

REGULAR SETS IN CAYLEY SUM GRAPHS

FATEME SADAT SEIEDALI¹, BEHROOZ KHOSRAVI¹, AND ZEINAB AKHLAGHI^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. A subset C of the vertex set of a graph Γ is said to be (α, β) -regular if C induces an α -regular subgraph and every vertex outside C is adjacent to exactly β vertices in C . In particular, if C is an (α, β) -regular set in some Cayley sum graph of a finite group G with connection set S , then C is called an (α, β) -regular set of G and a $(0, 1)$ -regular set is called a perfect code of G . By $\text{Sq}(G)$ and $\text{NSq}(G)$ we mean the set of all square elements and non-square elements of G . As one of the main results in this note, we show that a subgroup H of a finite abelian group G is an (α, β) -regular set of G , for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq \mathcal{L}(H)$, where $\mathcal{L}(H) = |H|$, if $\text{Sq}(G) \subseteq H$ and $\mathcal{L}(H) = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$, otherwise. As a consequence of our result we give a very brief proof for the main results in [17, 18]. Also, we consider the dihedral group $G = D_{2n}$ and for each subgroup H of G , by giving an appropriate connection set S , we determine each possibility for (α, β) , where H is an (α, β) -regular set of G .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a subset of \mathbb{F}_q^n , where \mathbb{F}_q is the finite field of order q . Then we call C a code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q and the elements of C are called codewords. For two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, their Hamming distance $d(x, y)$ is the number of coordinates in which they differ and for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we define $d(x, C) = \min\{d(x, y) : y \in C\}$. A code C over \mathbb{F}_q is called q -ary ρ -covering code if for every vector y there is a codeword $x \in C$ such that the Hamming distance $d(x, y) \leq \rho$. The covering radius of a code C is the smallest ρ such that C is ρ -covering. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Denote by $B_{x,i}$, the number of codewords of distance i from x . A code C with covering radius ρ is called t -regular ($0 \leq t \leq \rho$) if for all $i = 0, \dots, \rho$, $B_{x,i}$ depends only on i and $d(x, C)$, for all x such that $d(x, C) \leq t$ (see for instance [9, 12]). A code C is completely regular if it is ρ -regular (see [9]). We refer to [5], for a comprehensive survey on completely regular codes. In 1-regular code, we face two important parameters $\alpha = B_{x,1}$, for $x \in C$ and $\beta = B_{x,1}$, for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus C$ (we have two other trivial parameters $B_{x,0} = 1$ for $x \in C$ and $B_{x,0} = 0$ for $x \notin C$, which can be ignored). It means that for $x \in C$, there exist exactly α elements in C of distance 1 from x and for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus C$, there exist exactly β elements in C of distance 1 from x . In particular, a 1-regular code with $B_{x,1} = 0$,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C25 , 05C69 , 94B25.

Key words and phrases. Perfect code · Subgroup perfect code · Cayley sum graph · Finite group. Regular set.

The third author is supported by a Grant from IPM (no. 1403200013).

for $x \in C$ and $B_{x,1} = 0$, for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus C$, is called a perfect code. The combinatorial properties of completely regular codes allow to establish different relations with other combinatorial structures such as distance-regular graphs, association schemes and designs. To extend the idea of regular code in other combinatorial structure, many authors pay attention perfect codes and regular sets in graphs.

In this paper, all groups are finite and all graphs are simple. Let $\Gamma = (\mathbf{V}(\Gamma), \mathbf{E}(\Gamma))$ be a simple graph, where $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)$ are the sets of its vertices and the set of its edges, respectively. For non-negative integers α, β , a subset C of $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)$ is called an (α, β) -regular set in Γ , if every vertex of C is adjacent to exactly α vertices of C and every vertex of $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma) \setminus C$ is a neighbor to exactly β vertices of C (see [6]). A $(0, 1)$ -regular set is called a *perfect code*. Clearly, the definition of regular set in a graph arises from the definition of the 1-regular code, by replacing the hamming distance of two vectors by the distance of the vertices of the graph, as the metric.

For a graph $\Gamma = (\mathbf{V}(\Gamma), \mathbf{E}(\Gamma))$, a partition of $\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)$ with cells $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ is called an *equitable k -partition*, when each cell induces a regular subgraph and any vertex of V_i is adjacent to b_{ij} vertices of V_j , [11, Section 9.3]. The quotient matrix of the partition \mathcal{V} is defined as $M = (b_{ij})$. We note that, if the row sums of a $k \times k$ matrix A is equal to a fixed number, say r , then r is an eigenvalue of A [11, Theorem 9.3.3]. Therefore, if Γ is a connected r -regular graph, then r is a simple eigenvalue of the quotient matrix M of Γ . If Γ is a r -regular graph, \mathcal{V} an equitable partition of $V(\Gamma)$ and M is its quotient matrix such that all eigenvalues of M except r are equal to μ , then \mathcal{V} is called μ -equitable. We note that a non-trivial coarsening of a μ -equitable partition is μ -equitable (see [4, Corollary 2.3]). So, the study of equitable partition with exactly two parts is important.

Moreover, an (α, β) -regular set in a r -regular graph Γ is exactly a completely regular code C in Γ (see, for example, [19]) such that the corresponding distance partition has exactly two parts, namely $\{C, \mathbf{V}(\Gamma) \setminus C\}$. An equitable 2-partition is also called perfect 2-coloring [10]. The notion of perfect coloring is a common research subject in coding theory [3, 16].

Let G be a group with identity element e and S be an inverse-closed subset of G , i.e. $S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} : s \in S\} = S$, where $e \notin S$. The *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ of G with respect to the connection set S is defined to be the graph with vertex set G such that two elements x, y are adjacent if $yx^{-1} \in S$, see [1, 8].

An element x of G is called a *square* if $x = y^2$, for some element $y \in G$. A subset of G whose elements are not square, is called *square-free*. A subset S of G is called a *normal* subset if for every $g \in G$, $g^{-1}Sg = \{g^{-1}sg : s \in S\} = S$. Let S be a normal square-free subset of G . The *Cayley sum graph* $\text{CayS}(G, S)$ of G with respect to the connection set S is a simple graph with vertex set G and two vertices x and y are adjacent if $xy \in S$. Since S is a normal square-free subset of G , $xy \in S$ if and only

if $yx \in S$, hence $\text{CayS}(G, S)$ is an undirected graph without loops. It is easy to see that $\text{CayS}(G, S)$ is $|S|$ -regular. The Cayley sum graph is first defined for abelian groups (see [7]) and then it is generalized to any arbitrary group in [18]. The perfect code of the Cayley graphs of finite groups are widely studied by some authors (see for instance [13, 14, 22]). We note that in [20], a perfect code of a Cayley graph of G , briefly is called a perfect code of G . In [17, 18], a subset C of G is called a *perfect code* of G if there exists a Cayley sum graph of G which admits C as a perfect code and in particular, if a subgroup H of G is a perfect code of G , then H is called a *subgroup perfect code* of G . In the sequel of this paper, by a perfect code of G we mean a perfect code of a Cayley sum graph of G with respect to a subset S .

In [17], Ma et al. provided necessary and sufficient conditions for a non-trivial subgroup of an abelian group to be a subgroup perfect code. They determine whether a subgroup of an abelian group is a perfect code according to its Sylow 2-subgroup. Moreover, they specified all subgroup perfect codes of a cyclic group, a dihedral group, and a generalized quaternion group. Also in [18], they gave a shortened proof for classifying all perfect codes of abelian groups.

In [20], it is proved that a normal subgroup H is a perfect code of a Cayley graph of G if and only if it is an (α, β) -regular set in a Cayley graph of G , for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq |H| - 1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq |H|$, where $\gcd(2, |H| - 1)$ divides α .

In [23], for a normal subgroup H of G , it is proved that for $0 \leq \alpha \leq |H| - 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq |H|/2$ such that $\gcd(2, |H| - 1)$ divides α , H is an $(\alpha, 2\gamma)$ -regular set in a Cayley graph of G .

It is natural to ask about regular sets in other graphs, specially Cayley sum graphs. In the sequel of this paper, by an (α, β) -regular set of G we mean an (α, β) -regular set in a Cayley sum graph of G and we study the following problem for abelian groups and dihedral groups $G = D_{2n}$, for $n \geq 3$:

Problem: Describe all subgroups H of G and parameters α and β such that H is an (α, β) -regular set of G .

To answer to the above problem, for each subgroup H of G and (α, β) such that H is an (α, β) -regular set of G , we show that there exists a connection set S of size $\alpha + \beta((|G|/|H|) - 1)$ (Corollary 2.5) such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. We note that this graph has valency $|S|$ and it is worth mentioning that the connection set S is not unique necessarily, and sometimes the number of them can be large (for example in the abelian groups).

Let G be an abelian group and H a subgroup of G . In the second section, we determine all the possibilities for α and β , such that H is an (α, β) -regular set of G . As a consequence we give a shorter proof for the main results in [17, 18].

In the third section, we study this problem for each subgroup H of the dihedral group G . In the proofs of the theorems in this section, for each subgroup H of G and (α, β) such that H is an (α, β) -regular set of G , we introduce a connection set S .

Obviously, G is a perfect code in the empty Cayley sum graph $\text{CayS}(G, \emptyset)$. As a result, any group is a subgroup perfect code of itself. Similarly, any subgroup H is a $(0, 0)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, \emptyset)$. As this case is trivial, in the rest of the paper we do not consider the case when $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$. It is easy to see that every non-trivial element of G is non-square if and only if G is an elementary abelian 2-group. So a Cayley sum graph $\text{CayS}(G, S)$ is complete if and only if G is an elementary abelian 2-group and $S = G \setminus \{e\}$. This also means that the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$ of G is a subgroup perfect code if and only if G is an elementary abelian 2-group.

Let G be a finite group. Throughout the paper, the identity element of G is denoted by e . The number of elements of G is denoted by $|G|$ and is called the *order* of G . The order of an element $g \in G$, denoted by $o(g)$, is the smallest natural number n such that $g^n = e$. Let H be a subgroup of G and $a \in H$, then $Ha = \{ha : h \in H\}$ is called a right coset of H in G . The *index* of a subgroup H in a group G is the number of distinct right cosets of H in G , which is denoted by $[G : H]$. A *right transversal* of H in G is a subset of G which contains exactly one element from each right coset of H . If the order of H is the largest odd divisor of the order of G , then H is called a *Hall 2'-subgroup* of G . Let $|G| = p^n m$ where $p \nmid m$, then a subgroup of G of order p^n is called a *Sylow p -subgroup* of G . An *elementary abelian p -group* is an abelian group in which every non-trivial element has order p , where p is a prime. The *direct product* of two groups G and H is $G \times H = \{(g, h) : g \in G, h \in H\}$, with the group operation given by $(g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, h_2) = (g_1 g_2, h_1 h_2)$, where the coordinate-wise operation are the operations in G and H . Two elements a and b of G are *conjugate* if there is an element $g \in G$ such that $b = gag^{-1}$. The *conjugacy class* of $a \in G$ is the set of all conjugates of a in G , which is denoted by a^G . Let $X \subseteq G$ be a non-empty subset of G , then the smallest subgroup of G , containing X is denoted by $\langle X \rangle$.

By $\text{Sq}(G)$ and $\text{NSq}(G)$ we mean the set of all square and non-square elements of G . If G is an abelian group, then we denote by $\mathbf{E}_2(G)$, the greatest direct factor of G isomorphic to an elementary abelian 2-group. By C_n we mean the cyclic group of order n .

2. REGULAR SETS IN ABELIAN GROUPS

Lemma 2.1. [18, Lemma 2.1] *Let S and H be a normal square-free subset and a subgroup of a finite group G , respectively. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) H is a perfect code of $\text{CayS}(G, S)$.
- (2) $S \cup \{e\}$ is a right transversal of H in G .

(3) $[G : H] = |S| + 1$ and $(S \cup SS^{-1}) \cap H = \{e\}$.

Lemma 2.2. [18, Theorem 3.1] *Let G be an abelian group with non-trivial Sylow 2-subgroup P , and let H be a non-trivial subgroup of G . Then H is a subgroup perfect code of G if and only if one of the following occurs:*

- (1) $P \subseteq H$;
- (2) $[G : H] = |P|$ and P is elementary abelian;
- (3) $P \cap H$ is a non-trivial subgroup perfect code of P , and either $[G : H]$ is a power of 2 or $P \cap H$ has a non-square element in G .

Lemma 2.3. [17, Lemma 3.1] *Let $A = C_{2^{m_1}} \times C_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{2^{m_k}} \times A_{2'}$ be an abelian group and $A_{2'}$ be the Hall $2'$ -subgroup of A . Suppose H is a subgroup of A . Then H is a subgroup perfect code of A if and only if either H is a subgroup isomorphic to*

$$C_{2^{m_1-1}} \times C_{2^{m_2-1}} \times \cdots \times C_{2^{m_k-1}} \times A_{2'}$$

or H has a non-square element.

In the following Lemmas we discuss the regular subsets of a Cayley sum graph of G , which is the Cayley sum graph version of [21, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G . Then H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set of G if and only if G has β pairwise disjoint subsets T_i , $1 \leq i \leq \beta$, such that for each i , $T_i \cup \{e\}$ is a right transversal of H in G and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\beta} T_i$ is a normal square-free subset of G . In particular, if H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, then $|S \cap Hx| = \beta$, for each $x \in G \setminus H$.*

Proof. Suppose that T_1, \dots, T_β are pairwise disjoint subsets of $\text{NSq}(G)$, such that for each i , where $1 \leq i \leq \beta$, $T_i \cup \{e\}$ is a right transversal of H in G and let $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\beta} T_i$ is a normal subset of G . Let $x \in G \setminus H$. Then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, \beta\}$ we have $|Hx \cap T_i| = 1$, and so there exists $h_i \in H$ such that $h_i x \in T_i$. Thus, x is adjacent to at least β elements, $h_1, \dots, h_\beta \in H$ in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. If there exists some $h \in H \setminus \{h_1, \dots, h_\beta\}$ such that $hx \in S$, then there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, \beta\}$, such that $hx \in T_i$. Thus, $Hx \cap T_i$ contains more than one element, which is a contradiction, as T_i is a right transversal of H in G . As $S \cap H = \emptyset$, we get that H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$.

Conversely, assume that H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, for some normal square-free subset $S \subseteq G$. It means that for every $x \in G \setminus H$ there exist exactly β distinct elements h_1, h_2, \dots, h_β in H such that $h_i x \in S$, for $i = 1, \dots, \beta$. Hence, $|S \cap Hx| = \beta$, for all $x \in G \setminus H$, which means that S is the union of β pairwise disjoint subsets T_i , where $1 \leq i \leq \beta$, such that for each i , $T_i \cup \{e\}$ is a right transversal of H in G . ■

Corollary 2.5. *Let H be a subgroup of G . If H is an (α, β) -regular set of G , then there is a normal square-free subset S (the connection set of the corresponding Cayley sum graph) such that $|S \cap H| = \alpha$ and $|S \cap (G \setminus H)| = \beta(|G : H| - 1)$. Obviously, $\beta \leq |H|$ and $|S| = \alpha + \beta(|G : H| - 1)$.*

Moreover, there exist β pairwise disjoint subsets T_i , $1 \leq i \leq \beta$, such that $S \cap (G \setminus H) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\beta} T_i$, where for each i , $T_i \cup \{e\}$ is a right transversal of H in G .

Lemma 2.6. *Let H be a normal subgroup of G . Then H is an (α, β) -regular set of G if and only if H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set of G and a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set of G .*

Proof. If H is a normal subgroup of G and S a normal square-free subset of G , then $S' = S \cap H$ and $S'' = S \cap (G \setminus H)$ are normal square-free subsets of G . If H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, then H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S')$ and a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S'')$. Conversely, if H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S')$ and a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S'')$, for some normal square-free subsets S' and S'' , then H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S' \cup S'')$. ■

Notation 2.7. Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G . Then we set

$$\mathcal{L}(H) = \min\{|\text{NSq}(G) \cap Hx| : x \in G \setminus H\}.$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let H be a subgroup of an abelian group G . Then, $\mathcal{L}(H) = |H|$ if $\text{Sq}(G) \subseteq H$, and $\mathcal{L}(H) = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$, otherwise.*

Proof. Remind that $\mathcal{L}(H) = \min\{|\text{NSq}(G) \cap Hx| : x \in G \setminus H\}$. First, assume that $x \in G \setminus H$ is a square element. In this case, for each non-square element $y \in H$, yx is a non-square element in Hx , and for each square element $y \in H$, yx is a square element in Hx . Hence, $|\text{NSq}(G) \cap Hx| = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$.

Now, assume that $x \in G \setminus H$ is a non-square element. If Hx has a square element, say z , then $Hx = Hz$ and, by the above discussion, we conclude that $|\text{NSq}(G) \cap Hx| = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap Hz| = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$. If all elements of Hx are non-square, then $|\text{NSq}(G) \cap Hx| = |Hx| = |H|$. It follows that, if $\text{Sq}(G) \subseteq H$, then for every $x \in G \setminus H$, we have $Hx \subseteq \text{NSq}(G)$ and so $\mathcal{L}(H) = |H|$. Otherwise, there exists $x \in G \setminus H$ which is square and so $\mathcal{L}(H) = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$. ■

The above theorem is the main result of this section which has wide application for abelian groups. Using this result for given abelian group G and subgroup H of G , we can determine all possibilities for β such that H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set of G . Also it has a significant role in giving very brief proofs for all the results related to abelian groups in [17, 18].

Theorem 2.9. *Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G .*

- (1) *If H is an (α, β) -regular set of G , then $0 \leq \alpha \leq |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq \mathcal{L}(H)$.*

(2) If G is abelian, then H is an (α, β) -regular set of G if and only if $0 \leq \alpha \leq |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq \mathcal{L}(H)$.

Proof. (1) Let H be an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$ for some subset S of G and $\mathcal{L}(H) = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap Hx_0|$, where $x_0 \in G \setminus H$. Then, $S \cap Hx_0 \subseteq \text{NSq}(G) \cap Hx_0$. Thus, by Lemma 2.4, $0 \leq \beta = |S \cap Hx_0| \leq \mathcal{L}(H)$. As $\alpha = |S \cap H|$, we have $\alpha \leq |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$.

(2) Now, assume that G is abelian. By Lemma 2.6 we only need to prove that H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set of G and a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set of G . Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|$. Then by choosing S' to be an arbitrary subset of $\text{NSq}(G) \cap H$ of size α we have that H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S')$. Let $0 \leq \beta \leq \mathcal{L}(H)$. Remark that, by the assumption each coset of H in G , except for H , has at least $\mathcal{L}(H)$ non-square elements. So by choosing $\mathcal{L}(H)$ non-square elements of each coset of H , besides H , we are able to construct $\mathcal{L}(H)$ pairwise disjoint square-free subsets T_i , for $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{L}(H)$, such that for each i , $T_i \cup \{e\}$ is a right transversal of H in G . As G is abelian, $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\beta} T_i$ is a normal subset of G . So by Lemma 2.4, H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set of G . ■

Remark 2.10. *It is worth mentioning that if H is an (α, β) -regular set of an abelian group G , then there are $\binom{\mathcal{L}(H)}{\beta} \binom{|G:H|}{|\text{NSq}(G) \cap H|}$ subsets S such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, according to the proof of Theorem 2.9.*

As we mentioned before, Theorem 2.9 leads to the following Corollary which makes us conclude Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, ([17, Theorem 3.1] and [18, Theorem 3.1]), more simply and concisely, and so all the other results related to the abelian groups in the same papers can be obtained more easily.

Corollary 2.11. *Let G be an abelian group and H be a subgroup of G . Then H is a perfect code of G if and only if $\text{Sq}(G) \subseteq H$ or $\text{NSq}(G) \cap H \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.9, H is a perfect code of G if and only if $\mathcal{L}(H) \geq 1$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{L}(H) \geq 1$ if and only if $\text{Sq}(G) \subseteq H$ or $\text{NSq}(G) \cap H \neq \emptyset$, by Theorem 2.8. ■

Observe that if G is an abelian group and H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set of G , then for all $0 \leq b \leq \beta$, H is a $(0, b)$ -regular set of G . Now, we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 2.12. *Let G be an abelian group and H be a subgroup of G . Then H is not a $(0, 2)$ -regular set of G if and only if one of the following occurs:*

- (1) $H \subsetneq \text{Sq}(G)$;
- (2) H is trivial and G is an elementary abelian group;
- (3) $G \cong H \times K$, where $H \cong C_2$ and K has at least one non-trivial square element.

Proof. Suppose that H is not a $(0, 2)$ -regular set of G . Theorem 2.9 implies that $\mathcal{L}(H) = 0$ or 1 . If $\mathcal{L}(H) = 0$, then $\text{Sq}(G) \not\subseteq H$ and $\text{NSq}(G) \cap H = \emptyset$, by Theorem 2.8. Thus, $H \subsetneq \text{Sq}(G)$. Now, assume that $\mathcal{L}(H) = 1$. By Theorem 2.8, we get the following cases:

Case 1: $\mathcal{L}(H) = |H| = 1$.

In this case, $\text{Sq}(G) \subseteq H = \{e\}$ and so every non-trivial element of G is non-square, implying that the order of each non-trivial element is 2. So G is an elementary abelian 2-group.

Case 2: $\mathcal{L}(H) = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H| = 1$.

Let $\text{NSq}(G) \cap H = \{x\}$. As $x^3 \in \text{NSq}(G) \cap H$, we conclude that $x = x^3$ and so the order of x is 2. If $y \in H \setminus \{x\}$, then y is a square and so $xy \in \text{NSq}(G) \cap H$, which implies that $y = e$. Therefore, $H = \{e, x\} \cong C_2$. We may assume that $G = G_0 \times G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k \times A$, where $k \geq 0$ and $G_i \cong C_{2^{\alpha_i}}$, for some integers $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k$, and A is the Hall 2'-subgroup of G . Let $x = (l_0, l_1, \dots, l_k, a)$, where $a \in A$ and $l_i \in G_i$, for $i = 0, \dots, k$. As $x^2 = e$, we conclude that $a = e$ and $o(l_j) \leq 2$, for each $j = 0, \dots, k$. As x is non-square, there exists $0 \leq i_0 \leq k$ such that l_{i_0} is non-square, and so l_{i_0} is a generator of G_{i_0} of order 2. So without loss of generality, we may assume that $i_0 = 0$ and $c = l_{i_0} = l_0$. Then $G_0 = \{e, c\}$ and $x = (c, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k, e)$. Setting $K = \{e\} \times G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k \times A$, we have $H \cap K$ is trivial and consequently, $G = H \times K$. ■

Theorem 2.13. *Let G be an abelian group and H be a subgroup of G . Then H is not a $(0, 3)$ -regular set of G if and only if one of the following occurs:*

- (1) $H \subsetneq \text{Sq}(G)$;
- (2) G is an elementary abelian 2-group and $|H| \leq 2$;
- (3) $G \cong H \times K$, where $H \cong C_2$ and K has at least one non-trivial square element;
- (4) $G \cong \mathbf{E}_2(G) \times T$, where $T \cong C_4$, and H is the subgroup of order 2 of T ;
- (5) $G \cong \mathbf{E}_2(G) \times K$ and $H = \langle x, y \rangle$ where $x = (m, k_1)$ and $y = (m, k_2)$, such that $e \neq m \in \mathbf{E}_2(G)$ and $k_1, k_2 \in K$ have orders at most 2.
- (6) H is generated by a non-square element of order 4;

Proof. Suppose that H is not a $(0, 3)$ -regular set of G . Theorem 2.9 implies that $\mathcal{L}(H) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. If $\mathcal{L}(H) = 0$ or 1 , then the result is the same as the previous theorem and H satisfies one of the Cases (1)-(3). So suppose that $\mathcal{L}(H) = 2$.

First, assume that $\text{Sq}(G) \subseteq H$, hence $\mathcal{L}(H) = |H| = 2$. In this case, if $|\text{Sq}(G)| = 1$, then $G \cong C_2^\alpha$, for some α and H is any subgroup of G of order 2, which is Case (2). If $|\text{Sq}(G)| = 2$, then, as all elements in the Hall 2'-subgroup of G are square, we deduce that $G = \mathbf{E}_2(G) \times T$, where $T \cong C_4$ and H is the unique subgroup of order 2 of T , which is Case (4).

Now, suppose that $\mathcal{L}(H) = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H| = 2$. Let $\text{NSq}(G) \cap H = \{x, y\}$. Then $x^3 \in H$ is a non-square element. Therefore, either $x^3 = x$ or $x^3 = y$.

- First, assume $x^3 = x$, and so $o(x) = 2$. Let $z \in H \setminus \{x, y\}$. Then, $xz \in \text{NSq}(G) \cap H$ and hence $xz = x$ or $xz = y$. Thus, either $z = e$ or $z = x^{-1}y$, which means that $|H| = 4$. As $o(x) = 2$ and x is non-square, then $H \cong C_2 \times C_2$. Assume $G = G_0 \times G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n \times A$, where $n \geq 0$ and $G_i \cong C_{2^{\alpha_i}}$, for some integers $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$, and A is the Hall $2'$ -subgroup of G . Let $x = (l_0, l_1, \dots, l_n, a)$, where $a \in A$ and $l_i \in G_i$, for $i = 0, \dots, n$. As $x^2 = e$, we conclude that $a = e$ and $o(l_j) \leq 2$, for each j . Since x is non-square, there exists $0 \leq i_0 \leq n$ such that l_{i_0} is a non-square element of G_{i_0} , and so l_{i_0} is the generator of $G_{i_0} \cong C_2$. As $G = \mathbf{E}_2(G) \times K$, for some subgroup K of G , we conclude that there exists $0 \neq m \in \mathbf{E}_2(G)$ and $k_1 \in K$ such that $x = (m, k_1)$, where $o(k_1) \leq 2$. Now, consider $z = x^{-1}y \in H$. As z is square and it has order 2 then $z = (e, k) \in \mathbf{E}_2(G) \times K$, for some $k \in K$ of order 2. So $y = xz = (m, k_1k)$ has the form described in Case (5).

- Now, assume $x^3 = y$. If $z \in H \setminus \{e, x, y\}$, then, as xz is non-square and z is non-trivial, we deduce that $xz = x^3 = y$ and so $z = x^2$. Therefore H is the cyclic group of order 4, generated by x , which is Case (6). ■

Remark 2.14. *Note that in Case (6), H is not necessarily a direct factor of G . For instance, $G = C_2 \times C_8$ and $H = \langle (1, 2) \rangle$ is satisfying Case (6), however H is not a direct factor of G .*

3. DIHEDRAL GROUPS

Throughout this section we assume $n \geq 3$, $G = D_{2n} = \langle a, b : a^n = b^2 = e, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$, the dihedral group of order $2n$. We note that $o(a^i b) = 2$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $a^s b a^k b^l = a^{s-k} b^{l+1}$, for all integers s, k and l . The subgroups of G (see for example [2]) are as follows:

- the cyclic subgroup $H = \langle a^t \rangle$, where t divides n ; and so $[G : H] = 2t$;
- the subgroup $H = \langle a^t, a^s b \rangle$, where t divides n and $0 \leq s \leq t - 1$; which is isomorphic to C_2 (when $t = n$), $C_2 \times C_2$ (when n is even and $t = n/2$) or the dihedral group $D_{2n/t}$ (when t is a proper divisor of n and $t \neq n/2$). In this case $[G : H] = t$.

We use the above notations without further references.

Lemma 3.1. [15] *Suppose that $n = 2m$, for some positive integer $m > 3$. Then $G = D_{2n}$ has $m + 3$ conjugacy classes as follows: $\{e\}$, $\{a^m\}$, $b^G = \{a^{2j}b : 0 \leq j \leq m-1\}$, $(ab)^G = \{a^{2j+1}b : 0 \leq j \leq m-1\}$, $\{a^i, a^{-i}\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq m-1$.*

Lemma 3.2. [15] *Suppose that n is an odd integer. Then $G = D_{2n}$ has $(n+3)/2$ conjugacy classes as follows: $\{e\}$, $b^G = \{a^j b : 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$, $\{a^i, a^{-i}\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2$.*

Note that, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $a^i b$ is a non-square element in D_{2n} . If n is odd, then a^i is square, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Also, if n is even, then a^{2i} is square and a^{2i+1} is non-square, for $i = 0, \dots, (n-2)/2$.

Example 3.3. For comprehending the topic, we describe some examples of an (α, β) -regular set of dihedral group $G = D_8$:

- Let $H = \langle a^2 b \rangle$. Then $[G : H] = 4$ and the set of cosets of H in G is $\{H, Ha, Ha^2, Ha^3\}$. In this case, $\text{NSq}(G) \cap H = \{a^2 b\}$, $\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha = Ha = \{a, ab\}$, $\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^2 = \{b\}$ and $\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^3 = Ha^3 = \{a^3, a^3 b\}$. So, $\mathcal{L}(H) = 1$ and by Theorem 2.9, $\alpha, \beta \leq 1$. Then, $S = b^G \cup (ab)^G$ is a normal square-free subset of G and $|S \cap Hx| = 1$, for all $x \in G$. By Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5, H is a $(1, 1)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. For more details see Figure 1(a),

- Let $H = \langle a^2, ab \rangle$. Then $[G : H] = 2$. Note that, $(ab)^G$ is the only normal square-free subset of G contained in H and the square-free conjugacy classes of G contained in $G \setminus H$ are $\{a, a^3\}$ and b^G . Set $S'' = \emptyset, \{a, a^3\}$ or b^G or $\{a, a^3\} \cup b^G$. Setting $S = (ab)^G \cup S''$, we have H is a $(2, |S''|)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. For instance H is a $(2, 2)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, (ab)^G \cup b^G)$, which can be seen in Figure (b).

In these graphs, for both examples, the vertices in H are blank, the edges between two vertices in H are dotted line and the edges between a vertex of H and a vertex of $G \setminus H$ are bold.




FIGURE 1. (a) $(1,1)$ -regular set

(b) $(2,2)$ -regular set

Theorem 3.4. Let $H = \langle a^s b \rangle$, where $0 \leq s \leq n-1$, be a subgroup of $G = D_{2n}$. Then H is an (α, β) -regular set of G , if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$.

Proof. Let H be an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, for some subset S of G . Note that $Ha^k = \{a^k, a^{s-k}b\}$, for each integer k . Therefore, $\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^{2i} = \{a^{s-2i}b\}$, for each integer i . Also, if n is even, then $\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^{2i+1} = \{a^{2i+1}, a^{s-2i-1}b\}$ and if n is odd, then $\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^{2i+1} = \{a^{s-(2i+1)}b\}$, for each integer i . Therefore, by Theorem 2.9, $\alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta \leq \mathcal{L}(H) = 1$. Now, we claim that if $\beta = 1$,

then $\alpha = 1$. Let $\beta = 1$. By Lemma 2.4, $a^{s-2i}b \in S$, for each i . Therefore, as S is a normal subset of G , by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we get that $a^s b \in (a^{s-2i}b)^G \subseteq S$ (as the parity of s and $s - 2i$ are the same), and so $\alpha = |S \cap H| = 1$.

If $\beta = 0$, then, by Lemma 2.4, for each $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, we have $|S \cap Ha^i| = 0$. So $a^{s-2}b \notin S$. As $a^s b \in (a^{s-2}b)^G$ and $S \cap (a^{s-2}b)^G = \emptyset$, it follows that $\alpha = 0$ and $S = \emptyset$, which is excluded. Thus, the only possibility is $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$.

In addition, by taking $S = (ab)^G \cup b^G$, we get that H is a $(1, 1)$ -regular set of G . \blacksquare

Theorem 3.5. *Let n be an odd integer, $t > 1$ a proper divisor of n , and $0 \leq s \leq t - 1$. Let $H = \langle a^t, a^s b \rangle$ be a subgroup of $G = D_{2n}$. Then H is an (α, β) -regular set of G if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) = (|H|/2, |H|/2)$.*

Proof. By the assumption, H is isomorphic to $D_{2n/t}$. Let H be an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, for some subset $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq G$. Since $S \subseteq \text{NSq}(G) = b^G$ and S is normal, we get that $S = b^G$. Note that $H = \{a^{rt}, a^{rt+s}b \mid \text{where } 1 \leq r \leq n/t\}$ and so for every integer $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $l \in \{0, 1\}$, $Ha^k b^l = \{a^{rt+k}b^l, a^{s+rt-k}b^{l+1} \mid \text{where } 1 \leq r \leq n/t\}$. We can see that $|b^G \cap Ha^k b^l| = |H|/2$. Therefore, $\alpha = |S \cap H| = |b^G \cap H| = |H|/2$, and $\beta = |S \cap Hx| = |b^G \cap Hx| = |H|/2$, for each $x \in G \setminus H$. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.6. *Let $n = 2m \geq 4$, for some integer m , $t > 1$ is a proper divisor of n and $0 \leq s \leq t - 1$. Suppose $H = \langle a^t, a^s b \rangle$ is a subgroup of $G = D_{2n}$. Then H is an (α, β) -regular set of G , $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$, if and only if one of the following occurs:*

- 1) $\alpha \in \{0, |H|/2\}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq |H|$, when $t = 2$ and m is odd;
- 2) $\alpha \in \{0, |H|/2\}$ and $0 \leq \beta = 2\gamma \leq |H|$, for some integer γ , when $t = 2$ and m is even;
- 3) $(\alpha, \beta) = (|H|/2, |H|/2)$, when $t > 2$ is even;
- 4) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \{(\eta, \zeta), (\eta + m/t, \zeta + m/t), (\eta + 2m/t, \zeta + 2m/t) : 0 \leq \eta, \zeta \leq m/t\}$, when m is odd and t is a divisor of m ;
- 5) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \{(2\eta, \zeta), (2\eta + m/t, \zeta + m/t), (2\eta + 2m/t, \zeta + 2m/t) : 0 \leq \eta \leq m/2t, 0 \leq \zeta \leq m/t\}$, when m is even and t is odd.

Proof. For getting the result, first we assume that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, for some subset $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq G$ and we find some restrictions on (α, β) . Then for each (α, β) satisfying those restrictions we give a normal square-free subset S such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$.

By the assumption, $H = \{a^{rt}, a^{rt+s}b \mid \text{where } 1 \leq r \leq n/t\}$ is isomorphic to $D_{2n/t}$ or $C_2 \times C_2$. We consider the following cases:

Case 1. Let t be even.

Subcase 1.1. Let $t = 2$. Then $[G : H] = 2$. Thus, H is normal in G and so by the proof of Lemma 2.6, we get that H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S \cap H)$ and H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S \cap (G \setminus H))$.

First, we find the possibilities for α and β . Note that, $H = \langle a^t, a^s b \rangle$ contains $(a^s b)^G$, which is the only normal square-free subset of G contained in H , and $|(a^s b)^G| = |H|/2$. Then $S' = S \cap H$ either is the empty-set or is equal to $(a^s b)^G$ and so $\alpha = |S \cap H| \in \{0, |H|/2\}$. Also, as $|G : H| = 2$, we have $0 \leq \beta = |S \cap Hx| = |S \cap (G \setminus H)| \leq |G \setminus H| = |H|$, for every $x \in G \setminus H$.

In the sequel, we show that if m is odd, then for each $\beta \in \{0, \dots, |H|\}$, there exists a normal square-free subset of size β in $G \setminus H$, and if m is even, then for each even integer $\beta \in \{0, \dots, |H|\}$ there exists a normal square-free subset of size β in $G \setminus H$. In both cases, we denote them by S_β .

- Assume that m is odd. Then the square-free conjugacy classes of G contained in $G \setminus H$ are as follows: $\{a^m\}$, $(a^{s+1}b)^G$ (of size $|H|/2$) and $\mathcal{S}_i = \{a^{2i+1}, a^{-(2i+1)}\}$, where $0 \leq i < (|H|/2 - 1)/2$. If $0 \leq \beta \leq |H|/2$ is odd, then let $S_\beta = \{a^m\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{(\beta-1)/2} \mathcal{S}_i \right)$. If $0 \leq \beta < |H|/2$ is even, then let $S_\beta = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\beta/2} \mathcal{S}_i$.

Now, let $\beta > |H|/2$ and $\gamma = \beta - |H|/2$. If γ is even, then let $S_\beta = (a^{s+1}b)^G \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\gamma/2} \mathcal{S}_i \right)$. If γ is odd, then let $S_\beta = \{a^m\} \cup (a^{s+1}b)^G \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{(\gamma-1)/2} \mathcal{S}_i \right)$.

Let $0 \leq \beta \leq |H|$. So, in this case H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S_\beta)$ and H is a $(|H|/2, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, (ab)^G \cup S_\beta)$, (except for $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$), which is Part (1) of the statement of the theorem.

- Suppose that m is even. Then $a^m \in H$ and the square-free conjugacy classes of G contained in $G \setminus H$ are as follows: $(a^{s+1}b)^G$ (of size $|H|/2$) and $\mathcal{S}_i = \{a^{2i+1}, a^{-(2i+1)}\}$, where $0 \leq i < (|H|/2 - 1)/2$. As S is a union of some square-free conjugacy classes of G and all conjugacy classes of $G \setminus H$ have even size, $\beta = |S \cap (G \setminus H)|$ is even. Hence, by similar discussion as above for every even number $0 \leq \beta \leq |H|$ we can construct a normal square-free subset S_β of size β .

Let $0 \leq \beta \leq |H|$ be even. So, in this case H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S_\beta)$ and H is a $(|H|/2, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, (ab)^G \cup S_\beta)$, (except for $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$), which is Part (2) of the statement of the theorem.

Subcase 1.2 Let $t \geq 4$. We note that $Ha^k = \{a^{rt+k}, a^{s+rt-k}b : \text{where } 1 \leq r \leq n/t\}$. In this case, $|\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^{2l}| = |H|/2$, $|\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^{2l+1}| = |H|$, where $0 \leq l \leq m - 1$. Since $Ha^r b = Ha^{s-r}$, for each $0 \leq r \leq n - 1$, we get that $\mathcal{L}(H) = |H|/2$. Thus, according to Theorem 2.9, $0 \leq \alpha \leq |H|/2$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq |H|/2$. In the sequel, we prove that the only possibility is $\alpha = \beta = |H|/2$.

Note that $\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^{2l} \subseteq (a^s b)^G$ and if $\beta = 0$, then $S \cap Ha^2 = \emptyset$. By the normality of S , we have $S \cap (a^s b)^G = \emptyset$. So $S \cap H = \emptyset$, implies that $\alpha = 0$, which is excluded, as $S \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\beta = |S \cap Ha^2| \geq 1$. As $\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^2 \subseteq (a^s b)^G$, by the normality of S , we conclude that $(a^s b)^G \subseteq S$. On the other hand, $\text{NSq}(G) \cap H \subseteq (a^s b)^G \subseteq S$. Thus, $\alpha = |S \cap H| = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H| = |H|/2$ and $\beta = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^2| = |H|/2$. So the only possibility is $\alpha = \beta = |H|/2$. On the other hand, taking $S = (a^s b)^G \cup (a^{s+1} b)^G = b^G \cup (ab)^G$, leads to the conclusion that $|S \cap Ha^k| = |H|/2$, for each $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ and so H is a $(|H|/2, |H|/2)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, which is Part (3) of the statement of the theorem.

Case 2. Let t be odd. Thus,

$$\text{NSq}(G) \cap H = \{a^{kt}, a^{-kt} : \text{where } 1 \leq k \leq m/t \text{ is odd}\} \cup (b^G \cap H) \cup ((ab)^G \cap H).$$

In Subcases 2.1 and 2.2, we will determine (α, β) , when m is even and odd, respectively. Before that, we prove the following claims, in order to make the subcases easier to follow. Let $A_i = \{a^{it}b, a^{it+1}b, \dots, a^{(i+1)t-1}b\}$, where $0 \leq i \leq (2m/t) - 1$.

Claim 1: $|b^G \cap H| = |(ab)^G \cap H| = m/t$.

• Let s be odd. Then $rt + s$ is even if and only if r is odd; and $rt + s$ is odd if and only if r is even. Thus by Lemma 3.1, $b^G \cap H = \{a^{(2i+1)t+s}b : 0 \leq i \leq (m/t) - 1\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{(m/t)-1} A_{2i+1}$ and $(ab)^G \cap H = \{a^{2it+s}b : 0 \leq i \leq (m/t) - 1\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{(m/t)-1} A_{2i}$. We note that, $b^G \cap A_{2i+1} \cap H = \{a^{(2i+1)t+s}b\}$ and $(ab)^G \cap A_{2i} \cap H = \{a^{2it+s}b\}$, for each i .

• Now, let s be even. Then, similarly, $b^G \cap H \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{(m/t)-1} A_{2i}$, $(ab)^G \cap H \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{(m/t)-1} A_{2i+1}$, $b^G \cap A_{2i} \cap H = \{a^{2it+s}b\}$ and $(ab)^G \cap A_{2i+1} \cap H = \{a^{(2i+1)t+s}b\}$, for $0 \leq i \leq (m/t) - 1$.

Thus, in both cases, $|b^G \cap H| = |(ab)^G \cap H| = m/t$, as claimed. \square

Claim 2: $|b^G \cap Hx| = |(ab)^G \cap Hx| = m/t$, for every $x \in G \setminus H$.

To prove our claim we just need to show that $b^G \cap (G \setminus H) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m/t} T_j$ and $(ab)^G \cap (G \setminus H) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m/t} \mathcal{T}_j$, for some square-free pairwise disjoint subsets T_j and \mathcal{T}_j such that for each $1 \leq j \leq m/t$, $T_j \cup \{e\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_j \cup \{e\}$ are right transversals of H in G . In the sequel of this paragraph, we take i to be an integer in $\{0, \dots, (m/t) - 1\}$. Remind that $H = \{a^{rt}, a^{rt+s}b \mid \text{where } 1 \leq r \leq n/t\}$. As $|H \cap A_i| = 1$, for each $0 \leq i \leq (2m/t) - 1$, we have $|(A_{2i} \cup A_{2i+1}) \setminus H| = 2(t-1)$ and so $|(A_{2i} \cup A_{2i+1}) \cap b^G \setminus H| = |(A_{2i} \cup A_{2i+1}) \cap (ab)^G \setminus H| = t-1$. Set $T_{i+1} = (A_{2i} \cup A_{2i+1}) \cap b^G \setminus H$ and $\mathcal{T}_{i+1} = (A_{2i} \cup A_{2i+1}) \cap (ab)^G \setminus H$. Then it is enough to prove that if $x_1, x_2 \in T_{i+1}$ or $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{i+1}$ are distinct elements, then $Hx_1 \neq Hx_2$. On the contrary, assume that $Hx_1 = Hx_2$. If $x_1, x_2 \in A_k$, where $k \in \{2i, 2i+1\}$, then $x_1 = a^{kt+r_1}b$ and $x_2 = a^{kt+r_2}b$, for some $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq t-1$. Thus $a^{r_2-r_1} \in H$, which is not possible. If $x_1 \in A_{2i}$ and $x_2 \in A_{2i+1}$, then $x_1 = a^{2it+r_1}b$ and $x_2 = a^{(2i+1)t+r_2}b$, for some $0 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq t-1$. Hence, $a^{t+r_2-r_1} \in H$, which means that $a^{r_2-r_1} \in H$. It follows that $r_1 = r_2$. On the other hand, the parities of $2it + r_1$ and

$(2i+1)t+r_1$ are the same, as $a^{2it+r_1}b$ and $a^{(2i+1)t+r_1}b$ both are in the same conjugacy class b^G or $(ab)^G$. This implies that the parities of r_1 and $t+r_1$ are the same. Thus, t is even, a contradiction. So the proof is complete. \square

Claim 3: For each $1 \leq \beta \leq m/t$, let $\Omega_\beta = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\beta-1} \mathfrak{S}_i$, where $\mathfrak{S}_i = \{a^j, a^{-j} : it+1 \leq j \leq (i+1)t-1, \text{ where } j \text{ is odd}\}$. Then, H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, \Omega_\beta)$.

First, we prove that $\mathfrak{S}_i \cup \{e\}$ is a right transversal of H in G , for $0 \leq i \leq m/t-1$. We show that for any pair $it+1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq (i+1)t-1$, we have $Ha^{j_1} \neq Ha^{-j_2}$, and if $Ha^{j_1} = Ha^{j_2}$, then $j_1 = j_2$. If $Ha^{j_1} = Ha^{-j_2}$, then $a^{j_1+j_2} \in H$ and so $j_1+j_2 = kt$, for some integer k . On the other hand, j_1+j_2 is even and t is odd, implying that t divides $(j_1+j_2)/2$, a contradiction, as $j_1/2, j_2/2 \in [(it+1)/2, ((i+1)t-1)/2]$. If $Ha^{j_1} = Ha^{j_2}$, then t divides j_1-j_2 , implying that $j_1 = j_2$. Also $Hx \neq H$, for $x \in \mathfrak{S}_i$. Therefore, as $|\mathfrak{S}_i| = t-1$ we conclude that $\mathfrak{S}_i \cup \{e\}$ is a right transversal of H in G . Thus, as \mathfrak{S}_i is a normal square-free subset of G , by Lemma 2.4, H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, \Omega_\beta)$, for all $0 \leq \beta \leq m/t$, as wanted. \square

In the following subcases, we use the notation Ω_β which is defined in Claim 3, without further references. Also, by Ω_0 we mean the empty set.

Subcase 2.1. Let m be odd. Now we consider the following cases for S .

- Suppose that $S \cap (b^G \cup (ab)^G) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $S \subseteq T = \{a^m\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{(m-3)/2} \{a^{(2i+1)}, a^{-(2i+1)}\} \right) = \{a^m\} \cup \Omega_{m/t} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{((m/t)-3)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right)$. Remind that $\{a^m\}$ and $\{a^{tk}, a^{-tk}\}$, for every odd integer $k < m/t$, are square-free conjugacy classes of G contained in H . Then $0 \leq \alpha = |S \cap H| \leq |T \cap H| = \left| \{a^m\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{((m/t)-3)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right) \right| = m/t$ and $0 \leq \beta = |S \cap Hx| \leq |\Omega_{m/t} \cap Hx| = m/t$, for each $x \in G \setminus H$, by Claim 3.

Now, we show that, in this case, for each $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, \dots, m/t\}$, there exists a normal square-free subset S such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. If α is an odd number less than or equal to m/t , then by setting $S_\alpha = \{a^m\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{(\alpha-3)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right)$, we get that H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S_\alpha)$. Similarly, if α is an even number less than m/t , then set $S_\alpha = \bigcup_{j=0}^{(\alpha-2)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\}$. Now, let $S = S_\alpha \cup \Omega_\beta$. If $S \neq \emptyset$, then H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$.

- Let $b^G \subseteq S$ and $S \cap (ab)^G = \emptyset$. Therefore, $b^G \subseteq S \subseteq T = b^G \cup \{a^m\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{(m-3)/2} \{a^{(2i+1)}, a^{-(2i+1)}\} \right) = b^G \cup \{a^m\} \cup \Omega_{m/t} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{((m/t)-3)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right)$. By Claim 1, $m/t = |b^G \cap H| \leq |S \cap H| =$

$\alpha \leq \left| \left(b^G \cup \{a^m\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{((m/t)-3)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right) \right) \cap H \right| = 2m/t$ and by Claims 2 and 3, $m/t = |b^G \cap Hx| \leq |S \cap Hx| = \beta \leq |(\Omega_{m/t} \cup b^G) \cap Hx| = 2m/t$, for $x \in G \setminus H$.

Now, for each $m/t \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2m/t$, we give a normal square-free subset S of G (with given condition) such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. If $\alpha - (m/t)$ is odd, then let

$$S = \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{(\alpha-(m/t)-3)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right) \cup \{a^m\} \cup b^G \cup \Omega_{\beta-(m/t)}.$$

If $\alpha - (m/t)$ is even, then let

$$S = \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{(\alpha-(m/t)-2)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right) \cup b^G \cup \Omega_{\beta-(m/t)}.$$

By Claims 1,2,3, we have $|S \cap H| = \alpha$ and $|S \cap Hx| = \beta$, for each $x \in G \setminus H$.

- If $(ab)^G \subseteq S$ and $S \cap b^G = \emptyset$, we have the same possibilities for α and β as the above case.
- Suppose $b^G \cup (ab)^G \subseteq S$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} b^G \cup (ab)^G \subseteq S \subseteq T &= b^G \cup (ab)^G \cup \{a^m\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{(m-3)/2} \{a^{2i+1}, a^{-(2i+1)}\} \right) \\ &= b^G \cup (ab)^G \cup \{a^m\} \cup \Omega_{m/t} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{((m/t)-3)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the above, by Claims 1,2,3, we have $2m/t \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3m/t$. Now, for each $2m/t \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3m/t$, we give a normal square-free subset S of G such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. If $\alpha - (2m/t)$ is odd, then let S be the union of $(\alpha - (2m/t) - 1)/2$ sets of type $\{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\}$ with $\Omega_{\beta-(2m/t)} \cup b^G \cup (ab)^G \cup \{a^m\}$. If $\alpha - (2m/t)$ is even, then let S be the union of $(\alpha - (2m/t))/2$ sets of type $\{a^{-t(2j+1)}, a^{t(2j+1)}\}$ with $\Omega_{\beta-(2m/t)} \cup b^G \cup (ab)^G$, as wanted.

Therefore, we see that in the case that t and m are odd, all the possibilities for (α, β) are as described in Part (4) of the statement of the theorem.

Subcase 2.2 Let m be even. Similarly to the above we consider the following cases for S .

Assume that $S \cap (b^G \cup (ab)^G) = \emptyset$. Thus,

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{m/2-1} \{a^{2i+1}, a^{-(2i+1)}\} = \Omega_{m/t} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{(m/(2t))-1} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right),$$

As $H \cap \Omega_{m/t} = \emptyset$, we deduce that $0 \leq \alpha = |S \cap H| \leq m/t$ is even and $0 \leq \beta = |S \cap Hx| \leq |\Omega_{m/t} \cap Hx| = m/t$, by Claim 3, for $x \in G \setminus H$. Hence, setting $S = \Omega_\beta \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{\alpha/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right)$, H is an (α, β) -regular set of G , for all $0 \leq \beta \leq m/t$ and each even numbers $0 \leq \alpha \leq m/t$.

Now, assume that $S \cap (b^G \cup (ab)^G) \neq \emptyset$. First, suppose that S contains exactly one of them. Without loss of generality, suppose that $b^G \subseteq S$. Therefore, $b^G \subseteq S \subseteq T = b^G \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{(m-2)/2} \{a^{(2i+1)}, a^{-(2i+1)}\} \right) = b^G \cup \Omega_{m/t} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{((m/t)-2)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right)$. By Claim 1, $m/t = |b^G \cap H| \leq |S \cap H| = \alpha \leq \left| \left(b^G \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{((m/t)-2)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right) \right) \cap H \right| = 2m/t$ and α is even. Also, by Claims 2 and 3, $m/t = |b^G \cap Hx| \leq |S \cap Hx| = \beta \leq |(b^G \cup \Omega_{m/t}) \cap Hx| = 2m/t$, for $x \in G \setminus H$.

Now, for each $m/t \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2m/t$, where α is even, we give a normal square-free subset S of G (with given condition) such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. Note that, as α is even in this case, we have $\alpha - (m/t)$ is even. Let S be a union of $(\alpha - (m/t))/2$ conjugacy classes of type $\{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\}$ with $b^G \cup \Omega_{\beta-(m/t)}$. Hence, H is an (α, β) -regular set of G . For the case that $(ab)^G \subseteq S$ and $b^G \cap S = \emptyset$, we have the same possibilities for (α, β) .

Let $b^G \cup (ab)^G \subseteq S$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} b^G \cup (ab)^G \subseteq S \subseteq T &= b^G \cup (ab)^G \cup \{a^m\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{(m-2)/2} \{a^{(2i+1)}, a^{-(2i+1)}\} \right) \\ &= b^G \cup (ab)^G \cup \{a^m\} \cup \Omega_{m/t} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{((m/t)-2)/2} \{a^{t(2j+1)}, a^{-t(2j+1)}\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the above, by Claims 1,2,3, we have $2m/t \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3m/t$, where α is even. Now, for each $2m/t \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3m/t$, where α is even, we give a normal square-free subset S of G such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. Noting that $\alpha - (2m/t)$ is even, let S be the union of $(\alpha - (2m/t))/2$ conjugacy classes of type $\{a^{-t(2j+1)}, a^{t(2j+1)}\}$ with $\Omega_{\beta-(2m/t)} \cup b^G \cup (ab)^G$, as wanted.

Therefore, we see that in the case that t is odd and m is even, all the possibilities for (α, β) are as described in Part (5) of the statement of the theorem. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.7. *Let $n \geq 3$ be odd and t be a divisor of n . Let $H = \langle a^t \rangle$ be a subgroup of $G = D_{2n}$. Then H is an (α, β) -regular set of G if and only if $t = 1$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, |H|)$.*

Proof. Noting that $\text{NSq}(G) = b^G$ and, as the connection set $S \neq \emptyset$, we get that $S = b^G$. So $\alpha = |S \cap H| = 0$. If $t > 1$, then $\beta \leq \mathcal{L}(H) \leq |\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha| = 0$, which is excluded. Thus, $t = 1$ and $\beta = |H|/2$, as desired. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.8. *Let $n = 2m$, for some positive integer $m \geq 2$ and t be a divisor of n . Let $H = \langle a^t \rangle$ be a subgroup of $G = D_{2n}$. Then H is an (α, β) -regular set of G , where $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$, if and only if one of the following occurs:*

- 1) $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, |H|)$, when $t = 2$.
- 2) $0 \leq \alpha \leq |H|/2$ and $\beta \in \{0, |H|/2, |H|\}$, when $t = 1$ and m is odd.
- 3) $\alpha = 2\gamma$, for some $0 \leq \gamma \leq |H|/4$, and $\beta \in \{0, |H|/2, |H|\}$, when $t = 1$ and m is even.
- 4) $0 \leq \alpha \leq |H|/2$ and $\beta \in \{0, |H|/2\}$, when $t > 1$ and m are odd.
- 5) $\alpha = 2\gamma$, for some $0 \leq \gamma \leq |H|/4$, and $\beta \in \{0, |H|/2\}$, when $t > 1$ is odd and m is even.

Proof. Note that in this case H is a normal subgroup of G and so by the proof of Lemma 2.6, H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, for some subset $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq G$ if and only if H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S \cap H)$ and H is a $(0, \beta)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S \cap (G \setminus H))$.

For getting the result, first we assume that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, for some subset $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq G$ and we find some restrictions on (α, β) . Then for each (α, β) satisfying those restrictions we give a normal square-free subset S such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. We consider the following two cases:

Case 1. Assume that t is an even divisor of n . Thus, $H \subseteq \text{Sq}(G)$ and hence $\alpha = 0$. Thus, as $S \neq \emptyset$, we must have $\beta \geq 1$.

First, assume $t > 2$. In this case, $a^2 \notin H$ and $Ha^2 \subseteq \text{Sq}(G)$. So, by Theorem 2.9, $\beta \leq \mathcal{L}(H) \leq |\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^2| = 0$. Therefore, for even integer $t > 2$, H is not an (α, β) -regular set of G .

Now, let $t = 2$. Then $H = \text{Sq}(G)$ and $[G : H] = 4$. Therefore, $G \setminus H = Ha \cup Hb \cup Hab$, where $Hb = b^G$ and $Hab = (ab)^G$. If m is even, then $Ha = \{a^{2j+1}, a^{-(2j+1)} : 0 \leq j \leq m-1\}$, and in case m is odd, $Ha = \{a^{2j+1}, a^{-(2j+1)} : 0 \leq j \leq m-1\} \cup \{a^m\}$. As $\beta \geq 1$, we have $S \cap Hb \neq \emptyset$ and $S \cap Hab \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, by the normality of S we conclude that $Hab \cup Hb \subseteq S$ and since $|S \cap Hg| = \beta$, for each $g \in G \setminus H$, we get that $S = G \setminus H$ and so $\beta = |H|$. It follows that the only possibility is $\beta = |H|$, as described in Part (1) of the theorem.

Case 2. Assume that t is an odd divisor of n . In this case, $\text{NSq}(G) \cap H = H \setminus \langle a^{2t} \rangle$. Then, $0 \leq \alpha = |S \cap H| \leq |\text{NSq}(G) \cap H| = |H|/2$.

In the following we discuss the possibilities for α and for each feasible α we introduce a normal square-free subset of H of size α , say S_α .

- Let m be odd. If $1 \leq \alpha \leq |H|/2$ is odd, then we set S_α to be a union of $\{a^m\}$ with $(\alpha - 1)/2$ sets of type $\{a^{kt}, a^{-kt}\}$, where $k < m/t$ is odd. If $0 \leq \alpha \leq |H|/2$ is even, then we set S_α to be a union of $\alpha/2$ sets of type $\{a^{kt}, a^{-kt}\}$, where $k < m/t$ is odd.

• Let m be even. Then α is even, as $S \cap H \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{((m/t)-2)/2} \{a^{t(2i+1)}, a^{-t(2i+1)}\}$, a union of some square-free conjugacy classes of size 2. Let S_α be a union of $\alpha/2$ sets of type $\{a^{kt}, a^{-kt}\}$, where $k < m/t$ is odd.

Therefore H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S_\alpha)$. Now, in the following two cases we discuss possibilities for β and in each case we give normal square-free subsets S such that H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$.

► Let $t = 1$.

Then $[G : H] = 2$. Therefore, $G \setminus H = Hb = b^G \cup (ab)^G$. By the normality of S we conclude that $S \cap (G \setminus H)$ can be equal to either \emptyset , b^G , $(ab)^G$ or $b^G \cup (ab)^G$. Hence, $\beta = |S \cap (G \setminus H)| \in \{0, |H|/2, |H|\}$.

Thus, setting $S = S_\alpha$ (if $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta = 0$), $S = S_\alpha \cup b^G$ or $S_\alpha \cup b^G \cup (ab)^G$ we have H is an (α, β) -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq |H|/2$ and $\beta \in \{0, |H|/2, |H|\}$. Note that by previous discussion α must be even, when m is even. Thus, we have Parts (2) and (3) of the theorem.

► Let $t > 1$.

Then, $\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^i b = Ha^i b \subseteq b^G \cup (ab)^G$, $|(ab)^G \cap Ha^i b| = |b^G \cap Ha^i b| = |H|/2$ and $|\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^{2i}| = |\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha^{2i+1}| = |H|/2$, for every integer i . Hence, if $\beta \geq 1$, then $b^G \cap Hb \subseteq S$ or $(ab)^G \cap Hb \subseteq S$, implying that $\beta \geq |H|/2$ and as $|\text{NSq}(G) \cap Ha| = |H|/2$, we get that $\beta \leq \mathcal{L}(H) = |H|/2$, yields to $\beta = |H|/2$. Thus, $\beta \in \{0, |H|/2\}$.

Let $\Omega = \{a^k : k \text{ is an odd integer not divided by } t\} \subseteq \text{NSq}(G)$. Then, setting $S = S_\alpha$ (if $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta = 0$) we have H is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$. Let $S = b^G \cup \Omega \cup S_\alpha$. Then, as $|Ha^i \cap S| = |Ha^i \cap \Omega| = |H|/2$, for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, and $|Ha^i b \cap S| = |Ha^i b \cap b^G| = |H|/2$, we have H is an $(\alpha, |H|/2)$ -regular set in $\text{CayS}(G, S)$, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq |H|/2$. Remind that, α is even if m is even. So, we have Parts (4) and (5) of the theorem. ■

Acknowledgement. The authors gratefully thank the referees for the constructive comments and recommendations which definitely help to improve the quality and readability of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Amooshahi, B. Taeri, On Cayley sum graphs of non-abelian groups. *Graphs Combin.*, 32, 17–29 (2016).
- [2] C. R. Stephan, The subgroups of the dihedral group. *Mathematics Magazine*, 48, 107–107 (1975).
- [3] E. A. Bepalov, D. S. Krotov, A. A. Matiushev, K. V. Vorob'ev, Perfect 2-colorings of Hamming graphs, *J. Combin. Des.*, 29, 1–30 (2021).
- [4] R. A. Bailey, P. J. Cameron, A. L. Gavriluyuk, S. V. Goryainov, Equitable partitions of Latin-square graphs, *J. Combin. Des.*, 27, 142–160 (2019).
- [5] J. Borges, J. Rifa, V. A. Zinoviev, On completely regular codes. *Probl. Inf. Transm.*, 55, 1–45 (2019).
- [6] D. M. Cardoso, An overview of (κ, τ) -regular sets and their applications, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 269 2–10 (2019).

- [7] F. R. K. Chung, Diameters and eigenvalues, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2, 187-196 (1998).
- [8] M. DeVos, L. Goddyn, B. Mohar, R. Sámal, Cayley sum graphs and eigenvalues of (3, 6)-fullerenes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 99, 358–369 (2009).
- [9] P. Delsarte, An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory, PhD thesis. Philips Research Laboratories, 1973.
- [10] D. G. Fon-Der-Flaas, Perfect 2-colorings of a hypercube, *Siberian Math. J.*, 48, 740-745 (2007).
- [11] C. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebraic graph theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York (2001).
- [12] J. M. Goethals and H. C. A. Van Tilborg, Uniformly packed codes, *Philips Research Reports* 30, 9–36 (1973).
- [13] H. Huang, B. Xia, S. Zhou, Perfect codes in Cayley graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 32, 548-559 (2018).
- [14] Y. Khaefi, Z. Akhlaghi, B. Khosravi. On the subgroup perfect codes in Cayley graphs, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 91, 1-7 (2022).
- [15] G. James, M. Liebeck, Representations and Characters of Groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001).
- [16] I. Mogilynykh, A. Valyuzhenich, Equitable 2-partitions of the Hamming graphs with the second eigenvalue, *Discrete Math.*, 343 (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2020.112039>.
- [17] X. Ma, M. Feng, K. Wang, Subgroup perfect codes in Cayley sum graphs, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 88, 1447–1461 (2020).
- [18] X. Ma, K. Wang, Y. Yang, Perfect codes in Cayley sum graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 29, 1-12 (2022).
- [19] A. Neumaier, Completely regular codes, *Discrete Math.*, 106/107, 353-360 (1992).
- [20] Y. Wang, B. Xia, S. Zhou, Regular sets in Cayley graphs, *J. Algebr. Comb.*, 57, 547–558 (2023).
- [21] X. Wang, S. Xu, S. Zhou, Regular sets in Cayley graphs, *J. Algebr. Comb.*, 59, 753-759 (2024).
- [22] J. Zhang, S. Zhou, On subgroup perfect codes in Cayley graphs, *European J. Combin.*, 91, 1-5 (2021).
- [23] J. Zhang, Y. Zhu, A note on regular sets in Cayley graphs, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 109, 1-5 (2024).

¹ FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, AMIRKABIR UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY (TEHRAN POLYTECHNIC), 15914 TEHRAN, IRAN.

² SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE (IPM) P.O. BOX:19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

Email address:

(F. Seiedali) fseiedali@gmail.com

(Z. Akhlaghi) z_akhlaghi@aut.ac.ir

(B. Khosravi) khosravibbb@yahoo.com