

Towards the essence of Šoltés' problem

Stijn Cambie *

Abstract

We explore the question asking for graphs G for which the total distance decreases, possibly by a fixed constant k , upon the removal of any of its vertices. We obtain results leading to intuition and doubts for the Šoltés' problem ($k = 0$) and its conjectures.

1 Introduction

In 1991, Šoltés [14] observed that if one removes a vertex of a cycle C_{11} , the total distance does not change, and asked whether there are other such graphs G (nowadays called Šoltés' graphs). It is one of the most elementary questions one can pose [10] related to $W(G)$, the total distance of G . Infinitely many examples were given by Spiro [15] and Cambie [5] for the relaxation of Šoltés' problem to signed graphs and hypergraphs respectively.

Meanwhile, there are numerous open conjectures (weaker to stronger forms), conjecturing that Šoltés' graphs are e.g. regular or vertex-transitive, and that C_{11} is the only Šoltés' graph. See [10, conj. 47, 48, 51]. One of the arguments, as can be seen in the conclusion of [2], was that no other examples were found among the $> 10^8$ vertex-transitive graphs in the census by Holt and Royle [9]. We will give intuition why all of these (different from the cycles) satisfy $W(G) > W(G \setminus v)$ for $v \in V(G)$.

In Section 2, we prove that if $W(G) \leq W(G \setminus v)$ for every $v \in V(G)$, the diameter cannot be too small, and if the value $W(G) - W(G \setminus v)$ is independent of the vertex $v \in v(G)$, then G is a cycle or the minimum degree of G is at least 3.

In Section 3 (and Appendix A), we present eight graphs G for which two third of the vertices v satisfy $W(G \setminus v) = W(G)$ (these are Šoltés' vertices), and give conditional examples of graphs for which an even higher fraction of the vertices satisfy the inequality.

In [1, Prob. 3] (and [10, Prob. 44]), the authors mentioned that arguments to solve Šoltés' problem may also work for the following generalisation.

Problem 1. *For a fixed $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, find all graphs G for which the equality $W(G) - W(G - v) = z$ holds for all vertices v .*

As a first thing, we want to convince the reader that this problem is nearly impossible to solve in general¹ and by the reasoning of the authors, solving the Šoltés' problem may be hard or impossible as well.

Of course, for every $z > 0$, the graph K_{z+1} is a solution, but there are values of z for which there are many solutions. By an immediate argument using the pigeon hole principle on the number of cubic vertex-transitive graphs (which is of the form $n^{\Theta(\log n)}$ as estimated in [13]), the number of solutions can be arbitrary large. For a concrete indication, the census by Holt and Royle [9] in <https://zenodo.org/records/4010122> contains more than 10^8 vertex-transitive graphs with diameter bounded by 3, each corresponding to a value $0 < z < 141$.

By focusing on a subfamily (defined later), for which the plausible values of z can be both negative or positive, we can expect that also $z = 0$ might have multiple solutions. We conjecture this is the case if there are e.g. infinitely many vertex-transitive graphs (different from cycles) for which $W(G) < W(G \setminus v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

We add more doubts and remarks on the circulating conjectures in Section 4, giving evidence why these conjectures may be false.

In Section 5, we give our conclusions and state our core question, which would lead to the essence behind Šoltés' problem. Calling a graph G satisfying Problem 1 for a $z \leq 0$ a negative-Šoltés' graph (these are far from vertex-robust for distances), we can summarize that the essential question is whether there are infinitely many negative-Šoltés' graphs which are not cycles.

*Department of Computer Science, KU Leuven Campus Kulak-Kortrijk, 8500 Kortrijk, Belgium. Supported by a postdoctoral fellowship by the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) with grant number 1225224N.

¹For fixed z , it might be possible to reduce to a finite number of candidates.

1.1 Notation and Terminology

We only consider simple connected graphs $G = (V, E)$. The degree of a vertex v , denoted by $\deg(v)$, is the number of edges containing v . The minimum and maximum degree, δ and Δ , represent the smallest and largest degrees. When removing the vertex v and its incident edges from G , we obtain $G \setminus v$.

The distance $d_G(u, v)$ or $d(u, v)$ between two vertices u and v is equal to the length of the shortest path between them. The diameter, $\text{diam}(G)$, is the largest among all possible distances and the total distance (or Wiener index), $W(G)$, the sum over all of them; $W(G) = \sum_{u, v \in V} d(u, v)$. The radius $\text{rad}(G) = \min_{u \in V} \max_{v \in V} d(u, v)$ gives the maximum distance from a central vertex. The transmission of a vertex v , $\sigma(v) = \sum_{u \in V} d(u, v)$, is the sum of distances between v and the other vertices. We also define the arc-graph of G .

Definition 2. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. Let $A(G)$ be the arcs of G , i.e., the ordered pairs of neighbouring vertices. Note that $|A(G)| = 2|E(G)|$. The **arc-graph** H of G has vertex set $A(G)$, with two arcs being adjacent if

- they correspond to the same edge, i.e., (u, v) is adjacent to (v, u) when $uv \in E(G)$,
- the arcs have the same root, i.e., (v, u) and (v, w) are adjacent in H .

Equivalently, H is equal to the line-graph of the subdivision of G .

Essentially, ranging over all vertices, a vertex v of degree d is replaced by a clique K_d whose endvertices are connected with one initial neighbour of v each. It has been introduced before as the subdivided-line graph in [8], and for cubic graphs, as the truncation of the graph [2]. An example, $A(K_4)$, and a sketch of the local replacement (for $d = 7$) is shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Arc-graph of K_4 (left) and local modification of a degree 7 vertex (middle and right)

2 Necessary condition for Šoltés' graphs

Forbidding an isolated vertex as a Šoltés' graph, and remarking that if u is a pendent vertex of a connected graph G , we have $W(G \setminus u) < W(G)$, we know that the minimum degree (δ) of a Šoltés' graph is at least 2. Here we prove that if $G \neq C_{11}$ is a Šoltés' graph, then $\delta(G) \geq 3$. This is a stronger version of a result by Dragan Stevanović (private communication), that a Šoltés' graph different from C_{11} cannot have internal paths with 3 consecutive degree-2-vertices. In the proofs, we denote G_v for the graph $G \setminus v$.

Proposition 3. A graph for which $\delta(G) = 2$ and $W(G \setminus v)$ is constant for all $v \in V(G)$, is a cycle.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be such a graph with $\delta(G) = 2$, let p be a vertex with degree 2 and a, b be its two neighbours. We need $W(G) = W(G_a) = W(G_p) = W(G_b)$. Let $X = V \setminus \{a, p, b\}$. Let $H_a = G[X \cup a]$ and $H_b = G[X \cup b]$. Let $\sigma(a) = \sum_{w \in X} d_{H_a}(a, w)$ and $\sigma(b) = \sum_{w \in X} d_{H_b}(b, w)$.

We are now ready to compare $W(G_a)$, $W(G_p)$ and $W(G_b)$. For this, we split these total distances in multiple parts. First observe that $d_{G_p}(a, b) \leq |X| + 1$ with equality if and only if $G = C_n$, while $d_{G_a}(b, p) = d_{G_b}(a, p) = 1$. Next, we note that for every choice of $x, y \in X$,

$$d_{G_p}(x, y) \leq d_{G_a}(x, y), d_{G_b}(x, y).$$

Finally, since $H_a, H_b \subset G_p$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in X} (d_{G_p}(a, w) + d_{G_p}(b, w)) &\leq \sigma(a) + \sigma(b) \\ \sum_{w \in X} (d_{G_a}(p, w) + d_{G_a}(b, w)) &= 2\sigma(b) + |X| \\ \sum_{w \in X} (d_{G_b}(a, w) + d_{G_b}(p, w)) &= 2\sigma(a) + |X|. \end{aligned}$$

Using these observations, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2W(G_p) - W(G_a) - W(G_b) \\ &= 2d_{G_p}(a, b) - d_{G_a}(p, b) - d_{G_b}(a, p) + \sum_{x, y \in X} (2d_{G_p}(x, y) - d_{G_a}(x, y) - d_{G_b}(x, y)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{w \in X} (2d_{G_p}(a, w) + 2d_{G_p}(b, w) - d_{G_a}(p, w) - d_{G_a}(b, w) - d_{G_b}(a, w) - d_{G_b}(p, w)) \\ &\leq 2d_{G_p}(a, b) - 2 - 2|X| \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since equality need to be attained in every step, we have $d_{G_p}(a, b) = 1 + |X|$, which implies that G_p is a path from a to b , and thus G is a cycle. \square

Proposition 4. *A graph G of order $n > 1$ with $\text{diam}(G) \leq 2$ has at least one vertex v for which either $G \setminus v$ is disconnected, or $W(G \setminus v) < W(G)$.*

Proof. This is obvious for a clique (diameter 1 graph). So now assume $\text{diam}(G) = 2$. First we observe that G cannot have a universal vertex v , since for a vertex $u \neq v$ $W(G) = 2\binom{n}{2} - m > 2\binom{n-1}{2} - m + \deg(u) = W(G_u)$. So $\text{rad}(G) > 1$, i.e. for every $v \in V$ its closed neighbourhood $N[v]$ is not equal to V . The only pairs of vertices $u, u' \in V(G_v)$ for which $d_{G_v}(u, u') > d_G(u, u')$, have to be both neighbours of v in G (and have no other common neighbour).

Note that for every $u \in N(v)$ and $w \notin N[v]$, $d_{G_v}(u, w) = d_G(u, w) \leq 2$. This implies that $d_{G_v}(u, u') \leq d_{G_v}(u, w) + d_{G_v}(w, u') \leq 4$ and thus $d_{G_v}(u, u') - d_G(u, u') \leq 2$.

Since $\sum_{u \in V \setminus v} d(u, v) = 2(n-1) - \deg(v) \geq n$, has to be lower bounded by $\sum_{u, u' \in V \setminus v} (d_{G_v}(u, u') - d_G(u, u'))$, there are at least $\frac{n}{2}$ pairs of vertices (u, u') for which the unique shortest path uses v .

Summing over all $v \in V$, this implies that there are at least $\frac{n^2}{2} > \binom{n}{2}$ pairs of vertices at distance 2 in G , which is a contradiction. \square

For self-centric graphs (graphs with $\text{diam} = \text{rad}$), e.g. vertex-transitive graphs, one can also exclude the diameter to be 3.

Proposition 5. *A graph G of order $n > 1$ with $\text{diam}(G) = \text{rad}(G) = 3$ has at least one vertex v for which either $G \setminus v$ is disconnected, or $W(G \setminus v) < W(G)$.*

Proof. Assume G has connectivity at least two, i.e., $G \setminus v$ is connected for every $v \in V(G)$.

Let $v \in V(G)$ and let v' be an antipodal vertex, that is, $d(v, v') = 3$. If a, b are two vertices with $d(a, b) = d(a, v) + d(v, b) = 2$, we note that $d_{G_v}(a, b) \leq d_{G_v}(a, v') + d_{G_v}(b, v') \leq 2 \cdot 3 = 6$.

If a, b are two vertices with $d(a, b) = 3$, $d(a, v) = 1$ and $d(v, b) = 2$, we can consider a shortest path between a and v' and let w be the neighbour herein of v' . Then $d_{G_v}(a, b) \leq d_{G_v}(a, w) + d_{G_v}(b, w) \leq 2 + 3 = 5$.

Assume there are x pairs of vertices at distance 2, and y pairs of vertices at distance 3. If $d(a, b) = 2$, there is at most one vertex v for which $d_{G_v}(a, b) > d(a, b)$ and the increase is at most $6 - 2 = 4$. If $d(a, b) = 3$, there are at most two vertices whose removal increase the distance between a and b , hereby the increase is bounded by $5 - 3 = 2$. The total increase, $\sum_{u, u' \in V \setminus v} (d_{G_v}(u, u') - d_G(u, u'))$, is thus bounded by $4(x + y)$. On the other hand, the sum of the transmissions over all vertices, which equals $2W(G)$, is strictly above $2(2x + 3y)$. As such, there is a vertex v for which $W(G \setminus v) < W(G)$. \square

Proposition 6. *A graph G for which $W(G) \leq W(G_v)$ for every $v \in V(G)$ is twin-free. More generally, there are no $v, v' \in G$ for which $N(v) \subseteq N(v')$.*

Proof. If not, for every 2 vertices $x, y \in G_v = G \setminus v$ for which there exists a shortest path in G using v , there is also one which uses v' . Thus $d_G(x, y) = d_{G_v}(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in G_v$, implying that $W(G) > W(G_v)$. \square

3 A conditional construction for partial Šoltés' graphs

An α -Šoltés' graph, is a graph for which at least an α -fraction of its vertices are Šoltés' vertices. In [2, abstract] and [10, Sec. 6], it is mentioned that the only known $\frac{1}{3}$ -Šoltés' graphs were truncated cubic graphs at that point (neglecting the quartic example from [2] obtained by taking the line graph of a certain cubic graph). Meanwhile, by [1, 6] more examples are known. In this section, we give some other (conditional) examples. We start by the following explicit example, which will be informative for a conditional construction with larger α .

Example 7. *There exists a modified quartic (4-regular) α -Šoltés' graph for $\alpha = \frac{27}{56} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{56}$.*

Proof. For this, we take the arc-graph G of the Moore graph M with degree/ valency 4 and girth 12/ diameter 6. Note that the order of M is $n(M) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^5 3^i = 3^6 - 1 = 728$ and $n(G) = 4(3^6 - 1) = 2912$. For a fixed edge $e \in G$, we have that there are $2 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot 3^6 = 1458$ many vertices at distance 11 from e . For every $v \in V(G)$, $W(G) - W(G \setminus v) = 29896 - 37356 = -7460$. Noting that $7460 = 23 + \sum_{k=12}^{122} k$, we finally construct a graph Q by connecting an end vertex v_{12} of a P_{111} (with vertices $v_k, 12 \leq k \leq 122$) to both endvertices of an edge e of G , and finally add a pendent vertex u_{23} to v_{22} . Then $n(Q) = 2912 + 112 = 3024$ and $W(Q) - W(Q \setminus v) = 0$ for every $v \in V(G) \subset V(Q)$ which is at distance 11 from e . This gives a $\frac{1458}{3024} = \frac{27}{56}$ ratio of vertices of Q which are Šoltés' vertices. \square

The following proposition gives some intuition that one can expect that a large graph exists of which more than a $\frac{2}{3}$ -fraction of its vertices are Šoltés' vertices, which would answer [6, Prob. 1]. Nevertheless, it is related with the very hard open problem on estimating the minimum order of a cage. Note that in [7] a lower bound on the difference has been shown that is negligible towards our aims, but no good upper bound is known either [11].

The underlying idea is broader; if there is a large proportion of the vertices for which $W(G \setminus v) - W(G)$ equals the same positive constant, and we can add a little substructure to compensate evenly over them, then there is a graph with a large proportion of Šoltés' vertices.

Proposition 8. *If there exists a 7-regular graph with even girth $g \geq 58$ which is arc-transitive and has roughly the Moore bound $N(7, g)$ many vertices, e.g. order no more than $1.0005N(7, g)$, then there are graphs with roughly a $\frac{5}{7}^2$ fraction Šoltés' vertices.*

Proof. Assume such a graph G exists, for girth $g = 2k$. Remember that $N(7, g) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 6^i = 2 \frac{6^k - 1}{6 - 1} = 2 \frac{6^k - 1}{5}$. Let uv be an edge of G . Note that the edges at distance at most $k - 1$ from either uv in the line graph of G span a tree T (in G , with internal vertices having degree 7).

Let $G' = A(G)$ be the arc-graph of G . This graph is vertex-transitive, since G was arc-transitive.

Due to the girth condition of G , every cycle in G' that is not part of a K_7 , has length at least $2g$. For every 2 vertices w_1, w_2 in G' for which the shortest path (which has length i) between them uses a vertex $v' \in V(G')$, the shortest path between them in $G' \setminus v'$ has length at least $2g - i$. If $i \leq g - 1$, we know that this was initially the unique shortest path. Thus the difference of distances changed by at least $(2g - i) - i = 2(g - i)$. Let u' be the unique neighbour of v' which is not part of the same K_7 . Now we can compute a lower bound for

$$\text{diff}(G', v') = \sum_{w_1, w_2 \in G' \setminus v'} d_{G' \setminus v'}(w_1, w_2) - d_{G'}(w_1, w_2)$$

by counting the number of pairs of vertices whose shortest paths use v' and are at distance less than g initially. Let $N_{u'}$ be the set of vertices which are closer to u' than to v' , and similarly $N_{v'} = \{w \in V(G') : d_{G'}(w, v') < d_{G'}(w, u')\}$. Let $N_{v'}^i = \{w \in N_{v'} : d(v', w) = i\}$ and define $N_{u'}^i$ analogously. Note that $|N_{v'}^j| = 6^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil}$ for every $0 \leq j \leq g$. The number of pairs of vertices at even distance, $2i + 2 < g$, whose shortest path between them uses v' , is now equal to

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2i} |N_{u'}^j| |N_{v'}^{2i+1-j}| = (2i + 1) \cdot 6^{i+1}.$$

Similarly, for odd distance $2i + 1$, we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2i-1} |N_{u'}^j| |N_{v'}^{2i-j}| = i \cdot (6^i + 6^{i+1}) = 7i \cdot 6^i.$$

²The exact value depends on how well the Moore bound is approximated

This results in

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diff}(G', v') &\geq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} (2i+1) \cdot 6^{i+1} \cdot (g - (2i+2)) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 7i \cdot 6^i \cdot (g - (2i+1)) \\ &= 2 \frac{6^k(365k - 606) + 840k + 606}{125} \end{aligned}$$

Let $N := N(7, g)$. Note that G has diameter bounded by g (if not, take two edges at maximum distance and consider the two neighbourhoods of them, up to distance $k-1$). Hence $\text{diam}(G') \leq 2g = 4k$. For any $v' \in V(G')$, we can approximate that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(v') &= \sum_{w \in G'} d(w, v') \leq 2g \cdot 7 \cdot 0.0005N + \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} (|N_{u'}^i| (i+1) + i |N_{v'}^i|) \\ &= 0.007gN + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (6^i \cdot 8i) + 6^k \cdot (4k-1) \\ &= 0.014kN + \frac{8}{25} ((5k-6)6^k + 6) + 6^k(4k-1) \\ &< \frac{0.14k6^k}{25} + \frac{6^k(140k-73) + 73}{25} \\ &= \frac{6^k(700.7k - 365) + 365}{125} \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $|N_{u'}^{2i-1}| = |N_{u'}^{2i}| = |N_{v'}^{2i-1}| = |N_{v'}^{2i}| = 6^i$ and $(2i-1) + 2 \cdot 2i + (2i+1) = 8i$ when $1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

Finally, we notice that $\text{diff}(G', v') - \sigma(v') > 10^3 k^2$ since $(29.3k - 847)6^k - 1680k - 847 > 10^6 k^2$ for $k \geq 29$ and that $W(G' \setminus v') - W(G') = \text{diff}(G', v') - \sigma(v')$.

The proof will now be essentially finished by the following claim.

Claim 9. *Let G' be a vertex-transitive graph and satisfy $W(G' \setminus v') - W(G') = x > 16k^2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can append a tree to $u'v'$ of order bounded by $\sqrt{2x}$ to form a graph H satisfying $W(H \setminus w) - W(H) = 0$ for every $w \in V(G')$ for which $d_{G'}(u'v', w) = 2k-1$.*

Proof. Choose the largest ℓ such that $\binom{\ell}{2} - \binom{2k}{2} \leq x$. Note that $\ell \geq 4k$. Let $y = x + \binom{2k}{2} - \binom{\ell}{2}$. If $y = 0$ or $2k+1 \leq y$, we append a path P_ℓ to G' by connecting one end vertex of the path to both u' and v' and connect an additional pendent vertex to the path, such that its distance to u' and v' equals $y - (2k-1)$. If $0 < y < 2k+1$, append a path $P_{\ell-1}$ to G' as before, and add two vertices such that the sum of their distances to u' and v' equals $\ell - 1 + y - 2(2k-1)$. Let the added tree be denoted with T . Now for every $w \in V(G')$ with $d_{G'}(u'v', w) = 2k-1$,

$$W(H \setminus w) - W(H) = W(G' \setminus w) - W(G') - \sum_{z \in V(T)} d_H(w, z) = 0. \quad \diamond$$

Since x is clearly bounded by $\sigma_{G'}(w) = \sigma(w') < 2g \cdot 8N$, the number of vertices in T is small.

There are $2 \cdot 6^k$ vertices w in G' for which $d_{G'}(u'v', w) = 2k-1$. Now $\frac{2 \cdot 6^k}{|V(H)|} \geq \frac{2 \cdot 6^k}{7.0035N(7,g) + |V(T)|} \sim \frac{5}{7.0035}$. \square

So far, 8 explicit examples of $\frac{2}{3}$ -Šoltes' graphs have been found after performing multiple searches. They are listed and verified in [4, doc. 2_3_Soltesgraphs].

They contain the example on 12 vertices in Fig. 2 (left) mentioned in [1, 6], an example Fig. 5 on 69 vertices first discovered by Kurt Klement Gottwald and Snježana Majstorović Ergotić (some years ago) and an example Fig. 4 on 60 vertices found by Jorik Jooken.

The ones of order 69 and 384 are derived from subdividing some edges of vertex-transitive graphs. The ones of order 60, 90, 180, 300 by adding new vertices which are connected to the end vertices of a perfect matching (an edge orbit) of the cubic vertex-transitive graphs which can be found on <https://houseofgraphs.org/graphs> by their graph Id's 36462, 36702, 38064 and 41433.

4 Counterarguments to the present conjectures on Šoltés' graphs

When [2, conj. 4.2] suggested that C_{11} may be the only Šoltés' graph, they did so based on the limited progress on fractional Šoltés' graphs. In [1] and [6], there has been proven that there are infinitely many graphs for which roughly half of the vertices are Šoltés' vertices. This seems the best one can do when aiming for an infinite family of graphs for which the Šoltés' vertices span a 2-regular graph and the graph has an analogous simple structure. A few examples of $\frac{2}{3}$ and plausibly higher (conditional proof with the conditions being related to the hard problem on determining the order of cages, see e.g. [7]) were given in Section 3. See Fig. 2 for the only two graphs of order bounded by 12 different from C_{11} , with at least half of the vertices being Šoltés' vertices. Depending on the beliefs of the behaviour for large order, one may even expect that there also graphs with a $\frac{8}{9}$ -fraction of the vertices being Šoltés' vertices (considering the arc-graph of an arc-transitive 8-regular graph and connect the vertices of each K_8 with a new vertex).




Figure 2: The unique graphs on 12 vertices with 8 and 6 Šoltés' vertices, respectively.

The other argument in [2] was the verification of some small vertex-transitive graphs, none of them being a Šoltés' graph. Noting that $W(C_n) = W(P_{n-1})$ implies $n = 11$, one can focus on the graphs with minimum degree at least 3. The following (near-)corollary of Proposition 5 and Proposition 4 indicates that the transmission of a vertex is larger than the sum of few additional detours among the other pairs for the dense graphs.

Corollary 10. *There is no vertex-transitive graph G of order $n \leq 47$ and $\delta(G) \geq 3$ for which $W(G) \leq W(G \setminus v)$ for $v \in V(G)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5 and Proposition 4, we can exclude the examples with diameter bounded by 3. The remaining examples (around 17000) from the census [9] can be verified as well by direct verification. Since the vertex-connectivity of a d -regular vertex-transitive graph is at least $\frac{2}{3}(d+1)$ (see proof of [16, Thm. 3]) and thus $n \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} |N_i| \geq 2(d+1) + \frac{2}{3}(d+1)$, also the diameter did not have to be checked for all graphs of the census to deduce the list of the graphs with diameter at least 4. This is done in [4, doc. VT47]. \square

By [4, doc. arcgraph10000], we know meanwhile that there are many vertex-transitive graphs for which $\delta(G) > 2$ and $W(G) \leq W(G \setminus v)$ and the argument of Corollary 10 thus does not extend.

Next, we prove that there are counterexamples to some of the most natural conjectures for the variant of Problem 1, by giving two families of non-regular graphs (which are thus not vertex-transitive nor a Cayley graph) for certain z .

Proposition 11. *There are non-regular graphs G and values z satisfying $W(G) - W(G \setminus v) = z$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Hereby the number of orbits or $\Delta - \delta$ can be unbounded.*

Proof. Our first example consists of a circular ladder CL_{2k+4} (two cycles C_{2k+4} connected with a perfect matching), for which we add replace two opposite C_4 s by K_4 s (i.e., add 4 additional edges). An example for $k = 1$ is depicted in Fig. 3 (left). This graph has $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + 1$ many vertex orbits. The initial circular ladder is a planar graph, which can be drawn with an inner- and outer cycle C_{2k+4} . Removing the edges of the two K_4 s, we would obtain two components. We call the vertices of these components the left and the right vertices. The transmission for all vertices is the same. For any left vertex of the outer cycle, the sum towards the other

vertices on the outer cycle, is fixed (no shortest paths use vertices at the inner cycle). The ones to the inner cycle are within the same distance as their copies on the outer cycle, except that for the left ones the distance is one larger. Thus $\sigma(v) = \lfloor \frac{(2k+4)^2}{4} \rfloor + (k+2)$. Let the outer left vertices be v_0 (a 4-vertex) up to v_{k+1} . Let the outer right vertices be $w_0 \dots w_{k+1}$ in a symmetric way. Let v'_i and w'_i denote the inner vertices. Now removing the vertex v_i , implies that the distance between v_j and v_m where $0 \leq j < i < m \leq k+1$ increases by two. The distance between v_j and w_m (or w'_m) increases by one, if $j+m > k+1$ and $j < i$. Analogously for v_m and w_j when $m > i$. This implies that the sum of increases in the distance equals

$$2i(k+1-i) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} j = k^2 + k.$$

Since both the transmission and difference in distances is independent of i , and by symmetry between left and right, as well as inner and outer, we conclude.

Now we consider a second family, where the irregularity can be arbitrarily large, i.e. $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) = k$ for every $k > 0$. Let $n = 2k+2$. Let A_0 and A_3 be sets of k vertices, B_1 and B_2 be both sets of order $3n$ spanning n triangles. Let $G[A_0, B_1]$ and $G[A_3, B_2]$ be complete bipartite. Here $G[U, V]$ denotes the subgraph of G spanned by $U \cup V$ with only edges uv , where $u \in U, v \in V$, present. For every pair of a triangle T_1 in B_1 and a triangle T_2 in B_2 , add a C_6 in between (i.e., let $G[T_1, T_2] \cong C_6$). It is easy to see that every 2 vertices have at least two disjoint shortest paths in between them. To conclude, we only need to prove that the transmission of every vertex v , $\sigma(v) = \sum_{u \in V} d(v, u)$, is equal. For a vertex v in A_0, A_3 , since it has eccentricity 3, we easily compute that $\sigma(v) = \sum_{i=1}^3 i \cdot |N_i(v)| = 3n + 2 \cdot (3n + k - 1) + 3 \cdot k = 9n + 5k - 2$. Here $N_i(v) = \{u \in V \mid d(u, v) = i\}$. Every vertex in $B_1 \cup B_2$ has eccentricity 2 and degree $k+2+2n = 3n-k$. Its transmission thus equals $2(6n+2k-1) - (3n-k) = 9n+5k-2 = 23k+16$. An example for $k=1$ is depicted in Fig. 3 (right). \square




Figure 3: Non-regular graphs on 12 and 26 vertices resp. for which $W(G) - W(G \setminus v)$ is independent of v

Nevertheless, these examples are constructed in such a way that the distances in $G \setminus v$ barely change, which implies that $W(G) - W(G \setminus v)$ is large. We give an example of a non-vertex-transitive graphs for which $W(G) - W(G \setminus v)$ is fixed and small compared with its order.

Example 12. *The arc-graph of <https://houseofgraphs.org/graphs/19281> has order 320 is non-vertex-transitive graphs and satisfies $W(G) - W(G \setminus v) = 40$ for every $v \in V(G)$. This is verified in [4, doc. Nonvertex-transitive].*

Checking graphs with two orbits, there are many for which $W(G) - W(G \setminus v)$ is independent of v . Almost all connected large graphs with few orbits are at least 2-connected. If there is no restriction on the sign of $W(G) - W(G \setminus v)$, as this difference is bounded by $-n^3$ and n^3 , one may expect that there are both vertex-transitive and non-vertex-transitive (and thus not Cayley graphs) Šoltés' graphs. The latter is the case if there are d -regular (for $3 \leq d \leq 7$) arc-transitive graphs with large girth g near the Moore bound $N(d, g)$.

5 Conclusion

The problem of Šoltés, due to its charming simplicity, has intrigued many people and resulted in over a 100 citing papers in the past. In this paper, we gave indications why some of the current conjectures were not well-founded and may be false. Furthermore, the research lead to the following key question.

Question 13. *Are there infinitely many negative-Šoltés’ graphs?*

We conjecture that if this question has an affirmative answer, for every $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are infinitely many graphs G for which $W(G) - W(G \setminus v) = z$ for every $v \in V(G)$ and among them, there are also non-vertex-transitive ones. As an analogy, we refer to the conjecture of Heath-Brown on solutions of the Diophantine equation $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = k$, which says that there are infinitely many solutions whenever $k \not\equiv \pm 4 \pmod{9}$ (i.e., when there is no simple reason of non-existence). Also for this somewhat computationally simpler question, it has taken a while to find large solutions $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ for some values k , see e.g. [3].

If there is a value n_0 such that every graph G of order $n \geq n_0$ satisfies $W(G) > W(G \setminus v)$ for $v \in V(G)$, (no negative-Šoltés’ graph exists with order at least n_0), we know that n_0 is much larger than 10^4 by [4, doc. arcgraph10000]. Under the existence of n_0 , addressing the problem of Šoltés will need ideas to determine or exclude the Šoltés’ graphs with order bounded by n_0 . When Question 13 has a negative answer, the number of solutions for Problem 1 may be approximately increasing over positive z .

Related to Question 13, one can also ask the extremal question on a good lower bound for $W(G) - W(G \setminus v)$ for vertex-transitive graphs of order n and degree d . Checking the census on cubic vertex-transitive graphs up to 1280 vertices [12], we note that there are only a few dozens of negative-Šoltés’ graph among them and all of these are arc-graphs, giving a direction for the extremal question. Hereby we formulate the following related question for general graphs.

Question 14. *A graph G for which $W(G) \leq W(G \setminus v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$ has minimum degree upper bounded by 7?*

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Marston Conder, Jorik Jooken, Snježana Majstorović Ergotić and Dragan Stevanović for discussions and informing on references and state-of-the-art results.

References

- [1] M. Akhmejanova, K. Olmezov, A. Volostnov, I. Vorobyev, K. Vorob’ev, and Y. Yarovikov. Wiener index and graphs, almost half of whose vertices satisfy šoltés property. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 325:37–42, 2023.
- [2] N. Bašić, M. Knor, and R. Škrekovski. On regular graphs with Šoltés vertices. *Ars Mathematica Contemporanea*.
- [3] A. R. Booker and A. V. Sutherland. On a question of mordell. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(11):e2022377118, 2021.
- [4] S. Cambie. Code and data for the paper “towards the essence of šoltés’ problem”. <https://github.com/StijnCambie/Soltes/tree/main/SoltesGraphs>, 2024.
- [5] S. Cambie. Šoltés’ hypergraphs. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2406.01504, June 2024.
- [6] A. A. Dobrynin and K. V. Vorob’ev. Some results on the Wiener index related to the šoltés problem of graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 344:154–160, 2024.
- [7] G. Exoo, R. Jajcay, M. Mačaj, and J. Širáň. On the defect of vertex-transitive graphs of given degree and diameter. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 134:322–340, 2019.
- [8] T. Hasunuma. Structural properties of subdivided-line graphs. *J. Discrete Algorithms*, 31:69–86, 2015.
- [9] D. Holt and G. Royle. A census of small transitive groups and vertex-transitive graphs. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 101:51–60, 2020.

- [10] M. Knor, R. Škrekovski, and A. Tepeh. Selected topics on wiener index, 2023.
- [11] F. Lazebnik, V. A. Ustimenko, and A. J. Woldar. New upper bounds on the order of cages. *Electron. J. Comb.*, 4(2):research paper r13, 11, 1997.
- [12] P. Potočník, P. Spiga, and G. Verret. Cubic vertex-transitive graphs on up to 1280 vertices. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 50:465–477, 2013.
- [13] P. Potočník, P. Spiga, and G. Verret. Asymptotic enumeration of vertex-transitive graphs of fixed valency. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 122:221–240, 2017.
- [14] L. Šoltés. Transmission in graphs: a bound and vertex removing. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 41(1):11–16, 1991.
- [15] S. Spiro. The Wiener index of signed graphs. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 416:10, 2022. Id/No 126755.
- [16] M. E. Watkins. Connectivity of transitive graphs. *J. Combinatorial Theory*, 8:23–29, 1970.

A Presentation of examples of $\frac{2}{3}$ -Šoltés’ hypergraphs

The smallest new example is a graph formed by a C_{40} on [40], with additional edges between i and $i + 11$ if $i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and between i and $i + 9$ if $i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, together with an additional vertex connected to i and $i + 1$ for $2 \mid i$. Here indices are considered modulo 40.




Figure 4: A graph of order 60 with 40 Šoltés’ vertices




Figure 5: A graph of order 69 with 46 Šoltés' vertices (2 representations)




Figure 6: A graph of order 90 with 60 Šoltés' vertices