

ON THE EMBEDDING BETWEEN THE VARIABLE LEBESGUE SPACE $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ AND THE ORLICZ SPACE $L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$

DAVID CRUZ-URIBE, OFS, AMIRAN GOGATISHVILI AND TENGIZ KOPALIANI

ABSTRACT. We give a sharp sufficient condition on the distribution function, $|\{x \in \Omega : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}|$, $\lambda > 0$, of the exponent function $p(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ that implies the embedding of the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ into the Orlicz space $L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$, $\alpha > 0$, where Ω is an open set with finite Lebesgue measure. As applications of our results, we first give conditions that imply the strong differentiation of integrals of functions in $L^{p(\cdot)}((0, 1)^n)$, $n > 1$. We then consider the integrability of the maximal function on variable Lebesgue spaces, where the exponent function $p(\cdot)$ approaches 1 in value on some part of domain. This result is an improvement of the result in [5].

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to give a sharp sufficient condition for the embedding of the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, where $p_- = 1$ and $|\Omega| < \infty$, into the Orlicz space $L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$, where $\alpha > 0$. This problem was originally motivated by attempts to generalize Wiener's integrability result for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator [23] to the scale of variable Lebesgue spaces (see [5, 14, 15, 18]).

To state our result, we first give the definitions and some basic properties of the spaces we are interested in. The variable Lebesgue spaces were introduced by Orlicz in 1931, and have been studied extensively for the past thirty years. For more information and for proofs of the properties we use, see [6, 10]. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set; we will generally assume that Ω has finite measure. Equip it with the Lebesgue measure. Let $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ consist of all measurable functions $p(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty]$. Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and $E \subset \Omega$, let

$$p_-(E) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in E} p(x), \quad p_+(E) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in E} p(x).$$

For brevity, we will write $p_- = p_-(\Omega)$ and $p_+ = p_+(\Omega)$. Note that $p_- \geq 1$; we will frequently assume $p_+ < \infty$, that is, that the exponent function $p(\cdot)$ is bounded. Define the space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ to be the space of measurable functions f on Ω such that for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\rho_\lambda(f) = \int_{\Omega_*} (|f(x)|/\lambda)^{p(\cdot)} dx + \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\infty)} < \infty,$$

Date: June 5, 2024.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46E30, 42A20.

Key words and phrases. Banach function spaces, variable Lebesgue spaces, Zygmund spaces.

The first author was partially supported by Simons Foundation Travel Support for Mathematicians Grant. The second author was partially supported by the Czech Academy of Sciences RVO: 67985840, by Czech Science Foundation (GAČR), grant no: 23-04720S. The research was in part supported by the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation (SRNSF), grant FR 21-12353.

where $\Omega_\infty = \{x \in \Omega : p(x) = \infty\}$ and $\Omega_* = \Omega \setminus \Omega_\infty$. The set $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ becomes a Banach space when equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf\{\lambda > 0; \rho_\lambda(f) \leq 1\}.$$

Define the exponent function $q(\cdot)$ pointwise by

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} = 1,$$

with the convention that $1/\infty = 0$. Then $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is the associate space (also referred to as the Köthe dual) of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. If $p_+ < \infty$, then the dual space of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

We now consider Orlicz spaces; for more information, we refer to [20] and [2, Chapter 8]. (For a brief summary, see [8, Chapter 5].) Let $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a Young function, that is, a strictly increasing, continuous, convex function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $M(0) = 0$ and $M(t)/t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The Orlicz space $L_M(\Omega)$ consists of all measurable functions f on Ω such that the function $M(f/\lambda) \in L^1(\Omega)$ for some $\lambda > 0$. It becomes a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_M} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \int_{\Omega} M(|f(x)|/\lambda) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Associated to every Young function M is its associate function \bar{M} , defined by

$$\bar{M}(t) = \sup_{s>0} \{st - M(s)\}.$$

This function is also a Young function, and $L_{\bar{M}}$ is the associate space of L_M . If M satisfies the Δ_2 condition—that is, $M(2t) \leq CM(t)$ for all $t \geq t_0$ —then $L_{\bar{M}}$ is the dual space of L_M .

If $M(t) = u(t)(\log(e+t))^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, we denote the Orlicz space $L_M(\Omega)$ by $L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$. If $M(t) = \exp(t^\alpha)$, we denote the Orlicz space L_M by $\exp(L^\alpha)(\Omega)$. These spaces are the associate spaces of one another; moreover, the dual space of $L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$ is $\exp(L^{1/\alpha})(\Omega)$. These spaces are often referred to as Zygmund spaces.

Our main result gives a sharp condition for the embedding $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$ to hold.

Theorem 1.1. *Fix an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\Omega| < \infty$, and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, $p_+ < \infty$.*

(a) *Given $\alpha > 0$, suppose there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that, for all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small,*

$$(1.2) \quad |\{x \in \Omega : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \leq C^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \lambda^{\alpha/\lambda} \ln^{-\alpha/\lambda}(1/\lambda).$$

Then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$.

(b) *Suppose $\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an increasing, differentiable function such that $\theta(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the function $\theta(1/\lambda)(1/\lambda)^{-\alpha}(\ln(1/\lambda))^{-\alpha}$ is increasing for all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small. If*

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\{x \in \Omega; : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \theta(1/\lambda)^{-1/\lambda} \lambda^{-\alpha/\lambda} \ln^{\alpha/\lambda}(1/\lambda) > 0,$$

then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \not\subset L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$.

As part of our proof we get an embedding theorem for the exponential Zygmund spaces.

Theorem 1.2. *Given an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Fix $\alpha > 0$ and suppose there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that, for all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large,*

$$(1.3) \quad |\{x \in \Omega : p(x) \geq \lambda\}| \leq C^\lambda (\lambda)^{-\lambda/\alpha} (\ln(\lambda))^{-\lambda/\alpha}$$

Then $\exp(L^\alpha)(\Omega) \subset L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Such embeddings were previously considered by Astashkin and Mastylo [1, Theorem 3.1], who showed that the embedding $\exp(L^2)([0, 1]) \subset L^{p(\cdot)}([0, 1])$ holds if (1.3) holds with $\alpha = 2$. They showed that this embedding holds for an exponent function if and only if the sequence of Rademacher functions forms a basic sequence in $L^{p(\cdot)}([0, 1])$ that equivalent to the unit vector basis in ℓ_2 .

Remark 1.3. The embedding of variable Lebesgue spaces into other spaces that are “infinitesimally close” to the classical L^p spaces, the so-called grand and small Lebesgue spaces, has been investigated by the first author, Fiorenza and Guzman [7].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Even though the Zygmund spaces are symmetric spaces (that is, rearrangement invariant spaces) and the Lebesgue spaces are not, key to our proof is an application of rearrangement techniques due to [13] that let us bridge this difference. In Section 3 we give an application of our results to determine when the basis of rectangles is a differentiation basis for $L^{p(\cdot)}$. In the classical setting, such results are closely linked to the boundedness properties of the strong maximal operator; however, in the variable Lebesgue space setting the strong maximal operator is never bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ unless $p(\cdot)$ is constant [17]. By using our embedding theorem we can avoid this problem. Finally, in Section 4 we consider the problem mentioned above that was the original motivation for the study of these embeddings: finding conditions on an exponent function $p(\cdot)$ such that for any ball B , $\|Mf\|_{L^1(B)} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. We will review the known results and show how our embedding theorem can be used to improve them.

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

Hereafter, fix an open set Ω with $|\Omega| < \infty$. Given a measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define the distribution function of f to be $d_f(\lambda) = |\{t \in \Omega : |f(t)| > \lambda\}|$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and its decreasing rearrangement by $f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : d_f(s) \leq t\}$, $t > 0$. We say that functions f and g are equimeasurable if $f^*(t) = g^*(t)$ for all $0 < t < |\Omega|$, or equivalently, $d_f(\lambda) = d_g(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$.

For the proof we need two lemmas. The first gives an alternative expression for the norm in $\exp(L^\alpha)(\Omega)$. For a proof, see [11, Corollary 3.4.28].

Lemma 2.1. *For all $\alpha > 0$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{\exp(L^\alpha)(\Omega)} \approx \sup_{0 < t \leq |\Omega|} (\ln(|\Omega|e/t))^{-1/\alpha} f^*(t).$$

The second is a rearrangement inequality of variable Lebesgue spaces. For a proof, see [13, Theorem 3].

Lemma 2.2. *Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq (1 + |\Omega|) \|f^*\|_{L^{p^*(\cdot)}([0, |\Omega|])}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1, Part (a). Fix an exponent function $p(\cdot)$ that satisfies (1.2). Let $q(\cdot)$ be the dual exponent defined by (1.1). Then we have that

$$\{x \in \Omega : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\} = \{x \in \Omega : q(x) \geq (\lambda + 1)/\lambda\};$$

hence, the condition (1.2) is, after a change of variables $\lambda \mapsto 1/\lambda$, equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad |\{x \in \Omega : q(x) \geq \lambda\}| \leq C^{\lambda-1}(\lambda - 1)^{-\alpha(\lambda-1)}(\ln(\lambda - 1))^{-\alpha(\lambda-1)}$$

for sufficiently large λ . As we noted above, $L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$ and $\exp(L^{1/\alpha})(\Omega)$ are associate spaces. Therefore, by [2, Proposition 2.10, p. 13], $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$ if and only if $\exp(L^{1/\alpha})(\Omega) \subset L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Consequently, to complete the proof it is sufficient to prove the embedding $\exp(L^{1/\alpha})(\Omega) \subset L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ assuming that (2.2) holds.

Without loss of generality, by rescaling we may assume that $|\Omega| = 1$. Fix $x_0 > 1$ sufficiently large and a constant $C_1 > 1$ such that

$$C^{x-1}((x-1)\ln(x-1))^{-\alpha(x-1)} \leq C_1^x(x\ln x)^{-\alpha x} := F(x)$$

and the function F is decreasing if $x \geq x_0$. Let $l = F^{-1}$ be the inverse of F on the interval $(0, t_0]$, where $l(t_0) = x_0$. From (2.2) and the definition of decreasing rearrangements, we have $q^*(t) \leq l(t)$ for all $0 < t \leq t_0$. By (1.2), if $f \in \exp(L^{1/\alpha})(\Omega)$, then $f^*(t) \leq c \ln^\alpha(e/t)$. By Lemma 2.2 we need to prove $\|f^*\|_{L^{p^*(\cdot)}([0,1])} < \infty$; to show this it suffices to prove that for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_0^{t_0} \left(\frac{f^*(t)}{\lambda} \right)^{p^*(t)} dt \leq \int_0^{t_0} \left(\frac{\ln^\alpha(e/t)}{\lambda} \right)^{l(t)} dt = I_\lambda < \infty.$$

But we can estimate as follows: making the change of variables $x \mapsto F(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda &= - \int_{x_0}^\infty (\lambda^{-1}(\ln(eC_1^{-x}(x\ln x)^{\alpha x}))^\alpha)^x dF(x) \\ &= \alpha \int_{x_0}^\infty \lambda^{-x} (\alpha x)^{\alpha x} \ln^{\alpha x} (e^{\frac{1}{\alpha x}} C_1^{-1/\alpha} x \ln x) \\ &\quad \times C_1^x (x \ln x)^{-\alpha x} \left(\ln(C_1^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} x \ln x) + \frac{\ln x + 1}{\ln x} \right) dx \\ &\leq C_2 \int_{x_0}^\infty \left(\frac{C_3}{\lambda} \right)^x \left(\ln(x \ln x) + \frac{\ln x + 1}{\ln x} \right) dx \\ &< \infty; \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality holds provided that we choose $\lambda > C_3$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Our hypothesis (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$|\{x \in \Omega : p(x) \geq \lambda\}| \leq G(x),$$

where $G(x) = C^x(x\ln(x))^{-x/\alpha}$. Therefore, we can repeat the above argument, replacing F by G (and so α by $1/\alpha$), and we get the desired embedding.

Proof of Theorem 1.1, Part (b). By our hypothesis, there exists $\lambda_0, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$ we have

$$|\{x \in \Omega : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \geq \gamma(\theta(1/\lambda)\lambda\alpha(\ln(1/\lambda))^{-\alpha})^{1/\lambda}.$$

Arguing as before, this inequality is equivalent to following inequality for the dual exponent $q(\cdot)$:

$$(2.3) \quad |\{x \in \Omega : q(x) \geq \lambda\}| \geq \gamma(\theta(\lambda - 1)((\lambda - 1)\ln(\lambda - 1))^{-\alpha})^{\lambda-1},$$

for all $\lambda \geq 1 + 1/\lambda_0$. Again by duality, it will suffice to show that $\exp(L^{1/\alpha})(\Omega) \not\subset L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Without loss of generality we will assume that $|\Omega| = 1$. Note that given a measure preserving transformation $\omega : \Omega \rightarrow (0, 1]$, for all $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$\left(\ln^\alpha \left(\frac{e}{\omega(\cdot)} \right) \right)^* (t) = \ln^\alpha(e/t);$$

hence, by Lemma (2.1), $\ln^\alpha(e/\omega(x)) \in \exp(L^{1/\alpha})(\Omega)$. We will show that for every $c > 0$ there exists a measure preserving transformation $\omega : \Omega \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} (c^{-1} \ln^\alpha(e/\omega(x)))^{q(x)} dx = \infty.$$

It follows at once from (2.4) and the definition of the norm that $\|\ln^\alpha(e/\omega)\|_{q(\cdot)} > c$; consequently, the inequality $\|f\|_{q(\cdot)} \leq C\|f\|_{\exp(L^{1/\alpha})}$ cannot hold for every $f \in \exp(L^{1/\alpha})$ with C is independent of f .

To prove (2.4), fix $c > 0$ large. Without loss of generality, we may assume that for all λ sufficiently large,

$$(2.5) \quad \theta(\lambda - 1) \leq \ln^\alpha(\lambda - 1);$$

otherwise, instead of $\theta(\lambda - 1)$ we can take the function $\min\{\theta(\lambda - 1), \ln^\alpha(\lambda - 1)\}$. Moreover, our hypotheses on θ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\theta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\lambda - 1)}{(\lambda - 1)\ln(\lambda - 1)} \right)' &= \theta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 1)^{-2} \ln^{-2}(\lambda - 1) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\theta'(\lambda - 1)}{\alpha\theta(\lambda - 1)}(\lambda - 1)\ln(\lambda - 1) - (1 + \ln(\lambda - 1)) \right) \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so for $\lambda \geq \tilde{\lambda}_0$,

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{(\lambda - 1)\theta'(\lambda - 1)}{\alpha\theta(\lambda - 1)} \leq \frac{1 + \ln(\lambda - 1)}{\ln(\lambda - 1)}, \quad ,$$

provided we fix $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ sufficiently large.

Define $\psi(\lambda) = \theta(\lambda)(\lambda \ln(\lambda))^{-\alpha}$, and let g be the inverse function to the function $\gamma\psi(\lambda - 1)^{\lambda-1}$ when $\lambda \geq \tilde{\lambda}_0$. It follows from (2.3) that for some $t_0 \in (0, 1]$.

$$(2.7) \quad q^*(t) \geq g(t), \quad 0 < t \leq t_0,$$

We may assume that, for a given c , the inequality $\ln^\alpha(e/t) \geq c$ is valid for all $t \in (0, t_0]$.

By Ryff's theorem [2, Theorem 7.5, p. 82], there exists a measure preserving transformation $\omega : \Omega \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $q(x) = q^*(\omega(x))$ almost everywhere. If we define $E = \omega^{-1}([0, t_0])$, then it follows from inequality (2.7) that for almost every $x \in E$,

$$q(x) \geq g(\omega(x)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_c &= \int_E (c^{-1} \ln^\alpha(e/\omega(x)))^{q(x)} dx \\ &\geq \int_E (c^{-1} \ln^\alpha(e/\omega(x)))^{g(\omega(x))} dx = \int_0^{t_0} (c^{-1} \ln^\alpha(e/t))^{g(t)} dt; \end{aligned}$$

if we make the change of variables $\lambda = g(t)$, we obtain

$$I_c \geq -\gamma \int_{g(t_0)}^\infty c^{-\lambda} \ln^{\alpha\lambda} \left(\frac{e}{\gamma(\psi(\lambda-1))^{\lambda-1}} \right) d((\psi(\lambda-1))^{\lambda-1}).$$

To estimate this integral, note first that

$$\begin{aligned} ((\psi(\lambda-1))^{\lambda-1})' &= \left(\exp \left(-\alpha(\lambda-1) \ln(\theta^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\lambda-1)(\lambda-1) \ln(\lambda-1)) \right) \right)' \\ &= -\alpha(\psi(\lambda-1))^{\lambda-1} \left(\ln \frac{(\lambda-1) \ln(\lambda-1)}{\theta^{1/\alpha}(\lambda-1)} + \frac{1 + \ln(\lambda-1)}{\ln(\lambda-1)} - \frac{(\lambda-1)\theta'((\lambda-1))}{\alpha\theta(\lambda-1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

But then, by (2.6), we have that for $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ sufficiently large and all $\lambda \geq \tilde{\lambda}_0$,

$$((\psi(\lambda-1))^{\lambda-1})' \leq -\alpha\psi(\lambda-1)^{\lambda-1} \ln \frac{(\lambda-1) \ln(\lambda-1)}{\theta^{1/\alpha}(\lambda-1)}.$$

Since $(\theta^{-1/\alpha}(1/\lambda)(1/\lambda)(\ln(1/\lambda)))^{-\alpha}$ is increasing for small enough λ , we have that $\theta^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\lambda-1)(\lambda-1) \ln(\lambda-1)$ is increasing for large λ . If we combine this with inequality (2.5), we obtain (for λ sufficiently large $\tilde{\lambda}_0$)

$$\begin{aligned} I_c &\geq \gamma\alpha \int_{g(t_0)}^\infty c^{-\lambda} (\alpha(\lambda-1))^{\alpha\lambda} \ln^{\alpha\lambda} \left(\gamma^{-\frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda-1)}} e^{\frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda-1)}} \theta^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\lambda-1)(\lambda-1) \ln(\lambda-1) \right) \\ &\quad \times \theta^{\lambda-1}(\lambda-1)(\lambda-1)^{-\alpha(\lambda-1)} \ln^{-\alpha(\lambda-1)}(\lambda-1) \ln \frac{(\lambda-1) \ln(\lambda-1)}{\theta^{1/\alpha}(\lambda-1)} d\lambda \\ &\geq \gamma\alpha \int_{g(t_0)}^\infty (c\alpha^{-\alpha})^{-\lambda} \theta^{\lambda-1}(\lambda-1) \ln(\lambda-1) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, it dominates the exponential term in the last integral, and it follows that $I_c = \infty$, which implies (2.4). This completes the proof.

3. STRONG DIFFERENTIATION OF FUNCTIONS FROM VARIABLE LEBESGUE SPACES

$$L^{p(\cdot)}((0, 1)^n)$$

In this section we apply Theorem 1.1 to give a sufficient condition on the exponent $p(\cdot)$ for the basis of rectangles to be a differentiation basis of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. We first recall some basic definitions and results. The family $\mathcal{B} = \{B(x) : x \in \Omega\}$ is called a basis in Ω if, for each $x \in \Omega$, $B(x)$ is a

collection of bounded, open sets that contain x and there exists a sequence $\{B_k\} \subset B(x)$, such that $\text{diam}(B_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Given $f \in L^1_{loc}$, the numbers

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{D}_B \left(\int f, x \right) &= \sup_{\{\{B_k\} \subset B(x)\}} \limsup_{\text{diam}(B_k) \rightarrow 0} |B_k|^{-1} \int_{B_k} f(y) dy, \\ \underline{D}_B \left(\int f, x \right) &= \inf_{\{\{B_k\} \subset B(x)\}} \liminf_{\text{diam}(B_k) \rightarrow 0} |B_k|^{-1} \int_{B_k} f(y) dy\end{aligned}$$

are called, respectively, the upper and the lower derivatives of the integral of f at the point x . If the upper and lower derivatives coincide, then their common value is called the derivative of the integral of f at the point x and denoted by $D_B \left(\int f, x \right)$. A basis \mathcal{B} is said to differentiate the integral of f if $D_B \left(\int f, x \right) = f(x)$ for almost all x .

We are interested in the basis of rectangles, that is, the basis \mathcal{B}_1 where for each x , $B(x)$ contains all rectangles with the sides parallel to the axes containing x and contained in Ω . For simplicity, let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^n = (0, 1)^n$, ($n \geq 1$). By the Jessen-Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund theorem [16] (see also [9, p. 50]), for $n > 1$ the basis \mathcal{B}_1 differentiates functions from $L(\log L)^{n-1}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. On the other hand Saks [21] proved that for each function $\sigma(t)$ that decreases monotonically to zero, there exists a function $f \in \sigma(L)L(\log L)^{n-1}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, ($n > 1$) such that $\overline{D}_{\mathcal{B}_1} \left(\int f, x \right) = +\infty$ a.e. on \mathbb{T}^n .

Closely related to the differentiation properties of \mathcal{B}_1 are the boundedness properties of $M_S f$, the maximal operator corresponding to \mathcal{B}_1 , also known as the strong maximal function:

$$M_S f(x) = \sup_{x \in I \in \mathcal{B}_1} |I|^{-1} \int_I |f(y)| dy.$$

The strong maximal operator is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{T}^n)$, for $p > 1$ [16], but is not bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $n > 1$, if $p(\cdot)$ is not constant [17]. Now if $p_- > 1$, then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset L^{p_-}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and consequently, if $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $D_{\mathcal{B}_1} \left(\int f, x \right) = f(x)$ a.e. We are interested in finding conditions on $p(\cdot)$ for which $p(x) > 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T}^n but $p_- = 1$, and indeed $p_-(E) = 1$ for any open set $E \subset \mathbb{T}^n$. For this problem it is natural find conditions on the function $|\{x : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}|$, $\lambda > 0$, that guarantee the differentiation of functions from $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, ($n > 1$). From part (a) of Theorem 1.1 and the Jessen-Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund theorem we immediately obtain following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $n > 1$, suppose that for some $C > 1$ and for all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small,*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{T}^n : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \leq C^{1/\lambda} \lambda^{(n-1)/\lambda} (\ln(1/\lambda))^{-(n-1)/\lambda}.$$

Then for $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and almost every $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $D_{\mathcal{B}_1} \left(\int f, x \right) = f(x)$.

Remark 3.2. The case when exponent $p(x) > 1$ a.e. and the set $\{x : p(x) = 1\}$ is "irregular" has other interesting applications. For instance, in [12] the authors constructed an exponent $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}([0, 2\pi])$ such that $p(x) > 1$ a.e., and the space $L^{p(\cdot)}[0, 2\pi]$ contains both the Kolmogorov and the Marcinkiewicz examples of functions in L^1 with a.e. divergent Fourier series.

We can also give examples of exponents for which \mathcal{B}_1 fails to be a differentiation basis for $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Stokolos [22] in case $n = 2$ and Oniani [19] in case $n > 2$ proved following result.

Theorem 3.3. *For each function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $f \notin L(\log L)^{n-1}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, there exists a function g that is equimeasurable with f such that $\overline{D}_{B_1}(\int f, x) = +\infty$ a.e.*

In fact the function g in Theorem 3.3 has the form $g(x) = f(\omega(x))$, where $\omega : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is an invertible, measure-preserving transformation. Therefore, from part (b) of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.3 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *For $n > 1$, let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be such that for some $0 \leq \epsilon < n - 1$,*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{T}^n : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \geq C\lambda^{\epsilon/\lambda}(\ln(1/\lambda))^{-(n-1)/\lambda};$$

alternatively, let $p(\cdot)$ be such that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{T}^n : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \geq C\lambda^{(n-1)/\lambda}(\ln(1/\lambda))^{-\epsilon/\lambda}.$$

Then there exists $\bar{p}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ equimeasurable with $p(\cdot)$, and $f \in L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\overline{D}_{B_1}(\int f, x) = +\infty$ a.e.

For specific examples of such exponent functions, see Examples 4.5 and 4.8 below.

4. FURTHER REMARKS ON THE EMBEDDING $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$.

In this section we consider one of the original motivations for studying the embedding $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$: the generalization of Wiener's theorem to variable Lebesgue spaces. We recall some background information. Given an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, we define the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B \cap \Omega} |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over balls containing x . It is well known that for $1 < p < \infty$, $M : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$; on the other hand, given any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f \neq 0$, $Mf \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In fact, Mf need not even be locally integrable. Wiener [23] proved that Mf is locally integrable if $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. More precisely, he showed that given any ball B ,

$$(4.1) \quad \|Mf\|_{L^1(B)} \leq C\|f\|_{L \log L(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The question arose to determine conditions on an exponent $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that for a set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with finite measure,

$$(4.2) \quad \|Mf\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

In particular, if $p_- = 1$, determine when (4.2) holds.

The first result in this direction was due to Hästö [15]. Fix numbers $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, and define the function

$$(4.3) \quad \Lambda(r) = \Lambda(r, a, b) = 1 + \frac{a \ln \ln(1/r)}{\ln(1/r)} + \frac{b}{\ln(1/r)}$$

for $0 < r < r_0$ and $\Lambda(r) = \Lambda(r_0)$ for $r > r_0$. For a compact set K in \mathbb{R}^n , define

$$K(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \delta_K(x) < r\},$$

where $\delta_K(x)$ denotes the distance from x to set K . For $\beta > 0$, we say that the Minkowski $(n-\beta)$ content of K is finite if $|K(r)| \leq Cr^\beta$ for all $r > 0$ sufficiently small. Now we define a variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ by

$$(4.4) \quad p(x) = \Lambda(\delta_K(x))$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; set $p(x) = 1$ on K .

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a compact subset of a bounded, open set Ω , and let $p(\cdot)$ be a given by (4.4). If $a > 1$ and the Minkowski $(n-1)$ -content of K is finite, then (4.2) holds.*

The heart of the proof of Theorem 4.1 is to show that given these hypotheses, $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L \log L(\Omega)$. The desired conclusion then follows immediately from inequality (4.1). Later, Futamura and Mizuta [14] proved that the conclusion is still valid when $a = 1$ and $b = 0$.

Mizuta, Ohno, and Shimomura [18] proved the following embedding theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let K be a compact subset of bounded open set Ω whose Minkowski $(n-\beta)$ -content is finite. Set $p(x) = \Lambda(\delta_K(x))$, $x \in \Omega$. If $a > 0$, then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L(\log L)^{a\beta}(\Omega)$.*

If we set $a = 1/\beta$ in Theorem 4.2, we get a generalization of Theorem 4.1.

Subsequently, the first author and Fiorenza [5] proved the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, suppose there exist constants ϵ , $0 < \epsilon < 1$, $C_0 > 0$, and δ , $0 < \delta < e^{-e}$, such that for $0 < \lambda \leq \delta$,*

$$(4.5) \quad |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : p(x) \leq \Lambda(\lambda, 1 + \epsilon, 0)\}| \leq C_0 \lambda.$$

Then given any ball B , there exists a constant C (depending on $|B|$, $p(\cdot)$, ϵ , and δ) such that (4.2) holds (with Ω replaced by B).

One feature of their proof is that they did not pass through the embedding $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L \log L(\Omega)$; rather they gave a direct proof of (4.2). Here we will show that we can use Theorem 1.1 to give a small improvement of Theorem 4.3 which is analogous to the results of Futamura and Mizuta, and Mizuta, *et al.*

To do so, we will use the Lambert W -function to find a relationship between estimates of the form (4.5) and (1.2). The Lambert W -function is the solution of the equation

$$W(x) \exp(W(x)) = x.$$

Here we summarize some of its properties; for complete information see [3, 4]. Note that $W(-1/e) = -1$. On the interval $[0, \infty)$ there is one real solution and on the interval $(-1/e, 0)$ there are two real solutions. Call the solution for which $W(x) \geq W(-1/e)$ the principal branch and denote it by $W_p(x)$. This function is defined on $[-1/e; \infty)$ and $W_p : [-1/e; +\infty) \rightarrow [-1, +\infty)$ is increasing bijection. Denote the other solution $W_m(x)$. This function is defined on $(-1/e; 0)$ and $W_m : (-1/e; 0) \rightarrow [-\infty, -1)$ is a decreasing bijection. Moreover, we have that as $x \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$(4.6) \quad W_p(x) = \xi - \ln \xi + \frac{\ln \xi}{\xi} + \frac{(\ln \xi)^2}{2\xi^2} - \frac{(\ln \xi)}{\xi^2} + O\left(\frac{(\ln \xi)^3}{\xi^3}\right),$$

where $\xi = \ln x$. Similarly, we have that as $x \rightarrow 0$,

$$(4.7) \quad W_m(x) = -\mu - \ln \mu - \frac{\ln \mu}{\eta} - \frac{(\ln \mu)^2}{2\mu^2} - \frac{(\ln \mu)}{2\mu^2} + O\left(\frac{(\ln \mu)^3}{\mu^3}\right),$$

where $\mu = \ln(-1/x)$.

Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a > 0$. For $0 < r \leq r_0$ (r_0 a small positive number) we will find the inverse function of the function $\Lambda(r, a, b) - 1$. The equation $\Lambda(r, a, b) - 1 = x$ may be rewritten as

$$-\ln(k \ln(1/r)) \exp(-\ln(k \ln(1/r))) = -\frac{x}{ak},$$

where $k = \exp(b/a)$. Since $-\ln(k \ln(1/r)) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$, for $r > 0$ small we have that

$$r = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{k} \exp \left(-W_m \left(-\frac{x}{ak} \right) \right) \right).$$

Using the fact that $\exp(W(x)) = x/W(x)$, we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad r = \exp \left(\frac{a}{x} W_m \left(-\frac{x}{ak} \right) \right) = \left(\frac{x}{ak} \right)^{\frac{a}{x}} \left(-W_m \left(-\frac{x}{ak} \right) \right)^{-\frac{a}{x}}.$$

If we apply Theorem 1.1 using (4.8), (4.7), we get the following result.

Theorem 4.4. Fix $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\Omega| < \infty$, and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, $p_+ < \infty$. Given $a > 0$, suppose there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that, for all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$(4.9) \quad |\{x \in \Omega : p(x) \leq 1 + \Lambda(\lambda, a, b)\}| \leq C\lambda.$$

Then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L(\log L)^a(\Omega)$. In particular, if $a = 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, then inequality (4.2) holds.

We can see directly that the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3 is stronger than that of Theorem 1.1. If we use (4.8) with $a = 1 + \epsilon$ and $b = 0$, and then apply estimate (4.7), we can rewrite (4.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{x \in \Omega : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \\ & \leq C_0 \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + \epsilon} \right)^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{\lambda}} \left(-W_m \left(-\frac{\lambda}{1 + \epsilon} \right) \right)^{-\frac{1+\epsilon}{\lambda}} \leq C\lambda^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{\lambda}} (\ln(1/\lambda))^{-\frac{1+\epsilon}{\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, if for some $\epsilon > 0$, (4.5) holds, then

$$|\{x \in \Omega : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \leq C\lambda^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{\lambda}} (\ln(1/\lambda))^{-\frac{1+\epsilon}{\lambda}}$$

for sufficiently small λ . However, we also have that

$$\frac{C^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} (\ln(1/\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}}{\lambda^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{\lambda}} (\ln(1/\lambda))^{-\frac{1+\epsilon}{\lambda}}} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are weaker than those of Theorem 4.3.

We conclude this section with three examples of exponents for which parts (a) or (b) of Theorem 1.1 hold for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\Omega = [0, x_0]$ for some $x_0 > 0$.

Example 4.5. Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 \leq \epsilon < \alpha$, $\theta(x) = (\ln x)^{\alpha-\epsilon}$, and suppose the exponent $p(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$|\{x : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \geq C\lambda^{\alpha/\lambda} \ln^{-\epsilon/\lambda}(1/\lambda).$$

Then the conditions part (b) of Theorem 1.1 are valid, and so $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \not\subset L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$.

We further consider the case $\epsilon = 0$ in Example 4.5. By using the Lambert W -function we may find (arguing as we did above) the inverse function of $\lambda^{\alpha/\lambda}$ on $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$, for sufficiently small λ_0 . We have that if $\lambda^{\alpha/\lambda} = x$, $0 < x \leq \lambda_0^{\alpha/\lambda_0} = x_0$, then

$$\ln(1/\lambda) \exp(\ln(1/\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln(1/x).$$

Again using the fact that $\exp(W(x)) = x/W(x)$, we obtain

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha W_p \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln(1/x) \right)}{\ln(1/x)} := p_0(x).$$

Consequently, for exponent $p(x) = 1 + p_0(x)$ we have that for $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$,

$$|\{x \in [0, x_0] : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| = \lambda^{\alpha/\lambda},$$

and $L^{p(\cdot)}([0, x_0]) \not\subset L(\log L)^\alpha([0, x_0])$. If we apply (4.6), we obtain following expression for $p(x)$:

$$p(x) = 1 + \frac{\alpha \ln \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)} - \frac{\alpha \ln \ln \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)} + o \left(\frac{\alpha \ln \ln \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)} \right)$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$.

Example 4.6. For sufficiently small x_0 , define for $0 < x < x_0$ the exponent function

$$p(x) = 1 + \frac{\alpha \ln \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)} = 1 + \frac{\ln \left(\ln \left(\frac{1}{x^{1/\alpha}} \right) \right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{x^{1/\alpha}} \right)}, \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

Then, using (4.8), we have that for $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$,

$$|\{x \in [0, x_0] : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| = \lambda^{\alpha/\lambda} (-W_m(-\lambda))^{-\alpha/\lambda} \leq C \lambda^{\alpha/\lambda} \ln^{-\alpha/\lambda}(1/\lambda);$$

hence, $L^{p(\cdot)}([0, x_0]) \subset L(\log L)^\alpha([0, x_0])$.

Remark 4.7. Let K be a compact subset of Ω such that

$$C^{-1}r^\alpha \leq |K(r)| \leq Cr^\alpha \quad \text{for } 0 < r < r_0 \quad (\alpha > 0)$$

and define

$$p(x) = 1 + \frac{b \log \log(1/\delta(x))}{\log(1/\delta(x))} - \frac{c \log \log \log(1/\delta(x))}{\log(1/\delta(x))},$$

where $b > 0, c > 0$, $\delta(x) \leq r_0$ and $\inf_{\{x, \delta(x) > r_0\}} p(x) > 1$. In [18] (see also [14]) the authors constructed a function $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ such that $f \notin L(\log L)^{\alpha b}(\Omega)$.

Example 4.8. Let $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \epsilon < \alpha$, $\theta(x) = x^{\alpha-\epsilon}$, and suppose exponent function $p(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$|\{x : p(x) \leq 1 + \lambda\}| \geq C \lambda^{\epsilon/\lambda} \ln^{-\alpha/\lambda}(1/\lambda).$$

Then the conditions of part (b) of Theorem 1.1 are valid, and so $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \not\subset L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Astashkin and M. Mastyło. Rademacher functions in Nakano spaces. *Anal. PDE*, 9(1):1–14, 2016.
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley. *Interpolation of operators*, volume 129 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [3] J. M. Borwein and R. M. Corless. Emerging tools for experimental mathematics. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 106(10):889–909, 1999.
- [4] R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, D. J. Jeffrey, and D. E. Knuth. On the Lambert W function. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 5(4):329–359, 1996.
- [5] D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza. $L \log L$ results for the maximal operator in variable L^p spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(5):2631–2647, 2009.
- [6] D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza. *Variable Lebesgue spaces*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. Foundations and harmonic analysis.
- [7] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, and O. M. Guzman. Embeddings of grand Lebesgue spaces, small Lebesgue spaces and Lebesgue spaces with a variable exponent. *Mat. Zametki*, 102(5):736–748, 2017.
- [8] D. Cruz-Uribe, J. M. Martell, and C. Pérez. *Weights, extrapolation and the theory of Rubio de Francia*, volume 215 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.

- [9] M. de Guzmán. *Differentiation of integrals in R^n* , volume Vol. 481 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975. With appendices by Antonio Córdoba, and Robert Fefferman, and two by Roberto Moriyón.
- [10] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Růžička. *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, volume 2017 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [11] D. Edmunds and W. D. Evans. *Hardy operators, function spaces and embeddings*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [12] D. Edmunds, A. Gogatishvili, and T. Kopaliani. Construction of function spaces close to L^∞ with associate space close to L^1 . *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 24(6):1539–1553, 2018.
- [13] A. Fiorenza and J. M. Rakotoson. Relative rearrangement and Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ with variable exponent. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), 88(6):506–521, 2007.
- [14] T. Futamura and Y. Mizuta. Maximal functions for Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent approaching 1. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 36(1):23–28, 2006.
- [15] P. Hästö. The maximal operator in Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent near 1. *Math. Nachr.*, 280(1-2):74–82, 2007.
- [16] B. Jessen, J. Marcinkiewicz, and A. Zygmund. Note on the differentiability of multiple integrals. *Fundam. Math.*, 25:217–234, 1935.
- [17] T. Kopaliani. A note on strong maximal operator in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces. *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.*, 145:43–46, 2007.
- [18] Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno, and T. Shimomura. Integrability of maximal functions for generalized Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent. *Math. Nachr.*, 281(3):386–395, 2008.
- [19] G. Oniani. On the strong differentiation of multiple integrals along different frames. *Georgian Math. J.*, 12(2):349–368, 2005.
- [20] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren. *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, volume 146 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [21] S. Saks. On the strong derivatives of functions of intervals. *Fundam. Math.*, 25:235–252, 1935.
- [22] A. M. Stokolos. Differentiation of integrals of uniformly measurable functions. *Mat. Zametki*, 37(5):667–675, 780, 1985.
- [23] N. Wiener. The ergodic theorem. *Duke Math. J.*, 5(1):1–18, 1939.

DAVID CRUZ-URIBE, OFS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, TUSCALOOSA, AL 35487, USA

Email address: dcruzuribe@ua.edu

AMIRAN GOGATISHVILI, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNA 25, 115 67 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: gogatish@math.cas.cz

TENGIZ KOPALIANI, FACULTY OF EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES, I. JAVAKHISHVILI TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY ST. 2, 0143 TBILISI, GEORGIA

Email address: tengiz.kopaliani@tsu.ge