

Root graded groups revisited

Egor Voronetsky
 Chebyshev Laboratory,
 St. Petersburg State University,
 14th Line V.O., 29B,
 Saint Petersburg 199178 Russia

June 7, 2024

Dedicated to the memory of Nikolai Vavilov

Abstract

A group G is called root graded if it has a family of subgroups G_α indexed by roots from a root system Φ satisfying natural conditions similar to Chevalley groups over commutative unital rings. For any such group there is a corresponding algebraic structure (commutative unital ring, associative unital ring, etc.) encoding the commutator relations between G_α . We give a complete description of varieties of such structures for irreducible root systems of rank ≥ 3 excluding H_3 and H_4 . Moreover, we provide a construction of root graded groups for all algebraic structures from these varieties.

1 Introduction

Let K be a commutative unital ring and Φ be a root system. Structure of the Chevalley group $G(\Phi, K)$ (with respect to some weight lattice) is well-known [11]. Excepting some small rank cases, $G(\Phi, K)$ has the largest perfect subgroup $E(\Phi, K)$ generated by all root elements (the elementary subgroup), this subgroup is normal, the factor-group is solvable, and there is a classification of all subgroups of $G(\Phi, K)$ normalized by $E(\Phi, K)$ in terms of ideals of K and relative K_1 -functors. Some of these results require that K is finite-dimensional. If some structure constants are not invertible in K , then the classification of normal subgroups involves not only ideals, but also so-called admissible pairs [1]. Hence it is natural to consider a generalization of Chevalley groups where root subgroups with various root lengths are parameterized by different objects.

A root graded group G is an abstract group with root subgroups G_α such that, informally, they satisfy Chevalley commutator formula and they are permuted by some Weyl elements $n_\alpha \in G_\alpha G_{-\alpha} G_\alpha$. All Chevalley groups $G(\Phi, K)$ and their elementary subgroups are naturally root graded. Moreover, isotropic

reductive groups over semi-local rings with connected spectra are also root graded, see [2], [5, Exp. XXVI], and [8].

Each root graded group G determines a certain multi-sorted algebraic structure consisting of its root subgroups. Operations are given by the multiplications of G_α and the commutator maps between various root subgroups. Moreover, Weyl elements determine some distinguished elements in the root subgroups and identifications between root subgroups corresponding to roots of the same length (i.e. from the same orbit under the action of the Weyl group). The goal of this paper is to describe the varieties of such algebraic structures and to prove the existence theorem, namely, that every such structure comes from a root graded group.

We consider only non-crystallographic root systems Φ of rank ≥ 3 except H_3 and H_4 . Description of varieties (the “coordinatisation theorem”) is mostly done by T. Wiedemann in [15] (see also [10] for the simply laced case) except the case of non-crystallographic F_4 and the case of B_ℓ with $\lambda \neq -1$ (in our terminology from §7) not reduced to orthogonal groups over commutative rings. The existence theorem for root systems A_ℓ , D_ℓ , E_ℓ is well-known since the algebraic structures are associative and commutative unital rings. For B_ℓ with $\ell \geq 4$ the existence follows e.g. from the general theory of odd unitary groups [14]. Finally, for B_3 and F_4 only partial (though rather non-trivial) results were known, see [15] for details. There are also similar results for classical groups with non-degenerated commutator relations in the sense of [6, chapter I], see [13, 14]. Further references including history of these objects may be found in [15].

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 and §3 we discuss some general definitions and show how to explicitly construct a variety by the class of Φ -graded groups. In §4 we consider the important class of groups with B_ℓ -commutator relations and $A_{\ell-1}$ -Weyl elements, i.e. almost B_ℓ -graded groups but with some Weyl elements missing. This class has a relatively simple associated variety with 6 sorts and without complicated sign conventions in the axioms and commutator formulae. In §5 we apply these objects to prove the coordinatisation theorems for simply laced root systems. In the remaining sections 6–9 we focus on B_3 - and F_4 -graded groups. Since the associated varieties contain alternative rings, we recall necessary preliminaries in §6. The sections 7 and 8 contain the coordinatisation theorems for B_ℓ - and F_4 -graded groups respectively. Finally, in §9 we prove the existence theorem in full generality.

Our paper is mostly self-contained, we need only some basic results about alternative rings and root systems. Also, we use the existence of Chevalley groups of types E_ℓ . Actually, it is possible to use only $G(E_\ell, \mathbb{C})$ (in §5 and §8) since the method used in our existence theorem is applicable to E_ℓ as well, though we do not write this explicitly.

In general we say that (Φ, Ψ) -rings are the objects coordinatising groups with Φ -commutator relations and Ψ -Weyl elements for a root subsystem $\Psi \subseteq \Phi$. Thus our main theorems concern (Φ, Φ) -rings. For $\Phi = A_\ell$ they are just associative unital rings, for $\Phi = D_\ell$ and $\Phi = E_\ell$ such objects are commutative unital rings, for $\Phi = B_\ell$ they consist of an alternative unital ring (associative for $\ell \geq 4$) with a

pseudo-involution and an “odd form parameter”. Finally, (F_4, F_4) -rings consist of two alternative unital rings with involutions and some additional maps. There is a symmetry between these two rings is due to the outer automorphism of the non-crystallographic root system F_4 .

For convenience, let us compare Wiedemann’s alternative rings with Jordan modules (R, J) [15, definitions 8.1.5, 8.3.12, and 8.6.1] and our (B_3, B_3) -rings (R, Δ) from §7, i.e. alternative rings with odd form parameters, in the particular case $\lambda = -1$. Wiedemann’s nuclear involution x^σ is our pseudo-involution x^* (an actual involution since λ is central). The non-abelian groups J and Δ correspond to each other, Wiedemann’s “square-module” operation $\phi(u, x)$ is our $u \cdot x$, $\pi_1(u)$ is our $\rho(u)$, $T_1(x)$ is our $\phi(x)$, and $\psi(u, v)$ is our $-\langle u, v \rangle$. In the theory of odd form rings [12] actually $\langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\pi(u)} \pi(v)$ for some map $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow R_{01}$ for a right R -module R_{01} , it is explicitly constructed in [14] (but only if R is associative, e.g. for $\ell \geq 4$). There exist (B_ℓ, B_ℓ) -rings with $\lambda \neq -1$ (and not consisting of a commutative ring with quadratic form) by example 2 in §7, moreover, the corresponding B_ℓ -graded groups contain non-weakly-balanced Weyl triples in the sense of [15, definition 2.2.12].

2 Root graded groups

Recall that a (non-crystallographic) *root system* $\Phi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell \setminus \{0\}$ is a finite spanning subset of a Euclidean vector space such that

- if $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $\beta \in \Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\alpha$, then $\beta = \pm\alpha$;
- if $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, then $s_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - 2\frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{\alpha \cdot \alpha} \alpha \in \Phi$, where $\alpha \cdot \beta$ is the dot product.

We need the notation $]\alpha, \beta[= \Phi \cap (\mathbb{R}_{>0}\alpha + \mathbb{R}_{>0}\beta)$ for linearly independent roots α, β . The *Weyl group* of Φ is $W(\Phi) = \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi \rangle \leq O(\ell)$. A root system Φ is *irreducible* if it is non-empty (i.e. $\ell > 0$) and it is indecomposable into a disjoint union of non-empty orthogonal subsets. It is well-known that up to the choice of root lengths irreducible root systems are completely determined by their *type*: A_ℓ for $\ell \geq 1$, $B_\ell = C_\ell$ for $\ell \geq 2$, D_ℓ for $\ell \geq 4$, E_ℓ for $\ell \in \{6, 7, 8\}$, F_4 , G_2 , H_ℓ for $\ell \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, or I_2^m for $m \geq 7$. The lower index of a type denotes the space dimension.

A root system is called *crystallographic* if $2\frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{\alpha \cdot \alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$, but without the condition $\Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\alpha = \{\pm\alpha\}$. Such root systems are also classified by the type, but the non-crystallographic type B_ℓ splits into the crystallographic types B_ℓ and C_ℓ (it is distinct from B_ℓ for $\ell \geq 3$). There is an additional crystallographic type BC_ℓ for $\ell \geq 1$, after identifying co-directional roots it corresponds to the non-crystallographic B_ℓ for $\ell \geq 2$ or A_1 . The non-crystallographic types H_ℓ and I_2^m have no crystallographic realizations. For future references we list some root

systems of all crystallographic types following Bourbaki [3, §XI.4].

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\ell &= \{e_i - e_j \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell + 1\}, \\
B_\ell &= \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\} \sqcup \{\pm e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}, \\
C_\ell &= \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\} \sqcup \{\pm 2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}, \\
BC_\ell &= \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\} \sqcup \{\pm e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\} \sqcup \{\pm 2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}, \\
D_\ell &= \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\}, \\
E_6 &= \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 5\} \sqcup \{\pm \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^5 \sigma_i e_i + e_6 + e_7 - e_8) \mid \sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}, \sum_i \sigma_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\}, \\
E_7 &= \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 6\} \sqcup \{\pm(e_7 - e_8)\} \\
&\quad \sqcup \{\pm \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^6 \sigma_i e_i + e_7 - e_8) \mid \sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}, \sum_i \sigma_i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\}, \\
E_8 &= \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 8\} \sqcup \{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^8 \sigma_i e_i \mid \sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}, \sum_i \sigma_i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\}, \\
F_4 &= \{\pm e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \sqcup \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\} \sqcup \{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \sigma_i e_i \mid \sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}\}, \\
G_2 &= \{\pm(e_i - e_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} \sqcup \{\pm(2e_1 - e_2 - e_3), \pm(2e_2 - e_1 - e_3), \pm(2e_3 - e_1 - e_2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Until the end of this section Φ is an arbitrary non-crystallographic root system. We say that a subset $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ of a root system is *special closed* if it is an intersection of Φ with a convex cone not containing opposite non-zero vectors. An *extreme root* $\alpha \in \Sigma$ of a special closed subset $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ is a root from an extreme ray of the conical convex hull $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \alpha$ of Σ . Every non-empty special closed subset $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ contains an extreme root α and for any such α the set $\Sigma \setminus \{\alpha\}$ is also special closed. We say that a linear order on a special closed subset $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ is *right extreme* if either $\Sigma = \emptyset$ or the largest root $\alpha_{\max} \in \Sigma$ is extreme and the induced order on $\Sigma \setminus \{\alpha_{\max}\}$ is also right extreme. Right extreme orders are opposite to *extreme* orders from [15, definition 2.3.16]. Unlike Wiedemann we define group commutators as $[f, g] = f g f^{-1} g^{-1}$, so right extreme orders are more convenient. Clearly, every special closed subset admits a right extreme order.

A group G has Φ -commutator relations if it has a family of subgroups $(G_\alpha \leq G)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that

- $[G_\alpha, G_\beta] \leq \langle G_\gamma \mid \gamma \in]\alpha, \beta[\rangle$ for all linearly independent $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$;
- $G_\alpha \cap \langle G_\beta \mid \beta \in \Sigma \setminus \{\alpha\} \rangle = 1$ for all special closed subsets $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ and extreme $\alpha \in \Sigma$.

The second condition is a bit weaker than [15, definition 2.5.2, (iv)] and is usually not included in the definition of groups with commutator relations.

Suppose that G has Φ -commutator relations. An α -Weyl element for $\alpha \in \Phi$ is an element $n \in G_\alpha G_{-\alpha} G_\alpha$ such that ${}^n G_\beta = G_{s_\alpha(\beta)}$ for all $\beta \in \Phi$ (note that such n is called $(-\alpha)$ -Weyl in [15, definition 2.2.2]). Clearly, if $n = fgh$ is an α -Weyl element for $f \in G_\alpha, g \in G_{-\alpha}, h \in G_\alpha$, then $n^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1}f^{-1}$ is also α -Weyl element and $n = ghf^n = {}^n hfg$ is simultaneously $(-\alpha)$ -Weyl. A group G is called Φ -graded if for every root α there exists an α -Weyl element [15, definition 2.5.2].

For example, let Φ be a crystallographic root system distinct from BC_ℓ and K be a commutative unital ring. The *Chevalley group* $G^{\text{ad}}(\Phi, K)$ is the K -point group of the split reductive group scheme of adjoint type with the root system Φ . This group has a Φ -grading with natural isomorphisms $t_\alpha: K \rightarrow G_\alpha$ satisfying *Chevalley commutator formula*

$$[t_\alpha(x), t_\alpha(y)] = \prod_{\substack{i\alpha+j\beta \in \Phi \\ i, j \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}}} t_{i\alpha+j\beta}(N_{\alpha\beta ij} x^i y^j)$$

for non-opposite $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ and the formula

$$t_\alpha(a) t_{-\alpha}(-a^{-1}) t_\alpha(a) t_\beta(x) = t_{s_\alpha(\beta)}(d_{\alpha\beta} a^{-2\frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{\alpha \cdot \alpha}} x)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, where $a \in K^*$ and $x, y \in K$. Here $N_{\alpha\beta ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ are the *structure constants*. Their absolute values depend only on the orbit of $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi \times \Phi$ under the action of the Weyl group and the values of i, j in the case of $N_{\alpha\beta ij}$. On the other hand, the signs depend not only on the roots and the indices, but also on the choice of t_α . All Weyl elements are of the type $t_\alpha(a) t_{-\alpha}(-a^{-1}) t_\alpha(a)$ with $a \in K^*$. The *standard torus* of $G^{\text{ad}}(\Phi, K)$ is denoted by $T^{\text{ad}}(\Phi, K)$, it stabilizes the root subgroups. We make the identification

$$T^{\text{ad}}(\Phi, K) \cong \{g = (a_\alpha \in K^*)_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mid a_{i\alpha+j\beta} = a_\alpha^i a_\beta^j \text{ for } i\alpha+j\beta \in \Phi \text{ and } i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}, \mathcal{I}t_\alpha(x) = t_\alpha(a_\alpha x).$$

As another example, let R be an associative unital ring and $m \geq 2$. The general linear group $\text{GL}(m, R)$ has so-called *elementary transvections* $t_{ij}(x) = 1 + x e_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$, where 1 is the identity matrix and e_{ij} is a matrix unit. The maps $t_{ij}: R \rightarrow \text{GL}(m, R)$ are injective group homomorphisms, their images gives an A_{m-1} -grading on $\text{GL}(m, R)$. Namely,

- $[t_{ij}(x), t_{jk}(y)] = t_{ik}(xy)$ for $i \neq k$;
- $[t_{ij}(x), t_{kl}(y)] = 1$ for $j \neq k$ and $i \neq l$;
- $t_{ij}(a) t_{ji}(b) t_{ij}(c)$ is a Weyl element if and only if $a = c \in R^*$ and $b = -a^{-1}$.

The next lemma is a strengthening of the second condition from the definition of commutator relations, it is proved in [15, lemma 2.4.17] under a bit stronger assumption.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a group with Φ -commutator relations and $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ be a special closed subset. Then for any right extreme order on Σ the product map*

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma}^{\text{Set}} G_\alpha \rightarrow G, (g_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mapsto \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma} g_\alpha$$

with respect to this order is injective and its image G_Σ is a subgroup of G , i.e. $G_\Sigma = \langle G_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Sigma \rangle$.

Proof. Let us prove that the product map is injective by induction on Σ . Indeed, if $\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma} g_\alpha = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma} f_\alpha$ for $g_\alpha, f_\alpha \in G_\alpha$, then by the definition of Φ -commutator relations $g_{\alpha_{\max}} = f_{\alpha_{\max}}$, so by the induction hypothesis $g_\alpha = f_\alpha$ for remaining α .

Now we check that $G_\Sigma G_\alpha \subseteq G_\Sigma$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$ by induction on Σ . This is obvious if $\alpha = \alpha_{\max}$. Otherwise we have

$$G_\Sigma G_\alpha = G_{\Sigma \setminus \{\alpha_{\max}\}} G_{\alpha_{\max}} G_\alpha \subseteq G_{\Sigma \setminus \{\alpha_{\max}\}} [G_{\alpha_{\max}}, G_\alpha] G_\alpha G_{\alpha_{\max}} = G_{\Sigma \setminus \{\alpha_{\max}\}} G_{\alpha_{\max}} = G_\Sigma$$

by the induction hypothesis. \square

3 Abstract (Φ, \emptyset) -rings

We start an algebraic description of Φ -graded groups with the following definition. A (Φ, \emptyset) -ring consists of

- a family $(P_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ of groups with the group operations $\dot{+}$,
- for any linearly independent $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, any right extreme order \preceq on $]\alpha, \beta[$, and any $\gamma \in]\alpha, \beta[$ a map $C_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma, \preceq}: P_\alpha \times P_\beta \rightarrow P_\gamma$

such that for any special closed subset $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ there exists a group G_Σ together with group homomorphisms $t_\alpha: P_\alpha \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$ satisfying

- for any right extreme order \leq on Σ the product map $\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma}^{\text{Set}} P_\alpha \rightarrow G_\Sigma$, $(\zeta_\alpha)_\alpha \mapsto \prod_\alpha t_\alpha(\zeta_\alpha)$ from the set-theoretic product with respect to \leq is bijective;
- for any linearly independent $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ and any right extreme order \preceq on $]\alpha, \beta[$ the commutator formula

$$[t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta)] = \prod_{\gamma \in]\alpha, \beta[} t_\gamma(C_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma, \preceq}(\zeta, \eta))$$

holds for $\zeta \in P_\alpha, \eta \in P_\beta$.

The symbol \emptyset in the terminology means that we do not assume existence of any Weyl elements. For simple-laced and doubly-laced root systems (i.e. all root systems of rank ≥ 3 excluding H_3 and H_4) the order on $]\alpha, \beta[$ in the last condition is irrelevant and $C_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma, \preceq}$ is independent of \preceq .

If G is a group with Φ -commutator relations, then $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ is a (Φ, \emptyset) -ring by lemma 1, where t_α are the canonical inclusions. For Chevalley groups $G^{\text{ad}}(\Phi, K)$ we may also take $P_\alpha = K$ with standard t_α . Similarly, if $\Phi = A_{m-1}$ and $G = \text{GL}(m, R)$ is a general linear group, then we may take $P_\alpha = R$ and $t_{e_i - e_j}(x) = t_{ij}(x)$.

In general it is easy to see that the class of (Φ, \emptyset) -rings is a many-sorted variety of algebras. The operations of the corresponding algebraic theory are

- the group operations (multiplication, inversion, and the identity) on P_α and

- the maps $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ for every pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi^2$ of linearly independent roots and every $\gamma \in]\alpha, \beta[$, where the right extreme order $]\alpha, \beta[$ is fixed.

The remaining operations $C_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma, \preceq}$ (with different \preceq) may be easily expressed via the given ones. We assume that the fixed orders on $]\alpha, \beta[$ and $]\beta, \alpha[$ are opposite.

Consider the following string rewriting system. Its objects are formal strings $t_{\alpha_1}(\zeta_1) \cdots t_{\alpha_m}(\zeta_m)$ for $m \geq 0$, $\alpha_i \in \Phi$, $\zeta_i \in P_{\alpha_i}$. The rewrite rules are the following operations on substrings.

$$t_\alpha(\dot{0}) \rightarrow \varepsilon \text{ (the empty string); } \quad t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\alpha(\eta) \rightarrow t_\alpha(\zeta \dot{+} \eta);$$

$$t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\beta(\eta) \rightarrow \left(\prod_{\gamma \in]\alpha, \beta[} t_\gamma(C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\zeta, \eta)) \right) t_\beta(\eta) t_\alpha(\zeta) \text{ for } \alpha \nparallel \beta.$$

Let $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ be a special closed subset and \leq be a right extreme order on Σ . We restrict the rewrite rules to the class of formal strings with roots from Σ , in the last rule we also impose the condition $\alpha > \beta$. Such restricted rewrite system is terminating, i.e. there are no infinite chains $g_1 \rightarrow g_2 \rightarrow \dots$ of formal strings. A formal string is called *irreducible* if no rewrite rule may be applied to it, i.e. the roots in this string are strictly increasing and the arguments of t_α are non-zero.

Theorem 1. *Let Φ be a root system. For every pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi^2$ of linearly independent roots choose a right extreme order $\preceq_{\alpha\beta}$ on $]\alpha, \beta[$ such that $\preceq_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\preceq_{\beta\alpha}$ are opposite. The following is the complete list of axioms on the operations of (Φ, \emptyset) -rings.*

- All P_α are groups.
- The identities $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\zeta, \eta) = \dot{-} C_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma(\eta, \zeta)$ hold.
- For any ordered pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi^2$ of linearly independent roots choose a right extreme order \leq on $\Sigma = \Phi \cap (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\alpha + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\beta)$ such that $\alpha > \beta$. Apply any rewrite rules to $t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\alpha(\zeta') t_\beta(\eta)$ starting from $t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\alpha(\zeta') \rightarrow t_\alpha(\zeta \dot{+} \zeta')$ and $t_\alpha(\zeta') t_\beta(\eta) \rightarrow \dots$ until we obtain two irreducible formal strings. Then the arguments of the corresponding t_γ in these strings are equal (if t_γ appears only in one string, then its argument is zero). Also, $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\dot{0}, \eta) = \dot{0}$.
- For any triple $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \subseteq \Phi$ contained in an open half-space choose a right extreme order \leq on $\Sigma = \Phi \cap (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\alpha + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\beta + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\gamma)$ (say, such that $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$). Apply any rewrite rules to $t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\beta(\eta) t_\gamma(\theta)$ starting from $t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\beta(\eta) \rightarrow \dots$ and $t_\beta(\eta) t_\gamma(\theta) \rightarrow \dots$ until we obtain two irreducible formal strings. Then the arguments of the corresponding t_δ in these strings are equal (if t_δ appears only in one string, then its argument is zero).

Proof. We prove by induction on Σ that the rewrite system restricted to Σ is *confluent* (for any right extreme order on Σ) and there exists a group G_Σ with

the properties from the definition of (Φ, \emptyset) -rings. The confluence means that if $g_1 \leftarrow g \rightarrow g_2$, then g_1 and g_2 have a common reduction (i.e. they may be made equal using the rewrite rules). This is clear if the rules used in $g \rightarrow g_1$ and $g \rightarrow g_2$ are applied to disjoint substrings. Otherwise we may assume that g is the union of these substrings. In the calculations below we use the notation

$$\llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta) \rrbracket = \prod_{\gamma \in]\alpha, \beta[} t_\gamma(C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\zeta, \eta)) \in G_{\Sigma'}$$

for distinct roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$, where $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$ is a proper special closed subset containing $] \alpha, \beta [$ (so $G_{\Sigma'}$ exists by the induction hypothesis). Clearly,

$$\llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta) \rrbracket^{-1} = \llbracket t_\beta(\eta), t_\alpha(\zeta) \rrbracket$$

by the skew-symmetry of $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$. There are the following possibilities.

- If $g = t_\alpha(\dot{0}) t_\alpha(\zeta)$ or $g = t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\alpha(\dot{0})$, then $g_1 = g_2$ since $\dot{0}$ is the neutral element in P_α .
- If $g = t_\alpha(\dot{0}) t_\beta(\eta)$ or $g = t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\beta(\dot{0})$ for $\alpha > \beta$, then g_1 and g_2 have a common reduction by the identities $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\dot{0}, \eta) = C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\zeta, \dot{0}) = \dot{0}$. One of these identities is an axiom and another one follows from the skew-symmetry. From now on we may assume that no factor of g has zero argument, i.e. the first type of rewrite rules is not applicable to g .
- If $g = t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\alpha(\zeta') t_\alpha(\zeta'')$, then g_1 and g_2 reduce to $t_\alpha(\zeta \dot{+} \zeta' \dot{+} \zeta'')$ since $\dot{+}$ is associative.
- If $g = t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\alpha(\zeta') t_\beta(\eta)$ for $\alpha > \beta$, then g_1 and g_2 have a common reduction by an axiom.
- If $g = t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\beta(\eta) t_\beta(\eta')$ for $\alpha > \beta$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta) \rrbracket^{t_\beta(\eta)} \llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta') \rrbracket &= ({}^{t_\beta(\eta)} \llbracket t_\beta(\eta'), t_\alpha(\zeta) \rrbracket \llbracket t_\beta(\eta), t_\alpha(\zeta) \rrbracket)^{-1} \\ &= \llbracket t_\beta(\eta \dot{+} \eta'), t_\alpha(\zeta) \rrbracket^{-1} = \llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta \dot{+} \eta') \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

in $G_{]\alpha, \beta[\cup \{\beta\}}$, the second equality is an axiom.

- If $g = t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\beta(\eta) t_\gamma(\theta)$ for $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$, then it suffices to prove that

$$\llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta) \rrbracket^{t_\beta(\eta)} \llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\gamma(\theta) \rrbracket \llbracket t_\beta(\eta), t_\gamma(\theta) \rrbracket = {}^{t_\alpha(\zeta)} \llbracket t_\beta(\eta), t_\gamma(\theta) \rrbracket \llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\gamma(\theta) \rrbracket^{t_\gamma(\theta)} \llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta) \rrbracket$$

in $G_{\Sigma' \setminus \Sigma'_{\text{ex}}} \rtimes \star_{\delta \in \Sigma'_{\text{ex}}} t_\delta(P_\delta)$, where $\Sigma' = \Phi \cap (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\alpha + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\beta + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\gamma)$ and Σ'_{ex} is the set of its extreme roots ($\{\alpha, \beta\}$, $\{\alpha, \gamma\}$, or $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$). We write this identity as

$$\llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta) \rrbracket^{t_\beta(\eta)} \llbracket t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\gamma(\theta) \rrbracket \llbracket t_\beta(\eta), t_\gamma(\theta) \rrbracket^{t_\gamma(\theta)} \llbracket t_\beta(\eta), t_\alpha(\zeta) \rrbracket \llbracket t_\gamma(\theta), t_\alpha(\zeta) \rrbracket^{t_\alpha(\zeta)} \llbracket t_\gamma(\theta), t_\beta(\eta) \rrbracket = 1.$$

It is easy to see that this is symmetric under permutations of α, β, γ . Namely, the left hand side is replaced by a conjugate under even permutations and by a conjugate of the inverse under odd permutations. By an axiom, the identity holds for one of the permutations.

Now let us check that the group G_Σ exists. Fix a right extreme order \leq on Σ . Let G_Σ be the set of irreducible formal strings with respect to the restricted rewrite system. This is a group, the multiplication is given by concatenation and rewriting. The commutator formula holds by the rewrite rule for $t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\beta(\eta)$ or $t_\beta(\eta) t_\alpha(\zeta)$ and by the definition of $f_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma, \succeq}$ for different orders \preceq (these maps are defined in order to satisfy the commutator formula, they exist by the properties of $G_{\lceil\alpha, \beta\rceil}$). The product map $\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma}^{\text{Set}} P_\alpha \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ is bijective for any right extreme order \leq' on Σ by an argument similar to the proof of lemma 1. \square

For example, in the case $\Phi = \mathbf{A}_{n-1}$ let $R_{ij} = P_{e_i - e_j}$ and $x \times_{ijk} y = C_{e_i - e_j, e_j - e_k}^{e_i - e_k}(x, y)$, so $C_{e_j - e_k, e_i - e_j}^{e_i - e_k}(x, y) = -y \times_{ijk} x$. We get the axioms

- R_{ij} are groups;
- $(x \times_{ijk} y) \dot{+} z = z \dot{+} (x \times_{ijk} y)$ (this is the last axiom for coplanar α, β, γ);
- $(x \dot{+} x') \times_{ijk} y = x \times_{ijk} y \dot{+} x' \times_{ijk} y$, $x \times_{ijk} (y \dot{+} y') = x \times_{ijk} y \dot{+} x \times_{ijk} y'$;
- $(x \times_{ijk} y) \times_{ikl} z = x \times_{ijl} (y \times_{jkl} z)$ (this is the last axiom for linearly independent α, β, γ forming a base of a root subsystem of type \mathbf{A}_3).

Lemma 2. *Let G be a Φ -graded group, where the rank of Φ is at least 2. An element $n \in G_\alpha G_{-\alpha} G_\alpha$ is α -Weyl if and only if ${}^n G_\beta \leq G_{s_\alpha(\beta)}$ for all $\beta \in \Phi \setminus \mathbb{R}\alpha$.*

Proof. Suppose that ${}^n G_\beta \leq G_{s_\alpha(\beta)}$ for all β linearly independent with α . It suffices to prove that ${}^n G_{\pm\alpha} \leq G_{\mp\alpha}$. Indeed, since G is Φ -graded, the group $P_{\pm\alpha}$ is generated by the images of all $C_{\beta\gamma}^{\pm\alpha}$. Clearly,

$${}^n t_{\pm\alpha}(C_{\beta\gamma}^{\pm\alpha}(P_\beta, P_\gamma)) \leq t_{\mp\alpha}(C_{s_\alpha(\beta), s_\alpha(\gamma)}^{\mp\alpha}(P_{s_\alpha(\beta)}, P_{s_\alpha(\gamma)})). \quad \square$$

4 Groups with \mathbf{B}_ℓ -commutator relations and $\mathbf{A}_{\ell-1}$ -Weyl elements

From now on we assume that $\ell \geq 3$. Let $e_{-i} = -e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. It is convenient to use the following variation of $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathcal{O})$ -rings called *partial graded odd form rings* of rank ℓ [14]. Instead of P_α for long $\alpha = e_j - e_i$ we use two different groups R_{ij} and $R_{-j, -i}$ with the group operations $+$ connected by mutually inverse anti-isomorphisms $\overline{(-)}: R_{ij} \rightarrow R_{-j, -i}$ and $\overline{(-)}: R_{-j, -i} \rightarrow R_{ij}$, here $i, j \in \{-\ell, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, \ell\}$ have distinct absolute values. The homomorphisms $t_{e_j - e_i}: P_{e_j - e_i} \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ are replaced by $t_{ij}: R_{ij} \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ and $t_{-j, -i}: R_{-j, -i} \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ such that

$$t_{ij}(a) = t_{-j, -i}(\overline{-a}).$$

Also, we write Δ_i^0 instead of P_{e_i} and $t_i: \Delta_i^0 \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ instead of t_{e_i} , here $i \in \{-\ell, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, \ell\}$. The operations $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ are replaced by certain binary oper-

ations from the following commutator formulae.

$$\begin{aligned}
[t_{ij}(x), t_{jk}(y)] &= t_{ik}(xy) \text{ for distinct } |i|, |j|, |k|; \\
[t_{-i,j}(x), t_{ji}(y)] &= t_i(x * y) \text{ for } |i| \neq |j|; \\
[t_i(u), t_j(v)] &= t_{-i,j}(-u \circ v) \text{ for } |i| \neq |j|; \\
[t_i(u), t_{ij}(x)] &= t_{-i,j}(u \triangleleft x) t_j(\dot{-}u \cdot (-x)) \text{ for } |i| \neq |j|.
\end{aligned}$$

We explicitly list all axioms of these objects using theorem 1 assuming that all indices have distinct absolute values.

- The objects R_{ij} and Δ_i^0 are groups with the group operations $+$ and $\dot{+}$ respectively;
- $\overline{(-)}: R_{ij} \rightarrow R_{-j,-i}$ are involutions, i.e. anti-isomorphisms with the property $\overline{\overline{x}} = x$;
- $\underline{R}_{ij} \times R_{jk} \rightarrow R_{ik}$, $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ are biadditive with central images, $\overline{(xy)} = yx$;
- $R_{-i,j} \times R_{ji} \rightarrow \Delta_i^0$, $(x, y) \mapsto x * y$ are biadditive with central images, $x * y \dot{+} \overline{y} * x = \dot{0}$;
- $\underline{\Delta}_i^0 \times \underline{\Delta}_j^0 \rightarrow R_{-i,j}$, $(u, v) \mapsto u \circ v$ are biadditive with central images, $u \circ v = v \circ u$;
- $u \circ (x * y) + u \triangleleft (-\overline{x}) + y = y + u \triangleleft (-\overline{x})$ holds for $u \in \Delta_i^0$, $x \in R_{-j,-i}$, $y \in R_{-i,j}$;
- $u \cdot x \dot{+} v = v \dot{+} u \cdot x \dot{-} \overline{x} * (u \circ v)$ holds for $u \in \Delta_i^0$, $x \in R_{ij}$, $v \in \Delta_j^0$;
- $\underline{\Delta}_i^0 \times R_{ij} \rightarrow R_{-i,j}$, $(u, x) \mapsto u \triangleleft x$ satisfy $(u \dot{+} v) \triangleleft x = v \triangleleft x + u \circ (v \cdot (-x)) + u \triangleleft x$ and $u \triangleleft (x + y) = u \triangleleft x + u \triangleleft y$;
- $\underline{\Delta}_i^0 \times R_{ij} \rightarrow \underline{\Delta}_j^0$, $(u, x) \mapsto u \cdot x$ satisfy $(u \dot{+} v) \cdot x = u \cdot x \dot{+} v \cdot x$ and $u \cdot (x + y) = u \cdot x \dot{+} \overline{y} * (u \triangleleft x) \dot{+} u \cdot y$;
- $(xy)z = x(yz)$ holds for $x \in R_{ij}$, $y \in R_{jk}$, $z \in R_{kl}$ (this identity is vacuous for $\ell = 3$);
- $xy * z = x * yz$ holds for $x \in R_{-i,j}$, $y \in R_{jk}$, $z \in R_{ki}$;
- $u \circ (x * y) = 0$ holds for $u \in \Delta_i^0$, $x \in R_{-j,k}$, $y \in R_{kj}$;
- $u \circ (v \cdot x) = (u \circ v) x$ holds for $u \in \Delta_i^0$, $v \in \Delta_j^0$, $x \in R_{jk}$;
- $\overline{x}(u \triangleleft y) + (u \cdot x) \circ (u \cdot y) + \overline{(u \triangleleft x)} y = 0$ holds for $u \in \Delta_i^0$, $x \in R_{ij}$, $y \in R_{ik}$;
- $(x * y) \triangleleft z = x(yz) - \overline{y}(\overline{x}z)$ and $(x * y) \cdot z = \overline{z}x * yz$ hold for $x \in R_{-i,j}$, $y \in R_{ji}$, $z \in R_{ik}$;

- $(u \triangleleft x) y = u \triangleleft xy$, $(\dot{-}u \cdot x) \triangleleft y = \overline{(u \triangleleft x)}(xy)$, and $(u \cdot x) \cdot y = u \cdot xy$ hold for $u \in \Delta_i^0$, $x \in R_{ij}$, $y \in R_{jk}$.

The following lemma is essentially a possible replacement of the axiom $(\dot{-}u \cdot x) \triangleleft y = \overline{(u \triangleleft x)}(xy)$.

Lemma 3. *The identity $(u \cdot x) \triangleleft y = \bar{x}(u \triangleleft xy)$ holds for $u \in \Delta_i^0$, $x \in R_{ij}$, $y \in R_{jk}$, where $|i|, |j|, |k|$ are distinct.*

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
(u \cdot x) \triangleleft y &= \overline{(\dot{-}u \cdot x) \triangleleft y} = -\bar{x}((\dot{-}u \cdot x) \triangleleft y) - (u \cdot x) \circ (u \cdot xy) \\
&= -\bar{x}(u \circ (u \cdot (-xy))) - u \triangleleft xy - (u \cdot x) \circ (u \cdot xy) \\
&= \bar{x}(u \triangleleft xy) - (u \cdot x) \circ (u \cdot (-xy)) \dot{+} u \cdot xy = \bar{x}(u \triangleleft xy). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

From now on we fix a group G with \mathbf{B}_ℓ -commutator relations. Let $(R_{ij}, \Delta_i^0)_{ij}$ be the corresponding partial graded odd form ring. Suppose that G has Weyl elements

$$n_{i,i+1} = t_{i,i+1}(a_i) t_{i+1,i}(b_i) t_{i,i+1}(c_i)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$, so G has α -Weyl elements for all roots α from the root subsystem $\mathbf{A}_{\ell-1}$. The next lemma implies that R_{ij} are abelian groups.

Lemma 4. *Let $n = t_{ij}(a) t_{ji}(b) t_{ij}(c)$ be a Weyl element. Then for any $k \notin \{i, -i, j, -j\}$ we have*

- ${}^n t_{ik}(x) = t_{jk}(bx)$ and $a(bx) = -x$ for $x \in R_{ik}$;
- ${}^n t_{jk}(x) = t_{ik}(cx)$ and $b(cx) = -x$ for $x \in R_{jk}$;
- ${}^n t_{ki}(x) = t_{kj}(-xc)$ and $(xc)b = -x$ for $x \in R_{ki}$;
- ${}^n t_{kj}(x) = t_{ki}(-xb)$ and $(xb)a = -x$ for $x \in R_{kj}$;
- ${}^n t_i(u) = t_j(u \cdot (-c))$, ${}^n t_j(u) = t_i(u \cdot (-b))$;
- ${}^n t_{\pm i, j}(x) = t_{\pm j, i}(W(x))$ for a unique group isomorphisms $R_{\pm i, j} \rightarrow R_{\pm j, i}$, $x \mapsto W(x)$;
- $W(xy) = -(bx)(yb)$ for $x \in R_{ik}$, $y \in R_{kj}$ and $W(xy) = (\bar{c}x)(yb)$ for $x \in R_{-i, k}$, $y \in R_{kj}$;
- $W(x)(cy) = b(xy)$ for $x \in R_{ij}$, $y \in R_{jk}$ and $W(x)(cy) = -\bar{c}(xy)$ for $x \in R_{-i, j}$, $y \in R_{jk}$;
- $(xc)W(y) = (xy)b$ for $x \in R_{ki}$, $y \in R_{ij}$ and $(x\bar{b})W(y) = -(xy)b$ for $x \in R_{k, -i}$, $y \in R_{-i, j}$;
- $a = c$.

Proof. The first five properties follow by direct calculations inside various groups G_Σ , the six one is clear. Using them, the next three properties follow from conjugating the commutator formula $[t_{pq}(x), t_{qr}(y)] = t_{pr}(xy)$ by n for appropriate p, q, r . Now take an index k such that $\text{sign}(k) = \text{sign}(j)$ and $|i|, |j|, |k|$ are distinct. Choose a Weyl element $n' = t_{jk}(a') t_{kj}(b') t_{jk}(c')$, then

$$a = -(ac') b' = (a(b(cc')) b' = -(cc') b' = c. \quad \square$$

Let $e_{ij} = a_i \cdots a_{j-1}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$, $e_{ij} = (-1)^{i-j} b_{i-1} \cdots b_j$ for $1 \leq j < i \leq \ell$, and $e_{ij} = e_{-j, -i}$ for distinct $i, j < 0$. In particular, $a_i = c_i = e_{i, i+1}$ and $b_i = -e_{i+1, i}$.

Lemma 5. *The elements e_{ij} satisfy the following.*

- $e_{ij} e_{jk} = e_{ik}$ for distinct i, j, k of the same sign.
- $n_{ij} = t_{ij}(e_{ij}) t_{ji}(-e_{ji}) t_{ij}(e_{ij}) = n_{ji}^{-1} = n_{-i, -j}$ are Weyl elements for distinct i and j of the same sign.
- $n_{ij} n_{jk} = n_{ik} = n_{ij}^{n_{jk}}$ for distinct i, j, k of the same sign.
- $[n_{ij}, n_{kl}] = 1$ for distinct i, j, k, l of the same sign.

Proof. By definition, $e_{ij} e_{jk} = e_{ik}$ if $i < j < k$ or $i > j > k$. Since $n_{i, i+1}$ are Weyl elements, $e_{ji} e_{i, i+1} = e_{j, i+1}$ and $e_{i+1, i} e_{ij} = e_{i+1, j}$ for $j \notin \{i, i+1\}$ by lemma 4. In particular, $n_{ij} = n_{i, i+1} n_{i+1, j}$ for $j \notin \{i, i+1\}$. An easy induction shows that $n_{ij} = n_{-i, -j}$ and $n_{ji} = n_{-j, -i} = n_{ij}^{-1}$ are Weyl elements for $0 < i < j$. We have $(x e_{ij}) e_{ji} = x$ for $x \in R_{ki}$ and $e_{ji}(e_{ij} y) = y$ for $y \in R_{jk}$ by lemma 4, where $|k| \notin \{|i|, |j|\}$. In particular, $e_{ij} e_{jk} = e_{ik}$ and $n_{ij} n_{jk} = n_{ik} = n_{ij}^{n_{jk}}$ for distinct i, j, k of the same sign. The last property is obvious. \square

It turns out that in our case $(R_{ij}, \Delta_i^0)_{ij}$ has a matrix-like structure.

Lemma 6. *If R_{ij} and R_{kl} are defined, $\text{sign}(i) = \text{sign}(k)$, and $\text{sign}(j) = \text{sign}(l)$, then there exists a unique isomorphism $R_{ij} \cong R_{kl}$ composed from the left and right multiplications by e_{pq} . If $\text{sign}(i) = \text{sign}(j)$, then there exists a unique isomorphism $\Delta_i^0 \cong \Delta_j^0$ composed from the maps $(-) \cdot e_{pq}$. All operations of partial graded odd form rings are preserved under these isomorphisms.*

Proof. The existence of isomorphisms is clear. In order to prove the uniqueness for $R_{ij} \cong R_{kl}$ it suffices to check the following identities, where all indices have distinct absolute values.

$$\begin{aligned} (x e_{ij}) e_{ji} &= x \text{ for } x \in R_{ki}. \\ (x e_{ij}) e_{jk} &= x e_{ik} \text{ for } x \in R_{li}. \\ (e_{ij} x) e_{kl} &= e_{ij} (x e_{kl}) \text{ for } x \in R_{jk}. \\ (e_{\varepsilon j, \varepsilon i} (x e_{jk})) e_{ki} &= e_{\varepsilon j, \varepsilon k} ((e_{\varepsilon k, \varepsilon i} x) e_{ji}) \text{ for } x \in R_{\varepsilon i, j} \text{ and } \varepsilon = \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if $R_{ij} \rightarrow R_{ij}$ is a composition of such multiplications, then we may use the first two identities (and their duals) to reduce the number of multiplications.

Such identities are not applicable if left and right multiplications alternate. But in this case either we may apply the third identity (and then reduce the number of multiplications), or all indices take only 3 absolute values and we may apply the last identity. The first three identities easily follow from lemmas 4, 5, and $n^{ij}t_{kl}(x) = t_{kl}(x)$ for indices with distinct absolute values. The last identity follows from lemma 4 and

$$e_{\varepsilon j, \varepsilon k}((e_{\varepsilon k, \varepsilon i}x)e_{ji}) = -W_{ji}(e_{\varepsilon i, \varepsilon k}(e_{\varepsilon k, \varepsilon i}x)) = -W_{ji}(x) = -W_{ji}((xe_{jk})e_{kj}) = (e_{\varepsilon j, \varepsilon i}(xe_{jk}))e_{ki}.$$

The uniqueness claim for $\Delta_i^0 \cong \Delta_j^0$ means that $(u \cdot e_{ij}) \cdot e_{ji} = u$ for $u \in \Delta_i^0$. This follows from lemma 4 and the identity $n_{ij}^{-1} = n_{ji}$ from lemma 5.

It remains to check that the operations are preserved under these isomorphisms. The only non-trivial case is the multiplication maps $R_{ij} \times R_{jk} \rightarrow R_{ik}$ since for other operations we may just apply corresponding axioms of partial graded odd form rings and lemma 3. If $|l| \notin \{|i|, |j|, |k|\}$, then $(xy)e_{kl} = x(ye_{kl})$, $e_{li}(xy) = (e_{li}x)y$, $xy = (xe_{jl})(e_{lj}y)$ for $x \in R_{ij}$, $y \in R_{jk}$. Also,

$$(e_{\pm k, i}x)(ye_{k, \pm i}) = -W_{\pm k, i}(xy) = -W_{\pm k, i}(((xy)e_{k, \varepsilon j})e_{\varepsilon j, k}) = (e_{\pm k, i}((xy)e_{k, \varepsilon j}))e_{\varepsilon j, \pm i}$$

for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and

$$e_{\pm j, i}(xy) = -W_{\pm i, j}(x)(e_{\pm i, j}y)$$

for such x, y by lemma 4. □

Using lemma 6 we identify the groups R_{ij} and Δ_i^0 using the canonical isomorphisms. We call the resulting object a $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{A}_{\ell-1})$ -ring. Some binary operations may be replaced by unary ones, namely, let $x * y = \phi(xy)$, where $\phi(x) = x * e_{ij}$, and $u \triangleleft x = \widehat{\rho}(u)x$, where $\widehat{\rho}(u) = u \triangleleft e_{ij}$.

Formally, a $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{A}_{\ell-1})$ -ring consists of

- abelian groups R_{ij} for $i, j \in \{-, +\}$;
- involutions $R_{ij} \rightarrow R_{-j, -i}$, $x \mapsto \bar{x}$, i.e. isomorphisms with the property $\overline{\bar{x}} = x$;
- biadditive multiplication maps $R_{ij} \times R_{jk} \rightarrow R_{ik}$ such that $\overline{(xy)} = \bar{y}\bar{x}$;
- two-sided units $1_i \in R_{ii}$ for the multiplication maps (necessarily $\bar{1}_i = 1_{-i}$);
- groups Δ_-^0 and Δ_+^0 with the group operations $\dot{+}$;
- group homomorphisms $\phi: R_{-i, i} \rightarrow \Delta_i^0$ with central images such that $\phi(x + \bar{x}) = \bar{0}$;
- biadditive maps $\Delta_i^0 \times \Delta_j^0 \rightarrow R_{-i, j}$, $(u, v) \mapsto u \circ v$ such that $\overline{u \circ v} = v \circ u$;
- maps $\widehat{\rho}: \Delta_i^0 \rightarrow R_{-i, i}$ such that $\widehat{\rho}(u \dot{+} v) = \widehat{\rho}(u) - u \circ v + \widehat{\rho}(v)$ and $\widehat{\rho}(u) + u \circ u + \widehat{\rho}(\bar{u}) = \bar{0}$;
- maps $(-) \cdot (=): \Delta_i^0 \times R_{ij} \rightarrow \Delta_j^0$ such that $(u \dot{+} v) \cdot x = u \cdot x \dot{+} v \cdot x$ and $u \cdot (x + y) = u \cdot x \dot{+} \phi(\bar{y}(\widehat{\rho}(u)x)) \dot{+} u \cdot y$

Moreover, the operations satisfy additional axioms

$$\begin{aligned}
u \dot{+} v &= v \dot{+} u \dot{-} \phi(u \circ v), & \phi(xy) \cdot z &= \phi(\bar{z}x)(yz), \\
\phi((xy)z) &= \phi(x(yz)), & \widehat{\rho}(u \cdot x)y &= (\bar{x}\widehat{\rho}(u))(xy), \\
u \circ \phi(x) &= 0, & u \circ (v \cdot x) &= (u \circ v)x, \\
\widehat{\rho}(\phi(xy))z &= x(yz) - \bar{y}(\bar{x}z), & (u \cdot x) \cdot y &= u \cdot xy, \\
(\widehat{\rho}(u)x)y &= \widehat{\rho}(u)(xy), & u \cdot 1_i &= u \text{ for } u \in \Delta_i^0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the multiplication is associative if $\ell \geq 4$. Other than this requirement, the definition is independent of ℓ .

Lemma 7. *Let G be a group with B_ℓ -commutator relations and $A_{\ell-1}$ -Weyl elements for $\ell \geq 3$. We parameterize the root subgroups by components of the corresponding $(B_\ell, A_{\ell-1})$ -ring $(R_{ij}, \Delta_i^0)_{i,j \in \{-, +\}}$. An element $n = t_{ij}(a)t_{ji}(b)t_{ij}(c)$ is Weyl if and only if*

- $a = c$ is invertible, $b = -a^{-1}$ (i.e. $ab = -1_i$ and $ba = -1_j$);
- $a^{-1}(ax) = x$, $a(a^{-1}x) = x$, $(xa)a^{-1} = x$, $(xa^{-1})a = x$;
- $(\zeta x)\eta = \zeta(x\eta)$, $(\zeta x)(y\zeta) = \zeta(xy)\zeta$, $\zeta(x(\zeta y)) = (\zeta x\zeta)y$, $((x\zeta)y)\zeta = x(\zeta y\zeta)$ for $\zeta, \eta \in \{a, a^{-1}, \bar{a}, \bar{a}^{-1}\}$.

If $|k| \notin \{|i|, |j|\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^n t_{ik}(x) &= t_{jk}(-a^{-1}x), & {}^n t_{-i,j}(x) &= t_{-i,j}(\bar{a}^{-1}\bar{x}a), & {}^n t_i(u) &= t_j(u \cdot (-a)), \\
{}^n t_{jk}(x) &= t_{ik}(ax), & {}^n t_{i,-j}(x) &= t_{i,-j}(a\bar{x}\bar{a}^{-1}), & {}^n t_{-i}(u) &= t_{-j}(u \cdot (-\bar{a}^{-1})), \\
{}^n t_{ki}(x) &= t_{kj}(-xa), & {}^n t_{ij}(x) &= t_{ji}(-a^{-1}xa^{-1}), & {}^n t_j(u) &= t_i(u \cdot a^{-1}), \\
{}^n t_{kj}(x) &= t_{ki}(xa^{-1}), & {}^n t_{ji}(x) &= t_{ij}(-axa), & {}^n t_{-j}(u) &= t_{-i}(u \cdot \bar{a}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The conditions for n to be a Weyl element and the following group of formulae follow from lemma 4 applied to $n = t_{ij}(a)t_{ji}(b)t_{ij}(a) = t_{ji}(b)t_{ij}(a)t_{ji}(b)$ and n^{-1} . Conversely, if the conditions hold, then it may be checked using the axioms of $(B_\ell, A_{\ell-1})$ -rings, lemma 2, and calculations in various G_Σ that n is indeed a Weyl element. \square

Lemma 8. *Let G be a group with B_ℓ and $A_{\ell-1}$ -Weyl elements for $\ell \geq 3$. We parameterize the root subgroups by components of the corresponding $(B_\ell, A_{\ell-1})$ -ring $(R_{ij}, \Delta_i^0)_{i,j \in \{-, +\}}$. An element $n = t_i(u)t_{-i}(v)t_i(w)$ is Weyl if and only if $\widehat{\rho}(u) = \widehat{\rho}(w)$ is invertible (i.e. there is $a \in R_{i,-i}$ such that $a\widehat{\rho}(u) = 1_i$ and $\widehat{\rho}(u)a = 1_{-i}$), $\widehat{\rho}(v) = \overline{\widehat{\rho}(w)}^{-1}$, $v = w \cdot (-\widehat{\rho}(w)^{-1})$, and $u = w \cdot \widehat{\rho}(w)^{-1} \overline{\widehat{\rho}(w)}$. If $i \neq \pm j$, then,*

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^n t_{ij}(x) &= t_{-i,j}(\widehat{\rho}(w)x), & {}^n t_{-i,j}(x) &= t_{ij}(\overline{\widehat{\rho}(w)}^{-1}x), \\
{}^n t_j(\zeta) &= t_j(\zeta \dot{-} v \cdot (w \circ \zeta)), \\
{}^n t_i(\zeta) &= t_{-i}((\zeta \dot{-} v \cdot (w \circ \zeta)) \cdot \widehat{\rho}(w)^{-1}), \\
{}^n t_{-i}(\zeta) &= t_i((\zeta \dot{-} v \cdot (w \circ \zeta)) \cdot \overline{\widehat{\rho}(w)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The expressions ${}^n t_\alpha(\zeta)$ for $\alpha \neq \pm e_i$ may be simplified using calculations in corresponding G_Σ and axioms of $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{A}_{\ell-1})$ -rings. It turns out that these expressions are of type $t_{s_{e_i}(\alpha)}(\eta)$ if and only if u, v, w satisfy the conditions from the statement. By lemma 2 these conditions are necessary and sufficient for n to be a Weyl element. The same calculations give explicit values of the arguments η . Finally, ${}^n t_{\pm i}(\zeta)$ may be calculated using conjugation by n the identity

$$[t_{\pm j}(\dot{\zeta}), t_{\pm j, \pm i}(-1_{\pm \text{sign}(i)})] = t_{\mp i, \pm j}(\widehat{\rho}(\zeta)) t_{\pm i}(\zeta),$$

where $j \neq \pm i$ has the same sign as i . □

5 ADE-graded groups

Recall that if R is an associative unital ring and $m \geq 3$, then the *Steinberg group* $\text{St}(m, R)$ is the abstract group with the generators $t_{ij}(x)$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$, $x \in R$ and the relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ij}(x) t_{ij}(x') &= t_{ij}(x + x'); \\ [t_{ij}(x), t_{jk}(y)] &= t_{ik}(xy) \text{ for } i \neq k; \\ [t_{ij}(x), t_{kl}(y)] &= 1 \text{ for } j \neq k \text{ and } i \neq l. \end{aligned}$$

This group is \mathbf{A}_{m-1} -graded. Similarly, if K is a commutative unital ring and Φ is a crystallographic root system of rank ≥ 2 , then the Steinberg group $\text{St}(\Phi, K)$ is generated by $t_\alpha(x)$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$, $x \in K$ with the relations

$$t_\alpha(x) t_\alpha(x') = t_\alpha(x + x'); \quad [t_\alpha(x), t_\beta(y)] = \prod_{\substack{i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi \\ i, j \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}}} t_{i\alpha + j\beta}(N_{\alpha\beta ij} x^i y^j).$$

This group is Φ -graded.

Theorem 2. *Let G be an \mathbf{A}_ℓ -graded group for $\ell \geq 3$. Then there exists an associative unital ring R and a homomorphism $Q: \text{St}(\ell + 1, R) \rightarrow G$ inducing isomorphisms between the root subgroups (so we may identify P_α with R).*

Any other such homomorphism $Q': \text{St}(\ell + 1, R') \rightarrow G$ is of the following type. Choose a ring isomorphism $F: R' \rightarrow R$ and elements $a_1, \dots, a_{\ell+1} \in R^$ and let $Q'(t_{ij}(x)) = Q(t_{ij}(a_i F(x) a_j^{-1}))$. The tuple $(F, a_1, \dots, a_{\ell+1})$ is uniquely determined by Q' up to the change $F \mapsto c^{-1} F c$, $a_i \mapsto a_i c$ for $c \in R^*$.*

Proof. We may consider G as a group with $\mathbf{B}_{\ell+1}$ commutator relations by taking $G_\alpha = 1$ for additional roots α . Let $(R_{ij}, \Delta_i^0)_{i, j \in \{-, +\}}$ be the $(\mathbf{B}_{\ell+1}, \mathbf{A}_\ell)$ -ring constructed by G . Clearly, $R_{+-} = R_{-+} = 0$, $\Delta_-^0 = \Delta_+^0 = \dot{0}$, and $R_{--} \cong R_{++}^{\text{op}}$, $x \mapsto \bar{x}$. By definition of $(\mathbf{B}_{\ell+1}, \mathbf{A}_\ell)$ -rings, R_{++} is an associative unital ring and the relations between the root elements $t_{ij}(x)$ are the same as in the Steinberg group.

Suppose that $Q: \text{St}(\ell + 1, R) \rightarrow G$ and $Q': \text{St}(\ell + 1, R') \rightarrow G$ are group homomorphisms inducing isomorphisms between root subgroups. There are

additive isomorphisms $F_{ij}: R' \rightarrow R$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell + 1$ such that $Q'(t_{ij}(x)) = Q(t_{ij}(F_{ij}(x)))$. It follows that $F_{ij}(a)F_{jk}(b) = F_{ik}(ab)$ for distinct i, j, k . In particular,

$$F_{ij}(1)F_{ji}(1)F_{ik}(a) = F_{ij}(1)F_{jk}(a) = F_{ik}(a)$$

for $k \notin \{i, j\}$, so $F_{ij}(1)F_{ji}(1) = 1$. Let $a_i = F_{i, \ell+1}(1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $a_{\ell+1} = 1$, and $F(x) = F_{\ell+1, 1}(x)a_1$. Then $F_{ij}(1) = a_i a_j^{-1}$, $F_{ij}(x) = a_i F(x) a_j^{-1}$, $F(1) = 1$, and $F(xy) = F(x)F(y)$. Conversely, for any such tuple $(F, a_1, \dots, a_{\ell+1})$ the resulting homomorphism Q' is a well-defined homomorphism from the Steinberg group inducing isomorphisms on the root subgroups. \square

Recall that the *even special orthogonal group* $\mathrm{SO}(2\ell, K)$ for $\ell \geq 2$ is the Chevalley group $G(\mathrm{D}_\ell, K)$ for a suitable choice of the weight lattice, it is D_ℓ -graded. The corresponding Steinberg group $\mathrm{StO}(2\ell, K)$ is also D_ℓ -graded, it has generators $t_{ij}(x)$ for $x \in K$, $i \neq \pm j$ and the relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ij}(x)t_{ij}(x') &= t_{ij}(x+x'), & [t_{ij}(x), t_{kl}(y)] &= 1 \text{ for } \{-i, j\} \cap \{k, -l\} = \emptyset, \\ t_{ij}(x) &= t_{-j, -i}(-x), & [t_{ij}(x), t_{jk}(y)] &= t_{ik}(xy) \text{ for } i \neq \pm k, \\ & & [t_{-i, j}(x), t_{ji}(y)] &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. *Let G be a D_ℓ -graded group for $\ell \geq 4$. Then there is a commutative unital ring K and a homomorphism $Q: \mathrm{StO}(2\ell, K) \rightarrow G$ from the even orthogonal Steinberg group inducing isomorphisms between the root subgroups.*

Any other such homomorphism $Q': \mathrm{StO}(2\ell, K') \rightarrow G$ is of the following type. Choose a ring isomorphism $F: K' \rightarrow K$ and elements $a_{-\ell}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in K^$ such that $a_i a_{-i} = a_j a_{-j}$ and let $Q'(t_{ij}(x)) = Q(t_{ij}(F(x) a_i / a_j))$. The tuple $(F, a_i)_i$ is uniquely determined by Q' up to the change $a_i \mapsto a_i c^{\varepsilon_i}$ for $c \in K^*$.*

Proof. Let $(R_{ij}, \Delta_i^0)_{i, j \in \{-, +\}}$ be the $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{A}_{\ell-1})$ -ring constructed by G , $\Delta_-^0 = \Delta_+^0 = \hat{0}$. Choose a Weyl element

$$n_{-1, 2} = t_{-1, 2}(1_{-+})t_{2, -1}(-1_{+-})t_{-1, 2}(1_{-+})$$

with $1_{-+}1_{+-} = 1_-$ and $1_{+-}1_{-+} = 1_+$ by lemma 7. Then $\begin{pmatrix} R_{--} & R_{-+} \\ R_{+-} & R_{++} \end{pmatrix} \cong \mathrm{M}(2, K)$ for the associative unital ring $K = R_{++}$, where 1_- , 1_{-+} , 1_{+-} , and 1_+ are matrix units. Let $x^* = 1_{+-} \bar{a} 1_{-+}$ for $x \in K$ and $\lambda = 1_{+-} \bar{1}_{-+} \in K^*$. By axioms of $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{A}_{\ell-1})$ -rings, $x \mapsto x^*$ is a ring anti-endomorphism, $\lambda^* = \lambda^{-1}$, $x^{**} = \lambda x \lambda^*$, $\lambda^{**} = \lambda$, and $xyz = y^* x^* \lambda z$ for all $x, y, z \in K$ (the last identity follows from the axiom for $\widehat{\rho}(\phi(1_{-+}xy))z$ since $\Delta_+^0 = \hat{0}$). It follows that $\lambda = 1$, $x^* = x$, and K is commutative. Thus we have the required homomorphism $Q: \mathrm{StO}(2\ell, K) \rightarrow G$.

Now let $Q': \mathrm{StO}(2\ell, K') \rightarrow G$ be another such homomorphism. There are additive isomorphisms $F_{ij}: K' \rightarrow K$ such that $Q'(t_{ij}(x)) = Q(t_{ij}(F_{ij}(x)))$. It follows that $F_{ij}(x)F_{jk}(y) = F_{ik}(xy)$ and $F_{ij}(x) = F_{-j, -i}(x)$ for distinct $|i|, |j|, |k|$. As in the proof of theorem 2, $F_{ij}(1) \in K^*$ and there are $a_i \in K^*$ and a ring isomorphism $F: K' \rightarrow K$ such that $F_{ij}(x) = F(x) a_i / a_j$, e.g. $a_i = F_{i\ell}(1)$ for $|i| < \ell$, $a_\ell = 1$, $a_{-\ell} = F_{-\ell, 1}(1) a_1$, and $F(x) = F_{\ell 1}(x) a_1$. The second identity

implies that $a_{-i}a_i = a_{-j}a_j$. Conversely, for any such tuple $(F, a_i)_i$ the resulting homomorphism Q' is well-defined. \square

Now let G be an \mathbf{E}_ℓ -graded group for $\ell \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. We are going to construct its coordinatisation $\text{St}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, K) \rightarrow G$ for some commutative unital ring K . Let us fix parametrizations t_α of root subgroups of the ‘‘standard’’ group $G_{\text{std}} = G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, \mathbb{C})$ and let $N_{\alpha\beta ij}$, $d_{\alpha\beta}$ be the corresponding structure constants. We also need the torus

$$T^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, \mathbb{Z}) = \{(\sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbf{E}_\ell} \mid \sigma_\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}, \sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta = \sigma_\gamma \text{ for } \alpha + \beta = \gamma\} \cong \{-1, 1\}^\ell.$$

This group acts on root subgroups of both G and G_{std} by $(\sigma_\beta)^\beta t_\alpha(x) = t_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha x)$ preserving the commutator relations. If $g = (\sigma_\gamma)_\gamma \in T^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, \mathbb{Z})$ is arbitrary and $n_\alpha \in G$ is α -Weyl, then clearly

$$n_\alpha g n_\alpha^{-1} t_\beta(x) = t_\beta(\sigma_{s_\alpha(\beta)} x)$$

in G or G_{std} .

Lemma 9. *For any root $\alpha \in \mathbf{E}_\ell$ there is $g_\alpha \in T^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, \mathbb{Z})$ such that in every \mathbf{E}_ℓ -graded group G every α -Weyl element n_α satisfies $[n_\alpha^2 g_\alpha^{-1}, G_\beta] = 1$. For any $\alpha \perp \beta$ all α -Weyl elements commute with all β -Weyl elements. For all roots $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{E}_\ell$ such that $\alpha + \beta \in \mathbf{E}_\ell$ there is $g_{\alpha\beta} \in T^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, \mathbb{Z})$ such that in any \mathbf{E}_ℓ -graded group G all α -Weyl and β -Weyl elements n_α, n_β satisfy $[(n_\alpha n_\beta)^3 g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}, G_\gamma] = 1$.*

Proof. Such result is well-known for all simply-laced Chevalley groups. For arbitrary G we may apply theorem 3 to a root subsystem of type \mathbf{D}_m containing all roots from an identity. Indeed, if $\Psi \subseteq \mathbf{E}_\ell$ is a root subsystem of rank 3 (not of the type $3\mathbf{A}_1$ since the second claim is obvious), then any its base may be continued to a base of \mathbf{E}_ℓ , so without loss of generality it corresponds to a triple of roots in the Dynkin diagram of \mathbf{E}_ℓ and at least two of them are neighbors. If these roots do not lie in a common sub-diagram of type \mathbf{D}_m , then we may apply a suitable Weyl element permuting one of the chosen roots with its neighbor. \square

Recall that the set of orthogonal roots $\mu^\perp \cap \mathbf{E}_\ell$ to a root $\mu \in \mathbf{E}_\ell$ is a root system itself of type \mathbf{A}_5 for $\ell = 6$, \mathbf{D}_6 for $\ell = 7$, and \mathbf{E}_7 for $\ell = 8$. If μ is the highest root with respect to a base $\Delta \subseteq \mathbf{E}_\ell$, then $\mu^\perp \cap \Delta$ is a base of $\mu^\perp \cap \mathbf{E}_\ell$. The stabilizer of μ in the Weyl group $\text{W}(\mathbf{E}_\ell)$ is precisely the Weyl group $\text{W}(\mu^\perp \cap \mathbf{E}_\ell)$.

Theorem 4. *Let G be an \mathbf{E}_ℓ -graded group for $\ell \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. Then there is a commutative unital ring K and a homomorphism $Q: \text{St}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, K) \rightarrow G$ inducing isomorphisms between the root subgroups.*

Any other such homomorphism $Q': \text{St}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, K') \rightarrow G$ is of the following type. Choose a ring isomorphism $F: K' \rightarrow K$ and element $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi} \in T^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, K)$ and let $Q'(t_\alpha(x)) = Q(t_\alpha(a_\alpha F(x)))$. Both F and $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$ are uniquely determined by Q' .

Proof. Fix a base $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \subseteq \mathbf{E}_\ell$ and choose α_i -Weyl elements n_i for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Let $K = G_\mu$, where μ is the highest root, for now it is just an abelian group (commutativity follows from theorem 3 applied to a root subsystem of type D_5). We are going to define isomorphisms $t_\alpha: K \rightarrow G_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{E}_\ell$ in such a way that $t_\mu(x) = x$ and

$$t_{s_i(\beta)}(d_{\alpha_i\beta}x) = n_i t_\beta(x),$$

where $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$.

This definition allows us to evaluate all t_α by choosing tuples (k_1, \dots, k_m) such that $\alpha = s_{k_1}(\dots s_{k_m}(\mu)\dots)$. We only have to check that t_α is independent on such choice. Indeed, if $s_{k_1} \cdots s_{k_m} = s_{k'_1} \cdots s_{k'_m}$, then the two formulae for $t_\alpha(x)$ coincide by lemma 9 and explicit description of relations between generators of reflection groups since the required identities between various $d_{\beta\gamma}$, g_β , and $g_{\beta\gamma}$ hold in G_{std} . Moreover, if $s_{k_1} \cdots s_{k_n}$ stabilizes μ , then without loss of generality $\alpha_{k_i} \perp \mu$, so $t_\alpha(x) = x$.

Now let us introduce a multiplication on K in such a way that $[t_\alpha(x), t_\beta(y)] = t_{\alpha+\beta}(N_{\alpha\beta 11}xy)$ for $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \mathbf{E}_\ell$. Recall that in this case $N_{\alpha\beta 11} \in \{-1, 1\}$. Such multiplication is independent on the choice of (α, β) since the Weyl group acts transitively on the set of such pairs and the corresponding identities between various $d_{\gamma\delta}$ and $N_{\gamma\delta 11}$ hold in G_{std} . Here we use that the Dynkin diagram is not a chain, for \mathbf{A}_ℓ there are two orbits of such pairs (α, β) and the resulting ring may be non-commutative. The constructed multiplication makes K a commutative unital ring by theorem 3 applied to a root subsystem of type D_5 .

If $Q': \text{St}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, K') \rightarrow G$ is another such homomorphism given by isomorphisms $t'_\alpha: K' \rightarrow G$, then there are additive isomorphisms $F_\alpha: K' \rightarrow K$ such that $t'_\alpha(x) = t_\alpha(F_\alpha(x))$. Clearly, $F_\alpha(x)F_\beta(y) = F_{\alpha+\beta}(xy)$ for $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \mathbf{E}_\ell$. Let $a_\alpha = F_\alpha(1)$, they form an element of $T^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, K)$. It is easy to see that $F(x) = F_\alpha(x)/a_\alpha$ is independent of α . \square

6 Alternative rings

In this section we collect basic facts about alternative rings needed below. Let R be a non-associative ring. The *associator* of $x, y, z \in R$ is the element $[x, y, z] = (xy)z - x(yz)$. The *nucleus* of R is the set

$$\mathbf{N}(R) = \{\nu \in R \mid [\nu, R, R] = [R, \nu, R] = [R, R, \nu] = 0\}.$$

If R is unital, then $1 \in \mathbf{N}(R)$. Every element $\nu \in \mathbf{N}(R)$ satisfies the identities [16, lemma 7.1.1]

$$\begin{aligned} \nu[x, y, z] &= [\nu x, y, z], & [x\nu, y, z] &= [x, \nu y, z], \\ [x, y\nu, z] &= [x, y, \nu z], & [x, y, z\nu] &= [x, y, z]\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{N}(R) \subseteq R$ is an associative subring [16, corollary 7.1.1]. The *center* of R is

$$\mathbf{C}(R) = \{x \in \mathbf{N}(R) \mid xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in R\}.$$

A ring R is called *alternative* if the identities $[x, x, y] = [x, y, x] = [y, x, x] = 0$ hold, i.e. $x^2y = x(xy)$, $(xy)x = x(yx)$, and $(yx)x = yx^2$. For such rings the associator is skew-symmetric, $[x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}] = (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)} [x_1, x_2, x_3]$ for every permutation σ . Moreover, the following identities hold (see [16, lemma 2.3.7 and its corollary] and [7]).

$$\begin{aligned} x(y(xz)) &= (xyx)z, & [x, y, zx] &= x[y, z, x], & x(y(zx)y) &= (xy)z(xy), \\ ((yx)z)x &= y(xzx), & [xy, z, x] &= [x, y, z]x, & (x(yz)x)y &= (xy)z(xy), \\ (xy)(zx) &= x(yz)x, & [y, x^2, z] &= x[y, x, z] + [y, x, z]x, & x(y(xzx)y)x &= (xyx)z(xyx). \end{aligned}$$

Let R be a non-associative unital ring. An element $a \in R$ is *invertible* if there is $b \in R$ such that $ab = ba = 1$ (an *inverse* of a). In an alternative ring a is invertible if and only if it is left- and right-invertible if and only if $x \mapsto ax$ is bijective if and only if $x \mapsto xa$ is bijective [7, proposition 2]. If a and b are invertible in an alternative ring, then ab is invertible with $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$ [7, proposition 2]. If $a \in R$ is invertible in an alternative ring, then $[a, a^{-1}, R] = 0$ [16, lemma 10.3.7].

Every 2-generated unital subring of an alternative unital ring R is associative by Artin's theorem [16, theorem 2.3.2]. A 3-generated unital subring $\langle x, y, z \rangle \subseteq R$ is associative if and only if $[x, y, z] = 0$ [4, theorem I.2]. If $S \subseteq R$ is an associative unital subring and $a \in S$ is invertible in R , then the subring $\langle S, a^{-1} \rangle \subseteq R$ is also associative by [4, theorem I.3] and [16, lemma 10.3.8].

The next lemma is used in the existence theorem.

Lemma 10. *Let R be an alternative unital ring and $x, y \in R$ be such that $1 + xy$ is invertible. Then $1 + yx$ is invertible with $(1 + yx)^{-1} = 1 - y(1 + xy)^{-1}x$, and*

$$x(\widehat{y}z) = \widehat{x}(yz), \quad y(\widehat{x}z) = \widehat{y}(xz), \quad (z\widehat{x})y = (zx)\widehat{y}, \quad (z\widehat{y})x = (zy)\widehat{x}$$

for any $z \in R$, where

$$\widehat{y} = y(1 + xy)^{-1} = (1 + yx)^{-1}y, \quad \widehat{x} = x(1 + yx)^{-1} = (1 + xy)^{-1}x.$$

Proof. The formula for the inverse of $1 + yx$ is well-known and it may be easily checked in the associative subring generated by x , y , and $(1 + xy)^{-1}$. We check only the identity $x(\widehat{y}z) = \widehat{x}(yz)$ since the remaining ones are similar. Multiply both sides from the left and from the right by $1 + xy$ and replace z by $(1 + xy)z(1 + xy)$, so the identity becomes

$$(1 + xyx)((yz)(1 + xy)^2) = x(((y + yxy)z)(1 + xy)^2).$$

Now expand both sides. The resulting identity easily follows from the standard identities for monomials in alternative rings. \square

7 B_ℓ -graded groups

Let G be a B_ℓ -graded group and $(R_{ij}, \Delta_i^0)_{i,j \in \{-, +\}}$ be the corresponding $(B_\ell, A_{\ell-1})$ -ring. By lemma 8 there is a Weyl element

$$n_1 = t_1(\iota \cdot \widehat{\rho}(\iota)^{-1} \overline{\widehat{\rho}(\iota)}) t_{-1}(\iota \cdot (-\widehat{\rho}(\iota)^{-1})) t_1(\iota).$$

Let $1_{++} = 1_+$, $1_{--} = 1_-$, $1_{-+} = \widehat{\rho}(\iota) \in R_{-+}$, and $1_{+-} = \widehat{\rho}(\iota)^{-1} \in R_{+-}$, i.e. $1_{ij}1_{jk} = 1_{ik}$. Also, let $\lambda = 1_{+-}\overline{1_{-+}} \in R_{++}$ and $x^* = 1_{+-}(\overline{x}1_{-+})$ for any $x \in R_{++}$. We use the notation $\varepsilon_i = (-1)^{\text{sign}(i)}$ for non-zero integer i , i.e. $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for $i > 0$ and $\varepsilon_i = -1$ for $i < 0$.

Lemma 11. *The elements 1_{+-} , 1_{-+} , λ , λ^* lie in the nucleus of $R_{**} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{--} & R_{-+} \\ R_{+-} & R_{++} \end{pmatrix}$, so $R_{**} \cong M(2, R_{++})$. Moreover, $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$, $1_+^* = 1_+$, $\lambda^*\lambda = \lambda\lambda^* = 1_+$, $x^{**} = \lambda x \lambda^*$, and $\lambda^{**} = \lambda$. The involution on R_{**} may be expressed via $(-)^*$ as*

$$\overline{1_{p+}x1_{+q}} = 1_{-q,+}\lambda^{(\varepsilon_q-1)/2}x^*\lambda^{(1-\varepsilon_p)/2}1_{+,-p}$$

for $x \in R_{++}$.

Proof. Note that

$${}^{n_1}n_{12} = t_{-1,2}(1_{-+})t_{2,-1}(-1_{+-})t_{-1,2}(1_{-+})$$

is also a Weyl element by lemma 8. From lemma 7 we obtain $[\zeta, \eta, R_{**}] = [\zeta, R_{**}, \eta] = [R_{**}, \zeta, \eta] = 0$, $(\zeta x)(y\zeta) = \zeta(xy)\zeta$, $\zeta(x(\zeta y)) = (\zeta x\zeta)y$, $((\zeta y)\zeta) = x(\zeta y\zeta)$ for $\zeta, \eta \in \{1_{+-}, 1_{-+}\}$.

On the other hand, by axioms of $(B_\ell, A_{\ell-1})$ -rings the elements $1_{-+} = \widehat{\rho}(\iota)$ and $\overline{1_{+-}} = \widehat{\rho}(\iota \cdot 1_{+-})$ lie in the left nucleus of R_{**} , i.e. $[1_{-+}, R_{**}, R_{**}] = [\overline{1_{+-}}, R_{**}, R_{**}] = 0$. By duality, $[R_{**}, R_{**}, \overline{1_{+-}}] = [R_{**}, R_{**}, 1_{+-}] = 0$. It easily follows that 1_{+-} and $\overline{1_{-+}}$ lie in the nucleus of R_{**} , as well as 1_{+-} , $\overline{1_{-+}}$, $\lambda = 1_{+-}\overline{1_{-+}}$, and $\lambda^* = \overline{1_{+-}}1_{-+}$. The formula for the involution may be checked by cases. The remaining identities easily follow from $\overline{(xy)} = \overline{y}x$ and $\overline{\overline{x}} = x$ for $x, y \in R_{++}$. \square

Now let $\phi(x) = \phi(1_{-+}x)$ for $x \in R_{++}$, $\langle u, v \rangle = 1_{+-}(u \circ v)$ for $u, v \in \Delta_+^0$, and $\rho(u) = 1_{+-}\widehat{\rho}(u)$ for $u \in \Delta_+^0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1_{-p,+}x1_{+p}) &= \phi(\lambda^{(1-\varepsilon_p)/2}x) \cdot 1_{+p}, \\ (u \cdot 1_{+p}) \circ (v \cdot 1_{+q}) &= 1_{-p,+}\lambda^{(\varepsilon_p-1)/2}\langle u, v \rangle 1_{+q}, \\ \widehat{\rho}(u \cdot 1_{+p}) &= 1_{-p,+}\lambda^{(\varepsilon_p-1)/2}\rho(u)1_{+p} \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in R_{++}$ and $u, v \in \Delta_+^0$.

Lemma 12. *The unital ring R_{++} is alternative. The associator map satisfies the identities*

$$[x^*, x, y] = 0, \quad \zeta[x, y, z] = [\zeta x, y, z] = [x\zeta, y, z] = [x, y, z]\zeta = -[x, y, z], \quad [x^*, y, z] = [x, y, z]^* = -[x, y, z]$$

for $\zeta \in \{\lambda, \lambda^*\}$.

Proof. Note that $1_{+-}\widehat{\rho}(\phi(1_{-+}x)) = \rho(\phi(x)) = x - x^*\lambda$ lie in the left nucleus of R_{++} , i.e. $[x, y, z] = [x^*\lambda, y, z]$. On the other hand, $(x^*x)y = 1_{+-}(\overline{x}\widehat{\rho}(\iota)x)y = 1_{+-}(\overline{x}\widehat{\rho}(\iota))(xy) = x^*(xy)$. It follows that $[x^*, x, y] = 0$ and

$$[x, x, y] = \lambda^*[\lambda x, x, y] = \lambda^*[x^*, x, y] = 0.$$

Since $[x, y, z]^* = -[z^*, y^*, x^*]$ in any ring with an anti-automorphism, the ring R_{++} is alternative.

It remains to check that $[\lambda x, y, z] = -[x, y, z]$. But this follows from $1 + \lambda = -\langle \iota, \iota \rangle$ and

$$(\langle \iota, \iota \rangle x) y = \langle \iota, ((\iota \cdot x) \cdot y) \cdot z \rangle = \langle \iota, (\iota \cdot x) \cdot yz \rangle = (\langle \iota, \iota \rangle x) (yz). \quad \square$$

By lemma 11 we may identify all R_{ij} using multiplications by 1_{pq} from both sides, as well as identify Δ_-^0 with Δ_+^0 using the maps $u \mapsto u \cdot 1_{+-}$ and $u \mapsto u \cdot 1_{-+}$. We call the resulting objects $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{B}_\ell)$ -rings. Namely, a $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{B}_\ell)$ -ring consists of

- an alternative unital ring R_{++} with an anti-automorphism $(-)^*: R_{++} \rightarrow R_{++}$ and an element $\lambda \in R_{++}^*$ satisfying $\lambda^{-1} = \lambda^*$, $\lambda, \lambda^* \in \mathbf{N}(R_{++})$, $x^{**} = \lambda x \lambda^*$ (in particular, $\lambda^{**} = \lambda$);
- a group Δ_+^0 with the group operation $\dot{+}$ and a distinguished element ι ;
- a group homomorphism $\phi: R_{++} \rightarrow \Delta_+^0$ with central image and such that $\phi(x + x^* \lambda) = \dot{0}$;
- a biadditive map $\langle -, = \rangle: \Delta_+^0 \times \Delta_+^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}(R_{++})$ such that $\langle v, u \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle^* \lambda$;
- a map $\rho: \Delta_+^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}(R_{++})$ such that $\rho(u \dot{+} v) = \rho(u) - \langle u, v \rangle + \rho(v)$, $\rho(u) + \langle u, u \rangle + \rho(u)^* \lambda = 0$, $\rho(\iota) = 1$ (in particular, $\rho(-u) = \rho(u)^* \lambda$ and $\langle \iota, \iota \rangle = -1 - \lambda$);
- A map $(-) \cdot (=): \Delta_+^0 \times R_{++} \rightarrow \Delta_+^0$ such that $(u \dot{+} v) \cdot x = u \cdot x \dot{+} v \cdot x$ and $u \cdot (x + y) = u \cdot x \dot{+} \phi(y^* \rho(u) x) \dot{+} u \cdot y$;

Moreover, the operations satisfy the identities from lemma 12,

$$\begin{aligned} u \dot{+} v &= v \dot{+} u \dot{-} \phi(\langle u, v \rangle), & u \cdot 1 &= u, \\ \phi((xy)z) &= \phi(x(yz)), & (u \cdot x) \cdot y &= u \cdot xy, \\ \langle u, \phi(x) \rangle &= \langle \phi(x), u \rangle = 0, & u \cdot (xy)z &= u \cdot x(yz), \\ \rho(\phi(x)) &= x - x^* \lambda, & \langle u, v \cdot x \rangle &= \langle u, v \rangle x, \\ x(y^* \rho(u) y) &= (xy^*) \rho(u) y, & \langle u \cdot x, v \rangle &= x^* \langle u, v \rangle, \\ (x^* \rho(u) x) y &= x^* \rho(u) (xy), & \phi(x) \cdot y &= \phi(y^* xy), \\ & & \rho(u \cdot x) &= x^* \rho(u) x. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $\ell \geq 4$ we again require that R_{++} is associative.

If $(R, \Delta) = (R_{++}, \Delta_+^0)$ is a $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{B}_\ell)$ -ring, then its *Steinberg group* $\text{St}(\mathbf{B}_\ell, R, \Delta)$ is the abstract group with generators $t_{ij}(x)$ for $i \neq \pm j$, $x \in R$ and $t_i(u)$ for $u \in \Delta$ satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ij}(x) t_{ij}(y) &= t_{ij}(x + y), & t_{ij}(x) &= t_{-j, -i}(-\lambda^{(\varepsilon_j - 1)/2} x^* \lambda^{(1 - \varepsilon_i)/2}), \\ t_i(u) t_i(v) &= t_i(u \dot{+} v), & [t_{ij}(x), t_{jk}(y)] &= t_{ik}(xy) \text{ for } i \neq k, \\ [t_i(u), t_{kl}(x)] &= 1 \text{ for } i \notin \{k, -l\}, & [t_{-i, j}(x), t_{ji}(y)] &= t_i(\phi(\lambda^{(1 - \varepsilon_i)/2} xy)), \\ [t_{ij}(x), t_{kl}(y)] &= 1 \text{ for } \{-i, j\} \cap \{k, -l\} = \emptyset, & [t_i(u), t_j(v)] &= t_{-i, j}(-\lambda^{(\varepsilon_i - 1)/2} \langle u, v \rangle) \text{ for } i \neq \pm j, \\ & & [t_i(u), t_{ij}(a)] &= t_{-i, j}(\lambda^{(\varepsilon_i - 1)/2} \rho(u) x) t_j(\dot{-} u \cdot (-x)). \end{aligned}$$

This group is \mathbf{B}_ℓ -graded if (R, Δ) is constructed by a \mathbf{B}_ℓ -graded group. Later we prove that it is always \mathbf{B}_ℓ -graded.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a \mathbf{B}_ℓ -graded group for $\ell \geq 3$. Then there is a $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{B}_\ell)$ -ring (R, Δ) and a homomorphism $Q: \text{St}(\mathbf{B}_\ell, R, \Delta) \rightarrow G$ from the Steinberg group inducing isomorphisms between the root subgroups.*

In the case $\ell \geq 4$ any other such homomorphism $Q': \text{St}(\mathbf{B}_\ell, R', \Delta') \rightarrow G$ is of the following type. Choose a ring isomorphism $F: R' \rightarrow R$, a group isomorphism $H: \Delta' \rightarrow \Delta$, and elements $a_{-\ell}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, b \in R^$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} a_i^* a_{-i} &= b \text{ for } i > 0, & H(\phi(x)) &= \phi(b F(x)), & H(u \cdot x) &= H(u) \cdot F(x), \\ F(\lambda) &= b^{-1} b^* \lambda, & F(\langle u, v \rangle) &= b^{-1} \langle H(u), H(v) \rangle, \\ F(x^*) &= b^{-1} F(x)^* b, & F(\rho(u)) &= b^{-1} \rho(H(u)), \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$Q'(t_{ij}(x)) = Q(t_{ij}(a_i F(x) a_j^{-1})), \quad Q'(t_i(u)) = Q(t_i(H(u) \cdot a_i^{-1})).$$

The tuple $(F, H, a_i, b)_i$ is uniquely determined by Q' up to the change $F \mapsto c^{-1} F c$, $H \mapsto H \cdot c$, $a_i \mapsto a_i c$, $b \mapsto c^* b c$ for $c \in R^*$.

In the case $\ell = 3$ for any other such homomorphism $Q': (R', \Delta') \rightarrow G$ there are unique group isomorphism $F: R' \rightarrow R$, group isomorphism $H: \Delta' \rightarrow \Delta$, and elements $a, b \in R^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F(1) &= (ab)^{-1}, & Q'(t_{\sigma_1, \tau_2}(x)) &= Q(t_{\sigma_1, \tau_2}(\nu_1^{(1-\sigma)/2} F(x) a \nu_2^{(\tau-1)/2})), \\ F(xy) &= (F(x) a) (b F(y)), & Q'(t_{\sigma_1, \tau_3}(x)) &= Q(t_{\sigma_1, \tau_3}(\nu_1^{(1-\sigma)/2} F(x) \nu_3^{(\tau-1)/2})), \\ F(\lambda) &= (\nu_3 ab)^{-1} \nu_3^* \lambda, & Q'(t_{\sigma_2, \tau_1}(x)) &= Q(t_{\sigma_2, \tau_1}(\nu_2^{(1-\sigma)/2} b (F(x) a) b \nu_1^{(\tau-1)/2})), \\ F(\lambda^*) &= (\nu_3^* \lambda ab)^{-1} \nu_3, & Q'(t_{\sigma_2, \tau_3}(x)) &= Q(t_{\sigma_2, \tau_3}(\nu_2^{(1-\sigma)/2} b F(x) \nu_3^{(\tau-1)/2})), \\ F(x^*) &= (\nu_3 ab)^{-1} F(x)^* \nu_1 (ab)^{-1}, & Q'(t_{\sigma_3, \tau_1}(x)) &= Q(t_{\sigma_3, \tau_1}(\nu_3^{(1-\sigma)/2} (ab) F(x) (ab) \nu_1^{(\tau-1)/2})), \\ H(\phi(x)) &= \phi(\nu_3 ab F(x)), & Q'(t_{\sigma_3, \tau_2}(x)) &= Q(t_{\sigma_3, \tau_2}(\nu_3^{(1-\sigma)/2} a (b F(x) a) a \nu_2^{(\tau-1)/2})), \\ F(\rho(u)) &= (\nu_3 ab)^{-1} \rho(H(u)), & Q'(t_{\sigma_1}(u)) &= Q(t_{\sigma_1}(H(u) \cdot ab \nu_1^{(\sigma-1)/2})), \\ F(\langle u, v \rangle) &= (\nu_3 ab)^{-1} \langle H(u), H(v) \rangle, & Q'(t_{\sigma_2}(u)) &= Q(t_{\sigma_2}(H(u) \cdot a \nu_2^{(\sigma-1)/2})), \\ H(u \cdot x) &= H(u) \cdot ab F(x), & Q'(t_{\sigma_3}(u)) &= Q(t_{\sigma_3}(H(u) \cdot \nu_3^{(\sigma-1)/2})) \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma, \tau \in \{-1, 1\}$, where

$$\nu_1 = \rho(H(\iota) \cdot ab), \nu_2 = \rho(H(\iota) \cdot a), \nu_3 = \rho(H(\iota)) \in \mathbf{N}(R).$$

Conversely, for any tuple (F, H, a, b) satisfying the identities from the first column the corresponding pair (R', Δ') is a $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{B}_\ell)$ -ring and the group homomorphism Q' defined by the second column is well-defined.

Proof. The claim about existence of Q follows from our constructions and the definition of $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{B}_\ell)$ -rings, see theorem 1 and lemmas 6, 11, 12. Now suppose that $Q: \text{St}(\mathbf{B}_\ell, R, \Delta) \rightarrow G$, $Q': \text{St}(\mathbf{B}_\ell, R', \Delta') \rightarrow G$ are group homomorphisms inducing isomorphisms between the root subgroups. There are additive isomorphisms $F_{ij}: R' \rightarrow R$ for $|i| \neq |j|$ and $H_i: \Delta' \rightarrow \Delta$ such that $Q'(t_{ij}(x)) = Q(t_{ij}(F_{ij}(x)))$ and $Q'(t_i(u)) = Q'(t_i(H_i(u)))$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij}(x) F_{jk}(y) &= F_{ik}(xy), & \lambda^{(\varepsilon_i-1)/2} \langle H_i(u), H_j(v) \rangle &= F_{-i,j}(\lambda^{(\varepsilon_i-1)/2} \langle u, v \rangle), \\ \lambda^{(\varepsilon_j-1)/2} F_{ij}(x)^* \lambda^{(1-\varepsilon_i)/2} &= F_{-j,-i}(\lambda^{(\varepsilon_j-1)/2} x^* \lambda^{(1-\varepsilon_i)/2}), & H_i(u) \cdot F_{ij}(x) &= H_j(u \cdot x), \\ \phi(\lambda^{(1-\varepsilon_i)/2} F_{-i,j}(x) F_{ji}(y)) &= H_i(\phi(\lambda^{(1-\varepsilon_i)/2} xy)), & \lambda^{(\varepsilon_i-1)/2} \rho(H_i(u)) F_{ij}(x) &= F_{-i,j}(\lambda^{(\varepsilon_i-1)/2} \rho(u) x) \end{aligned}$$

if i, j, k have distinct absolute values.

In the case $\ell \geq 4$ the rings R and R' are associative. It is easy to see using the first identity that $F_{ij}(1) \in R^*$ and there are $a_i \in R^*$ and a ring isomorphism $F: R' \rightarrow R$ such that $F_{ij}(x) = a_i F(x) a_j^{-1}$ (e.g. $a_i = F_{i\ell}(1)$ for $|i| < \ell$, $a_\ell = 1$, $a_{-\ell} = F_{-\ell,1}(1) a_1$, and $F(x) = F_{\ell 1}(x) a_1$), see the proof of theorem 2. The identity for involution means that

$$F(x)^* a_i^* \lambda^{(1-\varepsilon_i)/2} a_{-i} F(\lambda^{(\varepsilon_i-1)/2}) = a_j^* \lambda^{(1-\varepsilon_j)/2} a_{-j} F(\lambda^{(\varepsilon_j-1)/2}) F(x^*).$$

In other words, there exists $b \in R^*$ such that $a_i^* a_{-i} = b$ for $i > 0$, $F(\lambda) = b^{-1} b^* \lambda$, and $F(x^*) = b^{-1} f(x)^* b$. In particular, $a_i^* \lambda^{(1-\varepsilon_i)/2} a_{-i} F(\lambda^{(\varepsilon_i-1)/2}) = b$ for all i . Now let $H(u) = H_i(u) \cdot a_i$ for some i , this is a group isomorphism. It is easy to see using the remaining identities between F_{ij} and H_i that H is independent of i and satisfies the required identities. Conversely, for any such tuple $(F, H, a_i, b)_i$ the resulting object (R', Δ') is a $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{B}_\ell)$ -ring and Q' is a well-defined homomorphism.

Finally, suppose that $\ell = 3$. The triple $(F_{12}, F_{23}, F = F_{13})$ satisfying $F_{13}(xy) = F_{12}(x) F_{23}(y)$ is called an *isotopy* between R' and R [7]. It is known [7, theorem 2] that in this case there are unique $a, b \in R^*$ such that $F(1) = (ab)^{-1}$, $F_{12}(x) = F(x) a$, and $F_{23}(x) = b F(x)$ (namely, $a = F_{23}(1)^{-1}$ and $b = F_{12}(1)^{-1}$). Using the isotopy identities between F_{ij} we may express F_{ij} in terms of F, a, b for $i, j > 0$. Let $H = H_3$, then $H_2(u) = H(u) \cdot a$ and $H_1(u) = H(u) \cdot ab$. From the identity $\rho(H_i(u)) F_{ij}(x) = F_{-i,j}(\rho(u) x)$ for $i > 0$ we get $F_{-i,j}(x) = \nu_i F_{ij}(x)$ for $i > 0$, where

$$\nu_1 = \rho(H_1(\iota)) = b^* \nu_2 b = (ab)^* \nu_3 (ab), \quad \nu_2 = \rho(H_2(\iota)) = a^* \nu_3 a, \quad \nu_3 = \rho(H_3(\iota)).$$

Note that $a^* = \nu_2 a^{-1} \nu_3^{-1}$ and $b^* = \nu_1 b^{-1} \nu_2^{-1}$, where all factors except a^{-1} and b^{-1} lie in the nucleus, so the $*$ -subring of R generated by $a^{\pm 1}$, $b^{\pm 1}$, λ , and all ν_i is associative. Also, there is the dual identity

$$F_{i,-j}(x) \lambda^{(\varepsilon_j-1)/2} \rho(H_j(u)) = F_{ij}(x \lambda^{(\varepsilon_j-1)/2} \rho(u))$$

obtained from the identities for $\rho(H_i(u)) F_{ij}(x)$ and $F_{ij}(x)^*$. This dual identity easily implies $F_{i,-j}(x) = F_{ij}(x) \nu_j^{-1}$ for $j > 0$. The identities $H_{-i}(u) = H_i(u) \cdot$

ν_i^{-1} for $i > 0$ follow from $H_i(u) \cdot F_{ij}(1) = H_j(u)$ since $F_{ij}(1) F_{j,-i}(1) = \nu_i^{-1}$ for $i > 0$.

Now we have

$$F_{ij}(x)^* (\nu_i^* \lambda)^{(1-\sigma)/2} \nu_i^{(1+\sigma)/2} = (\lambda \nu_j^*)^{(1-\tau)/2} \nu_j^{(1+\tau)/2} F_{ji}(\lambda^{(\tau-1)/2} x^* \lambda^{(1-\sigma)/2})$$

for $i, j > 0$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \{-1, 1\}$. Take, for example, $i = -2$ and $j = 3$. This gives us the formulae for $F(\lambda)$ (if $x = 1$, $\sigma = -1$, and $\tau = 1$), $F(\lambda^*)$ (if $x = 1$, $\sigma = 1$, and $\tau = -1$), and $F(x^*)$ (if $\sigma = \tau = 1$). The remaining identities are straightforward.

To prove the converse statement note that for all elements $a, b \in R^*$ and $H(\iota) \in \Delta$ with invertible $\rho(H(\iota)) = \nu_3$ there exists a corresponding homomorphism $Q': \text{St}(\mathbb{B}_\ell, R', \Delta') \rightarrow G$. Indeed, the $(\mathbb{B}_3, \mathbb{B}_3)$ -ring (R', Δ') is constructed from G in the usual way using the Weyl elements

$$t_1(H(\iota) \cdot \lambda) t_{-1}(H(\iota) \cdot (-\nu_3^{-1})) t_1(H(\iota)), \quad t_{12}(a) t_{21}(-a^{-1}) t_{12}(a), \quad t_{23}(b) t_{32}(-b^{-1}) t_{23}(b)$$

instead of the standard ones, see lemmas 7 and 8. So without loss of generality $a = b = 1$ and $H(\iota) = \iota$. This means that F and H preserve all operations (in particular, (R', Δ') is an $(\mathbb{B}_3, \mathbb{B}_3)$ -ring) and Q' is clearly well-defined. \square

Example 1. There exist non-trivial $(\mathbb{B}_\ell, \mathbb{B}_\ell)$ -rings with $\lambda = \pm 1$ for all ℓ . For example, let R be a commutative unital ring with trivial involution and $\Delta = R$. Then

$$\lambda = 1, \quad \phi(x) = \dot{0}, \quad \iota = 1, \quad \langle u, v \rangle = -2uv, \quad \rho(u) = u^2, \quad u \cdot x = ux$$

and

$$\lambda = -1, \quad \phi(x) = 2x, \quad \iota = 1, \quad \langle u, v \rangle = 0, \quad \rho(u) = u, \quad u \cdot x = ux^2$$

are both $(\mathbb{B}_\ell, \mathbb{B}_\ell)$ -rings corresponding to the groups $O(2\ell + 1, R)$ and $\text{Sp}(2\ell, R)$.

Example 2. We may construct the free $(\mathbb{B}_\ell, \mathbb{B}_\ell)$ -ring with empty set of generators (it is independent of ℓ). Namely, let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda^{\pm 1}]$ and $\Delta = \phi(\mathbb{Z}[\lambda^{-1}]) \dot{\oplus} \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \iota \cdot \mathbb{Z}\lambda^m$. As a set Δ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$, where the second factor consists of all integer sequences with finite support. The operations on (R, Δ) are uniquely determined by the axioms and it is straightforward to check that this is indeed a well-defined $(\mathbb{B}_\ell, \mathbb{B}_\ell)$ -ring satisfying the universal property. This also follows from the description of the odd form ring [12, lemma 6 and proposition 1] generated by 1_{ij} for $i, j \in \{-, +\}$ in the ring part and ι in the form part with the relations $1_{ij} 1_{jk} = 1_{ik}$, $1_{ij} 1_{kl} = 0$ for $k \neq l$, $\overline{1_{++}} = 1_{--}$, $\iota = \iota \cdot 1_{++}$, and $\widehat{\rho}(\iota) = 1_{-+}$. The Steinberg group $\text{St}(\mathbb{B}_\ell, R, \Delta)$ is \mathbb{B}_ℓ -graded for any $\ell \geq 3$ by general facts about odd unitary groups [12, lemma 9] or by our existence theorem 8. The element $t_1(\iota \cdot \lambda) t_{-1}(\iota \cdot (-1)) t_1(\iota)$ is Weyl by lemma 8, but clearly $\iota \cdot \lambda \neq \iota$ unlike Weyl elements in Chevalley groups.

Example 3. Consider the surjective map

$$\xi: E_7 \rightarrow C_3 \cup \{0\}, \quad e_i \mapsto 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \quad e_5 \mapsto e_1 + e_2, \quad e_6 \mapsto e_1 - e_2, \quad e_7 \mapsto e_3, \quad e_8 \mapsto -e_3$$

induced by a linear operator. Its kernel (i.e. the preimage of 0) is a root subsystem of type D_4 . Preimages of roots are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^{-1}(\pm(e_1 + e_2)) &= \{\sigma e_i \pm e_5 \mid \sigma \in \{-1, 1\}, 1 \leq i \leq 4\}, \\ \xi^{-1}(\pm(e_1 - e_2)) &= \{\sigma e_i \pm e_6 \mid \sigma \in \{-1, 1\}, 1 \leq i \leq 4\}, \\ \xi^{-1}(\sigma e_1 + \tau e_3) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^8 v_p e_p \mid v_p \in \{-1, 1\}, v_5 = v_6 = \sigma, v_7 = -v_8 = \tau, \sum_{p=1}^6 v_p \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \right\}, \\ \xi^{-1}(\sigma e_2 + \tau e_3) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^8 v_p e_p \mid v_p \in \{-1, 1\}, v_5 = -v_6 = \sigma, v_7 = -v_8 = \tau, \sum_{p=1}^6 v_p \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \right\}, \\ \xi^{-1}(\pm 2e_1) &= \{\pm(e_5 + e_6)\}, \quad \xi^{-1}(\pm 2e_2) = \{\pm(e_5 - e_6)\}, \quad \xi^{-1}(\pm 2e_3) = \{\pm(e_7 - e_8)\}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\xi^{-1}(A_1 \cup \{0\})$ is a root subsystem of type D_5 in the short root case and $A_1 + D_4$ in the long root case. Any E_7 -graded group G is B_3 -graded via ξ since a new α -Weyl element for $\alpha \in C_3$ may be constructed as product of β -Weyl elements for a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal $\beta \in \xi^{-1}(\alpha)$ (such a family contains either 2 or 1 roots depending on the length of α). In the case $G = G^{\text{ad}}(E_7, K)$ the corresponding (B_3, B_3) -ring (R, Δ) has the following structure. The group Δ is a free K -module generated by ι , R is an 8-dimensional unital K -algebra, $\rho: \Delta \rightarrow R$, $\iota \mapsto 1$ is a K -linear injection, $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$, $\phi: R \rightarrow \Delta$ is linear and surjective, $(u, x) \mapsto u \cdot x$ is linear on u and quadratic on x , and the quadratic form $x \mapsto \iota \cdot x$ splits. It follows that we may identify Δ with $K \subseteq R$ using ρ , $u \cdot x = ux^*x$, R is a composition algebra, $\lambda = -1$, $\phi(x) = x + x^*$. Actually, R splits (i.e. isomorphic to the Cayley–Dickson algebra from [16, §2.4]) since there is a tower of Cayley–Dickson extensions $K \times K \subseteq R_0 \subseteq R$ corresponding to the root subsystems $\{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3\}^\perp \subseteq (e_1 - e_2)^\perp \subseteq E_7$.

Examples 1–3 show that the free (B_3, B_3) -ring (R, Δ) with 3 generators $x, y, z \in R$ is non-associative and has zero divisors, $(1 + \lambda)[x, y, z] = 0$.

8 F_4 -graded groups

In this section G is an F_4 -graded group. We fix root subsystems $B_3 \subseteq F_4$ and $C_3 \subseteq F_4$ corresponding to the cover of the Dynkin diagram of F_4 by two Dynkin diagrams of B_3 . There are (B_3, B_3) -rings (R, Δ) and (S, Θ) parameterizing corresponding subgroups of G by maps t_{ij} , t_i and t'_{ij} , t'_i respectively. Notice that these two root subsystems intersect by a root subsystem of type B_2 . By a suitable choice of indices, there are group isomorphisms

$$H_1, H_{-2}, H_{-1}, H_2: \Delta \rightarrow S, \quad F_{-1, -2}, F_{1, -2}, F_{12}, F_{-1, 2}: R \rightarrow \Theta$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}t_{\pm 1}(u) &= t'_{\pm 1, \pm 2}(H_{\pm 1}(u)), & t_{\pm 1, \pm 2}(x) &= t'_{\pm 1}(F_{\pm 1, \pm 2}(x)), \\ t_{\pm 2}(u) &= t'_{\mp 1, \pm 2}(H_{\pm 2}(u)), & t_{\mp 1, \pm 2}(x) &= t'_{\pm 2}(F_{\mp 1, \pm 2}(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since Weyl elements from the constructions of (R, Δ) and (S, Θ) may be chosen arbitrarily, we may further assume that $H_1(\iota_\Delta) = 1_S$ and $F_{12}(1_R) =$

ι_Θ . Comparing commutator formulae, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1(u \cdot \lambda^* x^* \lambda) &= \lambda^* \rho(F_{-1,-2}(x)) H_2(u), & F_{-1,2}(x) \cdot \lambda^* H_{-1}(u)^* \lambda &= F_{12}(\lambda^* \rho(u) x), \\
H_1(u \cdot x^* \lambda) &= H_{-2}(u) \rho(F_{-1,2}(x))^* \lambda, & F_{1,-2}(x) \cdot H_2(u)^* \lambda &= F_{12}(x \rho(u)^* \lambda), \\
H_2(u \cdot x) &= H_{-1}(u) \rho(F_{-1,2}(x)), & F_{-1,-2}(x) \cdot H_2(u) &= F_{-1,2}(x \rho(u)), \\
H_2(u \cdot x) &= \rho(F_{12}(x))^* \lambda H_1(u), & F_{12}(x) \cdot H_1(u) &= F_{-1,2}(\rho(u)^* \lambda x), \\
H_{-1}(u \cdot x^*) &= \rho(F_{12}(x)) H_{-2}(u), & F_{1,-2}(x) \cdot H_1(u)^* &= F_{-1,-2}(\rho(u) x), \\
H_{-1}(u \cdot \lambda^* x^*) &= H_2(u) \lambda^* \rho(F_{1,-2}(x))^* \lambda, & F_{-1,2}(x) \cdot \lambda^* H_{-2}(u)^* &= F_{-1,-2}(x \lambda^* \rho(u)^* \lambda), \\
H_{-2}(u \cdot x) &= H_1(u) \lambda^* \rho(F_{1,-2}(x)), & F_{12}(x) \cdot H_{-2}(u) &= F_{1,-2}(x \lambda^* \rho(u)), \\
H_{-2}(u \cdot x) &= \lambda^* \rho(F_{-1,-2}(x))^* \lambda H_{-1}(u), & F_{-1,-2}(x) \cdot H_{-1}(u) &= F_{1,-2}(\lambda^* \rho(u)^* \lambda x), \\
\lambda^* \langle F_{-1,-2}(x), F_{-1,2}(y) \rangle &= H_1(\phi(x y^* \lambda)), & F_{12}(\lambda^* \langle u, v \rangle) &= \phi(H_{-1}(u) H_2(v)^* \lambda), \\
\langle F_{12}(x), F_{-1,2}(y) \rangle &= H_2(\phi(x^* y)), & F_{-1,2}(\langle u, v \rangle) &= \phi(H_1(u)^* H_2(v)), \\
\langle F_{12}(x), F_{1,-2}(y) \rangle &= H_{-1}(\phi(\lambda x \lambda^* y^*)), & F_{-1,-2}(\langle u, v \rangle) &= \phi(\lambda H_1(u) \lambda^* H_{-2}(v)^*), \\
\lambda^* \langle F_{-1,-2}(x), F_{1,-2}(y) \rangle &= H_{-2}(\phi(x^* \lambda y)), & F_{1,-2}(\lambda^* \langle u, v \rangle) &= \phi(H_{-1}(u)^* \lambda H_{-2}(v)).
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in R$ and $u, v \in \Delta$.

Lemma 13. *The maps*

$$\begin{aligned}
H(u) &= H_1(u) = \lambda^* H_2(u) = H_{-1}(u) \lambda = H_{-2}(u) \lambda, \\
F(x) &= F_{12}(x) = F_{-1,2}(\lambda x) = F_{1,-2}(x \lambda^*) = F_{-1,-2}(x \lambda^*)
\end{aligned}$$

satisfy the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_S^2 &= 1_S, & \lambda_R^2 &= 1_R, \\
\lambda_S H(u) &= H(u) \lambda_S, & \lambda_R x &= x \lambda_R, \\
\rho(F(x))^* &= \rho(F(x)), & \rho(u)^* &= \rho(u), \\
H(u) \rho(F(x)) &= \rho(F(x)) H(u), & x \rho(u) &= \rho(u) x, \\
H(u \cdot x) &= \rho(F(x)) H(u), & F(x) \cdot H(u) &= F(\rho(u) x), \\
u \cdot x^* &= u \cdot x, & F(x) \cdot H(u)^* &= F(x) \cdot H(u), \\
u \cdot \lambda &= u, & F(x) \cdot \lambda &= F(x), \\
\phi(x^*) &= \phi(x) = -\phi(x \lambda_R), & \phi(H(u)^*) &= \phi(H(u)) = -\phi(H(u) \lambda_S), \\
\phi(xy) &= \phi(yx), & \phi(H(u) H(v)) &= \phi(H(v) H(u)), \\
\langle F(x), F(y) \rangle &= H(\phi(-x^* y)), & F(\langle u, v \rangle) &= \phi(-H(u)^* H(v)).
\end{aligned}$$

These identities are equivalent to the list above modulo axioms of $(\mathbb{B}_3, \mathbb{B}_3)$ -rings.

Proof. Let us simplify the top 16 identities from the list above. Take $x = 1$ in $F_{12}(x)$ and $u = \iota$ in $H_1(u)$. We get the identities

$$H_2(u) = \lambda H_1(u), \quad F_{12}(x) = F_{-1,2}(\lambda x), \quad H_{-1}(u) = H_{-2}(u), \quad F_{1,-2}(x) = F_{-1,-2}(x).$$

Now let $x = \lambda$ in $F_{-1,2}(x)$ and $u = \iota$ in $H_2(u)$, we obtain

$$H_1(u) = H_{-2}(u) \lambda, \quad F_{1,-2}(x) = F_{12}(x \lambda), \quad H_2(u \cdot \lambda) = H_{-1}(u), \quad F_{-1,-2}(x) \cdot \lambda = F_{-1,2}(x).$$

This implies that F and H are well-defined and

$$H(u \cdot \lambda^{\pm 1}) = \lambda^{\mp 1} H(u) \lambda^{\mp 1}, \quad F(x) \cdot \lambda^{\pm 1} = F(\lambda^{\mp 1} x \lambda^{\mp 1}).$$

Now express all identities using F and H . After simplification we get the first 14 identities from the statement. The remaining 6 identities are equivalent to the bottom 8 identities in the list above. \square

Now we may identify Δ with S and Θ with R using the isomorphisms F and H . By lemma 13 the operations $(-)\cdot(=)$ and $\langle -, = \rangle$ may be expressed in terms of the ring multiplications, ρ , and ϕ . Also, $\lambda = \rho(-1)$ both in R and S . We call the resulting object an $(\mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{F}_4)$ -ring. Formally, an abstract $(\mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{F}_4)$ -ring (R, S) consists of two alternative unital ring R and S with involutions $x \mapsto x^*$, additive maps $\phi: R \rightarrow C(S)$ and $\phi: S \rightarrow C(R)$, and with maps $\rho: R \rightarrow C(S)$ and $\rho: S \rightarrow C(R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [x^*, y, z] &= -[x, y, z], & u \phi(x) &= \phi(\rho(u) x), \\ [x, y, z]^* &= -[x, y, z], & \rho(1) &= 1, \\ \phi(xy) &= \phi(yx), & \rho(x) \rho(y) &= \rho(xy), \\ \phi((xy)z) &= \phi(x(yz)), & \rho(x+y) &= \rho(x) + \phi(x^*y) + \rho(y), \\ \phi(x^*) &= \phi(x)^* = \phi(x), & \rho(x^*) &= \rho(x)^* = \rho(x), \\ \phi(\phi(x)) &= 0, & \rho(\rho(x)) &= x^*x, \\ & & \rho(\phi(x)) + \phi(\rho(x)) &= x + x^*, \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z \in R$ and $u \in S$ or vice versa. Note that an element a of an $(\mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{F}_4)$ -ring is invertible if and only if $\rho(a)$ is invertible (and the inverse of $\rho(a)$ is necessarily central).

Example 4. For any commutative unital ring K consider the $(\mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{F}_4)$ -ring (R, S) constructed by $G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{F}_4, K)$, where R parameterize long roots and S parameterize short roots. It is easy to see using example 1 that $R \cong S \cong K$ and under these isomorphisms $\zeta^* = \zeta$ for $\zeta \in R \cup S$, $\phi(x) = 0$ for $x \in R$, $\phi(u) = 2u$ for $u \in S$, $\rho(x) = x^2$ for $x \in R$, $\rho(u) = u$ for $u \in S$.

Example 5. Let us construct the free $(\mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{F}_4)$ -ring (R, S) with empty set of generators. Since R and S as involution rings are generated by the sets $\phi(S) \cup \rho(S)$ and $\phi(R) \cup \rho(R)$ respectively, they are commutative with trivial involutions. It is easy to check that $R = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda$ and $S = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \rho(-1) = \phi(1) - 1, & \phi(a + b\lambda) &= (a - b) + (a - b)\lambda, \\ (a + b\lambda)(c + d\lambda) &= (ac + bd) + (ad + bc)\lambda, & \rho(a + b\lambda) &= \frac{(a-b)^2 + a + b}{2} + \frac{(a-b)^2 - a - b}{2} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $R^* = \{-1, 1, -\lambda, \lambda\}$ and $S^* = \{-1, 1, -\lambda, \lambda\}$. A corresponding \mathbb{F}_4 -graded group exists by our existence theorem 8.

Example 6. Consider the surjective map

$$\xi: \mathbb{E}_8 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_4 \cup \{0\}, \quad e_i \mapsto e_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 4, \quad e_i \mapsto 0 \text{ for } 5 \leq i \leq 8$$

induced by a linear operator. It also restricts to surjections $E_7 \rightarrow F_4 \cup \{0\}$ and $E_6 \rightarrow F_4$. It is easy to see that $\text{Ker}(\xi) \cong D_4$, $\text{Ker}(\xi) \cap E_7 \cong 3A_1$, and $\text{Ker}(\xi) \cap E_6 = \emptyset$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^{-1}(\pm e_i) &= \{\pm e_i + \sigma e_j \mid \sigma \in \{-1, 1\}, 5 \leq j \leq 8\}, \\ \xi^{-1}(\sigma e_i + \tau e_j) &= \{\sigma e_i + \tau e_j\}, \\ \xi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \sigma_i e_i\right) &= \left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^8 \sigma_i e_i \mid \sigma_5, \sigma_6, \sigma_7, \sigma_8 \in \{-1, 1\}; \sum_{i=1}^8 \sigma_i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\xi^{-1}(A_1 \cup \{0\}) \cong D_5, \quad \xi^{-1}(A_1 \cup \{0\}) \cap E_7 \cong A_1 + A_3, \quad \xi^{-1}(A_1 \cup \{0\}) \cap E_6 \cong A_1 + A_1$$

in the short root case and

$$\xi^{-1}(A_1 \cup \{0\}) \cong A_1 + D_4, \quad \xi^{-1}(A_1 \cup \{0\}) \cap E_7 \cong 4A_1, \quad \xi^{-1}(A_1 \cup \{0\}) \cap E_6 \cong A_1$$

in the long root case. Any E_ℓ -graded group G is F_4 -graded via ξ since a new α -Weyl element for $\alpha \in F_4$ may be constructed as product of β -Weyl elements for a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal $\beta \in \xi^{-1}(\alpha) \cap E_\ell$ (such a family contains either 2 or 1 roots depending on the length of α). In the case of $G = G^{\text{ad}}(E_\ell, K)$ the corresponding (F_4, F_4) -ring (R, S) has the following structure. The ring R is canonically isomorphic to K with the trivial involution, S is a $2^{\ell-5}$ -dimensional unital K -algebra with involution, $\phi: R \rightarrow S$ is zero, $\phi: S \rightarrow R$ and $\rho: R \rightarrow S$ are K -linear, $\rho: S \rightarrow R$ is a quadratic map. Moreover, the quadratic form $\rho: S \rightarrow R$ splits. It follows that R may be identified with $K \subseteq S$ via $\rho: R \rightarrow S$, $\phi(u) = u^* + u$ and $\rho(u) = u^*u$ for $u \in S$, S is a composition algebra, $\lambda_S = -1$, $\lambda_R = 1$. Since the rings S for $E_6 \subseteq E_7 \subseteq E_8$ form a tower of Cayley–Dickson extensions and the smallest one of the splits, the remaining two also split. Groups from example 3 correspond to $C_3 \subseteq F_4$ in G since $\xi^{-1}(C_3) \cong E_7$. Indeed, C_3 is the orthogonal complement to a long root in F_4 , so its preimage is the orthogonal complement to a root in E_8 .

For any F_4 -graded group G we have a corresponding (F_4, F_4) -ring (R, S) . Fix a basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\} \subseteq F_4$ such that the root subsystems B_3 and C_3 from the construction of (R, S) are spanned by $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ and $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$, namely,

$$G_{\alpha_1} = t_{23}(R), \quad G_{\alpha_2} = t_{12}(R) = t'_1(R), \quad G_{\alpha_3} = t_1(S) = t'_{12}(S), \quad G_{\alpha_4} = t'_{23}(S),$$

see also [3, §VI.4.9]. For root subgroups $G_{\pm\alpha_2}$ and $G_{\pm\alpha_3}$ we use the parametrizations

$$t_{\alpha_2} = t_{12}: R \rightarrow G_{\alpha_2}, \quad t_{-\alpha_2} = t_{21}: R \rightarrow G_{-\alpha_2}, \quad t_{\alpha_3} = t'_{12}: S \rightarrow G_{\alpha_3}, \quad t_{-\alpha_3} = t'_{21}: S \rightarrow G_{-\alpha_3},$$

also let us fix the standard Weyl elements

$$\begin{aligned}n_1 &= t_{23}(1) t_{32}(-1) t_{23}(1), & n_3 &= t'_{12}(1) t'_{21}(-1) t'_{12}(1), \\ n_2 &= t_{12}(1) t_{21}(-1) t_{12}(1), & n_4 &= t'_{23}(1) t'_{32}(-1) t'_{23}(1).\end{aligned}$$

We are going to construct parametrizations of remaining root subgroups, in particular, to choose parametrizations for $(\mathbf{B}_3 \cup \mathbf{C}_3) \setminus \{\pm\alpha_2, \pm\alpha_3\}$ (recall that t_{ij} and $t_{-j,-i}$ parameterize the same subgroups). Consider the following sets of terms in the language of $(\mathbf{F}_4, \mathbf{F}_4)$ -rings, where we identify terms obtained by permutation of R and S .

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon &= \{-1, 1, -\lambda, \lambda\}, \\ O_{\text{sym}} &= \{vx, vx^* \mid v \in \Upsilon\}, \\ O_{\pi/2} &= \{\sigma\phi(xy), \sigma\phi(x^*y) \mid \sigma \in \{-1, 1\}\}, \\ O_{2\pi/3} &= \{vxy, vxy^*, vx^*y, vx^*y^*, vyx, vyx^*, vy^*x, vy^*x^* \mid v \in \Upsilon\}, \\ O_{3\pi/4} &= \{vx\rho(u), vx^*\rho(u) \mid v \in \Upsilon\}.\end{aligned}$$

These terms are indeed distinct. Namely, let

$$G_{\text{std}} = G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_7, \mathbb{C}) \times G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_7, \mathbb{C}).$$

where the \mathbf{F}_4 grading on the first factor is given as in example 6, and on the second factor it is given as in the same example followed by an outer automorphism of \mathbf{F}_4 turning over the Dynkin diagram. The corresponding $(\mathbf{F}_4, \mathbf{F}_4)$ -ring is $(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C})$, where $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the (split) octonion algebra over \mathbb{C} . For this $(\mathbf{F}_4, \mathbf{F}_4)$ -ring the terms in Υ , O_{sym} , $O_{\pi/2}$, $O_{2\pi/3}$, $O_{3\pi/4}$ represent distinct elements and functions. Clearly, Υ is an elementary abelian group under multiplication. The set O_{sym} is an elementary abelian group under composition.

Unlike the case of \mathbf{E}_ℓ -groups, we cannot right now prove the full analogue of lemma 9 since the \mathbf{F}_4 -analogue of $T^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_\ell, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on the root subgroups by elements of Υ and multiplication by λ cannot be introduced before coordinatization.

Lemma 14. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_4$ be roots of the same length. Then there exists a sign $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that for every \mathbf{F}_4 -graded group G and every α - and β -Weyl elements n_α and n_β the identity $(n_\alpha n_\beta)^3 g = g^\sigma$ holds for every $g \in G_\gamma$.*

Proof. This follows from lemma 7 applied to a root subsystem of non-crystallographic type \mathbf{B}_3 containing all these roots. Note that the root subsystem spanned by α , β , and γ is necessarily of type \mathbf{A}_2 or \mathbf{B}_3 . \square

Theorem 6. *There exist terms*

$$\begin{aligned}d_{i,\alpha}(x) &\in O_{\text{sym}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 4 \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbf{F}_4; \\ C_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha+\beta}(x, y) &\in O_{\pi/2} \text{ for } \alpha \perp \beta \text{ and } |\alpha| = |\beta| = 1; \\ C_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}(x, y) &\in O_{\pi/2} \text{ for } \alpha \perp \beta \text{ and } |\alpha| = |\beta| = \sqrt{2}; \\ C_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha+\beta}(x, y) &\in O_{2\pi/3} \text{ for } \widehat{\alpha\beta} = 2\pi/3; \\ C_{\alpha\beta}^{2\alpha+\beta}(u, x), C_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha+\beta}(x, u) &\in O_{3\pi/4} \text{ for } \widehat{\alpha\beta} = 3\pi/4, |\alpha| = 1, \text{ and } |\beta| = \sqrt{2}; \\ C_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha+\beta}(u, x), C_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha+2\beta}(x, u) &\in O_{3\pi/3} \text{ for } \widehat{\alpha\beta} = 3\pi/4, |\alpha| = \sqrt{2}, \text{ and } |\beta| = 1\end{aligned}$$

with the following property. For every F_4 -graded group G there are (necessarily unique) parametrizations $t_\alpha: P_\alpha \rightarrow G_\alpha$ for $\alpha \neq \pm\alpha_2, \pm\alpha_3$ and $P_\alpha \in \{R, S\}$ such that

$${}^{n_i}t_\alpha(x) = t_{s_i(\alpha)}(d_{i,\alpha}(x))$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $\alpha \in F_4$ (where $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$), the commutator formula

$$[t_\alpha(\zeta), t_\beta(\eta)] = \prod_{\gamma \in]\alpha, \beta[} t_\gamma(C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\zeta, \eta))$$

holds for $\alpha \neq -\beta$, and $t_\alpha(a)t_{-\alpha}(b)t_\alpha(c)$ is a Weyl element if and only if $a = c$ is invertible and $b = -a^{-1}$. Such a family of terms is unique up to the change $d_{i,\alpha}(\zeta) \mapsto v_{s_i(\alpha)}^{-1}(d_{i,\alpha}(v_\alpha(\zeta)))$ and $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\zeta, \eta) \mapsto v_\gamma^{-1}(C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(v_\alpha(\zeta), v_\beta(\eta)))$, where $v_\alpha = v_{-\alpha} \in \Upsilon$ and $v_{\alpha_2} = v_{\alpha_3} = x$.

Proof. We cannot proceed as in lemma 9 and theorem 4 since multiplication by $\lambda \in \Upsilon$ is not expressible in the group-theoretic language. At first we find the required terms and construct t_α not necessarily satisfying the characterization of Weyl elements, and at the end we change t_α for negative α by composing them with suitable elements of O_{sym} . For $\alpha \in B_3 \cup C_3$ we already have some homomorphisms t_α uniquely defined up to the action of O_{sym} , see lemma 13 for $B_3 \cap C_3$. Now let $\text{ht}: F_4 \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the *height function*, i.e. $\text{ht}(\alpha + \beta) = \text{ht}(\alpha) + \text{ht}(\beta)$, $\text{ht}(0) = 0$, and $\text{ht}(\alpha_i) = 1$. Let us construct t_α for $\text{ht}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\alpha \notin B_3 \cup C_3$ by induction on $\text{ht}(\alpha)$ as follows. There exists $1 \leq i \leq 4$ such that $\text{ht}(s_i(\alpha)) < \text{ht}(\alpha)$ (but necessarily still $\text{ht}(s_i(\alpha)) > 0$). Let $t_\alpha(x) = {}^{n_i}t_{s_i(\alpha)}(o(x))$ for some $o \in O_{\text{sym}}$. The same definition also works for $\text{ht}(\alpha) > 1$ and $\alpha \in B_3 \cup C_3$ for some explicit o by lemmas 7 and 8. Note that our definition is “independent” on the choice of i in the following sense. If $\text{ht}(s_i(\alpha)), \text{ht}(s_j(\alpha)) < \text{ht}(\alpha)$ for $i \neq j$, then $|i-j| \geq 2$ (by inspecting the root diagram of F_4 [9, figure 25]), $n_i n_j = n_j n_i$, and

$$t_\alpha(x) = {}^{n_i}t_{s_i(\alpha)}(o(x)) = {}^{n_i n_j}t_{s_j(s_i(\alpha))}(o'(o(x))) = {}^{n_j n_i}t_{s_i(s_j(\alpha))}(o'(o(x))) = {}^{n_j}t_{s_i(\alpha)}(o''(o'(o(x))))$$

for some $o, o', o'' \in O_{\text{sym}}$. It follows that ${}^{n_i}t_\alpha(x) = t_{s_i(\alpha)}(d_{i,\alpha}(x))$ holds for all i and α with positive height for some universal terms $d_{i,\alpha}$ unless $s_i(\alpha) = \alpha$.

In order to find $d_{i,\alpha}$ satisfying this identity consider the following cases.

- If $|\alpha| \neq |\alpha_i|$, then we clearly have to take $d_{i,\alpha}(x) = x$.
- If $|\alpha| = |\alpha_i|$ and $\alpha \in B_3 \cup C_3$, then we may apply lemmas 7 and 8 since both α and α_i lie in B_3 or C_3 .
- Suppose that $|\alpha| = |\alpha_i|$ and there is j such that $|i-j| \geq 2$ and $\text{ht}(s_j(\alpha)) < \text{ht}(\alpha)$. If there exists an appropriate $d_{i,s_j(\alpha)}$, then $d_{i,\alpha} = d_{i,s_j(\alpha)}$ also exists since

$${}^{n_i}t_\alpha(x) = {}^{n_i n_j}t_{s_j(\alpha)}(d_{j,\alpha}^{-1}(x)) = {}^{n_j}t_{s_j(\alpha)}(d_{i,s_j(\alpha)}(d_{j,\alpha}^{-1}(x))) = t_\alpha(d_{i,s_j(\alpha)}(x)).$$

- By considering the root diagram [9, figure 25], the terms not covered by other cases are $d_{2,\mu_{\text{long}}}$ and $d_{3,\mu_{\text{short}}}$, where μ_{long} and μ_{short} are the highest roots of the corresponding lengths. We may find them using lemma 14.

By symmetry, the same holds for roots with negative height. Since $d_{i,\alpha_{\pm i}}$ clearly exist by lemma 7, we have all $d_{i,\alpha}$. In order to find $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ we may apply a product of n_i to (α, β) in order to move the roots into \mathbf{B}_3 or \mathbf{C}_3 . Inside these root subgroups the required term clearly exists. Similarly, by lemmas 7 and 8 for every root α with positive height there exists $v \in O_{\text{sym}}$ such that $t_\alpha(a)t_{-\alpha}(b)t_\alpha(c)$ is Weyl if and only if $a = c$ is invertible and $b = -v(a)^{-1}$. We replace $t_{-\alpha}$ by $t_{-\alpha} \circ v$. The characterization of $(-\alpha)$ -Weyl elements became valid since $t_\alpha(a)t_{-\alpha}(b)t_\alpha(a) = t_{-\alpha}(b)t_\alpha(a)t_{-\alpha}(b)$ for such elements. \square

For any abstract $(\mathbf{F}_4, \mathbf{F}_4)$ -ring (R, S) we now may construct the Steinberg group $\text{St}(\mathbf{F}_4, R, S)$ with generators $t_\alpha(x)$ for long α and $x \in R$, $t_\beta(\zeta)$ for short β and $\zeta \in S$. The relations are, as usual, $t_\alpha(x)t_\alpha(y) = t_\alpha(x+y)$ for all α and $[t_\alpha(x), t_\beta(y)] = \prod_{\gamma \in]\alpha, \beta[} t_\gamma(C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(x, y))$ for $\alpha \neq -\beta$.

Theorem 7. *Let G be a \mathbf{F}_4 -graded group. Then there is an $(\mathbf{F}_4, \mathbf{F}_4)$ -ring (R, S) and a homomorphism $Q: \text{St}(\mathbf{F}_4, R, S) \rightarrow G$ inducing isomorphisms between root subgroups.*

Any other such homomorphism $Q': \text{St}(\mathbf{F}_4, R', S') \rightarrow G$ is of the following type. Choose additive isomorphisms $F: R' \rightarrow R$, $H: S' \rightarrow S$ and elements $a, b \in R^$, $\zeta, \eta \in S^*$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} F(1) &= (ab)^{-1}, & H(1) &= (\zeta\eta)^{-1}, \\ F(xy) &= (F(x)a)(bF(y)), & H(uv) &= (H(u)\zeta)(\eta H(v)), \\ F(\lambda x) &= \lambda F(x), & H(\lambda u) &= \lambda H(u), \\ F(x^*) &= (F(x)(ab))^*, & H(u^*) &= (H(u)(\zeta\eta))^*, \\ F(\phi(u)) &= \phi(H(u)(\zeta\eta)), & H(\phi(x)) &= \phi(F(x)(ab)), \\ F(\rho(u)) &= \rho(H(u)(\zeta\eta)), & H(\rho(x)) &= \rho(F(x)(ab)). \end{aligned}$$

The root homomorphisms $t_\alpha: P_\alpha \rightarrow G$ for $P_\alpha \in \{R, S\}$ and $t'_\alpha: P'_\alpha \rightarrow G$ for $P'_\alpha \in \{R', S'\}$ are related via explicit formulae from theorem 5 for $\alpha \in \mathbf{B}_3 \cup \mathbf{C}_3$. The tuple $(F, H, a, b, \zeta, \eta)$ is uniquely determined by Q' .

Proof. The first claim follows from theorem 6. To prove the second claim, we just rewrite theorem 5 for \mathbf{B}_3 and \mathbf{C}_3 in terms of $(\mathbf{F}_4, \mathbf{F}_4)$ -rings and impose conditions that both resulting identifications $P'_\alpha \cong P_\alpha$ coincide for $\alpha \in \mathbf{B}_3 \cap \mathbf{C}_3$. The relation $F(\phi(u^*v)) = (\nu_3 ab)^{-1} \phi(H(u)^* H(v))$ turns out to be redundant since after applying the identities for $F(\phi(x))$, $H(xy)$, and $H(x^*)$ in the left hand side we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi\left(\left(\left(\left(\left(\zeta\eta\right)^* H(u)^* (\zeta\eta)^{-1} \zeta\right) (\eta H(v))\right) (\zeta\eta)\right) (ab)^{-1}\right) \\ &= \phi\left(\left(\left(\zeta\eta\right)^* H(u)^* (\zeta\eta)^{-1} \left((\zeta\eta) H(v) (\zeta\eta)\right)\right) (ab)^{-1}\right) \\ &= (ab)^{-1} \phi(\rho(\rho(\zeta\eta)) H(u)^* H(v)) = (\nu_3 ab)^{-1} \phi(H(u)^* H(v)). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that for every such tuple $(F, H, a, b, \zeta, \eta)$ the pair (R', S') is an (F_4, F_4) -ring and there exists a corresponding homomorphism Q' . This may be done in the same way as in the proof of theorem 5. \square

9 Existence theorem

We begin with a complementary result to theorem 6.

Lemma 15. *For any abstract (F_4, F_4) -ring (R, S) there is an (F_4, \emptyset) -ring $(P_\alpha)_\alpha$ such that $P_\alpha = R$ for long α , $P_\alpha = S$ for short α , and $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ are given by the terms from theorem 6.*

Proof. We have to check that the commutator terms $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ satisfy all identities from theorem 1. Every such identity involves only roots from a root subsystem Ψ of the non-crystallographic type B_3 since the last axiom from theorem 1 is vacuous otherwise. Now we may transform Ψ to the distinguished root subsystem B_3 or C_3 . Notice that

$$d_{i,\gamma}(C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\zeta, \eta)) = C_{s_i(\alpha), s_i(\beta)}^{s_i(\gamma)}(d_{i,\alpha}(\zeta), d_{i,\beta}(\eta))$$

holds since this is true in $G_{\text{std}} = G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_7, \mathbb{C}) \times G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{E}_7, \mathbb{C})$. \square

We prove the existence theorem of a Φ -graded group with given (Φ, Φ) -ring in the following way. There exists a certain nilpotent group G_0 analogous to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{ad}}(\Phi, K) = \mathfrak{t}^{\text{ad}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ of a Chevalley group $G^{\text{ad}}(\Phi, K)$. Instead of the torus we take the group of all formal diagonal elements, i.e. the automorphism group of the (Φ, \emptyset) -ring. Also, first order infinitesimals are insufficient for our purposes, so we use root elements parameterized by specifically truncated power series. The required Φ -graded group is constructed as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G_0)$ generated by certain “root automorphisms”.

We say that an element g of a group G with Φ -commutator relations is *diagonal* if $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = G_\alpha$ for all α . For example, diagonal matrices are diagonal in $\text{GL}(m, R)$, as well as elements of $T^{\text{ad}}(\Phi, K) \leq G^{\text{ad}}(\Phi, K)$. The next two lemmas complement lemmas 7 and 8 and we formulate them for $(B_\ell, A_{\ell-1})$ -rings for simplicity.

Lemma 16. *Let G be a group with B_ℓ -commutator relations and $A_{\ell-1}$ -Weyl elements for $\ell \geq 4$ or a B_3 -graded group, $(R_{ij}, \Delta_i^0)_{ij}$ be the corresponding $(B_\ell, A_{\ell-1})$ -ring, $i \neq \pm j$ be indices, $x \in R_{ij}$, $y \in R_{ji}$. Then the element $t_{ij}(x)t_{ji}(y)$ may be written as $t_{ji}(y')t_{ij}(x')h$ for some x', y' and for diagonal h if and only if $1_i + xy$ is invertible in R_{ii} (so $1_j + yx$ is invertible in R_{jj}*

by lemma 10). In this case necessarily

$$\begin{aligned}
x' &= x + xyx, & {}^h t_i(u) &= t_i(u \cdot (1 + xy)^{-1}), \\
y' &= (1 + yx)^{-1} y = y(1 + xy)^{-1}, & {}^h t_{-i}(u) &= t_{-i}(u \cdot \overline{(1 + xy)}), \\
{}^h t_{kl}(z) &= t_{kl}(z), & {}^h t_j(u) &= t_j(u \cdot (1 + yx)), \\
{}^h t_k(u) &= t_k(u), & {}^h t_{-j}(u) &= t_{-j}(u \cdot \overline{(1 + yx)}^{-1}), \\
{}^h t_{ik}(z) &= t_{ik}(z + x(yz)), & {}^h t_{-i,j}(z) &= t_{-i,j}(\overline{(1 + xy)}^{-1}(z + (zy)x)), \\
{}^h t_{jk}(z) &= t_{jk}(z - y'(xz)), & {}^h t_{i,-j}(z) &= t_{i,-j}((z + x(yz))\overline{(1 + yx)}^{-1}), \\
{}^h t_{ki}(z) &= t_{ki}(z - (zx)y'), & {}^h t_{ij}(z) &= t_{ij}((1 + xy)(z + (zy)x)), \\
{}^h t_{kj}(z) &= t_{kj}(z + (zy)x), & {}^h t_{ji}(z) &= t_{ji}((1 + yx)^{-1}(z - (zx)y')).
\end{aligned}$$

for $|k|, |l| \notin \{|i|, |j|\}$.

Proof. We use that the ring $\begin{pmatrix} R_{--} & R_{-+} \\ R_{+-} & R_{++} \end{pmatrix}$ is associative or a matrix algebra over an alternative ring. Conjugating $t_{ik}(z)$, $t_{jk}(z)$, $t_{ki}(z)$, $t_{kj}(z)$ by both sides of $t_{ij}(x)t_{ji}(y) = t_{ji}(y') {}^h t_{ij}(x')$, we get the corresponding formulae for ${}^h t_{pq}(z)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
xz &= x'z + x(y(x'z)) \text{ for } z \in R_{jk}, & yz &= y'z + y'(x(yz)) \text{ for } z \in R_{ik}, \\
zx &= zx' + ((zx')y)x \text{ for } z \in R_{ki}, & zy &= zy' + ((zy)x)y' \text{ for } z \in R_{kj}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the map $R_{ik} \rightarrow R_{ik}$, $z \mapsto z + x(yz)$ is invertible, there exists $a \in R_{ii}$ such that $a + x(ya) = 1_i$. We have

$$[x, y, a] = [x, y, -x(ya)] = -([x, y, a]y)x,$$

so again using the bijectivity $[x, y, a] = 0$ and $(1 + xy)a = 1_i$. Similarly, there exists $b \in R_{jj}$ such that $[x, y, b] = 0$ and $b(1 + yx) = 1_j$. On the other hand, $(1 + yx)(1 - yax) = 1_j$, so $1 + yx$ is invertible and $b = 1 - yax$. Similarly, $1 + xy$ is invertible and $a = 1 - xby$. From this we easily obtain the formulae for x' and y' .

Now suppose that $1 + xy$ is invertible and define x' and y' using the explicit formulae. The four identities above may be checked using symmetry and lemma 10 (or the associativity law) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
xz &= (x + xyx)z - (xy'x + xyxy'x)z = x'z - x(y'(xz)) - x\left(y\left((x(1 + yx)^{-1})(y(xz))\right)\right) \\
&= x'z - x(y'(xz)) - x(y(x(y'(xz)))) = x'z - x'(y'(xz)); \\
yz &= y'z + (y'xy)z = y'z + y\left(\overline{(1 + xy)}^{-1}x\right)(yz) = y'z + y'(x(yz)).
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining formulae for ${}^h t_\alpha(\zeta)$ easily follow by decomposing $t_\alpha(\zeta)$ into products of commutators of root elements with known conjugates. \square

Lemma 17. *Let G be a group with B_ℓ -commutator relations and $A_{\ell-1}$ -Weyl elements for $\ell \geq 3$, $(R_{ij}, \Delta_i^0)_{ij}$ be the corresponding $(B_\ell, A_{\ell-1})$ -ring, i be an*

index, $u \in \Delta_i^0$, $v \in \Delta_{-i}^0$. Then the element $t_i(u)t_{-i}(v)$ may be written as $t_{-i}(v')t_i(u')h$ for some u' , v' and for diagonal h if and only if $z = \widehat{\rho}(u)\widehat{\rho}(v) - u \circ v + 1_{-i}$ is invertible in $R_{-i, -i}$. In this case necessarily

$$\begin{aligned} u' &= u \cdot \bar{z} \dot{+} v' \cdot (-\widehat{\rho}(u)\bar{z}), & \widehat{\rho}(u') &= \widehat{\rho}(u)\bar{z}, \\ v' &= v \cdot z^{-1} \dot{-} u \cdot (-\widehat{\rho}(v)z^{-1}), & \widehat{\rho}(v') &= \widehat{\rho}(v)z^{-1}, \\ {}^h t_j(w) &= t_j(\dot{-} v' \cdot (-u \circ w) \dot{+} w \dot{+} u' \cdot \bar{z}^{-1}(-v \circ w)), & {}^h t_{jk}(x) &= t_{jk}(x), \\ {}^h t_i(w) &= t_i(\dot{-} v' \cdot (-u \circ w)\bar{z} \dot{+} w \cdot \bar{z} \dot{+} u' \cdot \bar{z}^{-1}(-v \circ w)\bar{z}), & {}^h t_{ij}(x) &= t_{ij}(\bar{z}^{-1}x), \\ {}^h t_{-i}(w) &= t_{-i}(\dot{-} v' \cdot (-u \circ w)z^{-1} \dot{+} w \cdot z^{-1} \dot{+} u' \cdot \bar{z}^{-1}(-v \circ w)z^{-1}), & {}^h t_{-i,j}(x) &= t_{-i,j}(zx), \end{aligned}$$

for $j \neq \pm i$.

Proof. Conjugating $t_{-i,j}(x)$, $t_j(w)$, and $t_{ij}(x)$ by both sides of $t_i(u)t_{-i}(v) = t_{-i}(v')t_i(u')h$ we obtain the identities

$$\begin{aligned} F_{-i,j}(x) &= zx, \\ \rho(v')F_{-i,j}(x) &= \rho(v)x, \\ v' \cdot F_{-i,j}(x) &= v \cdot x \dot{-} u \cdot (-\rho(v)x), \\ u' \circ F_j(w) &= \rho(u)(v \circ w) + u \circ w, \\ F_j(w) \dot{-} v' \cdot (u' \circ F_j(w)) &= u \cdot (-v \circ w) \dot{+} w, \\ \rho(v')(u' \circ F_j(w)) + v' \circ F_j(w) &= v \circ w, \\ \rho(u')F_{ij}(x) &= \rho(u)x, \\ u' \cdot F_{ij}(x) \dot{-} v' \cdot (-\rho(u')F_{ij}(x)) &= u \cdot x, \\ (\rho(v')\rho(u') - v' \circ u' + 1)F_{ij}(x) &= x, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^h t_\alpha(\zeta) = t_\alpha(F_\alpha(\zeta))$ for currently unknown function F_α . The first one implies that z is invertible. Conversely, if z is invertible, then these identities hold precisely when u' , v' , $F_{-i,j}$, F_j , F_{ij} are defined using the formulae from the statement. The identities for ${}^h t_i(w)$ and ${}^h t_{-i}(w)$ follow by expanding $t_{\pm i}(w)$ in terms of root elements with known conjugates. \square

We also need a partial converse to these two lemmas for abstract $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{B}_\ell)$ -rings.

Lemma 18. *Let (R, Δ) be an $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{B}_\ell)$ -ring for $\ell \geq 3$, $(R_{pq}, \Delta_p^0)_{pq}$ be the corresponding $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{A}_{\ell-1})$ -ring, and $\alpha \in \mathbf{B}_\ell$ be a root. Suppose that $\zeta \in P_\alpha$ and $\eta \in P_{-\alpha}$ are such that the criterion from lemma 16 or 17 holds, i.e. $1_i + \zeta\eta \in R_{ii}^*$ for long $\alpha = e_j - e_i$ (with respect to the parametrizations t_{ij} and t_{ji}) and $\widehat{\rho}(\zeta)\widehat{\rho}(\eta) - u \circ v + 1_{-i} \in R_{-i}^*$ for short $\alpha = e_i$. Then there exists an automorphism $h = (F_{pq}, H_p)_{pq}$ of the corresponding partial graded odd form ring given by the explicit formulae from these lemmas such that the products of conjugations by $t_\alpha(\zeta)$, $t_{-\alpha}(\eta)$ and $t_{-\alpha}(\eta')$, $t_\alpha(\zeta')$, h on $G_{(\mathbb{R}\alpha + \mathbb{R}_{>0}\beta) \cap \Phi}$ coincide for every $\beta \not\parallel \alpha$.*

Proof. Note that F_{pq} and H_p are homomorphisms. For H_p in the case of short α this follows from an easy calculation using axioms of $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{A}_{\ell-1})$ -rings. The same calculations as in the proofs of lemmas 16 and 17 show the last claim for all cases except $F_{\pm i, \mp j}$, $H_{\pm i}$, and $H_{\pm j}$ for long $\alpha = e_j - e_i$. In the remaining cases we just apply the conjugations to

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\pm i}(u) &= [t_k(\cdot u) t_{k, \pm i}(-1_k)] t_{-k, \pm i}(-\overline{\widehat{\rho}(u)}), \\ t_{\pm j}(u) &= [t_k(\cdot u) t_{k, \pm j}(-1_k)] t_{-k, \pm j}(-\overline{\widehat{\rho}(u)}), \\ t_{\pm i, \mp j}(z) &= [t_{\pm i, k}(z), t_{k, \mp j}(1_k)] \end{aligned}$$

for $k \notin \{-i, i, -j, j\}$ with corresponding sign. It also follows that all F_{pq} and H_p are bijective.

It remains to check that h commutes with all operations $C_{\beta\gamma}^\delta$. This follows from the last claim if $\pm\alpha$ do not lie in $\{\beta, \gamma\} \cup]\beta, \gamma[$. In the case of short α the necessarily identities follow from the known ones by inserting the factors $z^{\pm 1}$ and $\bar{z}^{\pm 1}$. Finally, suppose that $\alpha = e_j - e_i$ is long. We do calculations in the language of $(\mathbf{B}_\ell, \mathbf{A}_{\ell-1})$ -rings for simplicity. By definition, $F_{ik}(1_{ik}) F_{kj}(z) = F_{ij}(1_{ik}z)$ and $F_{jk}(1_{jk}) F_{ki}(z) = F_{ji}(1_{jk}z)$ for $k \notin \{-i, i, -j, -j\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{-i}(u) \circ H_j(v) &= F_{ik}(1_{ik})(H_{-k}(u \overline{1_{ki}}) \circ H_j(v)) = F_{ij}(u \circ v); \\ \widehat{\rho}(H_{-i}(u)) F_{-i,j}(z) &= F_{i,-k}(1_{i,-k}) \widehat{\rho}(H_k(u \cdot \overline{1_{-k,i}})) F_{k,-i}(\overline{1_{i,-k}}) F_{-i,j}(z) = F_{ij}(\widehat{\rho}(u) z); \\ F_{i,-j}(z) \widehat{\rho}(H_j(u)) &= F_{ik}(1_{ik}) F_{k,-j}(1_{ki}z) \widehat{\rho}(H_j(u)) = F_{ij}(z \widehat{\rho}(u)); \\ H_{-i}(u) \cdot F_{-i,j}(z) &= H_{-i}(u) \cdot F_{-i,k}(1_{-i,k}) F_{kj}(1_{k,-i}z) = H_j(u \cdot z); \\ H_j(u) \cdot F_{j,-i}(z) &= H_j(u) \cdot F_{jk}(1_{jk}) F_{k,-i}(1_{kj}z) = H_{-i}(u \cdot z); \\ F_{ik}(z) F_{kj}(z') &= \phi(\widehat{\rho}(F_{ik}(z) F_{k,-i}(\overline{1_{i,-k}}))) F_{-i,j}(\overline{1_{-k,i}} z') \\ &\quad + F_{i,-k}(1_{i,-k}) (F_{-k,-i}(\bar{z}) F_{-i,j}(\overline{1_{-k,i}} z')) = F_{ij}(zz'); \\ \widehat{\rho}(H_i(u)) F_{ij}(z) &= \widehat{\rho}(H_i(u)) F_{ik}(1_{ik}) F_{kj}(1_{ki}z) = F_{-i,j}(\widehat{\rho}(u) z); \\ F_{ij}(z) \widehat{\rho}(H_{-j}(u)) &= F_{ik}(1_{ik}) F_{kj}(1_{ki}z) \widehat{\rho}(H_{-j}(u)) = F_{i,-j}(z \widehat{\rho}(u)); \\ H_i(u) \cdot F_{ij}(z) &= H_i(u) \cdot F_{ik}(1_{ik}) F_{kj}(1_{ki}z) = H_j(u \cdot z); \\ H_{-j}(u) \cdot F_{-j,-i}(z) &= H_{-j}(u) \cdot F_{-j,-k}(z \overline{1_{ki}}) F_{-k,-i}(\overline{1_{ik}}) = H_{-i}(u \cdot z); \\ \phi(F_{ij}(z) F_{j,-i}(z')) &= \phi(F_{ik}(1_{ik}) F_{kj}(1_{ki}z) F_{j,-i}(z')) = H_{-i}(\phi(zz')); \\ \phi(F_{-j,i}(z) F_{ij}(z')) &= \phi(F_{-j,i}(z) F_{ik}(1_{ik}) F_{kj}(1_{ki}z')) = H_j(\phi(zz')); \\ F_{ij}(z) F_{jk}(z') &= \phi(\widehat{\rho}(F_{-k,-j}(\bar{z}') F_{-j,k}(\bar{z}' \overline{1_{-k,i}}))) F_{k,-i}(\overline{1_{i,-k}}) \\ &\quad + \overline{F_{-k,j}(1_{-k,i}z)} (F_{jk}(z') F_{k,-i}(\overline{1_{i,-k}})) = F_{ik}(zz'); \\ F_{ki}(z) F_{ij}(z') &= \phi(\widehat{\rho}(F_{ki}(z) F_{i,-k}(1_{i,-k}))) F_{-k,j}(1_{-k,i}z) \\ &\quad + F_{k,-i}(\overline{1_{i,-k}}) (F_{-i,k}(\bar{z}') F_{-k,j}(1_{-k,i}z)) = F_{kj}(zz'). \end{aligned}$$

The same works for the identities involving F_{ji} . \square

Now let Φ be a root system of type \mathbf{B}_ℓ for $\ell \geq 3$ or \mathbf{F}_4 and (R, Δ) be a (Φ, Φ) -

ring. We define formal power series over (R, Δ) as the pair $(R[[\varepsilon]], \Delta[[\varepsilon]])$, where

$$R[[\varepsilon]] = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} R\varepsilon^m, \quad \Delta[[\varepsilon]] = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} (\Delta \cdot \varepsilon^m) \dot{+} \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \phi(R\varepsilon^{2m+1}).$$

In other words, $\Delta[[\varepsilon]]$ is the set of formal sums $\sum_m u_m \cdot \varepsilon^m \dot{+} \sum_m \phi(x_m \varepsilon^{2m+1})$, where almost all values u_m and x_m are trivial. The operations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (x\varepsilon^m)(y\varepsilon^{m'}) &= xy\varepsilon^{m+m'}, & u \cdot \varepsilon^m \dot{+} v \cdot \varepsilon^m &= (u \dot{+} v) \cdot \varepsilon^m, \\ (x\varepsilon^m)^* &= x^* \varepsilon^m, & \langle u \cdot \varepsilon^m, v \cdot \varepsilon^{m'} \rangle &= \langle u, v \rangle \varepsilon^{m+m'}, \\ \phi(x\varepsilon^{2m}) &= \phi(x) \varepsilon^m, & \rho(u \cdot \varepsilon^m) &= \rho(u) \varepsilon^{2m}, \\ & & (u \cdot \varepsilon^m) \cdot (x \cdot \varepsilon^{m'}) &= (u \cdot x) \cdot \varepsilon^{m+m'}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\Phi = \mathbf{F}_4$ the additional operations are

$$(u \cdot \varepsilon^m)(v \cdot \varepsilon^{m'}) = uv \cdot \varepsilon^{m+m'}, \quad (u \cdot \varepsilon^m)^* = u^* \cdot \varepsilon^m, \quad \phi(u \cdot \varepsilon^m) = \phi(u) \varepsilon^m, \quad \rho(x\varepsilon^m) = \rho(x) \cdot \varepsilon^m.$$

Note that this definition is not symmetric under the outer automorphism of $\Phi = \mathbf{F}_4$. It is easy to check that $(R[[\varepsilon]], \Delta[[\varepsilon]])$ indeed satisfies all axioms. Actually, we need its truncation $(\widehat{R}, \widehat{\Delta})$, where

$$\widehat{R} = R[[\varepsilon]]/(\varepsilon^3) = R \oplus R\varepsilon \oplus R\varepsilon^2, \quad \widehat{\Delta} = \Delta[[\varepsilon]]/(\varepsilon^3) = \Delta \dot{+} \phi(R\varepsilon) \dot{+} (\Delta \cdot \varepsilon) \dot{+} (\Delta/\phi(R) \cdot \varepsilon^2).$$

Let $(P_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ and $(\widehat{P}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ be the (Φ, \emptyset) -rings associated with (R, Δ) and $(\widehat{R}, \widehat{\Delta})$, see lemma 15 for the case $\Phi = \mathbf{F}_4$. Let also

$$\widehat{T} = \{(F_\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\widehat{P}_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mid C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(F_\alpha(\zeta), F_\beta(\eta)) = F_\gamma(C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\zeta, \eta))\}$$

be the group of formal diagonal elements (the ‘‘adjoint torus’’). Using the commutator formulae, for any special closed $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ there is a group $\widehat{G}_\Sigma = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \widehat{P}_\alpha$ (with respect to a right extreme order on Σ) and \widehat{T} acts on \widehat{G}_Σ by automorphisms. The following lemma actually holds for any (Φ, Φ) -ring instead of $(\widehat{R}, \widehat{\Delta})$.

Lemma 19. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ be non-orthogonal linearly independent roots and $\Sigma = (\mathbb{R}\alpha + \mathbb{R}_{>0}\beta) \cap \Phi$. If two triples*

$$(\zeta_1, \eta_1, h_1), (\zeta_2, \eta_2, h_2) \in \widehat{P}_\alpha \times \widehat{P}_{-\alpha} \times \widehat{T}$$

act on \widehat{G}_Σ in the same way (as the compositions of conjugations by $t_\alpha(\zeta)$, $t_{-\alpha}(\eta)$, h and by $t_\alpha(\zeta')$, $t_{-\alpha}(\eta')$, h respectively), then $\zeta = \zeta'$, $\eta = \eta'$, and the Σ -components of h and h' coincide.

Moreover, let $\Psi \subseteq \Phi$ be a root subsystem of type \mathbf{A}_2 or \mathbf{B}_2 , $\beta \in \Phi \setminus (\Psi \cup \Psi^\perp)$, and $\Sigma = (\mathbb{R}\Psi + \mathbb{R}_{>0}\beta) \cap \Phi$. We order Ψ by polar angle with respect to some orientation and starting angle in the plane $\mathbb{R}\Psi$. If two tuples

$$(\zeta_\alpha, h)_\alpha, (\zeta'_\alpha, h')_\alpha \in \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Psi} \widehat{P}_\alpha \right) \times \widehat{T}$$

act in the same way on \widehat{G}_Σ and $\widehat{G}_{-\Sigma}$, then $\zeta_\alpha = \zeta'_\alpha$ and the Σ -components of h and h' coincide.

Proof. The first claim easily follows from the commutator formulae by considering the three possible cases. Namely, either α and β span A_2 , or they span B_2 and α is long, or they span B_2 and α is short.

To prove the second claim we are going to check by induction on m that for every sorted sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \Psi$ (with respect to the chosen polar angle) the composition of conjugations by $t_{\alpha_1}(\zeta_1), \dots, t_{\alpha_m}(\zeta_m)$, and h on \widehat{G}_Σ uniquely determines ζ_i . The case $m \leq 1$ is clear.

- Suppose that Ψ is of type A_2 and $\Psi \cup \Sigma \cup (-\Sigma)$ is of type A_3 . Without loss of generality, $\alpha_1 = e_2 - e_1$, $\alpha_2 = e_3 - e_1$, and $\beta = e_4 - e_2$ (by choosing $\beta \in \Sigma$ or $\beta \in -\Sigma$). Then ζ_1 may be determined by the $(\alpha_1 + \beta)$ -component of the conjugation of $t_{24}(1)$.
- Now suppose that Ψ is of type A_2 and $\Psi \cup \Sigma \cup (-\Sigma)$ is of type B_3 . Without loss of generality, $\alpha_1 = e_2 - e_1$, $\alpha_2 = e_2 - e_3$, and $\beta = e_1$ (possibly, $\beta \in -\Sigma$). Then ζ_1 may be determined by the $(\alpha_1 + \beta)$ -component of the conjugation of $t_1(\iota)$. If $m \geq 4$, then $\alpha_4 = e_1 - e_2$ and we also have to use that ζ_4 is determined by the β -component of the conjugation of $t_2(\iota)$.
- If Ψ is of type B_2 and α_1 is long, we may assume that $\alpha_1 = e_2 - e_1$, $\alpha_2 = e_2$, and $\beta = e_1 + e_3$. Then ζ_1 may be determined by the $(\alpha_1 + \beta)$ -component of the conjugation of $t_{-1,3}(1)$.
- Finally, if Ψ is of type B_2 and α_1 is short, we may assume that $\alpha_1 = e_1$, $\alpha_2 = e_1 - e_2$, and $\beta = e_3 - e_1$. Moreover, since we may invert the order on Ψ and all ζ_i , by the previous case we may also assume that $m \leq 7$. Then ζ_1 , may be determined by the $(2\alpha_1 + \beta)$ -component of the conjugation of $t_{13}(1)$. \square

For any special closed subset $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ consider the group $\widehat{G}(\Phi, \Sigma)$ generated by \widehat{G}_Σ , \widehat{T} , and elements $t_\alpha(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \text{Ker}(\widehat{P}_\alpha \rightarrow P_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Psi \setminus \Sigma$. The relations are the following.

- The maps from \widehat{G}_Σ , \widehat{T} , and $\text{Ker}(\widehat{P}_\alpha \rightarrow P_\alpha)$ to $\widehat{G}(\Phi, \Sigma)$ are homomorphisms.
- The commutator formula holds for all pairs of non-parallel roots.
- The conjugacy identities ${}^h t_\alpha(\zeta) = t_\alpha(H_\alpha(\zeta))$ for $h = (H_\alpha)_\alpha \in \widehat{T}$.
- Finally, $t_\alpha(\zeta) t_{-\alpha}(\eta) = t_{-\alpha}(\eta') t_\alpha(\zeta') h$, where ζ' , η' , and h are given by the formulae from lemmas 16 and 17 since all elements from $1 + \text{Ker}(\widehat{R} \rightarrow R)$ are invertible. In the case $\Phi = F_4$ they may be computed using various root subsystems of type B_3 , see the proof of lemma 20 below for the correctness. The element h indeed lies in \widehat{T} by lemma 18.

Lemma 20. *Let $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ be a special closed subset and \leq be a linear order on Φ such that its restriction to Σ is right extreme. Then the product map $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi} P_{\Sigma, \alpha}) \times \widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{G}(\Phi, \Sigma)$ is one-to-one, where $P_{\Sigma, \alpha} = \widehat{P}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $P_{\Sigma, \alpha} = \text{Ker}(\widehat{P}_\alpha \rightarrow P_\alpha)$ otherwise.*

Proof. Consider the following string rewrite system. Its objects are formal strings of root generators and elements of \widehat{T} enclosed in square brackets. The rules are the following.

$$\begin{aligned} t_\alpha(\dot{0}) &\rightarrow \varepsilon; \quad [1] \rightarrow 1; \quad t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\alpha(\eta) \rightarrow t_\alpha(\zeta \dot{+} \eta); \quad [h][h'] \rightarrow [hh']; \\ [h] t_\alpha(\zeta) &\rightarrow t_\alpha(H_\alpha(\zeta)) [h] \text{ for } h = (H_\alpha)_\alpha; \\ t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\beta(\eta) &\rightarrow \left(\prod_{\gamma \in]\alpha, \beta[} t_\gamma(C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\zeta, \eta)) \right) t_\beta(\eta) t_\alpha(\zeta) \text{ for } \alpha \nparallel \beta \text{ and } \alpha > \beta; \\ t_\alpha(\zeta) t_{-\alpha}(\eta) &\rightarrow t_{-\alpha}(\eta') t_\alpha(\zeta') h \text{ for } \alpha > -\alpha, \text{ where } \eta', \zeta', h \text{ are taken from lemmas 16 and 17.} \end{aligned}$$

This rewrite system is terminating. We have to check that it is confluent and the last rule also holds as an identity for $\alpha < -\alpha$ (also, that the rule is well-defined for $\Phi = F_4$). Consider the case $\Phi = B_\ell$. Using theorem 1 and lemma 18, it suffices to check the confluence for the following start strings.

$$\begin{aligned} t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\alpha(\zeta') t_{-\alpha}(\eta); \quad t_\alpha(\zeta) t_{-\alpha}(\eta) t_{-\alpha}(\eta); \quad t_\alpha(\dot{0}) t_{-\alpha}(\eta); \quad t_\alpha(\zeta) t_{-\alpha}(\dot{0}); \\ t_\alpha(\zeta) t_\beta(\eta) t_\gamma(\theta) \text{ for coplanar } \alpha > \beta > \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

For the first four strings we may apply the first claim of lemma 19. For the last one we have to check the equalities $\psi_\delta = \psi'_\delta$ and $h = h'$ for some $\psi_\delta, \psi'_\delta \in \widehat{P}_\delta$ and $h, h' \in \widehat{T}$ depending on ζ, η, θ , where δ runs over some root subsystem $\Psi \subseteq \Phi$ of type A_2 or B_2 (the case $A_1 \times A_1$ is trivial). We may further apply rewrite rules backwards (i.e. for the “wrong” orderings of the involved roots) to change the order in $(\prod_\delta t_\delta(\psi_\delta)) [h]$ and $(\prod_\delta t_\delta(\psi'_\delta)) [h']$ from \leq to some order by a polar angle and then apply the second claim of lemma 19. Since the applied “wrong” rules are the same for both strings, the equality of the resulting strings implies the claim. Also, by lemmas 18 and 19 the formal application of the last rewrite rule to its right hand side (for the “wrong” root $-\alpha < -\alpha$) gives the left hand side after cancellation of the two factors of \widehat{T} .

Finally, let $\Phi = F_4$. The elements ζ' and η' from the last rule are independent on the choice of a root subsystem $\alpha \in \Psi \subseteq \Phi$ of type B_3 by lemma 19. By the same argument the components of h are independent on such a choice and $h \in \widehat{T}$. Finally, the rewrite system is confluent and the last rule holds for $\alpha < -\alpha$ as an identity since all necessary relations may be checked inside various root subsystems of type B_3 using the already known case of the lemma. \square

Theorem 8. *Let Φ be a root system of type B_ℓ for $\ell \geq 3$ or F_4 and (R, Δ) be an (Φ, Φ) -ring. Then the Steinberg group $\text{St}(\Phi, R, \Delta)$ is Φ -graded. Moreover, for any system of positive roots $\Pi \subseteq \Sigma$ the subgroups $\text{St}(\Phi, R, \Delta)_{-\Pi}$ and $\text{St}(\Phi, R, \Delta)_\Pi$ have trivial intersection.*

Proof. The cases $\Phi \in \{\mathbf{A}_\ell, \mathbf{D}_\ell, \mathbf{E}_\ell\}$ are well-known. It suffices to construct some Φ -graded group with the last property and the (Φ, Φ) -ring isomorphic to (R, Δ) . Let

$$G_0 = \widehat{G}(\Phi, \emptyset) = \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi} t_\alpha(\text{Ker}(\widehat{P}_\alpha \rightarrow P_\alpha)) \right) \times \widehat{T}.$$

For any $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $\zeta \in P_\alpha$ let $t_\alpha(\zeta) \in \text{Aut}(G_0)$ be the conjugation inside $\widehat{G}(\Phi, \{\alpha\})$. Such automorphisms satisfy all relations of Steinberg groups by lemma 20. It remains to check that $U_\Sigma = \langle t_\alpha(P_\beta) \mid \alpha \in \Sigma \rangle$ trivially intersects $U_{-\Sigma}$ for any special closed subset $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$.

Let us proceed by induction on $|\Sigma|$. Suppose that Σ contains a long extreme root α (or just an extreme root α if $\Phi = \mathbf{F}_4$). Choose $\beta \in \Phi$ such that $\widehat{\alpha\beta} = 2\pi/3$ and β together with Σ are contained in a common special closed subset Σ' such that both α and β are extreme roots of Σ' . Namely, if Σ consists of positive roots with respect to a base and α is basic, then we may take Σ' to be the set of all positive roots and β to be a basic root near α in the Dynkin diagram. Then for any $g \in U_{\Sigma \setminus \{\alpha\}}$ we have

$$g^{t_\alpha(x)} t_\beta(\varepsilon) = t_{\alpha+\beta}(v(x)\varepsilon) t_\beta(\varepsilon) h$$

for some $h \in U_{\Sigma' \setminus \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta\}}$ and group automorphism v . On the other hand $U_{-\Sigma} t_\beta(\varepsilon)$ does not contain factors with the root $\alpha + \beta$. From this and the dual argument it follows that $U_\Sigma \cap U_{-\Sigma} = U_{\Sigma \setminus \{\alpha\}} \cap U_{(-\Sigma) \setminus \{-\alpha\}}$ and we may apply the induction hypothesis.

Now we may assume that $\Phi = \mathbf{B}_\ell$ and all extreme roots of Σ are short, i.e.

$$\Sigma = \{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{e_i + e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$$

for some k up to the action of the Weyl group. For any $u \in \Delta$ and $g \in U_{\Sigma \setminus \{e_1\}}$ the component of $g^{t_1(u)} t_{1,-2}(\varepsilon)$ with the root e_{-2} is $t_{-2}(v \cdot \varepsilon^2 \cdot u \cdot (-\varepsilon))$ for some $v \in \Delta/\phi(R)$ by the formulae from lemma 17. Here we use that $\Delta \cdot \varepsilon \subseteq \widetilde{\Delta}$, for another (larger) choice of $(\widetilde{R}, \widetilde{\Delta})$ as a factor- (Φ, Φ) -ring of $(R[[\varepsilon]], \Delta[[\varepsilon]])$ additional high-order summands may appear. On the other hand, $U_{-\Sigma} t_{1,-2}(\varepsilon)$ does not contain components with the root $e_1 - e_2$. From this and the dual argument we have $U_\Sigma \cap U_{-\Sigma} = U_{\Sigma \setminus \{e_1\}} \cap U_{(-\Sigma) \setminus \{-e_1\}}$. \square

Declarations

Research is supported by “Native towns”, a social investment program of PJSC “Gazprom Neft”.

References

- [1] E. Abe. Normal subgroups of Chevalley groups over commutative rings. *Contemp. Math.*, 83:1–17, 1989.

- [2] A. Borel and J. Tits. Groupes réductifs. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, pages 55–150, 1965.
- [3] N. Bourbaki. *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*. Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [4] R. H. Bruck and E. Kleinfeld. The structure of alternative division rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2:878–890, 1951.
- [5] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck. *Schémas en groupes I, II, III*. Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [6] O. Loos and E. Neher. *Steinberg groups for Jordan pairs*. Birkhäuser, New York, 2019.
- [7] K. McCrimmon. Homotopes of alternative algebras. *Math. Ann.*, 191:253–262, 1971.
- [8] V. Petrov and A. Stavrova. The Tits indices over semilocal rings. *Transformation groups*, 16(1):193–217, 2011.
- [9] E. Plotkin, A. Semenov, and N. Vavilov. Visual basic representations: an atlas. *Int. J. Algebra Comput.*, 8(1):61–95, 1998.
- [10] Zhiyong Shi. Groups graded by finite root systems. *Tohoku Math. J.*, 45:89–108, 1993.
- [11] N. Vavilov and E. Plotkin. Chevalley groups over commutative rings: I. Elementary calculations. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 45:73–113, 1996.
- [12] E. Voronetsky. *Lower K-theory of odd unitary groups*. PhD thesis, St Petersburg University, Saint Petersburg, Russia, 2022.
- [13] E. Voronetsky. Groups with A_ℓ -commutator relations. *Algebra i analiz*, 35(3):1–16, 2023. in russian.
- [14] E. Voronetsky. Groups with BC_ℓ -commutator relations, 2023. Preprint, arXiv:2308.01592.
- [15] T. Wiedemann. *Root graded groups*. PhD thesis, Justus Liebig University Giessen, 2024.
- [16] K. A. Zhevlakov, A. M. Slin’ko, I. P. Shestakov, and A. I. Shirshov. *Rings that are nearly associative*. Academic Press, 1982.