

A representation embedding for algebras of infinite type

R. Bautista, E. Pérez, L. Salmerón

Abstract

We show that for any finite-dimensional algebra Λ of infinite representation type, over a perfect field, there is a bounded principal ideal domain Γ and a representation embedding from $\Gamma\text{-mod}$ into $\Lambda\text{-mod}$. As an application, we prove a variation of the Brauer-Trall Conjecture II: finite-dimensional algebras of infinite-representation type admit infinite families of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposables with fixed endlength, for infinitely many endlengths.

1 Introduction

In this note, k denotes a perfect field, which will act centrally on every algebra or bimodule we consider. Given any k -algebra Λ , we denote by $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$ (resp. $\Lambda\text{-mod}$) the category of left Λ -modules (resp. finite-dimensional left Λ -modules). We denote by $\Lambda\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{I}}$ the full subcategory of $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ formed by the modules without injective direct summands.

Recall that the *endlength* of a Λ -module M , denoted by $\text{endol}(M)$, is by definition the length of the right $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)^{op}$ -module M . We say that Λ is *discrete* (resp. *e-discrete*) iff for each dimension d (resp. each endlength d) there is only a finite number of distinct isoclasses of indecomposable finite-dimensional Λ -modules M with $\dim_k M = d$ (resp. with $\text{endol}(M) = d$).

In this article, we focus our attention on finite-dimensional algebras Λ with infinite representation type. Since the ground field is perfect, this is equivalent to not being *e-discrete*, see [2]. So, for such an algebra Λ there is at least one number $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and an infinite family of non-isomorphic indecomposable finite-dimensional Λ -modules with endlength d .

Definition 1.1. Given two k -algebras Γ and Λ , a *representation embedding from $\Gamma\text{-mod}$ to $\Lambda\text{-mod}$* is a k -linear functor $H : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$ which is exact, preserves indecomposability, and reflects isomorphism classes.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16G60, 16G20.

Keywords and phrases: representation embedding, representation type, endlength, differential tensor algebra, bocs, reduction functor.

Definition 1.2. Given full subcategories \mathcal{C} of $\Gamma\text{-mod}$ and \mathcal{C}' of $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ we will say that a functor $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ controls endolength of indecomposables iff there are some constants $c, c' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\text{endol}(N) \leq c \times \text{endol}(H(N)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{endol}(H(N)) \leq c' \times \text{endol}(N),$$

for any indecomposable $N \in \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 1.3. A k -algebra \mathbb{Q} is called *minimal* iff it is of one of the following two types:

1. $\mathbb{Q} = T_{D_1 \times D_2}(V)$, where D_1 and D_2 are finite-dimensional division k -algebras and V is a simple D_1 - D_2 -bimodule.
2. $\mathbb{Q} = T_D(V)$, where D is a finite-dimensional division k -algebra and V is a simple D - D -bimodule.

The *coefficient algebras* of such a minimal algebra \mathbb{Q} are D_1 and D_2 in the first case, and D in the second one.

The *coefficient algebras* of a finite-dimensional algebra Λ are, by definition, the opposites of the endomorphism algebras of the simple Λ -modules. In this note we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let Λ be a finite-dimensional k -algebra of infinite representation type. Then, there is a functor which preserves indecomposables, reflects isomorphism classes, and controls endolength of indecomposables of one of the following two types:*

1. $H : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$, where \mathbb{Q} is a minimal algebra of the first type.
2. $H : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$, where \mathbb{Q} is a minimal algebra of the second type.

In both cases, the algebra \mathbb{Q} is of infinite representation type and with coefficient algebras some of the coefficient algebras of Λ . Moreover, the functor H is of the form $Z \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} -$, for some Λ - \mathbb{Q} -bimodule Z which is finitely generated by the right.

From this, we derive:

Theorem 1.5. *Let Λ be a finite-dimensional k -algebra of infinite representation type. Then, there is a bounded principal ideal domain Γ which is not e -discrete and a functor*

$$G : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod},$$

which preserves indecomposables, reflects isomorphism classes, and controls endolength of indecomposables. The functor G is of the form $Z \otimes_{\Gamma} -$, for some Λ - Γ -bimodule Z which is finitely generated by the right.

Then, we will show:

Theorem 1.6. *Let Λ be a finite-dimensional k -algebra of infinite representation type. Then, there is a bounded principal ideal domain Γ which is not e -discrete and a representation embedding which controls endlength of indecomposables*

$$G : \Gamma\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}.$$

Moreover, the functor G is of the form $Z \otimes_{\Gamma} -$, for some Λ - Γ -bimodule Z which is free of finite rank by the right.

As an application of the preceding theorem, we will prove the following variation of “the second Brauer-Thrall conjecture” involving endlength.

Definition 1.7. We say that a k -algebra Σ *satisfies EBTII* if there is an infinite sequence of natural numbers $d_1 < d_2 < \dots$ such that for each one of these numbers d_i there is an infinite family $\{M_j\}_j$ of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable Σ -modules with $\text{endol}(M_j) = d_i$.

Theorem 1.8. *Any finite-dimensional algebra Λ of infinite representation type satisfies EBTII.*

This result is a consequence of the following. From (1.6), we have functors $G : \Gamma\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$ which preserve indecomposables, reflect isomorphism classes, and control endlength of indecomposables, they preserve the property EBTII, by (7.6). These algebras Γ satisfy EBTII, as we shall verify in §7.

In view of the previous theorem, it is very natural to ask whether EBTII holds for a wider class of representation-infinite artin algebras. The problem is open even in the case of finite-dimensional algebras over a non-perfect fields.

The proof of (1.4) relies on the theory of differential tensor algebras (ditalgebras) and reduction functors first developed by the Kiev School of representation theory, see [18] and [16] (see also [11]). For the general background on ditalgebras and their module categories, we refer the reader to [5]. The proofs of the results presented here are largely based on our previous work [3].

As in [13], we study the phenomenon of existence of an infinite family of indecomposables in $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ with fixed endlength by reducing with matrix problems methods to the case of hereditary algebras. In [13], Crawley-Boevey uses the more general techniques of lift pairs which apply to general artin algebras. Here, as in [3], we restrict ourselves to the case of finite-dimensional algebras over perfect fields and use bocses techniques which permit us to exploit the properties of reduction functors.

Representation embeddings have been of great interest in representation theory of algebras, see for instance [7], [6], [12], or [20]. Suffice it to mention that the definition of wildness requires the existence of such an embedding from $k\langle x, y \rangle\text{-mod}$. This work was inspired by Bongartz article [6], which, under the assumption that the ground field is algebraically closed, shows that for any finite-dimensional algebra Λ of infinite representation type, there is a representation embedding $k[x]\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$. Here we show that some version of this holds in the more general situation of perfect fields.

2 Strategy for the proof of theorem (1.4)

We recall from [5] and [3], some basic definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a layered ditalgebra, with layer (R, W) , see [5, §4]. Given $M \in \mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}$, denote by $E_M := \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)^{op}$ its endomorphism algebra. Then, M admits a structure of R - E_M -bimodule, where $m \cdot (f^0, f^1) = f^0(m)$, for $m \in M$ and $(f^0, f^1) \in E_M$. By definition, *the endolength of M* , denoted by $\text{endol}(M)$, is the length of M as a right E_M -module.

As in the case of algebras, the ditalgebra \mathcal{A} is called *e-discrete* iff, for each endolength d , there are only a finite number of distinct isoclasses of finite-dimensional indecomposable \mathcal{A} -modules with endolength d .

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$ be a triangular ditalgebra, with layer (R, W) , over the field k . Then, \mathcal{A} is called *admissible* iff $R \cong D_1 \times \cdots \times D_n$, for some finite-dimensional division k -algebras D_1, \dots, D_n , and W is finitely generated as an R - R -bimodule. *The coefficient algebras of \mathcal{A}* are the algebras D_1, \dots, D_n .

Since our ground field k is perfect, any finite-dimensional k -algebra Λ splits over its radical, thus $\Lambda = S \oplus J$, where J is the radical of Λ . Then, we can consider the Drozd's ditalgebra \mathcal{D} of Λ , see [5, (19.1)], which is an admissible ditalgebra if and only if Λ is basic. In this case, the coefficient algebras of \mathcal{D} coincide with those of Λ .

For the proof of our theorem (1.4), we can assume that Λ is basic, because Morita equivalences are representation embeddings which preserve coefficient algebras and control endolength of indecomposables. The composition of representations embeddings is a representation embedding, see [6].

Remark 2.3. If Λ has infinite representation type, as we mentioned before, it is not *e-discrete*, so there is an fixed endolength d and an infinite family $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ of finite-dimensional non-isomorphic indecomposable Λ -modules with $\text{endol}(M_i) = d$.

Recall that we have the cokernel functor $\text{Cok} : \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$ and the equivalence $\Xi_{\Lambda} : \mathcal{D}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^1(\Lambda)$, studied for instance in [5, §18], whose composition functor permits to transfer many properties from the category $\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}$ to $\Lambda\text{-mod}$. Then, for instance by [5, (18.10)(4)], there is a family of non-isomorphic indecomposable objects $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{P}^2(\Lambda)$ such that $\text{Cok}(X_i) \cong M_i$, for all $i \in I$. So, for each $i \in I$, we can choose $N_i \in \mathcal{D}\text{-mod}$ with $\Xi_{\Lambda}(N_i) \cong X_i$. Thus, $\text{Cok}\Xi_{\Lambda}(N_i) \cong M_i$ and, from [3, (4.4)], there is a natural number d_0 and an infinite subset $I_0 \subseteq I$ such that $\{N_i\}_{i \in I_0}$ is an infinite family of finite-dimensional non-isomorphic indecomposable \mathcal{D} -modules with $\text{endol}(N_i) = d_0$. So we have that \mathcal{D} is not *e-discrete*.

The strategy of the proof of our theorem (1.4) includes first the proof of the following statement for admissible ditalgebras.

Theorem 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible k -ditalgebra which is not *e-discrete*. Then, there is a minimal algebra \mathbf{Q} of infinite representation type and a functor $F : \mathbf{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ which preserves indecomposables and reflects isomorphism classes. Moreover, the coefficient algebras of \mathbf{Q} are some of those of \mathcal{A} .*

This theorem will be proved by a reduction procedure. Then, we apply it to the Drozd's ditalgebra \mathcal{D} of Λ and consider the composition of the functor F provided by (2.4) with $\text{Cok}\Xi_\Lambda : \mathcal{D}\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$ to obtain the functor we are looking for.

The proof of the last theorem will be done by induction using the notion of e -norm of indecomposable finite-dimensional modules discussed in the following.

3 Norms and reduction functors

Suppose that (R, W) is the triangular layer of an admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A} . Assume that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ is the decomposition of the unit of R as a sum of central primitive orthogonal idempotents. Thus, with the notation of (2.2), we have the finite-dimensional division k -algebra $D_i = e_i D_i e_i$, for $i \in [1, n]$.

In the discussion of [3] the following notion of norm is relevant.

Definition 3.1. Assume that \mathcal{A} is an admissible k -ditalgebra with layer (R, W) . Then, for $M \in \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$, its *norm* is the number

$$\|M\| = \dim_k \text{Hom}_R(W_0 \otimes_R M, M).$$

Then, the *length* of M is $\ell_R(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{D_i}(e_i M)$. The *support* of M is the set of idempotents e_i with $e_i M \neq 0$. The \mathcal{A} -module M is called *sincere* if $e_i M \neq 0$, for all $i \in [1, n]$.

For $M \in \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$, we have

$$\|M\| = \sum_{i,j} \dim_k(e_i W_0 e_j) \ell_{D_i}(e_i M) \ell_{D_j}(e_j M).$$

In this note, when dealing with finite-dimensional indecomposable \mathcal{A} -modules with finite endlength, we will use the following modified norm.

Definition 3.2. Let M be a k -finite-dimensional indecomposable \mathcal{A} -module and denote by $E_M = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)^{op}$. Then, the right E_M -module M has the direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n e_i M$, of right E_M -modules. We get

$$\text{endol}(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{E_M}(e_i M).$$

From [5, (5.6)], \mathcal{A} is a Roiter ditalgebra and, from [5, (5.12)], we know that E_M is a local finite-dimensional k -algebra. Since the field k is perfect, there is a division k -subalgebra D_M of E_M and a D_M - D_M -bimodule decomposition $E_M = D_M \oplus \text{rad}E_M$.

So every finite-length right E_M -module N is a finite-dimensional right D_M -vector space and we have $\ell_{E_M}(N) = \ell_{D_M}(N)$. So, $\text{endol}(M) = \ell_{D_M}(M)$ and $\ell_{E_M}(e_i M) = \ell_{D_M}(e_i M)$, for $i \in [1, n]$. Hence,

$$\text{endol}(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{D_M}(e_i M).$$

Let $c = c_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the least common multiple of d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n , where $d_i = \dim_k D_i$. So, for each i , there is a natural number c_i with $c = c_i d_i$. Then, define the e -norm of M by

$$\|M\|_e := \sum_{i,j} c_i c_j \dim_k(e_i W_0 e_j) \ell_{D_M}(e_i M) \ell_{D_M}(e_j M).$$

Remark 3.3. From this definition, we get that $\|M\|_e \leq c^2 \dim_k(W_0) \text{endol}(M)^2$. So if there is an infinite family of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable \mathcal{A} -modules with endolength bounded by q , then their e -norms are bounded by $c^2 \dim_k(W_0) q^2$.

If \mathcal{A} is any admissible ditalgebra and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that \mathcal{A} is of *infinite q -type* iff \mathcal{A} admits an infinite family of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable \mathcal{A} -modules M with e -norm $\|M\|_e = q$.

So, if \mathcal{A} is not e -discrete, it is of infinite q -type for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Keep the notations of (3.1) and (3.2), for a given finite-dimensional indecomposable \mathcal{A} -module M . Moreover, make $d_M = \dim_k D_M$, then we have:*

$$\|M\|_e = \frac{c_{\mathcal{A}}^2}{d_M^2} \|M\|.$$

Proof. Recall that $\|M\| = \sum_{i,j} \dim_k(e_i W_0 e_j) \ell_{D_i}(e_i M) \ell_{D_j}(e_j M)$ and notice that, for each $i \in [1, n]$, we have

$$c \ell_{D_i}(e_i M) = c_i d_i \ell_{D_i}(e_i M) = c_i \dim_k e_i M = c_i d_M \ell_{D_M}(e_i M).$$

□

In the next statement we describe the behavior of the reduction functors towards the e -norm of finite-dimensional indecomposables. We say that an algebra B is an *initial subalgebra of an admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A}* , with layer (R, W) , if $B = T_R(W_0^1)$, where W_0^1 is the smaller term in the triangular filtration of W_0 , see the reminder [3, (2.3)].

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that \mathcal{A} is an admissible k -ditalgebra with layer (R, W) . Suppose that $z \in \{d, r, X\}$ and \mathcal{A}^z is the admissible ditalgebra obtained from \mathcal{A} by one of the basic reductions: deletion of an idempotent (case $z = d$, as in [5, (8.17)]), regularization (case $z = r$, as in [5, (8.19)]), or reduction by a B -module X , where B is an initial subalgebra of \mathcal{A} and X is a finite direct sum of pairwise non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable B -modules X_1, \dots, X_t with $\text{End}_B(X_i)^{op} / \text{rad} \text{End}_B(X_i)^{op}$ isomorphic to some of the coefficient algebras of \mathcal{A} (case $z = X$, a particular case of [3, (7.3)]). Consider also the associated full and faithful functor $F^z : \mathcal{A}^z\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$. Then, the following holds for any finite-dimensional indecomposable $N \in \mathcal{A}^z\text{-mod}$.*

1. $\|N\|_e \leq \|F^d(N)\|_e$.
2. $\|N\|_e < \|F^r(N)\|_e$, whenever $F^r(N)$ is sincere.

3. $\|N\|_e < \|F^X(N)\|_e$, whenever $F^X(N)$ is sincere and $W'_0 \neq 0$.

Proof. Recall that the layer (R, W) of the admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A} is such that $R = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_n$ as in (2.2).

In order to show 1, for simplicity, assume that the idempotent to be deleted is e_1 , then the layer (R^d, W^d) of \mathcal{A}^d is such that $R^d = D_2 \times \cdots \times D_n$, so clearly $c_{\mathcal{A}^d} \leq c_{\mathcal{A}}$ and, since F^d is full and faithful, we get $d_N = d_{F^d(N)}$. Moreover, since $\|N\| = \|F^d(N)\|$, we have

$$\|N\|_e = \frac{c_{\mathcal{A}^d}^2}{d_N^2} \|N\| \leq \frac{c_{\mathcal{A}}^2}{d_{F^d(N)}^2} \|F^d(N)\| = \|F^d(N)\|_e.$$

For 2, we notice that the layer (R^r, W^r) of \mathcal{A}^r is such that $R^r = R$, so $c_{\mathcal{A}^r} = c_{\mathcal{A}}$. Again, since F^r is full and faithful, we get $d_N = d_{F^r(N)}$. Moreover, since $\|N\| < \|F^r(N)\|$, whenever $F^r(N)$ is sincere, as before, we have

$$\|N\|_e = \frac{c_{\mathcal{A}^r}^2}{d_N^2} \|N\| < \frac{c_{\mathcal{A}}^2}{d_{F^r(N)}^2} \|F^r(N)\| = \|F^r(N)\|_e.$$

In the last case, the assumption implies that $c_{\mathcal{A}^X} \leq c_{\mathcal{A}}$, and we can proceed as before, using that for $F^X(N)$ sincere we have $\|N\| < \|F^X(N)\|$, see [3, (7.3)(1)]. \square

4 Reduction to minimal algebras

In this section, we prove the following theorem (4.8), which is a stronger version of (2.4). Its statement and proof use the following notions and preliminary arguments. As in the case of algebras, we say that a functor $F : \mathcal{A}'\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$, where \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A} are layered ditalgebras, *controls endolength of indecomposables* if there are some $c, c' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{endol}(N) \leq c \times \text{endol}(F(N))$ and $\text{endol}(F(N)) \leq c' \times \text{endol}(N)$, for all indecomposables $N \in \mathcal{A}'\text{-mod}$.

Recall that given any ditalgebra, $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$, there is a canonical embedding functor $L_{\mathcal{A}} : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$, where A is the subalgebra of T formed by the homogeneous elements of degree zero. It maps every A -module to itself and each morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is mapped onto $(f, 0) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, see [5, §2]. Clearly, the functor $L_{\mathcal{A}}$ reflects indecomposability.

Definition 4.1. We say that an admissible ditalgebra $\mathcal{Q} = (T, \delta)$ is *quasi-minimal* iff T has layer (R, W) such that $R = D_1$ or $R = D_1 \times D_2$, there is an R - R -bimodule decomposition $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$ is such that $W'_0 = e_1 W'_0 e_1$ in the first case and $W'_0 = e_2 W'_0 e_1$ in the second one, and moreover, in any case, W'_0 is a simple R - R -bimodule with $\delta(W'_0) = 0$.

Any such quasi-minimal ditalgebra \mathcal{Q} determines a minimal algebra $\mathbf{Q} := T_R(W'_0)$, which we call *the minimal algebra of \mathcal{Q}* .

Remark 4.2. Quasi-minimal ditalgebras $\mathcal{Q} = (T, \delta)$ have the following important feature. If Q denotes the subalgebra of T formed by the homogeneous

elements of degree 0, we have the corresponding canonical embedding functor $L_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod}$. Consider the minimal algebra \mathbb{Q} of \mathcal{Q} , the projection morphism of algebras $\pi : Q = T_R(W) \rightarrow T_R(W'_0) = \mathbb{Q}$, and the corresponding extension functor $E : \mathbb{Q}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\text{-Mod}$, which is given by scalar restriction through π , thus $E \cong E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} -$. Then, since $\delta(W'_0) = 0$ and $W''_0 E(N) = 0$, for any finite-dimensional indecomposable \mathbb{Q} -module N , we have

$$\mathbb{E} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{Q}}(L_{\mathcal{Q}}E(N))^{op} = \mathbb{E}_N^0 \oplus \mathbb{E}_N^1,$$

where \mathbb{E}_N^0 and \mathbb{E}_N^1 are the subspaces of \mathbb{E} formed, respectively, by the endomorphisms of $E(N)$ of the form $(f^0, 0)$, and by the endomorphisms of $E(N)$ of the form $(0, f^1)$. Moreover, $\mathbb{E}_N^0 = L_{\mathcal{Q}}E(\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{op})$.

Indeed, given any $g^0 \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{op}$, from the equality $W''_0 E(N) = 0$, we have $g^0(wm) = 0 = wg^0(m)$, for all $w \in W''_0$ and $m \in E(N)$. Since Q is generated by R , W'_0 , and W''_0 , we have that $g^0 \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E(N))^{op}$, so $L_{\mathcal{Q}}E(g^0) = (g^0, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_N^0$. Moreover, given $f = (f^0, f^1) \in \mathbb{E}$, the equality $\delta(W'_0) = 0$ implies that $f^0 \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{op}$. Thus, $(f^0, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_N^0$, $(0, f^1) = (f^0, f^1) - (f^0, 0) \in \mathbb{E}$, and $\mathbb{E}_N^0 = L_{\mathcal{Q}}E(\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{op})$.

Our ditalgebra \mathcal{Q} is triangular, so, from [5, (5.4)], \mathbb{E}_N^1 is a nilpotent ideal of \mathbb{E} , so we know that $\mathbb{E}_N^1 \subseteq \text{Rad}\mathbb{E}$. Since k is perfect, we also have $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{op} = K_N \oplus \text{Rad}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)^{op})$, where K_N is a division algebra. From the preceding facts, we obtain the equality

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{Q}}(L_{\mathcal{Q}}E(N))^{op} = L_{\mathcal{Q}}E(K_N) \oplus \text{Rad}(\text{End}_{\mathcal{Q}}(L_{\mathcal{Q}}E(N))^{op}).$$

Thus, the functor $L_{\mathbb{Q}} := L_{\mathcal{Q}}E : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod}$ preserves indecomposables. It reflects isomorphism classes because, \mathcal{Q} is a Roiter ditalgebra, see [5, (5.8) and (5.6)]. We will keep the preceding notation whenever we deal with quasi-minimal ditalgebras.

We have the following elementary statement relating dimension and endlength.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Σ be any k -algebra (not necessarily finite-dimensional) and M an indecomposable finite-dimensional Σ -module. Consider the local algebra $E := \text{End}_{\Sigma}(M)^{op}$ and the division algebra $K_M := E/\text{Rad}E$. Then, we have*

$$\text{endol}(M) = \dim_k M / \dim_k K_M.$$

Proof. Since M is finite-dimensional, its length as an E -module is finite. Consider a composition series $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_l = M$ for the right E -module M . Then, each simple E -module M_i/M_{i-1} is a simple K_M -module: a one-dimensional K_M -vector space. So, we have $\dim_k(M_i/M_{i-1}) = \dim_k K_M$, for each i . Thus, $\dim_k M = l \dim_k K_M$. Our statement follows from this. \square

Remark 4.4. Similarly, given any admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A} and an indecomposable $M \in \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$, if we consider the local algebra $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)^{op}$ and the division algebra $K_M := E/\text{Rad}E$, we have $\text{endol}(M) = \dim_k M / \dim_k K_M$.

Given a quasi-minimal ditalgebra \mathcal{Q} , with the notation of (4.2), given an indecomposable finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -module M , since the functor $L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ preserves dimension and $K_M \cong L_{\mathbb{Q}}(K_M) \cong K_{L_{\mathbb{Q}}(M)}$, we obtain from (4.2) and (4.3) that $\text{endol}(L_{\mathbb{Q}}(M)) = \dim_k L_{\mathbb{Q}}(M) / \dim_k K_{L_{\mathbb{Q}}(M)} = \dim_k M / \dim_k K_M = \text{endol}(M)$. Thus, $L_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod}$ preserves endolength of indecomposable modules.

Definition 4.5. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' be full subcategories of finite-dimensional modules over some algebras or some ditalgebras. Then, we say that a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ *controls dimension* iff there are constants $c, c' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\dim_k M \leq c \times \dim_k FM \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_k FM \leq c' \times \dim_k M,$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{C}$.

Remark 4.6. From (4.3), we know that any functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ which controls dimension and induces isomorphisms $K_M \cong K_{FM}$, for all indecomposable M , controls endolength of indecomposables.

Lemma 4.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible ditalgebra. Assume that \mathcal{A}^X is the layered ditalgebra obtained from \mathcal{A} by reduction, using the B -module X , where B is an initial subalgebra of \mathcal{A} and X is a finite direct sum of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable B -modules. Assume that X is a complete admissible triangular B -module and let $F^X : \mathcal{A}^X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}$ be the associated functor, as in [5, 12.10]. Then, F^X controls dimension and controls endolength of indecomposables.*

Proof. The finite-dimensional algebra $\Gamma = \text{End}_B(X)^{op}$ admits the splitting $\Gamma = S \oplus P$, where P is the radical of Γ , because the ground field is perfect. The semisimple algebra S is basic because the indecomposable direct summands of X are pairwise non-isomorphic. Let us denote by f_1, \dots, f_t the orthogonal primitive central idempotents given by the decomposition of the unit of S .

From [3, (2.7)], we already know that for all $N \in \mathcal{A}^X\text{-Mod}$, we have that $\text{endol}(F^X(N)) \leq \dim_k X \times \text{endol}(N)$.

It is clear that $\dim_k F^X(N) \leq \dim_k X \times \dim_k N$. Moreover, we have

$$\dim_k F^X(N) = \dim_k X \otimes_S N = \sum_i \dim_k X f_i \otimes_{S f_i} f_i N \geq \sum_i \dim_k f_i N = \dim_k N,$$

because $\dim_k X f_i \otimes_{S f_i} f_i M = \dim_k (\dim_{S f_i} X f_i) f_i M \geq \dim_k f_i N$, with $X f_i \neq 0$. So, the functor F^X controls dimension, and we can apply (4.6). \square

Theorem 4.8. *For any admissible k -ditalgebra \mathcal{A} not e -discrete, there is a quasi-minimal ditalgebra \mathcal{Q} with minimal algebra \mathbb{Q} of infinite representation type, and a faithful functor $F : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ which preserves indecomposability, reflects isomorphism classes, and controls endolength of indecomposables. The functor F is the composition*

$$\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{A}\text{-mod},$$

where G is a composition of full and faithful reduction functors associated to a finite sequence of reductions which transform \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{Q} . Moreover, the coefficient algebras of \mathbb{Q} are some of those of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Assume that $R = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_n$ and $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ is a decomposition of the unit of R as a sum of central orthogonal idempotents, so each $e_i R e_i = D_i$ is a finite-dimensional k -algebra, say of dimension $d_i = \dim_k D_i$. We will use the e -norm $\|M\|_e$ defined for indecomposable modules in $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$.

By definition, given $q \in \mathbb{N}$, a q -infinite family of $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ is an infinite family \mathcal{F} of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable \mathcal{A} -modules M with common e -norm $\|M\|_e = q$. Since \mathcal{A} is not e -discrete, $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ admits a q -infinite family \mathcal{F} .

If there are infinitely many \mathcal{A} -modules M in the family \mathcal{F} , with $e_i M = 0$, for a fixed $i \in [1, n]$, we consider the ditalgebra \mathcal{A}^{d_1} obtained from \mathcal{A} by deletion of the idempotent e_i and the associated full and faithful functor $F^{d_1} : \mathcal{A}^{d_1}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$. Then, \mathcal{A}^{d_1} is an admissible ditalgebra and we know that $1 \leq \|N\|_e \leq \|F^{d_1}(N)\|_e = q$, whenever $M \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F^{d_1}(N) \cong M$. So there is a q_1 -infinite family \mathcal{F}^{d_1} in $\mathcal{A}^{d_1}\text{-mod}$ with $q_1 \leq q$. In a finite number of such deletion of idempotents, we obtain an admissible ditalgebra $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}^{d_1 \cdots d_m}$ and a q' -infinite family \mathcal{F}' of sincere modules in $\mathcal{A}'\text{-mod}$ with $q' \leq q$.

If there is a quasi-minimal ditalgebra \mathcal{Q} and a functor $F : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'\text{-mod}$ as in the statement of the theorem for the ditalgebra \mathcal{A}' , then the composition $F^{d_1} \cdots F^{d_m} F : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ is the required functor for \mathcal{A} , because each functor F^{d_i} preserves endolength, see [3, (2.5)].

So we can assume that we already started with a q -infinite family of sincere \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{F} and proceed to the proof of the theorem by induction on q .

In case $q = 1$, for each $M \in \mathcal{F}$, with the notation of (3.2), we have

$$1 = \|M\|_e = \sum_{i,j} c_i c_j \dim_k(e_i W_0 e_j) \ell_{D_M}(e_i M) \ell_{D_M}(e_j M).$$

This implies that $n = 1$ or $n = 2$. If $n = 1$, $R = D_1$ and $\dim_k(e_1 W_0 e_1) = 1$. Moreover, $D_1 = k$ and $A = T_k(W_0)$ with $\dim_k W_0 = 1$. Here $\delta(W_0) \subseteq W_1$, because the triangular filtration of W_0 is just $0 \subseteq W_0$. If $\delta(W_0) \neq 0$, since W_0^1 is a simple R - R -bimodule and $W_0^1 \cap \text{Ker } \delta = 0$, we can consider the admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A}^r obtained from \mathcal{A} by regularization and the associated equivalence functor $F^r : \mathcal{A}^r\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$, see [5, (8.19)]. But, here, \mathcal{A}^r has only one indecomposable module, so \mathcal{A} would not be of infinite representation type. So, $\delta(W_0) = 0$, \mathcal{A} is quasi-minimal, and we can take $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{A}$, $\mathbb{Q} = A \cong k[x]$, and $G = \text{Id}$. The functor $F = L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ preserves indecomposables and reflects isomorphisms by (4.2). It preserves endolength of indecomposables by (4.4).

In case $n = 2$, we have $R = D_1 \times D_2$ and, since, $\dim_k W_0 = 1$, we get $D_1 = D_2 = k$. In this case, since $L_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ reflects indecomposability and isomorphism classes, and $A = T_{k \times k}(W_0)$ is of finite representation type, then \mathcal{A} is also of finite representation type, which is not the case. Hence, this case does not occur. So the base of the induction is established.

Now, assume $q \geq 2$ and that the statement of the theorem holds for every admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A}' with a q' -infinite family \mathcal{F}' in $\mathcal{A}'\text{-mod}$ with $q' < q$. We will proceed as in the proof of [3, (7.5)].

Since k is perfect, the algebra $R \otimes_k R^{op}$ is semisimple and we can look at the additive triangular filtration $0 = W_0^0 \subseteq W_0^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_0^s = W_0$, see [5, (5.1)]. We can assume that W_0^1 is a simple direct summand of the R - R -bimodule W_0 . The triangularity conditions imply that $\delta(W_0^1) \subseteq W_1$. Consider R - R -bimodule decompositions $W_0 = W_0^1 \oplus W_0''$ and $W_1 = \delta(W_0^1) \oplus W_1''$. We have the following two possibilities.

Case 1: $\delta(W_0^1) \neq 0$.

Since W_0^1 is a simple R - R -bimodule and $W_0^1 \cap \text{Ker } \delta = 0$, we can consider the admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A}^r obtained from \mathcal{A} by regularization and the associated equivalence functor $F^r : \mathcal{A}^r\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$, see [5, (8.19)]. For any indecomposable $N \in \mathcal{A}^r\text{-mod}$ with $F^r(N) \cong M \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\|N\|_e < \|M\|_e = q$, by (3.5)(2). Then, there is a family \mathcal{F}^r of such \mathcal{A}^r -modules N which is a q' -infinite family in $\mathcal{A}^r\text{-mod}$ with $q' < q$. So, we can apply the induction hypothesis to \mathcal{A}^r to derive the existence of a quasi-minimal ditalgebra \mathcal{Q} with minimal algebra \mathbb{Q} and a functor $F : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^r\text{-mod}$ as in the statement of the theorem, so the composition $F^r F : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ is the wanted functor for \mathcal{A} . Here, the functor F^r preserves endlength, see [3, (2.6)].

Case 2: $\delta(W_0^1) = 0$.

Since W_0^1 is a simple R - R -bimodule, we get $W_0^1 = e_j W_0^1 e_i$, for some $i, j \in [1, n]$, and we have two subcases.

Case 2.a: If $i = j$, for simplicity, we can assume that $i = 1$. Then, we get $T_R(W_0^1) = T_{D_1}(W_0^1) \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_n$. Consider the admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A}^d obtained from \mathcal{A} by deletion of the idempotent $e = 1 - e_1$ and the corresponding full and faithful functor $F^d : \mathcal{A}^d\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}$. Then, $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{A}^d$ is a quasi-minimal ditalgebra, with minimal algebra $\mathbb{Q} = T_{D_1}(W_0^1)$ of infinite representation type, and the composition of functors

$$\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{F^d} \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$$

is the required functor F for \mathcal{A} , in this case.

Case 2.b: If $i \neq j$, for simplicity, we assume that $i = 1$ and $j = 2$, and we get $B := T_R(W_0^1) = T_{D_1 \times D_2}(W_0^1) \times D_3 \times \dots \times D_n$.

If B is of infinite representation type, so is the algebra $T_{D_1 \times D_2}(W_0^1)$. Then, we can consider the ditalgebra \mathcal{A}^d obtained from \mathcal{A} by deletion of the idempotent $e = 1 - e_1 - e_2$ and the associated full and faithful functor $F^d : \mathcal{A}^d\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}$. Then, $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{A}^d$ is a quasi-minimal ditalgebra, with minimal algebra $\mathbb{Q} = T_{D_1 \times D_2}(W_0^1)$ of infinite representation type, and the composition of functors

$$\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{F^d} \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$$

is the required functor F for \mathcal{A} .

On the other hand, if B is of finite representation type, so is the algebra $B_0 := T_{D_1 \times D_2}(W_0^1)$. Let X_1, \dots, X_l be a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the indecomposables in $B_0\text{-mod}$. Since B_0 is hereditary of finite representation type, then the division algebras $D_{X_i} = \text{End}_{B_0}(X_i)^{op}/\text{rad}\text{End}_{B_0}(X_i)^{op}$ coincide with some D_1 or D_2 (this follows for instance from [1, VIII.1: (1.5) and (1.13)], see also [13]).

Consider the B -module $X = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_l \oplus eR$ and the admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A}^X obtained from \mathcal{A} by reduction by the module X and the associated full and faithful functor $F^X : \mathcal{A}^X\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$, as in (3.5). The layer of \mathcal{A}^X has the form (R^X, W^X) with $R^X = D_{X_1} \times \dots \times D_{X_l} \times D_3 \times \dots \times D_n$. From (3.5), whenever $F^X(N) \cong M \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\|N\|_e < \|M\|_e = q$. But from [3, (7.3)(2)], we know that F^X is dense. So, there is an infinite family in $\mathcal{F}^X = \{N \mid F^X(N) \in \mathcal{F}\}$, which shows that the admissible ditalgebra \mathcal{A}^X is of q' -infinite type for some $q' < q$. Then, we can apply the induction hypothesis to \mathcal{A}^X and obtain a quasi-minimal ditalgebra \mathcal{Q} and a functor $F : \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^X\text{-mod}$ as in the statement of the theorem, so the composition $F^X F : \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ gives the wanted functor for \mathcal{A} . Here, the functor F^X controls endlength of indecomposables by (4.8).

We have constructed, in each case, a functor $F : \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ which preserves indecomposability, reflects isomorphism classes, and controls endlength of indecomposables. \square

The following lemma is very useful. It can be applied, to Morita equivalences.

Lemma 4.9. *Given two algebras Γ and Q , assume that $\Psi : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow Q\text{-mod}$ is a full and faithful functor of the form $P \otimes_{\Gamma} -$, for some Q - Γ -bimodule P that is finitely generated projective by the right. Then, the functor Ψ controls endlength.*

Proof. Take $N \in \Gamma\text{-mod}$ and set $E := \text{End}_{\Gamma}(N)^{op}$. We know that for some natural number n , there is a surjective morphism $\Gamma^n \rightarrow P$ of right Γ -modules. Therefore, we have a surjective morphism of right E -modules

$$N^n \cong \Gamma^n \otimes_{\Gamma} N \rightarrow P \otimes_{\Gamma} N.$$

Therefore, $\ell_E(P \otimes_{\Gamma} N) \leq n \times \ell_E(N) = n \times \text{endol}(N)$.

Now, the action of E on the right E -module $P \otimes_{\Gamma} N$ determined by the right E -module N is such that $(p \otimes n)f = p \otimes f(n)$, for $p \in P$ and $n \in N$. The algebra $\text{End}_Q(P \otimes_{\Gamma} N)^{op}$ acts on the right of $P \otimes_{\Gamma} N$ by the usual rule and determines a right action of E by restriction using the isomorphism of algebras $E \rightarrow \text{End}_Q(P \otimes_{\Gamma} N)^{op}$ provided by the full and faithful functor Ψ . This action of E on $P \otimes_{\Gamma} N$ coincides with the previous one and, hence, we obtain $\text{endol}(P \otimes_{\Gamma} N) \leq \ell_E(P \otimes_{\Gamma} N)$.

If $0 = N_t \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_1 \subseteq N_0 = N$ is a composition series for the $\text{End}_{\Gamma}(N)^{op}$ -module N , since P is a projective right Γ -module, we have a filtration $0 = P \otimes_{\Gamma} N_t \subseteq \dots \subseteq P \otimes_{\Gamma} N_1 \subseteq P \otimes_{\Gamma} N_0 = P \otimes_{\Gamma} N$ of the Q -module $P \otimes_{\Gamma} N$, which is in fact a filtration of the $\text{End}_Q(P \otimes_{\Gamma} N)^{op}$ -module $P \otimes_{\Gamma} N$. Hence $\text{endol}(N) \leq \text{endol}(P \otimes_{\Gamma} N)$. \square

Proof of Theorem (1.4): As remarked just before (2.3), we can assume that Λ is basic because Morita equivalences are representation embeddings which control endlength of indecomposables, see (4.9).

From (2.3), we can apply (4.8) to the Drozd's ditalgebra \mathcal{D} of Λ to obtain a quasi-minimal ditalgebra \mathcal{Q} , with minimal algebra \mathbb{Q} of infinite representation type, and a composition of functors F of the form

$$\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{D}\text{-mod},$$

as in (4.8), which preserves indecomposability, reflects isomorphism classes, and controls endlength of indecomposables.

From [5, (22.7)], we obtain that $GE(\mathbb{Q})$ is a D - \mathbb{Q} -bimodule which is finitely generated by the right and that $F \cong L_{\mathcal{D}}(GE(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} -)$, where the argument is the functor $GE(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} - : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow D\text{-mod}$. Here D denotes the subalgebra of the underlying tensor algebra of \mathcal{D} formed by the elements of degree 0.

Consider the usual equivalence functor $\Xi_{\Lambda} : D\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^1(\Lambda)$ and the cokernel functor $\text{Cok} : \mathcal{P}^1(\Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$, see [5, §18 and §19]. Denote by $Z_0 = \text{Cok}\Xi_{\Lambda}(D)$ the transition bimodule associated to Λ , as in [5, (22.18)]. Then, the Λ - \mathbb{Q} -bimodule $Z := Z_0 \otimes_D GE(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated by the right. Denote by H the composition of functors

$$\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\Lambda}} \mathcal{P}^1(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{Cok}} \Lambda\text{-mod}.$$

Then, by [5, (22.18)], we know that it is naturally isomorphic to

$$\text{Cok}\Xi_{\Lambda}L_{\mathcal{D}}(GE(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} -) \cong Z_0 \otimes_D GE(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} - = Z \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} -.$$

Now, if \mathbb{Q} is a minimal algebra of the second type, we have, as in [3, Claim 1 in the proof of (8.2)], that every $M \in \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}$ satisfies that $\Xi_{\Lambda}F(M) \in \mathcal{P}^2(\Lambda)$. Thus, in this case, the functor H preserves indecomposables and reflects isomorphism classes.

If \mathbb{Q} is a minimal algebra of the first type, we have as in [3, Claim 2 in the proof of (8.2)], that for any non-injective indecomposable $M \in \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}$ we have $\Xi_{\Lambda}F(M) \in \mathcal{P}^2(\Lambda)$. So, in this case H preserves indecomposables which are not injective (since it is a composition of functors which preserve non-injectivity). Moreover, the restriction $\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$ of H , to the full subcategory of \mathbb{Q} -modules without injective direct summands, reflects isomorphism classes. Indeed, if $M, N \in \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{I}}$, then $\Xi_{\Lambda}F(M)$ and $\Xi_{\Lambda}F(N)$ have no injective direct summand. Thus, from [5, (18.10)], we get that $H(M) \cong H(N)$ implies that $M \cong N$.

We know that F controls endlength and, from [3, (4.4)], we get that $\text{Cok}\Xi_{\Lambda}$ controls endlength, then so does their composition H . \square

Remark 4.10. Under the assumptions of theorem (1.4), assume moreover that Λ is such that $\Lambda/\text{rad}\Lambda$ is a finite product of copies of k . In this case, the minimal algebras appearing there have their coefficient algebras isomorphic to k . So, there is no such minimal algebra of the first type of infinite representation type, and the only such minimal algebra of the second type of infinite representation

type is $k[x]$. Then, we get a functor $H : k[x]\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$, which preserves indecomposables and reflects isomorphism classes, given by tensoring with a bimodule ${}_{\Lambda}Z_{k[x]}$ which is finitely generated over $k[x]$. From here we obtain a representation embedding $H' : k[x]_h\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$, given by tensoring with a bimodule ${}_{\Lambda}Z'_{k[x]_h}$ which is finitely generated projective over $k[x]_h$. This is the case if the field k is algebraically closed and Λ is basic, see Thm. 6 of [6].

5 Reduction to principal ideal domains

In this work, we use the term uniserial subcategory in the following sense.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{U} be a full abelian subcategory of the category $\Sigma\text{-mod}$ of finite-dimensional modules for some k -algebra Σ . Then \mathcal{U} is called a *uniserial subcategory* of $\Sigma\text{-mod}$ iff every indecomposable module in \mathcal{U} has a unique composition series in \mathcal{U} , and all the simple factors in this composition series are isomorphic to one simple object of the category \mathcal{U} .

Lemma 5.2. *Let Γ be a bounded principal ideal domain and let \mathcal{P} be a complete set of representatives of the non-similar atoms of Γ . Then, the category of finite-length Γ -modules is a direct sum of uniserial subcategories $\{\mathcal{U}_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$, where each \mathcal{U}_p contains a unique simple object E_1^p .*

Moreover, we have almost split sequences of the form:

$$\xi_1 : E_1^p \longrightarrow E_2^p \longrightarrow E_1^p,$$

$$\zeta_n : E_n^p \longrightarrow E_{n+1}^p \oplus E_{n-1}^p \longrightarrow E_n^p, \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Proof. The category of finite-length Γ -modules is well known. For instance, from [3, (6.5)], we have the following. For each atom $p \in \Gamma$, consider the corresponding simple Γ -module $S_p := \Gamma/\Gamma p$. Then, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, up to isomorphism, there is a unique indecomposable Γ -module E_i^p with length i and all composition factors isomorphic to S_p . The family $\{E_i^p \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isoclasses of the indecomposable Γ -modules of finite length. They are all finite-dimensional.

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$, consider the full subcategory \mathcal{U}_p of $\Gamma\text{-mod}$ formed by the modules $M \in \Gamma\text{-mod}$ which admit a filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1} \subset M_n = M,$$

where $M_j/M_{j-1} \cong S_p$, for all $j \in [1, n]$. Thus, $\{E_i^p \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are the representatives of the indecomposables in \mathcal{U}_p . Moreover, in the proof of [3, (6.5)], it is shown that $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(E_i^p, E_j^q) = 0$, whenever p and q are not similar atoms, that is whenever $p \neq q$ in \mathcal{P} .

It remains to show that \mathcal{U}_p is uniserial. Let M be an indecomposable Γ -module in \mathcal{U}_p . Then, it has a composition series

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1} \subset M_n = M,$$

where $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong S_p$. Here $\ell_\Gamma(M_i) = i$, for all $i \in [1, n]$.

We will show that M_j is the unique submodule of M with length j .

We proceed by induction on the length $n = \ell_\Gamma(M)$.

If $n = 1$, we have that $M = M_1$ is simple and our claim is obvious. Assume that our claim holds for indecomposable modules in \mathcal{U}_p with length s such that $s < n$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} M_n \xrightarrow{g} S_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let S be a simple submodule of M and $h : S \longrightarrow M_n$ the corresponding inclusion. Since M is indecomposable, we know that $gh : S \longrightarrow S_p$ is not an isomorphism, so $gh = 0$ and $S \subseteq M_{n-1}$. Similarly, working with the given filtration of M_{n-1} , we show that $S \subseteq M_{n-2}$. In a finite number of steps, we get $S \subseteq M_1$. Hence $S = M_1$. We have verified that the socle of M is the simple M_1 .

Consider now a submodule N of M_n with length $j > 1$. Since $\text{soc}(M) = M_1$, we know that $M_1 = \text{soc}(N) \subset N$. In particular, the submodules M_1, \dots, M_{n-1} are all indecomposable. We have the quotient $\eta : M_n \longrightarrow M_n/M_1$, and the submodules $\eta(M_j) = M_j/M_1$ and $\eta(N) = N/M_1$ with length $j - 1$ of the module $M/M_1 \in \mathcal{U}_p$, which has length $n - 1$.

From [3, (6.5)], we know there is an irreducible morphism $g : M_n \longrightarrow M_{n-1}$ in $\Gamma\text{-mod}$, which must be surjective. Its kernel has length 1, so it is a simple submodule of M_n , and it coincides with M_1 . It follows that $M/M_1 \cong M_{n-1}$ is indecomposable. Then, by induction hypothesis $N/M_1 = M_j/M_1$ and, so, $M_j = N$, as we wanted to verify. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Let Q be a finite-dimensional hereditary algebra of infinite representation type. Then, its preprojective components, as well as its preinjective components, are e -discrete.*

Proof. We only consider the case of a preprojective component \mathcal{P} , the other case is tackled dually. If $M \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a projective module $P_M \in \mathcal{P}$ and a non-negative number $\nu(M)$, such that $(DT\tau)^{\nu(M)}M = P_M$. We also know, that $\text{End}_Q(M) \cong \text{End}_Q(P_M)$ is a division algebra, see [1, VIII.1.(1.5)]. Therefore, from (4.3), we obtain $\text{endol}(M) = \dim_k M / \dim_k \text{End}_Q(P_M)$. This implies that there is only a finite number of indecomposables in \mathcal{P} with the same endlength iff there is only a finite number of indecomposables in \mathcal{P} with the same dimension. That is \mathcal{P} is e -discrete iff it is discrete.

So, we have to show that there are only finitely many indecomposables in \mathcal{P} with the same dimension. For this, we can assume that Q is basic and consider a decomposition of the unit $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ of Q , as a sum of primitive orthogonal idempotents, denote by $\underline{\dim}(M) = (\dim_k e_1 M, \dots, \dim_k e_n M)$, the dimension vector of M . Recall that given $M, N \in \mathcal{P}$, we have that $M \cong N$ iff their their dimension vectors coincide, see [1, VIII.2.(2.3)]. Then, consider the homological quadratic form q of the hereditary algebra Q , which satisfies

$$q(\underline{\dim}(M)) = \dim_k \text{End}_Q(M) - \dim_k \text{Ext}_Q^1(M, M).$$

Since M is preprojective, we have $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1(M, M) = 0$, see [1, VIII.1.(1.7)]. Therefore, we get that for any $M \in \mathcal{P}$, $q(\underline{\dim}(M)) \in \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$, where $d_i := \dim_k \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Qe_i)$, for $i \in [1, n]$. There are only finitely many possible dimension vectors $\underline{\dim}(M)$ satisfying this, so \mathcal{P} is discrete. \square

The following statement is similar to [3, (6.8)].

Proposition 5.4. *If \mathbb{Q} is a generically tame minimal algebra of infinite representation type, then there is a bounded principal ideal domain Γ which is not e -discrete and an exact full and faithful functor $\Psi : \Gamma\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-Mod}$. The functor Ψ restricts to a representation embedding $\Psi' : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{I}}$.*

Proof. This proof is an adaptation of the proof of [3, (6.8)]. If \mathbb{Q} is of the second type in (1.3). From [3, (6.2)], we can assume that $\mathbb{Q} = D[x, s]$, that is the skew polynomial algebra with coefficients in D , for some automorphism $s : D \rightarrow D$. It is well known that $\Gamma := D[x, s]$ is a bounded principal ideal domain (see [17, (3.15)]) and taking as Ψ the identity functor, we see, from (6.6), that our proposition holds in this case.

The generically tame minimal algebras \mathbb{Q} of infinite representation type of the first type in (1.3) can be described as follows, see [3, (6.6)]:

- (i) \mathbb{Q} is the matrix algebra $\begin{pmatrix} F & 0 \\ M & G \end{pmatrix}$, where F and G are finite-dimensional division k -algebras and M is a simple G - F -bimodule where the field k acts centrally. Moreover, $\dim_G M = 2 = \dim M_F$; or
- (ii) \mathbb{Q} is the matrix algebra $\begin{pmatrix} F & 0 \\ M & G \end{pmatrix}$, where F and G are finite-dimensional division k -algebras and M is a simple G - F -bimodule where the field k acts centrally. Moreover, $\dim_G M = 4$ and $\dim M_F = 1$, or $\dim_G M = 1$ and $\dim M_F = 4$.

In each one of these cases, it is shown in the proof of [3, (6.8)], using previous work by V. Dlab and M. Ringel [15]&[14], and Crawley-Boevey [10], that there is a bounded principal ideal domain Γ and an exact full and faithful functor $\Psi : \Gamma\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-Mod}$ mapping indecomposable Γ -modules of finite length onto regular \mathbb{Q} -modules. Moreover, $\mathbb{Q}\text{-reg} \cong \Psi(\Gamma\text{-mod}) \coprod \mathcal{U}$, where \mathcal{U} is a uniserial subcategory of $\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}$ generated by a simple regular module. Furthermore, from (7.3), we see that, for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there is, up to isomorphism, at most one indecomposable in \mathcal{U} , having endlength d .

As we already know (by [2] or [12, (8.6)]), since \mathbb{Q} has infinite representation type, it is not e -discrete. In fact, we know that $\mathbb{Q}\text{-reg}$ is not e -discrete, as a consequence of (5.3). Thus, there is some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and an infinite family of non-isomorphic indecomposable modules $\{\Psi(M(i))\}_i$ in $\mathbb{Q}\text{-reg}$ with endlength $\text{endol}(\Psi(M(i))) = d$. Since Ψ controls endlength, see (4.9), there is a subfamily $\{M(j)\}_j$ of $\{M(i)\}_i$ of non-isomorphic indecomposables in $\Gamma\text{-mod}$ with common endlength, and Γ is not e -discrete.

The statement on the restriction of Ψ is due to the following. If $N \in \Gamma\text{-mod}$ is such that $\Psi(N)$ admits an indecomposable injective direct summand I , say $\Psi(N) = I \oplus C$, from [5, (29.5)], we know that there is an indecomposable direct summand I' of N such that $\Psi(I') \cong I$. Now, by the description of almost split sequences in $\Gamma\text{-mod}$, see [3, (6.5)], we know that I' appears at the left of an almost split sequence ξ . Then, $\Psi(\xi)$ is an exact sequence in $\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}$ which must split. Then, ξ also splits, a contradiction. So, the functor Ψ restricts to a functor $\Psi' : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{I}}$, as wanted. \square

Notice that in the preceding proposition, if \mathbb{Q} is a minimal algebra of type 2 or of type 1.(ii), the principal ideal domain Γ is centrally bounded.

If \mathbb{Q} is a minimal algebra of the first type with infinite representation type, then there are only two possibilities: either its quadratic form is positive semidefinite (so \mathbb{Q} is tame hereditary) or its quadratic form is indefinite (so \mathbb{Q} is wild hereditary).

Lemma 5.5. *If \mathbb{Q} is a wild hereditary minimal algebra of the first type, then there is a centrally bounded principal ideal domain Γ which is not e -discrete and an exact full and faithful functor $\Psi : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{I}}$.*

Proof. From [12, (8.2) and (8.4)], there is a finite field extension K of k and a $\mathbb{Q}\text{-}K\langle x, y \rangle$ -bimodule T which is finitely generated projective over $K\langle x, y \rangle$ and such that the tensor product functor

$$F := T \otimes_{K\langle x, y \rangle} - : K\langle x, y \rangle\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-Mod}$$

is full and faithful. Let $\phi : K\langle x, y \rangle \rightarrow K[x]$ be the canonical projection and consider the corresponding restriction functor $H : K[x]\text{-Mod} \rightarrow K\langle x, y \rangle\text{-Mod}$. We have that $H \cong K[x] \otimes_{K[x]} -$, where the $K\langle x, y \rangle\text{-}K[x]$ -bimodule $K[x]$ is a left $K\langle x, y \rangle$ -module by restriction through ϕ . Then, the composition $FH : K[x]\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-Mod}$ is exact, full and faithful, and can be realized as a tensor product by a bimodule which is finitely generated projective by the right.

Since T is finitely generated by the right, the functor F restricts to the subcategories of finite-dimensional modules, and so does the functor H , and their composition $FH : K[x]\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}$. Take the principal ideal domain $\Gamma := K[x]$ and notice, as in the proof of the last lemma, that $\text{Im } FH$ is contained in $\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{I}}$, so $\Psi := FH$ restricts to the desired exact full and faithful functor. Here Γ is not e -discrete, for instance because it is particular case of (6.6). \square

Lemma 5.6. *Assume that $\mathbb{Q} = T_D(V)$ is a minimal algebra of the second type. Then, there is a centrally bounded principal ideal domain Γ which is not e -discrete and a full and faithful exact functor $\Psi : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}$.*

Proof. We just follow the proof of [3, (6.2)]. There are two cases.

Case 1: $\dim_D V \geq 2$. Here, by [12, (8.2) and (8.5)], there is a finite field extension K of k and a $\mathbb{Q}\text{-}K\langle x, y \rangle$ -bimodule Z , which is free of finite rank over $K\langle x, y \rangle$ such that the tensor product $Z \otimes_{K\langle x, y \rangle} - : K\langle x, y \rangle\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-Mod}$ is

full and faithful, and we proceed as in the proof of (5.5) with $\Gamma = K[x]$, which is not e -discrete.

Case 2: $\dim_D V = 1$. Here, we know as mentioned in the proof of [3, (6.2)], that \mathbb{Q} is a skew polynomial algebra $D[x, s]$, for some automorphism $s : D \rightarrow D$. This case was already considered in (5.4). We can take $\Gamma = \mathbb{Q}$, which is a centrally bounded principal ideal domain by [3, (6.4)]. \square

Proof of Theorem (1.5): By (1.4), there is a minimal algebra \mathbb{Q} of infinite representation type and a functor H of one of the following types:

1. $H : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$, when \mathbb{Q} is a minimal algebra of the first type, or
2. $H : \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$, when \mathbb{Q} is of the second type.

Here the functor H is of the form $Z \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} -$, for some Λ - \mathbb{Q} -bimodule Z , which is finitely generated by the right.

There are a few possibilities for \mathbb{Q} , considered in the preceding lemmas (5.4), (5.6), and (5.5). In each case, there is a bounded principal ideal domain Γ , which is not e -discrete, and a functor Ψ which is exact full and faithful, and we consider its composition $G := H\Psi : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$. The functor G controls endlength of indecomposables because Ψ and H do so, by (4.9) and (1.4). \square

6 The representation embedding

In this section we derive our main result (1.6), from the preceding work. For this, we consider Ore localizations $S^{-1}\Gamma$, for some special denominator subset S of a principal ideal domain Γ , see [19, §3.1].

Remark 6.1. Recall that any principal ideal domain Γ is a unique factorization domain in the following sense. Any non-zero non-unit element $a \in \Gamma$ is a product $a = p_1 \cdots p_s$ where p_1, \dots, p_s are atoms of Γ and for any other such factorization $a = q_1 \cdots q_t$ as product of atoms, we have $s = t$ and there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_t$ such that q_i is similar to $p_{\sigma(i)}$, for each i .

Lemma 6.2. *Let Γ be a bounded principal ideal domain and let $b \in \Gamma$ be such that $\Gamma b = b\Gamma \neq \Gamma$. Consider the denominator set $S = \{1, b, b^2, \dots\}$. We have the epimorphism of rings $\nu : \Gamma \rightarrow S^{-1}\Gamma$ and the corresponding restriction functor $F : S^{-1}\Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Gamma\text{-mod}$. Let p be an atom of Γ which is not similar to any of the atomic factors of b , then every indecomposable Γ -module M with composition factors isomorphic to $\Gamma/\Gamma p$ is of the form $M \cong F(N)$, for some $N \in S^{-1}\Gamma\text{-mod}$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \Gamma\text{-mod}$ be indecomposable with all composition factors isomorphic to $\Gamma/\Gamma p$. We will show by induction on the length of M that the linear map $\mu_b : M \rightarrow M$ given by multiplication by b is a linear isomorphism. This is enough, because we know that the structure of M as a Γ -module is given by the morphism of k -algebras $\mu : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{End}_k(M)$, mapping each $a \in \Gamma$ onto the linear map μ_a , given by multiplication by a . If μ_b is invertible, then μ_s is invertible for

each $s \in S$. Thus by the universal property of localizations, we obtain a map $\hat{\mu} : S^{-1}\Gamma \rightarrow \text{End}_k(M)$, such that $\hat{\mu}\nu = \mu$, that is a structure of $S^{-1}\Gamma$ -module N with underlying vector space M . Thus, $F(N) = M$.

If we assume that M is simple, then $M = \Gamma m$ with $m \in M$ and, if $bm = 0$, we would have $b \in \Gamma p$, which is not the case by (6.1). Hence, $M = \Gamma m = \Gamma bm = b\Gamma m$. Then, the linear map $\mu_b : M \rightarrow M$ given by multiplication by b is surjective. Since M is finite-dimensional, we obtain that μ_b is a linear isomorphism. Then, our claim holds for indecomposable Γ -modules of length 1.

Now, assume that the indecomposable module M has length $l > 1$ and consider its composition series $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_l = M$ in $\Gamma\text{-mod}$. By induction hypothesis, multiplication by b determines linear automorphisms on each M_i , with $i < l$. From the proof of (5.2), we get an exact sequence of Γ -modules $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_{l-1} \rightarrow 0$, where M_{l-1} is indecomposable. Then, we have the following commutative diagram of linear maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M_{l-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M_{l-1} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are given by multiplication by b . By induction hypothesis, the left and right vertical arrows are linear isomorphisms, then so is the central one. \square

Proof of theorem (1.6): By (1.5), there is a bounded principal ideal domain Γ which is not e -discrete and a functor

$$G : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod},$$

which preserves indecomposables, reflects isomorphism classes, and controls endlength. The functor G is of the form $Z \otimes_{\Gamma} -$, for some Λ - Γ -bimodule Z which is finitely generated by the right.

The right Γ -module Z decomposes as a direct sum $Z = T \oplus P$, where T is a torsion finitely generated Γ -module and P is a free Γ -module of finite rank, see [9, (1.4.4)]. If $T = 0$, we are done.

Assume that $T \neq 0$, then T decomposes by [8, (1.5.5)] as a finite direct sum of indecomposables of the form E_i^p . Then, the annihilator of T is a proper ideal of Γ which is of the form $b\Gamma = \Gamma b$, for some non-unit element $b \in \Gamma$.

We know that each E_i^p has annihilator $q_p^i \Gamma$, where $q_p \Gamma$ is the annihilator of E_1^p . Then, T is annihilated by the product of the elements q_p^i , corresponding to the indecomposables E_i^p appearing in the decomposition of T . Thus, $b \neq 0$.

Now, we consider the multiplicative subset $S = \{1, b, b^2, \dots\}$ of Γ , which is a right denominator set and a left denominator set of Γ . The Ore localization $S^{-1}\Gamma \cong \Gamma S^{-1}$ is a bounded principal ideal domain. The epimorphism $\nu : \Gamma \rightarrow S^{-1}\Gamma$ induces the full and faithful restriction functor $F : S^{-1}\Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Gamma\text{-mod}$ which is isomorphic to $S^{-1}\Gamma \otimes -$. Thus, the composition $GF : S^{-1}\Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$ preserves indecomposables, reflects isomorphism

classes, and is isomorphic to $Z \otimes_{\Gamma} S^{-1}\Gamma \otimes -$. We claim that $Z \otimes_{\Gamma} S^{-1}\Gamma$ is a free right $S^{-1}\Gamma$ -module. For this, it will be enough to show that $T \otimes_{\Gamma} S^{-1}\Gamma = 0$. Since $Tb = 0$, and b is invertible in $S^{-1}\Gamma$, we have $0 = Tb \otimes_{\Gamma} S^{-1}\Gamma = T \otimes_{\Gamma} bS^{-1}\Gamma = T \otimes_{\Gamma} S^{-1}\Gamma$.

The principal ideal domains $S^{-1}\Gamma$ appearing before are not e -discrete. Indeed, the atoms of $S^{-1}\Gamma$ correspond to those non-similar to the atomic factors of b . Notice that, from (6.2), we obtain that the restriction functor $F : S^{-1}\Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Gamma\text{-mod}$ identifies the category $S^{-1}\Gamma\text{-mod}$ with the full subcategory $\Gamma\text{-mod}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $\Gamma\text{-mod}$ formed by all the Γ -modules without direct summands isomorphic to E_i^p , with $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and p an atomic factor of b . Thus, since Γ is not e -discrete, neither is $S^{-1}\Gamma$. \square

Remark 6.3. In the case where the ground field is the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers it is possible to make more explicit statements. In order to state them, we fix some notation: we consider the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers and the real quaternions \mathbb{H} ; the skew polynomial algebras $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $\mathbb{C}[x]$, $\mathbb{H}[x]$, and $\mathbb{C}[x, \sigma]$, where σ is the complex conjugation; and the principal ideal domain $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}[x, y]/(y^2 + x^2 + 1)$.

Let us describe the \mathbb{R} -algebras which have a leading role in our arguments. This description relies heavily on the important work by Dlab and Ringel on hereditary algebras, we cite [4], but refer the reader to its bibliography. In this case, up to isomorphism, the generically tame finite-dimensional minimal algebras of infinite-representation type are $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{R} & 0 \\ \mathbb{H} & \mathbb{H} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{H} & 0 \\ \mathbb{H} & \mathbb{R} \end{pmatrix}$, see [4, (9.5)]. The skew polynomial \mathbb{R} -algebras, are, up to isomorphism, the following $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $\mathbb{C}[x]$, $\mathbb{H}[x]$, and $\mathbb{C}[x, \sigma]$. These are the minimal algebras Q which may appear in (4.8) or (1.4). The bounded principal ideal domains that appear in theorem (1.5) are $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $\mathbb{C}[x]$, $\mathbb{H}[x]$, $\mathbb{C}[x, \sigma]$, and \mathbb{D} . Here, we can see [4, (9.6)] as an illustration of (5.4). The bounded principal ideal domains in (1.6), are Ore localizations of the preceding ones.

The wild hereditary case, addressed in (5.5) and (5.6), yields only the principal ideal domains $\mathbb{R}[x]$ and $\mathbb{C}[x]$. The algebra $\mathbb{H}[x]$ may only appear in (1.4) if \mathbb{H} is one of the coefficient algebras of Λ , see the proof of (4.8)Case 2.a.

The following proposition is probably known, but we could not find any reference.

Proposition 6.4. *Let Γ be a principal ideal domain which is not a field and such that its center Z is again a principal ideal domain. Then if the set of maximal ideals of Z has cardinality C , any complete set \mathcal{P} of representatives of the non-similar atoms of Γ has at least the same cardinality C .*

Proof. First notice that any non-trivial bilateral element $b \in \Gamma$ has the property that any factor in a factorization of b in Γ is a left divisor and a right divisor of b . Indeed, if $b = ac$ in Γ , we have $bc = c'b$, for some $c' \in \Gamma$, thus $ac^2 = bc = c'b = c'ac$, and therefore, $b = ac = c'a$. Thus, any left divisor a of b is a right divisor of b . Similarly, one shows that any right divisor of b is also a left divisor of b . Now, assume that $b = apc$, a factorization in Γ . As before, we obtain $b = (pc)a'$ and $b = c'(ap)$, for some $a', c' \in \Gamma$, as claimed.

Now, consider the collection $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ of maximal (two sided) ideals of Γ and let us exhibit an injective map $\alpha : \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. Given $\Gamma b \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$, by [17, (1.2.19)], the atomic factors of b belong to the same similarity class. We can assume that some atomic factor p of b belongs to \mathcal{P} and set $\alpha(\Gamma b) = p$. As remarked before, p is a right factor of b , so $\Gamma b \subseteq \text{Ann}_\Gamma(\Gamma/\Gamma p)$. Since $\Gamma/\Gamma p \neq 0$, we get $\Gamma b = \text{Ann}_\Gamma(\Gamma/\Gamma p)$.

Assume that $\Gamma b'$ is a maximal ideal of Γ different from Γb and p' is an atomic factor of b' with p similar to p' . Thus $\Gamma/\Gamma p \cong \Gamma/\Gamma p'$, and their annihilators coincide, which is not possible. So, α is an injective map.

It remains to show that there is an injection $\beta : \mathcal{M}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$, where $\mathcal{M}(Z)$ denotes the collection of maximal ideals of Z . We may assume that the principal ideal domain Z is not a field. Assume that Zz is a maximal ideal of Z . Then, z has a factorization $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_s$, where $a_1, \dots, a_s \in \Gamma$ are bilateral elements and Γa_s is a maximal ideal of Γ . We choose such factor a_s of z and define $\beta(Zz) = \Gamma a_s$. Now, assume that Zz' is another maximal ideal of Z with $Zz' \neq Zz$, factorize $z' = a'_1 a'_2 \cdots a'_t$ as before and let us see that $\Gamma a_s \neq \Gamma a'_t$. If $\Gamma a_s = \Gamma a'_t$, then $a_s = u a'_t$, for some unit $u \in \Gamma$. By the first paragraph of this proof, a_s divides by the right z and z' . But since $Zz + Zz' = Z$, we have $1 = cz + c'z'$ which implies that a_s divides 1, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 6.5. *Let Γ be a principal ideal domain with center Z . Assume that Γ is finitely generated as a Z -module, say by m elements. Let Γb be a maximal ideal of Γ and set $I = Z \cap \Gamma b$. Then, for any atom $p \in \Gamma$ dividing b , we have*

$$\text{endol}(\Gamma/\Gamma p) \leq m \times \ell_Z(Z/I),$$

where ℓ_Z denotes the length as a Z -module.

Proof. There is a surjective morphism of Z -modules $Z^m \rightarrow \Gamma$, which induces a surjective morphism $(Z/I)^m \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma b$, so $\ell_Z(\Gamma/\Gamma b) \leq m \times \ell_Z(Z/I)$.

The morphism of algebras $\rho : Z \rightarrow \text{End}_\Gamma(\Gamma/\Gamma b)^{op}$ which maps any z onto the right multiplication by z on $\Gamma/\Gamma b$ induces on $\Gamma/\Gamma b$ the natural action of Z on $\Gamma/\Gamma b$. This implies that any composition series for the $\text{End}_\Gamma(\Gamma/\Gamma b)^{op}$ -module $\Gamma/\Gamma b$ is a Z -module filtration of the Z -module $\Gamma/\Gamma b$. Therefore, we have $\text{endol}(\Gamma/\Gamma b) \leq \ell_Z(\Gamma/\Gamma b)$.

Since Γb is a maximal ideal of Γ , we get that $\Gamma/\Gamma b$ is a simple ring. As recalled before, all atomic factors of b are similar. Thus $\Gamma/\Gamma b$ has a simple left ideal. Hence, $\Gamma/\Gamma b \cong M_n(D)$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite-dimensional division k -algebra D . Moreover, we can take $D = \text{End}_\Gamma(S)^{op}$, where S is, up to isomorphism, the unique simple left $\Gamma/\Gamma b$ -module. Here, $S = M_{1 \times n}(D)$ is a simple left $M_n(D)$ -module with the usual matrix multiplication. So there is an isomorphism $D^n \rightarrow S$ of right D -modules.

As before, we have $\text{endol}({}_{\Gamma/\Gamma b} S) \leq \ell_D(S_D) = n$. Since p is an atom, the left $\Gamma/\Gamma b$ -module $\Gamma/\Gamma p$ is simple and we have $\Gamma/\Gamma p \cong S$. We have $\Gamma/\Gamma b$ is isomorphic to S^n and we know that for a finite direct sum, the endlength of the summands is always bounded by the endlength of the sum. Then,

$$\text{endol}(\Gamma/\Gamma p) = \text{endol}(S) \leq \text{endol}(\Gamma/\Gamma b) \leq \ell_Z(\Gamma/\Gamma b) \leq m \times \ell_Z(Z/I).$$

□

Remark 6.6. It is known that the principal ideal domain $\Gamma = D[x, s]$ of twisted polynomials over a finite-dimensional division algebra is not e -discrete, see [12, (8.6)]. This can also be derived from the preceding two statements as follows.

From [17, (1.1.22)], the center of Γ has the form $Z = F[t]$, a polynomial algebra over a finite field extension F of k , and Γ is finitely generated over Z . So Z is a principal ideal domain with infinitely many prime ideals.

Recall from, the proof of (6.4), that Γ admits an infinite set of non-similar atoms \mathcal{P} . Each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ appears as an atomic factor of some two-sided element $b \in \Gamma$ such that Γb is a maximal ideal of Γ . Since Γb is maximal, it is a prime ideal of Γ , so $I = Z \cap \Gamma b$ is a prime (thus maximal) ideal of Z , and Z/I is a simple Z -module. Therefore, from (6.5), we obtain that $\text{endol}(\Gamma/\Gamma p) \leq m \times \ell_Z(Z/I) = m$, where m is the number of generators of the Z -module Γ .

Thus, there are infinitely many simple Γ -modules of the form $\Gamma/\Gamma p$, which are in fact all finite-dimensional, with the same endlength, and Γ is not e -discrete.

7 The property EBTII

In this section, we study some useful relations between the endlengths of indecomposable modules filtered by the same simple object and the property EBTII for bounded principal ideal domains.

Proposition 7.1. *Assume that \mathcal{U} is a uniserial subcategory of Σ -mod for some k -algebra Σ and that we have a family $\{M_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of representatives of the isoclasses of the indecomposable Σ -modules in \mathcal{U} . Moreover, assume that we have almost split sequences in the category \mathcal{U} of the form:*

$$\xi_1 : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow M_1,$$

$$\zeta_n : M_n \longrightarrow M_{n+1} \oplus M_{n-1} \longrightarrow M_n, \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Set $E_{M_n} = \text{End}_\Sigma(M_n)^{op}$ and $K_{M_n} = \text{End}_\Sigma(M_n)^{op}/\text{RadEnd}_\Sigma(M_n)^{op}$. Then, there is an isomorphism of k -algebras $K_{M_n} \cong K_{M_1} = E_{M_1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume that $n \geq 2$. From our assumptions, we get that there is a chain of injective irreducible morphisms

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} M_2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} M_n$$

and a chain of surjective irreducible morphisms

$$M_n \xrightarrow{\beta_{n-1}} M_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_2 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} M_1,$$

such that $\beta_1 \alpha_1 = 0; \alpha_1 \beta_1 = \beta_2 \alpha_2; \cdots; \beta_i \alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1} \beta_{i-1}; \cdots$. Since M_n is uniserial, we have that each $f \in \text{End}_\Sigma(M_n)$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} & M_n & \xrightarrow{\hat{\beta}_n} & M_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_{n-1} & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_1 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} & M_n & \xrightarrow{\hat{\beta}_n} & M_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $\hat{\beta}_n = \beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{n-1}$. The recipe $f \mapsto f_1$ defines a morphism of algebras $\varphi_n : E_{M_n} \rightarrow E_{M_1}$. Since E_{M_1} is a division algebra and $\text{Rad}E_{M_n}$ consists of nilpotent morphisms, the map φ_n induces an injective morphism of division algebras $\bar{\varphi}_n : K_{M_n} \rightarrow K_{M_1}$. It only remains to show that $\bar{\varphi}_n$ is surjective or, equivalently, that φ_n is surjective. We proceed to a proof by induction on n .

If $n = 2$, we have an almost split sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} M_1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Given any morphism $f_1 : M_1 \rightarrow M_1$, the composition $f_1\beta_1 : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ is not an isomorphism. Hence, there is a morphism $f : M_2 \rightarrow M_2$ such that $\beta_1 f = f_1\beta_1$. So φ_2 is surjective.

Assume that $n \geq 3$ and that φ_{n-1} is surjective, and let us show that φ_n is surjective. Consider the almost split sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-2})^t} M_n \oplus M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{(\beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-2})} M_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Given $f_1 \in E_{M_1}$, by induction hypothesis, there is some $f_{n-1} \in E_{M_{n-1}}$ with $f_1\hat{\beta}_{n-1} = \hat{\beta}_{n-1}f_{n-1}$. Consider the composition $f_{n-1}\beta_{n-1} : M_n \rightarrow M_{n-1}$, which is not an isomorphism, thus there is a morphism $h : M_n \rightarrow M_n \oplus M_{n-2}$ such that $(\beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-2})h = f_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}$. Denote the matrix components of h as $h = (f_n, g)^t$. So, we get $\beta_{n-1}f_n + \alpha_{n-2}g = f_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}$. Therefore, we have

$$\hat{\beta}_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}f_n + \hat{\beta}_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}g = \hat{\beta}_{n-1}f_{n-1}\beta_{n-1} = f_1\hat{\beta}_{n-1}\beta_{n-1} = f_1\hat{\beta}_n.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\hat{\beta}_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}g = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-2}\alpha_{n-2}g = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-3}\alpha_{n-3}\beta_{n-3}g = \beta_1\alpha_1\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-3}g = 0.$$

Finally, we obtain $\hat{\beta}_n f_n = \hat{\beta}_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}f_n = f_1\hat{\beta}_n$, so φ_n is surjective. \square

Given a bounded principal ideal domain Γ , we can apply this proposition to the uniserial subcategories \mathcal{U}_p which form Γ -mod in (5.2), see [3, (6.5)]. So we have the following.

Corollary 7.2. *Let Γ be a bounded principal ideal domain. For any indecomposable finite-dimensional Γ -module M , set $E_M = \text{End}_\Gamma(M)^{op}$ and $K_M = \text{End}_\Gamma(M)^{op}/\text{Rad}\text{End}_\Gamma(M)^{op}$. Let S denote the simple socle of M , see (5.2). Then, there is an isomorphism of k -algebras $K_M \cong K_S = E_S$.*

Proposition 7.3. *Assume that \mathcal{U} is a uniserial subcategory of Σ -mod satisfying the assumptions of (7.1). Then, if M_n is an indecomposable Σ -module in \mathcal{U} with length n and socle M_1 , we have $\text{endol}(M_n) = n \times \text{endol}(M_1)$.*

Thus, given $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the category \mathcal{U} has at most one indecomposable with endolength d .

Proof. From the last proposition, we know that $\dim_k K_{M_n} = \dim_k K_{M_1}$, Then, from (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{endol}(M_n) &= \dim_k M_n / \dim_k K_{M_n} \\ &= (n \times \dim_k M_1) / \dim_k K_{M_1} \\ &= n \times \dim_k M_1 / \dim_k K_{M_1} = n \times \text{endol}(M_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 7.4. *Assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is a full subcategory of $\Sigma\text{-mod}$, for some k -algebra Σ , such that $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \coprod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{U}_p$, where each \mathcal{U}_p is a uniserial subcategory satisfying the assumptions of (7.1). Then, if $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is not e -discrete, the index set \mathcal{P} is infinite and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ satisfies EBTII.*

Proof. If the subcategory $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is not e -discrete, there is some number d and an infinite family $\{M(i)\}_i$ of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable Σ -modules in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ with common endolength $\text{endol}(M(i)) = d$. Denote by $S(i)$ the simple socle of each Σ -module $M(i)$ and by n_i its length. For all i , we have

$$d = \text{endol}(M(i)) = n_i \times \text{endol}(S(i)),$$

and the endolength of all these simple modules is bounded by d . If $S(i) \cong S(j)$, for some i, j , we would have

$$n_i \times \text{endol}(S(i)) = \text{endol}(M(i)) = d = \text{endol}(M(j)) = n_j \times \text{endol}(S(j)).$$

Thus, $M(i)$ and $M(j)$ have the same length and the same socle, which implies that they are isomorphic, thus $i = j$. So, there is some $d_1 < d$ and an infinite family of non-isomorphic simple Σ -modules $S(i)$ with the same endolength d_1 .

Hence, for each one of these simples $S(i)$, the indecomposable module $N_n(i)$ with length n and socle $S(i)$ has endolength $\text{endol}(N_n(i)) = n \times d_1$. The infinite family of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable Σ -modules $\{N_n(i)\}_i$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ with endolength $n \times d_1$ can be constructed for any n . □

Corollary 7.5. *Let Γ be a bounded principal ideal domain. If M_n is the indecomposable Γ -module with length n and socle M_1 , we have*

$$\text{endol}(M_n) = n \times \text{endol}(M_1).$$

Moreover, if Γ is not e -discrete it satisfies EBTII.

Proof. It follows from [3, (6.5)], (7.4), and (7.2). □

Lemma 7.6. *Assume that a functor $G : \Sigma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$ preserves indecomposables, reflects isomorphism classes, and controls endolength of indecomposables. Then, if EBTII holds for $\Sigma\text{-mod}$, it also holds for $\Lambda\text{-mod}$.*

Proof. By assumption, there are $c, c' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any indecomposable $M \in \Sigma\text{-mod}$, we have

$$\text{endol}(M) \leq c \times \text{endol}(GM) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{endol}(GM) \leq c' \times \text{endol}(M),$$

and there are infinitely many endolengths d 's such that for each one of these d 's there are infinitely many non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposable Σ -modules with the same endolength d .

If we start with such an infinite family $\{M_i\}_i$ in $\Sigma\text{-mod}$ with $\text{endol}(M) = d_1$, we obtain an infinite subfamily $\{GM_j\}_j$ of the family of images of $\{GM_i\}_i$

with the same endolength $\text{endol}(GM_j) = d'_1 \leq c'd_1$, because $\text{endol}(GM_i) \leq c' \times \text{endol}(M_i)$.

Now, by assumption, we can choose a sufficiently large endolength d_2 (say with $d_2 > cd'_1$) for which there is an infinite family of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposables $\{N_i\}_i$ in $\Sigma\text{-mod}$ with $\text{endol}(N_i) = d_2$. As before, we obtain an infinite subfamily $\{GN_j\}_j$ of the family of images $\{GN_i\}_i$ with common endolength $\text{endol}(GN_j) = d'_2 \leq c'd_2$, again because $\text{endol}(GN_i) \leq c' \times \text{endol}(N_i)$. Since G controls endolength of indecomposables, we obtain

$$cd'_1 < d_2 = \text{endol}(N_i) \leq c \times \text{endol}(GN_i) = cd'_2.$$

Thus, $d'_1 < d'_2$. We can iterate the preceding procedure to construct inductively endolengths $d'_1 < d'_2 < \dots$ and families of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposables in $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ with the same endolength d'_i , for each d'_i . \square

Proof of Theorem (1.8): From (1.6), we have a representation embedding $G : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$, where Γ is a bounded principal ideal domain, which is not e -discrete. The algebra Γ satisfies EBTII as a consequence of (7.5). Our theorem follows from (7.6), because G controls endolength of indecomposables. \square

In the following proposition we show that the formula relating the endolength of indecomposables in $\Gamma\text{-mod}$ described in (7.5), is preserved by the exact embedding $G : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$ of (1.6).

Proposition 7.7. *Let $G : \Gamma\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Lambda\text{-mod}$ be the representation embedding of (1.6). Consider a family of indecomposable Γ -modules $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each M_n has socle M_1 and length n . Then, we have*

$$\text{endol}(G(M_n)) = n \times \text{endol}(G(M_1)).$$

Proof. By construction, the functor G is the following composition

$$\Gamma\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{Q}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\Xi} \mathcal{P}^1(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{Cok}} \Lambda\text{-mod},$$

where \mathcal{Q} is a quasi-minimal ditalgebra, \mathbb{Q} is its minimal algebra, Ψ is a full and faithful functor, F is a composition of reduction functors, so it is full and faithful, and Cok is a full functor.

Claim 1: There is an isomorphism of k -algebras $K_{G(M_n)} \cong K_{G(M_1)}$.

We know that $\text{End}_{\Gamma}(M_n)^{op} = K_{M_n} \oplus \text{RadEnd}_{\Gamma}(M_n)^{op}$. Since Ψ is full and faithful, we have $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Psi(M_n))^{op} = \Psi(K_{M_n}) \oplus \text{RadEnd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Psi(M_n))^{op}$, for all n . Moreover, from (4.2), we know that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{Q}}(L_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Psi(M_n)))^{op} = L_{\mathbb{Q}}(K_{\Psi(M_n)}) \oplus \text{RadEnd}_{\mathcal{Q}}(L_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Psi(M_n)))^{op}$. Since the functors F and Ξ are full and faithful, we get

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{P}^1(\Lambda)}(\Xi FL_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Psi(M_n)))^{op} = \Xi FL_{\mathbb{Q}}\Psi(K_{M_n}) \oplus \text{RadEnd}_{\mathcal{P}^1(\Lambda)}(\Xi FL_{\mathbb{Q}}\Psi(M_n))^{op}.$$

Using that the functor Cok is full, we obtain

$$\text{End}_\Lambda(G(M_n))^{op} = \text{Cok}\Xi FL_{\mathbb{Q}}\Psi(K_{M_n}) \oplus \text{RadEnd}_\Lambda(\text{Cok}\Xi FL_{\mathbb{Q}}\Psi(M_n))^{op}.$$

Hence, $\text{Cok}\Xi FL_{\mathbb{Q}}\Psi(K_M)$ is a division algebra isomorphic to $K_{G(M_n)}$. Thus, for each n , we have $K_{M_n} \cong K_{G(M_n)}$, for all n . From (7.2), we know that $K_{M_n} \cong K_{M_1}$. Thus the formula of our Claim 1 holds.

Claim 2: We have $\dim_k G(M_n) = n \times \dim_k G(M_1)$.

Indeed, for $n \geq 2$, we have exact sequences in $\Gamma\text{-mod}$ of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{n-1} \longrightarrow M_n \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

which are mapped by the exact functor G on the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow G(M_{n-1}) \longrightarrow G(M_n) \longrightarrow G(M_1) \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\Lambda\text{-mod}$. The the announced formula follows by an easy induction from the additivity of dimension for exact sequences.

Finally, using the preceding Claim 1, Claim 2, and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{endol}(G(M_n)) &= \dim_k G(M_n) / \dim_k K_{G(M_n)} \\ &= n \times \dim_k G(M_1) / \dim_k K_{G(M_1)} \\ &= n \times \text{endol}(G(M_1)). \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S.O. Smalø. Representation Theory of Artin Algebras. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. 36, Cambridge University Press 1997.
- [2] R. Bautista, L. Salmerón. *On Discrete and Inductive Algebras*. Proceedings of ICRA X, Fields Institute Communication Series (AMS) 45 (2005) 17–35.
- [3] R. Bautista, E. Pérez, L. Salmerón. *On generically tame algebras over perfect fields*. Advances in Mathematics 231 (2012) 436–481.
- [4] R. Bautista, E. Pérez, L. Salmerón. *Generic modules of tame algebras over real closed fields*. Journal of Algebra 419 (2014) 223–276.
- [5] R. Bautista, L. Salmerón, R. Zuazua. Differential Tensor Algebras and their Module Categories. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 362, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [6] K. Bongartz. *Representation embeddings and the second Brauer-Thrall conjecture* <http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.02017v4>

- [7] S. Brenner. *Decomposition properties of some small diagrams of modules*, Symposia Mathematica, 13 (1974), 128–142.
- [8] P.M. Cohn. *Skew Fields: Theory of General Division Rings*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 57, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [9] P.M. Cohn. *Free Ideal Rings and Localization in General Rings*. New Mathematical Monographs, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [10] W.W. Crawley-Boevey. *Regular modules for tame hereditary algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 62 (3) (1991) 490–508.
- [11] W.W. Crawley-Boevey. *On tame algebras and bocses*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 56 (1988) 451–483.
- [12] W.W. Crawley-Boevey. *Modules of finite length over their endomorphism rings*, in: S. Brenner, H. Tachikawa (Eds.), *Representations of Algebras and Related Topics*, in: London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Series, 168, 1992, 127–184.
- [13] W.W. Crawley-Boevey. *Matrix Reductions for Artinian Rings, and an Application to Rings of Finite Representation Type*. J. Algebra 157 (1993) 1–25.
- [14] V. Dlab, C.M. Ringel. *Indecomposable representations of graphs and algebras*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 173. Providence, Rhode Island, 1976.
- [15] V. Dlab, C.M. Ringel. *A class of bounded hereditary noetherian domains*, J. Algebra 92 (1985) 311–321.
- [16] Yu. A. Drozd. *Tame and wild matrix problems*. Representations and quadratic forms. [Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences, Ukrainian SSR, Kiev (1979) 39–74] Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 128 (1986) 31–55.
- [17] N. Jacobson. *Finite-Dimensional Division Algebras over Fields*, Springer, 2010.
- [18] A.V. Roiter, M.M. Kleiner. *Representations of differential graded categories*. Springer Lect. Notes in Math. 488 (1975) 316–339.
- [19] L. H. Rowen. *Ring Theory (Student Edition)*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego C.A. 1991.
- [20] D. Simson. *An endomorphism algebra realization problem and Kronecker embeddings for algebras of infinite representation type*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 172 (2002) 293–303.

R. Bautista
Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Morelia, México
raymundo@matmor.unam.mx

E. Pérez
Facultad de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán
Mérida, México
jperez@correo.uady.mx

L. Salmerón
Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Morelia, México
salmeron@matmor.unam.mx