

# Self-dual polyhedra and polarity

David Avis

June 7, 2024

## Abstract

Every polyhedron can be described by an  $H$ -representation  $H(P)$  consisting of half spaces or equivalently by a  $V$ -representation  $V(P)$  as the convex hull of a set of vertices and extreme rays. By a suitable encoding we can define a polyhedron  $Q$  by setting  $H(Q) = V(P)$ . We call  $P$  self-dual if  $V(Q)$  is isomorphic to  $H(P)$ . It is well known and often stated that polytopes that contain the origin in their interior and pointed polyhedral cones are self-dual. It seems to be less well known that, more generally, a polyhedron is self-dual if and only if it contains the origin. We show this by using Minkowski's bipolar equation.

**Keywords:** Polyhedra, polarity, self-dual, vertex enumeration, facet enumeration.

We give basic definitions here, for a comprehensive treatment of the background material the reader is referred to the books of Barvinok [1], Fukuda [3] and Ziegler [4]. Let  $P$  be a full dimensional possibly unbounded polyhedron in  $R^n$  defined by its  $H$ -representation

$$b + Ax \geq 0 \quad Bx \geq 0 \tag{1}$$

for an  $m_A \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,  $m_B \times n$  matrix  $B$ , and a column vector  $b$  with non-zero entries. The assumption that  $P$  is full dimensional can be relaxed, as we will explain later. If we assume there are no redundant inequalities then since  $P$  is full dimensional this representation is unique up to permuting rows or scaling any row by a positive constant. Each inequality supports a *facet* of  $P$ . More specifically we will represent the  $H$ -representation of  $P$  by the  $(m_A + m_B) \times (n + 1)$  matrix

$$H(P) = \begin{bmatrix} b & A \\ 0_{m_B} & B \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

where  $0_{m_B}$  is a column vector of  $m_B$  zeroes. If  $0 \in P$  then  $b \geq 0$  and by scaling we can replace it by  $1_{m_A}$ , a column of  $m_A$  ones.

A point  $x \in P$  is a *vertex* of  $P$  if it is the unique solution of  $n$  inequalities in (1) expressed as equations. If  $P$  is unbounded it contains *extreme rays*. An extreme ray emanates from a vertex  $x \in P$  and is generated by a non-zero

$r \in R^n$  for which  $x + tr \in P$  for any scalar  $t \geq 0$ . If  $r$  generates an extreme ray we must have  $Ar \geq 0, Br \geq 0$ . Again, since  $P$  is full dimensional,  $P$  has a unique non-redundant  $V$ -representation consisting of an  $m_S \times n$  matrix  $S$  of vertices and an  $m_R \times n$  matrix  $R$  containing its extreme rays (if any). We will represent the  $V$ -representation of  $P$  by the  $(m_S + m_R) \times (n + 1)$  matrix

$$V(P) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{m_S} & S \\ 0_{m_R} & R \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Each facet of  $P$  is defined by a set of  $n$  vertices and/or rays which are affinely independent and span it. Computing  $H(P)$  from  $V(P)$  or vice versa is called the H/V conversion problem.

Due to the symmetry of (2) and (3) we can define a polyhedron  $Q$  by setting  $H(Q) = V(P)$  so that its  $H$ -representation is given by

$$1_{m_S} + Sx \geq 0 \quad Rx \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

Note that  $0 \in Q$ .

For two matrices  $D$  and  $E$  we say that they are isomorphic,  $D \simeq E$ , if by reordering the rows of  $E$  and multiplying them by positive scalars, if necessary, we can obtain  $D = E$ . We will apply this definition to matrices of the form (2) and (3). For the case where  $P$  is not full dimensional this definition is insufficient due to the non-uniqueness of representations. We discuss this at the end of the paper.

A natural question is when is  $V(Q) \simeq H(P)$ ? When this happens we call  $P$  *self-dual*<sup>1</sup>. If the origin is outside of  $P$  then there must be some facet of the form  $-1 + ax \geq 0$  and so  $P$  cannot be self-dual. We will prove that in all other cases it is.

**Example 1.** As an example consider the pyramid  $P$  in  $R^3$  with base containing vertices  $(0,1,0)$ ,  $(-1,0,0)$ ,  $(1,0,0)$  and apex  $(0,0,1)$  which has  $H$ -representation

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + x_1 - x_2 - x_3 &\geq 0 \\ 1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 &\geq 0 \\ x_3 &\geq 0 \\ x_2 &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

and is self-dual. We have

$$H(P) \simeq V(Q) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad V(P) \simeq H(Q) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Other more restrictive definitions of self-duality of polyhedra exist in the literature.

Note that the origin  $(0,0,0)$  is on the boundary of  $P$ , the midpoint of vertices  $(-1,0,0)$  and  $(1,0,0)$ , and that  $Q$  is unbounded since it has two extreme rays. On the other hand the origin is interior to  $Q$  and  $P$  is bounded.  $\square$

We will make use of a basic result on the polarity of convex sets. Given any polyhedron  $P$  in  $R^n$  its polar<sup>2</sup>

$$P^+ = \{z \in R^n : 1 + zx \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in P\}. \quad (5)$$

According to Fenchel's historical survey [2] (Section 10), polarity dates back to the work of Minkowski. The connection of polarity to the H/V conversion problem is given by the following result which shows that  $Q$  defined above is  $P^+$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $P$  be a full-dimensional polyhedron defined by a non-redundant  $V$ -representation (3). Then*

$$P^+ = \{z \in R^n : 1 + vz \geq 0, \forall v \in S, rz \geq 0, \forall r \in R\} \quad (6)$$

and hence  $H(P^+) \simeq V(P)$ .

*Proof.* Define  $Q$  to be the set on the right hand side of (6) and choose any  $z \in P^+$ . For any  $v \in S, r \in R, t \geq 0$ , we have  $v + tr \in P$ . Therefore by (5)  $1 + vz + trz \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and hence  $1 + vz \geq 0$  and  $rz \geq 0$ . Therefore  $z \in Q$ .

Now choose any  $z \in Q$  and  $x \in P$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{v \in S} \lambda_v v + \sum_{r \in R} \mu_r r, \quad 1_{|S|} \lambda = 1, \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0 \\ 1 + zx &= 1 + z \left( \sum_{v \in S} \lambda_v v + \sum_{r \in R} \mu_r r \right) \\ &= \sum_{v \in S} \lambda_v (1 + vz) + \sum_{r \in R} \mu_r rz \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $1 + vz \geq 0, \forall v \in S, rz \geq 0, \forall r \in R$ . This proves (6).

Since (3) is a non-redundant  $V$ -representation it follows that the inequalities in (6) are also non-redundant, hence  $Q$  is a non-redundant  $H$ -representation for  $P^+$  and  $H(P^+) \simeq V(P)$ .  $\square$

An immediate consequence of the lemma is that  $P$  is bounded if and only if the origin is interior to  $P^+$ . It also shows that the output of an H to V conversion problem for a polyhedron  $P$  is also the  $H$ -representation of  $P^+$ . It is not true in general, however, that the V to H conversion problem

---

<sup>2</sup>The polar is more often written as  $P^o = \{z \in R^n : zx \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in P\}$ , so that  $P^+ = -P^o$ .

for  $P$  outputs the  $V$ -representation of  $P^+$ . To see when this happens we use a slight extension of a fundamental result known as the bipolar equation which states that if the origin is interior to  $P$  then  $P^{++} = P$ . The proof follows that given in Barvinok IV.1 [1] extended to remove the condition that the origin is interior.

**Theorem 1** (Bipolar theorem<sup>3</sup>). *If  $P$  is a polyhedron then  $P^{++} = \text{conv}(P \cup \{0\})$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $P_0 = \text{conv}(P \cup \{0\})$ . By the definition of polarity  $0 \in P^{++}$ . Next for any  $x \in P$  we have  $1 + zx \geq 0$  for all  $z \in P^+$  and so  $x \in P^{++}$ . It follows by convexity that  $P_0 \subseteq P^{++}$ .

For the reverse inclusion suppose there is a point  $u \in P^{++}$  but  $u \notin P_0$ . Then by the separating hyperplane theorem (see e.g. [1] Thm III.1.3) there is a vector  $c \neq 0$  and scalar  $d$  such that  $d + cx > 0, x \in P_0$  and  $d + cu < 0$ . Since  $0 \in P_0$  we have  $d > 0$ . Set  $b = d^{-1}c$ . We have  $1 + bx > 0, x \in P_0$  and so  $1 + bx > 0, x \in P$  and hence  $b \in P^+$ . However  $1 + bu < 0$  which contradicts the assumption that  $u \in P^{++}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *The following statements are equivalent for any full dimensional polyhedron  $P$ :*

- (a)  $0 \in P$
- (b)  $P = P^{++}$
- (c)  $V(P^+) \simeq H(P)$
- (d)  $P$  is self-dual.

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$  (b): from Theorem 1 since  $P = \text{conv}(P \cup \{0\})$ .

(b)  $\implies$  (c): from (b) and Lemma 1 stating  $H(P^{++}) \simeq V(P^+)$ .

(c)  $\implies$  (d): from (c) and Lemma 1 stating  $H(P^+) \simeq V(P)$ .

(d)  $\implies$  (a): By contrapositive, if  $0 \notin P$  then  $P$  has a facet of the form  $-1 + ax \geq 0$  and cannot be self dual.  $\square$

The usual method for computing  $H(P)$  from  $V(P)$  is by lifting  $P$  to a cone  $C$  by prepending a column of zeroes to  $V(P)$ . By the self-duality of cones the resulting matrix can be used in an  $H$ -representation to  $V$ -representation conversion for  $C$ . The output rays are interpreted as facets of  $P$ . By the above Corollary, if  $0 \in P$  the lifting is not necessary and an  $H$  to  $V$  conversion can be applied directly to the matrix  $V(P)$ .

We now discuss the case where  $P$  is not full dimensional and contains *linearities*. These take the form  $b + ax = 0$  for an  $H$ -representation or as a point  $a \in R^n$  for which  $x + ta \in P$  for every  $x \in P, t \in R$ . We can incorporate linearities into  $H(P)$  and  $V(Q)$  by flagging the corresponding rows of (2) and (3). Note that for  $V(P)$  a linearity can only be a row of  $R$  and can be thought of as an extreme ray and its negation. For  $H(P)$ , it can

---

<sup>3</sup>The theorem and proof in fact apply to any closed convex set.

be considered as an inequality and its negation and must be in  $B$  if  $0 \in P$ . We assume that in  $H(P)$  and  $V(P)$  all linearities are explicitly flagged and that there are no ‘hidden linearities’.

In the presence of linearities the representations (2) and (3) are non-unique and we need to extend the definition of isomorphism. For two matrices  $D$  and  $E$  we write  $D \simeq E$  if by reordering the rows of  $E$ , multiplying them by positive scalars, and/or adding any scalar multiple of any linearity row to any row we can obtain  $D = E$ . Note that this reduces to the previous definition when there are no linearities.

Using this extended definition of isomorphism and the representation of linearities as either two extreme rays or two inequalities Lemma 1 extends to all polyhedra. Theorem 1 also applies to all polyhedra and so therefore does Corollary 1. We note that condition (a) implies that the only linearities possible in self-dual polyhedra are of the form  $ax = 0$ .

Finally we discuss the asymmetry between  $H$ -representation and  $V$ -representation and the role of the origin in self-duality. This is due to the fact that the ‘hyperplane at infinity’,  $1 + 0_n x \geq 0$ , coded as  $[1 \ 0_n]$  in (2), is valid for any  $H$ -representation. To obtain isomorphism with a  $V$ -representation it is necessary that the origin, also coded as  $[1 \ 0_n]$ , be included (perhaps redundantly) in  $V(P)$ . That is,  $0 \in P$ .

## Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to Komei Fukuda for many useful discussions and for encouraging him to write this paper.

## References

- [1] A. Barvinok. *A Course in Convexity*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [2] W. Fenchel. *Convexity Through the Ages*, pages 120–130. Convexity and Its Applications. Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, 1983.
- [3] Komei Fukuda. *Polyhedral Computation*. ETH, Zurich, 2020.
- [4] Günter M. Ziegler. *Lectures on polytopes*. Springer, 1995.