

HV -symmetric polyhedra and bipolarity

David Avis

October 10, 2024

Abstract

Every polyhedron P in R^n can be described by an H -representation $\mathcal{H}(P)$ consisting of half spaces or equivalently by a V -representation $\mathcal{V}(P)$ consisting of the convex hull of a set of vertices and extreme rays. We can define $n + 1$ column matrices $H(P)$ and $V(P)$ that encode these representations. Define polyhedron Q such that $V(P)$ encodes $\mathcal{H}(Q)$. We call P HV -symmetric if $V(Q)$ in turn encodes $\mathcal{H}(P)$. It is well known and often stated that polytopes that contain the origin in their interior and pointed polyhedral cones are HV -symmetric. It seems to be less well known that, more generally, a polyhedron is HV -symmetric if and only if it contains the origin, in other words it is bipolar. We show this using Minkowski's bipolar equation and discuss implications for the vertex and facet enumeration problems.

Keywords: Polyhedra, bipolarity, HV -symmetric, vertex enumeration, facet enumeration.

We give basic definitions here, for a comprehensive treatment of the background material the reader is referred to the books of Barvinok [2], Fukuda [4] and Ziegler [7]. Let P be a non-empty possibly unbounded polyhedron in R^n defined by its H -representation

$$\mathcal{H}(P) : \quad b + Ax \geq 0 \quad Bx \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

for an $m_A \times n$ matrix A , $m_B \times n$ matrix B , and a column vector b with non-zero entries. We assume throughout that there are no redundant inequalities and that each row of B has been reduced by its greatest common divisor. If P is full dimensional this representation is unique up to permuting rows or scaling any row by a positive constant and each inequality supports a *facet* of P . More specifically we will represent $\mathcal{H}(P)$ by the $(m_A + m_B) \times (n + 1)$ matrix

$$H(P) = \begin{bmatrix} b & A \\ 0_{m_B} & B \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where 0_{m_B} is a column vector of m_B zeroes. If $0 \in P$ then $b \geq 0$ and by scaling we can replace it by 1_{m_A} , a column of m_A ones.

A point $x \in P$ is a *vertex* of P if it is the unique solution of n inequalities in (1) expressed as equations. If P is unbounded it contains *extreme rays*.

An extreme ray emanates from a vertex $x \in P$ and is generated by a non-zero $r \in R^n$ for which $x + tr \in P$ for any scalar $t \geq 0$. If r generates an extreme ray we must have $Ar \geq 0$ and $Br \geq 0$, with $n-1$ inequalities satisfied as linearly independent equations. This set of vertices and extreme rays give a V -representation $\mathcal{V}(P)$. We define an $m_S \times n$ matrix S of *vertices* and an $m_R \times n$ matrix R containing its *extreme rays* where each row of R has been reduced by its greatest common divisor. We will represent $\mathcal{V}(Q)$ by the $(m_S + m_R) \times (n + 1)$ matrix

$$V(P) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{m_S} & S \\ 0_{m_R} & R \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

An interpretation of $V(P)$ is that for any $x \in P$ there exist non-negative $\lambda \in R^{m_S}$ and $\mu \in R^{m_R}$ such that $(1, x) = (\lambda, \mu)V(P)$. The representation (3) is unique if P is full-dimensional and contains at least one vertex.

Each facet of P is defined by a set of n vertices and/or rays which are affinely independent and span it. Computing $\mathcal{V}(P)$ from $\mathcal{H}(P)$ is called the *vertex enumeration problem* and the reverse transformation the *facet enumeration problem*. The encodings in (2) and (3) are those used in open source software for these problems such as *cddlib*¹ and *lrslib*².

Any matrix of the form (3) can be used to define two (usually) different polyhedra depending on whether it is interpreted as an H -representation or a V -representation. Accordingly define a polyhedron Q by setting $H(Q) = V(P)$ so that we have

$$\mathcal{H}(Q) : \quad 1_{m_S} + Sx \geq 0 \quad Rx \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

Note that $0 \in Q$.

Definition 1. Let P be a polyhedron whose $\mathcal{V}(P)$ is encoded by $V(P)$ and let Q be the polyhedron with $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ encoded by $V(P)$. If $V(Q)$ encodes an $\mathcal{H}(P)$ of P we call P *HV-symmetric*.

The fact that Q is well defined in cases where $\mathcal{V}(P)$ is not unique will follow from Lemma 1. Note that if P is *HV-symmetric* then so is Q . The following two examples illustrate the definition.

Example 1. Consider the wedge P in R^2 with vertex $(1, 1)$ and extreme rays $(1, 0), (0, 1)$ which has an H -representation

$$\begin{aligned} -1 + x_1 &\geq 0 \\ -1 &+ x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

¹<https://github.com/cddlib/cddlib>

²<https://cgm.cs.mcgill.ca/~avis/C/lrs.html>

We have

$$H(P) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad H(Q) := V(P) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad V(Q) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $V(Q)$ is not an encoding of an $\mathcal{H}(P)$ of P , P is not HV -symmetric. Note that the origin $(0,0)$ is not contained in P . \square

Example 2. Consider the pyramid P in R^3 with base containing vertices $(0,1,0), (-1,0,0), (1,0,0)$ and apex $(0,0,1)$ which has H -representation

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + x_1 - x_2 - x_3 &\geq 0 \\ 1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 &\geq 0 \\ x_3 &\geq 0 \\ x_2 &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

P is HV -symmetric since we have

$$H(Q) := V(P) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad V(Q) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = H(P)$$

Note that the origin $(0,0,0)$ is on the boundary of P , the midpoint of vertices $(-1,0,0)$ and $(1,0,0)$, and that Q is unbounded since it has two extreme rays. On the other hand the origin is interior to Q and P is bounded. \square

We observe from Example 1 that if the origin is outside of P then there must be some facet of the form $-1 + ax \geq 0$. Matrix $H(P)$ has a negative number in column one and cannot be a V -representation so P is not HV -symmetric. We will prove that in all other cases (Example 2) P is HV -symmetric.

We will make use of a basic result on the polarity of convex sets. Given any polyhedron P in R^n its polar³

$$P^+ = \{z \in R^n : 1 + zx \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in P\}. \quad (5)$$

According to Fenchel's historical survey [3] (Section 10), polarity dates back at least to the work of Minkowski. The connection of polarity to the HV conversion problem is given by the following result which shows that Q as defined above is P^+ . For the case where P contains the origin this is Theorem 9.1(iv) of Schrijver [6]. This condition is not necessary and we give a proof of this more general result for completeness.

³The polar is more often written as $P^\circ = \{z \in R^n : zx \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in P\}$, so that $P^+ = -P^\circ$.

Lemma 1. *Let P be a polyhedron with $\mathcal{V}(P)$ encoded as $V(P)$ (3). Then*

$$P^+ = Q := \{z \in R^n : 1 + vz \geq 0, \forall v \in S, rz \geq 0, \forall r \in R\} \quad (6)$$

and so $V(P)$ is an encoding of $\mathcal{H}(P^+)$.

Proof. ($P^+ \subseteq Q$) Choose any $z \in P^+$. For any $v \in S, r \in R, t \geq 0$, we have $v + tr \in P$. Therefore by (5) $1 + vz + trz \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and hence $1 + vz \geq 0$ and $rz \geq 0$. Therefore $z \in Q$.

($Q \subseteq P^+$) Now choose any $z \in Q$ and $x \in P$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{v \in S} \lambda_v v + \sum_{r \in R} \mu_r r, \quad 1_{|S|} \lambda = 1, \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0 \\ 1 + zx &= 1 + z \left(\sum_{v \in S} \lambda_v v + \sum_{r \in R} \mu_r r \right) \\ &= \sum_{v \in S} \lambda_v (1 + vz) + \sum_{r \in R} \mu_r rz \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $1 + vz \geq 0, \forall v \in S, rz \geq 0, \forall r \in R$. \square

A consequence of the lemma is that every V -representation $\mathcal{V}(P)$ of P interpreted as an H -representation corresponds to P^+ . This shows Definition 1 is valid. Another consequence is that P is bounded if and only if the origin is interior to P^+ . Even though the output $V(P)$ of an H to V conversion always encodes $\mathcal{H}(P^+)$, it is not true in general that the output $H(P)$ of the reverse conversion is an encoding $\mathcal{V}(P^+)$ (see Example 1). To see when this does happen we need a slight extension of a fundamental result known as the bipolar equation which states that if the origin is interior to P then $P^{++} = P$. We give a proof for completeness that follows Barvinok IV.1 [2] and Schrijver [6] extended to remove the condition that the origin is interior.

Lemma 2 (Bipolar theorem⁴). *If P is a polyhedron then $P^{++} = \text{conv}(P \cup \{0\})$.*

Proof. Define $P_0 = \text{conv}(P \cup \{0\})$. By the definition of polarity $0 \in P^{++}$. Next for any $x \in P$ we have $1 + zx \geq 0$ for all $z \in P^+$ and so $x \in P^{++}$. It follows by convexity that $P_0 \subseteq P^{++}$.

For the reverse inclusion suppose there is a point $u \in P^{++}$ but $u \notin P_0$. Then by the separating hyperplane theorem (see e.g. [2] Thm III.1.3) there is a vector $c \neq 0$ and scalar d such that $d + cx > 0, x \in P_0$ and $d + cu < 0$. Since $0 \in P_0$ we have $d > 0$. Set $b = d^{-1}c$. We have $1 + bx > 0, x \in P_0$ and so $1 + bx > 0, x \in P$ and hence $b \in P^+$. However $1 + bu < 0$ which contradicts the assumption that $u \in P^{++}$. \square

⁴The theorem and proof in fact apply to any closed convex set. An even more general form requiring neither convexity nor closure is given in Hoheisel Thm 3.73 [5]

We may now state and prove our main result on HV -symmetry.

Theorem 1. *The following statements are equivalent for any polyhedron P :*

- (a) $0 \in P$
- (b) $P = P^{++}$
- (c) $V(P^+)$ encodes $\mathcal{H}(P)$
- (d) P is HV -symmetric.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): from Lemma 2 since $P = \text{conv}(P \cup \{0\})$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Lemma 1 states that $V(P^+)$ encodes $\mathcal{H}(P^{++}) = \mathcal{H}(P)$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d): Lemma 1 states that $V(P)$ encodes $H(P^+)$, so in Definition 1 we have $Q = P^+$. Substituting in (c) we have that $V(Q)$ encodes $\mathcal{H}(P)$ and so P is HV -symmetric.

(d) \Rightarrow (a): By contrapositive, if $0 \notin P$ then P has a facet of the form $-1 + ax \geq 0$ and cannot be HV -symmetric. \square

The usual method for computing $H(P)$ from $V(P)$ is by lifting P to a cone C by prepending a column of zeroes to $V(P)$. Because cones are HV -symmetric the resulting matrix can be used in an H -representation to V -representation conversion for C . The output rays are interpreted as facets of P . By the above theorem, if $0 \in P$ the lifting is not necessary and an H to V conversion can be applied directly to the matrix $V(P)$. This has computational ramifications for pivot based algorithms, such as reverse search which is used in *lrslib*, since the number of pivots and hence bases generated can be completely different for the lifted and unlifted inputs [1]. Empirical evidence there showed that, surprisingly, the lifted problems often had considerably fewer bases than the unlifted ones and hence significantly faster running times. Determining whether or not this is true in general is an interesting open question.

Finally we discuss the asymmetry between H -representation and V -representation and the role of the origin in being HV -symmetric. This is due to the fact that the ‘hyperplane at infinity’, $1 + 0_n x \geq 0$, coded as $[1 \ 0_n]$ in (2), is valid for any H -representation. To obtain isomorphism with a V -representation it is necessary that the origin, also coded as $[1 \ 0_n]$, be included (perhaps redundantly) in $V(P)$. That is, $0 \in P$.

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to Komei Fukuda for many useful discussions and for encouraging him to write this paper. Detailed comments by two anonymous referees lead to substantial improvements in the presentation. This research was supported by JSPS Kakenhi Grants 20H00579, 20H00595, 20H05965, 22H05001 and 23K11043.

References

- [1] David Avis. Computational experience with the reverse search vertex enumeration algorithm. *Optimization Methods and Software*, 10(2):107–124, 1998.
- [2] A. Barvinok. *A Course in Convexity*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [3] W. Fenchel. *Convexity Through the Ages*, pages 120–130. Convexity and Its Applications. Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, 1983.
- [4] Komei Fukuda. *Polyhedral Computation*. ETH, Zurich, 2020.
- [5] Tim Hoheisel. Topics in convex analysis in matrix space. *Spring School on Variational Analysis, Paseky nad Jizerou, Czech Republic*, May 19-25, 2019.
- [6] A. Schrijver. *Theory of Linear and Integer programming*. Wiley-Interscience, 1986.
- [7] Günter M. Ziegler. *Lectures on polytopes*. Springer, 1995.