# WEIGHTED DECOUPLING ESTIMATES AND THE BOCHNER-RIESZ MEANS

### JONGCHON KIM

ABSTRACT. We prove new weighted decoupling estimates. As an application, we give an improved sufficient condition for almost everywhere convergence of the Bochner-Riesz means of arbitrary  $L^p$  functions for  $1 < p < 2$  in dimensions 2 and 3.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $d \geq 2$ . For  $\lambda, t > 0$ , the Bochner-Riesz means  $S_t^{\lambda} f$  are defined as

$$
\widehat{S_t^{\lambda}f}(\xi) = \left(1 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{t^2}\right)_+^{\lambda} \widehat{f}(\xi), \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

We consider the pointwise convergence problem of the Bochner-Riesz means; for which  $\lambda$ ,  $S_t^{\lambda} f$  converges to f almost everywhere as  $t \to \infty$  for arbitrary  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ? For  $p > 2$ , this is the case if  $\lambda > \max(d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ . This result is due to Carbery [\[Car83\]](#page-15-0) for  $d = 2$  and Carbery, Rubio de Francia and Vega [\[CRdFV88\]](#page-15-1) for every  $d \geq 2$  (see also [\[LS14\]](#page-16-0) for endpont results). Note that the given range of  $\lambda$  is precisely the one for which  $S_t^{\lambda}$  is known to be bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and is conjectured to be bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $d > 2$  (see [\[CS72,](#page-15-2) [Fef73,](#page-16-1) Cór79] for  $n = 2$ and [\[GOW](#page-16-2)+24] and references therein for partial results for  $n \geq 3$ ). Meanwhile, it is not known what happens when  $\lambda = 0$  and  $p = 2$ , unlike the one dimensional case where the Carleson-Hunt theorem is available.

For the case  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , by Stein's maximal principle [\[Ste61\]](#page-16-3), almost everywhere convergence of an arbitrary  $L^p$  function is equivalent to the following weak-type estimate

<span id="page-0-0"></span>(1) 
$$
\|\sup_{t>0}|S_t^{\lambda}f|\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}
$$

for test functions f. Here, by  $A \leq B$ , we mean  $A \leq CB$  for an absolute positive constant  $C$ . Examples of Fefferman [\[Fef71\]](#page-15-4) and Tao [\[Tao98\]](#page-16-4) show that  $(1)$  fails unless  $\lambda > \max(0, d(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{2p})$ . We prove a new partial result on this problem in dimension  $d = 2$ .

# <span id="page-0-1"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $d = 2$  and  $p = \frac{86}{57}$ . Then [\(1\)](#page-0-0) holds for any  $\lambda > \frac{9}{86}$ .

By an interpolation of classical  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  estimates, [\(1\)](#page-0-0) holds if  $\lambda > (d-1)(\frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{2}$ ). For  $d = 2$ , Tao [\[Tao02\]](#page-16-5) improved this classical sufficient condition by giving a  $L^{10/7}$ -estimate. Building on [\[Tao02\]](#page-16-5), Li and Wu [\[LW20\]](#page-16-6) gave a new  $L^{18/13}$ -estimate using the Bourgain-Demeter decoupling theorem [\[BD15\]](#page-15-5). More recently, Gan and Wu [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7) obtained an improved  $L^{10/7}$ -estimate by using a weighted version of the  $\ell^p$ -decoupling theorem. Moreover, they gave a new partial result in dimension  $d = 3$ . See Theorem [4.9](#page-14-0) for an improved bound in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

# 2 JONGCHON KIM

Combining Theorem [1.1](#page-0-1) with the classical  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  estimates and the  $L^{18/13}$ estimate [\[LW20\]](#page-16-6) yields the following corollary, which improves previously known results for  $18/13 < p < 2$ .

Corollary 1.2. Let  $d = 2$ ,  $1 < p < 2$  and

$$
\lambda > \max \left( \frac{24}{23p} - \frac{27}{46}, \frac{9}{14p} - \frac{9}{28}, \frac{6}{5p} - \frac{7}{10} \right).
$$

Then [\(1\)](#page-0-0) holds. Consequently,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} S_t^{\lambda} f(x) = f(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

Our new estimate is based on weighted  $\ell^p$ -decoupling inequalities to be discussed below. We first recall the  $\ell^p$ -decoupling theorem which follows from the Bourgain-Demeter  $\ell^2$ -decoupling theorem [\[BD15\]](#page-15-5). Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a strictly convex  $C^2$  hypersurface with Gaussian curvature comparable to 1. For  $R \gg 1$ , cover the  $R^{-1}$ -neighborhood of S by finitely overlapping rectangles  $\theta$  of dimensions  $R^{-1/2} \times \cdots \times R^{-1/2} \times R^{-1}$ . Suppose that the Fourier transform of  $f_{\theta}$  is supported on  $\theta$  and  $f = \sum_{\theta} f_{\theta}$ . The  $\ell^p$ -decoupling inequality says that, for  $2 \le p \le \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$  $d-1$ and any ball  $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  of radius  $R$ ,

$$
||f||_{L^p(B_R)} \lessapprox R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \left( \sum_{\theta} ||f_{\theta}||_{L^p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},
$$

where we denote by  $A \lessapprox B$  the inequality  $A \leq C_{\epsilon} R^{\epsilon} B$  which holds for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Gan and Wu [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7) observed that the  $\ell^p$ -decoupling estimate can be improved when the integration of f is taken over a subset  $Y \subset B_R$  for the exponent  $p = \frac{2d}{d-1}$ and showed that it yields an improved weak-type estimate [\(1\)](#page-0-0). Here we give a refinement of their weighted  $\ell^p$ -decoupling estimates for a wider range of p.

.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $p_d = \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$  $\frac{(d+1)}{d-1}, 2 \leq p \leq p_d$ , and

<span id="page-1-2"></span>(2) 
$$
\alpha(p) = \min\left(\frac{d+1}{2d}\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_d}\right), \frac{1}{d-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)
$$

Then for any  $Y \subset B_R$ ,

<span id="page-1-0"></span>(3) 
$$
||f||_{L^p(Y)} \lesssim (|Y|R^{-d})^{\alpha(p)} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \Big( \sum_{\theta} ||f_{\theta}||_{L^p}^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

Note that  $\alpha(p) > 0$  for  $2 < p < p_d$ , so the factor  $(|Y|R^{-d})^{\alpha(p)}$  represents a gain over the  $\ell^p$ -decoupling inequality. Gan and Wu [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7) proved [\(3\)](#page-1-0) for the exponent  $p = \frac{2d}{d-1}$  with  $\alpha(p) = \frac{d+1}{2d}$  $\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_d}\right)$  $=\frac{1}{16}$  for  $d=2$  and with  $\alpha(p) = \frac{1}{3d-1}$  $\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)$  $=$  $\frac{1}{2d(3d-1)}$  for  $d \geq 3$ .

We next discuss sharpness of Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-1) The exponent  $\alpha(p)$  given in [\(2\)](#page-1-2) is optimal when  $\frac{2(d^2+2d-1)}{d^2+1} \leq p \leq p_d$ , which is the range where  $\alpha(p) = \frac{d+1}{2d}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_d})$ . Indeed, if [\(3\)](#page-1-0) holds for any  $Y \subset B_R$ , then  $\alpha(p)$  must obey

<span id="page-1-3"></span>(4) 
$$
\alpha(p) \le \min\left(\frac{d+1}{2d}\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_d}\right), \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right).
$$

To see this, one takes  $\hat{f}_{\theta} = \frac{1}{|\theta|} \chi_{\theta}$  for a bump function  $\chi_{\theta}$  supported on  $\theta$  and let  $Y = B_c(0)$  for sufficiently small  $c \sim 1$  (cf. [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7)). Then  $|\sum_{\theta} f_{\theta}(x)| \sim \#\theta \sim R^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$ 

on Y. This example gives  $\alpha(p) \leq \frac{d+1}{2d}$  $\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_d}\right)$  . To see the other upper bound, it suffices to take  $f = f_{\theta}$  for a fixed  $\theta$  and take  $Y = \theta^*$ , the rectangle dual to  $\theta$ .

Comparing [\(4\)](#page-1-3) and [\(2\)](#page-1-2), we see that the exponent  $\alpha(p)$  given in Theorem [1.3](#page-1-1) is optimal for  $d = 2$  for all  $2 \le p \le 6$ . Note that  $\alpha(p)$  takes the maximum value  $\alpha(p) = \frac{1}{7}$  at  $p = \frac{14}{5}$ ; we will use Theorem [1.3](#page-1-1) with this particular p for our application to the Bochner-Riesz means. On the other hand, in dimensions  $d \geq 3$ , we do not currently have any reason to believe that the exponent  $\alpha(p) = \frac{1}{d-1}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ from  $(2)$  for p close to 2 is optimal. Moreover, if we assume further conditions on  $Y \subset B_R$ , then it is possible that [\(3\)](#page-1-0) may hold with  $\alpha(p)$  which does not obey [\(4\)](#page-1-3); see [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7) for a discussion of such a possibility when  $|Y| \sim R^{1/2}$  and  $d = 2$ .

Our proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-1) builds on [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7), which is based on a broad-narrow analysis going back to the work of Bourgain and Guth [\[BG11\]](#page-15-6) (see also [\[Gut18\]](#page-16-8)). In the broad case, we use the Bennett-Carbery-Tao multilinear restriction estimate [\[BCT06\]](#page-15-7) to exploit the transversality and combine it with the  $\ell^p$ -decoupling theorem as in [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7). In the narrow case, we do induction on scales in a way similar to the one developed in the proof of the refined Strichartz estimate by Du, Guth and Li [\[DGL17\]](#page-15-8). The novelty of our proof lies on the analysis of the narrow case; we utilize refined and weighted decoupling estimates due to Guth, Iosevich, Ou and Wang [\[GIOW20\]](#page-16-9) and Du, Ou, Ren and Zhang [\[DORZ23\]](#page-15-9) in order to perform induction on scales more efficiently. Given Theorem [1.3,](#page-1-1) Theorem [1.1](#page-0-1) follows from the argument of [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section [2,](#page-2-0) we prove a multilinear weighted decoupling estimate which will be used in the analysis of the broad part. We also state a weighted refined decoupling estimate to be used in the narrow part. In Section [3,](#page-5-0) we prove Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-1) In Section [4,](#page-10-0) we give an exposition of the paper [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7) on the implication of weighted  $\ell^p$ -decoupling estimates to the weak-type estimate [\(1\)](#page-0-0) for the Bochner-Riesz means, completing the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-1) In addition, we give an improved bound in dimension  $d = 3$ . Throughout the paper, we denote  $p_d = \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$  with the convention  $p_1 = \infty$ .

# 2. Weighted and refined decoupling inequalities

<span id="page-2-0"></span>In this section, we prove a multilinear weighted refined decoupling inequality, Theorem [2.3,](#page-3-0) and then introduce a weighted refined decoupling estimate, Theorem [2.5,](#page-5-1) which will be used in the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-1)

2.1. A multilinear weighted decoupling estimate. We first recall the multi-linear restriction estimate [\[BCT06\]](#page-15-7). Suppose that  $U_j \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots, d$ , such that for any  $v_j \in U_j$ ,

$$
(5) \t\t\t |v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_d| \geq \nu,
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>for some  $0 < \nu < 1$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Multilinear restriction). For each  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , let  $S_j \subset S$  be a cap such that the set  $U_j \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of vectors normal to  $S_j$  satisfies [\(5\)](#page-2-1). Suppose that the Fourier transform of  $f_j$  is supported on the  $R^{-1}$ -neighborhood of  $S_j$ . Then

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\|\prod_{j=1}^d |f_j|^{1/d}\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-1}}(B_R)} \lesssim \nu^{-O(1)}R^{\epsilon}R^{-1/2}\prod_{j=1}^d \|f_j\|_{L^2}^{1/d}.
$$

#### 4 JONGCHON KIM

Next we recall the refined decoupling estimate which is stated in terms of wave packets. We recall the setup. Given  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ , let  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon^{100}$ . For each  $\theta$ , let  $\mathbb{T}_{\theta}$ denote a collection of finitely overlapping tubes T covering  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of length  $\sim R^{1+\epsilon_1}$ and radius ~  $R^{(1+\epsilon_1)/2}$  with long axis orthogonal to  $\theta$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}(R) = \bigcup_{\theta} \mathbb{T}_{\theta}$ . Given  $T \in \mathbb{T}(R)$ , let  $\theta(T)$  denote the  $\theta$  for which  $T \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta}$ .

We say that a function  $f_T$  is microlocalized to  $(T, \theta)$  if  $f_T$  and  $\widehat{f_T}$  are essentially supported on 2T and 2 $\theta$ , respectively, in the sense that the  $L^p$  norms of the restrictions of  $f_T$  to  $(2T)^c$  in the physical space and to  $(2\theta)^c$  in the frequency space are both  $O(R^{-100d}||f_T||_{L^p})$ . Terms involving  $O(R^{-100d})$  can be absorbed into the main term of the estimate, so we will ignore these terms in the following statements and proofs. We recall that a function  $f$  whose Fourier transform is supported on the  $R^{-1}$ -neighborhood of S admits a wave packet decomposition  $f = \sum_{\theta} f_{\theta} = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}(R)} f_T$ , where  $f_T$  is microlocalized to  $(T, \theta(T))$ .

<span id="page-3-1"></span>We can now state the refined decoupling theorem, [\[GIOW20,](#page-16-9) Theorem 4.2].

**Theorem 2.2** (Refined decoupling). Let  $\mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{T}(R)$  and suppose that  $f = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} f_T$ , where  $f_T$  is microlocalized to  $(T, \theta(T))$ . Let  $Y \subset B_R$  be the union of a collection of  $R^{1/2}$ -cubes Q, each of which is contained in 3T for at most  $M \geq 1$  tubes  $T \in \mathbb{W}$ . Then for  $2 \le p \le p_d$ ,

$$
||f||_{L^{p}(Y)} \lessapprox M^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_{T}||_{L^{p}}^{p} \right)^{1/p}
$$

.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The following is a multilinear refinement of Theorem [2.2.](#page-3-1)

**Theorem 2.3.** For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ , let  $S_j \subset S$  be a cap such that the set  $U_j \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of vectors normal to  $S_j$  satisfies [\(5\)](#page-2-1). Let  $\mathbb{W}_j \subset \mathbb{T}(R)$  such that  $2\theta(T)$ is contained in the  $O(R^{-1})$  $\sum$ )-neighborhood of  $S_j$  for every  $T \in \mathbb{W}_j$  and let  $f_j =$  $_{T\in\mathbb{W}_{j}}$   $f_{T},$  where  $f_{T}$  is microlocalized to  $(T,\theta(T)).$  Let  $\{Q\}$  be a collection of  $R^{1/2}$ -cubes contained in  $B_R$  such that each cube in the collection is contained in 3T for at most  $M_j$  tubes  $T \in \mathbb{W}_j$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Let Y be a subset of  $\bigcup_{Q} Q$ . Then for  $2 \leq p \leq p_d$ ,

$$
\left\| \prod_{j=1}^d |f_j|^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_{L^p(Y)} \lessapprox \nu^{-O(1)} (|Y| R^{-d})^{\frac{d+1}{2d} (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_d})} \prod_{j=1}^d \left[ M_j^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \Big( \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}_j} ||f_T||_{L^p}^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{d}}.
$$

For the proof, we interpolate Theorem [2.1](#page-2-2) and Theorem [2.2.](#page-3-1) We remark that the use of *refined* decoupling is optional here as we shall use Theorem [2.3](#page-3-0) with  $M_j \lesssim R^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$ . We note that Theorem [2.3](#page-3-0) for the case  $p = \frac{2d}{d-1}$  was essentially proved in [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7). Our proof is slightly more direct than the one given there in that it doesn't go through the multilinear Kakeya estimate.

*Proof.* We prove the result for  $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2d}{d-1}$ ; the result for the remaining p follows from interpolation with the statement for  $p = p_d$ , which is a consequence of Hölder and Theorem [2.2.](#page-3-1)

We may view  $f_T$  as essentially constant on T; this can be made precise by using the frequency localization property of  $f<sub>T</sub>$ , cf. Section [3.1.](#page-6-0) By dyadic pigeonholing, we may reduce the matter to the case where, for each  $j = 1, \dots, d, |f_T| \sim \gamma_j$  on T for some  $\gamma_j > 0$  for all  $T \in \mathbb{W}_j$ . By homogeneity, we may assume that  $\gamma_j = 1$ . Then for  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,

$$
\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}_j} \|f_T\|_{L^q}^q \sim |T| |\mathbb{W}_j|.
$$

By Hölder, we get

<span id="page-4-2"></span>(6) 
$$
\left\| \prod_{j=1}^d |f_j|^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_{L^p(Y)} \lesssim |Y|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{d-1}{2d}} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^d |f_j|^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-1}}(Y)}.
$$

The multilinear restriction estimate and the  $L^2$ -orthogonality imply

<span id="page-4-0"></span>(7) 
$$
\left\| \prod_{j=1}^d |f_j|^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-1}}(B_R)} \lessapprox R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^d \|f_j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{d}} \lessapprox R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^d (|T| |\mathbb{W}_j|)^{\frac{1}{2d}}.
$$

On the other hand, by Hölder and the refined decoupling estimate, Theorem [2.2,](#page-3-1) we have

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\left\| \prod_{j=1}^{d} |f_j|^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-1}}(Y)} \lesssim |Y|^{\frac{d-1}{2d} - \frac{1}{p_d}} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^{d} |f_j|^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_{L^{p_d}(Y)}
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim |Y|^{\frac{d-1}{2d} - \frac{1}{p_d}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left[ M_j^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_d}} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}_j} \|f_T\|_{L^{p_d}}^{p_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_d}} \right]^{\frac{1}{d}}
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim |Y|^{\frac{d-1}{2d(d+1)}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left[ M_j^{\frac{1}{d+1}} (|T| |\mathbb{W}_j|)^{\frac{1}{p_d}} \right]^{\frac{1}{d}}.
$$

We combine the  $(d+1)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p_d})$ -th power of [\(7\)](#page-4-0) and  $(d+1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})$ -th power of [\(8\)](#page-4-1). Then we get

$$
\left\| \prod_{j=1}^d |f_j|^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-1}}(Y)} \lessapprox R^{-\frac{d+1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p_d})} |Y|^{\frac{d-1}{2d}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \prod_{j=1}^d \left[ M_j^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}_j} ||f_T||_{L^p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{d}}.
$$

Finally, we combine this estimate with [\(6\)](#page-4-2).

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

2.2. A weighted refined decoupling estimate. Let  $v(T) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  denote the direction of  $T \in \mathbb{T}$ . Following [\[DORZ23\]](#page-15-9), for  $R^{-1/2} \le r \le 1$  and  $1 \le m \le d$ , we say that  $f = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} f_T$  has  $(r, m)$ -concentrated frequencies if there exists a m-dimensional subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\angle(v(T), V) \leq r$  for any  $T \in \mathbb{W}$ .

When f has  $(R^{-1/2}, m)$ -concentrated frequencies, one can decouple f by  $\ell^2$ -decoupling in dimension m (cf. [\[Gut18,](#page-16-8) Lemma 9.3]). In the paper [\[DORZ23\]](#page-15-9), it was observed that this continues to hold for the refined decoupling estimate as well.

<span id="page-4-4"></span>**Theorem 2.4** ([\[DORZ23,](#page-15-9) Theorem [1](#page-4-3).2(a)]<sup>1</sup>). Let  $\mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{T}(R)$  and suppose that  $f = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} f_T$ , where  $f_T$  is microlocalized to  $(T, \theta(T))$ . Let  $Y \subset B_R$  be the union of a collection of  $R^{1/2}$ -cubes Q, each of which is contained in 3T for at most  $M \geq 1$ 

<span id="page-4-3"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The case  $m = 1$  is omitted in [\[DORZ23\]](#page-15-9), but it trivially holds as  $O(1)$  boxes  $\theta$  are involved.

tubes  $T \in \mathbb{W}$ . Suppose that f has  $(R^{-1/2}, m)$  concentrated frequencies for some  $1 \leq m \leq d$ . Then for  $2 \leq p \leq p_m$ ,

$$
||f||_{L^{p}(Y)} \lesssim M^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_{T}||_{L^{p}}^{p} \right)^{1/p}
$$

.

A consequence of Theorem [2.4](#page-4-4) is a weighted refined decoupling estimate with weights  $w: Y \to [0, 1]$  such that  $\int_Q w \lesssim R^{\alpha/2}$  holds for any  $R^{1/2}$ -cube Q in Y; see [\[DORZ23,](#page-15-9) Theorem 1.2(b)]). Here we state a slightly more general version which does not require such an assumption.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Theorem 2.5** (Weighted refined decoupling). Let  $1 \leq m \leq d$  and  $2 \leq p \leq p_m$ . Suppose that  $f = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} f_T$ , where  $\mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{T}(R)$  and each  $f_T$  is microlocalized to  $(T, \theta(T))$ . Assume that f has  $(R^{-1/2}, m)$ -concentrated frequencies. Let  ${Q}$  be a collection of disjoint  $R^{1/2}$ -cubes in  $B_R$  such that each Q is contained in 3T for at most  $M \geq 1$  tubes  $T \in \mathbb{W}$ . Let Y be a subset of  $\bigcup_{Q} Q$ . Let  $w : Y \to [0,1]$  and  $w(T) := \int_T w$ . Then

$$
||f||_{L^p(w)} \lessapprox \left(\frac{w(3T)}{|T|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_m}} M^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_T||_{L^p}^p\right)^{1/p}.
$$

The power of  $\frac{w(3T)}{|T|}$  represents a gain over the refined decoupling estimate. Theorem [2.5](#page-5-1) essentially follows from the proof of [\[DORZ23,](#page-15-9) Theorem 1.2(b)]). We include a sketch of the proof for the sake of completeness.

*Proof.* By dyadic pigeonholing, we may assume that each  $R^{1/2}$ -cube Q in the collection is contained in 3T for  $\sim M$  tubes  $T \in \mathbb{W}$ . By Hölder,

$$
||f||_{L^{p}(w)} \lesssim w(Y)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_{m}}} ||f||_{L^{p_{m}}(Y)}.
$$

Next, we use Theorem [2.4](#page-4-4) with exponent  $p = p_m$  and then replace the  $\ell^{p_m}(L^{p_m})$ norm back to  $\ell^p(L^p)$  as in the proof of Theorem [2.3,](#page-3-0) which give

$$
(9) \t\t\t ||f||_{L^{p}(w)} \lesssim \left(\frac{w(Y)M}{|\mathbb{W}||T|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{pm}} M^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_T||_{L^{p}}^{p}\right)^{1/p}.
$$

Then

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
w(Y)M \sim \sum_{Q}\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}: Q \subset 3T} w(Q) = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}}\sum_{Q: Q \subset 3T} w(Q) \leq |\mathbb{W}| \max_{T \in \mathbb{W}} w(3T).
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Combining this inequality and [\(9\)](#page-5-2) finishes the proof.

3. Broad-narrow analysis: Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-1)

<span id="page-5-3"></span>In this section, we prove the following theorem which implies Theorem [1.3](#page-1-1) by the wave packet decomposition.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{T}(R)$  and  $f = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} f_T$ , where  $f_T$  is microlocalized to  $(T, \theta(T))$ . Let  $p_d = \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$  $\frac{(a+1)}{d-1}$  and  $Y \subset B_R$ . Then for  $2 \leq p \leq p_d$  and  $\alpha(p)$ defined in  $(2)$ .

<span id="page-5-4"></span>(10) 
$$
||f||_{L^p(Y)} \lesssim (|Y|R^{-d})^{\alpha(p)} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_T||_{L^p}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

We turn to the proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-5-3) We decompose  $f$  at a scale dictated by the parameter  $K = R^{\epsilon_1} = R^{\epsilon^{100}}$ . Consider a cover of S by rectangles  $\tau$  of dimensions  $K^{-1} \times \cdots \times K^{-1} \times K^{-2}$  such that for each  $T \in \mathbb{W}$ , there exists at least one and at most  $O(1)$  rectangles  $\tau$  containing  $\theta(T)$ . We fix one such  $\tau = \tau(T)$  for each  $T \in \mathbb{W}$ . We let  $\mathbb{W}_{\tau} = \{T \in \mathbb{W} : \tau(T) = \tau\}$  and  $f_{\tau} = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}_{\tau}} f_T$ , so that  $f = \sum_{T} f_T$ .

For each lattice  $K^2$ -cube B intersecting Y, define the "significant set"

$$
S(B) = \{ \tau : \|f_{\tau}\|_{L^p(B \cap Y)} \ge \frac{1}{100 \#\tau} \|f\|_{L^p(B \cap Y)} \}.
$$

Then the definition of  $S(B)$  ensures that for each  $K^2$ -cube B,

$$
||f||_{L^p(B\cap Y)} \sim ||\sum_{\tau \in S(B)} f_\tau ||_{L^p(B\cap Y)}.
$$

We say that a lattice  $K^2$ -cube B intersecting Y is narrow if there exists a  $(d-1)$ dimensional subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that for every  $\tau \in S(B)$ ,

$$
\angle(G(\tau), V) \leq K^{-1},
$$

where  $G(\tau) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  denote the direction normal to  $\tau$ . Otherwise, we say that B is broad. If B is broad, then there exist  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_d \in S(B)$  such that

(11) 
$$
|G(\tau_1) \wedge G(\tau_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge G(\tau_d)| \gtrsim K^{-(d-1)}.
$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{broad}}$  denote the collection of broad  $K^2$ -cubes and  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{narrow}}$  denote the collection of narrow  $K^2$ -cubes. We let

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
Y_{\text{broad}} = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{broad}}} B \cap Y, \ \ Y_{\text{narrow}} = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{narrow}}} B \cap Y.
$$

We say that we are in the broad case if  $||f||_{L^p(Y_{\text{broad}})} \geq ||f||_{L^p(Y_{\text{narrow}})}$ . Otherwise, we say that we are in the narrow case. We handle each case in the following subsections.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>3.1. **Broad case.** In this subsection, we denote by  $A \leq B$  expressions of the form  $A \leq K^{O(1)}B$ ; the loss of  $K^{O(1)}$  is harmless as long as it is at most  $R^{\epsilon/2}$ .

In the broad case, we have  $||f||_{L^p(Y)} \lesssim ||f||_{L^p(Y_{broad})}$ . Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{broad}$ . Then there exist  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_d \in S(B)$  satisfying [\(11\)](#page-6-1). We fix such a d-tuple and denote  $\overline{\tau}(B)=(\tau_1,\cdots,\tau_d)$ . Let

$$
\Gamma = \{ \bar{\tau}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{broad}} \}.
$$

Since  $\#\Gamma \leq K^{O(1)}$ , by dyadic pigeonholing, there exist  $\bar{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d) \in \Gamma$  and a sub-collection of broad  $K^2$ -cubes  $\mathcal{B}^1_{\text{broad}}$  such that  $\bar{\tau}(B) = \bar{\tau}$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}^1_{\text{broad}}$  and

$$
||f||_{L^p(Y_{\text{broad}})} \lessapprox ||f||_{L^p(Y_{\text{broad}}^1)}
$$
, where  $Y_{\text{broad}}^1 = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{broad}}^1} B \cap Y$ .

Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}^1_{\text{broad}}$ . Since  $\tau_j \in S(B)$  for each j, we have

$$
||f||_{L^p(B\cap Y)}^p \lessapprox \prod_{j=1}^d ||f_{\tau_j}||_{L^p(B\cap Y)}^{\frac{p}{d}}.
$$

Using the Fourier support property of  $f_j$ , we morally have the following reverse Hölder inequality (cf. [\[DZ19\]](#page-15-10)):

<span id="page-6-2"></span>(12) 
$$
\prod_{j=1}^d \|f_{\tau_j}\|_{L^p(B\cap Y)}^{\frac{p}{d}} \lessapprox \|\prod_{j=1}^d |f_{\tau_j}|^{1/d} \|_{L^p(B\cap Y)}^p.
$$

We shall first assume [\(12\)](#page-6-2), and then make it precise later. Summing [\(12\)](#page-6-2) over  $B \in \mathcal{B}^1_{\text{broad}}$ , we get

$$
||f||_{L^p(Y_{\text{broad}})} \lessapprox ||\prod_{j=1}^d |f_{\tau_j}|^{1/d} ||_{L^p(Y_{\text{broad}}^1)}.
$$

By using Theorem [2.3](#page-3-0) with the bound  $M_j \lesssim R^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$  and  $\mathbb{W}_{\tau_j} \subset \mathbb{W}$ , we obtain

<span id="page-7-2"></span>(13)  

$$
\|f\|_{L^p(Y_{\text{broad}})} \lessapprox (|Y|R^{-d})^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_d})} \prod_{j=1}^d \left[ M_j^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}_{\tau_j}} \|f_T\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{1/p} \right]^{1/d}
$$

$$
\lessapprox (|Y|R^{-d})^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_d})} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{1/p},
$$

which implies the claimed estimate [\(10\)](#page-5-4) as  $\alpha(p) \leq \frac{d+1}{2d}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_d}).$ 

Now we make the step [\(12\)](#page-6-2) rigorous following [\[Hic23\]](#page-16-10). Since  $f_{\tau_j}$  has compact Fourier support, we may write  $f_{\tau_j} = f_{\tau_j} * \psi$  for some  $\psi$  with compact Fourier support. Therefore, we have  $|f_{\tau_j}| \lesssim |f_{\tau_j}| * \Psi_1$ , where  $\Psi_\rho(x) = \rho(\rho + |x|)^{-(d+1)}$ . Moreover,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
(14) \t\t\t |f_{\tau_j}|^r \lesssim_r |f_{\tau_j}|^r * \Psi_1
$$

for all  $r > 0$ . This follows from Hölder for  $r > 1$ . The case  $0 < r < 1$  can be proved by using Bernstein's inequality; see [\[Hic23,](#page-16-10) Lemma 5.9]. We also note that  $\Psi_1(x) = K^{2d} \Psi_{K^2}(K^2 x) \lessapprox \Psi_{K^2}(x)$ . Using [\(14\)](#page-7-0) with  $r = p/d$ , we get

(15) 
$$
|f_{\tau_j}|^{\frac{p}{d}} \lessapprox |f_{\tau_j}|^{\frac{p}{d}} * \Psi_{K^2}.
$$

We are going to use the following two properties of  $\Psi_{K^2}$ ; its  $L^1$  norm is comparable to 1 and it is locally constant on balls of radius  $K^2$ . The latter implies that  $|f_{\tau_j}|^{\frac{p}{d}} * \Psi_{K^2}(x) \sim |f_{\tau_j}|^{\frac{p}{d}} * \Psi_{K^2}(y)$  whenever  $|x-y| \lesssim K^2$ . Therefore, by [\(15\)](#page-7-1),

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\prod_{j=1}^{d} \left( \int_{B \cap Y} |f_{\tau_j}|^p(x) dx \right)^{1/d} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left( \int_{B \cap Y} \left( |f_{\tau_j}|^{\frac{p}{d}} * \Psi_{K^2}(x) \right)^d dx \right)^{1/d}
$$

$$
\sim |B \cap Y| \prod_{j=1}^{d} |f_{\tau_j}|^{\frac{p}{d}} * \Psi_{K^2}(y), \text{ for every } y \in B
$$

$$
\sim \int_{B \cap Y} \prod_{j=1}^{d} |f_{\tau_j}|^{\frac{p}{d}} * \Psi_{K^2}(x) dx.
$$

Let  $f_{\tau_j, y_j}(x) = f_{\tau_j}(x - y_j)$ . Summing this estimate over  $B \in \mathcal{B}^1_{\text{broad}}$ , we get

$$
||f||_{L^p(Y_{\text{broad}})}^p \lessapprox \int_Y \prod_{j=1}^d |f_{\tau_j}|^{\frac{p}{d}} * \Psi_{K^2}(x) dx
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^d} || \prod_{j=1}^d |f_{\tau_j,y_j}|^{1/d} ||_{L^p(Y)}^p \prod_{j=1}^d \Psi_{K^2}(y_j) dy_1 \cdots dy_d.
$$

By Theorem [2.3,](#page-3-0) we obtain [\(13\)](#page-7-2).

3.2. Narrow case. In the narrow case, we use induction on the scale  $R$ . In this subsection, we denote by  $A \leq B$  expressions of the form  $A \leq (\log R)^{O(1)}B$  and keep track of powers of K.

We start by considering the base case  $R \sim 1$ . Since  $|\mathbb{W}| \sim 1$ , we have

$$
||f||_{L^p(Y)} \lesssim |Y|^{1/p} \max_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_T||_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim |Y|^{1/p} \max_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_T||_{L^p},
$$

which is better than the claimed estimate since  $\alpha(p) \leq 1/p$  and  $|Y| \lesssim 1$ .

Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{narrow}}$ . Recall that  $||f||_{L^p(B \cap Y)} \sim ||\sum_{\tau \in S(B)} f_\tau||_{L^p(B \cap Y)}$ . For each  $\tau$ , we use a wave packet decomposition:

$$
f_{\tau} = \sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau}} f_{T_1},
$$

where  $f_{T_1}$  is microlocalized to  $(T_1, \tau)$ . Note that  $\mathbb{T}_{\tau} \subset \mathbb{T}(K^2)$  and each  $T_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau}$ has length  $\sim K^{2(1+\epsilon_1)}$  and radius  $\sim K^{(1+\epsilon_1)}$ . For dyadic  $R^{-1000d} \leq \eta \leq |T_1|$ , let  $\mathbb{T}_{\tau,\eta}$  denote the collection of  $T_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau}$  such that  $|3T_1 \cap Y| \sim \eta$ . The contribution of tubes  $T_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau}$  such that  $|3T_1 \cap Y| \leq R^{-1000d}$  is negligible; it can be absorbed into the main term by crude estimates. By dyadic pigeonholing, there exists dyadic  $\eta$ such that

$$
\sum_{B\in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{narrow}}} \|f\|^p_{L^p(B\cap Y)} \lessapprox \sum_{B\in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{narrow}}} \| \sum_{\tau \in S(B)} \sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,\eta}} f_{T_1}\|^p_{L^p(B\cap Y)}.
$$

We fix this  $\eta$  and let  $\mathbb{T}(K^2;B)$  denote the collection of  $T_1 \in \bigcup_{\tau \in S(B)} \mathbb{T}_{\tau,\eta}$  such that  $2T_1$  intersects B. Then

$$
\|f\|^p_{L^p(Y)} \lessapprox \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{narrow}}} \|\sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}(K^2; B)} f_{T_1}\|^p_{L^p(B \cap Y)}.
$$

For each  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{narrow}}, \sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}(K^2;B)} f_{T_1}$  has  $(K^{-1}, d-1)$ -concentrated frequencies and  $|S(B)| \lesssim K^{d-2}$ . Therefore by the weighted refined decoupling Theorem [2.5,](#page-5-1)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>(16)  
\n
$$
\|\sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}(K^2; B)} f_{T_1}\|_{L^p(B \cap Y)} \lesssim K^{\epsilon_1} (\eta K^{-(d+1)})^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_{d-1}}}
$$
\n
$$
K^{(d-2)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left(\sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}(K^2; B)} \|f_{T_1}\|_{L^p}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

For each  $\tau$ , we cover  $B_R$  by parallelepiped  $\Box$  of dimensions  $K^{-1}R\times\cdots\times K^{-1}R\times R$ with the long axis perpendicular to  $\tau$ . We denote the collection of  $\Box$  by  $\mathbb{B}_{\tau}$  and  $\mathbb{B} = \cup_{\tau} \mathbb{B}_{\tau}$ . In addition, given  $\Box \in \mathbb{B}$ , we denote by  $\tau(\Box)$  the  $\tau$  for which  $\Box \in \mathbb{B}_{\tau}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{T}_{\Box}$  denote the collection of all  $T_1 \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{narrow}}} \mathbb{T}(K^2; B)$  such that  $2T_1$  intersects  $\Box$  and  $\tau(T_1) = \tau(\Box)$ . Let  $Y_{\Box} = \bigcup_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\Box}} 2T_1$ . Let  $\mathbb{W}_{\Box}$  denote the collection of  $T \in \mathbb{W}_{\tau(\square)}$  such that  $2T$  intersects  $Y_{\square}$  and define  $f_{\square} = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}_{\square}} f_T$ . We record here that

$$
\sum_{\square} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}_{\square}} \|f_T\|_{L^p}^p \lesssim \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_{L^p}^p.
$$

.

Moreover,  $f_{\Box}$  is microlocalized to  $(\Box, \tau(\Box))$  and we have

$$
\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{narrow}}} \sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}(K^2; B)} \|f_{T_1}\|_{L^p}^p \lesssim \sum_{\square} \sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\square}} \|f_{T_1}\|_{L^p}^p \le \sum_{\square} \sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\square}} \|f_{T_1}\|_{L^p(Y_{\square})}^p \lesssim \sum_{\square} \|f_{\square}\|_{L^p(Y_{\square})}^p.
$$

Summing over p-th power of [\(16\)](#page-8-0) over narrow  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{narrow}}$ , we get

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
(17) \qquad \|f\|_{L^p(Y)} \lesssim K^{\epsilon_1} (\eta K^{-(d+1)})^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_{d-1}}} K^{(d-2)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left( \sum_{\Box} \|f_{\Box}\|_{L^p(Y_{\Box})}^p \right)^{1/p}.
$$

Next, we apply the induction hypothesis to  $f_{\Box}$  after a parabolic rescaling. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\tau(\Box)$  is contained in  $B_{K^{-1}}^{d-1}(0) \times B_{K^{-2}}^{1}(0)$ . Let  $L(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (Kx_1, \dots, Kx_{d-1}, K^2x_d)$ . Then we may apply the induction hypothesis to  $f_{\Box} \circ L$  over  $L^{-1}(Y_{\Box}) \subset L^{-1}(\Box)$  at the scale  $R_1 = R/K^2$ :

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
(18) \quad ||f_{\Box}||_{L^{p}(Y_{\Box})} \lesssim R_1^{\epsilon/2}(|L^{-1}(Y_{\Box})|R_1^{-d})^{\alpha(p)}(R_1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\left(\sum_{T\in\mathbb{W}_{\Box}}||f_T||_{L^{p}}^p\right)^{1/p}.
$$

For every  $\Box$ ,

$$
|\mathbb{T}_{\square}|\eta\lesssim \sum_{T_1\in\mathbb{T}_{\square}}|3T_1\cap Y|\lesssim |Y|.
$$

Thus, we have

$$
|L^{-1}(Y_{\square})| = K^{-(d+1)}|Y_{\square}| \sim K^{-(d+1)}|T_1||T_{\square}| \lesssim K^{O(\epsilon_1)}\eta^{-1}|Y|.
$$

By combining this estimate, [\(17\)](#page-9-0) and [\(18\)](#page-9-1), we obtain

$$
||f||_{L^{p}(Y)} \lesssim R^{\epsilon/2} K^{O(\epsilon_1)} (\eta K^{-(d+1)})^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_{d-1}}} K^{(d-2)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} (\eta^{-1} K^{2d})^{\alpha(p)} K^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})}
$$
  

$$
(|Y|R^{-d})^{\alpha(p)} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_T||_{L^p}^p \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim R^{\epsilon/2} K^{O(\epsilon_1)} (\eta K^{-(d+1)})^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_{d-1}} - \alpha(p)} K^{(d-1)\alpha(p) - (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})}
$$
  

$$
(|Y|R^{-d})^{\alpha(p)} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_T||_{L^p}^p \right)^{1/p}.
$$

Note that

$$
(\eta K^{-(d+1)})^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p_{d-1}}-\alpha(p)}=O(K^{O(\epsilon_1)})
$$

since  $\eta \lesssim |T_1|$  and  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_{d-1}} - \alpha(p) \geq 0$ . Moreover,  $(d-1)\alpha(p) - (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) \leq 0$  by the choice of  $\alpha(p)$ . Therefore, we have

$$
||f||_{L^p(Y)} \lesssim R^{\epsilon}(|Y|R^{-d})^{\alpha(p)} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} ||f_T||_{L^p}^p\right)^{1/p}
$$

and the induction closes.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>4. Convergence of Bochner-Riesz means: Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-1)

In this section, we prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-1) Given Theorem [3.1,](#page-5-3) it essentially follows from the argument of Gan and Wu [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7). The main goal of this section is to give an exposition of their argument. We fix  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A multiplier  $m_i$  is type j if

 $(19)$  $\vert \alpha_{m_j}(\xi)\vert \lesssim_{\alpha,N} 2^{j|\alpha|} (1+2^j|1-|\xi||)^{-N}$ 

and

$$
\widehat{m_j}(x) = 2^{-j\frac{d+1}{2}} e^{\pm 2\pi i |x|} a(2^{-j}x)
$$

for some  $a \in C_0^{\infty}$  supported on the annulus  $|x| \sim 1$ .

We use the notation  $\widehat{m(D)f}(\xi) = m(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$ . The Bochner-Riesz means  $S_t^{\lambda} f$  can be decomposed as

$$
S_t^{\lambda} f = m_0(D/t) f + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\lambda j} m_j(D/t) f,
$$

where  $m_j$  is type j for  $j \geq 1$  and  $m_0(D/t)f$  is dominated by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function uniformly in t (see [\[Ste93,](#page-16-11) [Tao98\]](#page-16-4)). Tao [\[Tao98\]](#page-16-4) reduced the weak-type estimate [\(1\)](#page-0-0) to a bound on the maximal function  $f \mapsto \sup_{t \sim 1} |m_j(D/t)f|$ . To be specific, if

(20) 
$$
\|\sup_{t\sim 1}|m_j(D/t)f|\|_{L^p(B(0,C2^j))}\lessapprox 2^{j\lambda_0}\|f\|_{L^p}
$$

holds some  $\lambda_0$  for type j multipliers  $m_j$  and test functions f for all  $j \geq 1$ , then the weak-type estimate [\(1\)](#page-0-0) holds for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ . Here and in the following, we denote by  $A \lessapprox B$  the inequality  $A \leq C_{\epsilon} 2^{\epsilon j} B$  or  $A \leq C_{\epsilon} R^{\epsilon} B$  which holds for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

<span id="page-10-1"></span>4.1. Linearization. In this subsection, we reduce Theorem [1.1](#page-0-1) to the following.

**Proposition 4.2.** Fix a collection of disjoint intervals  $\{I_1, \dots, I_{2^j}\}$  of length  $\sim$  $2^{-j}$  for which ∪<sub>l</sub>I<sub>l</sub> = [1/2,1]. Let  $c_l \in I_l$  and let  $\{F_1, \dots, F_{2^j}\}$  be a partition of  $B(0, C2<sup>j</sup>)$ . For a type j multiplier  $m_j$ , let T denote the linear operator defined as

$$
Tf(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{2^{j}} 1_{F_{l}}(x) m_{j}(D/c_{l}) f(x).
$$

Then

$$
\|Tf\|_{L^{\frac{86}{57}}} \lessapprox 2^{\frac{9}{86}j} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{86}{57}}},
$$

where the implicit constant is independent of the choice of  $\{c_l, F_l\}$ .

We postpone the proof of Proposition [4.2](#page-10-1) to following subsections and first look at its implications. Clearly, T in Proposition [4.2](#page-10-1) is dominated by the maximal function  $f \mapsto \sup_{t \sim 1} |m_j(D/t)f|$ . Conversely, we have

<span id="page-10-2"></span>**Lemma 4.3.** Let T be as in Proposition [4.2.](#page-10-1) Assume that for any type j multiplier  $m_j$ , there exists a constant  $A_j > 0$  independent of  $\{F_l, c_l\}_{1 \leq l \leq 2^j}$  such that

$$
||Tf||_{L^p} \le A_j ||f||_{L^p}.
$$

Then for every type j multiplier  $m_j$ ,

$$
\|\sup_{t\sim 1}|m_j(D/t)f|\|_{L^p(B(0,C2^j))}\lesssim A_j\|f\|_{L^p}.
$$

*Proof.* Let  $m_i$  be a type j multiplier. We linearlize and discretize the maximal operator. Fix a measurable function  $t : B(0, CR) \to [1/2, 1]$ . For each  $l = 1, \dots, 2^j$ , define  $F_l = \{x \in B(0, CR) : t(x) \in I_l\}$  so that

$$
|m_j(D/t(x))f(x)|^p \leq \sum_l 1_{F_l}(x) \sup_{t \in I_l} |m_j(D/t)f(x)|^p.
$$

Let  $a_l$  denote the left endpoint of the interval  $I_l$ . By the fundamental theorem of calculus and Hölder, we have

$$
\sup_{t \in I_l} |m_j(D/t)f(x)|^p \lesssim |m_j(D/a_l)f(x)|^p + |I_l|^{p-1} \int_{I_l} |\partial_t[m_j(D/t)f(x)]|^p dt.
$$

For each  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , let  $m_{j,k}(\xi) = |I_k| \xi_k \partial_k m_j(\xi)$ . Then  $m_{j,k}$  is a type j multiplier and

$$
\sup_{t\in I_l} |m_j(D/t)f(x)|^p \lesssim |m_j(D/a_l)f(x)|^p + |I_l|^{-1} \int_{I_l} \sum_{1\leq k\leq d} |m_{j,k}(D/t)f(x)|^p dt.
$$

Choose  $b_l \in I_l$  for which

$$
\int_{F_l} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} |m_{j,k}(D/b_l)f|^p \gtrsim \sup_{t \in I_l} \int_{F_l} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} |m_{j,k}(D/t)f|^p.
$$

Then

$$
\int_{F_l} \sup_{t \in I_l} |m_j(D/t)f|^p \lesssim \int_{F_l} |m_j(D/a_l)f|^p + \int_{F_l} \sum_{1 \le k \le d} |m_{j,k}(D/b_l)f|^p.
$$

By summing the inequality over  $l$  and using the assumption, we get

$$
\int_{B(0,C2^j)} |m_j(D/t(x))f|^p \lesssim A_j^p \|f\|_{L^p}^p.
$$

Since this holds for any measurable function t, the claim is proved.  $\Box$ 

By Lemma [4.3](#page-10-2) and Tao's reduction discussed earlier, we have reduced Theorem [1.1](#page-0-1) to Proposition [4.2,](#page-10-1) which will be proved in the following subsections.

4.2. Decompositions. In this subsection, we work on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for  $d \geq 2$ . We fix  ${c_l, F_l}_{l=1}^{2^j}$  as in Proposition [4.2](#page-10-1) and let

$$
Tf(x) = \sum_{l} 1_{F_l}(x) m_j(D/c_l) f(x).
$$

Given any exponent  $1 \leq p_0 \leq \infty$ , by dyadic pigeonholing, there exists  $\mathcal{J} \subset$  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^j\}$  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^j\}$  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^j\}$  and  $2^{-100dj} \leq \gamma \leq 2^{jd}$  for which  $|F_l| \sim \gamma$  for all  $l \in \mathcal{J}^2$  and

$$
||Tf||_{L^{p_0}} \lesssim ||T_{\mathcal{J}}f||_{L^{p_0}},
$$

where

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
T_{\mathcal{J}}f(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{J}} 1_{F_l}(x) m_j(D/c_l) f(x).
$$

By real interpolation, it suffices to work with  $f = 1<sub>E</sub>$ , the characteristic function of  $E \subset B(0, 2^j)$ . Given  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ , let  $0 < \epsilon_1 \ll \epsilon$ . For a technical reason, we work with the parameter  $R = 2^{(1-\epsilon_1)j}$ , but one may identify R and  $2^j$  as  $R \approx 2^j$ . The main result of this subsection is the following.

<span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Those  $F_l$  with  $|F_l| \leq 2^{-100dj}$  is ignored here as these can be handled by crude estimates.

**Proposition 4.4** (cf. [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7)). Let  $E \subset B(0, 2^j)$  and  $2 \leq p \leq p_d$ . Let  $R =$  $2^{(1-\epsilon_1)j}$ . Suppose that [\(10\)](#page-5-4) holds with the exponent  $\alpha(p)$ . Then

$$
(22) \qquad |\{x: |T_{\mathcal{J}}\mathbb{1}_E(x)| > \alpha\}| \lessapprox \alpha^{-2} R^{\frac{1}{2p-3}\left(\frac{d+1}{4}p - \frac{d-1}{2}\right) - 1} (\gamma R^{-d})^{\frac{p}{2p-3}\alpha(p)} |E|^{\frac{3p-5}{2p-3}}.
$$

**Remark 4.5.** When  $d = 2$  and  $p = 6$ , Proposition [4.4](#page-11-1) gives the  $L^{18/13}$  bound obtained in [\[LW20\]](#page-16-6). However, when  $d \geq 3$  and  $p = p_d$ , Proposition [4.4](#page-11-1) gives sufficient conditions for the weak-type estimate [\(1\)](#page-0-0) which are no better than the classical sufficient condition  $\lambda > (d-1)(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})$ .

Proposition [4.4](#page-11-1) follows from the argument from [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7). We sketch the proof for the sake of exposition. We decompose  $E$  according to the density. For a parameter  $\beta_2 > 0$  to be chosen, let  $\{Q\}$  denote the collection of maximal dyadic cubes such that

$$
|E \cap Q| \ge \beta_2 l(Q),
$$

where  $l(Q)$  is the side-length of Q. Let  $E_2 = \bigcup_Q (E \cap Q)$  and  $E_1 = E \setminus E_2$ . Then  $|E_1 \cap B| \leq \beta_2 l(B)$ 

for any dyadic cube  $B$ ; if otherwise, there is a dyadic cube  $Q$  in the collection containing B leading to the contradiction  $|E_1 \cap B| = 0$ . These sets  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ are the low and the high density parts of E, respectively. Note that  $T_{\mathcal{J}} 1_E$  =  $T_{\mathcal{J}} 1_{E_1} + T_{\mathcal{J}} 1_{E_2}.$ 

<span id="page-12-1"></span>For the high-density part  $E_2$ , we have the following estimate from a local  $L^2$ estimate, which goes back to [\[Tao02\]](#page-16-5).

**Lemma 4.6** ([\[GW24,](#page-16-7) Lemma 3.4]). For any  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$
|\{x: |T_{\mathcal{J}}1_{E_2}(x)| \ge \alpha\} \lesssim \alpha^{-2} 2^{-j} \beta_2^{-1} |E|^2.
$$

For the low-density part  $E_1$ , we use a wave-packet decomposition. Let  $R =$  $2^{j(1-\epsilon_1)}$ , so that  $m_j$  is essentially supported on the  $R^{-1}$ -neighborhood of  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . Cover  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  by finitely overlapping caps  $\{\theta\}$  of diameter  $R^{-1/2}$  and let  $\{\chi_{\theta}\}$  be an associated smooth partition of unity. Define  $m_{j,\theta}(\xi) = m_j(\xi)\chi_{\theta}(\xi/|\xi|)$  so that  $m_{i,\theta}(\xi/c_l)$  is essentially a bump function supported on a box  $\theta_l$  of dimensions  $R^{-1/2} \times \cdots R^{-1/2} \times R^{-1}.$ 

Let  $f_{\theta_l}$  denote  $m_{j,\theta}(D/c_l)f$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}_{\theta}$  denote the collection of tubes as in Section [2.](#page-2-0) Then  $f_{\theta_l}$  is morally constant on each  $T \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta}$ . Indeed, we may choose an  $L^1$ normalized non-negative function  $\Psi_{\theta}$  such that  $\Psi_{\theta}(x) \sim \Psi_{\theta}(y)$  for all  $x, y \in T$ and

$$
|f_{\theta_l}(x)| \lesssim 2^{O(\epsilon_1 j)} |f_{\theta_l}| * \Psi_{\theta}(x)
$$

up to a negligible error term (cf. Section [3.1\)](#page-6-0). Therefore  $|f_{\theta_l}| * \Psi_{\theta}(x) \sim |f_{\theta_l}| * \Psi_{\theta}(y)$ for all  $x, y \in T$ . Let  $f = \chi_{E_1}$ . Given  $\beta_1 > 0$ , we let

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\theta_l,small} = \{ T \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta} : || |f_{\theta_l}| * \Psi_{\theta} ||_{L^{\infty}(T)} < \beta_1 \}
$$

and  $\mathbb{T}_{\theta_l, large} = \mathbb{T}_{\theta} \setminus \mathbb{T}_{\theta_l, small}$ . Let  $\{\chi_T\}_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta}}$  denote a smooth partition of unity associated with the covering  $\mathbb{T}_{\theta}$ . Note that  $f_{\theta_l}\chi_T$  is microlocalized to  $(T, \theta_l)$  for each  $T \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta}$ . We define

$$
T_{small}(f) = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{J}} 1_{F_l} \sum_{\theta} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta_l,small}} f_{\theta_l} \chi_T.
$$

<span id="page-12-0"></span>We define  $T_{large}(f)$  similarly, which gives the decomposition  $T_{\mathcal{J}}f = T_{small}(f) +$  $T_{large}(f)$ .

**Lemma 4.7** (cf. [\[GW24,](#page-16-7) Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3]). Suppose that the weighted  $l^p$ -decoupling estimate [\(10\)](#page-5-4) holds with the exponent  $\alpha(p)$ . Then

<span id="page-13-0"></span>(23) 
$$
\int |T_{large}(1_{E_1})| \lessapprox \beta_1^{-1} \beta_2 R^{-1/2} |E_1|,
$$
  

$$
\int |T_{small}(1_{E_1})|^p dx \lessapprox \left[ (\gamma R^{-d})^{\alpha(p)} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \right]^p \beta_1^{p-2} |E_1|.
$$

The assumption on the weighted  $\ell^p$ -decoupling inequality [\(10\)](#page-5-4) is utilized only for the bound [\(23\)](#page-13-0). For the sake of completeness, we give the proof.

*Proof of* [\(23\)](#page-13-0). By the weighted  $\ell^p$ -decoupling estimate [\(10\)](#page-5-4),

$$
\int |T_{small}(f)|^p dx = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{J}} \int_{F_l} \left| \sum_{\theta} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta_l,small}} f_{\theta_l} \chi_T \right|^p dx
$$
  

$$
\lessapprox \left[ (\gamma R^{-d})^{\alpha(p)} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \right]^p \sum_{l \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{\theta} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta_l,small}} \| f_{\theta_l} \chi_T \|^p_{L^p}.
$$

Let  $f = 1_{E_1}$ . Note that

$$
||f_{\theta_l}\chi_T||_{L^{\infty}} \lessapprox |||f_{\theta_l}| \ast \Psi_{\theta}||_{L^{\infty}(T)} \lessapprox \beta_1.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{l\in\mathcal{J}}\sum_{\theta}\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_{\theta_l,small}}\|f_{\theta_l}\chi_T\|_{L^p}^p\lessapprox \beta_1^{p-2}\sum_{l\in\mathcal{J}}\sum_{\theta}\sum_{T\in\mathbb{T}_{\theta}}\|f_{\theta_l}\chi_T\|_{L^2}^2\lessapprox \beta_1^{p-2}\|f\|_{L^2}^2
$$

by the  $L^2$ -orthogonality, giving the claimed bound.

We may now prove Proposition [4.4.](#page-11-1)

Proof of Proposition [4.4.](#page-11-1) By Lemma [4.7,](#page-12-0)

$$
|\{x : |T_{\mathcal{J}}1_{E_1}(x)| > \alpha\}|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |\{x : |T_{large}(1_{E_1})(x)| > \alpha/2\}| + |\{x : |T_{small}(1_{E_1})(x)| > \alpha/2\}|
$$
  
\n
$$
\lesssim \alpha^{-1} \beta_1^{-1} \beta_2 R^{-1/2} |E| + \alpha^{-p} (\gamma R^{-d})^{p\alpha(p)} R^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{p}{2}-1)} \beta_1^{p-2} |E|.
$$

We take  $\beta_1$  so that the two terms are equal, which gives

$$
(24) \qquad |\{x: |T_{\mathcal{J}}\mathbf{1}_{E_1}(x)| > \alpha\}| \lessapprox \alpha^{-2} \beta_2^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} R^{\frac{d-1}{4}\frac{p-2}{p-1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(p-1)}} (\gamma R^{-d})^{\frac{p}{p-1}\alpha(p)} |E_1|.
$$

<span id="page-13-1"></span>By combining Lemma [4.6](#page-12-1) and [\(24\)](#page-13-1),

$$
\begin{aligned} & |\{x:|T_{\mathcal{J}}\mathbf{1}_E(x)|>\alpha\}| \\ &\leq |\{x:|T_{\mathcal{J}}\mathbf{1}_{E_1}(x)|>\alpha/2\}| + |\{x:|T_{\mathcal{J}}\mathbf{1}_{E_2}(x)|>\alpha/2\}| \\ &\lessapprox \alpha^{-2} \beta_2^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} R^{\frac{d-1}{4}\frac{p-2}{p-1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(p-1)}} (\gamma R^{-d})^{\frac{p}{p-1}\alpha(p)} |E| + \alpha^{-2} R^{-1} \beta_2^{-1} |E|^2. \end{aligned}
$$

Finally, it suffices to choose  $\beta_2$  so that the two terms are equal:

$$
\beta_2^{-1} = |E|^{-\frac{p-1}{2p-3}} R^{\frac{1}{2p-3}(\frac{d+1}{4}p - \frac{d-1}{2})} (\gamma R^{-d})^{\frac{p}{2p-3}\alpha(p)}.
$$

$$
\overline{}
$$

 $\Box$ 

4.3. Proof of Proposition [4.2.](#page-10-1) By applying Proposition [4.4](#page-11-1) and Theorem [3.1](#page-5-3) with  $p = \frac{14}{5}$  and  $\alpha(p) = \frac{1}{7}$ , we obtain the restricted weak-type estimate

$$
|\{x: |T_{\mathcal{J}}1_E(x)| > \alpha\}| \lessapprox \alpha^{-2} R^{-\frac{5}{13}} (\gamma R^{-2})^{\frac{2}{13}} |E|^{\frac{17}{13}},
$$

or equivalently

$$
||T_{\mathcal{J}}f||_{L^{2,\infty}} \lesssim R^{-\frac{5}{26}} (\gamma R^{-2})^{\frac{1}{13}} ||f||_{L^{\frac{26}{17},1}}.
$$

By Hölder's inequality (for Lorentz spaces), we get

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
(25) \quad ||T_{\mathcal{J}}f||_{L^{\frac{26}{17}}} \lessapprox 2^{2(\frac{17}{26}-\frac{1}{2})j} 2^{-\frac{5}{26}j} (\gamma R^{-2})^{\frac{1}{13}} ||f||_{L^{\frac{26}{17},1}} = 2^{\frac{3}{26}j} (\gamma R^{-2})^{\frac{1}{13}} ||f||_{L^{\frac{26}{17},1}}.
$$

In order to use the gain of  $(\gamma R^{-2})^{\frac{1}{13}}$  in [\(25\)](#page-14-1), we interpolate it with a  $L^{4/3}$ estimate. We recall the  $L^{4/3}$ -estimate due to Carleson and Sjörin [\[CS72\]](#page-15-2) and Córdoba [Cór79]

$$
||m_j(D)f||_{L^{4/3}} \lesssim ||f||_{L^{4/3}}.
$$

Since the  $L^{4/3}$ -operator norm of  $m_j(D/t)$  is independent of  $t > 0$ , we have

<span id="page-14-2"></span>(26) 
$$
||T_{\mathcal{J}}f||_{L^{4/3}} = \left(\sum_{l\in\mathcal{J}} ||m_j(D/c_l)f||_{L^{4/3}(F_l)}^{4/3}\right)^{3/4} \lesssim |\mathcal{J}|^{3/4} ||f||_{L^{4/3}}.
$$

We interpolate [\(25\)](#page-14-1) and [\(26\)](#page-14-2) so that we may apply  $\gamma R^{-2}|\mathcal{J}| \lesssim 1$ . To be specific, take  $\theta = \frac{39}{43}$  so that  $\theta \cdot \frac{1}{13} = (1 - \theta)\frac{3}{4}$ . Then  $\theta \frac{17}{26} + (1 - \theta)\frac{3}{4} = \frac{57}{86}$ . Real interpolation of  $(25)$  and  $(26)$  gives

$$
||T_{\mathcal{J}}f||_{L^{\frac{86}{57}}} \lessapprox 2^{\frac{9}{86}j}||f||_{L^{\frac{86}{57}}},
$$

which completes the proof of Proposition [4.2](#page-10-1) by  $(21)$ .

**Remark 4.8.** Consider the example  $f(x) = e^{2\pi ix_2} \psi(x_1, 2^{-j/2} x_2)$  from [\[Tao98\]](#page-16-4). In this example, if  $x_1 \sim x_2 \sim 2^j$ , then

$$
\sup_{t \sim 1} |m_j(D/t)f(x)| \sim |m_j(D/t(x))f(x)| \sim 2^{-j},
$$

where  $t(x) = |x|/x_2$ . Thus, we may choose  $\{c_l, F_l\}$  so that  $\gamma \sim 2^j$ ,  $|\mathcal{J}| \sim 2^j$ ,  $||T_{\mathcal{J}}f||_{L^p} \gtrsim 2^{2j(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}$  and  $||f||_{L^q} \sim 2^{j\frac{1}{2q}}$ . In particular,

$$
||T_{\mathcal{J}}f||_{L^{4/3}} \gtrsim |\mathcal{J}|^{1/8} ||f||_{L^{4/3}}.
$$

This suggests that there may be a significant room for an improvement in the estimate [\(26\)](#page-14-2). Any improvement to [\(26\)](#page-14-2) would advance our knowledge of the almost everywhere convergence of the Bochner-Riesz means.

# <span id="page-14-0"></span>4.4. An improved bound for  $d = 3$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $d = 3, 1 < p < 2$  and

$$
\lambda > \max\left(\frac{174}{85p} - \frac{89}{85}, \frac{146}{77}\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right).
$$

Then the weak-type estimate [\(1\)](#page-0-0) holds. Consequently,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} S_t^{\lambda} f(x) = f(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

Theorem [4.9](#page-14-0) gives a small improvement to the result obtained in [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7); when  $p = \frac{3}{2}$ , [\(1\)](#page-0-0) holds for  $\lambda > \frac{27}{85} = 0.317 \cdots$ , improving the sufficient condition  $\lambda > \frac{107}{325} = 0.329 \cdots$  from [\[GW24\]](#page-16-7).

*Proof.* Let  $E \subset B(0, 2^j)$ . By taking  $p = \frac{14}{5}$  and  $\alpha(p) = \frac{1}{14}$  in Proposition [4.4,](#page-11-1) we get

$$
|\{x: |T_{\mathcal{J}}1_E(x)| > \alpha\}| \lessapprox \alpha^{-2} R^{-\frac{4}{13}} (\gamma R^{-3})^{\frac{1}{13}} |E|^{\frac{17}{13}},
$$

or equivalently,

<span id="page-15-11"></span>
$$
\|T_{\mathcal{J}}f\|_{L^{2,\infty}}\lessapprox 2^{-\frac{2}{13}j}(\gamma R^{-3})^{\frac{1}{26}}\|f\|_{L^{\frac{26}{17},1}}.
$$

By Hölder's inequality, we get

(27) 
$$
\|T_{\mathcal{J}}f\|_{L^{\frac{26}{17}}}\lessapprox 2^{\frac{4}{13}j}(\gamma R^{-3})^{\frac{1}{26}}\|f\|_{L^{\frac{26}{17},1}}.
$$

On the other hand, by the sharp  $L^p$  estimate for the Bochner-Riesz means at the exponent  $p = \frac{13}{9}$  due to Wu [\[Wu23\]](#page-16-12) (see also [\[GOW](#page-16-2)+24]), there holds

$$
\|m_j(D)f\|_{L^{\frac{13}{9}}}\lessapprox 2^{(3(\frac{9}{13}-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{1}{2})j}\|f\|_{L^{\frac{13}{9}}}.
$$

Therefore,

$$
(28) \t\t ||T_{\mathcal{J}}f||_{L^{\frac{13}{9}}} = \left(\sum_{l\in\mathcal{J}}||m_j(D/c_l)f||_{L^{\frac{13}{9}}(F_l)}^{\frac{13}{9}}\right)^{\frac{9}{13}} \lessapprox 2^{\frac{j}{13}}|\mathcal{J}|^{\frac{9}{13}}||f||_{L^{\frac{13}{9}}}.
$$

<span id="page-15-12"></span>We interpolate [\(27\)](#page-15-11) and [\(28\)](#page-15-12) so that we may apply  $\gamma$  | $\mathcal{J} |R^{-3} \lessapprox 1$ . This gives

$$
||T_{\mathcal{J}}f||_{L^{\frac{13\cdot19}{9\cdot18}}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{73}{247}j} ||f||_{L^{\frac{13\cdot19}{9\cdot18}}}.
$$

This implies, by [\(21\)](#page-11-2) and Lemma [4.3,](#page-10-2) that

(29) 
$$
\|\sup_{t\sim 1}|m_j(D/t)f|\|_{L^{\frac{247}{162}}(B(0,C2^j))} \lessapprox 2^{\frac{73}{247}j} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{247}{162}}}.
$$

By interpolation with the standard  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  estimates, we get for  $1 \le p \le 2$ ,

$$
\|\sup_{t\sim 1}|m_j(D/t)f|\|_{L^p(B(0,C2^j))}\lessapprox \max(2^{j(\frac{174}{85p}-\frac{89}{85})},2^{j\frac{146}{77}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})})\|f\|_{L^p}.
$$

By the reduction of Tao, this implies Theorem [4.9.](#page-14-0)  $\Box$ 

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-15-7"></span><span id="page-15-5"></span>[BCT06] Jonathan Bennett, Anthony Carbery, and Terence Tao, On the multilinear restriction and Kakeya conjectures, Acta Math. 196 (2006), no. 2, 261–302. [BD15] Jean Bourgain and Ciprian Demeter, The proof of the  $l^2$  decoupling conjecture, Ann. of Math. (2) 182 (2015), no. 1, 351–389.
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>[BG11] Jean Bourgain and Larry Guth, Bounds on oscillatory integral operators based on multilinear estimates, Geom. Funct. Anal. 21 (2011), no. 6, 1239–1295.
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>[Car83] Anthony Carbery, The boundedness of the maximal Bochner-Riesz operator on  $L^4(\mathbf{R}^2)$ , Duke Math. J. 50 (1983), no. 2, 409-416.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>[Cór79] A. Córdoba, A note on Bochner-Riesz operators, Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), no. 3, 505–511.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>[CRdFV88] Anthony Carbery, José L. Rubio de Francia, and Luis Vega, Almost everywhere summability of Fourier integrals, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **38** (1988), no. 3, 513–524. [CS72] Lennart Carleson and Per Sjölin, Oscillatory integrals and a multiplier problem for
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>the disc, Studia Math. 44 (1972), 287–299. [DGL17] Xiumin Du, Larry Guth, and Xiaochun Li, A sharp Schrödinger maximal estimate
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , Ann. of Math. (2) 186 (2017), no. 2, 607-640. [DORZ23] Xiumin Du, Yumeng Ou, Kevin Ren, and Ruixiang Zhang, Weighted refined decou-
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>pling estimates and application to Falconer distance set problem, arXiv:2309.04501. [DZ19] Xiumin Du and Ruixiang Zhang, Sharp  $L^2$  estimates of the Schrödinger maximal
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>function in higher dimensions, Ann. of Math. (2) 189 (2019), no. 3, 837–861.
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>[Fef71] Charles Fefferman, The multiplier problem for the ball, Ann. of Math. (2) 94 (1971), 330–336.

<span id="page-16-10"></span><span id="page-16-9"></span><span id="page-16-8"></span><span id="page-16-7"></span><span id="page-16-2"></span><span id="page-16-1"></span><span id="page-16-0"></span>

<span id="page-16-12"></span><span id="page-16-11"></span><span id="page-16-6"></span><span id="page-16-5"></span><span id="page-16-4"></span><span id="page-16-3"></span>Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China  $Email \;address: \; jongchon.kim.work@gmail.com$