

Magnetization without spin : effective Lagrangian of itinerant electrons.

Kenzo Ishikawa ^(1,2)

June 11, 2024

(1) Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

(2) Natural Science Center,
Keio University, Yokohama 223-8521, Japan

Abstract

Effective Lagrangian of itinerant electron system of finite density at finite magnetic field is found to include Chern-Simons term of electromagnetic potentials of lower scale dimension than those studied before. This term has an origin in many-body wave function and unique topological property that is independent of a spin degree of freedom. The coupling strength is proportional to $\frac{\rho}{eB}$, which is singular at $B = 0$ for a constant charge density. The effective Lagrangian at a finite B represents physical effects at $B \neq 0$ properly. A universal shift of the magnetic field known as Slater-Pauling curve is derived from the effective Lagrangian.

1 Effective Lagrangian of vector potentials

From Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem, a magnetization does not appear in a thermodynamic limit of classical electron systems. A quantum correlation is necessary for matters to show magnetization. A spin and an orbital angular momentum become to satisfy commutation relations and couple with a magnetic field through magnetic moments. This can lead a magnetization. The spin degree of freedom describes effectively localized electrons in insulator. A system of localized electrons is described effectively by spin Hamiltonian such as Heisenberg model. The magnetization are understood based on a mean field theory.

Conduction electrons in metal are not expressed by a spin Hamiltonian, but reveals magnetization. There would be another mechanism in which the spin does not play a role. Magnetization of metals has a long history and has not been fully understood yet. In this paper, a magnetization of systems of charged particles is studied with effective Lagrangian of vector potentials. Because the Lagrangian gives a unified description of system's electromagnetic properties, this analysis may sheds a new light toward an itinerant magnetism.

Motion of a free charged particle is along a straight trajectory in a system of no magnetic field, $B = 0$, and that is a circular motion in uniform magnetic field. A trajectory is open in the former and closed and periodic in the latter [1]. Quantization of charged particles in a magnetic field is made through a kinetic energy. Particle's static energy in a magnetic field is expressed by a velocity and vector potential as $e\vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}$, where $(A_x, A_y) = \frac{B}{2}(-y, x)$ for a constant field in z -direction in a symmetric gauge, e is the unit of electric charge, and \vec{v} is a velocity operator. A Larmor radius R for $B \neq 0$ is given using a velocity v and a magnetic field as $R_B = \frac{m}{eB}v$, where m is the mass. A radius becomes large for weak field and for high velocity. Figure 1 shows a radius R_B for a magnetic field B and a velocity determined from its kinetic energy, $\frac{m_e}{2}v^2 = \frac{3}{2}kT$ for $T = 300K$.

Quantum effects specific to a magnetic field are important when a radius R_B is smaller than a system's size. The electrons in these states are not represented by plane waves but by those of circular motion of finite radius. Quantization of closed paths is applied in the strong field region, and quantization of straight lines is applied in the weak field region. Physical quantities of these states may involve terms that are proportional to $\frac{1}{B}$. As this behavior does not appear in a smooth expansion on a series of positive power $B^n; n = 0, 1, \dots$, those quantities in small B are not expressed by correlations of the system at $B = 0$. A physical system that reveals non-




Figure 1: The red line shows the Larmor radius as a function of the magnetic field. Magnetic field is in the vertical axis and the Larmor radius is in the horizontal axis. In an area of yellow, the system is longer than the Larmor radius. The temperature is 300 K.

smooth behavior from $B = 0$ to $B \neq 0$ should be treated by taking into account this term manifestly. To elucidate $\frac{1}{B}$ term correctly, a quantization of periodic orbits at a finite B is necessary.

One-particle states of charged particles in a uniform magnetic field are expressed by Landau levels [2]. Because these are orthogonal for different energies, their superposition represent isolate states [3]. These electrons are expressed by a field of many components and reveal various unique features not existing in zero-field systems. Those features that are specific to a magnetic field are; (1). One-particle states have degenerate discrete energies, (2) Wave functions are spatially extended of finite dipole moments. (3) A propagator is classified by a topological invariant [4]. (1) is known to give a negative magnetic susceptibility. (2) and (3) give an energy due to macroscopic quantum fluctuations. These features are manifestly represented in von-Neumann representation for guiding center coordinates, which is expressed in the following section.

Using von-Neumann representation, universal relations between a charged density and a field operator due to current conservation and commutation relations become simple forms convenient to study quantum corrections. We find an effective Lagrangian representing an adiabatic shift of exter-

nal variables such as magnetic field B or electron density. A new term of lower scale dimension than those studied before of an origin in many-body quantum correlations in a magnetic field due to a spectral asymmetry of one-body Hamiltonian is found. This has no direct connection with a spin degree of freedom, but is expressed by an induced Chern-Simons term. The Chern-Simons term has topological origins, intriguing properties, and sizable magnitude. A coupling strength in a finite charge density is very different from the one in a vacuum and is proportional to $\frac{\rho_e}{B}$, where ρ_e is the electron density.

Integrating an effective Lagrangian over a magnetic field, we find an energy of the electron system at a finite B . The energy is proportional to $\log B/B_0$, where B_0 is a low field cutoff. A B -dependent energy implies that the itinerant electron system exhibits magnetic properties without a spin degree of freedom.

The present paper is organized in the following manner. Introduction is given in Section 1, and in Section 2 properties of system under discrete transformations and scale transformations, and field theory with von-Neumann representation for electrons in a magnetic field are studied. In Section 3, effective Lagrangian of a system of finite magnetic field is derived and its implications to itinerant magnetism are analyzed. Conclusion is given in Section 4.

2 Electrons in a uniform magnetic field

An effective action of the electromagnetic potentials from a long-distance fluctuation of electrons is obtained. The action of low scaling dimension which represents response of the system in a long distance region emerges in the system of finite magnetic field. This term has been dismissed in previous studies of itinerant magnetism.

2.1 Symmetry

The system has continuous and discontinuous symmetries.

2.1.1 Space-time inversions

Gauge invariance and space inversion are preserved, but a time-inversion is broken in magnetized system. The Lagrangian of electrons and photons in

an external electromagnetic field in a non-relativistic region

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \psi(x)^\dagger \left((p_0 + e(A_0^{ext}(x) + A_0(x)) - \frac{1}{2m}(p_i + e(A_i^{ext}(x) + A_i(x))))^2 \right) \psi(x) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{em}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\psi(x)$ is an electron field, $A_\mu(x)$ is an photon field, and \mathcal{L}_{em} shows the Lagrangian that depends on vector potentials. This is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow U^{-1}(x)(A_\mu + p_\mu)U(x), \quad (2)$$

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow U(x)\psi(x), \quad (3)$$

where $U = e^{i\lambda(x)}$. Electromagnetic current

$$J_0(x) = \psi(x)^\dagger \psi(x), \quad (4)$$

$$J_i(x) = \psi(x)^\dagger \frac{1}{m}(p_i + e(A_i^{ext}(x) + A_i(x)))\psi(x) \quad (5)$$

are conserved. Ward-Takahashi identity hold in the system of the external magnetic field.

The Hamiltonian is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \int dx [\psi(x)^\dagger (-e(A_0^{ext}(x) + A_0(x)) + \frac{1}{2m}(p_i + e(A_i^{ext}(x) + A_i(x))))^2 \psi(x)] \\ &+ \mathcal{H}_{em}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

For a uniform density $A_0^{ext}(x) = constant$ and a uniform magnetic field $A_i^{ext}(x)$, the vector potential is transformed under a space reflection as,

$$t \rightarrow t, \vec{x} \rightarrow -\vec{x} \quad (7)$$

$$\vec{A}(x) \rightarrow -\vec{A}(x), \vec{A}(x)^{ext} \rightarrow -\vec{A}(x)^{ext}, \quad (8)$$

and is transformed under a time inversion as,

$$t \rightarrow -t, \vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x} \quad (9)$$

$$\vec{A}(x) \rightarrow -\vec{A}(x), \vec{A}(x)^{ext} \rightarrow \vec{A}(x)^{ext}. \quad (10)$$

The system is invariant under a space inversion, but is not invariant under a time-inversion.

2.1.2 Scale transformation

Operators are transformed under a scale transformation according to own scale dimensions. D -spatial dimensions is studied.

Under scale transformation in spatial coordinates

$$x_i \rightarrow \lambda x_i, \quad (11)$$

fields are transformed in accord with commutation relations,

$$[\psi(\vec{x}, x_0), \psi^\dagger(\vec{y}, y_0)]_+ \delta(x_0 - y_0) = i\hbar \delta^{(D+1)}(x - y), \quad (12)$$

$$[A_i(\vec{x}, x_0), \dot{A}_j(\vec{y}, y_0)]_- \delta(x_0 - y_0) = i\hbar \delta^{(D+1)}(x - y), \quad (13)$$

as

$$\psi(\lambda x_i) = \lambda^{-D/2} \psi(x_i), \quad (14)$$

$$A_i(\lambda x_i) = \lambda^{-(D-1)/2} A_i(x_i). \quad (15)$$

Then composite operators are transformed as

$$J_0(\lambda x) = \psi^\dagger(\lambda x_i) \psi(\lambda x_i) = \lambda^{-D} \psi(x_i)^\dagger \psi(x_i), \quad (16)$$

$$\psi^\dagger(\lambda x_i) \frac{\vec{p}}{m\lambda} \psi(\lambda x_i) = \lambda^{-D-1} \psi^\dagger(x_i) \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \psi(x_i), \quad (17)$$

$$\psi^\dagger(\lambda x_i) \frac{(\vec{p} + e\vec{A})_r}{m} \psi(\lambda x_i) = \lambda^{-D} \psi^\dagger(x_i) \frac{(\vec{p} + e\vec{A})_r}{m} \psi(x_i), \quad (18)$$

where a velocity $\frac{\vec{p}}{m}$ has a dimension λ^{-1} in Eq.(17), whereas a velocity in a magnetic field $(\vec{p} + e\vec{A})_r$ has a dimension λ^0 due to a circular motion in a uniform magnetic field. Details are shown in a next section.

Dimensions of products of electromagnetic current and potentials are

$$J_0(\lambda x) A_0(\lambda x) = \lambda^{-\frac{3D}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} J_0(x) A_0(x), \quad (19)$$

$$J_i(\lambda x) A_i(\lambda x) = \lambda^{-\frac{3D}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} J_i(x) A_i(x), \quad (20)$$

$$J_i^{mag}(\lambda x) A_i(\lambda x) = \lambda^{-\frac{3D}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} J_i^{mag}(x) A_i(x). \quad (21)$$

Spin dependent energy proportional to the magnetic field has a dimension

$$J_0(\lambda x)^{spin} B(\lambda x) = \lambda^{-\frac{3D}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} J_0(x)^{spin} B(x), \quad (22)$$

$$J_0^{spin}(x) = \sum_s \psi_s^\dagger s_z \psi_s. \quad (23)$$

Dimensions of space integrals of the above products are

$$\int d^D x J_0(x) A_0(x) \rightarrow \lambda^{-\frac{D}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \int d^D x J_0(x) A_0(x), \quad (24)$$

$$\int d^D x J_i(x) A_i(x) \rightarrow \lambda^{-\frac{D}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \int d^D x J_i(x) A_i(x), \quad (25)$$

$$\int d^D x J_i^{mag}(x) A_i(x) \rightarrow \lambda^{-\frac{D}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \int d^D x J_i^{mag}(x) A_i(x). \quad (26)$$

and that of space integral of spin dependent energy proportional to the magnetic field is

$$\int d^D x J_0(x)^{spin} B(x) \rightarrow \lambda^{-\frac{D}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \int d^D x J_0(x)^{spin} B(x), \quad (27)$$

Dimensions of products of vector potentials are

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_l A_i(\lambda x_i) \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_k A_i(\lambda x_i) = \lambda^{-D-1} \partial_l A_i(x_i) \partial_k A_i(x_i), \quad (28)$$

$$A_i(\lambda x_i) \frac{\partial}{\lambda \partial x_k} A_i(\lambda x_i) = \lambda^{-D} A_i(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} A_i(x_i). \quad (29)$$

Dimensions of integrals of products of vector potentials are

$$\int d^D x \partial_l A_i(x_i) \partial_k A_i(\lambda x_i) \rightarrow \lambda^{-1} \int d^D x \partial_l A_i(x_i) \partial_k A_i(x_i), \quad (30)$$

$$\int d^D x A_i(x_i) \partial_k A_i(x_i) \rightarrow \lambda^0 \int d^D x A_i(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} A_i(x_i). \quad (31)$$

2.1.3 Scale dimension

Products of momenta are transformed according to dimensions under a scale transformation to

$$\begin{aligned} p_l &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda} p_l, \\ p_{l_1} p_{l_2} &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda^2} p_{l_1} p_{l_2} \\ p_{l_1} \cdots p_{l_M} &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda^M} p_{l_1} \cdots p_{l_M} \end{aligned}$$

A magnitude of a product of M momenta in a small momentum region of $p_l = O(\epsilon)$ is ϵ^M . Physical phenomena of large scale are described by amplitude or Green's function of small momenta of $p_l = O(\epsilon)$. Quantities

become more suppressed and become small in low energy regions, i.e., long distance region, according to scale dimensions.

Spin interaction energy Eq.(27) and an electromagnetic interaction Eq.(25) are important in a short distance region, while charge interaction energies Eqs.(24), (26) are important in a long distance region.

2.1.4 Electron correlations

(I) Coulomb interaction works universally between charge densities.

An interaction energy between charges is transformed to

$$\int d^D x d^D y J_0(\vec{x}) V(x-y) J_0(\vec{y}) \approx \int d^D x J_0(\vec{x}) \tilde{V}_0 J_0(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \lambda^{-3} \int d^D x J_0(\vec{x}) \tilde{V}_0 J_0(\vec{x}) \quad (32)$$

for a screened Coulomb interaction

$$V(x-y) = \frac{V_0}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} e^{-\mu|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}, \quad (33)$$

where

$$\tilde{V}_0 = \int d\vec{y} \frac{V_0}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} e^{-\mu|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}. \quad (34)$$

(II) Electromagnetic energies of spin correlations.

As a spin interacts with a magnetic field, B dependent energy is expressed as

$$\int d^D x B(\vec{x}) \langle J_s(\vec{x}) \rangle \rightarrow \lambda^{D-2} \int d^D x B(\vec{x}) \langle J_s(x) \rangle \quad (35)$$

and

$$\int d^D x d^D y B(\vec{x}) V_s(x-y) B(\vec{y}) \rightarrow \lambda^{-1} \int d^D x B(\vec{x}) V_s^0 B(\vec{x}) \quad (36)$$

for a short range spin correlation

$$\int d^D y V_s(x-y) = \int d^D x \langle J_s(x) J_s(y) \rangle = V_s^0. \quad (37)$$

Here

$$\langle J_s(\vec{x}) \rangle \quad (38)$$

$$\langle J_s(x) J_s(y) \rangle \quad (39)$$

are correlation functions of spin current operator. Correlations of spin has been studied widely in literatures.

(III) Electromagnetic energies due to electron's current correlations. From current correlations, A_μ dependent energy is expressed as

$$\int d^D x A_\mu(\vec{x}) \langle J^\mu(\vec{x}) \rangle = 0 \quad (40)$$

and

$$\int d^D x d^D y A_\mu(\vec{x}) \Pi^{\mu,\nu}(x-y) A_\nu(\vec{y}) \rightarrow \lambda^{1+\lambda_\pi} \int d^D x A_\mu(\vec{x}) \Pi^{\mu,\nu}(x-y) A_\nu(\vec{y}) \quad (41)$$

Here current correlation functions satisfy

$$\langle J_\mu(\vec{x}) \rangle = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$\int dy \langle J_\mu(x) J_\nu(y) \rangle \rightarrow \lambda^{\lambda_\pi} \int dy \langle J_\mu(x) J_\nu(y) \rangle, \quad (43)$$

where λ_π is a scale dimension of two current correlation functions computed later. We will see that $\lambda_\pi = -1$, and Eq. (41) gives a dominant contribution in a long distance region.

2.2 Finite B expansion

A current operator Eq.(18) in a system of magnetic field has a larger scale dimension than the one of no magnetic field, and a magnitude of its expectation value in long distance region becomes enhanced over current Eq.(17). A representation of the electrons that reveals this behavior manifestly is von Neumann representation of electrons in Landau levels. Computation of an effective Lagrangian of vector potentials can be made in a transparent manner.

A system of finite electron density and magnetic field parallel to z -direction is expressed by $(A_x^{\text{ext}}, A_y^{\text{ext}}) = \frac{B}{2}(-y, x)$ and $A_0^{\text{ext}}(x) = \frac{\mu_e}{e}$. In this system, electron states of negative energies and positive energies below the Fermi energy, $0 \leq E \leq \mu_e$, are occupied. The effective Lagrangian from the former ones is the one of the vacuum and was obtained in [6]. The latter one with Pauli-Villars regularization was obtained in [7]. Universal properties of the Lagrangian are clarified and applied to itinerant magnetism in the present paper.

2.2.1 von Neumann lattice representation: $H_0 = \frac{p^2}{2m}, D = 2$

We study a system of low energy electrons which are expressed by energies quadratic in momentum in two spatial dimensions for simplicity. It is straightforward to extend to high energy using the relativistic Landau levels, which are given in the appendix, and to a system of $D = 3$. The single electron Hamiltonian is

$$H_0 = \frac{(p_x + eA_x^{\text{ext}})^2}{2m} + \frac{(p_y + eA_y^{\text{ext}})^2}{2m} + \sigma_z g \frac{eB}{2m} \quad (44)$$

To elucidate higher order corrections we decompose the electron field in terms of the Landau levels. A spin degree is ignored for a while.

As the electron's motion is circular around an arbitrary center, it is transparent to express the electron field with center coordinates (X, Y) and relative coordinates (ξ, η) . One-body Hamiltonian in (x, y) space is described by the relative coordinates (ξ, η) , and commutes with center coordinates (X, Y) as,

$$\xi = (eB)^{-1}(p_x + eA_x^{\text{ext}}), \eta = (eB)^{-1}(p_y + eA_y^{\text{ext}}), \quad X = x - \xi, Y = y - \eta, \quad (45)$$

$$[\xi, \eta] = -[X, Y] = \frac{a^2}{2\pi i}, a = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{eB}}, \quad (46)$$

$$H^0_{(xy)} = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_c^2(\xi^2 + \eta^2) + \frac{\sigma_z}{2}\omega_c, \omega_c = \frac{eB}{m}. \quad (47)$$

The eigenstates of this Hamiltonian and those of the center coordinates,

$$H^0_{(xy)}|l\rangle = E_l|l\rangle, E_l = (l + \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega_c, l = 0, 1, 2 \dots \quad (48)$$

$$(X + iY)|\alpha_{mn}\rangle = z_{mn}|\alpha_{mn}\rangle, z_{mn} = (m\omega_x + in\omega_y) \quad (49)$$

$$|\alpha_{mn}\rangle = e^{i\pi(m+n+mn)+\sqrt{\pi}(A^\dagger \frac{z_{mn}}{a} - A \frac{z_{mn}^*}{a})}|\alpha_{00}\rangle, \quad (50)$$

$$A = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{a}(X + iY), [A, A^\dagger] = 1. \quad (50)$$

where complex numbers ω_x and ω_y satisfy $\text{Im}[\omega_x^*\omega_y] = 1$. Many-body quantum fluctuations are studied easily with the momentum states of the guiding centers

$$|\alpha_{\mathbf{p}}\rangle = \sum_{mn} e^{ip_x m + ip_y n} |\alpha_{mn}\rangle, \langle \alpha_{\mathbf{p}} | \alpha_{\mathbf{p}'} \rangle = \alpha(\mathbf{p})(2\pi)^2 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}' - 2\pi\mathbf{N}) \quad (51)$$

$$|\beta_{\mathbf{p}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\beta(\mathbf{p})} |\alpha_{\mathbf{p}}\rangle, \alpha(\mathbf{p}) = \beta^*(\mathbf{p})\beta(\mathbf{p}), \quad (52)$$

where \mathbf{N} is a two dimensional vector of integer components, \mathbf{p} is a two dimensional momentum in a magnetic Brillouin zone, and $\alpha(\mathbf{p})$ is expressed by Jacobi θ -function. This basis, $|l, \beta_{\mathbf{p}}\rangle$, the von Neumann basis, is convenient to investigate a many-body dynamics of quantum Hall systems [8, 9], and neutrinos in the solar corona [10].

For a completeness of paper, we briefly review hereafter an expansion of the electron field with the von Neumann basis,

$$\psi(\vec{x}, t) = \int_{BZ} \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_l(\mathbf{p}, t) \langle \mathbf{x} | l, \beta_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle, \quad E_l(p_z) = E_l, \quad (53)$$

$$\langle \vec{x} | l, \beta_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x} | l, \beta_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle$$

where E_l is the energy of Landau level and the BZ stands for the magnetic Brillouin zone

$$p_i \leq \frac{\pi}{d}, \quad d = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{eB}}. \quad (54)$$

Creation and annihilation operators satisfy

$$\{b_l(\mathbf{p}), b_{l'}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}')\} = \delta_{ll'} (2\pi)^2 (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}' - 2\pi\mathbf{N}) e^{i\phi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{N})}, \quad (55)$$

$$\phi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{N}) = \pi(N_x + N_y) - N_y p_x, \quad N_i : \text{integer}.$$

The one body Hamiltonian is diagonal but the density and current in the (t, x, y, z) space are expressed as,

$$j_0(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{l, l'} \int_{BZ} \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} b_l^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \Gamma_0(\mathbf{k})_{l, l'} b_{l'}(\mathbf{p} + a\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \quad (56)$$

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{l, l'} \int_{BZ} \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} b_l^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})_{l, l'} b_{l'}(\mathbf{p} + a\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \quad (57)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ is dual momentum of \mathbf{k}

$$\hat{k}_i = W_{ij} k_j, \quad (58)$$

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Re}[\omega_x] & \text{Im}[\omega_x] \\ \text{Re}[\omega_y] & \text{Im}[\omega_y] \end{pmatrix}$$

and


$$\Gamma_0(\mathbf{k})_{l, l'} = \langle f_l | e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}} | f_{l'} \rangle, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})_{l, l'} = \langle f_l | \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathbf{v}, e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}} \} | f_{l'} \rangle, \quad (59)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A}_{ext}}{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\xi, \eta)$. The form factor $\Gamma_0(\mathbf{k})$ in Eq.(56) and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$ in Eq.(57) is expressed by a polynomials in \mathbf{k} . The constant term, $\Gamma_0(0)$ is proportional to the electron charge and the linear term $\frac{\partial}{\partial k_i}\Gamma(0)$ is proportional to the dipole moment, which has non-vanishing matrix element between nearest neighbor Landau levels, i.e., $l' = l \pm 1$.

A transformed electron operators with a unitary matrix

$$\hat{b}_l(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{l'} U_{ll'}(\mathbf{p}) b_{l'}(\mathbf{p})$$

$$U_{ll'}(\mathbf{p}) = \langle f_l | e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}/a - \frac{i}{4\pi} p_x p_y} | f_{l'} \rangle, \hat{\xi}_i = W_{ij} \xi_j. \quad (61)$$



satisfy

$$\{\hat{b}_l(\mathbf{p}), \hat{b}_{l'}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}')\} = \delta_{ll'} (2\pi)^2 (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}' - 2\pi\mathbf{N}) \Lambda_{ll'}(\mathbf{N}), \quad (62)$$

$$\hat{b}_l(\mathbf{p} + 2\pi\mathbf{N}) = \Lambda_{ll'}(\mathbf{N}) \hat{b}_{l'}(\mathbf{p}) \Lambda_{ll'}(\mathbf{N}) = (-1)^{N_x + N_y} U_{ll'}(2\pi\mathbf{N}),$$

and lead a simple expression of the charge and current operators

$$j_0(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_l \int_{BZ} \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{b}_l^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \hat{b}_l(\mathbf{p} + a\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \quad (63)$$

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{l,l'} \int_{BZ} \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{b}_l^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \left\{ \mathbf{v} + \frac{a\omega_c}{2\pi} (W^{-1}\mathbf{p} + \frac{a}{2}\mathbf{k}) \right\}_{l,l'} \hat{b}_{l'}(\mathbf{p} + a\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \quad (64)$$

A form factor dose not appear in a matrix element of the charge operator, accordingly a commutation relation between the charge density and the electron operators becomes straightforward. The electron's propagator is

Figure 2: The diagram of the current correlation function is shown. The real line represents the electrons and the wave line represents the photon or the neutrino current.

defined as a matrix in the magnetic Brillouin zone and Landau level index,

$$\langle 0 | \hat{b}_m(\mathbf{p}) \hat{b}_n^\dagger(\mathbf{p}') | 0 \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \hat{S}_{mn}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (65)$$

and a vertex part is written as

$$\langle j^\mu(q) \hat{b}_m(p) \hat{b}_n(p') \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(p + Q - p') \hat{S}_{mm'}(p, p + Q) \hat{\Gamma}_{m', n'}^\mu(p, p + Q) \hat{S}_{n' n}(p + Q) \quad (66)$$

where $Q^\mu = (q_0, a\hat{q}_x, a\hat{q}_y) = t_\nu^\mu q^\nu$. The vertex part satisfies a magnetic Ward-Takahashi identity,

$$\hat{\Gamma}_\mu(p, p) = t_\mu^\nu \frac{\partial \hat{S}(p)^{-1}}{\partial p^\nu}, \quad (67)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_\nu} \hat{\Gamma}_\mu(p, p + Q) |_{Q=0} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0; \nu = 0, 3; \mu = 0, 3 \\ \delta_{ij}; \nu = i, \mu = j, i, j = 1, 2 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

2.2.2 von Neumann lattice representation: tight binding model

In a tight-binding model, Hamiltonian is

$$H_0 = K(e^{ipa} - e^{-ipa}), \quad (69)$$

Spectrum at a weak magnetic field where (1) a magnetic flux per plaquette Φ is much smaller than a unit of flux Φ_0 , $\Phi \ll \Phi_0$, and (2) $\Phi \approx \Phi_0$ are very different. (1) is a weak magnetic field and (2) is a strong magnetic field.

von Neumann representation and Hofstadter butterfly Peierls substitution

2.3 Effective action

An action including the fluctuations of the effective electromagnetic field in Eq.(6) A_μ is

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_0 + \int d^3x [e j_0(x) A_0(x) - \vec{e} \vec{j}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + O(A_\mu^2)], \quad (70) \\ S_0 &= \int dt \int_{BZ} \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} b_l^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \left[i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - E_l \right] b_l(\mathbf{p}) \end{aligned}$$

where the current operators $j_0(x)$ and $\vec{j}(x)$ are given below. Integrating over the electron fields in path integral method of a system described by Eq.(70) or applying a standard perturbative expansions of the transition amplitude, we obtain the effective Lagrangian for the photon by applying the derivative expansion on the fields $A_\mu(x)$ as

$$S_{eff} = \frac{e^2}{2} \int d^3x d^3y A_\mu(x) \pi^{\mu\nu}(x-y) A_\nu(y), \quad (71)$$

where the current correlation function $\pi^{\mu\nu}(x-y)$ is expressed in Fig.(2) and its Fourier transform is given as

$$\pi_{\mu\nu}(\vec{k}) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr}[\hat{\Gamma}_\mu(p, p+k) \hat{S}(p+k) \hat{\Gamma}_\nu(p+k, p) \hat{S}(p)], \quad (72)$$

where the sum in Tr is made in the Landau level index. Expansion coefficients of $\pi_{\mu\nu}(\vec{k})$ in a power series on \vec{k} , gives the strengths of the effective interaction. Among the coefficients, the linear term is most important in the long distance region, and its coefficient, i.e., the slope at the origin, is finite now for the space $(\mu, \nu, \rho = 0, x, y)$ due to the inversion symmetry breaking. This is expressed as a topological number of the propagator, using the Ward-Takahashi identity Eq.(67),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_\rho} \pi_{\mu\nu}(k)|_{k=0} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi} N_w, \quad (73)$$

$$N_w = \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}}{3!} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr} \left[\hat{S}(p) \frac{\partial \hat{S}(p)^{-1}}{\partial p_\mu} \hat{S}(p) \frac{\partial \hat{S}(p)^{-1}}{\partial p_\nu} \hat{S}(p) \frac{\partial \hat{S}(p)^{-1}}{\partial p_\rho} \right]. \quad (74)$$

N_w is the winding number of the propagator $\hat{S}(p)$. Its value, which depends on the magnetic field, is evaluated easily by substituting

$$\tilde{S}(\mathbf{p}) = U^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{S}(\mathbf{p}) U(\mathbf{p}), \quad (75)$$

into Eq.(74). N_w counts the number of Landau bands below the Fermi energy,

$$N_w = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}, \rho_0 = \frac{eB}{2\pi\hbar}, \quad (76)$$

where ρ is the electron density and ρ_0 is the density of the Landau levels. This form is equivalent to the quantum Hall effect [11] [12]. This topological invariant is mathematically similar to TKNQ integer [13], but represents physically different quantities. The former is proportional to a filling fraction of Landau levels, whereas the latter is not.

3 Chern-Simons form at a finite B

The effective action for small change of $A_\mu(x)$ is expressed by Eq.(71), and is decomposed to the lowest dimensional term and higher dimensional terms,

$$S_{eff} = S_{CS} + S_{high}, \quad (77)$$

$$S_{CS} = e^2 \frac{\sigma_{xy}^{(4)}}{2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho, \quad (78)$$

$$\sigma_{xy}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \nu^{(4)}, \quad \nu^{(4)} = 2\pi\nu = \frac{2\pi n_e}{eB}, \quad (79)$$

where S_{high} is the higher dimensional term, n_e is the electron density, in the natural unit of $c = \hbar = 1$. The Lagrangian in S_{CS} is proportional to a momentum and gives the dominant contribution in the long distance region, which vanishes in a system of zero-magnetic field. This term is invariant under a gauge transformation, and violates time reversal invariance.

The effective Lagrangian in S_{CS} , the Chern-Simons (CS) term [8, 9, 11], is

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = e^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nu^{(4)}}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma + O(F_{\alpha\beta}^2); \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma = (0, 1, 2), \quad (80)$$

where the magnetic field is along the 3rd axis, and $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha$.

3.1 Implications of Chern-Simons term

From the effective Lagrangian Eq.(80), expectation values of a current and a density is computed.

3.1.1 Hall effects

The effective action Eq.(80) represents a response of the system's energy under a small variation of A_0 and $A_i(x)$. A derivative of the Lagrangian density with respect to the vector potential,

$$J_i(x) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{int}}{\delta A_i(x)} \quad (81)$$

is a current in an i direction. Substituting Eq.(80), we have a current proportional to an electric field

$$J_i(x) = \sigma_H \epsilon^{i\beta\gamma} \partial_\beta A_\gamma, \quad (82)$$

where a conductance is given by

$$\sigma_H = e^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nu^{(4)}}{2\pi}. \quad (83)$$

From an anti-symmetric property of $\epsilon^{i\beta\gamma}$, the current is perpendicular to an electric field, and σ_H represents Hall conductance. The effective Lagrangian density leads the Hall effect.

3.1.2 Varying chemical potential and magnetic field

Eq.(80) shows another physical effect. A physical system where a magnetic field δB and a chemical potential δE_F are added in the strong field region in Fig.1, vector potentials and a scalar potential vary as,

$$\partial_1 A_2(x) - \partial_2 A_1(x) = \delta B, A_0 = \delta E_F. \quad (84)$$

An effective Lagrangian density under these variations is obtained by substituting these variables into Eq.(80), as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = e \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2\pi n_e}{eB} (\delta E_F) \delta B + O(\delta B^2); \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma = (0, 1, 2). \quad (85)$$

As the effective Lagrangian Eq.(85) is linear in a variation of magnetic field δB , a stationary magnetic field makes a shift when a density is varied. This term has an origin in electrons in Landau levels and is independent of a spin degree of freedom.

Effective Lagrangian for a finite B and a finite density is obtained by integrating the effective Lagrangian Eq.(85) over B and n_e . For a case $B > 0$ and $\delta B > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{int}} &= \int_{B_0}^B dB' \int_0^{N_e} dE_F e \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2\pi n_e}{|e|B'} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{N_e} n_e dE_F \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB'}{B'} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{N_e} n_e dE_F \log\left(\frac{B}{B_0}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

where B_0 is a lower cutoff, which depends on a size of the system and is studied later. A coefficient

$$\int_0^{N_e} n_e dE_F \quad (87)$$

depends on n_e . In a simple situation of

$$n_e = \rho_0 E_F, \quad (88)$$

$$\int_0^{N_e} n_e dE_F = \frac{1}{2\rho_0} N_e^2. \quad (89)$$

Then we have the effective Lagrangian

$$L_{int} = -\frac{1}{2\rho_0} N_e^2 \log\left(\frac{B}{B_0}\right). \quad (90)$$

The action is given by an integral over the space time

$$S_{int} = -\int d^3x \frac{1}{2\rho_0} N_e^2 \log\left(\frac{B}{B_0}\right). \quad (91)$$

3.2 Combining short-distance spin fluctuation

A contribution to a free energy from a short range correlation due to spin fluctuations has been widely studied in literature. Here we assume various forms for a free energy density and study effects of additional Chern-Simons terms,

$$F = F_{short} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{N_e} n_e \delta E_F \log\left(\frac{B}{B_0}\right). \quad (92)$$

3.2.1 Short-distance magnetization

For a case that F_{short} has a minimum at a finite $B_{0,s}$, a free energy is given by

$$F = \frac{c}{2} (B - B_{0,s})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{N_e} n_e \delta E_F \log\left(\frac{B}{B_0}\right). \quad (93)$$

Derivative of F with respect to B is computed as

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial B} = c(B - B_{0,s}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{N_e} n_e \delta E_F \frac{1}{B}. \quad (94)$$

A stationary magnetic field is solved from

$$cB(B - B_{0,s}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{N_e} n_e \delta E_F = 0 \quad (95)$$

as

$$B = \frac{cB_0 \pm \sqrt{c^2 B_{0,s}^2 + 2c \int_0^{N_e} n_e \delta E_F}}{2c}. \quad (96)$$

For small $\delta B = B - B_{0,s}$ and δE_F , it follows that

$$cB_{0,s}\delta B = \frac{1}{2}N_e\delta E_F, \quad (97)$$

and

$$\delta B = \frac{1}{2cB_{0,s}}N_e\delta E_F. \quad (98)$$

A shift of the magnetic field, δB , is proportional to the electron density and a shift of the Fermi energy. Accordingly, a shift per an electron is given by

$$\frac{\delta B}{N_e} = \frac{1}{2cB_{0,s}}\delta E_F, \quad (99)$$

and is proportional to δE_F . A fact that the proportional constant depends only on $B_{0,s}$ is a feature of Slater-Pauling curves [14].

3.2.2 Short-distance non-magnetization

For $B_{0,s} = 0$,

$$F = \frac{c}{2}B^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{N_e} n_e\delta E_F \log\left(\frac{B}{B_0}\right). \quad (100)$$

Derivative of F with respect to B is computed as

$$\frac{dF}{dB} = cB - \frac{1}{2B}n_e\delta E_F = 0 \quad (101)$$

$$B^2 = \frac{1}{2c}n_e\delta E_F \quad (102)$$

A solution is

$$B = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2c}n_e\delta E_F}. \quad (103)$$

Another solution for $B < 0$, is

$$B = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2c}n_e\delta E_F}. \quad (104)$$

The free energy is symmetric in $B \rightarrow -B$.

(2) Other form of F_0 :

$$F_0 = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_0}B^2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{4}B^4 \quad (105)$$

. At small B of $B > B_0$ the second term is dominant and decreases with B , and at a large B the first term is dominant and increases with B . Consequently, F has a minimum. The first term is increasing function and the second term is decreasing function. Consequently, F has a minimum at

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial B} = \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial B} - \frac{1}{2}n_e\delta E_F \frac{1}{B} \quad (106)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\kappa_0}B + \lambda_0 B^3 - \kappa \frac{n_e\delta E_F}{2} \frac{1}{B}. \quad (107)$$

F becomes stationary at

$$\lambda_0 B_0^4 - \frac{1}{\kappa_0} B_0^2 - \frac{n_e\delta E_F}{2} = 0 \quad (108)$$

$$B_0^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{\kappa_0} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{\kappa_0^2} + 2\lambda_0 n_e\delta E_F}}{2\lambda_0} \quad (109)$$

A stationary magnetic field shifts by an amount which depends on the product $n_e E_F$.

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_0} = 0, F_0 = \frac{\lambda_0}{4} B^4$$

$$B_0^2 = \sqrt{\frac{n_e\delta E_F}{2\lambda_0}} \quad (110)$$

$$\lambda_0 = 0, F_0 = \frac{1}{2\kappa_0} B^2, \kappa_0 > 0$$

$$B_0^2 = \kappa_0 \frac{n_e\delta E_F}{2} \quad (111)$$

3.2.3 Charged particle with spin and separation of short range effective interaction

Electron has a spin $\frac{1}{2}\hbar$ and is described by an one-particle Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \frac{(p_x + eA_x^{\text{ext}})^2}{2m} + \frac{(p_y + eA_y^{\text{ext}})^2}{2m} + \sigma_z g \frac{eB}{2m} \quad (112)$$

in a non-relativistic energy, where g is a gyromagnetic ratio. A spin-orbit coupling is assumed vanishing.

A many-body action including the fluctuations A_μ of the effective electromagnetic field in Eq.(6) is

$$S = S_0 + \int d^3x [e j_0(x) A_0(x) - e \vec{j}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + j_3(x) \delta B + O(A_\mu^2)] \quad (113)$$

$$S_0 = \int dt \int_{BZ} \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} b_l^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \left[i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \tilde{E}_l \right] b_l(\mathbf{p})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
j_0(x) &= \sum_i \psi_i^\dagger(x) \psi_i(x), \vec{j}(x) = \sum_i \psi_i^\dagger(\vec{p} + e\vec{A}) \psi_i(x) & (114) \\
j_3(x) &= \sum_i \psi_i^\dagger(x) (\sigma_3)_{ij} \psi_j(x) \\
\delta B &= \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{E}_l^i = E_l + (\sigma_z)_{ii} g \frac{eB}{2m} \quad (115)$$

We do not study a spin correlation in this paper.

3.3 Implications of Chern-Simons term and spectrum asymmetry

L_{int} in Eq.(80) and in Eq.(86) has unusual properties.

(1) L_{int} represent a universal interaction of vector potentials which neither depend on a many-body interaction nor related with Coulomb interaction between charges.

(2) L_{int} in Eq.(80) is bi-linear form of $eA_\mu(x)$, but is reduced to an energy per an electron $E_F = eA_0$ and filling fraction of electrons ν_e . L_{int} is reduced to a zero-th order term in a small fluctuation of magnetic field δB .

(3) L_{int} is related with Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem, which proves that a magnetization does not occur in a thermodynamic equilibrium limit of classical theory. Now, magnetic field breaks time-reversal invariance and a system may not show a thermodynamic equilibrium. This is a feature of a system in a magnetic field.

(4) Divergence of L_{int} at $B \rightarrow 0$ occurs in an infinite system. In a system of finite size, the Larmor radius R must be smaller than the system's size. This leads a minimum magnetic field B_0 of the finite system.

(5) L_{int} has a connection with Slater-Pauling curves. Chern-Simons magnetic energy shifts a stationary magnetic field due to a long-distance fluctuation of the electrons in Landau's levels leads the energy Eq.(86) to be proportional to a deviation of magnetic field and makes the stationary magnetic field to shift. A shift of the energy from this term proportional to $E_F n_e$ leads the stationary magnetic field to vary with a density of itinerant electrons. This behavior given by Eq.(99). Experimentally a shift of the magnetic field is observed as Slater-Pauling curve. is just the one seen in Slater-Pauling curves. Accordingly, Slater-Pauling curves may be an

evidence of a energy shifts due to a stationary magnetic field expressed by Chern-Simons term.

3.4 D=3

In three dimensional system, wave functions are a free wave in a direction of the magnetic field. The calculation is straightforward, and we show the result here. The action is equivalent to the 2 spatial dimensions, and is given as

$$S_{eff} = S_{CS} + S_{high}, \quad (116)$$

$$S_{CS} = e^2 \frac{\sigma_{xy}^{(4)}}{2} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho, \quad (117)$$

$$\sigma_{xy}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \nu^{(4)}, \quad \nu^{(4)} = 2\pi\nu = \frac{2\pi n_e}{eB}, \quad (118)$$

where μ, ν, ρ are in a space perpendicular to the magnetic field, $(0, 1, 2)$. Other quantities are the same as before.

3.4.1 Implications of S_{CS} in radiative scatterings

Eq.(117) is a bi-linear form of vector potentials, and represents a long-range correlation, which is unrelated with short-range correlations. Short range correlations modify an energy, mass, magnetic moment, and other parameters of a system and renormalized quantities of the system. Long-range correlations, however, barely affect in short distance and do not renormalize these parameters.

We write a typical length of physical system l_{ph} . If de Broglie wave length is an only typical length $l_{ph} = l_w$ in a physical system, a transition probability and other physical quantities are determined by short range correlations. An energy of the system and scattering cross section are governed by the length, $l_w = \frac{h}{p}$, in fact. This length for $p \geq 1$ keV, is order of atomic sizes whereas the magnetic length $R_B = \frac{mv}{eB}$ for $B = 1$ Tesla and 1 eV is $R_B \approx 10^{-8}$ M . The magnetic length is much longer than the atomic distance, and its fluctuations do not contribute to an amplitude in short distance regions. Interactions in short distance regions are not modified by the topological interaction Eq.(117).

R_B is a new scale that contribute to many-body wave functions as well. Effects specific to these waves appear in a system of length l_{ph} of satisfying $l_{ph} \gg R_B$ and are described by the action S_{CS} , but these disappear in the short distance of $l_{ph} \ll R_B$. S_{CS} is the action of the lowest dimension reveals

the phenomena of physical length $l_{ph} \gg R_B$. A property at a long distance is similar to the one of the EPR correlation [5].

3.5 B^n terms from short range interaction

Energies of the bound electrons are also modified by a magnetic field. This effects come from short distance correlations inside atom. From Fig.(1), this region belongs to weak field region. A correction is computed by an energy shift of the atomic levels, Zeeman shift,

$$\delta E = B\mu_l \tag{119}$$

and higher order terms,

$$\delta E = B^2, B^n, n > 3 \tag{120}$$

The energy from the short distance fluctuations behaves as $B^n, : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

The sum of the energy proportional to $\frac{1}{B}$ Eq.(86) and another term of $B^n, n > 1$ has a minimum at one B . Accordingly a spontaneous magnetization occurs.

3.6 Connection with an orbital magnetic moment?

The effective Lagrangian Eq.(85) could be understood as a manifestation of an orbital magnetic moment of electrons [15]. For electron bound states, the orbital angular momentum plays a similar role as the spin, and couples with a magnetic field. However, this is not a case for itinerant electrons, where an orbital angular momentum is not well defined. In fact, the present result does not rely on linear response approximation, and is not proportional to the magnetic field. It is hard to assign the orbital magnetic moment from the present result.

4 Summary

The magnetic properties of the itinerant magnetism is studied with the effective action of electromagnetic vector potentials. The action is found to include a topological Chern-Simons term in the strong field region in Fig.1. The Chern-Simons term has the lowest scale dimension among gauge invariant quantities and determines a long distance electromagnetic properties of physical systems. The system of a finite electron density and a magnetic

field is described by a constant A_0 and B which is expressed by linear vector potentials. Unique properties of the present system nonexistent in the vacuum are understood from Chern-Simons term. For its computation, the creation and annihilation operators of electrons defined with energy eigenstates and a propagator defined in von Neumann representation of Landau levels are used. These are convenient to study universal properties of itinerant electrons in a magnetic field and is computed based on $\frac{1}{B}$ expansion.

The $1/B$ expansion is valid in large systems even at a finite or weak magnetic field. Chern-Simons term in the effective Lagrangian is responsible to Hall effect in a system under inject current, and to system's energy in the absence of external current. Because the energy has a dependence on the magnetic field, it affects to system's magnetic property. One characteristic feature arises in a stationary magnetic field that varies universally when the electron density is varied as in Eq.(99). Experimentally a shift of the magnetic field is observed as Slater-Pauling curve. The universal behavior of Slater-Pauling curve is due to the topological property of Chern-Simons term, which is not connected with the spin.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grant No. 24340043). The authors thank Drs. Amitsuka, Goryo and Matsuyama for useful discussions.

A Landau levels of two-band equation

$$\begin{aligned} (i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - m)\phi &= \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A})\xi, \\ (i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + m)\xi &= \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A})\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

The stationary solutions $\phi = e^{iEt}\phi, \xi = e^{iEt}\xi$

$$\begin{aligned} (E - m)\phi &= \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A})\xi, \\ (E + m)\xi &= \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A})\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (E^2 - m^2)\phi &= [\mathbf{p}^2 + e^2\mathbf{A}^2 + 2e\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} + e\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{H}]\phi \\ E &= \pm\sqrt{m^2 + p_z^2 + (2l + 1 + \sigma)eB} \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

In the non-relativistic region, the energy is expressed as

$$E = \pm[m + \frac{p_z^2 + (2l + 1 + \sigma)eB}{2m} + \text{higherorders}] \quad (124)$$

References

- [1] A. H. Taub, Phys. Rev. **73**. 786, (1948).
- [2] L. Landau, " Diamagnetismus der Metalle", Z.Phys. 64,629 (1930).
- [3] K. Ishikawa and Y. Nishio,"Overlap integral of continuum stationary states",Hokkaido univeristy preprint.
- [4] K. Ishikawa and T. Matsuyama, Zeitschrift. fur Physics, C,33,41-45(1986).
- [5] A. Einstein, B. Podlsky, and N. Rosen, Phys.Rev.,**47**, 777 (1935).
- [6] A. Erdas and G. Feldman, Nuclear Physics. **B343**. 597, (1990).
- [7] P. K.Panigrahi, R. Ray, and B. Sakita, Phys.Rev B 42,4036 (1990)
- [8] K. Ishikawa, N. Maeda, T. Ochiai, and H. Suzuki, Physica. **4E**, 37(1999).
- [9] K. Ishikawa, T. Aoyama, Y. Ishizuka, and N. Maeda, Int.Jour.Mod. Physics. **B17**, 4765(2003).
- [10] K. Ishikawa and Y. Tobita, Physics Open 17 . 100174, (2023). See also arXiv::1503.07285[hep-ph]
- [11] K. Ishikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett.**53**, 1615, (1984); N. Imai, K. Ishikawa, T. Matsuyama, and I. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. **B42**, 10610, (1990).
- [12] S. Coleman and B. Hill, Phys. Let. (B159), 184,(1985).
- [13] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto,P.M.Nightingate, and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**,405, (1982)
- [14] J. Kübler,Physica 127B(1984) 257-263
- [15] F. Aryasetiawan and K. Karlsson, J. Phys. and Chem. Solid ,128(2019) 87-108