS(2) x S**2 x T**7,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **563** (1999) 125–149, [hep-th/9906105](#).
- [62] S. Corley, “Mass spectrum of N=8 supergravity on AdS(2) x S**2,” *JHEP* **09** (1999) 001, [hep-th/9906102](#).
- [63] P. Basu and L. A. Pando Zayas, “Chaos rules out integrability of strings on AdS₅ × T^{1,1},” *Phys. Lett. B* **700** (2011) 243–248, [1103.4107](#).
- [64] P. Basu and L. A. Pando Zayas, “Analytic Non-integrability in String Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 046006, [1105.2540](#).
- [65] K. Filippas, “Non-integrability on AdS₃ supergravity backgrounds,” *JHEP* **02** (2020) 027, [1910.12981](#).
- [66] K. Filippas, “Nonintegrability of the Ω deformation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020), no. 4 046025, [1912.03791](#).
- [67] K. S. Rigatos, “Non-integrability in AdS₃ vacua,” *JHEP* **02** (2021) 032, [2011.08224](#).

- [68] C. Núñez, J. M. Penín, D. Roychowdhury, and J. Van Gersel, “The non-Integrability of Strings in Massive Type IIA and their Holographic duals,” *JHEP* **06** (2018) 078, [1802.04269](#).
- [69] C. Nunez, D. Roychowdhury, and D. C. Thompson, “Integrability and non-integrability in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs and their holographic backgrounds,” *JHEP* **07** (2018) 044, [1804.08621](#).
- [70] K. S. Rigatos, “Nonintegrability of $L^{a,b,c}$ quiver gauge theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020), no. 10 106022, [2009.11878](#).
- [71] J. Pal and S. Roychowdhury, “Integrability and non-integrability for holographic dual of Matrix model and non-Abelian T-dual of $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$,” [2310.11744](#).
- [72] G. Itsios, “Supersymmetric solutions of type-II supergravity from λ -deformations and zoom-in limits,” *JHEP* **01** (2024) 177, [2310.19887](#).